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#### Abstract

The moments of a region in an image can be used to describe the region's location, orientation, and shape, This paper derives the class of all possible formulas for computing arbitrary moments of a region from the region's boundary. The selection of a particular formula depends on the choice of an independent parameter. Several choices of this parameter are explored for region boundaries approximated by polygons. The parameter choice that minimizes computation time for boundaries represented by chain code is derived. Finally, two algorithms are presented. The first computes arbitrary moments for a region from a polygonal approximation of its boundary. The second algorithr is optimal for computing low order moments from chain-encoded boundaries.


## I. INHRODUCTION

Many pattern recognition techniques in computer vision use structural or statistical features of regions and their outlines to characterize the shape of objects being viewed. An important class of statistical features is the set of $(p+q)$-th order moments defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p q}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{p} y^{q_{f}} f(x, y) d x d y \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(x, y)$ is a density diatribution function. In the context of pattern recognition, the moments are computed for a unifrrm density distribution over a closed region $R$ in the $x y$-plane. Therefore, $f(x, y)$ reduces to

$$
f(x, y)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if }(x, y) \in R \\ 0, & \text { if }(x, y) \in R\end{cases}
$$

In this case, (I) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p q}=\iint_{R} x^{p} y^{q} d x d y \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The most comon example of the use of moments is to compute the centroid $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ of a region by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}=\frac{m_{10}}{m_{00}}, \quad \bar{y}=\frac{m_{01}}{m_{00}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{00}$ is the area of the region. Moments are also used to compute the angle of a region's axis of minimum moment of inertia $\theta$. This quantity
defines the region's orientation within a two-fold degeneracy and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{2\left(m_{00} m_{11}-m_{10} m_{01}\right)}{\left(m_{00} m_{20}-m_{10}^{2}\right)-\left(m_{00} m_{02}-m_{01}^{2}\right)}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantities $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$, and $\theta$ are useful for pattern recognition because they specify the position and orientation of regions, defining a transformation between image and model coordinates, and thus allowing further analysis of shape features in a standard reference frame.

A more direct application of moments to pattern recognition is the use of moment invariants to describe objects. These are quantities, computed in terms of the moments of a region, that are invariant under translation, rotation, and scale changes. Hu [1] derives seven moment invariants and Wong and Hall [2] describe an application that uses them to recognize objects in aerial scenes.

If the region is acanned in a raster fashion, the moments may be calculated by using the discrete version of Eq. (2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p q}=\sum_{x} \sum_{y} x^{p} y^{q},(x, y) \in R \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, a, region is often represented by its boundary. This is the case when edge detection is used to separate objects in a scene [3]. It is possible to reconstruct the region from its boundary and use Eq. (5). However, this is computationally inefficient, since a region usually contains many more points than its boundary. Therefore, a method that computes moments while traversing the boundary is desirable.

In this paper, such a method is derived for boundaries approximated by polygons.

Chain code is an ordered list of numbers that represent the orien. tations of segments comprising the boundary. It is a commonly used special case of polygonal approximation with many attractive properties [4]. The method presented in this paper is used to find an algorithm that minimizes the time it takes to calculate moments from chain-encoded boundaries.

In Section II, we derive the general formula for computing region moments from a boundary representation. The initial steps of the derivation rely on the theory of differential forms. Reader. unfamiliar with this branch of mathematics are referred to Flanders [5]. In Section III, we explore the possible choices of the independent paremeter in the general formula to obtain equations suitable for computation. In Section IV, the execution time of these equations is analyzed for chain-encoded curves. Finally, Section V presents an algorithm that computes arbitrary moments from polygonal boundaries and an algorithm that is optimal for computing low order moments from chain-encoded curves.
II. DERTVATION OF THE GENERAL FORMULA

The strategy of this derivation is to reduce the surface integral In formula (2) to a line integral, using Stokes' theorem. In our case, we want to find functions $A=A(x, y)$ and $B=B(x, y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p q}=\int_{R} x^{p} y^{q} d x d y=\int_{\partial R} A d x+B d y \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial R$ is the boundary of R. Stokes' theorem states that for Eq. (6) to hold, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p} y^{q} d x d y=d(A d x+B d y) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$, the differential operator, is defined in two dimensions by $d=d x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+d y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[5]$. Evaluating the $d$ operator in Eq. (7) gives

$$
x^{p} y^{q} d x d y=\left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial y}\right) d x d y
$$

or

$$
x^{p} y^{q}=\frac{\partial B}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial y}
$$

The solution to this partial differential equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=a x^{p} y^{q+1} \quad, \quad B=b x^{p+1} y^{q} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are real numbers. We can find $a$ and $b$ by substituting the values of $A$ and $B$ from Eq. (9) back into Eq. (3). This yields the following constraint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(p+1)-a(q+1)=1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ine integral to be solved then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p q}=\int_{\partial R}(a y d x+b x d y) x^{p} y^{q} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are constrained by Eq. (10). For any piecewise continuous boundary representation, Eq. (11) will give a region's moments from its boundary.

Let $\partial R$, the roundary of the region, be approximated by a closed polygon as in Fig. 1. Then, $\partial R={\underset{U}{=1}}_{n}^{n} S_{\ell}$, where the $S_{\ell}$ are linked oriented line segments with endpoints ( $x_{l}-1, y_{\ell-1}$ ) and ( $x_{l}, y_{l}$ ), respectively. Since $\partial R$ is a piecewise continuous function, the integrel in equation (11) can be broken into a sum of integrals over each boundary segment. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p q \ell}=\int_{s_{\ell}}(a y d x+b x d y) x^{p y} q \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p q}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} m_{p q \ell} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. The region $R$, shown here as bordered by the dotted iine, has its boundary, 0 R, approximated by an oriented poiygon. The polygon is composed of the links $S_{l}$ and oriented in a clockwise direction.

The problem is to find $m_{p q l}$ by integrating Eq. (12). Let $\Delta x_{l}=x_{l}-x_{l-1}$ and $\Delta y_{l}=y_{l}-y_{l-1}$. Then all points ( $x, y$ ) alone the line segment $S_{\ell}$ can be parameterized as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x=\Delta x_{\ell} t+x_{\ell}, & y=\Delta y_{\ell} t+y_{\ell} \quad-1 \leq t \leq 0  \tag{14}\\
d x=\Delta x_{\ell} d t, & d y=\Delta y_{\ell} d t
\end{array}
$$

Using Eq. (14) and the binomial theorem,

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{p} y^{q}= & {\left[\sum_{i=0}^{p}\binom{p}{i} \Delta x_{l}^{i} t^{i} x_{l}^{p-i}\right]\left[\sum_{j=0}^{q}\binom{q}{j} \Delta y_{l}^{j} t^{j} y_{l}^{q-j}\right]=} \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{q}\binom{p}{i}\binom{q}{j} x_{l}^{p-i} y_{l}^{q-j} \Delta x_{l}^{i} \Delta y_{l}^{j} t^{i+j} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, by substituting the parameterized $x, y, d x, d y$, and $x^{p} y^{q}$ of Eqs. (14) and (15) into the integral that derines $m_{p q i}$ in Eq. (12), the general formula is obtained:

$$
m_{p q l}=\int_{t=-1}^{0}\left[(a+b) \Delta x_{l} \Delta y_{l}^{t}+a y_{l} \Delta x_{l}+b x_{l} \Delta y_{l}\right]\left[\sum_{1=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{q}\binom{p}{1}\binom{q}{j} x_{l}^{p-1} y_{l}^{q-j} \Delta x_{l}^{1} \Delta y_{l}^{j} t^{1+j}\right] d t
$$

where $b(p+1)-a(q+1)=1$. Equation (16) is not only a formula for the ( $p+q$ )-th order moment; it also contains every possibie formula for the $(p+q)$-th order moment. Each choice of parametera a and $b$, subject to the constraint given above, generates a new valid equation for $m_{\mathrm{Pq} \ell}$.

## III. VALUES FOR THE INDEPENDENT PARAMETER

Before Eq. (16) can be evaluated, real values must be assigned to the parameters a and $b$. The goal is to choose the a and $b$ that will make computing $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{pq}}$ as simple as possible. The most obvious simplification is elimination of one or more of the terms in the integral. It follows directly from Eq. (16) and the constraint on and $b$, that only three parameter choices will eliminate one of the terms in Eq. (16):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Choice 1: } a+b=0, b=1 /(p+q+2) \\
& \text { Choice 2: } a=0, b=1 /(p+1)  \tag{17}\\
& \text { Choice 3: } b=0, a=-1 /(q+1)
\end{align*}
$$

We now look at each case in detail. For choice 1 , the general Cormula reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p q \ell}=b\left(x_{\ell} \Delta y_{l}-y_{l} \Delta x_{\ell}\right) \int_{t=-1}^{0}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{q}\binom{p}{i}\binom{q}{j} x_{l}^{p-1} y_{l}^{q-1} \Delta x_{l}^{i} \Delta y_{l}^{j} t^{i+j}\right] d t \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting thet

$$
\int_{-1}^{0} t^{i+j} d t=\left.\frac{t^{i+j+1}}{i+j+1}\right|_{-1} ^{0}=-\frac{(-1)^{i+j+1}}{i+j+1}=\frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{i+j+1}
$$

and setting $A_{\ell}=x_{\ell} \Delta y_{\ell}-y_{\ell} \Delta x_{\ell}$, the formula for choice 1 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p q l}=b A_{l} \sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{q} \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{i+j+1}\binom{p}{i}\binom{q}{j} x_{l}^{p-1} y_{l}^{q-j} \Delta x_{l}^{i} \Delta y_{l}^{j} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For choice 2, where $a=0$ and $b=1 /(p+1)$, the middle term in the integral in Eq. (16) drops out, leaving

$$
m_{p q \ell}=b \int_{t=-1}^{0}\left(\Delta x_{l} \Delta y_{\ell} t+x_{\ell} \Delta y_{\ell}\right)\left[\sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{q}\binom{p}{i}\binom{q}{j} x_{l}^{p-i} y_{l}^{q-j} \Delta x_{l}^{i} \Delta y_{l}^{j} t^{i+j}\right] d t
$$

Evaluating the integral in (20) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{p q \ell}= & b\left[\sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{q} \frac{(-1)^{i+j+1}}{i+j+2}\binom{p}{i}\binom{q}{j} x_{l}^{p-i} y_{l}^{q-j} \Delta x_{l}^{1+1} \Delta y_{l}^{j+1}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{q} \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{1+j+1}\binom{p}{i}\binom{q}{j} x_{l}^{p-i+1} y_{l}^{q-j} \Delta x_{l}^{i} \Delta y_{l}^{j+1}\right] \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

The two double sums can be combined by making the substitution $k=i+1$ in the first double sum. Making this substitution yields

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{p Q l}= & b\left[\sum_{k=1}^{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^{q} \frac{(-1)^{k+j}}{k+j+1}\binom{p}{k-1}\binom{q}{j} x_{l}^{p-k+1} y_{l}^{q-j} \Delta x_{l}^{k} \cdot \Delta y_{l}^{j+2}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{q} \frac{(-1)^{1+j}}{1+j+1}\binom{p}{i}\binom{q}{j} x_{l}^{p-1+1} y_{l}^{q-j} \Delta x_{l}^{1} \Delta y_{l}^{j+1}\right] \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, using the relation $\binom{p}{k-1}+\binom{p}{k}=\binom{p+1}{k}$, the sums can be combinei as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\mathrm{pql}}=b \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^{q} \frac{(-1)^{1+j}}{i+j+1}\binom{p+1}{i}\binom{q}{j} x_{\ell}^{p-i+1} y_{\ell}^{q-j} \Delta x_{\ell}^{1} \Delta y_{\ell}^{j+1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choice 3 , the case where $b=0$ and $a=-1 /(q+1)$, reduces Eq. (16) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p q \ell}=a \int_{t=-1}^{0}\left(\Delta x_{\ell} \Delta y_{\ell} t+y_{\ell} \Delta x_{\ell}\right)\left[\sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{q}\binom{p}{i}\binom{q}{i} x_{\ell}^{p-1} y_{l}^{q-j} \Delta x_{l}^{i} \Delta y_{l}^{j} t^{i+j}\right] d t \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparison of Eqs. (20) and (24) allows us to exploit the symmetry of the $a=0$ and $b=0$ cases to write the solution of Eq. (24) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p q \ell}=\sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{q+1} \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{i+j+1}\binom{p}{i}\binom{q+1}{j} x_{\ell}^{p-1} y_{\ell}^{q-j+1} \Delta x_{\ell}^{i+1} \Delta y_{\ell}^{j} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we have three formulas for $m_{p q \ell}$ - Eqs. (19), (23), and (25) corresponding to three choices of the independent parameter. Which formula should be used for computation? In the next section, we will examine the special case of chain-encoded curves, when $\Delta x$ and $\Delta y$ take on only the values zero, one, and minus one. For now, let us consider the general case of polygonal approximation, where $\Delta x$ and $\Delta y$ are arbitrary real numbers. We assume that all moments up to order $n=p+q$ are to be computed in a single boundary traversal.

The computational requirements for $m_{00}$ are the same for all parameter choices. For $p+q>0$, the number of terms, $N$, for each parameter choice can be read directly from the formulas:

For choice $1, a=-b, N=(p+1)(q+1)=p q+p+q+1$
For choice $2, a=0, N=(p+2)(q+1)=p q+p+2 q+2$
For choice $3, b=0, N=(p+1)(q+2)=p q+2 p+q+2$

The equations in (26) show that choice 1 requires at least $p$ additions fewer than choice 2 and $q$ additions fewer than choice 3 . Equation (26) also shows that choices 2 and 3 differ by $p-q$ terms. Therefore, choice 2 is more efficient than choice 3 when $p>q$ and choice 3 is more efficient when $p$ \& .

Equations (23) and (25) are homogeneous polynomials in $x, y, \Delta x$, and $\Delta y$, of degree $p+q+2$. Equation (19) is homqgeneous of degree $p+q$ and requires one extra multiplication for the $A_{\ell}$ term. Therefore, choice 1 requires at most the same number of multiplications needed for choices 2 and 3. Clearly, for the general case of polygonal approximation to a curve, Eq. (19) is the logical formula for computing moments.

## IV. MOMENTS FOR CHAIN-ENCODED CURVES

Chain code is a special case of polygonal approximation. Each segment of the boundary connects a grid point to one of its eight nearest neighbors, represented by the numbers zero through seven. Figures 2 and 3 show our conventions for labelling the chain code directions, image coordinates, and the positive orientation of the boundary.

Using a chain-encoded boundary representation, we would like to compute the zeroeth through second order moments: $m_{00}, m_{10}, m_{01}, m_{20}$, $m_{11}$, and $m_{02}$. We have a choice of two sets of formulas. The first set is derived from Eq. (19):

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{00}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} A_{\ell} \\
& m_{10}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} A_{\ell}\left(x_{\ell}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta x_{\ell}\right) \\
& m_{01}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} A_{\ell}\left(y_{\ell}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta y_{\ell}\right)  \tag{27}\\
& m_{20}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} A_{\ell}\left(x_{\ell}^{2}-x_{\ell} \Delta x_{\ell}+\frac{1}{3} \Delta x_{\ell}^{2}\right) \\
& m_{11}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} A_{\ell}\left(x_{\ell} y_{\ell}-\frac{1}{2} x_{\ell} \Delta y_{\ell}-\frac{1}{2} y_{\ell} \Delta x_{\ell}+\frac{1}{3} \Delta x_{\ell} \Delta y_{\ell}\right) \\
& m_{02}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} A_{\ell}\left(y_{\ell}^{2}-y_{\ell} \Delta y_{\ell}+\frac{1}{3} \Delta y_{\ell}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2. The eight possible directions between a grid point and its nearest neighbors are represented by the chain code numbers as shown above.


Figure 3. The region $R$, shown here as bordered by the dotted line, has its boundary, $\partial R$, approximated by a chain-encoded curve. The chain code for $\partial R$ is 0,0 , $0,0,0,0,0,7,0,6,6,6,4,5,5,5,4,5,3,4,2,4,3,2,2,2,1,1$.

The gecond set of formulas will derive from Eqs. (23) and (25) by using (23) if $p \geq q$, and Eq. (25) otherwise. The formules are

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{00}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\left(x_{\ell} \Delta y_{\ell}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta x_{\ell} \Delta y_{\ell}\right) \\
& m_{10}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(x_{l}^{2} \Delta y_{l}-x_{l} \Delta x_{l} \Delta y_{l}+\frac{1}{3} \Delta x_{l}^{2} \Delta y_{l}\right) \\
& m_{01}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(y_{l}^{2} \Delta x_{l}-y_{l} \Delta x_{l} \Delta y_{l}+\frac{1}{3} \Delta x_{\ell} \Delta y_{l}^{2}\right) \\
& m_{20}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(x_{l}^{3} \Delta y_{l}-\frac{3}{2} x_{l}^{2} \Delta x_{l} \Delta y_{l}+x \Delta x_{l}^{2} \Delta y_{l}-\frac{1}{4} \Delta x_{l}^{3} \Delta y_{l}\right)  \tag{28}\\
& m_{11}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(x_{l}^{2} y_{l} \Delta y_{l}-\frac{1}{2} x_{l}^{2} \Delta y_{l}^{2}-x_{l} y_{l} \Delta x_{l} \Delta y_{l}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2}{3} x_{l} \Delta x_{l} \Delta y_{l}^{2}+\frac{1}{3} y_{l} \Delta x_{l}^{2} \Delta y_{l}-\frac{1}{4} \Delta x_{l}^{2} \Delta y_{l}^{2}\right) \\
& m_{02}=-\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\left(y_{l}^{3} \Delta x_{\ell}-\frac{3}{2} y_{l}^{2} \Delta x_{\ell} \Delta y_{l}+y_{\ell} \Delta x_{\ell} \Delta y_{l}^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \Delta x_{\ell} \Delta y_{l}^{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

These formulas can be evaluated most efficiently if the terms of each sum are accumulated separately as the boundary is traversed. Let PMpq[k] represent the kth term, in the order written above, of moment $m_{\mathrm{pq}}$. For example, PM1O[2] $=x_{\ell} \Delta x_{\ell} \Delta y_{\ell}$ in Eq. (28). After completing the traversal, each $\operatorname{PMpq}[k]$ term is multiplied by its coefficient. These quantities are then combined to obtain the moments.

The chain code representation allows another simplification. Since $\Delta x_{\ell}$ and $\Delta y_{\ell}$ are limited to the values zero, one, and minus one, it is not necessary to perform the multiplications by $\Delta x_{\ell}$ and $\Delta y_{\ell}$ indicated in the formulas. If $\Delta x_{\ell}\left(\Delta y_{\ell}\right)=0$, then the terms containing $\Delta x_{\ell}\left(\Delta y_{\ell}\right)$ can be ignored. Otherwise, $\Delta x_{\ell}$ and $\Delta y_{\ell}$ simply determine the sign of each term. The values of $\Delta x_{\ell}$ and $\Delta y_{l}$ are completely determined by the chain code number. We therefore treat each of the eight chain code directions as a separate case. Table 1 shows the eight cases for $m_{00}$, using the formula from Eq. (28).

Now, we must decide which set of formulas executes in the least amount of time, using the implementation strategy discussed above. Clearly, the formulas in Eq. (28) have more terms than those in Eq. (27). However, this does not tell the whole story, since the restrictions on $\Delta x_{\ell}$ and $\Delta y_{\ell}$ mean that each term is not necessarily computed every time. The probability that a term is computed equals the probability that its $\Delta x_{\ell}$ or $\Delta y_{\ell}$ factors are nonzero. Let $\pi_{k}$ be the probability that the $k$ th term is computed and let $P(z)$ represent the probability that event $z$ occurs. Then,

$$
\pi_{k}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if there are no } \Delta x \text { or } \Delta y \text { factors present }  \tag{29}\\ P(\Delta x \neq 0), & \text { if a } \Delta x \text { but not a } \Delta y \text { factor is present } \\ P(\Delta y \neq 0), & \text { if a } \Delta y \text { but not a } \Delta x \text { factor is present } \\ P(\Delta x \Delta y \neq 0), & \text { if both } \Delta x \text { and } \Delta y \text { factors are present }\end{cases}
$$

Table 1. Chain code values and an example of moment calculations

| Ciain code | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta x$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\Delta y$ | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\operatorname{PMOO}[1]=x \Delta y$ | 0 | $-x$ | $-x$ | $-x$ | 0 | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| PMOO[2] $=\Delta x \Delta y$ | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 |

The eight chain code values are shown with corresponding values of $\Delta x, \Delta y$, and the terms PMOO[1], PMOO[2] used to compute $m_{00}$.

Groen and Verbeek [6] have calculated that, for an arbitrary chain-encoded figure, the probability of an even chain code link is 0.5858 and the probability of an odd link is 0.4142 . Therefore, referring to Table 1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\Delta x \neq 0)=P(\text { odd code })+\frac{1}{2} P(\text { even code })=0.7071 \\
& P(\Delta y \neq 0)=P(\text { odd code })+\frac{1}{2} P(\text { even code })=0.7071 \\
& P(\Delta x \Delta y \neq 0)=P(\text { odd code })=0.4142
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $T_{k}$ be the execution time of PMpq[k], $M$ the execution time for one multiplication, and A the execution time for one addition. Let $\mu_{k}$ be the number of multiplications needed to calcualte PMpq[k]. Then the expected execution time for this term, $E\left(T_{k}\right)$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(T_{k}\right)=\pi_{k}\left(\mu_{k} M+A\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 2 gives $\pi_{k}, \mu_{k}$, and $E\left(T_{k}\right)$ for every term in Eqs. (27) and. (28). The total expected execution time $E(T)$ is just the sum of the $E\left(T_{k}\right)$ over all terms in the formula. The result of adding the $E\left(T_{k}\right)$ in Tables 2 and 3 reveals that

For Eq. (27), $E(T)=5 M+12.4852 A$
For Eq. $(28), E(T)=3.9497 \mathrm{M}+10.3343 \mathrm{~A}$

Therefore, we will use equation (28) to calculate the moments from a chain-encoded boundary.

Table 2. Computational requirements for Equations (27)

| ${ }^{m} \mathrm{PQ}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | PMpq[ E ] | $\pi_{k}$ | ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}$ | $\pi k^{1 / 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{m}_{00}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{A}_{\ell}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{3} 10$ | 1 | $\mathrm{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \mathrm{X}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 | $A_{\ell} \Delta x$ | 0.7072 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{m} 01$ | 1 | $A_{l}{ }^{\text {y }}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 | $A_{2} \Delta y$ | 0.7071 | 0 | 0 |
| $m_{20}$ | 1 | $A_{l} x^{2}$ |  | $3^{\text {a }}$ | 1 |
|  | 2 | $A_{\ell} \times \Delta x$ | 0.7071 | $0^{\text {a }}$ | 0 |
|  | 3 | $A_{\ell} \Delta x^{2}$ | 0.7071 | 0 . | 0 |
| ${ }_{11}$ | 1 | $A_{e} x y$ |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 | $A_{e}{ }^{\text {a }}$, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.7071 | $0^{2}$ | 0 |
|  | 3 | $A_{\ell} y \Delta x$ | 0.7071 | $0^{\mathbf{a}}$ | 0 |
|  | 4 | $A_{l} \Delta x \Delta y$ | 0.4142 | 0 | 0 |
| $m_{02}$ | 1 | $A_{l} y^{2}$ |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 | $\mathrm{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \mathrm{y} \Delta \mathrm{y}$ | 0.7071 | $0^{8}$ | 0 |
|  | 3 | $\mathrm{A}_{\ell} \Delta y^{2}$ | 0.7071 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  | 12.0710 |  | 5 |

The expected number of additions is . $4142+\varepsilon \pi_{k}=12.4852 .{ }^{b}$
The expected number of muitiplications is $\varepsilon \pi_{k} \mu_{k}=5$.
${ }^{a_{A l l}}$ or part of an indicated product is computed for a previous term; e.B., $\operatorname{PMLO}[1]=A_{l} x^{2}=\left(A_{\ell} x\right) x=\operatorname{PMLO}[1] \cdot x$.
$b_{\text {There }}$ is one extra addition required to compute $A_{l}=x \Delta y-y \Delta x$ which is performed with probability $P(\Delta x \Delta y \neq 0)=.4142$ ?

Table 3. Computational requirements for Equations (28)

| $m_{p q}$ | k | PMpq[k] | \#k | $\psi_{k}$ | ${ }^{*} k^{\mu}{ }_{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m 00$$m 0$ | 1 | x $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | 0.7071 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | $\Delta x \Delta y$ | 0.4142 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | $x^{2} \Delta y$ | $0.7071$ | 1 | 0.7071 |
| $m 10$ | 2 | $x \Delta x \Delta y$ | 0.4142 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | $\Delta x^{2} \Delta y$ | 0.4142 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{m}_{01}$ | 1 | $y^{2} \Delta x$ | 0.7071 | 1 | 0.7071 |
|  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & y \Delta x \Delta y \\ & \Delta x \Delta y^{2} \end{aligned}$ | 0.4142 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{m}_{20}$ | 3 |  | 0.4142 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta x \Delta y \\ & \mathbf{x}^{3} \Delta \mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$ | 0.7071 | $1^{\text {a }}$ | 0.7071 |
|  | 2 | $x^{2} \Delta x \Delta y$ | 0.4142 | $0^{2}$ | 0 |
| $m_{11}$ | 3 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.4142 \\ 0^{6} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | $\Delta x^{3} \Delta y$ |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & x^{2} y \Delta y \\ & x^{2} \Delta y^{2} \end{aligned}$ | 0.7071 | $1^{\text {a }}$ | 0.7071 |
|  | 2 |  | 0.7071 | $0^{\text {a }}$ | 0 |
| $m_{02}$ | 3 | $x y \Delta x \Delta y$ | 0.4142 | i | 0.4142 |
|  | 4 | $x \Delta x \Delta y^{2}$ | 0.4142 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | $y \Delta x^{2} \Delta y$ | 0.4142 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | $\Delta x^{2} \Delta y$ | 0.4142 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & y^{3} \Delta x \\ & y^{2} \Delta x \Delta y \end{aligned}$ | 0.7071 | $1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.7071 |
|  | 2 |  | 0.4142 | $0^{\text {a }}$ | 0 |
|  | 3 | $y \Delta x \Delta y^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4142 \\ 0^{b} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | $\Delta x \Delta y^{3}$ |  | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  | 10.3343 |  | 3.9497 |

The expected number of additions is $\sum \pi_{k}=10.3343$.
The expected number of multiplications is $\sum_{k} \mu_{k}=3.9497$.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ All or part of an indicated product is computed for a previous term; e.8., $\operatorname{PM2O}[1]=x^{3} \Delta y=x\left(x^{2} \Delta y\right)=x \cdot \operatorname{PM1O}(1]$.
$b_{\text {These }}$ terms are not computed, since for $\Delta x, \Delta y c\{-1,0,1\}, \Delta x \Delta y=\Delta x^{3} \Delta y$ $=\Delta x \Delta y^{3}$. Only PMOO[2] = $\Delta x \Delta y$ is computed.

## V. THE ALCORITHMS

The first algorithm presented here computes moments from arbitrary polygonal boundary curves, using the formulas in equation (27). The following information is needed as input:

NVERT - the number of vertices in the polygon.
$X[1], Y[1], 1=0,1,2, \ldots$, NVERT - ordered Lists of the $x$ and $y$ cocrdinates, respectively, of the vertices of the poiygon. For convenience, $X[0]=X[N / E R T]$ and $Y[0]=Y[M V E T T]$. The coordinates are labelled by the convention show in Fig 3. $(0,0)$ is the upper lefthand corner of the image; the $+x$ axis points to the right, and the $4 y$ axis points downard.

MAXORD - the highest order moment to calculate

In addition, it assumed that the procedure $\operatorname{BCOEFF}(m, n)$ which calculates the binomial coefficient $\binom{m}{n}$ has been declared.

The output of this algorithm is a list or all ( $p+q$ )-th order moments where $p+q \leq M A X O R D$. The moments are stored in lexicographical order in the array MOMENT as follows:

$$
m_{00}, m_{10}, m_{01}, m_{20}, m_{11}, r_{0,}, \ldots, m_{\text {OMAXORD }}
$$

All entries of the array MOMENT are assumed to be initialized to zero. BEGIN

INTEGER L POR L: =I STEP I UNTIL NVERT DO

TMTEOLR K, M;
real deltax,deltay,al;

```
DELTAX:=x[L] - X[L-1];
DELTAY:=Y[L] - Y[L-1];
AL:=X[L]"dELTAY - Y(L]"DELTAX;
MOMENT[1]: =MOMENT[1] + AL;
```

K:=l; COMMATT K indexes the array MONDITT;
FOR M:=1 STERP 1 UNTIL MAXORD DO
EEGIN CONABNT calculate moments of order $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}$
INTEGER P,Q;
FOR P:=M STEP -I UNTIL O DO
BEGI COMNENT select next piq;
INTEGER I,SI; REAL MPQL;
Q: -M-P;
MPQL: $=0 ;$ K: $=K+1 ; \quad$ SI: $=1 ;$
FOR I:=0 STEP 1 UNTIL P DO
BEGIM COMCENT outer sum;
INTEGER J,SJ, PI
PI: $=\operatorname{BCOEFF}(P, I)$;
SI:=-SI; SJ:=SI;
FOR J:=O STEP 1 UNTIL Q DO
BEGIA CONMCBT inner sum;
INTEGER EXP: REAL T;
Comarr initialize partiel product for this term;
T: =SJ: =-8J;

```
                    FOR EXP:=I STEP -1 UNTIL 1 DO T:=T*DELTAX;
                                    FOR EXP:=J SIEP -1 UNTIL 1 DO T:=T*DELTAY;
                                    FOR EXP:=P-I STEP -1 UNTIL 1 DO T:=TMX[L];
                                    FOR EXP:=Q-J STEP -1 UNTIL 1 DO T:=T*Y[L];
                    MPQL:=MPQL + (PI*BCOEFF (Q,J)*T)/I+J-I);
                    END; COMMENT end of FOR-J loop;
                    END; COMMENT end of FOR-I loop;
                    MOMENT[K]:=MOMENT[K] + AL*MPQL;
                    END; COMMENT end of FOR-P loop;
                    END; COMMENT end of FOR-M loop;
END;
                                    COMMENT end of boundary;
BEGIN COMMENT scale moments by l/(p+q+2)
    INTTGER K,M,I;
    K:=0
    FOR M:=0 STEP 1 UNTIL MAXORD DO
        FOR I:=0 STEP 1 UNTTIL M DO
        BEGIN K:=K+1; MOMENT[K]:=MOMENT[K]/(M+2) END; COMMENT M=P+Q;
        END;
```

    END;
    The second algorithm computes specific low order moments from chain-encoded curves. It needs the following information:
$X, Y$ - the coordinates of the starting point of the chain. The coordinate labelling convention described above is used.

L - the number of links in the chain. This is the number of segments that make up the boundary curve.
$C C[i], i=1, \ldots, L-$ the list of chain code representing the curve, $\operatorname{CC}[1] \varepsilon(0, \ldots, 7)$ as shown in Fig. 2. The chain code is ordered so that the curve is traversed in a clockwise direction.

This algorithm generates a list of low order moments stored in the array MOMENT as follows:

$$
m_{00}, m_{10}, m_{01}, m_{20}, m_{11}, m_{02}
$$

BEGIN
INTEGER ARRAY PMOO[1:2],PM1O,PMO1,PM20,PMO2[1:3],PMII[1:6]; INTEGER I, X2, X3, $\mathrm{Y} 2, \mathrm{Y} 3, \mathrm{XY}, \mathrm{X} 2 Y ;$

COMMENT initialize sums;
PMOO[1]:=PMOO[2]:=0;
FOR I:=1 STEP 1 UNTIL 3 DO

$$
\operatorname{PM1O}[I]:=\operatorname{PMOL}[I]:=\operatorname{PM} 20[I]:=\operatorname{PMLI}[I]:=\operatorname{PMO2}[I]:=0 ;
$$

PM11[4]:=PM11[5]:=PM11[6]:=0;

FOR I: =1 STEP 1 UNTIL L DO
CASE CC[I] OF
BEGIN COMMENT case number corresponds to chain code value;
[0] BEGIN COMMENT Dx=1, Dy=0;

$$
x:=x+1 ;
$$

$$
\mathrm{Y} 2:=\mathrm{Y}^{*} \mathrm{Y} ; \quad \mathrm{Y} 3:=\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Z}^{*} \mathrm{Y} ;
$$

PMO1[1]:=PMO1[1] + Y2;
PMO2[1]:=PMO2[1] + Y3 END;
[1] BEGIN COMMINT Dx=1, Dy $=1$;
$X:=X+1 ; \quad Y:=Y-1 ;$
 $X 2 Y:=X^{*} X Y$;

PMOO[1]:=PNOO[1] - X;
PMOO[2]:=PMOO[2] - 1;
PMIO[1]:=PMO[1] - X2;
PMLO[2]:=PMDO[2] - X;
PMIO[3]:=PMO[3] - 1;
PMOI[1]:=PMO1[1] + Y2;
PMOL[2]:=PMOL[2] - Y;
PMOL[3]: $=\mathrm{PNOL}[3]+1$;
PM2O[1]:=PM2O[1] - X3;
PM2O[2]:=PM2O[2] - X3;
PM2O[3]:=PM20[3] - X;
PMII[1]:=PM11[1] - X2Y;
PMIl[2]: $=$ PM11[2] $+X 2$;
PMII[3]:=PM11[3] - XY;
PMII[4]:=PMII[4] $+X$;
PMII[5]:=PM11[5] - Y;
$\operatorname{PM1I}[6]:=\operatorname{PMIL}[6]+1$;
PMO2[1]:=PMO2[1] +Y 3 ;
PMO2[2]:=PMO2[2] - Y2;
PMO2[3]:=PMO2[3] +Y END;
[2] BEGIN COMMENT Dx=0, Dy=-1;
$\mathrm{Y}:=\mathrm{Y}-1$;
$\mathrm{x} 2:=\mathrm{X}{ }^{*} \mathrm{X} ; \quad \mathrm{X} 3:=\mathrm{x} 2^{*} \mathrm{X}$; X2Y:=X2*Y;

```
PMOO[1]:=PMOO[1] - X;
PMDO[1]:=PM1O[1] - X2;
PMDI[1]:=PMII[1] - X2Y;
PM11[2]:=PM11[2] + X2;
PM2O[1]:=PM2O[1] - X3 END;
```

[3] BEGIN COMMENT $D x=-1$, $D y=-1$;
$X:=X-1 ; \quad Y:=Y-1 ;$
$\mathrm{X} 2:=\mathrm{X} * \mathrm{X} ; \quad \mathrm{X} 3:=\mathrm{X} 2 * \mathrm{X} ; \quad \mathrm{Y} 2:=\mathrm{Y}^{*} \mathrm{Y} ; \quad \mathrm{Y} 3:=\mathrm{Y} 2 * \mathrm{Y} ; \quad \mathrm{XY}:=\mathrm{X} * \mathrm{Y}$;
$\mathrm{X} 2 \mathrm{Y}:=\mathrm{X} * \mathrm{XY}$;
PMOO[1]:=PMOO[1] - X;
PMOO[2]:=PMOO[2] + 1;
PMIO[1]:=PM1O[1] - X2;
PMDO[2]:=PMDO[2] $+X$;
PMDO[3]:=PMDO[3] - 1;
PMOI[1]:=PMO1[1] - Y2;
PMOI[2]:=PMO1[2] +Y ;
PMO1[3]:=PMO1[3] - 1;
PM2O[1]:=PM20[1] - X3;
PM2O[2]: $=\mathrm{PM} 20[2]+\mathrm{X2}$;
PM2O[3]:=PM2O[3] - X;
PM11[1]:=PM11[1] - X2Y;
PM11[2]: $=$ PM11[2] $+\mathrm{X2}$;
PMII[3]:=PMLI[3] +XY ;
PMII[4]:=PMII[4] - X;
PMII[5]:=PMII[5] - Y;
PM1I[6]:=PMII[6] +1 ;
PMO2[1]:=PMO2[1] - Y3;

```
PMO2[2]:=PMO2[2] + Y2;
PMO2[3]:=PMO2[3] - Y END;
```

[4] BEGIN COMMENT D $x=-1$, D $y=0$;
$\mathrm{X}:=\mathrm{X}-1$;
Y2: $=\mathrm{Y}$ * $\mathrm{Y} ; \quad \mathrm{Y} 3:=\mathrm{Y} 2 * \mathrm{Y}$;
PMO1[1]:=PMO1[1] - Y2;
PMO2[1]:=PMO2[1] - Y3
END;
[5] BEGIN COMMENT Dx=-1, Dy=1;
$\mathrm{X}:=\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{l} ; \quad \mathrm{Y}:=\mathrm{Y}+1 ;$
X2:=X*X; X3:=X2*X; Y2:=Y*Y; Y3:=Y2*Y; XY:=X*Y; $\mathrm{X} 2 \mathrm{Y}:=\mathrm{X} * \mathrm{XY}$;

PMOO[1]:=PMOO[1] + X;
PMOO[2]:=PMOO[2] - 1;
PMO[1]:=PM1O[1] + X2;
PMIO[2]:=PM10[2] - X;
PMLO[3]:=PMO[3] +1 ;
PMOL[1]:=PMO1[1] - Y2;
PMOI[2]:=PMOI[2]-Y;
PMOI[3]:=PMOI[3] - 1;
PM20[1]:=PM20[1] + X3;
PM20[2]:=PM20[2] - X2;
PM20[3]:=PM20[3] +x ;
PM1[1]:=PM1 $[1]+X 2 Y ;$
PMLI[2]:=PM11[2] + X2;
$\operatorname{PMLI[3]:=PM11[3]~-XY;~}$
$\operatorname{PMII[4]:=PMII[4]-X;~}$

```
PMIL[5]:=PMII[5] + Y;
PMII[6]:=PMII[6] + 1;
PM02[1]:=PMO2[1] - Y3;
PMO2[2]:=PMO2[2] - Y2;
PMO2[3]:=PMO2[3] - Y END;
```

[6] BEGIN COMMENT $D x=0, D y=1$;
$Y:=Y+1 ;$
$\mathrm{X} 2:=\mathrm{X}$ * $\mathrm{X} ; \quad \mathrm{X} 3:=\mathrm{X} 2^{*} \mathrm{X} ; \quad \mathrm{X} 2 \mathrm{Y}:=\mathrm{X} 2^{*} \mathrm{Y} ;$
$\operatorname{PMOO}[1]:=\mathrm{PMOO}[1]+\mathrm{X}$;
$\operatorname{PMLO}[1]:=\mathrm{PM1O}[1]+\mathrm{X} 2 ;$
PM11[1]:=PM11[1] + X2Y;
PMII[2]:=PM11[2] $+\mathrm{X} 2 ;$
$\operatorname{PM20}[1]:=\mathrm{PM} 20[1]+\mathrm{X} 3 \quad$ END;
[7] BEGIN COMMENT $D x=1, D y=1$;
$X:=X+1 ; \quad Y:=Y+1 ;$
$\mathrm{X} 2:=\mathrm{X}^{*} \mathrm{X} ; \quad \mathrm{X} 3:=\mathrm{X} 2^{*} \mathrm{X} ; \quad \mathrm{Y} 2:=\mathrm{Y}^{*} \mathrm{Y} ; \quad \mathrm{Y} 3:=\mathrm{Y} 2^{*} \mathrm{Y} ; \quad \mathrm{XY}:=\mathrm{X}^{*} \mathrm{Y} ;$ $X Y 2:=X^{*} X Y ;$
$\operatorname{PMOO}[1]:=\mathrm{PMOO}[1]+\mathrm{X}$;
PMOO[2]:=PMOO[2] +1 ;
PM1O[1]:=PM1O[1] $+\mathrm{X} 2 ;$
PM1O[2]:=PM1O[2] $+X$;
PM1O[3]:=PM1O[3] +1 ;
PMO1[1]:=PMO1[1] + Y2;
PMO1[2]:=PMO1[2] $+Y ;$
PMOL[3]:=PMO1[3] +1 ;

```
PM2O[1]: PPM2O[1] + X3;
PM2O[2]:=PMRO[2] + X2;
PMRO[3]:=PN2O[3] + X;
PMII[1]:=PMII[1] + X2Y;
PMII[2]:=PM11[2] + X2;
PM1I[3]:=PM1I[3] + XY;
PMDI[4]:=PMII[4] + X;
PMII[5]:=PMII[5] + Y;
PMII[6]:=PMII[6] + 1;
PMO2[1]:=PMO2[1] + Y3;
PMO2[2]:=PMO2[2] + Y2;
PMO2[3]:=PMO2[3] + Y
END
END;
```

COMMENT now combine partial sums to get the moments The moments are computed in the order MOO, M1O, MO1, M2O, M11, M02;

```
MOMENT[1]:= PMOO[1] - PMOO[2]/2;
```

MOMENTI[2]: $=\left(3^{*}\right.$ PMLO[1] - $\left.3^{*} \operatorname{PMDO} 0[2]+\operatorname{PMLO}[3]\right) / 6$;
MOMENT[3]:=-(3*PMO1[1] - 3*PMO1[2] + PMO1[3])/6;
MOMENT[4]:= (4*PM20[1] - 6*PM20[2] + 4*PM20[3] - PMOO[2])/12;
MOMENT[5]:= (12*PMLI[1] - 6*PMII[2] - 12*PMII[3] + 8*PMII[4]
$\left.+4^{*} \operatorname{PMII}[5]-3 * \operatorname{PMLI}[6]\right) / 24$;
MOMENT[6]:=-(4*PMO2[1]-6*PMO2[2] + 4*PMO2[3] $^{*}$ PMOO[2])/12
END;
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