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#### Abstract

The Large Area Silicon Sheet Growth Task objective of lowering the cost of silicon photovoltaic material requires the development of materials which exhibit improved chemical and dimensional stability in contact with molten silicon. These materials may find application as containers and/or shaping dies in processes such as edge-defined film growth.

This paper describes the development and evaluation of proprietary coatings of pure silicon carbide, silicon nitride and aluminum nitride on less pure hot pressed substrates of the respective ceramic materials. Silicon sessile drop experiments were performed on coated test specimens under controlled oxygen partial pressures. X-ray diffrartion and SEM characterization was performed prior to testing. The reaction interfaces were characterized after testing with optical and scanning electron microscopy and Auger electron spec troscoby.

Increasing the oxygen partial pressure was found to increase the molten silicon contact angle, apparently because adsorbed oxygen lowers the solidvapor interfacial free energy. Adsorbed oxygen was also found to increase the deqree of attack of molten silicon upon the chemical vapor deposited coatings.

Prototypic containers and dies were delivered and cost projections show that reasonably priced, coated, molten silicon resistant refractory material shapes are obtainable.
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INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 SCOPE OF WORK

The JPL Low-Cost Silicon Solar Array Project objective of lowering the cost of silicon photovoltaic material requires the development of refractory materials which exhibit improved chemical and dimensional stability in contact with molten silicon. These materials find application as containers and/or shaping dies in processes such as edge-defined film growth (EFG). The performance of these materials has a direct impact upon the cost per unit area of silicon by virtue of equipment throughput between replacement of critical items. Refractory material performance, in addition, is a determinator of the chemical and structural quality of the silicon ribbon obtained, and therefore of cell efficiency.

This program was designed to develop and evaluate refractory materials which would atterpt to meet the following requirements:
a) The material must be mechanically stable to temperatures greater than the melting point of silicon $\left(1412^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Thus, it must not melt or undergo other destructive phase changes below this temperature.
b) Materials in contact with molten silicon must be dimensionally stable, to 0.5 mil over a 24 hour period in the case of dies. This is necessary to maintain dimensional control of the processed silicon strip, and is to include erosion, corrosion, or growth of surface reaction prociucts. With container materials, acceptable reaction rates will be controlled by permissible impurity level.
c) The die and container material must not excessively contaminate silicon processed through it. Present indications
suggest that $10^{15}$ atom/cc is an upper limit for general impurities. Exceptions to this are: aluminum, phosphorus, boron, arsenic, and gallium, which may be present one or two orders of magnitude higher, and carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen, which may be present in amounts dictated by erosion rates (approximately $10^{19}$ atoms $/ \mathrm{cc}$ ). However, revision of these numbers may occur as knowledge of their specific effects is developed. For example, there are indications that structural imperfections result from carbon levels greater that $1 \times 10^{18}$ atom/cc.
d) The process or processes developed must be amenable to the fabrication of dies and containers with close tolerances and varying geometries.
e) The die to be produced and evaluated on this program shall be capable of producing and maintaining a capillary column of silicon 1 to 3 cm . wide $\times 0.01 \mathrm{~cm}$. thick to a height of at least 2.5 cm . Ei, perience with other materials has indicated that a contact angle of less than $80^{\circ}$ is required.

### 1.2 PROGRAM ORGANIZATION

The program was organized as a cooperative effort among three facilities to develop successful die and container materials for handling liquid silicon while it is being formed into photovoltaic cell material and determine the effects of oxygen partial pressure upon material performance., Overall project management as well as die and container fabrication and characterization were provided by the Miami Research Laboratories (MRL) of Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc. Chemetal Corporation (Pacoima, California) applied ceramic coatings to substrates made by MRL
for sessile drop testing and later to die and container shapes also made by MRL. All coatings were applied using the Chemetal proprietary CNTD* process. University of Missouri-Rolla (UNR) personnel performed sessile drop experiments on coated substrates made by MRL and Chemetal. They explored the effects of oxygen partial pressure and characterized the reactions using Auger electron spectroscopy and scanning electron and optical microscopy.

The technical aspects of the program are delineated in Figure 1. Ceramic bodies fabricated from powders generally contain secondary grain boundary phases which, in many applications, degrade the ultimate thermodynamic stability of the pure material. These phases are of ten the "weak link" whereby corrosive or reactive species are able to compromise the integrity of the body. The application of the ceramic coating from the vapor phase without the intentional addition of secondary phases was utilized to provide a test of the ultimate potential of the materials in molten silicon handing applications.

It is important that the coefficient of thermal expansion of the substrate be closely matched to that of the coating in order to maintain coating integrity during cool down after CNTD deposition and during testing or use. For this reason the coatings were applied to substrates of ceramic bodies of the same nominal chemical composition fabricated from powders by the addition of minor ( $\leq 4$ wt \%) additives.

The investigation of the effects of oxygen partial pressure was undertaken to determine what use conditions would enhance the resistance of these refractory ceramic materials to attack by molten silicon.

[^0]1. COATINGS OF PURE CERAMIC MATERIALS W/O FABRICATION ADDITIVES 2. COMPATIBLE SUBSTRATES OF LIKE CERAMIC FABRICATED FROM POWDERS
A. VERY NEAR MATCH OF COATING/SUBSTRATE COEFFICIENT OF THERMAL EXPANSION
B. OXYGEN PARTIAL PRESSURE NOT FIXED BY SPECIMEN AS MAY BE THE CASE WITH GRAPHITE SPECIMEN OR HARDWARE
2. INVESTIGATION OF THE EFFECTS OF OXYGEN PARTIAL PRESSURE UPON CONTACT ANGLE AND MOLTEN SILICON COMPATIBILITY VIA SESSILE DROP TESTING
3. CHARACTERIZATION BEFORE AND AFTER SESSILE DROP TESTS
4. DIE AND CONTAINER DELIVERY TO JPL

FIGURE 1
TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF EAGLE-PICIIER/UMR/CHEMETAL PROGRAM

The relative resistance to attack by molten silicon was studied by characterization of the coatings before, and the silicon - coating interface after, sessile drop testing. The effect of oxygen partial pressure upon the molten silicon contact angle, ie. wettability, has important ramifications when these materials are employed to grow silicon ribbons by capillary rise through a die.

### 1.3 MATERIALS SYSTEMS INVESTIGATED

During the conception of this program the literature pertaining to molten silicon-refractory materials compatibility was surveyed. While the referenced report* was not available at that time, it is recommended for its experimental findings and literature references.

Four candidate materials systems (CMS) were selected for investigation in the Eagle-Picher/Chemetal/UMR Program. The CMS's 1, 2, and 4 were chosen by virtue of the incorporation of a noncontaminating cation (Si) and the potential shown in previous investigations of these materials fabricated by conventional means. The CMS-3 choice was based upon the thermodynamic stability of AIN and the absence of definitive previously existing data. The coating/substrate candidate materials systems investigated are summarily described in Table I. The four systems were evaluated by sessile drop screening tests at UMR and two very promising systems, no. 1 and no. 2-A, were chosen for die and container hardware delivery.

The detailed characterization of starting materials, substrates, coatings and molten silicon interfacial phenomena are found in section 2 , Technical Discussions.

[^1]TABLE I
Coating/Substrate Candidate Materials Systems Investigated

| CANDIDATE |
| :--- |
| MATERIALS |
| SYSTEM |
| NUMBER |

1

HOT
PRESSED SUBSTRATE

## PURE

CNTD COATINGS

## SiC

2-A $\quad \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}, \begin{gathered}95-98 \% \\ \mathrm{w} / 4 \mathrm{wt} \mathrm{\%} \mathrm{pug} \mathrm{Mg}\end{gathered}(\mathrm{RM}-3), \quad \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$
2-B $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}, \frac{99.9 \%}{}$ pure ( $\mathrm{RM}-4$ ),$\quad \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$

3
AIN
AIN
4-A

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} & (\mathrm{RM}-5) \\
w / 10 \mathrm{wt} \% & \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}
\end{array}
$$

4-B $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{RM}-5)$
$w / 10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ Si-Al-0-N $N^{2}$

1. RM - no.'s refer to Table II
2. Structural modifications of $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ planned by introduction of aluminum and/or oxygen bearing gases into the reaction/ deposition system, See section 2.3.4 for results.

## 2. TECHNICAL DISCUSSIONS

### 2.1 CHARACTERIZATION OF STARTING MATERIALS

The complete control of bulk and grain boundary impurities in fabricated ceramic articles is difficult to achieve in practice. Quite often one finds that powders of the desired purity are not commercially available. When the system under consideration is a nitride or carbide ceramic, oxygen is a common liipurity, and a thorough powder characterization must include an analysis of both the cation and the anion species. One of the key aspects of our program was the application of pure ceramic coatings as barriers to molten silicon penetration and this obviated the requirement for detailed chemical analysis of the underlying substrates.

Emission spectroscopy was performed on all powders. The vendor's of the various powders are identified and their advertised specifications are shown in Table II. The results of semiquantitative emission spectroscopy analysis are shown in Table III. The powders, with the appropriate additives, could be hot pressed to adequate density; therefore particle size and BET data were not obtained.

### 2.2 HOT PRESSED CERAMIC SUBSTRATES <br> FOR CNTD COATING APPLICATION

The hot pressed ceramic substrates were coated with CNTD ceramic materials prior to sessile drop testing. This section will briefly describe their manufacture, however, a complete treatment can be found in the Process Specifications in the Appendices.

The starting powders were mixed with the appropriate pressing additives in aqueous or organic vehicle slurries either by high speed, high shear blending in a Waring laboratory blender or by wet milling in a rubber lined ball mill with steel balls. The slurries were vacuum dried ( $<1 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ ) above $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 1 hour. The

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\text { DESCRIPTION }}{\text { SiC-Silcar N }} \\
\text { B }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\underset{d}{z}
$$

最
RM-3
Raw Material

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}-\mathrm{No.} .1159 \\
& \text { Commercial Grade }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}-\mathrm{No.} 1481 \\
& \text { Electronic Grade }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}-\text { Controlled }
$$

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}-\mathrm{No} .5600
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TABLE II } \\
& \text { Es and Vendor Specifications } \\
& \text { Elecktroschmelzwerk-Kempton } \\
& \text { Callery Chemical Co. } \\
& \text { Cerac Inc. } \\
& \text { Cerac Inc. } \\
& \text { Kawecki Berylco Ind. } \\
& \text { Elecktroschme Izwerk-Kempton } \\
& \text { Aremco Products, Inc. } \\
& \text { Molycorp }
\end{aligned}
$$




TABLE III
Semiquantitative ${ }^{1}$ Spectrographic Analysis ${ }^{2}$ of Metallic Impurities (ppm), Raw Materials

| Impurity | Sic RM-1 | $\begin{gathered} B \\ \text { RM-2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \\ & \mathrm{RM}-3 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ RM-4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \\ & \mathrm{RM}-5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AIN RM-6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MgO} \\ & \mathrm{RM}-7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{RM}-8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 2000 | * | 20000 | 1000 | 2000 | major | 10 | 5 |
| B | 5 | major | 10 | 30 | 10 | 100 | 50 | * |
| Ca | 30 | * | 2000 | 20 | 30 | 20 | 1000 | 5 |
| Cr | 10 | * | 1000 | 10 | 500 | 40 | 100 | 5 |
| Cu | 20 | 6.5 | 30 | 5 | 30 | 20 | 10 | <5 |
| Fe | 3000 | * | 3000 | 10 | 3000 | 10000 | 1000 | * |
| Mg | 20 | 6.4 | 100 | 10 | 20 | 50 | major | 1 |
| Mn | 30 | * | 10 | 3 | 50 | 50 | 30 | . 5 |
| Mo | * | * | * | * | 1000 | * | * | * |
| Na | 100 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Ni | 500 | * | 50 | * | 500 | 10 | 20 | * |
| Si | major | 30 | major | najor | major | 500 | 5000 | 10 |
| Sn | 5 | * | 10 | * | 10 | * | 5 | 5 |
| Ti | 500 | * | 500 | 20 | 500 | * | 80 | * |
| V | 1000 | * | 3 | * | * | * | * | * |
| Zn | 30 | * | 30 | * | 30 | * | * | 100 |

* None detected

1. Full quantitative analysis would require standards for each impurity element.
2. All analysis reported here were performed at the Miami Research Laboratory, on a Jarrell-Ash Mark IV Emission Spectrograph.
dried slurry cakes were delumped in an $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ or glass mortar and pestle followed by 5 minutes milling in a Spex Shatterbox mill (steel), and stored in polyethylene jars.

The powder was loaded into graphite tooling and hot pressed to greater than $90 \%$ theoretical density (T.D.) in all cases, and normally to greater than $95 \%$ T.D. The specimens were initially ground to $1.2^{\prime \prime}$ dia $\times 0.16^{\prime \prime}$ thickness using a 100 grit diamond wheel. The specimen diameter was soon changed to 1.05 " to facilitate sessile drop testing, however, the first specimens were used without reworking in any way. A summary of the specimen densities and their disposition to the candidate materials systems is shown in Table IV.

### 2.3 CHARACTERIZATION OF CNTD COATINGS

The specimen characterization efforts were concentrated on the CNTD coatings because these coatings were to be in direct contact with the molten silicon. The techniques of optical and scanning electron microscopy and $x$-ray diffraction were utilized in characterization. Some $x$-ray diffraction characterization was also performed on the hot pressed substrates. The diffraction patterns showed the substrates to be much like the beginning powders in phase content, although some conversion of alpha to beta $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ was observed for the discs pressed from the higher alpha content $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ powder. The discussion of CNTD coating characterization follows.
2.3.1 Candidate Material System No. 1 - CNTD SiC

The as-deposited surfaces of the CNTD SiC coatings had a reasonably uniform general appearance, although some degree of variation with
CMS $^{2}$ Substrate
Disposed to
CMS-1
CMS-2A
CMS-2B
CMS-3
CMS-4A \& 4B Disposition
$\begin{gathered}\text { Average } \\ \text { Lot Density }\end{gathered}$
$\begin{aligned} & \left.3.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3} \text { ( } 94.9 \% \mathrm{TD}\right) \\ & 3.10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}(96.8 \% \mathrm{TD})\end{aligned}$
$3.16 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ (98.8\%TD)
$3.12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ (95.7\%TD)
$3.16 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ (95.8\%TD)
table IV
Uncoated Specimen Density
Pressing Additive

None
$10 w t \% Y_{2} O_{3}(R M-8)$
Chief Constituent
$\mathrm{SiC}(\mathrm{RM}-1)$
$\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{RM}-3)$
$\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ (RM-4)
AIN (RM-6)
$\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{RM}-5)$

1. As hot pressed and ground, before coating
2. Candidate Materials System (see Table I)
respect to reflectivity and smoothness did exist. (see Table A-I of Appendix A) The coating appeared nodular when observed at low magnifications but with evidence of a much finer substructure. The substructure is discernable in the SEM photomicrograph, Figure 2. Figure 3 shows a fractured surface of the same specimen with an apparent columnar structure in the coating, parallel with the direction of growth. Careful examination of the photograph reveals fins relief on the larger, relatively smooth surfaces.

Polished sections of the coating material were prepared and etched electrolyticly and thermally. A one hour thermal etch in flowing argon at ${ }^{\wedge} 00^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was reasonably effective in revealing the ultimate microstructure of the coating. The action of the thermal etch was not uniform across the sample as shown in Figure 4-a. Silicon maps were made by Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis and the silicon content was not found to vary, beyond the detection limits, between the more readily etched and the less readily etched regions. No appreciable difference in background elements was detected.

The potential of the CNTD process for depositing fine grained coatings is shown in Figure 4-b, where grains as small as $0.25 \mu$ ( 2500 A ) are revealed. The boundaries between the etched and unetched regions do not indicate that the unetched regions are large crystallites. The coating appears to consist of regions or zones of varying growth orientation or structural polytype with extremely fine grains on a local basis. The CNTD SiC deposits were free of cracks and polished sections were free of porosity.

The x-ray diffracticin analysis of the coatings is summarized in Table A-1 of Appendix A. The diffraction patterns were generally consistent.


b)

a)

Figure 4.
a) Nonuniform etching, $\left(1400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{hr}\right.$. flowing Ar$), 600 \mathrm{x}$
b) Resolution of fine grained region, go00x

All coatings were crystalline b-SiC. Several peaks showed broadening indicative of fine particle size and the 222 peak was extremely intense, indicative of preferred orientation or structure factor changes due to nonstolchiometry.

### 2.3.2 Candidate Materials System No. 2 - CNTD $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$

The Candidate Materials System No. 2 consisted of two subgroups. The subgrouips were designated by virtue of the intentional variation of the purity of the hot pressed $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(4 \mathrm{wt} \mathrm{\%} \mathrm{MgO})$ substrates between subgroups. The substrate differences were determined to impart no discernable difference to the $\mathrm{CNTO} \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ coatings. specimen to specimen coating variations were attributable to CNTi process variations in the optimization of the coating, ratisar an , arate purity.

The $\mathrm{CNTO} \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ coatings were or two general typ: ; amorphous and crystalline. The amorphous coatings were translucent yellow, green, or variegations thereof. The crystalline coatings were similarly (but less intensely) colored, in general traisflucent, \{ending toward transparency. Each subgroup, CMS 2-A and 2-B, consisted of approximately one-third totally or partially amorphous coatings with the remaining two-thirds of the specimens being totally crystalline.

The translucent coatings exhibited crack-like macrostructures, but marly all of the systems ended within the coatings. Only a few appearea to come through to the surface. The photomacrograph in figure 5-a shows the crack-like network on polished specimen. The larger magnification photo 5-b shows that the apparent cracks nomally terminate below the surface. Polishing flaws at the surface are in sharp focus while the apparent cracks are below the depth of field of the microscope and are therefore out of focus. These details would have been in sharp focus had they propagated to the surface.

Figure 5. CNTD $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ Coating on Hot Pressed $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ ( $\mathrm{w} / 4 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Mg} 0$ ),
Candidate Materials System No. 2, Polished Surface
a) $2.44 x$

### 52.5X <br> 52.5



The microstructural information obtained for the CNTD Si ${ }_{3} N_{4}$ coatings was observed on fractured specimens. These coatings appeared extremely dense. Figure 6-a shows the CNTD structure at 2000X and suggests a grain size on the order of 20 to $25 \mu$. A larger magnification (Figure 6-b) reveals grains on the order of $1 \mu$ or less, demonstrating the capability of CNTD fabrication to produce reasonably fine grained $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$.

The atomic structure of the $\mathrm{CNTD} \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ coatings was investigated by in situ x-ray diffraction of the coated surfaces. The results are shown in Table A-II and Table A-III in the Appendices. The coatings were, as mentioned, of two basic types, crystalline and amorphous. The crystalline coating diffraction patterns indicate essentially $\alpha$-phase $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ with some doubtful $\beta$-phase. The relative intenity values varied from specimen to specimen indicating possible orientation effects, or structure factor changes due to nonstoichiometry or disordering.

### 2.3.3 Candidate Material System No. 3-CNTD A1N

The AIN powder from which the hot pressed substrates were fabricated (RM-6, Table II) was found to contain 10,000 ppm of iron. X-ray diffraction patterns from the powder and from the hot pressed substrates showed eight minor peaks of one or more secondary phases.

Based upon the high iron content and the expected presence of oxygen or free aluminum, an effort was made to rationalize the unknown minor peaks of the diffraction pattern to the expected peaks of twenty-nine crystal structures, including ien phases of $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, three iron aluminum intermetallics and elemental aluminum and iron. None of these compounds could be rationalized with the eight minor peaks.


The CNTD AIN coated surfaces were found to be crystalline, single phase, hexagonal AIN. No amorphous tendencies were observed. The minor unidentified peaks which had been observed in the powder and in the hot pressed body were much weaker or absent in the patterns obtained from the coatings. Several coatings did exhibit very minor peaks at up to three of the eight suspect positions indicating there was some tendency for the impurity (ies) to "bleed through".

The relative peak heights observed in the patterns obtained from the CNTD AIN coatings were not in the same ratios as those given in the ASTM card file, although the peak positions were correct. This is not surprising for a CVD type coating, and has been attributed to orientation effects, or structure factor changes.

The 100 peak was consistently low and the $101,102,103,112$, and 203 peaks were consistently high. The orientation of the deposited crystallites and/or other factors affecting peak height are thus assumed to be consistent from specimen to specimen.

A summary of the Chemetal observations and MRL x-ray diffraction results are shown in TABLE A-IV of the Appendices.
2.3.4 Candidate Material System No. 4 - Altered $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$

The coatings were deposited by the proprietary Chemetal process, similar to the CNTD $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ but with the introduction of oxygen and/ or aluminum bearing gases during the deposition process. Two types of altered $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ structures were taken under development. The first (CMS4A) was an attempt to introduce oxygen into the $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ crystal lattice and form $\mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{ON}_{2}$. The second was an attempt to introduce oxygen and aluminum into the lattice and form silicon nitride polymorphs in the SiAION system.

The X-ray diffraction data shows that the hopes of depositing $\mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{ON}_{2}(\mathrm{CMS}-4 \mathrm{~A})$ and SIAION (CMS-4B) were not realized. The X-ray diffraction results are summarized in Tables A-V and A-VI of the Appendices. The deposits were crystalline $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, except in those cases where they were partially or totally amorphous. No $\mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{ON}_{2}$ peaks were found in CMS-4A and no d-spacing (angular peak position) changes associated with SiAION phases were detected for CMS-4B coatings.

These coatings were analyzed on the diffractometer at two settings of the detector sensitivity, without demounting the specimen to facilitate relative intensity comparisons for peaks of widely varying height. The determination of phases present was relatively straightforward. The major complication was a slight angular shift of peak position which was determined by analysis of the same coatings on another machine to be due to mechanical problems related to the sample holder.

The analysis of relative intensities was considerably more difficult. Variations from the expected powder diffraction values of up to $1000 \%$ were observed The detailed discussion of these results are found in Appendix B. These intensity variations could be explained by an oriented growth pattern, a non-uniformity of crystallite size, a change in the structure factor due to nonstoichiometry, limited incorporation of Al and/or 0 atoms into the $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ structure as interstitials or as substitutions for Si and N respectively, or any combination of these.

The work done at MRL and at Pittsburg State Uriversity, Pittsburg, Kansas, (Appendix B) indicates that while preferred orientation cannot be ruled out, it did not exist in strong degree. The Laue back reflection
experiments did indicate that the coatings contained crystallites in the range of 0.1 mm to 0.6 mm ( 100 to $500 \mu$ ). The scanning electron microscopic studies confirmed that a wide distribution of grain sizes existed in these coatings. The most probable explanation for the relative intensity variations is the variation in crystallite size, although this could not be demonstrated without removing the coating, grinding to a fine particle size, and comparing powder diffraction patterns to as-deposited patterns. The coatings were tightly adherent and this work could not be performed under the scope of the contract.

The CMS-4A coatings exhibited a relatively coarse crystalline appearance as-deposited. The coatings were translucent with the coloration observed to vary from faint grey to faint tan or a deeper brown from sample to sample but were reasonably uniform across a given sample. They exhibited the network type cracks similar to those found in the CMS-2 CNTD Si $3_{3}$ coatings. Most of the cracks appeared to terminate within the coating rather than coming through to the polished surface.

The CMS-4B coatings also appeared coarsely crystalline asdeposited. Figure 7 is a scanning electron photomicrograph of a coating shown by X-ray diffraction to be partly crystalline and partly amorphous. The crystalline region is readily apparent. The botryoidal regions may contain the amorphous phase although this was not verified. The specimen was also examined by EDX analysis to check for Al which might be contained in the lattice below the level of X-ray diffraction detectability, however, nothing above background was indicated. This data again verifies that no appreciable SiAlON phase was formed in the deposited coating.


Figure 7. Scanning Electron Photomicrograph of CMS-4B Coating containing both Crystalline and Amorphous Phases

The CMS -4B coating group showed less tendency to crack and they were in general translucent to opaque. The color varied from light tan to dark brown and nearly black. The grain structure of the CMS $-4 B$ coatings was studied on fractured surfaces with the SEM. Some results are shown in Figure 8. While larger grains apparently coexist with smaller ones, grains as small as $1 \mu$ and less are distinguishable in Figure 8-b.

### 2.4 CHARACTERIZATION OF LIQUID SILICON-SPECIMEN REACTIONS UNDER PRECISELY CONTROLLED ATMOSPHERES

The goal of the program segment carried out at UMR was to evaluate the compatibility of molten silicon with CNTD coated $\mathrm{SiC}, \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, and AIN specimens with the view toward determining which material would have the best properties for use in dies and containers in the production of silicon sheets and ribbons. The properties desired in such materials would: 1) resist any form of attack which would erode the die material (so it could not maintain its dimensions) and 2) preserve the silicon purity. The emphasis was on determining the influence of oxygen partial pressure in the furnace ambient atmosphere on: 1) the molten silicon wetting angle and 2) the microscopic attack of molten silicon on these CNTD coated specimens. These investigations have now been completed.

It has been demonstrated that the degree of attack of molten silicon on the CNTD coated specimens is much less than on uncoated (hot pressed) samples, and that the presence of oxygen is a strong factor influencing this desree of attack. Also, of the three CNTD coated materials tested, SiC appeared to have resistance to attack superior to that of either AlN or $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$.

b) 2500 X
Scanning Electron Photomicrographs of CMS-4B Coating,
Fractured Surface
Both photomicrographs from same region of sample, all coating
Figure 8.


Silicon sessile drop experiments were conducted on the CNTD AIN, SiC, and $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ coatings to determine the effects of oxygen partial pressure on the wettability of molten silicon with these samples. Characterizing techniques of Optical Microscopy, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Auger Electron Spectroscopy (AES) were employed on the post sessile drop test specimens to evaluate the severity of attack of molten silicon on these materials.

It was found that the presence of residual oxygen adsorbed on the surfaces increases the rate of attack of the samples. The exact mechanism has not been investigated.

### 2.4.1 Experimental Procedure

Hot pressed specimens of $\mathrm{SiC}, \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, and AIN were fabricated by the Miami Research Laboratories of Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc., and then CNTD coated by Chemetal, Corp. The coating on each specimen consisted of essentially the same chemical composition as the hot pressed substrate, deposited by the CNTD process to produce a theoretically dense and fine grain structure. The coated surfaces, however, are not microscopically planar as deposited, the degree of roughness increasing with coating thickness, Figure 9. A diamond polishing process was therefore employed to produce a $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ flat surface on each specimen. A polished surface was considered desirable for the sessile drop experiments in order that microscopic and macroscopic contact angles be the same and that the surface geometry and condition be constant from specimen to specimen.

The contact angle between molten silicon and a substrate in a sessile drop experiment is a measure of the degree of wetting and is thus an indicator of the relative interfacial free energies and the compatibility


Mre . air an Hot Pressed SiC
$\therefore$-deposited, 118x
of the silicon with the substrate material. The contact angles were measured at $1430^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as a function of time and oxygen partial pressure. The interaction of molten silicon with the specimens at temperature was then evaluated after cooling to room temperature by characterizing the post sessile drop test specimens.

All sessile drop experiments were conducted at 1 atmosphere total pressure in a sealed alumina tube under a flowing gas buffer to control the oxygen partial pressure at a predetermined fixed value. The specimens were placed in the hot zone of a molybdenum resistance furnace, and pyrex ultra-high vacuum type viewports, sealed at each end of the alumina tube, allowed simultaneous temperature and silicon sessile drop contact angle measurements to be made. Oxygen partial pressure in the furnace atmosphere was carefully controlled over a range of interest which included the calculated equilibrium partial pressure for the formation of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(2 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~atm}\right)$. An $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ flowing gas buffer system was employed in the oxygen partial pressure control because its range includes these extremely low oxygen partial pressures whereas other buffers such as the $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ system do not. A thoria-yttria solid electrolyte oxygen sensor was employed to determine the oxygen partial pressures for the same reason.

Most silicon sessile drop tests were conducted at $1430^{\circ} \pm 10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ which is about $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ above the melting point of silicon, with occasional experiments made at temperatures of about $1490^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For each experiment the oxygen partial pressure, $\mathrm{P}_{2}$, was held constant within the range $10^{-17}$ to $10^{-21} \mathrm{~atm}$. Duration of experimerts ranged from one to twelve hours. Previous sessile drop measurements in the literature have been limited to relatively short time runs of one hour or less. Longer runs
were considered more meaningful because short time measurements :". not only less reproducible but do not represent data of significance for application in actual longer use time, i.e. melting and forming silicon into sheets or ribbons.

Photographs of the molten silicon drops were taken during the tests at appropriate intervals and calculations of the contact angle were made from these photographs. These calculations assume that the drop is part of a sphere, or in other words, the flattening of the drop due to gravity is negligible. This is a fair assumption for the small low-density drops used in this work. (Figure 10)

Post sessile drop specimens were sectioned and polished for microstructural study to observe the degree and nature of the molten silicon attack. SEM examination in the post sessile drop fractured specimens was also employed to elucidate the dimensional stability and the erosion and corrosion reactions.

Auger spectroscopic analysis was conducted on the pre- and post sessile drop test specimens to determine the constituent elements at the surface, and the molten silicon - specimen interface reaction. The post sessile drop test specimen was cut at a small angle with respect to the interface to remove the silicon drop. Grinding and etching with a solution of $3 \mathrm{HF}: 5 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}: \mathrm{COOH}$ were subsequently employed on a specimen so cut to produce a thin residual silicon layer. The specimen was then placed inside the vacuum chamber of an Auger Spectrometer. Access to the interface was made by sputtering through the silicon layer while Auger analysis was simultaneously being made at the bottom of the crater to determine the degree and nature of the interaction in the interface region.

$h=$ height of drop
$x=$ radius of the drop at the basal plane
$0=2 \tan ^{-1}(h / x)$

Figure 10. Incirect Contact Angle Measurement Assuming Spheroidal Cap of the Silicon Drop

### 2.4.2 Sessile Drop Experiments

For the initial sessile drop experiments a silicon cube was placed on the specimen to be tested, which was then placed at the center of the fuisace tube and leveled. The flow of the desired experimental atmosphere was then established. Upon melting, the sessile drop contact angle began to decrsase, more rapidly at first, tending toward a constant small rate of change after 1 hour.

The effor of changing the oxygen partial pressure is exhibited in figures 11, 12, and 13. - It is apparent that the oxygen atmosphere has a marked influence on the ability of silicon to wet these materials, with the contact angle becoming smaller (more wetting) with lower oxygen partial pressure.

The relation of the contact angle to the interfacial energies for a liquid drop on a plane solid surface can be expressed as:

$$
\gamma_{L V} \cos \theta=\gamma_{S V}-\gamma_{L S},
$$

where 0 is the cortact angle, and $\gamma_{L V}, \gamma_{S V}$ and $\gamma_{L S}$ are the interfacial energies of liquid-vapor, solid-vapor, and liquid-solid interfaces, respectively. When the oxygen rartial pressure in the furnace atmosphere increases, the interfacial energy terms of those interfaces which adsorb oxygen from the vapor phase will decrease as more oxygen becomes available. The contact angle $\theta$ is observed to be larger for higher oxygen partial pressures. The surface energy for the solid-vapor interface, $\gamma_{S V}$, is believed to be the term most susceptible to the change in oxygen partial pressure. It is noted that there appeared to be a slow but continual decrease in the contact angle even after several hours at temperature.



2.4.3 Microscopic Examination of Sessile Drop Test Specimens The interaction of molten silicon and CNTD coated $\mathrm{SiC}, \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ and AIN, and the mode of molten silicon attack were explored by examination of polished cross sections of the silicon drop-CNTD coating interface of the post sessile drop test specimens. Two regions appeared for those specimens tested in the initial experiments: a heavily attacked region in the inner portion of the silicon drop, and a region of much reduced reaction situated at the outer portion of the drop. Optical photomicrographs (50X), focusing on the verge of the two regions in the Si - CNTD SiC interfaces after annealing at $1430^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oxygen partial pressure of $1.8 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~atm}$ for: a) 2 hours, b) 8 hours, and c) 12 hours, are presented in Figure 14. The number and size of precipitates in the inner zone can be observed to increase as annealing time increases, while the outer zone remains apparently unattacked.

Since the degree of attack on the CNTD coatings was greater under the position of the original silicon cube (Figure 15 , region A) than under the portion of the silicon drop which flowed away from the original cube position (Figure 15 , region $B$ ), it suggested that there might be residual adsorbed oxygen between the silicon cube and the specimen which was not removed, being shielded from the flowing gas buffer. To check this possibility, a second configuration for the silicon cube was tried, called a "tilt configuration", where a small chip of silicon was placed under one edge of the silicon cube to provide a slight tilt as shown in Figure 16.

For the tilt configuration experiments on CNTD coated SiC, a sectioning scheme for the post sessile drop test specimens is shown in Figure 17 to show the position of the interface with respect to its original position before melting of the silicon cube. The appearance of these


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a) } 2 \mathrm{hr} & \text { b) } 8 \mathrm{hr} \\
\text { Figure 14. Interface Between Molten Silicon and CNTD SiC } \\
\text { Annealed at } 1430^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { and } 1.8 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}} \\
\text { Note greater reaction on inboard side (*) of } \\
\text { verge between original position of cube and } \\
\text { inert swept area (50X). }
\end{array}
$$



c) 12 hrs
Figure $14 . \quad$ (Cont.)


# Region A - Position of Original Silicon Cube <br> Region B - Final Position of Silicon Drop <br> Region C - Specimen Not covered by Drop 

Figure 15. Relative Positions of Original Silicon Cube, Silicon Sessile Drop, and Specimen

a) Normal Configuration

a) Tilt Configuration

Figure 16. Two Configurations for Silicon Cube Placement on Specimen


Figure 17. Slicing of Post Sessile Drop Specimen to Characterize Silicun - CNTD SiC Interface at Various Positions
specimens after this sectioning procedure is shown in Figure 18. It was observed on the 50x optical photomicrographs (Figure 19) that the only region with appreciable amounts of silicon attack was a short zone of about 1 mm length, corresponding to the size of the silicon chip used to tilt the silicon cube, while all other sections were essentially clear of any silicon attacked areas. Similar results were obtained for specimens tested using oxygen partial pressures of $2.3 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~atm}$., (Figure 20) substantiating the hypothesis of "trapping" adsorhed oxygen between the silicon cube and the substrate in the normal configuration experiments. Changes in the oxygen partial pressure in the range of $10^{-19} \mathrm{~atm}$. to $10^{-20}$ atm. appear to have little effect on the degree of silicon attack on these CNTD coated specimens.

Examination of the unattacked regions of the Si-CNTD coating interface by the employment of SEM reveals that there is still negligible interaction observable even at a magnification of 1750X. An SEM micrograph of such an interface after a sessile drop test is shown in Figure 21.

Above the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ equilibrium $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ the molten silicon attacking mode appears to proceed by a process of dissolution and precipitation of the coating material, where oxygen acts as a catalyst to lower the activation energy for the dissolution of the coating material.

A tilt configuration silicon - CNTD coated $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ experiment was tried to establish whether silicon attack would be reduced as in the SiC experiments. Figure 22 , showing the interface of such a post sessile drop experiment specimen, exhibits the expected reduction of attack.

For comparison purposes, one series of experiments was conducted on hot pressed $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ without a CNTD coating. Figure 23 shows optical


Figure 18. Post sessile Drop Test Specimens
ot CNiD SiC
Tilt Contiguration, $1430^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for differing $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$

a) Typical Section

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { b) Section } 5 \\
& \text { Molten Silicon - CNTD SiC Interface } \\
& \text { to Investigate Effects of Tilt Configuration } \\
& \text { Note reaction observed at position corresponding } \\
& \text { to the "prop chip" position in tilt configuration. (50X) }
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 20. Singular Redction Point for
Tilt Configuration Experiment on CNTD SiC

50x, is hrs, $1430^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2.3 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{a}$ am $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$


1750X

Figure 21. Typical Scanning Electron Micrograph of Molten Silicon - CNTD SiC Interface

From a clean interface region such as that observed in Figures 19 and 20.


100x

Figure 22. Molten Silicon - CNTD $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ Interface, Tilt Configuration

$$
8 \text { hrs., } 1430^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}
$$


b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Figure 23. Comparison of Degree of Molten Silicon } \\
& \text { Attack of Hot Pressed and CNTD } \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \\
& \text { 1? hrs, } 1430^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.8 \times 10^{-20} \text { atm } \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}} \\
& \text { a) Hot pressed (50X) } \\
& \text { b) CNTD }(100 \mathrm{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

photomicrographs of silicon-specimen interfaces for a CNTD coated $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ sample and a hot pressed $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ sample. After sessile drop testing, much less silicon penetration occurred in the sample having the CNTD coating than in the hot pressed sample, indicating the importance of the surface properties provided by the CNTD process, which obviously can greatly improve the capacity of these materials to withstand attack by molten silicon.

### 2.4.4 Auger Analysis

Oxygen contamination on as-received CNTD coated polished samples was checked through AES analysis on a specimen prior to the sessile drop test. The results (Figure 24 ) indicate that a large amount of oxygen contamination exists on the surface of these specimens, in further agreement with the foregoing observations regarding oxygen trapping under the silicon cube. Reduction of this adsorbed oxygen by placing the CNTD coated samples in a low $\mathrm{P}_{0_{2}}$ ambient atmosphere prior to the covering of the substrate by molten silicon should significantly reduce the degree of silicon attack on these materials.

Surface analysis by AES was possible for investigating the interaction of CNTD coated AIN with silicon because the major constituent species in the AIN does not contain silicon as it did in the case of SiC and $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$. AES analysis of the CNTD AIN surface prior to a sessile drop test is presented in Figure 25, revealing that oxygen and carbon are the primary contaminants on the surface. The surface of CNTD coated AIN outside the silicon drop, after a l-hour sessile drop test,was covered by a thin layer of silicon (Figure 26-a). A ten-minute sputtering removes all the silicon signal and shows exactly the same AES spectrum as the surface analysis of the pre-sessile drop specimen. (Figure 26-b)






Figures 27-a, b, and $c$, snow AES analysis near the bottom of the silicon drop. To obtain the analysis the silicon drop was cut away from the AIN substrate leaving a thin layer of silicon which was etched and polished. In a region near the center of the drop (Figure 15, region A) the nitrogen content inside the silicon layer is found to be very high suggesting that the AIN was dissolved in the silicon. At another location, near the outer portion (Figure 15, region B) of the silicon drop, (Figures $28-a$ and $b$ ) the nitrogen signal is not present until after 17.5 minutes of sputtering, at which time the silicon signal disappears and the spectrum becomes the same as for AIN prior to sessile drop testing, indicating a sharp silicon - AlN interface. This supports the conclusion that the adsorbed oxygen under the silicon cube enhances the interaction between the molten silicon and the AIN substrate by promoting dissolution of the substrate and subsequent precipitation in the silicon.

### 2.4.5 Separation of CNTD Coatings From Substrates

The interface between the CNTD coatings and the hot pressed substrates remains essentially unchanged after sessile drop experiments at $1430^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 hours, as shown in Figure 29. Occasional separation of the coating layer from the substrate after sectioning a post-sessile drop test specimen, however, is observed (Figures 30 and 31 ).

An examination of the reverse side of the mechanically separated CNTD coatings by SEM, (Figure 32 and 33 ) reveals no evidence of the presence of free silicon. No such evidence of free silicon penetration of the CNTD coatings was ever observed in our experiments. Thus, the separation of the CNTD coatings from the hot pressed substrates appears to be the result of mechanical failure in the bond during the cutting process rather than the action of silicon attack.

PHYSICAL ELECTRONICS INDUSTRIES
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Pressed
Scanning Electron Photomicrograph of CNTD $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$
Specimen Interface After Sessile Drop Testing
500X, $8 \mathrm{hrs},. 1430^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Figure 29


Figure 31. Separation of CNTD SiC Coating
from Hot Pressed Substrate
Separation occurred during
sectioning.


Figure 30. Separation of $\mathrm{CNTD}_{\mathrm{Si}}^{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ Coating From Hot Pressed Substrate

Separation occurred during
sectioning, 5 X


Figure 32. Scanning Electron Photomicrograph of Reverse Side of a Separated CNTD $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ Coating

1200X, no evidence of molten silicon

b)

No evidence of molten silicon penetration, 1200x.
a) Directly under silicon drop
b) Away from silicon cirop area

Figure 33. Scanning Electron Photomicrograph of the Reverse
Side of a Separated CNTD SiC Coating

### 2.4.6 Conclusions

A) Of the samples tested, CNTD coated SiC appears to have the highest resistance to molten silicon attack.
B) Of the three CNTD coatings tested, SiC is most wetted, exhibits the greatest stability of contact angle with time and is the most sensitive to changes in oxygen partial pressure in the range investigated.
C) The contact angle of molten silicon measured on the samples as a function of time at a fixed $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ decreased, more rapidly at first, tending toward a constant small rate of change after 1 hour.
D) Increasing the oxygen partial pressure increases the contact angle, apparently because adsorbed oxygen lowers the solid-vapor interfacial free energy.
E) Adsorbed oxygen increases the degree if attack of molten silicon on CNTD coated $\mathrm{SiC}, \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, and AIN. The effect is minimized by allowing the solid surfaces to equilibrate with the low $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ environment prior to melting.
F) The fine grained, dense CNTD coatings greatly reduce the amount of molten silicon attack compared to uncoated hot pressed samples of the same nominal chemical composition.

### 2.5 MANUFACTURING OF CONTAINERS AND DIES

Test specimens representative of the four candidate materials systems were characterized at MRL as described in section 2.3 and evaluated for stability in contact with liquid silicon under atmospheres of varying oxygen partial pressure at UMR as described in section 2.4. The Program Organization (Figure 1) called for the selection of materials systems for hardware delivery, ie. containers and dies, based upon the preceding evaluations.

The systems chosen for container and die fabrication and delivery were CMS-1, SiC , and CMS-2A, $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$. CMS-2A was chosen over 28 because of the lower raw material cost and greater fabricability associated with the lower purity $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ powder. The CMS-1 and CMS-2 materials showed a great deal of promise in the sessile drop testing at UMR. The CMS-3, AIN, was not carried over to container and die fabrication because of its greater susceptibility to attack by molten silicon. CMS-4 materials, altered $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, were found to be much like the CMS-2 materials, with x-ray diffraction showing the CNTD coatings to be $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ in both cases. The CMS-2 materials were chosen over the CMS-4 materials because of the greater consistency observed for CMS-2 attributes (crystallite size and diffraction patterns) among specimens.

### 2.5.1 Fabrication of Containers

The containers for CNTD coating application were fabricated by hot pressing the powders in graphite molds. The powder preparation was the same as for the specimen discs. These preparation procedures and the hot pressing conditions are given in the Process Specifications in the Appendices.

The first articles of the full size container shape were simple right circular cylinders with a slightly tapered inner wall surface and
a rounded bottom inside. The outside bottom was flat and perpendicular to the outside walls. The dimensions were 2-1/2" 0.D. x 2" I.D. x 1-1/2" deep $\times 1-3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ overall height. Containers of this geometry were plagued by a tendency to exhibit circumferential voids and cracks where the inside wall blended into the rounded bottom. Various techniques of charging the powder to the tooling were attempted, and these were only partially successful in alleviating the problem.

The phenomenon seemed to be related to the powder flow problems inherent in forming a part with a thin walled section on a relatively massive base. This was solved by machining a recess in the punch which formed the containers outside bottom thereby changing the shape from that of a right circular cylinder to the frustum of a cone with a $60^{\circ}$ angle of divergence at the projected tip. The cone was truncated such that a stable base was provided for the container. The tcoling modification described above was designed to increase the pressure component perpendicular to the inner wall at the point of blending into the rounded bottom. The final container design is shown in Figure 34. After adopting these design changes containers of 85.5 to $86 \%$ theoretical density were fabricated in SiC (w/1 wt\% B) and of 90.3 to $92.8 \%$ theoretical density in $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{w} / 4 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Mg} 0)$, and the circumferential voids and cracks were eliminated.

The hot pressed containers were coated on all surfaces (inner and outer) with the compatible CNTD coating. The containers were not ground prior to coating in order to provide parts prototypic of a reasonable production process. The as-pressed surface condition was reasonably smooth and the CNTD coatings covered the existing surface irregularities without interruption. Photographs of the CNTD coated containers, as delivered, are shown in Figure 35.


SECTION A-A

Figure 34 . Hot Pressed Cerainic Container Design

b) Figure 35. CNTD Coated Containers, as Delivered
a) CNTD SiC on hot pressed SiC ( $1 \mathrm{wt} \mathrm{\%}$ B)
b) CNTD $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ on hot pressed $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(4 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{MgO})$

The CNTD Si $3_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ container coatings were essentially transparent, approximately $0.005^{\prime \prime}$ thick, and uniform in appearance across the surface. X-ray diffraction showed the coatings to be crystalline $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$. The crystalline morphology is illustrated in the photomicrograph of the as-deposited coating, Figure 36.

The CNTD SiC container coatings were $0.005^{\prime \prime}$ thick and were shown by $x$-ray diffraction to be crystalline $\beta$-SiC. The surface reflectance varied somewhat across the surface as a function of smoothness, but the as-deposited surface was, in general, essentially the same as that shown in Figure 2.

### 2.5.2 Fabrication of Dies

The same candidate materials systems (CMS - 1 and 2) that were selected for container hardware delivery were also chosen for die delivery requirements. Die blanks were hot pressed in graphite tooling from SiC w/l wt\% B and $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{w} / 4 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{MgO}$. The as-pressed blanks were rectangular slabs, $1.30^{\prime \prime} \times 1.15^{\prime \prime} \times$ the appropriate thickness. Average densities of the hot pressed blanks were $90.1 \%$ theoretical density for SiC (w/1:t\% E) and $95.9 \%$ theoretical for $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{w} / 4 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Mg} 0)$.

The hot pressed blanks were machined, by sawing or grinding with fixed diamond abrasive, to the required geometry. During the course of this program ceramic dies of both one and two-piece designs were machined for subsequent CNTD coating application. The original one and two-piece die designs suggested by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory are shown in Figures 37 and 38 respectively. These designs were developed for fabrication from graphite which can be machined with less applied stress than brittle ceramics. Graphite is also amenable to low cost machining operations such as milling, while ceramics are not.


Figure 36 . Scanning Electron Photomicrograph of CNTD Si ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ Coating,
CMS-2 Container. 1500 x , as deposited. CMS-2 Container. 1500X, as deposited.
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Figure 38. JPL Suggested Two Piece Die Design

The one-piece ceramic die machining experience showed that it was necessary to increase the body thickness in order to enhance the survival rate in the slot sawing operation. Fractures occurred, for the thin bodied dies, at the root of the saw kerf due to the wedging action of the blade. It was also necessary to move the edge defining slots, at the top of the die, inboard in order to make the "ears" less susceptable to breakage.

Due to problems encountered in the coating operation, described later in this section, it was necessary to fabricate two-piece dies from hot pressed ceramic blanks. The need to modify the JPL suggested design, Figure 38, was recognized immediately. This design was based upon utilization of $a$ milling operation and required adaptation to a grinding operation. The need for lateral restraint was deemed to take precedence over the need for longitudinal restraint when the mating halves were assembled.

The two-piece die design shown in Figure 39 was suggested by Eagle-Picher and approved by JPL. It was designed for a proper fit with a $0.005^{\prime \prime}$ thickness of CNTD coating in piace. Two-piece dies were successfully ground to Figure 39 dimensions from hot pressed blanks of brim and $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$.

The CNTD coating techniques were first applied to dies of the one-piece design, Figure 37, using the $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ deposition process. The application of a uniform coating of CNTD material to the inner surfaces of the die slot was anticipated to become a difficult task, and grapiite develonment die shapes were prepared (HLM grade). The use of graphite lowered the fabrication costs dramatically and allowed fourteen development dies to be furnished to Chemetal Corporation for coating efforts described as follows.

| EAGLE-PICHER INDUSTRIES, INC. SPECIALTY W?ERIAIS DIVISICM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TwOPIECE D, E FART A . RED 1 |  |
|  |  | Dan S Janainer 1sig |
| Duamy JMif | cuicuio | arionis |
| SHEET 1 of 2 | Lawing mo | MRiCS-E? .RE! ! |


Figure 39-a). Eagle-Picher Modified Two Piece Die Design, Part A
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Figure 39-b). Eagle-Picher Modified Two Piece Die Design, Part B

Using deposition conditions similar to those used for coating the silicon nitride containers, initial experimental runs were made in a three inch diameter grafhite reactor. Deposits were not uniform, especially in the area of the "slot". Several attempts were made, with increased diluent concentrations, to increase gas velocity. Sectioned experimental parts revealed excessive build-up on the edges of the part with thin deposits extending approximately two-thirds the depth of the slot.

Secondly, a two inch diametric furnace was used to further increase gas velocity and "force" reactant gases through the slot area. Thirdly, a rectangular gas injector was designed and installed to direct the gas stream more accurately, taking into account the geometry of the part. Fourthly, gas velocities, diluent concentrations, and reaciive gas partial pressures were altered in an attempt to improve uniformity. The steps described above were evaluated singularly and in combination, with similar results.

Other experiments were conducted using a "throttle exhaust". thereby attempting to increase the velocity of the exiuing gases while maintaining a more viscous flow in the area of the part. The orientation of the part was also altered so that the slots would be presented normal and diagonally to the gas stream. All such attempts provided only marginal improvements in results.

All the above work was conducted on graphite substrates as pointed out earlier. After the tests described above were conducted, the staff at Cl , letal contacted Eagle-Picher to inform them that the probability of obtaining a uniform deposit of silicon nitride on the actual hardware was low. Eagle-Picher then directed Chemetal to return the uncoated hardware and all coated graphite experimental parts.

The experimental parts were evaluated and the obvious decision to utilize the suitably modified two-piece die (Figure 39) was made. Unfortunately the efforts to achieve the uniform coating of a one-piece die had exceeded the manhour and material allocations for this item and two-piece dies of $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{w} / 4 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{MgO}$ have been delivered without the CNTD Si $3_{3}$ coating.

CNTD SiC was successfully applied to hot pressed and ground blanks of SiC w/l wt\% B (Figure 39, two-piece die) after brief experiments with graphite shapes. The coatings were $0.005^{\prime \prime}$ thick, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{SiC}$ by x-ray diffraction, and exhibited morphology similar to the test specimens, Figure 2. An assembled pair of two-piece CNTD SiC coated die halves are shown in Figure 40. All surfaces are coated excepting three small areas where a fine diameter tungsten wire "spider" supported each piece during separate depositions. Three CNTD SiC coated die sets were delivered under the contract.

## 3. MANUFACTURING COST PROJECTIONS

The following cost analyses are based upon the incorporation of the technology developed in this program. Projections have been included, in addition, which take into account process modifications utilizing alternative lower cost ceramic fabrication techniques. These lower cost ceramic substrates would be higher in porosity than the hot pressed articles developed to date, but would perform in a comparable manner if coated with the CNTD material. They would still offer substantial advantages, such as coefficient of thermal expansion matching and minimal influence on $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$, over graphite substrates.


Figure 40. CNTD SiC Coated SiC (w/1 wt B)
Two Piece Die, Assembied

### 3.1 CONTAINEY MANUFACTURING PROJECTIONS

The cost projections, based upon manufacturing 100,000 units per year, were computed using equation (1) below, from the Solar Array Manufacturing Industry Costing Standars (SAMICS)*.

Equ. (1)
Price $=\frac{0.49(\text { EQPT })+97(\text { SQFT })+2.1(\text { DLAB })+1.3(\text { MATS })+1.3(\text { UTIL })}{\text { Quantity }}$
Where:
EQPT = Cost of all the equipment,
SQFT = Floor space required by the equipment,
DLAB = Annual cost of all direct labor,
MATS $=$ Annual cost of all direct materials and supplies,
UTIL = Annual cost of all direct utilities.
The proposed manufacturing sequence is shown in Figure 41. The crucibles fabricated for the present program were manufactured by hot pressing. One cost saving alternative shown in Figure 41 is that of forming green bodies from powders under pressure at room temperature then heating in the appropriate atmosphere to consolidate the body. In the case of $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ articies the green body could consist of $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ powder with the appropriate sintering aids or could consist of elemental silicon which would be heated in nitrogen atmosphere to form reaction bonded silicon nitride (RBSN). The latter process would obviate extremely high temperature furnacing, resulting in a lower priced article. In a second alternative, the isostatic pressing sequence shown in Figure 41 would be

[^2]

Figure 41. Container Manufacturing Process
replaced by an injection molding operation, with appropriate changes in the powder preparation portion of the diagram.

A summary of the capital equipment. requirements for producing $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ containers per year is given in Table $V$, and a total cost summary is presented in Table VI, with the cost per unit shown in the extreme right hand column. The facility is projected to operate three shifts per day for 350 days per year with a direct labor cost of $\$ 6.00$ / hr . For the hot pressing scenario graphite tooling costs are projected to be $\$ 1,000,000.00 / \mathrm{yr}$.; CNTD precursor gas costs are $\$ 5,200.00 / \mathrm{yr}$.; and each container will require a nominal 200 g of powder. It is readily apparent that graphite hot press tooling costs are a prime driver and that the use of alternative forming techniques is desirable.

Scenario number one represents a scale up of present hot press operation using raw materials comparable to those used in the present study. Scenario number four represents the incorporation of low cost forming as well as low cost raw materials. The $\$ 0.285 / 1 \mathrm{~b}$ material cost is based upon the current (1979) price for a SiC powder which is of sufficient quality to merit consideration for fabricating a substrate to be coated with a pure coating. Other scenarios could be developed which would give intermediate price values, however these four are presented in order to define the reasonable bounds of achievable costs.

### 3.2 DIE MANUFACTURING PROJECTIONS

Die manufacturing would proceed according to the flow diagram shown in Figure 41 with the addition of a diamond grinding operation prior to preparing the surface for CNTD coating. The costs were projected once again using equation (1) and allowing for lower cost substrate

## TABLE V

## Container Manufacturing Capital Equipment Requirements ${ }^{\prime}$

EQUIPMENT ..... COST
Mill ..... \$ 30,000200 lb. cap. ball mill or high inten-sity high throughput dry blender
Granulation or Pelletization ..... \$ 6,000
Hot Press ..... $\$ 300,000$
vacuum, induction heated semicontinuous,(3 reg. © $100 \mathrm{~K} \$ / \mathrm{ea}$ )
(Alternate to Hot Press) ..... $(\$ 140,000)$
rapid cycle isostatic press or injn. moldplus vacuum/inert/ $N_{2}$ sintering furlace
CNTD Coating Deposition Chamber ..... $\$ 110,000$

1. For production of $10^{5}$ units per year
14.40
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$\cdots N$
12.5

N

QUAN
$1,555.2$
$\underset{1}{0}$
151.2
67.2
TABLE VI
Container Manufacturing Cost Summary
2，413．2
units per year as per Equation（1）below：

4 mndays／calendar day
4 mndays／calendar day
3．Isopress \＆Sinter／Nitride
9 mndays／calendar day
SCENARIO

fabrication techniques. The facility is projected to operate one eight hour shift per day for 250 days per year with a direct labor cost of $\$ 6.00 / \mathrm{hr}$. For the hot pressing scenario graphite tooling costs are projected to be $\$ 300,000.00 / \mathrm{yr}$.; CNTD precursor gas costs are $\$ 600.00 / \mathrm{yr}$.; diamond abrasive wheel replacement is $\$ 12,000.00 / \mathrm{yr}$.; and each die pair will require a nominal 25 g of powder. The use the alternate forming techniques wou'd replace the $\$ 300,000.00$ graphite hot press tooling with $\$ 40,000.00$ worth of hardened steel or carbide and allow forming to near net shape. The capital expense for grinding equipmen: and the replacement diamond abrasive cost were therefore reduced $50 \%$. The capital equipment cost is shown in Table VII and the die manufacturing cost summary in Table VIII.

The two-piece die shape (Figure 38) is rather complex. Further cost reductions would be possible if the geometry could be simplified. The die $\mathbf{i}$-specially without geometric simplification, an ideal candidate shape for injection molding and nitridization of elemental silicon to yield an RBSN substrate for CNTD $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ coating. This technology has been proven, in the gas turbine research programs, to yield parts of very near ret shape with minimal dimensional change or warpage during processing.
4. CONCLUSIONS

1) The CNTD process is capable of producing dense, fine grained coatings of molten silicon resistant ceramic, providing a great improvement in contrast with uncoated hot pressed samples of the same nominal chemical composition.

TABLE VII

## Die Manufacturing Capital Equipment Requirements ${ }^{1}$

## EQUIPMENT

Mill ..... $\$ 30,000$
200 lb. cap. ball mill or high inten-sity high throughput dry blender
Granulation or Pelletization ..... \$ 6,000
Hot Press ..... $\$ 100,000$vacuum, induction heated semicontinuous
(Alternate to Hot Press) ..... $(\$ 140,000)$uniaxial compacting press, extrusionpress, or injection molding equip.;plus vacuum/inert/ $/ \mathrm{N}_{2}$ sintering furnace
Horizontal Surface Grinders ..... \$240,00012 rea. © $\$ 20,000 / \mathrm{ea}$.
CNTD Coating Deposition Chamber ..... $\$ 110,000$
${ }^{\text {For production of }} 10^{5}$ units (ea. unit consists of two halves) per year.
韵主要：

2) The coating/substrate combinations have proven to be thermomechanically stable and compatible.
3) Of the samples tested, CNTD coated SiC appears to nave the highest resistance to molten silicon attack.
4) Of the three CNTD coatings tested, SiC is most wetted, exhibits the greatest stability of contact angle with time and is the most sensitive to changes in oxygen partial pressure in the range investigated.
5) The contact angle of molten silicon measured on the samples as a function of time at a fixed $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ decreased, more rapidly at first, tending toward a constant small rate of change after 1 hour.
6) Increasing the oxygen partial pressure increases the contact angle, apparently because adsorbed oxygen lowers the solid-vapor interfacial free energy.
7) Adsorbed oxygen increases the degree of attack of molten silicon on CNTD coated $\mathrm{SiC}, \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, and AlN. The effect is minimized by allowing the solid surfaces to equilibrate with the low $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ environment prior to melting.
8) Prototypic containers and dies have been fabricated irnm the materials systems developed and the development of cost effective, high volume production methods appears to be obtainable.
5. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

1) Investigate the effects of oxygen partial pressure upon the performance of conventional refractory materials in contact with molten silicon. This would include chemical vapor depesited (CVD) materials not fabricated by the specialized CNTD process.
2) Fabricate ceramic container and die substrates by lower cost methods as discussed in section 3, coat with CNTD coatings, and test in silicon ribbon production.

## APPENDIX A

## GENERAL APPEARANCE OBSERVATIONS AND X-RAY DIFFRACTION RESULTS FOR COATINGS

TABLE
TABLE A - I, CMS-1 . . . . AT
TABLE A - II, CMS-2A . . . . A-3
TABLE A - III, CMS-2B . . . . . A-5
TABLE A - IV, CMS-3 . ... . . A-7
TABLE $A-V, \quad$ CMS-4A . . . . A-8
TABLE A - VI, CMS-4B . . . . . A-9
TABLE A-I
General Appearance Observations and X-Ray Diffraction Results, CNTD SiC Coatings on SiC Substrates (CMS-1)

| Coating |
| :---: |
| Thickness |

$.0060^{\prime \prime}$
.0069"
$.0069^{\prime \prime}$
$.0130^{\prime \prime}$
.0218
.0083"
.0059"
.0086"

Smooth surface and slightly re-
flective surface
Very bumpy surface, not a good
Smooth surface and slightly re-
flective surface
Very bumpy surface, not a good
Smooth surface and slightly re-
flective surface
Very bumpy surface, not a good
deposit, extremely uneven de-
deposit, extremely uneven de-
posit, dark \& dull on appearance

 face, good deposit deposit had a bluish-black color
(shiny)
Smoother surface, deposit is a
dull bluish-black color
Smooth, shiny, reflective surface but still a little bumpy
-xdep e 47!m aכetans y6nod "kutus er deposit on the outer radius
Very smooth surface but a little
dull

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Chemetal } \\
\text { Observations } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$


Strong crystaline S-SiC plus an dadns do aseyd outlteqskio structure and a low angle, low intensity diffuse peak

$$
1
$$

11
4


$\quad$| Chemetal |
| :---: |
| Observations |

Slightly rough deposit, had a
dull surface
Rather smooth surface, slightly
reflective
Appearance same Run \#40
Smooth, dark, reflective deposit
Smooth, shiny, slightly reflec-
tive deposit, light bluish-black
color

TABLE A-II
General Appearance Observations and X-Ray Diffraction Results, MRL X-Ray Diffrac. Results
Morphology/Phases Present amorphous/no crystalline peaks visible

## $\rightarrow$ see remarks below

 anomalous crystalline pattern crystalline/strong $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$possible $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ amorphous/devitrifying to $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$

$$
\rightarrow \text { to JPL }
$$

crystalline/very strong $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, possible $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ crystalline/strong $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, amorphous/no crystalline peaks (strong $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, possicrystalline/strong $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, possible $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ crystalline/strong $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, possi-
ble $\beta-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ ble $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$
MRL X-Ray Diffrac. Results
Morphology/Phases Present


| Chemetal |
| :---: |
| observations |

small grains, crystalline
translucent, smooth deposit
small grains, smooth trans-
lucent deposit
amorphous/crystalline mixture
crystalline deposit, possibly
cracked
small grains, smooth deposit
small grained deposit, crys-
talline facets visible
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{cc}\text { TABLE A-II - continued } \\
\begin{array}{c}\text { Specimen } \\
\text { Designation } \\
8-4306-275-021\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Coating } \\
\text { Thickness }\end{array}
$$ <br>

-0.011^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]\)| -276 | $0.016^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| -277 | $0.017^{\prime \prime}$ |
| -278 |  |
| -279 |  |

TABLE A-III
General Appearance Observations and X-Ray Diffraction Results, CNTD Si ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ Coatings on $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{w} / 4 \mathrm{wt} / 0 \mathrm{MgO})$ Substrates (CMS-2B) MRL X-Ray Diffrac. Results
Morphology/Phases Present
crystalline/strong $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, weak $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{Si}}^{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ crystalline/strong $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, possi-
ble $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ crystalline/strong $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, very amorphous/devitrifying to $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ amorphous/devitrifying to $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$
possible presence of $\beta$ as well crystalline/strong $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, possicrystalline/strong $\alpha-\operatorname{li}_{3} N_{4}$, possi-
bie $\beta-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$

anomalous pattern-possibly amorphous amorphous/devitrification just starting no identification of phase (s) crystalline/strong $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, possi-
ble $\beta-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ Chemetal
Observations very fine crystals, slightly
green tint, good deposit very fine crystals same as
\#22 (PART \#3) large crystals, deposit very uneven
small crystals, deposit very uneven
large crystals, even deposit, good deposit

## small crystals, even deposit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { larger crystals than \#27 } \\
& \text { (PART \#8), even deposit }
\end{aligned}
$$

semi amorphous/semi crystalline, small crystals
clear deposit, non crystal-
line, smooth, cracks visible line, smooth, cracks visible medium size crystals small crystals, cracks

visible | Coating |
| :--- |
| Thickness |

$0.0057^{\prime \prime}$ $0.0052^{\prime \prime}$ $0.0053^{\prime \prime}$
$0.0044^{\prime \prime}$
$0.0055^{\prime \prime}$
$0.0047^{\prime \prime}$
$0.0079^{\prime \prime}$
$0.0088^{\prime \prime}$
0.0049
0.0107
0.0059
Specimen
Designation
1-4305-22-098
 $-25$ $-26$ $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{N}$ $\stackrel{\Gamma}{1}$ -32 $-33$

$$
\rightarrow \text { to JPL }
$$

$$
\rightarrow \text { to JPL }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MRL X-Ray Diffrac. Results } \\
& \text { Morphology/Phases Present } \\
& \text { crystalline/strong } \alpha-S:{ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \text {, possi- } \\
& \text { ble } \beta-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \\
& \text { crystalline/strong } \alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \text {, no } \\
& \beta-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \text { noticeable } \\
& \text { crystalline/strong } \alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \text {, no } \\
& \beta-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \text { noticeable } \\
& \rightarrow \text { to } \mathrm{JPL}
\end{aligned}
$$



table A-IV
General Appearance Observations and X-Ray Diffraction Results,
CNTD AIN Coatings on AIN Substrates (CMS-3) Coating
Thickness Chemetal
observations
Even deposit of medium size crystals, greenish color
Black, even deposit of
As above
As above
As above
As above
As above
As above, relative intensity
affectation quite strong

> As above
> As above, two unknown peaks One unknown peak, relative intensity affectations strongest of


[^3]| Coating <br> Thickness | Chemetal <br> Observations |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0.0038^{\prime \prime}$ | Even deposit of medium size <br> crystals, greenish color |
| $0.028^{\prime \prime}$ | Black, even deposit of <br> large crystals |
| $0.0072^{\prime \prime}$ | Even deposit of small crys- <br> tals, reddish brown color |
| $0.0063^{\prime \prime}$ | Small crystals, brown at <br> center and green at edges |
| $0.0062^{\prime \prime}$ | Very fine grain, small crys- <br> tals, evenly deposited |
| $0.0146^{\prime \prime}$ | Medium size crystals with <br> reddish-green color |
| $0.0122^{\prime \prime}$ | Even deposit, medium size <br> crystals |
| $0.0086^{\prime \prime}$ | Same as run l3 |
| $0.0112^{\prime \prime}$ | Small crystals, evenly de- <br> posited. Deposit cracked <br> Small crystals, evenly de- <br> posited, good deposit |
| Small crystals, similar to |  |
| run 17 |  |

[^4]
 mpt, on Si ${ }^{\prime \prime} 4$
$(C M S-4 A)^{2}$


\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { MRL X-Ray Diffraction } \\
\text { Results } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

$\alpha-\mathrm{SH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$

| MR, |
| :--- |
| M-Ray Diffraction |
| Results |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \\
& \alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \\
& \alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Chemetal
Observations

| Coating |
| :--- |
| Thickness |

$.2800^{\circ} 0$
$.1400 \%$
$0.0066^{\prime \prime}$
0.0061 " $0.0061^{11}$
$\overline{0}$
0
0
0
$0.0058^{\prime \prime}$ Same as run $\# 44$
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Crystalline deposit, smaller } \\ & \text { crystals in center }\end{aligned}$
Similar to run $\# 48$ Same as run $\$ 44$
Crystalline deposit, smaller
crystals in center
Similar to run $\# 48$ Same as run $\# 44$
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Crystalline deposit, smaller } \\ & \text { crystals in center }\end{aligned}$
Similar to run $\# 48$ Same as run $\# 44$
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Crystalline deposit, smaller } \\ & \text { crystals in center }\end{aligned}$
Similar to run $\# 48$ Deposit very similar to run $\# 44$,
but larger crystals Very fine crystals in center area with amorphous at outer diameter Same as run $\# 44$
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Crystalline deposit, smaller } \\ & \text { crystals in center }\end{aligned}$
Similar to run $\# 48$


Deposit was amorphous with very fine cracks very fine cracks

Fine crystals in Center, $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ 0.D. rim amorphous

0.005 -


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Specimen } \\ \text { Designation }\end{array}$ |
| :---: |
| $1-4323-43-098$ |

4 $\ddagger$
-46
-47
-48
-51
Amorphous, no peaks

| Coating <br> Thickness |
| :---: |
| $0.0057^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $0.0042^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $0.0053^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  |
| $0.0142^{\prime \prime}$ |

$\because \angle 210 \circ 0$
$0.0141 "$
0.0104 "
$0.0105^{\prime \prime}$
 ${ }^{\prime \prime} 6 \varepsilon 10 \div 0$ Chemetal
Observations Sxכen כ au!t 47!M snoydioury
Same as run 49

$\mathrm{a}^{-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}}$, pessible
amorphous phase present amorphous phase presen $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \\
& \alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \text {, possible } \\
& \text { amorphous phase present } \\
& \alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \\
& \alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TABLE A-V1 } \\
& \text { General Appearance Observations and X-Ray Diffraction Results, } \\
& \text { Altered } \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \text {, } \mathrm{SiA1ON} \text { Attempt, on } \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~W} / 10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \text { Substrate, } \\
& \text { (CMS }-4 \mathrm{~B})
\end{aligned}
$$
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## B. 1 PEAK IDENTIFICATION

CMS-4A and 4B coatings were analyzed by x-ray diffraction using $C u_{K_{\alpha}}$ rodiation from 20 equals $0^{\circ}$ to $100^{\circ}$. However, due to modification of the sample holder for the coated substrates, the low angle beam was totally blocked for 20 angles less than $16^{\circ}$.

Both coating materials were checked against the ASTM card files for $\mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{ON}$ and $\mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{ON}_{2}$ using data obtained from. the literature ${ }^{\text {l }}$ and for $\alpha=\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ and $B . \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ using computed powder $x$-ray diffraction data from an AMMRC report ${ }^{2}$. Only $a=\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ was detected in the coatings. Several coatings from each lot showed no paaks and were probably amorphous while others showed a higher than normal background indicating the possible presence of some amorphous material. Tables A-V and A-VI of Appendix A lists these results.

No unknown peaks were detected for $20<60^{\circ}$ and no significant unknown paaks were detected at higher angles. One of the variances noted was that all peaks on both the substrates and coatings deviated in 20 angie from the computed data of AMMRC. This same effect was noted on all previous coatings analyzed by $x$-ray diffraction. The peaks were consistently at a lower angle than expected, with the largest displacement occurring at low angles with decreasing displacements occurring as the angle increased but never reaching zero displacement. The actual displacement was not consistent from sample to sample and varied from $0.2^{\circ}$ to $0.7^{\circ}$ at low angle ( $\sim 30^{\circ}$ ) down to $0.1^{\circ}$ to $0.3^{\circ}$ at the highest angle ( $\sim 100^{\circ}$ ). A lattice parameter change could possibly
cause an angular displacement of the peaks but this displacement would normally be expected to vary in the opposite manner (largest at the higher angles) and was therefore not considered to be a probable explanation of the observed effect. The alignment of the x-ray diffractometer was determined to be well within specification and $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ powder, using the standard powder mounting procedure, did not show any angular displacement of the peaks.

In an effort to detemine the origin of these displacements, an $x$-ray diffractometer at Pittsburg State University, Pittsburg, Kansas, was used to run a sample which had shown large angular displacement. After careful alignment of the machine at P.S.U., this sample showed all peaks to be within $0.1^{0}$ of the values listed by AMMRC. The displacement problem is now considered to be a mechanical alignment probiem not associated with the coating and that lattice parameter changes, if present, were not detected.

## B. 2 RELATIVE INTENSITIES

Two x-ray diffraction scans were made for each coating sample without demounting. The sensitivities of the two scans were adjusted to a ratio of one to five enabling the more intense peaks to remain on scale for intensity measurements while also allowing lower inteasity peaks to be detected. Peak intensities could then vary by a factor of approximately 100 and still be measurable.

As experienced, to a lesser degree, with the CMS-2 coating materials, the measured reiative intensities did not agree with the literature (in this case the AMMRC calculated intensities). The ritios of the observed to expected intensities were estimated to be
as much as a factor of 20 in individual cases but were more commonly near 10. The samples of CMS-4A showed some consistency in the particular peaks that were high and low whereas, samples from CMS-4B showed much less consistency. Table B-I lists the AMMRC calculated relative intensities and the experimental intensities for two coatings of CMS $-4 A$ and one coating of CMS-4B.

Only the calculated relative intensities greater than one are included in Table B-I. The experimental intensities have been normalized so that the sum for one coating is equal to the sum of the calculated intensities. The first two experimental columns are typical of CMS-4A and show several peaks that were consistently high and several that were consistently low. This degree of regularity was not noted in the samnles from CMS-4B. It should be noted, however, that the (100) peak would not be detectable because of the obstruction of the $x$-ray beam by the mount and that other low angle lines could have been at least partially affected by this same feature. When three of the specimens were run on the $x$-ray machine at P.S.U., the (100) peak was still not detectable and the other low angle peaks did not seem significantly different in intensity. For all CMS-4A specimens run at both MRL and at P.S.U. the (222) line was the most intense. This, however, was not generally true of those from CMS-4B, Table B-II gives the calculated (from AMMRC) and experimental (run at P.S.U.) ratios of the intensities of the (222) peak to the five ralculated most intense peaks. These are for two specimens (one from CMS-4A and one from CMS-4B) that were run repeatedly at different surface positions and orientations. The experimental ratios are significantly larger than the calculated ratios.

## TARLE B-I

Calculated and Experimental Intensities (CMS-4)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CMS-4A } \\ \# 46 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CMS-4A } \\ & \# 47 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CMS-4B } \\ & \# 62 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 3 | 20 |
| 11 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 4 |
| 54 | 38 | 83 |
| 0 | 1 | 19 |
| 9 | 5 | 79 |
| 54 | 1 | 9 |
| 115 | 63 | 26 |
| 6 | 20 | 6 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 8 | 11 | 36 |
| 44 | 25 | 10 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 10 | 6 |
| 8 | 0 | 20 |
| 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 38 | 31 | 12 |
| ** | ** | ** |
| 2 | 1 | 32 |
| 192 | 230 | 140 |
| 11 | 19 | 5 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 21 | 11 |
| 27 | 2 | 10 |
| ** | ** | 6 |
| ** | ** | ** |
| 7 | 12 | 22 |
| 39 | 7 | 10 |
| 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 0 | 0 | 7 |
| B-4 |  |  |

TABLE I (cont.)

hkl | Calculated |
| :--- |
| Intensity* |

32
1
8
5
501
412
6
20
4
11

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CMS-4A } \\ & \# 46 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CMS-4A } \\ & \# 47 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CMS-4B } \\ \# 62 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128 | 140 | 83 |
| ** | ? | ** |
| ? | ? | ? |
| ? | ? | ? |
| 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 10 | 25 |
| ? | ? | ? |
| 8 | 0 | ? |
| 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 3 | 6 |
| 3 | 4 | 20 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 69 | 150 | 83 |
| ? | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 9 | 8 |
| 15 | 17 | 25 |
| ? | ? | ? |
| ** | ** | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 3 | 0 | 2 |
| ** | ** | ** |
| 1 | - 0 | 2 |
| ** | ** | ** |
| 0 | 2 | 9 |
| ** | ** | ** |
| 12 | 40 | 12 |
| 11 | 69 | 19 |
| ? | 0 | ? |
| 7 | 67 | 6 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 |

* For $\alpha \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ from AMMRC Report
** Calculated intensity too low to be detectable at normal experimental intensity
? Peak intensity not discernable
TABLE B-II


| Peak Comparison | Calculated ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Ratio | Experimental Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pattern } \\ & \text { IA }^{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pattern } \\ 2 A \end{gathered}$ | Pattern $1 B^{d}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pattern } \\ & 2 \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pattern } \\ 3 B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pattern } \\ & 4 B \end{aligned}$ |
| (222)/(101) | 22\% | 280\% | 260\% | >220\% | 150\% | 280\% | >230\% |
| (222)/(201) | 22\% | 115\% | 180\% | >910\% | 200\% | 140\% | >380\% |
| (222)/(102) | 25\% | 1330\% | * | > 1000\% | 330\% | 430\% | >1100\% |
| (222)/(210) | 24\% | 440\% | 560\% | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| (222)/(211) | 33\% | 310\% | 320\% | >1000\% | 450\% | 410\% | >590\% |

** The (210) peak missing or not intense enough to be measurable.
a As measured from patterns run at Pittsburg State University of Pittsburg, Kansas
b From the AMMRC report
Patterns $1 A$ and $2 A$ both made on coating 1-4323-44-098 of CMS-4A at different orientations.
Patterns $1 B$ thru $4 B$ all made on coating 1-4323-70-098 of CMS-4B at various positions and orientations.

These intensity variations could possibly be explained by an oriented growth pattern, a non-uniformity of crystallite size, a change in the structure factor due to non-stoichiometry, limited incorporation of Al and 0 atoms into the $\alpha-\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ structure as interstitials or as substitutions for Si and N respectively, or any combination of these. Although a complete investigation could not be made, it was suggested ${ }^{3}$ that a quick method was available that might provide more insight to the situation.

A Laue camera, (Figure B-I) normally used to orient single crystals, was used with unfiltered copper radiation. Spots appear on the film when the Bragg condition is met for a given plane and one particular wavelength of the impinging continuous or polychromatic radiation. When a randomly oriented polycrystalline substance is used for the sample, all planes will find a wavelength of radiation that will satisfy the Bragg condition for their particular orientation and the film should show a large number (at least one for every reflecting plane) of randomly oriented spots. However, since there is also a characteristic radiation present (the $K_{\alpha}$ and $K_{\beta}$ lines) that may be more intense (as is the case here) than the continuous radiation, the spots due to the characteristic radiation will form pronounced circular rings. These would be from those planes having the proper orientation and dspacing to meet the Bragg condition for the $C u_{k \alpha}$ or $K_{\beta}$ wavelergth. If the incident $x$-ray beam is columnated and is larger than the largest crystallite, the spots from both the characteristic and continuous radiation will be approximately the same size and shape as the reflecting planes. If the specimen is not composed of randomly oriented crystallites then the spots will show a lack of randomness and the characteristic

radiation rings will not be equally populated in all circumferential locations.

Three samples from CMS-4A were studied using this method. Spot sizes, and thus crystallite sizes, (beam divergence and camera geometry accounted for) were detected from $\approx 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ to 0.6 mm in diameter. Some of these showed shape features. These sizes cannot be viewed as inclusive because only those planes meeting the Bragg condition were seen and this is only a fraction of the total. Also, sizes smaller than 0.1 mm were not detectable by this procedure.

The samples were run at various positions on the surface and at various orientations with respect to the incident beam in an effort to find a positive indication of preferred orientation of the coatings. The results indicate that the orientation, if present, is very slight and not of the degree necessary to explain the large deviations in peak intensities. In one sample it was found that the larger crystallites did have some (probably small) degree of orientation since they seemed to be more densely populated on one portion of the characteristic cirular ring than at others. This was true over the face of the coating. However, the direction at which the more densely populated portion existed varied as the incident beam was moved to different spots on the surface.

All of this indicates that, although preferred orientation can still not be ruled out, there has been no indication of a strong degree of orientation. The crystallite sizes did vary considerably and the larger crystallites could be locally oriented but no long range orientation was detected for them either. The strong reflections from these large crystallites could significantly perturb the relative intensity
values obtained from the diffractometer with it's relatively small beam size. The relative intensity variations are therefore attributed to the broad range of crystallite sizes present in the sample. The possibility of a change in structure factor was not investigated since the only method available was to grind the coating off and x-ray it as a powder.
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APPENDIX C
C. 1 POWDER PREPARATION PROCESS SPECIFICATION
C.l. General - The ceramic bodies developed in this program were to be coated with pure CNTD ceramic coatings and therefore were not processed for maximum retention of purity.
C.1.2 Batch Size - 450 to 900 g of main constituent powders ( SiC or $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ ) plus appropriate weight percent of densification aid (B, MgO or $\mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ) and approximately 0.02 wt\% Alcojet laboratory detergent as a surfactant.
C.1.3 Procedure
a) Mix dry powders in $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ mortar and pestle.
b) Transfer powder to another container and add deionized $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or hexane (latter preferred if low oxygen content of powder is to be maintained) to the $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ mortar in the ratio of approximately 375 cc liquid to 500 g powder.
c) Sift powder back into mortar and stir with pestle to avoid formation of lumps.
d) Transfer mix to Waring high speed laboratory blender with quart mixing jar and blend 15 minutes. Dry powder or liquid may need to be added in order to reach proper consistency which is that of a thick, peaky cake batter. Alternate method is to place powder and liquid in a one quart to one half gallon steel mill with steel balls ( $\sim 1 / 2$ total mill volume charge of balls) and mill 8 hrs.
e) Pour mix out into stainless steel trays and heat to 60 to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight then vacuum dry at less than one mm Hg pressure for one hour, raising the temperature above $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
f) Delump dried cake in $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ mortar and pestle. Place broken cake ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{~g}$ per charge) in a Spex Shatterbox and mill 5 minutes per charge.
g) Screen to -50 mesh and recycle +5 N mesh to Shatterbox. Store -50 mesh material in polyethylene jars.
C. 2 HOT PRESSED CERAMIC SUBSTRATE FABRICATION SPECIFICATION
C.2..1 Hot pressing will be pertarmed in tooling of medium to high quality, fine grained graphite (ie. Great lakes HLM-85, Union Carbide ATJ, Stackpole 2020, etc.).
C.2.2 All graphite surfaces to come in contact with the powder will be coated with two coatings of Aquadag (Acheson Colloids Company).
C.2.3 The properly prepared powder (Process Specification C.1) is loaded into the graphite tooling taking care to obtain a proper distribution of the powder. It is advisable to tamp the powder somewhat at the corners and edges of a rectangular cavity to offset a tendency for lower fabricated densities in those regions of the finished part.
C.2.4 The loaded tooling is cold pressed at a pressure equal to approximately $20 \%$ of the final hot pressing load, and placed in a vacuum preheater and elevated to approximately $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a pressure $<1 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$.
C.2.5 The loaded, cold pressed, outgassed tooling set is placed in the resistance heated, semicontinuous, horizontal hot press and pressed under flowing argon atmosphere. Optical temperature measurements are made at 5 minute intervals. The generalized hot press cycle is shown in Figure C-1 and the parameters for ceramic hardware fabrication are given in Table $C-1$. The run ending time, $t_{3}$, may vary slightly. The run is terminated when deformation rate during pressing falls below 0.001"/min.

TIME ( $t$ )
Figure C-1. Generalized Hot Pressing Cycle
Normally $t_{1}=5 \mathrm{~min} ., t_{2}=15$ to 20 min.

## TABLE C-I

parameters' for hot pressing of ceramic substrates

## Item Designation



SiC (w/1 wt\% B)
Die Blanks
Containers
70
2300
3750
2320
2450
$\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ (w/4 wt\% MgO)
Die Blanks
35
1785
4375
Containers
80
1785
2450

[^5]C.2.6 The pressed tooling is cooled; the part is removed and cleaned by sand blasting and the density is determined by bulk measurement or by immersion.

## C. 3 DIE SHAPE GRINDING PROCESS SPECIFICATION

C.3.1 The methods detailed in this specification arf apolicable for grinding either half of the Eagle-Picher Hodified TwoPiece Die (Figure C-2), however only one half, Part A, will be addressed. This drawing, with appropriate surface identification added, is included on the following page.
C.3.2 The hot pressed die blanks should be approximately 0.150" thick, and should be ground to 0.123" thickness with two parallel faces (1 \& 2).
C.3.3 The perimeter of the die shape is next established by sawing the blank to $1.2^{\prime \prime} \times 1.05^{\prime \prime}$, maintainin pe. $\therefore$ icularity of all corners by mounting surface 1 on a bloc.. ing Aremco wafer-mount 562 hot mount material. The mounted blank is held in an indexable, rotatable (in the horizontal plane), $x / y$ adjustable precision vise under the head of a horizontal surface grinder equipped with a diamond saw blade. This arranqement allows all the necessery sutting to be performed.
C.3.4 :lithout demounting the die blank the saw blade is exchanged for a grinding wheel approximately $0.25^{\prime \prime}$ wide and surface 3 is produced from surface 2 by removinq $0.015^{\prime \prime}$ of material. The rotating capability of the vise is used to do the portion of surface 3 between the ends of the "runners" and the top of the die.
C.3.5 The blank is then demounted, flipped over, and remounted in a fixture having grooves to accept the "runners" of the die.
C.3.6 The mounted blank is fixtured on the angle for arindina surface 4, stopping when the correct "A" dimension is reached at the tip.

Figure C-2. Eagle-Picher Modified Two Piece Die Design, Part A
C.3.7 The mounted blank is held flat to the surface grinder chuck and surface 5 is produced.
C.3.8 The mounted blank is fixtured with the "top" up and surface 6 is ground with a $4.0^{\prime \prime}$ radius diamond wheel.
C.3.9 The final step is the addition of the saw cuts at the edges of the die top, and may be accomplished on the surface grinder or on a separate saw, using a blade 0.017" to $0.018^{\prime \prime}$ in width.

## C. 4 CNTD COATING APPLICATION PROCESS SPECIFICATIONS

Due to the proprietary and prexisting nature of the Chemetal CNTD fabrication process the process specification must remain confidential. Reference to the process specifications may be made as follows:

| SiC Reference | $1-4304-021$ <br> $1-4406-139-146-021$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ Reference | $1-4305-021$ <br> $1-4306-254-280-021$ <br> $16-4382-12-28-038$ |
|  | $1-4323-12-42-098$ |
| AIN Reference | $\mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{ON}_{2}$ Reference |
| SiAION Reference | $1-4323-11-098$ |
|  | $1-4323-50-53-71-098$ |

These deposition process specifications have been segregated and will be maintained without change for reference availability in future procurements.


[^0]:    * CNTD is a Chemetal Corp. acronym for their proprietary dense CVD (Chemical Vapor Deposition) coating. The letters stand for Controlled Nucleation Thermal Deposition.

[^1]:    * T. O'vonnell, M. Leipold, and M. Hagan, Compatibility Studies of Various Refractory Materials in Contact with Molten Silicon, JPL Publication 78-18.

[^2]:    * R.W. Aster and R.G. Chamberlain, Interim Price Estimation Guidelines: A Precursor and an Adjunct to SAMIS III, JPL Document 5101-33, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, Calif. (1977).

[^3]:    Two unknown peaks, very strong relative intensity affectations

[^4]:    $\frac{\begin{array}{c}\text { Specimen } \\ \text { Designation }\end{array}}{1-4323-06-098}$

[^5]:    1 See Figure C-I

