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## 2. A MODEL FOR DISTANCE DEPENDENCE

Assume the parameter to be estimated is $\mu$, and a single sample point will have variance $\sigma^{2}$ and mean $\mu$. Assume further that samples are nonnegatively correlated with each other as a result of being geographically close. Assuming details of geography are not known, an eppropriate model may be derived from the following assumptions:
(1) The correlation $\rho_{i j}$ between the sample values $X_{i}, X_{j}$ depends only on the Euclidean distance $\Delta_{i j}$ between the locations of the samples.
(2) If the sample points $i, j, k$ are collinear witn $j$ between $i$ and $k$, then the correlation between $X_{j}$ and $X_{k}$ depends only on the correlations between $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ and between $X_{j}$ and $X_{k}$. Mathematically, this says that the partial correlation $\rho_{i k \cdot j}=0$.

Assumption two simply means that the effect of one point on its neighbors is via its effect on intermediate points, a sort of "domino" action. From the definition of partial correlation (e.g. Timm (1975)) it is an imnediate consequence that $\rho_{i k}=\rho_{i j} \cdot \dot{\rho}_{j k}$. As a result we have Theorem: If (1) and (2) hold, then $\rho_{i k}=e^{-K \Delta i k}$ for all pairs of sample locations $\mathbf{i}$ and $k$.

Fitting the model thus requires that we estimate the parameter $K$.
3. MINIMUM VARIANCE UNBIASED ESTIMATION under distance dependence

The sample $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ has covariance fiatrix $\sigma^{2} C$ where $C=\left(e^{-K \Delta_{i j}}\right)$. We wish to find a vector $\vec{t}$ so that $\vec{f}^{\prime} \vec{x}$ is the minimum variance linear unbiased estimator for $\mu$. The variance of $\vec{t} \cdot \vec{x}$ is then given by $\vec{t}^{\prime} C \overrightarrow{\mathrm{t}}$; the unbiasedness constraint is $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{t}}^{\prime} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{l}}=1$ where $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{l}}$ is the $n \times 1$ vector of ones. Minimizing the variance and introducing the constraint via Lagrange multipliers we get

$$
\vec{t}=\frac{c^{-1 \vec{l}}}{\overrightarrow{1}^{\prime} c^{-1} \vec{i}}
$$

The variance of the estimator $\vec{t} \cdot \vec{x}$ is then

$$
\frac{\sigma^{2}}{7 \cdot c^{-1} 1}
$$

Let us denote $\sum c^{-1}=\overrightarrow{1}^{\prime} C^{-1} \overrightarrow{1}$ since it is simply the sum of all terms in the matrix. Then

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\vec{t}^{\prime} \vec{x}\right)=\frac{o^{2}}{\sum c^{-1}}
$$

By contrast, the variance of the sample mean $\bar{X}$ is

$$
\operatorname{Var}(x)=\frac{\sigma^{2} \sum c}{n^{2}}
$$

Thus the reduction in variance for our estimator compared to the sample mean is

$$
\frac{n^{2}}{\sum c \sum c^{-1}}
$$

This is always less than our estimator, since the sample mean is a linear unbiased estimator, and our procedure has minimum variance in this class.

To illustrate take the simple case where the sample points 1,2 , and 3 are equally spaced on a straight line. Thus $\rho_{12}=\rho_{23}=\rho$ and $\rho_{13}=\rho^{2}$. We obtain that the estimator is

$$
\overrightarrow{t^{\prime}} \vec{x}=\frac{1}{3-\rho} x_{1}+\frac{1-\rho}{3-\rho} x_{2}+\frac{1}{3-\rho} x_{3}
$$

which has variance $\frac{1+\rho}{3-\rho}$ and reduction in variance $\frac{9(1+\rho)}{(3-\rho)\left(3+4 \rho+2{ }^{2}\right)}$
If $\rho=.5$ we obtain a reduction factor of roughly .98. Significant reductions are obtained most readily when spacings are very unequal and correlations are high.

## 4. FITTIMG THE MODEL

Ideally one would have sound theoretical reasons for choosing $k$ in the correlation model. Lacking that, it is possible to estimate $k$ from large samples from populations that are believed to have $k$ similar to the target population.

If we know the distance $\Delta_{\mathbf{i j}}$ between the sites of two sample points $i$ and $j$, then by standard sampling considerations

$$
E\left[\frac{\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2}}{2}\right]=\sigma_{\text {error }}^{2},
$$

the residual variance associated with one of the sample points knowing the other. But
so,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{i j}^{2}=1-\frac{\sigma_{\text {error }}^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \\
\varepsilon\left[\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2}\right]=2 \sigma^{2}\left(1-e^{-2 K \Delta_{i j}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

From the triples $\left(X_{i}, x_{j}, \Delta_{i j}\right)$ we can estimate $K$ (and $\sigma^{2}$ ) by nonlinear least-squares techniques.

An example (illustrating more than anything else the pitfalls of fitting the model) is shown in Table 1. The corn production in 33 approximately one-square-mile sections in Missouri were obtained and the distances calculated between each pair. The distances are shown plotted against the absolute value of the difference in the percentage of acreage planted in corn in each section. The hoped-for trend is not apparent to the eye; one would expect an increase in mean difference from lower left to upper right. The equation on page 5 was fitted to this data using the Statistical Analysis System (see SAS (1979)) program procedure NLIN. The best fit was $k=.17$, which would suggest that the correlation drops to $1 / 2$ at a distance

of four miles. Since this is a very short distance in this population, the sample is close enough to an independent random sample for all practical purposes, and the sample mean is quite adequate. Furthermore, the model did not fit well enough to reassure us even of the positivity of $K$ which is necessary in our model. Thus, the method fails to be helpful for this small data set.

## 5. CONCLUSION

Further work needs to be done to test the efficacy of this approach when high geographical correlations are present and K can be estimated from either theoretical considerations or extensive previous experience.
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