s i s s italie s i intremente i de la traite su car

#### NUMERICAL GENERATION OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL GRIDS BY

THE USE OF POISSON EQUATIONS WITH GRID CONTROL

AT BOUNDARIES

Reese L. Sorenson NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035

and

Joseph L. Steger<sup>\*</sup> Flow Simulations, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA 94086

#### Abstract

A new method for generating boundary-fitted, curvilinear, two-dimensional grids by the use of the Poisson equations is presented. Grids of C-type and O-type have been made about airfoils and other shapes, with circular, rectangular, cascade-type, and other outer boundary shapes. Both viscous and inviscid spacings have been used. In all cases two important types of grid control can be exercised at both inner and outer boundaries. First is arbitrary control of the distances between the boundaries and the adjacent lines of the same coordinate family, i.e., "stand-off" distances. Second is arbitrary control of the angles with which lines of the opposite coordinate family intersect the boundaries. Thus, both grid cell size (or aspect ratio) and grid cell skewness are controlled at boundaries. Reasonable cell size and shape are ensured even in cases wherein extreme boundary shapes would tend to cause skewness or poorly controlled grid spacing. An inherent feature of the Poisson equations is that lines in the interior of the grid smoothly connect the boundary points (the grid mapping functions are second-order differentiable).

A user-oriented, well documented, FORTRAN computer program, called GRAPE, has been written to employ this grid generation method. It is available from the Applied Computational Aerodynamics Branch at NASA-Ames Research Center.

\*Now with Stanford University.

# **DESIRED PROPERTIES OF A GRID GENERATOR**

- ARBITRARY BOUNDARY SHAPES
- ARBITRARY POINT DISTRIBUTION ON BOUNDARIES
- SMOOTH VARIATION (DIFFERENTIABILITY) IN INTERIOR
- COMPUTATIONALLY FAST
- EASY TO USE







The principal contribution of this work is that the angles and spacing at the boundaries are <u>input</u>. Thus, one need not try to implement pre-determined angles and spacing by trial-and-error tuning of other parameters.

# **TOPOLOGY OF GRID MAPPINGS**

 $\eta = \eta_{max}$ d  $\eta = \eta_{max}$ ,ξ = ξ<sub>max</sub> d C  $\eta = 0$  $\xi = 0$ = <sup>ξ</sup>max ξ ξ = **Ο**  $\eta = \mathbf{0}$ b а а COMPUTATIONAL SPACE PHYSICAL SPACE **O-TYPE GRIDS** f d е g f g  $\eta = \eta_{max}$ n  $\xi = \xi_{max}$  $\dot{\xi} = \xi_{max}$ ٤ b പ ξ = 0  $\eta = \mathbf{0}$  $\eta = \mathbf{0}$ ξ = 0 =  $\eta$  max d mmmm а b С b PHYSICAL SPACE COMPUTATIONAL SPACE



Topology and notation for O-type and C-type grids are shown here. The independent variables in the physical space are x and y, while  $\xi$  and n are the independent variables in the cartesian computational space.

### **POISSON EQUATIONS**

.

$$\begin{split} \xi_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}} + \xi_{\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}} &= \mathsf{P}(\xi,\eta) \\ \eta_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}} + \eta_{\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}} &= \mathsf{Q}(\xi,\eta) \end{split}$$

#### OR, WITH DEPENDENT AND INDEPENDENT VARIABLES INTERCHANGED:

$$\alpha \mathbf{x}_{\xi\xi} - 2\beta \mathbf{x}_{\xi\eta} + \gamma \mathbf{x}_{\eta\eta} = -\mathbf{J}^2 (\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}_{\xi} + \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}_{\eta})$$
  

$$\alpha \mathbf{y}_{\xi\xi} - 2\beta \mathbf{y}_{\xi\eta} + \gamma \mathbf{y}_{\eta\eta} = -\mathbf{J}^2 (\mathbf{P}\mathbf{y}_{\xi} + \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{y}_{\eta})$$
  
WHERE:  

$$\alpha = \mathbf{x}_{\eta}^2 + \mathbf{y}_{\eta}^2$$
  

$$\beta = \mathbf{x}_{\xi}\mathbf{x}_{\eta} + \mathbf{y}_{\xi}\mathbf{y}_{\eta}$$
  

$$\gamma = \mathbf{x}_{\xi}^2 + \mathbf{y}_{\xi}^2$$

 $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{x}_{\xi} \mathbf{y}_{\eta} - \mathbf{x}_{\eta} \mathbf{y}_{\xi}$ 

Basic to the method is that the grid transformations  $\xi = \xi(x,y)$ ,  $\eta = \eta(x,y)$  must satisfy the Poisson equations. The equations are solved with dependent and independent variables interchanged to facilitate numerical integration and the application of boundary conditions. The equations with variables thusly interchanged are sometimes referred to as the "transformed" Poisson equations.

# CHOICE OF INHOMOGENEOUS TERMS

$$P(\xi, \eta) = p(\xi)e^{-a\eta} + r(\xi) e^{-c(\eta)}max^{-\eta}$$

$$\mathbf{Q}(\xi,\eta) = \mathbf{q}(\xi) \, \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{b}\eta} + \mathbf{s}(\xi) \, \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{d}(\eta)} \, \mathrm{max}^{-\eta}$$

Inhomogeneous, or right-hand-side, or P and Q terms in the Poisson equations determine the character of the grid. Different choices for P and Q produce different grids. In this method P and Q are chosen as shown here with a,b,c, and d positive Note that the inner (n = 0)boundary P( $\xi$ ,n) reduces to p( $\xi$ ), and that at the outer  $(n = n_{max})$  boundary P( $\xi$ ,n) becomes r( $\xi$ ), and similarly for Q( $\xi$ ,n). The approach is to assume that the geometric input requirements (control of angles and spacing at boundaries) are satisfied along with the Poisson equations at the boundaries, then back-solve for p( $\xi$ ), q( $\xi$ ), r( $\xi$ ), and s( $\xi$ ). Then P( $\xi$ ,n) and Q( $\xi$ ,n) can be calculated for every point in the field.

#### **ITERATIVE UPDATE OF INHOMOGENEOUS TERMS**



For each boundary (inner and outer) the two geometric control requirements can be re-cast as the two additional equations shown on the upper right. These two equations can be solved for the two derivatives  $x_{\eta}$  and  $y_{\eta}$ . Derivatives  $x_{\xi}$ ,  $y_{\xi}$ ,  $x_{\xi\xi}$ , and  $y_{\xi\xi}$  can be found by differencing known, fixed boundary data. Derivatives  $x_{\xi\eta}$  and  $y_{\xi\eta}$  are found by differencing the  $x_{\eta}$  and  $y_{\eta}$ , just found, with respect to  $\xi$ . Thus, to back-solve the transformed Poisson equations for  $p(\xi)$  and  $q(\xi)$ , two derivatives remain to be found:  $x_{\eta\eta}$  and  $y_{\eta\eta}$ .

In the solution procedure, each iteration step is in two parts. First, the x and y from the previous iteration (or initial conditions) are differenced to find x and y at the boundaries. These are combined with all the other derivatives discussed above, which are fixed for all iteration levels, to form the transformed Poisson equations at the boundary. These are back-solved for new values of  $p(\xi)$  and  $q(\xi)$ . Terms  $r(\xi)$  and  $s(\xi)$  are similarly found. New values for  $P(\xi,n)$  and  $Q(\xi,n)$  can then be calculated. The second part of each solution step is to perform one iteration of some solution procedure, such as SLOR. The above is iterated to convergence, producing a grid that satisfies the given geometric requirements. Inhomogeneous terms which yield the desired grid control are thus found automatically as the solution proceeds.

454



OF POOR CUALITY

Numerical convergence is greatly accelerated by an additional feature: coarse-fine sequencing. The solution is first iterated to convergence on a coarse grid consisting of every third point in the  $\xi$  direction and every third point in the n direction. This convergence requires relatively little computer time since the amount of arithmetic being done per step is one-ninth that which would otherwise be done. The coarse solution is then interpolated to provide initial conditions for a fine solution using all of the points. Coarse-fine sequencing produces a speedup over normal SLOR by a factor of up to 15. Grids have been generated, for simple cases, in as little as two-thirds of a second of CPU time per thousand grid points on a CDC 7600 computer, including "set-up" overhead.

455

#### **GRIDS ABOUT HIGHLY CAMBERED ELLIPSE**



The effectiveness of the grid control is demonstrated in this comparison of two grids about a highly cambered 12:1 elliptical airfoil. In the two top figures is seen a grid generated by the Laplace equations--like the Poisson equations but with P = Q = 0. Uncontrolled cell size and skewness are clearly seen. The figures on the bottom were generated by the present method with the grid control at the boundaries. It was required that the lines intersect the airfoil at 90° and that the standoff distance be 0.005 chord lengths at all points on the airfoil surface. The angle requirement was satisfied to a tolerance of  $\pm 0.1^\circ$ and the distance to a tolerance of  $\pm 0.00001$  chord lengths.

456

## NEARLY SQUARE GRID CELLS ALONG SURFACE IN LEADING EDGE REGION OF NACA 0012 AIRFOIL

J



GRID SPACING <u>NORMAL</u> TO SURFACE (As) SPECIFIED AS EQUAL TO LOCAL VALUE OF ARC-LENGTH <u>ALONG</u> SURFACE

An interesting capability of this method is seen in this close-up view of the leading edge region of a grid about an NACA 0012 airfoil. The angle requirement need not be 90° and it need not be equal at all points on the airfoil surface. Likewise, the standoff spacing need not be constant. In this grid the angle requirement was chosen as 90° everywhere on the airfoil, but the standoff spacing requirement (normal to the airfoil surface) was taken to be equal to the local value of the arc-length along the surface. This produces grid cells along the surface that are nearly square, despite greatly varying surface distribution.



#### GRID SPACING AND ANGLES CONTROLLED AT OUTER BOUNDARY

A C-type grid for modeling flow through a wind tunnel is seen here. Grid spacing and angles are controlled at the outer boundary.

ORIGINAL PACE IS OF POOR CUALITY

C-6

# **UPSTREAM REGION IN O-TYPE CASCADE GRID**



#### CONTROL OF SPACING AND ANGLES AT OUTER BOUNDARY ENSURES SMOOTH TRANSITION BETWEEN CASCADE ELEMENTS

Control of spacing and angles at outer boundary is applied here to a cascade. It was specified that the lines of constant n which intersect the top and bottom parts of the outer boundary of each cascade element do so vertically. Thus, the application of periodic boundary conditions between cascade elements is facilitated.

This same capability ensures smooth vertical transition across the branch-cut in the wake region of a C-type grid.

# GRID USED IN STUDY OF BLAST WAVE ENCOUNTERING STATIONARY PICKUP TRUCK



The versatility of the method is illustrated here.

# FEATURES OF GRAPE (<u>GR</u>IDS ABOUT <u>AIRFOILS</u> USING <u>P</u>OISSON'S <u>E</u>QUATION), A USER-ORIENTED FORTRAN COMPUTER PROGRAM

- $\theta$ ,  $\Delta s$  ARE <u>INPUT</u>
- CODING IS MODULAR, GENEROUSLY COMMENTED, SYNTACTICALLY CONSERVATIVE
- BUILT-IN DEFAULT CASE, AND SIMPLE, WELL THOUGHT-OUT INPUT WHICH IS CHECKED BEFORE USE
- VERSATILE: C-TYPE OR O-TYPE, FREE-STREAM OR WIND TUNNEL OR CASCADE, VISCOUS OR INVISCID
- GRAPHICAL OUTPUT
- FAST



• WELL DOCUMENTED AND ACTIVELY SUPPORTED

A user-oriented, well documented, FORTRAN computer program, called GRAPE, has been written to employ this grid generation method. It is available from the Applied Computational Aerodynamics Branch at NASA-Ames Research Center.