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The propagation of sound due to a line acoustic source in the moving stream across a semı-infinlte vortex sheet which tralls from a rigld plate is examined in a linear theory for the subsonic case. A solution for the transmitted sound field is obtained with the aid of multiple integral transforms and the Wiener-Hopf technique for both the steady-state (time-harmonic) and initial-value (impulsive source) situations. The contour of inverse transform and hence the decomposition of the functions are determined through causality and radiation conditıons. The solution obtained satisfies causality and the full Kutta conditions. The transmitted sound field is composed of two waves in both the steady-state and initial-value problems. One is the wave scattered from the edge of the plate which is associated with the bow wave and the instability wave. These bow waves and instabillty waves exist in the downstream sectors. The other $1 s$ the wave transmitted through the vortex sheet which is also associated with the instability wave. This instability wave exısts in a downstream sector, but if the line source is close to the rigid plate it is blocked by the plate and does not appear. The transmitted sound field can be divided into three regions. The first one is the region where the incident wave is shaded by the plate and only the wave scattered from the plate edge exists. In the second region there exist the waves transmitted through the
vortex sheet in addition to the scattered waves from the edge of the plate. The third region is the transition region between the two regions just described. In this region the waves transmitted through the vortex sheet can be heard partially depending on its wave number. The asymptotic nature of this region is the same as that of the second region.
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The influence of a moving stream on the propagation of sound is a problem of some practical importance and has attracted a number of investigations in recent years. For the sake of analytical simplicity, most theoretical investigations related to this problem have been confined to the model study of two infinite two-dımensional, inviscid fluid half-spaces in relative motion separated by a plane vortex sheet. Sound waves emitted from 1 mpulsive (harmonic) line or point sources will impinge on the vortex sheet and be reflected and transmitted to the two half-spaces as reflected and transmitted sound fields.

For linearized theory, multiple-Fourier transform techniques are most conveniently used to obtain the analytical solutions for these sound fields. While it is almost trivial to find the transformed solutions, the complexities of inverse transforms present much difficulty in finding a solution in a form most surtable for practical applications.

Jones and Morgan and their assoclates [1-5] in a series of papers investigated the problem of line and point sources. As they carried out inverse transform with respect to wave number first along the real axis, their solutions did not satisfy causality. To satisfy causality, they had to add the homogeneous solution which corresponds to instability waves. Chao [6] has Indicated that if the inverse transform is carried out with respect to frequency first, the proper deformation of contour
which brings the causal solution $1 s$ obvious and the instabilıty waves are automatıcally included in the solutıon obtained.

In the real world a vortex sheet between two flunds in relatıve motion can only exist downstream of a plate which separates them. The problem becomes a mixed boundary value problem and the Wiener-Hopf technique $[7-8]$ has to be used to find the transformed solution. Orszag and Crow [9] have investlgated this problem in which there are no external sound sources and the flow is incompressible. Crighton [10] has extended their analysis to compressible subsonic flow. Morgan [11] and Crighton and Leppington [12] have investlgated the reflected sound fleld produced by a line source near a semi-lnfinlte vortex sheet which traıls from a ragid plate where a lıne source is situated in the still fluid.

All of these problems require the decomposition of a known function into plus and manus functions which are analytic in the lower and upper wave number plane, respectively. However, due to the fact that there are poles whose location is frequency-dependent in such a way that it will move from lower half-plane to upper half wave number plane according to the value of frequency, the determination of a common region of analytucity and hence the contour of the inverse transform becomes a rather complicated matter and consequently requires additional assumptions to ascertain the desired contour of the inverse transform. Morgan has enforced the condition that the slope as well as the displacement of the vortex sheet are assumed to be zero at the plate edge (full Kutta conditıon).

Crighton and Leppington have decomposed the function by assuming a large imaginary part for the frequency. They both have shown that their assumptions require a deformation of the contour of the inverse transform and satisfy the criterion for causalıty established by Jones and Morgan [13]. This criterion requires the analyticity of the solution in the upper half frequency plane for causality and has been used successfully by Morgan [14] and Munt [15] in their analysis of the cylindrical vortex sheet problem.

In Chapter 4 it is shown that the deformation of the contour, and hence the common region of analycity can be determined through causality, exponential decay of the functions, and radiation condition. In all three of these approaches, the decomposition of the function is unique.

In Chapter 5 we determine the value of the entire function, assuming the edge condition that the component of the disturbed velocity in the stream direction is finite at the edge of the plate. This assumption requires that the full Kutta condition be satisfied as a result. Howe [16] has found that for a very low Mach number flow case, the satisfaction of the Kutta condition requires that external forces be present in the vicinity of the plate edge. Similar situations certainly would occur for the present problem. The existence of this external force probably can be traced to the viscous effect which should not be neglected due to the large velocity gradient in the vicinnty of the plate edge. A detailed study of viscous mixing at a trailing edge by Daniels [17] suggests that the full Kutta condition is the logical
condition to apply at the plate edge. A further justification of the Kutta condition may be found in the experimental work by Bechert and Pfizenmaier [18]. Their work suggests that the full Kutta condition is the correct condition to apply if the shear layer is thin, which is the case in our problem.

In Chapter 6 the solution is compared with that of the Sommerfeld half-plane diffraction problem (without the flow). It is found that the existence of the flow smooths the edge behavior of the solution.

In Chapter 7 the steady-state solution is calculated. The transmatted sound field can be divided into three regions depending on how the waves transmitted through the vortex sheet are shaded by the ragld plate. In Region 1, the incıdent wave is shaded completely by the plate and only the waves scattered from the plate edge exist. In Region 2 the waves transmitted through the vortex sheet exist in addition to the edge scattered waves. Region 3 is the transition region between the two where the part of the waves transmitted through the vortex sheet exist depending on its wave number. The existence of Region 3 is the direct conclusion of the fact that the line source and observer are located in the different mediums. It is expected that a simılar situation will occur if the speed of sound is different between the two mediums even though the velocities of the flows in the two mediums are the same.

In Chapter 8 the asymptotic evaluation of the transmitted sound field is carried out as far as possible. In the case where the line
source is situated close to the plate edge in comparison to the observer, the asymptotic nature of Region 3 is similar to that of Region 2 , and in the far field the boundary between Regions 2 and 3 vanishes.

In Chapter 9, the solution for the initial value problem is calculated. The wave fronts of the diffracted waves are obtalned explicıtly.

## CHAPTER 2

FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Consider the problem in which a moving fluid in the half-space $y<0$ is separated by a rigid plate and a vortex sheet from a still medium in $y>0$. The rigld plate occuples the half-plane $y=0, x<0$, the vortex sheet occupıes $y=0, x>0$ in a Cartesıan coordinate system and it is assumed that the flow is in the direction of increasing $x$. A line source of unit strength is situated in parallel to the $x$-axis through ( $x_{0}, Y_{0}$ ) in the moving medium, so that $y_{0}<0$ (Figure 2-1). For the sake of simplicity, the sound speed and density are assumed to be the same in both flulds. Because of the symmetry of the problem all quantitles are Independent of $z$.


Figure 2-1 Sketch of the Model Geometry

Let $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ denote the velocity potentials of the small disturbed motions in the two fluld spaces. Due to the excitation of the line source, the governing differential equations for $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \phi_{2}-a^{2} \nabla^{2} \phi_{2}=\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right) \delta\left(y-y_{0}\right) \delta(t) & (y<0)  \tag{2-1}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}-a^{2} \nabla^{2} \phi_{1}=0 & (y>0)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where a is the speed of the sound and $V$ is the velocity of the moving flow.

Define the Fourier transform of $\phi_{i}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}(k, y, \omega)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{1}(x, y, t) e^{-i(k x-\omega t)} d x d t \tag{2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Eqs. (2-1) reduce to the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+\gamma_{2}^{2} \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2}=\frac{-\delta\left(y-y_{o}\right) e^{-I k x_{0}}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}}{\partial y^{2}}+\gamma_{1}^{2} \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}=0 \tag{2-3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{2}^{2}=\left(\frac{\omega}{a}-M k\right)^{2}-k^{2} \\
& \gamma_{1}^{2}=\left(\frac{\omega}{a}\right)^{2}-k^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $M=\frac{V}{a}$ is the Mach number of the lower medium. Let $y=h(x, t)$ indicate the equation of the vortex sheet. The boundary conditions on the vortex sheet are the continuity of pressure, giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \phi_{2}=\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial t} \tag{2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the kinematic condition of the continuity of particle displacement whlch implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left(v+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial x}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right\} H(x, y, t)=0 \tag{2-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(x, y, t)=y-h(x, t)$. Linearızing the equation, we have

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)(y-h)=0
$$

and

$$
-\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}-v \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial y}=0
$$

We get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \tag{2-5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sumılarly, for medium (I)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \tag{2-5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary condition for $x<0$ is the vanishing of the normal velocity on the splitter plate which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial y}=0, \quad h=0 \tag{2-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the half-range Fourler transforms of $\phi_{1}$ and $h$ as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{i+}(k, y, \omega)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi_{1}(x, y, t) e^{-i(k x-\omega t)} d x d t  \tag{2-7a}\\
& \overline{\bar{h}}_{+}(k, \omega)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} h(x, t) e^{-i(k x-\omega t)} d x d t  \tag{2-7b}\\
& \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{i-}(k, y, \omega)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{i}(x, y, t) e^{-1(k x-\omega t)} d x d t  \tag{2-7c}\\
& \frac{\bar{h}}{-}(k, \omega)=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{0} h(x, t) e^{-1(k x-\omega t)} d x d t . \tag{2-7d}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations $(2-4),(2-5 a),(2-5 b)$, and $(2-6)$ reduce to the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& -1 \omega \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2+}+\frac{V}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_{2}}{\partial x}(x, y, \omega) e^{-1 k x} d x=-1 \omega \bar{\phi}_{1+} \\
& \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2+}+\frac{V_{1}}{2 \pi \omega}\left[\left.\bar{\phi}_{2} e^{-i k x}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}+i k \int_{0}^{\infty} \bar{\phi}_{2} e^{-1 k x_{d x}}\right]=\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+} \\
& \alpha \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2+}+L_{1}=\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+} \tag{2-8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha=1-\frac{V k}{\omega} \\
& L_{1}=-\left.\frac{V i}{2 \pi \omega} \bar{\phi}_{2}(x, y, \omega)\right|_{x, y=0} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2+}}{\partial y} & =-i \omega \overline{\bar{h}}_{+}+\frac{v}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \bar{h}(x, y, \omega)}{\partial x} e^{-i k x} d_{d x} \\
& =-1 \omega \bar{h}_{+}+\frac{v}{2 \pi}\left[\left.\bar{h} e^{-i k x}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}+i k \int_{0}^{\infty} \bar{h} e^{-i k x_{d x}}\right] \\
& =-i \omega \alpha \bar{h}_{+} .
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we use the condition $\left.h\right|_{x=0}=\left.\bar{h}\right|_{x=0}=0$, because at the edge of the plate, the vortex sheet must attach to the plate. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+}}{\partial y}=-1 \overline{\bar{h}}_{+} \tag{2-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1-}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}}{\partial y}=0 \quad \overline{\bar{h}}_{-}=0 . \tag{2-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solutions to the set of the ordinary differential Eq. (2-3) can be easily found as:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}=A_{1}(k, \omega) e^{i \gamma_{1} y}+B_{1}(k, \omega) e^{-i \gamma_{1} y} \\
\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2}=A_{2}(k, \omega) e^{-i \gamma_{2} y}+B_{2}(k, \omega) e^{i \gamma_{2} y}-\frac{e^{-i k x_{0}}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2} \gamma_{2}} \sin \left[\gamma_{2}\left(y-y_{0}\right)\right] H\left(y-y_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right\}(2-12)
$$

where $H()$ is the Heaviside step function. The sign conventions of $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are chosen such that the signs of their imagınary part are always positive. Consequently, $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ terms of the solution are finite at Infinity for all values of $k$ and $w . B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ must be set to zero. Using the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}}{\partial y}\right|_{y=0}=\left.i \gamma_{1} \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}\right|_{y=0} \\
& \left.\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2}}{\partial y}\right|_{y=0}=-\left.i \gamma_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}\right|_{y=0}-\frac{e^{-i\left(k x_{0}+\gamma_{2} y_{0}\right)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}} \\
& \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}=\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{i+}+\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{i-}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the boundary conditions (2-9), (2-10), and (2-11), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+}\right|_{y=0}=-\frac{\omega \overline{\bar{h}}+}{\gamma_{1}}-\left.\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1-}\right|_{y=0}  \tag{2-13}\\
& \left.\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2+}\right|_{y=0}=\frac{\omega \alpha \overline{\bar{h}}_{+}}{\gamma_{2}}-\left.\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}\right|_{y=0}+\frac{i e^{-i\left(k x_{0}+\gamma_{2} y_{0}\right)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2} \gamma_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting these into Eq. (2-8) gives the Wıener-Hopf equation as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \overline{\bar{h}}_{+}=k\left(\left.\alpha \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}\right|_{y=0}-\left.\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1-}\right|_{y=0}-L_{1}\right)-\frac{i \gamma_{i} \alpha e^{-i\left(k x_{0}+\gamma_{2} y_{o}\right)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}\left(\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{1} \alpha^{2}\right)} \tag{2-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K=\frac{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{1} \alpha^{2}} .
$$

If we can decompose $K$ into plus and minus functions as:

$$
K=\frac{K_{-}}{K_{+}}
$$

we can rewrite the Wiener-Hopf equation as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega h_{+} K_{+}=K_{-}\left(\left.\alpha \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}\right|_{y=0}-\left.\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1-}\right|_{y=0}-L_{1}\right)-\frac{i \gamma_{1} \alpha e^{-i\left(k x_{0}+\gamma_{2} y_{0}\right)} K_{+}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}\left(\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{1} \alpha^{2}\right)} \tag{2-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term of Eq. (2-15) can be decomposed into the sum of plus and minus functions as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{1 \gamma_{1} \alpha e^{-1\left(k x_{0}+\gamma_{2} Y_{o}\right)} K_{+}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}\left(\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{1} \alpha^{2}\right)}=F_{+}+F_{-} \tag{2-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{+}, F_{-}$are given as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+}(k)=\frac{-1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C_{+}} \frac{F(\lambda)}{\lambda-k} d \lambda  \tag{2-17}\\
& F_{-}(k)=\frac{1}{2 \pi_{1}} \int_{C_{-}} \frac{F(\lambda)}{\lambda-k} d \lambda .
\end{align*}
$$

The exponential decay of $F(k)$ on the contour, since $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{2}>0, y_{0}<0$ ensures that these integrals exist and that $F_{+}(k)=0\left(|k|^{-1}\right), F_{-}(k)=0\left(|k|^{-1}\right)$ as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$. The meaning of the plus and minus functions and the decomposition will be discussed in the next chapter.

Substituting Eq. (2-16) into Eq. (2-15) completes the decomposition, to give:

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{\bar{h}_{+}} K_{+}+F_{+} & =K_{-}\left(\left.\alpha \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}\right|_{y=0}-\left.\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1-}\right|_{y=0}-L_{1}\right)-F_{1} \\
& =C(k) . \tag{2-18}
\end{align*}
$$

The function $C(k)$ defined by Eq. (2-18) is an entire function of $k$ and must be a regular function of $k$ in the whole $k$-plane. If $C(k)$ is determined, $\omega_{+}^{\overline{h^{2}}}$ is glven as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \overline{\bar{h}_{+}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{+}}\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{k})-\mathrm{F}_{+}\right) \tag{2-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (2-13)

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=\frac{-C(k)+F_{+}}{\gamma_{1} K_{+}} \\
& A_{2}=\frac{\alpha}{\gamma_{2} K_{+}}\left[C(k)-F_{+}\right]+\frac{i e^{-i k x_{0}}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2} \gamma_{2}} \cos \gamma_{2} Y_{0} . \tag{2-20}
\end{align*}
$$

The solutions in the transformed region are determined.

## CHAPTER 3

## DECOMPOSITION OF THE FUNCTIONS

First, let us construct the branch cuts of $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ in the k-plane. To do this, the sign of Imw has to be investigated. One of the ways to determine the sign of $\operatorname{Im} \|$ is to consider the wave equation with damping [8].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \phi-\frac{1}{a^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}-\frac{\varepsilon}{a} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}=0, \quad \varepsilon>0 \tag{3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Carrying out the Fourıer transform, defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi e^{i \omega t} d t \tag{3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (3-1) reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \bar{\phi}+\frac{\omega^{2}+ı \omega \varepsilon}{a^{2}} \bar{\phi}=0 \tag{3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is assumed to be real. Alternatively, assuming $\omega$ to be complex as $\omega=\omega_{1}+1 \omega_{2}$, where $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are real and taking the wave equation which does not have damping,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \phi-\frac{1}{a^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{3-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Carrying out the Fourier transform, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \bar{\phi}+\frac{\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}^{2}+2 \omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{i}}{a^{2}} \bar{\phi}=0 \tag{3-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing Eq. (3-3) and (3-5), it is concluded that assuming $\omega$ is real and considering the wave equation which includes damping is equivalent to assuming that $\omega$ is complex and considering the wave equation without damping, if Imw>0. Therefore we should assume Imw $>0$.

The sign of Imw can also be determined through the causality condition which requires that no disturbance should be present before time $t=0$. In order to satisfy this, the contour of inverse transform has to lie in the upper-half domain of the $\omega$-plane, which indicates that Imw $>0$. The causality condition will be discussed in Chapter 4.

Consıderation of the radiation condition gives another way to determine the sign of $I m \omega$. Consider the branch cuts of $\gamma_{1}$ in the $k$-plane. For simplicity, assume Re $\omega>0$. $I_{m} \gamma_{1}$ must be positive according to the commitment made in Chapter 2. Two kinds of branch cuts are possible as shown in Figure 3-1. For the case $\operatorname{Im} \|>0, \operatorname{Re}_{1}$ is positive on the real axis and considering the form of the inverse transform:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\iint A(k, \omega) e^{i \gamma_{1} Y+1 k x-i \omega t} d \omega d k \tag{3-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

this indicates the outgoing wave in $y$-direction. On the other hand, for the case $\operatorname{Im} \omega<0, \operatorname{Re}_{1}$ is negative on the real axis, which indicates the incomang wave in $y$-direction. Therefore Imw should be positive.

$\bigcirc$ indicates the argument of $\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{a}+k}$
$\square$ indicates the argument of $\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{a}-k}$
Figure 3-1 Branch Cuts of $\gamma_{1}$ in $k$-Plane

In all these methods, the common idea is to set a direction in time space, from the past to the future.

The poles of $K$ are discussed in detail in Appendix A. The results are as follows: When $2>M$, there are two complex poles at:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=u(M) k_{0} \tag{3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\overline{\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{M}) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}}}=\mathrm{u}^{*}(\mathrm{M}) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}} \tag{3-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{u(M)}$ indicates the complex conjugate of $u(M)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(M)=\frac{M+\sqrt{M^{2}+4-4 \sqrt{M^{2}+1}}}{2 \sqrt{M^{2}+1}-2} \tag{3-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
k_{o}=\omega / a .
$$

The poles $k=u(M) k_{o}, k=u^{*}(M) k_{o}$ are assoclated with instability of the vortex sheet when $M<2 \sqrt{2}$ and play a prominent role in the solution of the problem. They are therefore displayed explicitly by defining $\mu(k)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(k)=\frac{\gamma_{1}(k) \gamma_{2}(k)}{\mu(k) \quad\left(k-u(M) k_{0}\right)\left(k-u^{*}(M) k_{0}\right)} \tag{3-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek the radiating acoustic solutions that decay like

$$
e^{-\operatorname{Im} k_{o}|x|}
$$

or

$$
e^{-\mathrm{Im}_{0}|\mathrm{x}| /(1 \pm M)}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Thus, all plus functions, denoted by a subscript + , will be analytic in the region

$$
\begin{equation*}
I m k<\frac{I m k_{o}}{I+M} \tag{3-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

while all minus functions, denoted by a subscript -, are analytlc for $k$ in the region

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Imk}>-I m k_{o} \tag{3-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is evidently a strip

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I m k_{o}}{I+M}>\operatorname{Imk}>-I m k_{o} \quad: \text { Region } A \tag{3-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which full-range transforms of $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$, and $h$ are analytic functions of $k$.

We consider the case $M<1$. In this problem the branch cuts of $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ should take the form as shown in Figure 3-2 to satisfy the commitment that $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{I}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{2}$ must be positive on the real axis. The branch cuts of $\sqrt{k_{0}-M k+k}$ and $\sqrt{k_{0}+k}$ lie in Region $B$ and the branch cuts of $\sqrt{k_{0}-M k-k}$ and $\sqrt{k_{0}-k}$ lie in Region $C$ even though $\omega$ changes it value as long as $\operatorname{Im} \omega>0$, where Region $B$ and Region $C$ are defined as:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Region B: } & I m k<-I m k_{O} \\ \text { Region C: } & I m k>\frac{I m k}{1+M}\end{array}$


Figure 3-2 Branch Cuts and the Common Region of Analyticity

$\begin{aligned} & \text { Figure 3-3 } \begin{array}{l}\text { The Position of the Poles in the } k-P l a n e ~\end{array} \\ & \text { According to the Value in the } \omega \text {-Plane }\end{aligned}$

The functions $\mu(k), \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ are split into two parts such that:

$$
\mu(k)=\mu_{+}(k) / \mu_{-}(k), \quad \gamma_{1}=\gamma_{1-} / \gamma_{1+\prime} \quad \gamma_{2}=\gamma_{2-} / \gamma_{2+}
$$

From the branch cuts we made previously it is apparent that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{1+}(k)=\left(k_{0}^{-k}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \gamma_{1-}(k)=\left(k_{0}+k\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{3-14}\\
& \gamma_{2+}(k)=\left(k_{0}^{-M k-k)^{-1 / 2}}\right. \\
& \gamma_{2-}(k)=\left(k_{0}^{-M k+k)^{1 / 2}} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

The calculation of $\mu_{+}(k)$ and $\mu_{-}(k)$ is presented in Appendix B. The difficulty arıses from the poles $k=u(M) k_{0}$ and $k=u^{*}(M) k_{0}$. As can be seen in Figure 3-3, the position of the poles in the $k$-plane changes according to the value of $\omega$. As a result, we cannot determine whether the terms $\left[k-u(M) k_{o}\right],\left[k-u^{*}(M) k_{o}\right]$ work as a plus function or a minus function.

The causality condition requires that no disturbance be present before time $t=0$. Let the transformed solution have two poles at $k=u(M) k_{0}$ and $k=u^{*}(M) k_{o}$, which is the case in our problem. First, consider the inverse transform with respect to $\omega$, assuming that $k$ is real. It is apparent that to satisfy causalıty, the contour must lie above all the singularities in the $\omega$-plane. In other words, the contour is deformed so that it always includes poles as shown in Figure 4-1. Then the branch cuts are constructed so that the radiation condition is satısıfed along its contour; namely,
$\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}>0 \quad$ along the real axis and the position of the pole enclosed by the deformed contour,
$\operatorname{Re} \omega \cdot \operatorname{Re} \gamma_{1}>0$ along the real axis and the position of the pole enclosed by the deformed contour.


Figure 4-1 Contour of Integration and Branch Cuts in $\omega$-Plane

The condltion (4-1b) indicates that the solution leads to outgoing waves in $y>0$. It is not easy to express the radiation condition for $y<0$ because of the moving fluld. However, one can determine the branch cuts of $\gamma_{2}$ in such a way that when $M \rightarrow 0$ they coinclde with those of $\gamma_{1}$. These branch cuts are also shown in Figure 4-1. To obtain the final result, integration is carried out with respect to $k$ along the real axis.

Next, consider the 1 nverse transform with respect to $k$, first assuming that $\omega$ is real or has a very small positive 1 maginary part.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}(x, w)=\int_{C_{k}} \overline{\bar{f}}(k, w) e^{i k x_{d k}} \tag{4-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we integrate it along the real axis, $\overline{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{x}, \omega)$ has singularıties in the $\omega$-plane when the poles $k=u(M) k_{o}, k=u^{*}(M) k_{o}$ hit the contour as $\omega$ changes its value as can be seen in Figure 4-2.


Figure 4-2 Movement of the Poles as $\omega$ Changes from $-\infty$ to $\infty$

There is no proper contour in the $\omega$-plane which satısfies causality because of these singularitıes. To overcome this, the contour $C_{k}$ is deformed in such a way that after the pole hits the real axis in the $k$-plane, the contour always includes this pole as shown in Figure 4-3 and as a result the singularities disappear. The branch cuts in the $k$-plane have already been made in Chapter 3 and along the real axis the conditions (4-1) are satısıfed. We have no cholce in making the branch cuts to satisfy condıtıons (4-1) at the posıtion of the pole enclosed by the deformed contour except for the geometrical relation between the branch cuts and the poles. However, we have a choice in the deformation of the contour. The contour may be deformed to include the pole in the upper-half plane as shown in Figure 4-3(a), or the contour may be deformed to include the pole in the lower-half plane as shown in Figure 4-3(b).


Figure 4-3 Contour of Integration in $k-P l a n e$ when Re $\omega>0$

Consider the case Re $\omega>0$. The relation between the two branch cuts of $\gamma_{1}$ and two poles is as Case I or Case II shown in Figure 4-4(a). According to the branch cuts defined in Chapter 3 at the pole $k=u(M) k_{o}$ we have:

Case I

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\arg \left(k_{o}-k\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{\theta_{a}}{2} & \text { with } \frac{\pi}{2}>\theta_{a}>0 \\
\arg \left(k_{o}+k\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{\theta_{b}}{2} & \text { with } \frac{\pi}{2}>\theta_{b}>0 \tag{4-3b}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arg \gamma_{1}=\frac{\pi+\theta_{a}+\theta_{b}}{2}  \tag{4-3c}\\
& \pi>\arg \gamma_{1}>\frac{\pi}{2}, \tag{4-3d}
\end{align*}
$$

Case II

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arg \left(k_{o}-k\right)^{1 / 2}=-\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{\theta_{a}}{2}  \tag{4-4a}\\
& \arg \left(k_{o}+k\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{\theta_{b}}{2} \tag{4-4b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg \gamma_{1}=\frac{-\pi+\theta_{a}+\theta_{b}}{2} \tag{4-4c}
\end{equation*}
$$

$0>\arg \gamma_{1}>-\frac{\pi}{2}$.

Therefore at the pole $k=u(M) k_{o}$, condıtıon (4-la) is satisifed but (4-lb) is not satisfied in Case $I$, and condition (4-lb) is satisifed but (4-la) 1s not satisfled in Case II.


Figure 4-4 Relation Between Branch Cuts and Poles

At the pole $k=u(M) * k_{o}$, both Case I and Case II give:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arg \left(k_{o}-k\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{\theta_{a}}{2}  \tag{4-5a}\\
& \arg \left(k_{o}+k\right)^{1 / 2}=-\frac{\theta_{b}}{2}, \tag{4-5b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arg \gamma_{1}=\frac{\pi-\theta_{a}-\theta_{b}}{2}  \tag{4-5c}\\
& \frac{\pi}{2}>\arg \gamma_{1}>0 . \tag{4-5d}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, at the pole $k=u^{*}(M) k_{o}, \gamma_{1}$ satisfies both conditions (4-la) and (4-1b).

Next, consıder the case Rew < 0. The relation between the branch cuts and two poles is as shown in Figure 4-4(b). Similarly, according to the branch cuts defined in Chapter 3 at the pole $k=u^{*}(M) k_{o}$ we have:

## Case I

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\arg \left(k_{o}-k\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{-\theta_{c}}{2} & \text { with } \quad \frac{\pi}{2}>\theta_{c}>0 \\
\arg \left(k_{o}+k\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{\theta_{d}}{2} & \text { with } \pi>\theta_{d}>\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{4-6b}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arg \gamma_{1}=\frac{\theta^{-\theta} c}{2}  \tag{4-6c}\\
& \frac{\pi}{2}>\arg \gamma_{1}>0, \tag{4-6~d}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arg \left(k_{o}-k\right)^{1 / 2}=\pi-\frac{\theta_{c}}{2}  \tag{4-7a}\\
& \arg \left(k_{o}+k\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{\theta_{\mathrm{d}}}{2} \tag{4-7b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arg \gamma_{1}=\frac{2 \pi-\theta_{c}+\theta_{d}}{2}  \tag{4-7c}\\
& \frac{3}{2} \pi>\arg \gamma_{1}>\pi \tag{4-7d}
\end{align*}
$$

At the pole $k=u^{*}(M) k_{o}$, conditıon (4-la) is satisfled but (4-1b) is not satısfied in Case $I$, and condition (4-1b) is satisifed but (4-1a) is not satisifed in Case II.

At the pole $k=u(M) k_{o}$, both Case $I$ and Case II give:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arg \left(k_{o}-k\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{\theta_{c}}{2}  \tag{4-8a}\\
& \arg \left(k_{o}+k\right)^{1 / 2}=\pi-\frac{\theta_{d}}{2} \tag{4-8b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arg \gamma_{1}=\frac{2 \pi+\theta_{c}-\theta_{d}}{2}  \tag{4-8c}\\
& \pi>\arg \gamma_{1}>\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{4-8d}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, at the pole $k=u(M) k_{0}, \gamma_{1}$ satisfies both conditions (4-1a) and (4-1b).

It is concluded that if we deform the contour so that all the poles are above the contour, radiation conditıons (4-1) are satisfied at the position of the poles $k=u(M) k_{0}, k=u^{*}(M) k_{o}$, as well as along the real axis, whereas if we deform the contour so that all the poles are below the contour, radiation conditions are not satisfied. Therefore, the contour must be deformed to include all the poles above it.

It is obvious that the contour obtained here $1 s$ also valid for the infinite vortex sheet problem and it is easily shown that the contribution from these poles coincides whth the homogeneous solution obtained by Jones et al. [1-5].

The decomposition of the functions in the Wiener-Hopf technique 15 slightly changed. As the contour of inverse transform must lie in the common region of analyticity, the common region of analyticity has to be deformed in a similar way. Plus functions are defined as the functions which are analytic below the contour and minus functions are defined as the functions which are analytic above the contour. Then the decomposition of $K(k)$ is determined uniquely as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{+}=\gamma_{1+} \gamma_{2+} \mu_{+}(k) \quad\left\{k-u(M) k_{0}\right\}\left\{k-u^{*}(M) k_{0}\right\}  \tag{4-9a}\\
& K_{-}=\gamma_{1-} \gamma_{2-} \mu_{-}(k) . \tag{4-9b}
\end{align*}
$$

The Wiener-Hopf equation is given in Chapter 2 as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \overline{\bar{h}} K_{+}+F_{+}=K_{-}\left(\left.\alpha \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}\right|_{Y=0}-\left.\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1-}\right|_{Y=0}-L_{1}\right)-F_{-}=c(k) \tag{5-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=1-\frac{V k}{\omega} \\
& L_{1}=-\left.\frac{V i}{2 \pi \omega} \bar{\phi}_{2}(x, \omega)\right|_{x=0, y=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$C(k)$ is the entire function of $k$ and plus functions and minus functions are analytıc below and above the contour determined in Chapter 4, respectively. Near the edge of the plate at the origin.we assume:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\phi}_{1}(x, \omega) \rightarrow c_{1}(\omega) & \text { as } x \rightarrow-0 \text { on } y=+0 \\
\bar{\phi}_{2}(x, \omega) \rightarrow c_{2}(\omega) & \text { as } x \rightarrow-0 \text { on } y=-0 \\
\bar{\phi}_{2}(x, \omega)-\bar{\phi}_{2}(0, \omega)=0\left(x^{\ell}\right) & \text { as } x \rightarrow+0 \text { on } y=-0 \\
\bar{h}(x, \omega)=0\left(x^{\ell}\right) & \text { as } x \rightarrow+0 \text { on } y=+0 \tag{5-2d}
\end{array}
$$

where $\ell_{1} \geq 1, \ell_{2}>0$. In these conditions, the expression $x \rightarrow-0, y=+0$, for example, means that $x$ tends to zero through negative value of $x$ on the upper side of the plate. The $C_{i}(\omega)$ are functions of $\omega$ and need not be known explicitly.

The third condition indicates that the $x$-component of the disturbed velocity is finite below the vortex sheet at the edge. The fourth condition is the requirement that the vortex sheet should attach to the edge of the plate.

Carryang out the half-range Fourıer transform defined by Eq. (2-7), the asymptotic behavior of the above functions can be calculated with the aid of the Abellan theorem as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1-}(k, w)=0\left(|k|^{-1}\right) \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \ln \operatorname{Im} k>-I m k_{0} \\
& \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}(k, \omega)=0\left(|k|^{-1}\right) \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { in } \operatorname{Imk}>-I_{m k} \quad \text { (5-3b) } \\
& \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}(k, \omega)-\frac{i \bar{\phi}_{2}(0, \omega)}{2 \pi k}=0\left(|k|^{-1-\ell} 1\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { in Imk }>- \text { Ink }{ }_{0} \\
& \overline{\bar{h}}_{+}(k, \omega)=0\left(|k|^{-1-\ell_{2}}\right) \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { in } \operatorname{Imk}<\frac{I_{m k}}{I+M} . \quad(5-3 d)
\end{aligned}
$$

$F_{+}(k), F_{-}(k)$ are given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{+}(k)=\frac{-1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C_{+}} \frac{F(\lambda)}{\lambda-k} d \lambda \tag{5-4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{-}(k)=\frac{1}{2 \pi 1} \int_{C} \frac{F(\lambda)}{\lambda-k} d \lambda \tag{5-4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\lambda)=\frac{i \gamma_{1}(\lambda) \alpha e^{-1\left(\lambda x_{0}+\gamma_{2}(\lambda) Y_{0}\right)_{K_{+}}}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}\left(\gamma_{2}(\lambda)+\gamma_{1}(\lambda) \alpha^{2}\right)}=\frac{1 \mu_{-}(\lambda) \gamma_{1-}^{2}(\lambda) \gamma_{2+}(\lambda) \alpha e^{-i\left(\lambda x_{0}+\gamma_{2}(\lambda) Y_{0}\right)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}} \tag{5-4c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F(\lambda)$ does not have a pole at $\lambda=u(M) k_{o}$ nor $\lambda=u *(M) k_{0}$, the contour $C_{+}$and $C_{-}$need not be deformed and lie in Region A defined in Chapter 3. $C_{+}$passes above $\lambda=k$ whıle $C_{\text {_ }}$ passes below $\lambda=k$. The exponential decay of $F(\lambda)$ on the contour, since $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{2}>0, y_{0}<0$ ensures that these integrals exıst and that $F_{+}(k)=0\left(|k|^{-1}\right), F_{-}(k)=0\left(|k|^{-1}\right)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in respectuve regions. The asymptotic behavior of $\mu_{+}(k), \mu_{-}(k)$ is calculated in Appendix B as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mu_{+}(k)=0\left(|k|^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { in Imk }<\frac{I m k_{0}}{I+M} \\
\mu_{-}(k)=0\left(|k|^{-1 / 2}\right) & \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { In Imk }>-\operatorname{Imk}_{0} . \tag{5-5b}
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, the asymptotic behavior of the known functions in the WienerHopf equation can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
K_{+}(k)=0\left(|k|^{3 / 2}\right) & \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \\
K_{-}(k)=0\left(|k|^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { Imk }<\frac{I m k_{0}}{I+M} \\
F_{+}(k)=0\left(|k|^{-1}\right) & \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { In } \operatorname{Imk}<\frac{I m k_{o}}{I+M} \\
F_{-}(k)=0\left(|k|^{-1}\right) & \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { in } I m k>-I m k_{0} . \tag{5-6d}
\end{array}
$$

First we calculate the value of minus functions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=-\left.\frac{V_{1}}{2 \pi \omega} \bar{\phi}_{2}(0, \omega)\right|_{y=0} \\
& =-\left.\frac{\mathrm{Vk}}{\omega} \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2}(k, \omega)\right|_{y=0}+o\left(|k|^{-l} 1\right) \\
& \left.K_{-}\left(\left.\alpha \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}\right|_{Y=0}-\left.\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1-}\right|_{Y=0}-L_{1}\right)-F_{-}=\left.\left.K_{-}\right|_{\bar{\phi}_{2-}}\right|_{Y=0}-\left.\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1-}\right|_{Y=0}+0\left(|k|^{-\ell} 1\right)\right\}_{-}-F_{-} \\
& =0\left(|k|^{1 / 2-\ell} 1\right) \text {, as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { in } I m k>-I_{m} k_{0} . \\
& \text { (5-8) }
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\ell_{1} \geq 1$, the value of the entire function $C(k)$ must be 1dentically zero because of Liouville's theorem. Next, calculate plus functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \overline{\bar{h}}_{+} K_{+}+F_{+}=0\left(|k|^{1 / 2-\ell_{2}}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { in } I m k<\frac{I m k_{o}}{1+M} . \tag{5-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to make $C(k)$ equal zero, $\ell_{2}>1 / 2$. If we set $C(k)=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \overline{\bar{h}}_{+}=\frac{-F_{+}}{K_{+}}=0\left(|k|^{-5 / 2}\right) \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { in } \operatorname{Imk}<\frac{I m k_{o}}{1+M} \tag{5-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}(x, w)=0\left(x^{3 / 2}\right), \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow+0 \text { on } y=0 \tag{5-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as a result the slope of the vortex sheet becomes zero at the edge of the plate as well as the displacement of the vortex sheet (full Kutta condition).

## BEHAVIOR OF THE SOLUTION WHEN M TENDS TO ZERO

The behavior of the solution near the edge of the plate 1 s different from that of the Sommerfeld half-plane diffraction problem (without the flow). Let us examine the $y$-component of the velocity on the vortex sheet near the edge of the plate.

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{+} & =\gamma_{1+} \gamma_{2+} \mu_{+}(k)\left\{k-u(M) k_{0}\right\}\left\{k-u^{*}(M) k_{0}\right\} \\
& =\gamma_{1+} \gamma_{2+}\left(\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{1} \alpha^{2}\right) \mu_{-}(k), \tag{6-1}
\end{align*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mu+(k)=\frac{\left(\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{1} \alpha^{2}\right) \mu_{-}(k)}{\left(k-u(M) k_{o}\right)\left(k-u^{*}(M) k_{o}\right)}\right) \tag{6-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotic behavzor of $\mu_{-}(k)$ is given in Appendix B as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{-}(k)=0\left(M^{-1}|k|^{-1 / 2}\right) ; \tag{6-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{+}(k)=0\left\{M^{-1}|k|^{-1 / 2}\left(1+M|k|+M^{2}|k|^{2}\right)\right\} . \tag{6-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotic behavior of $F_{+}(k)$ is given in Chapter 5 as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{+}(k)=O\left(M^{-1}|k|^{-1}\right) \tag{6-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore in our problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+}}{\partial y}=0\left\{|k|^{-1 / 2}\left(1+M|k|+M^{2}|k|^{2}\right)^{-1}\right\}  \tag{6-6a}\\
& \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2+}}{\partial Y}=0\left\{|k|^{-1 / 2}(1+M|k|)\left(1+M|k|+M^{2}|k|^{2}\right)^{-1}\right\} \tag{6-6b}
\end{align*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+}}{\partial y} & =-i \omega \overline{h_{+}} \\
& =\frac{1 F_{+}}{K_{+}} \tag{6-7a}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2+}}{\partial y} & =-i \omega \alpha \overline{\bar{h}} \\
& =\frac{1 \alpha F_{+}}{K_{+}} \tag{6-7b}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the dependence of the asymptotic behavior on $M$ is displayed explıcitly. If $M$ is finite

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{I+}}{\partial y}=0\left(|k|^{-5 / 2}\right)  \tag{6-8a}\\
& \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2+}}{\partial y}=0\left(|k|^{-3 / 2}\right) . \tag{6-8b}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the Abelian theorem implies

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_{1}}{\partial y}=0\left(x^{3 / 2}\right) & \text { as } x \rightarrow+0 \text { on } y=+0 \\
\frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_{2}}{\partial y}=0\left(x^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { as } x \rightarrow+0 \text { on } y=-0 . \tag{6-9b}
\end{array}
$$

In the Sommerfeld problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2}}{\partial y}=0\left(|k|^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{6-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_{1}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_{2}}{\partial y}=0\left(x^{-1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow+0 \text { on } y=0 \tag{6-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these expressions the asymptotic behavior is calculated when $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the lower half-plane of the $k$-plane defined in each problem respectively. If $M \rightarrow 0$ and $M k \rightarrow 0$, the asymptotic behavior of the two problems coincides. In other words, the existence of the flow smooths the edge behavior of the solution. In fact, for the small Mach number

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(M) \approx \frac{1+i}{M}  \tag{6-12a}\\
& u^{*}(M) \approx \frac{1-i}{M} \tag{6-12b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{1}^{2}(k) \approx \gamma_{2}^{2}(k) \approx k_{0}^{2}-k^{2}  \tag{6-13a}\\
& \gamma_{1+}(k) \approx \gamma_{2+}(k) \approx\left(k_{0}-k\right)^{-1 / 2}  \tag{6-13b}\\
& \gamma_{1-}(k) \approx \gamma_{2-}(k) \approx\left(k_{0}+k\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{6-13c}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{-}(k) \approx \frac{\left(k_{0}+k\right)^{-1 / 2}}{M}  \tag{6-13d}\\
& \mu_{+}(k) \approx \frac{\left(k_{0}^{-k}\right)^{1 / 2} M}{k_{0}^{2}} \tag{6-13e}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{+}(k)=\frac{M\left(k-u(M) k_{0}\right)\left(k-u^{*}(M) k_{0}\right)}{k_{0}^{2}\left(k_{0}-k\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
& F_{+}(k)=-\int_{C+} \frac{\alpha(\lambda) e^{-i\left(\lambda x_{0}+\gamma_{2}(\lambda) y_{0}\right) d \lambda}}{8 \pi^{3} a^{2}\left(k_{0}-\lambda\right)^{1 / 2}(\lambda-k) M} \\
& \Phi_{1}(x, y, \omega)=-\int_{C_{k}} \int_{C_{+}} \frac{k_{0}^{2} \alpha(\lambda) e^{-1\left(\lambda x_{0}+\gamma_{2}(\lambda) y_{o}-k x-\gamma_{1}(k) y\right)}}{8 \pi^{3} a^{2}\left(k_{0}-\lambda\right)^{1 / 2}(\lambda-k) M^{2}\left(k-u(M) k_{0}\right)\left(k-u^{*}(M) k_{0}\right)\left(k_{0}+k\right)^{1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(6-14c)

As $M \rightarrow 0$ and $M k \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \rightarrow 1 \tag{6-15a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M^{2}\left(k-u k_{o}\right)\left(k-u *_{o}\right)}{k_{o}^{2}}=1+\alpha^{2}+2 \tag{6-15b}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\bar{\phi}_{1}(x, y, \omega)=-\int_{C_{k} C_{+}} \frac{e^{-i\left(\lambda x_{0}+\gamma_{1}(\lambda) y_{0}-k x-\gamma_{1}(k) y\right)}}{16 \pi^{3} a^{2}\left(k_{0}-\lambda\right)^{1 / 2}\left(k_{0}+k\right)^{1 / 2}(\lambda-k)} d \lambda d k \cdot(6-16)
$$

The solution coincides with that of the Sommerfeld problem discussed in Appendix $C$.

## CHAPTER 7

## TRANSMITTED SOUND FIELD

The transmitted sound field is formally given by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=\int_{C_{\omega}} \int_{C_{k}} \frac{F_{+}(k)}{\gamma_{1} K_{+}} e^{i k x-1 \omega t+1 \gamma_{1} Y} d k d \omega \tag{7-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{k}$ and $C_{\omega}$ are the contours discussed in Chapter 4 and

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+}(k)=\frac{-1}{2 \pi 1} \int_{C_{+}} \frac{F(\lambda)}{\lambda-k} d \lambda  \tag{7-2a}\\
& F(\lambda)=\frac{1 \mu_{-}(\lambda) \gamma_{1-}(\lambda) \gamma_{2+}(\lambda) \alpha(\lambda) e^{-1\left(\lambda x_{o}+\gamma_{2}(\lambda) y_{o}\right)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}} \tag{7-2b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{+}$passes above $\lambda=k$. If we change the contour of the integration so that $C_{+}$passes below $\lambda=k$, the contribution from the pole $\lambda=k$ must be added to the integration, which gives

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{+}(k) & =F(k)-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C_{+}} \frac{F(\lambda)}{\lambda-k} d \lambda \\
& =F(k)-F_{-}(k) . \tag{7-3}
\end{align*}
$$

The transmitted sound field $\phi_{t}$ due to $F(k)$ is given as

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{t} & =\int_{C_{\omega}} \int_{C_{k}} \frac{1 \gamma_{1-} \gamma_{2+}^{\mu}-(k) \alpha e^{i k x-1 \omega t+i \gamma_{1} y-i k x_{0}-i \gamma_{2} y_{o}}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2} \gamma_{1} k_{+}} d k d \omega \\
& =\int_{C_{\omega}} \int_{C_{k}} \frac{1 \alpha e^{1 k x-i \omega t+1 \gamma_{1} y-1 k x_{0}-i \gamma_{2} y_{o}}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}\left(\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{1} \alpha^{2}\right)} d k d \omega, \tag{7-4}
\end{align*}
$$

which is exactly the same as the solution for a doubly infinite vortex sheet problem without a rıgid plate as can be shown in Appendix $D$. Therefore, $F_{\mathbf{Z}}(k)$ is considered to indicate the effect of a semi-infinite plate on the doubly infinite vortex sheet sound field.

In this chapter we carry out the integration (7-1) with respect to $k$. The integration with respect to $\omega$ is carried out in Chapter 9. The advantages of integrating with respect to $\mathbf{k}$ first are:
(1) After the integration with respect to $k$, the time harmonic solution is obtained. The time harmonic factor is assumed to be $e^{-i \omega t}$.
(11) The geometrical relation between the source and the observer is more clearly investigated as will be shown later.

Introducing the new parameters

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta=k / k_{0}  \tag{7-5}\\
& v=\lambda / k_{o_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

the time harmonic solution of the transmıtted field can be written as:
$\bar{\phi}_{1}(x, y, \omega)=-\iint_{C_{\zeta} C_{v}} \frac{v_{-}(\nu) w_{1-}(\nu) w_{2+}(\nu)(1-M \nu) e^{-1 k_{0}\left(\nu x_{0}+w_{2}(\nu) y_{0}-\zeta x_{0} w_{1}(\zeta) y\right)}}{8 \pi^{3} a^{2}(\nu-\zeta)(\zeta-u(M))\left(\zeta-u^{*}(M)\right) w_{1-}(\zeta) w_{2+}(\zeta) v_{+}(\zeta)} d v d \zeta$
where $w_{1}(\zeta), w_{2}(\zeta), w_{1-}(\zeta), w_{2+}(\zeta), v_{+}(\zeta)$, and $\nu_{-}(\zeta)$ are the counterparts of $\gamma_{1}(k), \gamma_{2}(k), \gamma_{1-}(k), \gamma_{2+}(k), \mu_{+}(k)$, and $\mu_{-}(k)$, respectively, and are defined as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{1}^{2}(\zeta)=1-\zeta^{2} \\
& w_{2}^{2}(\zeta)=(1-M \zeta)^{2}-\zeta^{2} \\
& w_{1-}(\zeta)=(1+\zeta)^{1 / 2} \\
& w_{2+}(\zeta)=(1-M \zeta-\zeta)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \ln \nu_{-}(\zeta)=-\ln M(1+\zeta)^{1 / 2}-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{M-1}}^{-1} \frac{\arctan \frac{\left(1-M^{2} v^{2}\right)\left|w_{1}(v)\right|}{\left|w_{2}(v)\right|}-\frac{\pi}{2}}{v-\zeta} d \nu \\
& v_{+}(\zeta)=\frac{\left\{w_{2}(\zeta)+w_{1}(\zeta)(I-M \zeta)^{2}\right\} v_{-}(\zeta)}{(\zeta-u(M))\left(\zeta-u^{*}(M)\right)} . \tag{7-7}
\end{align*}
$$

The branch cuts of $w_{1}(\zeta)$ lie from -1 to $-\infty$ and from 1 to $\infty$ and the branch cuts of $w_{2}(\zeta)$ lie from $\frac{l}{M-1}$ to $-\infty$ and from $\frac{l}{M+1}$ to $\infty$ in the $\zeta$-plane, which correspond to the branch cuts of $\gamma_{1}(k)$ from $-k_{0}$ to $\infty e^{-i \beta}$ and from $k_{0}$ to $\infty e^{i \beta}$, and the branch cuts of $\gamma_{2}(k)$ from $\frac{k_{0}}{M-1}$ to $\infty e^{-i \beta}$ and from $\frac{k_{0}}{1+M}$ to $\infty e^{i \beta}$ in the k-plane, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\arg k_{0} \tag{7-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since this rotation of the branch cuts does not affect the radiation conditıons as dıscussed in Chapter 4, this is permıssible. The contours of the integration $C_{\nu}$ and $C_{\zeta}$ are shown in Figure 7-1. In the following figures we draw two contours on the same plane because after the integration with respect to $v$, the pole $v=\zeta$ is the contour of the next integration and therefore their relation can be easily shown.

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{0}=-\left(1-M^{2}\right) r_{0} \cos _{0}^{\theta_{0}} \\
& y_{0}=-\left(1-M^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} r_{0} \sin \theta_{0} . \tag{7-9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{0}=\arctan \frac{y_{0} \sqrt{1-M^{2}}}{x_{0}} \quad \pi>\theta_{0}>0 \tag{7-10}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7-1 Branch Cuts and Integration Paths

Deform the contour $c_{v}$ into the curve $c_{1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=\frac{\cos \left(\theta_{0}+i \tau\right)-M}{1-M^{2}} \tag{7-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau$ is real and runs from $-\infty$ to $\infty$. This curve $1 s$ a branch of a hyperbola with asymptotes $\nu=e^{ \pm i \theta_{0}}$ and has a vertex at

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{\cos \theta_{0}-M}{1-M^{2}} \tag{7-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This vertex lies between the branch point $v=-1$ and $v=\frac{1}{1+M}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta_{0}>M^{2}+M-1 \tag{7-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, if Eq. (7-13) is satisifed, the contour can be deformed into the hyperbola without cutting the branch lines and we restrict the position of the line source in this region. The region expressed by Eq. (7-13) depends on the Mach number $M$, and as is shown in Figure 7-2, when $M$ is small it covers almost all the moving flow and when $M$ approaches 1 it is restructed to a small region.


Figure 7-2 The Region Expressed by Eq. (7-13)

The contour of integration $C_{\zeta}$ is deformed in a simılar way. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=r \cos \theta \\
& y=r \sin \theta \tag{7-14}
\end{align*}
$$

with $r>0$ and $\pi>\theta>0$. Deform the contour $C_{\zeta}$ anto the curve $C_{\zeta 1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\cos \left(\theta+i \tau_{1}\right) \tag{7-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{1}$ is real and runs from $-\infty$ to $\infty$. This curve is a branch of a hyperbola with asymptotes $\zeta=e^{ \pm i \theta}$ and has a vertex at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\cos \theta . \tag{7-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This vertex lies between the branch points $\zeta=-1$ and $\zeta=\frac{1}{1+M}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta<\frac{1}{1+M} . \tag{7-17a}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta<\frac{1}{1+M} \tag{7-17b}
\end{equation*}
$$

the contrabution from the branch cut must be added. In both deformations, no contribution occurs from'the linking arcs at infinity. Then on the contours the exponential part of the integration (7-6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1 k_{0}\left(\nu x_{0}+w_{2}(\nu) y_{0}-\zeta x-w_{1}(\zeta) y\right)=-i k_{0}\left(-r_{0} \cosh \tau+r_{0} M \cos \theta_{0}-r \cosh \tau_{1}\right) \tag{7-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration takes a different form according to the geometrical relation between the position of the line source and the observer as follows.


Figure 7-3 Region Expressed by Equation (7-21)

## Region 1

## When

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta<\frac{\cos \theta_{o}-M}{1-M^{2}} \tag{7-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the real geometric angle and length defined by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{0}=-r_{1} \cos \theta_{1}  \tag{7-20}\\
& y_{0}=-r_{1} \sin \theta_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (7-19) can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta>\theta_{2} \tag{7-2I}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta_{2}=\frac{\cos \theta_{1}}{\sqrt{1-M^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}\left(1-M^{2}\right)}-\frac{M}{1-M^{2}} \tag{7-2la}
\end{equation*}
$$

The restriction of the angle (7-13) ensures that Eq. (7-21a) always has the root. The region expressed by Eq. (7-21) is shown in Figure 7-3. If Eq. (7-19) is satisifed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta_{0}>\cos \theta^{2} \tag{7-22}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta_{0}>\frac{\cos \theta_{0}-M}{1-M^{2}} \tag{7-23}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied identically and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{0}<\theta . \tag{7-24}
\end{equation*}
$$

As

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\cos \theta_{0}-M}{1-M^{2}}<\frac{1}{1+M} \tag{7-25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$1 s$ also satısfied 1 dentically

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta<\frac{1}{1+M} \tag{7-26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence no contrubution occurs from the branch cut. The relation of the two contours is as shown in Figure 7-4.

The transmitted sound field $\bar{\phi}_{1}$ is given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{1}(x, y, w)=\bar{\phi}_{d}(x, y, w) \tag{7-27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{d}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F_{o}(\zeta) e^{i k_{o}^{r \cosh \tau}} 1}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}(\zeta-u)(\zeta-u *) w_{1+}(\zeta) w_{2+}(\zeta) v_{+}(\zeta)} d \tau_{1} \tag{7-28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}(\zeta)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v_{-}(\nu) w_{1-}(\nu) w_{2-}(\nu)(1-M \nu) e^{i k_{0} r_{0}\left(\cosh \tau-M \cos \theta_{0}\right)}}{2 \pi(\nu-\zeta) \sqrt{1-M^{2}}} d \tau \tag{7-29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $C_{V 1}$ and $C_{\zeta 1}$ intersect as shown in Figure 7-4(b), which may occur when $\theta \approx \theta_{2}$, the contribution from the pole $v=\zeta$ must be added to give:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{1}(x, y, \omega)=\bar{\phi}_{d}(x, y, \omega)+\bar{\phi}_{S}(x, y, \omega) \tag{7-30}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7-4 Relation Between $C_{\zeta 1}$ and $C_{V I} \quad \theta>\theta_{2}$
where

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\phi}_{s}= & \int_{\lambda_{2}}^{\lambda_{1}} \frac{(1-M \zeta) w_{1}(\zeta) e^{1 k_{0}\left(r \cosh \tau_{1}-x_{0}-w_{2}(\zeta) y_{0}\right)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}\left\{w_{2}(\zeta)+w_{1}(\zeta)(1-M \zeta)^{2}\right\}} d \tau_{1} \\
& +\int_{\lambda_{4}}^{\lambda_{3} \frac{(1-M \zeta) w_{1}(\zeta) e^{i k_{0}\left(r \cosh \tau_{1}-\zeta x_{0}-w_{2}(\zeta) y_{0}\right)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}\left\{w_{2}(\zeta)+w_{1}(\zeta)(1-M \zeta)^{2}\right\}} d \tau_{1}} \tag{7-30a}
\end{align*}
$$

$\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$, and $\lambda_{4}$ are the roots of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\cos \left(\theta_{o}+1 \tau\right)-M}{1-M^{2}}=\cos \left(\theta+i \tau_{1}\right) \tag{7-30b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{3}>\lambda_{4} \tag{7-30c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \left(\theta_{\zeta}+i \tau_{1}\right)=u(M) \tag{7-31}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in Appendix $A, \theta_{3}=\frac{\pi}{4}$. If $\theta<\theta_{3}$, the contribution from the poles $\zeta=u(M)$ and $\zeta=u^{*}(M)$ must be added to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{1}=\bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{H}\left(\theta_{\zeta}-\theta\right) \bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{P} 1} \tag{7-32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{P 1}= & -\left.\frac{F_{o}(\zeta) e^{i k_{0}\left(\zeta x+w_{1}(\zeta) y\right)}}{2 \pi a^{2}\left(\zeta-u^{*}\right) w_{1-}(\zeta) w_{2+}(\zeta) v_{+}(\zeta)}\right|_{\zeta=u} \\
& -\left.\frac{F_{0}(\zeta) e^{I k_{0}\left(\zeta x+w_{1}(\zeta) y\right)}}{2 \pi a^{2}(\zeta-u) w_{1-}(\zeta) w_{2+}(\zeta) v_{+}(\zeta)}\right|_{\zeta=u^{*}} . \tag{7-32a}
\end{align*}
$$

The relation between the poles and the contours is shown in Figure 7-5.


Figure 7-5 Relation Between the Poles and Contours

Region 2

When
$\theta<\theta_{0}$.

The region expressed by Eq. (7-33) is shown in Figure 7-6. If Eq. (7-33) $1 s$ satisfied, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta>\frac{\cos \theta_{0}-M}{1-M^{2}} \tag{7-34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation between the two contours is as shown in Figure 7-7. When we deform the contour $C_{\zeta}$ to $C_{\zeta 1}$ the two contours intersect and the pole $\zeta=\nu$ brings another sound field $\bar{\phi}_{t}$ which is the same as the solution for the doubly infinite vortex sheet problem. In the calculation of $\bar{\phi}_{t}$ we are free to deform the contour if there is no contribution from the linking arcs at infinity as long as $C_{V 1}$ lies in the left of $C_{\zeta 1}$. We calculate $\bar{\phi}_{t}$ along the stationary path $\zeta(\sigma)$ which has its saddle point


Figure 7-6 Region Expressed by Equation (7-33)


Figure 7-7 Relation Between $C_{V 1}$ and $C_{\zeta 1} \quad \theta<\theta_{0}$
between -1 and $\frac{1}{1+M}$ on the real axis and has its asymptotes $\zeta=e^{ \pm \theta_{4}}$, where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{4}=\arctan \frac{-\left(1-M^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} y_{0}+y}{x-x_{0}} \tag{7-35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The character of $\zeta(\sigma)$ is discussed in detail in [1]. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{t}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i(1-M \zeta) e^{i k_{o} g(\sigma)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}\left\{w_{2}(\zeta)+w_{1}(\zeta)(1-M \zeta)^{2}\right\}} \frac{d \zeta}{d \sigma} d \sigma \tag{7-36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\sigma)=-\zeta x_{0}-w_{2}(\zeta) y_{0}+\zeta x+w_{1}(\zeta) y \quad \operatorname{Img}(0)=0 . \tag{7-36a}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\zeta(\sigma)$ captures the poles $u(M)$ and $u^{*}(M)$, another sound field $\bar{\phi}_{P 2}$ must be added.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\phi}_{P 2}=-\left.\frac{(1-M \zeta) e^{1 k_{0}\left(\zeta x+w_{1}(\zeta) Y-\zeta x_{o}-w_{2}(\zeta) y_{o}\right)}}{2 \pi a^{2} \frac{d}{d \zeta}\left\{w_{2}(\zeta)+w_{1}(\zeta)(1-M \zeta)^{2}\right\}}\right|_{\zeta=u} \\
& -\left.\frac{(1-M \zeta) e^{i k_{o}\left(\zeta x+w_{1}(\zeta) y-\zeta x_{o}-w_{2}(\zeta) y_{o}\right)}}{2 \pi a^{2} \frac{d}{d \zeta}\left\{w_{2}(\zeta)+w_{1}(\zeta)(1-M \zeta)^{2}\right\}}\right|_{\zeta=u^{*} .} \tag{7-37}
\end{align*}
$$

$\bar{\phi}_{P 2}$ does not appear when $\theta_{0}<\theta_{5}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\cos \left(\theta_{5}+1 \tau_{5}\right)-M}{1-M^{2}}=u(M) \tag{7-38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation between the contours and the poles is as shown in Figure 7-8. If $\theta<\theta_{6}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta_{6}=(1+M)^{-1} \tag{7-39}
\end{equation*}
$$

the contribution from the branch cut $\bar{\phi}_{b l}$ must be added.


Figure 7-8 Relation Between the Poles and the Contours

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{b l}=\int_{0}^{I\left(\theta-\theta_{6}\right)}\left[\frac{F_{0}(\zeta) e^{i k_{0}^{r \cosh \tau_{1}}}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}(\zeta-u)\left(\zeta-u^{*}\right) w_{1+}(\zeta) w_{2+}(\zeta) v_{+}(\zeta)}\right]_{-} d \tau_{1} \tag{7-40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$[f(\zeta)]_{-}=f(\zeta+i \varepsilon)-f(\zeta-i \varepsilon)$ is the discontinulty of $f(\zeta)$ across the branch cut.

As a result, the transmitted sound field $\bar{\phi}_{1}$ in Region 2 is given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{1}=\bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{H}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}-\theta\right) \bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{P} 1}+H\left(\theta_{6}-\theta\right) \bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{b} 1}+\bar{\phi}_{t}+Q(M, x, y) H\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{5}\right) \bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{P} 2} \tag{7-41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q(M, x, y)$ is 1 when $\zeta(\sigma)$ captures the poles and otherwise zero. $\bar{\phi}_{t}, \bar{\phi}_{P 2}$ are the solutions for the doubly infinite vortex sheet problem (without rigid plate).

## Region 3

When

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{2}>\theta>\theta_{0} \tag{7-42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Region 3 is between Region 1 and 2, and 1 s shown in Figure 7-9. The relation between the two contours is as shown in Figure 7-10. The contribution from the pole $\bar{\phi}_{t l}$ partially appears.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{t 1}=\int_{\lambda_{5}}^{\lambda_{6}} \frac{(1-M \zeta) w_{1}(\zeta) e^{1 k_{0}\left(r \cosh \tau_{1}-\zeta x_{0}-w_{2}(\zeta) y_{0}\right)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}\left\{w_{2}(\zeta)+w_{1}(\zeta)(1-M \zeta)^{2}\right\}} d \tau_{1} \tag{7-43}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7-9 Region Expressed by Equation (7-42)

$\lambda_{5}$ and $\lambda_{6}$ are the roots of Eq. (7-30b), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{6}>\lambda_{5} \quad \lambda_{6}=-\lambda_{5} \tag{7-43a}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\theta<\theta_{6}$, the contribution from the branch cut $\bar{\phi}_{b 2}$ must be added.

$$
\bar{\phi}_{b 2}=\int_{0}^{1\left(\theta-\theta_{6}\right)}\left[\frac{(1-M \zeta) w_{1}(\zeta) e^{1 k_{0}\left(r \cosh \tau_{1}-\zeta x_{0}-w_{2}(\zeta) y_{0}\right)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}\left\{w_{2}(\zeta)+w_{1}(\zeta)(I-M \zeta)^{2}\right\}}\right]_{-} d_{1} \cdot(7-44)
$$

If $\theta<\frac{\pi}{4}$ and $\theta_{0}>\theta_{5}$, the contribution from the poles $\bar{\phi}_{p 2}$ appears. As a result, the transmitted sound field $\bar{\phi}_{1}$ in Region 3 is given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{1}=\bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{H}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}-\theta\right) \bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{P} 1}+\mathrm{H}\left(\theta_{6}-\theta\right) \bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{b} 1}+\bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{t} 1}+\mathrm{H}\left(\theta_{6}-\theta\right) \bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{b} 2}+\mathrm{H}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}-\theta\right) \mathrm{H}\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{5}\right) \bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{P} 2} . \tag{7-45}
\end{equation*}
$$

## CHAPTER 8

## ASYMPTOTIC EVALUATION OF FAR FIELD

The fields $\bar{\phi}_{d}, \bar{\phi}_{t}, \bar{\phi}_{t 1}, \bar{\phi}_{b l}$, and $\bar{\phi}_{b 2}$ can be evaluated asymptotically under the assumption that $k_{0} r \gg 1$ and $r \gg r_{0}$. First consıder the case when $\theta>\theta_{6}$. It is permassible to change the order of integration in integrating (7-28) and (7-29) because $\tau_{I}$ and $\tau$ are real. Now assuming $k_{0}$ is real and positive since $\tau_{1}=0$ is the saddle point, a standard stationary phase method is used to evaluate the integration to give:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{d}=\left.\frac{F_{o}(\zeta) e^{i k_{o} r+\frac{\pi}{4} i}}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2} a^{2}(\zeta-u)\left(\zeta-u^{*}\right) w_{1+}(\zeta) w_{2+}(\zeta) v_{+}(\zeta) \sqrt{k_{o} r}}\right|_{\zeta=\cos \theta} \tag{8-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\theta<\theta_{6}, C_{\zeta 1}$ must be deformed to enclose the branch cut as shown in Figure $8-1$, and special care must be taken since $w_{2+}(\zeta), w_{2}(\zeta), v_{+}(\zeta)$ take the different values depending on from which side $\zeta$ approaches to the branch cut.


Figure 8-1 Deformation of $C_{\zeta 1}$ When $\theta<\theta_{6}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\phi}_{d}+\bar{\phi}_{b l}=\int_{-\infty}^{\theta} \frac{F_{0}(\zeta) e^{i k_{o} r \cosh \tau} 1}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}(\zeta-u)\left(\zeta-u^{*}\right) w_{1+}(\zeta) w_{2+}(\zeta) v_{+}(\zeta)} d \tau_{1} \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{F_{0}(\zeta) e^{i k_{o}^{r \cosh \tau_{1}}}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}(\zeta-u)\left(\zeta-u^{*}\right) w_{1+}(\zeta) w_{2+}(\zeta) v_{+}(\zeta)} d \tau_{1}+0\left(\left|k_{o} r\right|^{-3 / 2}\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{F_{0}(\zeta) e^{1 k_{o} r+\frac{\pi}{4} I}}{4 \sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2} a^{2}(\zeta-u)\left(\zeta-u^{*}\right) w_{I+}(\zeta) \sqrt{k_{o} r}}\right|_{\zeta=\cos \theta} \\
& \left\{\left.\frac{1}{w_{2+}(\zeta) v_{+}(\zeta)}\right|_{\zeta=-0+\cos \theta}+\left.\frac{1}{w_{2}(\zeta) v_{+}(\zeta)}\right|_{\zeta=+0+\cos \theta}\right\}+0\left(\left|k_{0} r\right|^{-3 / 2}\right), \tag{8-2}
\end{align*}
$$

where for example, $\left.f(\zeta)\right|_{\zeta=+0+a_{0}}$ means the value of $f(\zeta)$ when $\zeta$ approaches $a_{0}$ through positive value on the upper side of the branch cut. As $\sigma=0$ is the saddle point of integration (7-36), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{t}=\left.\frac{1(1-M \zeta) e^{i k_{o} g(\sigma)+\text { sgng" }(\sigma) \frac{\pi}{4} 1}}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi^{3 / 2} a^{2}\left\{w_{2}(\zeta)+w_{1}(\zeta)(1-M \zeta)^{2}\right\} \sqrt{k_{o}\left|g^{\prime \prime}(\sigma)\right|}} \frac{d \zeta}{d \sigma}\right|_{\sigma=0} \tag{8-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we assume $r \gg r_{0}, \tau_{1}=0$ is the saddle polnt of integration (7-43) and the maln contribution to the integration comes from this point. Therefore, asymptotlcally it is permissible to deform $C_{\zeta_{l}}$ to $\zeta(\sigma)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{t}} \approx \bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{tl}}+\bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{b} 2} \tag{8-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is concluded that Region 2 and Region 3 asymptotically have the same character.

As we assume $r \gg r_{0}$, both $C_{\zeta 1}$ and $\zeta(\sigma)$ have the same asymptotes and for small Mach number the poles are not included in the deformation from $C_{\zeta 1}$ to $\zeta(\sigma)$ if $\theta$ is not so close to $\frac{\pi}{4}$. Therefore, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\phi}_{t}+Q(M, x, y) H\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{5}\right) \bar{\phi}_{P 2} & =\bar{\phi}_{t}+H\left(\frac{\pi}{4}-\theta\right) H\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{5}\right) \bar{\phi}_{P 2} \\
& =\bar{\phi}_{t 1}+\bar{\phi}_{b 2}+H\left(\frac{\pi}{4}-\theta\right) H\left(\theta_{o}-\theta_{5}\right) \bar{\phi}_{P 2} \tag{8-5}
\end{align*}
$$

In this chapter inverse transform with respect to $\omega$ is carried out to obtain the solution for the impulsive problem. $\phi_{1}(x, y, t)$ is formally given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}(x, y, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{\phi}_{1}(x, y, \omega) e^{-1 \omega t} d \omega \tag{9-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where integration is carried out along the real axis in the $\omega$-plane. In Region 1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{1}=\phi_{d}+H\left(\frac{\pi}{4}-\theta\right) \phi_{P 1} \\
& \phi_{d}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{-(\nu) w_{1-}(\nu) w_{2-}(\nu)(1-M \nu) \delta\left\{t-\left(r_{0} \cosh \tau-r_{0} M \cos \theta_{0}+r \cosh \tau_{1}\right) / a\right\}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2} \sqrt{1-M^{2}}(\nu-\zeta)(\zeta-u)\left(\zeta-u^{*}\right) w_{1+}(\zeta) w_{2+}(\zeta) v_{+}(\zeta)} d \tau d \tau_{1} \tag{9-3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integration with respect to $\omega$ has been performed. This can be integrated with respect to elther $\tau_{1}$ or $\tau$, but the remaining integration cannot be performed explicitly. It is however clear that $\phi_{d}$ vanishes for times at $<r_{0}-r_{0} \operatorname{Mcos} \theta_{0}+r$. The arrival time of the wave front becomes earlier or later depending on the position of the line source due to the term $r_{0} M \cos \theta_{0}$ and hence the existence of the flow in the lower half-plane.

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{P 1}= & -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v(v) w_{1-}(v) w_{2-}(v)(1-M v) e^{i \omega\left\{t-\left(r_{0} \cosh \tau-M r_{0} \cos \theta_{0}+u x+w_{1}(u) y\right) / a\right\}}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}(v-u) \sqrt{1-M^{2}}\left(u-u^{*}\right) w_{1-}(u) w_{2+}(u) v_{+}(u)} d \tau d \omega \\
& -\iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v(v) w_{1-}(v) w_{2-}(v)(1-M \nu) e^{i \omega\left\{t-\left(r_{0} \cosh \tau-M r_{0} \cos \theta_{0}+u^{*} x+w_{1}\left(u^{*}\right) y\right) / a\right\}}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}\left(v-u^{*}\right) \sqrt{1-M^{2}}\left(u^{*}-u\right) w_{1-}\left(u^{*}\right) w_{2+}\left(u^{*}\right) v_{+}\left(u^{*}\right)} d \tau d \omega . \tag{9-4}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be expressed in terms of the ultradistrıbution $\delta(z)$, where $z$ is complex, as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{P 1} & =-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v_{-}(v) w_{1-}(v) w_{2-}(v)(1-M v) \delta\left\{t-\left(r_{0} \cosh \tau-M r_{0} \cos \theta_{0}+u x+w_{1}(u) y\right) / a\right\}}{2 \pi a^{2}(v-u) \sqrt{1-M^{2}}\left(u-u^{*}\right) w_{1-}(u) w_{2+}(u) v_{+}(u)} d \tau \\
& -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v_{-}(v) w_{1-}(v) w_{2-}(v)(1-M v) \delta\left\{t-\left(r_{0} \cosh \tau-M r_{0} \cos \theta_{0}+u^{*} x^{+} w_{1}\left(u^{*}\right) y\right) / a\right\}}{2 \pi a^{2}\left(v-u^{*}\right) \sqrt{1-M^{2}}\left(u^{*}-u\right) w_{1-}\left(u^{*}\right) w_{2+}\left(u^{*}\right) v_{+}\left(u^{*}\right)} d \tau \tag{9-5}
\end{align*}
$$

The ultradistrabution $\delta(z)$ has the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(z)=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}(i \operatorname{Im} z)^{n^{\prime}(n)}(\operatorname{Re} z) / n! \tag{9-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since generalized function $\delta^{(n)}(\operatorname{Rez})$ vanishes for $\operatorname{Re} z \neq 0, \phi_{\mathrm{Pl}}$ indicates the instability waves and vanishes for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { at }<r_{0}-M r_{0} \cos \theta_{0}+\operatorname{Reux}+\operatorname{Rew}_{1}(u) y . \tag{9-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily checked that Reu, Reu*, $\operatorname{Rew}_{1}(u)$, and $\operatorname{Rew}_{1}\left(u^{*}\right)$ are all posituve and these instabilaty waves appear only in the downstream. Therefore $\phi_{\mathrm{Pl}}$ satisfies causality. On the other hand, if we deform the contour in the $k$-plane so that the two poles are always below the contour as discussed in Chapter 4, $\phi_{P 1}$ appears in the upstream and for the certain value of negative $x, \phi_{p 1}$ can be heard $t<0$ and causality is violated.

> In Region 2 we have
> $\phi_{1}=\phi_{d}+H\left(\frac{\pi}{4}-\theta\right) \phi_{\mathrm{P} 1}+\mathrm{H}\left(\theta_{6}-\theta\right) \phi_{\mathrm{b} 1}+\phi_{t}+2(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{H}\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{5}\right) \phi_{\mathrm{P} 2}$
> $\phi_{\mathrm{bl}}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1\left(\theta-\theta_{6}\right)}\left[\frac{v_{-}(\nu) \mathrm{w}_{1-}(\nu) \mathrm{w}_{2-}(\nu)(1-M \nu) \delta\left\{t-\left(r_{0} \cosh \tau-M r_{0} \cos \theta_{0}+r \cosh \tau_{1}\right) / a\right\}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}(\nu-\zeta) \sqrt{1-M^{2}}(\zeta-u)\left(\zeta-u^{*}\right) w_{1+}(\zeta) w_{2+}(\zeta) v_{+}(\zeta)}\right] d \tau_{1} d \tau$
$\phi_{b l}$ can be integrated once only, but it is clear that it vanishes for tımes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { at }<r_{0}-M r_{0} \cos \theta_{0}+r \cos \left(\theta_{6}-\theta\right) . \tag{9-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since it is restricted to the region $\theta<\theta_{6}$, it is also causal. As indicated in Chapter 7, $\phi_{t}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{P} 2}$ are the solution for the doubly infinite vortex sheet problem (without the rigid plate) which is calculated exactly and discussed in detail $1 n$ [6]. The only difference $1 s$ that the instability waves $\phi_{\mathrm{P} 2}$ are blocked and do not appear when $\theta_{0}<\theta_{5}$. In Region 3 the integration cannot be performed explicitly for $\phi_{t 1}$ and $\phi_{b 2}$. However, as shown in Chapter 8 , its nature is asymptotically the same as that of Region 2.

The transmitted sound field $\phi_{1}$ satısfies the full Kutta condition and causality conditıon.

The sound waves $\phi_{d}, \phi_{P 1}$, and $\phi_{b 1}$ can be heard first at the edge of the plate at the time

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\left(r_{0}-M r_{0} \cos \theta_{0}\right) / a \tag{10-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the time that the emitted sound wave takes to travel from the source point to the plate edge in the moving flund. This arrıval time becomes earlier or later depending on whether the source is in the upstream $\left(\theta_{0}<\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ or in the downstream of the plate edge $\left(\theta_{0}>\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$.

The field $\phi_{d}$ represents the field scattered from the edge of the plate and can be heard everywhere in $Y>0$. The wave front clearly occurs on the circle

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=a t-r_{0}+M r_{0} \cos \theta_{0} \tag{10-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the tame $t=\left(r+r_{0}-M r r_{0} \cos \theta_{0}\right) / a$ is the time for a signal to travel, via the edge, from the source to the observer.
$\phi_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ is the bow wave associated with $\phi_{\mathrm{d}}$ and can be heard in the region $\theta<\theta_{6}$. Its wave front is expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { at }=r_{0}-r_{0} \cos \theta_{0}+r \cos \left(\theta_{6}-\theta\right) \tag{10-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the straight line from $x=(1+M)\left(a t-r_{0}+M r \cos _{0}\right), y=0$, to the point of contact with the cylindrical diffracted wave front. $\phi_{\text {pl }}$ can be regarded as an instabilıty wave triggered by the dıffracted wave at the edge and is restricted to the region $\theta<\frac{\pi}{4}$. It exists in the triangular region bounded by the line $x=y$ and $R e u x+\operatorname{Rew}_{1}(u) y=$ $a t-r_{0}+M r_{0} \cos \theta_{0}$.

The transmitted sound flelds can be classified depending on how $\phi_{t}$ wave is transmıtted. $\phi_{t}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{P} 2}$ are the transmitted waves through the vortex sheet and are identical in the form to those found for the infinite vortex sheet.

In Region $1, \phi_{t}$ is completely shaded by the ragid plate. In Region 2, $\phi_{t}$ is fully transmıtted. Region 3 is the transition region between the two and $\phi_{t}$ is partially transmitted depending on its wave number.

If the observer is close to Region $1, \phi_{t}$ is mostly shaded and if the observer $1 s$ close to Region $2, \phi_{t}$ is mostly transmitted. If the observer is far from the plate edge, the nature of the sound field is the same as that of Region 2.
$\phi_{\mathrm{P} 2}$ is another instability wave which occurs when $\zeta(\sigma)$ captures the poles. If the source is close to the rigid plate $\left(\theta_{0}<\theta_{5}\right)$, this wave is blocked by the plate and does not appear.

If $M$ tends to zero, the boundarıes of Regions 1, 2, and 3 approach the diffracted angle of the Sommerfeld problem $\left(\theta_{2}+\theta_{1}, \theta_{0}+\theta_{1}\right)$.

## APPENDIX A

## POLES OF K

The poles of $K$ are given as the roots of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{2}(k)+\gamma_{1}(k) \alpha^{2}=0 \tag{A-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=k a / \zeta, \tag{A-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (A-1) reduces to the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{w_{1}(\zeta)+w_{2}(\zeta)\right\}\left\{\zeta^{2}+w_{1}(\zeta) w_{2}(\zeta)\right\}=0 \tag{A-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{1}^{2}(\zeta)=1-\zeta^{2} \\
& w_{2}(\zeta)=(1-M \zeta)^{2}-\zeta^{2} \tag{A-4}
\end{align*}
$$

and to satisfy the sign convention of $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$, the imaginary part of their counterparts $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ must have the same sign as $k_{o}$ along the real axis. Accordingly, the branch cuts in the 3-plane are as shown in Figure $\mathrm{A}-1$.
i) $w_{1}(\zeta)+w_{2}(\zeta)=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{1}^{2}(\zeta)=w_{2}^{2}(\zeta) \\
& 1-\zeta^{2}=(1-M \zeta)^{2}-\zeta^{2} \\
& \left(1-1+M^{3}\right)(1+1-M 3)=0
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure A-1 (a) Branch Cuts of $w_{1}(3)$


Figure A-1 (b) Branch Cuts of $w_{2}(3)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta=0 \\
& \zeta=\frac{2}{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is apparent that $\zeta=0$ is not the solution of Eq. (A-5). If $M<2$, $\zeta=\frac{2}{M}$ is situated on the right of $\zeta=1$ and cannot be the solution of Eq. (A-5).
11) $\zeta^{2}+w_{1}(\zeta) w_{2}(\zeta)=0$

$$
\zeta^{4}=w_{1}^{2}(\zeta) w_{2}^{2}(\zeta)
$$

$$
1-2 M \zeta+(M-2) \zeta^{2}+2 M \zeta^{3}-M^{2} \zeta^{4}=0
$$

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\zeta^{2}}-\frac{M}{\zeta}-1\right)^{2}-\left(M^{2}+1\right)=0
$$

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\zeta^{2}}-\frac{M}{\zeta}-1-\sqrt{M^{2}+1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\zeta^{2}}-\frac{M}{\zeta}-1+\sqrt{M^{2}+1}\right)=0
$$

$$
\zeta=\frac{-M \pm \sqrt{M^{2}+4+4 \sqrt{M^{2}+1}}}{2+2 \sqrt{M^{2}+1}}
$$

$$
\zeta=\frac{M \pm \sqrt{M^{2}+4-4} \sqrt{M^{2}+1}}{2 \sqrt{1+M^{2}-2}}
$$

$$
\zeta=\frac{-M+\sqrt{M^{2}+4+4 \sqrt{1+M^{2}}}}{2+2 \sqrt{M^{2}+1}} \text { is between } \zeta=0 \text { and } \zeta=\frac{1}{1+M} . \quad \zeta=\frac{-M-\sqrt{M^{2}+4+4 \sqrt{M^{2}+1}}}{2+2 \sqrt{1+M^{2}}}
$$

is between $\zeta=0$ and $\zeta=-1$. These two are not the solution of Eq. (A-6). $\zeta=\frac{M \pm \sqrt{M^{2}+4-4 \sqrt{M^{2}+1}}}{2 \sqrt{1+M^{2}}-2}$ are the complex numbers which are situated above and below $\zeta=\frac{\sqrt{1+M^{2}}+1}{2 M}$, respectively, when $M<2 \sqrt{2}$ and are the solution of Eq. ( $A-6$ ).

These two solutions can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\cos \left(\frac{1}{4} \pi \pm i \tau\right) \tag{A-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau$ is the positive root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh \tau=\frac{1+\sqrt{1+M^{2}}}{\sqrt{2} M} . \tag{A-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## APPENDIX B <br> CALCULATION OF $\mu_{+}(k)$ AND $\mu_{-}(k)$

As $\mu(k)$ does not have any zeros, $\mu_{-}(k)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \mu_{-}(k)=\frac{-1}{2 \pi 1} \int_{C_{1}} \frac{\ln \mu(\lambda)}{\lambda-k} d \lambda \tag{B-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ passes below $\lambda=k$. Introducing the new parameters

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta=k / k_{o}  \tag{B-2}\\
& \nu=\lambda / k_{o},
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (B-1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \mu_{-}(k)=\frac{-1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C_{2}} \frac{\ln \mu\left(\nu k_{o}\right)}{\nu-\zeta} d \nu . \tag{B-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The branch cuts of $w_{1}(v)$ lie from -1 to $-\infty$ and from 1 to $\infty$ and the branch cuts of $w_{2}(v)$ lie from $\frac{1}{M-1}$ to $-\infty$ and from $\frac{l}{M+1}$ to $\infty$ in the $V$-plane as shown in Figure $B-1$ where $w_{1}(v)$ and $w_{2}(v)$ are the counterparts of $\gamma_{1}(k)$ and $\gamma_{2}(k)$ and are defined by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{1}^{2}(v)=I-v^{2}  \tag{B-4}\\
& w_{2}^{2}(v)=(I-M v)^{2}-v^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The contour $C_{2}$ is distorted so that it is wrapped around the cut from -1 to $-\infty$. This process gives an additional contribution from the semicircle of radius $R$.


Figure B-1 Branch Cuts and Integration Paths

As $|\nu| \rightarrow \infty$ in the upper half-plane, $w_{1}=-i v+o(1), w_{2}=0(|v|)$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(k)=\frac{-i M^{2} v}{k_{0}}+0(1) \tag{B-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the lower half-plane $w_{1}=i v+o(1), w_{2}=0(|\nu|)$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(h)=\frac{i M^{2} v}{k_{0}}+O(1) \tag{B-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the contribution from the semicircle is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\pi-\beta}^{\pi} \ln \left(\frac{-i M^{2} \operatorname{Re}^{i \theta}}{k_{0}}\right)(1+0(1 / R)) d \theta-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{-\beta} \ln \left(\frac{1 M^{2} \operatorname{Re}^{1 \theta}}{k_{0}}\right)(1+0(1 / R)) d \theta \\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\int_{\pi-\beta}^{\pi}\left\{\ln \frac{M^{2} R}{k_{0}}+\frac{1}{k_{0}}\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right\} d \theta+\int_{-\pi}^{-\beta}\left\{\ln \frac{M^{2} R}{k_{0}}+\frac{1}{k_{0}}\left(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right\}+0\left(\frac{\ln R}{R}\right)\right. \\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{M^{2} R}{k_{0}}+0\left(\frac{\ln R}{R}\right) . \tag{B-7}
\end{align*}
$$

The discontinuity in $\ln \mu(k)$ across the cut is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \{\mu(k+1 \varepsilon) / \mu(k-i \varepsilon)\}=\ln \frac{w_{2}(\nu+i \varepsilon)+w_{1}(\nu+1 \varepsilon) \alpha^{2}}{w_{2}(\nu-i \varepsilon)+w_{1}(\nu-1 \varepsilon) \alpha^{2}} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\ln (-1) & v<\frac{1}{M-1} \\
\ln \frac{\left(1-m^{2} v^{2}\right)\left|w_{1}\right| i+\left|w_{2}\right|}{-\left(1-M^{2} v^{2}\right)\left|w_{1}\right| i+\left|w_{2}\right|}=2 i \arctan \frac{\left(1-M^{2} v^{2}\right)}{\left|w_{2}\right|}\left|w_{1}\right| & \frac{1}{M-1}<v<-1 .
\end{array}\right\}(B-8)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\ln \mu_{-}(\mathrm{k})$ can be given as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln \mu_{-}(k) & =-\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{M^{2} R}{k_{0}}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-R}^{-1} \frac{d v}{v-\zeta}-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{M-1}}^{-1} \frac{\arctan \frac{\left(1-M^{2} v^{2}\right)\left|w_{1}\right|}{\left|w_{2}\right|}-\frac{\pi}{2}}{v-\zeta} d v \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{M^{2} R}{k_{0}}-\frac{1}{2} \ln (-1-\zeta)+\frac{1}{2} \ln (-R)-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{M-1}}^{-1} \frac{\arctan \frac{\left(1-M^{2} v^{2}\right)\left|w_{1}\right|}{\left|w_{2}\right|}-\frac{\pi}{2}}{v-\zeta} d v
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=-\ln \frac{M(1+\zeta)^{1 / 2}}{k_{0}^{1 / 2}}-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{M-1}}^{-1} \frac{\arctan \frac{\left(1-M^{2} v^{2}\right)\left|w_{1}\right|}{\left|w_{2}\right|}-\frac{\pi}{2}}{v-\zeta} d v \tag{B-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotic behavior of $\mu_{-}(k)$ as $|k|+\infty$ is easily found as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{-}(k)=O\left(|k|^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{B-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identity $\mu_{+}(k)=\mu(k) \mu_{-}(k)$ can then be used to derive the corresponding results for $\mu_{+}(k)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{+}(k)=\frac{\left(\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{1} \alpha^{2}\right) \mu_{-}(k)}{\left(k-u(M) k_{o}\right)\left(k-u^{*}(M) k_{o}\right)} \tag{B-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotic behavior of $\mu_{+}(k)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{+}(k)=0\left(|k|^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{B-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## APPENDIX C

## SOLUTION FOR THE SOMMERFELD HALF-PLANE DIFFRACTION PROBLEM

Consider the case in which there is no flow in $y<0$. The
governing equations are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-a^{2} \nabla^{2} \phi_{2}=\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right) \delta\left(y-y_{0}\right) \delta(t) & y<0 \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}-a^{2} \nabla^{2} \phi_{1}=0 & y^{>} 0 \tag{C-1}
\end{array}
$$

Carrying out the Fourıer transform defined by Eq. (2-2), Eq. (C-1) reduces to the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+\gamma_{1}^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}=-\frac{\delta\left(y-y_{0}\right)}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}} e^{-i k x_{0}} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\phi}_{1}}{\partial y^{2}}+\gamma_{1}^{2} \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{1}^{2}=k_{0}^{2}-k^{2}, \quad k_{0}=\omega / a
$$

Solutions to the set of ordinary differential Eq. (C-2) can be easily found as:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}=A_{1}(k, \omega) e^{I \gamma_{1} y}+B_{1}(k, \omega) e^{-i \gamma_{1} y} \\
\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2}=A_{2}(k, \omega) e^{-i \gamma_{1} Y}+B_{2}(k, \omega) e^{-i \gamma_{1} Y}-\frac{e^{-1 k x_{0}}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2} \gamma_{1}} \sin \left[\gamma_{1}\left(y-y_{0}\right)\right] H\left(y-y_{0}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}(C-3)
$$

The sign convention of $\gamma_{1}$ is chosen such that the sign of its imaginary part is always positive and therefore $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ must be set to zero.

The boundary conditıons at $y=0$ are:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial t} & x>0 & \text { (continuity of pressure) } \\
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial t} & x>0 & \text { (contınuity of displacement) } \\
\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial \phi_{2}}{\partial y}=0 & x<0 \tag{C-4c}
\end{array} \text { (rigid plate condition). }
$$

To express these conditions in the transformed region, carrying out the half-range Fourier transform defined by Eq. (2-7) we obtain:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+}=\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2+} \\
\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}_{1+}}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2+}}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1-}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}}{\partial y}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$



Using the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}}{\partial y}=1 \gamma_{1} \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1} \\
& \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2}}{\partial y}=-1 \gamma_{1} \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2}-\frac{e^{-1\left(k x_{0}+\gamma_{1} y_{0}\right)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}} \tag{C-6}
\end{align*}
$$

and eliminating all the unknown plus functions except for $\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+}}{\partial y}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+}}{\partial y}=1 \gamma_{1}\left(\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1-}-\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}\right)-\frac{e^{-1\left(k x_{0}+\gamma_{1} y\right)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}} . \tag{C-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The branch cuts of $\gamma_{1}$ are the same as those defined in Chapter 3. The common region of analyticıty is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I m k_{o}>I m k>-I m k_{o} \tag{C-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Imw is kept to be positive. The function $\gamma_{1}$ is split into two parts such that $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{1-} / \gamma_{1+}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{1+}=\left(k_{0}-k\right)^{-1 / 2}  \tag{C-9}\\
& \gamma_{1-}=\left(k_{0}+k\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Plus functions are analytic in the region $I m k<I m k{ }_{o}$ and munus functions are analytic in the region Imk $>-I m k_{0}$. The Wiener-Hopf Eq. (C-7) can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\sqrt{k_{0}-k}} \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+}}{\partial y}=1 \sqrt{k_{0}+k}\left(\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1-}-\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}\right)-\frac{e^{-1\left(k x_{0}+\gamma_{1} y_{0}\right)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2} \sqrt{k_{0}-k}} . \tag{c-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term of Eq. (C-10) can be decomposed into the sum of plus and mınus functions as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(k)=\frac{e^{-i\left(k x_{0}+\gamma_{1} y_{0}\right)}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2} \sqrt{k_{0}-k}}=F_{1+}(k)+F_{1-}(k) \tag{C-11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}_{1+}, \mathrm{F}_{1-}$ are glven as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1+}(k)=\frac{-1}{2 \pi_{1}} \int_{C+} \frac{F(\lambda)}{\lambda-k} d \lambda  \tag{C-11b}\\
& F_{1-}(k)=\frac{1}{2 \pi_{i}} \int_{C-} \frac{F(\lambda)}{\lambda-k} d \lambda .
\end{align*}
$$

The contours $C_{+}$and $C_{-}$lie in the common region ( $C-8$ ) and $C_{+}$passes above $\lambda=k$ and $C_{\text {_ passes below } \lambda=k \text {. The exponential decays of } F(\lambda), ~(\lambda) ~}^{\text {p }}$ on the contour, since $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1}>0, Y_{0}<0$, ensures that these integral exist and that $F_{1+}(k)=0\left(|k|^{-1}\right), F_{1-}(k)=0\left(|k|^{-1}\right)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in respective regions.

The Wiener-Hopf equation now takes the form as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\sqrt{k_{0}-k}} \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+}}{\partial y}+F_{1+}=i \sqrt{k_{0}+k}\left(\bar{\phi}_{1-}-\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}\right)-F_{1-}=c(k) \tag{C-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $C(k)$ is the entire function of $k$ and must be a regular function of $k$ in the whole $k-p l a n e$. At the plate edge, we assume:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_{1+}}{\partial y}+0\left(x^{-1 / 2}\right) & \text { as } x \rightarrow+0 \text { on } y=+0 \\
\bar{\phi}_{1} \rightarrow c_{1}(\omega) & \text { as } x \rightarrow-0 \text { on } y=+0 \\
\bar{\phi}_{2} \rightarrow c_{2}(\omega) & \text { as } x \rightarrow-0 \text { on } y=-0 . \tag{C-13c}
\end{array}
$$

Carrying out the half-range Fourier transform defined by Eq. (2-7), the asymptotic behavior of the above functions can be calculated with the ald of the Abelian theorem as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+}}{\partial y}=0\left(|k|^{-1 / 2}\right) & \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad 1 n \quad I m k<I m k_{0} \\
\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1-}=0\left(|k|^{-1}\right) & \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { in } I m k>-I m k_{0} \\
\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2-}=0\left(|k|^{-1}\right) & \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { in } I m k>-I m k_{0} . \tag{C-14c}
\end{array}
$$

Hence from Eq. (C-12)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C(k)=0\left(|k|^{-1}\right) & \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { in } I m k<I m k_{0} \\
C(k)=0\left(|k|^{-1 / 2}\right) & \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { in } I m k>-I m k_{0} . \tag{c-15b}
\end{array}
$$

$C(k)$ is regular in the whole $k$-plane and tends to zero as $k$ tends to infinity in any direction. Hence from Llouville's theorem $C(k)$ must be identically zero. Therefore, we obtaln:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+}}{\partial y}=\frac{-\sqrt{k_{o}-k} F_{1+}(k)}{2} \tag{C-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1}(k, \omega) & =\left.\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}\right|_{y=0} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \gamma_{1}} \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}}{\partial y} \\
& =\frac{-i}{\gamma_{1}} \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1+}}{\partial y} \\
& =\frac{i F_{1+}(k)}{2 \sqrt{k_{0}+k}} .
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX D

## THE SOLUTION FOR THE INFINITE VORTEX SHEET PROBLEM

The governing differentıal equations are the same as Eq. (2-1). The boundary conditions $(2-4),(2-5 a)$, and $(2-5 b)$ must be satisfied throughout the vortex sheet. Instead of the half-range Fourier transform, using the full-range transform, Eqs. (2-4), (2-5a), and (2-5b) can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2}=\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}  \tag{D-1}\\
& \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2}}{\partial y}=-1 \omega \alpha \overline{\bar{h}}  \tag{D-2}\\
& \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}}{\partial y}=-i \omega \overline{\bar{h}}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eqs. (D-2) and (D-3) we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2}}{\partial y} \tag{D-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (2-12)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\bar{\phi}_{1}\right|_{y=0}=A_{1} \\
& \left.\bar{\phi}_{2}\right|_{y=0}=A_{2}+\frac{e^{-1 k x_{o}} \sin _{2} Y_{o}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2} \gamma_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{1}}{\partial y}\right|_{Y=0} \\
& \left.\frac{\partial \overline{\bar{\phi}}_{2}}{\partial y}\right|_{Y=0}=-i \gamma_{2} A_{2}-\frac{e^{-i k x_{o}} \cos \gamma_{2} Y_{o}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}} \tag{D-5}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitutıng Eq. (D-5) into Eqs. (D-I) and (D-4) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha A_{2}+\frac{\alpha e^{-i k x_{o}}{ }_{\sin \gamma_{2} Y_{O}}^{4 \pi^{2} a^{2} \gamma_{2}}=A_{1}}{{ }_{10} \alpha \gamma_{1} A_{1}=-1 \gamma_{2} A_{2}-\frac{e^{-i k x_{o}} \cos \gamma_{2} Y_{O}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, from Eq. $(D-6), A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are determined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\frac{1 \alpha e^{-1 k x_{0}-i \gamma_{2} Y_{0}}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}\left(\gamma_{2}+\alpha^{2} \gamma_{1}\right)} \\
& A_{2}=\frac{i e^{-i k x_{0}}}{8 \pi^{2} a^{2} \gamma_{2}}\left(e^{i \gamma_{2} Y_{0}}+\frac{\gamma_{2}-\alpha^{2} \gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}+\alpha^{2} \gamma_{1}} e^{-i \gamma_{2} Y_{\circ}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
(D-7)
$$
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