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In situ correlative measurements made with a NASA aircraft in support of two NASA airborne remote sensors participating in the Environmental Protection Agency's 1980 Persistent Elevated Pollution Episode (PEPE) and Northeast Regional Oxidant Study (NEROS) field program are presented. The purpose of the in situ measurements was to provide data for evaluation of the performance of the Ultraviolet Differential Absorption Lidar and the High Spectral Resolution Lidar remote sensors for measuring mixing layer height and ozone and aerosol concentrations in the troposphere during the 1980 PEPE/NEROS program. The in situ aircraft was equipped to measure temperature, dewpoint temperature, ozone concentrations, and light scattering coefficient ( $B_{\text {scat }}$ ). Results for 10 in situ correlative missions (July 24 through August 13, 1980) are presented. The report discusses the in situ data, describes the in situ aircraft flight plans, and presents each data set in graphical and tabular form. The report provides in situ data from which the respective remote sensors may be evaluated. Remote sensor aircraft flight plans and data are not included in the report.

## INTRODUCTION

As part of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's (NASA) continuing commitment to develop the necessary technology to utilize remote sensors and satellite platforms to monitor the Earth's environment, a number of air-quality remote sensors are under development and evaluation. As part of this remote-sensor technology development program, several NASA remote sensors were used in the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) 1980 Persistent Elevated Pollution Episode (PEPE) and Northeast Regional Oxidant Study (NEROS) field program during July and August. The PEPE experiment focused on the formation and transport of visibility reducing aerosols while the NEROS experiment addressed regional-scale air mass and urban-plume characterizations with emphasis on collecting data for model validation. Reference 1 discusses the EPA programs.

NASA's participation in the programs was in several areas, including applications of both remote and in situ sampling. Two of NASA's participating remote sensors were the Langley Research Center (Langley) Ultraviolet Differential Absorption Lidar (UV-DIAL) and the High Spectral Resolution Lidar. (HSRL). The UV-DIAL, an ozone concentration and mixing layer height sensor, and the HSRL, an aerosol sensor, flew onboard the Wallops Flight Center Electra aircraft making tropospheric measurements below 4 km altitude. The UV-DIAL is a Langley inhouse-developed sensor, while the HSRL is being developed under contract by the University of Wisconsin. The participation of these sensors in the PEPE/ NEROS program occurred at an early stage in the development and field evaluation of each sensor; therefore, Langley provided its own in situ sampling aircraft to provide correlative measurements for evaluation of the remote sensors.

This report documents the NASA in situ correlative data to be used in the evaluation. Ten sets of in situ data are presented. The report discusses only the in situ data, describes in situ flight plans, locations, and instrumentation, and presents atmospheric profiles for temperature, dewpoint temperature, ozone concentrations and Bscat. A brief description of each remote sensor is also presented.

## SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

| $\mathrm{B}_{\text {scat }}$ | - light scattering coefficient, $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| e.d.t. | - eastern daylight time |
| EPA | - Environmental Protection Agency |
| HSRL | - High Spectral Resolution Lidar |
| Langley | - Langley Research Center |
| NASA | - National Aeronautics and Space Administration |
| NBS | - National Bureau of Standards |
| NEROS | - Northeast Regional 0xidant Study |
| $0_{3}$ | - Ozone, ppb by volume |
| PEPE | - Persistent Elevated Pollution Episode |
| T | - temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {dp }}$ | - dewpoint temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| UV or uv | - ultraviolet |
| UV-DIAL | - Ultraviolet Differential Absorption Lidar |

## SAMPLING AIRCRAFT AND SENSORS

Remote Sensor Aircraft and Sensors
The UV-DIAL and HSRL were flown onboard the NASA Wallops Flight Center Lockheed Electra aircraft. The Electra is a four-engine aircraft built for passenger service, but modified for research missions. It is equipped with numerous viewing ports for the sensors, special equipment racks for the large and heavy remote-sensor components, and a large electrical power generator. The aircraft is pressurized and equipped with various navigational avionics. Typical flights for the PEPE/NEROS missions were approximately 6 hours, at cruising speeds of $600 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$, and altitudes up to 4 km . The aircraft was based at and operated by the Wallops Flight Center during the field program.

The UV-DIAL is discussed in reference 2. Briefly, it is a laser system consisting of two frequency-doubled Nd:YAG lasers optically pumping two highefficiency pulsed dye lasers which are in turn frequency doubled into the ultraviolet. The outputs of the pulsed dye lasers are tuned to a pair of strong/weak absorption lines of ozone for the ozone concentration measurement. Total backscatter from the atmospheric aerosols provides a measurement of mixing-layer height. The backscattered return signals are collected by
a telescope, directed onto photomultiplier tubes, digitized, and stored on highspeed magnetic tape. The data acquisition system provides real-time calculations -of ozone concentrations below the aircraft and/or the mixing layer height.

The HSRL is an optically pumped oscillator-amplifier dye laser (ref. 3) which measures optical properties associated with atmospheric aerosols. Specifically, it measures the spatial distribution of the extinction coefficient by distinguishing the laser backscatter of aerosols from that of air molecules. Backscatter is analyzed by a high-resolution, two channel Fabry-Perot polyetalon spectrometer through a receiver telescope. One channel detects photons scattered by the aerosols and the other, spectrally broadened scatter from air molecules (based on Doppler shifts). Interferometers are used to meet the spectral resolution and flux handling requirements of the receiver. The data acquisition system consists of a minicomputer, digital magnetic tape, and a real-time graphic display screen.

## In Situ Aircraft and Instrumentation

The in situ sensor aircraft (figure 1) is a light, twin-engine, fixedwing Cessna 402 chartered and outfitted by Langley for air-quality measurements. The aircraft has been in operation since 1974, participating in numerous NASA air-quality programs (ref. 4, 5, and 6). The flight crew consisted of the pilot, a flight coordinator/principal investigator, and an instrument technician. During the PEPE/NEROS field programs, Cessna missions were based either at Columbus, Ohio, or Hampton, Virginia (Langley).

The primary measurements were $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ concentrations (chemiluminescent technique), Bscat (integrating nephelometer), T (resistance probe), Tdp (cooled mirror), and flight parameters of altitude, heading, air speed, and time. References 4, 5, and 6 describe the instrumentation. The air sample for the nephelometer is heated in the inlet to vaporize liquid droplets. All instruments were calibrated using accepted EPA or NBS procedures. Table I presents the characteristics of the instruments as used in the study. The $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {scat }}$ instruments were audited by the PEPE/NEROS audit team and were within acceptable limits.

All data measured onboard the aircraft were recorded continuously on magnetic tape for later processing in the Langley computer facility. The tape was digitized ( 10 records/s) and the data are reported as 10 -second averages. Strip-chart recorders provided backup recording for the primary measurements as well as the capability for quick-look or real-time analysis. Correlative data missions were flown at $200 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$, at ascent or descent rates of less than $150 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$., and for as long as 3 hours. Based on these flight characteristics and the 10 -second data averaging interval, individual data points represent a spatial distance of 0.5 km and an altitude vertical resolution of about 25 m .

## IN SITU CORRELATIVE DATA EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

Since the purpose of the in situ aircraft was to provide correlative data in support of the airborne NASA remote sensors, flight locations and times were selected solely on remote-sensor requirements. Constraints affecting the in situ aircraft flight plan selection were (1) the PEPE/NEROS flight plan assigned to the Electra aircraft, (2) the range of the in situ aircraft, and (3) weather and flight safety considerations.

Typically, based on the Electra's PEPE/NFROS flight plan, one or more locations were selected for correlative measurements. The in situ aircraft take-off time and location were selected so that it could arrive on station a few minutes prior to the Electra overilight of the location in support of its PEPE/NEROS mission. Correlative locations were either fixed geographical points or short ( 20 to 60 km ) flight. legs beneath the assigned Electra flightpath. Generally, in situ data ( 0.3 to 2.5 km altitude) were obtained as the remote-sensor aircraft overflew the correlative area at about 3 km altitude. The in situ data flights required 20 to 60 minutes on station, while remote-sensor overflights took 1 to 5 minutes. Table II presents the flight locations and times of the in situ aircraft for its ten correlative missions. Locations are given in terms of latitude and longitude as well as in terms of aircraft navigational stations (ref. 7, 8, and 9).

Four basic flight plans were used to obtain the in situ data. Each was designed to obtain vertical profiles of 03 concentration, B scat, $T$, and Tdp in the correlative area as well as to provide some indication of the variation of these profiles with location and time. Figure 2 describes these flight plans. Plan 1 was used for those missions where the correlative data were required at a fixed geographical point; plans 2 through 4 were used for those missions in which a short flight leg was designated as the test area. Altitudes and flight-leg lengths shown in figure 2 are nominal values. Actual values were selected on a mission-to-mission basis, subject to many considerations.

July 24, 1980, Correlative Mission
Flight plan 1, figure 2a, was flown at correlative locations $A$ and $B$ (table II), approximately 100 km apart. Table III presents the flight sequence. Data ( $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{dp}}, \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {scat }}$ ) from the repetitive spirals at each location were in good agreement (see figure 3, location $A$ and figure 4, location B). Differences between the data profiles at either location and for any of the four measured parameters are considered insignificant, and within the instrument measurement. and aircraft operational uncertainties. Figures 5 and 6 present envelopes of the 03 and $B_{\text {scat }}$ data at $A$ and B. Each envelope encompasses all the 10 -second averaged data points measured at $A$ and $B$. Table IV shows statistical results for these envelopes. These results were obtained by dividing the atmosphere into the indicated altitude increments and calculating the average and standard deviation of the parameter in each altitude range. Also shown in the table are the number of 10 -second averaged data points included in each calculation.

While the results at either $A$ or $B$ were repeatable at their respective locations, the results at $A$ and $B, 100 \mathrm{~km}$ apart, did not agree with each other. This is readily seen in figure 7, a comparison plot of a single spiral at $A$ and B. Important points between the results at $A$ and $B$ are as follows:

1. Both locations show a temperature inversion at about 2.5 km altitude with very dry air above the inversion.
2. The temperature inversion noted $\mathrm{a} t \mathrm{~A}$ and 1.2 km altitude is not as apparent at $B$, and may not exist at B.
3. Ozone concentrations are approximately 65 ppb at $B$ and 40 ppb at $A$.
4. $B_{\text {scat }}$ profiles at $A$ and $B$ are significantly different in shipe and absolute values, with values at $B$ being higher at all altitudes.

In the discussion of subsequent data sets and when appropriate, the data envelope concept (figures 5 and 6 ) and the corresponding statistica oresentation of the envelope data (table IV) will be used without additionai explanation. In each case when used, the envelope or statistical treatment includes only spiral data at a location or along a flight leg. Constant altitude traverse data (see flight plans 2, 3, and 4) are not included. In addition, when repetitive data at a location (i.e., two consecutive spirals) or along a flight leg (i.e., spirals at the leg end-points) are judged to be repeatable and similar within measurement uncertainties, only one data set (i.e., spiral at one location) representative of that location or flight leg is presented.

> July 25, 1980, Correlative Mission

Flight plan 1 was used for the mission at locations $A$ and $B$, approximately 220 km apart. Table $V$ presents the flight sequence. As was the case for the July 24 flight, results at each location were repeatable, indicating little atmospheric variation during the 30 -minute sampling period. Figure 8 and 9 show the $0_{3}$ and $B_{\text {scat }}$ envelope plots at $A$ and $B$. Envelope statistical data are given in table VI. Figure 10 compares $T$, $T_{d p}, 0_{3}$, and $B_{\text {scat }}$ results at $A$ and $B$. The first three are quite similar but the $\mathrm{B}_{\text {scat }}$ results are considerably different.

## July 31, 1980, Correlative Missions

Two remote-sensor flights and correlative'missions were flown on July 31. Correlative flights were flown at approximately 1400 and 2200 e.d.t. using flight plan 2 for each location (table II). Table VII presents the mission flight sequences.

For the 1400 e.d.t. mission, the correlative data were measured along leg $\mathrm{BC}, 50 \mathrm{~km}$ in length. Point $\mathrm{A}, 26 \mathrm{~km}$ from location C on leg BC , was selected for spiral data. Figure 11 shows representative atmospheric profiles at A. Repetitive spirals at A gave similar results. Figure 12 and table VIII(A) show the data envelopes at $A$ and the corresponding statistical data, respectively. Significant observations from figures 11 and 12 are (1) the temperature inversion at about 1.5 km altitude and the observed sharp decreases in $0_{3}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {scat }}$ values above the inversion, (2) the narrow $0_{3}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {scat }}$ data envelopes, and (3) the uniformity of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {scat }}$ values with respect to altitude, below the inversion and extending to the surface.

The constant altitude ( 0.27 and 0.58 km ) traverses of leg BC indicated that Bscat was higher at $B$ than at C. The variation appeared to be approximately linear with distance along BG. As observed from the 9.58 km altitude traverse, $B_{\text {seat }}$ at $C$ was $2.5 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$, and at $B$ was $3.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$. The 0.27 km astitude traverse confirmed this variation and $B_{s c a t}$ averages and standard deviations ( 0.27 kq traverse) were $3.4 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ for leg $A B$ and $2.9 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ for leg AC. No $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ variations were observed during these constant altitude traverses as ozone averages and standard deviations for the constant altitude traverses were 94 and $95 \mathrm{ppb} \pm 2$ or 3 ppb . Table VIII(B) gives the statistical envelope data for the spirals at A (figure 12) and the short spirals at the leg end-points $B$ and $C$. Table VIII( $B$ ) shows data
only to 610 m altitude (extent of the short spirals at B and C); dat heyord 610 m are the same as those in table VIII(A).

Figures 11 and 12 and table VIII(A) provide the correlative data for remo:e sensor comparison at A. If leg BC, as a whole, is selected for co, amison, the proper data to use are those of table VIII(B), up to an altitude of 610 m and those of table VIII(A), above 610 m altitude.

For the later mission, the correlative data were measured along leg AB, 55 km in length. Point $C, 28 \mathrm{~km}$ from $A$ on leg $A B$, was selected for spiral data. Figure 13 shows representative data at point C. Data envelopes are given in figure 14. Observations from the data are (1) the temperature inversions at approximately $0.8,1.8$, and 2.3 km altitude and the resulting layering effects observed from the $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {scat }}$ data, (2) the decrease in $\mathrm{B}_{\text {scat }}$ frcm about 0.8 km to the surface, and (3) the relatively narrow data envelopes at C. Table IX gives the envelope statistical data for the spirals at $A, B$, and $C$.

For the most part, little variation in $0_{3}$ or $B_{\text {scat }}$ values was observed during the l-hour sampling period or spatially along leg $A B$, and, as such, the data at $C$ are representative of the entire leg $A B$. A possible exception is $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ concentrations below the $0.3-\mathrm{km}$ inversion. The ata indicate that $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ concentrations below 0.8 km may be decreasing with time during the mission. Althougli the purpose of this report is not to present. an analysis of atmospheric events, the observations supporting this conclusion are presented below.
i. The decrease in $B_{\text {scat }}$ and $0_{3}$ (especiaily $0_{3}$ ) from about 0.8 km to the surface (table IX) suggests the presence of a stable layer ( 0.8 km to the surface) with poor vertical mixing, possibly the familiar nocturnal layer.
2. The time of the mission (2200 e.d.t.) and expected radiational cooling of the surface during a summer night are conditions supporting the potential formation of a nocturnal layer.
3. Constant altitude traverses of leg $A B$ indicated about a 12 ppb decrease in $0_{3}$ over a 30 -minute period. The 0.6 km constant altitude traverse $A B$ at about 2100 e.d.t. showed the $0_{3}$ average and standard deviation to be $137 \pm 5 \mathrm{ppb}$; 30 minutes later, the $A C$ traverse at 0.6 km altitude resulted in $125 \pm 4 \mathrm{ppb}$.
i. The small standard deviations for these 03 averages ( $\pm 4$ and 5 ppb ), suggest that the $0_{3}$ decrease with time is occurring throughout the entire leg $A B$.

August 2, 1980, Correlative Mission
Flight plan 2 was used for the mission, and the correlative data were measured along leg $A B, 60 \mathrm{~km}$ in length. Point $C, 33 \mathrm{~km}$ from location $A$ on leg $A B$, was selected for spiral data. Table $X$ presents the flight sequence. In situl $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ data were not obtained during the mission. Figure 15 shows spiral data at $C$; these data are representative of the entire leg $A B$. $A$ temperature inversion at about $1-\mathrm{km}$ aititude is noted as well as the low $B_{\text {scat }}$ values above the inversion. Figure 16 shows the $B_{s c a t}$ data envelope at C; statistical data for this envelope and the spirals at $A$ and $B$ are shown in table XI. Close examination of the data of figures 15 and 16 shows some (iewpoint temperature and Bscat variations in the altitude range between 0.8 and 1.2 km . Flight notes by the aircraft crew indicate local plume(s) in the
vicinity of location C at about l-km altitude. The sources of these plumes are probably industrial activities in the city of Franklin, Virginic. , mile these plumes appear to have little effect on the reported Bscat datw, their existence and potential effects should be considered in any comparisen of in situ and remote-sensor results. The local plume(s) was not detected during the constant altitude ( 0.28 and 0.6 km ) traverses of the test leg in which the $B_{\text {scat }}$ average was 1.2 to $1.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ with standard deviations of $0.06 \times$ scat $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$.

August 5, 1980, Correlative Mission
Flight plan 2 was used for the mission, and measurements were made along leg $A B, 43 \mathrm{~km}$ in length. Point $C, 22 \mathrm{~km}$ from location $A$ on leg $A B$ was selected for spiral data. Table XII presents the flight sequence. Figure 17 shows spiral results at $C$ and is representative of the results along the entire leg $A B$. Figure 18 shows the data envelopes at $C$; table XIII shows the statistical data for spirals at $A, B$, and $C .0_{3}$ and $B_{\text {scat }}$ values along $A B$ showed little variation with time or location as constant altitude ( 0.27 and 0.57 km ) traverses resulted in standard deviations of less than $4 \mathrm{ppb}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ and $0.7 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\text {scat }}\right)$. Important features of the data are (1) the temperature inversions at about 0.6 and 2.6 km altitude, (2) the $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ decrease to the surface below the 0.6 km inversion (probably a nocturnal layer, not yet dissipated by surface heating), (3) the uniform (with altitude) 03 and Bscat values between about 1 and 2.6 km altitude, and (4) the relatively narrow data envelopes.

August 7, 1080, Correlative Mission
Flight plan 3 (with minor modifications) was used for the mission on test leg AB, 37 km in length. Table XIV shows the flight sequence. Flight plan 3 provides four separate measurements of atmospheric vertical structure along leg $A B:(1)$ spiral at $B$; (2) constant rate of descent leg $B$ to $A$; (3) spiral at A; and (4) constant rate of descent leg A to B. Figures 19 through 22 present the data from these flight sequences. Each data set shows (1) a temperature inversion at about 1.5 km altitude, with dry air above the inversion, (2) a sizeable decrease in $0_{3}$ and $B_{s c a t}$ above the inversion as compared to below, and (3) generally a decrease in $\mathrm{B}_{\text {scat }}$ from about 0.6 km to the surface. The B (scat) and $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ envelopes for these data are shown in figure 23. The Bscat envelope is wider than earlier envelopes, and a few data points have been omitted in the construction of the envelopes (shown on figure 23). These points are outside the general trend of the data base and, in the authors' opinion, do not warrant equal weight in the construction of the envelope. Table XV shows statistical data for figure 23 and includes the previously omitted data. The constant altitude ( 0.3 km ) traverses of leg AB resulted in $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {scat }}$ averages and standard deviations of $99 \pm 6 \mathrm{ppb}$ and $1.8 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ (A to $B$ traverse) and 954 ppb and $1.9 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ ( $B$ to $A$ traverse).

August 12, 1980, Correlative Mission
Flight plan 3 was the basis for the correlative mission, but inflight modifications were made. The test location, leg $A B$, was 37 km in length. Only one constant altitude traverse of leg $A B$ was flown and the first leg of the flight was a constant rate of ascent traverse of leg $C$ to $A$. Point $C$,
approximately 35 km from location $B$, was selected and located by the flight crew as the $68^{\circ}$ radial, 59 km from the Coefield, North Carolina, VOR airc.... navigational station. Table XVI presents the flight sequence.

Figures 24 through 27 show data from the portion of the flight providing atmospheric vertical profile information. Temperature inversions are shown at approximately 2.1 and 3 km altitude, but not in all data sets. These inversions are relatively weak (a few tenths ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) which may account for their absence in some data sets. Ozone data of figures 24 through 27 show similar vertical structure, indicating little variation with time or location along legs $A B$ and $A C$. Envelope plots of the $0_{3}$ data are shown in figure 28. Figure 28(a) includes only leg AB data (spiral at A, spiral at $B$, and constant rate of descent leg $B$ to $A$, while figure 28(b) includes these data and the constant rate of ascent $C$ to $A$ data.

The Bscat profiles of figures 24 through 27 are similar with the exception of the C to A ascent leg•(figure 24). In this profile, evidence of a temperature inversion at 2.1-km altitude is shown by the large decrease in Bscat (not seen in the other profiles) above this altitude. In addition, a sizeable Bscat peak is observed at about 3 km altitude (figure 24). This peak, when viewed on a timeresolved plot, suggests a well-defined plume or layer, high in aerosol concentrations, but having normal, ambient 03, $T$, and $T$ dp values. The location of the observed peak is only a few kilometers from location A, but yet, is not readily observed in the spiral A data (figure 25). Figure 29 is the Bscat envelope for the data of figures 25, 26, and 27, and excludes the ascent $C$ to $A$ data. Ta'오 XVI: is the $\mathrm{B}_{\text {scat }}$ statistical data and includes the C too A ascent data. The singie constant altitude ( 1.5 km ) traverse of leg $A B$ resulfed in averages and standard deviations of $72 \pm 4 \mathrm{ppb}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ and $7.7 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\text {scat }}\right)$.

August 13, 1980, Correlative Missions
Three remote sensor, Electra aircraft missions were flown on August 13 Two of the missions, at 1300 and 1700 e.d.t., were supported by in situ correlative data flights. Flight plan 4 was used for both missions, but at a different location for each mission. Table XVIII shows the flight sequences.

The correlative leg $A B$ for the 1300 mission was 27 km in length. Atmosnheric profiles at $A$ and $B$ were similar (see figure 30 for $A$ only) indicating little variation in $0_{3}, B_{s c a t}, T$, or $T_{d p}$ with time or location. Figure 30 is representative of the results for leg AB. Notable points concerning these data are (1) the temperature inversion at about $2-\mathrm{km}$ altitude, (2) the relatively dry air above the inversion as compared to below, and (3) the sizeable Bscat peaks observed (both at A and B) at about $2.4-\mathrm{km}$ altitude. This Bscat peak suggests an aerosol-rich layer in the correlative data area. The altitude extent of this layer is not well defined as data above 2.4 km are not available. However, the data do indicate that the aerosol layer is characteristic of the entire correlative leg $A B$. Figure 31 shows the envelope data ( $A$ and $B$ spirals); table XIX shows the corresponding statistical data. The constant altitude ( 0.9 km ) traverse of leg $A B$ showed averages and standard deviations of $66 \pm 4 \mathrm{ppb}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ and $1.5 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\text {scat }}\right)$.

The correlative leg $A B$ for the 1700 mission was 37 km in length. Figures 32 and 33 show the spiral results at $A$ and $B$. Data from both spirals at each location are shown. The data at $A$ (figure 32) show that the $0_{3}$ and $B_{\text {scat }}$ results are not
repeatable. Both spirals indicate a temperature inversion at about 2-km aicituc: but below the inversion, $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {scat }}$, results between the two spirals (oaly is to 15 minutes apart) are significantly different. The cause of this nonrepeat. ability at $A$ is not defined and is unacceptable for providing correlation with $0_{3}$ remote-sensor data and only marginal for Bscat data. The statistical results for the data envelopes at $A$ are given in table XX(A). As indicated, standard deviations are large with some $0_{3}$ standard deviations being 40 to 50 percent of the average values.

Figure 33 shows the results at $B$ where repetitive spirals produced improved comparisons. The temperature inversion at $B$ is at about $2.3-\mathrm{km}$ altitude. Figure 34 shows data envelopes at $B$ (narrow envelopes) and table $X X(B)$ gives the statistical data. Standard deviations at $B$ are small as compared to those at A. Table XX(C) shows the statistical results for leg $A B$ (data at $A$ and $B$ ). The influence of the data at $A$ on the calculations is readily observed by the large standard deviations. Averages and standard deviations for the AB constant altitude ( 0.6 km ) traverse were $77 \pm 5 \mathrm{ppb}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ and $2.2 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\text {scat }}\right)$. This traverse did not show sizeable variations in $0_{3}$ or Bscat along leg $A B$, but was flown at an altitude below the observed variations at A.

For correlative data purposes, it is recommended that in situ and remote sensor data be compared only at point $B$ as the data indicate little time variability in atmospheric vertical structure at $B$. The in situ data do not define the extent of the variability observed at $A$ as it effects leg $A B$.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

In situ data from ten correlative data aircraft missions flown during the 1980 PEPE/NEROS program have been presented. The in situ data obtained in support of two NASA air-quality remote sensors, the UV-DIAL and HSRL, provide a data base to assess the performance of both sensors during the PEPE/NEROS program. Data sets, ozone concentration, light-scattering coefficient, temperature, and dewpoint temperature from each mission have been analyzed, condensed to a manageable quantity, and presented in both graphical and tabular formats to provide a description of atmospheric vertical structure in the correlative data test location. In addition, each data set is described in a manner that identifies those pertinent facts about the in situ data and the atmosphere that should be considered for evaluating each remote sensor. In most cases, each data set provides an adequate, accurate, and concise description of the measured atmospheric parameters.

Langley Research Center
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Hampton, VA 23665
March 23, 1981
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## TABLE I: CHARACTERISTICS OF IN SITU AIRCRAFT INSTRUMENTATION

FLOWN IN THE 1980 PEPE/NEROS PROGRAM

| Measured Parameter | Calibration Technique | Range | Absolute Accuracya | Precision | Response Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| temperature | liquid bath | -30 to $+30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | less than 1 s |
| dewpoint temperature | humidity chamber | -100 to $+100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| ozone concentration | gas phase titration ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0 to 300 ppb | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { percent or } \\ & 5 \mathrm{ppb}^{c} \end{aligned}$ | 2 percent or $3 \mathrm{ppb}^{\mathrm{C}}$ | 3 s |
| light scattering coefficiente (Bscat) | filtered air and freon gas | 0 to $1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ | 10 percent or $2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \mathrm{c}$ | 2 percent or $2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \mathrm{c}$ | 0.2 s |

a absolute accuracy based on calibration uncertainties
gas phase titration ( $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ to NO) traceable to National Bureau of Standard NO source
c whichever is the largest
d response time to 90 percent of signal, unless noted otherwise
e heated inlet to vaporize liquid droplets; instrument characteristicss based on laboratory results using filtered air and freon gas

| Date | Flight Leg Locations |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flight Plan } \\ (\text { fig. } 2) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Location A |  |  | Location B |  |  |
|  | $(\text { radial/n.mi. })^{\text {a }}$ |  | (latitude/longitude) | $(\text { radial/n.mi. })^{\text {a }}$ | (latitude/longitude) |  |
| July 24 | Newcome | VOR $0^{\circ} / 0$ | $38^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 82^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Henderson VOR $90 \% 2$ | $38^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 81^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1 |
| July 25 | Mansfield | VOR $101^{\circ} / 21$ | $40^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 82^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Henderson VOR $90 \% 2$ | $38^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 81^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1 |
| July 31d | Kenton ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | VOR $292 \%$ / 8 | $39^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 75^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Kenton VOR 270 $/ 33$ | $39^{\circ} 9^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 76^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2 C |
| July 31e | Harcum | VOR 103*/15 | $37^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 76^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Harcum VOR 296\% $/ 15$ | $37^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 77^{\circ} 0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2 |
| Aug. 2 | Franklin | VOR $260^{\circ} / 18$ | $36^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 77^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Franklin VOR $80^{\circ} / 14$ | $36^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 76^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2 |
| Aug. 5 | Franklin | VOR $197^{\circ} / 31$ | $36^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 77^{\circ} 8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Frankiin VOR $197^{\circ} / 8$ | $36^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 77^{\circ} 3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2 |
| Aug. 7 | Snow Hill | VOR $170 \% 4$ | $37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 75^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Snow Hill VOR 350\%/16 | $38^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 75^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 |
| Aug. 12 | Cofield | VOR $232{ }^{\circ} / 10$ | $36^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 77^{\circ} 2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Cofield VOR $52^{\circ} / 10$ | $36^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 76^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 C |
| Aug. 13d | Rosewood | VOR $203 \%$ / | $40^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 84^{\circ} 4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Rosewood VOR 203 $/ 20$ | $39^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 34^{\circ} 9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4 |
| Aug. 13 e | Rosewood | VOR $137 \% / 67$ | $39^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 83^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Rosewood VOR $137^{\circ} / 47$ | $39^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{N} / 83^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4 |

[^0]TABLE III: CESSNA FLIGHT SEQUENCE FOR JULY 24, 1980 CORRELATIVE MISSION

| Time <br> (e.d.t.) | Altitude <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1200 to 1204 | 1000 to 500 | spiral at A Leg |
| 1204 to 1217 | 500 to 2400 | spiral at A |
| 1217 to 1226 | 2400 to 1400 | spiral at A |
| 1226 to 1307 | 1400 | constant altitude A to B |
| 1327 to 1336 | 900 to 300 | spiral at B |
| 1336 to 1350 | 300 to 2400 | spiral at B |
| 1353 to 1402 | 2400 to 1000 | spiral at B |

TABLE IV: ENVELOPE STATISTICAL DATA: JULY 24, 1980
A. Location A

| Altitude Range <br> (m) | Altitude Average (m) | Number of Data Points | Average Value $\pm$ Standard Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 03 \\ (\mathrm{ppb}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{scat}) \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 305 to 457 | 422 | 6 | $40 \pm 2$ | $8.1 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 457 to 610 | 532 | 12 | $39 \pm 3$ | $7.3 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 610 to 762 | 682 | 12 | $39 \pm 2$ | $6.5 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 762 to 914 | 843 | 12 | $39 \pm 2$ | $7.1 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 914 to 1067 | 984 | 10 | $37 \pm 4$ | $7.1 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1067 to 1219 | 1143 | 5 | $39 \pm 5$ | $6.5 \pm 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1219 to 1372 | 1309 | 13 | $35 \pm 5$ | $3.5 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1372 to 1524 | 1457 | 11 | $38 \pm 4$ | $2.8 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1524 to 1679 | 1603 | 13 | $36 \pm 3$ | $1.8 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1679 to 1829 | 1760 | 15 | $35 \pm 3$ | $1.7 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1829 to 1981 | 1909 | 13 | $36 \pm 4$ | $1.6 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1981 to 2134 | 2058 | 13 | $37 \pm 3$ | $1.9 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2134 to 2286 | 2213 | 11 | $37 \pm 2$ | $1.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2286 to 2438 | 2344 | 11 | $43 \pm 3$ | $1.2 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |

TABLE IV: Concluded.
B. Location $B$

| Altitude Range (m) | Altitude Average (m) | Number of Data Points | Average Value $\pm$ Standard Deviatic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 03 \\ (\mathrm{ppb}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}\binom{s c a t)}{m^{-1}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 305 to 457 | 373 | 10 | $69 \pm 2$ | $15.5 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 457 to 610 | 535 | 12 | $67 \pm 3$ | $15.5 \pm 1.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 610 to 762 | 696 | 14 | $61 \pm 7$ | $14.7 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 762 to 914 | 858 | 35 | $67 \pm 4$ | $14.1 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 914 to 1067 | 1016 | 1.4 | $68 \geq 3$ | $13.5 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1067 to 1219 | 1138 | 12 | $66 \pm 3$ | $13.6 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1219 to 1372 | 1299 | 13 | $65 \pm 2$ | $12.9 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1372 to 1524 | 1452 | 11 | $66 \pm 4$ | $11.6 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1524 to 1679 | 1594 | 11 | $64 \pm 3$ | $10.5 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1679 to 1829 | 1753 | 11 | $62 \pm 2$ | $8.4 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1829 to 1981 | 1913 | 11 | $61 \pm 3$ | $7.6 \pm 1.4 \times 10-5$ |
| 1981 to 2134 | 2050 | 12 | $62 \pm 3$ | $7.1 \pm 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2134 to 2286 | 2199 | 10 | $61 \pm 3$ | $5.6 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2286 to 2438 | 2359 | 11 | $58 \pm 5$ | $3.0 \pm 1.0 \times 10-5$ |

TABLE V: CESSNA FLIGHT SEQUENCE FOR JULY 25, 1980 CORRELATIVE MISSIO::

| Time <br> (e.d.t.) | Altitude <br> (m) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1120 to 1124 | 1050 to 450 | spiral at A |
| 1124 to 1137 | 450 to 2450 | spiral at A |
| 1137 to 1144 | 2450 to 1350 | spiral at A |
| 1144 to 1240 | 1350 | constant altitude A to B |
| 1240 to 1248 | 1350 to 2450 | spiral at B |
| 1248 to 1302 | 2450 to 150 | spiral at B |
| 1302 to 1310 | 150 to 1350 | spiral at B |

TABLE VI: ÉNVELOPE STATISTICAL DATA: JULY 25, 1980
A. Location A

| Altitude Range (m) | Altitude Average (m) | Number of Data Points | Average Value $\pm$ Standard Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 03 \\ \text { (ppb } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{scat}) \\ (\mathrm{m}-1) \end{gathered}$ |
| 305 to 457 | 415 | 5 | $71 \pm$ | $8.4 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 457 to 610 | 530 | 10 | $72 \pm$ | $7.7 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 610 to 762 | 679 | 12 | $71 \pm$ | $7.8 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 762 to 914 | 829 | 11 | $71 \pm$ | $7.8 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 914 to 1067 | 984 | 10 | $68 \pm$ | $8.0 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1067 to 1219 | 1157 | 6 | $70 \pm$ | $8.9 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1219 to 1372 | 1317 | 10 | $72 \pm$ | $8.5 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1372 to 1524 | 1446 | 11 | $73 \pm$ | $7.6 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1524 to 1679 | 1603 | 13 | $56 \pm$ | $2.8 \pm 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1679 to 1829 | 1752 | 11 | $47 \pm$ | $0.4 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1829 to 1981 | 1902 | 11 | $49 \pm$ | $0.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1981 to 2134 | 2056 | 13 | $50 \pm$ | $0.5 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2134 to 2286 | 2208 | 12 | $56 \pm$ | $0.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2286 to 2438 | 2346 | 11 | $55 \pm$ | $0.2 \pm 0.2 \times 10-5$ |

TABLE VI: Concluded.
B. Location B

| Altitude Range <br> $\ldots$ (m) | Altitude Average (m) | Number of Data Points | Average Value $\pm$ Standard Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 03 \\ (\mathrm{ppb}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}\binom{\text { scat }}{\mathrm{m}^{-1}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 152 to 305 | 242 | 7 | $64 \pm 1$ | $20.8 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 305 to 457 | 382 | 11 | $65 \pm 2$ | $20.1 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 457 to 610 | 529 | 12 | $64 \pm 2$ | $20.1 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 610 to 762 | 674 | 10 | $65 \pm 2$ | $19.6 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 762 to 914 | 841 | 14 | $67 \pm 1$ | $19.5 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 914 to 1067 | 990 | 13 | $70 \pm 3$ | $19.4 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1067 to 1219 | 1140 | 12 | $73 \pm 3$ | $19.5 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1219 to 1372 | 1307 | 18 | $75 \pm 4$ | $17.9 \pm 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1372 to 1524 | 1439 | 13 | $75 \pm 2$ | $14.3 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1524 to 1679 | 1603 | 12 | $68 \pm 3$ | $9.4 \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1679 to 1829 | 1751 | 10 | $62 \pm 6$ | $3.8 \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1829 to 1981 | 1910 | 12 | $56 \pm 4$ | $0.7 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1981 to 2134 | 2056 | 13 | $54 \pm 5$ | $0.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2134 to 2286 | 2215 | 11 | $58 \pm 2$ | $0.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2286 to 2438 | 2368 | 13 | $56 \pm 2$ | $0.3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |

TABLE VII: CESSNA FLIGHT SEQUENCE FOR JULY 31, 1980 CORRELATIVE MISSICAS
A. First Mission

| Time <br> (e.d.t.) | Altitude <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1325 to 1338 | 2300 to 300 | spiral at A Leg |
| 1338 to 1345 | 300 | constant altitude A to B |
| 1345 to 1348 | 300 to 600 | spiral at B |
| 1348 to 1400 | 600 | constant altitude B to C |
| 1400 to 1401 | 600 to 300 | spiral at C |
| 1401 to 1408 | 300 | constant altitude C to A |
| 1408 to 1409 | 300 to 100 | spiral at A |
| 1409 to 1426 | 100 to 2700 | spiral at A |
| 1426 to 1430 | 2700 to 2300 | spiral at A |

B. Second Mission

| Time <br> (e.d.t.) | Altitude <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Flight Leg |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 2056 to 2109 | 600 | constant altitude A to B |
| 2109 to 2112 | 600 to 300 | spiral at B |
| 2112 to 2125 | 300 | constant altitude B to A |
| 2125 to 2128 | 300 to 600 | spiral at A |
| 2128 to 2134 | 600 | constant altitude A to C |
| 2137 to 2140 | 600 to 150 | spiral at C |
| 2140 to 2158 | 150 to 2700 | spiral at C |
| 2158 to 2212 | 2700 to 600 | spiral at C |

TABLE VIII: ENVELOPE STATISTICAL DATA:: JULY 31, 1980 (FIRST MISSION)
A. Location $A$

B. Leg $B C$


TABLE IX: ENVELOPE STATISTICAL DATA FOR LEG AB: JULY 31, 1980 (SECOND MISSIOA)

| Altitude Range (m) | Altitude Average (m) | Number of Data Points | Average Value $\pm$ Standard Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0_{3} \\ (\mathrm{ppb}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{sca}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 152 to 305 | 243 | 12 | $111 \pm 7$ | $44.3 \pm 3.9 \times 10-5$ |
| 305 to 457 | 386 | 32 | $116 \pm 8$ | $49.2 \pm 3.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 457 to 610 | 525 | 29 | $124 \pm 7$ | $51.5 \pm 2.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 610 to 762 | 701 | 8 | $106 \pm 14$ | $51.9 \pm 6.8 \times 10-5$ |
| 762 to 914 | 836 | 15 | $93 \pm 5$ | $39.0 \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 914 to 1067 | 991 | 12 | $84 \pm 4$ | $32.5 \pm 5.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1067 to 1219 | 1137 | 12 | $79 \pm 5$ | $13.9 \pm 5.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1219 to 1372 | 1297 | 13 | $72 \pm 4$ | $5.6 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1372 to 1524 | 1454 | 11 | $70 \pm 4$ | $4.9 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1524 to 1679 | 1597 | 11 | $66 \pm 4$ | $5.6 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1679 to 1829 | 1752 | 14 | $64 \pm 7$ | $5.3 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1829 to 1981 | 1906 | 13 | $76 \pm 11$ | $10.0 \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1981 to 2134 | 2060 | 11 | $87 \pm 4$ | $12.2 \pm 0.5 \times 10-5$ |
| 2134 to 2286 | 2216 | 12 | $87 \pm 3$ | $12.2 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2286 to 2438 | 2359 | 13 | $88 \pm 3$ | $8.9 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2438 to 2591 | 2510 | 12 | $85 \pm 4$ | $7.9 \pm 0.2 \times 10-5$ |
| 2591 to 2743 | 2666 | 13 | $79 \pm 3$ | $7.6 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |

TABLE X: CESSNA FLIGHT SEQUENCE FOR AUGUST 2, 1980 CORRELATIVE MISSION

| Time <br> (e.d.t.) | Altitude <br> (m) | Flight Leg |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1214 to 1225 | 600 | constant altitude B to A |
| 1225 to 1227 | 600 to 300 | spiral at A |
| 1227 to 1243 | 300 | constant altitude A to B |
| 1243 to 1245 | 300 to 600 | spiral at B |
| 1245 to $1 ? 51$ | 600 | constant altitude B to C |
| 1251 to 1254 | 600 to surf | spiral at C |
| 1254 to 1312 | surf to 2700 | spiral at C |
| 1312 to 1325 | 2700 to 600 | spiral at C |

TABLE XI: ENVELOPE STATISTICAL DATA FOR LEG AB, AUGUST 2, 1980

| Altitude Range (m) | Altitude Average (m) | Number of Data Points | Average Value $\pm$ Standard Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0_{3} \\ (\mathrm{ppb}) \end{gathered}$ | B (scaf) $\left(\mathrm{m}^{-1}\right)$ |
| n0 to 152 | 80 | 10 | --------1 | $13.7 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 152 to 305 | 234 | 12 | -- | $12.9 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 305 to 457 | 385 | 25 | ---------- | $12.4 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 457 to 610 | 539 | 24 | ---- | $12.3 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 610 to 762 | 679 | 12 | --------- | $12.0 \pm 0.6 \times 10.5$ |
| 762 to 914 | $839^{\circ}$ | 13 | --------- | $11.7 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 914 to 1067 | 994 | 9 | --------- | $12.5 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1067 to 1219 | 1150 | 11 | -- | $10.5 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1219 to 1372 | 1297 | 11 | --------- | $7.6 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1372 to 1524 | 1450 | 11 | -- | $5.7 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1524 to 1679 | 1603 | 11 | --------- | $4.1 \pm 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1679 to 1829 | 1757 | 9 | --------- | $3.9 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1829 to 1981 | 1906 | 11 | --------- | $3.6 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1981 to 2134 | 2060 | 10 | --------- | $4.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2134 to 2286 | 2213 | 12 | --------- | $4.6 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2286 to 2438 | 2359 | 10 | -- | $4.5 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2438 to 2591 | 2507 | 11 | --- | $3.9 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2591 to 2743 | 2671 | 12 | ------ | $2.4 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2743 to 2895 | 2811 | 9 | --------- | $2.0 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |

1 no $0_{3}$ data measured during the mission
table Xit: cessna flight sequence for august 5, 1980 CORRELATIVE MTSSION

| Time <br> (e.d.t. $)$ | Altitude <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Flight Leg |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 0930 to 0946 | 600 | constant altitude A to B |
| 0946 to 0949 | 600 to 300 | spiral at B |
| 0949 to 1004 | 300 | constant altitude A to B |
| 1004 to 1009 | 300 to 600 | spiral at A |
| 1009 to 1017 | 600 | constant altitude A to C |
| 1017 to 1022 | 600 to surf | spiral at C |
| 1022 to 1047 | surf to 3400 | spiral at C |
| 1047 to 1109 | 3400 to 600 | spiral at C |

TABLE XIII: ENVELOPE STATISTICAL DATA FOR LEG AB: AUGUST 5, 1980

| Altitude Range (m) | Altitude Average (m) | Number of Data Points | $\frac{\text { Average } \mathrm{Va}}{03} \begin{gathered} \\ (\mathrm{ppb}) \end{gathered}$ | dard Deviation $\because\left(\mathrm{mc}^{-1} \hat{1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 to 152 | 91 | 13 | $47 \pm 2$ | $14.7 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 152 to 305 | 250 | 25 | $45 \pm 6$ | $14.4 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 305 to 457 | 379 | 27 | $47 \pm 4$ | $14.6 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 457 to 610 | 543 | 38 | $58 \pm 5$ | $12.8 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 610 to 762 | 685 | 11 | $64 \pm 2$ | $11.4 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 762 to 914 | 840 | 13 | $64 \pm 2$ | $10.9 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 914 to 1067 | 992. | 12 | $69 \pm 3$ | $10.8 \pm 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1067 to 1219 | 1138 | 16 | $66 \pm 3$ | $10.4 \pm 1.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1219 to 1372 | 1293 | 14 | $65 \pm 2$ | $8.6 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1372 to 1524 | 1443 | 13 | $67 \pm 5$ | $8.8 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1524 to 1679 | 1595 | 13 | $65 \pm 3$ | $8.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1679 to 1829 | 1746 | 12 | $66 \pm 2$ | $8.3 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1829 to 1981 | 1902 | 13 | $65 \pm 3$ | $8.5 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1981 to 2134 | 2055 | 12 | $66 \pm 3$ | $8.4 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2134 to 2286 | 2210 | 15 | $66 \pm 4$ | $8.7 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2286 to 2438 | 2359 | 12 | $65 \pm 3$ | $8.8 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2438 to 2591 | 2510 | 13 | $60 \pm 3$ | $9.2 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2591 to 2743 | 2669 | 13 | $57 \pm 2$ | $8.0 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2743 to 2895 | 2817 | 12 | $56 \pm 2$ | $5.8 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2895 to 3048 | 2967 | 12 | $54 \pm 3$ | $5.3 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 3048 to 3200 | 3127 | 14 | $55 \pm 4$ | $5.1 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 3200 to 3353 | 3279 | 12 | $54 \pm 4$ | $4.3 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 3353 to 3505 | 3377 | 5 | $55 \pm 4$ | $2.5 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |

TABLE XIV: CESSNA FLIGHT SEQUENCE FOR AUGUST 7, 1980 CORRELATIVE MISSIOf

| Time <br> (e.d.t.) | Altitude <br> (m) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1737 to 1746 | 450 | Flight Leg |
| 1746 to 1747 | 450 to 150 | spiral at B |
| 1747 to 1759 | 150 to 1800 | spiral at B |
| 1759 to 1808 | $1800(B)$ to $600(A)$ | constant rate of descent B to A |
| 1808 to 1811 | 600 to 100 | spiral at $A$ |
| 1811 to 1824 | 100 to 1800 | spiral at A |
| 1824 to 1836 | $1800(A)$ to surf(B) | constant rate of descent A to B |
| 1836 to 1838 | surf to 300 | spiral at B |
| 1838 to 1849 | .300 | constant altitude B to A |

TABLE XV: ENVELOPE STATISTICAL DATA FOR LEG AB, AUGUST 7, 1980

| Altitude Range (m) | Altitude Average (m) | Number of Data Points | Average Value $\pm$ Standard Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 03 \\ (\mathrm{ppb}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}\binom{\mathrm{sc} a t)}{\left.\mathrm{m}^{-1}\right)} \end{gathered}$ |
| 0 to 152 | 106 | 22 | $94 \pm 3$ | $16.8 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 152 to 305 | 225 | 36 | $97 \pm 4$ | $17.7 \pm 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 305 to 457 | 384 | 22 | $98 \pm 3$ | $21.1 \pm 3.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 457 to 610 | 540 | 23 | $101 \pm 4$ | $25.3 \pm 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 610 to 762 | 682 | 24 | $102 \pm 4$ | $27.5 \pm 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 762 to 914 | 832 | 27 | $101 \pm 3$ | $26.9 \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 914 to 1067 | 991 | 25 | $101 \pm 4$ | $26.8 \pm 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1067 to 1219 | 1143 | 25 | $98 \pm 3$ | $25.6 \pm 3.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1219 to 1372 | 1300 | 27 | $94 \pm 4$ | $23.9 \pm 3.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1372 to 1524 | 1446 | 24 | $75^{ \pm} 20$ | $15.6 \pm 8.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1524 to 1679 | 1601 | 28 | $46^{ \pm} 11$ | $1.2 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1679 to 1829 | 1744 | 27 | $42 \pm 3$ | $0.7 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |

TABLE XVI: CESSNA FLIGHT SEQUENCE FOR AUGUST 12, 1980 CORRELATIVE MISSION

| Time <br> (e.d.t.) | Altitude <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Flight Leg |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1108 to 1130 | $900(\mathrm{C})$ to $3000(\mathrm{~B})$ | constant rate of ascent, C to $A$ |
| 1130 to 1142 | 3000 to 1500 | spiral at $A$ |
| 1142 to 1151 | 1500 | constant altitude $A$ to $B$ |
| 1151 to 1203 | 1500 to 3000 | spiral at $B$ |
| 1203 to 1215 | $3000(B)$ to $1500(A)$ | constant rate of descent, $B$ to $A$ |

TABLE XVII: ENVELOPE STATISTICAL DATA FOR LEG AB: AUGUST 12, 1980

| Altitude Range (m) | Altitude Average (m) | Number of Data Points | Average Value $\pm$ Standard Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0_{3} \\ (\mathrm{ppb}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}\binom{\text { scat }}{m^{1}} . \end{aligned}$ |
| 914 to 1067 | 1050 | 2 | $71 \pm 2$ | $13.8 \pm 0.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1067 to 1219 | 1129 | 8 | $70 \pm 3$ | $13.6 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1219 to 1372 | 1299 | 8 | $67 \pm 3$ | $14.5 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1372 to 1524 | 1446 | 7 | $67 \pm 3$ | $17.0 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1524 to 1679 | 1597 | 31 | $68 \pm 5$ | $17.4 \pm 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1679 to 1829 | 1756 | 28 | $72 \pm 6$ | $17.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1829 to 1981 | 1903 | 31 | $72 \pm 6$ | $17.5 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1981 to 2134 | 2054 | 28 | $70 \pm 3$ | $17.2 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2134 to 2286 | 2206 | 28 | $67 \pm 3$ | $17.0 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2285 to 2438 | 2358 | 26 | $65 \pm 5$ | $15.7 \pm 3.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2438 to 2591 | 2516 | 28 | $63 \pm 5$ | $14.5 \pm 3.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2591 to 2743 | 2675 | 29 | $64 \pm 4$ | $14.3 \pm 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2743 to 2895 | 2811 | 29 | $62 \pm 5$ | $12.0 \pm 3.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2895 to 3048 | 2959 | 34 | $61 \pm 3$ | $10.1 \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 3048 to 3200 | 3075 | 32 | $58 \pm 3$ | $10.3 \pm 7.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |

)

TABLE XVIII: CESSNA FLIGHT SEQUENCES FOR AUGUST 13, 1980 CORRELATIVE MISSIONS
A. First Mission

| Time <br> (e.d.t.) | Altitude <br> (m) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1237 to 1247 | 900 to 2400 | Flight Leg |
| 1249 to 1256 | 2400 to 900 | spiral at A |
| 1250 to 1306 | 900 | constant altitude A to B |
| 1306 to 1314 | 900 to 2400 | spiral at B |
| 1318 to 1329 | 2400 to 600 | spiral at B |

B. Second Mission

| Time <br> (e.d.t.) | Altitude <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1619 to 1632 | 600 to 2400 | Flight Leg |
| 1633 to 1646 | 2400 to 600 | spiral at A |
| 1646 to 1652 | 600 | constant altitude, A to B |
| 1652 to 1703 | 600 to 2400 | spiral at B |
| 1703 to 1717 | 2400 to 600 | spiral at B |

TABLE XIX: ENVELOPE STATISTICAL DATA FOR LEG AB: AUGUST 13, 1980 (FIRST MISAOM)

| Altitude Range (m) | Altitude Average (m) | Number of Data Points | $\frac{\text { Average } V}{V_{0}} \begin{gathered} 0_{3} \\ (p p b) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { Standard Deviacio: }}{B\left(\begin{array}{l} \text { scat } \\ m-1) \end{array}\right.}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 610 to 762 | 730 | 5 | $65 \pm 2$ | $18.5 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 762 to 914 | - 868 | 10 | $66 \pm 3$ | $16.6 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 914 to 1067 | 977 | 28 | $64 \pm 4$ | $14.6 \pm 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1067 to 1219 | 1140 | 20 | $66 \pm 5$ | $13.9 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1219 to 1372 | 1293 | 18 | $65 \pm 3$ | $13.3 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1372 to 1524 | 1448 | 18 | $64 \pm 4$ | $12.5 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1524 to 1679 | 1596 | 18 | $63 \pm 4$ | $11.9 \pm 2.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1679 to 1829 | 1747 | 18 | $62 \pm 3$ | $10.2 \pm 3.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1829 to 1981 | 1901 | 18 | $55 \pm 6$ | $9.0 \pm 3.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1981 to 2134 | 2061 | 23 | $51 \pm 7$ | $6.2 \pm 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2134 to 2286 | 2215 | 21 | $42 \pm 5$ | $2.3 \pm 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2286 to 2438 | 2369 | 23 | $36 \pm 2$ | $7.8 \pm 10.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |

TABLE XX: ENVELOPE STATISTICAL DATA: AUGUST 13, 1980 (SECOND MISSDA)
A. Locaton $A$

| Altitude Range (m) |  | Altitude Average (m) | Number of Data Points | Average Value $\pm$ Standard Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 03 \\ (\mathrm{ppb}) \end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{sc}^{1}+{ }^{5}\right)$ |
| 457 | to 610 |  | 602 | 5 | $77 \pm 7$ | $24.1 \pm 4.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 610 | to 762 | 671 | 19 | $75 \pm 6$ | $21.9 \pm 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 762 | to 914 | 834 | 13 | $72 \pm 7$ | $23.1 \pm 3.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 914 | to 1067 | 989 | 14 | $64 \pm 11$ | $23.7 \pm 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1067 | to 1219 | 1143 | 13 | $54 \pm 20$ | $24.3 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1219 | to 1372 | 1304 | 13 | $50 \pm 25$ | $23.8 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1372 | to 1524 | 1444 | 11 | $52 \pm 26$ | $24.7 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1524 | to 1679 | 1592 | 12 | $76 \pm 3$ | $23.4 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1679 | to 1829 | 1766 | 13 | $70 \pm 3$ | $19.8 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1829 | to 1981 | 1905 | 8 | $73 \pm 4$ | $16.1 \pm 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1981 | to 2134 | 2045 | 11 | $70 \pm 10$ | $14.9 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2134 | to 2286 | 2209 | 11 | $60 \pm 3$ | $12.1 \pm 1 . i \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2286 | to 2438 | 2362 | 12 | $48 \pm 9$ | $6.1 \pm 2.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\underline{2438}$ | to 2591 | 2461 | 5 | $50 \pm 6$ | $1.3 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |

TABLE XX: Continued
B. Location B

| Altitude Range (m) | Al titude Average (m) | Number of Data Points | Average Value $\pm$ Standard Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0_{3} \\ (\mathrm{ppb}) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{B}\left(s^{s c a t}\right)$ |
| 457 to 610 | 596 | 4 | $86 \pm 1$ | $26.4 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 610 to 762 | 700 | 11 | $88 \pm 2$ | $25.7 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 762 to 914 | 839 | 15 | $87 \pm 2$ | $25.9 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 914 to 1067 | 997 | 12 | $87 \pm 3$ | $25.5 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1067 to 1219 | 1154 | 12 | $87 \pm 2$ | $24.9 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1219 to 1372 | 1298 | 12 | $87 \pm 4$ | $24.4 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1372 to 1524 | 1452 | 13 | $85 \pm 5$ | $23.5 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1524 to 1679 | 1604 | 11 | $79 \pm 4$ | $20.0 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1679 to 1829 | 1740 | 11 | $73 \pm 3$ | $17.9 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1829 to 1981 | 1914 | 12 | $74 \pm 4$ | $14.8 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 1981 to 2134 | 2053 | 10 | $66 \pm 10$ | $11.4 \pm 4.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2134 to 2286 | 2211 | 11 | $50 \pm 12$ | $4.4 \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2286 to 2438 | 2361 | 11 | $40 \pm 8$ | $2.2 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2438 to 2591 | 2456 | 5 | $35 \pm 3$ | $1.7 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |

TABLE XX: Concluded
C. Leg $A B$ (Spiral $A$ and $B$ data)



a.) Flight Plan 1

b.) Flight Plan 2

c.) Flight Plan 3
d.) Flight Plan 4

Figure 2. - In situ flight plans.

---- 1200 to 1204 e.d.t.
—— 1204 to 1217 e.d.t.


Figure 3. - Spiral data at A, July 24, 1980.


Figure 4. - Spiral data at B, July 24, 1980.


Figure 5. - Data envelopes at A, July 24, 1980.


Figure 6. - Data envelopes at B, July 24, 1980.


Figure 7. - Comparison of results at A and B, July 24, 1980.
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Figure 8. - Data envelopes at A, July 25, 1980.


Figure 9. - Data envelopes at B, July 25, 1980.

## __ Location A <br> -...- Location B



Figure 10. - Comparison of results at $A$ and $B$, July 25, 1980.
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Figure 11. - Spiral data at A, first mission, July 31, 1980.


Figure 12. - Data envelopes at A, first mission, July 31, 1980.
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Figure 13. - Spiral data at C, second mission, July 31, 1980.


Figure 14. - Data envejlopes at C, second mission, July 31, 1980.
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Figure 15. - Spiral data at C, August 2, 1980.


Figure 16. - Bscat data envelope at C, August 2, 1980.
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Figure 17. - Spiral data at C, August 5, 1980.


Figure 18. - Data envelopes at C, August 5, 1980.
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Figure 19. - Spiral data at $B$, August 7, 1980.


Figure 20. - Descent leg B to A data, August 7, 1980.


Figure 21. - Spiral data at A, August 7, 1980.


Figure 22. - Descent leg $A$ to $B$ data, August 7, 1980.
$\times$ Data points omitted from envelope plots


Figure 23. - Data envelopes, leg AB, August 7, 1980.
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Figure 25. - Spiral data at A, August 12, 1980.


Figure 26. - Spiral data at B, August 12, 1980.


Figure 27. - Descent leg B to A, August 12, 1980.

(a) $\operatorname{Leg} A B$
(b) Leg AB, including $C$ to $A$ leg

Figure 28. - $0_{3}$ data envelopes, August 12, 1980.


Figure 29. - $B_{\text {scat }}$ data envelope, leg $A B$, August 12, 1980.
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Figure 30. - Spiral data at A, 1400 e.d.t., August 13, 1980.


Figure 31. - Data envelopes, leg AB, 1400 e.d.t., August 13, 1980.

## Flight Locations

$\underset{\infty}{G}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { _--- } 1619 \text { to } 1632 \text { e.d.t. } \\
& 1646 \text { e.d.t. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 32. .- Spiral data at A, 1700 e.d.t., August 13, 1980.


Figure 33. - Spiral data at B, 1700 e.d.t., August 13, 1980.


Figure 34. - Data envelopes at B, 1700 e.d.t., August 13, 1980.


## End of Document


[^0]:    a referenced to aeronautical charts (refs. 7, 8, and 9)
    b for July 31 (first mission) coordinates shown are for location $C$
    c minor modifications to flight plan were made
    d mission 1
    e mission 2

