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# DOPPLER-CANCELLED RESPONSE TO VLF GRAVITATIONAL WAVES 

## I. INTRODUCTION

On several occasions [1,2] it has been pointed out that Doppler tracking of a distant spacecraft can, under certain conditions, provide a valid technique in the search for Very Low Frequency (VLF) gravitational radiation. The basic system consists of the Earth and a distant spacecrart considered as free masfe: communicating through microwaves: a monochromatic electromagnetic wr ve is smitted at a station, relayed by the spacecraft, and, Anally, receaved at a station where its frequency is compared to that of a reference oscillator. The resulting frequency shift is primarily caused by the Doppler effect. Gravitational waves have been suggested among the potential causes of Doppler residuals [3]. More precisely, it has been pointed out [4] that those plane gravitational waves, for which the product of their frequency by the round-trip light time is a large integer, would produce preferred peaks in the spectra of the Doppler residuals. This result is baseci on a "two-way" conflguration in which the light signal interacting with the gravitational wave follows a round trip [5]. Similar spectral properties can, in general, be expected for combinations of microwave links other than the two-way type just mentioned, but the response to gravitational waves will change accordingly.

Recently, NASA and the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory (SAO) [6] have accomplished a verification of an important quantitative prediction of the principle of equivalence. The experiment compared the frequency of a space-borne atomic clock with that of an identical clock at an Earth station by using one-way (spacecraft-Earth) and two-way (Earth-spacecraft-Earth) Doppler information. This experiment in particular demonstrated that under certain conditions a combination of oneand two-way microwave links provides, in real time, a beat signal which is independent of the otherwise dominating Doppler effect but does depend on other weaker effects.

The system which removes the Doppler effect has been called the Doppler Cancelling System, and the Doppler-cancelled signal is the corresponding observable [7].

Calling $\delta \psi_{1 w}, \delta \psi_{2 w}$ the accumulated cycles in the one-way down link and two-way link, respectively, the phase of the Doppler-cancelled beat signal is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \psi=\delta \psi_{1 w}-\frac{1}{2} \delta \psi_{2 w} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its frectional trequency by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\frac{\Delta f}{f}=\frac{\Delta f}{f}\right)_{1 w}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right)_{2 w} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is the carrier frequency and $\Delta f / f)_{1 w}$ and $\left.\Delta f / f\right)_{2 w}$ are the frequency shifts in the one-way and two-way signals.

By deftrition, the Doppler cancelling technique will cancel every frequency shift which is doubled when the path length of the radio wave is doubled. For plane, long periodic gravitational waves, which may be considered as inducing time-dependent perturbations in the time of propagation of the radio signal, the frequency shift caused by the space-time curvature during the round trip is not twice the frequency shift corresponding to the one-way path, in general. 1 Thus, the Doppler cancelling technique is expected to respond to gravitational waves of sufficiently low frequency for geometric optics to apply but high enougin to resonate the Earth-spacecraft system and avoid local measurements.

The purpose of this work is to identify the response of thi Dopplercancelled observable to pulses and continuous gravitational waves. Section Il treats the effect of the curvature of a plane gravitational wave spacetime on signal propagation. The induced frequency shift on the light signal is computed by means of a new approach which uses the wellknown [ 8,9$]$ analogy between a metric gravitational field and a medium with an equivalent index of refraction. The result is compared with that of a previous calculation [4] based on a different approach, and they are found to be identical. In Section III the response of the Doppler Cancelling Syatem to bursts of gravitational waves and continuous radiation is identified. Response functions and spectra are constructed by using the mathematical methods recently proposed [10] to deal with gravitational wave effects on light propagation.

## II. EFFECT OF A GRAVITATIONAL WAVE ON SIGNAL PROPAGATION

A plane gravitational wave is described, in the "transverse, traceless" gauge [11], by a space-time with a Lorentz metric

1. This holds provided that the wavelength of the eravitational wave is not too large in comparison to the Earth-spacecraft distance. Otherwise, the locality of the measurement implies zero response for every Doppler technique.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\eta_{a b} d x^{a} d x^{b}-A_{+}\left(d x^{2}-d y^{2}\right)+2 A_{x} d x d y, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the approximately inertial frame of refarence has been oriented so that the gravitational wave travels along the 2 -axis. The amplitudes $\mathbf{A}_{+}{ }^{\prime}$ $A_{x}$ of the two independent states of polarization are a retarded solution of the wave equation in Minkowski space-time

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}=A_{i}(c t-z) \quad, \quad i=+, x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pointwise they satisfy the weak field condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{i}\right| \ll 1 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Perturbations of the Minkowski metric in peneral cause time delays in the propagation of light signals. For a wave-like perturbation, as in equation (3), the variations in accumulated phase and the frequency shift induced on a monochromatic electromagnetic wave can be computed using two approaches. One technique [4] consists of computing the frequency shift by integrating the equation of parallel transport of tetrad components of a null vector, the four-dimensional wave vector, defined by the metric (3). A different technique is used here. It consists of treating relativistic effects on a null path by geometrical optics in a three-dimensional space with an equivalent index of refraction. This procedure gives directly the time delay due to propagation and the corresponding accumulated cycles. Time differentiation gives the number of cycles counted in the unit interval of time, and the frequency shift is obtained.

Work to linear order in A and 1/c. Consider that a light signal is exchanged by an Earth station and a distant spacecraft and define the cosine directions of the geocentric position vector of the spacecraft

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{d z}{d r} \quad, \quad \beta=\frac{d x}{d r} \quad, \quad \gamma=\frac{d y}{d r} \quad ; \quad d r=\left(d x^{2}+d y^{2}+d z^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (Fig. 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{2}-\gamma^{2}=\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \cos 2 \phi \quad ; \quad 2 \beta \gamma=\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \sin 2 \phi, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\phi$ being the longitude of the spacecraft.


Figure 1. Gravitational wave and Earth-spacecraft geometry.
The path of a light signal in space-time satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (3) the time delay between emission and reception of the light signal is formally given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(t_{r}-t_{e}\right)=\int_{x_{e}}^{x_{r}}\left[1+\frac{1-\mu^{2}}{2}\left(A_{+} \cos 2 \phi-A_{x} \sin 2 \phi\right)\right] d r \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integrand in equation (9) may be considered as an equivalent index of refraction. The integral must be extended from the Earth station, with coordinates $\left(\underline{r}_{1}, t_{1}\right)$, to the apacecraft, with coordinates $\left(\underline{r}_{2}, t_{2}\right)$, and back to the receiving station, with coordinates $\left(\underline{r}_{3}, t_{3}\right)$. We adapt the origin of coorcinates so that $\underline{r}_{1}=\underline{r}_{3}=0$. Then, the parametric equations of the forward path are, in first approximation.

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=\beta r \quad ; \quad y=\gamma r \quad ; \quad z=\mu r  \tag{10}\\
& 0 \leq x \leq x_{2} \quad, \quad 0 \leq y \leq y_{2} \quad, \quad 0 \leq z \leq z_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

which imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}(c t-z)=A_{i}[r(1-\mu)] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

along the forward path. For simplicity, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell=r_{2} \quad ; \quad \bar{h}=\cos 2 \phi A_{+}-\sin 2 \phi A_{x} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluation of equation (9) along the approximately null trajectory (1.0) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)=\ell+\frac{1+\mu}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} \tilde{h}(u) d u, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \equiv r(1-\mu)$ is an outgoing null coordinate. The parametric equations of the backwards path are, in the same approximation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-x_{2}=-\beta(r-\ell), y-y_{2}=-\gamma(r-\ell), z-z_{2}=-\mu(r-\ell), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}(c t-z)=A_{i}[r(1+\mu)] \quad, \quad i=+, x \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

along the backwards path. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(t_{3}-t_{2}\right)=\ell-\frac{1-\mu}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} \tilde{h}(v) d v \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v \equiv r(1+\mu)$ is an ingoing, null coordinate. From equations (13) and (16) it follows that the total time delay for a round trip is, to linear order in the $A^{\prime} s$ and $1 / c$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(t_{3}-t_{1}\right)=2 \ell+\frac{1}{2}\left[(1+\mu) \int_{0}^{\ell} \tilde{h}(u) d u+(1-\mu) \int_{0}^{\ell} \tilde{h}(v) d v\right] . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the emitted, transmitted, and received frequency as the number of cycles dN per unit of time, ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=\frac{d N}{d t_{1}} \quad, \quad f_{2}=\frac{d N}{d t_{2}} \quad, \quad f_{3}=\frac{d N}{d t_{3}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Equations (13) and (16) give the frequency shift in the upward and downward paths, respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta f)_{\text {up }} \equiv \frac{d t_{1}}{d t_{2}}-1=-\frac{i}{c}+\frac{1+\mu}{2}\left(\tilde{h}_{1}-\tilde{h}_{2}\right)  \tag{19}\\
& \left.\frac{\Delta f}{f}\right)_{\text {down }} \equiv \frac{d t_{2}}{d t_{3}}-1=-\frac{i}{c}+\frac{1-\mu}{2}\left(\tilde{h}_{2}-\tilde{h}_{3}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where ${\tilde{h_{i}}}_{i} \equiv \tilde{h}\left(c t_{i}-z_{i}\right)$. The Doppler-cancelled frequency shift is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta f}{f}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left.\frac{\Delta f}{f}\right|_{\text {down }}-\left.\frac{\Delta f}{f}\right|_{\text {up }}\right)=-\frac{1+\mu \tilde{h}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{h}_{2}-\frac{1-\mu}{4} \tilde{h}_{3} ., ~ . . . . ~}{} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the two-way frequency shift corresponding to the round trip is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left(\frac{\Delta f}{i}\right)_{2 w}=\frac{\Delta f}{f}\right)_{\text {up }}+\frac{\Delta f}{f}\right)_{\text {down }}=\frac{1+\mu \tilde{h}_{1}-\mu \tilde{h}_{2}-\frac{1-\mu}{2} \tilde{h}_{3}-\frac{2 \dot{\imath}}{c} . . . ~ . ~}{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. These definitions do not account for the time scales of the Earth and on-board clocks being offset by a nonzero relative gravitational field (redshift). This timing effect must be considered separately.

Equation (18) coincidet with the one derivers by integrating the equation of parallel transport of the electromagnetic wave vector, i.e., the null geodenic equation [4]. The present approach does not make explicit use of the geodeaic equation. This equation is, however, automatically satisfied by vistue of equation (8) and the fact that, in geometric optics, light rays are normal to surfaces of constant phase.

## III. RESPONSE TO BURSTS AND CONTINUOUS GRAVITATIONAL WAVES

From equation (20) it follows that the reaponse of the Dopplercancelled observable to a sufficiently short (s $\ell / \mathrm{c}$ ) burst of gravitational waves consiets - as for equation (21) - of a threefold repetition of the waveform, though with somew hat different weighting coefficients. In particular, for orthogonally incident eravitational waves ( $\mu=0$ ), the three-pulse structure is preserved.

Hellings [10] has pointed out that an optimum technique for the detection of a signature of known form in data corrupted by white noise is the matched fiter. He has further shown how to construct one appropriate for the two-way Doppler observable. The same method can be used for the Doppler-cancelled observable as follows: A matched filter [12] is operationally defined by its transfer function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\omega)=\alpha S^{*}(\omega) e^{-i \omega \tau_{m}}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a constant which can be precisely defined in terms of the noise power, $\mathbf{S}^{*}(\omega)$ is the complex conjugate of the Fourier transform of the theoretical signature, and $\tau_{m}$ is the time of maximal signal-to-noise ratio. We define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{1}=2 \ell / c, \quad \tau_{2}=\ell(1+\mu) / c, \quad \tau_{3}=0  \tag{23}\\
& a_{1}=-\frac{1+\mu}{4}, \quad a_{2}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad a_{3}=-\frac{1-\mu}{4} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{y}(\tau)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} \tilde{h}(\tau-\zeta)
$$

Equation (25) showe that if a feature is identifed in the Doppler readduale at the time $\tau$, afmilar fostures should be recognizable at previous instants $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$. We assume that $\bar{y}(\tau)$ and $\bar{h}(\tau)$ are of integrable equare over the real Hne and denote by $y(\omega), h(\omega)$ the reapective Fourier transforms. Thue, the gravitational wave eignature is, in the frequency domain.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(\omega)=h(\omega) \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{j} e^{-i \omega \tau} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding matched filter is, using equation (22),

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\omega)=\alpha h^{*}(\omega) e^{-i \omega \tau} \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{j} e^{i \omega \tau j} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the frequency domain and

$$
\tilde{F}(\tau)=\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{h}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) g\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right) d \tau^{\prime}
$$

in the time domain, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\tau)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} \delta\left[\tau-\left(\tau_{m}-\tau_{i}\right)\right] \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put $\tau_{m}={ }^{3} 3^{\prime}$. From equations (28) and (29), it follows that the filtering procedure consists in matching the input signal to the theoretical signature run backwards from $t=\tau_{m}$ to $t=\tau_{1}$.

According to some astrop hysical models [13], pulses coming from different events may combine stochastically, producing a signal with a higher amplitude than in the case of a pulse from a single event. It is, therefore, useful to average the filter - or, equivalently, g( $\tau$ ) - cver the angle of incidence. The reault ia

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\text {ave }}(\tau) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} E(\tau) d \mu  \tag{30}\\
& =-\frac{1}{4}[\delta(\tau)+\delta(\tau-2 \ell / c)]+ \begin{cases}c / 4 \ell & 0<t<2 \ell / c \\
0 & \text { eleewhere }\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

The correaponding filter is the convolution of equation (30) with the gravitational waveform $h(t)$. The second pulse repetition, whose position in time depends on $\mu$, has been averaged out. The same happens for the two-way observable, which has, in fact, the same time sequence [equation (23)] as the Dopplar-cancelled observable. What distinguishea equation (30) from the corresponding filter of the two-way system [equation (37) in Reference 10]

$$
S_{\text {ave }, 2 w}(t)=\delta(t)-\delta(t-2 \ell / c)+\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{t-\ell / c}{(\ell / c)^{2}} & 0<t<2 \ell / c  \tag{31}\\
0 & \text { elsewhere }
\end{array}\right.
$$

is the sign of the correlation between the spikes at $t=0$ and $t=2 \ell / c$ : this is positive in equation (30), negative in equation (31).

The Doppler-cancelled response to continuous gravitational waves is now considered. The autocurrelation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\tau)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{y}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \tilde{y}\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right) d \tau^{\prime}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|y(\omega)|^{2} e^{i \omega \tau} d \omega \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is, for the process [equation (25)],

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{R}(\tau) & =\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|h(\omega)|^{2} \sum_{j, \ell=1}^{3} a_{j} a_{\ell} \cos \omega\left(\tau_{j}-\tau_{\ell}\right) e^{i \omega \tau} d \omega \\
& =\frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|h(\omega)|^{2}\left(1+\cos ^{2} \omega \ell+\mu^{2} \sin ^{2} \omega \ell\right. \\
& -2 \cos \omega \ell \cos \omega \ell \mu \\
& -2 \mu \sin \omega \ell \sin \omega i \mu) e^{i \omega \tau} d \omega . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

The corresponding spectral density (one-sided)

$$
S(\omega)=|y(\omega)|^{2} \quad 0 \leq \omega<\infty
$$

is

$$
\begin{gather*}
S(\omega)=\frac{1}{4}|h(\omega)|^{2}\left(1+\cos ^{2} \omega \ell+\mu^{2} \sin ^{2} \omega \ell-2 \cos \omega l \cos \omega \ell \mu\right. \\
-2 \mu \sin \omega \ell \sin \omega \ell \mu) . \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

For gravitational waves orthogonal to the line of sight,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}(\omega)=|h(\omega)|^{2} \sin ^{4} \frac{\omega \ell}{2} \quad(\mu=0) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This spectrum has exactly twice the periodicity of the corresponding two-way Doppler spectrum [equation (24) of Reference 4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2 w}(\omega)=|h(\omega)|^{2} \sin ^{2} \omega l \quad(\mu=0) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Averaging equation (35) over $\mu$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {ave }}(\omega)=\frac{5}{12}|h(\omega)|^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{5} \cos 2 \omega \ell-\frac{3}{5(\omega \ell)^{2}}+\frac{3}{5} \frac{\cos 2 \omega \ell}{(\omega \ell)^{2}}\right) . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (38) is the spectrum of the Doppler-cancelled response function to an isotropic background of random gravitational waves.

In Figure 2 the autocorrelations of the Doppler-cancelled response (left) and of the two-way Doppler (right) are compared in the case of a flat spectrum [ $h(\omega)=$ const.] at angles of incidence $\theta=30^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$, and isotropic. The analytic expression of the two-way spectrum is [10]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{s}_{2 \mathrm{w}}(\omega)=|\mathrm{h}(\omega)|^{2}\left(\mu^{2}+\sin ^{2} \omega \ell+\mu^{2} \cos ^{2} \omega \ell-2 \mu \sin \omega \ell \sin \omega \ell \mu\right. \\
\left.-2 \mu^{2} \cos \omega \ell \cos \omega \ell \mu\right) \tag{39}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 2. Three-way Doppler-cancelled (left) and two-way Doppler (right) autocorrelation function (one-sided). A constant spectrum is assumed in both cases. The angles of incidence are (a) $30^{\circ}$,
(b) $60^{\circ}$, (c) $90^{\circ}$, (d) isotropic. The numerical values on the $y$-axes are defined by the number of points (128) used in the calculation.
for an angle of incidence $\theta=\cos ^{-1} \mu$ and
$s_{\text {ave }, 2 w}(\omega)=|h(\omega)|^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{3} \cos 2 \omega \ell-\frac{3}{(\omega \ell)^{2}}-\frac{\cos 2 \omega \ell}{(\omega l)^{2}}+\frac{2 \sin 2 \omega \ell}{(\omega \ell)^{3}}\right)$
for averaged angle of incidence. In Figure 2 it is interesting to note that at $\theta=90^{\circ}$ the Doppler-cancelled autocorrelation has a peak at $t=$ $\ell / c$. All the intermediate features disappear by averaging over $\theta$. The peak at $t=2 \ell / c$ is $1 / 10$, in the Doppler-cancelled case, and $-1 / 6$, in the two-way case, of that at $t=0$, as is evident from the form of equations (38) and (40) in the limit of large values for $\omega \ell$.

The numerical calculation evaluated equations (35) and (39) at selected values of $\mu$, and equations (38) and (40), each at 128 equally spaced points, with eight points in each of the intervals $2 n \pi \leq \omega \ell \leq$ $2(n+1) \pi, n=0,1,2, \ldots 15$. A Fast Fourier Transform routine was then used to obtain the corresponding autocorrelation function [equation (32)].

## IV. CONCLUSION

As has been pointed out $[14,15]$, the use of multiple timecorrelated radio signals offers interesting possibilities not only for redshift experiments but also in the search for VLF gravitational waves. In particular, the same Doppler Cancelling System developed for the NASA-SAO redshift experiment responds to VLF gravitational waves in a precise manner. The form of the response function is identical to that of the two-way Doppler configuration as far as the timing is concerned but is otherwise different. For example, there is always a positive correlation in the Doppler-cancelled response function at two events separated by a round-trip light-time. Further, a three-peak feature is maintained in the case of gravitational waves propagating at a right angle with the line of sight to the spacecraft. This is a most interesting case, because at this angle of incidence the transverse nature of general relativistic gravitational waves would maximize the strength of the coupling with the Earth-spacecraft system.

An analysis of the influence, in the Doppler Cancelling System, of other timing and propagation effects may indicate whether the features of the Doppler-cancelled response function to VLF gravitational waves could be decoupled from those of at least some of the remaining noise sources.
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