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An improved vortex filament-vortex core method for predicting aerodynamic characteristics of siender neage with edse vortex separation has been developed. Sumi-empirical but aimple methods are used to determine the initial positions of the free sheet and vortex core. Comparison with available data indicates that: (1) the present method is generally accurate in predicting the ift and induced drag coefficients but the predicted pitching moment is too positive; (2) the spanwise lifting pressure distributions estimated by the one vortex core solution of the present method are aignificantly better than the results of Mehrotra's method relative to the pressure peak values for the flat delta; (3) the two vortex-core system applied to the double delta and strake-wing produce overall aerodynamic characteristics which have good agreement with data except for the pitching moment; and (4) the computer time for the present method is sbout two thirds of that of Mehrotra's method.
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## 1. Liat of Symbols

Symbols

| a | Percentage of allowed movement of a free segment based on the total velocity at ite control point |
| :---: | :---: |
| $a^{\prime}$ | $\operatorname{Tan} \alpha / \operatorname{Tan}\left(90^{\circ}-\Lambda\right)$ |
| $a_{1}$ | Fourier coefficients |
| $a_{\ell}$ | Leading-edge boundary condition term |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { a }}$ | $\left(x_{1}-x\right) \vec{I}+\left(y_{1}-y\right) \vec{j} \rho\left(z_{1}-z\right) \vec{k} \quad m(f t)$ |
| $\vec{a}$ | $\left(x_{1}-x\right) \vec{i}+B\left(y_{1}-y\right) \vec{j}+B\left(z_{1}-2\right) \vec{k} \quad m(f t)$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{1 j}$ | Induced downwash coefficient due to wing |
| $b$ | Wing span m(ft) |
| $\vec{b}$ | $\left(x_{2}-x\right) \vec{I}+\left(y_{2}-y\right) J+\left(z_{2}-z\right) \vec{k} \quad m(f t)$ |
| $\vec{b}^{\prime}$ | $\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}-\mathrm{x}\right) \vec{I}+B\left(\mathrm{y}_{2}-\mathrm{y}\right) \vec{J}+B\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}-z\right) \vec{k} \quad m(f t)$ |
| $B_{\text {ik }}$ | Induced downwash coefficient due to leciding-edge vortex system $\mathrm{m}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{ft}^{-1}\right)$ |
| c | Local chord m ( ft ) |
| $\bar{c}$ | Mean geometric chord m( ft) |
| ${ }^{c}{ }_{\text {d }}$ | Sectional induced drag coefficient |
| $C_{D_{1}}$ | $C_{D}-\left(C_{D} / C_{L=0}\right.$ of cambered wings) Induced drag coefficient |
|  | ( Drag/ ( $q_{\infty} S$ ) ) |
| ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Sectional lift coefficient |
| $C_{L}$ | Total lift coefficient ( Lift/( $\mathrm{q}_{\infty} \mathrm{S}$ ) ) |
| ${ }^{c}$ m | Sectional pitching moment coefficient about Y-axis |
| $C_{m}$ | Total pitching moment coefficient about $Y$-axis based on $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$ ( Moment $/\left(\mathrm{q}_{\infty} \mathrm{S} \overline{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ ) |
| $C_{L_{1}}$ | The initially computed lift coefficient in the core model |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | The reference lift coefficient based on suction analogy |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Pressure coefficient ( $\left.\left(P-P_{\infty}\right) / 4_{\infty}\right)$ |


| ${ }^{6} R$ | Hrot chord m（ ft ） |
| :---: | :---: |
| $c_{t}$ | Sertional leading－udge thrust coefficient |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | Total leading－edge thrust coefficient（Thrust／（ $\mathrm{q}_{\infty} s$ ）） |
| $c_{t 1 p}$ | Side－edge chord length m（ ft） |
| $F_{y}, F_{z}$ | The Joukowaky force components ucting on a segment of vortex core N （ 1 b ） |
| $\Xi_{1}$ | $\frac{\vec{a} \times t}{\|\vec{a} \cdot \times \vec{l}\|^{2}}\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{b^{\prime}}}{\|\vec{b} \cdot\|}-\frac{\vec{a}}{\|\vec{a}\|}\right) \cdot \frac{t}{l} \cdot m^{-1}\left(f t^{-1}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | $f_{x^{\prime}}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\vec{k}_{1}-\vec{R}\right) \times d t}{R_{B}^{3}} \quad m^{-1}\left(\mathrm{ft}^{-1}\right)$ |
| $\vec{i}, \vec{J}, \vec{k}$ | Unit vectors along $X$－，$Y$－and Z－axes，respectively |
| 析 | $\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \vec{I}+\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right) \vec{j}+\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right) \vec{k} \quad m(f t)$ |
| 部 | $\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \vec{i}+B\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right) \vec{j}+B\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right) \vec{k} \quad m(f t)$ |
| M | Mach number，or number of spanwise strips plus one |
| $M_{\infty}$ | Free stream Mach number |
| N | Number of chordwise bound elements |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $N(M-1)$ |
| $N_{b}$ | （ $M-1$ ） |
| $\vec{n}$ | A unit vector normal to the wing surface |
| $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{n}}_{\infty}$ | A unit vector normal to the free stream velocity vector |
| q | Dynamic pressure，$\left(\rho V_{\infty}^{2} / 2\right) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(1 b / f t^{2}\right)$ |
| $q_{\infty}$ | Eree stream dynamic pressure（ $\left.\rho_{\infty} \mathrm{v}_{\infty}^{2} / 2\right), \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(1 b / f t^{2}\right)$ |
| r | Perpendicular distance of bound element to the core segment |
| $r_{c}$ | Characteristic radius of vortex core cm （in．） |
| $\mathrm{R}_{B}$ | $\left[\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\beta^{2}\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\beta^{2}\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$ |
| 相 | $x \mathbf{I}+y \vec{j}+2 \vec{k} \quad m(f t)$ |
| 碧＇ | $x \vec{i}+\beta y \vec{j}+\beta z \vec{k} \quad m(f t)$ |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\ell}$ | $\xi \vec{I}+n \vec{J}+\zeta \vec{k} \quad m(f t)$ |
| $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{R}}_{\boldsymbol{l}}^{\prime}$ | $\xi \vec{I}+B n J+B \zeta \vec{k} \quad m(f t)$ |


| S | Reference wing area $\mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ( $\mathrm{ft}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\vec{t}_{\text {t }}$ | A unit vector along the free straam velocity vector |
| u, v, w | Induced velocity components along $X-, Y$, and $2-a x e s$, respectively $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ ( ft/sec) |
| $v_{\infty}$ | Free stream velocity m/sec ( ft/sec) |
| す | Induced velocity vector due to lerding-edge vortex system m/sec ( ft/sec) |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | Induced velocity vector due to wing m/sec ( ft/sec) |
| $w^{\prime}$ | Induced normal wash m/sec ( ft/sec) |
| $x, y, z$ | Wing rectangular coordinate system with positive X -axis aloag axis of symmetry pointing downstream, positive $Y$-axis pointing to right, and positive z-axis pointing upward. $m$ ( ft ). |
| $\bar{y}, \bar{z}$ | The centrosd of the leading-edge vortex filament system m ( ft) |
| $z_{c}(x, y)$ | The ordinate of camber surface measured from X-Y plane m( ft) |
| $z_{\text {min }}$ | Minimum vertical distance of a vortex segment from the wing plane m (ft) |

Greek

| 0 | Angle of attack ( rad) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $\left(1-M^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ |
| $\gamma$ | Vortex deneity referred to freestream velocity |
| $\Gamma$ | Concentrated vortex atrength based on free atream velocity m ( ft ) |
| $\Delta C_{p}$ | Differential pressure coefficient, $\left(C_{P_{\text {lower }}}-C_{P_{\text {upper }}}\right)$ |
| $\theta$ | Chordwise argular distance ( rad ) |
| $\wedge$ | Sweep angle of wing leading-edge |
| $\rho$ | Fluid density $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ( slugs/ft ${ }^{3}$ ) |
| $\phi$ | Spanwise angular dibtance (rad) |
| $\phi_{y}$ |  |
| $\psi$ | Sweep angle of leading-edge vortex element or wing bound element ( rad ) |
| $\xi, n, 5$ | Integration variables in cartesian system m ( ft) |
| $\lambda^{\prime}$ | Relaxation parameter for the $y$ coordinate of vortex core |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | Relaxation parameter for the y coordinate of vortex core |

Subscripts

1The first endpoint of vortex elementThe second endpoint of a vortex element
Bound element
Control point
Chordwise bound element number
Spanwise etrlp number
Chordwise bound element number
Leading-edge
Leading-edge vortex element
Left reniling leg
Right Ezailing ..... leg
Trs ling-adge
Chordwise trailing vortices

## 2. Introduction

It is wh. 1 known that at moderate to high angles of attack, the flow separates going around the leading-edge of a sharp-edged wing. The separation produces rolled-up vortex sheets above the wing surface which emanate from the local leading-edge. The concentrated vorticity abive the wing induces high suction pressure over a large portion of the upper surface of the wing, resulting in a nonlinear contribution to the wing loading. In the analysis and design of slender high speed airplanes flying at off design conditione, a detailed knowladge of the particular loading on the wing surface is required to estmate aerodynamic performance and structural loads.

There have been suveral different theoretical approaches in solving the vortex flow separation problem since the early 1950's. In general, they can be classified as conical and non-conical flow methode.

In the first category, based on the slender wing approximation, the solutions are obtained from a simplified governing flow equation by neglecting the variation of longitudinal velocity. Therefore, the problem is solved in the so-called cross-flow plane. Legendre (ref. 1) assumed that the rolled-up vortex sheets could be replaced by a pair of concentrated cores over the wing and inboard of the leading-edge. Two boundary conditions were imposed such that velocities along the leading-edges must be finite and the total force on each isolated vnrtex must be zero. Later, Brown and Michael (ref. 2) used feeding suts to connect the line vortices and the wing leading-edges. Again, the boundary conditions require tangency of flow on the wing aurface, finite velocity at the leading-edges, and zero resuitant force on the vortex-plus-cut combination. Mangler and
and Saith (ref. 3), modifying the model in reforence 2 , asaumed that the vortex sheets are shed tangentially at leading-edges and roll up at apiral vortices. The boundary conditions of zero pressure discontinuity acrose the eeparated vortex sheet and zero total force on the vortex sheet and feed cut are imposed. Pinally, Salth (ref. 4) developed the model of a segmented feeding vortex sheet by improving the numerical procedure in reference 3. The main shortcomings of all these modele described above are limited to conical flow. The assumption of slenderness leads to a theory which is independent of Mach number. Thus, the theory breaks down at low Mach numbers in the trailing-edge regions, because the trailing-edge Kutte condition is not satisfied. It breaks down also when the aspect ratio is greater than one.

In the second category, the conical flow assumption is removed so that the Kutta conditions are satisfied at both leading-and trailing-edges. In the earlier atage of development, the classical lifting surface theory was extended by including a relatively aimple representation of the leadingedge separation. Bollay (ref. 5) suggested a method for low aspect ratio rectangular wings in which all the vorticity is shed from the wing side-edge and is assumed to be along straight lines making an angle of a/2 with respect to the wing planform. Gersten (ref. 6) and Garner and Lehrian (ref. 7) extended Bollay's model to zover wings of arbitrary shape. Vorticity is assumed to be shed from the leading-edges at an angle of $\alpha / 2$ to the wing plane. The wing was replaced by a series of lifting elements. A Multhopp-type lifting surface method (ref. 8) was used to solve the integral equations in reference 7.

Nangia and Hancock (ref. 9) combined the conventional lifting surface theory with the detached flow model of Brown and Michzel (ref. 2).

In the latter model, two concantrated cores lie above the wing, connected to the leading-edges by feeding cuts. The trailing wake consisti of vorticee shed from the trailing-edge and the continuing concentrated vortices. To determine the separated vortex etrengthe, thair position and the wing vorticity distributions, the flow tangency condition on the wing surface and the trailing-edge Kutta condition are applied at selected collocation points, together with the conditions of zero loading on the vortex and cut. The leading-edge $\mathrm{Kt}^{\text {, ta condition } i t \text { automatically satisfied }}$ by the choice of the loading function.

When the wing loading distribution is not required, Polhamus' method of leading-edge suction analogy (ref. 10) has been found to be simple and accurate. He assumed that the overall lift force can be estimated from the sum of potential and vortex lift contributions. The potential lift is defined as the lift due to potencial flow about the wing with zero leading-edge suction. Vortex lift is caused by large suction forces induced by vortices in the separatsd flow, acting on the upper surface of the wing, and is assumed to be equal to, in magnitude, the leading-edge suction force in the attacher potential flow.

The detailed literature survey of the above flow concepts were reviewed by Matoi (ref. 11) and Parker (ref. 12).

Since 1970 , several methods have been developed by including a more precise representation of the leading-edge separation. Two popular methods under the second category are of the doublet-panel type (refs. 13, 14 and 15) and the free vortices (refs. 16,17 and 18). In the method of doubletpanel type, such as the free-vortex-sheet method of Johnson, et al. (refs. 13 and 14), the wing surface and free sheet are replaced with doublet panels that have biquadratic strength. The free sheet, whose shape and
position must be determined by the iteration, is attached uriar all conditions to fed sheet. The fed sheet approximately represents the vortex core effect and moves with the free sheet. The entire set of doublet utrengthe 1s determined simultaneously during the iteration process. Hoeijmakers (ref. 15) extended the method of Johnson, et al. (ref. 14) by including the entrainment effect of rotational cores. In this model, the apiralling free shear layer springing from the leading-edge is replaced by free vortex sheet which is terminated by a combination of a feeding sheet and a discrete line vortex and sink. The strength of the sink is related to the entrainment of the rotational core and derived from semi-empirical arguments. The combination of vortex/sink and feeding sheet sustains no resultant force and produces the condition rieeded for determining the vortex core position in the cross-flow plane. From references 13 to 15 , the panel method has been shown to predict accurate results for flat delta wings. However, the computing time involved is lengthy and the methods are generally re-. stricted to simple geometries.

In the method of free vortices, Mook and Maddox (ref. 16) have used an extension of the vortex lattice method to account for leading-edge separation. In addition to standard vortex lattice, a series of kinked vortex lines are assumed $1: 0$ be shed from the leading-edge. An iterative procedure is used to determine the strength and local flow directions with appropriate boundary condition. In the Kandil method (ref. 17), the flow is modelled in a manner similar to that of Mook and Maddox (ref. 16), but included wing-tip separation. In this model, the bound elements of the vortex lattices were unswept, and the wake behind the trailing-edge and tip vortex elements were force free. The quasi-vortex-lattice potential flow method of Lan (ref. 19) has been extended by Mehrotra (ref. 18) to include vortex flow effects. This is done by modelling with discrete trailing vortex filaments emanating from the leading-edges. The leading-edge Kutta condition is exactly
satisfied and partial span vortex separation is allowed. The rasulta of Mehrotra's model (ref. 18) indicate that the predisted spanwise pressure distribution is more diffused than the experimental data show. This is because the effect of a concentrated vortex core in the real flow is not well reprecented by a number of free vortices. From the published results, it is clear that none of the existing free-vortex methods is capable of predicting accurately the lifting pressura distribution over the wing aurface within a reasonable amount of computer time.

The main objectives of this investigation are : (1) to redice the computer time through the use of a pair of concentrated vortex cores above the wing surface, which should also improve the pressure prediction of Mehrotra's model (ref. 18); (2) to allow the effect of side-edge vortex separation; and (3) to extend the method of reference 18 to more realistic geometries. Configurations, which are applicahle to real airplane geometries include features such as camber, strakes and leading-edge vortex flaps. All these configurations will be treated in this report.

In the following, the aforementioned improvement will be described. First, the leading-edge vortex system is superimposed on the regular quasi-vortexlattice grid by using segmented vortex filaments, as shown in reference 18. Second, the side-edge vortex system is obtained by extending all the bound elements to the tip chord in the last vortex strip. Third, the leading-edge diffused vortex elements, in reference 18 , are allowed to merge into a concentrated core after two iterations.

## 3. Description of Theoretical Formulation

Mehrotra's method (ref. 18) is a diffused vortax-filament model of the vortex core but serves as a basis for the present method. in thr present analysis, the basic assumptions are: (1) ch: wing is represented by a bound vortex sheet across which there exists a pressure difference; (2) the separated fiow along isiding-edges is represented by force free leading-edge vortex elements which feed vorticity into a concentrated core. In the present method, the Quasi-Vortex-Lattice method (QVLM) (ref. 19) is used to simplify the induced velocity expressions due to the wing bound vortex sheet.

During the iteration process, the iollowing boundary conditions are imposed: (1) the wing surface must be impermeable; (2) Kutta conditions are imposed along the leading- and trailing-edges of the wing; (3) in the diffused vortex-filament model, the force free condition is applied on the leading-edge vortex filaments and trailing wale elements; and (4) in the core model, the force free condition is applied on the free vortex elements, concentrated core and trailing wake elements.

This is a non-linear problem because the strength of the wing bound vortices and free element vortices, the locations of the leading-edge free vortex elements, and the concentrated core are unknown.
3.1 Mathematical Model of the Wing

The wing lies in the $x-y$ plane and the $x$-axis is taken to be streamwise along the wing center line. The origin of the right-handed rectangular coor. dinate system is assumed to be at the moment reference point of the wing.

### 3.1.1 Wing Bound Element and Trailing Vortices

According to the QVLM, the semi-circle method is used to determine the control station locations and the vortex strips in the spanwise direction, and the wing control points and bound elements in the chordwise direction. The
locations of bound- and trailing-vortex elements are as shown in Fig. 1. The $x$-location of bound elementa is given $b:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=x_{\ell}+\frac{c}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{21-1}{2 N} \pi\right)\right), 1=1,--N \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{\ell}$ is the leading-edge $x$-coordinate, $c$ is the local chord and $N$ is the number of bound elements in the chordwise direction. The spanwise locations of trailing vortices are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j}=\frac{b}{4}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{21-1}{2 M} \pi\right)\right), j=1,---M \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is the wing span and $M$ is the number of legs of trailing vorticity, wilich is one higher than the number of spanwise vortex strips. The locations of control points are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{c p_{k}}=x_{\ell}+\frac{c_{j}}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{k}{N} \pi\right)\right), k=0,1,--N  \tag{3}\\
& y_{c p_{f}}=\frac{b}{4}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{1}{M} \pi\right)\right), j=1,-\cdots-(M-1) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{l}{ }_{j}^{\prime}$ and $c_{j}$ are the leading-edge $x$-coordinate and local chord at $y_{c p_{j}}$, respectively.

For the strake-wing configuration, there are two sections in the spanwise direction. Each spanwise section is divided into vortex strips by the semi-circle method. The edges of the vortex strips in each section are obtained through the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j}=y_{k-1}^{\prime}+\frac{b_{k}}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{2 j-1}{2 M_{k}} \pi\right)\right), j=1,--M_{k} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $y$ control points are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{c p_{1}}=y_{k-1}^{\prime}+\frac{b_{p}}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{1}{M_{k}} \pi\right)\right), i=1,---M_{k}-1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{k}$ is the width of the $k^{\text {th }}$ spanwise section and $M_{k}$ is the number of spanwise strips plus one in $k^{\text {th }}$ section (see Fig. 2).

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y_{k-1}^{\prime} \text { are defined as } \\
y_{0}^{\prime}=0
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
y_{1}^{\prime}=b_{1}
$$

3.2 Model of Free Vortex Element System

### 3.2.1 Leading-Edge Vortex System Geometry

The leading-edge vortex system is superimposed on the regular quasi-vortex-lattice grid. A typical leading-edge vortex element is shown by points A through $J$ in Fig.3. These points are connected by a series of short straight segments. A typical set of initial locations for these segments is indicated with dashed lines and the locations after two iterations are given by solid lines.

The segments of the vortex element have the following characteristics:
(1) Initially, points A through E lie along a wing trailing vortex
filament with point $A$ being one root chord away from the trailing-edge (point D) in the downstream direction, and the line segments between $A$ and $D$ are parallel to the axis of symmetry. The segments $B-C$ and $C-D$ are $0.1 \quad C_{R}$ long. The length of each line segment between $A$ and $B$ remains unchanged as the solution progresses. Segment $B-C$ is allowed to move only in the vertical direction, whereas segment $C-D$ is fixed in the wing plane because of the trailing-edge Kutta condition. Segment $D-E$ is also fixed in the wing plane.
(2) Points E, F, G and $H$ lie in the wing plane. The location of segment $E-F$ is : head of the wing first bound element and is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{E}={\underset{l}{l}}_{x_{E}}+\frac{C_{E}}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2(N+1)}\right)\right)  \tag{7}\\
& x_{F}=x_{l_{F}}+\frac{C}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2(N+1)}\right)\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The segments $F-5$ and $G-H$ are of the same length and point $G$ lies on the leadingedge. These segments are fixed in the wing plane to satisfy the leading-edge Kutta condition.
(3) The initial loca ion of point $T$ is a function of $a$ and is given by (ref. 18)

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{I}=x_{F}  \tag{9}\\
& y_{I}=y_{F}  \tag{10}\\
& z_{I}=0.1 c_{R} \operatorname{Tan}(22.5-0.5 \alpha), \text { for } \alpha \leqslant 15^{0} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{z} I=0.1 c_{R} \operatorname{Tan} \alpha, \text { for } \alpha>15^{\circ} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $C_{R}$ is the root chord and $\alpha$ is the angle of attack. Initially point $J$ is one root chord away from the trailing-edge. The line connecting I and $J$ may be divided into segments of equal length which lie in a plane parallel to the $x-2$ plane. The initial height of these segments is approximately $0.1 c_{R}$ above the wing piane. In the final converged location, all segments between point $H$ and $J$ (free vortex elements, concentrated core and trailing wake elements) are aligned in the local velocity direction at their mid-points to satisfy the force free condition.
(4) The semi-infinite segments from point $A$ to infinity and $J$ to infinity are straight and are parallel to the undisturbed free stream direction. Since the far wake of the leading-edge vortex element has very little effect on the wing aerodynamic characteristics, the far wake is assumed to be semiinfinite and parallel to the free stream.

### 3.2.2 Side Edge Vortex System Geometry

To establish the side-edge vortex aystem as shown in Fig. 4, the leadingedge vortex element and wing bound element of the last vortex strip is modified as follow:
(1) Extend the leading-edge bound element to the aide-edge (point D). Points $D, E, F, G$ and $H$ lie in the wing plane. The segments $P-G, G-H, H-I$ and I through $J$ are given in the same manner as in the precading section. The extended leading-edge bound element consists of segments D-E and E-F. The location of each point is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{D}=x_{\ell_{F 1 P}}+\frac{C_{T 1 P}}{2}\left(1-\operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{\pi}{2(N+1)}\right)\right)  \tag{13}\\
& x_{F}=x_{\ell_{F}}+\frac{C_{F}}{2}\left(1-\operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{\pi}{2(N+1)}\right)\right)  \tag{14}\\
& x_{E}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{D}+x_{F}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

(2) The initial location of each vortex segment along the trailing leg of the extended leading-edge bound element, point $A$ through $D$, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{j+1}=x_{j}+\Delta y \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}  \tag{16}\\
& y_{j+1}=y_{T i p}  \tag{17}\\
& z_{j+1}=z_{j}+\Delta y \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\Delta y=0.95 x \text { (width of the last vortex strip). }
$$

(3) Extend the regular wing bound element to the side-edge. (Points $P, Q, R$, S). Points $P, Q, R$ and $S$ lie in the wing plane and at the side-edge. The location of each point is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i}=x_{\ell T i p}+\frac{c_{T i p}}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{2 i-1}{2 N}\right)\right), 1=1,---N  \tag{19}\\
& y_{i}=y_{T i p} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X_{\ell_{T i p}}$ is the leading-edge $x$ coordinate at the side-edge of the wing; Cip the side-edge local shord length.
(4) Initially, all the segments between points $D$ and $A, P$ and $T, Q$ and $V$, etc. Lie in a plane parallel to $x-2$ plane at the wing aide-edge. Pointa $A, T$, $U$. $V$ and $W$ are one root chord away from the trailing-edge. The initial side-edge vortex eegment along points $P$ through $T$ (or $Q$ through $U$, etc.) is given by,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i+1}=x_{i}+\Delta x \cos \frac{a}{2}  \tag{21}\\
& y_{i+1}=y_{T i p}  \tag{22}\\
& z_{i+1}=z_{i}+\Delta x \sin \frac{a}{2} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta x$ is assumed to be half length of the side-edge chord.
(5) The semi-infinite segments from points $A, J, T, U$, etc. to infinity are straight and are parallel to the undisturbed free stream.

### 3.3 Induced Velocity Computation

### 3.3.1 Indured Velocity Due to Wing

In the quasi-vortex-lattice method (ref. 19), to satisfy wing boundary condition, the continuous vortex distribution over the wing is replaced by quasicontinuous one, being continuous chordwise but stepwise constant in the apanwise direction. Thus, the wing surface can be divided into a number of vortex strips with the associated trailing vortices (Fig. 1). In any strip, consider a vortex element $\gamma d x$ with an arbitrary direction $\vec{\ell}$ (Fig. 5). The induced velocity due to all bound elements in the $i^{\text {th }}$ strip is given by (ref. 19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{V}_{1_{1}}(\vec{k})=\frac{\beta^{2}}{4 \pi} \int_{x_{l}}^{x} r\left(x^{\prime}\right) \frac{\vec{a} \times x}{\left|\vec{a} \cdot x+\frac{1}{l}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{\vec{b}^{\prime}}{|\vec{b}|^{\prime} \mid}-\frac{\vec{a}{ }^{\prime}}{|\vec{a}|}\right) \cdot \vec{l}^{\prime} d^{\prime} x^{\prime} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and due to the associated trailing vortices by

The transformation, $x^{\prime}=x_{\ell}+c(y)(1-\cos \theta) / 2$, reduce equations (24) and (25) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{V}_{1_{1}}(\vec{R})=\frac{\beta^{2} c(y)}{8 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} t_{1}(\theta) \gamma(\theta) \sin \theta d \theta \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{V}_{1_{2}}(\vec{R})=\frac{\beta^{2} c(y)}{8 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \vec{G}_{2}(\theta) \gamma(\theta) \sin \theta d \theta \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{G}_{1}(\phi)=\frac{\vec{a} \times \vec{l}}{|\vec{a} \cdot \times \vec{l}|^{2}}\left(\frac{\vec{b} \cdot}{|\vec{b} \cdot|}-\frac{\vec{a} \cdot}{|\vec{a} \cdot|}\right) \cdot \vec{l} \cdot \\
& \vec{G}_{2}(\phi)=\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\vec{R} 1-\frac{\vec{k}}{}\right) \times \vec{d} \vec{l}}{R_{B}^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $c(y)=x_{t}-x_{\ell} \cdot \vec{a}, \vec{b}$, etc. are defined in the List of Symbols.

The total induced velocity due to the $i^{\text {th }}$ etrip of vortex dietribution is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{\nabla}_{1}(\vec{k}) & =\frac{\beta^{2} c(y)}{8_{i}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \vec{G}_{1}(\theta) r(\theta) \sin \theta d \theta \\
& +\frac{\beta^{2} c(y)}{8 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \vec{\sigma}_{2}^{1}(\theta) r(\theta) \sin \theta d \theta \\
& -\frac{\beta^{2} c(y)}{8 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \vec{U}_{2}^{\prime \prime}(\theta) r(\theta) \sin \theta d \theta \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Where the first term is due to bound element, second due to left leg of trailing element and third due to the right leg of trailing elements. The above integrala are reduced to finite sums through the mid-point trapezoidal rule (ref. 19):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{V}_{1}(\vec{R})=\frac{B^{2} c(y)}{8 N} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(\vec{C}_{1_{k}}+\vec{G}_{2_{k}^{\prime}}^{\prime}-\vec{G}_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \quad r_{k} \sin \theta_{k} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{k}=(2 k-1) \pi / 2 N$ and locations of bound elements are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1_{k}}=x_{\ell_{1}}+c_{1} \xi_{k}  \tag{30}\\
& x_{2_{k}}=x_{\ell_{2}}+c_{2} \xi_{k}  \tag{31}\\
& \xi_{k}=1 / 2\left(1-\operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{2 k-1}{2 N} \pi\right)\right), k=1,-\cdots N \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{\ell_{1}}=\text { the leading-edge } x \text {-coordinate at } y_{1} \text { (left leg) } \\
& x_{\ell_{2}}=\text { the leading-edge x-cordinate at } y_{2} \text { (right leg) } \\
& c_{1}=\text { chord length at. } y_{1} \\
& c_{2}=\text { chord length at } y_{2} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The location of control points and trailing vortices are described in equations (1) through (6).

Thus, the induced volocity due to all vortex strips of the wing can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{V}(\vec{R})=\sum_{i=1}^{M-i} \vec{V}_{i}(\vec{R}) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3.2 Induced Velocity Due to Free Vortax Element syetem

The leading-eds: free vortex syatem conalets of $M$ - 1 diatinct vortex
elements. Each element may have different number of samll vortex eegments. Asame that the $1^{\text {th }}$ set hes $L$ mall segments. The induced velocity at a given point $(x, y, z)$ due to $j^{\text {th }}$ segment of $1^{\text {th }}$ element is given by (ref. 19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{v}_{1 f}(\vec{k})=\frac{8^{2} r}{4 \pi} 1 \frac{\vec{a} \times t}{\left|\vec{a} \times l^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{\vec{b}{ }^{\prime}}{|\vec{b}|}-\frac{\vec{a}}{\left|\overrightarrow{a^{\prime}}\right|}\right) \text {.t } \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{k}=x I+y J+z \vec{k} \\
& \vec{a}=\left(x_{j}-x\right) I+\left(y_{j}-y\right) f+\left(z_{j}-z\right) \vec{k} \\
& \vec{b}=\left(x_{j+1}-x\right) I+\left(y_{j+1}-y\right) J+\left(z_{j+1}-z\right) \vec{k} \\
& \vec{l}=\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right) I+\left(y_{j+1}-y\right) J+\left(z_{j+1}-z_{j}\right) \vec{k} \\
& \vec{a} \cdot=\left(x_{j}-x\right) I+B\left(y_{j}-y\right) J+\beta\left(z_{j}-z\right) \vec{k} \\
& \vec{b}^{\prime}=\left(x_{j+1}-x\right) I+\beta\left(y_{j+1}-y\right) J+\beta\left(z_{j+1}-z\right) \vec{k} \\
& \vec{l}^{\prime}=\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right) I+B\left(y_{j+1}-y_{j}\right) J+\beta\left(z_{j+1}-z_{j}\right) \vec{k} \\
& \Gamma_{1}=\text { leading-edge bound vortex strength of } i^{\text {th }} \text { strip. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The subscripts $j$ and $j+1$ correspond to the end point of $j^{\text {th }}$ segment. The induced velocity due to $1^{\text {th }}$ element can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{U}_{i} \text { (畐) } \sum_{j=1}^{L} \vec{U}_{i j} \text { (㤩) } \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the induced velonity due to the entire leading-edge free vortex elements is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{U}(\vec{R})=\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \vec{U}_{i}(\vec{R}) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4 Formulations of Wing Boundary Condition

The bound elements and the corresponding control points of the wing
surface are numbered from the lading-edge to the trailing-edge and fron the root to the ade-edge. The flow tangency condition can be written as

$$
\left[A_{i j}\right)\left\{\gamma_{j}\right\}+\left(B_{i k}\right]\left(r_{k}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cos a\left(\frac{d z}{d x}\right)_{1}-\sin a  \tag{37}\\
a_{l k}+\cos a\left(\frac{d z}{d x}\right)_{k}-\sin a
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $N_{a}$ is the total number of wing bound elemente: $N_{b}$ the number of leading-edge vortex elemente; $A_{1 j}$ the induced downwash at the $i^{\text {th }}$ control print Cf wing due to unit horsehoe vortex density at $j ; B_{i k}$ the induced downash at the $i^{\text {th }}$ control point due to the $k^{\text {th }}$ leading-edge vortex element of unit strength; $\gamma_{j}$ the vortex density of the $j^{\text {th }}$ bound element; $r_{v}$ the strength of the $k^{\text {th }}$ leading-edge vortex element; $(d z / d x)_{1}$ the camber slope at the $i$ th control point and $\alpha$ the angle of attack. According to equations (52) and (53) of reference 19 , the leading-edge thrust coefficipnt is related to the nomalwash on the leading-edge by the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.a_{\ell k}-\text { (induced normalwash }-\operatorname{Cos} a\left(\frac{d z}{d x}\right)_{k}+\sin a\right)\left.\right|_{\text {at }} \text { \&.e. } \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the last expression on the right hand side of equation (37). In the expression, $a_{\ell k}$ is defined as (ref. 19)

$$
a_{\ell k}=N \sqrt{\operatorname{Tan}^{2} \Lambda+\beta^{2}}\left(\frac{2 c_{t_{k}} \operatorname{Con} \Lambda}{\left.\pi \sqrt{1-M_{\infty}^{2} \operatorname{Cos}^{2} \Lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right.
$$

where
$N$ = number of chordwise bounded vortex elements
$\Lambda$ - leading-edge sweep angle
$M_{\infty}$ - free stream Mach number
$B=\sqrt{1-M_{\infty}^{2}}$
$c_{t_{k}}$ = sectional leading-edge thrust coefficient of the $k^{\text {th }}$ strip
For complete leading-edge separation cases, the sectional leading-edge suction

## 1s zero and so 1s ak

### 3.5 Force Free Condition

The vortex segments of each free element above the wing surface ard the trailing wake are to be aligned in the direction of local velocity vector calculated at their mid-points. Consider the $1^{\text {th }}$ segment of vortex element. The coordinates of its end points are given by $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1+1}, y_{1+1}\right.$, $z_{1+1}$ ). Assume that the induced velocity at the mid-point of this segment at a given iteration is given by ( $\left.u_{i}+\vec{v}+\vec{w}\right)$. In the following sections, the formulation of force free condition will be derived in the diffused vortex filament and core models respectively.

### 3.5.1 Formulation of Force Free Condition of Free Vortex Elements in The Diffused Vortex Filament Model

For the $1^{\text {th }}$ segment, the new location of the $(1+1)^{\text {th }}$ end point can be obtained by aligning the segment in the direction of local velocity vector. That is

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1+1}=x_{1}+\frac{u}{U} \Delta s  \tag{40}\\
& y_{i+1}=y_{1}+\frac{v}{U} \Delta s  \tag{41}\\
& z_{i+1}=z_{1}+\frac{w}{U} \Delta s \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+w^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \Delta=\left[\left(x_{i+1}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{1+1}-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{i+1}-z_{1}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Before equations (40) - (42) are used, the following points should be considered:
(1) The length of each segment is to be preserved.
(2) The free vortex segments above the wing should not come too close to the wing surface to avoid numerical difficulty in the present inviscid theory.
(3) The adjustment of the location of each segment to satisfy the force free condition should be such that it does not resule in numerical

## fluctuations.

Based on the above considerations, equations (40) to (42) will be modified as follows:

Consider the same $1^{\text {th }}$ segment. If this segment moves "a" percent only according to the velocity computed at its mid-point, the equations (40) to (42) can be modified to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta y^{\prime}=\frac{v}{U} \Delta s+(1-a)\left(y_{1+1}-y_{1}\right)  \tag{43}\\
& \Delta z^{\prime}=a \frac{W}{U} \Delta s+(1-a)\left(z_{1+1}-z_{1}\right)  \tag{44}\\
& \Delta x^{\prime}=\left[\Delta s^{2}-\left(\Delta y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\left(\Delta z^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i+1}=x_{1}+\Delta x^{\prime}  \tag{46}\\
& y_{i+1}=y_{1}+\Delta y^{\prime}  \tag{47}\\
& z_{i+1}=z_{1}+\Delta z^{\prime} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

The free elements of the leading-edge vortex system have been restricted not to come any closer than a minimum specified height to the wing surface, which is given empirically by Mehrotra (ref. 18) as follows

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
z_{\min }=0.1 c_{R} \operatorname{Tan}(22.5-0.5 \alpha) & , \text { for } \alpha \leq 15^{\circ} \\
z_{\min }=0.1 c_{R} \operatorname{Tan} \alpha & , \text { for } \alpha>15^{\circ} \tag{50}
\end{array}
$$

If $z_{i+1}$ is less than $z_{m i n}$, it is then set $z_{i+1}$ equal to $z_{m i n}$ and $\Delta z^{\prime}$ is recalculated by using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta z^{\prime}=z_{\min }-z_{i} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

This restriction is needed because whenever the free elements are close to the wing surface, they induce large velocities on the wing and vice versa, which makes the locations of free elements fluctuate. In the real flow, at small angles of attack, the leading-edge vortex system is weak and diffused. The effect of the free vortices is artificially reduced by increasing $z_{\text {min }}$ as the angle of attack is decreased below 15 degrees. Note that equations (43) through (48) described above are mainly used to (1) establish the leading-edge vortex filament system in the first two iterations; and (2) find the new position of
trailing wake elements and side-edge virtex system in the entire iteration process.

### 3.5.2 Formulation of Force Free Condition of Free Sheet Vortex Element in The

 Core ModelFor the $i^{\text {th }}$ segment along a free vortex element between the leading-edge and concentrated core, the initial slopes are determined by the total induced velocities at the mid-point of this begment

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{v}{u}  \tag{52}\\
& \left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{w}{u} \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

The new slopes of the $1^{\text {th }}$ segment of a free vortex element are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)^{\star}=d^{\star}\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)+\left(1-d^{*}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)^{\prime}  \tag{54}\\
& \left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)^{\star}=d^{\star}\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)+\left(1-d^{*}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)^{\prime} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to the parameter "a" in equations (43) and (44) of section 3.5.1, the relaxation parameter of free sheet, $d^{*}$, is used in equations (54) and (55) in the core model, to avoid any rapid variation of free sheet shape and divergence of the iteration procedure. The initial value of $d^{\star}$ is 0.5 . During the iteration process, when the rate of change of the total free sheet vortex element force is less than five percent, $\mathrm{d}^{\star}$ is set $\pm 00.95$.

Since the length of each segment is conserved, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta s=\Delta x\left[1+\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta x)^{*}=\frac{\Delta s}{\left[1+\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)^{\star 2}+\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)^{\star 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\Delta y)^{\star}=(\Delta x)^{\star}\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)^{*}  \tag{58}\\
& (\Delta z)^{\star}=(\Delta x)^{\star}\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)^{*} \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the new location of the $(1+1)^{\text {th }}$ end point is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i+1}=x_{i}+(\Delta x)^{*}  \tag{60}\\
& y_{1+1}=y_{i}+(\Delta y)^{*}  \tag{61}\\
& z_{i+1}=z_{i}+(\Delta z)^{*} \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.5.3 Formulation of Force Free Condition of Vortex Core in The Core Model

During each iteration, the Joukowaky force acting on each segment of the core is computed. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { F } & =\vec{v} \times \vec{t} \\
& =(u \mathcal{I}+v J+w \vec{k}) \times\left(r_{x} \vec{I}+r_{y} J+r_{2} \vec{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{F_{y}}{\Gamma_{x} u}=\frac{w}{u}-\frac{\Gamma_{z}}{\Gamma_{x}}=\frac{w}{u}-\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)  \tag{64}\\
& \frac{F_{z}}{\Gamma_{x} u}=\frac{\Gamma_{y}}{\Gamma_{x}}-\frac{v}{u}=\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)=\frac{v}{u} \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

If the new position of the core is such that $F_{y}=0$ and $F_{z}=0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{w}{u}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)^{\prime}=0  \tag{66}\\
& \left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{y}{u}\right)^{\prime}=0 \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{w}{u}\right)=\left(\frac{w}{u}\right)^{\prime} \\
& \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)=\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining equations (64), (65) and (66), (67) yields the following equations for the $1^{\text {th }}$ segment:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)_{1}-\left(\frac{F_{z}}{\left.\Gamma_{x}\right)_{1}}\right.  \tag{68}\\
& \left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)_{1}+\left(\frac{F_{y}}{\left.\Gamma_{x}\right)_{1}}\right. \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

The new slopes of the $1^{\text {th }}$ segment of the concentrated core are taken to be:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)_{1}^{*}=\left(1-\lambda_{y}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)_{1}+\lambda_{z}\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)_{1}^{\prime}  \tag{70}\\
& \left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)_{1}^{*}=\left(1-\lambda_{z}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)_{1}+\lambda_{y}\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)_{1}^{\prime} \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\lambda_{y}$ and $\lambda_{z}$ are relaxation porameters and are discussed in Section 3.8.

Combining equations (68) through (71) results in:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x_{1}}\right)_{1}^{*}=\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x_{i}}\right)-\lambda y\left(\frac{F_{z}}{\left.\Gamma_{x}\right)_{1}}{ }_{1}^{\prime}\right.  \tag{70}\\
& \left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)_{i}^{*}=\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)_{1}+\lambda_{z}\left(\frac{F_{y}}{\left.\Gamma_{x}\right)_{1}}{ }_{1}\right. \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

During the iteration process, when the rate of change of the vortex core force is less than five percent, the relaxation parameters $\lambda_{y}$ and $\lambda_{z}$ of each core segment will be further reduced by multiplying the factor 0.1 . Thus, the movements of core segment will not cause excessive fluctuation in the aerodynamic characteristics.

Since the segment length is conserved, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta s & =\Delta x\left[1+\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{74}\\
(\Delta x)^{*} & =\frac{\Delta s}{\left[1+\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)^{* 2}+\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)^{* 2}\right]^{t / 2}} \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\Delta y)^{*}=(\Delta x)^{*}\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)_{1}^{*}  \tag{76}\\
& (\Delta z)^{*}=(\Delta x)^{*}\left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x}\right)_{1}^{*} \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the new position of $(i+1)^{\text {th }}$ end point of the concentrated core are found as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i+1}=x_{i}+(\Delta x)^{*}  \tag{78}\\
& y_{i+1}=y_{i}+(\Delta y)^{*}  \tag{79}\\
& z_{i+1}=z_{i}+(\Delta z)^{*} \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

In the present analysis, the effect of the secondary vortices has not been included.
3.6 Centroid of the Leading-Edge Vortex Filament System

After establishing the leading-edge vortex filament system based on exercising Mehrotra's code (ref. 18) through two iterations, the centroid of that \&iffused vortex element system can be found as follows (ref. 20):

Consider a series of crose flow planes, proceeding from the wing apex toward the trailing-edge. The centroids of the vortex filaments penetrating these planes are given by,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{y}_{j}=\frac{{ }_{i=1}^{\sum_{j}} \Gamma_{1} y_{i j}}{\Gamma_{c j}}  \tag{81}\\
& \bar{z}_{j}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\sum_{j} \Gamma_{1} z_{i j}}}{\Gamma_{c j}} \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

where,
$\bar{y}_{j}, \bar{z}_{j}$ are the centroid position in the $j{ }^{\text {th }}$ plane,
$y_{i f}, z_{i f}$ are the intersecion position of the $i^{\text {th }}$ vortex filament with the $j^{\text {th }}$ plane,
$\Gamma_{1}$ is the circulation around the $1^{\text {th }}$ vortex filament,
$\Gamma_{c j}$ is the concentrated ine vortex strength at the $j^{\text {th }}$ plane,
$n_{j}$ is the number of vortex filaments penetrating the $j^{\text {th }}$ plane.

### 3.7 Modification of z -Coordinates of the Initial Concentrated Core

In order to have better starting solution so that the number of iterations and computer time can be reduced, the initial $z$-coordinates of the concentrated care from Section 3.6 are modified to take the experimental values summarized by Smith (ref. 4):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
z_{v}=0.154 a^{\prime}+0.1 & a^{\prime}<1.2 \\
z_{v}=0.1333 a^{\prime}+0.158 & 1.2 \leq a^{\prime}<2.2  \tag{83}\\
z_{v}=0.13 a^{\prime}+0.132 & a^{\prime}>2.2
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{\prime}=\operatorname{Tan} \alpha / \operatorname{Tan} \theta \\
& \theta=90^{\circ}-\Lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

$z_{v}$ is the nondimensional 2 -coordinate of the concentrated core, referring to the local semi-span; $\Lambda$ the leading-edge sweep angle; and $\alpha$ the angle of attack. Equation (83) will be used only in the simple wing configuration.

The initial configuration on the core model, on the right half of the wing, is shown ia Figs. 6A through 6C. The top view of the initial free sheet and the modified $y$-coordinate of the core location are shown on the $x-y$ plane in Fig. 6A. The initial modified y-coordinate of the core location is such that it is at least at a location equal to 60 percent of the local semi-span of the wing (see references 4 and 22). The rear view of the detailed free sheet shape and its relative vortex core location above the wing surface are shown on the y-z plane in Fig. 6B. The side view of the free sheet and the modified $2-c o o r-$ dinate of the core location are shown on the $x-2$ plane in Fig. 6C. Note that the initial modified z-coordinate of the core location is based on equation (83).

### 3.8 Formulation of Relaxation Parameters in the Core Model

In the first two iterations of the core model, the core is forced to move either in the $y$ or $z$ direction. The direction depends on the sign and the difference between the initially computed lift coefficient and the reference lift coefficient based on the suction analogy (references 26 and 27). From the numerical experimentation, the relaxation parameters $\lambda_{y}$ and $\lambda_{z}$, in equations (70) through (73), are taken as follows:

## First iteration

If the initially computed lift coefficient is smaller than the reference lift coefficient based on the suction analogy, the core will move down and the corresponding relaxation $C_{\text {pafameter is }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{z_{1}}=-1.5 \frac{\left(C_{L_{s}}-C_{L_{1}}\right)}{\left(C_{L_{s}} \operatorname{Tan} \alpha\right)} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the initially computed lift coefficient is greater than the reference lift coefficient, the core will move out and the relaxation parameter is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{y_{1}}=-2.0 \frac{\left(c_{L_{1}}-c_{L_{s}}\right) \sin \alpha}{C_{L_{s}}} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{L_{s}}$ is the reference lift coefficient, and $C_{L_{i}}$ is the initially computed lift coefficient. The inftial set of $\lambda_{y_{1}}$ and $\lambda_{z_{1}}$ are designed so that the
movement of core segments is proportional to the difference in $C_{L_{i}}$ and $C_{L_{s}}$. Aleo, the higher the angle of attack, the larger the value of $\lambda_{y_{1}}$ or the lower the value of $\lambda_{z_{1}}$ is used. It was determined from numerical experimentation that the initial core neede larger move-down movement in the 2 direction than the move-out movement in the $y$ direction.

## Second iteration

If the initial movement of the concentrated core is in the 2 direction, the $y$-coordinates of the core will be adjusted next in the second iteration. The computed lift coefficient after the initial adjustment of the concentrated core is denoted by $C_{L}$. The core will be caused to move out if $C_{L}$ is greater than $C_{L_{s}}$ and to nove in if $C_{L}$ is less than $C_{L_{s}}$. The corresponding relaxation parameter 1s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{y_{2}}=-\frac{\left(C_{L}-c_{L_{S}}\right) \sin \alpha}{C_{L_{B}}} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if the initial movement of the concentrated core is in the $y$ direction, the $z$-coordinate of the core will be adjusted in the second iteration. The core will move down if $C_{L}$ is less than $C_{L_{s}}$ and move up if $C_{L}$ is greater than $C_{L_{s}}$. The corresponding relaxation parameter is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{z_{2}}=0.75 \frac{\left(C_{L}-C_{L_{s}}\right)}{\left(C_{L_{s}} \operatorname{Tan} \alpha\right)} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relaxation parameters $\lambda_{y_{2}}$ and $\lambda_{z_{2}}$ have the same form as the initial set except the magnitude is reduced by half. Special conditions are imposed for all configurations when the angle of attack is below 20 degrees. They are the magnitude of $\lambda_{z_{1}}$ in equation (84) is to be reduced by half; and (2) for the angle of attack below 10 degrees, the angle of attack in equations (84) and (87) is set to 10 degrees to avoid the singularity in $\alpha$ in computing $\lambda_{z_{1}}$ and $\lambda_{z_{2}}$. Therefore, the movement of the core in the first two iterations for angles of attack below 20 degrees will not cause a large fluctuation in the aerodynamic characteristics.

The relaxation parameters $\lambda_{y}$ and $\lambda_{z}$ of each eegment of the core are to be used from the third iteration on, based on the force gradients of core segments before and after the adjustment of the free sheet vortex elements. Thus, the relaxation parameters to the $1^{\text {th }}$ segment of the core are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left.\lambda_{y_{1}}=C_{y_{1}} \frac{F_{y 2}-F_{y 1}}{\left|F_{y 1}\right|}\right)_{1} & , \quad\left|C_{y_{1}}\right|=1_{2} E F \\
\lambda_{z_{1}}=C_{z_{1}}\left(-\frac{F_{22}-F_{21}}{\left|F_{z 1}\right|}\right)_{1} & , \quad \mid C_{z_{1} \mid}=\Sigma F \tag{89}
\end{array}
$$

In the above, $F_{y 1}, F_{z 1}, F_{y 2}$ and $F_{z 2}$ are the segment forces of the core before and after the adjustment of the free sheet vortex elements, and EF is the algebraic sum of segment forces acting on the core after adjustment of free sheet vortex elements. The. bign of $C_{y_{i}}$ and $C_{z_{i}}$ nre cetamined so as to reduce the total force acting on the free sheet vortex elements.

In the core model, the locations of free sheet vortex elements and concentrated core have a very sensitive effect on wing loading. To avoid the dirergence of the iteration procedure: (1) the restrictions are imposed on the movement of free sheet vortex elements and concentrated core as discussed in sections 3.5 .2 and 3.5 .3 ; (2) the end point of the first core segment near apex will remain fixed from the third iteration; and (3) the 2 -coordinates of concentrated core are not allowed to be below $z_{m i n}$ given by equations ( 40 and ( 50 ).

### 3.9 Aerodynamic Characteristics

In reference 26 , the more accurate expressions to calculate the overall aerodynamic characteristics are derived by Len for the cambered wing. Both $\frac{\partial z_{c}}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$ are included in the computation.

Assume that the wing surface (Fig. 7) can be described by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=z_{c}(x, y) \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{c}(x, y)$ is the ordinate cf camber surface measured from the $x-y$ plane.
Introduce a function $f$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=z-z_{c}(x, y) \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, a unit normal vector on the wing surface can be defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{n}=\frac{\vec{\nabla} f}{|\vec{f} f|}=\frac{-\frac{\partial z c}{\partial x} 1-\frac{\partial z c}{\partial y} f+\vec{k}}{\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unit vectors $\vec{n}_{\infty}$ and $\vec{t}_{\infty}$ are related with $\vec{n}$ (Fig. 8) in the following expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vec{n}_{\infty}=-\sin \alpha I+\cos \alpha \vec{k}  \tag{93}\\
& \vec{t}_{\infty}=\cos \alpha I+\sin \alpha \vec{k} \tag{94}
\end{align*}
$$

Tits computed lifting pressure $\Delta C_{p}$ (see Appendix A) is acting normal to the local camber surface, i.e., in the $\vec{n}$ disection. Then, this pressure force can be decomposed into $\vec{n}_{\infty}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{t}}_{\infty}$ directions to determine the lift and drag components, respectively. Hence, the component in the lift direction is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{n} \cdot \vec{n}_{\infty}=\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial x} \sin \alpha+\cos \alpha\right) /\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

the component in the drag direction is:

$$
\vec{n} \cdot \vec{t}_{\infty}=\left(-\frac{\partial z_{c}}{\partial x} \cos \alpha+\sin \alpha\right) ;\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z_{c}}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

To find the pitching moment about $y$ axis, the $\ddagger$ component of ( $\Delta x \mathfrak{I}+\Delta z \vec{k}$ ) $\times \vec{n}$ is needed, where $\Delta x$ and $\Delta z$ are the moment arms of the pressure elements referenced to the coordinate system. In the present analysis, $\Delta z$ is assumed to be zero. Hence, the corresponding $\mathcal{f}$-component of ( $\Delta \times \overrightarrow{\mathrm{I}} \times \overrightarrow{\mathrm{n}}$ ) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta x /\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.9.1 Sectional Aerodynamic Characteristics

To find the sectional characteristics, it is asaumed that the wing vortices are situated along the cambered surface. Since the resulting lifting pressure is acting normal to the local camber surface, the sectional lift, induced drag and pitching moment coefficients can be determined by combining the lifting pressure $\Delta C_{p}$ and equations (95) to (97) thrnugh the local chord integration. Hence, the mectional lift, induced drag and pitching moment coefficients at the $j^{\text {th }}$ strip have the following expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{\ell}=\frac{1}{c_{j}} \int_{x_{\ell_{j}}}^{x_{c_{j}}} \Delta c_{p}\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial x} \sin \alpha+\cos \alpha\right) /\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} d x \\
& +c_{t_{j}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial z_{c}}{\partial x}\right)_{j} \sin \alpha+\cos \right]_{e_{e}} /\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)_{j}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z_{c}}{\partial y}\right)_{j}^{2}\right]_{e_{e}}^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{98}\\
& c_{d_{j}}=\frac{1}{c_{j}} \int_{x_{l}}^{x_{j}} \quad \Delta c_{p}\left(-\frac{\partial z c}{\partial x} \cos \alpha+\sin \alpha\right) /\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d x \\
& -c_{t_{j}}\left[-\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right), \cos a+\sin \alpha\right]_{e} /_{e}\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)_{j}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\right)_{j}^{2}\right]_{e_{e}}^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{99}\\
& c_{m_{j}}=-\frac{1}{\bar{c} c_{j}} \int_{x_{l}}^{x_{j}}{ }_{f} \Delta c_{p} \cdot(\Delta x) /\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d x \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $c_{t_{j}}$ terms in equations (98) and (99) are the leading-edge suction effect: $x_{\ell}$ and $x_{t_{j}}$ the leading-and trailing-edges $x$-coordinates of the chord passing through the control station of the $j^{\text {th }}$ strip: $\bar{c}$ the mean geometric chord, $c_{j}$ the local chord length. The subscript $\ell_{e}$, in equations (98) and (99), means $\left(\frac{\partial z_{c}}{\partial x}\right)_{j}$ and $\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial y}\right)_{j}$ are evaluated at the leading-edge of the $j^{t h}$ strip. Using this transformation,

$$
x=x_{\ell}+\frac{c}{2}(1-\operatorname{Cos} \theta)
$$

equation (98) can be reduced to:

$$
c_{\ell}=\frac{1 / 2}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \Delta C_{p} \sin \theta\left(\frac{\partial z_{c}}{\partial x} \sin \alpha+\cos \alpha\right) /\left[1+\left(\frac{i z_{c}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z_{c}}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1 / 2}{2}} d \theta
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +c_{t_{j}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)_{j} \sin \alpha+\cos \alpha\right] /\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)_{j}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\right)_{j}^{2}\right]_{\ell}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \cong \quad \frac{\pi}{2(N+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \Delta C_{P_{k}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial x}\right)_{k} \sin \alpha+\cos \alpha\right] \sin \theta_{k} /\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial x}\right)_{k}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial y}\right)_{k}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +c_{t_{j}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial z_{c}}{\partial x}\right)_{j} \sin \alpha+\cos \alpha\right]_{\ell} /\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z_{c}}{\partial x}\right)_{j}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z_{c}}{\partial y}\right)_{j}^{2}\right]_{\ell}^{l_{2}} \tag{101}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\theta_{k}=\frac{(2 k-1) \pi}{2(N+1)} \quad, \quad k=1, \cdots(N+1)
$$

where the mid-point trapezoidal rule has been used to reduce the integral into a finite sum (ref. 19). Similarly, the sectional induced drag and pitching moment coefficients for the $f^{\text {th }}$ strip are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{d_{j}} \cong \frac{\pi}{2(N+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \Delta C_{P_{k}}\left[-\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)_{k} \cos \alpha+\sin \alpha\right] \sin \theta_{k} /\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)_{k}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial y}\right)_{k}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}-c_{t_{j}}\left[-\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right) \quad \cos \alpha+\sin a\right]_{e} /\left[1+\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial x}\right)_{j}^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial y}\right)_{j}^{2}\right]_{l_{e}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left(\frac{\partial z c}{\partial y}\right)_{k}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

According to equations (52) and (53) of reference 19 , the sectional leading-edge thrust coefficient is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t_{j}}=\frac{\pi\left(1-M_{\infty}^{2} \operatorname{Cos}^{2} \Lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[w_{j}^{1}-\left(\operatorname{Cos} \alpha\left(\frac{d z}{d x}\right)_{j}-\operatorname{Sin} \alpha\right)\right]^{2}}{2 N^{2} \operatorname{Cos} \Lambda\left(1-M_{\infty}^{2}+\operatorname{Tan}^{2} \Lambda\right)} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{\infty}$ is the free stream Mach number; $\Lambda$ the sweep angle of the leading-edge; $w_{j}^{\prime}$ and $\left(\frac{d z}{d x}\right)_{j}$ are the induced normalwash and slope of the wing surface at the leading-edge. For sharp-edged wings, $c_{t}$ is assumed zero.

### 3.9.2 Overall Aerodynamic Charactoriatica

The total induced drag, lift, pitching moment and leasing-edge thrust coefflcients of the wing are determined by spanwise integration of the sectional characteristics. Again, the integration is first tranuformed to an angular coordinate $(0 \leq \leq \pi)$, and then reduced to finite sum by the conventional trapezoidal rule (ref. 19). Therefore, the total lift coefficient has the following expreseion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{L}=\frac{2}{S} \int_{0}^{b / 2} c_{\ell} c d y \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is the wing span and E the wing area. By the transformation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{b}{4}(1-\operatorname{Cos} \phi) \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

equation (105) can be reduced to:

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{L} & =\frac{b}{2 S} \int_{0}^{\pi} c_{L} c \operatorname{Sin} \phi d \phi \\
& \approx \frac{b \pi}{2 S M} \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} c_{\ell} c_{i} \sin <_{i} \tag{107}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\phi_{1}=\frac{1}{\bar{M}^{\pi}} \quad, \quad 1=1,-\cdots-(M-1)
$$

where $M-1$ is the total number of spanwise strips.
Similarly, the induced drag, pitching moment and leading-edge thrust coefficients are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{D_{1}} \cong \frac{b \pi}{2 S M} \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} c_{d_{1}} c_{1} \sin \phi_{1}  \tag{108}\\
& c_{m} \cong \frac{b \pi}{2 S M} \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} c_{m_{1}} c_{1} \sin \phi_{1}  \tag{109}\\
& c_{T} \cong \frac{b \pi}{2 S M} \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} c_{t_{1}} c_{1} \sin \phi_{1} \tag{110}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.10 Summary of Solution Procedures

In the diffused vortex filament model of Mehrotra (ref. 18), the basic unknowns of the problem are the bound vortex density on the wing, the strengths and locations of the elements of the leading-edge vortex system and the
trailing wake. The problem is solved in the following iterative maner:
(a) Prescribe the vortex-lattice for the wing aurface, and the Initial locations of the leading-edge and ade-edge free elements over the wing and in the wake.
(b) By eatisfying the wing boundary condition, equation (37), obtain the bound vortex density of the wing and the strangthe of free elemente.
(c) Calculate the atodynamic characteristice and loads.
(d) Adjust the free elements of the leadiug- and aide-edges vortex system and the trailing wake in the local velocity vector direction.
(e) Repeat steps (b) through (d) for two iterations.

After two iterations in Mehrotra's diffused vortex filament model, the present model with the leading-edge vortex core is then introduced (See Fig. 9). In the cire model, the basic unknowns of the problem are the bound vortex density on the wing, the strengths and locations of the free sheet vortex elements, the concentrated core and the trailing wake. The problem is still solved in the iterative manner:
(f) Find the centroid of the established leading-edge vortex filament system, equations (81) and (82), from step (e).
(g) Allow the leading-edge vortex elements to merge and feed vorticity into the concentrated core through connecting segments.
(h) From the numerical experimentation, the z-coordinate of the initial core is modified by equation (83), and the $y$-coordinate of the initial core is adjusted so that the initial core location is at least 60 percent of the local semi-span of the wing to have a better starting solution.
(1) By uatisfying the wing boundary condition, equation (37). the initial bound vortex dencity of the wing and the atrength of free vortex elements are obtained.
(1) In the fi:at two iterationa of core model, the core is forced to move either in the $y$ or 2 direction. Tui direction depends upon the eign and the difference between the initially computed lift coeificient and the reference lift confficient based on the suction analogy. The relaxation parametere $\lambda_{y}$ and $\lambda_{z}$ are based on equations (84) through (87).
(k) From the third iteration of the core model, the movement of the core is based on the relaxation parametera for each segment of the core, equations (88) and (89). These are used to keep the core moving in a direction for which the total Algebraic um of the forces acting on the free sheet is to be decreased.
(1) Based on step ( $k$ ), the orientation of the leading-edge free elements of the free vortex sheet, side-edge vortices, the concentrated cire and the trailing wake are adjusted in the local velocity vector dirretion.
(m) By satisfying the wing boundary condition, equation (37), the bound vortex density of the wing and the strength of free elements are obtained.
(n) Calculate all the aerodynamic characteristica and loads.
(o) Calculate the force acting on all free elements of the free vortex sheet over the wing surface and the concentrated core.
(p) Repeat steps ( $f$ ) through ( 0 ) until a converged solution is obtained.

The converged positions and oriantations for the free sheet vortex elaments, adde-edge vortex elements, and the concentrated core are assumed to have occurred when the sum of the magniturie of the total force acting on the core and free sheet has reached a local minimum value in the iteration cycle.

Numerical results to date indicate that when the angle of attack is decreased below 20 degrees, the present method has difficulty in generating a satisfactory starting solution. The main reason is the poor initial shape of the free vortex sheet from Mehrotra's model. Thus, with the core model metiod, the starting solution for wings at angles of attack lass than 20 degrees is taken to be the initial shape for 20 degrees angle of attack.

## 4. Numerical Results and Discussions

This eection presenta numerical characteristice of and predictions by the prement method. These predictions are compared with results from the methods of Mehrotra (ref. 18), Johnson, et al. (refe. 13 and 14) (called Free Vortex Shaet (FVS)), Lan (ref. 26), Lamar (ref. 27) (called Vortex-lattice Method based on Suction Analogy (VLM-SA)) and with data. Numerical characterigtics and results of the present method will be presented and dincussed next.

### 4.1 Numerical Characteristics

The numerical results predicted by the present method have the following numerical characteristics:
(a) Convergence Characteristics

In the present method, numerical convergence can be expected during the iteration process if certain restrictions are imposed on the movements of the free sheet and vortex core (see sections 3.5 and 3.8).
(b) Initial Vortex Shape

For the angle of attack below 20 degrees, the starting solution is taken to be the initial vortex shape from the 20 degrees angle of attack solution.
(c) Spanwise Strips

In reference 18 , Mehrotra made a parametric study to find a relation between the aspect ratio and the number of spanwise strips which should be used to represent the lifting pressure on delta wings in order to obtain reasoriably accurate aerodynamic results. The relation just mentioned is reproduced and displayed graphically in Fig. 10. From this figure eight vortex strips over the wing semi-span are seen to be needed for simple deltas in the aspect ratio range from 1.0 to 1.75 . Because of this study and because of the strake-wing and double delta configurations to be treated here being also of low aspect ratio, the same number was used initially.

Due to the leading-edge kink these configurations had to be reprasented by two spanwise regions per semi-span. Experience with the QULM of Lan's (ref. 19) has shown that for two spanwise region solutions, it is better to heve a different number of spanwise strips in each region. The final choice of spanwise strips used here is four for the strake region and five for the wing region.
(d) Chordwise Vortex Elements

All remults to be presented have been calculated by using six chordwise vortex elements for all configurations.
(e) Reference Lift Coefficient

The reference lift coefficient discussed previously (see section 3.8) for use in adfusting the core movement is based on the suction analogy as implemented by Lan (ref. 26).
(f) Complete Leading-Edge Separation

In this study, only wings having complete leading-edge separation will be considered.

### 4.2 Numerical Results

This section presents numerical results by the present method based on the preceding ideas. These results are compared with other methods and data for certain test configurations which are shown in Figs. 11 to 15. Note that they consist of a flat delta wing (Fig. 11), a conical cambered delta wing (Fig. 12), a delta wing with leading-edge vortex flap (Fig. 13), a double delta wing (Fig. 14) and a strake wing (Fig. 15). The one vortex core system is used for the delta wings while the two vortex-core system is used for the others.

Overall Forces and Moments
The overall aerodynamic characteristics are presented in Figs. 16 to 20.

All theoratical curves for the results generated are graphed by fairing through those at the $a^{\prime}$ 's given in the following table.

| Method | Solution $a^{\prime} s$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Present method |  |
| Flat delta wing | $5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}, 20,4^{\circ}, 30,7^{\circ}$ |
| Conical cambered delta | $5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}, 20.4^{\circ}, 30.7^{\circ}$ |
| Delta wing with LEVF | $5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}, 24^{\circ}$ |
| Double delta wing | $5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}, 28^{\circ}$ |
| Strake Wing | $5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}, 21,6^{\circ}, 27,7^{\circ}$ |
| Mehrotra | $5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}, 25^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}$ |
| Lan | All $\alpha^{\prime} s$ |
| VLM-SA | All $\alpha^{\prime} s$ |
| FVS | $10^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}, 25^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}$ |

Delta Wing
(a) Planar

The results for the flat delta wing are shown in Fig. 16. It is seen that $C_{L}$ and $C_{D_{1}}$ can be accurately predicted by the present method as well as by the other methods. However, the present method predicts the $C_{m}$ to be more positive (i.e. more nose up) at high angles of attack, whereas the methods of VLM-SA, and Lan predict more nose down pitching moment. This nose up moment of the present method could be due to the estimated peak loed being too forward. Mehrotra's results have good agreement with data (ref. 22) even though he used a diffused vortex model.

## (b) Conical camber

The results for a conical cambered delta wing are presented in Fig. 17. The $C_{L}$ results from Lan's method have the best agreement with data. The $C_{L}$ results are underpredicted by the present method at lower angles of attack
and by the VLM-SA at higher angles of attack. FVS results (ref. 30) are generally low. The $C_{D_{1}}$ results of Fig. $17(b)$ show that the FVS method is best at angles of attack below 20 degrees and the present method is best for angles of attack above 20 degrees. The other methods all predict values of $C_{D_{1}}$ which are too low over the angle of attack range. Simila:to the case for the flat delta, the present method predicts a pitch up tendency for the cambered wing.
(c) Leading-edge vortex flap

Recently, there has been much interest in applying the leading-edge vortex flap to increase the lift-drag ratio under maneuvering conditions. Some low speed results for a $74^{\circ}$-delta wing have been reported in reference 29 . The geometry of the planform is shown in Fig. 13 and it was modeled theoretically with its undeflected trailing-edge flap omitted for simplicity. The results are presented in Fig. 18 where the $C_{L}, C_{D_{1}}$ and $C_{m}$ have been based on the total planform area excluding the leading-edge flap. It is seen that the predicted regults by the methods of Lan and VLM-SA agree well wa h data. The $C_{L}$ and $C_{D_{1}}$ results estimated by the presented method slightly exceed the values of the data at moderate angles of attack. The $C_{m}$ results show the present method tu have a more nose woment than the data for angles of attack above 12 degrees.

Having compared the results in the one vortex-core system, it is of interest to see the aerodynamic characteristics in the two vortex-core system.

## Double Delta Wing

For the double delta wing (ref. 28), the comparison is made in Fig. 19. The results show that the $C_{L}$ and $C_{D_{i}}$ are well predicted by the present method and the methods of Lan and VLM-SA. At high angles of attack the present method predicts the $C_{m}$ results better chan others.

## Strake Wing

From reference 28 , it is understood that the aerodynamic advantage of strakewing planforms are (1) the transonic maneuvering capability, and (2) the utilization of vortex lift. For the etrake-wing configuration of Fig. 15 (ref. 31.), the results are presented in Fig. 20. It is seen that the $C_{q}$ predicted by the present method has good agreement with data whereas the method of Lan overpredicts the data in the high angle of attack region. The exparimental data in Fig. $20(b)$ shows tha: the pitching moments become more positive as the angle of attack is increased. This could be due to the wing vortex breakdown on the main wing. From the geometry of strake wing in Fig. 15, it can be seen that the wing area is much larger than the strake. As shown in the data in Fig. 20(a), the $C_{L}$ values start to decrease at about 14 degrees of angle of attack, signifying the start of the wing vortex bursting.

## Lifting Pressure Distribution

Figs. 21 through 25 show the spanwise pressure distributions for different planforms at specified angles of attack and for constant $x$-locations. The results are discussed below.

## Delta Wing

(a) Planar

For the flat delta wing, the spanwise pressure distributions are calculated at angles of attack equal to $10.2^{\circ}, 20.4^{\circ}$ and $30.7^{\circ}$, and compared with data at the two $x$-locations, $x / c_{R}=0.5333$ and 0.9333 in Figs. 21 to 23. In general, the pressure peak obtained by using Meirotra's method is lower than the data near midchord, higher near the trailing-edge and is shifted toward the root chord. One objective in the present method is to improve the pressure distribution of Mehrotra's method, so as to allow for the occurrence of a sharper pressure peak. The predicted pressure distributions
of the present method more closely resemble the data than do those of Mehrotra. At the low angle of attack $\left(\alpha-10.2^{\circ}\right)$, the present method predicts a pressure peak that is inboard of the data at midchord and is lower near the trailing-edge. This may be due to the free sheet being too large, since its initial shape and core location is taken from the 20 -degree solution and the size of free sheet will not shrink in the iteration process. Thus, the inboard pressure distribution are higher than those measured.
(b) Conical camber

The results of the estimated spanwise pressure distribution for the conical cambered delta are presented in Figs. 24 through 26, and are compared with data (ref. 22) and the FVS solution (ref. 30). Again, the presented results are at the angles of attack equal to $10.2^{\circ}, 20.4^{\circ}$ and $30.7^{\circ}$ and comparisons are made at two $x$-locations, $x / c_{R}=0,67$ and 0.935 . At low and moderate angles of attack, the present method predicts values of the pressure peak which are lower than data, whereas the FVS solution predicts higher peaks. At high angles of attack the spanwise pressure distribution predicted by the present method has better agreement with data than does the FVS solution. There are two pressure peaks near the trailing-edge at all angles of attack. The inboard peak is expected and is typical for flat delta configurations. However, the reason for the outboard peak is not conclusively known. Some possible reasons for its occurrence are as follows: (1) the wing vortex distribution is not located on the highly cambered surface; instead, it is on a flat surface; (2) the large spanwise camber slope term ( $\partial z / \partial y=-1.4402$ ) near leading-edge is not included in the boundary condition; (3) eight spanwise strips may not be sufficient to resolve the lifting pressires in the tip region of this conically cambered wing.
(c) Leading-edge vortex flaps

Spanwise pressure distributions predicted by the present method at 24-de-
gree angle of attack and two $x$-locations, $x / c_{R}=0.5197$ and 0.8937 , are preeented in Fig. 27 for a $74^{\circ}$-delta -Ang with leading-edge vortex flap. Since there are no data or other theoretical results available, only the present theoretical results are presented on each figure.

Fig. $27(\mathrm{a})$ shows that the pressure peak is at about 60 percent of the local semispan and that matches the vortex core location. Near the trailing-edge the interaction of the vortex core and leading-edge vortex flap produces large lifting pressure in the vortex flap region as seen in Fig. 27 (b).

## Double Delta Wing

From Fig. 28, the spanwise pressure distribution at 20 -degree angle of attack at two $x$-locations, $x / c_{R}=0.5686$ and 0.8099 are presented. In Fig. 28(a), the results near middle root chord indicate that there are two pressure peaks present. The lower pressure peak is behind the highly swept inboard region with the higher pressure peak being on the outboard wing region. The two vortex cores induce large sidewash in the tip region which result in a large pressure peak there. Fig. 28 (b) shows the lifting pressures near the trailing-edge and from this figure it is seen that another pressure peak has been produced. This outboard peak may be due to the large sidewash induced near the tip due to the interacting inboard-located vortex cores. The exact reasons for this require additional investigation.

Strake Wing
From Fig.29, the spanwise pressure distribution at an angle of attack of 12 degrees at three constant chord stations, $x / c=0.4,0.7$ and 0.9 , are presenter. In Fig.29(a), the results near the kink region show that the lifting pressure are mainly from strake vortex. In Figs.29(a) to 29(c), the predicted pressure distributions are generally higher than experimental values. the large pressure peak near the tip region of each figure is mainly due to the
effect of side-edge vortex eyotem. Near: the trailing edge the flow field is dominaied by the wing with most of the predicted lifting pressure being on the wing. It should be noted that at angle of attack of about $14^{\circ}$, the wing vortex breakdown may have occurred (ref. 37). The effect of vortex breakdown is not modelled in the present method.

For a slender delta wing, a typical corsputer CPU time by the present method is 3230 seconds in 7 iterations with CDC Cyber 175 at Langley Research Center. This is to be compared with 4540 seconds in 10 iterations by Mehrotra's model. For the double delta wing analyzed in this report, the CPU time is 5620 seconds in 8 iterations as compared with 22,450 seconds in 8 iterations by the Boeing code (Ref.14). If the first two iterations in Mehrotra's model can be by-passed by directly assuming a reasonable initial configuration, the saving in CPU time is about 1200 seconds for simple delta configurations. 4.3 Mean Square Error Computation.

To check the accuracy of the results predicted by the present and other theoretical methods, the so-called mean square error (MSE) will be used. Mean square error is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M S E=\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K}\left(d_{i}^{\prime}-d_{i}\right)^{2}\right) / K\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{i}$ is the $i$ th experimental data value, $K$ the total number of data points, and $d_{i}^{\prime}$ the interpolated theoretical results at the location of data point $d_{i}$. Thus, the typical results of mean square error of each theoretical method to the overall lift coefficient and lifting pressure distributions are as shown in the following:

MSE for Overall Lift Coefficient
(a) a flat delta wing (Fig. 16(a))

Present Theory 0.0209
Lan's Method 0.0172
VLM-SA 0.0045
Mehrotra's Method 0.0242
(b) a conically cambered wing (Fig. 17(a))

## Present Theory 0.0407

Lan's Method 0.0237
VLM-SA 0.0423
FVS Method 0.0470
(c) a delta wing with L. E. vortex flap (Fig. $18(a)$ )

Present Theory 0.0405
Lan's Method 0.0378
VLM-SA 0.0628
(d) a double delta wing (Fig. 19 (a))

Present Theory 0.0351
Lan's Method 0.0330
VLM-SA 0.0534
(e) a strake-wing configuration (Fig. 20(a))

| Present Theory | 0.0724 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lan's Method | 0.1197 |



Configuration $\quad x / c_{R} \quad a$, deg. Present Method FVS Method
Delta with Conical
Camber, Fig. 24 (a) 0.67
10.2
0.1540
0.1744
$"$
Fig. 24 (b) 0.935
10,2
0.1470
0.2163
$"$
Fig. 25(a) 0.67
20.4
0.3146
0.3027
" Fig. 25(b) 0.935
20.4
0.1690
0.1358
" Fig. 26(a) 0.67
30.7
0.5090
0.4868
" Fig. 26(b) 0.935
30.7
0.1491
0.3139

For the flat delta wing, the mean square errors for the lifting pressure indicate that the present theory is better than Mehrotra's model. For a delta wing with the conical camber, the present results are also good. However, the lower values of MSE of present results partially may be due to the appearance of an unexpected pressure peak near the tip region.

## 5. Concluding Remarks

A free sheet-vortex core model to predict pressure loadings and overall aerodynamic characteristics of low sapect-ratio winge with edge vortex saparation has been developed, by incorporating a vortex core in the free sheet of Mehrotra's method. In the present method, Mehrotra's model is used in the firat two itorations to establish the vortex filament system. Based on Smith's empirical reaults, the initial vortex core location is adjusted to improve the etarting solution. Introduction of a vortex core in the flow model increases the numerical difficulty to obtain a converged solution. The problem is solved hy using different sets of relaxation parametern for and by imposing certain restrictions on movements of the free sheet and the vortex core. For low to moderate angles of attack ( $\alpha<20^{\circ}$ ), the starting solution is taken to be the initial shape of the 20 -degree angle of attack solution.

Comparison with available data indicates that: (1) the present method is generally accurate in predicting the lift and induced drag coefficients but the predicted pitching moment is too positive; (2) the spanwise lifting pressure distributions estimated by the one vortex core solution of the present method are significantly better than the resulte of Mehrotra's method relative to the pressure peak values for the flat delta; (3) the two vortex-core system applied to the double delta and strake-wing produces overall aerodynamic characteristics which have good agreement with data except for the pitching moment; (4) the computer time for the present method is about two thirds of that of Mehrotra's method for a delta wing and one quarter of that of FVS method for a double delta wing configuration.

In view of the improvements embodied in the present method and possible further refinement, it would be of interest to:
(1) model the fucelage portion as a potential flow region, with the assumption of attached fl OW in the fucelage region and a veiy anall thrust coefficient being asaumed in that repion:
(2) examine the fuselage effect on wing vortex flow;
(3) examine the canard effect on wing vortax flow;
(4) compare the chordwise pressure distribution with available data to 1 mprove the pitching moment computation.
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## Appendix A <br> An Improved Lifting Pressure Expression

From reference 18, it is understood that the total lifting pressure, for the wing with leading-edge vortnx element system, is contributed by wing bound elements, wing streamwise vortices, leading-edge bound elements and the streamwise vortices of the leading-edge vortex element system. Hence, the total lifting pressure at the $1^{\text {th }}$ bound element of the $f^{\text {th }}$ strip control station has the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta C_{P_{j, 1}}=\left(\Delta C_{P_{j, 1}}\right)_{T}+\left(\Delta C_{P_{j, 1}}\right)_{B} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\Delta C_{P_{j, 1}}\right)_{T}$ is the lifting pressure due to streamwise vortices; $\left(\Delta C_{P_{j, 1}}\right)_{B}$ the lifting pressure due to bound alements.
A. 1 Derivation of $\left(\Delta C_{P_{j, i}}\right)_{T}$

From Fig. Al, it is clear that the lifting pressure due to streamwise vortices of wing and leading-edge vortex element are calculated along the common edge $y_{j}$ of two adjoined spanwise strips. First, the force acting on the chordwise element of length $\Delta x$ of the leading-edge vortex system is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}=\rho v_{\infty}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{j} v_{i}\right) \Delta x \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is the fluid density, $V_{\infty}$ the free stream velocity, $\Gamma_{j}$ the vortex strength of the $f^{\text {th }}$ leading-edge vortex element, and $v_{1}$ the sidewash at point 1 . Thus, the force acting at the $1^{\text {th }}$ point on the common edge per unit dynamic pressure and length is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{F}{q \Delta x}\right)_{i}=2 \Gamma_{j} v_{i} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is the dynamic pressure. Similarly, the force acting at the same point due to the right leg of the $f^{\text {th }}$ strip, per unit dynamic pressure and length, can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{F_{R}}{q \Delta x}\right)_{i}=-2 v_{i} \int_{\ell}^{x_{\ell}} \gamma d x \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$


$x$

## Figure Al.- The leading-edge bound vortex element and wing streamwise vortices at the common edge $y_{j}$

where $\gamma$ is the wing bound vortex density, $x_{l}$ the leading-edge x-coordinate of the trailing leg under consideration. Using the transformation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{\ell}+\frac{c_{1}}{2}(1-\operatorname{Cos} \theta) \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the equation ( 1.4 ) can be reduced to the fo:m:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{F_{R}}{q \Delta x}\right) & =-v_{1} c_{j} \int_{0}^{\theta_{1}} \gamma \operatorname{Sin} \theta d \theta \\
& \approx-\frac{\pi c_{j} v_{1}}{N}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{1-1} \gamma_{k} \sin \theta_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{1} \sin \theta_{1}\right] \tag{A.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{j}$ is the local chord length of the common edge at $y_{j}$, N the number of bound elements in chordwise direction and $\theta_{k}=\frac{(2 k-1) \pi}{2 N}$. The integral has reen reduced to a finite sum through the conventional trapezoidal rule.

For the left leg of the $(j+1)^{\text {th }}$ strip:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{F_{L}}{q \Delta x_{1}}=\frac{\pi c_{j} v_{1}}{N}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{1-1} \gamma_{k} \sin \theta_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{i} \sin \theta_{i}\right]\right. \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the force per unit dynamic pressure and per unit length at the $i^{\text {th }}$ point along the $j^{\text {th }}$ common edge is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{F_{T}}{q \Delta x}\right)_{i}=\left(\frac{F}{q \Delta x}\right)_{i}+\left(\frac{F_{R}}{q \Delta x}\right)_{i}+\left(\frac{F_{L}}{q \Delta x}\right)_{i} \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the lifting pressure at $i^{\text {th }}$ point along the $j^{\text {th }}$ common edge other than the last one has the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta C_{p_{j, i}}\right)^{\prime}=2\left(\frac{F_{T}}{q \Delta x}\right)_{i} /\left(y_{j+2}-y_{f}\right) \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the lifting pressure is calculated at the last common edge in the spanwise direction, the equation has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta C_{p_{j, i}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{F_{T}}{q \Delta x}\right)_{i} /\left(y_{j+1}-y_{j}\right) \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{j+2}, y_{j+1}$ and $y_{j}$ are the $y$-location of common edges. Note that equations (A.9) and (A.10) are evaluated at all endpoints of wing bound elements, i.e. along the common edge. Surface spline interpolation (ref. 32) is performed to obtain the lifting pressure at the control station of each
vortex strip. Therefore, $\left(\Delta C_{p_{j, 1}}\right)$, the lifting pressure due to streamwise vortices at the $1^{\text {th }}$ bound element of the $j^{\text {th }}$ strip control station is obtained.

## A. 2 Derivation of $\left(\Delta C_{P_{f, 1}}\right)$

From Fig. A2, the normal force per unit length acting at the $1^{\text {th }}$ bound element of the $j^{\text {th }}$ strip is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{B_{j, 1}} & =\rho v_{\infty}^{2}\left(u_{1} \gamma_{y}-v_{i} \gamma_{x}\right) \Delta S_{i} \\
& =\rho v_{\infty}^{2}\left(u_{1} \gamma_{1} \operatorname{Cos} \psi_{1}-v_{1} \gamma_{1} \operatorname{Sin} \psi_{1}\right) \Delta y_{j} / \operatorname{Cos} \psi_{1} \\
& =2 q\left(u_{1}-v_{1} \operatorname{Tan} \psi_{1}\right) \gamma_{1} \Delta y_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where,
$\gamma_{1}$ is the bound vortex density,
$u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ are the $x$ and $y$ components of the induced velocities,
$\psi_{1}$ is the sweep angle of the bound element,
$\Delta y_{j}$ is the width of the $j^{\text {th }}$ strip,
$\Delta s_{i}$ is the length of bound elament,
$\gamma_{x}$ and $\gamma_{y}$ are the streamwise and spanwise components of the bound vortex density.
Thus, the lifting pressure due to the $i^{\text {th }}$ bourd element of the $j^{\text {th }}$ strip is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta C_{P_{f, i} B}\right)=\frac{F_{B_{1} 1}}{q y_{f}}=2\left(u_{i}-v_{i} \operatorname{Tan} \psi_{i}\right) \gamma_{i} \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a cambered wing without dihedral, additional lifting pressure will be generated from the interaction of a free stream component with the streamwise vortex density $\gamma_{x}$ (ref. 26), as shown in Fig. A3. Adding this component of ( $-2 \gamma_{x}$ Sin $\alpha \operatorname{Sin} \phi_{y}$ ) to the lifting pressure due to the wing bound element, equation (A.11) is modified to:


Figure A2.- Wing bound element components


Figure A3.- Local dihedral effect on lifting pressure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta C_{P_{j, 1}}\right)_{B}=2\left[\left(u_{1}-\left(v_{1} \cos \phi_{y}+\sin a \sin \phi_{y}\right) \tan \psi_{y}\right] r_{i}\right. \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{y}$ may be defined as the local dihedral angle, $\operatorname{Tan}^{-1}\left(\partial z_{c} / \partial y\right)$, and may be different everywhere on a cambered wing. The correction term in equation $(A, 12)$ is applied only to the wing bound element and leading-edge bound element so that the local dihedral effect are included.

At positive $a$, normally the wing bound elements produce positive lifting pressure near the leading edge; while the leading-edge bound elements produce negative lifting pressure. Thus, the leading-edge Kutta condition can be satisfied when the net lifting pressure is zero at the leadingedge. In order to substract the lifting pressure induced by the leadingedge bound element from that produced by the wing bounded vortex elements, the following prodecures will be used:
(1) Extrapolate lifting pressure due to the wing bound elements in each chordwise direction to obtain the lifting pressure at the location of the leading-edge bound element EF. (See Fig. 3) Using the Fourier series relation, the lifting pressure at any chordwise location is determined by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta C_{p} \sin \theta=a_{0}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} a_{\ell} \cos \ell \theta \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Sin} \theta$ is included to eliminate the known square root singularity of the lifting pressure at the leading - and trailing-edges.

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \Delta C_{p} \sin \theta d \theta \\
& r_{1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Delta C_{p_{k}} \sin \theta_{k} \\
& a_{\ell}=\frac{2}{\pi} J_{0}^{\pi} \Delta C_{p} \sin \theta \quad \cos \ell \theta \quad d \theta=\frac{2}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Delta C_{p_{k}} \sin \theta_{k} \operatorname{Cos} \ell \theta_{k}  \tag{A.14}\\
& \theta_{k}=\frac{(2 k-1) \pi}{2 N}, k=1,--N
\end{align*}
$$

where $N$ is the number of wing bound elecente in the chordwise direction. The integrale for Pourier coefficients are reduced to finite sums through the mid-point trapezoidal rule.
(2) Subtract suction pressure induced by the leading-edge bound elements. Pirst, the concentrated etrength of the leadingedge vortex element is converted to the vortex density. Since, $\Gamma=\int \gamma d x$
from the transformation,
$x=x_{\ell}+\frac{c_{1}}{2}(1-\operatorname{Cos} \theta)$
it can be obtained that
$\Gamma_{j}=\frac{c_{1}}{2} \delta_{\gamma} \sin \theta d \theta$
It is assumed that the concentrated vorticity due to the leadingedge vortex system is distributed near the leading-edge only. Using the mid-point trapezoidal rule, equation (A.17) becomes:
$r_{j}=\frac{\pi c_{j} \sin \theta_{1}}{2(N+1)} r_{j}$
or,
$\gamma_{j}=\frac{2(N+1) r_{j}}{\pi c_{j} \operatorname{Sin} \theta_{1}}$
where $\theta_{1}=\frac{\pi}{2(N+1)}$. Thus, the decrease of lifting pressure at the leading-edge bound element of the $j^{\text {th }}$ strip is:
$\left(\Delta C_{p}\right)_{j_{\text {decrease }}}=-2\left[u_{j}-\left(v_{j} \operatorname{Cos} \phi_{y}+\operatorname{Sin} \alpha \operatorname{Sin} \phi_{y}\right) \operatorname{Tan} \psi_{j}\right]_{\ell} \gamma_{j}$
where the subscript $\ell e$ means that $u_{j}, v_{j}, \phi_{y}$ and $\psi_{j}$ are evaluated at the leading-edge bound vortex element of the $j^{\text {th }}$ strip. Hence, the actual lifting preseure at the location of the leading-edge bound element of the $j^{\text {th }}$ strip is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta C_{p_{j, 1}}\right)=\left[\left(\Delta C_{p_{j, 1}}\right)_{B}+\left(\Delta C_{p_{j, 1}}\right)_{T}\right]_{l e}+\left(\Delta C_{p}\right)_{j_{\text {decrease }}} \tag{A.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lifting pressures defined by equation (A.1) at a discrete number of points are Fourier-analyzed through equation (A.14) so that lifting pressures at any lucations can be calculated.

## Appendix B

Correction to Sidewash Calculation in Discrete Vc:tex Method

In developing the free vortex filament theory for calculating eerodynamic characteristics of wings with edge-separated vortex flow, the position of the concentrated vortex core is adjusted in the direction of decreasing KuttaJoukowaky force. The magnitude of the force, and hence the new position of the vortex cure, depends on the calculated induced velocity components on the core. It is well known that the induced aldewash cannot be accurately calculated by the discrete vortex approximation at points close to the vortex sheet. Therefore, the vortex core location and the wing aerodynamic characteristics will not be accurately evaluated. A method to improve the accuracy of calculating sidewash by discrete vortex approximation will be detailed in the following: paragraphs.

## B. 1 A Test Case

Assume a vortex distribution with constant density ( $\vec{\omega}=\omega \mathbb{T}$ ) exist in a rectangular region, as shown in Fig. Bl. The induced velocity vecior at any point in space with position vector $\vec{R}$, is then given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{V}(\vec{k}) & =\frac{\beta^{2}}{4 \pi} \delta_{g} \frac{\left(\vec{R}_{1}-\vec{k}\right) X \vec{\omega}}{R_{\beta}^{3}} d s \\
& =\frac{\beta^{2}}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{b / 2} \int_{0}^{a} \frac{\omega(\zeta-z) \vec{j}-(n-y) \vec{k}}{\left[(\xi-x)^{2}+\beta^{2}(n-y)^{2}+\beta^{2}(\zeta-z)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} d \xi d n \tag{B.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{2} & =1-M^{2} \\
R_{B}^{2} & =(\xi-x)^{2}+\beta^{2}(\eta-y)^{2}+\beta^{2}(\zeta-z)^{2} \\
\vec{R}_{1}-\vec{R} & =(\xi-x) \overrightarrow{1}+(n-y) J+(\zeta-z)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the vortex density $\omega$ is assumed to be constant over the entire region, equation (B.1) can be integrated (ref. 33) to give a closed-form expression for the sidewash $V$ as:


Figure B1.- Vortex distribution in a rectangular region

$\overline{21}$ and $\overline{34}$ are vortex segments of a strip

Figure B2.- Local panel geometry

$$
\begin{align*}
v= & \frac{\omega \beta^{2}}{4 \pi}\left\{\operatorname{Tan}^{-1} \frac{\left(\frac{b}{2}-y\right)(a-x)}{\left.|\zeta-2|\left[(a-x)^{2}+\beta^{2} \rho^{b}-y\right)^{2}+\beta^{2}(\zeta-z)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}}\right. \\
& -\tan ^{-1} \frac{(-y)(a-x)}{|\zeta-z|\left[(a-x)^{2}+\beta^{2} y^{2}+\beta^{2}(5-z)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}} \\
& +\operatorname{Tan}^{-1} \frac{\left(\frac{b}{2}-y\right)(x)}{|5-z|\left[x^{2}+\beta^{2}\left(\frac{b}{2}-y\right)^{2}+\beta^{2}(5-z)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}} \\
& -\operatorname{Tan}^{-1} \frac{(-x)(y)}{|\zeta-2|\left[x^{2}+\beta^{2} y^{2}+\beta^{2}(\zeta-z)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}} \tag{B.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (B.2) will be used to check the accuracy of approximace methode of calculating the integrals in equation (B.1).

## B. 2 Approximate Methods

The chordwise integration in equation (B.1) is performed exactly so that the sidewash component becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
v= & \frac{(\zeta-z) \beta^{2}}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{b / 2} \frac{\omega(\eta) d \eta}{(\eta-y)^{2}+(\zeta-z)^{2}}\left\{\frac{a-x}{\left[(a-x)^{2}+\beta^{2}(\eta-y)^{2}\right.}\right. \\
& \left.\frac{\left.x \beta^{2}(\zeta-z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{}+\frac{x}{\left[x^{2}+\beta^{2}(\eta-y)^{2}+\beta^{2}(\zeta-z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\} \quad \text { (B.3) } \tag{B,3}
\end{align*}
$$

In conventional discretized approximation, equation (B.3) is reduced to:

$$
\begin{align*}
v= & \frac{(\zeta-z) \beta^{2}}{4 \pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\omega_{k} \Delta n_{k}}{\left(n_{k}-y\right)^{2}+(\zeta-z)^{2}}\left\{\frac{a-x}{\left[(a-x)^{2}+\beta^{2}\left(n_{k}-y\right)^{2}\right.}\right. \\
& \left.\overline{\left.+\beta^{2}(\zeta-z)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{x}{\left[x^{2}+\beta^{2}\left(n_{k}-y\right)^{2}+\beta^{2}(\zeta-z)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}}\right\} \quad \tag{B.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The results in equation (B.4) are equivalent to applying mid-point rapezoidal rule to evaluating the spanwise integral in equation (B.3). However, when ( $5-2$ ) is small, the integrand in equation (B.3) contains a second-order singularity at $\eta=y$, so that equation (B.4) is expected to be inaccurate. To improve equation ( $B .4$ ) and yet keep the method as simple as possible, the following consideration is applied.

$$
\begin{align*}
f(n)= & \frac{a-x}{\left[(a-x)^{2}+\beta^{2}(\eta-y)^{2}+\beta^{2}(\zeta-z)^{2}\right]^{L / 2}} \\
& +\frac{x}{\left[x^{2}+\beta^{2}(n-y)^{2}+\beta^{2}(\zeta-z)^{2}\right]^{\text {L }}} \tag{B.5}
\end{align*}
$$

If $n_{1}<y<n_{1+1}$, equation (B.3) can be rawritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{(5-z) \beta^{2}}{4 \pi}\left[\left(f^{n_{1}+h_{1}^{n}}+\int_{n_{i+1}}^{b / 2}\right) \frac{u(n) f(n)}{(n-y)^{2}+(5-z)^{2}} d n\right] \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first and the last integrals can be reduced to finite sums as usual:

$$
\begin{align*}
v \cong & \frac{(5-z) \beta^{2}}{4 \pi}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{1-1} \frac{\omega_{k} f\left(n_{k}\right) \Delta n_{k}}{\left(n_{k}-y\right)^{2}+(5-z)^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_{1} f\left(n_{1}\right) \Delta n_{1}}{\left(n_{1}-y\right)^{2}+(5-z)^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_{1+1} f\left(n_{1+1}\right) \Delta n_{1+1}}{\left(n_{1+1}-y\right)^{2}+(5-z)^{2}}+\sum_{k=1+1}^{N} \quad \frac{\omega_{k} f\left(n_{k}\right) \Delta n_{k}}{\left(n_{k}-y\right)^{2}+(5-z)^{2}}+E\right](B .7 \tag{B.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=\int_{n_{1}}^{n_{1+1}} \frac{\omega(n) f(n)}{(n-y)^{2}+(5-z)^{2}} d \eta \\
& =\int_{n_{1}}^{n_{1+1}} \frac{\omega(n) f(n)-\omega(y) f(y)}{(n-y)^{2}+(\zeta-z)^{2}} d n+\omega(y) f(y) \int_{n_{1}}^{n_{1}} \frac{d n}{(n-y)^{2}+(5-2} . \\
& \cong \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega\left(n_{1}\right) f\left(n_{1}\right)-\omega(y) f(y)}{\left(n_{1}-y\right)^{2}+(5-2)^{2}}{ }_{1}+\frac{1 / 1 / 2}{\omega\left(n_{1+1}\right) f\left(n_{1+1}\right)-\omega(y) f(y)}{\left(n_{i+1}-y\right)^{2}+(5-2)^{2}}_{n_{1+1}} \\
& +\frac{\omega(y) f(y)}{|\zeta-2|}\left[\tan ^{-1} \frac{\eta_{1+1}-y}{|\zeta-2|}-\operatorname{Tan}^{-1} \frac{\eta_{1}-y}{|\zeta-2|}\right] \tag{8.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In equation (B.8), the first integral was evaluated by conventional trapezoidal rule which is probably not accurate in this case. However, this is necessary to keep the method simple so that it is easily extendable to more general applications. A correction factor may be applied, as will be indicated later (see equation (B.10)).

Substituting equation (B.8) into equation ( $(\mathrm{B}, 7$ ) resulte in:

$$
\begin{align*}
v & \cong
\end{align*} \quad \frac{(\zeta-z) \beta^{2}}{4 \pi}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\omega_{k} f\left(n_{k}\right) \Delta n_{k}}{\left(n_{k}-y\right)^{2}+(\zeta-z)^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega(y) f(y) \Delta n_{i}}{\left(n_{i}-y\right)^{2}+(\zeta-z)^{2}} .\right.
$$

The first tem in equation (B.9) represents exactly what would be given by the conventional discretized appruximation (see equation (B.4)). Therefore, the remaining terms represent a correction $C$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
C= & -\frac{G}{2}\left(\frac{(\zeta-z) \beta^{2}}{4 \pi}\right)\left\{\frac{\omega(y) f(y) \Delta n_{1}}{\left(n_{1}-y\right)^{2}+(\zeta-z)^{2}}+\frac{\omega(y) f(y) \Delta n_{1+1}}{\left(n_{1+1}-y\right)^{2}+(\zeta-z)^{2}}\right\} \\
& +\frac{(\zeta-z)}{|\zeta-z|}\left(\frac{\omega(y) f(y) \beta^{2}}{4 \pi}\right)\left\{\operatorname{Tan}^{-1} \frac{n_{1+1}-y}{|\zeta-z|}-\operatorname{Tan}^{-1} \frac{n_{1}-y}{|\zeta-z|}\right\} \quad \text { (B. } \tag{B.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G$ is a correction factor menticned above and is taken to be 1.1 in the following numerical study.

## B. 3 Genera. Applications

To apply equation (B.10) to general situations involving such as leadingedge vortex sheet, trailing-vortex mutual interaction, etc., all geometric quantities involved in equation (B.10) should be evaluated based on local panel coordinate system. To illustrate this, consider two vortex segments $\overline{21}$ and $\overline{34}$ in Fig. $B 2$ and " $P$ " is a point on a plane normal to two diagonal vectors ( $\vec{T}_{1}$ and $\vec{T}_{2}$ ) and is obtained by projecting the control point $Q$ along the normal vector. The vectors $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{T}}_{1}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{T}}_{2}$ can be calculated as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overrightarrow{\mathrm{T}}_{1}=\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right) \vec{I}+\left(y_{3}-y_{1}\right) \vec{J}+\left(z_{3}-z_{1}\right) \vec{k}  \tag{B.11}\\
& \overrightarrow{\mathrm{I}}_{2}=\left(x_{4}-x_{2}\right) \vec{I}+\left(y_{4}-y_{2}\right) \vec{j}+\left(z_{4}-z_{2}\right) \vec{k} \tag{B.12}
\end{align*}
$$

It followe that a normal vector $\mathbb{N}$ may be defined as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{N} & =\vec{I}_{1} \times \vec{F}_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{I} & \vec{k} & \vec{k} \\
x_{3}-x_{1} & y_{3}-y_{1} & z_{3}-z_{1} \\
x_{4}-x_{2} & y_{4}-y_{2} & z_{4}-z_{2}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =I\left[\left(y_{3}-y_{1}\right)\left(z_{4}-z_{2}\right)-\left(z_{3}-z_{1}\right)\left(y_{4}-y_{2}\right)\right] \\
& +J\left[\left(z_{3}-z_{1}\right)\left(x_{4}-x_{2}\right)-\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)\left(z_{4}-z_{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\vec{k}\left[\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)\left(y_{4}-y_{2}\right)-\left(y_{3}-y_{1}\right)\left(x_{4}-x_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =N_{x} I+N_{y} J+N_{z} \vec{k} \tag{B.13}
\end{align*}
$$

A unit vector associated with $\vec{N}$ can be defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{n}=\frac{\vec{N}}{|\vec{N}|} \tag{B.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the coordinate of " P ", the distance $\overline{\mathrm{PQ}}$ is needed. However, $\overline{P Q}$ is fust the projection of vector $\overline{2 Q}$ onto the normal vector $\vec{n}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{P Q} & =\overrightarrow{2 d} \cdot \vec{n} \\
& =\left(x-x_{2}\right) n_{x}+\left(y-y_{2}\right) n_{y}+\left(z-z_{2}\right) n_{z} \tag{B.15}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that the coordinates of point $P$ are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{p}=\left(x-x_{2}\right) n_{x} \\
& y_{p}=\left(y-y_{2}\right) n_{y} \\
& z_{p}=\left(z-z_{2}\right) n_{z} \tag{B.16}
\end{align*}
$$

to find the distances " $x$ " and "a - $x$ " in equation (B.10) (through $f(y)$ ), the unit vectors $\vec{u}_{21}$ and $\vec{u}_{34}$ are needed:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vec{u}_{21}=\frac{\left.\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \dot{1}+\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)\right\}+\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right) \vec{k}}{\left[\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}  \tag{B.17}\\
& \vec{u}_{34}=\frac{\left(x_{4}-x_{3}\right) 1+\left(y_{4}-y_{3}\right) j+\left(z_{4}-z_{3}\right) \vec{k}}{\left[\left(x_{4}-x_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{4}-y_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{4}-z_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{B.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the " $x$ " distance in equation (B.10) can be replaced by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{R}=\frac{1 / 2}{2}\left(\vec{s}_{2 p} \cdot \vec{u}_{21}+\vec{s}_{3 p} \cdot \vec{u}_{34}\right) \tag{B.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vec{s}_{2 p}=\left(x_{p}-x_{2}\right) I+\left(y_{p}-y_{2}\right) J+\left(z_{p}-z_{2}\right) \vec{k}  \tag{B.20}\\
& \vec{s}_{3 p}=\left(x_{p}-x_{3}\right) \vec{I}+\left(y_{p}-y_{3}\right) \vec{j}+\left(z_{p}-z_{3}\right) \vec{k} \tag{B.21}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, the " $a-x$ " distance in equation (B.10) can be replaced by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{R}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\vec{s}_{1 p} \cdot \vec{u}_{21}+\vec{s}_{4 p} \cdot \vec{u}_{34}\right) \tag{B.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vec{s}_{1 p}=\left(x_{1}-x_{p}\right) I+\left(y_{1}-y_{p}\right) J+\left(z_{1}-z_{p}\right) \vec{k}  \tag{B.23}\\
& \vec{s}_{4 p}=\left(x_{4}-x_{p}\right) I+\left(y_{4}-y_{p}\right) \vec{J}+\left(z_{4}-z_{p}\right) \vec{k} \tag{B.24}
\end{align*}
$$

To determine " $y-n_{1}$ " and " $n_{1+1}-y$ " in equation (B.10), cross products of $\vec{s}_{2 p}$ with $\vec{u}_{21}$ and $\vec{s}_{3 p}$ with $\vec{u}_{34}$ are needed. Hence, " $y-n_{1} "$ will be replaced by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{R}=\left|\vec{s}_{2 p} \times \vec{u}_{21}\right| \tag{B.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, " $n_{i+1}-y$ " will be replaced by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{R}^{\prime}=\left|\vec{s}_{3 p} \times \vec{u}_{34}\right| \tag{F}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, " $\zeta-z$ " in equation (B.10) must be determined. It is simply replaced by $-\overline{P Q}$ in equation (B.16):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta-y=-\left[\left(x_{p}-x\right)^{2}+\left(y_{p}-y\right)^{2}+\left(z_{p}-z\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{B.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B. 4 Numerical Results

The locations of control points chosen for illustration are indicated in Fig. B3. The results are compared in Figs. B4 through B7 for M=0. Except for the case where the control point is directly under one of the discrete vortices (i.e. p $\cap$ int $B$ ), all results with the derived correction terms " $C$ " in equation (B.10) appear to be good. In applications to free vortc-filament program, the control points on the concentrated vortex core are chosen to be nearly at the middle of vortex strips formed by the leading-edge free vortex filaments.


Figure B3.- Control Point Locations for Figures B4-B7


Figure B5.- Comparison of calculated induced


Figure B6.- Comparison of calculated induced sidewash at point $C . N=8$


Figure B7.- Comparison of calculated induced sidewash at point D. $N=8$

Appendix C<br>Determining Initial Core Location by Vector Analysis

After exercising Mehrotra's code (ref. 18) through two iterations and establishing the entire leading-edge vortex element syatem, the centroid of the leading-edge vortex filament system is determined from equations (81) and (82). Therefore, the initial configuration of leading-edge vortex filament system and its centroid are as shown in Fig. Cl.

Based on the vector analysis of the cross product, the procedures of finding the intersection between the leading-edge vortex filament system and its line of centroid are discussed in the following.

Note that each leading-edge vortex filament consists of a series of short straight segments. It is desired to find the intersection point betwee. the leading-edge vortex element system and its line of centroid on the $X-Y$ plane. Thus, only $x$ and $y$ coordinates of each segment will be considered in the cross product analysis. Assume that $\vec{S}_{12}$ is a segment along the leading-edge vorcex filament system and $\vec{S}_{34}$ is a segment along the line of centroid. Then, $\vec{S}_{12}$ and $\vec{S}_{34}$ have an intersection if the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) Resulting vectors of $\vec{S}_{31} \times \vec{S}_{34}$ and $\vec{S}_{32} \times \vec{S}_{34}$ are in the opposite direction.
(2) Resulting vectors of $\vec{S}_{13} \times \vec{S}_{12}$ and $\vec{S}_{14} \times \vec{S}_{1 ?}$ are in the opposite direction.

For example, in Fig. C2, by using t!é right hand rule and cross product analysis, $\vec{S}_{12}$ and $\vec{S}_{34}$ have an intersection point. Similarly, $\vec{s}_{12}$ and $\vec{S}_{34}$ have no intersections in Fig. C3.


Figure Cl.- Leading-edge vortex filament system and its line of centroid


Figure C2.- $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{S}}_{12}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{S}}_{34}$ has intersection


Figure C3.- $\vec{s}_{12}$ and $\vec{s}_{34}$ has no intersection

Appendi: D
Corrections to Induced Velocitien Due to Vortex Core

It is clear from Appendix A that the lifting pressure at the wing bound element will not be predicted accurately, if, the computation of aidewash due to the vortex core is not treated properly. In fact, a very large sidawash would result whenever the vortex core is too close to the wing eurface. To improve the sidewash computation due to the vortex core, and hence, the lifting pressure and the aerodynamic characteristics, the computed sidewash due to the vortex core will be corrected as follows.

In reference 34 ion page 592), Sir Horace Lamb showed that the circumferential velocity around a circle with radius $x$ due to a line vortex of strength 「 is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\theta}=\frac{r}{2 \pi r}\left(1-e^{-r^{2} / 4 v t}\right) \tag{D.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Later, Kutler in reference 35 defined the approximate radius as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{c}=4 v t_{0} \tag{D.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the tangential velocity in equation (D.1) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\theta}=\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi r} \quad\left(1-e^{-r^{2} / r_{c}^{2}}\right) \tag{D.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equrition (D.1) through (D.3), r is the radial distance from the center of the concentrated line vortex, $\Gamma$ the circulation strength, $r_{c}$ the approximate core radius, $v$ the kinematic viscosity, and $t_{o}$ the initial time.

From equation (D.3), after expanding the exponential term, the tangential velocity becomis:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\theta}=\frac{r}{2 \pi r}\left(1-e^{-r^{2} / r_{c}^{2}} \cong \frac{r}{2 \pi r}\left(\frac{r}{r_{c}}\right)^{2}\right. \tag{D.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equation is obtained from the assumption that the ratio $r / r_{c}$ is lese than one. In the present analysis, the term of $\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi r}$ is to be regarded as the computed sidewash without correction, and all terms in equation (D.4) are defined as follows.

In Eig. D1, the vortex core segment $\vec{S}_{34}$ is on the right wing and $\vec{S}_{78}$ on the left wing. $\vec{S}_{12}$ is a leading-edge vector. Point 5 is the wing bound element lucation where the induced velocitien due to vortex core segments $\vec{S}_{34}$ and $\vec{S}_{78}$ are to be calculated. For the right core segment $\vec{S}_{34}$, the characteristic core radius $r_{c}$ is defined as $3 / 4$ of the perpendicular distance from point 4 to line $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{S}}_{12}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{c}=\frac{3}{4}\left|\vec{s}_{14} \times \frac{\mathbf{s}_{12}}{\left|\mathbf{s}_{12}\right|}\right| \tag{D.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numerical factor, $3 / 4$, in equation (D.5) is an assumed empirical value. The radial distance $r$ of the wing bound element at point 5 to the vortex core segment $\vec{S}_{34}$ is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
==\left|\vec{s}_{54} \times \frac{\vec{s}_{34}}{\left|\vec{s}_{34}\right|}\right| \tag{D.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the radial distance $r$ is the perpendicular distance from point 5 to $\vec{S}_{34}$.
Based on the assumption in equation (D.4), the computed induced side.wash $v^{\prime}$ is to be modified only when the $r a=10 r / r_{c}$ is less than one. The corrected induced sidewash is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=v^{\prime}\left(\frac{r}{r_{c}}\right)^{2} \quad, r / r_{c} \leq 1.0 \tag{D.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is the location at which the induced velocity is to be computed is inside the vortex core region.

Similarly, at the right wing bound element at point 5 , the sidewash due to the left core segment $\vec{S}_{78}$ is calculated, based on the following $r_{c}$ and $r$ :


Figure D1.- Geometry of "r " and "r "

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{c}=\frac{3}{4}\left|\stackrel{s}{s}_{18} \times \frac{\stackrel{s}{19}^{19}}{\left|\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{s}_{19}\right|}\right|=\frac{3}{4}\left|\stackrel{s}{s}_{14} \times \frac{\stackrel{s}{s}_{12}}{\left|s_{12}\right|}\right|  \tag{D,8}\\
& r=\left|\vec{s}_{58} \times \frac{\stackrel{s}{s}_{78}}{\left|\stackrel{s}{s}_{78}\right|}\right|=\left|\stackrel{s}{64} \times \frac{\stackrel{s}{s}_{34}}{\left|s_{34}\right|}\right| \tag{D.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, the correction of the computed eidewash will be applied only to those points inuide the vortex core region, and the modified aidewach hat the same expression as that of equation ( $D .7$ ). Note that the right wing vortex core eegment $\vec{S}_{34}$ and the left wing vortex core eegment $\vec{\Sigma}_{78}$ are geometrically aimilar. Therafore, in the actual computation, $r_{c}$ and $r$ due to $\mathbf{\$}_{78}$ are based on the right wing vortex core segment $\mathbb{J}_{34}$ with the bound element location switched from point 5 on the right wing to $1=$ image point 6 on the left wing.


Figure 1.- Simple delta wing geometry without leading-edge vortex system




Figure 2.- Strake wing geometry without leading-edge and side-edge vortex system



Figure 4.- Side-edge vortex system

Figure 5.- Vortex segment geometry

(a) Top view




Figure 7.- Lifting pressure components on the wing camber surface


Figure 3.- Definition of $\vec{n}_{\infty}$ and $\vec{t}_{\infty}$


Figure 9.- Sketch of diffused vortex filament and vortex core models

## ORIGINAL PACE IS of POOR QUALITY



Figure 10.- Variation of number of spanwise strips with aspect ratio for simple delta wings


Figure 11.- Geometry of Flat Delta Wing


Cross section $A-A$
rigure 12.- Geometry of a Delta Wing with Conical Camber


Figure 13.- Geometry of a $74^{\circ}$-Delta Wing with Leading-edge Vortex Flap


Figure 14.- Geometry of a Double Delta Wing
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Figure 19.- Longitudinal Aerodynamic Characteristics of a Double Delca 3isg; M • $\mathbf{O}$.
0-$\stackrel{Ð}{-} \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$
$\stackrel{\circ}{0}$
©
웅 잉

。 $\theta$
§
！
：
$\%$
0.2
0.1
0.0
$0^{0+}$




$\odot$


$y^{\infty}$
:8 $\stackrel{8}{0}$

0.50

앙
綮 둥
$\stackrel{?}{0}$
8

$\unlhd^{2}$



$\stackrel{\varrho}{-}$
$\xrightarrow{\dddot{1}}$
©
:
웅
8"


(b) $x / C_{R}=0.935$
Figure 24.- Concliaded.
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(a) $K / C_{R}=0.5129$
Figure 27.- Lifting pressure distribution for $A R=1.147$ Delta Wing with a Leading-Edge Vortex Flap at 24 degree angle of attack; $\delta_{f}=30^{\circ}, M=0.2$.


(a) $X / C_{R}=0.5686$
 $\unlhd^{a}$
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(a) $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{c}=0.4$

$y^{a}$

 (b) $\begin{aligned} & 2 y / b(x) \\ & x / c=0.7\end{aligned}$
Pigure 20.- Continued.

