M37

 $\Delta$ 

4

--- , with a few -- , o , i e , .

NASA CONTRACTOR REPORT



NASA CR-161855

## RESEARCH REPORTS -1981 NASA / ASEE SUMMER FACULTY FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

By Gerald R. Karr, James B. Dozier, Marion I. Kent and B. F. Barfield University of Alabama in Huntsville Huntsville, Alabama

and

University of Alabama University, Alabama

**Final Report** 

January 1982

(NASA-CR-161855)THE 1981 NASA/ASEE SUHMERN82-17043FACULTY FELLOWSHIP PBCGBAM:FESEARCHTHRUREPORTS Final Report, 1 Jun. - 7 Aug. 1981N82-17080(Alabama Univ. in Huntsville.)578 pUnclasHC A25/MF Au1CSCL 05I G3/9907973

Prepared for

NASA - George C. Marshall Space Flight Center Marshall Space Flight Center, Alabama 35812

## **RESEARCH REPORTS**

3

## 1981 NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY FELLOWSHIP PROCRAM

George C. Marshall Space Flight Center The University of Alabama in Huntsville and The University of Alabama

**`** 

#### EDITORS:

Dr. Gerald R. Karr Associate Professor of Mechanical Engineering The University of Alabama in Huntsville

Dr. James B. Dozier Director, Research and Technology Office Marshall Space Flight Center

> Mr. Marion I. Kent Office of University Affairs Marshall Space Flight Center

Dr. Bob F. Barfield Professor of Mechanical Engineering The University of Alabama

NASA CR - 161855

		FERNICAL DEDORT STANDARD TITLE RAGE
1. REPORT NO.	2. GOVERNMENT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NO.
NASA CR-161855		
4 TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5 REPORT DATE
Research Reports - 1981 NASA/ASEE Summer Faculty Fellowship		lowship January 1982
Program		6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE
7. AUTHOR(S) Dr. Gerald R. Karr,	Dr. James B. Dozier, 1	1. 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT #
Marion I. Kent, Dr. B. F. Barfield		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND A	DDRESS	10. WORK UNIT NO.
University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, Alabama		bama
and		11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.
University of Alabama, University, Alabama		NGT01-008-021
		13. TYPE OF REPORT & PERICD COVERED
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS		Contractor Report
National Aeronautics and Space Administration		June 1, 1981 - August 7,
		1981
		14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
16. ABSTRACT		
This document is a collection	of reports on the rese	arch conducted by the participants
in the 1981 NASA/ASEE Summer	Faculty Fellowship Proc	ram which was held at the George C.
Marshall Space Flight Center	(MSFC) for the seventee	nth consecutive year. The 1981
program ran from June 1, 1981	through August 7, 1981	, and was conducted by the Univer-
sity of Alabama in Huntsville	in cooperation with MS	FC and the University of Alabama.
As in the past, the program w	as operated under the	uspices of the American Society for
Engineering Education (ASEE)	with enoncorchin and fu	nding by the University Affairs
Office NACA Headquarters Ha	akington D C The W	iversity of Alabama in Huntswills
office, NASA Heauquarters, wa	shington, D. C. me or	Iversity of Alabama in Huntsville
and Marshall Space Flight Cen	ter conducted one of th	e seven Aeronautical and Space
Research Programs which were	funded nationally during	g the summer of 1981. The other
programs were conducted at six other NASA centers throughout the country in cooperation		
with other universities.		
The fellows spent ten weeks w	orking with MSFC person	nel on an individual research
problem of mutual interest to	the fellow and MSFC.	
T KEY WORDS	18. DISTR	BUTION STATEMENT
	Uncl	assified - Unlimited
	1 (1)	MJ HA
		wellway
	X. 1	. BETHAY
	/ Dire	ator Executive Ctaff MCPC

20. SECURITY CLASSIF, (of this page)

Unclassified

MSFC - Form 3292 (May 1969)

**P**.

19. SECURITY CLASSIF, (of this report)

Unclassified

.

2

For sale by National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151

576

21. NO. OF PAGES 22. PRICE

I.

NTIS

## Preface

100

This document is a collection of technical reports on the research conducted by the participants in the 1981 NASA/ASEE Summer Faculty Fellowship Program at Marshall Space Flight Center. This was the seventeenth consecutive year the program has been conducted at MSFC. The 1981 program was administered in the University of Alabama in Huntsville (UAH) in cooperation with MSFC and the University of Alabama. The program was operated under the auspices of the American Society for Engineering Education (ASEE) with sponsorship and funding by the University Affairs Office, NASA Headquarters, Washington, D.C. The program conducted by the University of Alabama in Huntsville and Marshall Space Flight Center was one of seven such programs which were funded, the other six programs were conducted at the six other NASA centers. The basic objectives of all the programs were:

- a. To further the professional knowledge of qualified engineering educators.
- b. To stimulate an exchange of ideas between participants and NASA.
- c. To enrich and refresh the research and teaching activities of participants and,
- d. To contribute to the research objectives of the NASA centers.

The Faculty Fellows spent ten weeks (June 1 through August 7, 1981) at MSFC working with MSFC technical personnel on individual research problems of mutual interest to the fellow and MSFC. This document is a compilation of the work performed. A separate report (UAH Report No. 289, September, 1981) reports on the administrative aspects of the program. Further information on the 1981 program can be obtained by contacting any of the editors.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## First-Year Fellows

- I. Allen, Vernon R.: "Developm nt of Toughened Epoxy Polymers for High Periormance Composite and Ablative Applications"
- II. Beil, Robert J.: "A Determination of the Current Density in Electron Beams"
- III. Carter, Thomas D.: "Fuel Optimal Maneuvers for Spacecraft with Fixed Thrusters"
- IV. Chang, Kichoon: "Solidificaton Phenomena of Binary Organic Mixtures"
- V. Davenport, John W.: "Tolerance Analysis of Optical Telescopes Using Coherent Addition of Wavefront Errors"
- VI. Flesch, Terry R.: "Development of Photomultiplier Electronics and Computer Interfacing Software For a High Speed Photoelectric Photometer-Stoke's Meter"
- VII. Hall, William B.: "Development of High Strength, High Temperature Ceramics"
- VIII. Hudson, G. Martin: "A Preliminary Study of the Particulate Fallout From the Space Shuttle (STS-1)"
  - IX. Jalali, Fereydoun: "Detection and Analysis of Radio Frequency Lightning Emissions"
    - X. James, Helen H.: "Mathematical Modeling of Laser-Gas Interactions for Laser Propulsion System"
  - XI. Knoshaug, Ronald N.: "A Study of the Vent Pipe for the Gravity Probe-B"
- XII. Leighly, Hollis P.: "The Determination of the Stacking Fault Energy in Copper-Nickel Alloys"
- XIII. McManus, Samuel P.: "Synthesis of Aminosilane and Aromatic Diamine Intermediates for Polymerization Studies"
- XIV. Mehta, Rajinder S.: "A Study of Component Design Leads Due to Dynamic Environment"
- XV. O'Connor, Daniel A.: "Attitude Control of the Space Construction Base: A Modular Approach"
- XVI. Pate, Thomas H.: "A Study of the Geographic Coverage Properties of a Satellite Borne Doppler Lidar Wind Velocity Measuring System"

XVII. Payne, James E.: "The Design and Evaluation of Superconducting Connectors"

- XVIII. Payne, Linda L.: "A Study of Optically Contacted Quartz at Cryogenic Temperatures"
  - XIX. Ray, John R.: "Matter in General Relativity"

Į

2

. ..

- XX. Saylor, Annie V.: "Surface Contour of a Biaxially Strained, Doubly Curved Antenna Reflective Mesh"
- XXI. Six, N. Frank: "Ray Tracing Studies of Jupiter's Magnetosphere"
- XXII. Tan, Arjun: "Thunderstorm Electric Field Modelling"
- XXIII. Williams, Alton C.: "Determination of the Efficiency of A Proportional Counter for Detecting X-Rays"

#### Second-Year Fellows

- XXIV. Antar, Basil N.: "Solidification of a Binary Mixture"
- XXV. Brindley, Thomas A.: "Assessment of MSFC's Supervisory Training Programs and Courses"
- XXVI. Brown, Richard C.: "Digital Compensation Techniques for the Effects ci Time Lag in Closed-Loop Simulation Using the 6 DOF Motion System"
- XXVII. Chan, Chia-Hwa: "A New SPS Antenna Design to Reduce Grating Lobes"
- XXVIII. Davis, Jack: "Aluminum and Chromium Ion Plating Studies for Enhancement of Surface Properties"
  - XXIX. Doss, Deva C.: "Statistical Analysis of Daily Mean Temperatures"
  - XXX. Foster, Larry M.: "Surface Control Temperatures for the Bridgman-Stockbarger and Float Zone Techniques"
  - XXXI. Jandebeur, Thomas S.: "Design Consideration of a fhermally Stabilized Continuous Flow Electrophoresis Chamber II"
- XXXII. Mathis, Frank H.: "Mathematical Programming Techniques for Scheduling Spacelab Crew Activities and Experiment Operations"
- XXXIII. Shand, Julian B, Jr.: "A Study of the Relativistic Rise In Ionization As Measured by Ion Chambers of Different Design"

XXXIV. Temple, Enoch: "An Investigation of the Uniform Random Number Generator"

XXXV. Thompson, Raymond G.: "On the Relationship Between Microfissuring and Microstructure In the Hay of Inconel 718"
XXXVI. Wallace, Donald B.: "Heat Loss and Drag of Spherical Drop Tube Samples"
XXXVII. Wang, David Y.: "Incipient Failure Detection (IFD) of SSME Ball Bearings"

.

 ....

# N82 - 17044

1 1

 $\mathcal{D}_{I}$ 

## NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

## MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

## DEVELOPMENT OF TOUGHENED EPOXY POLYMERS FOR HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPOSITE AND ABLATIVE APPLICATIONS

Prepared by:

p,

2

Vernon R. Allen, Ph. D.

Academic Rank:

Professor

University and Department:

NASA/MSFC Division: Branch:

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

Contract No. :

Non-Metallic Materials Polymers and Composites

Department of Chemistry

Tennessee Technalogical University

,

Dr. W. J. Patterson

August 21, 1981

NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

## DEVELOPMENT OF TOUGHENED EPOXY POLYMERS FOR HIGH PERFORMANCE AND ABLATIVE APPLICATION

BY

VERNON R. ALLEN PROFESSOR OF CHEMISTRY Tennessee Technological University Cookeville, Tennessee 38501

## ABSTRACT

To facilitate a cause-effect study of the chemical modification of epoxy polymers, a survey was extended of current procedures for the assessment of state of cure in epoxy polymers and for the evaluation of polymer toughness as related to nature of the crosslinking agent. Various conformations of sample morphology were examined to identify testing variables and to establish optimum conditions for the selected physical test methods. Dynamic viscoelasticity testing was examined in conjunction with chemical analyses to allow observation of the extent of the curing reaction with size of the crosslinking agent the primary variable.

I-ii

## INTRODUCTION

Space applications of load-bearing composite structures require high strength, high modulus polymers capable of withstanding the impered extremes in mechanical stresses and thermal variations. Similarly, adhesives designed for ablative bonding must be expected to resist higher thermal gradients than are normal for non-space utilization. Although much effort has been directed toward producing exotic specialty resins capable of retaining high mechanical strength properties to very high temperatures, such characteristics quite often are accompanied by undesirable preparation and processing extremes.

The epoxy resins, by contrast, are notable for processing simplicity and a broad range of fabrication Onditions. Though unmodified epoxy systems are relatively brittle compared with the bonded (metal) substrates, high impact strength elastomer-modified epoxy resins have been part of adhesives technology for two decades. In such systems the resistance to crack propagation yielding high fracture strength characteristics, up to twenty times higher than values for the unmodified brittle resin, has been shown (1) to result from phase separation during network formation with particle size of the elastomer phase (2) being the primary determinant of strength variation. An even greater increase in resin toughness was reported by Riew, Rowe and Siebert (3) on addition of bisphenol A to a standard rubber-modified epoxy system. This increase in fracture surface work was attributed to formation of a binOdal distribution of heterophase particles dispersed throughout the glassy epoxy matrix.

The higher impact strength of such rubber-modified epoxy resins is not achieved without reduction in other properties, such as modulus and heat distortion temperature (4), obviating the more desirable characteristics when considered in light of the harsh environmental conditions concomitant with space application design. It was, therefore, proposed (5) to modify the basic epoxy resin system by varying the structure of the hardener in an homologous fashion. It is expected that, by using a series of polyfunctional chain extenders in which an increase in chain length between the bifunctional amine groups is afforded by incorporation of biphenyl links (starting with phenylene diamine), aromatic interaction may generate (heterophase) regions of high density. Such nodular formation will not only enhance the specimen toughness but should also offset any reduction in thermal properties resulting from network dilatency as the more bulky crosslinking agents are employed.

I-1

Structure modifications of this type necessarily involve problems associated with meaningful interpretation of comparison data sets which establishes the basis of the sub-project as reported herein. Specifically the aims of this project are twofold: (1) to consider the experimental variables associated with development of "extent of cure" analysis, and (2) to assess methodology of fracture energy determination and to prescribe a meaningful and reproducible procedure. The following is separated into these two categories for ease of presentation.

-

## A DETERMINATION OF THE EXTENT OF CURE

1 5

All efforts to establish an unambiguous procedure for identification of the extent of reaction have been required to treat independently the reaction kinetics preceding and following sample gelation. As reported by Erath and Spurr (6) using electron microscopy, dense aggregates (micelles) are formed during gelation of epoxide polymers. These aggregates, which are the active centers for continued polymerization, are embedded in the matrix of lower crosslink density. Further polymerization in these micellar regions becomes diffusion controlled with an expected higher energy of activation. Indeed, Warfield and Petree (7) have attributed the high rates of polymerization chibited by an Epon 828 epoxy resin hardened with diethylene triamine to the existence of a high concentration of micelles. Thus, in the rapidly polymerizing systems, the larger number of micelles present would necessarily result in a solid (gelled) network characterized by a higher micelle-to-matrix ratio and, hence, higher activation energies for ion conduction.

An alternative explanation for the observed higher activation energies for ionic transport may be deduced in part from the derived relationship between the electrical resistivity and the microviscosity of polymeric systems (8). The higher microviscosity of the polymerizing medium at the lower temperatures employed in epoxy resins hardened with (more reactive) aliphatic amines would lower diffusion rates such that adiabatic regions (micelles) could result in which the exothermic reactions would be selfcatalyzing and also self-propagating, given the low thermal conductivity of the system.

Micellar micro-dense regions in crosslinked epoxy systems may be associated with the extent of epoxide conversion, as measured by infrared analysis (9, 10) and by chemical methods (10), being indicative of less than complete amine-epoxide reaction, even for extended cure times. It is probable that the <u>catalytic</u> activity (11) of the hydroxyl group - produced b, epoxide reaction -

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{R-CH-CH}_2 + \text{R'} \text{NH}_2 --- R-\text{CH-CH}_2 - \text{N-R'} (1) \\ \text{OH} & \text{H} \end{array}$$

would be decreased as the hydroxyl group is increasingly "locked up" in the dense micellar region.

**I-3** 

As the curing temperature is increased, the higher specific volume (lower microviscosity), the decreased effect of the adiabatic regions, and the higher diffusion rates should reduce the tendency to micellar formation, producing a more homogeneous network. By using a time-temperature shift to superimpose the (pregelation) maximum reaction rates, Lunak, Vladyka and Dusek (12) demonstrated clea. y that (micellar-induced) diffusion-controlled retardation occurred at lower extents of conversion and concurrently limited the maximum extent of conversion at the lower polymerization temperatures. The glass-transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) has also been reported to be directly associated with the reaction temperature ( $T_c$ ) by Fisch, Hofmann and Schnid (12). They found that the difference between the glass temperatu e and the cure temperature, ( $T_g - T_c$ ) was about thirty degrees, over the range of 60 - 120 C for  $T_c$ , and decreasing asymptotically toward zero as  $T_c$  approached the  $T_g$  (maximum) of 165 C for an epoxy system crosslinked with an aromatic diamine.

Aspbury and Wake (13) confirmed the existence of supermolecular structures in cured epoxy resins and proposed that the small heterophase regions 1.3 to 2.1 nm diameter - were probably due to stacked residues of bisphenol A. Larger nodular regions - ca. 5 nm - were observed to increase in size to 40 nm on postcuring. The particle size distribution was noticeably shifted to smaller particle size at higher temperature of cure. It was also observed that microtory of the smaller particle size samples (higher  $T_c$ ) was considerably more difficult. Since microtomy is a fracture process, this observation is in agreement with the results presented by Gledhill and Kinlock (14) that post-cured samples exhibited a higher fracture energy than samples having the same tensile strength and  $T_g$ , which were not post-cured. From this, Aspbury and Wake proposed that fracture strength may be directly related to aggregate size. Similarly, Sultan and McGarry (15) have published data on rubber-modified epoxy resins that show increased fracture strength with increasedparticle size.

Based on the preceding interplay of curing conditions and mechanical properties, it became evident that this extent of reaction study should be primarily concerned with establishing the onset of diffusion control as well as the cure time required to produce reproducible mechanical properties, insensitive to short-term measurement conditions. To this end this aspect of the project has been concentrated on electrical resistivity measurements (16-18), on differential scanning calorimetry (18-25), and on dynamic mechanical analysis (26-30).

I-4

i

## **B. MEASUREMENT OF FRACTURE STRENGTH**

An indepth review of fracture surface work theory and methods is included in the paper by Broutman and McGarry (31) in which several methods for fracture of thermoplastic materials is included in (their) Table I along with comparative fracture surface energy data. According to Bucknall and Yoshii (32) the "state-of-the-art" revealed many factors which influence fracture strength of toughened epoxy resins:

- i) Solubility parameter and content of rubber.
- ii) Nature and content of the hardener.
- iii) Content of resin extender.

1

- iv) Nature of the end-group in the rubber phase.
- v) Curing temperature and extent of post-cure.
- vi) Rubber phase particle size and particle size distribution.

All of these except factor iv) were discussed in the preceding section and will not be considered again here. Another factor which was not included by Bucknall and Yoshii and which is probably more relevant to the current project is the method of measurement of fracture stress. Marshall, Culver and Williams (33), in a study of the fracture characteristics of PMMA, compared three standard test methods, namely notched tension, parallel cantilever beam cleavage, and tapered cantilever beam cleavage. They concluded that the tapered beam analysis is superior to the other two because the measurement of crack speed can be achieved with greater precision and over a broader range of test conditons. As a result of this comparative study they showed that the critical stress field intensity factor for all three methods was single-valued within experimental error over about six decades of testing speed. Likewise, Meeks (34) used both the tapered cantilever cleavage and the single -edge-notch tension fracture toughness tests and found them to yield similar results for several epoxy resin systems. A thorough analysis of the methods of calculations of fracture energy for these test methods is included.

A somewhat different approach has been used by Taltersall and Tappin and by Davidge and Tappin (35, 36). They examined the fracture behavior of several materials, aluminum, PMMA, glass and graphite using a notched retangular bar as test specimen stressed in a bending mode with three pressure points. The data analysis proved relatively straight forward if the work of fracture was assumed to be totally involved in formation of the crack. Thus the work of fracture was calculated directly from the area within the load-deflection curve. Based on the ease of sample preparation and on the direct nature of the calculation, this method had beenselected as the procedure of choice for this project. Initial efforts at measurement had resulted in acatter in the data which questioned the correctness of this choice. Further examination of current methodology revealed, in a paper by Mostovoy, Ripling and Bersch (37), a test method which does not require knowledge of crack length or of sample compliance. The particular specimen is designed such that only the load required for fracture and the tensile modulus of the sample be known to allow calculation of fracture energy. Even though this tapered double cantilever beam requires considerable machining to reduce the variation in sample compliance to the point that crack length is not a factor, the advantage of being able to obtain several data points from a single sample made this method appear worthy of experimental effort. Thus, the second aim of this project, the development of a fracture method suitable for comparative analysis, has been directed toward increased precision in the 3-point beam loading method and examination of the modified tapered cantilever beam cleavage method.

í

## EXPERIMENTAL

In this section there is described the materials used in this work together with the methods of analysis and of fracture strength determinations.

<u>Materials</u>: The resin used throughout the work was Shell Epon 828, a low mo lecular adduct of bisphenol A with diglycidyl ether having an epoxide equivalent of 5.1 equivalent per kilogram. The hardener, Shell Z, a mixture of m-Phenylene diamine and methylene dianiline, was added in stoichiometric amount. Both reactants were preheated to 60 - 70 C, mixed vigorously by stirring, evacuated several minutes under fore pump vacuum, and poured into preheated aluminum molds which had been sprayed with Teflon mold-release agents. Samples for cure studies were poured into aluminum pans. The resin was allowed to set at room temperature for around sixteen hours, and then cured for variable times with a standard cure for mechanical analysis of 2 hours at  $100^{\circ}$  C and 2 hours at  $150^{\circ}$  C. Samples were removed from the molds after cooling, machined or ground to the desired form and polished with 600 sand paper.

A rubber modified sample was prepared by mixing into the above system 20 phr of WC-8006 (Wilmington Chemical Co.), an epoxy-terminated acrylonitrile - buta diene rubber, and given the same cure cycle.

Equipment: For calorimetry data the Perkin-Elmer DSC-2 equipped with a computer-assisted data analyzer and printout system. A blank sample holder was used in the reference pan. Electrical resistivity analysis was determined using a Hewlett-Packard 4329 A High Resistance Meter with logarithmic ohm readout. The resin was cast from a 30 w/o solution in tetrahydrofuran onto a sample cell consisting of one inch long copper leads 0.030 inch in width separated by a 0.035 inch gap and mounted on a tefloncoated circuit board. After air-drying, the cell was suspended in a Fisher Isotemp Model 350 circulating air oven. Dynamic mechanical analysis data were collected using a Toyo Industries RheovibronModel DDV-III-C with temperature regulated forced-air oven. Glass transition temperature data were also obtained using a Tinuis-Olson thermal expansion apparatus. Fracture data were collected on a floor-model Instron Tensile Testing machine.

**I-7** 

-----

# RIGINAL PAGE IS

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Evaluation of the curing reaction by resistivity was limited by time restrictions but the data plotted in Figure 1 show clearly that the technique gives avery direct means of in situ examination of the crosslinking reaction. Kinetic analysis of such measurements, as made by Warfield and Petree (17), reveal that this method of cure study can obtain both an activation energy for the conversion reaction as well as a separate activation energy for ion transport. The latter could be quite meaningful in correlation with phase separation studies.

5

Basedonthe evidence as reported in the literature, it is indicated that the calorimetric analysis using the DSC is the ideal method for study of the crosslinking reaction. Thus, Prime and Sacher (20) compared resistivity and infrared data with the dynamic trace of rate of heat evolution and concluded that the DSC trace could be broken down and associated with the predominant reaction of each stage. The differential power output of the DSC was plotted versus the scan temperature (his figures 9 & 10) by Fava (19) showing clearly the disappearance of the post-cure exotherm followed by a slight decrease in  $T_g$ , which may reflect subtle changes in the heterophase structure (or may simply be a result of the non-equilibrum nature of the measurement). Efforts to reproduce this set of data for our epoxy system was constantly stymied by equipment failure.

Better results were found in the measure of the glass-transition temperature on one-inch long rectangular rods (~1/4 inch square) by measure of the coefficient of linear expansion versus temperature. Typical data, shown in Figure 2, were obtained by heating the glass-cylinder enclosed sample using an oil-bath with a heating rate of 2-3 degrees per minute. The difference in  $T_g$  between the two samples is as expected for straight epoxy versus the rubber modified since the rubber serves as a diluent yielding the lower crosslink density. Further effort along these lines were discontinued in light of the successes achieved with the dynamic mechanical studies.

The samples used in determination of the dynamic loss angle, tan  $\{$ , were cut into the rectangular shape of 3.5 inches by 0.5 inches by 0.125 inches and polished with sandpaper to obtain a dull finish. Since absolute values of the sample modulus was not needed, no effort was made to make each sample the same. Samples of resin cast into the aluminum pans were cured for one and for two hours at  $100^{\circ}$ C and for one and two hours at  $150^{\circ}$ C.

The plots of tan  $\oint$  for these cured specimen are presented in Figure 3 for the unmodified resin and in Figure 4 for the rubber extended system. After neating to  $170^{\circ}$  C the samples were maintained at  $172 - 174^{\circ}$ C for ten minutes and then cooled slowly to approximately  $100^{\circ}$ C - both heating and cooling rates were about 3 degrees per minute. The enclosed area should represent the continued curing at the elevated temperature for the heating and the cooling. At a selected value of the tan $\oint$  it can be used to represent continued cure. Such a determination of  $\triangle$  (tan  $\int$ ) can be plotted versus cure temperature and would asymptotically approach zero much as the % residual heat of reaction versus cure temperature as reported by Fisch, Hofmann and Schnid (12) from DSC analysis (their Figure 6).

2

A study of the fracture strength of the two exoxy systems was made according to the procedures as reported Davidge and Tappin (36) and by Mostovey, Ripling and Bersch (37). The three-point beam loading of prenotched (but not precracked) rectangular samples were examined for both the pure epoxy - 828/Z - and the rubber-modified system -828/Z/8006. Although there is scatter in the value of the fracture surface energy obtained by this method, the higher fracture strength of the rubbertoughened system is clearly obtained. The results using the tapered double cantilever beam were not as promising because the crack could not be retained in the grooved region even for samples in which the stress thickness was only one-third of the total beam thickness. This was expecially true for the rubber-modified samples, making suspect the obviously higher fracture strength results. Similar difficulties had been found using parallel-sided double cantilever beams.

1-9

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Even though the research program failed to yield either of the objectives originally sought, the specific goals are now clearly identified. It seems safe to conclude that a combination of <u>in situ</u> cure reaction rate studies of electrical resistivity and infrared analysis would yield sufficient information to design a workable cure schedule. Either calorimetry or dynamic viscosity can be used to reinforce the resistivity and IR interpretation.

è

It is quite obvious that a thorough examination of the toughening of epoxy systems should include not only fracture analysis data - the three point loading system seems adequate - but also an effort should be made to include phase - separation studies using the transmission or scanning electron microscopy.

## LIST OF REFERENCES

-----

) the surface states

- 1

. . ......

.....

Ŋ

2

100

1.	McGarry, F., J. Proc. Roy. Soc. London A-319.59 (1970).
2.	Sayre, J.A., Assink, R.A. and Lagasse, R.R., Polymer 22, 87 (1981).
3.	Riew, C.K., Rowe, E.H. and Siebert, A.R., p. 326 in "Toughness and
	Brittleness of Plastics" Deavin and Dregnola, Ed., Adv. Chem. Series
	#154, ACS.
4.	Selby, K. and Miller, L.E., J. Mat. Sci. 10, 12 (1975).
5.	Patterson, W. J., Private communication. June. 1981.
6.	Erath, G. H. and Sperr, R. A., J. Polymer Sci., 35, 391 (1959).
7.	Warfield, R. W., and Petree, M. C., SPE Trans. 1, 3 (1961).
8.	Purdon, J. R. and Morton, M., J. Polymer S . 57, 453 (1962).
9.	Lewis, A.F. and Ramsey, W.B., Adhesives Age 9, 20 (1966)/
10.	Dannenberg, H. and Harp-Jr., W.R., Anal. Chem. 28, 86 (1956).
11.	Shechter, L., Wynstra, J. and Kurkjy, P., IEC 48, 94 (1956).
12.	Fisch, W., Hofmann, W. and Schmid, R. J. Appl. Poly. Sci. 13, 295 (1969).
13.	Aspburg, P.J. and Wake, W.C. Brit. Poly. J. 11, 17 (1979).
14.	Gledhill, R. A. and Kinloch, A. J., J. Mat. Sci. 10, 1261 (1975).
15.	Sultan, J.N. and McCarry, F.J., Poly. Eng. Sci., 13, 27 (1973).
16.	Adamec, V., J. Appl. Poly. Sci. 10, 1277 (1972).
17.	Warfield, R. W. and Petree, M.C., Makromol. Chem. 58, 139 (1962).
18.	Acitelli, M.A., Prime, R.B. and Sacher, E., Polymer, 12, 335 (1971).
19.	Fava, R.A., Polymer 9, 137 (1968).
20.	Prime, R. B. and Sacher, E., Polymer 13, 455 (1972).
21.	Barton, J.M., Malcromol. Chem. 171, 247 (1973).
22.	Kamal, M.R., Polym. Eng. Sci., 14, 231 (1974).
23.	Sourbour, S. and Kamal, M.R., Thermochim. Acta 14, 41 (1976).
24.	Richardson, M.J. and Savill, N.G., Brit. Polymer J., 11, 123 (1979).
25.	Barton, J. M., Polymer 21, 603 (1980).
26.	Kaeble, D. H., SPE Journal 15, 1071 (1959).
27.	May, C.A. and Weir, C. A., SPE Trans., <u>2</u> , 207 (1962).
28.	Kwei, T.K., J. Poly. Sci. A-2, <u>1</u> , 943 (1966).
29.	Babayevsky, P. G. and Gillham, J.K., J. Appl. Poly. Sci, , 17, 2067 (1973).
30.	Pogany, G.A., Eur. Poly. J., <u>6</u> , 343 (1970).
31.	Broutman, L.F. and McGarry, F. J., J. Appl. Poly. Sci., <u>9</u> , 589, (1965).
32.	Bucknoll, C. B. and Yoshii, I., Bnt. Polymer J., 10,53 (1978).
33.	Marshall, G. P., Culver, L.E. and Williams, J.G., Plastics and
	Polymers, (Feb.) 75 (1969).
34.	Meeks, A.C., Brit. Polymer J. <u>7</u> , 1 (1975).
35.	Tuttersall, H. G. and Tappin, G., J. Mat. Sci., <u>1</u> , 296 (1966).
36.	Davidge, R.W. and Tappir, G., J. Mat. Sci., <u>3</u> , 165 (1968).
37.	Mostovoy, S., Ripling, E.J. and Bersch, C.F., J/ Adhesion 3, 125
	(1971).
	I - 11



Figure 1. The dependence of the electrical construction (at 500 voltation on the cure time at 100 C for a sample of Epon 828/Shell 2.



Figure 2. Linear expansion versus temperature with the glass transition temperature taken as the intercept of the glassy and rubbery slopes.



18.

I



؛ ۲

Figure 4. Effect of postcure at maximum temperature(10 minutes at 1/2 C) for unmodified epoxy resin 828/2. I-15

# , N82-17045

٠

## NASA-ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOUSHIP PROGRAM

• ••• • •••••

è.

١,

## MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT DENSITY IN ELECTRON BEAMS

Prepared By:	Robert J. Beil, Ph.D.	
Academic Rank:	Associate Professor	
University and Department:	Vanderbilt University Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering	
NASA/MSFC: Laboratory: Division: Branch:	Materials and Processes Process Engineering Metals Processes	
NASA Counterpart:	Arthur C. Nunes, Ph.D.	
Date:	August 7, 1981	
Contract No.:	NGT 01-008-020 The University of Alabama in Huntsville	

11-1

and the second

... -

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I appreciate the opportunity to have been a Research Fellow at MSFC and applaud ASEE and NASA for their joint sponsorship of the program. My thanks to Dr. Gerald R. Karr, University of Alabama in Huntsville, and Mr. Marion I. Kent for so ably directing the summer program and for providing for an interesting seminar series.

My special appreciation goes to those with whom I work who have made the summer an enjoyable experience, particularly Dr. Arthur Nunes who provided the topic and who, through our discussions, helped produce a useful result.

## A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT DENSITY IN ELECTRON BEAMS

BY

Robert J. 5eil Associate Professor of Engineering Mechanics Vanderbilt University Nashville, Tennessee

## ABSTRACT

Current gathering rotating probe techniques can be used to examine the envelope shape and power density profile of electron beams used in electron beam welding devices. Electron beam power density contours determine the shape of the weld vapor cavity and thus control penetration, local heat distribution, etc. In short, the structure of electron beam welds is very sensitive to the power/current density contours of the beam, and a knowledge of these contours is often needed by both researchers and practical welders.

This paper provides the mathematical analysis, consistent with a rotating probe technique, necessary to determine the current density distribution (assumed symmetrically radial) in a cross-section of the beam. An explanation of the experimental technique for obtaining data, a BASIC language computer program to determine the current density from the data, and a study indicating the level of confidence to be associated with results obtained are provided. An example of the application of the analysis to some experimental electron beam data is included.

ŝ

## INTRODUCTION

Weld speed of and power input to the welding process contribute to the temperature history in the heat affected zone surrounding the weld. They are factors in determining the microstructure remaining after the welding process. Hence, they are factors in determining the strength of the welded work.

How speed and power input contribute in electron beam welding can be visualized by considering Figure 1.

Deep penetration is enhanced, in high power well focused electron beam welding, by vapor pressure which forces a deep depression in the liquid surface. Depth of penetration and the slope of the advancing interface of the weld cavity are dependent upon the local current density of the electron beam which impinges on the cavity wall.

Thus, a predictive model which allows calculation of the geometrical shape and size of the weld and calculation of the history of the temperature distribution in the heat affected zone and, consequently the resulting microstructure, depends on knowing the current density distribution within the electron beam. Conversely, characteristics of a desired microstructure in the heat affected zone may be used to dictate the operating characteristics of the welding process.

The information presented in the following pages is an expansion and continuation of the work done by Eugene Edwards; 1980 NASA/ASEE Fellow (Alabama A&M University), MSFC and Dr. A. C. Nunes, NASA, MSFC. It provides a method to determine the current density within an electron beam when the current density is radially symmetric. This assumption appears to be practical for electron beam cathodes which are circular.

The whirling wire probe technique, used here and to be described later, was used by A. Sanderson<sup>2</sup> for determining the diameter and envelope shape of the electron beam. His paper clearly indicates the strong dependence of weld shape and penetration on electron beam characteristics; and photographs in his paper show the correspondence between probe traces and welding cross-sections. However, he does not provide the method to obtain quantitative results for local current densities. The following paragraphs describe the mathematical basis for such calculations and a computer program for making the calculations is provided in Appendix 1.

And a set of



P.\*\* 34

่ง จังกละ สังหล

¥

.

,

•

FIGURE 1. THE WELD CAVITY

## DATA ACQUISITION

The whirling wire probe shown in Figure 2 rotates through a crosssection of the electron beam. When the probe intercepts the electron beam, current is conducted through the probe to ground. A measure of the probe current versus time is the data to be recorded either by trace followed by digitization or recorded directly in digitized form. Types of traces to be expected are also shown. in Figure 2 for probes of width wider than or narrower than the electron beam The computer program shown in Appendix 1 analyzes the data for any width probe.

Traces should have an axis of symmetry, if the beam is radially symmetric, corresponding to the time when the axis of the probe passes through the center of the beam. Ribbon probes made of tungsten and of reasonable dimensions serve satisfactorily in this simple device since the intercept time through an electron beam, typically of diameter .050 inches, during which the probe heats up is short compared to the cooling time outside the electron beam.



-----



. .

. '

, , ;

.

•

,

**1** 

-----

FIGURE 2. EXPEPIMENTAL ACQUISITION OF DATA

II-7

.

## ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

## MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

Users of the computer program in Appendix 1 must determine the data points corresponding to the probe positions when the leading edge of the probe (Figure 3):

- a) is at the center of the electron beam.
- b) is just tangent to the outer bounding circle of the beam after passing through it (Position N).

All other data correspond to positions of the probe and must be entered in the program sequentially as the probe would move through them passing from zero position to position N.

For a particular data point, when the probe is in position I, it is possible to theoretically construct, in the cross-section of the beam cut by the probe, a circle with center at the center of the beam and tangent to the leading edge of the probe (Figure 3). Hence, for N+1 data points (I=0,1,2,...,N) there are constructed N circles dividing the cross-sectional area into N rings, ring 1 being the innermost ring and ring N the outermost.

Angle theta, T(I), is the angle measured counterclockwise from the leading edge of the probe in zero position to the leading edge of the probe in position I. The angle, theta, through which the probe rotates from the zero position to the symmetry position, can be shown to be  $\sin^{-1}W/2L$  where W is the width of the probe and L is the distance between the center of rotation of the probe and the center of the cross-section of the electron beam.

It can be shown that the relationships between the time, t,t=o at zero position, the rate of rotation of the probe,  $\omega$ , and the radius of the constructed circle at position 1 are:

T = theta =  $\omega t$ theta at position I=T(I)=sin<sup>-1</sup>(W/2L)-sin<sup>-1</sup>((W/2-R(I))/L)

Hence, the required data for entry into the program in Appendix 1 can be calculated.

The cross-section of the electron beam divided into four  $r_{1...5}$  with the probe in position 1 is shown in Figure 4. Probe current, Q(1), for position 1 is the sum of the products which are obtained by multiplying the current density of the electron beam in ring J,CD(J), by the area of ring J,A(I,J) intercepted by the probe.

The intercepted areas A(I,J) can be calculated once the data points are known, and the four equations obtained in this case for the probe in positions 1, 2, 3, and 4 can be solved for the four unknown current densities.

II-8





-----

**R**.



II-10

## SENSITIVITY OF SOLUTION

If the current density is assumed to be  $1 \text{ MA/in}^2$  across the crosssection of the electron beam and zero elsewhere, the probe current at any position will equal the sum of the intercepted areas. Calculation of the sum of these areas by computer program results in an output to six significant figures. Calculations of five such probe currents were made, the results re-entered in the computer program as probe currents and the resulting calculated current density distribution compared with the assumed uniform current density within the cross-section of the beam. Figure 5(a) shows that the computer program returned the uniform current density satisfactorily.

The remainder of Figure 5 and Figure 6 indicate that, as the calculated probe currents were rounded to fewer and fewer significant figures by the even digit convention, the deviation of the calculated current densities from the assumed uniform current densities increased. Relative current error is relative to the six significant digit current values. The result of zero current density in ring 5 shown in Figure 6(c) is due to obtaining the same value for Q(4) and Q(5) in the rounding off process. This sensitivity check indicated that:

- a) the computer program did not degrade the data collected
- b) the process is sensitive to accuracy of input, and, in this case .4% maximum relative current error would return satisfactory results. That is, for the range of currents expected, precision of the current measurement must be at least to 100 microamps.

Results from the same calculations for ten rings, shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8, for the same bounding circle of the cross-sectional area, indicate that precision to 10 microamperes would be needed for the calculated current densities to satisfactorily approximate a uniform current density. Thus, approximating the current density profile over a finer net is accompanied by the need for greater precision in acquiring data.



-----

۰ ·

PADIUS

•• •

DENSITY ERROR

استا ج

.

΄,

ī:

٦

MATRIX QUALITY NUMBER 151

FIGURE 5. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS ILLUSTRATION OF EFFECT OF DATA PRECISION

I1-12


. . . . . .

· · ,

۳.

í

`

.

-- FIGURE 6. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS ILLUSTRATION OF EFFECT OF DATA PRECISION

- ·

#### II-13

• •

**,** (

----

ł

-----

<u>,</u>

.

;

ė

,

. . . .

. .



FIGUPE 7. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS DE RATIER OF EFFECT OF DATA PRECISION

11-14



Ref Martin

.

----

÷ .

FIGURE 8. SENSITI ITY ANALYSIS ILLUSTRATION OF EFFECT OF DATA PRECISION

11-15

#### ERROR ANALYSIS

-

The equations that are to be solved for current densities can be written in matrix form. For instance, for three rings they are:

> A(1,1)CD(1)+A(1,2)CD(2)+A(1,2)CD(3)=Q(1)A(2,1)CD(1)+A(2,2)CD(2)+A(2,3)CD(3)=Q(2)A(3,1)CD(1)+A(3,2)CD(2)+A(3,3)CD(3)=Q(3)

or  $\underline{A} \underline{CD} = \underline{Q}$ 

2

Dere "

in matrix form. The current densities are then

 $\underline{CD} = \underline{A}^{-1}\underline{Q}$ 

....

If Q is subjected to a small error dQ, then

$$\frac{dCD}{dCD} = \underline{A}^{-1} \underline{dQ} ,$$
and
$$\frac{dCD}{dCD} = \underline{A}^{-1} \underline{dQ} = \underline{A}^{-1} \underline{dQ} = \underline{A}^{-1} =$$

where SQR means "square root of". Use of norms, as above, in error analysis is discussed in Wilkinson's<sup>3</sup> text. Continuing,

$$\begin{aligned} |\underline{Q}|_{E} &= |\underline{A}|_{E} |\underline{CD}|_{E} \\ \text{Hence, } \underline{\underline{dCD}}_{E} &= |\underline{A}|_{E} |\underline{A}^{-1}|_{E} \frac{|\underline{dQ}|_{E}}{|\underline{Q}|_{E}} \end{aligned}$$

 $\|\underline{A}\| \in \|\underline{A}^{-1}\| \in \text{indicates}$ Thus, the matrix quality number the relative response of the current density vector to a small relative error in the current vector. It has been found that the matrix quality number is a pessimistic bound. It is, however, useful in error analysis since smaller quality numbers generally indicate less sensitivity of the mathematical analysis to small errors in the data required.

The area influence coefficients, A(I,J), have the property that, for I greater than or equal to J, all of the A(I,J) are equal. For instance,

$$\Lambda(1,1)=\Lambda(2,1)=\Lambda(3,1)=\pi R(1)^{2}$$
.

Hence, it is possible to rewrite the equations as

The last equation gives

- -----

5

CD(3)=(Q(3)-Q(2))/(A(3,3)-A(2,3)).

An error of + B in both Q(3) and Q(2) would give

maximum relative error in CD(3)=2B/(Q(3)-Q(2))

which would be large if Q(3)-Q(2) is small. The uncertainty of results, due to algebraic operations, such as that above is discussed by Baird<sup>4</sup>.

If it is assumed that the only current in error is Q(3), and that error is + 1, then how the error propagates into the calculation of current densities can be found directly. For this example,

> dCD(3)=1/(A(3,3)-A(2,3))dCD(2)=-dCD(3)(A(2,3)-A(1,2))/(A(2,2)-A(1,2))

and dCD(1) can be found by substituting these values in the first equation. Calculation of the error distribution for fourteen rings on a beam of radus 1 using the computer program given in Appendix 2 is shown in Figure 10.

From another viewpoint, a systematic error which increases each current reading by 1 will only affect the current density in the inner ring. Conversely, raising the current density in ring 1 so that Q(1) is increased by 1 must, from physical considerations, raise all Q(1) by 1. Only a brief discussion of how errors may propagate and the effect their magnitudes have on calculated current densities has been presented here. However, even this brief discussion shows that the analysis is extremely sensitive to the precision of the data acquired.

Finally, the thin wire analysis, for a small probe of width W, for two rings is shown with the dimensions assumed in Figure 9. The graph of CD(1) versus CD(2) shows clearly how it is possible, with Q(0) fixed, to obtain a large change in calculated current densities for a small change in Q(1).



, ' ; ;

ı

•

ł



ţ

11-13

TOTAL EPROR IN PING N-J

ų.

.

, .1\_

RING														
OUTER														
H														
SI														
z														
N-J, RING														
RING														
IN	E	21	0 4	Š	3	<b>6</b> 5	9	Ø	Ñ	ž	10	50	õ	82
ERFOR	28.03	-24.33	4.298	-3.569	- 1787	9839	- 5014	- 5366	4378	- 4108	3776	- 3683	- 3483	-62.73
-	0	-	2	M	4	ı.	9	~	8	5	10	11	12	M

FISUPE 10. CUPRENT DEWSITY EPROP COMPUTATION FOP A UNIT EPROP IN Q(N) FOR RINGS OF EQUAL WIDTH WHEN P(N) = 1

,

#### CONCLUSIONS

A computer analysis capable of reducing whirling wire current probe data to a radial ring current density distribution has been constructed and run successfully. For a five ring density distribution with a 13 percent maximum relative current density error on flat distribution data, precision of three figures or  $\pm$  0.4 percent relative current error is needed. Data precision requirements increase with increase in the number of rings included in the analysis.

Finally, precision levels of rough data obtained to date with the MSFC whirling wire probe (about  $\pm$  1.MA) are shown to be in Figure 11 not sufficient to warrant using more than one ring.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

The Metals Processing Branch will acquire soon equipment which will record data in digitized form, thus eliminating the step of digitizing traces to obtain data. Then, a study to determine the data precision limits attainable with the MSFC whirling wire probe should be undertaken. If adequate precision is not attainable using the whirling wire probe, a study of other candidate methods for determining the electron beam current density should be made.  THE PRECISON LEVELS OF ROUGH DATA OBTAINED TO DATE WITH THE MSFC WHIRLING WIRE PROBE (ABOUT + 1 MA) YIELDS A SINGLE RING ANALYSIS:

5



WITH INSUFFICIENT PRECISION FOR MORE RINGS.

FIGURE 11. ROUGH DATA PRECISION LEVELS II-21

• -

#### REFERENCES

- Edwards, Eugene, "Power Distribution for Electron Beam Welding", Report, NASA/ASEE Summer Faculty Research Fellowship Program, MSFC/ University of Alabama, 1980.
- Sanderson, A., "Electron Beam Monitoring Techniques", Proceedings of the Conference on Advances in Welding Processes, 14-16 April 1970, The Welding Institute, Abingdon Hall, Cambridge, 1971, pp 161-166.
- 3. Wilkinson, J.H., <u>Rounding Errors in Algebraic Processes</u>, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1963.
- 4. Baird, D.C., <u>Experimentation: An Introduction to Measurement Theory</u> <u>and Experiment Design</u>, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1962.

APPENDIX 1

Pg 1 of 6

- - - - ---- - - -

10 REM \*\*\*ELECTRON BEAM RADIAL CURRENT DISTRIBUTION COMPUTATION### 20 DELETE T,Q,R,A,AD,CD,AC\CLEAR 30 PRINT "INPUT: RADIUS TO BEAM INCHES ), WIDTH OF RECEPTOR (INCHES)." 48 INPUT L,W 50 PRINT "INPUT: TOTAL BEAM CURRENT ( MILLIAMPS)." 68 INPUT Q0 70 PRINT "INPUT: TOTAL NUMBER OF DATA POINTS." 80 INPUT MULET N=M-1 82 REM ###DIMENSIONS AN ARRAY WITH N+1 ELEMENTS, A(0), A(1), ### 83 REM \*\*\* .... A(N) 84 REM \*\*\*T(I) IS THE ANGLE AT WHICH THE CURRENT Q(I) IS RECORDED. \*\*\*\* 85 REM \*\*\*Q(0) IS THE CURRENT RECORDED WHEN THE \*LEADING EDGE\* OF THE\*\*\* 86 REM \*\*\*RECEPTOR PASSES THROUGH THE CENTER OF THE ELECTRON BEAM. \*\*\* 87 REM \*\*\*THE CORRESPONDING ANGLE T(0)=9.\*\*\* 90 DIM T(N),Q(N),R(N),A(N,N),AD(N,N),CD(N) 100 T=0\Q=0 110 PRINT "INPUT DATA: ANGLE(RADIANS), CURRENT(MILLIAMPS), " 120 PRINT "NOTE: THE ANGLE T(1) IS THE ANGLE MEASURED COUNTRCLOCKNISE" 130 PRINT "FROM THE LEADING EDGE OF THR RECEPTOR AT T(0) TO THE" 140 PRINT "LEADING EDGE OF THE RECEPTOR AT T(I). ANGLES ARE TO BE TAKEN" 141 PRINT "POSITIVE AND LESS THAN PI/2 FOR THIS PROGRAM." 150 FOR I=0 TO N 160 INPUT T(I),Q(I) 170 NEXT I 210 PI=4\*ATH(1) 229 R=9 238 AL=ATN( H/2/SQR( L\*L-H\*H/4)) 240 FOR I=1 TO N 250 R(I)=W/2+L#SINKT(I)-AL) 260 NEXT I 270 REM \*\*\*NOTE THAT R(0)=0\*\*\* 310 REM ###CALCULATION OF AREA INFLUENCE COEFFICIENT MATRIX### 311 A2=0

329 FOR I=1 TO N

· ----

. .

. .........

11-23

Pg 2 of 6

```
325 IF WAR(I) THEN 400
330 FOR K=1 TO N
335 A(I,K)=0
345 IF R(I)>*R(K) THEN 355
358 A(I,K)=-R(K)^2*ATN(SQR(R(K)^2-R(I)^2)/R(I))+R(I)#SQR(R(K)^2-R(I)^2)
352 A(I,K)=A(I,K)-R(I)#SQR(R(K-1)-2-R(I)-2)
353 ACI,K)=ACI,K)+RCK-1)^2#ATHK$QRCRCK-1}^2-RCI)^2)/RCI))
355 IF (R(I)-W)>=R(K-1) THEN 370
360_A(I,K)=A(I,K)=R(K=1)>2#ATHK9&R(K=1)>2=<R(I)=H>>2>/(R(I)=H)>
365 ACI,K)=A(I,K)+(R(I)-W)#SQR(R(K-1)^2-(R(I)-W)^2)
370 IF (R(I)-W)>=R(K) THEN 385
375 A(I,K)=A(I,K)+(R(K)-2)#ATHKSOR(R(K)-2-(R(I)-W)-2)/(R(I)-W))
380 A(1,K)=A(1,K)-(R(1)-W)#SQR(R(K)-2-(R(1)-W)-2)
385 NEXT K
390 GOTO 460
400 FOR K=1 TO N
485 A(I,K)=PI*(R(K)~2-R(K-1)~2)
410 IF R(I)>=R(K) THEN 425
415 ACI, K)=ACI, K)-R(K)-2#ATH(SOR(R(K)-2-R(I)-2)/R(I))
416 A(I,K)=A(I,K)-R(I)#SQR(R(K-1)^2-R(I)^2)
417 A(1,K)=A(1,K)+R(K-1)-2#ATHK SQR(R(K-1)-2-R(1)-2)/R(1))
420 A(1,K)-A(1,K)+R(1)#SOR(R(K)-2-R(1)-2)
425 IF (H-R(I))>=R(K) THEN 440
438_A(I,K)=A(I,K)-R(K)~2#ATN(SQR(R(K)~2-(H-R(I))~2))/(H-R(I))
435 A(I,K)=A(I,K)+(H-R(I))#SOR(R(K)~2-(H-R(I))~2)
440 IF (N-R(I))>=R(K-1) THEN 455
445 A(I,K)=A(I,K)+R(K-1)-2#ATH(SQR(R(K-1)-2-(H-R(I))-2)/(H-R(I)))
458 A(I,K)=A(I,K)-(W-R(I))#SQR(R(K-1)^2-(W-R(I)>^2)
455 NEXT K
460 NEXT I
461 FOR I=1 TO N
462 FOR J=1 TO N
463 A2=A2+A(I,J)#A(I,J)
464 NEXT J
463 NEXT I
```

Į**' '** 

. . . . . . . . .

. .

Pg 3 of 6

. . . . . . . . .

466 PAGE 467 PRINT " AREA INFLUENCE COEFFICIENT MATRIX" 468 FOR I=1 TO N 469 PRINT 470 FOR J=1 TO N 472 PRINT A(I,J); TAB(10\*J); 474 NEXT J 476 NEXT I 478 PRINT "AEAHAEAL" 450 WAIT 10000 490 REM \*\*\*MATRIX INVERSION\*\*\* 500 AD=0 510 FOR I=1 TO N 520 FOR J=1 TO N 530 IF I=J THEN AD(I,J)=1 540 NEXT J 550 NEXT I 560 FOR I=1 TO N 570 C=0(L, L) 570 C=(X(I,I) 580 FOR J=1 TO N 590 A(I, J)=A(I, J)/C 609 ADK I, J)=ADK I, J)/C 610 NEXT J 620 FOR K=1 TO N 630 IF K=I THEN 690 648 B=A(K,I) 658 FOR J=1 TO N 660 ACK, J)=ACK, J)-B#ACI, J) 670 AD(K, J)=AD(K, J)-B\*AD(I, J) 680 NEXT J 698 NEXT K 700 NEXT I 701 A3=0 702 FOR I=1 TO N 703 FOR J=1 TO N

----

Γ.

مبر بوسامه مساوم والمراجع

م الصداب فاحتد موجود المرجل من الدار

11-25

......

Pg 4 of 6

784 A3=A3+AD(1,J)#AD(1,J) 705 NEXT J 706 NEXT I 707 CN=SQR( A2 )#SQR( A3 ) 710 REM \*\*\*CURRENT DENSITY COMPUTATION\*\*\* 720 CD=0 730 FOR I=1 TO N 740 FOR J=1 TO N 750 DC=ADK I, J)\*QK J) 760 CD(I)=CD(I)+DC 778 NEXT J 790 NEXT I 782 REM ###TOTAL CURRENT INSIDE R(N)### 790 Q1=0 800 FOR I=1 TO N 810 Q1=Q1+PI\*(R(I)>2-R(I-1)>2)\*CD(I) 820 NEXT I 960 REM ###PRINTOUT### 878 PAGE 889 PRINT "ANGLE"; TAB(10); "CURRENT"; TAB(20); "RADIUS"; TAB(40); 990 PRINT "CURRENT DENSITY" 900 PRINT "(RAD)"; TAB(10); "(MA)", TAB(20); "(IN)", TAB(46); 910 PRINT "(AMPS/SQ IN) 920 PRINT PRINT PRINT 930 FOR I=1 TO N 940 PRINT T(I); TAB(10); Q(I); TAB(20); R(I); TAB(40); CD(I)/1000 958 NEXT 1 960 PRINT PRINT PRINT 970 PRINT "RATIO OF TOTAL CURRENT CALCULATED TO TOTAL BEAN CURRENT" 980 PRINT PRINT Q1/Q0 990 PRINT "~E~H~E~L" 1000 NAIT 10000 1010 PRINT "SENSITIUITY MATRIX; AD=INKA)" 1020 FOR I=1 TO N 1030 PRINT

ORIGINA ALE IS OF POUR QUALITY

1050 PRINT AD(1, J); TAB(10\*J); 1060 NEXT J 1070 NEXT I 1080 PRINT "~E~H~E~L" 1090 HAIT 10000 2000 INITG 2010 DIM AC(N) 2011 AC=0 2020 PRINT 2021 PRINT 2022 PRINT 2023 PRINT 2024 PRINT 2030 PRINT "UNIT"; TAB(6); "OUTER"; TA2(20); "CURRENT" 2040 PRINT TAB(6); "RADIUS"; TAB(20); "DENSITY" 2059 PRINT TAB(7); "(IN)"; TAB(21); "(MA)" 2060 PRINT 2070 FOR I=1 TO N 2080 PRINT TAB(1);1;TAB(6);R(1);TAB(20);CO(1) 2093 NEXT I 2109 PRINT 2101 PRINT 2110 PRINT "LENGTH OF RECEPTOR="111" INCHES" 2120 PRINT 2130 PRINT "WIDTH OF RECEPTOR=" , H, "INCHES" 2140 PRINT 2150 PRINT "CONDITION NUMBER OF AICH=" )CN 2160 SMOUE 902, 389 2170 PRINT "RADIUS" 2180 SMOVE 439,667 2190 PRINT "CURRENT" 2209 SMOUE 438,642 2210 PRINT "DENSITY" 2220 FOR I=1 TO N

1049 FOR J=1 TO N

Pg 5 of 6

۱.,

ı

,

1

1

.

۰.

11-27

------

Pg 6 of ô

ï

. 1990 - مارور مارور م

2230 AC(I)==ABS(CD(I)) 2240 NEXT I 2250 YR=1 1\*MAX(AC) 2260 WINDOW - . 1\*R(N), 1.1\*R(N), -YR, YR 2270 VIEWPORT 512,902,111,667 2280 FOR 1=1 TO N 2290 MOVE R(1-1),0 2300 DRAW R(I-1), CD(I), R(I), CD(I), R(1), 0 2301 MOUE R(I-1), CD(I)/2 2302 PRINT 1 2310 NEXT I 2320 MOVE 0, -YR 2330 DRAW 0, YR 2340 MOUE 0,0 2350 DRAW 1.1\*R(N)20 2360 PRINT "~E~H~E~L" 2370 EHD READY

\*

×.,

7.-

11

.

OPICINIA CENTRALIZZA

Page 1 of 3

APPENDIX 2

----

2013 REM \*\*\* CURRENT DENSITY ERROR CH CULATION FOR A UNIT\*\*\* 210 PEM \*\*\* EPROR IN Q(N) WHERE R(N)=1\*\*\* 250 REM \*\*\* INPUT \*\*\* 251 PRINT "INPUT THE NUMBER OF RINGS" 252 DELETE WIMIEIPIZACLEAR 253 DELETE X\CLEAR 255 INPUT N 256 DIM WONNDOMONINDIE (NDJPONINDIZON) 257 DIM X(1,N) 269 W=9 264 PI=4\*ATH(1) 279 M=0 280 E=0 290 P=0 291 L=0 300 Z**=0** 340 REM \*\*\* CALCULATION OF NULTIPLIERS AND ERRORS\*\*\* 350 FOR I=1 TO N-2 360 FOR J=0 TO I-1 379 A=N-J 389 B=N-J-1 390 C=N-I 400 D=N-I-1 410 U=\A^2-B^2)\*(ATN:SQR(A^2-D^2)/U)-ATN:SQR(A^2-C^2)/C)) 428 F=U-D#SOR( A-2-D-2 )+D#SOR( B-2-D-2) 430 G=F+(B^2)\$(ATHK SQR(A^2-D^2)/D)-ATHK SQR(B^2-D^2)/D)) 440 H=G+C\*SOR(A-2-C-2)-C\*SOR(B-2-C-2) 450 P(N-I, N-J)=H-(B-2)#(ATH SQR(A-2-C-2)/C)-ATH SQR(B-2-C-2)/C)) 468 Z(N-1)=(C^2)#ATHK SQR(C^2-D^2)/D)-D#SQR(C^2-D^2) 470 M(N-I,N-J)=P(N-I,N-J)/2(N-I) 489 NEXT J 490 HEXT I 500 U=ATHK SQR(N^2-(N-1)^2)/(N-1))-(N-1)/N#SQR(1-((N-1)/N)^2) 510 E(0)-1/V

1 7

-

...

AND REAL PROPERTY.

11-29

...

r ......

. ... . . . . . .

۲

• • • • • •

520 FOR I=1 TO N-2 530 FOR J=0 TO I-1 540  $W(N-1,N-J) = -M(N-1,N-J) \times E(J)$ 550 E(1)=E(1)+W(N-1,N-J) 560 NEXT J 570 NEXT I 571 X=0 572 FOR K=2 TO N 573 X(1,K)=P1\*(K-2-(K-1)-2)-K-2\*ATN(SQR(K-2-1)) 574 X(1,K)=X(1,K)-SQR((K-1)-2-1)+SQR(K-2-1) 575 X(1)K)=X(1)K)+(K-1)^2#ATH(SOR((K-1)^2-1)) 576 L=L+X(1,K)\*E(N-K) 577 W(1,K)=-X(1,K)/PI 578 NEXT K 579 E(N-1)=-L/Pi 580 REM \*\*\*OUTPUT\*\*\* \* 585 PAGE 586 PRINT 590 FOR J=0 TO N-2 610 PRINT "CD ERROR IN RING N-I DUE TO CALCULATED CD ERROR IN RING N-J" 620 PRINT 630 PRINT "WKN-I, N-J)", "J="; J 540 PRINT 659 FOR I=1 TU N-1 660 PRINT WKN-I, N-J), "I="; I 677 NEXT I 680 NEXT J 690 PRINT 700 PRINT "TOTAL ERROR IN RING N-J" 710 PRINT 720 PRINT "J", "ERROR IN RING N-J, RING N IS THE OUTER RING" 730 FRINT 740 FOR J=0 TO N-1 750 PRINT J,E(J) 766 HEXT J

e He wa

\*\*

Pg 3 of 3

ì

790 END READY \*

. .

-

N

11-31

\* 5

# , N82-17046

---

 $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ 

#### NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

## MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

#### FUEL OPTIMAL MANEUVERS FOR SPACECRAFT WITH FIXED THRUSTERS

Prepared by:

.

١,

,

ł

Thomas E. Carter, Ph.D.

Academic Rank:

Associate Professor

University and Department:

. .

Eastern Connecticut State College Mathematical Sciences

NASA/MSFC: Division: Branch:

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

Contract No.

Control Systems Division Dynamics & Trajectory Analysis Branch

Harry J. Buchanan

August 20, 1981

NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

#### FUEL OPTIMAL MANEUVERS FOR SPACECRAFT WITH FIXED THRUSTERS

by

Thomas E. Carter Associate Professor of Mathematical Sciences Eastern Connecticut State College Willimantic, Connecticut

Ŋ

#### ABSTRACT

Several models are used for the qualitative investigation of fuel optimal maneuvers for spacecraft with fixed thrusters. With the exception of singular solutions, all solutions are found to consist of intervals of "full thrust" and "coast" indicating that thrusters do not need to be designed as "throttleable" for fuel optimal performance.

For the primary model under consideration, singular solutions can occur only if the optimal solution is "pure translation." For this case, the state space can be separated into a region where singular solutions cannot occur and a region where each point admits infinitely many singular solutions for sufficiently large flight times. Where singular solutions exist, a "time optimal" singular solution can always be found which consists of intervals of "coast" and "full thrust." The shape of the optimal fuel consumption curve as a function of flight time is found to depend on whether or not the initial state is in the region admitting singular solutions.

Comparison of fuel optimal maneuvers in deep space with those relative to a point in circular orbit indicate that qualitative differences in the solutions can occur.

A minimum integral square criterion problem is considered for comparison with the minimum fuel problem. The solutions consist of intervals of "linear" and "full thrust." Computation of fuel consumption for certain "pure translation" cases indicates that considerable savings in fuel can result from the fuel optimal maneuvers.

- - - - - - - - - - -

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

;

۰

Title	Page
ABSTRACT	III-i
I. INTRODUCTION	III-]
II. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND SOLUTION	III-4
III. MINIMUM FUEL TRANSLATION	III-9
IV. COMPARISON WITH THE MINIMUM INTEGRAL SQUARE CRITERION I	11-13
V. OTHER MODELS	II-15
VI. CONCLUSIONS	II-19
REFERENCES	II-20

. . ...

## LIST OF FIGURES

-----

.

`

Figure	Page
FIGURE 1. FUEL OPTIMAL CONTROL	III-5
FIGURE 2. A SKETCH OF FUEL OPTIMAL FLIGHT PATH SHAPES	III-8
FIGURE 3. PHASE PLANE SKETCH OF FUEL OPTIMAL TRAJECTORIES IN REGION WHERE ORIGIN IS REACHABLE IN A SPECIFIED TIME	111-10
FIGURE 4. TYPES OF FUEL OPTIMAL SOLUTIONS	III-12
FIGURE 5. OPTIMAL FUEL CONSUMPTION CURVES	III-12
FIGURE 6. INTEGRAL SQUARE CRITERION OPTIMAL CONTROL	111-13
FIGURE 7. PHASE PLANE COMPARISON OF OPTIMAL TRAJECTORIES HAVING DIFFERENT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	III-14
FIGURE 8. SPACECRAFT WITH FIXED THRUSTERS	III-16
FIGURE 9. DOUBLE TRAJECTORY AND FLIGHT PATH SHAPE	III-18

#### I. INTRODUCTION

With the successful operation of the Space Shuttle, a wide variety of payloads can economically be placed in orbit. Teleoperator Maneuverable Systems can be used to travel back and forth from the Shuttle to these payloads, docking with them, and pushing them in such a way as to satisfy a wide range of requirements. This establishes some motivation to examine again some fuel optimal control problems of spacecraft even though little has been published in this area since the mid-sixties.

The mathematical framework for minimum fuel problems of spacecraft is well established. In 1965, Neustadt published necessary conditions for a class of minimal fuel space trajectories in a general gravitational field. The more specialized problems we consider here are all of the form presented in [2, Sec. 5.2, Theorem 5], hence the minimum principle provides both necessary and sufficient conditions for a minimum. Since we deal with fixed time problems in which the set of admissible control values is compact and convex and the integrand of the cost function is convex in the controls, we are assured existence of optimal solutions if the target set is reachable in the specified fixed time. Even if the control thrusters are not "throttleable", that is, if they can take only discrete values, an optimal "relaxed" solution exists and the optimal "relaxed" trajectory can be approximated to an arbitrary degree of accuracy [21]. ("Relaxing the controls" effectively changes the set of admissible control values to its convex hull and the integrand of the cost function becomes convex in the control values. See the comments in the Introduction of Reference 22.)

A large amount of early work has been done on the minimal fuel rocket trajectory problem in which the initial-to-final mass ratio is minimized. The system dynamics for rockets in free space were typically described by equations similar to

$$x = c \frac{m(t, e_u(t))}{m(t)}$$

where t denotes time, the dot represents differentiation with respect to t, x is the position vector, c the exhaust speed, m the rocket mass, and  $e_u(t)$  is a unit steering vector [3, Sec. 3.2], [4].

In general, the mass decrease for teleoperator maneuverable systems is much smaller than for conventional rockets and we shall regard the peak value of c m(t)/m(t) as constant over the closed time interval [0, tf]. After normalizing, the system dynamics is given by

$$\ddot{x} = u(t) e_u(t)$$

where  $u(t) \in [0, 1]$  for each  $t \in [0, t_f]$  and we seek to minimize  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(t) dt$ 

\* . I ·

III-1

This idealization does not take into account the fuel loss required to steer the spacecraft, but it can be used to establish bounds on the amount of fuel used during an optimal maneuver. Formulations of the problem which include the steering losses and also which consider motion relative to a circular orbit are presented in Section V. A closed form solution for these cases is not presented as is for the more idealized Additionally, a closed form solution is found for the case where case. the boundary conditions on position and velocity are replaced by boundary conditions on the distance and speed along the trajectory. The solution of the idealized problem in Section II is found to consist of intervals of full thrust (u(t) = 1) or coast intervals (u(t) = 0) where at most one coast interval can occur. Two mutually orthogonal vectors occur naturally in the solution of the problem and the changes in position and velocity (i.e., x(t) - x(0) and  $\dot{x}(t) - \dot{x}(0)$ ) are confined to the plane of these vectors although the actual position and velocity (i.e., x(t)and  $\dot{x}(t)$ ) may not be planar.

An important special case arises when one of the orthogonal vectors is zero. In this case, and only in this case (with the exception of the trivial case where the trajectory is "coast" on the entire interval [0, tf], the vectors  $\dot{x}(t) - \dot{x}(0)$  and x(t) - x(0) are colinear in the same direction for the entire interval  $[0, t_f]$ . This is the only situation in which the optimal steering vector  $e_u(t)$  can be discontinuous on the open interval  $(0, t_f)$ . In this situation, the steering vector is constant except at one possible discontinuity where it jumps to the opposite direction and remains. (This occurs also for the rocket problem discussed by Leitmann in [3, Sec. 3.2, p. 77].) This case is also the only case in which singular solutions can arise. This special case can be modeled by replacing  $u(t)e_u(t)$  by  $u(t)e_u(0)$  where  $u(t) \in [-1, 1]$  for each  $t \in [0, t_f]$  and replacing the original cost function by

 $\int_0^{t_f} |u(t)| dt.$ 

It thus becomes a problem of minimum fuel translation and is discussed in Section III.

This problem or its mathematical equivalent, minimum fuel rotation, has been studied by several authors, including Flugge-Lotz and Marbach, Meditch, Swartz, Conrad, and Heller [5] - [9], and is also a special case of the second order linear systems of Ladd and Friedland [10] and Snow [11]. The singular solutions are not of the type to be described by higher order necessary conditions such as, for example, in [22] but can be found as degenerate cases of the class considered in [11]. In view of the sufficiency condition to which we have already alluded in [2], however, one can see that any singular extremal which satisfies the boundary conditions is optimal.

Other linear systems and aspects of more nemeral linear systems were studied by Athans, Chaig and Flugge-Forzers - 1, although and Athans [12] - [16].

Linear Systems in which

 $\int_{0}^{t_{f}} u(t)^{2} dt$ 

is minimized have been widely studied. See [14], [18] - [20], for example. In Section IV, we briefly examine this integral square criterion for our spacecraft dynamics model and find certain similarities to the minimum fuel problem. The degenerate case in which the motion is pure translation is found in Leitmann's book [3, Sec. 3.5]. See also [17]. We compare this solution with the fuel optimum solution and find that it requires as much as fifty percent more fuel for certain initial conditions.

**19** 

#### II. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND SOLUTION

The minimum fuel problem in tree space is posed as follows.

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the set of all Lebesque measurable functions which map the closed interval  $[0, t_f]$  into the three-dimensional unit ball. We seek  $r \in \mathcal{U}$  to minimize  $x^o(t_f)$  subject to the following conditions which hold a.e. on  $[0, t_f]$ :

where  $x_0$ ,  $v_0$ ,  $x_f$ , and  $v_f$  are specified points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and | | denotes the Euclidean norm or magnitude.

For this problem, the minimum principle provides both necessary and sufficient conditions for an optimal solution. The Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H} = q^{o} |r(t)| + p(t) \cdot V(t) + q(t) \cdot r(t)$$

where the functions p and q which map E0,  $t_f$  into  $IR^3$  are absolutely continuous solutions of the adjoint system

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}}(t) = 0$$
  
$$\dot{\mathbf{q}}(t) = -\mathbf{p}(t)$$

and  $q^0$  is either 1 or 0. If  $q^0 = 1$ , the solution is called <u>normal</u>; otherwise, it is referred to as <u>abnormal</u>. In either case, q is described by the following linear function of t

$$q(t) = -\beta t + q_0 \qquad (0 \le t \le t_f)$$

where  $\mathbf{B}$ ,  $\mathbf{q}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

The abnormal optimal control, which is actually a time optimal control, is characterized a.e. on  $[0, t_f]$  by

$$r(t) = -\frac{q(t)}{|q(t)|}$$

which is clearly continuous unless  $p_{\rm o}$  and  $q_{\rm o}$  are colinear. If  $p_{\rm o}$  and  $q_{\rm o}$  are colinear, then the locus of the relative trajectory

 $\{(x(t), v(t)) - (x_0, v_0) | t \in L^{0}, t_{+}]\}$ 

is a straight line segment and r is constant with the exception of a single discontinuity at the time to where  $p_{0t_d} = q_0$  and where r reverses direction. The abnormal solution is a degenerate minimal fuel solution which occurs in the situation where the time optimal trajectory is the only trajectory in which the target point  $(x_1, y_2)$  can be reached in the time  $t_1$  bince this solution is of the came room as a normal

solution over an interval of "full thrust", we shall restrict our attention to normal solutions.

We shall show that the normal solutions are nonsingular with the exception of the "pure translation" case presented in Section III. A normal nonsingular fuel optimal control function is characterized a.e. on  $[0, t_f]$  by

$$r(t) = 0$$
,  $|q(t)| < 1$   
 $r(t) = -\frac{q(t)}{|q(t)|}$ ,  $|q(t)| > 1$ 

and the set

$$\{t \in [0, t_{f}] | 1q(t)| = 1\}$$

has Legesque measure zero. An optimal control function having a singular regime of positive Lebesque measure is characterized a.e. on that regime by

$$|q(t)| = 1$$
.

This implies that  $p_0 = 0$  and  $|q_0| = 1$  which shows that the solution must be singular a.e. on  $[0, t_f]$ . Since singular solutions can exist only in the case where  $p_0 = 0$ , they cannot occur in the general situation where  $p_0$  and  $q_0$  are not colinear.

We can therefore conclude that, if  $p_0$  and  $q_0$  are not colinear, the optimal solution is nonsingular, consists entirely of intervals of full-thrust and coast with, at most, one coast interval. The situation is depicted by Figure 1 in which the straightline segment defined by q punctures the unit ball. If the line segment does not puncture the unit ball, then the optimal solution has not coast interval.

#### FUEL OPTIMAL CONTROL





III-5

If the flight begins with a thrust interval, then for each t on that interval, the velocity difference  $\Delta v(t) = v(t) - v_0$  is given by

$$\Delta v(t) = \int_{0}^{t_{\rm F}} \frac{(q_0 - p_0 t) dt}{|q_0 - p_0 t|}$$

This can be integrated to obtain

$$\Delta v(t) = \left[\frac{19(t)1 - 1901}{1901^2}\right] - \frac{1}{1901} \sin \left(\frac{110}{1901} \left(t - \frac{109}{1901}\right) + \sinh \left(\frac{1009}{1001}\right)\right) + \sin \left(\frac{1009}{1001}\right)\right] + \sin \left(\frac{1009}{1001}\right) + \sin \left(\frac{1009}{1001}\right) + \sin \left(\frac{1009}{1001}\right) + \sin \left(\frac{1009}{1001}\right)\right)$$

where

$$r_0 = q_0 - \frac{p_0 \cdot q_0}{|p_0|^2} P_0$$

It should be noted that  $r_0$  and  $p_0$  are orthogonal. There is a natural resolution of the velocity difference in the directions of  $p_0$  and  $r_0$ . In general, we shall denote the components of vectors in the directions of  $p_0$  and  $r_0$  by subscripts p and r. We can integrate the expressions  $\Delta v_p(t)$  and  $\Delta v_r(t)$  to find the respective position differences  $\Delta x_p(t)$  and  $\Delta x_r(t)$ .

The switch times which define the boundaries of the coast ...terval can be calculated as the roots of the equation

$$|q(t)| = 1$$

These roots are found to be

$$K \pm \tau_0$$

where

$$k = \frac{p_0 - q_0}{|t_0|^2}$$
 and  $T_0 = \frac{(1 - |r_0|^2)^2}{|t_0|^2}$ 

This shows how  $p_0$  and  $q_0$  determine the type of motion which occurs. For example, if  $0 \le k - \tau_0$  and  $k + \tau_0 \le t_f$ , then the trajectory is of the "thrust-coast-thrust" type, whereas if  $k - \tau_0 \le 0$  and  $k + \tau_0 \ge t_f$ no thrust occurs at all. If  $|r_0| \ge 1$  a coast interval cannot occur. Other similar observations can easily be made.

We now present the velocity and position differences in terms of the parameters k,  $\tau_0$ , and  $\alpha = 1r_0 1/1P_0 1$ .

For compactness of notation, we define  $b = (a^2 + k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $c = (a^2 + \tau_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

$$0 \le t \le k - T_0$$
 (thrust interval)

$$\Delta v_{p}(t) = (t^{2} - 2kt + b^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} - b$$
  

$$\Delta v_{r}(t) = -a \left[ \sinh\left(\frac{t-k}{a}\right) + \sinh\left(\frac{k}{a}\right) \right]$$
  

$$\Delta x_{p}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \Delta v_{p}(t)(t-k) - a \Delta v_{r}(t) + (2v_{p}(0) - ...)t \right\}$$
  

$$\Delta x_{r}(t) = \Delta v_{r}(t)(t-k) + a \Delta v_{p}(t) + v_{r}(0)t$$

k-To < to < ik + To (const internal)

-

$$k + \tau_0 \le t \le \tau_1$$
 (thrust interval)

$$\Delta V_{p}(t) = (t^{2} - 2ht + b^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} - C + \Delta V_{p}(k + \tau_{0})$$
  

$$\Delta V_{r}(t) = -\alpha [s'nh^{2}(\frac{t-k}{2}) - s'nh^{2}(\frac{\tau_{0}}{2})] + \Delta V_{r}(k + \tau_{0})$$
  

$$\Delta X_{p}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \Delta V_{p}(t)(t-k) - \alpha \Delta V_{r}(t) + [2(v_{p}(0) + \Delta V_{p}(k + \tau_{0})) - c](t-k - \tau_{0}) \} + \Delta X_{p}(k + \tau_{0})$$
  

$$\Delta X_{r}(t) = \Delta V_{r}(t)(t-k) + \alpha \Delta V_{p}(t) + [V_{r}(0) + \Delta V_{r}(k + \tau_{0})](t-k - \tau_{0}) + \Delta X_{r}(k + \tau_{0}).$$

Sketches of flight path shapes for various initial and final conditions is presented in Figure 2. The optimum fuel consumption can also be found in terms of the above parameters by integrating  $\dot{x}^{0}(t)$ . We find

$$x^{0}(t_{1}) = \max(k - \tau_{0}, 0) + \min(t_{1} - k - \tau_{0}, t_{1}),$$

- 4\*

111-7

and in the case where  $0 \le k - t_0$  and  $k + t_0 \le t_f$ , this becomes

$$x^{0}(t_{f}) = t_{f} - 2T_{0}.$$

A SKETCH OF FUEL OPTIMAL FLICHT PATH SHAPES





#### III. MINIMUM FUEL TRANSLATION

The minimum fuel translation problem is formulated exactly as in Section II with the exception that the three-dimensional unit ball which is the range of the functions in  $\mathbf{L}$  is replaced by the interval [-1, 1]and  $x_0$ ,  $v_0$ ,  $x_f$ , and  $v_f$  become points in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The constants  $p_0$  and  $q_0$ are also in  $\mathbb{R}$  so that q is a linear scalar valued function of t.

The abnormal optimal control function is defined a.e. on [0,  $t_f$ ] by

$$\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{t}) = - \operatorname{sgn} \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{t})$$

whereas the normal optimal control is given a.e. on  $[0, t_f]$  by

r(t) = 0	q(t)  < 1
r(t) = -sgnq(t)	q(+)  > 1.
r(t) E [-1,0]	q(t) = 1
r(t) < [0,1]	q(t) = -1

The later two expressions characterize the regimes where the optimal control function is singular. The existence of singular regimes of positive measure implies that  $p_0 = 0$  and  $q_0 = 1$  or  $p_0 = 0$  and  $q_0 = -1$ . This shows that an optimal solution cannot have a singular regime without the solution being entirely singular, nor can the two types of singularities occur on the same solution.

Typical nonsingular normal solutions are of the "thrust-coastthrust" type with switching times

$$\frac{90}{P0} + \frac{1}{P0}$$
  
which are the roots of the equation

19(t) = 1.

In the phase plane the thrust portions of the trajectory have the form of parabolic segments and the coast phases are horizontal line segments. The abnormal solutions have the parabolic segments only. A phase plane sketch of the trajectories in the region where the origin is reachable in a specified time is presented in Figure 3.

III-9



FIGURE 3. PHASE PLANE SKETCH OF FUEL OPTIMAL RAJECTORIES IN REGION WHERE ORIGIN IS REACHABLE IN A SPECIFIED TIME

## III-10

There are four basic types of optimal trajectorier. We illustrate these in Figure 4 for the case where

$$0 < \mathbf{v}_0 < \sqrt{-\mathbf{x}_0}$$
 and  $\mathbf{v}_f = \mathbf{x}_f = 0$ .

For two curves having these end conditions, it can be proved that if one is entirely below the other in the plane, then it takes a longer time to reach the terminal point. For this reason, the trajectories labeled

I IV require increasingly more time to reach the origin. The abnormal arc I is time optimal. For a smaller value of  $t_f$  the origin is not reachable. Arc II represents a typical "thrust-coast-thrust" trajectory. Arc III occurs if the smaller of the two switching times is zero. If this switching time is negative, then infinitely many singular solutions IV occur. These consist of any trajectory in which

 $u(t) \in [-1, 0]$  a.e. on  $[o_j t_f]$  and  $x(t_f) = v(t_f) = 0$ .

Included, in particular, is the situation where the position and velocity follow Arc III, arriving at the origin at time  $t_1 < t_f$  and remaining there for the interval  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_f$ . Since this singular arc is above any other singular arc in the region of the phase plane under consideration, it arrives at the origin in the shortest time. The argument that singular arcs must always lie between Type III arcs and the x-axis can be used to show that singular solutions are possible only in the region

$$S = \{(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 < v < \sqrt{-x} \text{ or } -\sqrt{x} < v < 0\}.$$

However, singular solutions exist for any initial point in this region if  $t_f$  is sufficiently large. If one agrees to use only the "time optimal" singular arc as representative of the singular arcs, then for any initial point the optimal trajectory to the origin consists of full thrust and coast intervals with at most two full thrust intervals.

By computing  $x^{0}(t_{f})$  for the piecewise constant control function rwe can show that the optimal fuel consumption decreases with increasing  $t_{f}$  and can be made arbitrarily close to the value 1 if  $t_{f}$  is taken sufficiently large. The shape of the optimal fuel c sumption curve depends on whether or not  $(x_{0}, v_{0}) \in S$ , the region where singular solutions occur for sufficiently large values of  $t_{f}$ . The two basic shapes are presented in Figure 5.



Ì.

# FIGURE 4. TYPES OF FUEL OPTIMAL SOLUTIONS



FIGURE 5. OPTIMAL FUEL CONSUMPTION CURVES

**III-12** 

#### IV. COMPARISON WITH THE INTEGRAL SQUARE CRITERION

We formulate the minimum integral square criterion problem exactly as the minimum fuel problem of Section II, except that the criterion  $x^{O}(t_{f})$  to be minimized is defined by

$$\mathbf{x}^{0}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}(t) \cdot \mathbf{r}(t)$$

a.e. on  $[0, t_f]$ .

• `

The Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} q^0 r(t) \cdot r(t) + p(t) \cdot v(t) + q(t) \cdot r(t)$$

where the adjoint system and the abnormal optimal solutions are exactly the same as in Section II.

We see that the normal optimal solutions are all nonsingular and the optimal control functions are described a.e. on  $[0, t_f]$  by

$$r(t) = -q(t) \qquad |q(t)| < 1$$
  
$$r(t) = -\frac{q(t)}{|q(t)|} \qquad |q(t)| > 1.$$

The solution is of the "full thrust-linear-full thrust" type as depicted by Figure 6. The similarities with Figure 1 are apparent.

## FIGURE 6. INTEGRAL SQUARE CRITERION OPTIMAL CONTROL



111-13
The solutions in the "full thrust" intervals are of the same form as those presented in Section II. Letting  $t_0$  be the earliest time in which the "linear" interval is entered, the solution in this interval is

$$v(t) = F_0 \frac{(t-t_0)^2}{2} - q_0 (t-t_0) + v(t_0)$$
  

$$x(t) = F_0 \frac{(t-t_0)^3}{6} - q_0 \frac{(t-t_0)^2}{2} + v(t_0)(t-t_0) + x(t_0).$$

The "optimal translation" case in which  $p_0$  and  $q_0$  are colinear is mentioned briefly for comparison with the fuel optimal case. A solution where  $v_0 = v_f = 0$  and where the control function was linear (lq(t) < 1for all  $t \in [0, t_f]$ ) was compared with a fuel optimal solution having the same  $t_f$  and initial and final conditions. A phase plane sketch of the trajectories is presented in Figure 7. As  $t_f$  increases, the maximum value of  $x_2(t)$  for the "integral square optimum" approaches one and one-half times that of the "minimum fuel optimum" and the respective values of  $x^0(t_f)$  approach the same ratio.



FIGURE 7. PHASE PLANE COMPARISON OF OPTIMAL TRAJECTORIES HAVING DIFFERENT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA

#### V. OTHER MODELS

In this section we consider some generalizations of the problem in Section II.

First, we consider the modifications which appear when the motion is relative to a point moving in orbit. The state variable equations take the following form:

$$\dot{x}^{0}(t) = |r(t)|$$
  
 $\dot{x}(t) = v(t)$   
 $\dot{v}(t) = Ax(t) + Bv(t) + r(t)$ 

where A and B are 3x3 matrices. (For a circular orbit, A and B represent the centripetal and coriolis effects, respectively, e.g.,

	0	0	0		0 0	) 2 <b>T</b> ,	
A =	0	-V <sub>5</sub>	0	B =	0 (	) 0	
	0/	0	Λ²/		en e	<b>0</b> 0	J

where  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  is the orbital angular speed.)

For this problem, the adjoint system is defined as follows:

$$\dot{P}(t) = -A^{T}q(t)$$
  $P(0) = P_{0}$   
 $\dot{q}(t) = -B^{T}q(t) - P(t)$   $q(0) = q_{0}$ 

The optimal controls are characterized exactly as in Section II. The form of the function q, however, leads to some qualitative differences in the solutions. Although the normal nonsingular optimal solutions still consist of full thrust and coast intervals, there is no longer the restriction of at most one coast interval. The restriction that the thrust vector can turn through no more than half a circle is likewise removed since q(t) no longer moves in a straight line. As in Section II, singular regimes must include the entire interval [0, t<sub>f</sub>]. Since singular solutions are characterized by

$$|q(t)| = | (t \in [0, t_{f}])$$

a necessary condition for a singular solution is

· . . . .

$$p(t) \cdot q(t) + q(t) \cdot B^{T}q(t) = 0$$
 ( $t \in [0, t_{f}]$ ).

111-15

For the special coriolis matrix B considered previously, this implies that p(t) and q(t) must be orthogonal on  $[0, t_f]$ .

The previous models have all represented spacecraft in which the effects of thrusts could be represented by a single thruster acting through the center of gravity. Fuel consumed to apply torques to turn the vehicle was not considered. The diagram in Figure 8 depicts a planar model relative to a circular orbit with fixed axial and lateral thrusters which do not act through the center of gravity.



FIGURE 8. SPACECRAFT WITH FIXED THRUSTERS

This model is described by the following dynamical system:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}^{0}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} |u_{i}(t)| \\ \hat{x}^{1}(t) &= \sqrt{1}(t) \\ \hat{x}^{2}(t) &= \sqrt{2}(t) \\ \hat{v}^{1}(t) &= (u_{1}(t) + u_{2}(t))\cos\theta(t) - (u_{3}(t) + u_{4}(t))\sin\theta(t) + 2 \int \sqrt{2}(t) \\ \hat{v}^{2}(t) &= (u_{1}(t) + u_{2}(t))\sin\theta(t) + (u_{3}(t) + u_{4}(t))\cos\theta(t) - 2 \int \sqrt{1}(t) + 3 \int \sqrt{2} x^{2}(t) \\ \hat{\theta}(t) &= \omega(t) \\ \hat{\theta}(t) &= \omega(t) \\ \hat{\omega}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} \hat{\xi}_{i} u_{i}(t) \end{aligned}$$

111-16

where  $u_i(t) \notin [-1, 1]$  a.e. on  $[0, t_f]$  (i = 1, ..., 4). For this model also it can be seen that the normal nonsingular optimal control functions are of the "full thrust--coast-full thrust" type and the abnormal solutions are "full thrust with switching." Additional qualitative information about the solutions of this problem are difficult to obtair. Numerical solutions may be found by iterative methods for solution of the two-point boundary value problem.

For a problem in deep space (A = 0) we consider the following transformation of variables:

$$\dot{y}^{1}(t) = w^{1}(t)$$

$$\dot{y}^{2}(t) = w^{2}(t)$$

$$\dot{w}^{1}(t) = \dot{v}^{1}(t) \cos \theta(t) + \dot{v}^{2}(t) \sin \theta(t)$$

$$\dot{w}^{2}(t) = -\dot{v}^{1}(t) \sin \theta(t) + \dot{v}^{2}(t) \cos \xi(t)$$

In terms of the transformed variables the model becomes

$$\dot{x}^{0}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{T} |u_{i}(t)|$$

$$\dot{y}^{1}(t) = w^{1}(t)$$

$$\dot{y}^{2}(t) = w^{2}(t)$$

$$\dot{w}^{1}(t) = u_{1}(t) + u_{2}(t)$$

$$\dot{w}^{2}(t) = u_{3}(t) + u_{4}(t)$$

If one has the initial and final conditions for these variables, one has a considerably simpler problem than the original. This problem is <u>not</u> equivalent to the original problem, however, and is of no value unless one is interested in the variables,  $y^1$ ,  $y^2$ ,  $w^1$ ,  $w^2$ . An optimal control function for the original system is not necessarily optimal for the corresponding boundary values of y and w; however, an optimal control function for the transformed problem is optimal for the corresponding boundary values of x and v.

We shall consider the simplification that occurs if

$$u_{3}(t) = u_{\mu}(t) = 0$$

a.e. on [0,  $t_f$ ]. In this case  $y^1$  and  $v^1$  can be interpreted respectively as distance traveled and speed. If one imposes boundary conditions only on the distance traveled and speed, one has (for  $t_1$  ) and  $t_2$  (1) the following system:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}^{0}(t) = |\mathbf{u}_{1}(t)| + |\mathbf{u}_{2}(t)|$$
  

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}}^{1}(t) = \mathbf{w}^{1}(t)$$
  

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}^{1}(t) = \mathbf{u}_{1}(t) + \mathbf{u}_{2}(t)$$
  

$$\dot{\mathbf{G}}(t) = \mathbf{\omega}(t)$$
  

$$\dot{\mathbf{\omega}}(t) = -\mathbf{u}_{1}(t) + \mathbf{u}_{2}(t)$$

This problem is easily solved by the transformation

$$z^{1}(t) = \frac{1}{2} (y^{1}(t) - B(t))$$
$$z^{2}(t) = \frac{1}{2} (y^{1}(t) + B(t))$$

which decouples the problem into an equivalent set of two problems of the horizontal translation type,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_{i}(t) &= |u_{i}(t)| \\ \dot{z}^{i}(t) &= s^{i}(t) \\ \dot{s}^{i}(t) &= u_{i}(t) \\ \end{aligned} (i = 1, 2)$$

٦

A sketch of a solution of this problem can be presented in the form of a "double trajectory" in the phase plane. This is indicated in Figure 9 with a sketch of the corresponding flight path shape.



FIGURE 9. DOUBLE TRAJECTORY AND FLIGHT PATH SHAPE

III-18

#### VI. CONCLUSIONS

In each of the minimum fuel problems investigated in this study, the optimal solution consisted of intervals of full thrust and coast with the exceptions of abnormal solutions (which contained only full thrust and reverse full thrust) and singular solutions. For this reason the design of "throttleable" thrusters may not be required for fueloptimal performance.

Optimal controllers derived under deep space assumptions may have some validity in terms of motion relative to a point in orbit if the spacecraft is near the point and if the time interval is short, but qualitative differences regarding the number of coast intervals and the limit on the total "angle of turn" do exist.

Cases in which the vehicle is stationary at the initial and terminal time may be of the most practical importance for rendervous and decking problems. According to the model used in Section II, the solution for these cases is "pure translation" where the vehicle thrusts, coasts, then thrusts in the reverse direction. This model, which does not consider fuel losses due to turning, admits a "jump" discontinuity in the attitude angle at each end of the flight.

For vehicles which use separate thrusters for translation and steering, a sub-optimal approximation to the above solution could consist of a "turning maneuver" which is used to point the vehicle to the target point followed by a "thrust-coast-reverse thrust" translation to the target point and finally, another "turning maneuver" to reach the specified final attitude. The two "turning maneuvers" could be fuel-optimal rotation which is mathematically equivalent to the problem discussed in Section III and which is of the form "torque-coast-reverse torque." The difference between the fuel cost of this approximation and the absolute optimum program is less than or equal to the fuel consumed during the two "turning maneuvers." Spacecraft which do not use separate thrusters for translation and steering may obtain a better result with a thrusting program of the type indicated in Figure 9.

\*\*\*\*

#### REFERENCES

- 1. L. W. Neustadt, "A General Theory of Minimum-Fuel Space Trajectories," SIAM J. Control, (1965), pp. 317-356.
- 2. E. B. Lee and L. Markus, <u>Foundations of Optimal Control Theory</u>, Wiley, 1967.
- 3. G. Leitmann, An Introduction to Optimal Control, McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- 4. G. M. Ewing, "A Fundamental Problem of Navigation in Free Space," Quart. Appl. Math. 18 (1961), pp. 355-362.
- 5. I. Flügge-Lotz and H. Marbach, "The Optimal Control of Some Atritude Control Systems for Different Performance Criteria," Trans. ASME J. Appl. Mech. (1964), pp. 107-115.
- 6. J. S. Medicch, "On Minimal Fuel Satellite Attitude Controls," Preprints of the 1963 JACC.
- 7. L. Schwartz, "Minimum Energy Attitude Control for a Class of Electric Propulsion Devices," Proceedings, 1964 JACC.
- 8. D. A. Conrad, "Minimum Fuel Closed-Loop Translation," AIAA J. (May 1965).
- 9. W. F. Keller, "Study of Spacecraft Hover and Translation Modes above the Lunar Surface," AIAA Preprint 64-341.
- H. O. Ladd and R. Friedland, "Minimum Fuel Control of a Second Order Linear Process with a Constraint on Time-to-Run," Trans. ASME J. Appl. Mech. (1964) pp 165-176.
- 11. D. R. Snow, "Singular Optimal Controls for a Class of Minimum Effort Problems," SIAM J. Control, (1964) pp. 203-219.
- M. Athans, "Minimum-Fuel Control of Second-Order Systems with Real Poles," 1963 JACC, pp. 232-240.
- A. J. Craig and I. Flügge-'otz,"Investigation of Optimal Control with Minimum-Fuel Consumption Criteria for a Fourth-Order Plant with Two Control Inputs; Synthesis of an Efficient Sub-Optimal Control," 1963 JACC.
- 14. M. Athans, "On Optimal Control of Self Adjoint Systems," 1963 JACC.
- 15. W. C. Grimmell, "The Existence of Piecewise Continuous Fuel Optimal Controls," SIAM J. Control (1967), pp. 515-519.

-

ł.

#### REFERENCES (Cont'd)

- 16. D. L. Grey and M. Athans, "Computation of Fuel-Optimal Control via Newton's Method Theory," 1968 JACC.
- A.E. Bryson, "Linear Feedback Solutions for Minimum Effort Interception, Rendezvous, and Soft Landing," AIAA J. (Aug. 1965), pp. 1542-1544.
- L. W. Neustadt, "Minimum Effort Control Systems," SIAM J. Control (1962) pp. 16-31.
- 19. J. S. Meditch, "Synthesis of a Class of Linear Feedback Minimum Energy Controls," IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, (1963), pp. 376-378.
- 20. B. H. Billik, Some Optimal Low-Acceleration Rendezvous Maneuvers," AIAA J., (1964), pp. 510-516.
- 21. J. Warga, <u>Optimal Control of Differential and Functional Equations</u>, Academic Press, 1972.
- 22. T. E. Carter, "Singular Regimes in Certain Classes of Relaxed Control Problem,, SIAM J on Control and Optimization," (1976), pp. 359-386.

1

4

...

Les.

, N82-17047 /

!

#### NASA/ASEF SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

#### MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE

#### SOLIDIFICATION PHENOMENA OF BINARY ORGANIC MIXTURES

Prepared by:

Kichoon Chang, Ph.D.

Academic Rank:

Associate Professor

Alabama A&M University Department of Chemistry

University and Department:

NASA/MSFC: Division:

Branch:

Space Processing Solid State

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

Contract No.:

Donald O. Frazier, Ph.D.

September 50, 1981

NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

.

IV

#### SOLIDIFICATION PHENOMENA OF BINARY ORGANIC MIXTURES

BY

Kichoon Chang Associate Professor of Chemistry Alabama A&M University Normal, Alabama

#### ABSTRACT

The immediate concern in this project is to study the coalescence rates and motion of liquid bubbles in binary organic mixtures.

It is believed that several factors such as temperature gradient, composition gradient, interfacial tension, and densities of the two phases play important roles in separation of phases of immiscible liquids.

Thus, an attempt will be made to study the effect of initial compositions on separation rates of well-dispersed organic mixtures at different temperatures and, ultimately on the homogeneity of solidification of the immiscible binary organic liquids. These organic mixtures will serve as models for metallic pseudo binary systems currently under study.

Currently two systems are under investigation in this laboratory: ethyl salicylate - diethyl glycol and succinonitrile - water.

IV-i

FIGURE

2

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1	Densities of Phases	I <b>V-</b> 9
2	Densities of Phases for 19.99 mol <b>%</b> SN in 50.0 mol <b>%</b> D <sub>2</sub> 0/H <sub>2</sub> 0	IV-10
3	Densities of Phases for 19.99 mol <b>%</b> SN/D <sub>2</sub> 0	IV-11
4	parent Interfacial Tension for 19.99 mol% SN in Water	IV-15
5	Apparent Interfacial Tension for 19.99 mol% SN in D <sub>2</sub> 0	<b>IV-1</b> 6

.

# LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
I.	Apparent Densities of Water- Succinonitrile Mixtures	<b>IV-</b> 7
II.	Apparent Densities of Water- Succinonitrile Mixtures	IV-7
111.	Apparent Interfacial Tensions	IV-14

#### I. Introduction

Immiscible binary liquid mixtures will invariably separate into more than one homogeneous phases below the convolution temperature within certain composition ranges. During a vigorous shaking the mixture becomes apparently homogeneous. Upon standing, however, the single phase solution immediately breaks into two or more phases by coalescence of tiny droplets.

Several factors are well known to influence the separation of phases. The important factors are temperature, composition of the mixture, densities of the phases and interfacial tensions between the phases in addition to the chemical characteristics of the substances.

Temperature is one important factor in achieving the homogeneous single phase of the binary system. The heterogeneous mixture will eventually turn into a homogeneous phase above the convolution temperature.

The compositions of the mixture will define the phase boundaries within which each phase is homogeneous. A complete phase diagram is required to study the immiscible mixtures extensively.

Densities of the different phases in the immiscible mixture play an important role in separation of the phases. A substantial difference in density between the phases will

IV-1

make the separation rapid and stable.

On the other hand, the function of the interfacial tensions between the separate phases appears to be most complicated and least understood in relation to other factors. Since the interfacial tension is always affected by the composition, temperature and impurities present in the phases, our work in this project has been concentrated to the study of the variation of the interfacial tension as a function of temperature, composition and density of the phases.

For the investigation of solidification phenomena of binary organic mixtures the binary system of succinonitrile and water  $(SN-H_20)$  was assigned for detailed study rartly because succinonitrile freezes like metals.<sup>1</sup> Other compounds that freeze like metals are also known<sup>1</sup>; a few examples are t-butyl bromide, t-butyl chloride, carbontetrachloride, cyclohexane, and cyclohexanol.

One of the first relatively detailed studies of  $SN-H_2 0$ system was published in 1897 in Germany.<sup>2</sup> The binary system is a monotectic. The solidification behavior of a monotectic system is known to be related t the height of the miscibility gap in the system.<sup>3</sup> However, the  $SN-H_2 0$  system had not been extensively investigated as to the role of interfacial tensions and densities of the phases.

Prior to the experimental measurement of this system, a considerable time had been spent for literature survey on motion and coalescence of bubbles, temperature coefficient

11-5

of surface tension and solification of other systems.<sup>4</sup>

Succinonitrile exists as both liquid and solid in the convenient temperature range to work with, the melting point being  $54.5^{\circ}$ C. The system of succinonitrile and water has the monotectic point of  $18^{\circ}$ C near 28 mol% water.<sup>2</sup> For the understanding of solidification phenomena of the binary systems of succinonitrile and water, the coalescence and motion of the liquid droplets and the Marangoni effect of the system are yet to be investigated.



É.

#### II. Objectives

2

The objectives of this project are two-fold: the immediate objective is to determine how the interfacial tension is related to the overall composition and densities of the phases in immiscible binary organic mixtures at various temperatures. The other objective is to explore the causes of composition gradients during solidification of the binary dispersions. During this project period an attempt was made first to determine the temperature coefficient of the interfacial tensions of the phases and the density variation with respect to the composition and temperature.

#### III. Measurements of Physical Quantities

The motion and coalescence of bubbles (or droplets) and separation of phases in the immiscible mixtures are affected by several factors. For the first task of measurement of these factors, two most prominent ones were selected: density of the phases and interfacial tension at several compositions and temperatures.

It is relatively easy to prolong the state of dispersion of the immiscible liquid solutions of succinonitrile and water after thorough mixing by closely equalizing the densities of both phases through the adjustment of  $H_2O/D_2O$  ratio in the mixture. It is also simple to reverse the upper and lower phases by controlling the densities of the two phases.

#### (a) Sample Preparation

This project involves purification of succinonitrile. During this project period, however, it was not possible to obtain sufficient amount of ultrapurified succinonitrile in time for the sample preparation. Hence succinonitrile used throughout this project was purified by vacuum distillation. Water was also purified by distillation in this laboratory. Both of these were not subjected to any further purification and they were not analyzed for any impurities. Each binary

IV-5

mixture was prepared by weighing accurately solid succinonitrile and mixing with a measured volume of water.

(b) Measurement of Densities of Phases

Densities of both phases of SN-H<sub>2</sub>O systems were measured at several temperatures for the following compositions:

1. 19.99 mol% SN/H<sub>2</sub>0

2. 12.57 mol% SN/8.00 wt.% D<sub>2</sub>0/H<sub>2</sub>0

3. 19.99 mo]% SN/D<sub>2</sub>0

4. 19.99 mol% SN/50.0 mol% D<sub>2</sub>0/H<sub>2</sub>0

Some of the apparently conclusive trends are shown in Tables I and II and in Figs. 1, 2, and 3.

A Cahn electrobalance and glass bobs were used for the density measurement. The Cahn balance was calibrated with a few calibration weights for the range of the anticipated weights of the bobs in the liquids. Each bob was weighed in the air with a Metler balance within 0.01 mg. The volume of the bob was then measured in distilled water at a known temperature using the Cahn balance.

For the measurement of the density of each phase, the bob must be placed completely in the liquid phase. This requires that the depth of the phase be deep enough to eliminate any interference from the interface. This was not a small problem when the interface is shaped into a convex or concave. Only a much larger amount of the sample solution in a larger cell will minimize the interference from the interface

IV-6

Mixture	т <sup>о</sup> с	Density, g/cm <sup>3</sup> SN-rich Layer	Density, g/cm <sup>3</sup> Water-rich Layer
19.99 mol%			
SN# in H <sub>2</sub> 0	20.0	1.0955	1.0842
~	22.0	1.0510	1.0384
	24.0	1.0390	1.0295
	26.0	1.0291	1.0206
12.57 mol% SN	10.0		4 0000
in 8.00 wt.%	19.8	1.0405	1.0330
D_0/H_0	22.0	1.0360	1.0259
2 2	24.0	1.0337	1.0232
	24.9	1.0290	1.0233
	26.0	1.0223	1.0217

### Table I. Apparent Densities of Water-Succinonitrile Mixtures

•

Table II. Apparent Densities of Water-Succinonitrile Mixtures

T <sup>o</sup> C	Density, g/om <sup>3</sup> SN-rich Layer	Density, g/cm <sup>3</sup> Water-rich Layer
22.0	1.0373	1.1149
24.0	1.0913	1.1709
210	1.1260	1.2097
24.3	1.0462	1.0797
25.1	1.0462	1.0825
26.0	1.0323	1.0688
	T <sup>o</sup> C 22.0 24.0 2 <sup>'</sup> 0 24.3 25.1 26.0	T°C       Density, g/om <sup>3</sup> 22.0       1.0373         24.0       1.0913         2'0       1.1260         24.3       1.0462         25.1       1.0462         26.0       1.0323

\* Succinonitrile.

:

`

u.

-

,

-re ,

.

.

in the cell. The interference was indicated very sensitively in the density measurement.

Fig. 1 clearly shows that densities of the binary solutions with water or a mixture of water and  $D_20$  increase with decreasing temperature as might be anticipated. Only the variation with temperature is far less with a mixture of water and  $D_20$ . It is seen in the figure that the neutral density (equal density) of both phases in the binary systems is approached at a low temperature (near  $20^{\circ}C$ ) with water and at a high temperature (near  $26^{\circ}C$ ) with  $H_20-D_20$  mixtures. From this observation it may be said that the temperature at which the neutral density of the two phases is obtained can be adjusted by varying the ratio of  $D_20$  to  $H_20$  for a given composition of the binary solution.

As the  $D_2^0$  to  $H_2^0$  ratio increases the density of waterrich phase becomes greater than that of SN-rich phase as shown in Fig. 2. This is expected in view of the relative densities of  $D_2^0$  and  $H_2^0$ . The composition of the water-rich phase in 19.99 mol% SN/H<sub>2</sub>0 and 19.99 mol% SN/50.0 mol%  $D_2^0/$  $H_2^0$  were not analyzed for comparison. The comparison of the solubility of succinonitrile in water and in a mixture of  $H_2^0$  and  $D_2^0$  would be interesting.

The effect of  $D_2^0$  on the density of the phases shown most remarkably with respect to temperature in Fig. 3. It is obvious that more data should be obtained in order to see

**IV-**8

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY Fig. 1. Densities of Phases 19.99 mol % SN/H20 12.57 mol % SN/8.0 wt % D20/H2) SN-rich layers x, 🐼 H<sub>2</sub>0-rich layers 0, • 1.10 1.09 1.08 Density, g cm<sup>-3</sup> 1.07 1.06 1.05 1.04 1.03 8 1.02 20.0 22.0 26.0 24.0 T°C

,

l



# ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Fig. 2. Densities of Fhases for 19.99 mol % SN in 50.0 mol % D<sub>2</sub>0/H<sub>2</sub>0

Ŗ.

5

x SN-rich layer o D<sub>2</sub>0/H<sub>2</sub>0-rich layer



IV-10

 $\subset$  -



IV-11

. 194

ì,

more significant variation of the density with temperature. Yet, the increasing density of the phases with temperature has not been anticipated. The higher density in  $D_2$ O-rich layer is simply due to the much greater density of  $D_2$ O than that of succinonitrile in the absence of water.

(c) Measurement of Interfacial Tension

A systematic measurement of the interfacial tensions between the two phases as functions of temperature, the overall composition and density of both phases was attempted. However, several difficulties in the measurement with the Cahn electrobalance were encountered. As in the density measurement, the phase equilibria could be reached in many cases only after many hours, sometimes more than a day of standing. This was especially true with the system of nearly neutral density of the phases. The coalescence of the droplets and separation into phases was extremely slow after vigorous mixing of the solution in the cell. Temperature raise of a few degrees would not speed up the equilibrium appreciably.

The size of the cell itself was not a small problem in some mixtures. When the entire interface is shaped into a convex or concave, the thickness of one phase becomes too thin and the available area too narrow in the cell for the ring to be placed for the interfacial measurement. In this case it was very difficult or sometimes impossible to keep

IV-12

the ring in the center of the phase due to the strong attraction toward the glass wall.

ŗ,

·\*.

Apparent interfacial tensions were measured for two systems at several temperatures and they are listed in Table III. Figs. 4 and 5 show the variation of the interfacial tension with temperature for 19.99 mol% succinonitrile in water and 19.99 mol% succinonitrile in  $D_20$ , respectively. In both systems, the solution froze near  $19^{\circ}C$  when the ring was immersed in the upper layer. The monotectic temperature of the system in water is about  $18^{\circ}C$ .

The apparent interfacial tensions were calculated according to the formula,  $\gamma_{appar.} = \frac{Mg}{2L}$ 

where M is the mass in gram in the Cahn balance required to detach the ring from the interface, g the gravitational acceleration (979.656 cm s<sup>-2</sup>) and L the mean circumference of the ring (6.005 cm).

For the estimation of the true interfacial tension, the densities of both phases of a system are required to calculate the correction factor. However, since it was not always possible to measure both densities as described in (b) in many cases, the true interfacial tensions were not calculated. When it was possible, the true tension calculated was greater than the apparent tension by about 5-6%. This small difference indicates that the apparent tensions can still illustrate the general tendency of the true interfacial tensions

IV-13

Mixture	TOC	$\mathcal{F}_{appar.}$
19.99 mol <b>%</b>	20.1	3.2073
SN* in Water	20.9	3.1910
	22.0	2.9994
	22.4	3.0752
	24.4	2.4895
	20.1	2.4840
19.99 mol <b>%</b>	19.0	4.5581
SN in D <sub>2</sub> 0	19.9	4.5288
	20.0	4.5190
	21.0	4.4140
	22.0	4.2286
	24.0	3.8273
	25.4	3.6217
	26.0	3.5412

Table III. Apparent Interfacial Tensions

بدائمت د ف ه

\* Succinonitrile.



Fig. 4. Apparent Interfacial Tension for 19.99 mol 5 SN in Water.

# ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY,

). .

~`

Fig. 5. Apparent Interfacial Tension for 19.99 mol % SN in D<sub>2</sub>0 ٠a



т°с

IV-16

of the binary systems within certain temperature range.

It can be seen in Fig. 4 that the interfacial tension varies most drastically between  $21^{\circ}$  and  $24^{\circ}$ C for the given concentration. As might be expected, the interfacial tension in the SN-H<sub>2</sub>J system increases very rapidly as the temperature approaches the monotectic point of the mixture. Beyond  $24^{\circ}$ C the tension decreases far more slowly with temperature. The same general behavior of the interfacial tension is also seen in Fig. 5 for the SN-D<sub>2</sub>O system for the same temperature range. However, the interfacial tensions are much greater (up to 40%) for this system than the SN-H<sub>2</sub>O even though the system has greater densities (up to about 20%).

#### IV. Conclusions and Suggestions

د ... و باسه المقصفها الله الله

The current experimental data of densities and interfacial tensions for the immiscible binary systems of succinonitrile with water, with a mixture of water and  $D_2^0$ , and with  $D_2^0$  are not sufficient to draw any general conclusion.

Nevertheless, the data do indicate that the neutral density of the two phases in a system of a given overall composition with a mixture of water and  $D_20$  may be achieved by varying the temperature within certain composition ranges. The neutral density of both phases in a system will minimize the gravity field effect in motion and coalescence of liquid droplets in studying the Marangoni effect.

Density behavior with temperature of the two phases in a system is reversed at a certain concentration of  $D_2^0$  in the mixture of water and  $D_2^0$ . Determination of this concentration requires more experimental data to be obtained as to the overall composition of the binary system and also as to the concentration of  $D_2^0$  in the mixture of water and  $D_2^0$ .

During the measurement of densities and interfacial tensions a number of difficulties have been encountered. Hence, a few suggestions on experimental work are made.

1. Density measurement should be made after each phase is physically separated from the equilibrium mixture in order to minimize the effect of the interface. 2. The size of the bob should be chosen to minimize the effect of the surface tension of the liquid. This may be done by measuring the density of distilled water using the bob with the Cahn balance.

3. For the measurement of interfacial tension using the ring method, relatively large cells are desirable in order to minimize the glass wall effect. When the cell size is too small the phases do not provide enough space for the ring to be placed if the interface is convexed or concaved.

4. It is also suggested that a system of certain overall composition with a mixture of water and  $D_20$  be prepared to determine a temperature at which the density behavior with respect to temperature is reversed. This particular system may be used for continuous determination of density and interfacial tension as a function of temperature. These data may be used to calculate the interfacial tension gradient and to study the Marangoni effect.

#### REFERENCES

. - . .

- Jackson, K. A. and Hunt, J. D., Acta Met., <u>13</u>, 1212 (1965).
- Schreinemakers, F. A. H., Zeitschr. f. Physik Chemie, Leipzig, 23, 417 (1897).

۶.

Į?

41

1

- 3. Grugel, R. and Hellawell, A., Met. Trans., 12A, 669 (1981).
- Young, N., Goldstein, J. and Block, M., J. Fluid Mech., <u>6</u>, 350 (1959).
  Hardy, S. C., J. Coll. & Interface Sci., <u>69</u>, 157 (1979).
  Krishnamurthy, S. and Goldburg, W. I., Phys. Rev. A, <u>22</u>, 2147 (1980).
  Skapski, A. S., J. Chem. Phys., <u>16</u>, 386 (1948).

مندح والمجموع بالجواب والتهوية الكام

# PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

#### NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

#### MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

#### TOLERANCE ANALYSIS OF OPTICAL TELESCOPES USING COFERENT ADDITION OF WAVEFRONT ERRORS

Prepared By:

Academic Rank:

John W. Davenport, Ph.D.

, N82-17048 D5-

Associate Professor

University and Department:

NASA/MSFC: Division: Branch:

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

Contract No.:

James Madison University Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

.

. . . . .

· · · · · ·

1

-

Optical and R. F. Systems Optics

Donald B. Griner

August 17, 1981

NGT 01-003-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville 1 maintain

5

The author would like to express his gratitude to Dr. Gerald Karr for directing a successful summer program. I also wish to express thanks to my MSFC counterpart, Don Griner, for his consultation and guidance during the summer, and to Gary Hunt for his assistance on this project.

11.0

--

.

LIST OF FIGURES

1

5

-----

ب 3 م د د سمع م

,

.'

Ţ

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1	Tilt $X = .75$	V-8
2	Decenter $X = 135$	V-9
3	Tilt $X = .5 + Decenter Y = 80$	V-10
4	Tilt $X = .85$ + Decenter $X = 150$	V-11
5	Tilt $X = .85 + Decenter Y = 150$	V-12
6	R(1,1), $R(0,2)$ and $R(0,4)$	V-13
7	R(2,2) and $R(1,3)$	V-14
8	R(3,3) and $R(2,4)$	V-15
9	R(4,4) and $R(1,5)$	V-16
10	R(3,5) and $R(5,5)$	V-17
11	R(0,6)	V-18

V-ii

. . .

#### TOLERANCE ANALYSIS OF OPTICAL TELESCOPES USING COHERENT ADDITION OF WAVEFRONT ERRORS

ì

BY

John W. Davenport Associate Professor of Mathematics James Madison University Harrisonburg, Virginia

#### ABSTRACT

A near diffraction-limited telescope requires that tolerance analysis be done on the basis of system wavefront error. One method of analyzing the wavefront error is to represent the wavefront error function in terms of its Zernike polynomial expansion. The Ramsey-Korsch ray trace package has been expanded to include the Zernike polynomial expansion up through the fifth-order spherical term. An option to determine a 3-dimensional plot of the wavefront error function has also been included in the Ramsey-Korsch package. Several assimulation runs have been analyzed to determine the particular set of coefficients in the Zernike expansion that are effected by various errors such as tilt, decenter and despace. We have also included a 3-dimensional plot of each error up through the fifth-order spherical term.
#### Introduction

The Ramsey-Korsch computer program is a FORTRAN IV program that simulates the tracing of rays through an optical telescope system. Various options are available. The user can introduce tilt, decenter and despace upon each surface as well as compute a best focus correction and a centroid correction for a given surface in the optical system. Also, the program calculates the coefficients of the first few terms in the Zernike polynomial expansion of the wavefront error function. Part of our work this summer was involved in extending the Zernike polynomial expansion from ten terms to twenty-two terms (see Table 1). ----- Z. ( \* \* \* \*

,\* . . .

÷

ł

**x** 5

1

:;;

.

the start of the second

÷

ł

516

Ś

ŕ

Also, an additional option was included in the Ramsey-Korsch package that would allow one to obtain a plot of the wavefront error function. After this option was implemented, several trial runs were obtained (Figs. 1 - 5) as well as a separate plot of the wavefront error for each individual term of the Zernike polynomial expansion (Figs. 6 - 11).

### Analysis of Data

Figure 1 is obtained from a tilt of .75 arc minute about the x-axis of the secondary mirror. Figure 2 is obtained from a decenter of 135  $\mu$ m from the x-axis of the secondary mirror while Figure 3 is a combination of a tilt about the x-axis coupled with a decenter from the y-axis. Figures 4 and 5 result from a combination of errors due to tilt and decenter. Figure 4 results from a tilt of .85 arc minute about the x-axis combined with a decenter about the x-axis of 150  $\mu$ m whereas Figure 5 differs from Figure 4 only in that the amount of decenter is in the y-axis direction instead of the x-axis direction.

In the case of tilt, the significant terms in the polynomial expansion are those that correspond to R(1,1), R(2,2), R(1,3) and R(1,5) with the sign of R(1,1) and R(1,3) being the same as the direction of tilt. In the case of decenter, the significant terms are those that correspond to R(1,1), R(2,2), R(1,3) and R(1,5) with the sign of R(1,1) and R(1,3) being opposite that of the motion of decenter. The significant terms in the presence of despace are R(0,0), R(0,2), R(0,4) and R(0,6) with the sign of R(0,2) being opposite the motion of despace.

#### Derivation of the Zernike Polynomial Coefficients

A focusing system attempts to concentrate the impinging rays of a wave motion into a single point, referred to as the focus. However, it is impossible to achieve a point focus. There are limitations in practice such as the refraction properties of the system as well as the inevitable imperfections of the optical surfaces; in either case, the result is a "bright spot" (actually a 3-dimensional region) of finite dimension. The deviations of the "bright spot" from the ideal spot is described in terms of aberrations. In principle, an ideal system would produce spherical waves converging into a point focus. In fact, however, the phase fronts of the waves is not a (Gaussian) sphere; owing to the nature of the system, the phase front will deviate from a sphere as shown in Figure i. The aberration at a certain point



Aberration function.

#### Figure i

of the wavefront is the optical path difference between the points Q and Q' and we note (2) that the aberration functions,  $\psi(\mathbf{r}, 0)$ , can be expanded in orthogonal functions f and g, i.e.,

(1)  $\Phi(\mathbf{r}, \Theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{mn} f_n^m (\mathbf{r}) g_m(\Theta).$ 

It is, however, particularly convenient to make (1) an orthogonal expansion in functions simply related to elementary aberrations such as spherical aberrations, coma and astignatism. These aberrations are characterized as those that are generated by linear combinations of the functions

$$r^n \cos^m \Theta$$

('elementary' or Seidel aberrations have n < 4, m < 3). If, in addition, we require that the polynomials be orthogonal over a circular or annular region, then the aberration function can be expanded in orthogonal polynomials of the type

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{r}) \{ \cos \mathbf{m} \ 0 + \sin \mathbf{m} \ 0 \}$$

where the R's are the Zernike polynomials. The polynomials  $\mathbb{R}^{m}_{n}$  are obtained by orthogonalizing the sequence  $r^{m}, r^{m+2}, \ldots, r^{n-n}$  over  $\{\varepsilon, 1\}$  using the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process with inner product

, + -

. · · · ·

è.

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{1-\epsilon^2} \int_{\mathcal{E}} f(r) \cdot g(r) r dr.$$

Let us consider the derivation of each Zernike polynomial.

1.  $R_0^0$  - orthogonalize {1}.  $R_0^0 = 1$ 2.  $R_1^1$  - orthogonalize {r}.  $R_1^1 = r$ 3.  $R_2^0$  - orthogonalize {1,r<sup>2</sup>}.  $R_2^0 = r^2 - \langle 1, r^2 \rangle 2 = r^2 - \frac{2}{1-\epsilon^2} \int_{-1}^{1-\epsilon^2} r^3 dr = r^2 - (1+\epsilon^2)/2$ NOTE:  $||1||^2 = 2$ . 4.  $R_2^2$  - orthogonalize {r<sup>2</sup>}.  $R_{2}^{2} = r^{2}$ 5.  $R_3^1$  - orthogonalize {r,r<sup>3</sup>}.  $R_3^1 = r^3 - \langle r^3, r \rangle \frac{4}{1-\epsilon^2} r = r^3 - \frac{4r}{1-\epsilon^4} \int r^5 dr$ =  $r^3 - 2r(1-\epsilon^6)/3(1-\epsilon^4)$ 6.  $R_3^3$  - orthogonalize  $\{r^3\}$ .  $R_{2}^{3} = r^{3}$ 7.  $R_A^4$  - orthogonalize {r<sup>4</sup>}.  $R_A^4 = r^4$ 

8.  $R_A^2$  - orthogonalize  $\{r^2, r^4\}$ . Using  $|| r^2 ||^2 = \langle r^2, r^2 \rangle$  we have  $R_A^2 = r^4 - \langle r^4, r^2 \rangle 6(1-\epsilon^2)r^2/(1-\epsilon^6)$  $= r^4 - 3(1-\epsilon^8)r^2/4(1-\epsilon^6)$ 9.  $R_{A}^{O}$  - orthogonalize  $\{1, r^2, r^4\}$ .  $R_4^0 = r^4 - \langle r^4, 1 \rangle 2 - \frac{\langle r^4, 2r^2 - (1+\epsilon^2) \rangle \cdot 6}{(1-\epsilon^2)^2}$  $\{2r^2 - (1+\epsilon^2)\}$  $= r^4 - (1+\epsilon^2)r^2 + (1+4\epsilon^2+\epsilon^4)/6$ 10.  $R_5^5$  - orthogonalize {r<sup>5</sup>}.  $R_{5}^{5} = r^{5}$  $R_5^3$  - orthogonalize  $\{r^3, r_5^5\}$ . 11. Since  $||r^{3}||^{2} = \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon^{2}} \int r^{7} dr = (1-\varepsilon^{8})/8(1-\varepsilon^{2})$  $R_5^3 = r^5 - \langle r^5, r^3 \rangle 8(1-\epsilon^2) , r^3/(1-\epsilon^8)$ Then =  $r^5 - 4(1-\epsilon^{10}) r^3/5(1-\epsilon^8)$ 12.  $R_5^1$  - orthogonalize  $\{r, r^3, r^5\}$ .

First, normalize r, thus r becomes  $2r/\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2}$ . Second, orthogonalize the set  $\{r, r^3\}$  to obtain  $\sqrt{8} (3(1+\epsilon^2) \cdot r^3 - 2r(1+\epsilon^2+\epsilon^4))$  $\sqrt{(1-\epsilon^4)(1+3\epsilon^2-3\epsilon^4-\epsilon^6)}$ 

÷ ;\*\*

Thus, 
$$R_5^1 = r^5 - \langle r^5, r \rangle 4r/(1+\epsilon^2)$$
  
 $- \langle r^5, 3(1+\epsilon^2)r^3 - 2r(1+\epsilon^2+\epsilon^4) \rangle,$   
 $8\{3'1+\epsilon^2)r^3 - 2r(1+\epsilon^2+\epsilon^4)\}/(1-\epsilon^4)(1+3\epsilon^2-3\epsilon^{4-}\epsilon^6)$   
 $= r^5 - 6(1+\epsilon^2)(\epsilon^8+\epsilon^6-4\epsilon^4+\epsilon^2+1)r^3/(5(\epsilon^8+2\epsilon^6-6\epsilon^4+2\epsilon^2+1)+3(1+4\epsilon^2+10\epsilon^4+4\epsilon^6+\epsilon^8)\cdot r/10(1+4\epsilon^2+\epsilon^4)))$   
13.  $R_6^0 - \text{orthogonalize} \{1, r^2, r^4, r^6\}.$   
 $R_6^0 = r^6 - \langle r^5, 6r^4-6(1+\epsilon^2)r^2+(1+4\epsilon^2+\epsilon^4) \rangle .$   
 $10(6r^4-6(1+\epsilon^2)r^2+(1+4\epsilon^2+\epsilon^4)/(1-\epsilon^2)^4)$   
 $- \langle r^6, 2r^2-(1+\epsilon^2) \rangle . 6(2r^2-(1+\epsilon^2)/(1-\epsilon^2)^2 - \langle r^6, 1 \rangle 2)$   
 $= r^6-3(1+\epsilon^2)r^4/2+3(1+3\epsilon^2+\epsilon^4)r^2/5-(1+9\epsilon^2+9\epsilon^4+\epsilon^6)/20$ 

Table 1 gives a listing of the above vectors after they have been normalized to 1, i.e.,  $||v||_{max} = 1$ .

## Procedure for the Computer Program

: -

A sample run of the computer program named JDSPOT is shown below. In this run, we requested that a best focus correction be made (IFOC=1) using a grid size of 31 (NRAS=31) with a printout of the summary data and Zernike coefficients (PPSM=1). A plot file is created on FILE1 (HCOP=1). Note that if a plot file is required, the command ! SET F ! TT/(FILE NAME) must be entered before running the program. See reference (6) for a further explanation of the options available in the SPOT program.

V.•5

-----

FINER HEADING PLOT COMPLETED PLOT COMPLETED ENTER NEU DATA PDONE BSTOPR THATS ALL FOLKS r PAUSERO .2207005-04 .341704E-07 -.432461E-07 -. 599645-62 -.125707E-06 2401725-05 -.2448036-07 .2320455-06 14001E-46 .6171395-04 3.0500E \_. R(0,6)..... ZERNIKE POLYNONIAL COEFFICIENTS R(1,1)COSA.... R(0,2)..... R(2,2)SINDA ... R(1,3)51M.... R(3,3)SINGA... R(2,4)COSEA... R(4,4)COS4A... R(1,5)COSA.... R(3,5)COS3A... R(5,5)COSSA ... ST 2.4N CONFIGURATION, FIELE PT. DEST FOCUS TILT: X .750; Y 629 RAVS 2020 RMS OPD STREHC CENTHOID: ×≻N ×> CHIEF RAVI -. 456928E-04 -.6778895-07 .3453946-02 .126474E-06 -2491436-04 .4557186-07 10-3L86LES---.3795265-07 -.1783665-96 1.03255 R(0,4)..... R(2,4)SIN2A... R(4,4)SIN4A... R(0,0).... R(2,2)COS2A... R(1,1)5IM.... R(1,3)COSA.... R(3,3)COS3N ... R(1,5)SIM.... R(3,5)SINGA ... R(5,5)SINSA ... START JBSPOT ENTER NEU DATA SET FITTIFILE 

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

,

いまい 言義すい 茶戸 マングライト したい すいもまたり やいごう しん舞 シル とい

1

,

2

Table	$\mathbf{L} \mathbf{R}_{n}^{m}(\mathbf{r}) \text{ for } \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \leq 6$
್ಹಂ	1
L L	H
R0 R0	$(2r^{2} - (1+\epsilon^{2})/(1-\epsilon^{2})$
R2 22	r <sup>2</sup>
R <sub>3</sub>	r . {3(1+ $\varepsilon^2$ )r <sup>2</sup> - 2(1+ $\varepsilon^2$ + $\varepsilon^4$ )}/(1+ $\varepsilon^2$ -2 $\varepsilon^4$ )
RJ B	r <sup>3</sup>
R0 4	$\{6r^4-6(1+\epsilon^2)r^2+(1+4\epsilon^2+\epsilon^4)\}/(1-\epsilon^2)^2$
R2 4	$r^{2}[4(1-\epsilon^{6})r^{2} - 3(1-\epsilon^{8})]/(1-4\epsilon^{6}+3\epsilon^{8})$
R4 4	r 4
R5	$10r \ \text{fr}^4 (1+4\epsilon^2+\epsilon^4) - 1.2(1+\epsilon^2)^2 (1+3\epsilon^2+\epsilon^4) r^2+.3(1+4\epsilon^2+10\epsilon^4+4\epsilon^6+\epsilon^8) \} / (1-\epsilon^2)^2 (1+6\epsilon^2+3\epsilon^4) + 10r \ \text{fr}^4 (1+4\epsilon^2+\epsilon^6+\epsilon^8) \} / (1-\epsilon^2)^2 (1+6\epsilon^2+3\epsilon^4) + 10r \ \text{fr}^4 (1+4\epsilon^2+\epsilon^6+\epsilon^8) + 10r \ \text{fr}^4 (1+4\epsilon^2+\epsilon^6+\epsilon^8) + 10r \ \text{fr}^4 (1+4\epsilon^2+\epsilon^8) + 10r \ \text{fr}^4 (1+\epsilon^2+\epsilon^8) + 10r \ \text{fr}^4 (1+\epsilon^8) +$
R3	$\{5(1-\varepsilon^8)r^2 - 4(1-\varepsilon^{10})\}r^3/(1-3\varepsilon^8+4\varepsilon^{10})$
R5 85	r <sup>5</sup>
R0 6	$ \{20r^{6} - 30(1 + \varepsilon^{2})r^{4} + 12(1 + 3\varepsilon^{2} + \varepsilon^{4})r^{2} - (1 + 9\varepsilon^{2} + 9\varepsilon^{4} + \varepsilon^{6})\}/(1 - \varepsilon^{2})^{3} $

PL- 1411 ۱. ارد

, i

· · · · · · · ·

:

ر م میرون مردمین

- **.**....

ZERNIKE POLYNOMIALS

. .

; \*\_...

E ۰. E . 7

Figure 1

· · · · ·

TILT X+.75 SPOT DIAGRAM AT SURFACE \$ 4 RM3 OPD + .2062167875		ZERNIKE POLYNOMIAL COEFFICIENTS			
		R(0,0)	456928E-04	R(1,1)COSA	3.05002
ENCIRCLED ENERGY DISTRIBUTION 1034		R(1,1)SINA	-,677089E-07	`R(0,2)	.22070BE-04
		R(2,2)C052A	.345394E-02	R(2,2)51N2A	.341704E-07
		- R(1,3)COSA	1.03255	R(1,3)51HA	432461E-07
CENTROID AT X	.277408956 00	R(3,3)COS3A	.186474E-06	R(3,3)SINJA	125707E-06
Z •59455558E-04	59455558E-04	R(0,4)	.249143E-04	R(2,4)COS2A	.2401722-05
BOX 15 .0080000 CM SQUARE CENTERED ON CENTROID	O CH SQUARE CENTERED ON CENTROID	R(2,4)SIN2A	.455718E-97	R(4,4)CO54A	244303E-07
	1111	R(4,4)51N4A	537997E-08	R(1,5)COSA	5998640-02
		R(1,5)SINA	373520E-07	R(3,5)COS3A	.2320486-06
		R(3,5)SIN3A	170366E-96	R(5,5)COSSA	.149087E-06
		R(5,5)SINSA BPAUSEBÐ P	179789E-9?	R(0,6)	.617139E-04
-					



# Figure 2

# ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



ZERNIKE POLVNOMIAL COEFFICIENTS					
• • • • • • • • • • • • •	753513E-04	R(1,1)COCA	-3.11364		
1)SINA	.1862716-06	R(0,2)	.199349E-04		
,2)COSZA	3473876-03	R(2,2)\$1N2A	388937E-07		
.3)CO5A	-1.05410	R(1,3)SINA	.770492E-07		
.3)COS3A	137990E-06	R(3,3)SIN3A	.765711E-07		
.4)	.309234E-04	R(2,4)COSZA	.252765E-05		
.4)51N2A	206548E-07	R(4,4)COS4A	.676988E-08		
.4)51848	.1417416-08	R(1,5)COSA	.6092106-02		
.5)SINA	.2740568-06	R(3,5)COS3A	-,2867032-06		
5151N3A	.224658E-06	R(5,5)COS5A	115481E-06		
,5)51N5A	.189959E-07	R(0,6)	.6045988-04		



# Figure 3





2

Figure 4





121 1

. 1

Contractory of the second s

Figure 5







V-13

.



V-14

2



ŗ,



ر مر<del>قع ر</del> <u>\_</u>]\_\_

V-16

١



V-17

Ĩ.,



J.

.

····. .

и ў 1944 - 1944 Паля ۍ م

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



V-18

#### REFERENCES

Ŋ

20

- 1. Richard Barakat, Optimum Balanced Wavefront Aberrations for Radially Symmetric Amplitude Disturbances: Generalizations of Zernike Polynomials, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 70, (1980).
- Bhatia, A. B. and E. Wolf, <u>On the Circle Polynomials of</u> <u>Zernike and Related Orthogonal Sets</u>, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 50, (1954).
- 3. N. Born and E. Wolf, Principles of Optics, Pergamon Press, (1959).
- 4. B. R. A. Nijboer, <u>The Diffraction Theory of Aberrations</u>, Physica (Utrecht) 10, (1947).
- 5. R. J. Noll, Zernike Polynomials and Atmospheric Turbulence, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 66, (1976).
- 6. Ramsey, Timothy, Optical System Analysis Program, Sperry Report, No. SP-212-0991, (1976).
- 7. Berge Tatian, <u>Aberration Balancing in Rotationally Symmetric</u> Lenses, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 64, (1974).

, N82-17049 D6

# NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

· ·

;

: . .

.

## MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

## DEVELOPMENT OF PHOTOMULTIPLIER ELECTRONICS AND COMPUTER INTL'RFACING SOFTWARE FOR A HIGH SPEED PHOTOELECTRIC PHOTOMETER-STOKE'S METER

Prepared By:	Terry R. flesch, Ph.D		
Academic Rank:	Assistant Professor		
University and Department:	North Georgia College Department of Physics		
NASA/MSFC: Division: Branch:	Space Physics Astrophysics		
MSFC Counterpart:	Dr. Allen Gary and Dr. Walter Fountain		
Date:	June 1 - August 14, 1981		

## DEVELOPMENT OF PHOTOMULTIPLIER ELECTRONICS AND COMPUTER INTERFACING SOFTWARE FOR A HIGH SPEED PHOTOELECTRIC PHOTOMETER-STOKE'S METER

-1 - H.

BY

Terry R. Flesch Assistant Professor of Physics North Georgia College Dahlongega, Georgia

#### ABSTRACT

The Astrophysics Branch of the Marshall Space Flight Center has been actively involved in the study of high energy astronomical objects using ground-based instrumentation specifically developed at Marshall for this purpose. In particular, work has been carried out using a photoelectric photometer interfaced with an IMSAI 8080 microcomputer. This system allows photoelectric observations of from 10 msec to 10 sec duration through each of several filters.

Work has been carried out this summer involving the initial covelepment of a second system which will allow not only the rapid photoelectric observations, but will have the added capability of obtaining information on the polarization of the radiation emitted by the source under study. The new system will employ a rapidly rotating polarizing element which will allow information to be obtained on the Stoke's pirameters in as short a period of time as 1 minute. The polarization measurements and the rotation of the polarizing element will also be controlled by the microcomputer.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

and the first of the second second

Ę

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the assistance which I have received in the realization of this project. All those scientists and technicians with whom I interacted were always more than willing to give of their time and talents to help me out of my innumerable blind alleys.

A special thanks goes to Dr. Allen Gary and Dr. Walter Fountain, who had to put up with my shortcomings daily, and Bob Austin, without whom the electronics circuits would never have been debugged.

I would like to express my appreciations to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and to the University of Alabama in Huntsville for making such a worthwhile program available to faculty members across the country.

#### INTRODUCTION

1

Since the application of microcomputers to the technology available to the "stronomer, new kinds of observing equipment and techniques have expanded the observational capabilities of even modest-sized telescopes. This project deals with the preliminary stages in the design and construction of a photoelectric photometer system modeled after a system built at the Marshall Space Flight Center in the late 1970's and currently in use at the NASA/University of Arizona's Mt. Lemmon Observatory.

Of particular interest is the capability of measuring both the intensity and polarization of the radiation emitted by objects which show very rapid light variations. Such objects include pulsars and the optical counterparts of X-ray sources. The rapid nature of the variations in these types of objects necessitates the ability of the instrument co make observations with very high time resolution. In addition, the study of the polarization of the radiation from such objects will lead to a better understanding of the magnetic fields and interstellar material which characterize the immediate environment of these stellar systems. With these tactors in mind, the instrumentation being designed involves the interfacing of a microcomputer with the photometer to allow data to be taken with time resolution as high as 10 msec. In addition, the instrument will include magnetic tape and magnetic disk storage capabilities to enable rapid data storage.

## OBJECTIVES

The goal of this project dealt with the construction of electronic circuitry to enable the photoelectric photometer to detect stellar radiation, to allow communication between the photometer and the microcomputer, and the application of existing conjuter hardware and software, to enable a teletype terminal to relay commal is to the computer-photometer system. The design and construction of the photometer itself, including the filter box and offset guider is the aim of future work. ۲

----

.

#### THE PHOTOMETRIC SYSTEM

.....

A photoelectric photometer system was designed and constructed at MSFC in the late 1970's. The instrument allows high time-resolution observation of rapidly varying astronomical objects and is now being used in observing programs at the NASA/University of Arizona's 60" telescope at Mt. Lemmon, Arizona. The main components of the system are schematically shown in Figure 1.

The current project is a modification of this basic design and the construction of the modified version. Many of the characteristics of the operational system at M. Lemmon are being incorporated into this second generation instrument. The planned modification will allow the acquisition of polarization measurements of the stellar radiation. This will be accomplished by including a rotating 0.35 wave plate into the optical path of the incoming light beam. A 3-element Wollaston prison will then divide the radiation into two separate beams. Each of these will be 99.9 percent linearly polarized with their axes of polarization mutually perpendicular. A separate EMI 9658B photomultiplier tube will monitor each beam. The relative signal strengths from the two tubes will enable the four Stoke's parameters and, from these, the polarization of the incoming radiation to be calculated. A schematic of the proposed system is shown in Figure 2.

The filter box will contain a set of UBVri filters which will allow for photometric observations to be made in a standard astronomical photometric system. The 0.35 waveplate will also be included for use in the polarization measurement.

The cold box will house two EMI 9658B photomultiplier tubes. Work has been completed on the wiring and interfacing of a single tube-cold box assembly with the computer. Current plans call for the construction of a single-channel photometric system with later expansion to the dual-channel simultaneous system necessary for obtaining the polarization information. The tube possesses an S-20 photocathode surface to enhance its relimitativity, and will be operated at 1230 volts to maximize the anode sensitivity. The base of the tube has been wired in a grounded-cathode configuration for use in the pulse counting mode (see Figure 3).

Pulse preamplifier, height discriminator and line driver-pulse inverter circuits will insure a pulse height of at least +1.8V being fed to the computer. This voltage is necessary to activate the optical isolator light emitting diode in the computer interface electronics used to initialize the pulse counting procedure.

The preamp circuit is included in the end bell can of the cold box to minimize R F noise pick up from the dome and platform electronics.

VI-6

The remaining electronics are housed in a separate unit. The circuit diagrams for these circuits are shown in Figures 4 and 5. The circuitry has a pulse pair resolution of approximately 600 nsec. This results in a 6 percent pulse coincidence less at an apparent count rate of  $10^5$  counts/sec. At an operating voltage of +1230 volts, this count rate corresponds to a star of apparent magnitude of  $\approx 10.7$  when observed with a 60" telescope.

The pulse signal is carried to the computer by a shielded cable and is fed through a (6N137=HP5082-4360) electrically isolated light emitting dicde on the computer interface board. The pulses are summed for a length of time previously determined by the observer The final readings are then sent to a magnetic tape and/or a magnetic disk recording system.

#### COMPUTER SOFTWARE/HARDWARE

The microcomputer used is an IMSAI-8080 system. Attempts to activate the system at the beginning of the summer were unsuccessful due to a defective MIO board in the computer hardware. The problem led to the replacement of the MIO board with a SIO board. This allowed the interfacing of the computer with the teletype and magnetic disk drives, but communications between the computer and magnetic tape units will require the MIO board.

Since the development of most of the computer software and the testing of the majority of the necessary computer interfaces and accompanying electronic circuitry were still possible with the SIO board, the project was pursued with this change incorporated into the computer hardware. The correction of the MIO board is being effected through a NASA contract with the Computer Sciences Corporation.

The computer software for the actual data-taking uses the URTH 2.1 language developed at the University of Rochester. This language was chosen due to its widespread use at major astronomical observatories and its flexibility in allowing interaction between operator and computer for defining the various parameters necessary to control the data-taking procedures. Most of the basic software was developed along with the prototype of the photometric system mentioned earlier. However, some modifications in the software will be necessary as the project continues to allow for magnetic tape storage of data and the dual-channel readings to be accepted by the computer. A listing of the current URTH software for data acquisition can be found in appendix I.

# TESTING OF THE PHOTOMULTIPLIER ELECTRONICS

The photomultiplier and associated electronics were tested for sensitivity by monitoring dark current pulses produced by the tube under a variety of high voltages. The tube was placed inside the cold box and operated at room temperature. Under actual observing conditions, the photocathode will be kept at approximately  $-56^{\circ}$ C by filling the cold box with dry ice. The dark slide was kept closed during the tests to prevent external light from striking the cathode surface. The resulting pulses were monitored on an oscilloscope and fed into the microcomputer for pulse counting. The number of counts increased with increasing applied voltage as expected (see Table 1). This confirmed the correct performance of the photomultiplier tube and the electronic circuitry discussed earlier. This also proved the reliability of the computer interfacing electronics contained in the computer hardware. A listing of the URTH commands used in the testing procedure is contained in appendix TL. · · · · ·

÷

ŗ

.

her 27.

2. .

## TABLE 1

£

Dark current counts (relative scale) vs. voltage applied to photomultiplier tubes.

Voltage (V)	Counts	Voltage (V)	Counts
1000	1	1300	1600
1050	3	1350	2700
1100	16	1400	4000
1150	55	1450	5500
1200	200	1500	7000
1250	600		

V1-8

# FIGURE 1 MAIN COMPONENTS OF THE OPERATIONAL PHOTOELECTRIC PHOTOMETER DEVELOPED AT MSFC

-----



V [-9

-----

١.

. .

. . .

.`

FIGURE 2 MAIN COMPONENTS OF PROPOSED PHOTOELECTRIC PHOTOMETER -STOKE'S METER CURRENTLY UNDER DEVELOPMENT AT MSFC

.



V1-10

...

L.

**8**. .

.

;



5

VI-11

Ť

. .^\* 1

OUTPUT 2 pf 11. F8222 Ś m ₹₹ ÷ H 10, 니 Ē 10K 3 Ē \$ 200 D > 47 K 47K +10./ 4 27K 100 pf 10K ю. 27 22 -10V 11. 51.22 3 → 4.7 pf 12 pf 100 11 1 4 111. Ś 22 Jd VV100 30 H h ₽ 2 30 14 22 µ f 00 1. 10K Ξ 1 1N 4003's - TUPUI V1-12

 68K -+ 200K / 'JJJSTABLE TO ZERO OU FPUT ! ! !

CIRCUIT DIAGRAM OF PREAMP AND DISCRIMINATOR ELECTRONICS FIGURE 4

.

•

.

1



VI-13

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMEDATIONS

This project has seen the successful completion of the first steps in the development of a photometric-polarimetric system which will prove invaluable for the study of all types of variable star systems, particulary systems showing variations on very short (seconds to tens of milliseconds) timescale. Further work is needed in the development of the software and interfaces necessary to handle the dual-channel simultaneous monitoring capabilities of the final system, but these advances require only an extension of procedures already shown to be feasible. In addition, design of the system hardware (guider, filter box, twochannel cold box) should be possible now that the electronics and computer interfacing problems are being solved.

There is some doubt by the author that one more summer by a single faculty member will be able to realize the completion of the system. With this in mind, arrangements are being made for various components of the system to be taken by the author to his home institution of North Georgia College with the hope that more progress can be made toward the final construction and testing of the instrument.

#### REFERENCES

.

5

1. Gary, G. Allen, et al., "Measurement of the Polarization of Visible Light from Optically Identified X-Ray Sources", NASA Discretionary Fund Proposed, Nov. 1978. ١.,

- 2. Fishman, Gerald J., et al., "Rapid Photometry and Polarimetry of High Energy Objects", NASA Technical Report, 1979.
- Austin, Robert W., et al., "Characteristics and Operation of an Astronomical Photometer for Rapid Time - Series Observations", NASA Report, August, 1979.

. . ...

APPENDIX I

.

,

•

2.

2.

----

COMPUTER SOFTWARE PREVIOUSLY DEVELOPED AT MSFC FOR RAPID PHOTOELECTRIC PHOTOMETRY 1

•

VI-16

-----

FFOCK 98

( MAGIAPE WRITE FOUTINE -- 040CT70 BY JOD ) BASE @ HEX 0 1 200 CONSTANT BLESIZE O CONSTANT BRY : OFB O ' TBY ! ; 2 : DTF, ASSEMBLER A XRA; 3 OUT; ; : CTF; ASSEMBLER BO A MVI; 3 OUT, ; ; FULSE, ASSEMBLER 1 OUT, A XRA, A XRA, A XRA, 3 4 OUT, ; CODE NEULLY CTF, 1 IN, 80 ANI/ A C MOV, 3 R MVI, B PUSH, NEXT JMF, CODE NRDY? CTP, BEGIN, 1 IN, 40 ANI, 0=, 5 END, NEXT JMP, CODE \WEOF CTP, 4 A MVI, PULSE, NEXT JMP, 6 7 CODE NREW CTF, 8 A MVI, FULSE, NEXT JMP, CODE NIRG CTP, 2 A 8 MVI; PULSE; NEXT JMF; CODE \WB CTF; 1 A MVI; PULSE; NEXT JMF; 9 CODE CFREP DIF, B POF, C A MOV, 1 OUT, NEXT JMF, CODE BFREF DIF, F FOF, B A MOV, 1 OUT, NEXT JMF, 10 : FULL? NEULL? IBY BINSIZE .= ! ; : REWIND NRDY? NREW OFB ; 11 12 : IRC 10 MSEC NRDY? NIRC 0#R ; : EDF 10 MSEC NRDY? NWEDF 0#R ; 13 : WRITE NRDY? CFREP NWB / #BY 1+! FULL? IF IRG THEN # : DWRITE DUP \RDY? BPREF \WB \RDY? CPREF \WB ' #BY DUP 14 1+! 1+! FULL? IF IRG THEN ; BASE ! 51 LOAD 15 ; S

BLOCK 31

0 ( INTERRUPT DEFINITIONS -- 30NOV77 BY RAB ) BASE @ HEX 1 CODE EI EI, NEXT JMF, CODE DI DI, NEXT JMF, O VARIABLE VIMASN 2 CODE TXMASK B FOF, C A MOV, CMA, 37 OUT, FF OUT, NEXT JMF, : 2\*\* DUP OF IF DROP 1 FLSE 1 SWAP O DO 2 \* LOOP THEN ; 3 : ION 2\*\* VIMAEN @ | IUP VIMAEN | TXMAEN ; : IOFF 2\*\* VIMAEN 4 @ X! DUF VIMASN ! TXMASN ; : ALLOFF O TXMASN O VIMASN ! ; 5 : INTRLINK SWAP 7 SWAP - 3 K-L J+ / IDN ; 6 ( COLON INTERRUPT DEFINITIONS ) 7 8 CODE RINTX FSW FOF, B FOF, D FOF, H FOF, EI, RET, : F! ' RINIX 3 - + O STATE ! CONCUR INTRLINK F 9 IMF #1 : ': DUP OCD IB1 C! : OC3 IB1 C! HERE 3 - FCODE XTHL, 10 D PUSH, D PUSH, PSW PUSH, NEXTHL JMP, 11 ( CODE INVERRUPT DEFINITIONS ) 12 : CODE DUP CODE HERE ; 13 14 : FC! E ASSEMBLER 3 RET, INTRLINK ; IMP FC+ 15 ALLOFF D1 BASE ;S

BLOCK 51

( FHOTON COUNTING ROUTINES BY JGD OCTOBER 1978 ) 0 O VARIABLE #DAY O VARIABLE #MON O VARIABLE #Y'R 1 O VARIABLE #STR O VARIABLE #RUN O VARIABLE ?NEW 2 O VARIABLE PON? O VARIABLE ?GD? O VARIABLE LOC 3 O VARIABLE LGTE O VARIABLE BYTS O VARIABLE NEW\* 4 O VARIABLE IFLAG O VARIABLE TYPE O VARIABLE SPEED 5 O VARIABLE HOURS O VARIABLE MINS O VARIABLE STATUS 6 7 O VARIABLE OLDC O VARIABLE SECS O VARIABLE NWTYP O VARIABLE ISELS O VARIABLE IMIN 8 O VARIABLE 1HOUR 9 O VARIABLE 2SECS O VARIABLE 2MIN O VARIABLE 2HOUR O VARIABLE NEWLOTH O VARIABLE RMODE O VARIABLE 2MODE 10 2050 VARIABLE OBLON 2050 VARIABLE ENDBLOCK 2050 VARIABLE BLON 11 O VARIABLE TALLY O VARIABLE #BLOCKS 12 13 O VARIABLE RATE O VARIABLE .1SEC O VARIABLE .01SEC 14 1 VARIABLE EXTEND 31 LOAD 52 LOAD 15 #S
ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

RLOCK 52 -: SASK OCH 48 - ; : PSASN SASL 10 # UNSL + ; : ECHO T\* C: THE . ; : 49ASK 29ASK 100 # 29ASK + ; : TEST THE @ . 29ASK DUP 1 176 + 0 IF 2DROP ELSE SWAP + THEN F 2 HE X CODE 1GET FO IN, A L MOV, O H MVI, PUSH JMF, 3 CODE 2GET FO IN, A L MOV, F1 IN, A H MOV, FUSH JMF, 4 5 CODE 3GET F2 IN, A L MOV, O H MVI, H MUSH, FO IN, A L MOV, F1 IN, A H MOV, FUSH JMF, ٤ CODE OSET 7 A MVI, F4 OUT, 1 A MVI, FF OUT, NEXT JMP, 7 CODE 1SET 6 A MVI, F4 OUT, 3 A MVI, FF OUT, NEXT JMF, 8 CODE 2SET 5 & MVI+ F4 DUT+ 7 & MVI+ FF DUT+ NEXT UMP+ 9 CODE 3SET 4 A MVI, F4 OUT, F A MVI, IF OUT, NEXT JMP, 10 CODE ASET 3 A MUL, FA OUT, 1F A MUL, FF OUT, NEXT UMP, 11 CODE 5SET 2 A MUL, F4 OUT, 3F A MUL, FF OUT, NEXT JMP, 12 CODE SSET 1 A MUL, F4 UUT, 7F A MUL, FF OUT, NEXT JMF, 13 CODE 7SET O A MVI, F4 OUT, FF A MVI, FF OUT, NEXT JMF, 14 15 DECIMAL 53 LUAD 19 BLOCK 53 : OLIN T' DAY # 9 (24(S) \* BLAY TEST (\* . IIAY C . ; 0 : 1LIN T" MUNTH # ? (2#'S) " #MUN TES! F" \* #MON @ . ; 1 : 2LIN I\* YEAR # ? (2#'S) " #Y'R TEST I\* \* #Y'R @ . # 2 : 3LIN T\* STAR # ? (2#15) \* #STR TEST T\* \* #STR @ . # 3 (21'S) \* #RUN TEST T\* + IRUN C . ; : 4LIN T\* RUN # ? 4 : SLIN T' | DATA PTS ? ( 4 DIGITS ) " 48ASK ECHO LOTH ! ; 5 : 6LIN I' RECORDING MODE( 01 = TAPE + 01 = DISC + 03 = RGTH ) 1 6 · FMORE @ . F RMODE TEST T\* : 7LIN T' BYTS/PT. ? (1+2 GR 3 ) ' SASE ECHO BYTS ! ; Ř : BLIN I' SPEED ? ( 1 FAST 2 SLOW ) ' YASK ECHO SPEED ! ; ò : 9LIN T\* TYPE ( O FHOTOM 1 FOLARM ) P \* SASE ECHO TYPE \* + 10 : 10LIN T' FHOTOMETRY RUN ' ; : 11UIN .' FOLARIZATION RUN -11 CR T\* NOT YET FROGRAMMED \* ; 12 13 : 12LIN T' ILLEGAL VALUE OF RMODE ' CR. SLIN F : 13LIN T' RATE (1,2,3 FOR1,.1,.01 SEC)' SASK ECHO RATE ( ; 14 15 54 LOAD INSTALL NEW. ABORT. DEFINITIONS :5 BLOCK 54 : INCBLON BLON 1++ ; : UPRUN 45.00 1++ ; : +FL 1FLAG 1++ ; C : 2+! DUF 1+! 1+! ; : INCLOC LUG 2 1012 - 0= 1F +FL 0 LUG ! INCRLON FLSE LOC 211 THEN ; 3 : SENDEM BLON @ IBLOCK LOC @ + I INCLOC ; : 2TUCK RMODE @ DUP 1 - OF IF DROF DWRITE ELSE DUP 2 - OF IF 4 DRUP SENDEM ELSE 3 - OF IF DUP DURITE SENDEM ELSE 12LIN 5 THEN THEN THEN ; : IGRAB IGET 2TUCK ; Ċ : 2GRAB 2GET 2TUCK # : 30FAB 3GET 2TUCK 2TUCK # : HOURS HOLD AND ; HMINS MINS & UP - 0- IF 0 MINS / 8 THOURS ELSE FUIS 1TT THEN : I TSECS SEES @ 59 - 0- IF O SEES ! 9 HAINS ELSE STOS INT THEN : : NOW SECS @ MINS @ HOURS C ; 10 + TO DR T' HUURS(21/S) \* 2SASN HOURS F DR T' MINS(21/S) \* 25ASN 11 MINS / CRITT HECS (21/S) TOSASH SHOS / ASET ED 12 ; : TIME NOW . . . F : ISTART NOW INDUR F IMIN F ISLOS F F 13 : TEND NOW THOUR 2MIN 1 25EC5 - 1 14 US LOAD 15 ÷5

VI-18

#### ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

1

~ - - -

BLOCK 55 : IHDUSE CR OLIN CR ILIN CR 2LIN CR TO # 0 : 2HOUSE OR 3LIN OR 4LIN OR 9LIN OR 7LIN OR 5LIN OR 8LIN 1 CR 6LIN CR 13LIN ; : CHECK BEGIN OF I' PARAMETERS ON % ( 1 YES O NO ) \* SASN 3 IUP OF IT CHOUSE ELSE THEN 1 - OF ENQ ; 4 : MODELEST TYPE @ DUP O= IF DROP YOLLY ELSE 1- O= IF 11LIN 5 ELSE T' UPROGRAMMED TYPE ' CR 9LIN THEN THEN ; : BYTETEST BYTS @ DUP 1 - OF IF DROP FLUE DUP 2 - OF IF 6 7 DROP ELSE 3 - 0= IF ELSE T' BYTE ERROR \* OR 7LIN 3 THEN THEN THEN F ç : MODESWITCH IFLAG @ 10 1 IF 1 RMODE F THEN ; 10 : DATAGET BYTS @ DUF 1 - OF IF 1GRAB DROU ELSE HUP 2 -11 OF IF 2GRAB DROP ELSE 3 - OF IF 3GRAB ELSE T' ERROR " 12 THEN THEN THEN MODESWITCH TALLY 1+1 ; 13 : RMDDETEST RMODE @ 1 IF CR 12LIN THEN RMODE @ 3 IF CR 14 15 12LIN THEN & UG LOAD ;S BLOCK 56 : DISNEREF 2131 2050 DO 0 1 BLOCK ' LOOF ; 6. : FIRSTRUN DR DR DR 1HOUSE DR DR DHEDL MODETEST UN BYTETEST RMODETEST # 10 BUFALLOC : NBLUCKS BYTS @ 2 - 0 = 1F LOTH @ 512 / 1BLOCKS / ELSE 3 LOTH @ 256 / IPLOCKS ! THEN ; 4 CHABLA #BLOCAS @ 10 IF 10 #BLOCAS ' THEN OBLON @ 5 IBLOCKS @ + ENDBLOCK ! O TALLY ! ; 6 : ITEXT T' THIS IS RUN ! ' IRUN @ . ; 7 : 2TEXT T\* IT STARTS AT BLOCK # \* OBLON @ . ; : 3TEXT T\* IT ENDS AT BLOCK # \* ENDBLOCK @ 1 - . ; 8 9 : ATEXT T\* IT HAS TOTAL # OF BYTES \* LGTH @ . ; 10 : TPHSN 37 1 DO I 1 - 11 \* IDAY + @ DWRITE 10 MSEC LOOP ; 11 : PREPRISC NELOCKS ENDELOCK @ DUP OBLOW ' BLOW ' ; 12 : IWAY RHODE @ 2MODE ! ; : 2WAY 2MODE @ RHODE - ; 13 14 57 LOAI ۶ 15 BLOCK 57 : RFIX 2MODE @ RHODE ! ; 0 : TEXT STATUS @ 1 - OF IF T' END IF RUN . CR ELSE THEN ; 1 : ABORT O STATUS ' OBLON & DUP ENDBLOCK ' BLON ' O LOC ! ; 2 3 HEX CODE SENSE 03 IN, 10 ANI, A L MOV, C A MVI, A H MOV, PUSH JMP+ DECIMAL 4 : STALL BEGIN SENSE 16 - O= END ; 5 : TPCLR RHODE 0 2 = IF ELSE TPHSN ING 100 MSEC EDF 5000 MSEC 6 LOF THEN ; : FELUSH NHODE @ 1 - TE FLUSH THEN ; ; RESET MINS DUP 1+1 131 O SECS ! O .1SEC ! O .CIRED ! ; 3 : NSET STATUS @ OF IF 4 ET ELSE 2SET THEP' F ç : FINIS TO STALLT DELC COIMIN DOLHDER BOLL CENTOR 10 2SECS @ 2MIN @ 2HOUR @ . . . # 11 : ENDITEST TALLY CLOTH C - IF EXTEND C OF IF LOTH C 256 12 - TALLY THILE O STATUS F TENDESTALL SET TWAY TEXT FINIS 13 TECLE FELICIES TALL SEGET UPRUN LE TECH COLN POET F 14 15 : CONTINUE O EXTEND ( F : EXIT 1 EXTEND ( F 58 LOAD F9

V'-19

-----

#### ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

3

2

BLOCK 58 O : PFREF NBLOCKS ENDBLOCK @ OBLON ! OBLON @ BLON ! CHKBLN CR 1 0 2134 IBLOCK I ORLON @ DUP 10 + SWAP DO 0 I IBLOCK ! LOOP ; 2 3 1: ZAFPO OSET DATAGET ENDITEST NSET \$! 3 : RESTART #RUN 1+1 PPREF ; 4 2 1: CLOCKER OSET +SECS NSET ;! 5 : NEXTRUN 2WAY O IFLAG ! O TALLY ! CHECK CR 6 MODETEST CR BYTETEST CR RMODETEST ; : READY 1WAY 7SET STALL RMODE @ 2 = IF ELSE TPHSK IRG 7 THEN MODE @ 1 = IF ELSE FPREF THEN O TALLY ! 3 O IFLAG / STALL RESET 1 STATUS / ISTART 20ET DROP 2SET # 9 10 ÷S 11 12 13 14 15

VI-20

,

·

APPENDIX II

#### COMPUTER SOFTWARE USED IN THE TESTING OF PHOTOMULTIPLIER TUBE ELECTRONICS

BLOCK 69 O O VARIABLE DLAST O VARIABLE BN O VARIABLE LOC O VARIABLE SUM : AD DUP SUM @ + SUM ! # 1 2 : FDD 31 1 DO DATAGET LOOF IFLUSH ; 3 : RDD 6 1 DO EN C C D.P DLAST C - . DLAST ! BN C 2 + BN ! LOOP CR ; : TSUM CR T' SUM OF COUNTS = ' SUM @ . ; 4 : GETIT 2GET # : 1WDI 5 1 DO GETIT AD . LOOP CR \* 5 : IGDI O SUM / 7 1 DO :WDI LOOF TSUM ; 6 : 2WDI 6 1 DO GETIT DUP DLAST @ SWAP DLAST ! - . LOOP CH ; 7 : 2601 7 1 DO 2WD1 LOOP # 8 9 \* RDO 2050 BLOCK BN ! O DLAST ! 7 1 DO RUD LOOF \$ 10 : RD1 2050 BLOCK 1 + BN / O DLAST / 7 1 DO RDD LOOF # 11 : RDC 7 1 DO RDD LOOP ; ;S 12 13 14 15

VI~21

N82-17050 D?

#### NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

## MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE

#### DEVELOPMENT OF HIGH STRENGTH, HIGH TEMPERATURE CERAMICS

Prepared By:

ŝ.

- . • .

Academic Rank:

William B. Hall, Ph.D.

University and Department:

NASA/MSFC: Division: Branch:

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

r ~

Contract No.:

Professor

Mississippi State University Department of Chemical Engineering

Non-Metallic Ceramics

Marshall King

August 7, 1981

NGT C1-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

VII

- -----

......

#### A PRELIMINARY STUDY OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF HIGH STRENGTH, HIGH TEMPERATURE CERAMICS

BY

William B. Hall, Ph.D. Frofessor of Chemical Engineering Mississippi State University Mississippi State, Mississippi

#### ABSTRACT

The operation of rocket engine turbine pumps is limited by the temperature restrictions of metallic components used in the systems. Mechanical strength of these metal components typically decreased drastically at high temperatures. Ceramic materials that retain strength at high temperatures appear to be promising candidates for use as turbine blades and impellers. These high strength materials are sensitive to many related processing parameters such as impurties, sintering aids, reaction aids, particle size, processing temperature, and post thermal treatment. The objectives of this research obtained are:

- 1. Identified and defined the processing parameters that effect the properties of  $Si_3N_4$  ceramic materials.
- 2. Specified methods required for processing high strength ceramics.
- 3. Suggested test for evaulating the high temperature properties of  $Si_3N_6$ .

VII-i

#### INTRODUCTION

The Space Shuttle Orbiter is the heart of NASA's space transportation system during the decade of the eighties. This reusable vehicle trims the cost of space travel while increasing NASA's capabilities in space. The system can carry a crew of three, four scientist, and a sixty five thousand pound load into orbit. The Orbiter returns to earth like an airplane and it is anticipated that it can make one hundred round trips into space and back. Although the Shuttle System utilizes the most advanced aerospace technology available to date to achieve economical and useful space flight, it is anticipated various improvements will be made on the system as experience is gained and new technologies emerge. Marshall Space Flight Center has the main responsibility for the Space Shuttle main engine and solid rocket boosters used in this system.

One area in which improvement is needed is in the high-pressure turbopumps, both fuel and oxidizer, in the Space Shuttle main engine. The operation of these pumps is limited by temperature restrictions of the metallic components used in these pumps. Spot melting, oxidation, and erosion-corrosion are some of the problems encountered in the turbopumps. Figures 1 & 2 are flow diagrams of the turbopumps showing approiate temperatures and pressures. The maximum use temperature shown is 1731°R which is in the liquid hydrogen pump. The maximum use temperature is the liquid oxygen pump is 1471°R. These temperatures are on the upper edge of permissable use temperatures for the available metals utilized in the pumps. This study will specifically  $Si_3N_4$ , in some applications in the turbopumps.

VII-1

- ----

# ORIGINAL PAGE BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHY

11

ł

.

7



ĩ

VII-2

## ORIGINAL PAGE BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPH

2

....



Figure 2. SSME Propellant Flow Schematic with enlarged turbopumps.

VII-3

<u>н</u>

#### OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this two year study are:

1. Identify and define the processing parameters that affect the properties of  $Si_3N_{\Delta}$  ceramic materials.

2. Design and assemble equipment required for processing high strength ceramics.

3. Design and assemble test apparatus for evaluating the high temperature properties of  $Si_3N_4$ .

4. Conduct a research program of manufacturing and evaluating  $Si_3N_4$  materials as applicable to rocket engine applications.

#### ENGINEERING ANALYSIS

Silicon nitride has the desired properties for utilization as a high temperature structural material. These properties include high strength, low coefficient of friction. high decomposition temperature, good corrosion resistance, good oxidation resistance, high wear resistance and good thermalshock resistance. These positive features have been known for two decades and slowly silicon nitride is approaching the fulfillment of its potential. Two problems have slowed the use of silicon nitride. The first is the fabricating of suitable or useful shapes with the desirable properties. The second is the brittleness of silicon nitride, and indeed, all ceramic materials. Traditionally, all engineering design compromises on the selection of a material to use in an application, taking into consideration the total cost of a material and the use properties of that material. evaluation of these compromises in design generally show that a metallic material has a higher net profit. Because of this, there is very little design experience with structural. applications of silicon nitride or other brittle ceramics. It is being considered at this time because of the great need for the potential of SigN<sub>2</sub> and the development of computer capability to define the stresses as required for brittle material design.

、 **-**

There are two hexagonal crystal structures of silicon nitride,  $\alpha$  -Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and  $\beta$ -Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, with the -Structure unit cell being approximately twice the size as the -Structure unit cell. Since either structure can be produced from the other by rotation of two basal plones, requiring breaking and forming of primary bonds, the - $\beta$  transformation requires time and energy. Two possible methods are solutioning-precipitation and volatilization-condensation. Both structures can be formed over a wide range of temperatures and both are relatively stable, although -Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> appears to be more stable at temperatures in excess of 1500°C. The formation of Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> through a vapor-phase reaction favours the formation of the -Structure, while precipitation from the liquid state favours the -Structure fromation. The morphology of the formed crystals is important with the fibrous  $\beta$ -Structure preferred because it enhances the strength and toughness of the resulting bulk material.

There are three basic methods of forming bulk  $Si_3N_4$ . The first of these three is called "reaction-bonded"  $Si_3N_4$  whereby formed piece of pressed silicon powders is nitrided in nitrogen gas in the range of 1300-1400°C. During this nitridation process, the silicon powders are reacted with  $N_2$  gas to form a mixture of  $\alpha'$ - and  $\beta' - Si_3N_4$ . The second method is called "hot-pressed"  $Si_3N_4$ . This process entails the nitriding of Si powders to form  $\alpha' - Si_3N_4$  powders. These  $Si_3N_4$  powders are mixed with desirable additives and then pressed into a compact in a graphite mold, under a pressure of 1-2 tons/in<sup>2</sup>, at a temperature of 1700-1800°C. This results in a high strength, high density  $\rho' - Si_3N_4$  product. The third process is called "sintered"  $Si_3N_4$ . In the sintered product,  $\alpha'$ - and/or  $\rho'$  $Si_3N_4$  powders are mixed with desirable additives and then pressed into the desired shape. This pressed bulk is then sintered in a controlled atmosphere at 1800-1911°C for a considerable length of time. The product of this sintering operation is essentially  $\rho' - Si_3N_4$  products are given in Table I as published by  $K_3T_2$  and Rocketdyne is given in Table II. While reaction-bonded  $Si_3N_4$  increases in strength with an increase in use temperature. This produces a cross-over in highest strength of the three types. While reaction-bonded is considerably weaker at room temperature than hot pressed or sintered  $Si_3N_4$ , it is the strongest at a use temperature of 1375°C. These typical properties are very dependent on the starting materials and processing parameters. Some of the parameters that are controlled in the manufacturing of bulk  $Si_3N_4$  are as follows:

VII-5

Table I. Typical properties of silicon nitride.

Type	Type Bend strength (four-point) (MPa)		Young's modulus		Coefficient of thermal	
	RT*	1000°C	1375°C	(GPa)		expansion, or $(10-\frac{60}{C}-\frac{1}{C})$
Hot-pro	essed	690	620	330	317	3.0
Sinter	ed addit	655	585	275	276	3.2
Reactio	on-bon	ded 210	345	380	165	2.8

Table II. Characteristic Flexural Strength of Silicon Mitride/KSI

TEMP (°F)	Rocketdyne	Competitive products		
	Injection Molded/ Sintered	Hot Presses	Reaction Bonded	
70 1000 1800 2100 2500	70 70 70 67 35	82 81 80 70	35 45 49 55	

Į

VII-6

ł

- a. Initial particle size and size distribution
- b. Impurities

2

- c. Milling and Mixing procedures
- d. Sintering aids
- e. Reaction (sintering) temperature
- f. Reaction (sintering) pressure
- g. Reaction (sintering) time
- h. Reaction (sintering) atmosphere
- i. Post heat treatment

Not all of these parameters apply to each of the three types of bulk **solid**  $Si_3N_4$ . Normal impurities present in  $Si_3N_4$  regardless of type are iron, luminum, calium, magnesium and oxygen. These elements are usually present in the combined state such as oxides, silicides or silicates. A typical chemical analysis of a silicon nitride powder as reported by Vasilos Cannon and Wyensch is given in Table II.

The preceeding varying parameters causes a wide range in phase equilibrium and microstructures in the final bulk  $Si_3N_4$  which in turn causes a wide range in properties such as fracture toughness, strength, creep, and oxidation resistance. For example, Edington et al gave K<sub>i</sub> values for Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> (with varying starting powders and processing parameters) of 2.11 MN/m<sup>3/2</sup>. The other properties varied in values in a similar way.

# TABLE III

2

: 9

T

\$

ł

# CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF AS-RECEIVED SILICON NITRIDE POWDER

ELEMENT	CONCENTRATION DETERMINED (wt. %)
Calium	0.03
Iron	0.39 ± .04
Aluminum	0.085 <u>+</u> .009
Magnesium	.0008
Sodium	0.001
Potassium	0.001
Carbon	0.28 + .03
Antimony	0.003
Manganese	0.001
Nickel	0.01
Chromium	0.02
Cobalt	0.01
Copper	0.02
Oxygen	1.4

VII-8

- - -

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION:

-----

 $Si_3N_4$  is a viable material candidate for utilization in high temperature structural applications. However, it must be fully evaluated under use conditions and environments before utilization. The two main areas of concern for turbopump application would be thermal shock and exposure to fuel/fuel products. It is recommended that these two areas be studied and evaluated under actual environments existing in the turbopumps early in the program. These evaluation should continue proughout the life of the program as long as any change is made in the Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> processing parameters. Additional studies could include:

- · · 2 · · ·

- a. Creep and strength improvement based on different sintering aids
- +. Forming techniques
- c. New processing techniques such as reaction-bonding followed by sintering
- d. Basic studies on the glass bond formed during sintering.
- e. Effects of varying furnace enviroments.

#### REFERENCES

- Edington, J. W., Rowcliffe, U. J., and Henshall, J. L., The Mechanical Properties of Silicon Mitride and Silicon Carbide, Powder Metallurgy International, Vol. 7, No. 2, 1975,
- 2. Some Exempt Vol. 7, No. 3, 1975

2

. ..

, · ·

- 3. Jack, K. H., Nitrogen Ceramics, 17th Mellor Memorial Lecture, 1973.
- Guha, J. P., Goursat, P., and Billy, M., Hot Pressing and Oxidatition Behavior of Silicon Nitride with Ceria Additivε, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, Vol. 63, No. 1-2, 1980.
- 5. Jennings, H. M., Danforth, S. C., and Richman, M. H., On a Growth Mechanism for B phase Silicon Nitride, Journal of Materials Science Vol. 14, 1979
- 6. Dervisbeqovic, H., and Riley, F. L., The Influence of Iron and Hydrogen in the Nitridation of Silicon, Journal of materials Science, Vol. 14, 1979
- Lindley, M. W., Elias, D. P., Jones, B. F. and Pitman, K. C., The Influence of Hydrogen in The Nitriding Gas on the Strength, Structure and Composition of Reaction-Sintered Silicon Nitride Journal of Materials Science, No. 14, 1979.
- 8. Elias, D. P., and Lindley, M. W., Reaction Sintered Silicon Nitride, Part 1, Journal of Materials Science, No. 14, 1979
- 9. Jones, B. F., and Lindley, M. W., Reaction Sintered Silicon Nitride Part 2, Journal of Materials Science, No. 14, 1979
- Katz, R. Nathan, High Temperature Strucutral Ceramics, Science, Vol. 208, 1980.
- Greskovich, C., and Rosolowski, J.H., Sintering of Covalent Solids, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, Vol. 59, No. 7-8, 1976.
- Vasilos, T., Cannon, R. M., and Wvench, B. J., Improving the Stress Rupture and Creep of Silicon Nitride, Final Report NASA Contract NAS 3-20088, March, 1979.
- 13. Richman, M. H., Effoct of Processing Parameters on Reaction Bonding of Silicon Nitride, Final Report, NASA Contract NSG 3118, October, 1980.

**VII-1**0

 Jack, K. H., Sialons and Related Nitrogen Ceramics, Journal of Materials Science, No. 11, 1976.

2

- 15. Longe, F. F., Silicon Nitride Polyphase Systems; Fabrication, Microstructure, and Properties, International Metals Reviews, No. 1, 1980.
- 16. Vasilso, T., and Cannon, R. M. Jr., Improving the Toughness of Refractory Compounds, Final Report, NASA Cpntract NAS3-17768, November, 1975.
- 17 Palm, J. A., and Greskovich C. D., Silicon Nitride for Airborne Turbine Applications, Final Report, Naval Air Systems Command Contract No 0019-77-C-0259 July, 1978.
- Sikara, P. F. and Yeh, H. C., Consolidation of Silicon Nitride Without Additives, NASA Technical Memorandum NASATM 73693, 1976.
- Williams, R. M., Linear Thermal Expansim of Hot-Pressed Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, The Journal of the American Ceramic Society, Vol. 63, No. 1-2, 1980.
- 20. Moulson, A. J., Reaction-Bonded Silicon Nitride: Its Formation and Properties, Journal of Materials Science, No. 14, 1979.

VII-11

. .

# N82-17051

#### NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

#### MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

## A PRELIMINARY STUDY OF THE PARTICULATE FALLOUT FROM THE SPACE SHUTTLE (STS-1)

Prepared By:

۶

.

.. .....

Academic Rank:

University and Department:

NASA/MSFC: Division: Branch:

MSFC Counterpart:

DATE:

,

Contract No.:

G. Martin Hudson, Ph.D

Assistant Professor

University of Central Florida Department of Physics

Atmospheric Sciences Environmental Applications

Dr. J. B. Stephens

14 August 1981

NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in E. sville

#### A PRELIMINARY STUDY OF THE PARTICULATE FALLOUT FROM THE SPACE SHUTTLE (STS-1)

BY

2

G. MARTIN HUDSON ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF PHYSICS UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA ORLANDO, FLORIDA

#### ABSTRACT

The objective of this investigation in retrospect was to be able to predict acid fallout and the dry deposition of  $Al_2O_3$ . The models available were not appropriate and the data available was negligible. Thus, a bimodal particle distribution was assumed normalized to the few existing data points and used as a foundation for a crude zeroth order approximation for the acid fallout. In addition, a settling spectrum for the  $Al_2O_3$  particles was devised as a table look-up since the graphs in the literature at first pass could not be fitted with reasonable analytic functions. Consulting services were rendered to researchers both here and at Kennedy Space Center. Special emphasis was placed on improving current techniques and adding LIDAR (Light Radar).

÷.,

.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

As an applied nuclear physicist working mainly in applications to air particulate pollution, I was awarded a NASA contract to do air particulate sampling at Kennedy Space Center, Florida. This contract can be broken down into two separate parts-background sampling and launch related sampling. The launch related samples could be used to validate the particulate portion of NASA's Multilayer Diffusion Model (MDM). I applied for and received a NASA/ASEE summer fellowship to do just that. Finally, on April 12, the Space Shuttle went up. Now everything was ready. I would soon have my data and NASA had its model. Delays in funding part of my contract led to no data, there was large particle fallout from the exhaust cloud and the model was not ready to handle particles.

#### II. THE PROBLEM

è

The problem is really to decide what to do next to the model (MDM) so it can handle particles as separate from gases. Several jobs/tasks can be listed:

- (1) Calculate settling velocities.
- (2) Calculate particle growth.
- (3) Measure particle size, number and concentration SIS-2.
- (4) Model results and modify code.
- (5) Verify against reasonable data. Note the data taken during STS-1 was not good enough (except for mine) even to make a first pass.

#### III. TOWARDS A SOLUTION

The first step was to study and calculate the settling velocities. The theory is well summarized in Dawbarn, et al. report<sup>2</sup> and a copy of their Table 4 is included as Table 1. My Figure 1 and their Figure 72, can be used to estimate an average time of fall  $t_2$  (given in Table 2). This number was generated using the equation:

$$t_{2}^{1} = \frac{500 \text{ m}}{\text{Vt}}$$

where  $V_t$  is the terminal or settling velocity and 500 m is an average cloud height. In STS-1, the top of the cloud was at about 1000 m. Now looking at Table 2, and since we are only worried about the first few hours of the cloud, it appears that everything 10 µm and smaller can be treated as a gas and the model will have to be modified for the larger particles. Going back to Dawbarn's source, The Mechanics of Aerosol, by Fuchs<sup>3</sup>, and lifting Figure 2, the experimental data is readily available in a log-log plot and is given in Table 3. We cannot use Klyachko's harmless looking formulae in Table 1 because Re, the Reynolds Number, also depends on V<sub>t</sub> and so the equation is indeterminate. Simple minded<sup>4</sup> attempts to fit the data with a curve failed with a ln distribution being the closest. The equation:

 $V_+ = a + b \ln D = Eq(2)$ 

#### VIII-1

#### Table 1. Settling Velocities of Particles

Flow Regime	Terminal Velocity
Molecular	$V = \frac{d \rho g \alpha}{9 z}$
Cunningham	$V_{T} = \frac{d^{2} c g \left(1 + \frac{2 g}{d}\right)}{18 z}$
Stokes	$V_{T} = \frac{d^2 \rho g}{16 z}$
Klyachko	$v_{T}^{2} = \frac{1'3}{4} \frac{d_{0}g}{d_{0}g}$ $\frac{f_{0}}{d_{0}g} = \frac{1'3}{4} \frac{d_{0}g}{d_{0}g}$ $\frac{f_{0}}{d_{0}g} = \frac{1'3}{4} \frac{d_{0}g}{d_{0}g}$
	<u>Flow Regime</u> Melecular Cunningham Stokes Klyachko

where d = diameter of particle (cm)

.

i

Ž.

- c = density of particle (gm/cm<sup>3</sup>)
- $c_a = density of air (gm/cm<sup>3</sup>)$
- z = viscosity of air (dyne-sec/cm<sup>2</sup>)
- > = mean free path of air molecules (cm)

$$\begin{split} & \textbf{\beta} = \text{coefficient determined by the ratio of gas molecules which are reflected of filely to those reflected specularly: for glass spheres <math>f = 0.82 \times 10^{-5}$$
 for oil droplets  $f = 0.813 \times 10^{-5}$  for brass cylinders  $f = 0.66 \times 10^{-5}$ 

· · · ····

.



2

. Т.

Figure 1. Terminal velocity of  $Al_2O_3$  spheres.



 $FiG_1$  **2** Rate of settling of acrosol particles in air.

# VIII-S

Particle Diameter (µm)	Vt (.n/s)	t½ (sec)	t½ (Appropriate)
0.1	8 E - 6	6.3 E 7	2 years
1.0	2 E - 4	2.5 E 6	l month
10.0	2 E - 2	2.5 E 4	7 hours
169.0	1	5.0 E 2	8 minutes
1,000.0	10	5.0 E 1	l minute

TABLE 2. AVERAGE FALL TIMES

÷

x

**`**.

-

.

VIII-4

where a and b are least squares fitting parameters and D is the diameter. The parameters were found to be a = 2.29 E 3 and 6 = 4.12 E 2. Unfortunately,  $r^2$  = .75 (regression coefficient) and should be 0.9 or greater for a "good" fit. Thus, Table 3, which is a composite of all the "good" data put together by Fuchs, will have to be used in the table look-up mode in the program (especially since each terminal velocity varies by more than 10% from the next; at least that level of precision should be maintained.) Having got a handle on job (1), we can now turn to particle growth.

Particle growth is reasonably well understood and a good reference is <u>Evaporation and Droplet Growth in Gaseous Media</u> by Fuchs<sup>5</sup>. However, the model begins to operate after cloud stabilization which takes  $4-15^6$  minutes (about 6 minutes for STS-1), but some of the particles will have fallen out by then. Droplet growth is only well understood for non-turbulent atmospheres, but the cloud before stabilization is turbulent. (Opinion: not enough library research).

According to calculations made by the H. E. Cramer Company, Inc.,<sup>7</sup> the cloud arrived at PAD-39B about 4 minutes (range:2,100 m) and was gone by  $8\frac{1}{5}$  minutes. In this time period, 100-200  $\pm$ m would be reaching the ground. The density of visible particles was 23,000 per souare meter.<sup>8</sup> This would imply, assuming the distribution taken from Dawb rn, et al., (see Fig. 3) a peak count of over 250,000/m<sup>2</sup> at 16,000 m. Further data points will be available in the future<sup>8</sup> to better indicate the shape of the agglomerate distribution as seen on STS-1. Thus, I don't feel it is reasonable to estimate the amount of Al and Cl that was lost from the cloud at this time. However, such estimates are needed to run NASA's Multilayer Diffusion Model (MDM) because currently all the matter is assumed transported as small particles and gas.

Due to the lack of data, tasks (3) - (5) cannot be accomplished at this time.

#### IV. SUMMARY/RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to be concise, I will enumerate this section.

(1) LIDAR should be used to map the location and concentration of the cloud.

(2) The acid fallout must be measured so that the initial concentrations for the MDM can be adjusted.

(3) The acid fallout occurs before, during, and after stabilization and should, therefore, be treated separately from the MDM.

(4) One person should be made responsible for obtaining and analyzing acid fallout samples to include particle size, concentration, and chemistry.

VIII-5

Diameter (cm)	Velocity (cm/s)	Diameter (cm)	Velocity (cm/s)
9.57 E-4	1.26	1.03 E-2	79.4
1.07 E-3	1,58	1.21 E-2	100
1.21 E-3	2.00	1.45 E-2	126
1.38 E-3	2.51	1,66 E-2	159
1.55 E-3	3.16	2,00 E-2	200
1.78 E-3	3.98	4,91 E-2	251
2.00 E-3	5.01	3,10 E-2	316
2.30 E-3	6.31	3.81 E-2	398
2.58 E-3	7.94	4,80 E-2	501
2.96 E-3	10.0	6,32 E-2	631
3.32 E-3	12.6	8.15 E-2	794
3.81 E-3	15.9	1,05 E-1	1000
4.28 E-3	20.0	1.52 E-1	1260
4,91 E-3	25.1	2.14 E-1	1580
5.64 E-3	31.6	3,24 E-1	2000
6.47 E-3	39.8	5.14 E-1	2510
7.60 E-3	50.1	7.10 E-1	3160
8.73 E-3	63.1	1.63 E-0	3980

TABLE 3. TERMINAL VELOCITIES OF  $A1_20_3$  particles

£,

,



È,

;

Figure 3.. Histogram of agglomerated Al droplet sizes.

#### REFERENCES

- Stephens, J. Briscoe and Roger B. Stewart, "Rocket Exhaust Effluent Modeling for Tropospheric Air Quality and Environmental Assessments," NASA TR R-473, Washington, D.C., June 1977.
- Dawbarn, R., M. Kinslow and D. J. Watson, "Analysis of the Measured Effects of the Principal Exhaust Effluents From Solid Rocket Motors," NASA Contractor Report, 3136, January 1980.
- 3. Fuchs, N. A., The Mechanics of Aerosols, The Macmillin Company, New York, 1964
- 4. HP-33 E Statistics Application, February 1978.
- 5. Fuchs, N. A., <u>Evaporation and Droplet Growth in Gaseous Media</u>, Pergamon Press, 1959.
- 6. Stephens, J. Briscoe, NASA/MSFC Private Communications.
- 7. Dumbauld, R. K., H. E. Cramer Company, Private Communications.
- 8. Bowie, William J., Technology, Inc., Private Comminications.

#### **VIII-8**

N82-17052 9

#### NAJA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

#### MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

#### DETECTION AND ANALYSIS OF RADIO FREQUENCY LIGHTNING EMISSIONS

Prepared by:

,

ند ارد

Fereydoun Jalali, Ph.D.

Academic Rank:

Associate Professor

University and Department: Fort Valley State College Electronic Engineering Technology

NASA/MSFC:

Labor vory: Electronics and Control Divis on: Optical and R.F. Systems

MSFC Counterpart:

James Warren Harper

Date:

August 1981

Contract No.:

NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama -Huntsville

IX

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

2,

I would like to express my appreciation to my NASA counterpart, Mr. James W. Harper for providing me with a thorough introduction to and for his continuous assistance and involvement in the project. Also much appreciation is expressed to Mr. Wayne Wagnon, Deputy Chief and Dr. Joseph Randall, Division Chief for their support and encouragement.

IX-i

-----

#### DETECTION AND ANALYSIS OF RADIO FREQUENCY LIGHTNING EMISSIONS

hy

Fereydoun Jalali Associate Professor of Electronic Engineering Technology Fort Valley State College Fort Valley, Georgia

#### ABSTRACT

The feasibility study of detection of lightning discharges from a geosynchronous s ellite requires adequate groundbased information regaining emission characteristics. In this investigation, a measurement system for collection of S-band emission data is set up and calibrated, and the operations procedures for rapid data collection during a storm activity developed. The system collects emission data in two modes: a digitized, high-resolution, short duration record stored in solid-state memory; a continuous long-duration record on magnetic tape. Representative lightning flash data are shown. Preliminary results indicate appreciable RF emissions at 2 gHz from both the leader and return strokes portions of the cloud-to-ground discharge with strong peaks associated with the return strokes.

IX-ii

1.12

#### INTRODUCTION

Interest in the detection and location of the lightning discharges has increased as a result of the need of many agencies that are affected by lightning. The type of information desired covers a wide spectrum. Statistical information is needed in order to estimate the expected direct and induced surges in the communication networks. Very accurate real time location of cloud-to-ground and cloud-to-cloud discharges are among the requirements of the utility, aviation and land management agencies.<sup>4</sup> In the past several years, a few satellite-born sensors have been used to detect lightning from space.<sup>2</sup> However, these have limited capacity and cannot provide the sampling levels and the degrees of spatial and temporal resolutions required by the potential users of lightning information. Ground-based systems capable of providing the needed information would necessitate large numbers of sensors and data collection stations.

Recognizing the usefulness of the satellite-acquired lightning data, NASA has established a research and development program, an objective of which is to develop a satellitebased lightning mapping system that can optimally serve the needs of the users of lightning information. The system is envisaged to be based upon sensing of the electromagnetic radiation produced by lightning in the RF and/or optical regions. Investigation of detection possibilities in the two frequency ranges are being carried out by two groups. This report describes the measurement system developed by the RF group and the preliminary results.

IX-1

#### OBJECTIVES

Most of the currently available radio frequency data from lightning discharges are in the VLF to VHF range. At these frequencies, a satellite-based detection system is not practical due to the ionospheric effect on propagation and the required large antennas. To determine the feasibility of a system operating at higher frequencies, more complete emission data in and above the VHF are neeced.

The objectives of this investigation, therefore, are collection of the pertinent lightning data and its analysis to determine the characteristics of the emissions at the higher frequencies. To achieve this, a measurement system planned for data collection<sup>3</sup> was completed, installed, and calibrated. Although this process took most of the summer, it was possible to collect a limited number of lightning emission data.

IX-2

~ • • • • •

#### MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND TECHNIQUE

#### Measurement Approach

The system developed by the RF group is designed to collect RF emission data from lightning primarily at 2000 MHz. Reception at the VHF is also incorporated in the system for data comparison. For each frequency, vertically- and horizontally-polarized antennas with associated receivers are employed and the output of the receivers are recorded on separate tracks of a magnetic tape to produce a "long" record of the lightning activity. Due to its bandwidth limitation (80 KHz at maximum speed of 120 ips), the recorder effectively filters out the high-frequency components of the signal.

To obtain a higher-resolution record of the emission, one receiver output is selected and fed to a high-speed analogto-digital converter and the digitized output stored in a solid-state memory. The digitizer/memory unit can produce instant display of its memory content on a CRT for visual inspection. If significant emission data is observed, it is stored in a long-term memory and the solid-state memory is cleared and made available for the next emission event. With the digitizer's maximum sampling rate of  $2 \times 10^7$  bytes/second and the present capacity of the memory unit at 128 kilobyte, high resolution records of 6.4 millisecond duration can be obtained. Comparison of the "long" record of the magnetic tape with the high-resolution record of the digitizer unit makes it possible to locate the latter within the former.

Other channels of the magnetic tape recorder are used to record IRIG time signal and the "enable" signal from digitizer marking the initiation of the solid-state memory fill-up. Additional concurrent parameters such as the outputs of an coustic sensor, an optic sensor, and two electrostatic field sensors are planned to be added for recording on separate channels of the tape recorder.

#### Equipment

Figure 1 shows the block diagram of the measurement equipment which presently are used (see Appendix A for specification of major equipment). Plans call for addition of optic and acoustic sensors for determination of flash distance and two

IX-3



2

4

÷.



IX-4



.

# Fig. 2 LIGHTNING EMISSION RECORDING FACILITY

LAYOUT

IX-5

sensors for measurement of electrostatic field. Also, the HP 9825 computer will be replaced by an HP 1000L with a hard disc drive, and the HP 7225 plotter with an HP 9872C.

The equipment is housed in an 8 x 28 ft. trailer and the antennas are mounted on a pedestal. Figure 2 shows the layout of the facility. In order to reduce the multipath problem associated with reflections from buildings and other structures, the trailer is located in an open field in the western part of the Redstone. Topography of the field makes it possible to aim the main axis of the S-band horns above the local skyline with only a 10-15 degree elevation of the antenna assembly.

#### Calibration

2

The system was calibrated so the actual value of the signal strength at the receiving horn could be determined from the values of each of the two record types produced. The calibration procedure consisted of transmitting a known amount of CW power through a standard horn placed 20 ft. from the receiving horn. The output of the receiver was stored both on the magnetic tape and in the solid-state memory. The process was repeated for the vertically-polarized channel at a range of 40 ft. Table 1 shows the data obtained. Comparison of the calibration data at 20 ft. and 40 ft. (see figures 3 and 4) indicates excellent agreement with inverse-square law for all of the output levels down to about 160.

The power density and electric field intensity at the receiving horn may be computed using the relations<sup>4</sup>

Pt	=	P <sub>in</sub> + Gh	(dBm)
Ρ		$P_t/(4\pi R^2)$	(watts/meter <sup>2</sup> )
Е	=	(120πp) <sup>2</sup>	(volts/meter)

where  $P_t$  = the equivalent power transmitted by an isotropic radiator at the location of the transmitting horn,  $P_{in}$  = the input power to the horn, and Gh = the horn gain.

Figure 5 shows the power density at the receiving horn versus output levels indicated directly by LeCroy digitizer and through Ampex recorder.

#### Data Collection Procedures

-------

The system in its present form requires at least two persons for data collection during a thunderstorm: one to operate
### TABLE 1

1

£

POLARI- ZATION	RANGE FT.	TRANSMITTED POWER dBm	OUTPUT LECROY	LEVELS AMPEX
V	20	-50 -55 -60 -65 -66 -70 -75 -80 -85 -00	245.2 229.9 210.8 205.8 191.4 172.1 158.7 130 0	251.9 237.2 221.4 202.0 197.5 185.5 167.8 155.0 149.5 127.9
	40	-45 -50 -55 -60 -65 -70 -75 -80 -00	242.4 226.2 206.8 188.2 169.8 157.4 152.1 130.0	247.2 233.0 216.0 198.2 181.1 163.7 151.3 147.6 128.5
Н	20	-50 -55 -60 -65 -70 -75 -80 -00	252.8 225.2 199.7 175.3 155.6 145.0 140.7 130.0	

### CALIBRATION DATA (2 gHz) RF LIGHTNING EMISSION MEASUREMENT FACILITY

IX-7

-

ì



3

7

۰.

10 miles .

IX-8



ć

:

2

.

....

;

; ;

> ۹ •

٠.

FIGURE 4. CALIBRATION CURVE FOR AMPEX RECORDER, (a) 20 ft. RANGE, (b) 49 ft. RANGE S-BAND, VEPTICAL POLARIZATION

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



2

**i**.

DIGITIZED OUTPUT LEVEL

FIGURE 5. RF LIGHTNING EMISSION MEASUREMENT SYSTEM CALIBRATION GRAPH. (a) LeCroy, (b) Ampex S-BAND, VERTICAL POLARIZATION

the instruments and the second to measure the elasped time between the flash and the thunder, observe the type of discharge (cloud-to-cloud or cloud-to-ground), and approximate the relative position of the flash to the antenna direction. The steps involved in the data collection process are as follows:

- a. The antenna cluster is aimed at the region of the storm clouds exhibiting (or likely to produce) lightning activity.
- b. The output of the receiver selected for the highnesolution record is connected to the digitizer.
- c. The digitizer is reset, a sampling rate chosen, and the trigger threshold is adjusted to ignore backgrc a noise and very weak signals.
- d. Recording is started on the Ampex recorder.
- e. Upon triggering by a lightning emission, the UTC time on the IRIG decoder read-out is a ted and the memory content displayed on the CRT is examined. If no significant change from noise is present, the digitizer is reset. When the displayed record indicates appreciable level changes from noise and the associated data on flash type and elapsed time are taken, the content of the memory unit is stored in the long-term storage (see Appendix B) and the pertinent information is entered in a log sheet.

f. The digitizer is reset.

#### EMISSION DATA

P'

1)

1

1

A few lightning emission records were obtained after the completion of the measurement facility and its calibration. Most of these were cloud-to-ground discharges at a range of 10 to 30 kilometers. Determination of any general and statistical characteristics of the emissions will have to be made after a larger body of data is collected. However, inspection of the present data leads to a few tentative conclutions.

rigures 6, 7, and 8 show the plot of the Ampex record of three cloud-to-ground discharge data (see Appendix C for plotting procedures). The length of the active region prior to the large spike shown in figure 6 falls within the range of stepped leader duration as indicated by photographic data 5. The strong peak following the leader region is, therefore, due to the first return stroke. Leader and return strokes are also clearing distinguishable in the graph of figure 7. Intervals between the first and subsequent strokes as measured on these two graphs are within the accepted range. The data shows that the return strokes generate higher levels of radiation at 2 GHz than the leader mechanism. Figure 8 is the record of a twin ground flash. The leader activity is not as pronounced as those of the other two records. However, strong peaks are again associated with the return strokes.



1 3



ż

۱۰<u>،</u>

. . .

TIME (M-SECONDS)

FIGURE 6. CLOUD-TO-GROUND DISCHARGE. RANGE ≅14 KILOMETERS. AMPEX RECORDER

IX-13



IX-14

з I,





#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A measurement system for obtaining ground-based emission data from lightning discharges is developed and calibrated. Emissions are received at 2 GHz, and two record modes are produced. A low-resolution, long record which gives information about the levels of various portions of the lightning event; a high resolution short record usable for signature analysis. A set of graphs based on the calibration data is obtained to show the value of the field intensity at the receiving horn for any digitized output level. ſ

÷

1

• •

A procedure to expedite data collection is devised. Data collection required two persons, an instrument operator and an observer to record the flash characteristic and time. The system operates very well and is excellent for obtaining emission data.

Several emission events were recorded. The number is too small to lend to a general analysis. Tentative results show significant S-band emissions associated with the return strokes. The data indicates cloud-to-ground discharges at a range of 12 to 15 kilometers produce peak field intensities of 0.5 - 1 millivolt/meter at the receiving horn.

The following recommendations are offered:

1. Since the peak levels of some of the emission records are at, or close to, the maximum value of the LeCroy digitizer output, it may be useful to introduce a precision calibrated attenuator at the input of the receiver and obtain some of the data with a lower system gain. This should make it possible to compute the peak intensity of the discharge more accurately.

2. In order to choose any portion of the "long" record for high-sampling digitization, place a circuit in the ENABLE line of the digitizer to delay the ENABLE dignal by an adjustable amount. The delay circuit may be designed using two One-Shots and a Flip-Flop.

3. Addition of a remote integrated RESET/ARM switch for the digitizer will save time during data collection when several parameters need to be observed by the operator

IX-16

: 1

#### APPENDIX A

#### EQUIPMENT LIST

#### LIGHTNING EMISSION RECORDING FACILITY

ANTENNAS -

ъ Т

Ŋ

**#** 

S-band - Assortment of linearly-polarized horns VHF - Log-periodic, 150 mHz to 1 gHz, Antenna Corp. of America

PREAMPLIFIERS -

S-band - Watkins Johnson Minipac CR21-010 VHF - AV2406-1, Aydin Vector

RECEIVERS -

S-band - Modified RHG CR305/V83B VHF - In-house design

TAPE RECORDER - Ampex FR 2000, 14 channel, 3-3/4 7-1/2 15 30 60 120 ips, 80 KHz bandwidth @120 ips

DIGITIZER - LeCroy Model 8800/8, four modules, comprising a total capacity of 128 kilobytes

COMPUTER -

HP 9825

TIME SOURCE -

Local time code generator (cesium clock) transmitted to facility by radio link

PLOTTER -

HP 7225A

ANTENNA PEDESTAL -

Scientific Atlanta, Model 3102/J329

### ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

#### APPENDIX B

#### PROCEDURE FOR STORAGE OF DIGITIZED DATA

à,

r ;--- Since the HP9825 used in the system has a maximum capacity of 20 kilobytes, the content of the solid-state memory (128 kilobytes) cannot be transferred in one step. Furthermore, the entire record of every discharge data may not be useful. The following program is developed to facilitate quick transfer of the content of any portion of the solidstate memory.

> 2: beepig+s - 3: buf "1H"∙A≢,3 10: chor(2)%chor (0)%chor(7)→C≇ 11: wtb 703+chor 1: dim A‡[15430] •C‡[3]•O‡[2] 2: chort. 18char (0)(chort7)+C\$ 3: wtb 703;char( 97) 11061 
>
>  S: wtb 703.char(
>  12: utb 703.C#
>
>
>  97)
>  13: tfr 703."IN"
>
>
>  4: wtb 703.char(
>  315430
>
>
>  16:.char(0).
>  14: rds("IN")+7;
>
>
>  thar(7)
>  14: rds("IN")+7;
>
>  1f 200000014 10: 5660 16: 1f 5 19600 17: 660 11 2:0tero 14. ther!?! 5: red 703.Q⊈; dsp nua()‡[2]) t: o+6 707 6: wtb 703.chart - 12ま アモト しょ音楽 ЗÌ -<u>2835</u>5 7‡ red 703+0≢ 81 dan can(0\$[2] (→0¦it 0 listo 6 .

The LeCroy digitizer scans the 128 kilobytes of memory at a fixed rate and produces the display of the record on the CRT. The record covers 8.3 centimeter (at 2 millisecond/cm sweep rate). The program is designed to transfer, in each run, a block of 15500 bytes correspondingly to slightly over 1 centimeter of the displayed record. The block may be stored (on the cassette tape) by entering a file number or be dumped by transferring the next block.

IX-18

-----

# ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY,

7

;

,

÷

#### APPENDIX C

The following program is used for producing the graph of data contained in the LeCroy memory modules.

12: м:В 703,C≢ 13: t+r 703,"IN" 8: baep10+5 1: dem AI(20016) \*64233\*64223 .20000 14: rds("IN")→Z; it Z/0;sto 14 ; 15: beep 16: :4 S 1;sto 2: chort2€t.chor |[6]Uchort21+C\$ li wi‱ 705kohart a<u>7</u> ar a E 1909enste 18: en 19: (N-Mixon 20: loi 703 21: sol 0.500.0. 260 24: tor I=1 to 17: ent M 261 charabas char 71 5‡ real (03940#\$ is a seste≇≹ Bite Fasis€‡E2D) StratE 700xchari Ev ۰, 71 red 763+01 9: det -qn)0:[2] 1-0:1: 0/1:9:0 20: n+I+F→J 24: plt I+num+A≇ Ē V 98647-101 · 노고니 (전) (13) 고민의 (전) (13) 34、12-2+、17月2(白丰x3)。 1日本 - とうと エンジャート 日本に対応する「アンセント Est pen 0...ther(7.-1) 27: Hedd 11: Htt: 703+char +19317 11061

The program transfers to the computer consecutive 20-kilobyte blocks in each run. If the transferred block is of interest, integers M and N are entered to designate the beginning and end of a segment within the block to be plotted. The program then gives the plot of 500 equally spaced points of the designated segment.

IX-19

: \*\*

· • • • • • • · · ·

#### REFERENCES

, , , ,

- Bent, Rodney B., "Operational Applications Committee," Proceedings of the 1979 Workshop on the Need for Lightning Observations from Space, NASA CP-2095, Washington, D.C., 1979.
- Turman, Bobby N., "A Review of Satellite Lightning Experiments," Proceedings of the 1979 Workshop on the Need for Lightning Observations from Space, NASA CP-2095, Washington, D.C., 1979.
- Harper, James W., "Experimental Measurement Program in R.F. Lightning Emissions at Marshall Space Flight Center," NASA Interdepartmental Report, 1981.
- 4. <u>Reference Data for Radio Engineers</u>, Howard W. Sams and Co., Inc., New York, Sixth Edition, 1975.
- 5. Uman, Martin A., <u>Lightning</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, New York, 1969.

OMIT PXI

ه ب مر ب

#### MATHEMATICAL MODELING OF LASER-GAS INTERACTIONS FOR LASER PROPULSION SYSTEM

Prepared by: Helen H James, Ph.D

Academic Rank:

ĩ

,

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

Żχ

`

:

Assistant Professor

University and Department:

University of Alabama in Huntsville Department of Mathematics

NASA/MSFC Laboratory:

Structures and Propulsion Laboaratory

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

August, 1981

Mr. Lee W. Jones

Contract No.:

NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

#### MATHEMATICAL MODELING OF LASER-GAS INTERACTIONS FOR LASER PROPULSION SYSTEM

By

Dr. Helen H. James Department of Mathematics University of Alabama in Huntsville Huntsville, Alabama 35899

#### Abstract

A mathematical model is being developed which describes the absorption of laser energy by a working fluid to produce a very 'st, dence plasma in hydrogen gas. This process takes place in the absorption chamber of a laser rocket engine. Working with investigators at MSFC, the University of Tennessee Space Institute, and Lockheed Huntsville Research & Engineering Center, the numerical techniques are examined that are required to extend the existing one-dimensional laser-supported wave model to include two-dimensional effects, a converging laser beam, and possibly the non-equilibrium effects of photoionization of cold hydrogen gas ahead of the laser-supported combustion wave by vacuum ultraviolet radiation from the hot plasma. Possible simplifications of the full three-dimensional solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations are among the approaches considered, so that the size and complexity of the numerical calculations may be made more amenable to solution using the MSFC 1100/82 computer.

NASA/MSFC Structures and Propulsion Laboratory

Lee W. Jones - NASA/MSFC Counterpart

, N82-17053

----

Ą.

D10

### NASA/ASEE FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

# MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

A STUDY OF THE VENT PIPE FOR THE GRAVITY PROBE-B

PREPARED BY:	RONALD N. KNOSHAUG, PH.D.
ACADEMIC RANK:	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
UNIVERSITY AND DEPARTMENT:	CARROLL COLLEGE, MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF MATH/PHYSICS

NASA/MSFC:

DIVISION: AEROPHYSICS BRANCH: UNSTEADY AERODYNAMIC AND THERMAL ENVIRONMENT MSFC COUNTERPART: JOSEPH L. SIMS

DATE: AUGUST 7, 1981

CONTRACT NO.: 307671 THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE

### A STUDY OF THE VENT PIPE FOR THE GRAVITY PROBE B

3` . .

÷

Ň

By

Ronald N. Knoshaug Associate Professor Department of Mathematics/Physics Carrell College Helena, Montana

#### ABSTRACT

The Gravity Probe B experiment to test Einstein's relatively theory is a possible Space Shuttle project. Cooling of the gyroscopes and thrusting of the Probe into a zero-drag environment is accomplished by vaporizing liquid helium and venting the gas from the Probe through a nozzle system. In order to avoid malfunctioning of this cooling-thruster system, the pressure difference across the vent pipe-thruster system must be maintained to be less than the vapor pressure of liquid helium at the given temperature.

This study investigated helium gas flow through the vent pipe for various configurations, mass flow rates, and pipe diameters. In addition, pertinent properties of both liquid and gaseous helium were investigated.

It was observed that helical coiling of the pipe about the dewar caused less frictional drag and less pressure change than did sharp pipe bending. Also, for various flow rates and nozzle throat area sizes, the system would malfunction for a given bath temperature.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

į

; , ,

Ì

-

ł

Ť

The author wishes to express his gratitude to the NASA/ASEE Summer Faculty Fellowship Program for providing the opportunity to participate in this activity. Credit is due to Dr. Gerald Karr for his fine administrative efforts and excellent seminar program. Special thanks go to Mr. Joseph L. Sims, my NASA counterpart, for sharing his time and knowledge to assist me with this work on the GP-B system.

<u>,</u>

### NOMENCLATURE

ÿ

, .

ś

۰ ۱

,

· •

1

1999 A. . .

د

.....

a	radius of tube, in.
A	known function of N <sub>R</sub> and $\delta = (0.5N_R)/(1 - 2\delta/3 + \delta^2/6)$
A*	throat area of nozzle, $cm^2$
B <sub>1</sub>	known function of N <sub>R</sub> and $\delta = 2N_R/\delta / (1-2\delta/3 + \delta^2/6)$
в2	known function of N <sub>P</sub> . = 0.9656 $\sqrt{N_D}$ + 1.6500
с <sub>р</sub>	specific heat of fluid at constant pressure
C	coiling effect factor, = $\Delta p / \Delta p_s$
c <sub>1</sub>	slip-flow coefficient
C <sub>2</sub>	slip-flow coefficient
D	diameter of tube, = 2a, in.
E	enhancement factor, = N <sub>Nuc</sub> /N <sub>Nus</sub>
Ē	0-averaged enhancement factor
h	heat transfer coefficient, cal./(sec $cm^2 \circ C$ )
K	thermal conductivity, cal/(sec cm °C)
L	tube length, m
$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{v}}$	latent heat of vaporization, cal/mole
'n	mass flow rate, g/sec
м	evaporation rate, g/sec. By continuity equation, $\dot{M} = \dot{m}$
N <sub>D</sub>	Dean number, = $N_R (a/R)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
<sup>N</sup> K	Knudsen number, = $\lambda/D$
N <sub>NU</sub>	Nusselt number, = $2 h a/K$
N R	Reynolds number, = $\mu u D/\mu$
<sup>N</sup> RC	critical Reynolds number for transition from laminar to turbulent flow

- $N_p$  Prandtl number, =  $c_p \mu / K$
- P gas pressure, torr

q heat flux at wall to the fluid per unit area and unit time

 $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{I}}$  heat leak in dewar, mW

R radius of curvature of the coil, cm

- T temperature, °K
- u mean axial velocity, cm/sec
- v sonic velocity of helium, m/sec
- z axial distance, cm
- GREEK LETTERS

δ

 $\alpha$  slip-flow parameter

 $\beta$  entrance parameter which depends upon N  $_{K}$  Range of  $\beta$ : .15< $\beta$ <.20

1.1.1

dimensionless hydrodynamic boundary - layer thickness,  $=\frac{4.63}{N_{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{0.766}{N_{D}}$ 

Δ finite - difference increment

thickness ratio, =  $1/5 [2 + (\frac{10}{N_{p}^2} - 1)]$ 

 $\eta$  temperature where He<sup>4</sup> changes from a super fluid to a fluid

- σ polar angle in radians
- $\lambda$  resistance coefficient
- $\mu$  viscosity,  $\mu$ -poise
- $\rho$  density, g/cm<sup>3</sup>
- $\chi$  slip-flow factor function of N<sub>K</sub>
- τ mean-free paths
- $\Omega$  ratio of downstream pressure to reference pressure

#### SUBSCRIPTS

,

.

3

5

,

7

o position immediately downstream from ground plug

~

1

.....

ł

- axial reference position within vent-tube
- b helium bath
- c curved pipe
- e entrance
- m mean fluid value
- s straight pipe
- w tube wall

#### SUPERSCRIPTS

.

average value

#### I. INTRODUCTION

20121

ž

In 1916, Einstein proposed a theory which helps in the modeling and understanding of our Universe. This theory, which has established the incompleteness of Newton's theory, has been very difficult to test. The Gravity Probe-B is a program which will utilize the Space Shuttle to launch an experiment which measures the very small relativisitic effects due to the Earth's gravitational field. The experiment, first proposed in 1963, was considered as a possible Shuttle project in 1974.

The experiment consists of two gyroscopes, which when orbiting as massive a body as the Earth, undergoes a relativistic precession with respect to the fixed stars. One gyroscope will undergo geodetic precession, which will be about 7 arc sec per year. The other gyro will undergo spin-spin coupling between the gyroscope and the Earth's rotation, which will undergo a motion of about 0.05 arc sec per year.

In order to maintain a controlled environment for the gyroscope, they will be placed in a dewar which is maintained at a low temperature (between  $1.6^{\circ}$  and  $2.0^{\circ}$ K). This temperature will be maintained by boiling off liquid helium at the necessary rate. In addition, the helium gas will be vented into 8 thruster nozzles which will be used to produce a zero-drag environment for the probe. To help vent the incoming heat out of the dewar, the vent pipe will be wrapped in some manner about the dewar.

In this paper, the flow of the helium gas from the porous plug containing the helium bath to the plenum is examined. Part II of this paper examines the theoretical consid rations in hydrodynamics; part III investigates the thermodynamic considerations; and part IV contains the results and conclusions pertaining to the vent system.

An appendix is also included which contains important properties of helium pertinent to the experiment.

The ultimate goal of this paper is to discuss which configurations of the vent pipe system will allow the experiment to be performed properly.

XI-1

#### **II. THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN HYDRODYNAMICS**

• • • •

A LINEPALAUT . ...

The tube used to vent the helium during cryogenic cooling of the dewar will be coiled through the dewar insulation and wrapped in some manner about the dewar. Since the pressure gradient is small and the vent pipe long compared to its diameter, three flow regions must be considered: the developing low in the entrance region; the region with continuous flow; and the slip-flew region<sup>1</sup>. In all cases, laminar flow will be discussed.<sup>1</sup>

Analysis made by Hanks [7] on the velocity development in the entrance region of a straight tube showed that the distance  $Z_e$  necessary for the centerline velocity to become 0.99 of the fully-developed value to be

$$z_{\rho} = 1/4 \beta N_{\mu} D = \dot{m} \beta * 10^{\circ} / \pi \mu$$
 (2.1)

where the parameter  $\beta$  depends upon the Knudsen number and has a range .15  $\beta$  < .20.

When fully-developed straight pipe laminar flow enters a curved pipe, the primary (axial) velocity flow field is accompanied by a secondary flow field which acts in a plane perpendicular to the tube axis and which is symmetrical about the plane of curvature of the tube. (See Figure 2.1)



Fig. 2.1. Flow pattern of the secondary flow of curved pipes. (Patankar, [14])

1. See Part IV.

Dean [4] showed that the parameter  $N_D = N_R (A/R)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is the unique dynamic similarity parameter governing the fluid motion in a curved tube.

At the onset of the flow entering the curve, the flow is in a transition region until the curved flow eventually becomes fully developed. Squire [23] found that for air in a gradual pipe bend (R/A = 24), the curved flow did not become fully-developed for about 120° from the beginning of the curve. Percival [13] showed that, in the transition region in a straight section following the bend, the pressure loss is greatly affected by the presence of residual secondary flow at the bend exit. Rowe [18] showed that in 180° bend, the amount of secondary flow is greatest at about 30° from the bend entrance; after that the secondary flow is reduced. He also showed that full-development of flow occurs about 90° from the bend entrance. Downstream of the bend, the secondary flow persists for a considerable distance, as high as 29 pipe diameters. Figure 2.2 illustrates the effect of the Dean number on the axial velocity profiles.



Fig. 2.2. Effect of the Dean number on the axial profiles in (a) the plane along radius of curvature, (b) along diameter plane perpendicular to radius of curvature, (i) straight tube, (ii)  $N_D = 60$ , (iii)  $N_D = 500$ .

For fully-developed curved pipe flow, many experiments have shown that there is an increase in the critical Reynolds number for transition from laminar to turbulent flow. The measured results are approximated by the empirical equation  $N_{RC} = 2 * (\frac{a}{r})^{0.32} * 10^4$ , (2.2) valid in the range 15 R/a<860. For R/a 860, the critical Reynolds number for a curved pipe approximates that of a straight pipe. (ITO, [8])

- ,

2

The resistance coefficient ratio of a curved pipe, defined by

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{c}} = \left(\frac{\Delta \mathbf{P}\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{L}}\right) \frac{\mathbf{D}}{1/2 \ \rho \ \mathbf{u}^2} \quad , \tag{2.3}$$

to that of a straight pipe,

$$\lambda_{\rm s} = 64/N_{\rm R} \quad , \tag{2.4}$$

is given by

$$\frac{\lambda_{c}}{\lambda_{s}} = 0.1033 N_{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{1.729}{N_{D}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1.315}{(N_{D})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right]^{-3} .$$
 (2.5)

This equation agrees closely with experimental results for  $N_D > 30$ . (ITO [8]). Many other researchers have obtained formulas for resistance coefficient ratios (ITO [8]; Mori and Nakayama, [12]). For  $N_D$  small.  $(N_D=0$  for straight pipes),  $\lambda_c/\lambda_s^{-\beta_c} 1$ .

Sharp bends in the tube causes a fairly large increase in the resistance coefficient as compared to the straight pipe. For large helical coils, the ratio  $\lambda_c/\lambda_s$  remains close to unity. The flow in the helical coils reaches full-development by 120° from the entrance. Once full-development of the velocity field is reached, the resultant combined primary and secondary flow patterns cause a fluid element to have a screw-like motion through the tube. At one instant of time, a fluid element may be traveling near the center of the tube section; after a short axial distance downstream, the same fluid element may be found near the outside wall of the tube. This type of flow causes a higher axial-pressure gradient, a diametral-pressure gradient, and higher mass-transfer ratio per unit axial pressure drop.

For coils of a small radius a/R, White [27] obtained the coiling effect factor C, defined  $\frac{1}{C} = \frac{\Delta P_s}{\Delta P_c}$ , by experimental results to be

$$C^{-1} = 1 - [1 - (11.6/N_D)^{0.45}]^{(1/0.45)} (N_D > 11.6)$$
 (2.6)

For  $N_{De} < 16.0$ , Larrain [10] obtained values for C in terms of the Dean number and radius ratio a/R.

An estimate of the pressure difference in a straight tube for continuous flow is obtained by using Poisewille's formula successively over short pipe lengths (each length short enough so that the viscosity and density can be assumed to be constant). Then adding the results over the entire pipe length, the contribution of the pressure difference due to the curved pipe becomes

$$\Delta P_{c}^{2} = C^{2} (2.30 * 10^{-3} \frac{mL}{D^{4}} \int_{1.7}^{T} r dt)$$
(2.7)

The pressure charge in the orifice is

$$\Delta P_{o} = \frac{.789 \text{ m} \sqrt{T}_{s}}{A^{*}} \qquad (1)$$
(2.8)

Then the pressure down stream from the porous plug becomes

$$P = \left[ \left( \frac{.781 \text{ in } \sqrt{T}}{A^*} \right)^2 + \Delta p_c^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} . \qquad (2.9)$$

The flow of rarefield gas through tubes with large pressure ratios permit all or some of the flow regimes (continuous, slip, transition, and free molecule) to occur as the degree of rarefaction increases along the length of the tube due to pressure gradient. For Knudson number  $N_{K}$  in the range .61 <  $N_{K}$  < .1, the mathematical description of the motion of the fluid by use of Navier-Stokes equation with boundary conditions of zero velocity and equality of temperature between gas and wall becomes inadeouate. In this range of Knudson numbers, the gas should be treated as an assemblage of discrete particles. Based on the assumptions that the flow is isothermal and locally fully-developed, the pressure ratio  $\Omega = P/P_{1}$  is

$$\frac{1}{2} - 1 + .16 C_{1} (2 - 1) + .0032 C_{2} \log 2 + 2 N_{R}^{2} \alpha \chi \{.08 C_{1} (2 - 1) + .0008 C_{2} (2 - 1) - \log 2\} = -64 (N_{R}) \alpha \frac{2 - 2_{1}}{D}$$
(2.11)

1. Gravity Probe-B, [6]. XI-5

$$\chi = \frac{\frac{1/3 + 4 C_1 N_K + 16 C_1^2 N_K^2 + 64 C_2^2 N_K^4 + 64 C_1 C_2 N_K^3}{(1/2 + 4 C_1 N_K + 8 C_2 N_K^2)^2}$$

and

2

.

$$\alpha = \frac{\mu^2 R T}{P^2 D^2} \quad . \qquad (Sreekanth [24])$$

Experimental values show that the best value for the slip coefficients are  $C_1 = 1.1466$  and  $C_2 = 0.14$ . The solution to equation (2.11) shows that the gas compressibility significantly affects the pressure drop through changes in both momentum and viscous shear. As the pressure level decreases, the contribution of momentum change plays a minor role and the pressure drop is affected primarily through an increase in viscous shear rather than through an increase in momentum flux.

with

#### THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN THERMODYNAMICS III.

Very near the tube inlet the thermal boundary layer is very thin, and circumferential conduction and both circumferential and radial convection can be neglected compared with radial conduction. In the entrance region, the ratio of the local heat transfer coefficient in a coiled tube to that in a straight tube, defined as the enhancement factor E, is given by

$$E = \left[\frac{1}{2N_{R^-}}\left\{\frac{2}{8}\left(A + \frac{B_1}{B_2}\sin\theta\right) - \frac{B_1}{B_2}\sin\theta\right\}\right]^{1/3}$$
(3.1)

(Dravid, [5]). For values obtained by Mori and Nakayama [12],  $\tilde{E}$ (averaged over  $\theta$ ) is equal to  $\bar{E} = 0.447 N_{D4}^{1/6}$ . At  $N_{D} = 125$ ,  $\bar{E} = 1$ ; at  $N_{De} = 1000$ ,  $\bar{E} = 1.41$ . Hence, in the entrance region, which is fairly short in length, the secondary flow does not improve heat transfer coefficients significantly because the temperature field has not sufficiently penetrated the secondary field.

Within the developing temperature field, the axial temperature or heat flux profiles show large amplitude oscillations which decay and damp out in the fully-developed region (Seban and McLaughlin [20], Dravid [5]).

Once the thermal region is fully-developed, the secondary flow may increase the heat transfer ratios. Mori and Nakayama [12] have shown that for high Dean number, the heat transfer coefficients are higher in a coiled tube than in a straight tube by a factor which varies as  $\sqrt{N_{p}}$ . The enhancement factor in fully-developed flow is the ratio  $N_{NU_{c}}/N_{NU_{s}}$ 

Since the Nusselt number for a straight pipe is 48/11, then

$$N_{NU_{c}}/N_{NU_{s}} = \frac{11}{8} \cdot \frac{2 \text{ aq}}{\text{K[Tw-Tm]}}$$
 (3.2)

For Np < 1,

4

$$E = \frac{{}^{N}NU_{c}}{{}^{N}NU_{s}} = \frac{0.1979 N_{D}^{1/2}}{4 - 37.05 \left\{ \frac{\chi^{2}}{12} + \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{1203} - \left(\frac{4J}{3} - \frac{1}{33} + \frac{1}{15j^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{20} Np_{1} \right\}^{N_{D}^{-1/2}}}{\chi I - 7}$$
(3.3)

where

i.

.

. . .

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{5} \left[ 2 + \left( \frac{10}{Np_{r}^{2}} - 1 \right)^{1/2} \right] \quad . \quad (Mori, [12])$$

and

$$N_{\rm D} > 15$$
 .

It should also be observed that  $N_{NU_c}/N_{NU_s}$  varies with the polar angle  $\theta$ , and increases with increasing Deam number. (Patankar [14]).

#### **IV. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS**

It has been estimated that the total heat-leak into the dewar to be at least 70 mW. Later estimates indicate this value could be as high as 120 mW. In order to fulfill the requirement of a drag-free anvironment, the mass flow rate may be much higher. In light of this, the analysis uses as a minimum flow rate that is required to utilize 70 mW of energy and as a maximum, the rate is taken as six times minimum value.

Since either  $\text{He}^3$  or  $\text{He}^4$  is a cryogenic agent, both are considered. The following properties are examined to decide which helium is preferred.

Temperature of bath: 1.6° K <  $T_h$  < 1.8° K <sup>(1)</sup>

Latent heat of vaporization at  $1.6^{\circ}$  K <sup>(2)</sup>

 $He^3 \dots L_{1} = 10.6 \text{ cal/mole},$  $He^4 \dots L_{y} = 21.6 \text{ cal/mole};$ 

Evaporation rate: <sup>(3)</sup>

 $He^3$  ... .0063 g/sec <  $\dot{M}$  < .0378 g/sec, He<sup>4</sup> ... .0031 g/sec <  $\dot{M}$  < .0186 g/sec;

Dewar loading requirements: (4)

 $He^3$  ... 199 Kg < Load < 1192 Kg,  $He^4$  ... 98 Kg < Load < 587 Kg;

Because of the high load requirement using He<sup>3</sup>, it is eliminated from further consideration.

1. Gravity Probe-B [6].

2. See Appendix. 3.  $\dot{M} = 9.5622 = 10^{-4} Q_L/L_v$ .

4. Based upon the probe being required to function for one year.

XI-9

The helium vapor as it exhausts from the dewar through the vent pipe is assumed to increase in temperature from  $1.7^{\circ}$  K to  $220^{\circ}$  K.<sup>(1)</sup> Without additional information, the temperature increase is expected to be linear:

$$T = .0809 z + 1.7 . (4.1)$$

The vapor is expected to have a mean velocity within the range 0 cm/sec < u < 87860 cm/sec.(2)

Due to increasing temperature of the helium vapor, by use of equation (4.1), the viscosity coefficient  $\mu$  (3) varies with the axial length z, as

$$\mu = \begin{cases} .2244 \ Z + 4.588 \ \mu^{P} \ Z < 153 \ cm \\ (.0809 \ Z + 1.7)^{.647} \ Z > 153 \ cm \end{cases}$$
(4.2)

The Reynold's number  $N_R^{(4)}$  for the flow considered has a range 9 <  $N_R < 2709$ . Based on the scale due to Rotty [17] for straight pipe:  $N_R < 2000$ , laminar flow; 2000 <  $N_R < 3500$ , laminar or turbulent flow depending on well roughness; and  $N_R < 3500$ , turbulent flow; and the fact that for curved pipe the critical Reynold's number is in general higher, only laminar flow is considered.

To determine the flow regime, based on the Knudson number  $N_{K}^{(5)}$  the following scale is used:  $N_{K} < .01$ , continuous flow;  $0.01 < N_{K} < .1$ , slip flow;  $.1 < N_{K} < 3.0$ , transition flow;  $N_{K} < 3.0$ , free molecule flow. (Schaaf, [19]). The flow regimes for different range of parameters are presented in table 4.1.

فأعدر الد

L. Carter -

- 4.  $N_{R} = \rho Du/\mu = 5.01 \ 2 \ 8 m/D\mu$
- 5.  $N_{K}^{A} = \lambda/D = 1.5546 \times 10^{-9} \text{ uD/m}.$

<sup>1.</sup> Gravity Probe - B [6]

<sup>2.</sup> Sonic speed is attained as the upper limit of the velocity in the vent tube. The sonic speed of helium varies considerably with temperature but very little with pressure. (Cook, [2]). By linear regression, the sonic speed of helium gas is  $v_s = 1.641 \text{ T} + 617.56$  with correlation coefficient = .998.

<sup>3.</sup> See Appendix.

D (in.)	m (g/sec)	M = U/87860	N <sub>K</sub>	Flow Regime
3/4	.0031	M < .30	N <sub>K</sub> < .01	Continuous
		.30 < M < 1	$.01 < N_{K} < .033$	Slip-flow
	.0186	M < .72	N <sub>K</sub> < .01	Continuous
		.72 < M < 1	$.01 < N_{K} < .014$	Slip-flow
1	.0031	M < .09	N <sub>K</sub> < .01	Continuous
		.09 < M < .89	$.01 < N_{K} < .1$	Slip-flow
		.89 < M < 1	$.1 < N_{K} < .11$	Transition
	.0186	M < .54	N <sub>K</sub> < .01	Continuous
		.54 < M < 1	.01 < N <sub>K</sub> < .019	Slip-flow

Table 4.1. Knudsen numbers and corresponding flow regimes for different ranges of parameters  $N_{K} = 1.5546 * 10^{-9} \text{ uD/m}$ .

The vent pipe configuration was considered in two extreme cases: one configuration considered was with very sharp bends with the radius of curvature taken as the pipe diameter; the other configuration was that of helical coils with the radius of curvature taken as the radius of the dewar, R = 65 cm. In either case, the Dean number  $N_D$ <sup>(1)</sup> decreases with increasing z since the viscosity increases with increasing axial length z. Table 4.2 shows the ranges of Dean numbers for different radius of curvatures and different parameter values.

By use of equation 2.1 and 4.1, the hydrodynamic entrance region is determined by

$$Z_{e} = (104.53 + 28.37 * 10^{4} \text{ m})^{\frac{1}{2}} - 10.22$$
 (4.3)

For the mass-flow rates considered, the maximum length of the developing velocity field would be  $Z_e = 63 \text{ cm} = .02 \text{ L}$ , which is considered an insignificant part of the total flow.

1. 
$$N_D = N_R (a/R)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 5.649 * 10^5 \dot{m}/\mu (RD)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

D (in.)	m (g/sec)	ር. (cm)	N <sub>D</sub>
3/4	.0031	1.9	8.9 < N <sub>D</sub> < 318.5
		6.5	$1.5 < N_{\rm D} < 54.6$
	.0186	1.9	53.4 < $N_{\rm D}$ < 1911
		6.5	$9.0 < N_{\rm D} < 360.4$
1	.0031	2.5	6.8 < N <sub>D</sub> < 243.3
		6.5	$1.3 < N_{\rm D} < 47.3$
	.0186	2.5	40.7 < $N_{\rm D}$ < 1459.8
		6.5	$7.9 < N_{\rm D} < 284.1$

Table 4.2. Dean's numbers for different radius of curvature and different parameters.  $N_D = 5.649 * 10^5 \text{ m/}\mu (\text{RD})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

The frictional drag in curved pipes as compared to straight pipes is greater and depends on the Dean number, as indicated by the ratio  $\lambda_c/\lambda_s$  in equation (2.5). Using the worst case analysis with D = 3/4 in/ and m = 0.9186 g/sec,  $\lambda_c/\lambda_s = 4.9$  for R = 1.9 cm and  $\lambda_c/\lambda_s = 2.4$  for R = 65 cm. To reduce the frictional drag due to pipe curvature, the larger radius of curvature is preferred.

The effect of curvature in helical coiled pipes on the pressure difference in curved pipes as compared to straight pipes is indicated by  $\wedge P_c = C \ \Delta P_s$ , where C is determined by equation (2.6) for  $N_D > 11.6$  or by coiling-effect factor tables. (Larrain, [10]). Table 4.3 utilizes equations (2.7), (2.8), and (2.9) to give the pressure P immediately below the porous plug due to back pressure in the vent tube and orifice.

The vapor pressure of He<sup>4</sup> at 1.6°K is 5.69 torr (see Appendix). Table 4.3 shows that for many orifice areas and mass flow rates, this value is exceeded. If the bath temperature is taken at 1.8°K, then the vapor pressure of He<sup>4</sup> is 12.47 torr. The pressure P<sub>0</sub> for high mass flow rates will be less than this critical pressure for larger orifice areas.

XI-12

Table 4.3. Pressure $P_0$ below porous plug is obtained for various
configurations. The pipe length is taken as 27 m and
temperature immediately below porous plug is 1.7°K. The viscosity
is averaged over the temperature $^{(1)}$ to give the average
viscosity $\bar{\mu} = 100.8 \ \mu P^{(1)}$ .

D (in.)	A $(cm^2)$	m (g/sec)	N <sub>Dp</sub>	С	$\Delta P_{c}$ (Torr)	∆P <sub>o</sub> (Torr)	P <sub>0</sub> (Torr)
3/4	.02875	.0031	2.5	1.00011	3.66	1.26	
		.0093	7.5	1.00206	6.35	. 3.79	.7.40
		.0186	15.0	1.01946	. 9.14	. 7.57	. 9. 89
	.00875	.0031	2.5	1.00011	3.66	4.15	5.53
		.0093	7.5	1.00206	6.35	12.44	13.97
		.0186	15.0	1.01946	9.14	24.88	26.51
1	.02875	. 0031	2.2	1.00011	2.06	1.26	2.41
		.0093	6.6	1.00206	3.57	3.79	5.21
		.0186	13.2	1.01946	5,11	7.57	9.13
	.00875	.0031	2.2	1.00011	2.06	4.15	4.63
		.0093	6.6	1.00206	3.57	12.44	12.94
		.0186	13.2	1.01946	5.11	24.88	25.40

For low mass flow rates, the flow transcends from continuous to slip-flow fairly quickly. Since back pressure with low flow rates does not appear to be a problem, research for slip-flow regime need not be further undertaken.

The heat-transfer rates in fully-leveloped thermal flow increase due to the secondary flow in curved pipes. The enhancement factor E for coiled pipes is given by equation (3.3). For helium,  $N_P = .68$  and  $\mathcal{L} = 1.308$ . Then equation (3.3) becomes

$$E = \frac{N_D}{6.6094 N_D^{\frac{1}{2}} - 12.2708}$$

(4.4)

1. 
$$\bar{\mu} = \frac{1}{T - 1.7} \int_{1.7}^{T} \mu \, dT$$
. XI-13

for  $N_D > 15$ . Table 4.4 gives the enhancement factors for various flow rates using helical coiled pipe with radius of curvature R = 65 m.

. - 1

gango mang mgana panakanan

D (in.)	m (g/sec)	ND	Е
3/4	.0031	$1.5 < N_{\rm D} < 54.6$	1 < E < 1.49
	.0186	9 < $N_{\rm D}$ < 360.4	1 < E < 3.18
1	.0031	$1.3 < N_{\rm D} < 47.3$	1 < E < 1.43
	.0186	$7.9 < N_{\rm D} < 284.1$	1 < E < 2.87

Table 4.4. Enhancement factors for various Dean numbers.
#### APPENDIX

<u>ا</u> ،

## **PROPERTIES OF HELIUM**

# Heat Capacity of Liquid Helium

5.8

· -

.`

Ì



Heat Capacity of LHe<sup>3</sup> (Weinstock, Heat Capacity of LHe<sup>4</sup> (Van Dijr, [28]) [25])

 $T_{ij} = 2.173^{\circ}K$ 

For T close to  $T_{\eta}$ ,

$$C_v = \omega - 3.0 \log_{10} |T - T_v| cal/(mole °K)$$

where

$$\omega = \begin{cases} 3.0 \text{ cal/(mole °K)}, T < T\\ -1.6 \text{ cal/(mole °K)}, T > T\\ \eta \end{cases}$$
 (Atkins, [1])

XI-15



Ś.

~

7 4



Latent Heat of Vaporization of LHe<sup>3</sup>. (Weinstock, [28])



1

į

Latent Heat of Vaporization of LHe<sup>4</sup>. (Van Dijk, [25])

Vapor Pressure

T (°K)	He <sup>3</sup> (1) P (Torr)	He <sup>4</sup> (2) P (Torr)	T (°K)	He <sup>3</sup> P (Torr)	He <sup>4</sup> P (Torr)
1.4	38.03	2 15	9 4	288 60	63 30
1.6	64.91	5.69	2.6	381.02	93.73
1.8	101.93	12.47	2.8	491.00	132.95
2.0	150.55	23,77	3.0	619.92	182.07
2.2	212.28	40.47	3.2	769.04	242.27

Vapor pressure of He<sup>3</sup> and He<sup>4</sup>.
(1) Roberts, [16].
(2) Van Dijk, [25].

XI-16

Viscosity of Helium Gas (He<sup>4</sup>)

. . . .

۰.

\* , \*

1

$$\mu = \begin{cases} (1) & 2.7744 \text{ T} + .1498 \ \mu\text{P} & \text{,} \quad \text{T} < 14^{\circ}\text{K} \\ (2) & 5.023 \text{ T}^{0.647} \ \mu\text{P} & \text{,} \quad 14^{\circ}\text{K} < \text{T} < 1000^{\circ}\text{K} \end{cases}$$

.

1

<sup>(1)</sup> Based upon data from Van Itterbeck [26]. Linear regression was used with correlation factor = .98.

<sup>(2)</sup> Coremans [3].

#### REFERENCES

------

1. Atkins, K. R.: Liquid Helium, Cambridge Press, New York (1959).

ì

- 2. Cook, G. A.: Argon, Helium, and the Rare Gases, Vol. 1, Interscience Publishers, New York (1961).
- 3. Coremans, J. M., et al.: The Viscosity of Gaseous He, Ne, H<sub>2</sub>, and D<sub>2</sub> Below 80°K, Physica, Vol. 24, p. 557 (1958).
- 4. Dean, W. R.: Note on the Motion of Fluid in a Curved Pipe, Phil. Mag., No. 4, p. 208 (1927).
- 5. Dravid, A. N., et al.: Effect of Secondary Fluid Motion on Laminar Flow Heat Transfer in Helically Coiled Tubes, ALCHE J., Vcl. 17, No. 5, p. 1114 (1971).
- 6. Gravity Probe-B, Phase-A Report, MSFC-NASA (1980).

2.

- 7. Hanks, R.: Velocity Profile Development in the Entrance Region of a Right Cylinder with Slip at the Walls, Phy. Fluids, Vol. 6, No. 11, p. 1645 (1963).
- 8. Ito, H. L.: Friction Fractors for Turbulent Flow in Curved Pipes, J. Basic Eng., Trans. ASME, Vol. 81, p. 123 (1959).
- 9. Landau, L. D. and E. M. Lifshitz: Fluid Mechanics, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. (1959).
- 10. Larrain, J. and C. F. Bonilla: Theoretical Analysis of Pressure Drop in the Laminar Flow of Fluid in a Coiled Pipe, Trans. Soc. Rheology, No. 14:2, p. 135 (1970).
- 11. Lin, C. C.: The Theory of Hydrodynamic Stability, Cambridge Press (1955).
- Mori, Y. and W. Nakayama: Study on Forced Convective Heat Transfer in Curved Pipes, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, Vol. 8, p. 67 (1965).
- 13. Pervival, P. M. E.: Some Problems in the Rotational Flow of Fluids, Ph.D. Thesis, Cambridge University (1958).
- 14. Patankar, S. V., et al.: Prediction of Laminar Flow and Heat Transfer in Helically Coiled Pipes, J. Fluid Mech., Vol. 62, p. 539 (1974).
- 15. Remfry, J.: Heat Transference and Pressure Loss for Air Flowing in Passages of Small Dimensions, Aero. Res. Council, R&M, No. 2638 (1954).

XI-18

- -

16. Roberts, T. R. and S. G. Sydoriak: Phys. Rev. Vol. 106, p. 175 (1957).

, .

÷.,

~ -

م د م مقم بومیسمیانچیم

----

- 17. Rotty, R. M.: Introduction to Gas Dynamics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1962).
- 13. Rowe, M.: Measurements and Computations of Flow in Pipe Bends, J. Fluid Mech., Vol. 43, p. 771 (1970).
- Schaaf, S. A. and P. L. Chambre: Fundamentals of Gas Dynamics, ed. by H. Emmons, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J. (1958).
- Seban, R. A. and E. F. Mclaughlin: Heat Transfer in Tube Coils with Laminar and Turbulent Flow, Int. J. Heat Mass Trans., Vol. 6, p. 387 (1963).
- 21. Shidlovskiy, V. P.: Introduction to Dynamics of Rarefied Gases, American Elsevier, New York (1967).
- 22. Shidovskiy, V. P.: Special Case of Viscous Gas Motion in Cylindrical Tube in Slip Flow Regime, Sixth Rarefied Gas Dynamics Symposium, Academic Press, New York, p. 215 (1969).
- 23. Squire, H. B.: Note on Secondary Flow in a Curved Circular Pipe, Aero. Res. Council, N. 16601 (1959).
- Sreckanth, A. K.: Slip Flow Through Long Circular Tubes, Sixth Rarefied Gas Dynamics Symposium, Academic Press, New York, p. 667 (1969).
- 25. Van Dijk, H., et al.: Physics 24, S129 (1958).
- 26. Van Itterbeek, A., et al.: Measurements of the Viscosity of Helium Gas at Liquid Helium Temperatures as a Function of Temperature and Pressure, Physica 19, p. 1158 (1953).
- 27. White, C. M.: Streamline Flow Through Curved Pipes, Proc. Roy. Soc., A123, p. 645 (1929).
- 28. Weinstock, B., et al.: Nuovo Cinento Suppl. 9, p. 310 (1958).
- 29. Zucrow, M. J. and J. D. Hoffman: Gas Dynamics, Vol. 1. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1976).

XI-19

# N82-17054 DII

### NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

THE DETERMINATION OF THE STACKING FAULT ENERGY IN COPPER-NICKEL ALLOYS

Prepared By:

2

ł

H. P. Leighly, Jr.

Academic Rank:

Professor

University and Department:

NASA/MSFC: Division: Branch:

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

Contract No.:

University of Missouri - Rolla Department of Metallurgical Engineering

Metallic Materials Failure Analysis

John C. McClure

14 August 1981

NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

-

ХП-1

#### THE DETERMINATION OF THE STACKING FAULT ENERGY IN COPPER-NICKEL ALLOYS

2

BY

H. P. Leighly, Jr. Professor of Metallurgical Engineering University of Missouri - Rolla Rolla, Missouri

#### ABSTRACT

The loss of mechanical properties of high performance alloys tested under high pressure hydrogen cannot be predicted at the presen. time. It is obvious that hydrogen introduced into such an alloy may interfere with dislocation movement, thus leading to a loss in ductility and a reduction in mechanical properties. Since some high nickel alloys show embrittlement due to hydrogen, while pure copper does not, it has been decided to determine the stacking fault energies of a series of nickel-copper alloys to gain an insight into the embrittling effect of hydrogen.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

-

Į.

",

and we find the main of the particular states the states of the states o

The author wishes to acknowledge the generous financial support from the 1981 NASA/ASEE Summer Faculty Fellowship Program and to thank the personnel of the Failure Analysis Branch of the Metallic Materials Division and especially Dr. John C. McClure for their cooperation and support.

X∏-3

-----

#### INTRODUCTION

High performance alloys by their very nature often exhibit severe lose of strength and ductility as the result of the environment in which they are expected to operate. This is particularly true in the case of turbine blade alloys which are required to operate at high temperatures in high pressure hydrogen in the pumps which feed the fuel to recket engines. At the present time, there is a dearth of understanding of the qualities that an alloy must possess which makes it a successful candidate for this type of application.

Since hydrogen may embrittle an alloy, one must be concerned with the mechanical behavior of the alloy and implicitly, with the ease of dislocation motion. In the case of complex stresses, the ability for dislocations to cross slip may be important; hence, the stacking fault energy of an alloy on which cross slip depends will become a quantity which should be known.

#### **OBJECTIVES**

3

2

McPherson (1) has compiled data on the loss of mechanical strength of 50 metals and alloys caused by high pressure hydrogen. In these measurements, the fracture stress was determined for notched specimens mechanically tested in either high pressure hydrogen or high pressure helium. The ratio of these fracture stresses was determined and are given in Table I.

In some of the alloys, one can infer that the loss of fracture strength is the result of a crystal structure which is expected to be less ductile, i.e., body centered cubic lattice as compared to face centered cubic lattice. However, this is not a firm conclusion inasmuch as some of the face centered cubic alloys perform less well than body centered cubic alloys, as can be seen in Table I.

Odegard, Brooks and West (2) have determined the loss in reduction in area for tensile specimens which were tested in air at one atmosphere or hydrogen at 10,000 psi. The alloys used in this experiment were basically stainless steels of several compositions. For the alloy, nimonic 32, with a stacking fault energy of 12 mJ/m<sup>2</sup>, the reduction of area loss was about 55%, whereas for SAE 316 stainless steel with a stacking fault energy of 78 mJ/m<sup>2</sup> the loss was slightly over 1%. The nature of the fracture surface changed sharply when the stacking fault energy exceeded 35 mJ/m<sup>2</sup>, going from intergranular fracture to a dimple rupture.

The rationale for this behavior can be understood in terms of hydrogen being transported by dislocations (3, 4). When the stacking fault energy is low, cross slip for dislocations is very difficult; hence, moving dislocations on a slip plane will transport any hydrogen to a small region of the grain boundary as they pile up. This will cause the loss of integrity in the grain boundary. Dislocations in alloys with high stacking fault energy will easily undergo cross slip; hence, any hydrogen in the dislocation core will be scattered throughout the crystal with less damage to the grain boundary integrity. One now has a clue as to the effect of hydrogen on embrittlement.

#### Table I

ALLOY	Kt	PRESSURE Ksi	RATIO H <sub>2</sub> /He
250 MARAGING	8	10	. 12
410	8	10	. 22
1042 (0 & T)	8	10	. 22
17-7 PH (TH 1050)	8	10	23
HP 9-4-20	8	10	.24
H-11	8	10	.25
INCONEL X-750	6.3	-0	.26
RENE 41	8	10	.20
ED NICKEL	8	10	.31
4140	8	10	40
INCONEL 718	8	10	.40
MP 35N	6.3	10	50
440 C	8	10	. 50
T1-6 AL-4 V (STA)	8	10	
MONEL 400	63	7	
D 979 STAINLESS	63	7	.05
NICKEL 270	8	10	.09
CC 27 STAINIESS	63	7	.70
A 515-G70	8	10	-12
HY 100	8	10	•73
A 372-TV	8	10	•13
1042 (NORMAL 17ED)	9	10	./4
INCONFL 625	о 8	۲U ۲	. 15
A517-F(T-1)	0 9	10	.70
$\Delta 533_R$	0 9	10	•//
$T_{1-6} \Delta I_{-4} V (\Delta NN)$	0 9	10	./0
1020	0 8	10	./9
HY 80	о 9	10	./9
INCONFL 706	63	7	.00
$TI_5 AI_2 5 Sp FII$	0.5	10	.00
APMON TRON	0	10	•01
304	9	10	•00
321	0	10	.0/
HASVELLOV Y	o g	5	.0/
305	0	3	•07
ASTROLOV	0	10	.09
347	0	5	.90
J47 NAVNEC 199	6 3	5	.91
304 N	0.3	15	.92
310	0.3	15	.93
Be-CH (ALLOY 25)	0	10	.93
	0 6 3	10	.93
A_286	0.J 0	10	. 70
21_6_0	0 4 3	10	
▲▲=U=7 7075_#73	0.3	/	.9/
101J-11J 6061-T6	Ō	10	• • • • •
OFUC CODDED	0	10	1.00
AIK OFFER	0	10	1.00
	0	10	1.00
TUCOPOI 202	0	2	1.00

#### RELATIVE RESISTANCE TO HYDROGEN EMBRITTLEMENT NOTCHED STRENGTH RATIO (H<sub>2</sub>/He) FOR VARIOUS ALLOYS IN HYDROGEN AT ROOM TEMPERATURE

After W.B. McPherson (1)

. .

t,

•

`

#### STACKING FAULT ENERGY DETERMINATION

There are a number of methods for determining the stacking fault energy in metals. With one exception, they rely on indirect methods for determining the stacking fault energy. For example, the earliest method relies on the determination of the stacking fault probabilities using x-ray diffraction (5, 6). In this method, changes in peak separation between x-ray diffraction lines permit the calculation of the stacking fault probability. From the stacking fault probability, one can calculate the stacking fault energy. Henderson (7) has done this for pure copper, pure nickel and nine copper-nickel alloys. The values he obtained for the pure components were much too high when compared with currently accepted values. A second method relying on electron microscopy in which the stacking fault energy is calculated from measurements on the radius of curvature on dislocation nodes (8). This method is successful for pure metals and alloys in which the stacking fault energy is low. The University of Birmingham group under Smallman (9) has determined stacking fault energies in aluminum and zinc by measuring the kinetics of loop shrinkage for the pure metals and alloys using electron microscopy.

The only direct method for the determination of stacking fault energies utilizes the weak beam dark field electron microscopy (10) in which the distance between the partial dislocations in an extended dislocation are measured directly. Using the appropriate elastic constants, the stacking fault energy can be determined from the distance measurements. This method relies on high resolution electron microscopy. To achieve the necessary high resolution, small diameter objective lens pole pieces must be used which limits the ability to tilt the specimen. To observe the extended dislocation, one needs to have the specimen oriented with the [11] pole approximately parallel with the electron beam. In order to achieve this, the specimen must be cut from a single crystal with the surfaces parallel to the (11) plates.

During this summer, this author familiarized himself with the Phillips Model 300 electron microscope. In addition, methods for the preparation of electron microscopy specimens of pure copper and pure nickel were successfully developed.

#### CONCLUSIONS

ĩ

-

å. •

To help understand the effect of hydrogen on the mechanical properties of metals and alloys, the determination of stacking fault energies of any candidate alloy is a necessity. Although at least two papers have been published on the stacking fault energy of copper-nickel alloys, their results are out of date and obtained by using indirect experimental techniques. The measurements need to be redone by weak beam dark field electron microscopy. 1.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

- ÷.

÷

The author plans to prepare single crystals (or specimens with very large crystals) of copper, nickel and several copper-nickel alloys during the forthcoming academic year with the view of completing the experiment next summer. The alloy specimens will be analyzed chemically to determine the exact composition. The electron microscope will have been gotten into perfect condition during the winter. It should be possible to perform the same determination on polycrystalline specimens by careful selective cutting of alloys having a strong crystallographic texture.

XII-8

#### REFERENCES

2

- 1. This data has been accumulated from many research reports by W. B. McPherson, Metallic Materials Division, Marshall Space Flight Center.
- 2. B. C. Odegard, J. A. Brooks, and A. J. West, "Effect of Hydrogen on Behavior of Materials," Eds. A. W. Thompson and I. M. Bernstein, The Metallurgical Society of AIME, New York, 1975, p. 116.
- 3. A. Q. Thompson, "Hydrogen in Metals," Eds. I. M. Bernstein and A. W. Thompson, ASM, Metals Park, Ohio, 1974, p. 91.
- 4. A. J. West and M. R. Louthan, Jr., Met. Trans, Vol 10A, p. 1675.
- 5. M. S. Paterson, JAP Vol 23 (1952) p. 805.
- 6. B. E. Warren and E. P. Warekois, Acta Met, Vol 3 (1955) p. 473.
- 7. B. Henderson and J. Just, Met, Vol 92 (1963-64) p. 55.
- 8. A. Howie and P. R. Swann, Phil Mag, Vol 6 (1961) p. 1215.
- 9. J. W. Edington and R. E. Smallman, Phil Mag, Vol li (1965) p. 1109.
- D. J. H. Cockayne, L. L. Ray and M. J. Whelan, Phil Mag, Vol 20 (1969) p. 1265.

N82-17055 D12\_

#### NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

#### MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE

•

<u>ا</u> ب

1

#### SYNTHESIS OF AMINOSILANE AND AROMATIC DIAMINE INTERMEDIATES FOR POLYMERIZATION STUDIES

Prepared By:	Samuel P. McManus, Ph.D.
Academic Rank:	Professor
University and Department	The University of Alabama in Huntsville Department of Chemistry
NASA/MSFC: Laboratory: Division: Branch:	Materials and Processes Non-metallic Materials Polymers and Composites
MSFC Counterpart:	W. J. Patterson, Ph.D.
Date:	7 August 1981
Contract No.:	NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

XIII

-----

#### SYNTHESIS OF AMINOSILANE AND AROMATIC DIAMINE INTERMEDIATES FOR POLYMERIZATION STUDIES

Ŧ

ŧ

2

1

BY

Samuel P. McManus Professor of Chemistry The University of Alabama in Huntsville Huntsville, Alabama

#### ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to prepare monomers for use in a study to improve properties of epoxy polymers and silicone elastomers. Various approaches to the solution of the syntheses were taken and the results are discussed herein.

XIII-1

Project A. Toughened Epoxy Polymers.

#### Introduction

يد

The typical epoxy polymer used in high performance adhesives is a derivative of bisphenol A (I). This raw material can be converted to a glycidyl ether (II) by



reaction with epichlorohydrin. These two reactants also produce an epoxy-containing prepolymer (III) of variable molecular weight (i.e., n is variable but generally is  $\checkmark$ ).

$$Ar = - O - CHCH_2OAro - CH_2CHCH_2OAro - CH_2CH - CH_2
Me (111)$$

The resin III can be cured into a hard cross-linked polymer with various di- or polyamines, anhydrides or other difunctional nucleophilic compounds. Diamines give products which have the best chemical resistance. Such polymers have applications as coatings, in composite formulation, and as adhesives.<sup>1,2</sup> For high performance adhesives, the diamines most often used as curing agents are m-phenylene diamine (IV), 4,4<sup>L</sup>methylene diamiline (V), and the corresponding sulfone VI. While these diamines give cured epoxies with good adhesive strength, toughness, and thermal stability, the polymer tends to be brittle.<sup>3</sup>



#### Objectives.

The purpose of this research program was to synthesize new diamine curing agents for epoxy resins with the goal of obtaining final cured polymers which have better mechanical properties.

The direction already planned by Dr. W. J. Patterson for the ongoing inhouse program is well precedented. It is well known that a linear arrangement of polar groups increases intermolecular (interchain) interactions and, therefore, stiffness. Figure 1 illustrates a highly regular structure with considerable interchain interactions (dotted lines). Reducing these interactions can be achieved by reducing the regularity of the chains. Figure 2 shows a non-linear polymer with the same number of polar groups, but with fewer, and therefore weaker, interchain interactions. The goal then is to engineer a cured epoxy with fewer intermolecular interactions by reducing the polymer linearity.

#### Proposed Study.

A series of non-linear diamine curing agents were targeted for test comparisons with standard cure agents. Ideally, it was desired to test a series of diamines which impart linearity to a cured polymer versus a series which impart non-linearity. The series initially chosen for possible study were <u>ortho-</u>, <u>meta-</u> <u>para-substituted diamines of general structure VII where X is  $CH_2$ ,  $C(CH_3)_2$ , 0, S, NH or SO<sub>2</sub>, and n = 0, 1, 2, and 3. Because of the availability of some key</u>



XIII-3



.

Figure 1. Representation of the interchain (dotted line) attraction between polymer chains which have a linear structure.



members of the series when X = 0 and  $CH_2$ , these series were finally chosen for the greatest amount of effort.

#### Experimental Effort.

In order to get ortho substitution candidates, catechol (VIII) was converted to its sodium salt and reacted with m-chloronitrobenzene (IX).



Initially, it was determined that the sodium cathecholate was extremely sensitive to oxygen, even the traces remaining in nitrogen passed over hot copper turnings. Therefore, only reactions in an argon atmosphere were useful for evaluation. Even then multiple reaction pathways were competitive and several products formed (see Figure 3). Therefore, this series was inappropriate for catachol although it works satisfactorily for resorcinol,<sup>4</sup> the diamine product of which was procured.

Another target molecule experimentally sought was VII (X =  $CH_2$ , n = 2). Two routes were being pursued. One route involved nitration of commercially-available m-dibenzoylbenzene followed by reduction of the resulting nitro and carbonyl groups. Preliminary results proved this to be a feasible route.<sup>5</sup> A rec nd route involves the Grignard coupling of the blocked amine XI and the dibromide XII. While this procedure has yet to be successful, catalyzed processes<sup>6-8</sup> for this reaction offer good promise.

The most direct method of synthesis, via nucleophilic displacement, is not very applicable to <u>meta</u>-substituted derivatives because of very slow rates.<sup>9</sup> The

XIII-5

ž



Figure 3. Liquid chromatogram of the crude product mixture from the reaction of catechol, sodium salt, with <u>m</u>-chloronitrobenzene.

5.



ł

use of aprotic dipolar solvents offers some promise,<sup>10</sup> yet our attempts to convert <u>m</u>-phenylenediamine into the three-ring tetramine, under a variety of conditions failed. The sulfur compounds, had the appropriate <u>m</u>-phenylene dithiol been available, would surely have given a good yield of product XIII.

The target compounds VII for the  $x = CH_2$  and 0, n = 0, 1, and 2 series not mentioned above have been procured or are being prepared presently. The only other target being attempted as part of this effort is VII (X = CH<sub>2</sub>, n = 3). This molecule is being prepared by the route shown below:



#### Project B. Ultrahigh Molecular Weight Silicone Elastomers.

The objective of this project is to investigate ways of preparing silphenylenesiloxane copolymers having ultrahigh molecular weights. Such polymers should be tougher elastomers than the lower molecular weight varieties. Participation in

XIII-7

this project has been limited to an advisory capacity in discussions of strategy. Basically, the problem is getting a coupling agent that can link together the termini of the existing chains. Dr. W. J. Patterson has procured or prepared the materials necessary for a test of the theory that highly reactive diaminosilanes may serve to give ultrahigh molecular weights with hydroxyl-terminated silphenylenesiloxane copolymers.

:

`

ł

References

×,

- Lee, H. and Neville, K., <u>Handbook of Epoxy Resins</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1967.
- Epoxy Resins, May, C. A. and Tanaka, Y., Eds., Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.
- 3. Patterson, W. J., personal communication, 1981.
- Bilow, N. H., Boschan, R. H., and Landis, A. L., Hughes Tech Report AFML-TR-72-57, part II, 1973.
- 5. Semmel, M. L., unpublished results, 1981.
- 6. Tamura, M. and Kochi, J. <u>Synthesis</u>, 303 (1971).
- 7. Tamano, M., Sumitani, K, and Kumada, M., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94, June 14 (1972).
- 8. Tamura, M. and Kocki, J., J. Organomet. Chem., 42, 205 (1972).
- 9. Newton, A. B. and Rose, J. B., Polymer, 13, 465 (1972).
- 10. Su, T.-L., Klein, R.S., and Fox, J. J., J. Org. Chem., 46, 1790 (1981).

. . .\*

# , N82-17056

#### 1981 NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

#### MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

2

#### A STUDY OF COMPONENT DESIGN LOADS DUE TO DYNAMIC ENVIRONMENT

Prepared By: Rajinder S. Mehta Academic Rank: Assistant Professor Alabama A&M University University and Department of Civil Engr. /Engr. Tech. Department: NASA/MSFC Division: Systems Dynamics Laboratory Structural Dynamics Division Branch: MSFC R. Schock/L. Schutzenhofer/R. Ryan Counterpart: Date : August, 1981 Contract No.: NGT 01-008-021

The University of Alabama in Huntsville

# A STUDY OF COMPONENT DESIGN LOADS DUE

#### TO DYNAMIC ENVIRONMENT

BY

2

Rajinder S. Mehta Assistant Professor of Civil Engineering/Engr. Technology Alabama A & M University Normal, Alabama

#### ABSTRACT

The dynamic environments for Space Shuttle payloads during liftoff are the engine generated acoustics as a result of the solid rocket motors and Space Shuttle main engines exhaust streams shearing out the ambient atmosphere causing acoustic transmission through the cargo bay walls. This results in internal cargo bay acoustic impingement on the payload causing random vibration. The low frequency dynamic environment is due to the dynamics of the integrated Shuttle vehicle. The discussion in this paper is primarily on the random vibration of the components causing concern for high load factors for design evaluation. The important factors to be considered in the design of acoustically loaded structure are the prediction of acoustic environment, prediction of structural response to this environment, and to determine the effect of the response on the structural strength requirements.

This paper accounts for two basic responses. The primary structure response is analyzed first due to random vibration. The output of primary structure response is used as an input to the secondary structure to calculate the component response.

XIV-i

#### ACKNOWLED GMENTS

I would like to express my appreciation to all the directors and personnel of NASA/ASEE for coordinating their efforts to such an effective summer research. I also wish to thank my NASA counterparts Mr. R. Schock, Dr. L. Schutzenhofer, and Mr. R. Ryan for their valuable time and assistance in the discussion of this research project.

Acknowledgment is due to Ms. Thacker for her excellent secretarial assistance.

2

**1** .

ís.

. . . . .

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

12

.

:

\*- --

1 · · · · ·

-

s of a congression against and a

1

、

		Page
Ι.	INTRODUCTION	1
п.	RESPONSE OF COMPONENTS	1
	<ul> <li>A. Response of a Resonator</li> <li>B. Response of Component and Its Intervening Structure</li> <li>Supported on the Primary Structure Using Application</li> </ul>	3
	of the Impedance	4
	Loading Effect Between Resonators	8
111.	POSSIBLE CAUSE OF HIGH ACCELERATIONS AND	
	HIGH STRESSES	10
IV.	CONCLUSIONS	11
REFE	RENCES	12

-

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

3

.

Figure	Title	Page
1a.	Base excited structure	2
1b.	Input power spectral density function	2
1c.	The frequency response function	2
2a.	Primary structure	4
2b.	Addition of component support structure	4
2c.	Addition of component	4
3.	Two coupled mechanical resonators excited by random vibration	8

•

•

XIV-iv

#### A STUDY OF COMPONENT DESIGN LOADS DUE TO DYNAMIC ENVIRONMENT

#### I. INTRODUCTION

In evaluating the acoustical design requirements on support structure and its component, appropriate combined environments should be specified. The payload design loads of Space Shuttle are critical because both the high frequency random vibration and the low frequency vehicle dynamics are acting simultaneously during lift-off. A component and its support structure is thus designed to withstand these loads. However, the primary objective is that the component be able to withstand the following design criteria:

- 1) Applied loads -- low and high frequency
- 2) Environments

2

- 3) Constraints
- 4) Margin of safety.

This paper considers only the evaluation of the design loads of the component due to dynamic environments.

Low frequency transient loads can be derived by the normal-mode analysis techniques from which acceleration time histories are computed and thus, low frequency loads established. High frequency loads are based on the local stiffness of the component and its main properties, and are discussed in this paper.

#### II. RESPONSE OF COMPONENTS

The dynamic response of components in a high level acoustic environment will consist of one or more of the following:

1) Vibration of the components mounting induced by acoustic excitation of the support structure.

2) Vibration of the component as a rigid body on its mounting due to net acoustic forces on the component surface.

A hard mounted component will be more susceptible to the vibration of the mounting points rather than direct acoustic excitation. If one thinks of the noise level inside and outside the vehicle as being approximately the same, it is to be expected that the diaphragm action of the skin would result in more vigorous excitation of the component than is possible by the direct acoustic impingement.

In general the response of the primary structure to the acoustic environment causes a vibration input to a component through its attach points that is more severe than that resulting from direct acoustic excitation of the components. Components with high mass to volume ratio, small surface area, high internal damping, or those with condensed parts are not susceptible to acoustic excitation.

The structural damping ratio is an important aspect in the response of a component and its support structure. Once the structure is built it can be tested to measure the damping ratio by measuring the bandwidth at the half power points of the frequency response curve. However, in calculating the dynamic  $g_{peak}$  load a value of damping ratio must be assumed.

The response of any structure to a single point random excitation can be computed by a simple numerical procedure, provided the spectral density of the excitation and the frequency response curve of the structure are known. Consider the structure of Figure 1a whose base is subjected to a random acceleration input with the power spectral density function shown in Figure 1b. To compute the response of the point p and establish the probability of exceeding any specified acceleration.





Figure 1b. Input power spectral density function.



Figure 1c. The frequency response function.

Figure 1a. Base excited structure.

The frequency response function H(f) for the point p may be obtained experimentally by applying to the base a variable frequency sinusoidal shaker with a constant acceleration input  $A_0$  and measuring the acceleration response at p. Dividing the measured acceleration by  $A_0$ , H(f) is shown in Figure 1c.

The mean square response  $\overline{A}_p^2$  at p can be calculated numerically from the equation

$$\widehat{A}_{p}^{2} = \sum_{i} W(f_{i}) |H(f_{i})|^{2} \Delta f_{i} . \qquad (1)$$

To ensure structural integrity of the intervening structure to which a component is mounted, design loads based on estimated transmissibility (Q) have to be calculated prior to testing. Since vibration damage to structures occurs at resonances, therefore response of a resonator from random signal is investigated.

#### A. Response of a Resonator

In dealing with random signals we are not dealing with energy or power at any one frequency, but with the total energy in some specified band of frequencies called the acceleration density. Total summation of acceleration density over a frequency spectrum yields the mean square value of acceleration. The function obtained by allowing the bandwidth  $\Delta f$  to approach zero is called the acceleration power spectral density

$$W(f) = \lim_{\Delta f \to 0} \frac{a^2 \Delta f}{\Delta f} , \qquad (.2)$$

where a is the root mean square value of the random acceleration. The over-all rms value is obtained by taking the square root of the area under the power spectrum.

$$a^{2} = \int_{f_{1}}^{f_{2}} W(f) df$$
(3)

where  $a^2$  is the mean square value or the variance of the vibration from a zero mean between the frequency limits  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ .

At a given frequency f, the power spectral density of the response W(f) of the mechanical resonator is equal to the product of the power spectral density of the excitation  $W_x(f)$  and the square of the absolute value of the sinusoidal transfer function  $-x(f)^{-2}$  at the same frequency:

(4)

$$W(f) = W_x(f) + |x(f)|^2$$

where

$$u(f) = \frac{1 + \left(\frac{f}{f_1}\right)^2}{\left(1 - \frac{f^2}{f_1^2}\right)^2 + \frac{f^2}{f_1^2}Q^2}$$

The rms response of a simple resonator consisting of  $m_1$ ,  $c_1$ , and  $k_1$  to an excitation of constant power spectral density W(f) with resonant frequency  $f_1$  and  $Q = 2^{-1} f_1 m_1/c_1$  is obtained

$$a^{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{f^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}Q^{2}\right)^{W(f)}}{\left(1 - \frac{f^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\right)^{2} + \frac{f^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}Q^{2}} df$$
  
$$= W(f_{1}) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{df}{\left(1 - \frac{f^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\right)^{2} + \frac{f^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}Q^{2}} = \frac{\pi}{2} f_{1} Q V(f_{1}) .$$
(5)

The above approximation is based on the assumption that the response results primarily from the fundamental mode and the resonator is not too heavily damped. However, in practical design problems many mechanical resonators are coupled together. The responses of coupled resonators will behave differently.

Two basic responses are considered in this paper. The primary structure is analyzed first for random response. The output from this response is used as input to the secondary structure to calculate the component response. This can be better explained by electric circuit analogy making use of the Norton's theorem.

#### B. Response of Component and Its Intervening Structure Supported on the Primary Structure Using Application of the Impedance

Consider the response of the primary structure before addition of mechanical impedance of a component mass.

- 1)  $Z_{e}$  has no impedance due to mass
- 2)  $Z_{c}$  has impedance due to mass only







Figure 2b. Addition of component support structure.



Figure 2c. Addition of component.

Assume that the response  $X_p$  at any point in the primary structure is known or is computed using a force generator or a motion generator. The response of the primary structure is not altered when  $Z_s$ , the mechanical impedance of the component support structure, is added to the primary structure ( $Z_s$  has no impedance due to mass).

When the component is added to the system, the structural response  $X_p$  is altered to  $\dot{X}_p$ ; the component has a response  $\dot{X}_c$ , while the supporting structure response to the difference of the altered primary structure response and the component response, i.e.,  $\dot{X}_p - X_c$ .

Using Norton's Theorem

For Component

τ,

֓

$$\frac{\dot{X}_{c}(\omega)}{\dot{X}_{p}(\omega)} = \frac{Z(\omega)_{i}}{Z(\omega)_{i} + Z_{c}(\omega)} = \frac{Z(\omega)_{i}}{1 + Z_{c}(\omega) Z(\omega)_{i}^{-1}} .$$
(6)

For Support Structure

$$\frac{\dot{X}_{p}'(\omega) - \dot{X}_{e}(\omega)}{\dot{X}_{p}(\omega)} = \frac{X_{e}(\omega)}{X_{p}(\omega)} \frac{Z_{e}(\omega)}{Z_{s}(\omega)} .$$
(7)

The mechanical impedance  $Z(\omega)_i$  at the i<sup>th</sup> position in the structure is defined as the impedance of the primary structure at the point of attachment plus the effect of the mechanical impedance of the component support structure.

$$Z^{-1}(\omega)_{i} = \left(Z_{p}^{-1}(\omega)_{i} + Z_{s}^{-1}(\omega)_{i}\right) \qquad (8)$$

For any given frequency, the mechanical impedance  $Z_p(\omega)_i$  at the i<sup>th</sup> position of the primary structure is determined by applying a force  $F(\omega)_i$  and computing the complex ratio  $Z_p(\omega)_i = F(\omega)_i / \dot{X}(\omega)_i$  and using the force generator equation

$$\{X(\omega)\} = \left[X_{N}\right] \left[-H_{N}(\omega)\right] \left[X_{N}^{T}X_{N}\right]^{-1} = \left[X_{N}^{T}\right] \left[X_{F}\right] \left\{F\right\} .$$
(9)

$$Z_{p}^{-1}(\omega)_{i} = \frac{\dot{X}(\omega)_{i}}{F(\omega)_{i}}$$
$$= j_{\omega} \left\{ e_{i} \right\}^{T} \left[ X_{N} \right] \left[ H_{N}(\omega) \right] \left[ X_{N}^{T} X_{N} \right]^{-1} \left[ X_{N}^{T} \right] \left[ X_{F} \right] \left\{ e_{i} \right\}$$
(10)

(10)

 $e_i = a$  column vector where the i<sup>th</sup> element is unity and all other elements are zero and

$$\{\mathbf{a}^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathsf{T}} \ \mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{N}} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \left\{ \mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathsf{T}} \right\} \left\{ \mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{ST}} \right\}$$

The mechanical impedance of the component support structure is

$$Z_{s}^{-1}(\omega) = J_{\omega}(K_{s} + J_{\omega}C_{s})^{-1}$$
(11)

where

5

The mechanical impedance of the component is

$$Z_{2}(\omega) = j_{\omega}M_{2} \qquad (12)$$

The normalized impedances are obtained by multiplying (11) (12) and (10) (12)

$$Z_{c}(\omega) Z_{s}^{-1}(\omega) = -\omega^{2} M_{c} (K_{s} + J\omega C_{s})^{-1} = -\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{2} \left(1 + 2 J \zeta_{c} \frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{-1}$$
$$Z_{c}(\omega) Z_{p}^{-1}(\omega) = -\omega^{2} M_{c} \left\{e_{i}\right\}^{T} \left[X_{N}\right] \left[H_{N}(\omega)\right] \left[X_{N}^{T} X_{N}\right]^{-1} \left[X_{N}^{T} \left[X_{F}\right]\right] \left\{e_{i}\right\} .$$

To find the magnitude of
$$\frac{\dot{x}_{e}(\omega)}{\dot{x}_{p}(\omega)} = \frac{1}{1 + Z_{e}(\omega) Z(\omega)_{i}^{-1}}$$

we have to use theory of complex variables

$$Z_{c}(x) Z_{p}^{-1}(x) = A_{p} + jB_{p}$$

where

í.

2

~

۱

 $A_p$  = real part of normalized mechanical impedance of component mass  $E_p$  = imaginary part of normalized mechanical impedance of component mass

$$A_{p} = -\omega^{2} M_{e} \{e_{i}\}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} X_{N} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Re H_{N}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_{N}^{T} X_{N} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} X_{N}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_{F} \end{bmatrix} \{e_{i}\}$$

$$B_{p} = -\omega^{2} M_{e} \{e_{i}\}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} X_{N} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Im H_{N}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_{N}^{T} X_{N} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} X_{N}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_{F} \end{bmatrix} \{e_{i}\}$$

$$Z_{e}(\omega) Z_{s}^{-1}(\omega) = A_{s} + J B_{s} = -\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{e}}\right)^{2} \left(1 + 2 J Z_{e} \frac{\omega}{\omega_{e}}\right)^{1}$$
(13)

where

A<sub>s</sub> = real part of normalized mechanical impedance of component support structure

B<sub>s</sub> = imaginary part of not nalized mechanical impedance of component support structure

$$A_{s} = -\left(\frac{\omega}{c_{c}}\right)^{2} \left[ (1)^{2} + \left(2 z_{c} \frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{2} \right]^{-1}$$
$$B_{s} = 2 z_{c} \left(\frac{\omega}{c_{c}}\right)^{3} \left[ (1)^{2} + \left(2 z_{c} \frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{2} \right]^{-1}$$

XIV-7

$$Z_{c}(\omega) Z_{s}^{-1}(\omega) = \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{2} \left[ (1)^{2} + \left(2 \zeta_{c} \frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{2} \right]^{-1/2}$$

Therefore Magnitude of Responses

Component

١

÷

$$\frac{\dot{X}_{c}(\omega)}{\dot{X}_{p}(\omega)} = \left\{ (1 + A_{p} + A_{s})^{2} + (B_{p} + B_{s})^{2} \right\}^{-1/2} .$$
(14)

Component Support Structure

$$\left| \frac{\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{p}}'(\omega) - \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{c}}(\omega)}{\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{p}}(\omega)} \right| = \left| \frac{\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{c}}(\omega)}{\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{p}}(\omega)} \frac{\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{c}}(\omega)}{\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{s}}(\omega)} \right| = \left| \frac{\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{c}}(\omega)}{\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{p}}(\omega)} \right| \left| \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{c}}(\omega) \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1}(\omega) \right|$$
$$= \left| \frac{\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{c}}(\omega)}{\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{c}}(\omega)} \right| \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathbf{c}}} \right)^{2} \left[ 1^{2} + \left( 2 \zeta_{\mathbf{c}} \frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathbf{c}}} \right)^{2} \right]^{-1/2} . \quad (15)$$

## C. Random Response of Two Coupled Resonators for No Loading Effect Between Resonators

The root mean square response of a simple mechanical resonator mounted on another mechanical resonator excited by a white noise is co. Mered in this section for the case when there is no loading effect between resonators. Consider the model of Figure 3 for discussion.





We shall be concerned with only four parameters,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $Q_1$ , and  $Q_2$ , instead of  $m_1$ ,  $c_1$ ,  $K_1$ ,  $m_2$ ,  $c_2$ , and  $K_2$  because the resonator comprising of  $m_2$ ,  $K_2$ , and  $c_2$  does not affect the motion of  $m_1$  (case of no loading).

$$f_{1} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{K_{1}}{m_{1}}}$$

$$f_{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{K_{2}}{m_{2}}}$$

$$Q_{1} = \frac{1}{2\zeta_{1}} = 2\pi f_{1} \frac{m_{1}}{c_{1}}$$

$$\lambda_{2} = \frac{1}{2\zeta_{2}} = 2^{-} f_{2} \frac{m_{2}}{c_{2}}$$

2

The rms response of a single resonator consisting of  $m_1$ ,  $c_1$ , and  $K_1$  excited by a constant power spectral density has already been obtained by equation (5)

$$\sqrt{\langle X_{1}^{2} \rangle}_{\text{avg}} = \left[ \frac{\pi f_{1} Q_{1} W(f_{1})}{2} \right]^{1/2} , \qquad (17)$$

(16)

. . . .

provided that the resonator is not heavily damped. The rms response of  $m_2$  is obtained by taking the square root of the area under the power spectrum of the response. For a constant excitation power spectral density W, the response in partially normalized form is

$$\alpha = \frac{\left(\bar{x}_{2}^{2}\right)^{1/2}}{w^{1/2}} = \left[ \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1 + \frac{f^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}Q_{1}^{2}}}{\left(1 - \frac{f^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{f^{2}}{f_{1}Q_{1}^{2}}\right)} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1 + \frac{f^{2}}{f_{2}^{2}Q_{2}^{2}}}{\left(1 - \frac{f^{2}}{f_{2}^{2}}\right)^{2} + \frac{f^{2}}{f_{2}^{2}Q_{2}^{2}}} df \right]^{1/2} .$$
(18)

If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are not close and  $Q_1, Q_2$  are large, the response may be considered to come from the immediate region of the two resonances. One fraction of the integrand peaks, where the other is constant. Hence

-----

$$= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} f_{1} Q_{1} \left[ \frac{1 + \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{f_{2}^{2} Q_{2}^{2}}}{\left(1 - \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{f_{2}^{2}}\right)^{2} + \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{f_{2}^{2} Q_{2}^{2}}} \right] + \frac{1}{2} f_{2} Q_{2} \left[ \frac{1 + \frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2} Q_{1}^{2}}}{\left(1 - \frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\right)^{2} + \frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{2}^{2} Q_{2}^{2}}} \right] \right\}$$

$$(19)$$

 $\mathbf{or}$ 

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{f_1 Q_1}{\left(1 - \frac{f_1^2}{f_2^2}\right)^2} + \frac{f_2 Q_2}{\left(1 - \frac{f_2^2}{f_1^2}\right)^2} \right] \right\}^{1/2}$$

If W(f) is not constant

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{X}_{2}^{2} \rangle}_{avg}} = \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\left[ \frac{f_{1} Q_{1} W(f_{1})}{\left(1 - \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{f_{2}^{2}}\right)^{2} + \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{f_{2}^{2} Q_{2}^{2}}} + \frac{f_{2} Q_{2} W(f_{2})}{\left(1 - \frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\right)^{2} + \frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2} Q_{2}^{2}}} \right] \right\}^{1/2} . \quad (20)$$

The above concept can be extended to n resonators cascaded without interloading.

## III. POSSIBLE CAUSE OF HIGH ACCELERATIONS AND HIGH STRESSES

The predicted random vibration environment defined at the interface has considerable conservatism because vibration criterion is extrapolated to predict the responses in zones where there are no flight measurements. The vibration levels at the interfaces are thus conservatively enveloped to account for all the peaks.

The low frequency coupled  $G_{peak}$  loads and high frequency random  $G_{peak}$  loads are being combined and are applied simultaneously along three mutually perpendicular axes; however, in flight the possibility of this occurrence is rare. In testing a component, however, the loading is applied in sequence rather than triaxial.

The strength analysis is based on the G<sub>peak</sub> loading applied simultaneously, whereas the peaks contribute mostly to the fatigue failure. Therefore, consider rms stress response for strength. Conservatism also lies in the factors of safety being used to perform the stress analysis.

XIV-10

1) The damping of resonant members in the components may often be less than that of the primary structure and the loading of one member by another may be less. For these and other reasons the transmission of the vibration through coupled resonators may sometimes produce large accelerations. However, high accelerations do not necessarily mean high stresses. The high acceleration response may be from a higher frequency mode where, due to the inertia forces being out of phase, the stresses are not correspondingly increased.

2) Vibration damage to structures ordinarily occurs at resonances. If the resonances were staggered or highly damped, it might be possible to decrease the design accelerations.

3) Ambient acoustic noise is most likely to be damaging if there is a progression from a low impedance element of a large area to the structural members of higher impedance. On the other hand, vibration in the structural members is most likely to damage the parts if there is a progression from a high to low mechanical impedance (i.e., if the parts do not load the structural members to which they are fastened.) Controlling the mechanical impedance of the component parts may help to avoid such progression. This will lower the transmissibility at frequencies of resonance and make the need for detuning or damping less critical.

4) The dynamic characteristics of a component influences its own vibration environment during flight. This affect results in a reduction of vibration input criteria to the component at the component resonant frequencies. This implies that the response of a heavy component will tend to reduce Spacelab structure responses and thus will affect the motion of the base of the component considerably. This effect should be accounted for in estimating the transmissibility.

- ---- ------

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Miles, J. W.: "On Structural Fatigue Under Random Loading," The Journal of Aeronautical Science, Vol. 21, No. 11, November 1954.
- 2. Powell, Alan: "On the Fatigue Failure of Structures Due to Vibration Excited by Random Pressure Fields," The Journal of Acoustical Society of America, Vol. 30, No. 12, December 1954.
- 3. Mehta, R. S.: "Acoustic Fatigue and Dynamic Response of Panels," Masters Thesis at University of Alabama in Huntsville, November 1976.

X

- 4. Morrow, C. T. and Muchmore, R. B.: "Shortcomings of Present Methods of Measuring and Simulating Vibration Environments," Journal of Applied Mechanics, September 1955.
- 5. Morrow, C. T., Troesch, A. B., and Spence, H. R.: "Random Response of Two Coupled Resonators Without Loading," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, Vol. 33, No. 1, January 1961.
- 6. Mains, R. M.: "Minimizing Damage from Random Vibration," The Journal of Acoustical Society of America, Vol. 30, No. 12, December 1958.

N82-17057 D14

حديوه محيا مروات وس

### NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

## MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

## ATTITUDE CONTROL OF THE SPACE CONSTRUCTION BASE: A MODULAR APPROACH

Prepared By:

2

·-

Daniel A. O'Connor, DSc.

Academic Rank:

University and Department:

Assistant Professor

Clarkson College of Technology Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

NASA/MSFC: Division:

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

Contract No:

Control Systems Division

Dr. Michael T. Borelli

August 8, 1981

NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

# ATTITUDE CONTROL OF THE SPACE CONSTRUCTION BASE: A MODULAR APPROACH

## Daniel A. O'Connor Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering Clarkson College of Technology Potsdam, New York

5

## ABSTRACT

The object of this study is to develop attitude control systems for large space structures. These structures are large vibrating systems subject to various disturbances and are designed to support experiments with stringent pointing and stabilization requirements. The construction of the structure will be accomplished by joining together modules; each module is constructed on the ground and delivered to an orbiting base by the Space Shuttle. As each new part is added to the base, the dynamics change and, hence, the overall control problem will change. Each module will have on-board control computers and actuators ar. must integrate with the base control system to provide overall control for the augmented structure.

In this work, we consider a planar model of a space base and one module. For this simplified system, we develop a feedback controlle, which is compatible with the construction method described above. The systems dynamics are decomposed into two parts corresponding to base and module. The information structure of the problem is non-classical in that not all system information is supplied to each controller. The base controller is designed to accommodate structural changes that occur as the module is added and the module controller is designed to regulate its own states and follow commands from the base. Overall stability of the system is checked by Liapunov analysis and controller effectiveness is verified by computer simulation.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Title</u>	Page
Section 1. Introduction	1
Section 2. Control System Analysis	١
2.1 Centralized Linear Regulator	3
2.2 Decomposition and Aggregation Approach	6
2.3 Controller with Partial Feedback	9
Section 3. Conclusions	10
Appendix A. Planar Model of Vehicle	11
Appendix B. Physical Parameters	19
Appendix C. Numerical Results	20
Figure 1. Orientation of Space Base	31
Figure 2. Space Base Schematic	32
Table 1. Matrix Coefficients	33
References	35

~

т .4 .4 .4 .4

4

#### 1. Introduction

2

2

In this report we describe the application of some lange scale systems techniques to the attitude control of multibody satellites. Specifically, we consider the problem of how to control the satellite with actuators on each body, but restrictions of the transfer of sensor information between bodies.

To focus on a particular problem, we considered a planar model of a twobody vehicle with flexible appendages. This vehicle is a variant of the hypothetical space construction base investigated previously in the Bendix report, [1], which uses multilevel techniques for atticude control.

It is important to note the difference between the tec.niques we apply and multilevel techniques. The basic function of multilevel control is to subdivide a large dimension linear quadratic regulator problem into smaller dimension subproblems which can be individually solved and then to coordinate the controls of each subproblem by a higher order supervisory control. This method (as practiced in [1]), is iterative and upon convergence leads to the solution of the large dimension linear quadratic regulator problem. The resulting controller requires information sharing between each of the partioned subsystems.

Our approach is to apply the methods of decomposition and aggregation [2]. Here we also subdivide the problem into smaller dimension linear quadratic regulator problems, but because of the restrictions on information sharing, we have a limit on the degree of coordination between subsystems. This lack of coordination may result in a greater quadratic cost compared with the multilevel approach, but possesses the advantage of connective stability. That is, if the controlled bodies are disconnected from one another, each one remains a stable regulator. It is easy to see [2] that this property is not shared by centralized linear quadratic regulators.

In Section 2, we describe the decomposed control techniques and their application to the attitude control problem. In Appendix C we detail the numerical simulation results computed to date and in Appendix A, we derive the equations of planar motion for the space construction base and modula.

### 2. Control System Analysis

.

1 - F 5 88

The small angle equations of motion of the space base and the space base with module are described by,

$$M_{1}\ddot{r}_{1} + D_{1}\dot{r}_{1} + K_{1}r_{1} = T_{1}V_{1}$$
(2.1)

$$M_{2}\ddot{r}_{2} + D_{2}\dot{r}_{2} + K_{2}r_{2} = T_{2}v_{2}$$
(2.2)

where  $r_1$  is the 5xl vector of base generalized coordinates  $[x,y,\theta_1,q,p]^T$ and  $r_2$  is the augmented 6xl vector of generalized coordinates of the base and module  $r_2 = [r_1r,\theta_2]^T$ 

-

The 3xl vector  $v_1$  represents central body control on the base and the 4xl vector  $v_2$  represents central body control on the base and module. Derivation of 2.1 ~ 2.2 and identification of parameters is found in Appendix A.

The systems can be placed in first order state variable form as follows:

(2.3)

define 
$$x_1 = \begin{vmatrix} r_1 \\ \dot{r}_1 \end{vmatrix}$$
,  $\dot{h}_1 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & I \\ -M_1^{-1}K_1 & pM_1^{-1}D_1 \end{vmatrix}$ ,  $b_1 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ T_1 \end{vmatrix}$ 

To give 
$$\dot{x}_{1} = A_{1}x_{1} + B_{1}y_{1}$$

2

and define 
$$z = \begin{vmatrix} r_2 \\ \dot{r}_2 \end{vmatrix}$$
,  $A_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & I \\ -M_2^{-1}K_2 & -M_2^{-1}D_2 \end{vmatrix}$ ,  $B_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ T_2 \end{vmatrix}$ 

To give 
$$\dot{z} = A_2 z + B_2 v_2$$
 (2.4)

The relationship between the two models can be clearly seen if we modify equation (2.4). First we define new coordinates by the permutation  $\tilde{z} = Mz$ 

where 
$$\tilde{z} = \begin{vmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{vmatrix}$$
,  $x_1 = \begin{vmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{vmatrix}$ ,  $x_2 = \begin{vmatrix} \theta_2 \\ \theta_2 \end{vmatrix}$ 

we obtain the equation in  $\tilde{z}$ 

-

$$\ddot{z} = MAM^{-1}\ddot{z} + MB_2v_2$$

which can be written in terms the coefficient matrices of (2.3) as

$$\begin{vmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} A_1 + A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} B_1 + B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{vmatrix} (2.5)$$

here  $u_1 = v_1$  is the 3xl vector of controls which directly effect the base and  $u_2$  is the scalar control which directly effects the module. The values of the matrices Aij and Bij are given in Table 1.

#### 2.1 Centralized Linear Regulator

As a first step we consider the centralized linear quadratic regulator. We take this approach to determine the structure of the undecomposed problem (i.e., controllability, stabilizability) and also to provide some comparison with the controllers designed by the decomposition aggregation approach. In this case, the distinction between the states,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  can be suppressed and we can rewrite (2.5) as

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_1 = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}$$

where  $x = \begin{vmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{vmatrix}$  is the 12xl composite state vector

and

 $u = \begin{vmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{vmatrix}$  is the 4xl composite control vector

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} A_{11} + A_{1} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{vmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{vmatrix} B_{11} + B_{1} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{vmatrix}$$

Optimal regulation is obtained by choosing the control law which minimizes the quadratic performance index

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (x^{T} Q x + u^{T} R u) dt$$

where

Is a non-negative definite 12x12 matrix k is a positive definite  $4x_{-}^{2}$  matrix

If a minimizing control exists, it is realized by

$$u = -R^{-1}B^{T}Px$$

where P is a solution of the algebraic Riccati equation

$$A^{T}P + PA - RBR^{-1}B^{T}P + Q = 0$$

Moreover, the minimizing control law will exist if the petr (A,B) is stabilizable and the ansociated clos-d loop system

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{P})\mathbf{x}$$

will be stable is  $(Q^{1/2}, A)$  is a detectable pair [3].

- -

Stabilizability of the composite system is easily checked with the following criterion.

Proposition 1 (A,B) is a controllable pair if and only if

rank 
$$[M_2\lambda^2 + D_2\lambda + K_2, T_2] = 6$$
 for all  $\lambda$ 

If the rank condition holds for all  $\lambda$  such that  $\text{Re}\lambda \ge 0$  then (A,B) is stabilizable.

<u>Proof</u> Immediate application of the well-known Hautus condition [4] to mechanical systems.

Now taking advantage of the particular structure of the composite model, we can partition the characteristic matrix

$$M_2 \lambda^2 + D_2 \lambda + K_2$$

as

;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{11}\lambda^2 & M_{12}\lambda^2 \\ M_{21}\lambda^2 & M_{22}\lambda^2 + \overline{D}\lambda + \overline{K} \end{array}$$

where Mij are 33 matrices

and  $\overline{D}$ ,  $\overline{K}$  are 3x3 diagonal matrices (see Appendix A)

$$\overline{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\xi_{A}\omega_{A}M_{A} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 2\xi_{B}\omega_{B}M_{B} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & D_{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{\mathbf{A}}^{2}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \omega_{\mathbf{B}}^{2}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{0}} \end{bmatrix}$$

...

-

if we partition  $T_2$  as  $\begin{vmatrix} \hat{T}_1 \\ \hat{T}_2 \end{vmatrix}$  where  $\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2$  are

3x4 matrices, the Proposition 1 implies that the composite system is controllable if and only if there is no nonzero pair of vectors  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  such that for any  $\lambda$ 

$$x_{1}^{T}M_{11}\lambda^{2} + x_{2}^{T}M_{21}\lambda^{2}$$

$$x_{1}^{T}M_{12}\lambda^{2} + x_{2}^{T}[\lambda^{2}M_{22} + \lambda\overline{D} + \overline{K}] = 0$$

$$x_{1}^{T}\hat{T}_{1} + x_{2}^{T}\hat{T}_{2} = 0$$
(2.6)

Consideration of the rigid body mode  $\lambda = 0$  implies that the system will be controllable only if  $\hat{T}_1$  has full row rank, or equivalently if each rigid body coordinate is controlled. Since we assume this to be true, the system will be completely controllable if and only if there is no nonzero vector  $x_2$  such that

$$\mathbf{x}_{2}^{T}\mathbf{M}_{21} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{2}^{T}[\lambda^{2}\mathbf{M}_{22} + \lambda\overline{\mathbf{D}} + \overline{\mathbf{K}}] = 0$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{2}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{2} = 0$$

or equivalently if

rank 
$$[\lambda^2 M_{22} + \lambda \overline{D} + \overline{K}, M_{21}, \hat{T}_2] = 3$$

Expanding this criterion in the model parameters (Appendix A) we obtain the controllability condition;

$$\operatorname{rank} \begin{vmatrix} M_{A}(\lambda^{2}+2\xi_{A}\omega_{A}+\omega_{A}^{2}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_{B}(\lambda^{2}+2\xi_{B}\omega_{B}+\omega_{B}^{2}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \hat{1}_{2}\lambda^{2}+D_{\theta}\lambda+K_{\theta} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & M_{A}\tilde{\phi} & r_{11}M_{A}\tilde{\phi} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_{B}\tilde{\phi} & r_{11}M_{B}\tilde{\phi} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_{2}r_{22} & 0 & \hat{1}_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 3$$

Now since we assume the two wings are identical, the rank of the above matrix is two when  $\lambda$  is a flexible wing mode and, hence, the system is incontrollable. However, there is some small damping on these modes so the system is stabilizable and the linear quadratic regulator problem will have a solution.

The flexible modes cannot be controlled; therefore, we do not reflect wing deflection errors in the cost. The weighting matrices Q and R are chosen as follows.

If  $\tilde{x_i}$  is the maximum allowable value of the state  $x_i$  and  $\tilde{u_i}$  is the maximum allowable value of the control  $u_i$  we pick Q and R as the diagonal matrices

$$Q = \text{diag}\{\tilde{x}_i^{-2}\}, \qquad R = \text{diag}\{\tilde{u}_i^{-2}\}$$

#### 2.2 Decomposition Aggregation Approach

2

We now make explicit use of the decomposition of the state x into  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and break (2.5) into subsystems

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{1} = (\mathbf{A}_{1} + \mathbf{A}_{11})\mathbf{x}_{1} + \mathbf{A}_{12}\mathbf{x}_{2} + (\mathbf{B}_{1} + \mathbf{B}_{11})\mathbf{u}_{1} + \mathbf{B}_{12}\mathbf{u}_{2}$$
 (2.7)

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_2 = \mathbf{A}_{21}\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{A}_{22}\mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{B}_{21}\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{B}_{22}\mathbf{u}_2$$
(2.8)

It is important to note that (2.7) - (2.8) are not in input decentralized form. That is, the controls applied to the base  $u_1$  directly affect the module through the matrix  $B_{21}$  and similarly control  $u_2$  applied directly to the module effects the base through  $B_{12}$ . This fact makes decomposition aggregation methods difficult to apply as we shall see. It is possible to change coordinates and transform (2.7) - (2.8) into a pair of input decentralized systems, but this decomposite to the base vehicle and the states in the states in the first system refer to the base vehicle and the states in the second system refer to the module. In what follows, we will leave the subsystems in the form (2.7) - (2.8), but it would be interesting to investigate the method of input decentralization and its effects on information structure in future work.

If the module were not present, the system would be described by

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_1 = \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{u}_1$ 

This system is stabilizable and we can construct a control law to minimize the cost

$$J = \int_{0}^{\infty} (x_{1}^{T}Q_{1}x_{1} + u_{1}^{T}R_{1}u_{1})dt$$

The control law is given by

$$u_{1} = -R_{1}^{-1}B_{1}^{T}P_{1}x_{1}$$

$$P_{1}A_{1} + A_{1}^{T}P_{1} - P_{1}B_{1}R_{1}^{-1}B_{1}^{T}P_{1} + Q_{1} = 0$$
(2.9)

Now when the module is added to the base, the system dynamics become (2.7) - (2.8) with u given by (2.9). The first question we ask is: does there exist a control of the form

$$u_{1} = -R_{1}^{-1}B_{1}^{T}P_{1}x_{1} + K_{11}x_{1}$$
(2.10)

such that the perturbed linear system

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_1 = (\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_{11})\mathbf{x}_1 + (\mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_{11})\mathbf{u}_1$$
 (2.11)

is a good regulator? This problem has received some attention previously [5] in a different context. We have the following partial result:

<u>Proposition 2</u>: If  $A_{11}$  and  $B_{11}$  satisfy the matching conditions,  $A_{11} = B_1C_1$ ,  $B_{11} = B_1C_2$  for some 3x10 matrix  $C_1$  and some 3x3 matrix  $C_2$  and if all the eigenvalues of  $C_2$  + I are positive, then the system (2.11) is stabilized by the control

$$u_1 = -(1 + \gamma)R_1^{-1}B_1^TP_1x_1$$

for some sufficiently large constant y.

<u>Proof</u>: A straightforward extension of Theorem 3.4 in [5] to the case where  $B_1$  as well as  $A_1$  is perturbed.

The significance of this result is that if the perturbations  $A_{11}$ ,  $B_{11}$  satisfy the conditions of the proposition, then it will be possible to use the same control law that was used to control the base to control the base and module combination. The only modification required is that the gains are increased. A control law that can adapt to perturbations by minor changes, (such as modification of gains), would be advantageous to the attitude control problem faced here, and we will continue to study this type of controller in our future work.

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{1} = (\mathbf{A}_{1} + \mathbf{A}_{11})\mathbf{x}_{1} + (\mathbf{B}_{1} + \mathbf{B}_{11})\mathbf{u}_{1}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{1} = -(1 + \gamma)\mathbf{R}_{1}^{-1}\mathbf{B}_{1}^{T}\mathbf{P}_{1}\mathbf{x}_{1}$$
(2.12)

and

2

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_2 = \mathbf{A}_{22}\mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{u}_2 \tag{2.13}$$

Since the control  $u_1$  has already been selected, we need only select  $u_2$ . We pick  $u_2$  to minimize the quadratic cost

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} [x_2^{T}Q_2x_2 + u_2^{T}R_2u_2]dt$$

and obtain

$$u_2 = -R_2^{-1}B_2^{T}P_2x_2$$
 (2.14)

where

$$P_2A_2 + A_2^TP_2 - P_2B_2R_2^{-1}B_2^TP_2 + Q_2 = 0$$

With this choice of control, the decoupled subsystems are stable regulators and no information sharing between controllers is required; however, overall system stability is at question. Overall stability can be determined by Liapunov stability analysis [2].

Since the closed loop systems (2.12), (2.13), (2.14) are stable regulators, there exist two Liapunov functions for the respective systems

$$V_1(x_1) = x_1^T P_1 x_1$$
  $V_2(x_2) = x_2^T P_2 x_2$ 

both functions are positive definite and their derivatives along the trajectories of (2.12), (2.13) are negative semidefinite

$$\dot{v}_1(x_1) = -x_1^T S_1 x_1$$
  $\dot{v}_2(x_2) = x_2^T S_2 x_2$ 

where

$$S_{1} = Q_{1} + P_{1}B_{1}R_{1}^{-1}B_{1}^{T}P_{1} + P_{1}B_{11}R_{1}^{-1}B_{11}^{T}P_{1} + P_{1}B_{11}R_{1}^{-1}B_{1}^{T}P_{1}$$
  
+  $\gamma(P_{1}(B_{1} + B_{11})R_{1}^{-1}B_{1}P_{1} + P_{1}R_{1}^{-1}(B_{1} + B_{11})P_{1})$   
-  $A_{11}^{T}P_{1} - P_{1}A_{11}$ 

and

$$S_2 = Q_2 + P_2 B_2 R_2^{-1} B_2^{T} P_2$$

To derive stability conditions for the overall base-module composite system, we follow the techniques of Siljack, [2, pp. 96-99]. We obtain the following criterion.

<u>Proposition 3</u>: Let  $\lambda_m(A)$  denote the minimum eigenvalue and  $\lambda^m(A)$  be the maximum eigenvalue of an arbitrary matrix A. The overall system will be stable if the 2x2 aggregate matrix W is stable

$$W = \begin{cases} -1 & 2\left(\frac{\lambda^{m}(P_{1})}{\lambda_{m}^{1/2}(P_{1})}\right)\lambda_{m}^{1/2}(P_{2})\lambda_{m}^{-1}(S_{2})\xi_{12} \\ 2\left(\frac{\lambda^{m}(P_{2})}{\lambda_{m}^{1/2}(P_{2})}\right)\lambda_{m}^{1/2}(P_{1})\lambda_{m}^{-1}(S_{1})\xi_{21} & -1 \end{cases}$$

Here  $\xi_{12}$ ,  $\xi_{21}$  are defined by

$$\xi_{12} = || A_{12} - B_{12} R_2^{-1} B_2^{-T} P_2 ||$$
(2.15)

$$\xi_{21} = || A_{21} - (1 + \gamma) B_{21} R_1^{-1} B_1^{T} P_1 ||$$
(2.16)

where || • || denotes matrix norm.

2

At this point we note the difficulties inherent in applying this technique. Stability of the aggregate matrix W requires  $1-W_{12}W_{21} > 0$ . For this to be the case, the stability margins of the decoupled systems should be large, (i.e.,  $\lambda_m(S_1)$ ,  $\lambda_m(S_2)$  are large), and the interconnection connection terms should be small, (i.e.,  $\xi_{12}$ ,  $\xi_{21}$  are small). To achieve large stability margins will require large feedback gains, but from (2.15), (2.16) we see that large feedback gains will tend to increase the interconnection terms. Therefore, this approach may be difficult to implement since our problem is not input decentralized. Finally, we tried another approach which takes advantage of the input structure of our problem.

#### 2.3 Controller with Partial Feedback

In this approach we restrict the control law for the overall system to have the form

$$u_1 = K_{11}x_1$$
  
 $u_2 = K_{21}x_1 + K_{22}x_2$  (2.17)

The term  $K_{21}x_1$  can be thought of as signalling from the base to the module for the purpose of coordinating control action. If we apply this control law to sytem (2.7)-(2.8) we obtain the closed loop system

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{1} = (\mathbf{A}_{1} + \mathbf{A}_{11}) + (\mathbf{B}_{1} + \mathbf{B}_{11})\mathbf{K}_{11} + \mathbf{B}_{12}\mathbf{r}_{21}\mathbf{x}_{1} + (\mathbf{A}_{12} + \mathbf{B}_{12}\mathbf{K}_{22})\mathbf{x}_{2}$$
$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{2} = (\mathbf{A}_{21} + \mathbf{B}_{21}\mathbf{K}_{11} + \mathbf{B}_{22}\mathbf{K}_{21})\mathbf{x}_{1} + (\mathbf{A}_{22} + \mathbf{B}_{22}\mathbf{K}_{22})\mathbf{x}_{2}$$

The basic idea is to pick (2.17) to force the c  $\cdot$  ed loop dynamics into triangular form, that is pick K<sub>11</sub>, K<sub>21</sub> so that

$$A_{21} + B_{21}K_{11} + B_{22}K_{21} = 0$$
 (2.18)

Equation (2.18) has a solution  $K_{11}$ ,  $K_{21}$  if and only if the columns of  $A_{21}$  are contained in the range space of the matrix [ $B_{21}$ ,  $B_{22}$ ]. For our model, this is the case and a solution of (2.18) is given by

$$\begin{vmatrix} \kappa_{11} \\ \kappa_{21} \end{vmatrix} = -[B_{21}, B_{22}]^{(1)}A_{21} + \Pi F$$
(2.19)

Here  $[B_{21}, B_{22}]^{(1)}$  is the generalized inverse of  $[B_{21}, B_{22}]$ F is an arbitrary 4x10 matrix, and T is the projection onto the null space of  $[B_{21}, B_{22}]$ .

With the matrices  $K_{11}$ ,  $K_{12}$  given in 2.19, the closed loop dynamics are

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{1} = ((\mathbf{A}_{1} + \mathbf{A}_{11}) - [\mathbf{B}_{1} + \mathbf{B}_{11}, \mathbf{B}_{12}][\mathbf{B}_{21}, \mathbf{B}_{22}]\mathbf{A}_{21} + [\mathbf{B}_{1} + \mathbf{B}_{11}, \mathbf{B}_{12}]\Pi \mathbf{F})\mathbf{x}_{1} + (\mathbf{A}_{12} + \mathbf{B}_{12}\mathbf{K}_{22})\mathbf{x}_{2}$$
$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{2} = (\mathbf{A}_{22} + \mathbf{B}_{22}\mathbf{K}_{22})\mathbf{x}_{2}$$

Now the two subsystems are effectively decoupled and we must determine if we have enough freedom to control the first subsystem. Thus, we must determine if the pair

$$\{(A_1 + A_{11}) - [B_1 + B_{11}, B_{12}][B_{21}, B_{22}]A_{21}, [B_1 + B_{11}, B_{12}]\Pi\}$$
 (2.20)

is stabilizable. If stabilizability follows, we will select the arbitrary matrix F to stabilize the pair (2.20) and select the matrix  $K_{22}$  to stabilize the pair ( $A_{22}$ ,  $B_{22}$ ). The resulting overall closed loop stability is assured in that the interconnection terms may degrade the performance, but not destabilize the system.

#### 3. Conclusion

2

This work has been a preliminary study of the attitude control of multibody satellites using large-scale systems techniques. Our efforts have centered on two methods that require less than full information sharing between controllers on different bodies of a two-body satellite. Both methods have disadvantages, the decomposition-aggregation approach is difficult to apply to systems which lack a decentralized input structure and the triangularization approach we used in Section 2.3 is too model spacific.

In our further work, we will build on the examples in the report and try to develop a control technique more suitable to the attitude control with restricted information problem.

#### APPENDIX A

#### PLANAR MODEL OF VEHICLE

In this appendix we use Lagrangian mechanics to derive the small angle linearized equations of motion of the space construction base and logistics module. Motion is restricted to a single plane which is perpendicular to the behicle's velocity vector as shown in Figure 1. The vehicle is modelled as two rigid bodies connected by a spring hinge suspension. The flexible motion attributed to the solar wings attached to the base is modelled by superimposing the bending motion of two clamped free beams over the rigid body motion. The schematic of the physical system is shown in Figure 2. In the figure, the base structure is labelled rigid body 1 and the logistics module rigid body 2. The clamped free beams representing the solar wings are labelled A and B as shown.

To describe the motions, we use three reference frames:

 $c^{0}$ :  $(x_{0}, y_{0})$  an inertial reference frame with origin at the nominal location of rigid body 1 center of mass.

 $c^1$ :  $(x_1, y_1)$  principal axis of rigid body 1

 $c^2$ :  $(x_2, x_2)$  principal axis of rigid body 2.

We denote unit vectors by  $i_{v}$ ,  $i_{v}$ , etc.

We define the following reference points on the schematic.

cm\_: center of mass of rigid body 1 (solar wings are not included in calculation of this value).

- cm<sub>2</sub>: center of mass of rigid body 2.
- 1: point where nominal plane of the solar wings intersects axis y.
- 2 : location of the suspension hinge.

and the following vectors,

ne er verster nige it af allegepreper anne alle daten rigerstere in andres i date affekte er der v

 $\overline{R}_{o} : xi_{x} + yi_{y}: \text{ translational vector of cm.}$   $\overline{R}_{11}: \text{ vector from cm to 1}$   $\overline{R}_{11}_{A}, \overline{R}_{11}_{B}: \text{ vectors from 1 to points where wings connect}$   $\overline{R}_{12}: \text{ vector from cm to 2}$   $\overline{R}_{22}: \text{ vector from cm to 2}$  z: the distance along wings from the clamped end 0 < z < 1

1; length of wings

u(x,t): bending displacement of wing A

v(z,t): bending displacement of wing B

 $\theta_1$ : angle between  $i_r$ ,  $i_r$ 

 $\theta_2$ : angle between  $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}}$ .

## Kinematic Description

In order to refer the vector measured in reference frame e to the inertial frame we use the transformation  $T_1$ :

and to refer a vector measured in reference frame c to the c frame, we use the transformation  $T_2$ :

×2	cos 9 <sub>2</sub>	$\sin \theta_2$	<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>
<sup>y</sup> 2	-sin θ <sub>2</sub>	$\cos \theta_2$	у <sub>1</sub>

Let the position of an arbitrary mass point in rigid body 1 referred to coordinate system  $c^1$  be  $\bar{\rho}_1$ . Its position and velocity with respect to the inertial frame are

$$\overline{R}_{1} = \overline{R}_{0} + T_{1}^{T} \overline{\rho}_{1}$$
$$\dot{\overline{R}}_{1} = \dot{\overline{R}}_{0} + \dot{T}_{1}^{T} \overline{\rho}_{1}$$

Similarly, if  $\overline{\rho_2}$  is an arbitrary mass point m rigid body 2 referred to coordinate system c, its position and velocity with respect to the inertial frame are

$$\overline{\mathbf{R}}_{2} = \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{0} + \mathbf{T}_{1}^{T} (\overline{\mathbf{R}}_{12})_{2} + (\mathbf{T}_{2}\mathbf{T}_{1})^{T} (-\overline{\mathbf{R}}_{22} + \overline{\rho}_{2})_{2}$$
  
$$\vdots \\ \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{2} = \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{0} + \overline{\mathbf{T}}_{1}^{T} (\overline{\mathbf{R}}_{12})_{2} + (\overline{\mathbf{T}}_{2}\mathbf{T}_{1})^{T} (-\overline{\mathbf{R}}_{22} + \rho_{2})_{2}$$

At a distance z from the clamped end of solar wing A, the position and velocity of a mass point on the wing is

$$\overline{R}_{A} = \overline{R}_{0} + T_{1}^{T} (\overline{R}_{11} + \overline{R}_{11A} + zi_{x_{1}} + u(z,t)i_{y_{1}})_{1}$$

$$\frac{1}{\overline{R}_{B}} = \overline{R}_{0} + T_{1}^{T} (\overline{R}_{11} + \overline{R}_{11A} + zi_{x_{1}} + u(z,t)i_{y_{1}})_{1} + T_{1}^{T} (u(z,t)i_{y_{1}})_{1}$$

and similarly for wing B

$$\overline{R}_{B} = \overline{R}_{0} + T_{1}^{T} (\overline{R}_{11} + \overline{R}_{11B} - zi_{x_{1}} + v(z,t)i_{y_{1}})_{1}$$

$$\dot{\overline{R}}_{B} = \dot{\overline{R}}_{0} + \dot{T}_{1}^{T} (\overline{R}_{11} + \overline{R}_{11B} - zi_{x_{1}} + v(z,t)i_{y_{1}}) + T_{1}^{T} (\dot{v}(z,t)i_{y_{1}})_{1}$$

## Kinetic Energy

.

۰.

s

14

In developing the Lagrangian equations of motion we take as our general ded coordinates  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ , x, y, u, v. We assume that these quantities are small and, thus, in particular the coordinate transformations  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  can be approximated by

$$\mathbf{T}_{1} \doteq \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \theta_{1} \\ -\theta_{1} & 1 \end{vmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{T}_{2} \doteq \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \theta_{2} \\ -\theta_{1} & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

The total kinetic energy of the vehicle is given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{T}} = \frac{1}{2} \{ \begin{array}{c} f & \mathbf{\dot{\overline{R}}}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{\dot{\overline{R}}}_{1} \, \mathrm{dm} + f & \mathbf{\dot{\overline{R}}}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{\dot{\overline{R}}}_{2} \, \mathrm{dm} + f & \mathbf{\dot{\overline{R}}}_{A} \cdot \mathbf{\dot{\overline{R}}}_{A} \, \mathrm{dm} + f & \mathbf{\dot{\overline{R}}}_{B} \cdot \mathbf{\dot{\overline{R}}}_{B} \, \mathrm{dm} \} \\ \text{Wing } \mathbf{A} & \text{Wing } \mathbf{B} \end{array} \}$$

Using the above kinematic expressions and assuming small deviations, we obtain

$$\tilde{T} = \frac{1}{2} m_{T} (\dot{x}^{2} + \dot{y}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{I}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{I}_{2} \dot{\theta}_{2}^{2} + \hat{I}_{12} \dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{2}$$

$$+ m_{2} r_{22} \dot{x} \dot{\theta}_{1} + m_{2} r_{22} \dot{x} \dot{\theta}_{2} + (r_{11} m_{B} + m_{A}) - m_{2} r_{12}) \dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{y}$$

$$+ \dot{y} \{ \int \dot{u} dm + \int \dot{v} dm \} + \dot{\theta}_{1} r_{11} \{ \int \dot{u} dm + \int \dot{v} dm \}$$

$$Wing A \qquad Wing B \qquad Wing A \qquad Wing B$$

$$+ \int \dot{u}^{2} dm + \int \dot{v}^{2} dm$$

$$Wing A \qquad Wing B$$

$$(\Lambda 1)$$

here,

I<sub>1</sub>: moment of inertia of rigid body 1  
I<sub>2</sub>: moment of inertia of rigid body 2  
r<sub>11</sub>, r<sub>12</sub>, r<sub>22</sub>, r<sub>11A</sub>, r<sub>11B</sub>: lengths of vectors 
$$\overline{R}_{11}$$
,  $\overline{R}_{12}$ ,  $\overline{R}_{22}$ ,  $\overline{R}_{11A}$ ,  $\overline{R}_{11B}$   
m<sub>A</sub>: mass of wing A  
m<sub>B</sub>: mass of wing B  
m<sub>1</sub>: mass of rigid body 1  
m<sub>2</sub>: mass of rigid body 2  
m<sub>T</sub>: total mass of body

-----

$$\hat{I}_{1} = I_{1} + \int_{\text{Wing A}} (r_{11}^{2} + (r_{11A} + z)^{2}) dm + \int_{\text{Wing B}} (r_{11}^{2} + (r_{11B} + z)^{2}) dm + I_{2} + m_{2}(r_{12} + r_{22})^{2}$$

$$\hat{I}_{2} = I_{2} + m_{2}r_{22}^{2}$$

$$\hat{I}_{12} = I_{2} + m_{2}(r_{22}^{2} + r_{12}r_{22})$$

<u>Potential Energy</u>: The total potential energy of the system is the sum of the strain energy in the wings and in the hinge suspension.

$$\tilde{V}_{\text{Wings}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\text{Wing A}} EI \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2}\right) dz + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\text{Wing B}} EI \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2}\right) dz$$
(A2)

$$\tilde{v}_{\text{Hinge}} = \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{\theta} \theta_2^2 \tag{A3}$$

here EI is the beam stiffness coefficient

 $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$  is the spring constant of the hinge

#### Equations of Motion

١.

۰'n

4

In order to obtain a finite dimensional set of dynamic equations, we will use the assumed modes method [6]. In this method we approximate the elastic displacements by a finite linear combination of mode shapes for the clamped free beam ultiplied by time dependent generalized coordinates. Thus, we have

$$u(z,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi_{Aj}(z)q_{j}(t)$$

$$v_{-},t) \sim \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi_{Bj}(z)\rho_{j}(t)$$

where  $\phi_{Aj}$ ,  $\phi_{Bj}$  are eigenfunctions of a clamped free beam, [7],

$$\phi_{j} = A_{j}[(sin\beta_{j}l-sinh\beta_{j}l)(sin\beta_{j}z-sinh\beta_{j}z) + (cos\beta_{i}l-cosh\beta_{j}l)(cos\beta_{j}z-cosh\beta_{j}z)]$$

Here  $\beta_j$  are the eigenvalues of the clamped free bean and  $A_j$  are scale factors chosen such that

$$\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{j}(z) \phi_{k}(z) dz = 0$$

For simplicity, we have only taken the first bending mode in our approximation. We also assume that the two solar wings are identical. Therefore,

$$u(z,t) = q(t)\phi(z)$$

$$v(z,t) = p(t)\phi(z)$$

$$\phi(z) = 1^{-1/2} \left[ (\cosh \frac{1.875}{1} z - \cos \frac{1.875}{1} z) - 0.734 (\sinh \frac{1.875}{1} z - \sin \frac{1.875}{1} z) \right]$$

$$0 \le z \le 1$$

If we substitute the expressions (A4), (A5) into the expressions for kinetic and potential energy (A1) (A2-A3), we can write  $\tilde{T}$ ,  $\tilde{V}$  as quadratic forms. If we define the 6xl vector of generalized coordinates  $r_2$ ,

$$r_{2} = (x, y, \theta_{1}, p, q, \theta_{2})^{T}$$

then

2

$$\tilde{T} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{r}_2^T M_2 \dot{r}_2$$
  $\tilde{V} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{r}_2^T K_2 r_2$ 

where the 6x6 matrices  $M_2$  and  $K_2$  are defined by



where

$$\tilde{\phi} = \int_{0}^{1} \phi(z) dz = 0.783 \ 1^{-1/2}$$
$$\omega_{A}^{2} = (\frac{1.875}{1})^{4} \ EI/m_{A}$$
$$\omega_{B}^{2} = (\frac{1.875}{1})^{4} \ EI/m_{B}$$

 $\tilde{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_2 \mathbf{D}_2 \dot{\mathbf{r}}_2$ 

<u>Damping</u>: With each flexible mode we associate some damping through the Rayleigh dissipation function  $\tilde{R}$ 

· · · ·

. . .

;

· · · · · · · · · · · ·

1 1

: )

н

	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	U	0	0
R <sub>2</sub> =	0	0	0	0	9	0
	0	0	0	<sup>2</sup> wa <sup>Ę</sup> a <sup>m</sup> A	0	0
	0	0	0	0	<sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>5</sup> , B <sup>m</sup> B	0
	0	0	0	0	0	าย
	1					-

where  $\xi_A^{},\xi_{\beta}^{}$  damping ratios of wings  $D_A^{}$  damping factor of suspension

The Euler Lagrange equations of motion can now be written

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\{\frac{d}{d\dot{r}_{2}}(\tilde{T}-\tilde{V})\right\} - \frac{d}{dr_{2}}(\tilde{T}-\tilde{V}) - \frac{d}{dr_{2}}\tilde{R} = Q$$

or in matrix form

$$M_2 \dot{r}_2 + D_2 \dot{r}_2 + K_2 r_2 = Q$$

ere Q is the generalized force vector

 $Q = [q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5, q_6]$ 

q<sub>1</sub>: x-resultant of external forces acting on vehicle

q<sub>2</sub>: y-resultant of external forces acting on vehicle

q<sub>3</sub>: resultant of all external torques about CM<sub>1</sub>

q<sub>4</sub>, q<sub>5</sub>: are the generalized forces acting on the first bending modes of the wings, if

is the external force acting on the wings, then

$$q_4 = \int_0^1 \phi_A(z) f_A^e(z,t) dz$$
$$q_5 = \int_0^1 \phi_B(z) f_B^e(z,t) dz$$

q<sub>6</sub>: resultant of all external torques about CM<sub>2</sub>

In our work we will assume that the only external forces acting on the system are control forces and torques acting directly on the central body generalized coordinates. Thus,

$$M_2\ddot{r}_2 + D_2\dot{r} + K_2r = T_2v_2$$

where

Ĩ.

. |``,

and  $v_2$  is a 4xi control vector.

In Section 1 we also consider the equations of motion of the space base alone. We obtain these equations in the same manner as (A5), simply delete all terms corresponding to the module in the kinetic and potential energy expressions. The equations of the base alone are:

$$M_{1}\ddot{r}_{1} + D_{1}\dot{r}_{1} + K_{1}r_{1} = T_{1}v_{1}$$

$$C - 4$$

$$XV-17$$

where

ì

4

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{1} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{n}_{T} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{n}_{H} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{n}_{T} & \mathbf{r}_{11}\mathbf{n}_{B}^{+}\mathbf{r}_{11}\mathbf{n}_{A} & \mathbf{n}_{A}^{\dagger} & \mathbf{n}_{B}^{\dagger} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{r}_{11}\mathbf{n}_{B}^{+}\mathbf{r}_{11}\mathbf{n}_{A} & \mathbf{1}_{1}^{1} & \mathbf{r}_{11}\mathbf{n}_{A}^{\dagger} & \mathbf{r}_{11}\mathbf{n}_{B}^{\dagger} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{n}_{B}^{\dagger} & \mathbf{r}_{11}\mathbf{n}_{B}^{\dagger} & \mathbf{n}_{A} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{n}_{B}^{\dagger} & \mathbf{r}_{11}\mathbf{n}_{B}^{\dagger} & \mathbf{n}_{A} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{n}_{B}^{\mathbf{n}_{A}^{2}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{n}_{B}^{\mathbf{n}_{B}^{2}} \\ \end{bmatrix} \end{split} \\ \mathbf{p}_{1} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}$$

.

**'** ''

:

.

and the second second second

アース アニュート・メインスト 下方式 まんかい

•

## APPENDIX B

٦.

## PHYSICAL PARAMETERS

The following model parameters were calculated on the basis of information taken from reference [1]

$$m_{1} = 1218 \ lbs.s^{2}/ft$$

$$m_{2} = 639 \ ''$$

$$m_{a} = m_{b} = 26 \ ''$$

$$r_{11} = 26.36 \ ft.$$

$$r_{12} = 21.54 \ ft.$$

$$I_{1} = 163,000 \ lb.s^{2}ft.$$

$$I_{2} = 247,000 \ ''$$

$$I_{1} = 1,470,000 \ ''$$

$$I_{2} = 152,000 \ ''$$

$$I_{1} = 346,000 \ ''$$

$$I_{2} = 104 \ ft.$$

λ

ŗ

٠ ر

The stiffness parameters were estimated to be: EI =  $10 \frac{8}{10 \text{ s/in}}$   $\omega = \omega = 7.79 \text{ rad/s}$  $R_{\Theta} = 152,000 \text{ ft lb/rad}$ 

The damping constants were estimated to be:

 $\mathfrak{Z}_{a} = \mathfrak{Z}_{b} = 0.005$ 

and a second second

 $D_{s} = 21,280$  ft lb s/rad

XV-19

. ....

- -

#### APPENDIX C

#### NUMERICAL RESULTS

In the course of this summer's work, only the decentralized linear quadratic regulator for the full model was implemented on the computer. A FORTRAN program DIRECT was written which solves the algebraic Riccati equation,

$$A^{I}P + PA - PBR^{-I}B^{I}P + Q = 0$$

((1)

by direct integration. A FORTRAN subroutine CLOOP computes the feedback gain matrix

$$K = R^{-1}B^{T}P$$

1.5

and the closed loop system matrix

 $A-BR^{-1}B^{T}P$ 

This matrix is then fed to the commerical package EISPACK which finds the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the closed loop system.

The program DIRECT requires as input data: the system matrices, A, B, Q,  $R^{-1}$ ; the number of states of the system, N; the number of controls, NB; the step size, EpS; and the number of steps, NT. The user must choose EpS and NT to yield steady state values of P. In cur work, these parameters were determined by trial and error. The output of DIRECT is P, the solution of (C1); this is fed to CLOOP which, in turn, drives EISPACK. The output of the entire program is: A, B, Q, R; the value of P after some iteration N, (N, picked by the user); the final value of P after NT iterations; the closed loop system matrix and the closed loop eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

An example of the program is included in this appendix. In this example, A and B are as given in Table 1. The weighting matrices Q and R were chosen to be

Q = diag. [1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0 0] $R = 10^8 \times diag [1, 1, 1, 1]$ 

The twelve open loop eigenvalues of A are:

six zero eigenvalues (rigid body modes)

-----

ł

Double complex conjugate eigenvalues

at  $-0.393.10^{-2} \pm j7.80$  (wing modes)

Complex conjugate eigenvalues (hinge modes)

-0.259 + j1.91

----

· · ·

÷.

-----

After the linear quadratic regulator control law was added to the system, eigenvalues were shifted to

- 9.0 - 5.62 - 1.01 - 1.02 - 0.755  $\times 10^{-2}$  + j0.468  $\times 10^{-1}$ 

- 0.226 <u>+</u> j1.48

double complex conjugate roots at  $-0.393.10^{-2} \pm j7.80$ 

Note that the uncontrollable wing modes are not shifted by feedback.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

. . . . . . .

۱ •

:

:

4

ì

:,

...

.

-	ţ	
		INTO FROGRAM COMPOTES THE SULUTION OF AN ALGEDRAIC Riccati fouation by uirect integration
: م + 5	•	DIMEMSION A(12,12),B(12,4),C1(12,12),C2(4,4) 1-41(12-12)-BT(4-12)-C2BT(4-12)-T(12-12)
• • •	Ļ	1,01(12,12),02(12,12),D3(12,12),D4(12,12),P(12,12)
	د د	INITIALIZE ARRAYS
10.	,	00 1 L=1/12
12:	t 1	
14.		Cl(LgM)≇V.°C P(L,M)≡V.°Ĵ
15.		AT(L,M)=4.0
16.		D1(L,M)=3,5 D2(L,M)=7,6
16.	•	
19.		04([/,W]=(°)
21.	Ŧ	T(L/M)TU.J Complement
22.	I	00 2 L=1,12
-23-		00.2 Vale
		# (L/H) ≠0.0 #f/H_1 1=0.5
26.		CLBT(H₀L)≠n.a CLBT(H₀L)≠n.a
27.	2	CONTINUE
28.		00 3 L =1/4 DN 3 w-1 A
35.		C2(L, Y) = 0.0
31.	<b>.</b>	CONTINUE
32.	υ	READ IN DATA
•		1280
35.		
36.		EPS=0.005 DEAD(5.106) ((A.C.1.1).1-1.4).1-1.4)
36.		RFAD(5,199) ((B(1,J),J=1,NB),I=1,N)
		READ(5,100) ((Cl(I,U),0=1,8),1=1,8)
÷:		READ(5,199) ((C2(1,J),J=1,NB),I=1,NB)
42.	651	FURMATCOLLS.J)
•3•	U I	
44. 45.	00	WRITE DUT THE INPUT MATRICES
46.	)	WRITE (6,99)
	, tc	
49.	•	
	ŗ	DG 22 [=1/N
52.	77	WKIIE(0/90/D(I/J/D/-L/NH) VXITL(6.06)
1		

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

. . .

Ľ.

- 1 -

2

1111 × 1



•			ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY	
•		· · · ·		:
				:
•				1
4 4 1				, , ;
•				1
	ļ			
			.1)+0-(L,	
			[,J)+D2([ 900	K=',15) ₽')
		((,)) ((,))) ((,)) ((,)))) ((,))) ((,))) ((,))) ((,)))) ((,)))) ((,)))) ((,))))(((,))))((,)))(	(1,J)+D1( (1,J)+D1( 16 16 16 11,N)	J=1,H) OF P FOR )) VALUE OF ,M8,AT)
		1)+4(L,1 1)+4(L,1 1,1)+7(1,L	)+EPS+(C1 <b>E_MALUE_0</b> <b>B) C0 T0</b> <b>K</b> <b>L(L,1)</b>	) (P(T,J), THE VALUE (E1c 3,1X THE FINAL /B,C2/P,N
	0°0=(7'1) 0°0=(7'1) 0°0=(7'1) 1'1) 10°0	11 1=1,K 11 J=1,K 12 5=1,K 1,J)=01(1 1,J)=03(1 1,J)=03(1 13 J=1,K 13 J=1,K 14 L=1,K 14 L=1,K 14 L=1,K 14 L=1,K 14 L=1,K 14 L=1,K 14 L=1,K 14	TINUE J)=P(L,J) TIMUE KRITE TN (K.EQ.190 TC 1, TC 1, T 1=1, T 1=1, T 1=2, T	TE (6,1r3 18 [5] M TE(6,102) MAT(107, ' MAT(27,12) MAT(27,12) MAT(1r7, '
	010 020 03( 03(	C C D D C D D C D D C D D C D D C D D C D D C D D C C D D C C D D C D C D D C D D C D D C D D D C D D D D C D		18 441 19 441 172 508 173 508 173 508 5701 5708
	5000 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	22119669966 2211966996 2211966996		801-100110

-

í.

.....

SUFROUTINE CCASTRUCTS THE FEEDBACK GAINS FINDS THE CLOSED LODP EIGENVALUES	UTIME_CLODP(A.B.C2,P.M.MB,BT) SION A(12,12),PT(4,12),C2(4,4),P(12,12),D(4,12) (4,12),ABRBTP(12,12),WR(12),WI(12),Z(12,12) P(12,12),B(12,4),FVI(12),IVI(12)	STRUCT RATP	1	2 1=1,4R 2 1=1,4R 3 K=1,4 3 K=1,4 1)=D([,])+BT([,K)*P(K,J) 141E	1 [1]/HF 4 ]=1/H 5 K=1/HB 5 K=1/HB ([,J)=RBTP([,J)+C2([,K)*D((K,J)	IMUE E(6,106) J I=1,MB E(6,1:2) (RBTP(1,J),J=1,K)	TRUCT BRBTP	0 J=1,M P(1,J)=0.C 7 J=1,M 9 J=1,M 8 J=1,M P(1,J)=RKRTP(1,J)+B(1,K)*RatP(K,J) P(1,J)=RKRTP(1,J)+B(1,K)*RatP(K,J)	A AND BRATP	9 I=1,M 9 J=1,M TP(1,J)=A(1,J)-PARTP([,J) INUE
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•			

3



ì

ι

1. "

7.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

į

· · · ·

XV-26

i

ļ

I

×
-
æ
-
-
7
-
<u>لما</u>
z
-

i

•

•

.

x     x <th>0E 00 *0000E 00</th> <th></th> <th>JE 10 . 00030E 90</th> <th></th> <th>.957JTE DO , 4797GE 97 Ge 51 , 6086GE 60</th> <th>•06000 JC</th> <th>.34597E-[] .345927.ml  3E-f5 .44330E-Q5</th> <th>.67-75 .70720E-05</th> <th>.41660E-02 .41660E-02 .4<b>E-n6 .52757E-0</b>6</th> <th></th> <th>.67450E-6260770E 02 42-967788fe-02</th> <th>"DODOLE OL "00006E 00"</th> <th>,11427E-01 -,11427F-01 10E-r5 -,14646E-65</th> <th>• 81-91-5 40 8-81-91-5 40</th> <th>- 1:0000 No - 1:00000 No</th> <th>· 894735</th>	0E 00 *0000E 00		JE 10 . 00030E 90		.957JTE DO , 4797GE 97 Ge 51 , 6086GE 60	•06000 JC	.34597E-[] .345927.ml  3E-f5 .44330E-Q5	.67-75 .70720E-05	.41660E-02 .41660E-02 .4 <b>E-n6 .52757E-0</b> 6		.67450E-6260770E 02 42-967788fe-02	"DODOLE OL "00006E 00"	,11427E-01 -,11427F-01 10E-r5 -,14646E-65	• 81-91-5 40 8-81-91-5 40	- 1:0000 No - 1:00000 No	· 894735
			60000 00 .000 	LALDE AL	.273215 89 1 <b>3940</b> 5 90 .100				.ueuode 00 Deceme 19 .521	POPECE 01 770	.000000 00 19545 v1861			10, 105, 10 14, 15, 50	16 200307°	.1293.E-54
120 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 000 0		50 2001	134095 Cl .6 J9C005 94	U10005E 00					000012 00 000012 00 012012 01 142107 01	1000 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	133872 17 000015 00 173181 40 -1	0 34346"				

.


XV-28

1.

## ORIGINIAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

-----

÷

-\_\_\_

ł

;

.

ł

Į

ł

λ. ≈.α. ∫ •---

I

\* . . \* . \*

.

ŀ

. . .

7

0000000000	900090000000			
		1220	6 0 7 0 6 7 9 7 7 7 6 0 D 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
	1011124242400	5000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
UNNUOAWAAD		****		
2001100000 2001000000 20010000000	010000000000000000000000000000000000000	866 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	7266277378400000 1056627578400000	
		2222	000000000000000	
		1196	996969697799467 69669697799467	
		100	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
• • •	•	i •		
<b>ពេកក្តេក</b> សំខាតាលិតា 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ក្តាត់តំតុំតុំក្តាត់តំតុំងាំ			
5998555555 5998555555 7775575		19611		
1000000000	0000700000000	0000		
99999999999999999999999999999999999999		3252		
k i ↓	<b>I I I</b>	• •		
		0000		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		100 110 110	0030000000000 00300000000000 00300000000	
	C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C -	wodo		
	6766786786788 	6640	0600000000000	
<b>4</b>		• •	· • • •	
nnnnnnnnnn		2645		
		長期間間		
- Fr 20000000	87887777777777777777777777777777777777	2419		
•••••		• • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	
		~~~~	K C C C C NANNNYN	
	1111111111			2200
1000000000000 000000000000000000000000	のですの作者を見られるのでの。	2493 2457	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 4 0 C
			ŨĔĿŎŎŴĦ <b>ŊŬŦĊ</b> Ĕ	
				•
4707407080		9000 1000	9696666666666 436394446464	
		5 <b>6 6</b> 7		
87774788754 87777875				
•••••				
			00000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.07
		3070X		1000
***************************************				
		7777E		
	a. O		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	- COCOCACOCCERN - COCOCACOCCERN 			
经不不能收送每个法计:		記を正定	~?©\$@\$~~ <b>#</b> \$@\$\$ ₽`\$\$\$\$\$\$```#\$\$	
		2112	22200000000000000000000000000000000000	
			1 1 1	

XV-29

			- 1405 01 - 1405 00 - 0008 00 - 0008 00 - 4685-01 - 1695-01 - 1895 01 - 1955 01				,				
	-4958-41 -1365 99 -1695-12	3658-04 1325-03 1245-04		1136 59 5166-01 2916-01	482E-02 282E-02 .654E-01	345E-91 226E-92	3946-01 2566 03 3976-92	322E-01 .832E-01 928E 01	-4326-01 -9576-01 -1106 02	.1205-10 .1585-11 7345-13	772E-1 -302E-1
E-92	.2475-32 .2475-32	.1155 04 .1187 04	.119E UT .118E CO	160E-02 160E-02	.227E-92 .227E-02	.649E-C4 .649E-04	.223E-03 .223E-03	479E-C3 479E-C3	.289E-03 .289E-03	496E-72 -496E-72	.570E-0 570E-0
ы С С С С С С	276E 60 .767. J1	.356E-03_	2856-43 1436-63	.3255-01 .1565-01	1655 UC	.3535 00 5375-01	3995-01 7665 00	1785-52 2065-03	1835-62	361E-10	.167E-0
	-9446-02	.107E-n3			5776-01	.2296-92	.3126-32	- 325 50		2365-11	5726-1
16-1	1395-01 1305-01	9235 73	.5205 09 . cont (1	2996-02 3005-03	2865-02	658E-04	2265-03	9935-05	2465-04	4452 00	0-3586
	-1316-02 7326-22		•345E-04 •345E-04	. 60 9E-01 . 412E 60	291E 00 185E 69.	-1195-91 121E-01			243E-02	3346-12 -637E-11	- 3032 - V - 917E-1 - 260E-1

r 15

, K

\*\*

-

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY • •

5 XV-30 1

1

ł

ļ



1 2

ę

2.

.

.

....

- ----

FIGURE 1. Orientation of Space Base.



1

2

. .

ŧ

-

FIGURE 2. Space Base Schematic.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY 1 .

#### THE MATRIX A (12×12)

3

í ì

•

1

مستوح جوريني

1. 09 3000. 06 3000. 09 3000. 09 300. 1 .3000. 09 3000. 09 3000. 09 3000. .130E 31 .0 .000 3000. .999E 89 

 00E
 00.00E
 .000E 00 98E 99 .9895 88 .1 .000E 00 . ð .030E 00 . ð . 484E 40 . 0 .000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 .346E-01 .346E-01 .0 00 .000E 00 .443E-05 .432-05 .136E 02 .190E 0 .000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 .601E-01 .601E-01 .0 00 .000E 00 .707E-05 .707E-05 .545E 01 .763E 0 .000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 .417E-02 .417E-02 .0 00 .000E 00 .527E-06 .527E-06 .835E 00 .169E 0 .000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 -.608E 02 -.674E-02 .0 00 .000E 00 .779E-02 -.865E-06 -.110E 01 -.154E 0 .000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 -.674E-02 .008E 02 .0 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 .674E-02 .008E 02 .0 . 0 60E 00 .000E 30 . 9 99E 99 .000E 00 . 0 00E 00 .900E 98 . a 00 300 . ð .000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 -.079E-02 -.110E 01 -.154E 0 .000E 00 .000E 00 -.865E-06 -.779E-02 -.110E 01 -.154E 0 .000E 00 .000E 0 .0005 00 . 3 .000E 00 . 0

THE MATRIX B (12×4)

.000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 .871E-03 .109E-03 .164E-04 219E-04 .219E-04	.000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 .109E-03 .611E-03 .129E-04 -381E-04 -381E-04	.000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 .166E-04 .129E-04 .198E-05 261E-05 .061E-05	.000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 .000E 00 895E-04 359E-04 549E-05 .723E-05 .723E-05 .723E-05
219E-04 .000E 00 845E-04 \$\$T0P8 0	381E-04 .000E 00 359E-04	261E-05 .000E 00 549E-05	.723E-05 .000E 00 .244E-04

TABLE 1 Matrix Coefficients. ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY -

and the second

#### THE MATRIX AL CIGKICI

1 x 1 # 2

,'

``

		. 944E 89	. 3005 00	.003E 00	.003E 00	.100E <b>3</b> 1	. 0
JOE	.000E	3000. 00	3000. 00	99			.1
	.000E 00	.000E 00	.300E 90	.048E 04			••
OOE	81 .899E					.030E 03	. 0
388	00 .120E	91 .000E	300E.	99		. AAAF 02	. ə
	.000E 00	.0005 00	.400E VV 01 .000E				
445	.000 3000	.000E 00	.000E 00	.000E 00	.800E 00	.0002 00	.0
90E	900. 00	3000. 00 2005 88	44 .100E	01 731E-02	731E-02	. 896E 89	. 0
ADE			-06937E	-05			
		.000E 00	.000E 00	.433E-01	.4332-01	. ADAT ON	
306	000E	.888E 00	.000E 00	.1546-92	.1546-02	.000E 00	. 🛛
<b>300</b>	00 .000E	00 .198E	-06 .198E	-06	- 3365-82	. 498E 88	.0
206	.000E 00	.000E 00 40779E	-02431E				
445		.000E 00	.000E 00	336E-92	607E 02	.0038 00	. 🖲
396	. 000E	00431E	-05 +.779E	-96			

THE MATRIX B1 (10.44) .000E 00 .000E 20 .000E 00 .543E-03 -.229E-04 -.352E-05 -.352E-05 .485E-05 .725E-06 .463E-05 -.274E-04 -.977E-06

ISTOPE .

I.

.

12.4

TABLE 1 (cont.)

XV-34

#### REFERENCES

Г. | Т.

. . .

1

ř,

1. Chichester, F,, et al: <u>Space Construction Base Control System</u>, Final Reports 1978 and 1979, NASA Contract NASB-32660, The Bendix Corporation, Guidance Systems Division, Teterboro, New Jersey.

``

ĸ

Ŋ

- 2. Siljak, D. D: Large Scale Dynamic Systems: Stability and Structure, Elsevier, North Holland, New York, 1978.
- 3. Kwakernaak, H. and Sivan, R: <u>Linear Optimal Control Systems</u>, Wiley, New York, 1972.
- Kautus, M. L. J: "Stabilization, Controllability and Observability of Linear Autonomous Systems," Proc. of the Royal Academy of Science, Amsterdam, Ser. A, Vol. 1,73 (1970).
- 5. Sezer, M. E. and Siljak, D: "Robustness of Suboptimal Control," Proc. of the 19th IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, 1980.
- 6. Meirovitch, L: <u>Elements of Vibration Analysis</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975.
- 7. Blevins, R: Formulas for Natural Frequency and Mode Shape, Nostrand Reinhold, 1979.

# N82-17058

i

2

;

- 4 - - - - -

\* . . .

ł

. . . . .

1

1) 7 7

:

A STUDY OF THE GEOGRAPHIC COVERAGE PROPERTIES OF A SATELLITE BORNE DOPPLER LIDAR WIND VELOCITY MEASURING SYSTEM

Prepared by:

, ··

Thomas H. Pate, Ph.D

Academic Rank:

Assistant Professor

University and Department:

Auburn University Department of Mathematics

Preliminary Design Office

NASA/MSFC Division:

MSFC Counterpart:

Mr. J.W. Steincamp Mr. R.B. Goss

Date:

August, 1981

Contract No.:

NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alavama in Huntsville A Study of the Geographic Coverage Properties of a Satellite Borne Doppler Lidar Wind Velocity Measuring System

by

Thomas H. Pate Assistant Professor of Mathematics Auburn University Auburn, Alabama 36849

#### Abstract

The purpose of this investigation has been to measure geographic coverage frequency and geographic shot density for a satellite borne doppler lidar wind velocity measuring system. The equations of motion of the light path on the ground have been derived and a computer program has been devised to compute shot density and coverage frequency by latitude-long:tude sections. Also, we have derived the equations for the coverage boundaries and devised a computer program to plot these boundaries thus making it possible, after an application of a map coloring algorithm, to actually see the areas of multiple coverage. We have also derived a theoretical cross-swath shot density function and have argued that this function gives close approximations in certain cases. This information should aid in the design of an efficient dataprocessing system for the doppler lidar.

#### 0. Introduction

2

This report concerns a hypothetical satellite borne doppler lidar wind velocity measuring system. This system involves a satellite in circular orbit about the earth to which is attached a laser that is pointing toward the earth and rotating at a constant rate about an axis that is directed through the center of the earth. The rotation rate of the laser will be on the order of four rotations per minute. The purpose of the system is to estimate wind velocities at one kilometer intervals up to about twenty kilometers by measuring the doppler shift of the backscattered light. The firing rate of our hypothetical laser will be on the order of 8 firings per second.

As the satellite passes over an area of the earth the laser light traces a path along the ground. The boundaries of the covered region will be called swath boundaries and the region covered on a single passage will be called a swath. The path traced by the point on the earth directly below the satellite will be called the ground track of the satellite.

More specifically we have investigated the following geographic questions:

Given a particular section of the earth how many lasar pulses
 land there each day?

2. Given a particular section of the earth what is the pulse density in that section?

3. Given a particular section of the earth how many times per day is it within the coverage swath?

As a consequence of our analysis of these questions we have a computer program that answer questions (1) and (2) quickly and to a high degree of accuracy. Question (3) is somewhat tougher but the same program answers this question satisfactorily especially when the inclination angle of the orbit is between  $75^{\circ}$  and  $110^{\circ}$ . The results would be considerably improved if more memory were available.

.

۳.

:

• •,

.

#### 1. Definitions and Notation

In our model we assume that the earth is a sphere of radius R where R = 6378.15 km. One half of the angle between the swath boundaries will be denoted by  $\psi$  (we note that  $\psi$  remains constant.) We impose upon our sphere a co-ordinate system whose origin lies at the center of the sphere and whose xy-plane contains the equator. We do not allow this co-ordinate system to rotate with the earth. Our orbital planes will always contain the x-axis. The inclination angle of the orbital plane with respect to the y-axis is denoted by  $\beta$  (or ZN in our computer program). The sphere is rotating counterclockwise about the z-axis at the rate of  $\omega_{n}$  rotations per day (counterclockwise with respect to an observer looking down from the North Pole.) The number of revolutions per day of the satellite per day is denoted by  $\omega$ . In our computer program  $\omega$  is assumed to be an integer such that  $3 \leq \omega \leq 16$ . The scan angle of the laser is denoted by a. One half the angular swath width along the equator is denoted by r. Clearly we have  $r = \pi/\omega$ . We let h denote the altitude of the satellite and let h<sub>a</sub> denote the highest altitude at which complete coverage is desired.

We have analysed exclusively the case where the scan angle is chosen so that swaths for successive orbits are contiguous but nonoverlapping at the equator at altitude  $\alpha \ge 0$ . In this case each point along the equator up to altitude a is covered at least twice during the course of a day. We let  $\alpha_a$  denote the scan angle necessary to produce contiguous but non-overlapping coverage swaths at the equator up to altitude a.

#### 2. Observations and Derivations of Simple Formulas

Consider diagram 1. We like to think of a,  $\omega$ , and  $\beta$  as parameters. The other quantities are determined by them. For example we have

$$h = ((86400)^2 u/(2*\omega)^2)^{1/3} - R$$

where u = 398,601.6. Here R is in kilometers and  $\omega$  is in revolutions per day. The law of sines gives

$$(\sin(\alpha_a))/(R+a) = \sin(\alpha_a+\psi)/(R+h)$$

from which it follows that

۰.

$$\alpha_{a} = \operatorname{Arctan}(\sin(\psi)/(((R+h)/(R+a)) - \cos(\psi))).$$

This gives  $\alpha_{a}$  in terms of  $\psi$  but we do not as yet have  $\psi$  in terms of the parameters  $\beta$  and  $\omega$ . To obtain such a relationship consider diagram 2. A normal to the orbital plane is (0,  $\sin(\beta)$ ,  $-\cos(\beta)$ ). This is also a normal to the plane determined by the swath tracks. A normal to the plane containing the origon, the point (R,0,0) and perpendicular to the orbital plane is (0,  $\cos(\beta)$ ,  $\sin(\beta)$ ). The equation of this plane is then

$$x \cdot 0 + y \cdot \cos(\beta) + z \cdot \sin(\beta) = 0$$

The plane determined by the swath boundary contains the point  $(R \cos(r), R \sin(r, 0))$ . The equation of this plane is therefore

$$sin(\beta)*y - cos(\beta)*z = R sin(r)sin(\beta)$$
.

To determine the vector v we solve simultaneously the equations

$$\cos(\beta) \cdot y + \sin(\beta) \cdot z = 0$$
  
 $\sin(\beta) \cdot y - \cos(\beta) \cdot z = R \sin(\Gamma) \sin(\beta)$ 

The result is

y =  $R \sin^2(\beta)\sin(r)$ z =  $-R \sin(r)\sin(\beta)\cos(\beta)$ . Ŧ

To complete the determination of v we apply the restriction  $x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} = R^{2}$ . We find that  $x = R(1 - \sin^{2}(r)\sin^{2}(3))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Since  $\cos(\psi) = ((R,0,0)\cdot v)/R^{2}$  we must have  $\psi = \operatorname{Arccos}((1 - \sin^{2}(r)\sin^{2}(\beta))^{\frac{1}{2}})$ .

Since  $r = \pi/\omega$  it is clear that  $\psi$  depends upon the altitude of the satellite. Note that  $\lim_{\substack{B \neq 0 \\ \beta \neq \pi}} \psi = 0$  and  $\lim_{\substack{B \neq \pi \\ \alpha \neq \beta \neq \pi}} \psi = 0$ . This observation the set of the set of

We let  $R(\alpha, a)$  denote the range at altitude a and scan angle  $\alpha$ . (See diagram 1). Temporarily we let x denote  $R(\alpha, a)$ . The law of cosines then gives

$$(R+a)^2 = x^2 + (R+h)^2 - 2x(R+h)\cos(\alpha)$$
.

Solving for x we obtain

 $x = (R+h)\cos(\alpha) \pm ((R+a)^2 - (R+h)^2\sin^2(\alpha))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

There are two possible values for x because our triangle is not uniquely determined by the given information. After a visualization of the two possible triangles it is clear that we must have

 $R(a,a) = (R+h)cos(a) - ((R+a)^2 - (R+h)^2 sin^2(a))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

Clearly we must have  $(R+h)\sin(\alpha) \le R+\alpha$  since otherwise the light beam would completely miss the earth.

Of interest here is the rate of change of  $R(\alpha, a)$  with respect to a A computation shows that

$$\frac{dR}{da} = (-1)/(1 - (((R+h)/(R+\delta))^2 \sin^2(\alpha)))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since  $1 \leq (R+h)/(R+a) \leq 1 + (h/R)$  we have

-7

23

\*\* \*\*\*

$$\sec(\alpha) \leq \left|\frac{dR}{da}\right| \leq 1/((1 - (1+h/R)^2 \sin^2(\alpha)))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

• ---- ~ \*

للحم المحاد المحصيرة والأراد الأراد الأليان

In case there is a maximum altitude  $a_{max}$  under consideration we have the improved inequality

$$(1 - ((R+h)/(R+a_{max}))^{2}\sin^{2}(\alpha))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq |\frac{dR}{da}| \leq (1 - (1+h/R)^{2}\sin^{2}(\alpha))^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We let  $T(\alpha,a)$  denote the time required by the light to travel to altitude a and return to the satellite. Ignoring relativistic considerations we have

$$T(\alpha,a) = 2R(\alpha,a)/c$$

where c denotes the speed of light. Also,  $\frac{dT}{da} = (2/c)\frac{dR}{da}$  so we have

$$(2/c)(1 - ((R+h)/(R+a_{max}))^{2}sin^{2}(\alpha))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq |\frac{dT}{da}| \leq (2/c)(1 - (1+h/R)^{2}sin^{2}(\alpha))^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This inequality is tight and seems to indicate that  $\frac{dT}{da}$  is only neglibably different from zero. In other words round trip times differ very little for the various altitudes.

### 3. <u>Derivations of Parametric Representations for Ground Track, Swath</u> Boundaries, and Light Path

. .....

In each of our definitions time (denoted by t and measured in days) is the parameter. The co-ordinate system described earlier is a fixed one, i.e.- it does not move with the earth. Our satellite will be directly above the point (R,0,0) at time 0.

If  $\beta = 0$  and the earth is not moving then the ground track would be (R cos( $2\pi\omega t$ ), Rsin( $2\pi\omega t$ ),0). To obtain the path in case  $\beta \neq 0$  we simply rotate the orbital plane through angle  $\beta$ . The appropriate rotation matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\beta) & -\sin(\beta) \\ 0 & \sin(\beta) & \cos(\beta) \end{bmatrix}$$

The path along the Earth is then simply

2

٠.

ſı	0	0	$R \cos(2\pi\omega t)$		$R \cos(2\pi\omega t)$
0	COS(B)	-sin(ß)	R sin(2πωt)	÷	$\Re \cos(\beta)\sin(2\pi\omega t)$
Lo	sin(B)	COS(B)	0		R sin( $\beta$ )sin(2 $\pi$ wt)

We let  $\omega_0$  denote the frequency of rotation of the Earth. In case time is measured in days  $\omega_0 = 1$ . To account for the rotation of the earth we simply multiply by the rotation matrix

$$\cos(2\pi\omega_0 t)$$
  $\sin(2\pi\omega_0 t)$  0  
-sin( $2\pi\omega_0 t$ )  $\cos(2\pi\omega_0 t)$  0  
0 0 1

This rotation matrix rotates the earth clockwise (we are looking down from the North Pole) through the angle  $2\pi\omega_{ot}$ .

The result of this multiplication is

 $x(t) = R \cos(2\pi\omega t) \cos(2\pi\omega_0 t) + R \cos(\beta)\sin(2\pi\omega t)\sin(2\pi\omega_0 t)$   $y(t) = -R \cos(2\pi\omega t) \sin(2\pi\omega_0 t) + R \cos(\beta)\sin(2\pi\omega t)\cos(2\pi\omega_0 t)$  $z(t) = R \sin(\beta)\sin(2\rho\omega t).$  . . . . . . .

Application of some trigonometry produces the following alternate form for these equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= R \sin^2(\beta/2) \cos(2\pi(\omega + \omega_0)t) + R \cos^2(\beta/2)\cos(2\pi(\omega - \omega_0)t) \\ y(t) &= -R(\sin^2(\beta/2) \sin(2\pi(\omega + \omega_0)t) + R \cos^2(\beta/2)\sin(2\pi(\omega - \omega_0)t) \\ z(t) &= R \sin(\beta) \sin(2\pi\omega t). \end{aligned}$$

We let P(t) denote the vector x(t)i + y(t)j + z(t)k. Clearly we must have ||P(t)|| = 1 (here ||\*|| denotes length) and P(t)\*P\*(t) = 0(the dot denotes dot product). This completes the derivation of the equations of motion of the point on the ground directly beneath the satellite.

To obtain a representation for the swath boundaries we proceed similarly. Let  $\psi$  denote the central swath angle. Consider the case where  $\beta = \pi/2$  and pretend the Earth is not rotating. The ground track for one of the swath boundaries is then

(R  $\cos(\psi)\cos(2\pi\omega t)$ , R  $\sin(\psi)$ , R  $\cos(\psi)\sin(2\pi\omega t)$ ). (To obtain the second swath track we simply substitute  $-\psi$  for  $\psi$  in all that follows or simply in the final result.) To obtain the track in case  $\beta \neq \pi/2$  we simply rotate the plaine of the above tract (actually the shole sphere) through the angle  $\beta = \pi/2$ . The appropriate rotation matrix is then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\beta - \pi/2) & -\sin(\beta - \pi/2) \\ 0 & \sin(\beta - \pi/2) & \cos(\beta - \pi/2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\beta) & \cos(\beta) \\ 0 & -\cos(\beta) & \sin(\beta) \end{bmatrix}$$

and the result is

ł.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\beta) & \cos(\beta) \\ 0 & -\cos(\beta) & \sin(\beta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R & \cos(\psi) & \cos(2\pi\omega t) \\ R & \sin(\psi) \\ R & \cos(\phi) & \sin(2\pi\omega t) \end{bmatrix} = \\ \begin{bmatrix} R & \cos(\psi) & \cos(2\pi\omega t) \\ 0 & -\cos(2\pi\omega t) \end{bmatrix}$$

R sin(
$$\psi$$
) sin( $\beta$ ) + R cos( $\psi$ ) cos( $\beta$ ) sin( $2\pi\omega t$ )  
-R sin( $\psi$ ) cos( $\beta$ ) + R cos( $\psi$ ) sin( $\beta$ ) sin( $2\pi\omega t$ )

To account for the rotation of the earth we multiply by the rotation matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\pi\omega_0 t) & \sin(-\omega_0 t) & 0 \\ -\sin(2\pi\omega_0 t) & \cos(2\pi\omega_0 t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The result is

 $\hat{x}(t) = R \cos(\psi)\cos(2\pi\omega t)\cos(2\pi\omega_0 t) + R \cos(\psi)\cos(\beta)\sin(2\pi\omega_0 t)$  $+ R \sin(\psi)\sin(\beta)\sin(2\pi\omega_0 t)$  $\hat{y}(t) = -R \cos(\psi)\cos(2\pi\omega t)\sin(2\pi\omega_0 t) + R \cos(\psi)\cos(\beta)\sin(2\pi\omega_0 t)$  $+ R \sin(\psi)\sin(\beta)\cos(2\pi\omega_0 t)$ 

 $\hat{z}(t) = -R \sin(\psi)\cos(\beta) + R \cos(\psi)\sin(\beta)\sin(2\pi\omega t)$ . If we let  $\hat{P}(t) = \hat{x}(t)i + \hat{y}(t)j + \hat{z}(t)k$  denote the position vector for the swath boundary then an examination of the above equations reveals that  $\hat{x}(t) = \cos(\psi)x(t) + R \sin(\psi)\sin(\beta)\sin(2\pi\omega_0 t)$  $\hat{y}(t) = \cos(\psi)y(t) + R \sin(\psi)\sin(\beta)\cos(2\pi\omega_0 t)$  $\hat{z}(t) = \cos(\psi)z(t) - R \sin(\psi)\cos(\beta).$ 

We let E(t) denote the vector

x,

R sin( $\beta$ )sin( $2\pi\omega_{0}t$ )i + R sin( $\beta$ )cos( $2\pi\omega_{0}t$ )j - R cos( $\beta$ )k.

Simple computations show that || E(t) || = R and that  $P(t) \cdot E(t) = 0$ . We may now write

 $\hat{P}(t) = \cos(\psi)P(t) + \sin(\psi)E(t)$ 

thus expressing  $\hat{P}$  as a linear combination of a pair of orthogonal vectors of length R. The other swath boundary is  $\hat{P}(t) = \cos(\psi)P(t) - \sin(\psi)E(t)$ . Since E(t) is orthogonal to P(t)it is clear that the vectors P(t),  $\hat{P}(t)$ , and  $\hat{P}(t)$  are co-planar. In fact the points P(t),  $\hat{P}(t)$ , and  $\hat{P}(t)$  all lie on the plane through the origon that is normal to the vector P'(t).

We now turn to the problem of deriving a parametric representation for the lasar light path on the ground. Again we initially pretend that the earth is not moving. Our derivation will require two co-ordinate systems. The first of these is the standard system that we have been using. This system is regarded as being fixed. Our second co-ordinate system is fixed to the ground track and moves around the earth as the satellite moves. The x-axis of our moving coordinate system extends through the center of the earth - the positive direction being outward. The z-axis will be tangent to the direction of motion with the positive direction being in the direction of motion. The y-axis is tangent to the sphere and is oriented so that the moving co-ordinate system has the same orientation as our fixed system at the center of the earth.

XVI-11

-----

At each instant of time there is a rigid motion that transforms standard co-ordinates to surface co-ordinates. (A rigid motion is a translation plus a rotation.)

and a second

Let M(t) denote the orthogonal matrix

cos(2πωt)	0	-sin(2πωt)
cos(β)sin(2πωt)	sin(B)	$\cos(\beta)\cos(2\pi\omega t)$
$sin(\beta)sin(2\pi\omega t)$	- cos(ß)	$sin(\beta)cos(2\pi\omega t)$

This matrix rotates the non-standard co-ordinate system until its axes are parallel to and correctly oriented with the axes of the standard co-ordinate system. Our rigid motion is then

$$M(t) \begin{bmatrix} x^* \\ y^* \\ z^* \end{bmatrix} + P(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

In this case P(t) =

2

ł

÷ '

(R cos( $2\pi\omega t$ ), R cos( $\beta$ )sin( $2\pi\omega t$ ), R sin( $\beta$ )sin( $2\pi\omega t$ ))

since the earth is not yet rotating.

We now parametrize the path of the laser on the earth with respect to the co-ordinate system fixed to the point directly below the satellite, (i.e. - the starred system). Let  $\omega_t$  denote the frequency of rotation of the laser. If we regard the spacecraft as being immobile then the path of the impact point (in the non-standard co-ordinate system) is

 $(R(\cos(\psi) - 1), R \sin(\psi)\cos(2\pi\omega_{g}t), R \sin(\psi)\sin(2\pi\omega_{g}t)$ .

The path of the impact point of the laser in standard co-ordinates is then

$$M(t) \begin{bmatrix} R (\cos(\psi) - 1) \\ R \sin(\psi)\cos(2\pi\omega_{g}t) \\ R \sin(\psi)\sin(2\pi\omega_{g}t) \end{bmatrix} + P(t)$$

1

i

To account for the rotation of the earth we multiply by the rotation matrix

$$Q(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\pi\omega_0 t) & \sin(2\pi\omega_0 t) & 0\\ -\sin(2\pi\omega_0 t) & \cos(2\pi\omega_0 t) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The final result is then

$$\overline{P}(t) = Q(t) \cdot M(t) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} R(\cos(\psi) - 1) \\ R \sin(\psi)\cos(2\pi\omega_{g}t) \\ R \sin(\psi)\sin(2\pi\omega_{g}t) \end{bmatrix} + Q(t)P(t) .$$

Explicit equations are

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= R \cos(2\pi\omega_0 t)\overline{x}(t) + R \sin(2\pi\omega_0 t)\overline{y}(t) \\ y(t) &= -R \sin(2\pi\omega_0 t)\overline{x}(t) + R \cos(2\pi\omega_0 t)\overline{y}(t) \\ z(t) &= R \cos(\psi)\sin(\beta)\sin(2\pi\omega t) - R \sin(\psi)\cos(\beta)\cos(2\pi\omega_t t) \\ &+ R \sin(\psi)\sin(\beta)\cos(2\pi\omega t)\sin(2\pi\omega_t t) \end{aligned}$$

where

1997 - 1998 1997 - 1998 1997 - 1998

÷

•

2

ł

.

$$\overline{x}(t) = \cos(\psi)\cos(2\pi\omega t) - \sin(\psi)\sin(2\pi\omega t)\sin(2\pi\omega_s t)$$

and

$$\overline{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{t}) = \cos(\psi)\cos(\beta)\sin(2\pi\omega\mathbf{t}) + \sin(\psi)\sin(\beta)\cos(2\pi\omega_{\mathbf{t}}\mathbf{t})$$
$$+ \sin(\psi)\cos(\beta)\cos(2\pi\omega\mathbf{t})\sin(2\pi\omega_{\mathbf{t}}\mathbf{t}).$$

XVI-13

#### 4. An Observation Concerning the Swath Boundaries

..........

#### and Ground Tracks of the Jatellite

We start with the ground track equations

$$x(t) = A \cos(2\pi(\omega+\omega_0)t) + B \cos(2\pi(\omega-\omega_0)t)$$
  

$$y(t) = -A \sin(2\pi(\omega+\omega_0)t) + B \sin(2\pi(\omega-\omega_0)t)$$
  

$$z(t) = R \sin(\beta)\sin(2\pi\omega t)$$

where  $A = R \sin^2(\beta/2)$  and  $B = R \cos^2(\beta/2)$ . Observe that  $z(t + 1/\omega) = z(t)$ , hence z has period  $1/\omega$  (certainly no smaller number will work. We are interested in determining the response of x(t) and y(t) to the time increment  $1/\omega$ . Simple computations show that if we let M denote the rotation matrix

$$\cos(2\pi\omega_0/\omega)$$
  $\sin(2\pi\omega_0/\omega)$   
- $\sin(2\pi\omega_0/\omega)$   $\cos(2\pi\omega_0/\omega)$ 

then

. 4

$$\begin{bmatrix} x(t+1/\omega) \\ y(t+1/\omega) \end{bmatrix} = M \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Since M is the rotation matrix corresponding to a rotation through the angle  $\frac{-2\pi\omega}{\omega}$  we see that we may obtain the entire ground track for the satellite by simply plotting a single passing and rotating the picture.

#### The equations for the swath boundaries are

$$x(t) = cos(\psi)x(t) \pm R sin(\psi)sin(\beta)sin(2\pi\omega_t)$$

$$y(t) = cos(\psi)y(t) \pm R sin(\psi)sin(\beta)cos(2\pi\omega_t)$$

 $z(t) = cos(\psi)z(t) \pm R sin(\psi)cos(\beta).$ 

We see that  $\hat{z}(t+\frac{1}{\omega}) = \hat{z}(t)$ . Also a consideration of the terms  $\sin(2\pi\omega_0 t)$ and  $\cos(2\pi\omega_0 t)$  shows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}(t+1/\omega) \\ \hat{y}(t+1/\omega) \end{bmatrix} = M \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}(t) \\ \hat{y}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus swath boundaries also possess this rotational symmetry.

2

If we let  $\overline{M}$  denote the 3×3 orthogonal matrix

$$\begin{array}{c} \cos(2\pi\omega_{0}/\omega) & \sin(2\pi\omega_{0}/\omega) & 0\\ -\sin(2\pi\omega_{0}/\omega) & \cos(2\pi\omega_{0}/\omega) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$$

then using the notation of the previous section we have

 $P(t+1/\omega) = \cos(\psi)\overline{M} P(t) + \sin(\psi)\overline{M} E(t)$ .

We now consider the response of the vector  $\overline{P}$  to the time increment  $1/\omega$ . Recall that as time progresses  $\overline{P}$  traces the light path along the ground. Recall also that

$$\overline{P}(t) = Q(t) \cdot M(t) \cdot V(t) + Q(t) \cdot P(t)$$
where  $V(t) = \begin{bmatrix} R(\cos(\psi) - 1) \\ R \sin(\psi)\cos(2\pi\omega_{t}t) \\ R \sin(\psi)\sin(2\pi\omega_{t}t) \end{bmatrix}$ 
and  $P(t) = \begin{bmatrix} R \cos(2\pi\omega t) \\ R \cos(\beta)\sin(2\pi\omega t) \\ R \sin(\beta)\sin(2\pi\omega t) \end{bmatrix}$ .

The matrix M(t) is defined in the previous section. Note that  $M(t+1/\omega) = M(t)$ . The function Q is a one-parameter group of rotation matrices; this implies that  $Q(s) \cdot Q(t) = Q(s+t) = Q(t) \cdot Q(s)$ . Since the matrix  $\overline{M} = Q(1/\omega)$  we have  $\overline{M} \cdot Q(t) = Q(t) \cdot \overline{M}$  and

$$\overline{P}(t+1/\omega) = Q(t+1/\omega) \cdot M(t+1/\omega) V(t+1/\omega) + Q(t+1/\omega) \cdot P(t+1/\omega)$$
$$= \overline{M} \cdot Q(t) \cdot M(t) \cdot V(t+1/\omega) + \overline{M} \cdot Q(t) \cdot P(t).$$

Note that this is nearly  $\overline{M} \cdot \overline{P}(t)$ . If  $\omega_{\underline{e}}$  is an integral multiple of  $\omega$  then  $V(t+1/\omega) = V(t)$  and we have  $\overline{P}(t+1/\omega) = \overline{M} \cdot \overline{P}(t)$ . Thus in this case we have the same rotational symmetry that we had with the swath boundaries and the ground tracks. If we let RF denote the rotational frequency of the laser in rotations per minute then we have  $\omega_{g}$  = 1440 RF. Thus if  $\omega$  = 8,9,10,12,15 or 16 then  $\omega_{g}$  is an integral multiple of  $\omega$  since each of the numbers 8,9,10,12,15, and 16 divides 1440. (We assume RF is an integer). These observations involving symmetry are crucial to the algorithm that is used for computing shot frequency and shot density matrices. The symmetry implies that we can compute these matrices for the whole earth after gathering information from a single orbit. Even though the symmetry breaks down when  $\omega_s$ is not an integral multiple of  $\omega$  the number of pulses is so large and the rotation rate of the laser so rapid that there is incurred a negligible loss of information by assuming this symmetry exists and in fact in the case of most importance, the case  $\omega = 15$ , the symmetry does exist.

#### 5. Pulse Distribution along the South Width

It is fairly clear that the pulse distribution along the swath width is not uniform. In fact we expect greater pulse density toward the boundaries of the swath. Computer runs provide evidence of this. We claim that the distribution of pulses along the swath width is not substantially affected by any of the following:

(1) the motion of the earth,

Ĵ.

- (2) the inclination of the orbit,
- (3) the motion of the satellite.

In other words, the pulse distribution along the swath width depends only upon the movement of the laser.

We consider a sphere of radius R and an angle  $\psi_{\rm m}$  which is to represent the swath angle. We consider a point moving in a circle on our sphere with frequency of revolution  $\omega_{\rm g}$ . If this point revolves about the x-axis of our standard co-ordinate system starting at the point (R cos( $\psi_{\rm m}$ ), R sin( $\psi_{\rm m}$ ),0) then the equations of motion are

$$x(t) = R \cos(\psi_{m})$$
  

$$y(t) = R \sin(\psi_{m})\cos(2\pi\omega_{t})$$
  

$$z(t) = R \sin(\psi_{m})\sin(2\pi\omega_{t})$$

where revolution is counterclockwise with respect to a person looking down the x-axis in the negative direction. We will measure the pulse distribution in terms of the angle  $\psi$  (see diagram 3). Clearly we have  $y = R \cos(\psi_m) \tan(\psi)$ . Therefore we have

$$y = R \cos(\psi_m) \tan(\psi) = R \sin(\psi_m) \cos(2\pi\omega_t)$$

which implies that

$$t = (2\pi\omega_{t})^{-1} \operatorname{Arccos}((\tan(\psi)/\tan(\psi_{m})))$$

for  $0 \le \psi \le \psi_m$ . If we consider the time interval there we may divide  $t(\psi)$  by  $1/(4\omega_e)$  to obtain the comulative density function

 $\frac{2}{\pi}$  Arccos((tan( $\psi$ )/tan( $\psi_m$ )).

The pulse density we seek is then obtained by differentiating the above with respect to  $\psi$ . We call the resulting function L. The formula is

$$L(\psi) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sec^2(\psi) / (\tan^2(\psi_m) - \tan^2(\psi))^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where  $0 \leq \psi \leq \psi_m$ .

ц. 1997 г. Inspection of this function reveals that we have the greates: pulse density when  $\psi$  is close to  $\psi_m$  - the swath angle. This is in line with the results obtained from computer runs.

This tendency for boundary clumping is significant and should be considered when the data processing system is designed.

#### 6. Documentation for the Computer Programs

#### Included with this Report

There are listings for two programs included with this report. The first of these, Lasar III, was written by the author of this report while the second was written by K. Hiles of the Computer Services Corporation under the direction of the author of this report. The program language is Fortran 77 and neither program will run on a computer that understands only Fortran IV.

The plotting program causes the computer to sketch either a polar view of the swath boundaries for a single day or a polar view of the light path on the ground for a single day. The equations used are the ones presented in part 4 of this report. The user of the program must input  $\beta$ , the incliniation angle,  $\omega$ , the number of orbits per day, and  $\omega_{\pm}$  the number of rotations of the laser each day. The user may either input the scan angle  $\alpha$  or elect to have this angle computed so that swaths are contiguous at the equator. The plots produced by this program are usually quite pleasing aesthetically. Several examples are included with this report.

Each of the swath boundary plots produces a subdivision of the Northern Hemisphere into regions where the coverage number is constant. The coverage numbers for all of the regions may be obtained if all of the regions where the coverage number is least are known. This is true because two regions sharing a non-degenerate boundary arc must have coverage numbers that differ by one.

The program Laser III computes four matrices which as a group contain virtually all the raw data one could desire when studying the geographic coverage properties of a doppler lidar. ••••

**.**....

The input parameters for Lasar III are B, w, SF (the shot frequency of the Laser in shots per second), Fk\_Q (the rotational frequency of the laser in rotations per minute) and a pair of integers N amd M. The Northern Hemisphere is divided into sections by dividing the latitude range (0 to  $\pi/2$ ) into N equal parts and the longitude range (0 to  $2\pi$ ) into M\*w equal parts. In each of the four output matrices rows correspond to latitude subdivisions and columns to longitude subdivisions. The upper rows correspond to the polar regions while the lower rows correspond to regions near the equator. The columns are in normal order.

The first output matrix is called the shot-frequency matrix. The ij-th entry is simply the number of lasar pulses landing in the corresponding ij-th section of the northern hemisphere.

The second matrix gives shot frequencies per unit area. The ij-th entry of this matrix is obtained by dividing the ij-th entry of the shot-frequency matrix by the area of the corresponding ij-th section. We measure area in 10,000 km<sup>2</sup>.

The third and fourth matrices are closely related. The ij-th entry of the third matrix gives an upper bound on the number of times the corresponding ij-th region is within the coverage swath while the ij-th entry of the fourth matrix gives a lower bound on the number of times the corresponding ij-th region is within the coverage swath. In most cases the ij-th entry in the third matrix is the same as the ij-th entry in the fourth matrix. In these cases the coverage number

is determined exactly. If the ij-th entry of the third matrix differs from the ij-th entry of the fourth matrix then the swath boundary passes through the corresponding ij-th section of the northern hemisphere.

\*

ź

2

1

If the swath boundaries for a single passage over the Northern Hemisphere are not distinguishable in the array ARR (see program listing) then distortion will result at the latitude where this "running together" of boundaries occurs. This is evident in computer out put corresponding to  $\beta \leq 50^{\circ}$ . This can be corrected by increasing the size of M and N thus making the grid network more fine. This distortion is only at lower latitudes (roughly  $10^{\circ}$  or less) and only in the third and fourth matrices. There is no distortion in the first and second matrices.

We print only the first M columns of each of our matrices simply because each of them repeats itself every M columns. Also, the write statements in the program are constructed so that if all of the columns of an output matrix will not fit on a single page then the remainder of the columns are printed on the next page and if the columns will not fit on two pages then the remainder are printed on the next page, etc. for as many pages as are necessary. If the rows will not fit on a single page they are automatically printed on the next page and these excess rows are printed before any excess columns are printed.

We do not go into the actual operation of the program itself. The algorithm is quite interesting and the speed of this program is surprising considering the amount of output obtained.



ţ

Ś

DIAGRAM 1



Je . .

ł., "

# $\psi = \angle QOS$ , $\beta = \angle ZOU$ $\Gamma = \angle QOR = \angle QOP$

The plane containing Q, O, and U is the orbital plane. The vector v extends from O to S.

#### DIAGRAM 2

#### XV1-23

-----

. ..

		00 56 L1-0, (I-1	Ld*(L*L3m) 20-20-ARR(L(,L2)	CONTINUE XV(K,L),2D	CONTINUE	NE MATRIX XVIIS NOU CONDUTTED. UE NOU URITE THIG MATRIX Print 2. "Shot - Frequency Matrix"		DO 600 1-1.KLIP							GAL MULLE(C'CHO)(XA(KI)'I)'I'OFOCTUPI'I')	FORMAT(1X,16(F6.0.1X))           D         CONTINUE		M * 84 / 7412) / 2410 / 2410 / 2410 / 2410 / 2410 / 2410 / 2410 / 2410 / 2410 / 2410 / 2410 / 2410 / 2410 / 241	URITE(6, 75)	PRINT 3. SHOT FREQUENCY PER UNIT AREA'	PC - 01% (SIN( (K#PI )/ (84FL/MT(N) )-SIN( ((K-1)#PI )/ (84FL0AT(H) ))	LJ 106 Lel.A Xykk.Llevykk'llyaf	CONTINUE CONTINUE	CONTINUE KLIP - INT((R-1)/S)	KLAR - M-SIKLIP Do 746 1-1 brite		WITT (		URITE(6,715) 5 FORMAT(1X,//) }	ONTIMUE : If (Klar . EQ. 0) GD 750	DO 730 K+1.H K1+R+K+1	URITE(8:740)(XY(K1.[).[-81KL19+1.f]) • FORMATIX: 9(F18.7.1X1) • FOUTURE	CONTINUE FILTINE IFILTINE	
ł			:		<b>\$</b> 7	к С		<u></u>				5	3			33			¥ 				20		<u>.</u>		<b>1</b>	12	7		<u></u>		2 <b>K</b> 	
-	500	6 <b>1</b> 0	9.9	PH	101	477:		5	~~	77	5		000			ic e	ŏŏ		00	6 <b>6</b> 6		ãõ				01		i.	111					50. 2
1. DIMUSION ARR(160.361)		S PEINT 2, M.H A PEINT 2, "INPUT INCLINATION ANGLE"	7 MEAD 1, DECITE		Description of the Frequency (ORBITS/DAY)'	2. PRINT 3. V	(4 PRINT 3. "INPUT SHOT FREQUENCY OF LABERISHOTS/SEC.)" IS READ 2. SF	16. PEINT 3. SF POINT 3. "INDUT BOTATIONAL FARDLENCY OF LAGER(BOT/MIN)"		00 UL - FPEQ E 1440	21. ISTEP • INT((SF # 3648• )/W) 22. SI-ACOS(SQRT(1 • (SIN(PI/V)882)8(SIN(ZN)822)))	23. SID-(SITIZA)/PI 24. DPINT 2. "ONE MALE CLATH ANDLE"		ZK: SU = ZXSING178.15 27. PRIMT z, 'SUMTH UIDTM'	29. PRIMT 8. 52 39. At-ct 1//4 201	00-1 /(HTFLOAT(157EP))	31 - A1=CO5(ST) 32 A2=CO5(ZN)			D6· D2-62.xP13UL 37. PRINT x, 'OPTIONS.'	28 · PRINT 1, ' 1 - SHOT FREQUENCY MATRIX AND SHOT FREQUENCY PE 20. 10.111 ABEA MATRIX'	HO. PRINT S. ' 2 - CONCRACE FREQUENCY MATRICES'	41: PRINT X, 3 - SHOT FREQUENCY BRINIA, MINIA OF SHUT FREQUEN 42: LUCLES PER UNIT AREA	13. PRINT 8. AND COVERAGE FREQUENCY MATRICES	42. READ 8. 1400	46 IF (IMOP .EQ. 2) GO TO 228 47 DO 10 I-1.1572P	48 - T-01-022FU0AT(1) 49 - Z-0126455U(1)147]-AJ2625C05(822T)+AJ2643C05(812T)26U(1822T)	50 ·	2. VI-AISA25IN(BIST)-AJSA45C05(B2ST)-AJSA25C05(BIST)25IN(B2ST) . x=CD5(2-07121)=X1(4-0712)=21-21)XVI			SE 10 CONTINUE 59 C THIS COMPLETES THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE MATRIX ARA. UE NEXT TAKE ACCOUNT 59 C THIS COMPLETES THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE MATRIX ARA. UE NEXT TAKE ACCOUNT	LO C OF MULTIPLE PASPAGES	

ƙagao ⊭..

~

•

. XVI-24

CONTIME CONTINUE CONTINUE THE FINAL COVERAGE MATRIX MAS BEEN CONPUTED. VARTE(6.75) PAINT M. COVERAGE FREQUENCY MATRIX' FRINT M. FOUCHAGE FREQUENCY MATRIX' KLIP M. ANTOCHAGE FREQUENCY MATRIX'	FORMATICAL SELFA.0.1X)) CONTINUE CONTRUE FICLAR EQ. 0.1CO TO 850 FICLAR EQ. 0.1CO TO 850 MI-N-K-1.N KI-N-K-1 URITE(2.570)(XV(K1.L),L-241KLI0+1.M) KI-N-K-1 URITE(2.570)(XV(K1.L),L-241KLI0+1.M) FICLA EQ. 0.100 TO 310 FICLA EQ. 0.100 TO 310 FICLA EQ. 0.100 TO 310 FICLA EQ. 0.100 TO 310	OF POOR QUALITY	
	11 88 833 11 88 83 11 88 85 11 88 85 85 11 88 85 85 11 88 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	<b>8</b> 	- •
		ح 500 20 20	
^		0. ₩●	ł
			÷
UE SET THE MATRICES ARR AND XY EQUAL TO O CONTINUE DO 85 J-1.MIU DO 85 J-1.MIU SAR(1,J)= CONTINUE CONT	ISTEP	<pre>FCCS [5] F2] + 5[N(5]] FAG FCCS [1] F3] - 5[N(5]] FAG FCCS [1] - 1] - 2 CONTIMUE CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE FCCS [1] - 72 - FUFFLOAT ([5 TEP)] D0 530 [-0.15EP] D0 530 [-0.15EP] D0 530 [-0.15EP] T - 0.03FLLOAT ([1] - 4 D0 530 [-0.15EP] ([1] - 4 D0 530 [</pre>	D 531 K-1.M D 531 K-1.M D 531 K-1.M D 531 L1-0. D 53 L1-0. D 54 L1-0. D 55 L1-0. D

ä 320 15 ស៊ីទី G 000 ပ္ပ ------

.

XVI-25

١

: '

Τς.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

ļ

:

ł

1

;

<u>ج</u>



XV1-26

6 - **-**1

• . .

١

# N82-17059

D16

ر ب

. ۲

1

;

#### NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE

THE DESIGN AND EVALUATION OF SUPERCONDUCTING CONNECTORS

Prepared By:

، **۳** 

James E. Payne, Ph.D.

Academic Rank:

Associate Professor of Physics

University and Department:

South Carolina State College Department of Natural Sciences

NASA/MSFC: Laboratory: Division: Branch:

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

August 14, 1981

Palmer N. Peters

Space Sciences

Cryogenic Physics

Space Physics

Contract No.: NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

XVII

.

\*
### THE DESIGN AND EVALUATION OF SUPERCONDUCTING CONNECTORS

by

# James E. Payne Associate Professor of Physics South Carolina State College Orangeburg, South Carolina

# ABSTRACT

The objective of this project is to develop a superconducting connector and determine if it will be reliable in superconducting circuits on space flights. It is proposed that such connectors will be used between the superconducting readout loop and the SQUID magnetometer in the Gravity Probe B (GP-B) Experiment. Two types of connectors were developed. One type employs gold-plated niobium wires making pressure connections to gold-plated niobium pads. Lead-plated berylliumcopper spring contacts can replace the niobium wires. The other type is a rigid solder or weld connection between the niobium wires and the niobium pads. A description of the methods used to produce these connectors is given, as well as an analysis of their performance.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my appreciation to the NASA/ASEE Summer Faculty Fellowship Program for the research opportunity it has provided. Thanks also are extendes to Drs. Gerald Karr and Jim Dozier and Marion Kent for their very capable administration of this program. Special thanks go to my counterpart, Dr. Palmer Peters, for his suggestions and guidance this summer. Appreciation is also expressed for the help so willingly given by the Space Sciences Laboratory personnel, especially to Mr. Edward Stephens and Mr. Charles Sisk for their technical assistance and to Mrs. Sue Davis for typing this report.

÷.

#### INTRODUCTION

In 1962, the late Dr. Leonard I. Schiff and Drs. Fairbank and Cannon of Stanford University proposed a new and unique test of Einstein's Theory of Gravitation, General Relativity. The experiment they proposed consisted of measuring the precession of ultra-precision gyroscopes in orbit about the Earth. Detailed descriptions of the gyroscope experiment, or Gravity Probe B Experiment as it is called, have been published in several places (1,2). The design of the experiment is such that advancements in several key technology areas have been required. The research efforts of many personnel at Marshall Space Flight Center and at Stanford University have been directed toward developing the necessary technology.

The gyroscope consists of a niobium-coated spherical quartz rotor that is floated electrostatically inside a quartz gyro housing. The superconducting niobium coating on the quartz ball establishes a magnetic field due to the rotation of the ball (3). A superconducting readout loop is deposited on the gyro housing as shown in Figure 1. Any change of alignment between the spin axis of the quartz ball and the readout loop will produce a current in the readout loop which will be monitored by a SQUID magnetometer.

#### OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to develop a method of making a superconducting connection between the SQUID magnetometer which is external to the gyro housing and the readout loops located in the housing. It was decided that two general types of connectors would be designed and undergo preliminary evaluation. A rigid connection and removable connectors would be evaluated by examining the current-voltage characteristics at liquid helium temperature.

# I. RIGID CONNECTIONS

#### Experimental Considerations:

-----

The most obvious method of making the connection to the readout loops would be to either solder or weld the SQUID magnetometer leads to the readout loops. These connections must have zero resistance at the current levels involved in the GP-B experiment.

#### XVII-1

In joining the two superconductors care must be taken to prevent the formation of a tunnel junction. The superconducting material used in the experiment is niobium which readily forms niobium oxide. This niobium oxide must be removed before connecting the superconductors to prevent the formation of a superconductor-insulator-superconductor junction at the connection (4). If a solder joint is used, a superconducting solder with an acceptable transition temperature should be used.

Although an ideal method of connecting the readout loops to the SQUID magnetometer would be a weld joint, it is believed that the high currents required to produce the weld could adversely effect the niobium film and its superconducting properties.

#### Experimental Procedure:

The samples were prepared by soldering niobium wire (0.005inch diameter, 99.99% pure) to a niobium film as shown in Figure 2. A 50% Sn - 50% In solder which had a transition temperature greater than  $4.2^{\circ}$  K was used for the connection. The niobium RF-sputtered onto quartz substrates for 7 to 10 minutes producing films in the 70 nm to 100 nm thickness range. These samples were produced before work on superconducting connectors was initiated. The films were returned to the sputtering chamber to sputter-etch the niobium oxide off the surface before sputtering on a 30 nm layer of gold to prevent further oxidation of the niobium. The gold layer was thin compared to the thickness of the niobium film to maintain superconductivity across the normal gold layer (4, 5, 6). The gold-plated niobium films were sputter-etched to produce the horseshoe shaped pattern shown in Figure 2. Thi; pattern was chosen instead of a complete readout loop to simplify the experiment. The niobium films were tested at 4.2° K to insure that they were superconducting before being used in the connector test. Niobium wires (.005-inch diameter) were soldered to the niobium film using a 50% Sn - 50% In solder. A four-contact current-voltage connection was made to the sample and it was placed in liquid helium. The current-voltage characteristics of the sample were plotted on an X-Y plotter with a .05 mv/in maximum sensitivity. Although a measurement of temperature was not available, the sample could be warmed by moving it from the liquid into the vapor above. Attempts were made to produce this type of connection by welding the niobium wires to the niobium films. These efforts failed to produce satisfactory samples.

Experimental Results:

÷14.

The results of three typical samples are shown below.

Sample	Critical Current at 4.2°	h
1	200 mA	
2	370 mA	
3	410 mA	

XVII-2

The critical current was taken as the onset of a measurable voltage  $(2 \mu V)$  and may vary due to wire length or location of the solder joints on the niobium film. The current-voltage curve is nonlinear after the onset of a voltage until the samples goes abruptly normal.

# II. REMOVABLE CONNECTORS - NIOBIUM WIRES

#### Experimental Considerations:

موضع مدداد المالية

Many of the same considerations are involved in the development of a removable connector as with the rigid connector. In addition, gold was plated onto the niobium wires that served as the other half of the connector. This meant that the effect of two gold layers and the possibility of oxide on two niobium surfaces had to be considered. With the removable connector, the problems associated with making and breaking the connection must be considered along with the effects on a pressure contact due to repeated cycling between room temperature and liquid helium temperature.

#### Experimental Procedure:

The same horseshoe shaped film (Figure 2) was used to replace the readout loops but niobium wires (either 0.003-inch diameter or 0.005-inch diameter) made the connection instead of the solder joint. There were two configurations for these In one the wires passed through holes in a quartz wires. plate and in the other the wires were glued to the plate edges (Figure 3). The quartz plate with the niobium wires was placed over the niobium film so that the wires contacted the tabs (Figure 2) and a pressure contact was made by securing a piece of stainless steel on each side of the sample (Figure 4). The resistance of the connection was measured at room temperature and liquid nitrogen temperature. If the sample maintained its integrity, measurements were made on the sample in liquid helium as was done on the solder joints. Each sample was then connected and disconnected several times, cycled between room temperature and liquid nitrogen temperature numerous times and allowed to remain connected for periods of several hours to two weeks before the helium temperature measurements were repeated.

#### Experimental Results:

The results on five samples of this type indicate that a limited number of connections of the sample and extended (two-woek) connections had no measurable influence on the sample characteristics. In general, the critical currents of these connections were much lower than the solder joints (4, 5, 6). A typical current-voltage curve would exhibit a critical current in the microamp range, a linear region, and then several distinct transition steps to the normal state. The resistance of the linear region was down by three orders of magnitude from the normal resistance. The critical currents for four connectors using different diameter wire connected to the same film are shown below.

## Sample

### Critical Current

.003"	diam.	Nb	throug	;h '	oles	45	μA
.003"	diam.	Nb	glued	to	edge	175	μA
.005"	diam.	Nb	glued	to	edge	545	μA
.005"	diam.	Nb	glued	to	edge	420	μA

These preliminary results indicate that the larger the wire diameter and more rigidly the wire is held in place, the better the connector.

#### III. REMOVABLE CONNECTOR - LEAD -PLATED STRIPS

A connector using lead plated onto beryllium-copper in place of the niobium wires was also examined with less success than the previous type of connection. This arrangement did produce higher critical currents (10  $\mu$ A) connectors, but they required extremely thick layers of lead. The connectors did not maintain their integrity during temperature cycling or over extended periods of time. Although this method did not produce acceptable connectors, it did produce superconducting lead films from a plating solution (7).

### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This prelimitary study indicates that there are several methods for making superconducting connections from the gyro readout loops to the SQUID magnetometer. Either the rigid connector or the removable connector could be incorporated into the design of the housing. Before either method is used, further investigation would be required. Whiskers (single crystals of solder material) could cause shorts between the solder joints unless there is su'ficient isolation. Vibrational and aging studies should be undertaken and it would be desirable to replace the standard four-contact current-voltage measurements with a persistent current measurement using a superconducting coil connected to the sample under investigation. It appears that a rigid connection would be more reliable and satisfactory, but if lower critical current values can be tolerated, then the removable connector is a possibility.

2

÷.,

XVII-5

- - ---



XVII-6

n

:

the state of the s

֥ ...

;

# ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



# Figure 2: Gold-Plated Niobium Film

XVII-7



Figure 3: Removable Connectors

XVII-8

....

ORIGINAL PAGE BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPH



Figure 4: Pressure Plates

XVII-9

.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Fairbank, W.M. et al: Final Report. NASA Grant 05-020-019, W.W. Hansen Laboratories of Physics and the Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Stanford University, July 1977.
- Fairbank, W.M. et al: Report on Progress of NASA Grant 05-020-019; U.S. Air Force Contract F36615-67-C-1245 and NASA Contract NAS8-32355; W.W. Hansen Laboratories of Physics and the Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Stanford University, June 1980.
- 3. Gravity Probe B Phase A Report; George C. Marshall Space Flight Center, Marcy 1980.
- 4. Solymar, L. <u>Superconducting Tunneling and Applications</u>, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1972.
- 5. Clark, J., J. Phys. Supp. au. No. 2-3, Tome 29, c2 (1968).
- 6. de Gennes, P.G. <u>Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys</u>. W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1966.
- 7. Momose, T., et al. J. Appl, Phys. 52(2), February 1981, p. 909.

XV11-10

د

\*\*\*\*\*

917 N82-17060

#### NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE

A STUDY OF OPTICALLY CONTACTED QUARTZ AT CRYOGENIC TEMPERATURES

١.

Prepared By: Linda L. Payne, Ph.D Academic Rank: Associate Professor of Physics University and Department South Carolina State College Department of Natural Sciences NASA/MSFC: Laboratory: Space Sciences Laboratory Division: Space Physics Division Branch: Cryogenic Physics Branch MSFC Counterpart: Palmer N. Peters Date: August 14, 1981 Contract No.: NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

XVIII

# A STUDY OF OPTICALLY CONTACTED QUARTZ AT CRYOGENIC TEMPERATURES

by

# Linda L. Payne Associate Professor of Physics South Carolina State College Orangeburg, South Carolina

#### ABSTRACT

The Gravity Probe-B (GP-B) Experiment proposed by Stanford University to test the theories of general relativity requires extremely precise measurements. The quartz components of the instruments to make these measurements must be held together in a very stable unit. Optical contacting has been suggested as a possible method of joining these components. The objective of this research is to investigate the strength of the bond between optically contacted fused quartz surfaces at liquid helium temperature. A test apparatus has been designed which can be used for making measurements of the tensile strength of these bonds. Results of these tensile pull tests are given and the reliability of such bonds analyzed.

÷.....

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my appreciation to the NASA/ASEE Summer Faculty Fellowship Program for the research opportunity it has provided. Thanks also are extended to Drs. Gerald Karr and Jim Dozier and Marion Kent for their very capable administration of this program. Special thanks to my counterpart, Dr. Palmer Peters, for his suggestions and guidance this summer. Appreciation is also expressed for the help so willingly given by the Space Sciences Laboratory personnel, expecially to Mr. Ed Stephens and Mr. Charles Sisk for their technical assistance and to Mrs. Sue Davis for typing this report.

#### INTRODUCTION

In 1962, the late Dr. Leonard I. Schiff and Drs. Fairbank and Cannon of Stanford University proposed a new and unique test of Einstein's Theory of Gravitation, General Relativity. The experiment they proposed consisted of measuring the precision of ultra-precision gyroscopes in orbit about the Earth. Detailed descriptions of the gyroscope experiment, or Gravity Probe B experiment as it is called, have been published in several places (1,2). The design of the experiment is such that advancements in several key technology areas have been required. The research efforts of many personnel at Marshall Space Flight Center and at Stanford University have been directed toward developing the necessary technology.

The gyroscopes for the experiment and the telescope which will be used to aim the spacecraft will be made of fused quartz. The components of these instruments must be joined together very rigidly and maintain their relative positions under conditions of reduced temperature and pressure. One method which has been proposed for joining the quartz components is the method of optically contacting the surfaces.

#### OBJECTIVE

The objective of this research is to investigate the strength of the bond between optically contacted fused quartz surfaces at liquid helium temperature  $(4.2^{\circ} \text{ K})$ .

#### THEORY

It has been known for more than fifty years that very flat, clean glass or silica surfaces can be brought into optical contact, that is contact so close that reflection at the interface is very nearly eliminated (3). When the surfaces are contacted, they are held together by considerable force with no adhesive or clamping. The origin of this force is not clearly understood. It is probably the result of a number of bonding forces which contribute in varying degrees. Some of these may interact with one another and some may be influenced by external parameters. Possible contributors to the strength of the bond between optically contacted surfaces are Van der Waals forces, chemical bonds associated with unfilled electronic shells, bond structures in the energy levels appearing within solids, surface states on the interfaces, possibly free and polarization charge contributions, and capillary forces associated with surface tensions of impurity films (4).

### XVIII-1

Č2

From a study of the nature of these forces, it becomes apparent that in order for optical contacting to occur, the surfaces must be very flat (within a few hundred Angstroms) and very clean. These requirements must be considered when preparing the optical contacts for testing.

#### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The fused quartz pieces which were obtained for use in this research were of two shapes; most were cylindrical pieces of quartz with a one-inch diameter and either 0.5 inch or 0.65 inch in height; a few were rectangular pieces of quartz one-inch by 0.75 inch and 0.5000 inch or 0.5125 inch in height. The surface of the quartz had been polished to within one microinch (250 Å or 25 nm).

The quartz surfaces were carefully cleaned using ethyl alcohol and a lint-free linen cloth. The surfaces were rinsed with ethyl alcohol and blown dry with air. The surfaces were brought into contact and gently rotated over one another. As the contact force between them increased, the quartz surfaces were quickly aligned. If a rigid contact occured before the final alignment, the pair was heated with a heat gun to break the bond. The cleaning procedure was then performed again and the surfaces re-contacted.

Several problems were encountered in designing a test apparatus to pull the optical contacts apart. One problem was due to the difference in thermal expansion properties of the fused quartz and stainless steel. It was found that when the quartz was glued directly to the stainless steel with DOW RTV-731 Silastic Sealant/Adhesive and the assembly cooled to liquid helium temperatue the quartz would fracture due to this difference in thermal contraction rates of the materials. То alleviate this problem, the quartz contacts were glued to pieces of quartz which served as spacers. The spacers were glued to the pull rod assembly via stainless steel braid as shown in detail in Figure 1. The RTV glue joints, although not extremely strong at room temperatures, proved to be an extremely good adhesive at 4.2° K. The best glue joints were those which employed a minimum amount of RTV on very smooth, oil free surfaces.

The purpose of the quartz rotor shown in Figure 1 was to enable the system to rotate slightly so that when the force was applied to pull the contacts apart it would not have a shear component. Figure 2 shows a picture of the sample assembly with the cover in place. This cover attaches to the outer stainless tube. The inner stainless steel tube is attached via the braid to the top of the optical contact. As the nut shown in Figure 3 is tightened, the inner tube moves up and exerts a force to pull the contacts apart. The force applied is measured with the load cell located as shown in Figure 3. The output from the load cell is monitored with a BLH Strain Indicator, Model 120C. Figures 4 and 5 show calibration curves for two load cells using this instrument.

After a pair of optically contacted quartz flats were glued in the sample mount, the RTV joints were allowed to harden for at least 24 hours. After this time had elapsed, it was immersed in liquid nitorgen for precooling and then in liquid helium for the pull test. The load was slowly increased until the contacts or another joint failed. The braid tended to stretch and slip some causing the contacts to experience at times some sudden shocks.

A total of sixteen pull tests were conducted. Five of these tests resulted in the optical contact breaking under very small loads (less than forty pounds). Each of these contacts had some cloudy regions at the interface which indicated they lacked good optical contact at that point. The other eleven pull tests were performed on contacts which were almost free of any cloudy regions of non-contact. In these tests, more often than not, another type of failure would occur before failure of the optical contact. Table I gives a summary of the results of these pull tests. The values for load and pressure which the contact joint could withstand would exceed those shown in the table, since the values listed are the yalues at which another part failed.

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of this preliminary study on the strength of the optical contact bond indicates that it far exceeds the expectations, with a value above 662 psi. Indications from the work are that the optical contacts must be of very good quality, exhibiting almost no cloudy areas of reflection at the interface. The system is now capable of exerting up to 1000 pounds of tensile pull on the contact pair. Recommendations would be to obtain more quartz pieces and have extensive preliminary measurements made on the flatness and surface finish of the pieces. After the carefully matched quartz pieces are optically contacted, non-destructive tests should be performed to characterize the interface. Tensile pull tests could then be conducted on these contacts whose characteristics would have been fully documented. It is recommended that a large number of contacts be tested at liquid helium temperature to determine if the strength of the bond as measured in this research is a reliable value to assume.



Figure 1: Lower Pull Test Assembly



.



Figure 2: Pull Test Assembly With Shield

XVIII-5

1

·



Figure 3: Upper Pull Test Assembly

# XVIII-6

. .....



Gauge Reading (+30 thousands)

Figure 4: Load Cell Calibration Curve





1

<u>-----</u>

Figure 5: Load Cell Calibration Curve

# XVIII-8

I

# TABLE I

•

<u>,</u>

1800-1<mark>800</mark> P

# SUMMARY OF TENSILE PULL TEST RESULTS

Test Number	Load (1bs.)	Pressure (psi)	Failure Point
1	344	438	Braid Broke
2	287	366	Quartz Fracture
3	125	159	RTV Joint
4	110	140	RTV Joint
5	68	87	RTV Joint
6	102	130	Quartz Fracture
7	218	278	RTV Joint
8*	500	640	Load Cell Limit
9	520	662	Load Cell Limit
10	328	418	RTV Joint
11	96	122	Quartz Fracture

\*Sample left under tension of 500 lbs, at  $4.2^{\circ}$  K for 4 hours.

سم ۲

XVIII-9

#### REFERENCES

2

- T.

- 1. Fairbank, W.M., et al: Final Report. NASA Grant 05-020-019, W.W. Hansen Laboratories of Physics and the Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Stanford University, July 1977.
- Fairbank, W.M. et al: Report on Progress. NASA Grant 05-020-019, USAF Contract F36615-67-C-1245, and NASA Contract NAS8-32355, W.W. Hansen Laboratories of Physics and the Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Stanford University, June 1980.
- 3. Lord Rayleigh, F.R.S., "Proc. Roy. Soc.", <u>A156</u>, (1936), pp. 326-349.
- 4. Peters, P.N.: Optical Contacting and Its Application to Gravity Probe B. NASA Report. unpublished. August 1981.

# NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

# MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

# MATTER IN GENERAL RELATIVITY

Prepared By:	John R. Ray
Academic Rank:	Professor
University and Department:	Clemson University Department of Physics and Astronomy
NASA/MSFC: Division: Branch:	Space Sciences Laboratory Space Physics Division Cryogenic Physics Branch
MSFC Counterpart:	Peter Eby Larry Smalley

Date:

;

`

÷

. a **1927** 

۔ د ، ،

Contract No.:

The University of Alabama in Huntsville

, N82-17061 Dis

----

··· .

-

- ----- .

÷ .

#### MATTER IN GENERAL RELATIVITY

#### by

#### John R. Ray

# Professor of Physics and Astronomy Clemson University Clemson, South Carolina 29631

### ABSTRACT

The Einstein field equations can be written in the schematic form

Geometry of Spacetime = Matter Content of Spacetime The left-hand side of this equation corresponds to the geometrical description of gravitation introduced by Einstein. The righthand side is a generalization of the mass density of Newtonian gravitational theory and is not so well defined as the geometrical term. Two important descriptions of matter that are used in general relativity are the fluid description and the kinetic theory description. Our work this summer has concentrated on studying these two theories of matter in general relativity. New results obtained include: a clarifying discussion of various methods of completing the fluid equations; a method of constructing charged general relativistic solutions in kinetic theory; and a proof and discussion of the incompatibility of perfect fluid solutions in anisotropic cosmologies. Intrepretations of NASA gravitational experiments using the above mentioned results have been started. Two papers have been prepared for publication based on this work and together make up the main part of this report.

XIX-i

**7**...

# INTRODUCTION

The Einstein field equations relate the geometry of spacetime to the matter content of spacetime through the energy momentum tensor of matter. The description of matter in general relativity often uses phenomological forms for the energy momentum tensor, such as the fluid form. Even within the fluid description there are different approaches associated with multiple ways of completing the fluid equations in relativistic hydrodynamics. The first paper "Perfect Fluids in General Relativity" discusses and clarifies this subject.

Each of these papers gives a discussion of a description of matter in general relativity. Interpretations of gravitational experiments are influenced by the method chosen for describing the matter. As a result of this work Dr. A. J. Fennelly of MSFC and I are studying the possible correlation of results from experiments with the NASA ST and GP-B.

XIX-1

# PERFECT FLUIDS IN GENERAL RELATIVITY

,

John R. Ray

Space Sciences Laboratory NASA/Marshall Space Flight Center Marshall Space Flight Center, Alabama 35812<sup>(\*)</sup>

and

Department of Physics and Astronomy Clemson University Clemson, South Carolina 29631 (\*\*)

### ABSTRACT

The equations of relativistic fluid dynamics can be completed in several different ways. We discuss and compare three distinct methods for completing these equations. We also discuss variational principles for the different fluid theories.

\*NASA-ASEE Summer Faculty Fellow, MSFC, 1981 \*\*Permanent address

XIX-2

11.0

### I. INTRODUCTION

ţ

6

In general relativity one often employs a perfect fluid energy-momentum tensor to represent the source of the gravitational field. This fluid description is thought to be appropriate in cosmology where one assumes the <u>large\_scale</u> properties of the Universe can be studied by assuming a perfect fluid description for the sources. A survey of the literature will, in fact, verify that almost all cosmological studies use the perfect fluid model. Exceptions are studies that make use of relativistic kinetic theory, which is complementary to the fluid description.<sup>1</sup> Also, in the astrophysics of stars, star clusters, and galaxies a fluid description is often employed.

The perfect fluid energy-momentum tensor T<sup>jk</sup> can be written

 $T^{jk} = (W+P) U^{j}U^{k} + Pg^{jk}$ , (1.1) where W is the energy density, P the pressure,  $U^{j}$  the normalized Eulerian four-velocity of the fluid and  $g^{jk}$  the metric tensor for space-time geometry. All thermodynamic variables W, P, .... are defined in the local rest frame of the fluid and are, therefore, scalar functions. A perfect fluid has, in general, two thermodynamic degrees of freedom, for example P and the rest mass density p. All other thermodynamic variables, e.g., W,..., can be written in terms of P and p

$$W = W(P,\rho) \qquad (1.2)$$

Equation (1.2) is called the caloric equation of state and must be given in order to specify the nature of the fluid. As an example, "stiff matter" is described by the caloric equation of state W = P.

The gravitational field equations are

$$G^{jk} = 8\pi T^{jk}$$
(1.3)

where G<sup>jk</sup> is the Einstein tensor. Equations of motion for the fluid follow from Bianchi identities

$$T^{jk}_{;k} = 0$$
, (1.4)

where the semicolon means covariant differentiation. The ten gravitational field equations, (1.3), together with the four riuid equations (1.4) form an incomplete system of equations for the five fluid variables P,  $\rho$ ,  $U^{i}$  and the ten gravitational variables  $g^{jk}$ . There are several ways of completing these equations. Two often used methods are:<sup>2</sup>

a) assume mass is conserved  $(\rho U^{j})_{j} = 0$ , (1.5)

b) assume a relation between P and  $\rho$ 

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \quad . \tag{1.6}$$

Note that in case b) all the thermodynamic variables can be written in terms of one thermodynamic variable, say p. The first method of completing the equations is more familiar and corresponds to non-relativistic hydrodynamics where rest mass is always conserved. In order to avoid confusion we point out that it is possible to complete the equations so that both a) and b) hold. We shall discuss examples of this type of completion later in this section. Also, we point out that the results of this paper are also valid in special relativistic perfect fluid dynamics.

The combined first and second laws of thermodynamics imply  $Tds = d(W/\rho) + Pd(1/\rho)$ , (1.7)

where T is the temperature and s the specific entropy. If we know the caloric equation of state,  $W = W(P,\rho)$ , then we may use (1.7) to solve for  $s(P,\rho)$  and  $T(P,\rho)$ . For example, if we consider the "stiff matter" caloric equation of state W = P, we find

and sectors of the

.

$$S_{1} = q(P/\rho^{2})$$
 , (1.8)

$$T = \frac{\rho}{\frac{dg(P/\rho^2)}{d(P/\rho^2)}}, \qquad (1.9)$$

where g is an arbit ary function of  $P/\rho^2$  such that T is well behaved and positive.

A special case of (1.7) is when T = 0 (cold matter) or s = const (isentropic flow). For these cases

$$\frac{dW}{W+P} = \frac{dr}{\rho} = dln\rho , \qquad (1.10)$$

and therefore dW/(W+P) must be exact. This condition implies that we must have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial P} \left( \frac{1}{W+P} \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial \rho} \right)_{P} \right)_{\rho} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \frac{1}{W+P} \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial P} \right)_{\rho} \right)_{P} , \qquad (1.11)$$

which yields

$$\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \rho}\right)_{\rm P} = 0 \quad . \tag{1.12}$$

Hence, for T = 0 or s = const. we find that W depends only upon F

$$W = W(P), \text{ if } T = 0 \text{ or } s = \text{ const.}$$
 (1.13)

With the results (1.13) we return to (1.10) and find the relation between P and  $\rho$  is

$$\rho = ce^{\int \frac{dW(P)}{W+P}}$$
, if T = 0 or s = const. (1.14)

where c is a constant.

XIX-5

These results show that the requirements T = 0 or s = const., which are often applied is cosmology and astrophysics, are an alternate way of completing the fluid equations which automatically yield the relation (1.14) between  $\rho$  and P. Thus, we have proven that the requirement T = 0 or s = const. satisfy property b), (1.6). Next we show that T = 0 or s = const. also imply a), (1.7). First we use  $T^{jk}$  to write

 $T^{jk}_{;k} = ([W(P, \rho) + P]U^{j}U^{k} + Pg^{jk})_{;k} = 0$  . (1.15) Contracting (1.15) with U<sub>j</sub> yields

$$(W+P)U^{k}$$
; k + W, k = 0 (1.16)

Combining (1.7) ... 1 1.16) leads to

Ì.

$$(W+P)(\rho U^{j})_{;j} + \rho^{2}Ts_{,j}U^{j} = 0$$
 . (1.17)  
om this latter important equation we see that the requireme

From this latter important equation we see that the requirement T = 0 or s = const implies  $(\rho v^{j})_{;j} = 0$  or property a), (1.5).

From this analysis we see that we should introduce a third  $w \in c$  completing the equations of fluid dynamics:

c) T = 0 or s = const. (1.18) We have proven that

$$c) + a) and b) . (1.19)$$

The main purpose of this paper is to discuss fluid motions in which method a) is used to complete the fluid equations but b) does not hold, and alternately, when b) is used to complete the fluid equations tut a) does not hold. It is clear for our discussions so far that we cannot then have T = 0 or s = const., since if either of these conditions obtain (1.19) implies that we have both a; and b).

ХІХ-б

II. COMPLETION USING a)  $(\rho U^{j})_{j} = 0$ 

For method a) we solve the equations

$$([W(P,\rho) + P]U^{j}U^{k} + Pg^{jk}) = 0 , \qquad (2.1)$$
  
$$(\rho U^{j}) = 0 , \qquad (2.2)$$

 $(\rho U^{J}) = 0$ , (2.2) for the variables  $(\rho(x), P(x), U^{J}(x))$ . We, of course, must know the caloric equation of state  $W = W(P,\rho)$ . The temperature and entropy  $T(P,\rho)$  and  $s(P,\rho)$  can be found from (1.7) and then T(x), s(x) determined from the solutions to (2.1) and (2.2). Note that from (1.17) this solution for s automatically satisfies

$$s_{i}U^{i} = 0$$
, (2.3)

or the specific entropy is constant along the fluid flow. Condition (2.3) does not, of course, mean that s = const. In Newtonian fluid mechanics conditions (2.2) and (2.3), which are both valid, are independent equations which are always satisfied. In relativistic fluid mechanics these equations are related by (1.17) which arises from the relativistic Euler equations (2.1). It follows that the solutions which result when we complete the fluid equations using a) are hemologous to the solutions in the Newtonian theory of perfect fluids. Also, we mention that the special case of isentropic flow s = const., which we are not considering in this section, also obtains in the Newtonian case.

6

# III. COMPLETION USING b) $P = P(\rho)$

In this case all thermodynamic variables can be written in terms of one variable, say P, W = W(P). In this case our earlier proof that  $\frac{dW}{W+P}$  is exact is still true since  $\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \rho}\right)_p = 0$ is satisfied. One exceptional case is the equation of state P = 0, for which we must express W = W( $\rho$ ). But even in this case  $\frac{dW}{W+P} = \frac{dW}{W} = d' \ln W$  is still exact. Since  $\frac{dW}{W+P}$  is exact it is useful to introduce a new thermodynamic potential  $\alpha$  defined by<sup>2</sup>

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\alpha} = \frac{\mathrm{d}W}{W+P} \quad . \tag{3.1}$$

Using (3.1) in (1.7) we have

$$Tds = \left(\frac{W+P}{\rho}\right)d \ln(\alpha/\rho) \quad . \tag{3.2}$$

Our assumption that  $T \neq 0$ ,  $s \neq const$  implies that  $\alpha \neq \rho$ . Note also that  $\alpha = \rho$  if and only if T = 0 or  $s = const.^2$  Combining (3.1) and (1.16) we obtain

$$(\alpha U^{j})_{;j} = 0$$
 (3.3)

or the potential  $\alpha$  is conserved. Note that since we are assuming  $T \neq 0$  and  $s \neq \text{const. } \alpha$  is not equal to  $\rho$  and (3,3) does not correspond to conservation of mass. Also, since we are assuming case a) does not obtain, it follows from (1.17) that s is not constant along the flow lines,  $s_{,j}U^{j} \neq 0$ . Equation (1.17) shows that the nonconservation of entropy along the flow lines and the nonconservation of mass, balance one another and, of course. yield the conservation of  $\alpha$ . This is a purely relativistic effect since, as mentioned previously, mass is always conserved in

XIX-8

Newtonian fluid theory. An interesting discussion of (1.17) in terms of particle creation has recently been presented which is valid for this case.<sup>3</sup>

In case b), as we have mentioned, all thermodynamic variables are expressible in terms of say P. From (3.1) we have for  $\alpha(P)$ , dW

$$\alpha(P) = ce^{\int \frac{W+P}{W+P}}$$
(3.4)

where c is a constant. Note for P = 0 we obtain  $\alpha = cW$ . As a simple example of this case we consider the "stiff matter" equation of state

 $W = P \tag{3.5}$ 

which yields

3

1

$$s = g(P/\rho^{2}) ,$$
  

$$s \neq \text{constant} ,$$
  

$$T = \frac{\rho}{dg(\rho/P^{2})}$$

$$d(P/\rho^2)$$

 $P = ba^2$ , b = const.

Here g is an arbitrary function such that T is well behaved and positive. We must next spec fy  $P = P(\rho)$  which will allow us to determine all quantities as functions of P.

Finally, the Euler equations to be satisfied for case b) are

 $([W(P) + P]U^{j}U^{k} + Pg^{jk})_{;k} = 0 , \qquad (3.6)$ which are to be solved for {P(×),  $U^{i}(×)$ }.  $\alpha(×)$  can then be calculated from (3.4) and automatically satisfies the conservation law (3.3).
## IV. VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLES

A variational principle for a perfect fluid for which we complete the equations using conservation of mass has previously been presented.<sup>4</sup> The Lagrangian density is

$$L = L_{1} + (-g)^{1/2} [\lambda_{1}(g_{jk} U^{j} U^{k} + 1) + \lambda_{2}(\rho U^{j}); j + \lambda_{3} \chi_{,i} U^{i} + \lambda_{4} s_{,i} U^{i}] , \qquad (4.1)$$

where

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{2} (-g)^{\frac{1}{2}} R - (-g)^{\frac{1}{2}} W$$
 (4.2)

is the Lagrangian for the free gravitational field R and the fluid W. The  $\lambda$ 's in (4.1) are Lagrange multiples for the constraints that must be satisfied. Here  $\chi$  is the particle identity field which must be introduced to avoid the Lin difficulty.<sup>4</sup> When we vary E with respect to the variables  $g_{jk}$ ,  $\mu$ , s,  $U^{j}$ ,  $\chi$ , we obtain the Einstein field equations for a perfect fluid source plus the equations of motion of the fluid. This variational principle automatically gives the fluid equations in terms of the velocity potent.al representation<sup>4,5</sup>

$$U^{i} = \frac{Q\lambda_{2}, i}{W+P} - \frac{\lambda_{3}\chi, i}{W+P} - \frac{\lambda_{4}s, i}{W+P} \qquad (4.3)$$

For more details of this variational principle we refer the reader to Refs. 4,5 and references contained therein.

If we complete the fluid equations using a relation between P and p,we have to formulate a new variational principle. Reflection on the above variational principle leads us to construct the Lagrangian density

$$L' = L_{1} + (-g)^{1/2} (\mu_{1}(g_{jk}U^{j}U^{k}+1) + \mu_{2}(\alpha U^{j}); j + \mu_{3}\chi_{,j}U^{j}) , \qquad (4.4)$$

where the  $\mu$ 's are Lagrange multiples for the constraints which must be satisfied. If L' is varied with respect to  $g_{jk}$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $U^{j}$ ,  $\chi$ , then we obtain the Einstein equations for a perfect fluid source plus the equations of motion for the fluid. The fluid equations are again given in a velocity potential representation

$$U_{j} = \frac{\alpha \mu_{2}, j}{W+P} - \frac{\mu_{3}\chi, j}{W+P} . \qquad (4.5)$$

Note that (4.5) is a representation of  $U_j$  in terms of four potentials instead of six potentials as in (4.3). Also, we point out that in varying (4.4) with respect to  $\rho$ ,  $\alpha$  is assumed to be expressed as a function of  $\rho$ ,  $\alpha(\rho)$  by using the assumed relation  $P = P(\rho)$  and (3.4). We have, therefore, constructed a variational principle for fluid systems in the case when the fluid equations are completed by giving a relation between P and  $\rho$ .

### V. CONCLUSIONS

The basic equations of relativistic fluid mechanics form an incomplete set of equations. We have discussed three distinct methods of completing the equations a), b) and c). Method a) corresponds more closely to Newtonian theory in that rest mass is assumed to be conserved. Method b) assumes the fluid is described in terms of only one thermodynamic degree of freedom by assigning a relation between, say, P and p. Method c) assumes cold matter or isentropic flow and implies both the properties that define methods a) and b) are satisfied. Method b) is characterized by the existence of a new thermodynamic potential

a that is conserved. Since Taub first recognized  $\alpha$ , we call  $\alpha$  the Taub potential. This potential is the density if and only if we assume c). The three methods of completing the fluid equations are physically distinct and correspond to different types of fluids and/or fluid flows. There is a mathematical isomorphism between b) and c) since  $\alpha = \rho$  for c), but the flows corresponding to b) and c) are physically distinct since T = 0 or s = const for c) but  $T \neq 0$ ,  $s \neq \text{const}$  for b). Finally, we have constructed a complete variational principle for b) by analogy with our earlier variational principle for a). One important aspect of this variational principle is the appearance of a four potential instead of a six potential representation of the fluid 4-velocity. This four-potential form of the fluid equations might be easier to use in numerical studies such as discussed in Reference 5.

## REFERENCES

- J. R. Ray and J. C. Zimmerman, Il Nuovo Cimento <u>42B</u> 183 (1977), and references contained therein.
- 2. A. Taub, in <u>Relativity and Astrophysics</u>, ed. J. Ehlers, (Amer. Math. Soc., 1965).
  - 3. M. A. Cirit, Lett. Nuovo Cimento, 30, 350 (1981).
  - 4. J. R. Ray, J. Math. Phys. 13,1451 (1972).
- 5. L. Smarr, C. Taubes, and J. R. Wilson, "General Relativistic Hydrodynamics: The Comoving, Eulerian, and Velocity Potential Formalisms, 1980 preprint.

## XIX-13

. .



## KINETIC THEORY IN ASTROPHYSICS AND COSMOLOGY

John R. Ray\*

Space Sciences Laboratory Marshall Space Flight Center, ALabama 35812

.

and

Department of Physics and Astronomy Clemson University Clemson, South Carolina 29631

# XIX-14

----

\*NASA/ASEE Summer Faculty Fellow 1981.

5

2

## KINETIC THEORY IN ASTROPHYSICS AND COSMOLOGY

by

John R. Ray

## ABSTRACT

We present results associated with exact solution of the Einstein-Boltzmann and Einstein-Maxwell-Boltzmann equations. An important aspect of the paper is the generalization of Ehler's killing vector approach for the distribution function to charged particles.

### I. INTRODUCTION

General relativistic kinetic theory offers an alternative description of matter which in some aspects is more fundamental than the usual fluid description. Kinetic theory is appropriate for describing "dilute gases" in which correlations are not important, in other words, systems whose particles have long mean free paths and in which collisions are rare. Since large systems satisfying these conditions exist, for example, stellar clusters and the gas of galaxies now, we should employ kinetic theory for a study of such systems. Also the inclusion of gases of photons and neutrinos (zero mass fields) is straightforward using relativistic kinetic theory. The lack of a relativistic statistical mechanics for dense systems, say, along the lines of the BBYKG hiearchy is still an outstanding problem. Of course, the lack of a relativistic statistical mechanics is a reflection of the lack of a satisfactory relativistic theory of interacting particles. Relativistic kinetic theory is derived in a heuristic manner following Boltzmann's derivation of nonrelativistic kinetic theory (Hakim, 1967; Stewart, 1971; Ehlers, 1971; Israel and Stewart, 1900'. The kinetic theory and fluid descriptions are complementary ways of treating matter in general relativity.

In this paper we discuss collisionless distribution functions in both the astrophysical - stellar clusters - and cosmological gas of galaxies - context. We concentrate our discussion on two particular metrics. For the astrophysical problem we use the static spherically symmetric metric. The kinetic theory for this

metric was first presented by Fackerell (1968). For the cosmological problem we study the Fetrov G. VIII metric which we have previously discussed (Ray and Zimmerman, 1977). This metric is spatially homogeneous but anisotropic. It has been studied by Kompaneets and Chernov (1965), Kantowski and Sachs (1966) and Collins (1977) using a fluid description for the matter.

In order to derive the distribution functions we use a killing vector approach suggested by Ehlers (1971), and first applied in detail by Ray and Zimmerman (1977). An important part of this paper is the extension of Ehler's killing vector approach to include charged particles and electromagnetic fields. Kinetic theory is an important theory for studying nonrelativistic plasmas, and it should also be important in relativistic plasmas.

In Section II of this paper we present the basic kinetic theory that we use, in Section III we apply this theory to the static spherically symmetric metric, and in Section IV we further study the kinetic theory of the G. VIII metric. In these examples we study both the charged and uncharged systems. Important results we obtain are that the G. VIII spacetime cannot be generated by a perfect fluid energy-momentum tensor in kinetic theory. We must reconcile this result with the known perfect fluid solutions for this metric. Also, we find that the G. VIII model cannot be generated by charged particles. We discuss the implications of this result for other Bianchi-type cosmological models. All of these results are based on the distribution function

derived by using the killing vector approach outlined in Section II. Finally in the conclusion we discuss this wo. T and present suggestions for future efforts.

## **II. KINETIC THEORY**

We are interested in solutions to the Einstein-Boltzmann equations. The Einstein equations are

$$G_{ij} = T_{ij}$$
, (2.1)

where  $G_{ij}$  is the Einstein tensor and  $T_{ij}$  the energy momentum tensor for the matter generating the gravitational field. In the case of neutral particles,  $T_{ij}$  is given by the kinetic theory energy-momentum tensor  $K^{ij}$ 

$$T_{(x)}^{ij} = F_{(x)}^{ij} = \int P^{i}P^{j}f(x,p)\sqrt{-g} d^{*}P$$
, (2.2)

where f(x,p) is the single particle distribution function of kinetic theory,  $P^{i}$  is the four momentum, g is the determinant of the metric tensor, and  $d^{*}P = dP^{1}dP^{2}dP^{3}dP^{*}$ . For simplicity we restrict ourselves to a one-component gas of particles having rest mass m. The integration in (2.2) is then over the mass shell  $g_{ij}P^{i}P^{j} = -m^{2}$ . This can be taken into account by introducing the delta function  $5(P_{i}P^{i} + m^{2})$  into the integrand in (2.2). The distribution function f satisfies the collisionless Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} I \frac{dx^{i}}{dv} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} I \frac{dp^{i}}{dv} = 0 , \qquad (2.3)$$

where v is an affine parameter characterizing the particle paths which are geodesics for neutral particles

$$\frac{DP^{i}}{dv} = \frac{dP^{i}}{dv} + \Gamma^{i}_{jk}P^{j}P^{k} = 0 , P^{i} = \frac{dx^{i}}{dv} . \qquad (2.4)$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.3) we have the Boltzmann equation

Ì.

- 1

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}}P^{i} - P^{j}P^{k}\Gamma^{i}_{jk} \frac{\partial f}{\partial P^{i}} = 0 \quad . \tag{2.5}$$

The distribution function f is a function of constants of the motion. In the killing vector approach we use the fact that for a killing fector  $\xi_{(a)}^{i}$  we have the constant of the motion  $Y_{a} = \xi_{(a)}^{i}P_{i}$ . Hence i solution to (2.5) is  $f(Y_{I}, ---Y_{r})$ . The index a labels the different killing vectors a = 1, 2, ---, r. In the usual approach these constants are the energy, angular momentum, etc. Next we impose the killing vector symmetry on f. This yields

$$\int_{-\xi}^{p} \xi_{(a)} f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}} \xi_{(a)}^{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial P^{i}} \xi_{(a), \ell}^{i} P^{\ell} = 0 , \qquad (2.6)$$

where  $\xi_{\xi}$  stands for the Lie derivative. As Ehlers (1971) shows, (2.6) yields the conditions

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial Y_a} C_{ab}^d Y_d = 0 , \qquad (2.7)$$

on the distribution function f(Y). The equations (2.7) are r linear, coupled, partial differential equations for the distribution function f(Y). The  $C_{ab}^{d}$  are the structure constants for the Lie algebra of the killing vectors

$$[\xi_{(a)}, \xi_{(b)}] = C_{ab}^{d} \xi_{(d)} . \qquad (2.8)$$

In summary, in order to determine a solution to the Einstein-Boltzmann equation we pick a spacetime of interest. From the killing vectors we calculate the Y's and solve (2.7) for f. Then we calculate  $K^{ij}$  from (2.2) and then solve the Einstein equations (2.1). This procedure is explained in detail in Ray and Zimmerman (1977).

XIX-19

1--5

We now wish to modify the above procedure for cases when the particles are charged. In this case we have the combined Einstein-Maxwell-Boltzmann equations for a charged self-gravitating gas. Physically a more interesting case would be an electrically neutral plasma. In order to treat this case we could introduce distribution functions for the electrons and ions. While this would be possible, we shall stay with a single distribution function and a charged gas for simplicity. The Einstein equations are still (2.1); however, T<sup>ij</sup> now contains two terms

$$\mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{j}} + \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{j}} , \qquad (2.8)$$

where  $E^{ij}$  is the Maxwell energy-momentum tensor

$$E^{ij} = F^{ik}F^{j} - \frac{1}{4}g^{ij}F_{kk}F^{kk}, \qquad (2.9)$$

and  $F^{ij}$  is the field tensor for electromagnetic field. The particles of charge q move according to the Lorentz equation

$$\frac{DP^{i}}{dv} = qP_{\ell}F^{i\ell} \qquad (2.10)$$

Maxwell's equations have the form

$$\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{ij}}_{\mathbf{ij}} = \mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{i}} \tag{2.11}$$

$$F_{\{ij;k\}} = 0$$
 (2.12)

If we introduce the four-potential A;

$$F_{ij} = 2A_{[j,i]}$$
, (2.13)

then (2.12) are satisfied identically. The current  $J^{i}$  in (2.11) is determined in terms of the distribution function via

$$J^{i} = q N^{i} = q/P^{i}f \sqrt{-g} d^{*}P$$
, (2.14)

where N<sup>1</sup> is the particle current. Finally; the Boltzmann equation has the form

### X1X-20

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}} P^{i} + (qP_{\ell}F^{i\ell} - P^{j}P^{k}\Gamma^{i}_{jk}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial P^{i}} = 0 . \qquad (2.15)$$

Now f is a function of first integrals of the Lorentz equation (2.10). In analogy with the killing vector approach we employed for neutral particles where  $\xi_{(a)}^{i} P_{i}$  were constants of the motion we try for the charged case

$$Y_a = \xi_{(a)}^{i} (P_i + qA_i)$$
, (2.16)

where  $\xi_{(a)}^{i}$  is a killing vector. It is easy to show that  $Y'_{a}$  is a constant of the motion if the Lie derivative of the vector potential is zero

$$Y_a = \xi_{(a)}^i (P_i + qA_i) = const + + \int_{\xi_{(a)}} A^i = 0$$
. (2.17)

Thus the distribution function still depends on the  $Y_a$ 's but now the  $Y_a$ 's are given by (2.16). We note that the  $Y_a$  are constants even if we have a multicomponent gas, and in particular in the ion-electron plasma problem mentioned earlier. Note also that the result (2.17) is not surprising since  $P_i + qA_i$  is just the canonical momentum for a particle in an electromagnetic field.

Next, following the neutral particle example, we impose the symmetry on the distribution function via (2.6), with the Y's given by (2.16). Carrying out this calculation using (2.6) we find exactly the same result (2.7)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial Y_a} C_{ab}^d Y_d = 0 , \qquad (2.18)$$

except now the  $Y_a$ 's are given by (2.16). Since the equation (2.18) is the same as (2.7) this means that the solution for f in terms of the  $Y'_a$ s will have the same form. Thus, in order to find the distribution function for charged matter we only need make the replacement  $P_i + P_i + qA_i$ ; that is, we replace the momentum  $P_i$  by the canonical momentum  $P_i + qA_i$  in the distribution function f. This is an important result because it reduces the problem of solving for the distribution function in the charged particle case to that of the neutral particle case. 4

In summary, our procedure in the charged particle case is: start with a spacetime with given killing vectors  $\xi_{(a)}^{i}$ ; determine the form of the vector potential  $A^{i}$  such that  $\int_{a}^{b} A^{i} = 0$ ; solve (2.18) to find the distribution function; use the  $Y_{a}$  to find f(x,P) = f(Y); calculate  $K^{ij}$ ,  $E^{ij}$ ,  $N^{i}$  and then solve the field equations

$$G^{ij} = K^{ij} + E^{ij}$$
, (2.19)

$$r^{ij} = qN^{i} = J^{i} . \qquad (2.20)$$

Note that by construction  $K^{ij}$  satisfies

Ŧ

17. j

$$K^{ij}; j = J_{\ell} F^{r\ell}$$
(2.21)

and  $E^{ij}$  satisfies  $E^{ij}_{;j} = -J_{\ell}F^{r\ell}$ , (2.22)

hence the Bianchi identities  $G^{ij}_{;j} = 0$  are satisfied, which is of course necessary for self-consistency. Note also that from the definition of N<sup>i</sup> and K<sup>ij</sup> we can prove

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi}_{(a)}^{K^{ij}} = \int P^{i} P^{j} \mathcal{L}_{\xi}_{(a)}^{f} \sqrt{-g} d^{*} P = 0 . \qquad (2.24)$$

Also from 
$$\int_{\xi} A^{i} = 0$$
 we can prove  $\int_{\xi} F^{ij} = 0$  and  $\int_{\xi} E^{ij} = 0$ .

All of these Lie derivative symmetry relations are also required for self-consistency and are satisfied automatically in the killing vector approach.

## **III. STATIC SPHERICAL SYMMETRY**

2

Here we use the metric in the form

$$ds^{2} = e^{\lambda(r)} dr^{2} + r^{2} (d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}) - e^{\nu(r)} dt^{2} , \qquad (3.1)$$

- Kin

with  $(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) = (r, \theta, \phi, t)$ . First we shall discuss the uncharged case (Fackerell, 1968), and then charge the particles. The killing vectors are

$$\xi_{(1)}^{i} = \cos\phi \delta_{2}^{i} - \sin\phi \cot\theta \delta_{3}^{i}$$
 (3.2)

$$\xi_{(2)}^{i} = \sin\phi \delta_{2}^{i} + \cos\phi \cot\theta \delta_{3}^{i} \qquad (3.3)$$

$$\xi_{(3)}^{i} = \delta_{3}^{i}$$
 (3.4)

$$\xi_{(4)}^{i} = \delta_{4}^{i}$$
 (3.5)

The structure constants of the Lie algebra are

$$C_{bc}^{a} = c_{abc}$$
, a,b,c,.. = 1,2,3  
 $C_{b4}^{a} = 0$ ,

------

where  $\varepsilon_{abc}$  is the antisymmetric symbol. Consideration of equation (2.6) yields

$$f = f(-Y_4, (Y_1^2+Y_2^2+Y_3^3)^{1/2});$$
 (3.6)

calculation of the  $Y_a = \xi_{(a)}^i P^i$  leads to  $Y_1^2 + Y_2^2 + Y_3^2 = P_2^2 + P_3^2 / \sin^2 \theta = J^2$ , (3.7)

$$Y_4 = + P_4 = -E$$
, (3.8)

where J is the total angular momentum and E the energy of a particle in geodesic motion. Thus, the distribution function f depends only on E and J

$$f = f(E,J)$$
 . (3.9)

We now need to calculate N<sup>i</sup> and T<sup>ij</sup>

$$N^{i} = \int P^{i} f \sqrt{-g} d^{*}P , \qquad (3.10)$$

$$\mathbf{T}^{ij} = /\mathbf{P}^{i}\mathbf{P}^{i}\mathbf{f} \sqrt{-\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{d}^{*}\mathbf{P} . \qquad (3.11)$$

Since we restrict ourselves to particles of a single mass  $P_i P^i = -m^2$ , we must introduce the delta function  $\delta(P_i P^i + m^2)$  into the volume element. Picking  $P^2, P^3, P^4$  as integration variables, the volume element becomes

$$\sqrt{-g} d^*P \rightarrow \sqrt{-g} \frac{dP^2 dP^3 dP^*}{|P_1|},$$
 (3.12)

where

$$|P_1| = e^{\lambda/2} \left[ e^{-\nu} E^2 - J^2/r^2 - m^2 \right]^{1/2} . \qquad (3.13)$$

Changing from  $dP^2 dP^3 dP^*$  to  $dJ dP_3 dE$  puts the volume element in the form

$$\sqrt{-g} d^{4}P + \frac{e^{-\sqrt{2}}}{r^{2}} \frac{JdJdEdP_{3}}{[e^{-\sqrt{2}}E^{2}-J^{2}/r^{2}-m^{2}]^{1/2}[J^{2}\sin^{2}\theta-P_{3}^{2}]^{1/2}} . (3.14)$$

As an example we give the results for  $N_i$ :

$$N_{\alpha} = 0$$
  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$  , (3.15)

$$N_{*} = \frac{e^{-\nu/2} \pi}{r^{2}} \int \frac{Ef(E,J)JdJdE}{\left[e^{-\nu E^{2}} - J^{2}/r^{2} - m^{2}\right]^{1/2}},$$
 (3.16)

where the P, integration has been carried out explicitly. The components for  $T_j^i$  can also be given in a form such as (3.16). Here we only note that the energy momentum tensor corresponds to that for a perfect fluid

$$\kappa_{j}^{i} = (\rho + P) U^{i} U_{j} + P \delta_{j}^{i}$$
(3.17)  

$$P = \kappa_{1}^{1} = \kappa_{2}^{2} = \kappa_{3}^{3}$$
  

$$\rho = -\kappa_{4}^{4}$$
  

$$U^{i} = \delta_{4}^{i}$$

if the distribution function is independent of the angular momentum J, that is, f(E,J) = f(E). This was first proven by Fackerell (1968) and is also true in Newtonian stellar dynamics. This is an example from the wider class of locally dynamically symmetric distribution functions of the form

$$f(x,P) - f(x,-U,P^{1})$$
 (3.18)

which give rise to perfect fluid energy-momentum tensors, Stewart (1971).

Next we discuss the case of static, spherical symmetry when the particles are charged. The vector potential is forced by the killing vector symmetry to have the form

$$A_{i} = -\delta_{i}^{*} \Phi(\mathbf{r}) \tag{3.19}$$

which gives an electrical field in the radial direction

$$F_{14} = -\frac{d\Phi}{dr} = E_r$$
 (3.20)

The only change in the distribution functions is that  $Y_4 = (P_4-q\Phi)$ . Thus

$$f(-Y_{4}, (Y_{1}^{2}+Y_{2}^{2}+Y_{3}^{2})^{1/2}) = f(-P_{4}+q\Phi, J) . \qquad (3.21)$$

The integration factors are the same as in the uncharged case. As an example we give the result for  $N_i$ , which is

$$N_{\alpha} = 0$$

$$N_{\nu} = -\pi e^{-\nu/2} \int \frac{P_{\nu}f(-P_{\nu}+q\Phi,J) \ JdJdP_{\nu}}{\left[e^{-\nu}P_{\nu}^{2} - J^{2}/r^{2} - m^{2}\right]^{1/2}} .$$
(3.22)

The components of  $K_j^i$  can also be written down by making the replacement  $E + (-P_* + q\Phi)$  in the argument of f(E,J). It is also true for the charged case that one has a perfect fluid if f depends only on  $E = -U_i(P^i + qA^i)$ . In this case the distribution function is still locally dynamically symmetric

### XIX-25

$$f(x,P) = f(x,-U^{i}(P_{i}+qA_{i})) = h(x,-U^{i}P_{i}) . \qquad (3.23)$$

The charged particle solutions just obtained represent exact solutions to the Einstein-Maxwell-Boltzmann equations for particles whose electrical repulsion is just balanced by their gravitational attraction so that the distribution generates a static field. Exact solutions of this general form are known in general relativity; however, as far as we are aware, they have not previously been discussed from a kinetic theory point of view. As mentioned previously, the more interesting case would be that for a neutral plasma. The basic theory would be the same as presented above.

One interesting result of the paper by Fackerell (1968) was his proof of the nonexistence of perfect fluid models with constant energy density  $\rho = -K_{4}^{4}$ . One could possibly prove this same theorem for charged matter; however, we have not yet constructed such a proof.

### IV. G. VIII COSMOLOGY

The metric in this case has the form  

$$ds^{2}=X^{2}(t)dr^{2}+Y^{2}(t)[d\theta^{2}+\sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}]-dt^{2}, \qquad (4.1)$$

$$(x^{1},x^{2},x^{3},x^{4}) = (r,\theta,\phi,t) .$$

Note that this metric is also spherically symmetric and so has the same spherically symmetric killing vectors  $\xi_{(1)}^{i}$ ,  $\xi_{(2)}^{i}$ ,  $\xi_{(3)}^{i}$ . The fourth killing vector for (4.1) is  $\xi_{(4)}^{i} = \delta_{1}^{i}$ . The spacetime (4.1) is homogeneous, but anisotropic, the r direction being a preferred direction. First we shall consider the neutral particle problem. Using the same procedure as in

X1X-26

the previous example, we find the distribution function has the form

$$f = f(P_1, J)$$
 (4.2)

s. -

It is convenient in this case to use the volume element in the form

$$\sqrt{-g} d^{4}P + \sqrt{-g} \frac{dP^{1}dP^{2}dP^{3}}{|P_{4}|}$$
 (4.3)

$$P_{4} = -E = -(P_{1}^{2}/X^{2} + J^{2}/Y^{2} + m^{2})^{1/2} , \qquad (4.4)$$

and change to dP1dJdP3 which gives

Ϋ,

$$\sqrt{-g} d^{4}P + \frac{1}{XY^{2}} \frac{JdJdP_{1}dP_{3}}{[P_{1}^{2}/X^{2}+J^{2}/Y^{2}+m^{2}]^{1/2}[J^{2}\sin^{2}\theta-P_{3}^{2}]^{1/2}}$$
(4.5)

Again we can calculate  $N_i$ ,  $K_j^i$  from their definitions. This yields for  $N_k$ 

$$N_{4} = -\frac{\pi}{XY^{2}} \int f(P_{1}, J) J dJ dP_{1}$$
 (4.6)

From the Einstein equations we find  $G_{\mu}^{1} = 0$ . If we calculate  $K_{\mu}^{1}$ , we find that  $K_{\mu}^{1} = 0$  implies f must be an even function of P<sub>1</sub>. Using this fact in N<sub>a</sub> we find that N<sub>a</sub> = 0 and the particles are comoving in the coordinate system of (4.1). The components of  $K_{j}^{1}$  which are not zero have the following form

$$K_{1}^{1} = \frac{\pi}{X^{3}Y^{2}} \int \frac{P_{1}^{2}f(P_{1},J)JdJdP_{1}}{[P_{1}^{2}/X^{2}+J^{2}/Y^{2}+m^{2}]^{1/2}}, \qquad (4.7)$$

$$K_{2}^{2} = K_{3}^{3} = \frac{\pi}{2Xv^{4}} \int \frac{f J^{3} dJ dP_{1}}{\left[P_{1}^{2}/X^{2} + J^{2}/Y^{2} + m^{2}\right]^{1/2}}, \qquad (4.8)$$

and

$$K_{4}^{4} = -\frac{\pi}{XY^{2}} \int \left[ \frac{P_{1}^{2}}{X^{2}} + \frac{J^{2}}{Y^{2}} + m^{2} \right] f \ JdJdP_{1} \qquad (4.9)$$

This energy momentum tensor does not correspond to a perfect fluid  $K_1^1 \neq K_2^2 = K_3^3$ . Following our previous example of static spherical symmetry we would assume f is independent of J in order to obtain the perfect fluid form for  $K_j^1$ . However, from (4.9) we see that this is not possible since  $K_4^4$  then diverges. (The limits on J are  $0 \neq \infty$ .) We conclude that this spacetime is inconsistent with a perfect fluid energy-momentum tensor in kinetic theory. This is not surprising considering that this model has a nonzero shear

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left| \frac{X}{X} - \frac{Y}{Y} \right| \qquad (4.10)$$

This fact was also pointed out by Ray and Zimmerman (1977) and by Kantowski (1966). As was mentioned previously, exact perfect fluid models are known for this metric and are interpreted as reasonable cosmological models. Our results using kinetic theory lead one to question the physical relevancy of the perfect fluid solutions in this spacetime. This is analogous to Fackerell's result that the constant density Schwarzschild solution is inconsistent with kinetic theory. The interest in this result for the G. VIII spacetime is that the same result would probably occur in other homogeneous, anisotropic Bianchi spacetimes. This is an important point since the isotropy of the microwave background radiation is often (but not always) discussed using perfect fluid solutions in anisotropic models. A possible explanation of the meaning of perfect fluid solutions in anisotropic spacetime is that they are valid at early times when the matter is strongly interacting and kinetic theory is not valid. Of course, kinetic theory is an accurate description of the gas of galaxies at the present epoch. Treciokas and Ellis (1971) have discussed the conditions under which a distribution function yields a perfect fluid energy momentum tensor.

Next we shall consider the charged problem from the G, VI13 spacetime. Using the killing vectors we find the vector potential is restricted by symmetry to the fr m

$$A_{i} = A(t) \delta_{i}^{1}$$
, (4.11)

which again gives the radial electric field

$$F_{1*} = -A = E_1$$
 (4.12)

The distribution function takes the form

$$f = f(P_1+qA(t),J)$$
 (4.13)

Calculation of N<sub>4</sub> leads to the result

\*\*\*\*

$$N_{4} = -\frac{\pi}{XY^{2}} \int f(P_{1}, J) J dJ dP_{1} , \qquad (4.14)$$

the same as in the uncharged case (4.6). Next we investigate Maxwell's equations

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \left( \sqrt{-g} F^{ij} \right)_{,j} = J^{i} .$$
 (4.15)

For i = 4, the left hand side of (4.15) vanishes, which implies  $J^* = 0$ . But from (4.14) and remembering that  $f \ge 0$ , and  $J_* = qN_*$ , we find q = 0; that is, this spacetime cannot be generated by charged particles in the kinetic theory approach. The physical reason for this result

. . . .

is clear. Since the spacetime (4.1) is homogeneous every event has an  $x^{1}$  axis of symmetry passing through it. By symmetry the current must be along the  $x^{1}$  axis,  $J^{1}$ . This current would produce a field transverse to the  $x^{1}$  axis, but by the homogeneity of the spacetime this field must vanish. One can have sourcefree magnetic fields in this model (Collins, (1977)); however, source-free fields are not possible in kinetic theory since if  $N_{i} = 0$  this implies f = 0, which corresponds to no particles at all.

The results of the last paragraph lead to the question of these same results occurring in other spatially homogeneous spacetimes. If these spacetimes cannot allow sources that generate the magnetic fields they contain, then these magnetic fields become part of the initial conditions of the universe, or are produced in earlier epochs that are inhomogeneous. This is an important point considering, for example, the recent work of Fennelly (1980) on the condensation of protogalaxies using plasma instabilities in the early universe.

### V. CONCLUSIONS

We have extended the killing vector approach to kinetic theory to include charged particles. The rule for forming the distribution function in the charged case is to replace the momentum by the canonical momentum which has the stipulation that the Lie derivative of the vector potential be zero. This supports the claim by Israel and Stewart (1980) that the canonical momentum has a fundamental significance in kinetic theory. In charging Fackerell's static spherically symmetric models we obtain a static distribution of charged particles

where the electrical repulsion is balanced by the gravitational attraction.

In applying kinetic theory to the G, VIII spacetime we arrive at the conclusion that this spacetime cannot be generated by a perfect fluid in kinetic theory. This agrees with the conclusions reached by Kantowski (1966) earlier. These results call into question the physical meaning of perfect fluid solutions in such spacetimes. Presumably many of the Bianchi models would share this same difficulty. Since fluid models are often employed in these spacetimes to interpret cosmological data it is important to understand fully the implications of this apparent baradox. It is not possible for the G, VIII spacetime to be generated by charged matter. This implies that the source-free magnetic fields present in exact solutions for this model must arise from an earlier, more chaotic state of the universe or be given as initial conditions.

In future work one needs to return and treat the neutral plasma problem in detail using the methods outlined above. Also, it would be important to construct models which could evolve to homogeneous cosmologies and contain sources for the fields. This would presumably take one to models that are valid at earlier epochs. Lastly, one should study the kinetic theory of the other Biachi modes. Recent work by Treciokos and Ellis (1980) is in this direction. Earlier work by Matzner (1971) is also an important contribution. It is clear that more work needs to be done in exploring exact solutions in the Einstein-Boltzmann and Einstein-Maxwell-Boltzmann theories.

XIX--31

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

...

the second second

The author thanks Al Fennelly for interesting and helpful discussions concerning this work.

-----

it.

#### REFERENCES

-

,

Collins, C. B.: 1977, J. Math. Phys. <u>18</u>, 104, and references therein.

Ehlers, J.: 1971, General Relativity and Cosmology, N.Y., Academic Press.

Fackerell, E.D.: 1968, Ap. J. 153, 643.

۰

Fennelly, A.J.: 1980, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 955.

-

Hakim, R.: 1967, J. Math. Phys. 8,1315.

Israel, W. and Stewart, J.M.: 1980, General Relativity and Gravitation Vol. 2, N.Y. Plenum Press.

Kantowski, R.: 1966, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Texas.

Kantowski, R. and Sachs, R.K.: 1966, J. Math. Phys. 7, 443.

Kompaneets, A.S. and Chernov, A.S.: 1965, Sov. Phys. JETP 20, 1303.

Matzner, R.A.: 1971, Ann. Phys. 65, 438.

Ray, J.R. and Zimmerman, J.C.: 1977, Il Nuovo Cimento, 42B,183.

Stewart, J.M.: 1971, Nonequilibrium Relativistic Kinetic Theory, Berlin Springer-Verlag.

Treciokas, R. and Ellis, G.F.R.: 1971, Commun. Math., Phys., 23, 1.

Treciokas, R. and Ellis, G.F.R.: 1980, "Anisotropic Solutions of the Einstein Boltzmann Equations", preprints.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

;\*\*\*\*\*\* , ,

I have enjoyed the hospitality of and discussions with Pete Eby, Larry Smalley, Al Fennelly, Dennis Leahy, and Alessandro Caporali. ۴

۰.

î

1. T. F.

ş

ł

١

÷

٠,

ş

. -

XIX-34

ورجادتها وبارات

IN82-17063 D20

# •

# 1981

. .

٠

τ

# NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

# MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE

SURFACE CONTOUR OF A BIAXIALLY STRAINED, DOUBLY CURVED ANTENNA REFLECTIVE MESH

Prepared By:	Annie V. Saylor, Ph.D.			
Academic Rank:	Assistant Professor			
University and Department: NASA/MSFC: (Laboratory) (Division) (Branch)	The University of Alabama in Huntsville Department of Mathematics Preliminary Design Office Systems Engineering Systems Laboratory			
MSFC Counterpart:	Carl E. Colley			
Date:	August 7, 1981			
Contract No.:	NGT 01-008-021 (The University of Alabama in Huntsville)			

## SURFACE CONTOUR OF A BIAXIALLY STRAINED, DOUBLY CURVED ANTENNA REFLECTIVE MESH

BY

## Annie V. Saylor Assistant Professor of Mathematics The University of Alabama in Huntsville Huntsville, Alabama

### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to develop and solve the elastic membrane equations which describe the deflection of a biaxially tensioned reflective mesh from an idealized surface. The conditions of equilibrium of the forces acting on a membrane element furnish equations which may be used to solve a variety of problems. Configurations considered include continuous, as well as incremental, mesh attachment to structural members to form shapes which are approximately parabolic or spherical.

## INTRODUCTION

Large space antennas are desirable for use in communications, radio astronomy, radar surveillance and submillimeter astronomy. These antennas will have diameters of 50 meters or more and will require deployment or construction in space due to their size. Currently, emphasis is being placed upon deployable structures which will support both a reflector and a phased array lens. Several designs for such structures have been proposed [1]; a common feature is the formation of the surface of the antenna via membrane(s) attached to a framework.

Among the many challenging problems faced in the design of these antennas is that of controlling surface accuracy. The deployed antenna's shape will be an approximation to a desired form, and the resulting error must be within tolerances established by the particular use intended. OBJECTIVES

Surface accuracy is affected by several sources - approximation errors, environmental effects, aging effects and other uncertainties. This paper concerns itself only with the error due to pillowing which occurs in the membrane as it is used to approximate the desired surface. Our purpose is to derive equations describing this error and to obtain either exact or approximate solutions to these equations for various antenna designs.

### SURFACE ACCURACY REQUIREMENTS

į

Suppose the desired surface is given by u = f(x,y) where  $(x,y) \notin \Lambda$ , some planar region. Further assume that the shape of the deployed antenna can be described by z = g(x,y) for  $(x,y) \notin \Lambda$ , and let w = u - z. Then the root-mean-square error is given by

(1) 
$$\mathcal{E} = \sqrt{\frac{\int \int W^2 dA}{A u a of \Lambda}}$$

...

It has been established [1] that the restrictions on  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  set by most of the intended uses range from  $10^{-5}$ d to  $10^{-3}$ d, where d is the diameter of the antenna.

For all designs under consideration,  $\checkmark$  is divided into subregions, usually triangles. We note that if

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{V} \mathcal{N}_{i}$$

with

$$\int w^2 dA = \iint w^2 dA$$
  

$$\Lambda_i \qquad \Lambda_j$$

and

area of  $\mathcal{N}_{i}$  = area of  $\mathcal{N}_{j}$  for all i, j, then

$$E = \sqrt{\frac{\int \int w^2 dA}{\frac{Ni}{2}}}$$
Area of  $N_i$ 

for any i.

### GENERAL SURFACE EQUATION

For the surface in question, we assume that the membrane theory for shallow shells of arbitrary double curvature applies. (See [2] for a complete discussion of the implications of these assumptions.) Consider an element of the shell, with the x and y axes placed in the local principal curvature directions (see Figure 1 below). Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be the local principal radii and suppose that the tensions  $N_x$  and  $N_y$  are known constants.

The shell equilibrum equation [2] gives

(2) 
$$\frac{N_x}{R_1} + \frac{N_y}{R_2} = 0$$

and by the shallow-shell assumption,

(3a)  $z_{xx} = \frac{1}{R_1}$ ,

(3b) 
$$z_{yy} = \frac{1}{R_2}$$
;

hence

(4) 
$$N_{x} z_{xx} + N_{y} z_{yy} = 0.$$

If we consider this equation on a subregion  $A_z$  of A and require that appropriate additional conditions on z be met, we arrive at a unique choice for z on  $A_z$ .



Figure 1

XX-4

# SURFACE BY CURVATURE ASSUMPTIONS

1

Suppose that the desired surface u = f(x, y) has a maximum radius of curvature R which is independent of x and y. Further suppose that we require  $R_1 = R$ ; then by (3), we have

(5) 
$$z_{xx} = \frac{1}{R}$$
,

and by (4),

7

-

(6) 
$$z_{yy} = \left(-\frac{N_x}{N_y}\right) \left(\frac{1}{R}\right).$$

This gives

(7) 
$$z(x,y) = \frac{1}{2R} (x^2 - \frac{N_x}{N_y} y^2) + ax + by + c,$$

where a, b, c are constants which may be chosen by placing additional restrictions on 2.

For example, let (8)  $u = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2R}$ ,

 $\mathcal{N}$  be a disc of diameter d and  $\mathcal{N}_i$  as shown in Figure 2, where N is a positive integer.



XX-5

Consider z and u in polar coordinates and assume that z is symmetric about the x axis with

---

••• • ·

$$z(0,0) = u(0,0)$$

and

, A

$$z(d/2, \pi/N) = u(d/2, \pi/N)$$

Nexe where the s

Then

$$2 = \frac{r^2}{2R} \left( \cos^2 \theta - \frac{N_x}{N_y} \sin^2 \theta \right) + \frac{d}{4R} \left( 1 + \frac{N_x}{N_y} \right) \frac{B^2}{A} r \cos \theta$$

and

$$\mathcal{U} - 2 = \frac{1}{2R} \left( 1 + \frac{N_x}{N_y} \right) \left[ \Gamma^2 \sin^2 \theta - \frac{1}{2} \frac{B^2}{R} \Gamma \cos \theta \right]$$

where  $A = \cos \pi/N$  and  $B = \sin \pi/N$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\left[\frac{1}{2R}\left(1+\frac{N_{K}}{M_{W}}\right)\right]^{2}}{\frac{\pi}{(4/2)^{2}}} \int_{D}^{d/2} \frac{\pi}{N} \left[\int_{D}^{2} \sin^{2}\theta - \frac{d}{2} \frac{B}{A} \cos\theta\right]^{2} dedr$$

which gives

$$\varepsilon^{2} = \frac{N}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{2R} \left( 1 + \frac{N_{x}}{N_{y}} \right)^{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{4} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} B^{3} A - \frac{1}{2} AB + \frac{1}{2N} + \frac{1}{4N} \frac{B^{4}}{A} - \frac{1}{60} \frac{B^{5}}{A} \right]$$

and

(9) 
$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2R_{4}} (1 + \frac{4}{N_{4}}) (\frac{1}{2})^{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (-\frac{1}{2}B^{3}A - \frac{1}{2}AB + \frac{\pi}{2N} + \frac{\pi}{2N} \frac{B^{4}}{A} - \frac{1}{60} \frac{B^{4}}{A}).$$

. . .....

.....

As an example, suppose R = 2F, where F is the focal length, and that F'/d = 2. Then for N=12 we have

ş

(10) 
$$\frac{\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}}{d} = .00116(1 + \frac{N_x}{N_y});$$

which gives, for  $N_x = N_y$ ,

, **\***\*

`

6

1-4-1-

(11) 
$$\frac{\mathbf{z}}{d} = .00232.$$

Similarly, for N = 60 we have

(12) 
$$\frac{\mathbf{E}}{d} = .93822 \times 10^{-4}.$$

### SURFACE BY BOUNDARY TESTRICTIONS

Another feasible restriction to place on z is to require that the agree with u on the boundary of  $M_{2}$ . That is, z is the solution of

(13a) 
$$N_x z + N_y z = 0 \text{ or } \mathcal{N}_x$$

(13b)  $z = u \quad \text{an } \partial J_{i}$ 

If we let

1

$$\hat{y} = \sqrt{\frac{N_y}{N_x}} y,$$

 $\hat{\lambda}_i$  be the image of  $\lambda_i$  under this transformation,

$$\hat{z}(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}) = z(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{y}})$$

and

÷.

$$u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}),$$

then (13) becomes

(14a)	Δî	-	0	on	J.	
(14b)	ź	æ	û	on	ວÂ	

The solution to (14) may be approximated by a variety of numerical techniques. As a simple example, consider a finite difference approach for the case in which  $y_{ij}$  is a rectangle. Suppose

Λ

$$N_x = .004 \text{ lb/in},$$
  
 $N_y = .0015 \text{ lb/in},$   
d = 1968.5 in (50m),

i. = 2F,  
F = 
$$d/2$$
,  
 $\dot{\lambda}_{i} = [600, 700] \times [-25, 25]$ ,  
 $u = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2R}$ ,  
 $\Delta x = .5$   
and  $\Delta y = .5 \sqrt{\frac{N_y}{N_x}}$ ,

\* \* \*\*\*\*

then by the Gauss-Seidel method one obtains a root-mean-square error

مدرو بدسه

ı.

, , , ,

1.1

f

$$E_{1} = .00 a II$$

for  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}$  .

10.75 A
## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

٠,

F~ ₹

4.75

The equilibrium equation (4) can be used to find the root-meansquare surface error for a variety of designs, provided that  $N_x$  and  $N_y$  are known. In general this may not be the case; a more useful model of the antenna surface would be an iterative approach:

- i) Given the loads applied to the mesh and the present surface, find the resultant stresses,
- ii) Using the stresses, find the deformed surface.

These steps could be repeated until the rms error reached the desired accuracy. In applying step ii) the nonlinearity of the stress-strain law for the mesh [3] should be taken into account.

· • •

----

# REFERENCES

í

ţ

É.

1

1. Large Space Systems Technology-1980, NASA CP-2168.

a an i

- 2. Flugge, W., Stresses in Shells, Springer-Verlag, 1960.
- 3. Tang, S., Boyle, R., Whiteside, J. and Anderson, R., " A Nonlinear Stress-Strain Law For Metallic Meshes", Conference on Advanced Technology for Future Space Systems, Hampton, VA, May 8-10, 1979.

N82-17064 21

# NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

## MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

## RAY TRACING STUDIES OF JUPITER'S MAGNETOSPHERE

Prepared By:

•

**اندا** خ

2

- -

Academic Rank:

N. Frank Six

en al camera nan

Professor/Department Chairman

Western Kentucky University

University and Department:

NASA/MSFC: Division: Branch:

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

Contract No.:

Department of Physics & Astronomy

Space Sciences Laboratory Solar-Terrestrial Magnetospheric Physics

James L. Green, ES53

October, 1981

NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

• ••

## RAY TRACING STUDIES OF JUPITER'S MAGNETOSPHERE

۰.

¥

\*

BY

N. Frank Six Department of Physics & Astronomy Western Kentucky University Bowling Green, Kentucky

### ABSTRACT

Recently the Planetary Radio Astronomy Experiment (PRA) on the Voyager 1 and 2 spacecrafts obtained dramatic new information both because of its proximity to Jupiter and because it was able to measure the radio emissions to much lower frequencies than has been possible from earth and near-earth observatories. "The data reveal a nested family of arcs in the decametric spectral region and strong kilometric/hectometric emissions.

The objectives of this study are the following:

- 1) calculate raypaths for decametric wavelength radiation in Jupiter's magnetosphere;
- compare the model-dependent raypaths with the Voyager observations; and
- 3) deduce characteristics of the source regions and the influence of propagation effects.

Since the Jovian magnetosphere with its complex magnetic field and plasma distribution (including Io's plasma torus) is highly anisotropic for the propagation of low frequency radio waves, a three-dimensional ray tracing program has been employed to calculate the ravpaths. Families of rays have been launched at particular angles with respect to the magnetic field lines to generate conical sheets of radiation for various frequencies and various source locations. As the planet's magnetic field rotates, these warped sheets of radiation sweep past the observer, producing signatures in frequency versus time plots. These signatures match some of those found in the Voyager data. The greatest propagation effects occur in and around the source regions in the Io auroral oval.

XXI-1

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

2 137 + 4389 /+10121-143

----

- , **-**

مر المر المر المراجع ا

This project has been a joint effort by five co-investigators: Dr. James Green, NASA/MSFC; Dr. Douglas Menietti, Southwest Research Institute; Dr. Donald Gurnett, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Iowa; Dr. Samuel Gulkis, Jet Propulsion Laboratory; and the author. Dr. Green is responsible for the three-dimensional ray tracing program in its present format. The larger project, of which this effort is a part, is funded as "Ray Tracing of Jovian Low Frequency Radiation" under the Jupiter Data Analysis Program.

ri si

# LIST OF FIGURES

**100**,000-0

"|

;

1

Figure	Title	Page
i	JOVIAN DECAMETRIC RADIATION SIGNATURES	5
2	MODEL OF JUPITER'S MAGNETIC FIELD AND PLASMA	7
3	RAY TRACING PROGRAM FLOWCHART	8
4	RADIATION SOURCES IN JUPITER'S MAGNETOSPHERE	10
5	2 MHz R-X MODE RADIATION SHEETS ORIGINATING FROM A SOURCE IN JUPITER'S NORTHERN HEMISPHERE	12
6	DECAMETRIC RADIATION SHEETS CALCULATED BY RAY TRACING	14

XX1-111

**ک نی** ب

р. – Т

### INTRODUCTION

For nearly three decades, intense and variable decametric radio emissions from Jupiter have been observed from the earth over the frequency range 5 MHz to 40 MHz. Near-earth satellites have extended the low frequency limit set by the terrestrial ionosphere down to a frequency of 425 kHz. The radiation is characterized by a high degree of elliptical polarization, complex dynamic spectra, and an upper cutoff frequency of  $\sim$ 39.5 MHz. It's probability of occurrence, dynamic spectral characteristics, polarization, and intensity are related to the central meridian longitude of Jupiter and to the orbital phase of Io. A review of these observations is given by Carr and Desch (1976) and references contained within.

While the nature and origin of these emissions have stimulated much theoretical work (e.g. Smith, 1976), the emission mechanisms, the location of the sources of emission, the Io-magnetosphere interaction, and many properties of the magnetospheric plasma are still unknown. Recently the Planetary Radio Astronomy Experiment (PRA) on the Voyager 1 and 2 spacecrafts obtained dramatic new information about Jupiter both because of its proximity to Jupiter and because it was able to measure the radio emissions to much lower frequencies than has been possible from earth and near-earth observatories. The data reveal a nested family of arcs in the decametric spectral region and strong kilometric/hectometric emissions. Encounter observations show unique spectral characteristics due to the spacecrafts' proximity to Jupiter.

This study involves implementing a versatile ray tracing program in conjunction with detailed models of Jupiter's magnetic field and magnetospheric plasma distribution, and the PRA observations, in order to understand the emission mechanisms, source locations, and propagation effects.

The Jovian magnetosphere with its complex magnetic field and plasma distribution (including the recently discovered plasma torus) is highly anisotropic for the propagation of low frequency radio waves. This medium not only influences the emission mechanisms, it also influences the propagation of the radiation from its source to the observer. Ray tracing studies carried out by Green and Gurnett (1980) and by several members of the Planetary Radio Astronomy Voyager team indicate that strong bending of kilometric emissions takes place in the plasma torus. In addition, from ray tracing calculations of whistlers in the Jovian magnetosphere, Menietti and Gurnett (1980) have been able to match the whistier dispersion characteristics and the torus temperature with the Voyager 1 observations. The whistler dispersion characteristics are heavily dependent on the density along the ray path and thereby produce an additional check on not only the densities within the torus (as determined by Warwick, et al., 1979) but also the density along the field lines. The conclusion from these early studies is that much of the emission spectrum of Jovian kilometric radiation observed by both Voyagers 1 and 2 can be explained by propagation effects.

One result of the ray tracing studies of Green and Gurnett (1980) is to show that there exists shadow regions in which the source cannot transmit directly to the observer. A knowledge of these regions combined with the spacecraft data places strong constraints on both the source location and the emission mechanisms.

The results of previous studies highlight the importance of ray tracing. Propagation mode, source location, angular distribution, plasma distribution, and magnetic field configuration all play a role in the calculations. These characteristics for Jovian decametric radiation are virtually unknown and from ray tracing calculations much knowledge can be gained. A ray tracing study of Jovian decametric radiation which is in agreement with the observed emission patterns should give important clues as to the generation mechanism of this radiation.

XXI-2

### **OBJECTIVES**

Using techniques which have proven successful in explaining the interactions of particles and waves in the terrestrial magnetosphere, models of Jupiter's magnetic environment have been formulated and tested for validity using the data accumulated by the Pioneer and the Voyager spacecraft. Three dimensional ray tracing studies of the Jovian magnetosphere have been performed in order to understand the origins, emission mechanisms, and characteristic modes of propagation of the low frequency (1 khz to 50 MHz) radiation from Jupiter. The objectives of the investigation are the following:

- 1. Using a three-dimensional ray tracing program which includes magnetic field and plasma models, calculate raypaths for decametric wavelength radiation in Jupiter's magnetosphere.
- 2. Compare the model-dependent, theoretical raypaths with the observations made by the Voyager Planetary Radio Astronomy experiments.
- 3. Deduce, where possible, the location of the source regions, the influence of propagation effects, and characteristics of the generating mechanism.

--

### VOYAGER DATA

ulum.

The goal of this project, simply stated, is to extract as much information as possible from the comprehensive Voyager/Planetary Radio Astronomy (PRA) experiment data taken near the planet Jupiter. Conclusions regarding the source of the sporadic emissions - locations, mechanism, propagation effects - are the desired outcome.

The PRA experiment packages on the Voyager 1 and 2 spacecrafts each consist of an orthogonal pair of 10 meter monopoles, connected so that left hand and right hand polarizations can be distinguished. The radiometers measure the total power and the polarization sense of the incoming signal by sweeping through a low frequency band and a high frequency band. In the "stepping" mode, the receiver step tunes through 70 channels in the low band: 1.2 kHz - 1.3 MHz (each step spaced 19.2 kHz from the previous step; bandwidth: 1 kHz), and through 128 channels in the high band: 1.2 MHz - 40.5 MHz (steps separated by 307.2 kHz; bandwidth: 200 kHz). A complete sweep through both bands (198 frequency channels) is accomplished in 6 seconds. Each step alternates in polarization between right hand and left hand. In this study, the data used for comparison purposes had been averaged over 48 seconds (8 sweeps). The noise from the on-board high speed switching logic was 30 bad that the useable high band data is limited to the period + 11/2 months from encounter. Figure 1 shows observations of the Jovian decametric radiation made by Voyager 1. The data is presented in the frequency time domain, and much of the spacecraft interference has been removed. Note the nested "arcs" representative of the sporadic decametric emission. The horizontal bands are noise which was unsuccessfully repressed. A great deal of energy has been expended developing algorithms which would remove the spacecraft interference and reveal the less intense Jupiter signals. The nested arcs, both vertex-early and vertex-late, are the predominant characteristic observed in frequency-time plots such as shown in Figure 1.

XXI-4



ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

(from Warwick et al., 1979)

XXI-5

\*\*

#### RADIATION PATH MODELING

سيعدرو سنسبع جوجب تعس

The major effort has been the development c a Jovian radiation path model using techniques which have proven successful in explaining the interactions of particles and waves in the terrestrial magnetosphere. The description of Jupiter's magnetic field, adopted for this study, is that published by Acuna and Ness (1976). A spherical harmonic analysis was used to calculate the components of B and their derivatives. The plasma distribution chosen was that of Sentman and Goertz (1977) augmented by the Warwick torus (1979). Figure 2 illustrates the chosen plasma environment (plasma frequency contours) in a magnetic meridian plane.

Radiation paths are generated by a three dimensional ray tracing program based on the Stix cold plasma formulation of the index of refraction and Haselgrove's set of first order differential equations (1955). A source position (radial distance from the center of Jupiter, System III longitude, and System III latitude) from which waves of a specified frequency are to be launched is input, along with the specified launch angle with respect to the magnetic field. At the origin of the ray, the program calculates an index of refraction surface based on the plasma and magnetic field parameters. Next the program takes an incremental step in the direction perpendicular to the index of refraction surface, i.e., in the direction of propagation, and determines the coordinates of this new position on the raypath. Snell's law is used to conserve the component of the refractive index parallel to planes of constant electron number density. Another index of refraction surface is calculated at this new point and the procedure is repeated. In this manner, a raypath is generated. The behavior of a ray's path is determined by the following initial conditions: the frequency of the wave, the wave normal angle, the coordinates of the source (launch point), the propagation mode, and the magnetospheric model. The flowchart of the ray tracing program is shown in Figure 3. The nine subroutines are described below.

- 1. DAMJUP is the main program which controls logic flow, specifies parameters, specifies accuracy, controls action of all sub-routines.
- 2. MAGNET describes the magnetic field of Jupiter (Acuna and Ness) and utilizes a spherical harmonic analysis to calculate the magnitude of the field,  $B_0$ , the spherical components  $B_r$ ,  $B_\theta$ ,  $B_{,}$ , and the derivatives dB/dr, dB/d $\theta$ , dB/d $\phi$  at a specified point.
- 3. DHPCG is a subroutine (IBM) which obtains an approximate solution to a general system of first order ordinary differential equations (Haselgrove) with given initial values. A Runge-Kutta procedure is used to obtain the statting values, then Hamming's modified predictor-corrector method is initiated.

XXI-6

1





م م م م م م م م م م م م م م م

, j ,

. . . . . .

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

•

. . . . .

ŝ

3



.....



いものにいていたのであったとう

<u>۲</u>

XXI-8

..

.

в. - I

This procedure controls the accuracy and adjusts the step size h. Knowing the results (index of refraction surface) at the equidistant points  $X_{j-3}$ ,  $X_{j-2}$ ,  $X_{j-1}$ , and  $X_j$ , the results at point ( $X_j + h$ ) are calculated. If the error function value is greater than a given tolerance, then the increment h is halved, and the calculation repeated. If the error function is less than a given tolerance, the result is passed on to the FCT subroutine. When the error function is less than 1/50 the established tolerance, the next calculation is carried out with a step size of 2h.

- 4. FCT calculates the index of refraction surface  $n(\psi)$  at a point on the propagation path using the Stix (cold plasma) formulation. Also, the tangent to this surface at a point on the propagation path is determined. These results are passed on to DHPCG, which takes a step of increment h in the direction perpendicular to the tangent to  $n(\psi)$ , that is, in the direction of the group velocity (the propagation path\*). DHPCG determines the coordinates of the next point along the propagation path, and asks FCT to calculate another  $n(\psi)$  surface. (\*Direction of energy flow; direction of mean Poynting vector.)
- 5. MODEL describes the plasma environment (Sentman and Goertz) of lupiter, including the lo torus (Warwick). It calculates the plasma frequency  $f_p$ , the gyrofrequency  $f_g$  (calls MAGNET), and the derivatives at a given point.
- 6. OUTP stores in the plotting arrays the coordinates of a point on the ray path which has been generated in DHPCG. These coordinates are r,  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  (wave normal angle), and two angles, delta and epsilon, which designate the direction of the phase velocity. If a detailed printout has been called for by selection of that PRINT mode, then OUTP delivers this information to the printer and calls on MAGNET and MODEL to provide field and plasma parameters at the updated ray path location.
- 7. SETPLT sets microfiche scales for plotter.
- 8. TITLF sets fiche title.
- 9. XY AXIS and GRAPH determines Xy grid and labels the axes.

Let us assume that the source of the decametric emission is located on a field line through Io. This is a likely source location since the lo flux tube footprint on Jupiter's ionosphere is expected to be the site of field line currents which could be responsible for particle acceleration. This probable source location is illustrated in Figure 4. Further, let us assume the radiation is evolution emission, hence the origin of the rays is given by the magnetic field subroutine, MAGNET. The radiation would escape only in the R-X mode. Families of rays launched at the same wave normal angle produce conical sheets of radiation, warped by the plasma and by the asymmetries in the field.

JUPITER'S MAGNETOSPHERE



Figure 4: RADIATION SOURCES IN JUPITER'S MAGNETOSPHERE

•

(from Gurnett 80-39)

XXI-10

,

**.** .

¥.,

### RESULTS: CALCULATED RADIATION SHEETS

It is believed that the decametric radiation is generated in the R-X mode. Choosing the appropriate sign in the Stix formulation of the index of refraction, raypaths have been calculated at seven frequencies (2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 MHz) originating from both northern and southern hemisphere sources at 36 separate longitudes (spaced every 10° around the planet) and at wave normal angles in 10° increments between 60° and 130°. For each different combination of the four parameters: hemisphere, longitude, frequency and wave normal angle, 36 rays are launched at 10° increments around B. This family of raypaths can be imagined as the skeleton of a conical sheet of radiation, just as the ribs of an umbrella relate to the fabric. Intersections of these conical sheets of radiation with radial shells around Jupiter at distances of 2, 4, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 90, 100, and 150 Jupiter radii are presented as Mercator projections. Figure 5 illustrates a set of four radiation sheets, one for each of the wave normal angles 60°, 70°, 80° and 90°. These rays were launched with a frequency of 2.0 MHz from a northern hemisphere source at a longitude of approximately 160°. The Mercator projection is of the Jovicentric radial shell at 150 Jupiter radii. Note that there are 36 rays in each radiation sheet. This figure represents a vast catalog of raypaths calculated by the three dimensional ray tracing program described earlier in this report.

Ľ.



<u>,</u>



The second second

XXI-12

#### CONCLUSIONS

The reason for calculating raypachs, such as those shown in Figure 5, is to examine their intersection with the Voyagers' trajectories and find out to what extent the model-dependent radiation sheets yield signatures (in frequency-time space) which match the actual observations. In Figure 6, the 20 MHz radiation sheet is shown superimposed with radiation sheets of 12.8, 8, 5 and 2 MHz. The spacecraft is slightly above the equatorial plane as illustrated by the dashed line. As Jupiter rotates, the radiation sheets sweep over the spacecraft (alternatively, one might imagine the spacecraft moving along the dashed line from right to left toward higher longitudes). Should Voyager be near Jovian longitude 90°, the PRA experiment would receive the various frequencies of radiation in descending order: first 20 MHz, then 12.8, 8,5 and 2 MHz. Should Voyager be near Jovian longitude 270°, the radiation sheets would fall on the antennas in ascending order: first 2 MHz, then 5, 8, 12.8 and 20 MHz. Signatures of both types are actually observed. The model we have employed does indeed generate decametric arcs as seen by an observer riding with Voyager. In Figure 6, the location of the Northern hemisphere source of the Jupiter emission is designated by a small triangle. The Mercator projection is made at the 150  $R_{J}$  shell. Io was at longitude 180° and all waves were launched at a wave normal angle of 90.1. If the mechanism of radiation produces nested cones of emission originating on field lines at high northern and southern latitudes, then the signatures observed in the Voyager data can be explained as a geometric effect: the radiation sheets are warped by the plasma near the planet and by the twist (azimuthal asymmetry) in the magnetic field such that overlapping occurs. An observer riding with Voyager intermittantly crosses thin sheets of radiation as the source co-rotates with the planet. It is as though the observer were viewing the ruffles in a twirling ballerina's tutu.

2

An examination of the catalog of decametric radiation sheets generated by the ray tracing procedures described in this report, shows that the Io plasma torus has minimal effect at these wavelengths. The major distortion by plasma occurs at higher latitudes nearer the surface of the planet. This is also the region of greatest azimuthal asymmetry in the magnetic field.

A preliminary conclusion regarding the high frequency limit of the decametric emissions has been drawn. Examining the Voyager 2 data of July 16, 1979, one notices a well-defined boundary to the highest frequencies observed during the period 0200-0800 UT. The ray tracing program was used to calculate raypaths for frequencies which were of "rved and for frequencies which were just above the high frequency boundary. Those rays generated by the program for the frequencies actually observed by the spacecraft all propagated through the magnetized plasma environment. The rays for the frequencies which were not observed by

÷.



Figure 6: DECAMETRIC RADIATION SHEETS CALCULATED BY RAY TRACING

Voyager did not propagate through the magnetized plasma. Propagation, for these raypaths, was inhibited at the R=0 cutoff, i.e., where the extraordinary wave was reflected.

Additional comparisons of the model dependent raypaths with the PRA observations is necessary. To facilitate this cross-referencing, the observational data should be reformatted. Once the spacecraft generated interference is repressed, the data should be sorted according to fixed Io longitules, as though Io were anchored in the Jovian magnetic field and the spacecraft circled the planet. If field aligned currents in the Io flux tube are triggering the emissions, then the patterns in the data, when formatted as suggested, should pinpoint the source locations. This software effort is now underway.

#### REFERENCES

- Carr, T.D., and Desch, M.D., "Recent Decametric and Hectometric Observations of Jupiter," <u>Jupiter</u>, ed. T. Gehrels, 1976, pp. 693-737.
- Smith, R.A., "Models of Jovian Decametric Radiation," <u>Jupiter</u>, ed. T. Gehrels, 1976, pp. 1146-1189.
- 3. Green, J.L., and Gurnett, D.A., "Ray Tracing of Jovian Kilometric Radiation," submitted to Geophysical Research Letters, 1980.
- Menietti, J.D., and Gurnett, D.A., "Whistler Propagation in the Jovian Magnetosphere," <u>Geophysical Research Letters</u>, <u>Vol. 7</u>, <u>No. 1</u>, January 1980, pp. 49-52.
- Warwick, J.W., Pearce, J.B., Riddle, A.C., Alexander, J.K., Desch, M.D., Kaiser, M.L., Thieman, J.R., Carr, T.D., Gulkis S., Boischot, A., Harvey, C.C., Pedersen, B.M., "Voyager 1 Planetary Radio Astronomy Observations Near Jupiter," <u>Science</u>, <u>Vol. 204</u>, 1 June 1979, pp. 995-998.
- Acuna, M.H., and Ness, N.F., "Results from the GSFC Fluxgate Magnetometer on Pioneer 11", <u>Jupiter</u>, ed. T. Gehrels, 1976, pp 830-847.
- Sentman, D.C., and Goertz, C.K., "Whistler Mode Noise in Jupiter's Inner Magnetosphere", Journal of Geophysical Research 83, 1977, 322.
- Haselgrove, J., "Ray Theory and a New Method of Ray Tracking" London Physical Society, <u>Report on Conference on the Physics of</u> the Ionosphere, 1955, pp 355-364.
- 9. Gurnett, D.A., and Scarf, F.L., "Plasma Waves in the Jovian Magnetosphere", U. of Iowa, 80-39.

XXI-16

-----

έnη,



ţ

# NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

- --

# MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

# THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE

Title: THUNDERSTORM ELECTRIC FIELD MODELLING

- Prepared by: Arjun Tan Math-Physics Department Newberry College Newberry, SC 29108
- NASA/MSFC: Atmospheric Sciences Division Space Sciences Laboratory

MSFC Counterpart: Dr. Hugh J. Christian, Jr.

-----

....

т. . ў XXU

## THUNDERSTORM ELECTRIC FIELD MODELLING

مېچى چەر ئىلايىتىلار چېنى خەر يەر خەر يەر مەللار چېنىلارد.

. . .

by

# Arjun Tan Newberry College, Newberry, SC 29108

Principal: Dr. Hugh J. Christian, ES-83, NASA-MSFC, Huntsville, AL 35812

### ABSTRACT

A handful of electric field models of thunderstorms are found in the literature. Holzer and Saxon (1952) calculated the electric field and conduction currents of a simple dipolar thundercloud, assuming exponentially increasing conductivity of air with altitude. Anderson and Freier (1969) studied the effects of a time-varying potential. Park and Dejnakarintra (1973) extended the mapping of electric fields to ionospheric heights for upper midlatitudes, where the conductivity is a tensor. Burke (1975) extended the modelling to disk-shaped charge distributions and compared their results with high altitude balloon flight data.

Since considerable amounts of data now exist of electric field measurements inside thunderclouds (both from horizontal and vertical flights) apart from ground-based observations, the need for a more realistic model of thunderstorm electricity is now called for (Christian, 1976). In this proposed model, calculation of electric field vectors within and near an isolated thundercloud (which has a given volume charge distribution) is envisaged. Of particular interest is the calculation of the maximum field strength within the thundercloud. Later, the effects of screening layers, both above and below the thundercloud may be investigated, as well as time-dependent potential problem. The study can lead to a better understanding of the charge distributions in a thundercloud, which in turn may shed some light on the actual mechanism of charging of a thundercloud.

XXII-1

**5** 

## INTRODUCTION

While considerable amounces of experimental data exist in thunderstorm field measurements, only a handful of electric field models of thunderclouds are found in the literature. It was well-known that the ionosphere is a good conductor of electricity, the ground is a fairly good conductor while the atmosphere is a poor conductor. For this reason, the earliest theoretical studies of atmospheric electricity were confined to the "spherical capacitor" model (for a review, see Chalmers, 1967). These models did not take into account the variation of electrical conductivity of air with altitude. Since conductivity is proportional to the mobility of ions present in the atmosphere and since the mobility increases roughly exponentially with altitude on account of the exponential decrease of neutral number density, the increase of conductivity of the atmosphere with altitude is also roughly exponential (Cf., Cole and Pierce, 1965).

Holzer and Saxon (1952) were the first to incorporate the exponential increase of conductivity of air to calculate the electric fields and conduction currents due to a simple dipolar thundercloud. Freier (1967) and Anderson and Freier (1969) studied the effects of a time-varying potential. Park and Dejnakarintra (1973) extended the mapping of electric fields for mid- and upper-mid-latitudes, taking into account the anisotropy of the electrical conductivity there. Dejnakarintra and Park (1974) further studied how the effects of a time-varying field are propagated into the ionosphere. Burke (1975)

XXII-2

extended the modelling to disk-shaped charge distributions and compared her results with high altitude ballon flight data.

While the above-mentioned models serve as useful tools for comparing with the ground-based and high altitude electrical field measurements, they cannot furnish information regarding the distribution of charges in the thundercloud. The actual distribution is, of course, a volume distribution, quite unlike the point-dipole or disk-shaped charges hitherto considered. For this reason, a more realistic thundercloud model with a volume distribution of charges is a logical next step to be undertaken.

XXII-3

### **OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this study is two-fold. First, beginning with a more realistic volume distribution of charges, we propose to compute the electric fields above, below and around the charge distribution, and investigate what kind of distribution best fits the experimenta? data. This model would also permit comparisons with the other existing models. Of particular interest would be the study of the effects of screening layers of charges (see MODEL) on the resulting electric fields above and below the thundercload.

Secondly, the model would permit calculation of the electric fields within the thunderstorm itself. This will enable us to compare with the various in situ measurements that have been carried out and are currently being undertaken. These include the mountain-top mwasurements of Rust and Moore (1974), rocket measurements of Winn et al.(1974), balloon-borne measurements of Benbrrok et al.(1974) and Winn and Byerly (1975) and parachute-deployed measurements of Bering et al.(1977). Although the actual charge distribution and electric field are highly complex, from the calculated maximum field and the variations of the of the fields, some rough estimates of the charge densities could be inferred.

XXII-4

## MODEL

.

The size and shape of a thundercloud vary considerably from cloud to cloud, with latitude and geographical location. The height of the thundercloud may range from 4 km to 20 km or more (Vonnegut and Moore, 1959) but a typical tropical thundercloud rises to an altitude of 8 to 12 km. While the size of the thundercloud can be easily estimated, finding the electrical structure of the thundercloud is a much more difficult problem. This is complicated by several factors, such as, highly complex electrical structure, high temporal variability caused by charging, lightning discharge and subsequent charging, high intra-cloud variability etc.All these plus the general lack of agreement on the basic electrification process contribute to the difficulty in modelling the thundercloud electrical structure.

Nonetheless some broad general features of thundercloud electricity have been observed ever since Benjamin Franklin. Observations continue to affirm an overall dipole nature of the thundercloud with a net positive charge in the upper regions and r net negative charge in the lower regions. The positive charge appears to be more diffused than the negative charge (Reynolds, 1953) and sometimes the negative charge is in a vertical column (Malan and Schonland, 1951). Frequently the dipole can be tilted from the vertical (Reynolds and Neill, 1955). Further, lightning and strong winds do complicate the charge structure continuously (Moore, et al., 1964).

XXII-5

. . . . . . . . .

The amounts of positive and negative charges in a thundercloud are also quite uncertain. The earlier estimate of 20C and -20C by Malan (1963) is now believed to be too conservative while Kasemir's (1965) estimate of a negative charge of -340C may be overly high.Charges of 100C as estimated by MacGorman and Few (1974) may be a more acceptable figure.

、

In addition to the primary positive and negative charges, there is evidence of "screening layers" both above and below the main charges (Cf., Hoppel and Phillips, 1971). Further, since Schonland (1928), "corona discharge" or flow of positive charges from the surface of the earth has been observed.

Whereas any representative picture of a typical thundercloud charge may be a far cry from actual reality, for our model, the distribution shown in Fig. 1 is assumed.

æ, i

## EQUATIONS

The electric field is derived from the negative gradient of the potential

$$\underline{\mathbf{E}} = -\nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} \,. \tag{1}$$

The electric field is also related to the current density in air  $T_{a}$ 

$$J_{\underline{a}} = \sigma E , \qquad (2)$$

where the conductivity  $\sigma$  increases roughly exponentially with altitude with a scale height 1/(2k)

$$\sigma_{z} = \sigma_{0} e \qquad (3)$$

Under steady-state conditions,

$$\nabla \cdot \underline{J} = 0 , \qquad (4)$$

where the total current density  $\underline{J}$  is the sum of  $\underline{J}_{\underline{o}}$  and the convection current density at the source region  $\underline{Ts}$ 

$$J = J_{\alpha} + J_{\beta}$$
 (5)

From (4) and (5), we get

$$\nabla J_{\underline{a}} = -\nabla J_{\underline{s}} , \qquad (6)$$

Poisson's equation for the problem is given by

$$\nabla^2 \phi = -\nabla_{\cdot} \underline{E} = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_{\rm L}}, \qquad (7)$$

where P is the total space charge density and  $\mathcal{E}_c$  the permittivity of air.

цй,

١

Combining (1), (2), (3) and (6) we get

$$\sigma_2 \left( V^2 + 2 \kappa \frac{3}{32} \right) \phi = \nabla \overline{\Delta} . \tag{5}$$

Substituting into (7), we get

$$F = 2 k \varepsilon_{0} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} - \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\sigma_{z}} \nabla \cdot J_{z}$$

$$= P_{a} + P_{s} ,$$
(5)

where  $f_{k}$  is the space charge density in air and  $f_{s}$  is the space charge density of the source.

Equations (8) and (9) now give

$$\left[\nabla^2 + 2k \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right] \phi = -\frac{f_s}{\varepsilon_c}$$
 (10)

• •• •

In cylindrical coordinates, Eq.(10) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \phi^2} + \left(2k \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)\phi = -\frac{\beta_r}{\epsilon_{\epsilon}}.$$
(11)

Equation(11) is solved numerically with the boundary conditions

 $\Psi = 0$  at z = 0,  $\Psi = 1^{\prime}$  at z = H,

where H is the upper boundary of the atmosphere.

XXII-8

#### REFERENCES

ď

Anderson, F.J. and G.D.Freier, J.Geophys.Res. 74, 5390,1969.

Benbrooke, J.R., J.W.Kern and W.R.Sheldon, J.Geophys.Res.79, 5289,1974.

Bering, E.A., J.R. Benbrook and W.R. Sheldon, J. Geophys. Res. 82, 1925, 1977.

Burke, H.K., Ph.D. Thesis, Rice University, 1975.

Chalmers, J.A., Atmospheric Electricity, Pergamon, New York, 1967.

Cole, R.K. and E.T.Pierce, J.Geophys.Res. 70, 2735, 1965.

Dejnakarintra, M. and C.G.Park, J.Geophys.Res. 79, 1903, 1974.

Freier, G., J. Atmos. Sci. 36, 1967, 1979.

Holzer, R.E. and D.S.Saxon, J.Geophys.Res.57, 207,1952

Hoppel, W.A. and B. B. Phillips, J. Atmos. Sci. 28, 1258, 1971.

Kasemir, in Problems of Atmospheric and Space Electricity, Elsevier, 1965.

MacGorman, D.R. and A.Few, Trans. AGU 56, 1130, 1974.

Malan, D.J., Physics of Lightning, The English Univ. Press, London, 1963.

Malan, D.J. and V.F.J.Schonland, Proc. Roy. Soc. A209, 158, 1951.

Moore, C.B., B. Vonnegut, B.A. Stern and H.J. Survilas, J. Geophys. Res. 65, 1907, 1960.

Park, C.G. and M.Dejnakarintra, J.Geophys.Res.78, 6623, 1973.

Reynolds, S.E., US Signal Corps Rept., Soccorro, 1954.

Reynolds, S.E. and H.W.Neill, J.Meteorol. 12, 1, 1955.

Rust, W.D. and C.B.Moore, J.Roy.Met.Soc. 100, 450, 1974.

Schonland, B.F.J., Proc. Roy. Soc. A118, 252, 1928.

Vonnegut, B. and C.B.Moore in <u>Recent Advances in Atmospheric Electricity</u>, Pergamon, 1959.

Winn, W.P. and L.G. Byerly, J. Roy. Met. Soc. 101, 979, 1975.

Winn, W.P., G.W. Schwede and C.B. Moore, J. Geophys. Res., 79, 1761, 1974.



æ

. ج

Ń

٤

ţ

Altitude(km)

۱

1

Fig.1. Stratified Thundercloud charge model.

. . .

N82-17066 223

;

١,

# NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

## DETERMINATION OF THE EFFICIENCY OF A PROPORTIONAL COUNTER FOR DETECTING X-RAYS

Prepared By:	Dr. Alton C. Williams
Academic Rank:	Assistant Professor
University and Department:	Alabama A & M University Department of Physics
NASA/MSFC: Laboratory: Counterpart:	Space Sciences Mr. M.C. Weisskopf
Date:	August 14, 1981
Contract No.:	NGT 01-008-021 The U.11/ersity of Alabama in Huntsville

. .

É.

Ŋ

## I. INTRODUCTION

S.

The Advanced X-ray Astrophysics Facility (AXAF) is to be a national x-ray observatory in space. At present, NASA is utilizing its x-ray test facilities to conduct studies to determine how to best construct the AXAF as well as to obtain empirical relations between x-ray scattering and the theories of visible light.

Basically, the testing at NASA consists of a parallel beam of x-rays (approximately monoenergetic) passing through a rectangular slit and scattering from two flat mirrors. The two mirrors are arranged in a periscope geometry so that the final reflected beam is parallel to the incident beam but displaced laterally by a given amount. One of the detectors used to intercept the reflected x-rays is a one dimensional gas-filled proportional counter which is sensitive to the position (in 1-dimension) at which the x-rays are incident within its detecting "window".

The total length of the anode wire of the proportional counter is 120 mm and this length can be divided electronically into a maximum of 1,024 parts. Hence, the output of an experimental run would be the number of incident x-rays that registered on each of the 1,024 channels. Each channel would represent an x-ray at a different spatial location and, hence, at a different scattering angle. In order to look at a wider range of scattering angles, the detector is placed on an optical table which can be rotated.

XXIII-1

One of the problems that arises in the interpretation of the data has to do with the fact that the efficiency of the detector is a function of position. That is, the efficiency of the 1,024 channels are conceivably all different. What one would like in this case is to determine the relative efficiency of each channel with respect to some arbitrary reference channel. This would then allow the variation in the counts in each channel due to the variation in the efficiencies of the channels to be normalized out.

•

É.

The following pages describe how the relative efficiencies can be determined from a particular set of experimental data.

XXIII-2
## PART 11

I. The Desired Quantity

Each channel number, i, will have an absolute channel efficiency given by E(i). It is desired to have the ratio

$$RCE(i) = \frac{E(i)}{E(i_0)}$$
(1)

for an arbitrary reference channel number i<sub>0</sub>.

II. Relation Between Measured Quantities And The Relative Counter Efficiencies What is measured is the quantity  $C_k(i)$ , the number of counts in channel number i when the table is at position  $P_k$  (arcseconds). The counts in channel i are related to the absolute efficiency via the relation.

$$C_{k}(i) = E(i) I_{k}(i)$$
 (2)

where  $I_k(i)$  is the flux at the position of channel number i when the table is at position  $P_k$ . The relative counter efficiencies are given by:

$$RCE(i) = \frac{C_{k}(i)}{C_{k_{o}}(i_{o})} \frac{I_{k_{o}}(i_{o})}{I_{k}(i)}$$
(3)

# 111. Relation Between Counts and Flux

Let  $C_{k}(i) = counts$  in channel i when the table is at position  $P_{k}'$ . Since RCE(i) is independent of table position, then from eq. (3)

$$\frac{C_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{i})}{C_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{i}_{0})} = \frac{I_{\mathbf{k}_{0}}(\mathbf{i}_{0})}{I_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{i})} = \frac{C_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{i})}{C_{\mathbf{k}_{0}}(\mathbf{i}_{0})} = \frac{I_{\mathbf{k}_{0}}(\mathbf{i}_{0})}{C_{\mathbf{k}_{0}}(\mathbf{i}_{0})}$$

or

$$C_{k}(i) = I_{k}(i)$$

$$C_{k}(i) = I_{k}(i)$$
(4)

1v. Calculation Of Channel Number for A Given Position

Let  $\tilde{D}$  = the number of channels/arcseconds of table movement. Then, The number of arcseconds channel number 1 (at table position  $P_k$ ) is from the reference channel is given by

$$O_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{i}) = \frac{(\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{0}})}{\mathbf{\overline{D}}} - (P_{\mathbf{k}} - P_{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}})$$
(5)

Hence, if channel i (position  $P_k$ ) and channel i' position  $P_k$ , correspond to the same location in space, then

$$O_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{i}) = O_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{i})$$

or

$$i' = i + \bar{D}(P_{k'} - P_{k'})$$
 (6)

To obtain RCL(i) from the measured counts, we need the ratio  $\frac{I_k(i)}{I_k(i)}$  in

eq. (3). This can be obtained using eq. (4) if

$$I_{k'}(i) = I_{k_{0}}(i_{0})$$

That is, the spatial position of channel i (position k') must be same as the spatial position of channel i (position k). From eq. (5) this means

$$i = i_0 + D (P_{k'} - P_{k_0})$$

Since  $i_0$  is fixed, i can vary only if  $P_{\mu\nu}$  vary. That is, as many table positions as channels are needed to do the calculation straightforwardly.

# vi. The METHOD

Condsider the quantity

$$Q^{N}(i) = \frac{C_{k_{1}}(i)}{C_{k_{1}}(i_{0})} \frac{C_{k_{1}}(i_{1})}{C_{k_{2}}(i_{1})} \frac{C_{k_{1}}(i_{2})}{C_{k_{2}}(i_{2})} \frac{C_{k_{1}}(i_{N})}{C_{k_{2}}(i_{1})}$$
(7)

where

$$i_{k} = i + k \vec{D} (P_{k_{2}} - P_{k_{1}})$$
 (8)

and N is chusen such that

$$i_{0} = i_{N} = i + N\overline{D}(P_{k_{2}} - F_{k_{1}})$$

$$N = \frac{i_{0} - i}{D(P_{k_{2}} - P_{k_{1}})}$$
(9)

4

. . .

and thereases a succession

For definiteness

Choose  $i_0 = 1024$ ,  $P_{k_2} > P_{k_1}$ 

1. <u>Proof That  $Q^{N}(i) = RCE(i)$ </u>: (with  $k_{0} = k_{1}$ ) Using eq. (4),  $Q^{N}(i)$  can be written as

$$Q^{N}(i) = \frac{C_{k_{1}}(i)}{C_{k_{1}}(i_{0})} \frac{I_{k_{1}}(i_{1})}{I_{k_{2}}(i_{1})} \frac{I_{k_{1}}(i_{2})}{I_{k_{2}}(i_{2})} - \frac{I_{k_{1}}(i_{N})}{I_{k_{2}}(i_{N})}$$
(10)

But, since

$$i_{k} = i + k\overline{D}(P_{k_{2}} - P_{k_{1}})$$

$$i_{k+1} = i + k\overline{D}(P_{k_{2}} - P_{k_{1}}) + \overline{D}(P_{k_{2}} - P_{k_{1}}) = i_{k} - \overline{D}(P_{k_{2}} - P_{k_{1}})$$

Then according to (5)

$$O_{k_1}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{(\mathbf{i}_k - \mathbf{i}_0)}{\overline{D}} - (P_{k_1} - P_{k_0})$$

and

$$O_{k_{2}}(i_{k+1}) = \frac{(i_{k} - i_{0})}{\overline{D}} + (P_{k_{2}} - P_{k_{1}}) - (P_{k_{2}} - P_{k_{0}}) = \frac{i_{k} - i_{0}}{\overline{D}} - (P_{k_{1}} - P_{k_{0}})$$

Hence,

••

~

$$O_{k_1}(i_k) = O_{k_2}(i_{k+1})$$

That is, channel  $i_k$  (position  $k_1$ ) is in the same spatial position as channel  $i_{k+1}$  (position  $k_2$ ).

This means that

$$I_{k_1}(i_k) = I_{k_2}(i_{k+1})$$

and eq. (10) can be written as

$$Q^{N}(i) = \frac{C_{k_{1}}(i)}{C_{k_{1}}(i_{0})} - \frac{1}{L_{k_{2}}(i_{1})} - \frac{1}{L_{k_{1}}(i_{N})}$$



5

But channel 1, (position  $k_2$ ) is in the same spatial location as channel i (position  $k_1$ ); also  $i_N = i_0^2$  by definition of N.

Hence

Δ.

$$Q^{N}(i) = \frac{C_{k_{1}}(i)}{C_{k_{1}}(i_{0})} = \frac{I_{k_{1}}(i_{0})}{I_{k_{1}}(i_{0})}$$

and since  $k_1 = k_0$ 

$$Q^{N}(i) = \frac{C_{k_{1}}(i)}{C_{k_{0}}(i_{0})} + \frac{L_{k_{0}}(i_{0})}{L_{k_{1}}(i_{0})} = RCE(i)$$
 Q.E.D.

2. To Get Exact Values From Experiment

To get exact values for all i, N must be an integer for all i

$$N = \frac{i_0 - i}{\overline{D}(P_{k_2} - P_{k_1})}$$

This would be true only if  $\widetilde{D}(P_{k_2}-P_{k_1}) = 1$ . That is, the two table positions are separated by 1 channel width (v = 8.5 arcseconds).

Then  $N = i_0 - i = 1024 - i$ .

(Note that RCE(1024) is not needed since it is alread known - RCE(1024) = 1)

Let [N] represent the closest integer to the value N

hen

....

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} i_0 - i \\ \overline{D}(P_{k_2} - P_{k_1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $i_N \geq 1024$ , there is also a maximum value for N so that

$$i_N = i + N\overline{D}(P_{k_2} - P_{k_1}) = 1024.$$

It is easily seen that the approximate values obtained with this method rebased on the assumption that the flux is constant over k channels where  $k = \frac{1}{2} \overline{D}(P_{k_2} - P_{k_1})$ .

XXIII-6

**N82-17067** Day

# NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

# MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

# SOLIDIFICATION OF A BINARY MIXTURE

Prepared By:

Basil N. Antar, Ph.D.

Academic Rank:

Associate Professor

Mechanics

University and Department:

NASA/MSFC: Division: Branch:

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

Contract No.:

Atmospheric Science Fluid Mechanics

George H. Fichtl

July 20, 1981

NGT 01-008-The University of Alabama in Huntsville

1.1

University of Tennessee Space Institute

ł

Department of Engineering Science and

ABSTRACT

ł

The time dependent concentration and temperature profiles of a finite layer of a binary mixture is investigated during solidification. The coupled time dependent Stefan problem is solved numerically using an implicit finite differencing algorithm with the method of lines. Specifically, the temporal operator is approximated via an implicit finite difference operator resulting in a coupled set of ordinary differential equations for the spatial distribution of the temperature and concentration for each time step. Since the result of differential equations set form a boundary value problem with matching conditions at an unknown spatial point, the method of invariant imbedding is used for its solution.

# NOMENCLATURE

Symbol	Definition
Latin Symbols	
C	Concentration
d	Initial depth of melt
D	Mass diffusivity
1	Latent heat of fusion
h	Partition coefficient
Q	Heat transfer rate
S	Liquid - solid interface position
t	Time
Т	Temperature
T <sub>o</sub>	Solidification temperature at zero concentration
x	Spatial direction
Greek	
ρ	Density
ĸ	Thermal diffusivity
Subscripts	
1	Liquid state
S	Solid state

XXIV-ii

. . .

-

.

-----

1

ì

#### INTRODUCTION

A great deal of interest has been generated recently in the possibility of producing new materails in the reduced gravity environment provided during the forthcoming missions of spacelab. The range of possibilities extend from producing large crystals of uniform properties to manufacturing materials with unique properties. Most of these processes involve the solidification of materials from the liquid state. Convective motion within the liquid during solidification can influence the local material composition and the shape of the solid-liquid interface which may result in solids with non-uniform properties and crystal defects. The microgravity environment of Spacelab is being viewed as one in which the boyancy forces are eliminated so that convection driven by thermal gradients will not occur. It is hoped that this will lead to an improved solidification process. However, convection may occur in other reasons and whether convection is negligible or not during solidification constitutes a vital question bearing on the value of future material processing in low gravity environment. Little information exists presently on convections during solidification under such circumstances.

The work reported here constitutes the first step in a complex analytical investigation concerning the onset of convective motion in the liquid due to surface tension forces during the solidification of a finite thickness slab of a binary fluid. The onset of convection will be determined through a stability analysis. Stability analyses usually require the determination of a basic state whose stability is being studied. The rest of this report will deal with the definition of such a basic state.

## STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The stability analysis to be performed requires the complete description of the temperature and concentration fields together with the speed and position of the solid-liquid interface during the solidification process. Although the equations describing these fields are linear (the diffusion equations) the problem is greatly complicated by the fact that the position of the solid liquid interface is unknown, making the total problem much more difficult. The complexity is increased further here by the fact that the solidification temperature is dependent on the concentration which is also a variable in this problem. Thus it can be seen that the total problem is complex and highly transient making a closed form solution for the complete problem almost Boley [1] has outlined an algorithim for obtaining impossible. a closed form solution for the problem at hand but for short times only making his technique not very useful for the present application. Thus a numerical method of solution is chosen which is outlined below.

Before getting into the numerical details of the solution, the mathematical model for the problem is first outlined. Consider a layer of binary fluid which is infinite in the horizontal extent but of a finite depth d. Initially the liquid is at a uniform temperature and concentration with the appropriate boundary conditions on the top and bottom boundaries of the fluid. A sketch of the model is shown in Fig. 1.

At any time during the solidification process the temperature and concentration fields in both the solid and the liquid are generated by the following equations

 $\frac{\partial T_s}{\partial x^*} = \mathcal{R}_s \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial x^*}$ (1a)

$$\frac{\partial C_{x}^{*}}{\partial x} = i \sum_{s} \frac{\partial^{2} C_{s}^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}$$
(1b)

$$\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial x} = \mathcal{K}_i \frac{\partial^2 T_i}{\partial x}$$
(1c)

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x}$$
(1d)

In the above equations the densities of both the liquid and solid were taken to be the same which is a constant  $\rho$ .

To completely describe the field variables initial and boundary conditions must to be specified as well as the solid-liquid interface conditions. For initial conditions it will be assumed that the temp. of the lower liquid surface (at x = 0) has just reached the solidification temperature,  $T_m^{*}(t_0)$  for the initial uniform concentrations of the liquid. The temperature distribution in the liquid will be linear with a maximum at the upper surface and the initial concentration in the liquid,  $C_1^*$ , will be taken to be uniform throughout the liquid. The boundary conditions at all time t>to are given by:

$$\frac{\partial T_{s}^{*}(o,t)}{\partial x^{*}} = -\frac{Q_{o}(t)}{k_{s}}$$

$$\frac{\partial C_{s}^{*}(o,t)}{\partial x^{*}} = 0$$
(2)

at the lower surface and by

⊷ 🛻

. . . . . . . . .

. . .

$$\frac{\partial T_{i}^{*}}{\partial x^{*}} \left( d, t \right) = - \frac{Q_{i}(t)}{k_{i}}$$

$$\frac{\partial C_{i}^{*}}{\partial x^{*}} \left( d, t \right) = 0$$
(3)

at the upper surface. The conditions at the solid-liquid interface, s(t) are given by:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\ell}^{*}(s',t') = \mathcal{T}_{s}^{*}(s',t') = \mathcal{T}_{m}^{*} = \mathcal{F}(C_{\ell}^{*}(s',t'))$$
(4)

$$C_{s}^{*}(s^{*},t^{*}) = k C_{l}^{*}(s^{*},t^{*})$$
 (5)

1 MR #1

- - - -

$$k_{*} \frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial x^{*}} - k_{t} \frac{\partial T_{t}}{\partial x^{*}} = g \perp \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$$
(6)

$$D_{s} \frac{\partial C_{s}}{\partial x} = D_{l} \frac{\partial C_{l}}{\partial x} = (1-k)C_{l} \frac{\partial s}{\partial t}$$
(7)

Condition (4) shows that the solidification temperature T  $\star$  is a function of the concentration which may be obtained from <sup>m</sup>the eutectic phase diagram of the specific materials in question. However, to simplify the analysis the functional dependence will be taken to be linear and of the following form

$$\overline{T_{n}}^{*}(S^{*}) = \overline{T_{n}}^{*} - m C_{1}^{*}(S^{*},t^{*})$$
(8)

where m is the slope in the phase diagram and is taken to be a constant.

At this point it is desirable to nondimensionalize the variables of the problem described above. The initial depth of the liquid, d, will be used for the length scale and since the problem is mainly diffusion dominated, the diffusion time  $d^2/\kappa$  will be used for the time scale. The original uniform liquid concentration,  $C_1^*$ , will be used for the concentration scale and the difference the solidification temperature at zero concentration  $T_0^*$ , and the solidification temperature scale. Thus, the folloiwng nondimensional variable will be used in the problem.

$$x = x^{*}/d$$
;  $S = S^{*}/d$ 

`

5°**4**,

$$t = \mathcal{K}_{s} t^{*} / J^{2}$$

$$C = C^{*} / C_{lo}^{*}$$

$$\overline{T} = \frac{T^{*} - \overline{T_{m}}^{*} (t_{o})}{\overline{T_{o}}^{*} - \overline{T_{m}}^{*} (t_{o})}$$
(9)

÷

Upon substituting the above definition into the problem and the boundary condition we obtain

$$\frac{\partial T_3}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 T_3}{\partial x^2}$$
(10a)

$$\frac{\partial C_s}{\partial t} = P_s \frac{\partial^2 C_s}{\partial x^2}$$
(10b)

$$\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial t} = \lambda \frac{\partial^2 T_i}{\partial x^2}$$
(10c)

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = P_{t} \times \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}$$
(10d)

with the boundary conditions given by:

+ + +

11

1

ŝ

$$\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial x} = - \hat{q}, \qquad (12)$$

$$\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial x} = 0$$

The interface conditions are given by

à

.

$$T_{1}(s,t) = T_{s}(s,t) = I - M C_{1}(s,t)$$
 (13)

$$C_{s}(s,+) = k C_{4}(s,+)$$
 (14)

$$\frac{\partial T_{i}}{\partial x} - c \frac{\partial T_{i}}{\partial x} = L \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$$
(15)

$$\frac{\partial C_s}{\partial x} = (1-k) \frac{C_1}{\partial s} \frac{\partial s}{\partial t}$$
(16)

The nondimensionalizations process adopted above results in several nondimensional numbers which are defined as follows:

$$P_{s} = N_{s} / \kappa_{s} ; P_{l} = D_{l} / \kappa_{l} ; \kappa = \kappa_{l} / \kappa_{s} ;$$

$$P_{o} = \frac{Q_{o} d[T_{o}^{*} - \overline{T_{m}}^{*}(t_{o})]}{\kappa_{s}} ; P_{l} = \frac{Q_{l} d}{k_{l}} [T_{o}^{*} - \overline{T_{m}}^{*}(t_{o})] ;$$

$$P_{i} = \frac{Q_{i} d}{k_{l}} [T_{o}^{*} - \overline{T_{m}}^{*}(t_{o})] ; L = \frac{l}{k_{l}} ; N = N_{l} / N_{s} ;$$

$$M = \frac{\partial [T_{o}^{*} / (T_{o}^{*} - \overline{T_{m}}^{*}(t_{o}))]}{\partial (C_{i}^{*} / C_{l_{0}}^{*})} ; L = \frac{l}{C_{o} [T_{o}^{*} - \overline{T_{m}}^{*}(t_{o})]} ; N = N_{l} / N_{s}$$

#### METHOD OF SOLUTION

In order to obtain a full description of the basic state, the problem defined by equations (10) and conditions (11)-(16) need to be solved for some realistic initial conditions. Although the differential equations governing the problem are linear, the boundary conditions through the latent heat terms introduce strong nonlinearities and complicates the solution considerably. The problem is further complicated in that the position of the solid-liquid interface is not known a priori and need to be determined in the process of solving the problem at each instant in time. There exists in the literature a closed form solution when the domain in the x-direction is infinite (see Carslaw and Jaeger[2]). Also, there is a solution to the problem when the domain is finite, but the depth of the liquid is constant for all times (Antar et al [3]). Recently, Boley [1] has outlined a closed form solution to the problem which is valid only for short times. Thus it seems that if a uniformly valid solution for all times is needed and without any of the restrictions discussed above, then the only recourse is to obtain the solution through numerical means.

It is clear, since this problem is important in many engineering applications, that a great deal of analytical and numerical techniques have been developed for its solution (see for instance the books of Ockendon and Hodgkins [4] and Wilson, Solomon and Bogs [5] for an up to date review of these techniques). Thus there exists in the literature

XXIV-7

a large number of numerical techniques for solving this problem which broadly fall into the two catagories finite difference and finite elements approximations. For an interesting review of the numerical techniques used in this area see the article by Fox [6]. The method which is used in the present application is that due to Meyer [7].

The method of Meyer [7] may be summarized as follows: first descretise the time operator through an implicit finite difference approximation. This will then yield a set of orce ary differential equations at any time level n, which form a boundary value problem Since there are interface conditions which have to be satisfied at the location of the interface Never used the invariant imbedding method to solve the problem. Note that Meyer applied this technique to solve only the temperature field, while in this report we will illustrate how his technique may be extended to solve for both the temperature and concentration fields. The details of the method are given below.

Let N>0 be an integer and define a time step  $\Delta t = T/N$ . If a etc. denotes  $a_i(h\Delta t, x)$ , etc. for n = 0, ..., N, then an implicit one level approximation of the equations given in (10) may be written as

$$\frac{d}{dx^2} - \frac{T_s^n}{\Delta t} = -\frac{T_s^{n-1}}{\Delta t}$$
(17a)

$$\frac{d^2 C_s^n}{dx^2} - \frac{C_s^n}{P_s \Delta t} = -\frac{C_s^{n-1}}{P_s \Delta t}$$
(17b)

$$\frac{d^2 \overline{I_\ell}}{dx^2} - \frac{\overline{I_\ell}}{kot}^n = -\frac{\overline{I_\ell}}{kot}^{n-1}$$
(17c)

$$\frac{d^2 C_1^2}{dx^2} - \frac{C_1^2}{P_s \kappa_{Dt}} = -\frac{C_1^2}{P_s \kappa_{Dt}}$$
(17d)

The boundary conditions will become

, ,

> , .

,` .`

-

,

-,

.

Ê.

$$\frac{dT_s}{dx} = -\frac{q}{r}, \qquad ; \qquad \frac{dC_s}{dx} = 0 \tag{18}$$

:

•

$$\frac{dT_i}{dx} = -\hat{q}_i^n \qquad ; \qquad \frac{dC_i}{dx} = 0 \tag{19}$$

while the interface conditions are expressed by

$$T_{1}^{n}(s^{n}) = T_{s}^{n}(s^{n}) = I - MC_{1}^{n}(s^{n})$$
 (20a)

$$C_{s}^{n}(s^{n}) = k C_{l}^{n}(s^{n})$$
 (20b)

ار الوليم العاد ا

$$\frac{dT_s}{dx} - c \frac{dT_r}{dx} - \frac{L}{\Delta t} \left( s^n - s^{n-1} \right) = 0 ; \qquad (20c)$$

$$\frac{dC_{s}^{n}}{dx} = i \int \frac{dC_{s}^{n}}{dx} = (i-k) \frac{C_{s}^{n}}{r_{s}^{n} \delta t} \left(s^{n} - s^{n-1}\right) = O \qquad (20d)$$

First we split the second order operators in (17) into two first order differential equations in the following form

$$\frac{d\overline{J_s}^n}{dx} = \overline{J_s}^n - \overline{J_s}^{n-1}$$

$$\frac{d\overline{J_s}^n}{dx} = \frac{\overline{J_s}^n}{\Delta t} - \frac{\overline{J_s}^{n-1}}{\Delta t}$$

$$\frac{dC_s^n}{dx} = \omega_s^n$$

$$\frac{dw_s^n}{dx} = \frac{C_s^n}{P_s\Delta t} - \frac{C_s^{n-1}}{P_s\Delta t}$$
(21)
(22)

and similar operator splitting for  $T_1^n$  and  $C_1^n$ . Then, since the boundary conditions (18) and (19) are given in a derivative form (Neumann conditions), we choose the solution  $v^n$  and  $w^n$  such that the equations

$$U_{s}^{n}(x) = Y_{s}^{n}(x)/S_{s}^{n} + Z_{s}^{n}(x)$$
(23)

$$w_{s}^{-n}(x) = X_{s}^{n}(x)Y_{s}^{n} + f_{s}^{n}(x) \qquad (24)$$

XXIV-10

n hanna da norti dica daari na di sa aa

. . . .

and similar solution for  $v_1^n$  and  $w_1^n$ , hold.  $Y_s^n$ ,  $X_s^n$ ,  $Z_s^n$  and  $r_s^n$  are solutions to the following initial value problems

2

$$\frac{dY_{s}^{n}}{dx} = \frac{1}{5t} - (Y_{s}^{n})^{2}$$

$$Y_{s}^{n}(0) = 0$$
(25)

$$\frac{dX_{s}^{n}}{dx} = \frac{1}{P_{s}S_{t}} - (X_{s}^{n})^{2}$$

$$X_{s}^{n}(0) = 0$$
(26)

$$\frac{d z_s^n}{d x} = -X_s^n z_s^n - \frac{1}{Dt} T_s^{n-1}$$

$$z_s^n(0) = -\mathcal{F}_s^n \qquad (27)$$

$$\frac{dr_s}{dx} = -X_s r_s r_s - \frac{1}{P_s \Delta t} C_s^{n-1}$$
(28)

1

Similar initial value problems for  $T_1^n$  and  $C_1^n$  are produced where the initial conditions are applied  $\begin{bmatrix} at \\ \lambda \\ \end{array}$ .



Assuming s<sup>n</sup> is known we then find  $T_1^n$ ,  $T_2^n$ ,  $C_1^n$  and  $C_2^n$  by integrating the following initial value problems for s<sup>n</sup>.

$$\frac{d\overline{I_s}}{dx} = Y_s^n \overline{I_s}^n + \overline{z_s}^n \quad ; \quad \overline{I_s}^n(s^n) = \beta_s^n \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{dT_{\ell}}{dx} = Y_{\ell}^{n} \overline{T_{\ell}}^{n} + \overline{z}_{\ell}^{n} \quad ; \quad T_{\ell}^{n}(s^{n}) = \beta_{\ell}^{n} \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{dC_s^n}{dv} = X_s^n C_s^n + r_s^n \qquad ; \qquad C_s^n (s^n) = y_s^n \qquad (31)$$

$$\frac{dC_{l}}{ds} = X_{l}^{n}C_{l}^{n} + T_{l}^{n}; \quad C_{l}^{n}(s^{n}) = T_{l}^{n} \quad (32)$$

The complete solutions { $T_1^n$ ,  $T_n^n$ ,  $C_1^n$ ,  $C_n^n$ ,  $S^n$ ,  $C_1(s^n)$ } for n = 1, ...,N is advanced from time level to time level in the following manner. Assume { $T_1^{n-1}$ ,  $T_1^{n-1}$ ,  $C_s^{n-1}$ ,  $C_1^{n-1}$ ,  $S^{n-1}$ ,  $C_1(s^{n-1})$ } are given, we then compute  $v_s^n$ ,  $v_1^n$ ,  $w_s^n$  and  $w_1^n$  according to (23) and (24). Substitution of  $v_s^n$ ,  $v_1^n$ ,  $w_s^n$  and  $w_1^n$  into the interface conditions (20) resulting

$$P_{i}^{n} = v_{s}^{n} - c v_{l}^{n} - \frac{L}{\delta t} (s^{n} - s^{n-1}) = 0$$

$$P_{2}^{n} = w_{s}^{n} - i w_{l}^{n} - (1 - k) \frac{c_{l}^{n}}{P_{s} \delta t} (s^{n} - s^{n-1}) = 0$$

. . XXIV-12

اير در

If there exist two roots  $s^n$  and  $C_1^n$  for  $\varphi_1^n = 0$  and  $\varphi_2^n = 0$ , then  $s^n$  is the desired interface position and  $C_1^n$  ( $s^n$ , is the desired interface concentration and  $T_s^n$ ,  $T_1^n$ ,  $C_s^n$  and  $C_1^n$  may be computed as described above. To implement the algorithm outlined above, a numerical code was 1

To implement the algorithm outlined above, a numerical code was written and verified with the following specifications: All of the initial value problems were integrated using a 7-(8) Runge-Kutta Fehlberg [8] integration. Due to the massive bookkeeping problems only equal steps were used for the integrations. All of the interpolations were done using linear interpolation, although the program was written with a cubic spline interpolation capabilities. The roots for s<sup>r</sup> and C<sup>n</sup><sub>1</sub> (s<sup>r</sup>) were found through a Newton-Raphson interative technique.



÷





XXIV-14

۲.4

### REFERENCES

¥

1

- 1. Boley, B., "Time Dependent Solidification of Binary Mixtures," Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, Vol. 21, 1978.
- 2. Carslaw, H. S. and Jaeger, J. C. <u>Conduction of Heat in Solids</u>, Oxford, (Larendon Press, 1959).
- 3. Antar, B. N.; Collins, F. G. and Fichtl, G. H., "Influence of Solidification on Surface Tension Driven Convection", Heat Mass Transfer. Vol. 23, 1980.
- 4. Ockendon, J. R. and Hodgkins, W. R., <u>Moving Boundary Problems</u> in Heat Flow and Diffusion. Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1975.
- 5. Wilson, D. G.; Solomon, A. D. and Bogs, P. T., <u>Moving Boundary</u> <u>Problems</u>. Academic Press, New York, 1978/
- 6. Fox, L., "What are the Best Numerical Methods, in <u>Moving</u> <u>Boundary Problems in Heat Flow and Diffusion</u>, J. R. Ockendon and W. R. Hodgkins edt., Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1975.
- 7. Meyer, G. H., <u>Initial Value Methods for Boundary Value Problems</u>. Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- 8. Fehlbery, K. "Classical Fifth-Sixth-Seventh and Eighth Order Runge-Kutta Formulas with Step Size Control", NASA TR R-287, Washington, D.C., 1968.

×\*

" "Si



# 1981

# NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

# MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE

# ASSESSMENT OF MSFC'S SUPERVISORY TRAINING PROGRAMS AND COURSES

Prepared By:

Academic Rank:

University and Department:

Thomas A. Brindley, Ph.D.

Associate Professor

The University of Alabama in Huntsville Department of Education

NASA/MSFC: Office Division

Personnel Office Personnel Development

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

'z 4 • • Contract No.:

C. D. Bean

August 3, 1981

NGT-01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

## ASSESSMENT OF MSFC'S SUPERVISORY TRAINING PROGRAMS AND COURSES

ł

### ΒY

Thomas A. Brindley, Ph.D. Associate Professor of Education The University of Alabama in Huntsville Huntsville, Alabama

#### ABSTRACT

This task is to review all the significant courses and special programs for the training of supervisors at MSFC to determine the adequacy of the present MSFC Supervisory Training Program and to recommend changes, if appropriate. This entails a review and analysis of the content, procedures, and student evaluations of the Basic Required Office of Personnel Management (OPM) 80 hours training for supervisors, the Optional 120 hours, the MSFC Management Development Program (MDP), NASA's Management Education Program (MEP), various OPM and special contract programs, pertinent procedural guidelines, regulations, and letters, as well as various MSFC computer reports which indicate who took what training. Various interviews with MSFC personnel involved in training supplement this review.

The research indicates the preferred types of training believed necessary to benefit the supervisor as student, as professional, and as manager, and to benefit the NASA/MSFC. Conclusions of this study are that changes should be made in the existing programs and courses offered for supervisors and that a more extensive concept of development be implemented. Recommendations are: (1) that the choice of courses selected for the Basic Required OPM 80 hours be improved; (2) that the optional 120 hours be discontinued and a shorter module be developed dealing with managerial decision making and human relations skills; (3) that the MDP and MEP be continued as at present; and (4) that a broad array of developmental strategies be incorporated to provide a variety of opportunities for supervisory improvement.

150

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Don Bean, who was my counterpart this summer, Jim Johnson, and Sylvia Thomas for their help and support in my work. I am very appreciative of their guidance, their suggestions, and their encouragement. In particular, I wish to thank them for the many discussions we had that enabled me better to understand the tasks and concepts of this topic. Also many others in the Personnel Office of MSFC have been very cooperative in offering advice and assistance.

Many thanks go to Jerry Karr, Bob Barfield, and Marion Kent for their concern, understanding, patience, and continued support to all of us as NASA/ASEE Faculty Fellows these last two summers, 1980 and 1981.

XXV-ii

#### INT<sup>\*</sup> ODUCTION

The task assigned to me this summer was to conduct an in-depth study and assessment of the training programs and courses for supervisors at the Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC). The purpose was to review all the significant courses and special programs designed for the training of supervisors to determine the adequacy of the present MSFC Supervisory Training Program and to recommend changes, if appropriate. The findings and recommendations of this study should indicate the preferred type of training and development believed necessary to benefit the supervisor as student, as professional, and as a manager, and to benefit the NASA-MSFC organization and mission.

Materials reviewed include the curricular content and procedures of courses offered at MSFC, student evaluations of courses and programs, training plans, course catalogs, in-house reports, directives, and computer printouts of who took what training. Specifically, an intensive review was made of the NASA Management Education Plan (MEP), the MSFC Management Development Program (MDP), the Basic OPM required 80 hours training for supervisors, the Optional 120 hours additional training, and special contract programs, such as Stirling Institute and Harbridge House. Various interviews with MSFC personnel involved in training supplement this review.

#### BACKGROUND

A Ce (er directive was sent out by the Associate Director on February 17, 977, which (1) called for the identification of specific training needs of Center supervisors, and (2) structured a training plan for each supervis r. This directive stated that all supervisors complete the 80 hours required by the Office of Personnel Management (OPM). It suggested that supervisors receive another 120 hours on an optional basis to be determined by the supervisor to receive instruction and his/her own supervisor, "at the discretion of the directorate head of program manager."

-1

The content of the mandatory Civil Service Commission (now OPM) 80 hours was arranged in two "modules," d aling with required and suggested topics which are stated in the Federal Personnel Manual (410-A-1; Appendix A, Supervisory Training; September 6, 1974). The MSFC Training Center utilized basic courses which the Civil Service Commission (OPM) had designed specifically to meet the requirements of the general topics. Module One dealt with a general supervisory orientation, 16 hours, which described the overall NASA and MSFC missions, which explained the various support services and personnel practices common to all supervisors (such as performance appraisal and pay management), and which indicated the necessary safety and health requirements. Invited representatives from various support services and offices spoke to the group about their respective areas. Module Two dealt with General Management theory and practice, divided into two main categories: "Basic Supervision and

g - .....

Management," 40 hours, including concepts of organization, communication processes, human relations, and learning-motivation; and, "Problem Solving," 24 hours, including analysis of problems and decision + aking.

The 120 optional hours were arranged into four modules. The first, Module Three, called Resource Management, 24 hours, dealt with costs, manpower utilization, paperwork handling, and computer support. The next, Module Four, 40 hours, dealt with procurement, contracts, legal and patent matters, and technology utilization in space sciences and engineering. Module Five, titled "Building Relationships," 40 hours, grouped the psychological concerns of human relations with some rather programmatic aspects of labor relations, grievance, and public affairs. Module Six, called "Plant Management," 16 hours, dealt with facilities, equipment, civil emergencies, and security. These optional courses -- Modules Three through Six -- were, for the most part, "in-house" MSFC courses, which were taught by local MSFC personnel. The idea was to use in-house expertise, except in certain instances, such as the use of Harbridge House or other contractors in special management and human relations courses.

A cursory glance at the content of these optional modules indicates that they deal with the nuts and bolts, the programs and procedures, of the ongoing daily affairs of the Marshall Center and with expected NASA operations. Even beginning supervisors who have been with NASA on-the-line for some time have a fairly thorough acquaintanceship with the various technologies utilized at NASA, with various facilities, with security, and with the public image of NASA. They may not know the details on how to handle personnel procedures and the decision-inaking involved in procurement, in contracts, and in the management of property and vehicles, but they certainly have been involved in these procedures and decisions as a regular employee. Thus, the obvious question emerges whether an old-line supervisor, or even a beginning one, needs to take courses which describe many procedures and programs that are common to Center operations. Are such information-giving courses necessary? Or do they supply information that is already known? Only the human relations aspects deal with the basic attitudinal problem of the engineer-scientist turned supervisor, and that is budgeted only 16 hours of the total 120 hours option.

The February 1977 directive provides a means to select courses to be taken and a means to record their completion. When an individual is appointed as a supervisor, the Personnel Office sends out a "Supervisory Training Plan" for completion by the individual's superior. The superior and the subordinate supervisor sit down together to discuss possible areas of need. Choices can be obtained by referring to the MSFC Training Course Catalog. Courses to be taken are suggested. These plans are submitted and categorized by the Personnel Development Division. Thus, a record of the training meds of each supervisor is developed, and a compilation of the courses projected for the coming year is drawn up. The courses deemed appropriate within budgetary and policy considerations are committed and scheduled. Supervisors are encouraged to participate.

Most supervisors understand the training needs of their subordinates in accord with the needs of their own organization. The Personnel Development

## XXV-2

Division provides information beyond the catalog descriptions. What sometimes happens is that the higher level supervisors open the door to the supervisors to pick and choose the courses they would like to take without providing direction or consultation whether the courses selected were in the best interests of the Center, of importance to the job, and really helpful to the person requesting the course. Sometimes, it has been reported, counselors and Employee Development Specialists (EDS) get calls such as, "I've got to come up with some training, can you recommend some courses to take?" Most often these requests are for needed information, but occasionally it appears the supervisor is merely fishing to fill in a form.

Since the 200-hour MSI C Supervisory Training Program was started in 1977, 229 courses have been conducted through May 31, 1981, with 3313 instances of training. (An instance is one person enrolled in a class. Thus, a class of 20 equals 20 instances, whereas one person who attends three different classes equals three instances of training.) There have been 181 supervisors who have received the 24-hour Basic Supervision Course, and 304 have taken the 40-hour Problem Solving Course. The remaining participation was in various courses which make up the optional portion of the program

1

There have been problems with scheduling courses, with attendance, and with compliance to the 1977 request for training. At first, certain directors of the laboratories supported the request by issuing firm orders to comply But as some complaintr were heard from the labs, the directors began to back off and in effect allowed the lab supervisors to take a lackadaisical approach regarding Apparently there were some real complaints that demanded attendance. correction. First, some of the computer printouts, which were to identify background, previous training, and course needs, were in error. Courses were listed as required in some caser that were not pecessary. A few old line supervisors were asked to attend Basic Supervision, even though OPM guidelines clearly state that if a supervisor has not taken the basic required 80 hours after having been a supervisor for two or more years, he is not required to do so. some old line supervisors who had been managing for 10 to 20 years felt that the courses did not apply to them, that they were redundant, unnecessary, a waste of time, and even an insult to their expertise. Some new supervisors became immediately so useply involved in their projects that they felt they could not break away from their work. In the two year period of CY-78 and CY-79, 67 employees became new supervisors. Due to various scheduling problems, 17 of these had not yet received the Supervisory Orientation, 16 had not received the Basic Supervision Course, and 20 had not received the course in Problem Solving, as of February 19, 1981.

Some remarks from various attendees of courses might indicate the nature of the content and how they were taugh. Some comments were: "too much overlap of one course in supervision with another," "too much repetition," "boring, elementary material," "three days is too long for what could be taught in a half day," "materials irrelevant to my job," "what I really need isn't offered," "a lousy instructor," "knows his field but didn't get it across," and "some of the people attending were just getting out of work."

## X X V - 3

Apart from the cccasional displeasure expressed, the evaluations show that most all courses received high praise, were deemed important to the recipient, and were well worth the money that NASA spent to sponsor them. Consistently, the evaluations show 90% support or better, except in a few courses. The Training Branch employees have a keen understanding of which courses and which instructors are beneficial and worthwhile. They have been very careful to telect the best courses and request the best instructors. **~** 

Ę

.

1

.....

1

应

A basic problem in the training of professionals who later become supervisors and managers resides at the outset in the selection of supervisors. There is a fundamental difference in outlook of the usual engineer-scientistturned manager. Oftentimes an engineer or scientist is oriented, probably in his/her ini.<sup>1</sup>al education, probably by the nature of his profession, and perhaps by the very remner in which the person thinks, toward the resolution of problems dealing with abstractions or of things or of systems. Yet, being a manager or a pervisor requires a person skilled in the understanding of people and able to deal with them. When an engineer or scientist becomes a manager or supervisor there are sometimes inherent difficulties in adjustment.

Also, there is a basic question whether professional artisans can indeed be remade or educated into successful and respected managers and supervisors. Yet, the overall answer to this is that management as an art and science can be offered to managers in such a way that the intelligent student will grasp the meaning of the content and will be able to transpose this understanding into better practical supervisory approaches. There is also an underlying feeling, pervasive among modern employees, that the supervisor must learn to deal well and fairly with employees or the entire organization will suffer. The MSFC Center view supports the concept that training and development are vitally important to the organization. This view was expressed casually by the Center Director that supervisors, in crossing the great divide from being a technician and highly skilled professional to becoming a manager, must learn to succeed in their new roles, otherwise they will not be effective. Training and development can facilitate that crossing over the great divide.

Special supervisory training programs have been devised for selected managers. These high impact programs reach about sixty supervisors a year, only a fraction of the approximate 500 supervisors at MSFC. The nagging question is whether the Center can accept a policy that provides high level professional management training for about ten percent of the number of supervisors per year and that maintains an optional, open-ended training beyond the Basic OPM 80 hours.

XXV-4

C - 6

The Management Education Program (MEP) is designed for mid-level managers from field centers and Headquarters located in the training facilities at Wallops, Virginia. It is a two-week, live-in program composed of interaction sessions with specialists in administrative science, and with top NASA managers. The content focuses upon the examination and improvement of managerial skills and practices, an examination of the roles of a NASA manager in a changing organizational and national environment, and an understanding of NASA as a system. MSFC sends three participants a term, or twelve a year. ę.

Evaluations and participant responses indicate that the MEP program is extraordinarily beneficial and well received. Respondents indicate that they gained in learning to improve their managerial skills, that they learned more about themselves and their role as a manager, that they acquired more understanding of the missions, policies, functions, and procedures of NASA as a whole and their own part in NASA, and that they were stimulated by new ideas. They were particularly pleased with the opportunity to meet top NASA leaders and to discuss major problems and philosophies with them. Nearly all participants welcomed the opportunity to examine and improve their own leadership styles and the impact they have in dealing with others. In conclusion, the MEP serves as a model for development courses and programs, as it is presently structured.

It was upon this Agency model that the MSFC Personnel Office designed a Center-wide program, called the Management Development Program (MDP). This is a one-week, live-in program for branch level supervisors and managers which is held away from the Center at a selected location and consists of twenty-four selected supervisors. The program is built around (1) the selected topics presented by three management consultants (contracted by the Alabama A&M University in cooperation with the Executive Development Program of Pennsylvania State University); and, (2) presentations and discussions of top MSFC management officials, including the Director and associate directors. There have been two MDP week long sessions, one held at Wheeler State Park and the other at Guntersville State Park.

Participants unanimously were extremely pleased with both workshop conferences and said that they were well worth their time away from their regular assignments. They reported that the individual presenters from the MSFC Directorate were well prepared and willing to share their own ideas with them. The participants welcomed the informality of the workshops and the cooperative openness and frankness of the top level managers. They reported that the three academicians presented a variety of relevant, practical, and informative materials which delved into aspects of self-inquiry, motivation, human relations, interaction techniques, and participative management. In summary, five main objectives were accomplished. One, the participants learned the views and philosophies of top level MSFC management. Two, they began to see their own individual styles, actions, and values in relation with others and with the NASA organization. Three, they learned ways which might improve their quality of leadership in self-perspective. Four, they learned ways in which the individual supervisor might better deal with subordinates in helpful,

supportive, and positive ways. And five, they were inspired to build better team spirit, morale, and pride in MSFC-NASA. The successes of both MDP conferences are similar to the success of the MEP programs at Wallops.

Apparently a new direction in the training and development of supervisors is being considered. The new approach seems to be a general, holistic, multi-faceted one that, while suggesting a well grounded initial preparation, provides a continuing developmental scheme. The growth of an individual's performance, efficiency, and productivity is perceived dynamically as an ongoing affair, changing with situations, and priorities, and influenced by the needs of the organization. This dynamic approach is discussed in a recently published OPM letter (FPM Letter 411-1, dated June 4, 1981) titled Supervisory Development. The title alone indicates that the betterment of supervisors is conceived to be more extensive and inclusive than just formal training, that is, beyond the classroom. In fact, the letter indicates that the Basic OPM 80 hours will no longer be required.

Development is conceived to be based upon proper planning to meet individual, group, and organizational needs. The interaction and sharing between the supervisor and his/her immediate manager leads to a needs assessment based upon previous information about the supervisory position and about the selected individual, upon the competencies needed to perform the supervisory functions, and selected problems arising in day-to-day operations out of which selected needs are identified. The outcome of the developmental approach is that each supervisor's further growth can be directed and planned on an individual basis.

The assessed needs of a particular supervisor are met by a variety of strategies. Along with formal training through various courses, modules, workshops, conferences, and programs, there are other important methods. One is the mentor relationship whereby a new supervisor receives guidance and advice from a more experienced agency management team member who is not the supervisor's immediate supervisor. Another strategy is continued coaching in the development of skills by immediate supervisors. Rotational assignments, another strategem, broaden the perspective and provide a wider knowledge base while indicating the interrelationships and function of other units. Still another strategy is to assign the supervisor a special project which provides opportunities to broaden skills and knowledge apart from normal duties.

## FINDINGS

.

1. At the beginning of the supervisory training spectrum, 80 hours are required by OPM. These Basic 80 hours have been, for all practical purposes, completed by nearly all new and long-term supervisors at MSFC. The courses are standard, obligatory, and well taught. Student evaluations show they are worth NASA's money and are appreciated by the students. Herever, the new FPM Letter 411-1 does not state a requirement of 80 hours courses ork for beginning supervisors.

2. The MDP, first conducted in November, 1980, and again in May, 1981, has been well received. It is an excellent program for selected mid-management supervisors. The cost of each session is about \$17,000, well worth spending for the Center. Each session reaches 24 people.

3. NASA Headquarters is considering contracting with Stirling Institute for a special management training program which can be utilized by each center and can train large numbers at a time. It contains various modules which can be arranged according to need. Parts of it can be taught by a center's own personnel who would be trained specifically.

4. The Optional 120 hours are taught primarily by MSFC Center personnel and consist mainly of modules dealing with Center activities and Center support services (such as Procurement). They are not mandatory for supervisors. Attendance is optional and determined on a case-by-case basis. When taken in addition to the Basic OPM 80 hours, they provide a total training program of 200 hours.

a. There are mixed feelings and reactions about the continuing need of these 120 Optional hours.

b. A number of people whom I have talked with in this research has suggested that changes should be made in this area.

c. In some areas, there has been less than enthusiastic compliance by supervisors and next level supervisors in the completion of these optional hours.

d. The curriculum of these 120 hours is directed to inform supervisors about the details of activities and services at the Center, but the reaction by some supervisors, according to verbal reports and student evaluations, has been that the courses are repetitive, boring, unimportant, and not necessary. On the other hand, some comments and the official student evaluations reflect general high level course performance and praise.

e. There are problems of non-compliance by supervisors in fulfilling the guidelines of the training program: a problem of some supervisors cancelling course registration at the last moment and failing to attend; a problem of getting an organization to meet its quota or a commitment for a particular class; problems of partial attendance at class meetings; and, problems of determining which courses might or might not be appropriate. All these problems are fully known and understood by the educational specialists in the Training Dranch and by the counselors in the Development Branch of the Personnel Office and by Center management.

f. Some dissatisfaction with the Supervisory Training Program in general, but particularly with the Optional 120 hours, seems to have arisen because of a few errors in an occasional record of the assignment of courses and in recording past education, training, and experience. Some of these errors in recording early training experiences and in assigning courses to be taken by a supervisor who already took a comparable course may have had to do with the changeover from manual to a computer system some years ago.

g. Ascertaining what an ideal training program ought to be is sometimes difficult for an organization as diverse and talented as NASA/MSFC. The state-of-the-art of management sciences, which changes with the demands of dynamic organizations and with the times, is difficult enough even for the academicians in the management profession. Certain questions arise:

<u>1.</u> Beyond the basics, should a wide variety of course choices be permitted, or should one learn more in depth the scientific and engineering specialities of one's own particular lab or basic work?

÷

2. What kinds of training are absolutely essential for managers and supervisors at MSFC? What kinds are peripheral? Do they need work and new ideas in management/supervisory principles and practices, in problem solving, in human relations, in technical skills? Do they need interaction sessions?

3. Do top managers at MSEC have time to sit down informally with these supervisors to share experiences and to restate purposes as a part of their training?

h. The overall spectrum or continuum of supervisor training has room for alternative choices and can be revised without disrupting other Center functions.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

My interpretations of the findings lead to the following recommendations:

1. Although the Basic OPM 80 hours are no longer required by OPM, according to FPM Letter 411-1, it is advised that the Center retain this requirement. The most streamlined, most efficient, most diverse, and most dynamic courses must be chosen for these Basic OPM 80 hours.

١

ž

1

V.

a. The present Module 1 should be kept intact, because it introduces the total MSFC Center concept to the new supervisor.

b. The general purpose of Module 2 (to cover General Management) should be kept, but the most beneficial and meaningful courses should be selected and they should be sequential and developmental in format. That is, the beginning managerial course should lead into the next, and follow upward thereon -- going from A to B to C to D, not A to Z to M to B.

2. The MSFC Management Development Program (MDP) must be continued and offered at least twice a year. It is nearly perfect. (Guntersville State Park is the preferred place.)

3. The NASA Management Education Program (MEP) should be utilized continuously as it has been in the past. It too is nearly perfect.

4. However, the Optional 120 hours of the 200 hour supervisor training program should be reworked entirely and changed to include the following points.

a. The 120 hours should not be officially required.

b. Supervisors and their superiors should continue to discuss together the training needs of each person. Course and program needs surveys should be utilized following similar procedures as presently used.

c. A mid-level option or module should be created between the OPM Basic 80 hours and the MDP level.

 $\underline{1}$ . This option should imitate the content and format of the MDP or MEP.

2. Because of cost, it should be a forty-hour "day-in" at the MSFC Training Center or at UAH or A&M, or at a hotel such as Huntsville Hilton. (A five day conference at the Hilton might cost minimally \$625, while preferred arrangements might cost \$2000).

3. Various existing package programs might be the most suitable for this mid-level. Among these are:

a. Stirling Institute.

b. Middle Management Institute of OPM.

c. Alabama A&M Executive Development Program.

• • • •

\* \* .

ŧ

}

<u>}</u>.

ŝ

ì

ł

ş

r T

5

ì

d. A MSFC-NASA built program consisting of selected courses hired in, using  $\overline{T}$  raining Branch facilities.

5. I have no specific recommendation for supervisory training beyond the MEP, except for several considerations.

a. A type of training most beneficial to senior-level management would be block time discussion periods with MSFC directors -- "rap sessions" dealing with general wide-ranging NASA problems and national policy. Selected guest speakers, at the level of international and national concern, could be invited to serve as a catalyst for discussion.

b. Other senior level training would have to be coordinated, it seems to me, out of NASA Headquarters.

6. Evaluations and reporting.

a. A more subjective and extensive course evaluation form should be used for all MSFC courses, whether sponsored by OPM or not. They should be anonymous — no name of student required or requested. They should adapt the forms used in the MDP program as appropriate. They should allow enough time at the end of each session to write a lengthy explanatory critique of instructor and content. These should be reviewed and tallied thoroughly by the instructor and by a training specialist and kept for several years on file. They would be used to document the adequacy of the course content, the receptivity of the students, and whether changes are needed, etc.

b. The need for training should include consideration of a supervisor's previous education and experience.

c. The records should clearly indicate courses at all levels so that there is never any omission or request to take something that has already been completed.

7. Subjective considerations in the building of courses are very important to the further development of supervisors. Among these considerations are:

a. Provide opportunities for supervisors to meet informally with top NASA directors and top MSFC management -- "rap sessions" -- as is being achieved currently in MDP and MEP.

b. Get "think tanks" going where supervisors of different areas and offices meet to share ideas. This will provide a "synergistic effect." (The outcome will be greater than the sum of its parts.) Base these groups on the

XXV-10

cadre, core, cell idea of six to ten supervisors who meet together infrequently or regularly.

4

,

5

Ń

)

÷.

١

c. Build "networks" across disciplines and areas.

<u>1</u>. This should build team spirit and morale -- an "esprit de corps."

2. This provides a non-threatening environment or a sounding board for a manager who might discover problems are similarly shared by others in other areas and who has a chance to discuss matters <u>away</u> from <u>direct</u> responsibility.

<u>3.</u> This exposes participants to ways and means of dealing effectively with mutual problems and to find ways to build better interpersonal relationships.

8. Following the suggested guidelines of FPM Letter 411-1, the Personnel Development Division of the MSFC Personnel Office might devise and implement a comprehensive supervisory development plan. This plan might include a variety of developmental strategies (as discussed in this Final Report, page 6).

9. A Center-wide Training Advisory Committee should be formed, meet quarterly, act in an advisory manner, and make recommendations about the entire Supervisory Training Program -- its purposes, its intent, its method of compliance, its curricula, and its relationships to MSFC Center and NASA activities. Membership on the committee might include directorate heads, program/project heads, and other key Center officials.

Because supervisors are deeply involved in their own projects and organization, training is often perceived as a luxury. But it can become a necessity if it is truly educative and helpful to the person as an individual, to the person as supervisor/manager, and to the MSFC. Directors and supervisors and all employees should be involved in the development and constant renewal of curricula and instruction for supervisors of all levels.
# REFERENCES

- 1. NASA Headquarters, <u>Management Education Program-IX</u>, Course Curriculum and Workbook, Wallops Island, Virginia, November 27 - December 8, 1978, NPD-31.
- 2. NASA Headquarters, Management Education Program: Follow-Up Study, Review of MEP Classes 8-11 (September 1978 - June 1979), NPD-31.
- 3. NASA-Marshall Space Flight Center, Management Development Program-I, Course Curriculum, Wheeler State Park, November 16-21, 1980.
- 4. NASA-Marshall Space Flight Center, Management Development Program I, Wheeler State Park, November 16-21, 1980, Final Review: Course Evaluation Summary, February 26, 1981, and course evaluation raw data.
- 5. NASA-Marshall Space Flight Center, Management Development Program-II, Course Curriculum, Guntersville State Park, May 17-22, 1981.
- 6. NASA-Marshall Space Flight Center, <u>Management Development Program-II</u>, Guntersville State Park, May 17-22, 1981, Final Review and Analysis <u>Report</u>, August 1981, and course evaluation raw data.
- 7. NASA-Marshall Space Flight Center, Honorary Awards/Personnel Development Programs/Full-Time Study Handbook, March 1980, pp. 211-265.
- 8. NASA-Marshall Space Flight Center, MSFC Training Course Catalog, USGPO Atlanta Region IV, January 1980.
- 9. NASA-Marshall Space Flight Center, Annual Training Plan FY-80 (October 1, 1980 - September 30, 1981), CM21.
- NASA-Marshall Space Flight Center, <u>Training Needs Survey</u>: Courses FY-80, and <u>Training Needs Surve</u>: Courses FY-81, CM23.
- 11. NASA-Marshall Space Flight Center, Supervisory Training Program Status Report, Report No. 41, 1981.
- 12. NASA-Marshall Space Flight Center, Subject: Supervisory Training, Letter from John S. Potate/DM01, February 17, 1977.
- 13. NASA-Marshall Space Flight Center, <u>MSFC Supervisory Training</u>, Curricula and Modules, used to develop Supervisory Training Plan, February 17, 1977, CM21.
- 14. Office of Personnel Management, Interagency Training: 1979-1981 Catalog of Courses, Washinton, D.C., Pamphlet T-9, USGPO, February 1979.

15. Office of Personnel Management, Federal Personnel Manual, Appendix A. Supervisory Training, 410-A, Inst. 212, September 6, 1974, pp. 1-7.

and the second second

ſ

16. Office of Personnel Management, Federal Personnel Manual System, Supervisory Development, FPM Letter 411-1, June 4, 1981, pp.1-12.

Č.

3

ţ

**۲. 4** 

XXV-13

- -

# N82-17069

D26

11 11 mil

4

Ŋ

#### 1981

# NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

## MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

# DIGITAL COMPENSATION TECHNIQUES FOR THE EFFECTS OF TIME LAG IN CLOSED-LOOP SIMULATION USING THE 6 DOF MOTION SYSTEM

Prepared By:	Richard Brown, Ph.D.
Academic Rank:	Associate Professor
University and Department	University of Alabama University, AL Department of Mathematics
NASA/MSFC:	Data Systems Laboratory Engineering Computers Division Simulation Systems Branch
MSFC Counterpart:	Frank L. Vinz
Date:	August 25, 1981
Contract No:	NGT-01-008-021 (University of Alabama in Huntsville)

## DIGITAL COMPENSATION TECHNIQUES FOR THE EFFECTS OF THE LAG IN CLOSED LOOP SIMULATION USING THE 6 DOF MOTION SYSTEM

BY

Richard C. Brown Associate Professor of Matehmatics University of Alabama Tusceloosa, Alabama

#### ABSTRACT

In this study we continue efforts begun in [1] to develop digital filter compensation schemes for the correction of momentum gains observed in the closed loop simulation of the docking of two satellites using the 6 DCF motion system.

Several filters will be shown to work well for small delays (< .100ms) and a non-preloaded probe.

analis de la marte en la com

-

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

· ...

.

• • • •

÷.

1975 A.

I would like to express my appreciation to the members of the Simulation Systems Branch - especially F. L. Vinz, David Allen, J. S. Spear and Bert Gover for their encouragement and support for my research concerning the 6-DOF system over the last two summers.

i,

1

;;.

ŧ

.

\*\* \* \*\*

:

÷

1-

I am also grateful to Marion Kent and Professors Gerald R. Karr and B. F. Barfield for their enthusiastic and effective efforts in the administration of the NASA/ASEE Faculty Fellowship Program.

XXVI-iii

#### I. INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

. . . . .

In a previous report [1] we have investigated the employment of the Link Six-Degree-of-Freedom (6 DOF) motion system in contact dynamics simulation and proposed some compensation schemes to lessen instability in the system caused by time delay. The present paper is a continuation of this effort. Several compensation techniques (old and new) will be presented and intensively studied. First, however, we review the operation of the 6 DOF system and restate the underlying problem whose correction is the aim of this paper.

A docking probe assembly is placed on the platform of the Link 6 DOF Motion System. This platform having 6 degrees of freedom can perform any general motion (involving translations, pitches, yaws, or rotations) within certain limits of travel. Above the probe is a fixed target. The system software simulates initial conditions such as velocities, accelerations and rotations prior to docking, as well as effects due to orbital mechanics. When the probe is in contact with the target sensors placed in the target record the forces and torques encountered by the probe. In the closed-loop simulation mode, these forces and torques are in a feed-back loop to control the motion of the probe used itself. Essentially two integrations are performed to give velocity and position functions of the probe relative to the target. The position function is converted into commands to change the lengths of the six legs supporting the platform. These commands are then executed by hydraulic actuators in the legs causing the probe to move. In theory, therefore, the probe should behave as if it were freely responding to the forces generated by contact with the target. Unfortunately, the actual performance of the system does not quite conform to this model. The presence of a time lag between the production of a force signal and the execution of the leg length corresponding to it (currently about 200ms), causes an unstable response. To explain how this can occur, we introduce a simplified block diagram (fig. 1) appropriate to simple vertical translation:



fig 1

In the above diagram h stands for the sampling interval. It is assumed that the delay is some integral multiple k of h. f(t) is some time dependent force, perhaps caused by thrusters. We adopt the abbreviations x(n):=x(nh) v(n):=v(nh), etc. For simplicity the delay is lumped in the feed back loop although each element in the system contributes to some part of it.

is easy to see from fig. I how delay can cause an unstable It – response. Suppose at time t = nh the probe has just reached its maximum compression. The compression produces a force signal, which is integrated twice becoming a displacement command x(n). In the ideal situation x(n) would be executed instantaneously. However, because of the delay it is not executed until kh seconds later (at t= (n+k)h). In this interval the probe executes the commands x(n+1-k), x(n+2-k), ---, x(n+k-1-k). Thus, of course, causes compression beyond the theoretical maximum and in turn the communication of additional force to the system. The result is an apparent gain of momentum and energy. If the system did not cut off after the probe reaches its rest position, the process repeated causing a sequence of oscillations of would bo increasing amplitude.

XXV1-2

We can express the general situation in a mathematical way as follows:

The 6 DOF system is a device to solve the initial value problem

$$\begin{array}{c} \ddot{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}, \dot{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{t}) \\ (1) & \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}(0) \\ \dot{\mathbf{X}}(0) \end{pmatrix}^{\mathbf{r}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{0} \\ \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{0} \end{pmatrix} \\ \cdot \end{array}$$

. . .

Here X is a six dimensional state vector (one component for each degree of freedom) and F is a vector valued function of t, X and X. Because of the time delay $\tau$  the system actually solves the retarded initial value problem.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \dot{\mathbf{X}} &=& \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}(t-\tau), \ \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t-\tau), \ t) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}(t) \\ \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{0}(t) \\ \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{0}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau \\ \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{0}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

(Note that in this case the initial conditions have to be prescribed on an interval rather than at a point.)

It is easy to find examples where the solutions of (2) are unstable. If, for example, we restrict our attention to vertical translation and  $F(X, \dot{X}, t) = w_{\mu}^{2} x$  so that the probe is an ideal spring, then it is known the solutions are oscillatory (at first with a frequency close to  $w_{L/DT}$  but of exponentially increasing amplitude.

If F is known and the initial conditions are not too complicated, it is not difficult to develop software simulating dynamic interaction of the probe with the target. This option bypasses the delay problem of closed-loop simulation but at the price of an over-simplification of the model. In actuality, F may be either unknown or too complicated to model accurately. (In some systems for docking mechanism can produce implulsive forces and torques at unpredictable times.)

Also, the initial conditions can be variable and complicated. Hence, from an engineering standpoint, accurate closed-loop simulation is desirable.

Since the dely can be reduced but not eliminated our task requires the synthesis of a control which when applied to (2) gives something nearly approximating the solution of (1).

This problem falls outside of classical control theory. If F is unknown, there is no apparent cost function to minimize or concrete performance criterion to meet. In fact, a little thought shows that if F is completely unknown then the problem is unsolvable. (More will be said on this issue later). We follow here a heuristic approach of extrapolation. At time t, X(s) and X(s) are available  $0.5 \times t$ . This suggests using this information to produce predicted vectors  $X^*(t) X^*(t)$ . If X(t) and X(t) are sufficiently close to X(t) and X(t), the solution of

(3) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{X} &= \mathcal{P}(X^{*}(t), \dot{X}^{*}(t), t) \\ (X^{*}(0) \\ X^{*}(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{0} \\ X_{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

should be close to that of (1).

We end this section with an outline of the remainder of the paper. Section 2 consists of a collection and analysis of several predictive algorithms. Section 3 and 4 consist of data and graphs relating to the performance of the algorithms. Section 5 contains the conclusions of the paper and discussion of some other approaches.

## II. METHODS OF COMPENSATION BY EXTRAPOLATION

In this section we will consider explicitly only the simplified situation of fig. 1, although our methods can be applied to the state vector case.

Since the 6 DOF system is a sampled data system, we begin with some comments and notation appropriate to a discrete setting. At time t=nh x(1),...x(n), v(1),..., v(n), f(1),...f(n) are available. From this information, we will construct estimates  $x^*(n)$ ,  $v^*(n)$ ,  $f^*(n)$  which approximates x(n+k), v(n+k) or f(n+k). These predictions will then replace x(n), v(n) or f(n). There is considerable freedom of choice since we can compensate position, velocity, or force.

Some possibilities are illustrated in fig. 2.



fig.2

To avoid repetitiveness we use the notation s(n) to refer to any one of the signals x(n), v(n) or f(n). It is then understood that  $s^*(n)$  can mean  $x^*(n)$ ,  $v^*(n)$  or  $f^*(n)$ .

# ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

· · · · · · · · ·

i internet and

1

. 1

~ オテー

Our methods are all examples of digital filters. Let us call them "extrapolation filters". To motivate what follows we introduce some terminology from filter theory. Our filter will be said to be one step if s\*(n) depends only on s(n); otherwise, the filter is multistep. The filter is recursive if the determination of s\*(n) involves previous values of s\*; otherwise, the filter will be called non-recursive. If there is a fixed upper bound on the number of past values ofs or s\* needed to calculate s\* we say the filter has fixed memory; otherwise, it has expanding memory.

We test our extrapolations only in the simplest case of a pure spring. The results are presented in Section 3. The effects of noise are studied in Section 4.

2.1 One Step Taylor Filters

Since  $x(t)=x(t-\tau) + \tau \dot{x}(t-\tau) + \tau^{2}/2$ ,  $\dot{x}(t-\tau) + \dots + \tau'/n! \dot{x}(u)$ , t- $\tau < u < t$ . We can truncate the series and define

$$(T^{:})$$
  $x^{*}(n) = x(n) + \gamma v(n)$ 

or

(T2) 
$$x^{*}(n) = x(n) + \gamma v(n) + \gamma^{2} f(n)/2M$$

No higher degree of approximation is possible without differentating the force signal. However, it has been found that the formulas

(ET2)  $x^{*}(n) = x(n) + 9/8\tau v(n) + \tau^{2} f(n)/2M$ 

or

 $(MM2) x^{*}(n) = .989039 x(n) + 1.1201847v(n) + .5540417f(n)/2M$ 

XXVI-6

ž

can give better results than a 4 term Taylor compensation (T3). The second formula (MM2) being about as good as the first. ١

## 2.2 Lagrange filters or polynomial extrapolation

To the points s(n), s(n-k), s(n-ik),  $i \le m$  we fit an interpolating polynomial of degree m P(t) and define  $x^*(n)$  to be P((n+k)h (Other choices for the spacing are possible but this seems to be the most stable.) The algorithm is initialized by applying a one step predictor such as T2, ET2 or MM2 to generate the points s(k+1), ... s(m+1)k. The polynomial algorithm is applied to obtain  $s^*((m+1)k+i)$  by extrapolation based on the points s(mk+i), ... s(k+i), s(i), and so on.

The interpolation is done by the Newton forward difference interpolation formula:

$$S^{n}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \Delta^{i} S(n-mk) {m \choose i}.$$

For a derivation of the Newton forward difference formula and a FORTRAN subroutine see Conte and De Boor [2] p.45.ff. We give explicitly a few cases of this formula:

Linear (Psl):

s\*(n)=2s(n)-s(n-k)

Quadratic (Psl):

s\*(n) = 3s(n) - 3s(n-k) + s(n-2k)

Cubic (Ps3):

s\*(n) = 4s(n) - 6s(n-k) + 4s(n-2k) - s(n-3k)

### 2.3 Osculatory Extrapolation

Since x(n+1) is produced by a double integration of f(t), f(n+1)and v(n+1) are available before x(n+1). This suggests that it is wasteful to compute  $x^*(n+1)$  only from knowledge of x(n+1) and its past values. Instead, we might construct a polynomial such that

، مىسەدىر بىر يەر ، \*

.

N

,

$$p(nh) = x(n)$$
  
 $p'(nh) = x'(n)$   
 $p'((n-ik)h) = x'(n-ik), i = 1, ... m_{o}$ 

water and the statement of the statement of

. . . . . . .

. . .

.

,

. . . .

- 1

,

.

•

`` \`

This would give a polynomial of degree 2m-1. The additional boundary condition

$$p''(nh) = f(n)/M$$
  $p''((n-ik)h) = f(n-ik)/M$ ,  $i = 1, ... m$ 

gives a polynomial of degree 3m-1. Again  $x^*(n) = p((n+k)h)$ . Formulas in terms of divided differences and software can be found in [1], Ch. 1. In the low order cases it is possible to write down formulas explicitly. For example, the cubic polynomial interpolating x(n), v(n) and x(n-k), v(n-k) gives the estimate.

(HP3)  $x^{*}(n) = 5 x(n) - 4 x(n-k) + 2 (2v(n) + v(n-2k)).$ 

## 2.3 Least Square PolynomialFilters

Instead of interpolating x, v or f, we can fit a low degree polynomial such that the least square deviation between it and x, v, or f is minimized at t=nh, ..., (n-mk)h. Such polynomials have long been used in smoothing and prediction problems.

#### 2.3.1 Fixed Memory Filters

Here p is selected so that

 $(p(nh) -s(nh))^{2} + (p((n-k)h - s((n-k)h))^{2} + ...$  $(p((n-m)h) - s((n-m)h))^{2}$ 

is minimized for all n and fixed m. Although the degree of p in theory can be up to m-2. In practice it is no higher than 3. (High degree least square polynomials give too much freedom to

XXVI-8

ž.

simulate noise in the signal). We pass over the derwation of the form of the polynomial which is best done using discrete Legendre polynomials and give only the final formulas. For the details see Morrison [3] Ch. 7.

-

ş<sup>∞#</sup> ₹

•

$$s^{*}(n) = 4/3 s(n) + 1/3 s(n-k) - 2/3 s(n-2k)$$

4 points (LLS(4):

$$s^{*}(n) = s(n) + 1/2 s(n-k) - 1/2 s(n-k)$$

5 points (LLS 5):

 $s^{*}(n) = 4/5 s(n) + 1/2 s(n-k) + 1/5 s(n-2k) - 1/10 s(n-3k) - 2/5 s(n-4k)$ 

Quadratic 4 Points (QLS 4):

 $s^{*}(n) = 9/4 s(n) - 3/4 s(n-k) - 5/4 s(n-2k) + 3/4 s(n-3k)$ 

Cubic 5 points (CLS 5);

 $s^{*}(n) = A B C (s(n), s(n-k), s(n-2k) s(n-3k), s(n-4k))^{*}$ 

XXVI-9

where A is the 1 x 4 matrix (1, -3/2, 7/2, -14), B ix the 4 x 4 diagonal matrix

Į

Į.



and C is the 4 x 5 matrix

**F** - - -

 $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1/2 & 0 & 1/2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1/2 & -1 & -1/2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$ 

### 2.3.2 Expanding Memory Filters

This filter fits a least square polynomial to the entire sequence s(n), s(n-k), s(n-2k), ... Thus as time elapses the polynomial is based on more and more points. It is possible to do the calculations recursively so that information from the previous polynomial is used for the new polynomial. Again we bypass the theory and give only the formulas. A complete development is given in [3] Ch. 9

Let e(n) denote  $s^{*}(n) - s(n+k)$ . Then

Linear:

 $s^{*}(n) = 2s^{*}(n-k) - s^{*}(n-2k + 43e(n)/n+1) - 2(2n-1)$  $e(n-k)/(n+1)n_{*}$ 

XXVI-10

# Quadratic:

$$s^{*}(n) = 3s^{*}(n-k) - 3s^{*}(n-2k) + s^{*}(n-3k) + 9e(n)/(n+1) - 18(n-1)e(n-k)/(n+1)n + 3(3n - 9n+8) e(n-2k)/(n+1)n(n-1)$$

Cubic:

-

```
s*(n) = 4s*(n-k) - 6s*(n-2k) + 4 s*(n-3k) - s*(n-4k) + 16

e(n)/(n+1) - 24(2n-3) e(n-k)/n+1) n + 48(n-4n+5)

e(n-2k)/(n+1)n(n-1) - 8 (2n-15n+43n-45) e(n-3k)/(n+1)

n (n-1) (n-2)
```

#### III. SIMULATION RESULTS

The tables and graphs in this section contain the results of some of the extrapolation schemes discussed in the previous section. We regard the probe as an ideal spring where M=311 slugs and the Hookes constant K is either 1200 lbs/ft or 60 lbs/ft. In the first case, a zero initial position  $(X_0)$  is taken. In the second a 69 lb preload is considered. The preload can be modeled by assuming an initial condition X =69/60=1.15 ft.

Several measures of error are employed to measure the effectiveness of competing compensation methods. In the first place if  $x^{(t)}$ , x(t) and  $v^{(t)}$ , v(f) denote the compensated and theoretical positions or velocities of the probe as functions of time t, we define the relative percentage error of position (RPEP) by

$$100|(x^{*}(t) - x(t))/x(t)|$$

and similarly the relative percentage error with respect to velocity (RPEV) by

100|(v\*(t) - v(t))/v(t)|.

Because no compensation method can work in the time interval [0, ] there will be significant relative percentage errors in position or velocity during the first half of the trajectory. Velocity errors are especially prominent after reaching several thousand percent at the time of maximum compression. Relative position errors are less severe throughout most of the trajectory. However, they become large at the end (when x(t) = x) because of distortion in the period. Because of these sensitivities, we supplement RPEP and RPEV with the following measures based on the magnitude of the residuals in the least square sense:

(a) 
$$E.2P(t): = \int_{1}^{2} (x^{*}(s) - x(s)) ds$$
  
(b)  $E2V(t): = \int_{1}^{2} (v^{*}(s) - v(s)) ds$   
(c)  $RPE2P(t): = E2P(t) / \int_{1}^{2} x(s) ds$   
(d)  $RP E2V(t): = E2v(t) / \int_{1}^{2} v(s) ds$ 

XXVI-12

e d'a

.-\*

ولد المعادية المعد والدين

(In the data below the above integrals are computed by a rectangle integration rule with step size h.)

· · ·

`.

.

4

;

**F.**\*\*



XXVI+14

1 11-11-1

Residual Errors for Some Compensation Methods

K=1200 lbs. M=311 Slugs7 =.2 V<sub>o</sub> =.1

Algoríthm	Position E2P/16≦6	rpe2/10 <sup>-6</sup>	<mark>V</mark> 8locity E2V/10	RPE2V/10 <sup>-6</sup>	Λ£
ucl	403	19.6	1179	14.7	.156
Tl	6.03	.443	103	1.29	.110
Т2	6.28	.404	87.4	1.09	.110
Ţ	17.0	.829	43.9	.547	.108
ET 2	4.4	.216	20.4	.254	.101
MM 2	5.87	.287	54.6	. 68]	.103
Ps1 <sup>2</sup>	34.8	1.70	256	3.19	.116
Ps2	3.3	.162	32.8	.4]	.997
Ps 3	5.21	.252	16.8	.209	.103
Ps4	6.77	.330	60.4	.753	.105
Ps5	8.52	.416	73.1	.912	.105
НЗ	15.8	.77	50.0	.94	.105
БS	7.3	.36	23.7	.47	.106
НŢ	5.9	.454	40.6	.763	.101
6Н	6.8	.33	52.7	.97	.103
QLS4	4.18	. 204	33.3	.416	.101
OLSM	6.49	.316	68	.85	.106
ceu <sup>3</sup>	12.6	.613	26.2	.327	.106
See next p	age for notes	IVXX	-15		

1.1

.

. . . . . . . .

,

2

XXV (= 3.5)

. ... e\*

Re y

٠

1. Uncorrected

1

Polynomial extrapolation applied to position and force. Insignificant differences observed. 2.

р<sup>ан</sup> 1

·

ţ

. . .

3. Best algorithm of 19לט "Fix s C" in [1].

•

÷

•

· #3

ч ь

Felative Percentage Errors in Velocity (RPEV)

11 **1**2

,

**₽.** ≁

Time

×,

Algorithm	4.	. 6	.8	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.6	t 13
пс	30.7	82.1	10233	61.1	5.4	26.3	56.4	43.7
TI	8.9	6.4	782.5	19.1	15.5	13.3	10.8	12.3
TZ	9.6	9.4	304	14.8	13.3	12.0	10.2	11.6
Т3	10.3	14.3	903.4	.2	4.3	6.1	7.5	7.1
ET2	٢	3.7	453.1	8.2	• 5	2.8	.81	3.8
MM 2	7.1	1.2	1282.2	19.1	12.0	7.5	2.9	8•3
Ps 1	7.1	.61	2115.7	35.9	26.7	27.7	16.2	18.0
Ps2	7.1	3.8	1536.3	15.5	7,3	3.2	.3	6.2
Ps 3	7	3.9	.006	4.0	2.8	2.7	2.7	3.9
Ps 4	7.1	.36	1593.5	23.1	11.7	7.2	4.6	0.6
Ps5	7.1	.36	1593.5	23.I	14.5	8.8	5.1	9.8
НЗ	6.8	8.7	1698.2	2.5	4.2	5.0	5.5	5,5
НS	6.9	5.8	2168.2	. 64	2.9	4.4	5.9	4.4
Н7	6.9	5.8	1660.1	3.4	3.8	2.8	1.35	4.0
6н	6.9	3.8	1869.2	6.7	3 • 5	3.6	3.1	4.5
QLS4	6.9	3.7	453.1	13.4	9.01	5.4	1.20	6.6
C80	8.9	11.4	3121.1	1.01	3.7	4.8	5.6	5.9

- •

.

XXV1-16

31-10XX

z

. . . . . .

:

١

:

.



. ..

• •

· · · ·

34

· · · ·

XXVI 17

1	
-	
4	
1	
-	

÷

3

Time

Alacrithm	~	J	o	-	ر م	-	F	1
	r •	•	•	0.1	7•7	<b>4 • 4</b>	0.1	1 . <b>5</b> *
UC	9.9	19.0	30.4	45.3	67.5	115.4	4338.6	48
Tl	5.6	6.2	5.7	4.1	.08	12.2	1453.4	5.6
T2	5.7	6.6	7.7	5.7	3.1	5.2	984.9	ວ <b>ໍ</b> ວ
Т3	5.8	7.2	8.3	9.6	11.7	17.2	601.9	10.0
ET2	5.2	5.3	4.9	4.2	3, 6	3.5	100.3	4.5
MM 2	5.2	5.2	4.0	1.7	2 3	12.6	1067	5.2
Psl	5.2	5.2	3.5	. 53	10.1	34.6	3485.1	10.7
Ps2	5.2	4.8	3.1	1.0	1.9	7.8	545.3	4.0
Ps 3	5.0	5.2	4.8	4.7	5.1	7.1	650.9	5,3
Ps 4	5.2	5.1	3.7	66.	3.6	14.6	1213.9	5.3
Ps 5	5.2	5.1	3.7	. 99	4.2	17.5	1448.66	6.1
H3	4.9	5.4	5.9	6.5	7.4	9.8	1344.6	6.7
H5	<b>4.</b> 8	4.9	5.3	6.0	7.3	10.7	1721.3	6 . 5
НЛ	4.8	5.0	5.1	5.7	6.5	8 . 8	2058.8	5,9
6Н	4.9	5.0	4.3	3•3	1.9	1.2	1428.2	3.4
QLS 4	5.2	5.3	4.9	3.8	1.6	3.1	381.0	4
QLSM	5.1	5.3	4.6	3.2	.31	11.0	1184.0	5.4
C80	5.3*	• 3	7.1	8.1	9.7	14.4	2700.7	8.5
* T computed	discounti	ing error a	at 1.6.					

XXVI-18

XXVI-18



 $XXV^{+}=1.0$ 

ու ու հանձառություն, որոններությունը, անդադես է անդադես է հանձառելու հանձարությո

		K=60lbs/Ft	M=311 Slugs	Prelo	oad=69 lbs X <sub>o</sub> =1.	.15 V <b>o</b> =.1		
				Positior	ſ	Velocity	Y	
~	<b>Nlgorithm</b>	V(.88)*	E2P(.88)/10 <sup>-6</sup>	RF2P(.88)	E2V(.88)/10 <sup>-6</sup>	re2v/10 <sup>-6</sup>	^	ų
	nc	55	330	.028	1507	52.3	137	124
	ET2	56	333	.028	1470	50.9	137	1.24
XXVI	MM 2	54	304	.027	1524	52.8	137	124
-20	Px 2	- 55	339	.028	1513	52.4	135	124
	Px 3	54	341	.029	1524	52.8	135	124
	Px4	54	341	.029	1524	52.8	.137	124
	QLS4	55	332	.028	1470	50.9	.137	124
-	* Approxim	ate half per:	iod of theoretical	l motion				

vesidual Errors in the Preloaded Case

TABLE 4

á

.

**K**.....

-----

t = half period of compensated or uncorrected motion.

XXVI-20

• • •

.

a a ar rat

# IV. EFFECTS OF NOISE

na an e acconstant and 1

`

Š.

An important consideration in compensation design relates to sensitivity with respect to noise. Table 5 studies the performance of the probe in both uncorrected and corrected modes when the force signal is corrupted by a uniformly distributed noise whose maximum amplitude is 10% of the signal. Also, we illustrate phase angle and amplitude response functions for some of the algorithms in Table 6 and figs. 6-7.

**XXVI-** 21

A STATE OF A

TABLE 5

-

\* **\$** 

Some Data on Response to Noise

V <b>e</b> ≖.1	Approximate Standar <u>d</u> 6 Deviation of E2V/10	268	97.2	59.2	39.6	79.5	30.7	39.3	33.6
M=311 Slugs	e Standar <u>d</u> 6 of E2P/10		. 8	6.	۲.	. 4	. 6	۲.	. 58
K=12001bs/ft	Approximat Deviation (	104	20.	15.	16.	25.	19.	10.	.11.
		nc	ET2	Px 2	Px 3	Px4	Pf 3	QLS4	QLSM

• ••

а – ст. н. н. — ок. 7 ж. Май.

## DISCUSSION OF TABLE 5

The second state and the second se

· · · ·

\_\_\_\_\_¥

Standard deviations were computed from four trials with a 10% uniformly distributed noise corrupting the force signal. We conclude that Px2, PF3, QLS4 and QLSM are probably less sensitive to noise than the others. The performance of all compensating algorithms except Px4 and ET2 is adequate. In no case did the exit velocity differ from the noise free by more than .03 ft/sec. PF2 is also good. Powever the tests on this were incomplete and are not shown.

TABLE 6 Some Transfer Functions	Im H (w)			= (me) /6	9/8 <b>wt</b>	ا (سه) <sup>2</sup> ا.130184 ست	Sin wr	3Sinw-Sin2wr	wCos3wr	/?.cos2wr	cos3 سه – ا/2Sinسه ا/2Sinawr	/5Cos2w-1/10Cos3w-2/5Cos4wr -1/2Sinw-1/5Sin2wr+1/10Sin3wr+2/5Sin4wr	/4Cos2 w+3/4Cos3 w+ 3/4Sinw+5/4Sin2 w-3/4Sin3 w+
Some T	Re H (w)	1	1- (سعه <sup>1</sup> /2	1- (wr) <sup>2</sup> /2	1- ( wr) <sup>2</sup> ./2	.989039554041 (wr) <sup>2</sup>	2-Cos <b>wr</b>	3-3Cos⊌r+Cos2 ₩►	<b>4-6Cosur +4Cos2wr -Cos3 wr</b>	4/3+1/3Cosv-2/?Cos2wT	1+1/2Cos <b>ur</b> -1/2Cos3 <b>ur</b>	4/5+1/2Cos +1/5Cos2+ -1/10Cos3+	°/4−3/4: oswr−5/4Cos2 wr+3/4Cos3 wr
	Filter	Tl	<b>T</b> 2	<b>T</b> 3	ET 2	MM 2	Ps l	Ps 2	Ps 3	LLS 3	► SJJ	LLS5	0LS4

\* \* <u>\*</u> \*

-----

ŝ

•

ĩ

.

.

, at managers and

\*



.-\*

ŕ4

- -





XXV1-26

#### V. CONCLUSIONS

Tables 1-3 show that ET2, MM2, Ps2, Ps3, H5, H7 and QLS 4 give errors ... the non-preloaded case which are noticeably smaller than the others. Of these ET2 is perhaps the best in all around accuracy. Truncated Taylor series, linear extrapolation, and linear least squares (not shown) do not work well. The use of polyne ials for data fitting is notoriously high degree Although we do not give the data 'ere, unreliable. i ur experience confirms this judgment. Polynomial or osculatory extrapolation for degrees greater than 5 gave progressively poorer results. Fitting a polynomial of degree 5 simultaneously to the position, velocity and force signals also was unstable. Similarly cubic least squares fixed or expanding memory filters gave poor results. Here the problem was not instability, but rather cubic least squares fitting allows so much freedom that s\*(n) mimics the uncorrected signal.

÷

Although we have managed to reduce considerably the position and velocity errors of the best 1980 filter ("C80" - Table 1), we hesitate to predict that significantly greater improvement is possible. Because of the delay the position and velocity residuals are  $6.2 \times 10^{\circ}$  and  $3.4 \times 10^{\circ}$  at 200ms. At t=27=400ms these have increased to  $1.1 \times 10^{\circ}$  and  $4.9 \times 10^{\circ}$ . It follows that these values are probably a lower bound to the errors of any algorithm The least gain in velocity residual over the rest of the trajectory is given by Ps3-16.8x10<sup>-6</sup> (about a 270% increase) while Ps2 gives slightly less than a 10 fold increase in position residual. Looking at it from a different point of view, these reduce the errors in the uncorrected trajectory in velocity by a factor of .014 and in position by a factor of .008. Likewise, relative percentage errors in the magnitude of velocity and position can be reduced by a factor of 10 to between 3 and 5%. Therefore, because of the "burden" of error early in the trajectory, it is unlikely that other methods can give better results unless performance at the beginning is improved. Likewise, we have found no way of eliminating the bad errors in velocity at the time of maximum compression or in position at Fortunately, these errors are only relative; the return. quantities involved are very small and so they have no qualitative significance or implications for the hardware (they are virtually unnoticeable on a graph).

The algorithms were also found to work well for damped springs, other initial velocities and delays. As delay is reduced the results improve dramatically.

In the case of a preloaded spring and low Hooke's constant as Table 6 shows, all our methods fail. None even significantly perturb the uncorrected trajectory. This phenomenon was also found in [1]. We do not understand the mathematics but physically it seems to result from the potential energy locked up in the spring by the preload which is magnified by the delay early in the trajectory: "swamping" the compensation.

Any method of extrapolation depends on assumptions about the function being extrapolated. If nothing is known about the function except its past history, then prediction amounts to fortune telling. The methods in this paper all depend on the assumption that the function is locally "like" a polynomial. In particular, if n is the degree of the polynomial, the derivatives are assumed to exist up to n+l and not to grow too fast. Error bounds then depend on the product of a power of the step and the highest derivative. This implies that if the function is not smooth then the results of extrapolation will not be good. We would not expect any compensation scheme to work therefore if as the probe was compressed impulsive forces were generated.

The behavior of an extrapolation algorithm, however, also depends on other factors than just accuracy of extrapolation. T3 is the most accurate extrapolation of the group for functions with two continuous derivatives. Yet its behavior is mediocre. Px2 or QLS2 are poor extrapolations but give good performance. The reason for this may lie in the frequency response characteristics of the algorithm.

In the frequency domain reversing a delay amounts to the construction of a transfer function  $H(\boldsymbol{\omega})$  with linear phase advance  $\Psi(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \arctan(\operatorname{Im} H(\boldsymbol{\omega})/\operatorname{Re} H(\boldsymbol{\omega})) = \operatorname{such} \operatorname{that} | H(\boldsymbol{\omega}) | = 1$ . None methods look especially impressive in this regard (cf. fig. ). A digital filter with exactly this transfer function would be noncausal. However, the requirement suggests the following approximation approach. If the filter is of the form

$$D^{*}(n) = Q_0 \Delta(n) + Q_1 Q(n-k) + \cdots + Q_m \Delta(n-mk) + \\b_1 \Delta^{*}(n-k) + b_2 \Delta^{*}(n-2k) + \cdots + b_m \Delta^{*}(n-mk) ,$$

The filter has the transfer function

$$H(w) = \frac{(a_{0} + q_{1}e^{-jwT} + ... + q_{m}e^{-m_{1}wT})}{(1 + b_{1}e^{-jwT} + ... + b_{m}e^{-jmwT})}$$

XXVI-28

£

A possible strategy would be the determination of the parmeters a; , b; so as to minimize either

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left( \left( \frac{\omega \tau}{\omega \tau} - \frac{\tau}{\tau} + \frac{\tau}{\omega} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{\tau}{\omega} \right) \left$$

. . .

-----

or

nites .

for  $\boldsymbol{W}$  in the Nyquist interval  $[0, \boldsymbol{\Pi}]$ 

where  $\lambda$  is some parameter reflecting emphasis either on the phase gain or the amplitude.

A related strategy would be to approximate in the S plane. We approximate  $e^{TS}$  by a rational transfer function having a stable denominator. This can be done for  $e^{-TS}$  by Pade approximation. But the writer is unaware of any work for  $e^{TS}$ .

It would be of interest to pursue these avenues further and compare the results with the time domain methods emphasized here.

XXVI-29

#### REFERENCES

· .

¥ -\_\_\_\_\_

ł

÷

×

'r nau ► Brown R. C., "The Effect of Time Delay in the 6 DOF Motion System and its Compensation," NASA Contractor Report NASA CR-161511, Marshall Space Flight Center, October 1980

Conte, S. D., and de Boor C., <u>Elementary Numerical Analysis</u>, McGraw-Hill, 1980

Morrison, N., <u>Introduction</u> to <u>Sequential</u> <u>Smoothing</u> and <u>Prediction</u>, McGraw-Hill 1969


.:

## N82-17070

1981

NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE

A NEW SPS ANTENNA DESIGN TO REDUCE GRATING LOBES

Prepared By:

÷

,

2

C. H. Chan, Ph.D.

Academic Rank:

University and Department:

NASA/MSFC (Laboratory) (Division) (Branch)

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

-----

Contract No.:

Professor of Physics

University of Alabama in Huntsville Department of Physics

Preliminary Design Office Subsystems Design Division Electrical System Branch

Woolsey Finnell III

August 5, 1981

NGT-01-008-021 (University of Alabama in Huntsville)

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

}

I wish to thank the NASA/ASEE Summer Faculty Fellowship Program and its directors for providing the opportunity to participate in the Summer (1981) research project. Appreciation is extended to Mr. W. Finnell III for his support of my work this summer. Special thanks are to Mr. R. H. Durrett and Mr. R. A. Inman of EC-33 for their many enlightening discussions.

### A New SPS Antenna Design to Reduce Grating Lobes

ر ، سرموسا

1

By

C. H. Chan, Ph. D. Professor of Physics University of Alabama in Huntsville Huntsville, Alabama 35899

### ABSTRACT

In the SPS system, the antenna's misalignment will produce welldefined grating lobes. These grating lobe peaks occur every 440 km and are potentially hazardous to the environment. One way to suppress these peaks is to phase control every power module. The cost due to the increase in receiving electronics and processors, however, could prove to be prohibitive.

We propose here a new design of the antenna involving the addition of two broad gaps, one along the x axis and another along the y axis. The gap distance is exactly one half of the distance between the center of two neighboring subarrays. It is shown in this report, by detailed calculation of far field radiation patterns, that our design does reduce grating lobe peaks without sacrificing power in the main lobe.

### I. Introduction

In the SPS system, the systematic tilt of the entire antenna structure due to attitude control system errors will produce welldefined grating lobes.<sup>1)</sup> These grating lobe peaks occur every 440 km if the phase control is provided to the 10.4 x 10.4 meter subarray level. Based upon environmental considerations, the grating lobe peaks are constrained to be less than 0.01  $mW/cm^2$  which in turn sets a severe upper limit on the pointing error for the antenna to within an arc-minute. One way to lower this severe alignment requirement is to phase control every power module (approximately 98000 of them). In this case the upper limit on the pointing error can be lowered to within three arc-minutes. However the cost due to the increase in receiving electronics and processors could prove to be prohibitive. R. H. Durrett, in a recent NASA publication<sup>2)</sup> suggested that one may design an antenna with varying subarray sizes and varying gap distances between subarrays to suppress the grating lobes. This report gives a specific design along the line of Durrett's suggestion and presents a detailed calculation of far field radiation patterns and its results.

The design we present is very simple. It involves the addition of two broad gaps, one along the x-axis and another along the y-axis. The gap distance is exactly one-half of the distance between the centers of two neighboring subarrays. To understand how the grating lobes will be suppressed in this design, we note that grating lobe peaks occur at places on the ground where the signals from each of the su arrays add in-phase. With the added gap, at the location where the first grating XXVII-4 lobe peak was, the signal from each of the subarrays from the left half of the antenna will be exactly 180° out of phase with those from the right half of the antenna, e.g. they cancel each other and produce a null there. In fact, all locations of odd numbered peaks (the 1st, 3rd, 5th, etc.) are nulls. With the first grating lobe suppressed and since the peak of the second grating lobe is lower, our simple design may be used as a means to relieve the severe constraint on the pointing accuracy.

We present our calculations and results in Section II and Section III. It is shown there that our design does reduce grating lobe peaks without sacrificing power in the main lobe.

### II. Calculation

Figure 1 shows the geometry of the m,n<sup>th</sup> subarray in relation to the ground receiving points. The center of the space antenna is located at  $(x_0, y_0, z)$  and the center of the rectenna at the origin. Based on Kirchhoff's scalar diffraction theory, the electric field at the receiving site (x, y) due to field at m,n<sup>th</sup> subarray centered at  $(\xi_{mn}, \eta_{mn}, z)$  is

$$E_{mn}(x,y) = -\frac{i}{\lambda} \int \int E'_{mn}(x',y') \frac{e^{ikR}}{R} dx' dy'$$
(1)

where  $k = 2\pi/\lambda$  and  $R = [(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 + z^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .  $E_{mn}^{*}(x',y')$  is the m,n<sup>th</sup> subarray's field distribution and may be written as

$$E_{mn}'(x',y') = A_{mn} e^{-ikR_{mn}}$$

where A is a constant over the entire  $m, n^{th}$  subarray and kR is the mn phase determined from the phase control system

$$R_{mn} = \left[ \frac{z^2}{mn} + \eta^2_{mn} + z^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

At far field, the integration over the entire m,n<sup>th</sup> subarray in Eq. (1) can be carried out

$$E_{mn}(x,y) = \frac{-ia^2}{\lambda z} e^{ik(\frac{x^2+y^2}{2z})} A_{mn} e^{-ikx\xi_{mn}/z} e^{-iky\eta_{mn}/z}$$

$$\{ sinc [k(x-\xi_{mn})a/2z] sinc [k(y-\eta_{mn})a/2z] \}$$
(2)

where sinc  $\alpha = \sin \alpha / \alpha$  is the sinc function and a is the size of the subarray. The total electric field due to the entire array is then the sum of the contributions from all the subarrays.

$$E(x,y) = \sum_{m,n} E_{mn}(x,y) = F_e F_a$$
(3)

where

$$F_{e} = \frac{a^{2}}{\lambda z} \operatorname{sinc} \left[k(x-x_{o})a/2z\right] \operatorname{sinc} \left[k(y-y_{o})a/2z\right]$$
(4)

is the element factor and

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{m,n} \sum_{mn} \mathbf{A}_{mn} e^{-\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{mn}/z} e^{-\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{y}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{mn}/z}$$
(5)

is the array factor. In deriving Eq. (3), we have ignored the unimportant overall phase

$$\int_{-ie}^{ik} \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2z}$$

and approximated  $x = \xi_{mn}$  by  $x = x_0$ , and  $v = \eta_{mn}$  by  $y = y_0$  in the sine functions. The element factor here is a slowly varying sine function peaking at the boresight of the array  $x_0$ ,  $y_0$ . The array factor is a more complicated function depending both on the field distribution and spatial arrangement of subarrays. Its peaks are well defined and their locations

do not move with misalignment changes of the subarray. This behavior is due to the operating characteristics of the retrodirective phase control system. When the subarrays are regularly spaced at 10.4 m, the array factor gives grating peaks every 440 km. If there is a pointing error or small gaps between subarrays, the grating peaks no longer fall on the zeroes of the element factor, creating undesirable grating lobes. To suppress these grating lobes, we propose here a new design involving the addition of two broad gaps, one along the x axis and another along the y axis; the gap distance is exactly one half of the distance between the center of two neighboring subarrays. Due to the cancellation between the contributions from the left half of the antenna and those form the right half of the antenna (also those from the upper half and those from the lower half), all the odd numbered grating lobe peaks are suppressed.

### III: Results

To proceed to detailed numerical calculation of the far field radiation pattern, following values for various parameters are assumed.

Subarray size	a = 10.4 meter
Far field distance	$z = 37500 \ \text{km}$
Frequency	= 2.45 GHz
Gap between subarrays	= 3.0 cm
Pointing error	x = -32.7 and -10.9 km (or, misalignment
	3 and 1 arc-minute)
	y <sub>3</sub> = 0

We shall also assume a 10 db gaussian taper for the aperture illumination. The exact form of the distribution is shown in Figure 2 where

```
XXV11-7
```

a ten step and a total of 7224 subarrays are assumed. We note here that our distribution is slightly different from the one given in the reference system. In carrying on our numerical calculation, we noticed that the distribution given in the reference system<sup>1)</sup> is not symmetric with respect to the interchange of the x and y axes and hence is not correct. We modified it and made it symmetric with respect to the interchange of the x and y axes.

With  $A_{mn}$  given, the E(x,y) and hence the intensity at far field can be calculated. Figures 3 and 4 show far field distributions on ground receiving points along the x axis. Figure 3 is the one without the extra broad gaps. The solid curve is that for a pointing error of 3 arc-minutes and dashed curve is that for a pointing error of 1 arc-minute. The differences for the main lobe and side lobes between these two misalignments are small and cannot be distinguished in our plot. The first grating lobe peaks are located at 440 km on both sides of the main lobe. These two grating lobes are not symmetric because of the pointing error. The sinc function of the element factor shifts toward one peak and away from the other, hence enhancing one peak and reducing the other. Figure 4 is the same calculation with the addition of two broad gaps. The gap distance is 5.245 meters between the two halves of the antenna. We note that the main lobe remains unchanged, the side lobes are slightly altered, but the grating lobes are greatly distorted. Each grating lobe splits into two; this is because our new design forces nulls exactly at locations where the peaks were, making each grating lobe doubly peaked.

It is seen from Figures 3 and 4 that there is essentially no change in the amount of energy contained in the main lobe. Without extra gaps, the two nearby grating peaks are high, with 0.16 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> and 0.10 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>

for a 3 arc-minute pointing error, and 0.019  $mW/cm^2$  and 0.010  $mW/cm^2$ for a 1 arc-minute pointing error. With extra gaps, the grating peaks are lowered by a factor of about two and widths about doubled, making the total power contained in the grating lobes in the two designs about the same. Since it is the high power density which is potentially hazardous to the environment, evidently a lower peak, broad lobe is more tolerable.

### IV. Conclusion

In this report we showed that by introducing two extra broad gaps crossed at the center of the antenna, we can reduce the grating lobe peaks without sacrificing power in the main lobe. Unfortunately, our design did not remove the grating lobes completely as one would like to. We believe that there are designs that will achieve this goal. Our calculation is a step in the right direction. Further investigation is required.

- Figure 1. Geometry of the antenna in relation to the ground receiving points.
- Figure 2. Microwave array power distribution.

2

- Figure 3. Power density on ground along the x axis, without the added broad gaps. Solid curve is the one for 3 arc-minute pointing error, dashed curve for 1 arc-minute.
- Figure 4. Fower density on ground along the x axis, with the added broad gaps. Solid curve is the one for 3 arc-minute pointing error, dashed curve for 1 arc-minute.

### REFERENCES

- Satellite Power System: Concept Development and Evaluation Program, Reference System Report, U.S. Department of Energy and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. DOE/ER-0023, October 1978, pp 29-37. 4
- Informal note "An Alternate Concept for SPS" by R. H. Durrett, MSFC/EC33, Feb. 12, 1981.



XXVII-11

1

2822-81

# FIGURE 2 - MICROWAVE ARRAY POWER DISTRIBUTION



ر. ر.

**1** 1

F - #

... ....

2

۲ ۲

\*\*\* \* \* \* . \* \* \* \* \*

. . . . . . . . .

XXVI1-12

:. ( • •



XXVII-13



ł

2825-81

;

# N82-1707T

### 1981

### NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

### ALUMINUM AND CHROMIUM ION PLATING STUDIES FOR ENHANCEMENT OF SURFACE PROPERTIES

Prepared by:

Academic Rank:

Jack H. Davis, Ph.D.

Associate Professor

University and Department:

NASA/MSFC: (Laboratory) (Division) (Branch)

MSFC Counterparts:

Date:

Contract No.:

The University of Alabama in Huntsville Department of Physics

Materials and Processes Engineering Physics Physical Sciences

Ann F. Whitaker and Dr. Alan P. Biddle

August 11, 1981

NGT-01-008-021 University of Alabama in Huntsville

### I.A. Abstract

An experimental project was undertaken which produced ion plated coatings on steel substrates. About 20 tensile samples of 4340 steel were ion plated in the Denton system with aluminum using resistance heating evaporation boats. In the V.T.A. 7375 system, ten samples were chromium ion plated; four on 4340 steel disks and the other six onto 440-C stainless steel rods for roller bearing wear improvement testing. Each of the samples was plated on a separate run to correlate the film parameters with the run parameters. Some of the chromium literature was reviewed, and improvements to the vacuum system were made.

### I.B. Acknowledgements

I wish to express appreciation to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the American Society of Engineering Education, and the University of Alabama in Huntsville for operating and supporting this summer program. Special thanks are due the Marshall Space Flight Center and personnel of the Materials and Processes Laboratory. I have enjoyed meeting many new people - some with a fascinating special expertise with whom further collaboration is expected. Everyone has readily offered their help and assistance. I have relied heavily on the clear, lucid lab logs and final reports of my predecessor, R. J. Holliday. Special thanks to Hobert Gregory, John McClure, Ann Whitaker, and Alan P. Biddle.

. . . . . . . .

i

- ----

### I.C. Introduction

Holliday<sup>12</sup> gave the following concise introduction to ion plating.

Since first being reported in the literature in 1963 (ref. 1), ion plating has progressed to the point that today it is used in several commercial processes including the aluminum coating of fasteners used in the manufacture of aircraft (ref. 2). Ion plating has several positive qualities. Probably the most important of which are outstanding film adhesion and deposition on all sides of the substrate (including coverage into cavities) whereas normal vacuum deposition gives the usual line-of-sight coverage. Other qualities obtainable, depending on the material deposited, include exceptional corrosion resistance, high film purity, fine grain structure, very low-coefficient of friction, and improved mechanical properties of metals (ref. 3-5).

Several articles have been published describing the ion-plating process (e.g., ref. 6 and 7). Basically the process consists of two phases. In the initial cleaning phase the object to be plated (subscrate) is made the cathode of a dc inert gas discharge or plasma. Some of the inert gas atoms are ionized and accelerated towards the substrate. The bombardment of the substrate by these ions having high kinetic energy produces a clean surface preparatory to the actual plating process. In the plating phase the material to be plated is evaporated from the anode while maintaining the inert gas discharge. Some of the coating material atoms are also ionized and accelerated towards the substrate. These ions follow the electric field lines which terminate on all sides of the biased substrate and thereby help coat not only the front but all sides of the substrate. However, since the degree of ionization in many plasmas is very low (0.1-2%), it has been suggested that this mechanism is probably secondary to gas scattering of neutral atoms in contributing to the high throwing power of ion plating (ref. 8). Thus the energies of the impinging particles range from that obtained from ions accelerated by the potential difference between the anode and cathode to the thermal energy of unionized atoms. These factors, along with the heating of the substrate surface due to its continued bombardment, produce the graded-fused interface, which provides the superior adherence and improved mechanical properties characteristic of ion plating.

Manager A and a

I.D. Background

Last year's report<sup>9</sup> ended on a mixed note with the e-beam inoperative during the last five weeks due partly to a faulty transformer. A replacement was ordered. During the winter Dr. A. Biddle joined MSFC and replaced the above transformer and made numerous improvements both to the vacuum chamber and to the e-beam generator while coating several substrates. This year the V.T.A. system was nearly faultless except once; however, cleaning the high voltage feed thru contacts corrected the problem within an hour.

Literature surveys by the RSIC computer search have generated over 300 pages of abstracts in the following categories:

Ion Implantation

----

Ion Plating

Hydrogen Embrittlement

Chromium Vacuum Deposition

### II. Aluminum Ion Plating Overview

The aluminum ion plating objective is twofold. The first is to develop and refine the ion plating technique in the Denton vacuum system. The second objective is to ion plate hardened tensile test specimens with aluminum so as to determine, via stress-strain curve analysis, the degree to which the aluminum film protects against 5000 psi hydrogen embrittlement.

II.A. Denton System Improvements

The Denton vacuum system consists of a diffusion pump coupled via a cold trap (LN $_2$ ) to 24" bell jar of stainless steel.

During the ion plating one desires to bleed about a  $30\mu$  Ar pressure into the jar. It is being pumped by a  $10^{-6}$  torr diffusion pump vacuum. However the valves on the system are automatic pneumatic types being either fully open or fully closed. A new crank adjustable high vacuum valve would be expensive in our case. A micrometer adjustable stop could preserve the desired crack as the valve flips to the closed position. Again the reason for the concern for an adjustable high vacuum valve is that most of the Al ion plating was done, contrary to ideal procedure, by pumping the system untrapped through the roughing line valve. On a few runs in order to pump through a cold trap the diffusion pump heater was turned off and the jar was pumped through the diffusion pump cold trap.

A less expensive, more reversible, solution would be the deployment of a 14" dia. disk with a center orifice hole about 1/2" in diameter across the pumping throat to achieve the desired differential pressure. The glow discharge current and voltage were supplied by a Denton Model 801 (e-beam supply).

The filament, or boat supply, a 9V D.C., 500A Molectric Summa Processing, sends current through Cu electrodes to the intermetallic (BNTiB<sub>2</sub>) boat. Graphite sheets have been procured for interfacing the Cu to the boat, but not in time for these Al ion platings.

II.B. Tensile Specimen Testing

Ten tensile specimens were formed from a 1" dia. 4340 (cold rolled) steel rod at UAH. They were heat treated at NASA to  $\sim$  R.C. 50 and then fine ground at UAH.

### XXVIII-3

تىر ئەر ئەر

Six of our ion placed tensile test samples were Auger evaluated by Dr. J. McClure. The only rough samples [T-7, T-7 (run 18), T-13, and T-19] showed evident of gross deep carbon and oxygen contamination. After such refinements as (a) pumping through the cold trap, (b) replacing a Teflon plate with a glass plate in the ionization area as suggested by Dr. Biddle, (c) improving the electrode to boat contact to stop electrode melting at high current, (d) cleaning the vacuum jar insides (especially the electrodes) after each use, samples T-2 (run 48) and T-21 (run 53) showed contamination reduction by 1 to 2 orders of magnitude both on the surface and after 45 minutes of etch ( $\sim 500$  Å).

Quench oil black due to the hardening in the threads could contribute to the carbon, however T-21 (run 53) was the only unhardened mild steel substrate used and was no better than T-1 (run 48).

> III. Chromium Ion Plating Overview

The first objective is to develop and refine the ion plating technique in the V.T.A. system.

The second objective is to ion plate Cr films onto hardened 440C stainless steel roller bearing surfaces so that others may evaluate the degree to which the bearing capacity or life is improved.

### III.A. Literature Findings

Nestell and Christy report<sup>10</sup> chromium 200 to 2000 Å thick vacuum evaporated films have much reduced reflectivity relative to the bulk, as long as the substrate temperature is below 700 °C. Since ion plated surfaces are normally duller<sup>14</sup> than vacuum evaporated it is not surprising that our chromium films were not lusterous.

> Sample Configuration and Treatment III.B.

The 440-C roller specimen geometry and heat treatment procedures are shown in Fig. 1. The only variation in the above treatment was the step B where liquid nitrogen was used (77  $^{\circ}$ K) in place of the -100  $^{\circ}$ F. The righthand center hold was "removed" by making the original sample longer and then cutting short on one side.

### ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Heat treat to RC 53 minimum per RA1111-002 except:

- A. Harden at 1935 + 25 °C Oil Quench
- B. Refrigerate A minus 320F ± 10F 30 minutes minimum.
- C. Temper 325F + 25F = 0F one hour minimum.
- D. Refrigerate in  $LN_2$  (-320F)
- E. Temper 325F + 25F = 0F one hour minimum.



Figure I. RC Rig Specimen Geometry and Specification

- **S**.

Two rod sample holders were fabricated. The first being magnetic and thus allowing the complete cylindrical surface to be coated. The second was a sleeve or collar type providing better mechanical stability but shielding the top cm of the sample.

III.C. Substrate Temperature Measurements

In order to measure the 3 KV sample surface temperature without the problem of arcing thermocouple wires, an infrared pyrometer was used. Even the thick pyrex jar walls caused only about a  $\frac{1}{1.6}$  x drop in temperature meter reading (°C) over the tested range 80 °C to 400 °C. So when reading through the bell jar glass a 1.6X correction factor is used. Of course the disadvantage is that the window slowly plates over.

Fortunately (and surprisingly) the optical infrared thermometer (Omega Model 600) did not vary noticeably (i.e., 10 °C) upon extinguishing the glow discharge surrounding the sample. A flip-up cradle would be ideal for aiming the handheld I.R. gun at the thin sample.

### III.D. Experimental Findings

Sample #25 polished 1.5" disk with polished Cr film generated the following peaks from X-ray diffractometer.

<u>h l</u>	<u>20</u>	I(CPS)	
(110)	44.5	150	
(200)	64.7	6	
(211)	81.7	15	
(310)	115	3	

Note that the film grains have a highly nonrandom orientation with most of the cell cube faces lying in the surface plane of the film.

Higham's<sup>13</sup> ion plated chromium films initially have the (110) plane dominant. But as a stronger, denser discharge is generated by voltage applied to a 3rd electrode, his film orientations change to (200).

Our coating's preferred (110) orientation was typical of cooler substrates while Higham and Teer's<sup>13</sup> was approaching the character of films coated either at higher substrate temperatures or at greater voltages on their 3rd electrode.

Thorton<sup>15</sup> shows (see Figure II) graphically in 3-D the effect of both temperature and pressure on the sputtered thin film texture. The column structure existing from 0 < T/Tm < .3; however at higher substrate temperature the atoms have enough lateral mobility to merge the grains together smoothly. Thorton reports that increasing the argon pressure enhances the column structure perhaps by argon adhesion in the intercolumn voids. In fact he also gets dull dark gray films at  $30\mu$  Argon ~room temperature substrates.



Figure II. The effect of temperature and argon pressure on sputtered film texture. (Thorton<sup>15</sup>)

The most dramatic observation from the 7 rod chromium coatings is that the dark gray dull films were coated at  $27\mu$  and  $28\mu$  average coating pressure while the other 4 coatings were brighter and shinier (but not lusterous) at pressures of 14, 16, 16, 13 + 2 $\mu$ . This is identical to the trend noted by Thorton<sup>15</sup>.

Average film thicknesses based on mass gained for samples 1-7 were roughly 0, 3, 3, 6, 5, 0.2, and  $6.6\mu$ , respectively. The Cr films were soft and could be marred with a pocket knife blade. Dec-Tac scans across the knife marks showed a film depth of ~.8 near the middle of sample #3. The scan showed a bulge in film thickness where the film interfaces the shielded area.

Auger impurity analysis (highly qualitative) of Cr or. 940C sample #5 (roller rod) shows the following estimated concentrations relative to Cr. Before etch C (.1), O(1.2), Fe (.01), Cr (1), after 135 min. etch C (.05), O (.3), Fe (.01), Cr (1). Auger analysis of this Cr film showed the expected large concentration of C and O on the surface. There is some disappointment in the large  $\Im$  and C found inside. The

steel vertical sample holder (collar and rod) were not cleaned between runs and contained chromium to chromium oxide which could have sputtered onto the sample. Also, some gas ( $CO_2$  and  $O_2$ ) may be trapped in the column structure the film should have.

Carpenter<sup>18</sup> points out that when possible (i.e., if the extra throwing power is not needed) to stop ion plating after coating  $\sim .01\mu$  and continue at lower pressures with regular vacuum deposition. He also points out the vacuum evaporation in general and ion plating on particular are wasteful of source material.

The strong surface brightness - Ar pressure dependence went unnoticed on the Al samples. Since other parameters were being varied the pressure was always held to  $30\mu$ .

The handbook etch for Cr, one pa t HCl, one part water and some zinc satisfactorily etched the Cr at about a mil per minute. Of course Cr plated tools and hardware must be protected.

### IV. Black Powder

Previous investigators have expressed concern about a thick black deposit which covers the system inside walls after ion plating. Since some of the resulting samples have a darkish tinge the concern is justified. Suggestions that the glow discharge breaking down such hydrocarbons as pump oil or Teflon were investigated. One file letter suggests the Al black to be aluminum exide with impurity color centers.

X-ray diffractometer analysis of black looking Al ion plated films on Cu substrates indicate only the presence of Al (small peaks) and Cu (large peaks) with no Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> peaks detentable. So probably no more than 10% of the Al had oxidized into crystalline  $Al_2O_3$ .

An X-ray diffractometer scan of the black powder indicates mainly the presence of Cr, but also indicates  $Cr_3O_4$ with no peaks found for the three of the other oxides (CrO,  $Cr_2O_3$ ,  $Cr_3O_2$ ).

In fact, Allen<sup>16,17</sup> patented a device for forming .1 to .01 micron sized metal powders. The device is almost identical to our ion plating unit except that it has a funnel shaped bottom to catch the particles and his Ar pressure (50 to 500µ) was slightly higher than ours (~30µ).

Carpenter<sup>18</sup> in mentioning the advantages and disadvantages of ion plating points out that it is even more wasteful of source material than vacuum evaporation.

After ion plating chromium and allowing the bell jar to cool overnight under vacuum, air was vented in the next day which caused an amber-like fire glow around the hearth and some flashes of sparks on the bell jar walls. Presumably this is due to Cr oxidation. In fact, on one occasion the acetone soaked cleaning napkin was turned into a flaming torch. Auger analysis of the black powder indicates the presence of chromium and oxygen. Such behavior would be expected from fine chromium particles with large surface-tomass ratios.



Figure III. X-ray diffractometer scan of "black powder" showing both chromium and chromium oxide.

### V. Conclusions

Both vacuum systems have just proven themselves capable of producing good ion platings on a regular basis.

A host of two dozen samples are now available for further analysis. SEM-EDAX, Knoop hardness testing, and surface reflectivities could be easily done before destructive tensile and roller testing.

XXVIII-10

1

!

đ

;

cė .

### REFERENCES

- Mattox, D. M., "Film Deposition Using Accelerated Ions," Rept. No. SC-DR-281-63, Sandia Corp., (Nov., 1963); Mattox, D. M., Electrochem. Technol., <u>2</u> 295 (1964).
- "Versatile Aluminum Coating Controls Corrosion," Iron Age, (February 9, 1976).
- 3. S alvins, T., "The New Applications of Sputtering and Ion Plating," NASA TMX-73551 (1977).
- 4. Chambers, D. L. and Carmichael, D. C., Research/ Development, 22, 32 (1971).
- 5. Spalvins, T., J. Am. Soc. Lub. Eng., 27, 40 (1971).
- Mattox, D. M., Proceedings of the Conference on Sputtering and Ion Plating, NASA SP-5111, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio, p. 41 (1972).
- 7. Mattox, D. M., J. Vac. Sci. Technol., 10, 47 (1973).
- Aisenburg, S. and Chabot, R. W., J. Vac. Sci. Technol., <u>10</u>, 194 (1973).
- 9. Davis, J. H., Ion Plating Studies for HIgh Temperature Application, p. V, Oct. 198C, NASA CR-161611.
- 10. Nestell, J. E. and Christy, R. W., J. Vac. Sci. Technol., 15(2) p. 366 (1978).
- Holliday, R. J., "Ion Plating Investigation," BER Report No. 224-94, The University of Alabama, p. XIII (Sept. 1978).
- 12. Holliday, R. J., "Ion Plating Studies for the Temperature Applications," University of Alabama i. Huntsville, Report No. 227, p. X111 (Oct. 1979).
- Higham, P. A. and Teer, D. G., Thin Solid Films <u>58</u>, p. 121 (1979).
- 14. Jones, K., Griffith, A. J., and Williams, E. W., Ion Plating with Electron Beam Evaporation Conference on Ion Plating and Allied Techniques, p. 115, Edenburg 1977.

XXVIII-11

19

""**"**"

- 15. Thorton, J. A., J. Vac. Sci. Technol., Vol. II, No. 4, p. 666 1974.
- 16. Allen, L. R., et al, U. S. Patent #3,049,421, 14 Aug. '62.
- 17. Allen, L. R., Chem. Week <u>91</u>, 47 sec. 1 '62.

;

í

18. Carpenter, R., "The Basic Principles of Ion Plating," Science and Technology of Surface Coatings, p. 393, ed. by Chapman, B. N. and Anderson, J. C. Academic Press (1974).

XXVIII-12

.....

.

. ......

N82-17072 29

1981

NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWHIP PROGRAM

MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF DAILY MEAN TEMPERATURES

Prepared by:

Academic Rank:

University and Department:

NASA/MSFC (Laboratory) (Division)

MSFC Counterpart:

DATE:

**\*** 

Contract No.:

Deva C. Doss, Ph.D.

Professor

The University of Alabama in Huntsville Department of Mathematics

Space Sciences Atmospheric Sciences

O. E. Smith

August 26, 1981

NGT-01-008-021 (University of Alabama)

~ -

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to the NASA/ASEE Summer Faculty Fellowship Program for providing me an invaluable opportunity to do research this summer and Dr. G. Karr, Director of the Program for his able administration and cooperation. My very special thanks are due to my NASA counterpart, Mr. O. E. Smith, for suggesting the problem and for his useful discussions. My profound thanks are due to Dr. F. Lee Cook, Chairman of the Department of Mathematics, UAH, for extending me the computer facilities of the University.

XXIX-2

BY

Deva C. Doss, Ph.D. Professor of Mathematics University of Alabama in Huntsville Huntsville, Alabama

### ABSTRACT

The present study deals with the data of daily mean temperatures recorded at the Kennedy Center during the period of 1957-1977. The primary objective of the study is to forecast daily mean temperatures and their tlir.y-day moving averages for a period of ten to fifteen days in a given month. This investigation is of exploratory nature and employs fitting a cubic spline with four knots to the trend.

Since it is found that the standard deviation is linear in the mean, a logarithmic transformation of the data is used for finding an integrated moving average process IMA by the Box-Jenkins approach. The first differences of the transformed data seem to fit a moving average model with parameter value 2, MA(2). The consideration of seasonality factor makes the fit worse.

XXIX-3

**11**...

-----

1 .....

### INTRODUCTION

Daily mean temperatures for the period 1957-1977 collected at Cape Canaveral form the data base for the present study. The daily mean temperature is obtained by averaging hourly temperatures of a day.

1.1 <u>Purpose of the Study</u> The primary objective of this study is to build a forecasting model for daily mean temperatures at Cape Canaveral. This will be used in forecasting daily mean temperatures and thirty-dayrunning mean temperatures at Kennedy Space Flight Center where the Space Shuttle is scheduled to be launched by the end of September, 1981.

1.2 <u>Methodology</u> A descriptive study of the daily mean temperature is made in order to have a general understanding of the temperature in the time domain by computing descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation, maximum, minimum, quartiles and autocorrelations for each day of the year based on 21 observations corresponding to 21 years. The second approach is to reduce the dato to a stationary time series and apply to them the recent Box-Jenkins ARIMA techniques explained in Box and Jenkins (1970) and Anderson (1976).

### DESCRIPTIVE APPROACH

Since there are 21 observations for each day of the year (except for 4 days for which only 20 observations are available) descriptive statistics such as  $\bar{x}$ , s.d., quartiles are computed for each day. Their plots are done by using a UNIVAC computer program.

2.1 <u>Mean and Standard Deviation</u>. A plot of mean and s.d. is presented in Figure 1. For the sake of clarity, mean  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{x} + 2$  s.d. are plotted every seventh day. One can immediately note two facts.

- x is almost linear for a period that includes four months, i.e., June through September, descends rapidly on either end of this period, and settles in fifties during the period of three months, December through February.
- 2. Variation of daily mean temperatures as suggested by  $\bar{x} + 2$  s.d. bands increases as the mean temperature drops. In other words, the Summer range 1.6-3.0 of s.d. doubles during the transitional periods from and to the summer and almost triples in the most of the winter.

XXIX-4

-1



١

۰.

XXIX-5

. . . . . . . . . .

····

;

:

١.

It follows from (1) that it is futile to fit a curve by harmonic analysis since it will involve at least more than one hundred terms. However, since the plot of  $\bar{x}$  in Figure 1 suggests one maximum, one minimum and two points of inflection, a cubic spline with 4 or 5 knots may adequately smoothen the plot of  $\bar{x}$ .

2.2 <u>Cubic Spline Fit</u> Using an IMSL computer program a cubic spline by the least squares method is fit to the  $365 \ \bar{x}$ 's of daily mean temperatures. The first knot is chosen to be August 8 since a maximum plateau seems to occur on this day. Then the last knot corresponds to August 7. Three knots between the first and the last are selected so that the mean square error for the fit is minimized. This means the cubic spline curve is made of four cubic equations which have continuous second order derivatives at the knots. On examining the Table 1, one may as well extend the domain of the second cubic equation from [114, 219] to [114, 228] without increasing substantially the least square error. In fact, it is worth trying to fit a cubic spline with only three knots, i.e., one cubic equation may essentially cover the winter while the other covers the rest of the year.

Knot	c <sub>0</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	c <sub>2</sub>	с <sub>3</sub>	
1 (Aug. 8) 114 (Nov. 30) 219 (Mar. 15) 228 (Mar. 2.)	74.0036039 61.6039710 60.7353034 62.4311957	.2519037 1919427 .1759009 .1897002	0056720 .0017446 .0017591 0002469	.0000219 .00000005 0000733 0000033	
Least Square Error = 1.344099					

Table 1. Cubic Spline Fit for  $\bar{x}$ 

2.3 Logarithmic Transformation The second fact that the s.d. depends upon the mean  $\bar{x}$  suggests investigation of regression of s.d. on  $\bar{x}$ . It is found that s.d. is negatively correlated with  $\bar{x}$ , i.e. with a high correlation of -0.93. Moreover, the regression line of s.d. s on  $\bar{x}$  is given by

$$s = .3704(83.533 - \bar{x})$$
, (1)

It is a well-known fact that if s.d. is linear in  $\bar{x}$ , a logarithmic transformation of data points is considered. Incidentally, it is interesting to note that the maximum daily mean temperature for 21 years is 83°F. Throughout the study only the logarithmic transformation

$$\mathbf{y} = \log(\mathbf{84} - \mathbf{x}) \tag{2}$$

is considered

The quartiles of the transformed variable are plotted in Figure 2. It appears that the range (maximum-minimum) is nearly uniform indicating the logarithmic transformation has a constant variance in the time domain. Once again, cubic splines with 3 or 4 knots can be fit for the quartiles

? 4 Autocorrelations The computed autocorrelations for the raw and transformed data for each day indicate that the autocorrelations at lag k,  $k \ge 5$  are not significant. The autocorrelations at lag 3 and 4 are not substantially different from zero. From practical point of view, it appears the temperature of a day is dependent upon temperatures of the preceding two or three days.

### THE BOX-JENKINS METHOD

Box and Jenkins (1970) have developed in analyzing a stationary time series Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) models. Indentification, estimation. verification and forecasting are the procedures to be followed in selecting a model (see Anderson (1976)).

3.1 <u>Identification</u> For identification purposes, autocorrelations (strictly speaking, serial correlations) and partial autocorrelations at lag k,  $k \le JQ$  of daily mean temperatures are calculated. Given a stationary series  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$  one can estimate mean, variance and autocorrelations respectively by

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{i} / \mathbf{N}, \ \mathbf{c}_{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^{2} / \mathbf{N}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{k} = \sum_{i=k+1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}_{i-k} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) / \mathbf{N} \mathbf{c}_{0}.$$
(3.1)

The estimated partial autocorrelation at log k is

$$\hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}} = |\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}| / |\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{k}}|$$
(3.2)

where  $P_k$  is the estimated  $k \times k$  autocorrelation matrix  $[a_{ij}]$ ,  $a_{ij} = r_{i-j}$ and  $P_k^{\dagger}$  is the matrix obtained from  $P_k$  by replacing the last column with  $[r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_k]^{\dagger}$ . Observe  $r_{-k} = r_k$ .

Since the number of observations is very large, in fact, N = 7699, one can use Bartlett's formula (see Bartlett (1946)) for testing whether autocorrelations are significantly different from zero for a stationary process,

$$Var[r_k] \approx \sum_{-K}^{K} \rho_i^2 / N \approx (1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{K} r_i^2) / N$$
 (3.3)





and Quenouille's formula (see Quenouille (1949)) for testing significant partial autocorrelations if any,

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\phi}_{kk}) \approx 1/N.$$
 (3.4)

Moreover, autocorrelations and partial autocorrelations of a stationary processes are asymptotically distributed normal.

In Table 2 all autocorrelations and partial autocorrelations (under zero order of differencing) of transformed series are all significant and taper very slowly. This suggests that the data need to be differenced at least once. That is, instead of considering a series  $\{x_{i}, i=1, \dots, N\}$ , one considers a differenced series  $\{y_{i}, i=2, \dots, N\}$  where

Ţ

$$y_i = x_1 - x_{1-1}$$
 (3.5)

The transformed series is differenced once, twice, and three times and its autocorrelations and serial subcorrelations are presented in Table 2. The computed autocorrelations at lag k,  $k \leq 4$  are only significant whereas the partial autocorrelations, although gradually decreasing, are significant. Therefore the clausible model is a moving average model MA(q) with order  $q, 1 \leq q \leq 4$ . The differencing has cut down the variance by twothirds. Firther differencing has increased the variances and partial autocorrelations. By the principle parsimony, a MA(q) model should be fit to the first differences instead of second or third differences.

C.2 Estimation The moving average model of q, the MA(q) process is defined as

$$z_{i} = a_{i} + \vartheta_{1}a_{i-1} + \cdots + \vartheta_{q}a_{i-q}$$
(3.6)

when  $a_i$  are normally, independently and identically distributed with were rean and constant variance  $\sigma_a^2$ . For the MA(q) process.

$$\sigma_a^2 = Var(z)/(1 + \theta_1^2 + \dots + \theta_q^2)$$
 (3.7)

$$\rho_{k} = 0, \ k > q.$$
(3.8)

~ · · ·

The last equation is used for identifying a MA process.

The moving average models MA(q), q = 1,2,3 are fit to the once differenced transformed series using a maximum likelihood estimation algorithm. The maximum likelihood estimators of the parameters are presented in Table ?. The selection of order q is based on successive significant reduction in variance of the series. Then the choice seems to be MA(2).
	ORDER OF	DIFFERENCING		
	0	1	2	3
Mean	2.6215	0001	0001	0001
Variance	.3598	.1237	.3119	.9651
1	.8280	2610*	5471*	6795.*
2	.7457	1419*	.0085	.1717*
° 3	.7121	0441*	.0328*	.0185
<b>01</b>	.6937	0286*	.0001	0091
<u>4</u> 5	.6851	0137	0045	0096
<b>ଅ</b> ଜୁ 6	.6814	.0124	.0205	.0189
រ៉ូរីរី 7	.6733	0131	0132	0141
8 14 3	.6697	0047	0032	0007
و ۳ و	.6676	.0116	.0097	.0048
₽ 10	.6618	.0025	.0069	.0117
11	.6551	0231	0319*	0361*
12	.6563	.0320	.0412*	.0462*
1	.8280	2610	5471	6795
2	.1910	2254	4151	5390
8 3	.1797	1486	3239	4405
of 4	.1351	1296	2730	3674
	.1244	0927	2523	3401
o acta	.1107	0968	2049	2956
1 1 2 7	.0770	0865	1846	2561
8 H C B	.0833	0630	1786	2376
9 29	.0747	0541	1608	2343
PA 10	.0534	0748	1225	1748
11	.0452	0250	1527	1925
12	.0669	0489	1098	1590
Significant at .05	ALL	Starred Autocorrelations And Al. Partial Autocorrelations		

3

Table 2.  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{s}^2, \mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{\phi}_{kk}, k \leq 12$ , for Undifferenced and Differenced Data

- -----

-----

q	Ô	ô <sub>2</sub>	ê <sub>3</sub>	$\hat{\sigma}^2_{\mathbf{a}}$	<pre>% Reduction in Var(z)</pre>
1 2 3	5622 4791 4564	2898 2371	0987	.1074 .0991 .0981	13.2 19.9 20.7

Table 3. M.L.E. Estimation of MA(q), q = 1, 2, 3

3.3 <u>Verification</u> A verification of a model fit is based on the analysis of the residuals. For a moving average process one has to analyze the estimated series  $\{\hat{a}_i\}$  and see if it has not satisfied the independence and normality conditions. The independence condition can be checked by considering autocorrelations of  $\{\hat{a}_i\}$  and the normality by a low-powered portmanteau lack-of-fit test given by Box and Pierce (1970). The statistic

$$R = N \sum_{1}^{K} r_{k}^{2}(\hat{a})$$
 (3.9)

is calculated, where K is 30. Then should the fitted model be appropriate,

$$R \simeq \chi^2_{K-q}$$
 (3.10)

and significant R indicates model inadequacy.

For N = 1000, a fit of MA(2) model is made and the largest autocorrectation is found to be .0605 when signs are ignored. Moreover, the value of R = 27.8888 which lies below the mean of  $\chi^2_{28}$ . Therefore, the second order MA model seems to be an adequate model.

If the analysis of  $\{\hat{a},\}$  is based on most of the data N = 7630, only one of the autocorrelations equals 0.078 and four of them exceed numerically .03 but are less than .042. All others lie in the interval (-.03,.03). The R value for K = 30 is 137.8956 and for K = 1000 is 1560.6238. The increase in R value is contributed by the largeness of N. Although R is significant in both cases, it should be noted that in reality one cannot realize a perfect process with zero autocorrelations. For all practical purposes with N as large as 7630 and autocorrelations numerically less than .1, the process should be considered stationary and adequate.

3.4 <u>Forecasting</u> Using an IMSL routine and the MA(2) model on the first differences of the transformed data one can statistically forecast the daily mean temperatures and provide 95% confidence intervals. For instance, Table 4 presents forecasts for 10 days, November 23-December 2; ther

XXIX-11

ذ تشتی forecasts are based on preceding 1000 observations. How good are they?

Lower Bound	Forecast	Upper Bound	Actual Value
58	70	76	71
53	69	76	66
53	69	76	62
52	69	77	64
52	69	77	40
51	69	77	55
51	69	77	73
51	69	77	72
50	69	77	73
50	69	77	69

Table 4.95% Confidence Interval for Forecasts with Lead of10 Days, Based on Preceding 1000 Observations.

Except one all observations are found in the confidence belt. However, one may argue that the width of the confidence belt is so large that it cannot fail, but contain the observations. The maxima for these ten days from the 21 year observations are 75-77 which coincide with the upper bounds of the forecasts and the minima lie in forties whereas the lower bounds are in fifties. The forecasting model does reduce the length of confidence interval.

3.6 <u>Seasonality Factor</u> The above sequence of procedures of identification, estimation and verification is done with seasonality factor. It is surprising to discover that no seasonal model fits better than the MA(2). In fact, a seasonal model in the ARIMA class does not satisfy the criteria for fitness of the data.

Finally, the running mean follows the trend of the daily mean temperature, but possesses a smaller variation.

#### COMPLUSIONS

The daily mean temperature follows an integrated moving average model IMA(1,2) after logarithmic transformation. The trend of the series is best described by a cubic spline with, at most, four knots. It should be borne in mind that a forecast, however sophisticated it may be, is itself an educated guess and may not prove to be better than a forecast arrived at by the shadow of a ground hog.

--

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Anderson, O. D., <u>Time Series Analysis and Forecasting, The Box-</u> Jenkins Approach, Butterworths, London, 1976.
- Bartlett, M. S., "On the Theoretical Specification of Sampling Properties of Autocorrelated Time Series.", <u>J. R. Statist. Soc.</u>, B 8, 27-41, 1946.
- 3. Box, G.E.P. and Jenkins, G. M., <u>Time Series Analysis Forecasting</u> and <u>Control</u>, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1970.
- 4. Box, G.E.P. and Pierce, D. A., "Distribution of Residual Autocorrelations in Autoregressive-Integrated Moving Average Time Series Models,"J. Am. Statist. Ass., 65, 1509-1526, 1970.
- 5. Quenuille, M. H., "Approximate Tests of Correlation in Time Series", J. R. Statist. Soc., B 11, 68-84, 1949.

XXIX-13

.. .

Z

## N82-17073 D30

#### 1981

#### NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

#### MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

#### SURFACE CONTROL TEMPERATURES FOR THE BRIDGMAN-STOCKBARGER AND FLOAT ZONE TECHNIQUES

Prepared By:

ŗ

: ז ז

۰,

THE CONTRACT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCR

Larry M. Foster, Ph.D.

Academic Rank:

Assistant Professor

University and Department

University of Alabama in Huntsville Mathematics Department

NASA/MSFC: (Laboratory) (Division) (Branch)

MSFC Counterpart

Date:

Contract No.:

Space Sciences Space Processing Sumiconductors and Devices

R. J. Naumann

August 21, 1981

NGT-01-008-021 (University of Alabama)

XXX

#### SURFACE CONTROL TEMPERATURES FOR THE BRIDGMAN-STOCKBARGER AND FLOAT-ZONE TECHNIQUES

#### By

į

į

#### Larry M. Foster, Fn.D. Assistant Professor of Mathematics University of Alabama in Huntsville Huntsville, Alabama

#### ABSTRACT

The surface control temperature required to properly pose the equations of state for the Bridgman-Stockbarger and float-zone techniques will be investigated. In particular, let

(1)	ΔT =	$P \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$ ,	<b>x</b> < 0	and	0	<	r	<	1
-----	------	-------------------------------------	--------------	-----	---	---	---	---	---

(2) 
$$T(0,r) = A(r), 0 < r < 1$$

(3) 
$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}(0,r) = B(r), \quad 0 < r < 1$$

and

(4) 
$$T(x,1) = f(x), x < 0$$

where A(r) and B(r) are given and T is the material temperature. If f(x) is arbitrary, then (1)-(4) are over-posed and usually have no solution. We will 1 vestigate the properties the surface control temperature f(x) must possess to properly pose (1)-(4).

NASA/MSFC	
(Laboratory)	Space Sciences
(Division)	Space Processing
(Branch)	Semiconductors and Devices
MSFC Counterpart:	R. J. Naumann

XXXi

ŝ

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

÷

٨.

2

è

÷

The author wishes to thank Dr. R. J. Naumann for allowing the author to work on this problem and for his many enlightening remarks. In addition, special thanks to the George C. Marshall Space Flight Center and The University of Alabama for their support, to my wife Helen for her patience, and to God for  $2^{N_0}$  blessings.

2

م يطاهرو محمد بد الديورة بالعمد ال

s"h

XXX-ii

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The scaled partial differential equations defining crystal growth by the Bridgman-Stockbarger technique [1] are

(1) 
$$\nabla^2 T = P_A \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$$
, xeo, oerei

and

(2) 
$$\nabla^2 T = P_s \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$$
,  $x > 0$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ 

where  $P_{\mathbf{Q}}$  and  $P_{s}$  are the liquid and solid Péclèt numbers respectively, T is the working material temperature and x is the axial distance from an assumed flat solid-melt interface. To conserve energy at the interface,

(3) 
$$-K_{\chi} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}_{\chi=0^{-}} + K_{s} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}_{\chi=0^{-}} + \mathcal{L} = 0$$

where  $K_{\chi}$  and  $K_{s}$  are the respective liquid and solid conductivities and  $\chi$  is the product of the crystal growth rate, the melc density, and the latent heat of solidification. The boundary heating and cooling mechanisms in the idealized case are

(4) 
$$-K_{I}\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = h_{I}(T-T_{I})$$
,  $r=1$ ,  $x < 0$ 

and

**Fi**.,

(5) 
$$-K_{s}\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = h_{s}T$$
,  $r=1$ ,  $x>0$ 

where the ambient temperature for the solid region, x > 0, has been scaled to zero and h and h are the liquid and solid heat transfer coefficients at crucible surface, r = 1. Equations (1)-(5) are mathematically properly posed and may be solved by the s-paration of variables method. However, if M is the working material's melting temperature and we adjoin to equation (1)-(5)

(6) 
$$T)_{X=0^{-}} = M = T)_{X=0^{+}}, 0 < 1 < 1$$

the system (1)-(6) is overposed. If the system (1)-(6) has a solution,

XXX-1

then the process parameters  $P_1$ ,  $P_s$ ,  $K_s$ ,  $K_s$ ,  $\chi$ ,  $h_1$ ,  $h_s$ , T and M are necessarily functionally dependent [2]. However, in general, this necessary functional dependence is not sufficient to guarantee (1)-(6) has a solution. The problem is further complicated by the addition of a more realistic adiabatic zone from x = -Q to x = L containing the melt (x = 0) interface. The resulting system is then

(7)  $\nabla^2 T_1 = P_s \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial x}$ , x > L, ocrei

(8) 
$$\nabla^{2}T_{2} = P_{3}\frac{\partial T_{1}}{\partial x}$$
,  $O \in X \in L$ ,  $O \in C \in I$ 

. –

(9) 
$$\nabla^2 T_3 = F_1 \frac{JT_3}{Jx} , -Q L X LO, O L F LI$$

(10) 
$$\nabla^2 T = P_1 \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$$
,  $x = Q_1 O e r = 1$ 

(11) 
$$-K_s \frac{JT_s}{Jr} = h_s T_s, x > L, r = 1$$

(12) 
$$\frac{\partial I_2}{\partial r} = 0$$
,  $0 < X < L$ ,  $r = 1$ 

(13) 
$$\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial r} = 0$$
,  $-Q \perp X \perp C$ ,  $r \perp I$ 

(14) 
$$-K_{1}\frac{JT}{Jr} = h_{1}(T-T_{1}), \times L-Q, r=1$$

(15) 
$$T_1 = T_2$$
,  $x = L$ , OLTLI

(16) 
$$T_1 = M = T_3$$
,  $X = 0$ , OLTLI

(17) 
$$T_3 = T$$
,  $x = -Q$ ,  $O \leq r \leq 1$ 

(18) 
$$\frac{\partial T_{i}}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial T_{u}}{\partial x}$$
,  $x = L$ ,  $o \perp r \perp l$ 

(19) 
$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial x}$$
,  $x = -Q$ ,  $O \leq r \leq 1$ 

and

(20) 
$$-K_{1}\frac{\partial T_{3}}{\partial x}+K_{1}\frac{\partial T_{2}}{\partial x}+d^{2}=0$$
,  $x=0$ ,  $0$ 

`

Let System I include equations (7)-(9), (11)-(13), (15), (16), (18) and (20). Then System I is properly posed if we include the realistic conditions

ţ

÷

.

١

1

(21) 
$$\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial r} = 0$$
,  $i = 1, 2$  and 3

and

۰;

- 1

1

١

3

However, if (14) and (19) were adjoined to System I, the resulting system would be overposed and generally insolvable. To circumvent this dilemma, we propose the following. First solve System I and let

(23) 
$$A(r) = T_{r}(x, r), \quad x = -\mathcal{Q}, \quad 0 < r < 1$$

and

(24) 
$$B(r) = \frac{\partial T_3}{\partial x}, x = -Q, O < r < 1$$

Then replace condition (14) by

(25) 
$$T(x,r) = f(x), x - Q, r = 1$$

where

$$f(-Q) = A(1)$$
 and  $f'(-Q) = B(1)$ ,

but f(x) is otherwise unknown. The control f(x) is constructed such that the solution of the well posed System II:

(26) 
$$\nabla^2 T = P_x \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$$
,  $X L - Q$ , incred

(27) 
$$T(x,r) = A(r), x = -Q, 04r41$$

(28) 
$$T(x,r) = f(x), x - Q, r = 1$$

also satisfies

é,

(29) 
$$\frac{JT}{Jx}(x,r) = B(r)$$
,  $x = -Q$ , OLTLI

In other words, we wish to construct a heating control f(x) such that if (14) is replaced by (28), the system (7)-(13), (15)-(20) and (28) has a solution. If, in addition, a Newton heating control g(x) is desired, i.e., ĩ,

(30) 
$$-K_{1}\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = h_{1}(T-g(x)), x < -Q, r=1$$

instead of an infinite sink heating control f(x), then we only need solve

$$-K_{\perp}\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = h_{\perp}(f(x) - g(x)), \ x \perp -Q, \ r \geq 1$$

for g(x) since f(x) and T (and hence  $\frac{\partial T}{\partial r}$ ) have already been found. In Section 2 of this paper, the control f(x) is constructed such that (26), (27), (28) and (29) has a solution. The solution of System I is constructed in Section 3 and coupled with the results of Section 2. A numerical case study is given in Section 4 followed by various remarks and conclusions in Section 5.

#### 2. CONSTRUCTION OF THE CONTROL SURFACE

If f(x) is the desired control such that (26)-(29) has a solution, then define O(x,r) by

$$T(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}) = \Theta(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}) + f(\mathbf{x}), \ \mathbf{x} < -Q \text{ and } 0 < \mathbf{r} < 1$$

If we define G(x) = Pf'-f'', A(r)-f(-Q) = Q(r) and B(r)-f'(-Q) = B(r), then

(31) 
$$\theta_{xx} + \theta_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}\theta_r = P_1\theta_x + \dot{u}(x), x - Q_1 ource$$

(32) 
$$\Theta(x,r) \circ Q(r)$$
,  $X = -Q$ ,  $O \perp r \perp i$ 

(33)  $\Theta_{\chi}(x,r) = B(r), X = -Q, O < r < 1$ 

and

100

XXX-4

-----

(34) 
$$\Theta(x,r) = 0$$
,  $x \leftarrow -Q$ ,  $O \leftarrow r \leftarrow 1$ 

Although the internal heat generation term G(x) in (31) complicates the partial differential equation, the reduction of the nonhomogeneous boundary condition (28) to that of a homogenous one (34) greatly simplifies the solution process. To solve (31), we first solve the Helmhotz eigenvalue problem:

ند ، م

:

÷

đ

3

(35) 
$$\begin{cases} \nabla^{2} \Psi(r) + \lambda^{2} \Psi(r) = 0 , 0 \le r \le 1 \\ \Psi(1) = 0 \\ \Psi'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

The solutions of (35) are the Bessel functions

(36) 
$$\Psi_{m}(r) = J_{o}(\lambda_{m}r), m = 1, 2, \cdots$$

where the eigenvalues of (35) are the real positive roots of

(37) 
$$J_o(\lambda_m) = 0$$

Moreover,

(38) 
$$\int_{0}^{1} \Psi_{N}(r) \Psi_{M}(r) r dr = \begin{cases} 0 & \mathcal{U}_{N} \neq M \\ \frac{1}{2} J_{i}^{2}(\lambda_{M}) & \mathcal{U}_{N} = N \end{cases}$$

If we let

(39) 
$$\Theta(r, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(x) \Psi_n(r)$$

then (38) implies

(40) 
$$\int_{0}^{1} \Theta(x,r) \psi_{m}(r) r dr = C_{m}(x) \frac{J_{i}^{2}(\lambda_{m})}{2}$$

Equations (39) and (40 form the dual integral transform pair of O(x,r):

XXX- 5

(41) 
$$\begin{cases} \Theta(\mathbf{x}, r) = \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \psi_{M}(r)}{J_{i}^{2}(\lambda_{M})} \overline{\Theta}_{M}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \overline{\Theta}_{M}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{0}^{1} \Theta(r_{i}, \mathbf{x}) \psi_{M}(r) r dr \end{cases}$$

If we define

Ę,

i.

(42) 
$$\overline{G}_{m}(\mathbf{x}) = \int G(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{m}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}$$

ł

multiply (31) by  $\psi_m(r)rdr$ , integrate each side of the resulting equation from r = 0 to r = 1 and apply Green's Theorem, then

۳,

and a support state and st

ĩ

7

- 10 C

. . . . .

(43) 
$$-\lambda_{m}^{2}\overline{\theta}_{m}+\overline{\theta}_{m}^{\prime\prime}=P_{\mu}\overline{\theta}_{m}+\overline{G}_{m}$$

Since

(44) 
$$\overline{\Theta}_{M}(-Q) = \int Q(r) \Psi_{M}(r) r dr$$

and

(45) 
$$\bar{\Theta}'_{m}(-\Theta) = \int_{\Theta} \mathcal{B}(r) \Psi_{m}(r) r dr$$

we need only solve the initial value problem (43)-(45) to compute  $\overline{\Theta}_{m}(x)$ . Because  $\mathcal{Q}(1) = \mathcal{R}(1) = 0$ , we may expand  $\mathcal{Q}(r)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(r)$  as

(46) 
$$Q(r) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Q_m J_n(\lambda_m r)$$

and

(47) 
$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{J}_o(\lambda_m \mathbf{r})$$

where

(48) 
$$Q_m = \frac{2}{J_i^2(\lambda_m)} \int_0^1 J_o(\lambda_m r) Q(r) r dr$$

XXX-6

C - - -

and

(49) 
$$B_m = \frac{2}{J_i^2(\lambda_m)} \int J_o(\lambda_m r) B(r) r dr$$

• •

Combining (38), (44)-(47),

(50) 
$$\overline{\Theta}_{m}(-Q) = Q_{m} \frac{\overline{J}_{1}(\lambda_{m})}{2}$$

and

(51) 
$$\tilde{\Theta}'_{m}(-Q) = \mathcal{B}_{m} \frac{J_{n}(\lambda_{m})}{2}$$

For notational convenience, let  $\int_{a_1} = \sqrt{P_1^2 + 4\lambda_m^2}$ . Then the solution of (43), (50) and (51) is

91 · · · · · · ·

(52) 
$$\overline{\Theta}_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{J_{1}^{2}(\lambda_{\mathbf{M}})}{2S_{\mathbf{M}}} \left( \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{M}} - \frac{P_{1}-S_{\mathbf{M}}}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{M}} \right) E_{\mathbf{x}P} \left( \frac{P_{4}+S_{\mathbf{M}}}{2} (\mathbf{x}+Q) \right)$$

$$+ e^{\frac{P_{1}+S_{m}}{2}x}\int_{-\omega}^{x}\frac{\overline{G_{m}(t)}}{S_{m}}e^{-\frac{P_{1}+S_{m}}{2}t}dt$$

$$+ \frac{T_{r}^{2}(\lambda_{m})}{2S_{m}}\left(\frac{P_{2}+S_{m}}{2}a_{m}-B_{m}\right)e^{\frac{P_{1}-S_{m}}{2}(x+\omega)}$$

$$- Exp\left(\frac{P_{1}-S_{m}}{2}x\right)\int_{-\omega}^{x}\frac{\overline{G_{m}(t)}}{S_{m}}e^{-\frac{P_{1}-S_{m}}{2}t}dt$$

Since we expect  $\lim_{x \to -\infty} T(x,r) = f(x)$ , we require  $\lim_{x \to -\infty} \overline{O}_m(x) = 0$ . Hence, if we assume G(x) = Pf' - f'' + 0 as  $x \to -\infty$  (a realistic assumption), then (52) implies we must also require

(53) 
$$\lim_{X\to-\infty} \left[ \frac{T_1(\lambda_m)}{2S_m} \left( \frac{P_2 + S_m}{2} Q_m - B_m \right) E_{XP} \left( \frac{P_2 - S_m}{2} Q \right) - \int_{-Q}^{X} \frac{\overline{G}_m(t)}{S_m} e^{-\frac{P_2 - S_m}{2}t} dt \right] e^{\frac{P_2 - S_m}{2}x} = 0$$

XXX-7

For notational convenience, let

(54) 
$$G(x-Q) = E(-x), x < 0$$

Then (53) implies

ł

(55) 
$$\frac{\lambda_{n} T_{i}(\lambda_{m})}{2} \left( \mathcal{B}_{m} - \frac{P_{a} + S_{m}}{2} \mathcal{Q}_{m} \right)$$
  
=  $\int_{0}^{\infty} E(t) e^{-\frac{S_{m} - P_{a}}{2}t} dt$ 

Because we assume  $G(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we expand  $E(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e^{-kt}$ . However, for computational purposes, we approximate E(t) by  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e^{-kt}$ and then solve the approximate identity

(56) 
$$\frac{\lambda_{m}J_{i}(\lambda_{m})}{2} \left(\mathcal{B}_{m} - \frac{P_{A}+S_{m}}{2}\mathcal{A}_{m}\right) = \int_{P}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k} e^{-\left(\frac{S_{m}-P_{A}}{2}+k\right)t} dt$$

for 
$$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$$
. To approximate  $f(x)$ , we need only then solve  
(57) 
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k e^{-kt} = -Pg'(t) - g''(t), t > 0 \\ g(0) = A(1) \\ g'(0) = -B(1) \end{cases}$$

and set

(58) 
$$f(t) = g(-\omega - t), t = 0$$

(57) is easily solved by

(59) 
$$g(t) = A + Be^{-P_{1}t} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k-P_{1}}\right] \frac{a_{k}}{P_{1}}e^{-kt}$$

where

AP 2

$$\binom{1}{0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ P_{A} \end{pmatrix} \binom{A}{B} = \binom{A(1)}{B(1)} - \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k-\frac{P}{A}} \right] \frac{a_{b}}{P_{A}} \binom{1}{k}$$

#### 3. SOLUTION OF SYSTEM I

To complete the method described in Section 2, the functions (r) and (r) must be known. Because  $T_3(x,r) = Q(r)$  and  $\frac{T_3}{T_3}(x,r) = (r)$  at x = -Q, we need only solve System I (equations (7)-(9), (11)-(13), (15), (16), (18), and (20)-(22)). Assume  $h_s \neq 0$ and let  $\beta_n$  and  $\lambda_n$  be the real roots of

(60) 
$$\begin{cases} K_{S} \beta_{m} J_{i} (\beta_{m}) = h_{S} J_{i} (\beta_{m}) \\ \text{and} \\ J_{0} (\lambda_{m}) = 0 \text{ with } \lambda_{i} > 0 \end{cases}$$

Separating variables, the solutions of (7)-(9) are

(61) 
$$T_{1}(x,r) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{m} J_{0}(\beta_{m}r) Exp(\frac{P_{s} - \sqrt{P_{s}^{2} + 4\beta_{m}^{2}}}{2}x)$$

(62) 
$$T_{2}(x,r) = \sum_{m \ge 1}^{\infty} J_{o}(\lambda r) \left[ B_{m} e^{\frac{P_{s} - P_{s}^{2} + \gamma y_{m}^{2}}{2} x} - C_{m} e^{\frac{P_{s} + \sqrt{P_{s}^{2} + \gamma y_{m}^{2}}}{2} x} \right]$$

and

, 1

۱

1

-----

......

(63) 
$$T_3(x,r) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} J_0(\lambda_m r) \left[ D_m e^{\frac{P_1 - \sqrt{P_1^2 + 4y_m^2}}{2}x} - E_m e^{\frac{P_1 + \sqrt{P_1^2 + 4y_m^2}}{2}x} \right]$$

Combining (62) and (63) with (16),

(64) 
$$\begin{cases} B_{i} + C_{i} = M = D_{i} + E_{i} \\ and \\ B_{m} + C_{m} = O = D_{m} + E_{m}, \quad m = 2, 3 \dots \end{cases}$$

and hence (20) coupled with the partial derivatives of (62) and (63) with respect to x imply (note 1 = 0)

(65) 
$$\int K_{g} E_{1} P_{1} - K_{s} C_{1} P_{s} = \mathcal{R}$$
  
(65) 
$$\int -K_{g} D_{m} \sqrt{P_{1}^{2} + 4Y_{m}^{2}} + K_{s} B_{m} \sqrt{P_{s}^{2} + 4Y_{m}^{2}} = 0, \quad m = 2, 3, \cdots$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{J}_{0}^{2}(\vartheta_{N}r)rdr = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}_{0}^{2}(\vartheta_{N}), N=1,2,\cdots$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} J_{0}(\beta_{N}r) J_{0}(\vartheta_{N}r) r dr = \frac{\beta_{m} J_{0}(\vartheta_{N}) J_{i}(\beta_{m})}{\beta_{m}^{2} - \vartheta_{N}^{2}}$$

if (61) and (62) are substituted in (15) and (18), then, after multiplying each of the resulting equations by  $J_0(Y_N r) r dr$  and integrating from r = 0to  $r = i_1$ 

(66) 
$$\sum_{m=1}^{L} A_{m} \frac{\beta_{m} J_{i}(\beta_{m})}{\beta_{m}^{2} - \gamma_{N}^{2}} E \times P\left(\frac{P_{s} - \sqrt{P_{s}^{2} + 4\beta_{m}^{2}}}{2}L\right)$$
$$= \begin{cases} \left(B_{1} + C_{1} e^{-\frac{P_{s}L}{2}}\right) / 2 , & N = 1\\ B_{N}\left(e^{-\frac{P_{s} - \sqrt{P_{s}^{2} + 4\gamma_{N}^{2}}}{2}L - e^{-\frac{P_{s} + \sqrt{P_{s}^{2} + 4\gamma_{N}^{2}}}{2}L\right) \frac{J_{0}(\delta_{N})}{2} , & N = 2, 3, \cdots \end{cases}$$

and

and  
(67) 
$$\sum_{M=1}^{\infty} A_{M} \frac{P_{s} - \sqrt{P_{s}^{2} + 4\beta_{M}^{2}}}{2} \cdot \frac{\beta_{m} J_{i}(\beta_{m})}{\beta_{m}^{2} - \delta_{N}^{2}} e^{\frac{P_{s} - \sqrt{P_{s}^{2} + 4\beta_{m}^{2}}}{2}} \left[ \frac{C_{i} P_{s} e^{\frac{P_{s}^{2}}{2}}/2}{2} \cdot N^{z}}{N^{z}} \right] \frac{N = 1}{2} = \begin{cases} C_{i} P_{s} e^{\frac{P_{s}^{2}}{2}}/2 \cdot N^{z}} N = 1 \\ B_{N} \left[ \frac{P_{s} - \sqrt{P_{s}^{2} + 4\gamma_{M}^{2}}}{2} E_{up} \left( \frac{P_{s} - \sqrt{P_{s}^{2} + 4\gamma_{M}^{2}}}{2} L \right) - \frac{P_{s} + \sqrt{P_{s}^{2} + 4\gamma_{M}^{2}}}{2} E_{up} \left( \frac{P_{s} + \sqrt{P_{s}^{2} + 4\gamma_{M}^{2}}}{2} L \right) \frac{J_{o}(\delta_{N})}{2} \cdot N^{z}}{N = 2, 3, \cdots} \end{cases}$$

1. 1. A. 1. A. 1. A. 1. A.

- 1-4

clearly, if we knew  $A_1, A_2, \cdots$ , then we could compute all the necessary coefficients for (62) and (63) by merely invoking (64)-(66). However, for computational purposes, we will only approximate  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$ If, for N = 1 (note (64)), we combine (66) and (67), eleminate  $B_1$  and  $C_1$ and compute only the first K terms of the resulting infinite series, we produce the approximate identity P DIMA!

(68) 
$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{m} \left[ \frac{2}{1-e^{r_{s}L}} - \frac{2}{p_{s}e^{r_{s}L}} \frac{p_{s}-p_{s}^{2}+y_{\beta}\pi}{2} \right] \frac{J_{i}(\beta_{m})}{\beta_{m}} e^{\frac{p_{s}-p_{s}^{2}+y_{\beta}\pi}{2}} = \frac{M}{1-e^{p_{s}L}}$$

Similarly, for N = 2, ..., K, we construct the approximate identity

XXX--10

and

#### 4. NUMERICAL CASE STUDY

In this section we present a numerical case study of the methods described in Sections 2 and 3. Let  $P_{g} = .1$ ,  $P_{s} = .2$ ,  $h_{s} = K_{s} = K_{d} = L = Q = \mathcal{L} = 1.0$ , and M = 10.0. First, the set of coefficients  $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{K}$  for  $K = 2, \cdots, 7$  are computed as outlined in Section 3 and are partially displayed in Table 1

	K = 2	K = 4	K = 6	K = 7
A <sub>1</sub>	.1869+02	.1863+02	.1862+02	.1862+02
A <sub>2</sub>	4982+02	49829+02	4814+02	4811+02
A		.4151+03	.4115+03	.4106+03
A,		5288+04	5162+04	5147+04
A <sub>c</sub>			.7723+05	<b>.7681+</b> 05
A <sub>4</sub>			1284+07	1267+07
0 A <sub>7</sub>				.2241+08

Table 1

XXX-11

The size of K appears to have little affect on  $A_1, \dots, A_K$ . Also, since the terms in (61) have rapidly decaying exponential factors, the increas-ing magnitudes of the  $A_n$ 's is to be expected. For K = 7, the various  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$ ,  $D_n$  and  $E_n$  are given in Table 2.

n	Bn	C <sub>n</sub>	Dn	En
1	.6146+02	5146+02	.3073+02	2073+02
2	1017-01	.1017-01	1016-01	.1016-01
3	.1928-03	1928-03	.1927-03	1927-03
4	4959-05	.4959-05	4960-05	.4959-05
5	.1463-06	1463-06	.1463-06	1463-06
6	4670-08	.4670-08	4700-08	.4670-08
7	.1570-09	-1570-09	.1570-09	1570-09
		Table 2		

To avoid numerical instabilities, we approximate the coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_5, B_1, \dots$  and  $B_5$  in (46) and (47) by solving the overposed systems of linear equations

and

 $\sum_{m=1}^{r} \mathcal{Q}_{m} J_{o}(\lambda_{m} r_{k}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(r_{k}) , k = 1, \cdots, 10$  $\sum_{k=1}^{c} \mathcal{B}_{m} \mathcal{J}_{o}(\lambda_{m} r_{k}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(r_{k}), \quad k = 1, \dots, 10$ for  $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{5}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{5}$  by least squares methods where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(r)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(r)$ are defined in (70) and  $r_{k} = (k-1)/10$ . The resulting approximations for  $\boldsymbol{a}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{a}_5, \boldsymbol{B}_1, \cdots$  and  $\boldsymbol{B}_5$  are given in Table 3.

	n = 1	2	3	4	5
$a_{n}$	1349+03	,8784+02	658+02	.5472+02	2974+02
<b>B</b> <sub>n</sub>	.5475+02	3494+02	.2577+02	2123+02	.1122+02

Tab]	le 3
------	------

For N = 3, the control f(x) generated by (56)-(59) is tabulated in Table 4

#### XXX - 12

The second second states and the second seco

x	f(x)	<u> </u>	<u>f(x)</u>
-1	14.055	- 6.5	107.70
-1.5	14.967	- 7.	118.57
-2.	13.405	- 7.5	128.55
-2.5	14.701	- 8.	137.65
-3.	20.701	- 8.5	145.94
-3.5	30.600	- 9.	153.47
-4.	42.918	- 9.5	160.31
-4.5	56.359	-10.	166.5
-5.	70.012	-10.5	172.11
-5.5	83.307	-11	177.19
-6	95.922		

Table 4

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS.

In this paper we have presented a method for constructing a control surface temperature such that the partial differential equations and boundary conditions describing Bridgman-Stockbarger crystal growth are not over-posed if we require the solid-melt interface to be at x = 0. This method can also be applied to symmetric float zone methods described by:

4

(71) 
$$\nabla^2 T_s = P_s \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial x}$$
,  $X = Q$ ,  $O \leq r \leq 1$ 

× ---

(72) 
$$\nabla^2 T_{g} = P_{g} \frac{\partial I_{g}}{\partial x}$$
,  $-Q \in x \neq 0$ ,  $O \leq r \neq 1$ 

(73) 
$$-K_{J}\frac{\partial T_{J}}{\partial r} = h_{J}(T_{J} - C(x)), -Q < x < 0$$

(74) 
$$\frac{\partial T_1}{\partial x} = 0$$
,  $x = 0$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ 

(75) 
$$-K_{I}\frac{\partial T_{I}}{\partial x} + K_{S}\frac{\partial I_{S}}{\partial x} + J = 0$$
,  $x = -Q$ ,  $O(ri)$ 

and

(76) 
$$T_f = M = T_s$$
 at  $x = -Q$ 

where C(x) is a priori known. Using dual integral transform methods, equations (72)-(74) and (76) can be solved. Using (75), if we then define  $\partial T_s$  $B(r) = \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$ , x = Q, and A(r) = M, we may use the methods of Section 2 to construct the cooler control f(x), i.e.,

(77) 
$$T_{5} = f(x), r = 1, x - Q$$

XXX-13

As a numerical aside, the reader is warned not to use large N(equation (56)) because system (56) is similar to the Hilbert matrix system for such N. This, of course, is due to our method of expanding E(t) in terms of  $e^{-kt}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Undoubtedly, a better basis exists but its form is still an open question to the author.

• •

\*

#### 6. **REFERENCES**

- Chang, C.E., and Wilcox, W.R., "Control of Interface Shape in the Vertical Bridgman-Stockbarger Technique, "Journal of Cyrstal Growth", Vol. 21, pp. 135-140, 1974.
- Foster, L. M., "Material and Process Constraints for a Flat Interface in the Bridgman-Stockbarger Technique", N.A.S.A. CR-161511, Sec. VIII, Oct. 1980.

2

ţ

### N82-17074

J3,

ą

•

Å

٣.

:

÷,

NASA/ASEE Summer Faculty Research Fellowship Program

Marshall Space Flight Center The University of Alabama

#### Design Considerations of a Thermally Stabilized Continuous Flow Electrophoresis Chamber II

ł,

--

.

È.

Prepared by:	T' mas S. Jandebeur, PhD
Academic Rank:	Assistant Professor
University and Department:	Athens State College Department of Biology
NASA/MSFC: Division: Branch:	Space Processing Separation Processes
MSFC Counterpart:	Robert S. Snyder, PhD
Date:	August 21, 1981
Contract No :	NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

#### Design Considerations of a Thermally Stabilized Continuous Flow Electrophoresis Chamber II

by

Thomas S. Jandebeur Assistant Professor of Biology Athens State College Athens, Alabama

#### Abstract

The overall objective of this continuing research is to investigate the basic adjustable parameters of a Beckman Continuous Particle Electrophoresis (CPE) Apparatus to determine the optimum conditions for ground-based operation for comparison with space experiments.

Previous work has determined the optimum electrical field strength and curtain flow rate for standard cell populations in phosphate buffer, (3), the optimum concentration and flow rate of the sample (2), and has investigated the postulation by Ostrach (4) that an upflow electrophoresis chamber would be more efficient than a downflow chamber (1,2).

The purpose here is to evaluate the possible application of electrically insulated copper/aluminum chamber walls as a means to thermally stabilize or equilibrate lateral temperature gradients which exist on the walls of conventional plastic chambers and which distort the rectilinear base flow of buffer through the chamber, significantly affecting sample resolution.

#### Introduction

The rectilinear base flow through a cooled electrophoresis chamber is extremely sensitive to any lateral temperature gradients which exist on the chamber inner walls. The uniform velocity front has been seen to be affected by lateral temperature gradients as small as  $0.04^{\circ}$ C/cm in  $\approx 0.55$  cm thick test chamber (5). The plastic walls of conventional continuous flow electrophoresis chambers support significantly higher gradients. Recognizing that the thermal conductivity of all transparent materials is poor, the use of an efficient heat conductor, such as copper or aluminum, in construction of an electrophoresis chamber to allow equilibration of lateral temperature gradients should result in significantly better sample resolution.

#### **Objectives**

A major problem encountered in the development of the metal walled electrophoresis chamber is the application of a thin, buffer resistant coating which will provide electrical insulation without significantly compromising the metal's thermal conductivity (5). The purpose of this research, then, is to evaluate the performance of coatings recommended for copper and/or aluminum as applied to copper test strips, to copper inserts of a modified Beckman Continuous Particle Electrophoresis (CPE) chamber, and to the aluminum walls of a protetype electrophoresis chamber, in each case with the coating being evaluated under conditions similar to those encountered during continuous flow electrophoretic separation of a mixture of fixed red blood cells (1,2,3).

#### Materials and Methods

The following coatings recommended for copper, applied individually to clean copper test strips by dipping, were exposed to a 0.15 M NaCl solution for varying intervals not exceeding 30 days and examined periodically for evidence of corrosion (5):

71000 epoxy resin coating (Armstrong)

R-4-3117 silicone conformal coating (Dow Corning)

"Temp-R-Tape C" (CHR Industries)

Where appropriate, the coatings were preceded by an "Iridite # 7P" precoat (Allied Research Products). The Kynar film was crosslinked using a 10 mega rad dose of gamma radiation from a  $Co^{60}$  source. A fluidized bed technique was employed in the application of the 71000 epoxy resin coating.

Several coatings recommended for copper. applied individually to clean copper test strips by dipping (1202 Glyptal - General Electric; PDS Air Dry Plastic Coating - Industrial Products) or by spraying (Krylon No. 1303 Crystal Clear acrylic spray coating), were evaluated while immersed in a 0.15 M NaCl solution supporting an electric field (395 volts, 120 milliamps), with the coated copper serving as one electrode, and for varying intervals not exceeding 6 hours. Failing to conduct the current, the coating might then be judged to be an acceptable electrical insulator.

The more resistant coatings, including R-4-3117 silicone conformal coating, 71000 epoxy resin coating, Temp-R-Tape C and PDS Air Dry Plastic Coating, were subsequently evaluated in a Beckman CPE chamber modified as described in the following paragraph.

The frontplate glass insert was replaced by a copper insert of the same dimension. A plexiglass backplate fitted with a copper insert replaced the standard all plexiglass CPE backplate. Two vertical channels in the plexiglass portion of the backplate each containing 2.5 mm diameter spectra/ pore semi-micro cellulose membranes enclosing platinum electrodes communicate with the separation chamber through two 28 cm by 0.2 cm slots in the copper insert. A copper "boat" fixed to the back of the copper insert comprised the backplate cooling chamber. The copper insert with the copper "boat" attached was placed, as a unit, into a recession milled into the front of the plexiglass backplate.

#### Results

The R-4-3117 silicone conformal coating and the 71000 epoxy resin coating, applied at thicknesses of approximately .001 inch, failed to completely insulate the copper wall inserts

of the modified Beckman CPE chamber (5). Adequate insulation was provided by Temp-R-Tape C (5), and the PDS Air Dry Plastic Coating, but the configuration of the backplate copper insert electrode slots did not prove compatible with the application of either coating.

To eliminate the electrode slot coating problem, a prototype aluminum chamber was designed such that electrode channels communicated with the separation chamber from the sides of, rather than from the rear of, the separation chamber. Platinum electrodes enclosed by 2.5 mm diameter spectra/pore semimicro cellulose membranes were employed to establish an electrical field across the separation chamber. The electrodes and membranes were secured to plexiglass top and bottom plates designed to allow for electrode cooling and curtain buffer flow.

Applied to the aluminum chamber walls, Temp-R-Tape C provided adequate electrical insulation when tested for approximately 5 hours at an electrical field strength of 600 volts and 18 milliamps.

#### Conclusions and Recommendations

Temp-R-Tape C (CHR Industries, New Haven, Connecticut), a thermal curing pressure-sensitive extruded fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP) copolymer provides an acceptable combination of mechanical, thermal, electrical, and chemical properties for electrophoretic application. The tape has negligible moisture absorption and exhibits freedom from pinholes and voids as evidenced by its high dielectric strength (2800 volts/mil). Further, it is extremely thin (3 mils), is relatively easy to apply, and adheres well to a copper or aluminum substrate.

Dupont Company manufactures several Teflon finishes which may be applied with electrostatic or conventional compressed air paint spraying equipment. Teflon FEP finishes, designed to give a non-porous surface with high release characteristics, a low coefficient of friction and excellent chemical resistance, may be applied to aluminum with a total dry film thickness of from 0.9 to 1.3 mils, including a primer thickness of approximately 0.3 mils. Teflon-S non-stick finishes are similarly characterized.

The following recommendations are made, to be carried out in the indicated sequence: (1) that Teflon FEP and/or Teflon-S finishes be applied to the prototype aluminum wall chamber by a licensed industrial applicator and evaluated under test conditions similar to these described in this paper;

ne meter en e havan de akken a h

1.4

1

(2) that Type C Teflon (FEP) film, 0.5 mils thick (50 gauge), be applied to the prototype aluminum wall chamber using 46960 polyester adhesive and RC803 curing agent (both available from Dupont Company), and evaluated under test conditions similar to those described in this paper;

(3) that, in order to be compatible with the application of Teflon finishes or films, the Beckman CPE chamber be modified such that the electrode placement is at the side of the separation chamber, as opposed to from the rear of the separation chamber, and to accept aluminum wall inserts;

(4) that, in addition to Temp-R-Tape C, should the Teflon FEP and/or S finishes and/or the Type C Teflon (FEP) film prove to be effective electrical insulators, these coatings be applied to the aluminum wall inserts of a Beckman CPE chamber modified as described in (3) above; and

(5) that the Beckman CPE chamber modified as described in (3) and (4) above be utilized to generate data for comparison with results achieved in the separation of a mixture of fixed red blood cells in the conventional Beckman CPE chamber (2).

, <sup>7</sup> ,

#### References

1. Jandebeur, Thomas S., Continuous Flow Electrophoresis: The effect of Sample Concentration on Throughput and Resolution in an Upward Flowing System. NASA CR#161511

Ş

- 2. McGuire, J. K. and R. S. Snyder, Operational Parameters for Continuous Flow Electrophoresis of Cells, in press.
- 3. McGuire, J. K. and R. S. Snyder, Characterization of Continuous Flow Electrophoresis for Improvement of Resolution and Throughput, in press.
- 4. Ostrach, S., Convection in Continuous Flow Electrophoresis, J. Chromat. 140, 187 (1977).

a

the table of the second se

,

ţ

5. Rhodes, Percy H., Teresa Y. Miller and Robert S. Snyder, Design Considerations of a Thermally Stabilized Continuous Flow Electrophoresis Chamber, in press.

# **N82-17075** D32

1

#### NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

÷ 2

#### MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

#### MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING TECHNIQUES FOR SCHEDULING SPACELAB CREW ACTIVITIES AND EXPERIMENT OPERATIONS

Prepared By:	Frank H, Mathis
Academic Rank:	Assistant Professor
University and Department:	Baylor University Department of Mathematics
NASA/MSFC: Division: Branch	Mission Analysis Mission Integration
MSFC Counterpart:	William C. Askew
Date:	August 14, 1981
Contract No.:	NGT 0 <b>1-006-021</b> The University of Alabama in Huntsville

XXXII

#### MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING TECHNIQUES FOR SCHEDULING SPACELAB CREW ACTIVITIES AND EXPERIMENT OPERATIONS

11.

- - -

Ł

BY

Frank H. Mathis Assistant Professor of Mathematics Baylor University

#### ABSTRACT

The objective of this report is to investigate several mathematical programming techniques which may be applied to the scheduling of experiments and crew activities for Spacelab missions. We will discuss the use of currently known methods, in particular zero-one programming and heuristic dispatching. In addition we propose a new type of scheduling algorithm and present examples to illustrate and test its use.

XXXII-i

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

• 1

и с. С мар манериа

> The author wishes to thank the members of the Mission Integration Branch and, in particular, Bill Askew and Jerry Weiler for their generous support and assistance.

> > ŝ

XXXII-ii

#### INTRODUCTION

1 -

In order to obtain a schedule of activities for a Spacelab mission, a number of experiments must be assigned to specific times in the mission so that a variety of constraints are satisfied. Since this problem may be formulated as a limited resource - project scheduling task, several well-tested algorithms exist for obtaining a good solution.

The use of zero-one programming as developed by Pritsker, Watters and Wolf [4] and improved by several authors (see, for example, [5]) has proved effective on small scheduling problems. However, run time and computer storage requirements increase rapidly with the number of variables present and may prove prohibitive for use on a problem the size of a Spacelab mission.

On the otherhand, dispatching techniques, which take the experiments to be scheduled in a specific order and assign each to the first valid time in the mission, produce results with relatively fast run-time and small storage requirements. However, these methods may fail to yield a good schedule. The result is that a great deal of time must be spent investigating and editing the timeline obtained from a dispatching scheduler to obtain a better schedule.

Clearly there is a need for algorithms which can obtain a good quality timeline and not exceed unreasonable time and storage requirements.

XXXII-1

#### OBJECTIVES

The objective of this report is to investigate current techniques for scheduling with resource-constraints. In particular, we discuss the use of zero-one programming and dispatching methods. We will then introduce a new algorithm which combines characteristics of both existing methods to generate a valid schedule of experiments. Examples and test results are presented to illustrate the feasibility of the use of this algorithm on data typical to Spacelab missions.

XXXII-2

- ----

#### DEFINITION OF PROBLEM

an William and a

In order to formulate the problem of scheduling a Spacelab mission, we assume that each experiment has been divided into a number of individual tasks which we denote as models. A model in turn is divided into separate steps each of which demand a specific set of requirements. In addition, each model may have to be scheduled several times. We denote this by the number of performances of a model.

The constraints imposed on the schedule are of the following types. A step may have several resource requirements. These include the use of a crewman or a certain piece of equipment as well as electrical power, computer memory, data transmission and so forth. Two steps may have a sequencing constraint. That is, step A must be scheduled before step B with specified minimum and maximum delays between the two steps. Each model will have an early and a late start time between which the first step of each performance must be scheduled. Finally, many steps must be scheduled during one of several specific time intervals of the mission. For example, a step may require the ability to view a certain star. Thus that step can only be scheduled during those times in the Spacelab orbit that the star is visible. We refer to this type of requirement as a target. We assume that we know the times at which any target is available during the mission.

Thus the problem is to schedule as many as possible of the specified number of performances of each model such that all constraints are satisfied. We refer to the resulting schedule as a timeline.

As an example of the type problem encountered in a Spacelab mission we will use a data file which was constructed in June 1980 for Spacelab I. We point out that this file is not currently in use; however, we feel it can serve to typify the size and structure of the problem. Table I summerizes this data.

XXXII-3

#### TABLE I

۰.

Ę.

4

- ----

Ľ

:

- ---

-

#### DATA for SPACELAB I (June 1980 version)

Length of timeline	165.61 H	lours
Number of models	218	
Number of performances	935	
Total number of steps	3497	
Number of crew available	6	
Types of equipment	38	
Other types of resource constraints	4	
Number to targets	72	

XXXII-4

-----

#### TYPES OF SCHEDULERS

#### I. Zero-one Programming.

The use of zero-one programming was first applied to scheduling problems in a series of papers by Pritsker, Watters and Wolf [4]. In this approach the timeline is divided into discrete time intervals. Corresponding to each interval a variable must be defined for every step in the problem. A variable is assigned the value of "one" if the corresponding step starts during that time interval and a value of "zero" otherwise. One advantage of this formulation is that the problem is easily represented by a set of linear equalities and inequalities. We illustrate this below. Refer to Table II for a listing of the required variables.

#### TABLE II

#### Variables for zero-one programming

i	-	Subscript referring to a model.
j	-	Subscript referring to a performance.
k	-	Subscript referring to a step.
t	-	Subscript referring to a time interval.
x (ijkt)	-	A zero-one variable assigned the value of 1 if step k of perform- ance j of model i starts in time period t, and 0 otherwise.
d (ik)	-	Duration of the kth step of model i.
min (ik)	-	Minimum delay after step k of model i.
max (ik)	-	Maximum delay after step k of model i.
E (i)	-	Early start time for model i.
L (i)	-	Late start time for model i.
N (i)	-	Number of steps for model i.
P (i)	-	Number of performances for model i.

XXXII-5

-----
# ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

# TABLE II

. .

Variables for zero-one programming (cont )

m		-	Subscript	referring to a resource.
r	(ikm)	-	Amount of step k of	resource m required by model i.
R	(mt)	-	Amount of time t.	resource m available <b>a</b> t

The various constraints may be defined as follows: Sequencing examples for steps k and k+1 of model i, performance j:

L(1)  
L(
$$t \in X(ijkt)$$
 + d(ik) + min(ik)  $\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{t \in X(ijk+lt)\}$   
t=E(1)  
t=E(1)

and

\_ · · · T

١.

$$L(i) \qquad L(i)$$

$$L(i) = E(i) \left\{ t \cdot X(ijk+lt) \right\} \leq \underbrace{L(i)}_{t=E(i)} \left\{ t \cdot X(ijkt) \right\} + d(ik) + max(ik).$$

Resource example (including targets) for resource m at time t:

$$P(i) \quad N(i) \qquad \hat{t}$$

$$\sum_{i} \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{k-1} \left\{ r(ikm) \sum_{t=\hat{t} - d(ik)}^{l} [X(ijkt)] \right\} \leq R(m\hat{t}).$$

In addition we require a step definition constraint for each step. This will assure that the step is assigned to at most one start time.

-----



Also a performance completion constraint is needed for each performance. This will allow no step in a performance to be scheduled unless the last step is also scheduled.

7,4

and the second second

L(i) N(i) L(i)  

$$\sum_{i=E(i)}^{L(i)} X(ijkt) = \sum_{i=E(i)}^{L(i)} \{N(i), V(ij, N(i), t)\}, \text{ for all } i \text{ and } j.$$

1 5

Using the above constraints one may program the problem to optimize several objective functions. We list some examples.

To obtain the most steps possible we select the X(ijkt) to maximize.

•	P(i)	N(i)	L(i)	
L	Ł	Ł	Z,	Y(;;k+)
i	j=1	k=1	t=E(i)	V(I)V()°

Similarly maximizing the following functions produces the most performances, models and experiment time respectively.

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{t=E(i)}^{t} \chi(i P(i) N(i) t),$$

	P(i)	N(i)	L(i)
Ł	Ł	Ł	$\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \underbrace{ \left\{ d(ik) & X(ijkt) \right\}} }_{\bullet}$
i	j=1	k=1	t=E(i)

Since good algorithms exist to handle integer programming with zero-one variables (see, for example, chapter XI of [3]), the above approach appears as an attractive means to solve the scheduling problem. However, if one applies this technique to Spacelab data, obvious problems arise.

XXXII-7

First the largest time interval which would reasonably define the timeline is one minute. This means that a formulation of 3497 steps over 165 hours would require well over 3 million variables. Although techniques such as the method of Talbot and Patterson [5] can greatly decrease this storage requirement, the time required to solve the zero-one problem remains substantial. This is because the most efficient zeroone algorithms employ an implicit enumeration technique in which after a feasible solution is obtained, the method back tracks until all possible solutions are directly or indirectly observed. The larger the problem the longer it takes to accomplish the back tracking. In [5] results for test problems with up to 800 zero-one variables and 300 constraints are reported. Using an IBM 370/168 the authors obtain run-times of 6 to 30 seconds CPU. If this were extrapolated to a problem the size of a Spacelab mission, run times of 5 to 30 hours might be expected. These numbers were obtained assuming that the time increases proportionally with size. A more reasonable assumption would dictate that run-time increases with the cube of the size. Indeed this is usually the case for linear programming applications. Extrapolating under this assumption would result in a run-time of over 9000 years!

## II, Dispatching Techniques.

- CONTRACT - March

Because of the large storage and long run-time requirements of the zero-one formulation, there is a need for alternative methods if one is faced with a problem of any size. The most common approach for scheduling large problems is a dispatching technique. In these methods one places an order on the list of steps to be scheduled, then following this order the steps are assigned to the first time at which all constraints are satisfied. This allows for a schedule to be obtained very rapidly with minimal storage requirements. Clearly, the order on the steps is crucial to the structure of the resulting timeline. In some cases, one may obtain a good timeline by choosing the order based on a heuristic rule or "rule of thumb". For example, one may wish to schedule those steps which require the most resource first. Davis and Patterson [1] have tested several heuristic dispatching rules on various scheduling problems. Also see Grone and Mathis [2] for a discussion of dispatching methods applied to Spacelab missions.

We note that the current scheduler in use at MSFC is a dispatching type program which may use either a random ordering or an order fixed by the operator. We will refer to this program as TLP for Timeline Program. In a test envolving 30 runs of TLP using the random ordering on our sample data, we found that a valid timeline is obtained in less than 2 minutes of elapsed time.

XXXI1-8

بالدائي المواجعين فالأخار والممواج الجار

None of the runs produced a timeline with all of the desired models scheduled; the average run scheduled approximately 80% of the models and 87% of the performances.

There are several problems with a dispatching scheduler. There is no guarantee that the resulting schedule is near optional. Also, heuristics seem to be very problem dependent. That is, a rule which works well on a particular problem may prove disastrous for the next problem. Thus, a dispatching scheduler may generate a valid timeline very quickly, but then a considerable amount of time must be spent editing the schedule in order to improve its quality. This is indeed the case with TLP. The timeline produced by TLP can be converted into one in which 93% of the models and 96% of the performances schedule, but only after much investigation and alteration requiring several man-hours of effort.

. . . .

į

à .

1111

XXXI1-9

## A NEW ALGORITHM

, ,

:

÷

eren an Blins en Ster St

. 1

.

¥

1

Ne now propose a new algorithm which combines some elements of both zero-one and dispatching techniques. We wish to construct a method which has the flexibility of zero-one programming so that after a step is scheduled we may later move the step to another place in the timeline. However, in order to speed up the algorithm, we will not attempt a full back tracking procedure but rather depend on a heuristic rule to dictate which steps should move.

To define our method, we divide constraints into two groups. A constraint is called <u>step dependent</u> if the amount available to a step at a particular time will change as other steps are scheduled at the same time. Crew usage, equipment and other resources are examples of step dependent constraints. <u>Step independent constraints are those which do not depend on how many steps are running at the same time. Examples are early and late start time, sequencing, and targets.</u>

We say that a step is assigned to a <u>feasible</u> time if no step independent constraint is violated, and the timeline is valid if all constraints are satisfied.

Whenever a step is moved, all other steps in the same model must be checked and possibly moved to assure that maximum and minimum delay requirements are satisfied. We will not got into the details of this process but rather denote it by the phrase "move other steps as necessary". If, during an attempt to move step j to a new time, any step in the same model can not be reassigned to a feasible time, we will say that step j cannot be reassigned.

If at any time, a step dependent constraint is violated but no step can be reassigned, then a step is selected and removed from the timeline. In this case all other steps in the same performance must be removed. Of course, the method of choosing a step to be moved or removed is vital to the quality of the resulting timeline. As with a dispatching method, one may use any of several heuristic rules to accomplish this. In the algorithm to follow, we will move the step which uses the most of the violated resource. This strategy is used in an attempt to cause as many steps as possible to schedule at the present time and to minimize the number of moves required. We do not claim that this rule is necessarily the best.

-----

η,

: 2

2

. . . . .

ŗ,

;

રે ત્યવર

L'ALLAND P

Ş

1

**1**.

We refer the reader to table III for a list of variables used in the algorithm. A formal statement of the algorithm follows:

## TABLE III

A Variables List for the Scheduling Algorithm

N	-	Number of steps.
st(j)	-	Starting time of step j.
d(j)	-	Duration of step j.
Τ	-	Current time under investigation for validity.
T (old)	-	Previous time investigated,
T (new)	-	Time to which a stp may be re- assigned.
J (T)	-	Set of indices j such that step j is scheduled during time T. That is,
		$st(j) \leq T \leq st(j) + d(j)$
L	-	Set of indices corresponding to steps for which time reassignments were not possible.
М	-	Number of step dependent constraints.
R (k,T)	-	Amount of resource k available at time T.
r (jk)	-	Amount of resource k required by step j.
S (k,T)		Scaled requirement for resource k at time T;
		$S(k,T) = \begin{cases} \leq r(jk) \\ j \in J(T) \end{cases} / R(k,T).$
ø	-	The empty set.

# XXXII-11

.

# ALGORITHM

· 1989, -

· · ·

1

1.	Assign st (j), j=1,2, N, to its earliest feasible start time. Set T=-1.				
2,	Set T (old) = T; Set L = $\phi$ .				
3.	If $\{j \text{ such that st } (j) > T (old)\} = \emptyset$ , stop;				
	otherwise set T = min $\{ st(j) such that st(j) > T (old) \}$ . j				
4,	Find all j in J (T).				
5.	Find k which corresponds to the maximum S (k,T), $k=1,2,\cdots$ M.				
٥.	If S (k,T) ≤ 1, go to 2 ; otherwise continue.				
7.	If J (T) - L = Ø, let j be the first index in L, remove step j from the timeline and go to 4; otherwise continue.				
8.	Find i in $J(1)$ -L to maximize $r(ik)$ .				
9.	Set T (new) = min $\{ st(j) \le d(j) \text{ so that } j \text{ is in } J(T) \text{ and} j$ $e(jk) \ne 0, \}$ .				
10.	Attempt to reassign st(i) to the first feasible time $>$				
	T (new); more other steps as necessary.				
11.	If st(i) can be reassigned go to 4; otherwise, add				
	i to L and go to 7.				
	We point out that because reassignment of a step may				

We point out that hecause reassignment of a step may cause other steps to move to a time that has already been checked for validity, a single application of the algorithm may not produce a valid timeline. In practice the algorithm must be repeated until no moves are necessary.

XXXII-12

. 4

W S P C MARLANDER - BAS M

#### EXAMPLES

-

In order to illustrate the operation of the algorithm, we present several examples. The first is a test problem used in [4]. Also see chapter XII of [3]. The problem contains 6 models, 8 individual steps and 3 types of resources. The data is summerized in Table IV.

	1				1	]		
		Step	Earliest Start	Duration	Latest Start	Resour	ce Requ	Irements
Index	Model					1	2	3
1	1	1	0	4	1	5	3	2
2	1	2	4	3	5	0	1	1
3	2	1	0	3	5	2	0	2
4	3	1	1	3	4	1	1	1
5	3	2	4	2	7	2	2	0
6	4	1	1	2	7	2	0	0
7	5	1	2	5	4	2	1	1
8	6	1	2	1	8	1	3	0
Amount of Resource Available at all times					8	5	4	

# TABLE IV DATA FOR EXAMPLE 1.

XXXII-13

The initial feasible timeline is shown in figure 1. At T=1 the timeline is valid. Moving to T=2 we find resource 1 has the maximum scaled requirement and step 1 is the greatest user. We attempt to reassign step 1 to T(new) = 3; however, this would cause step 2 to be scheduled after its latest start. Hence, step 1 cannot be reassigned. We next attempt to reassign step 3 to T(new). This results in the timeline shown in figure 2.

The timeline is now valid at T=2. We proceed with the algorithm and after six more reassignments we obtain the timeline shown in figure 3. Note that all times are valid.

It is interesting to note that McMillian [3] obtained the schedule shown in figure 4 using zero-one programming. Here the problem is formulated with 33 variables, 37 constraints, and the objective to minimize schedule length. McMillian reports a run-time of 2.3 seconds CPU on an IBM 7044. McMillian also applied a dispatching rule at "minimum slack-time first" to the above example. Slack time is the amount of time between the earliest start time and the latest start time. The result is shown in figure 5. Note that model 3 did not schedule.

As a second example, a computer program was designed to impliment our algorithm on the DIGITAL VAX-11/780. The first 100 models of the Spacelab data file were selected as an initial test case. This represents a problem with 1911 steps. The algorithm successfully ran in 11 minutes 23 seconds of CPU time. A valid timeline was produced with 1624 steps scheduled. This represents about 85% of the steps requested.

Finally the same program was applied to the full 218 models in the Spacelab data file. Although not all constraints were used - some of the maximum and minimum delays were not checked - the algorithm produced a timeline in 48 minutes of CPU time. Of the 3497 steps requested, 3001 or about 86% were scheduled.

XXXII-14



. . .

1 e -

Figure 1. Initial Feasible Timeline.



Figure 2. Timeline after one move.



. 1

----

\*\*

.



· · ·

Figure 3. Final Valid Timeline.



Figure 4. Timeline from zero-one method.

≫44 ► 5 ⊷ 1



----

ŕ

١

Figure 5. Timeline from dispatching method.

XXXII-17

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on our preliminary experimental results, the algorithm presented is feasible for use on Spacelab scheduling problems, although the resulting timeline seems of comparable quality with those obtained by TLP while the expense of runtime is substantially greater than that of TLP. Nevertheless, we feel that the flexibility furnished by the algorithm warrents further investigation at least with respect to two points. First more efficient searching and sorting techniques may be employed in the coding of the algorithm to decrease run-time. More importantly, the use of alternative heuristic rules for the choice of steps to move or remove should be examined. We feel that this investigation could lead to great improvement in the performance of the algorithm as well as the quality of the resulting timeline.

XXXII-18

## REFERENCES

 Davis, E. W. and J. H. Patterson, "A Comparison of Heuristic and Optimum Solutions in Resource - Constrained, Project Scheduling", <u>Management Science</u>, Vol. 21 (1975), pp. 944-955.

A THE OWNER AND A COMPANY OF THE OWNER AND A COMPANY.

:

ł

- 2. Grone, R. D. and F. H. Mathis, "A Ranking Algorithm for Spacelab Crew and Experiment Scheduling", NASA CR-161511, Marshall Space Flight Center, October 1980.
- 3. McMillan, C., <u>Mathematical Programming</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1970.
- Pritsker, A., L. Watters and M. Wolf, "Multiproject Scheduling with Limited Resources: A Zero-one Programming Approach", <u>Management Science</u>, Vol. 16 (1969), pp. 93-108.
- 5. Talbot, F. B. and J. H. Patterson, "An Efficient Integer Programming Algorithm with Network Cuts for Solving Resource - Constrained Scheduling Problems", <u>Management</u> <u>Science</u>, Vol. 24 (1978), pp. 1163-1174.

N82-17076

#### NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

a second and a second and a second and a second a second

609, 7 1

ţ

.

#### MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE

A STUDY OF THE RELATIVISTIC RISE IN IONIZATION AS MEASURED BY ION CHAMBERS OF DIFFERENT DESIGN

Julian B. Shand, Jr., Ph.D. Prepared By: Academic Rank: Professor University and Department: Berry College Department of Physics NASA/MSFC: Space Sciences Laboratory Division: Space Physics Branch Astrophysics MSFC Counterpart: Thomas A. Parnell Date: August 14, 1981 Contract No.: NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama

in Huntsville

XXXIII

. . .

- ----

## A STUDY OF THE RELATIVISTIC RISE IN IONIZATION AS MEASURED BY ION CHAMBERS OF DIFFERENT DESIGN

···· .

Ċj

ş

2

í

BY

Julian B. Shand, Jr. Charles A. Dana Professor of Physics Professor of Computer Science Berry College Mount Berry, Georgia

#### ABSTRACT

This investigation has reconciled ion chamber data obtained by MSFC on ultrarelativistic heavy cosmic rays with recent theoretical approaches which successfully describe ion chamber data at powerful particle accelerators. The data taken by MSFC do not show a relativistic rise as great as the rise for particles of charge one at accelerators. The difference is explained by the greater thickness of the ion chambers used for cosmic rays, the greater energy transfer to the gas of the ion chamber for cosmic rays of charge 8 to 26, and those delta-ray electrons which escape from the ion chamber and are thus not recorded.

XXXIII-i

## INTRODUCTION

Cosmic rays have been investigated since their discovery in 1911. Their flux in space is nearly independent of time and direction. They consist of nuclei, with small amounts of electrons and electromagnetic radiation. The nuclei are mostly protons (around 90 percent) and helium (around 9 percent), but heavy nuclei are present also. The energy spectrum extends to the greatest energies known, reaching as much as  $10^{20}$  eV.

The origin of cosmic rays is a mystery, as is the mechanism by which they gain extremely high energy. Exploding galaxies, supernovae, pulsars, etc., have been proposed as sources, but none have been clearly identified. Measurement of the energy and identification of the various nuclear species are important for an understanding of the phenomena. For energies from 1 GeV/nucleon to several hundred GeV/nucleon, conventional time-of-flight measurements and Cerenkov counters are inadequate. Energy loss measurements become important here. Ionization measurements give an indirect estimate of the energy loss of a charged particle by measuring the number of electrons ejected from their atoms as the particle passes. This information can be used for particle identification and estimation of its energy.

ž

XXXIII-1

# OBJECTIVES

1.4

2

It was desired to find out why the relativistic rise in ionization detected in cosmic-ray experiments by MSFC does not agree with experiments at accelerators on singly charged particles. A related objective was to study recent theoretical treatments in the literature to see if they could be applied or needed to be applied to the cosmic-ray setup.

XXXIII-2

----

#### BODY OF REPORT

Until recently, theoretical treatments of the ionization loss of charged particles in thin gas-filled proportional counters predicted considerably greater losses than experiments showed (Refs. 1, 2). On the other hand, the experiments actually measure energy deposit in the chamber, not energy loss, and this may be an important distinction.

The relativistic rise in ionization has been well understood qualitatively for some time. Relativistic charged particles passing through a gas-filled chamber lose energy mainly by excitation and ionization of the gas (Ref. 3). Fano (Ref. 4) gives one way to look at the interaction between the incident particle and the atoms of the absorber. The electromagnetic field of the particle is represented by two terms. One, called the longitudinal term, is merely a static Coulomb interaction. The second term, called the transverse term, involves emission and absorption of virtual photons. The longitudinal interaction is effectively constant at high velocities. The transverse term, however, increases in strength as particle speed v increases. This is due to the increase in the transverse field strength, which is proportional to  $\gamma$ , where

$$\gamma = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2}$$
 and  $\beta = v/c$ 

This relativistic rise would continue indefinitely if it were not for the polarization of the absorber atoms. The energy loss levels off in what is called the Fermi plateau.

In what we will call the Bethe-Born equation for energy loss S (Ref. 5), obtained by integrating over possible collisions,

$$S \propto \ln 2mv^2 - \ln I - \ln(1 - \beta^2) - \beta^2 - \delta/2$$

Here m = electron mass, v = particle velocity, and the absorber atoms are described only crudely by the mean ionization potential I and a density correction  $\delta$ .

. .....l

XXXIII-3

Sternheimer (Ref. 6) improved the theory by expressing I and  $\delta$  in terms of the oscillator strengths and energy levels of isolated atoms. However, the oscillator strengths were poorly known. This Bethe-Born-Sternheimer interaction model predicted losses which were greater than the energy deposits measured experimentally.

Ispirian, et al. (Ref. 7) realized that for a singly charged particle and a thin ( $\sim 1.5$  cm) gas absorber, the number of ionizing collisions is small ( $\sim 30$ ) and, therefore, an integration over collisions is inappropriate. They used instead a Monte Carlo method with energy transfer probabilities based on rather simple assumptions. Results were somewhat closer to experiment.

Cobb, et al. (Ref. 1) used Monte Carlo methods and a more realistic model of the absorber atom. The most probable energy loss in a thin chamber and for singly charged particles was less than the binding energy of K-shell electrons, so these electrons did not contribute appreciably to the most probable energy loss. Therefore, use of a mean ionization potential was not appropriate for singly charged particles in a thin absorber. The following procedure was then used. The energy absorption of longitudinal photons was described by delta functions at the ionization energies followed by the standard Rutherford cross section. The absorption related to the transverse field was described by an imaginary term in the dielectric constant of the gas. The dielectric constant was calculated from energy levels and plasma frequency for the gas. Good agreement with experiment was achieved.

Allison, et al. (Ref. 8) made a further improvement by calculating the dielectric constant of the gas directly from the recent measurements of photoionization cross section for the gas. A numerical convolution method was used to calculate energy loss for a singly charged particle in a thin absorber. Because the probability of a large energy loss in a single collision is small, such events were not considered; also the energy could be carried away from the chamber in such a case. Excellent agreement with accelerator experiments was achieved.

#### Applicability to Cosmic-Ray Measurements

Cosmic-ray experiments conducted by MSFC in cooperation with other institutions have involved thick (8.4 cm) ion chambers with xenon gas at atmospheric pressure. The cosmic-ray particles of interest had charges from Z = 6 to 30. Of course, the strength of interactions is proportional

. .....

XXXIII-4

ř۴.

to  $Z^2$ . With the thick absorber, the high density of electrons, and large Z, the number and violence of collisions is large. The most probable energy loss is much greater than the binding energy of K-shell electrons. Therefore, a mean ionization potential has some validity, as Sternheimer used it. Besides this, the distribution of energy losses becomes less sensitive to the details of the single-collision cross section for thick absorbers (Ref. 9). Since Cobb and Allison neglect energy carried away by energetic delta-ray electrons, their good results may be due partly to having as much energy brought into the ion chamber as lost from the ion chamber by this mechanism. The cosmic-ray chambers are significantly different and cannot automatically be expected to have such luck. Parnell, Watts, and Gregory (Ref. 10) have done a calculation of energy deposit which keeps track of energetic delta-ray electrons entering and leaving the ion chamber. They used the Bethe-Borne-Sternheimer treatment of the absorber atom instead of the more rigorous approach of Allison and Cobb. Their results agree with their cosmic-ray experiments.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The recent successful theoretical treatments of Cobb and Allison add understanding of the ion chamber measurements and the interaction of relativistic particles with matter. It seems that cosmic-ray experiments do not need as much rigor in the details of the absorber atoms but require more attention to energy carried out of the chamber by energetic delta-ray electrons. A further Monte Carlo calculation using the best available atomic model is recommended, because this should remove any suspicion of errors that cancel. A better understanding of the rigorous approach of Allison and Cobb applied to high Z particles and matching it to sophisticated transport calculations of electrons, developed at MSFC, is required. This author plans to aid the MSFC group in such an endeavor.

i N

÷

1

XXXIII-6

#### REFERENCES

. . ..

1. Cobb, J. H., W.W.M. Allison, and J. N. Bunch, "The Ionization Loss of Relativistic Charged Particles in Thin Gas Samples and Its Use for Particle Identification," Nuclear Instruments and Methods 133, 1976.

- Ermilova, V. C., L. P. Kotenko, and G. I. Merzon, "Fluctuations and the Most Probable Values of Relativistic Charged Particle Energy Loss in Thin Gas Layers," Nuclear Instruments and Methods 145, 1977.
- 3. Jackson, J. D., <u>Classical Electrodynamics</u>, Wiley, New York, NY, 1962.
- 4. Fano, V., "Penetration of Protons, α Particles, Mesons," Annual Review of Nuclear Science 13, 1963.
- 5. Ahlen, S. P., "Theoretical and Experimental Aspects of the Energy Loss of Relativistic Heavily lonizing Particles," Reviews of Modern Physics 52, 1980.
- Sternheimer, R. M., in <u>Methods of Experimental Physics</u>, 5A, edited by L.C.L. Yuan and C. S. Wu, Academic Press, New York, NY, 1961.
- Ispirian, K. A., A. T. Margarian, and A. M. Zverev, "A Monte-Carlo Method for Calculation of the Distribution of Ionization Losses," <u>Nuclear Instruments and</u> Methods 117, 1974.
- 8. Allison, W.W.M. and J. H. Cobb, "Relativistic Charged Particle Identification by Energy Loss," <u>Annual Review</u> of Nuclear and Particle Science 30, 1980.
- 9. Bichsel, H. and R. P. Saxon, "Comparison of Calculational Methods for Straggling in Thin Absorbers," Physicsl Review A, Vol. 11, #4, 1975.
- Gregory, J. C., T. A. Parnell, and J. Watts, "Relativistic Rise Measurement for Heavy Cosmic Rays in Xenon," Papers of the 17th International Cosmic Ray Conference, Paris, 1981 (in press).

XXXIII-7

N82-17077

#### AN INVESTIGATION OF THE UNIFORM RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR

Prepared by:

nin - uu . .

\*\*\*\*\*

第二日のこと たい

.

Enoch C. Temple, Ph.D

Alabama A&M University

Department of Mathematics

Academic Rank:

Assistant Professor

University and Department:

NASA/MSFC Division: Laboratory:

Systems Dynamics Space Sciences

Mario Rheinfurth

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

August, 1981

Contract No.:

NCT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

#### Acknowledgements

Appreciation is extended to my counterpart, Mr. Mario Rheinfurth, for his role in suggesting and discussing the topic addressed in this report. Further appreciation is extended to the director of the NASA/ASEE Summer Faculty Fellowship Program, Dr. G.R. Karr and to Ms. Janice Clark, who typed this manuscript.

٦

#### INTRODUCTION

Since 1946 statisticians have been interested in the development and evaluation of arithmetic random number generators that may be easily programmed into a digital computer. One well known and once widely used arithmetic generator is the mid-square method. This method consists of squaring a K-digit number and taking the K middle digits of the squared number to form a second K-digit number. To get a third K-digit number, the process is repeated on the second number. This continues until n random numbers are generated.

One major disadvantage of the mid-square method is that its generated sequence often degenerates to a cycle which is very small, consisting in the worst case of one single number. Another shortcoming is that it is difficult to analytically evaluate certain properties of this generator. Hence, the mid-square method has been superseded by other arithmetic methods whose mathematical structures are easier to analyze. For a more indepth treatment of random number generators that have received wide application, the reader should consult, Jansson (1966). Also, a complete list of recent references may be found in Sowey (1978). Most random number generators that are in use today are of the congruential form

$$X_{i+1} \equiv AX_i + C \mod M \tag{1}$$

where A, C, and M are nonnegative integers. If C=O, the gene stor is called the multiplicative type and those for which C $\neq$ O are called mixed congruential generators. It is easy to see that congruential generators will repeat a sequence of numbers after a maximum of M values have been generated. The number of numbers that a procedure generates before restarting the sequence is called the length or the period of the generator. Generall, it is desirable to make the period as long as possible. The next section gives a more detailed discussion of congruential generators.

#### The Linear Congruential Generator

Let  $\{X_i\}$  denote a sequence of numbers generated by the linear congruential generated by the linear congruential generator module M. Then  $X_{i+1} \equiv AX_i + C \mod M$ . Let the initial value of the sequence be  $X_0$ . From equation (1) we have

$$X_{1} \equiv AX_{o} + C \mod M \Longrightarrow X_{1} \equiv AX_{o} + C - MK_{1}$$

$$X_{2} \equiv AX_{1} + C \mod M \Longrightarrow X_{2} \equiv AX_{1} + C - MK_{2} \equiv A^{2}X_{o} + C\left(\frac{A^{2}-1}{A-1}\right) - Md_{2}$$

$$X_{n} \equiv AX_{n-1} C \mod M \Longrightarrow X_{n} = A^{n}X_{o} + C\left(\frac{A^{n}-1}{A-1}\right) - Mdn \qquad (2)$$

where  $d_n = \sum_{i=1}^n K_i A^{n-i}$  and  $K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_n$  are integers.

Since the sequence is periodic, there exists a period P such that  $X_p = X_p$  which implies that

$$x_p - x_o = (A^p - 1)(x_o + \frac{C}{A - 1}) - MK$$
 (3)

where K is an integer. From (3), it can be set i that the period P of a given congruential generator is the minimal P that satisfies  $(A^{P}-1)(X_{O} + \frac{C}{A-1}) \equiv 0 \mod M$ . Ideally, A, C, M, and X<sub>O</sub> are selected so that the minimal P is maximized. It is worth while to remark that a maximal P is not sufficient to guarantee that the generator will produce numbers whose behavior resemble a set of random numbers.

For example, if A=1=r-X, the generator is (1) has period M and the generated sequence is  $1, 2, \ldots, m-1, 0$  which is not a random sequence. Thus a large portion of the research on linear congruential generators has been devoted to the task of finding values for A, C, M and  $X_0$  so that the period P is maximized and so that the numbers generated behave as though they are random. In general, for a fixed value of M, A and C are chosen to provide speed in computation, good statistical behavior as well as a long period.

١.

By applying the tools of number theory to equation (3), the following values of P can be determined. (See Knuth (1969) for details.)

(i) P = M if  $M = 2^{\beta}$ ,  $A \equiv 1 \mod 4$  and C is relatively prime to M. (ii)  $P = \frac{M}{4}$  if  $M = 2^{\beta}$ ,  $A \equiv 3 \mod 8$  or  $1 \mod 4$ ,  $X_0$  is odd and C = 0.

(iii) P = M -1 if M is prime, C = 0,  $X_0 \neq 0$ .

In the sequel that follows, items (i), (ii) and (iii) above will be called generator (i), (ii) or (iii).

Generator (i) is a full period mixed congruential generator. Since the period of this generator is M, the choice of the starting value  $X_0$ is not important because all possible values  $0,1,\ldots,$  M-1, will be generated. Generator (ii) is a maximal period multiplicative generator with period M/4 =  $2^{\beta-2}$ . Since A and  $X_0$  are odd and M is even, it follows that all  $X_1$  values are odd. That is, one period of this generator contains exactly one-half of the odd numbers between 0 and M. Generator (ii) removes the restriction against even numbers imposed by generator (ii). Its initial value  $X_0$  may be any number between 0 and M.

Except for the restriction that C be relatively prime to M, the choice of C is usually discussed only in terms of whether or not it should be zero. That is, whether or not the generator should be multiplicative or mixed. Greenberger (1961) used a function of C to place bounds on the correlation between  $X_{i+1}$  and  $X_i$ . However, his result does not appear to be very useful in selecting a good value for C. The most widely used generators have been those where C=0, M=2 $\beta$  and A takes on a value so that the generator has maximal period.

In closing the discussion on selecting a value for C, it is worthwhile to remark that a multiplicative generator module  $2^{\beta}$  can be represented equivalently as a mixed full period generator module  $2^{\beta-2}$ . To see this, consider the multiplicative generator  $Y_1 = AY_{1-1} \mod 2^{\beta}$ with  $A \equiv 5 \mod 8$ . Let  $Z_1 = (Y_1 - 1)/4$ . Then  $Z_1 = AZ_{1-1} + C \mod 2^{\beta-2}$ where C = 2d + 1, d is an integer. This is an indication that as long as  $M = 2^{\beta}$ , one need not place emphasis on the selection of C to improve 'he period or the statistical behavior of a congruential generator. Also note that Allard, Dotell and Hull (1903) ran extensive frequency and serial tests on congruential generators. They concluded that  $X_0$  and C are far less important than the multiplier A in establishing statistical behavior of a generator. Although (i), (ii) and (iii) have identified values for A that will provide a full or maximal period, many studies have focused on the choice of A that will produce a sequence of numbers with properties that one would expect to find in a sequence of random numbers. A necessary condition for the approval of a sequence of numbers is that the numbers pass a collection of standard statistical tests on equidistribution, runs, serial correlation, etc. The book by Jansson (1966) describes nine difference emperical tests of randomness. ĩ

4

4 4

i

÷

1

.

Ϊ,

· · · ·

1

4

÷

7

÷

Į

1

\*\* \* \* .

Knuth (1969) describes two theoretical tests that may be used to assess the randomness of a congruential generator. They are the lattice test, introduced by Marsaglia (1972) and the spectral test developed by Coveyou and MacPherson (1967). These two tests are limited to full-period linear congruential generators and to certain multiplicative generators.

The development of the lattice and spectral tests followed an observation by Lach (see Greenberger (1965)) of straight line patterns in the two-dimensional plots of  $X_i$  against  $X_{i+1}$ , where the sequence  $X_i$ is produced by a congruent/al generator. These straight line patterns are referred to by Marsagl + (1972) as the lattice structure of the generator. The lattice structure of congruential generators were once thought to be caused by the size of the modulus, the initial value  $X_0$  or the characteristic of the multiplier. Chambers (1967) concludes even that the straight line patterns would disappear when the period is sufficiently large. His conclusion was perhaps based on a small sample taken from a relatively long period where, for a small sample, the lattice structure was not immediately apparent. Marsaglia (1968) showed that random numbers produced by congruential generatores lie in a relatively small number of hyperplanes. Thus, the existence of the lattice structure is independent of the parameters. As an example, figure 1 shows a plot of successive pairs  $(X_i, X_{i+1})$  from the simple modulus generator  $X_{i+1} \equiv 7 X_i \mod 61$ .

Many authors have recommended various values for the multiplier A. Some recommended values for A as a result of empirical studies and at least two papers, Marsaglia (1972) and Atkinson (1980), make an excellent comparison of theoretical and empirical tests for a family of multiplicative and mixed generators. Marsaglia compared the lattice test to empirical tests while Atkinson made comparisons on both the lattice and spectral tests. Some recommendations of good generators were made by Marsaglia and by Atkinson. Both authors claimed that their research is a clear indication that the lattice and spectral tests measure a quantity which is related to bad performance of generators. In particular, results from Marsaglia (1972, page 280) indicate that the widely used multiplier  $A = 2^{\alpha t} + \gamma$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are integers, are likely to produce nonrandom generators. Empirical studies by Hull and Dobell (1964) support this claim.

#### Other Methods

Many other methods for generating random numbers have been proposed. Many of these proposals consist of slight modifications to procedures discussed above.



14

ŝ

~ ~ ~ ~ ~

ì

.

30.2

١.

, hit ,





-----

-

12.5

In this section several promising procedures that differ from the multiplicative and mixed procedure will be briefly discussed. The first method is a strictly additive congruential generator introduced by Green et al. (1959). The ith element of the sequence is generated by the relation

 $X_{i} = X_{i-1} + X_{i-2} + \dots + X_{i-K} \mod M$  (4)

where M is prime and  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_K$  are K initial values selected by some process. As far as this author has determined, very little mathematical theory has been applied to the study of the behavior of additive generators. However, several empirical studies have been made with at least two studies reporting conflicting results. See, for example, Burford (1973) and Perkins and Menzefricke (1975).

The second method is a more complex additive method where the ith term is computed by

$$X_{i} = a_{1}X_{i-1} + a_{2}X_{i-2} + \dots + a_{K}X_{i-K} \mod M$$
 (5)

M is a prime,  $a_i$  (i = 1,2,...,K) are nonnegative integers and  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , ...,  $X_K$  are the K initial values. Through the theory of finite fields it is possible to show that integers  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , ...,  $a_K$  exist so that the sequence defined by (5) has period M<sup>K</sup>-1. However, it is difficult to compute their values. Knuth (1969) gives an approach for selecting the multipliers  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , ...,  $a_K$ . This author has not been able to locate a reference which statistically evaluated a generator of the type given in (5) for values other than  $a_i = 1$  (i = 1, 2, ..., K). If  $a_i = 1$  for i = 1, 2,...,K, (5) becomes the generator given (4).

The third method was introduced by Maclaren and Marsaglia (1965). They conducted a series of tests on the multiplicative and mixed generators and on two improved generators which they developed. Both of their improved methods essentially consist of coupling two generators where one generator shuffles the sequence of the other. They concluded that their procedures are superior to both the multiplicative and mixed generators.

Brown and Solomon (1976) showed that if two vectors  $\underline{X}_i$  and  $\underline{Y}_i$  contain independent components, then the sequence defined by  $\underline{Z}_i = \underline{X}_i + \underline{Y}_i$ converges to the vector  $\underline{Y}$  where  $\underline{Y}$  is a vector of independent uniformly distributed random variables. They also indicated that

$$\underline{W}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

(6)

converges to  $\gamma$ .

1.1

ł

This author made an effort to use (6) to define a generator that exhibits a better uniform random behavior than now exists in the multiplicative or the mixed generator. Here it should be recalled that the period of multiplicative or mixed generators is some number P. If the pairs  $(X_i, X_{i+1})$  are truly random, one would expect  $P^2$  different points with each point occurring with probability  $P^{-2}$ . However, the plot of the ordered pairs  $(X_i, X_{i+1})$  gives only P points in the twodimensional space. Thus, it seems that P(P-1) points are missing.

If a new generator could be constructed, from a multiplicative or mixed generator having period P, so that a total of  $P^2$  different ordered pairs  $(X_i, X_{i+1})$  could possibly occur, then our intuitive expectation would be satisfied. Furthermore, a generator of this type would have a period of  $P^2$  and the best possible two-dimensional lattice structure. In general, a generator with a period of  $P^K$  would have the best possible K-dimensional lattice structure.

ŀ

÷.

To evaluate the period of the sequence of partial sums  $W_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ , we let

$$X_{i\perp 1} = AX_i + C \mod M \tag{7}$$

where P is the period of sequence  $X_i$ . Then if (7) is a full period (P=M) generator with M even,  $M_{1}$  (M-1)M

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} x_i = \frac{(M-1)M}{2}$$

(The sum of M-1 consecutive positive integers) implies that the maximum period of W<sub>n</sub> is 2M. If (7) is a maximal period generator with period M-1, C=O and M odd,  $\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} X_i = \frac{(M-1)M}{2}$ 

implies that the maximal period of  $W_n$  is M. Through empirical evaluations, it appears that if C≠O and if  $X_{i+1} = \Lambda X_i + C \mod M$  has period P<M-1, then the maximal period of  $W_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ 

is PM. Hence it is safe to conclude that  $W_n$  does not have period  $M^2$  or  $M^2-1$ . Additionally, the 2-dimensional lattice structure of generator  $W_n$  is worse than that of (7) where A in (7) is selected to give a good lattice.

A concluding remark should be made about a generator of the form

$$X_{i} = B \sum_{j=1}^{K} X_{i-j} \mod M$$
(8)

where M is prime and  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_K$  are selected by some random process and B>2. A check through examples have shown that there is a B, for K=2, such that this generator has period M<sup>2</sup>-1. It could be the case that the examples investigated are special cases of the generator mentioned in (5). Therefore, this author recommends a more thorough investigation of the theoretical properties of generator (8).

#### Conclusion

According to the lattice structure test and the fact that every multiplicative generator can be transformed to a mixed generator, there is no point in trying to show that the multiplicative generator is better or worse than the mixed generator. Basically, the generators' performance depend on the selected value of the multiplier. By Theorem 2 page 253 of Marsaglia, the inference is that any good (bad) multiplicative generator module  $2^{\beta}$  can be transformed to a good (bad) mixed generator. Beyond the point of selecting a good multiplier, the subject of mixed and multiplicative generators appears to be exhausted.

- Allard, J., Dobell, A., and Hull, T., (1963), "Mixed Congruential Random Number Generators for Decimal Machines," J. Assoc. Conput. Mach., vol. 10, pp. 131-141.
- Atkinson, A.C. (1980), "Test of Pseudo-random Numbers," <u>Appl. Statist</u>, vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 164-171.
- Brown, M. and Solomon, H. (1976), "On Combining Pseudorandom Number Generators," U.S. Govt. Report AD-A030693/6GA.
- Burford, R. (1973), "A Better Additive Congruential Random Number Generator," Decision Sciences, vol. 4, No. 2, pp. 190-193.
- Chambers, R. (1967), "Random Number Generation," IEEE Spectrum, vol. 4, part 1, No. 2, pp. 48-56.
- Coveyou, R. and MacPherson, R. (1967), "Fourier Analysis of Uniform Random Number Generators," J. Assoc. Comp. Mach., vol. 14, pp. 100-119.

Green, B., Smith, J. and Klem, L. (1959), "Empirical Tests of an Additive Random Number Generator," J. Assoc. Comp. Mach. vol. 6, pp. 527-537.

- Greenberger, M. (1961), "An a Priori Determination of Serial Correlation in Computer Generated Random Numbers," <u>Math. Comput.</u>, vol. 15, pp. 383-389.
- Greenberger, M. (1965), "Method in Randomness," <u>Commun. Assoc. Comput.</u> Mach., vol. 8, pp. 177-179.
- Hull, T. and Dobell, A. (1964), "Mixed Congruential Random Number Generators for Binary Machines," J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., vol. 11, pp. 31-40.
- Jansson, B. (196), Random Number Generators, Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm.
- Knuth, D.E., (1969), The Art of Computer Programming: Seminumerical Algorithms, vol. 2, Addison-Wesley.
- MacLaren, M.D. and Marsaglia, G. (1965), "Uniform Random Number Generators," J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., vol. 12, pp. 83-89.
- Marsaglia, G. (1972), "The Structure of Linear Congruential Sequences," in <u>Applications of Number Theory to Numerical Analysis</u>, S.K. Zaremba (ed.), Academic Press.
- Perkins, W. and Menzefricke, U. (1975), "A Better Additive Congruential Random Number Generator," Decision Sciences, vol. 6, pp. 194-198.
- Sowey, E.R. (1978), "A Second Classified Bibliography on Random Number Generation and Testing," Internat. Stat. Rev., vol. 46, pp. 89-102.

# N82-17078 135

ċ

ζ.

Ŷ

3

## NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

1

Ĭ

# MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

# ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MICROFISSURING AND MICROSTRUCTURE IN THE HAZ OF INCONEL 718

Prepared By: Raymond G. Thompson Academic Rank: Assistant Professor University and Department: Clemson University Materials Engineering Program NASA/MSFC: Laboratory: Materials and Processes Division: **Process Engineering** Metals Processes Branch: A. C. Nunes NASA Counterpart: August 27, 1981 Date: Contract No.: NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

XXXV-1

. 🐔

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Bill Wilson for giving special attention to this work and Ms. Doris Flowers for kindly typing this and other documents for me during my tenure at MSFC. Special thanks go to Art Nunes for acting as my counterpart for a second summer and once again contributing his time and talent to this work. Thanks also go to the MSFC Staff in the Metals Processes and Metallurgy groups who assisted in various aspects of the program; especially Dan Kinkle for the EDAX analysis and John Austin for the DTA.

I also congratulate Gerald Karr, Jim Dozier and Marion Kent for running an excellent NASA/ASEE summer program.

## ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MICROFISSURING AND MICROSTRUCTURE IN THE HAZ OF INCONEL 718

BY

Raymond G. Thompson Assistant Professor of Materials Engineering Clemson University Clemson, South Carolina

#### ABSTRACT

Inconel 718, as well as many other metals, is susceptible to interbranular hot cracking in the weld heat-affected-zone (HAZ). These cracks form near the solidus temperature of the metal during the welding process. This problem is of particular concern to NASA/MSFC because the SSME is primarily constructed of welded Inconel 718.

The present program studied microfissuring in the weld HAZ of Inconel 718 by simulating HAZ thermal cycles with the Gleeble machine. Previous researchers have studied the instantaneous mechanical properties of the HAZ using the Gleeble. The present study examines the instantaneous microstructure of the HAZ. This approach showed that second phase structures, high in niobium, melt and wet the grain boundaries in the HAZ during welding. It is postulated that the resultant HAZ grain boundaries, enriched in niobium, act as preferred sites for microfissure nucleation as the weld zone cools.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

2

Page

ŝ

1

ę

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	XXXV-2
ABSTRACT	XXXV-3
INTRODUCT ION	XXXV-5
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	XXXV-6
RESULTS	XXXV-7 XXXV-7 XXXV-7
DISCUSSION. Mechanical Testing. Microstructure Evolution. Origin of Laves Phase. Summary.	XXXV-8 XXXV-8 XXXV-8 XXXV-9 XXXV-10
CONCLUSIONS	XXXV-11
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	XXXV-12
BIBLIOGRAPHY	XXXV-13
APPENDICES	XXXV-14
A. Tables	XXXV-14
B. Figures	XXXV-15
C. Grain Size Effect in Microfissuring	XXXV-22

XXXV-4

----

### INTRODUCTION

\$

The intimate relationship between the mechanical properties and the microstructure of a metal has been appreciated for many years. It has also been realized that the microstructure of a metal continuously evolves during the processing and use of the metal. Examples of the evolutionary nature of microstructure and its relationship to mechanical properties are; natural age hardening aluminum alloys, sigma phase embrittlement of certain stainless steels during long time elevated temperature use, hot-work cracking of alloy steel due to the development of low melting temperature sulfur eutectics, and the post-weld strain age cracking of certain nickel base alloys due to the rapid precipitation of the  $\sigma$  phase during cooling. These are only a few examples of how the evolution of microstructure is revealed through its sometimes detrimental effect on mechanical properties. It is this evolution of microstructure during the welding process which the present study relates to microfissuring.

Microfissuring is the occurrence of intergranular cracks near the solidus temperature of the metal immediately after the heat source (electron beam, arc, etc.) has passed and the weld begins to cool. These cracks are believed to initiate in either the solid state or when incipient intergranular liquid still wets the HAZ grain boundary during cooling. The plastic strain necessary to cause both types of cracking is on the order of 0.1% to 0.5%.<sup>1</sup> Greater strains than these will cause multiple or large cracks. Thus it is imperative to study intergranular microstructures for both the incipient melting condition and the solid state condition in order to understand the relationship between grain boundary microstructural phases and the microfissuring phenomena.

The evolution of mechanical properties in the weld HAZ during the welding process has been studied using simulated welding thermal cycles.<sup>2</sup> A Gleeble machine is often used to simulate the welding thermal cycle. Most studies have investigated the instantaneous mechanical properties of the HAZ by conducting fracture tests imposed during the simulated thermal cycle. Useful data on tensile strength and fracture ductility have been obtained in this way. The instantaneous microstructures which give rise to the observed mechanical properties during the welding cycle have not yet been studied. The present study is an initial investigation into the evolution of microstructure in the HAZ during the welding process.

# EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

A model 510 Gleeble machine was used to simulate a welding thermal cycle such that the specimen experienced a similar microstructural evolution as the HAZ. The method used was similar to that used by Owczarski, Duvall and Sullivan<sup>3</sup> on Inconel 718. This method was used in hopes of making a first order comparison between our microstructural study and their mechanical study.<sup>2</sup> The thermal cycle used in both studies is characteristic of arc welded,  $1\frac{1}{2}$  inch thick, stainless steel with an energy input of 70,000 joules per inch.

Small diameter, 0.043 inches, specimens of Inconel 718 with the composition given in Table 1 were held in the water cooled jaws of the Gleeble and subjected to the synthetic HAZ thermal cycle. The small diameter wire was chosen instead of the typical 0.25 inch diameter specimens because the small wire can be quenched more reapidly. The typical HAZ thermal cycle is given in Figure 1. The microstructural evolution in the HAZ was studied by interrupting the thermal cycle at predetermined temperature with a rapid quench technique. This was accomplished by stopping the current flow to the resistance heated specimen and simultaneously quenching with tap water. This procedure resulted in surface quenching rates shown in Figure 1. The thermal cycles in all cases were recorded with a 0.001 inch diameter Chromel-Alume) thermocouple percussively welded to the specimen surface. The evolution of microstructure in the HAZ was studied for both the solution annealed and fully heat treated conditions. These heat treatments are given in Table 2. The solution annealed material was quenched on heating from 2181F, 2275F and 2300F. It was quenced on cooling from a peak temperature of 2300F at 2216F and 2150F. The fully heat treated material was quenced on heating at 2150F, 2235F and 2300F. It was quenched on cooling from the peak temperature of 2300F at 2200F, 2161F and 2050F.

Longitudinal and transverse sections were taken from the specimen midspan for metallographic analysis as shown in Figure 2. These specimens were polished through 0.05 micron alumina and electrolytically etched in ASTM etch 111 at 15 volts for 15 seconds.

# RESULTS

1° 1,

### Metallography

Figure 4 shows the evolution of microstructure during a simulated HAZ thermal cycle for Inconel 718 in the fully heat treated condition. It can be readily seen on the original photographs that secondary phases melt during the thermal cycle and wet the grain boundaries. Figure 5 shows the evolution of microstructure during a imulated HAZ thermal cycle for Inconel 718 in the solution annealed condition. The high magnification inserts in this figure show the melting of the second phase stringers and their absorption into the grain boundaries.

### Chemical Analysis of Microstructure

A Cambridge M4 stereomicroscope equipped with an EDAX was used for the chemical analysis of microstructural phases. All microstructures shown in Figures 4 and 5 had the typical matrix composition given in Table 3. This can be compared with the wet chemical analysis given in Table 1. A high niotium (Nb) concentration was found in the phases observed in all microstructures. Table 3 gives the composition of the stringers found in Figure 5A which is essentially the as received structure. This composition was found to modify as the second phase melts and penetrates † e grain boundaries as given in Table 3. A bulky, circular phase was also found which had the compositional range given in Table 3. This was apparently an MC carbide with Nb and Ti freely substituting for each other. An appreciation can be gained for the composition of the various microstructures developed in the HAZ during welding by comparing the numbered phases in Figure 5 with the corresponding compositions given in Table 3.

### DISCUSSION

## Mechanical Testing

The strength and ductility of Inconel 718 in the HAZ during welding has been studied using the hot ductility test.<sup>3,4</sup> Typical results of these tests (Figure 6) show that Inconel 718 has two distinct stages of low ductility in the HAZ during welding. These are from 2000F to melting during the heating cycle and from melting to 1800F during the cooling cycle. Microfissuring is most likely to occur during the cooling cycle when thermal contraction strain is imposed on the HAZ. Intergranular microfissuring which may occur on cooling has been attributed to low melting eutectic, liquid films on the grain boundaries. $^{4}, ^{5}, ^{6}$  Hewever, recent investigation has shown that a liquid film is not a prerequisite for microfissuring. Instead, the grain boundary microstructure becomes inherently crack sensitive near the solidus temperature. The fact that Inconel 718 shows a reduced ductility at lower temperatures on cooling than on heating (Figure 6) points out that microstructural changes occur in the HAZ during welding. It is this microstructural evolution which is responsible for microfissuring sensitivity in Inconel 718.

## Microstructural Evolution

Figures 4 and 5 clearly show that an intergranular microstructural change occurs in the HAZ during a simulated weiding thermal cycle. The EDAX chemical analysis and phase morphology seen in the above figures suggest that Laves phases melt in the HAZ during welding and are redistributed into the grain boundaries. It is not known why the molten Laves phase flows into the grain boundaries, but it is certain that this phase forms an intergranular network during the simulated welding cycle. The Laves phase is characterized by a (Nb + Mo) content of 47% before melting. As the molten phase spreads on the grain boundary it is diluted to a (Nb + Mo) content of 28%. This represents a significant increase over the normal (NB + Mo) content of 9%.

The question immediately arises as to whether or not this redistribution of (Nb + Mo), in the form of an intergranular network microstructure, could lead to reduced ductility. The answer is probably yes. Several studies have determined that Laves phases, concentrated in Nb, lead to reduced ductility. Gordine<sup>8</sup> found that if intergranular Laves phases form in weld fusion metal the ductility is reduced. As the Laves is gradually dissolved through solution heat treatment the ductility of the metal is restored. Kaufman and Palty<sup>9</sup> distinguish the Laves phase of Inconel 718 as embriciting and Eiselstein<sup>10</sup> notes a reduction in ductility when Laves phase is present in the microstructure. The above investigations suggest that Laves phases in the HAZ of Inconel 718 will lead to intergranular brittleness and possible microfissuring. Figure 4E shows a specimen quenched from 2050F on cooling which fractured due to thermal contraction on cooling. Intergranular microcracking can clearly be seen to be associated with the intergranular Nb-rich phase. It is not known at what temperature these cracks formed or if the intergranular phase was molten or solid at the time of rupture. It is obvious that the intergranular brittleness is related to the distribution of the Nb-rich phase in the grain boundaries.

4

ł

1. 1. 1.

i,

ţ

## Origin of Laves Phase

In order for the Nb-rich phase to redistribute into the grain boundaries, it must first be present in the forged, cast or wrought product which is to be welded. The processing steps which precede the as-welded condition are typically air arc melting (AM), air induction melting (IM), or vacuum induction melting (VIM) followed by vacuum arc or electroslag remelting. These processing steps are then followed by casting, hot and/or cold working. Figure 7 shows a TTT diagram for phase formation in Inconel 718.<sup>19</sup> The Laves phase will form in about 60 minutes at 1800F. This condition could easily be met in cooling ingots after the primary processing, during cooling after casting and during hot working. The cold working of microstructures containing Laves phases tends to form stringers and distribute the phase randomly throughout the structure. When the phase is thus distributed (such as the as-received material of the present study) it has a minimal effect on mechanical properties. However, after an intergranular network is formed as in Figures 4 and 5 the phase should be considered very harmful.

The detrimental effect of Laves phase has been recognized and attempts are usually made to minimize its formation during all processing steps.<sup>11</sup> Niobium segregation occurs during primary processing and leads to Laves formation in secondary processing steps. The degree of segregation is controlled by solidification rate which controls dendrite formation and by homogenization heat treatment.<sup>12</sup> During casting Laves formation would be controlled by cooling rate and homogenization. The Laves formation during hot work would be controlled by hot working above 1900F and cooling between 1900F and 1600F in less than one hour.<sup>10</sup> The above schedule is approximate and would not work if significant Nb segregation existed before hot working.

## SUMMARY

The present study is the first to conclusively show Nb-rich phase redistribution in the HAZ during simulated welds. Thompson<sup>4</sup> observed similar phase redistribution in Inconel 718 but was undecided as to its composition and sequence of development. Bearing in mind the precautions taken to eliminate Laves phases during other processing steps, it would seem prudent to show similar precautions before, during and after welding.

The tendency for microfissuring is greatly enhanced when Laves phases are redistributed onto the grain boundaries of the HAZ during welding. The detrimental effect of intergranular Laves phase is two fold. First, the Laves phase remains molten to temperatures 100F below the normal grain boundary melting temperature (Figure 3). Second, the Laves phase forms a brittle intergranular phase in place of the normally ductile grain boundaries.

# CONCLUSIONS

1. An apparently harmless distribution of Laves phase in the form of stringers and randomly distributed particles can be transformed into a dangerous, low ductility intergranular network in the HAZ of Inconel 718 welds.

? Inconel 718 should be more prone to HAZ microfissuring when Laves phases are redistributed into the grain boundaries. This would be due both to the Laves' low melting point and to its inherent brittleness.

3. The formation of brittle intergranular networks in the HAZ of Inconel 718 welds can probably be suppressed by the appropriate control of alloy microstructure through suitable primary and secondary process techniques.

### RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

1. It is recommended that all Inconel 718 parts of the SSME which are subject to welding be evaluated to determine if their pre-weld processing promotes or discourages Laves phase formation.

2. It is recommended that pre-weld heat treatments, aimed at dissolving Laves phases, be studied as to their potential effect on the critical service properties of Inconel 718. This could be contrasted to the possible service properties of the HAZ if pre-weld heat treatments are not used.

3. A correlation should be made between the percentage of Laves phase in the as welded metal and the magnitude of HAZ microfissuring in real welds. A similar correlation could be made with service properties.

1. The grain size effect on microfissuring (Appendix C) could be studied in two experiments. Hot ductility tests could be run on four groups of the same metal which differs only by grain size. The grain sizes would be  $10^{-3}$ mm,  $10^{-2}$ mm,  $10^{-1}$ mm and 1mm in diameter. This would evaluate the critical grain boundary displacement theory. A second experiment would evaluate the effect of grain size on the redistribution of Laves phase in the HAZ. Four groups of Inconel 718 would be processed so that they varied only by grain size (grain boundary area). Each group would have the same volume percent of Laves phase. The group would then be exposed to the simulated HAZ thermal cycle to determine the critical grain size for complete grain boundary wetting.

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

4

1.	R. G. Thompson, Final Progress Report, NASA Contract # NAS8-33524, 1980.
2.	W. A. Owczarski, D. S. Duvall and C. P. Sullivan, <u>Weld. J. 45</u> , 1966, 145-s.
3.	D. S. Duvall and W. A. Owczarski, <u>Weld. J. 46</u> , 1967, 423-s.
4.	E. G. Thompson, <u>Weld. J. 48</u> , 1969, 70-s.
5.	B. Weiss, G. E. Grotke and R. Strickler, <u>Weld. J 49</u> , 1970, 471-s.
6.	W. Yeniscavich, <u>Weld. J 45</u> , 1966, 344-s.
7.	R. G. Thompson, unpublished research, NASA Contract # NAS8-33805, 1981.
8.	J. Gordine, <u>Methods of High Alloy Weldability Evaluation</u> , Proceeding of Welding Research Council Symposium, 1970, pg. 28.
9.	M. Kaufman and A. E. Palty, <u>Trans. TMS-AIME 221</u> , 1961, 1253.
10.	H. L. Eiselstein, <u>ASTM-STP 369</u> , 1965, 62.
11.	R. P. Daykin and A. J. DeRidder, <u>Superalloys</u> - <u>Proceeding of the</u> Second International Conference, 1972, F-1.
12.	R. P. DeVries and G. R. Mumau, <u>J. of Metals</u> , 1968, pg. 33.

XXXV-13

× .

# APPENDIX A

TABLE 1: WET CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF INCONEL 718

A1	Fe	Cr	Mn	Мо	Ni	۷	Ti	NЬ	Со	С	S
0.31	13.01	18.47	0.23	3.29	57.8	0.27	0.91	5.12	1.51	0.06	0.003

# TABLE 2: HEAT TREATMENT (VACUUM)

Solution Anneal Heat Treatment: 1750F for 1 hour and furnace cool Full Heat Treatment: 1750F for 1 hour, furnace cool to 1400F 1400F for 8 hours, furnace cool to 1200F 1200F for 10 hours, furnace cool to room temperature

# TABLE 3: EDAX ANALYSIS OF MICROSTRUCTURE

SPOT # from Figure 5							
A1, B1, C1, D1, B2, C2	4.8	4.3	1.1	53.0	17.5	17.9	0.8
A2, A3	36.7	10.7	3.5	26.1	10.1	9.7	2.8
B3, C3, D2	21.2	7.3	2.2	42.0	12.9	11.6	2.3
B4	64.6	12.2	8.9	5.0	2.2	1.9	4.8
C4	15.3	6.9	57.6	8.9	4.8	4.0	3.5

APPENDIX B

: -

,

`

**`** 

•

**6**.

.

FIGURES

XXXV-15

,

. ...



2

-

1

ي. و ۲۰



XXXV-17

à

FIGURE 2 - SECTION OF GLEEBLE SPECIMEN FOR METALLOGRAPHIC OBSERVATION

`.

÷

•`

4

:

;

::

•

•

÷

, , ,

. . . . . .

•



ţ

:

ų.

**8**.

١.









C. QUENCHED ON HEATING FROM 2300F

BLACK AND WHITE FHOTOGRAPH

Figure 4: EVOLUTION OF HAZ MICROSTRUCTURE DURING WELDING FOR THE FULL: HEAT TREATED CONDITION (200 Mag.)



# ORIGINAL PAGE BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPH

Figure 4: EVOLUTION OF HAZ MICROSTRUCTURE DURING WELDING FOR (cont.) THE FULLY HEAT TREATED CONDITION (200 Mag.)





XXXV-21



j

ŧ أ

2

-. .... 



FIGURE 6 - TYPICAL HOT DUCTILITY FOR INCONEL 718.<sup>3</sup> EXACT CURVE WILL VARY FROM HEAT TO HEAT AND WITH PROCESSING TECHNIQUES.



FIGURE 7 - TTT DIAGRAM FOR INCONEL 718 AFTER ANNEALING AT 2100F FOR ONE HOUR AND WATER QUENCHED.<sup>10</sup>

XXXV-23

Ì

**8** 

### APPENDIX C

The Grain Size Effect in Microfissuring

It is widely known that large grain size in the as-welded metal promotes HAZ microfissuring while small grain size prevents HAZ microfissuring. Many hypotheses have been suggested to explain this phenomenon. The present author finds the following explanations most satisfying based on his personal research.

Grain boundaries are known to suffer high temperature creep due to accelerated grain boundary diffusion and dislocation flow. Large grain sizes are more creep resistant because they have less grain boundary area to aid deformation. Small grain sizes are super plastic because of their large grain boundary area contributing to plastic flow. By analogy, large grain size metals will be inherently more prone to microfissuring because they do not have enough area to distribute the imposed plastic strain. At temperatures near the melting point the grain boundary becomes increasingly more ductile compared to the grain so that shear is confined to the very small q a boundary volume which gives the appearance of "brittle" failure. This is in contrast to creep tests run at lower temperatures, relative to the melting point, where the grain is able to plastically shear and follow the flow of the grain boundary. Under microfissuring conditions the grain boundary area of the small grain size is able to plastically deform and absorb the thermal contraction strain responsible for microfissuring.

This suggests that for a given microstructure and temperature of deformation there may be a critical grain boundary displacement  $\Delta X_c$  which would result in microfissuring. Given a grain diameter d the critical strain  $\epsilon_c$  would be roughly

$$\epsilon_{\rm c} = \frac{\Delta X_{\rm c}}{d}$$

Further, for grain size variations without changes in grain boundary structure the critical strain resulting in microfissuring would be expected to be inversely proportional to grain size.

A second grain size effect is seen in the present investigation. As the HAZ reaches the Laves melting temperature, the liquid wets the grain boundary. A large grain size (small grain boundary area) allows for more complete intergranular wetting by the liquid. A small grain size requires more liquid and discourages complete wetting. The, for a given volume of Laves' phase, the microfissuring tendency will increase with an increase in percent of intergranular wetting which is promoted by large grain size.

XXXV-24

С. 1 Г-

# N82-17079 D36

# 1981

1

•

# NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

# MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE

HEAT LOSS AND DRAG OF SPHERICAL DROP TUBE SAMPLES

Prepared By:	Donald B. Wallace, Ph.D., P.E.
Academic Rank:	Associat > Professor
University and Department:	The University of Alabama in Huntsville
	Department of Mechanical Engineering
NASA/MSFC:	
(Laboratory)	Space Science
(Division)	Space Processing
(Branch)	Optical Physics
MSFC Counterpart:	Robert J. Naumann, Ph.D.
	Michael B. Robinson
Date:	August 7, 1981
Contract Number:	NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in Huntsville

XXXV1

- ---- İ

7

## HEAT LOSS AND DRAG OF SPHERICAL DROP TUBE SAMPLES

By

Donald B. Wallace, Ph.D., P.E. Associate Professor of Mechanical Engineering The University of Alabama in Huntsville Huntsville, Alabama 35899

### ABSTRACT

This study investigates and proposes analysis techniques for three aspects of the performance of the NASA/MSFC 32 meter drop tube:

- (a) Heat loss through the support wire in a pendant drop sample.
- (b) Temperature history of a drop falling through the drop tube when the tube is filled with helium gas at various pressures.
- (c) Drag and resulting g-levels experienced by a drop falling through the tube when the tube is filled with helium gas at various pressures.

The developed methods for (b) and (c) apply to systems with sufficiently small Knudsen numbers for which continuum theory may be applied.

Sample results are presented, using niobium drops, to indicate the magnitudes of the effects. Helium gas at one atmosphere pressure can approximately double the amount of possible undercooling but it results in an apparent gravity levels of up to 0.1 g.

XXXVI - i

. .

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

7

• • • •

•

;

4-11-1

, 1

h

**;** 

I would like to express my gratitude to the NASA/ASEE Summer Faculty Fellowship Program for providing the research opportunities this past summer. Thanks is also extended to Dr. Gerald Karr and Mr. Marion Kent for their capable administration of the program. A special appreciation goes to my counterpart, Michael Robinson, who provided a lot of technical assistance and support in this project.

Ĵ

XXXVI - ii

# NOMENCLATURE

: ...

,

;

د د ,

1. 10 - 10 - 1

、

••••••

•

•

,

٧

.

:

;

. . .

١

.

a	-	acceleration (cm/s <sup>2</sup> )
A	-	sphere surface area = $\pi D^2$ (cm <sup>2</sup> )
Aρ	-	projected sphere surface area = $\pi D^2/4$ (cm <sup>2</sup> )
<i>B</i> , (T)	-	function of temperature, see equation (9)
B2 (T)	-	function of temperature, see equation (11)
с	-	heat capacity (kJ/kg K)
C	-	constant
CD	-	drag coefficient
d	-	diameter of wire (cm)
D	-	diameter of sample drop (cm)
F	-	drag force on sphere $(g cm/s^2)$
9	-	acceleration of gravity (980 $cm/s^2$ )
h	-	convective heat transfer coefficient $(W/cm^2 K)$
k	-	thermal conductivity (W/cm K)
Kn	-	Knudsen number = $\lambda/D$
'n	-	mass (g)
Nu	-	Nusselt number = $hD/k$
P	-	gas pressure (torr)
Pc	-	critical pressure of a gas (torr)
Pr	-	Prandtl number = $c \mu / \kappa$
٢	-	heat flow rate in the wire (W)
Q	-	heat loss reat from sample drop (W)
Re	-	Reynolds number = $\rho V D / \mu$
+	-	time (s)
Т	-	temperature (K)

XXXVI - iii

-

# NOMENCLATURE (con't)

Tc	-	critical gas temperature (K)	
To	-	ambient (tube) temperature (l	K)
V	-	velocity (cm/s)	
x	-	position (cm)	
۵x	-	incremental length (cm)	
Z	-	dummy variable $\mathbf{Z} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$	

-

`

\* E - 4

ß	-	coefficient of sliding friction
E	-	total hemispherical emissivity
€w	-	total hemispherical emissivity of wire material
λ	-	mean free path of gas molecules (cm)
м	-	absolute viscosity (g/cm s)
π	-	pi = 3.14159
٩	-	density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
σ	-	Boltzmann's constant = 5.67 x $10^{-12}$ W/cm <sup>2</sup> K <sup>4</sup>

XXXVI - iv

1

------

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

\$

2

٠

Ê.

Abstract	XXXVI + 1	Ĺ
Acknowledgements	XXXVI - ii	L
Nomenclature , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	XXXVI -iii	L
Introduction	XXXVI - 1	l
NASA/MSFC 32 meter Drop Tube Facility	<b>XXXVI –</b> 2	2
Objectives , , , , ,	XXXVI - 5	5
Thermal Loss in a Pendant Drop Support Wire	XXXVI - 6	5
Solution - Temperature Invariant Properties ,	XXXVI - 6	5
Solution - Temperature Variant Properties	XXXVI - 8	3
Example	XXXVI - 12	2
Conclusions ,	XXXVI - 12	2
Temperature of a Falling Molten Drop	<b>XXXVI -</b> 13	3
Helium Properties , , , , . ,	XXXVI - 15	5
Helium Mean Free Path ,	XXXVI - 16	5
Computation Method	XXXVI - 18	3
Results	XXXVI - 19	)
Drag on a Falling Molten Drop	XXXVI - 25	5
Computation Method	XXXVI - 27	1
Results , , ,	XXXVI - 27	1
Conclusions and Recommendations , ,	XX_VI - 29	)
References	XXXVI - 30	)

#### INTRODUCTION

With the advent of space travel in the past decade, the interest in low gravity/containerless processing of materials has dramatically increased. Experiments have been performed on Skylab  $[1,2]^*$  and on the joint space mission Apollo-Soyuz Test Project [2,3]. A recent book by Naumann [4] reviews work that has been recently done in these areas.

The use of the space environment (low gravity) has three major consequences on the solidification process:

- Containerless processing this allows the molten material to be free of contamination from the container and to be free from external nucleation sites for crystal growth (this permits a molten material to significantly undercool before solidifying).
- (2) Reduction of natural convective flows this permits a sample to solidify without a forced mixing due to density variations with temperature changes.
- (3) Elimination of sedimentation and Stokes flow a more dense phase will not have a tendency to drop to the bottom of the melt.

3

•

٠,

. . .

.

٥

.

÷

ì

The disadvantages of space experimentation and space processing include the expense and long lead times required for scheduling on a space flight. Several alternatives are availabel for low-g experiments which require only short periods of low gravity time. The alternatives include: airplanes flying parabolic trajectories, drop towers, and drop tubes.

A NASA KC-1<sup>5</sup> airplane can simulate low gravity environments for periods of approximately 30 seconds by essentially free falling in a parabolic trajectory at altitudes of approximately ten kilometers. Typical missions of two to three hours will consist of flying thirty to fourty low gravity parabolas in roller coaster fashion. The flights are manned so that experiments can be modified between runs.

Drop towers and drop tubes also simulate low gravity with free falls but they are limited in the vertical distance available. A 30 meter free fall takes about 2.5 seconds and a 100 meter free fall takes about 4.5 seconds. A drop tower drops an apparatus which contains the experiment as well as any necessary supporting equipment (such as furnaces, quenching 1 edia, and temperature monutoring devices). The drop tower experiments are low gravity but not necessarily containerless. NASA operates a 100 meter drop tower at the Marshall Space Flight Center (Huntsville, AL).

A drop tube allows the material under investigation to free fall down a tube while monitoring it from fixed stations. This paper deals with the analysis of drop tube samples, specifically the samples used in the 32 meter drop tube located at NASA/MSFC in Huntsville, Alabama.

\* Numbers in brackets refer to references at the end of the paper

XXXVI - 1

### NASA / MSFC 32 Meter Drop Tube Facility

A schematic of a 32 meter drop tube facility is shown in Figure 1. The containerless multing apparatus is enclosed in a stainless steel bell jar which is located directly over a 10 centimeter inside diameter stainless steel tube. The bell jar and tube assembly can be evacuated by two roughing pumps (RP<sub>1</sub> and RP<sub>2</sub>) and two turbomolecular pumps to a typical pressure of 2 mPa (1 x 10<sup>-5</sup> torr). Various instrumentation ports (I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, ..., I<sub>6</sub>) and view ports (VP<sub>1</sub>, ..., VP<sub>6</sub>) are loacted on each floor level. Electro-pnemumatic (EV<sub>1</sub> and EV<sub>2</sub>) and hand operated vacuum valves (V<sub>1</sub>, ..., V<sub>3</sub>) maintain the vacuum conditions within the tube during retrieval of a sample or insertion of a new sample. Helium gas can be backfilled into the tube to provide for increased cooling of low temperature metals or alloys.

ŧ,

The containerless melting apparatus [5] is based upon the pendant drop technique [6] using omnidirectional electron bombardment. A schematic of the vacuum melting apparatus is shown in Figure 2. The bombarding electrons are supplied by a hot circular cathode which is maintained at a high negative potential. The focusing grid, which is torroidal in shape, is maintained at the same negative potential as the cathode and helps concentrate the electrons onto the sample surface. The cylindrical equipotential grid helps prevent electron loss to the bell jar assembly and prevents heating of the support wire. The sample is maintained at the ground potential and is suspended from a support wire preferably made out of the major constituent element (i.e. niobium for niobium alloys). The sample can be raised or lowered into the heating apparatus by means of a gear box which is controlled from outside the bell jar using a magneticcoupled feed-thru. The emission current striking the sample is accurately measured by electrically isolating the support assembly and letting the current flow to the ground potential through an isolated ammeter circuit. The cur ant striking the sample, and consequently the heating powers can be accurately controlled by using a ten-turn potentiometer located on the power supply or by the position of the sample in the melting apparatus. The brightness temperature of the sample can be continuously monitored and digitally recorded by an automatic fast-response (0.1 second) pyrometer. The true thermal history of the sample, during the heating and melting operation, is calculated once the spectral emissivity of the sample is determined.

To study undercolling and low-gravity containeriess solidification of various high-temperature metals and alloys, a drop tube apparatus can complement orbital or sub-orbital space experiments, and in two areas drop tubes offer advantages over space experiments:

- (a) Economy of construction and operation, allowing numerous samples to be prepared with various parameters and with a low cost per sample.
- (b) Flexibility to change parameters during the experiment along with a short turn-around time to capitalize on unexpected results.

The drop tube has several limitations when compared to the other methods of attaining low gravity:

. . . . . . . .



Figure 1. NASA/MSFC 32 m Drop Tube Facility

XXXVI = 3



ч. . .

٩

:

•••••

ı

••••

11

# XXXVI = 4

÷

n ann abhair an Naisleachan a san

~

(a) Due to limited vertical disuances, times during which low gravity exists are limited to several seconds. ļ

ł,

÷

۰. ۱

Ψ.,

i, ,

٦

 $\tau_{r}^{\delta}$ 

· · ·

51-15-15-1

,

٦.

î î

: \*

ŗ

j

1. 2.5 1

· · · · · ·

÷

- (b) Resulting from the first limitation, relatively high melting point materials must be used so that sufficient heat transfer may take palce during the fall to solidify the sample or attain sufficient undercooling. Helium gas may be placed in the tube to increase the heat loss rate [7].
- (c) The sample is small (typically 3 to 5 mm in diameter) and moving at high velocities (about 24 meters per second after 30 meters of free fall) making accurate temperature histories difficult to measure.

(d) Accurate high temperature properties (e.g. heat capacity and spectral missivity) for foth solids and liquids are not generally available for use in supporting analytical models.

The containerless melting apparatus at the top of the drop tube can be used to measure the high temperature properties by performing a heat balance on the pendant drop [8]. The accuracy of the properties depend on the determination of the heat loss to the supporting wire.

### OBJECTIVES

The overall objective of this study was to review and refine the thermal analysis models used to simulate the drop tube performance. Specific objectives were:

- (a) Refine the estimate of heat loss up the pendant drop wire.
- (b) Refine the heat transfer model for molten metal drops falling in helium gas at various pressures.
- (c) Determine the effective g-level experienced by a falling drop due to the drag forces of the gas.

-- %

### THERMAL LOSS IN A PENDANT DROP SUPPORT WIRE

While the evaluation of high temperature properties of a material is being conducted in the containerless melting apparatus (Figure 2), the sample remains suspended from the support wire. This wire acts like a cooling sting in that heat can be conducted up this support wire and then radiated away. Accurate material characteristics can be determined for the sample only if this heat loss can be closely predicted.

A model for the support wire is shown in Figure 3 along with a standard heat balance for a typical element [9,10]. The heat balance equation is (assuming that no radiant energy is received directly from the pendant drop sample):

Heat Stored = Heat Conduction In - Heat Conduction Out - Heat Radiated Out

$$mc \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -k \frac{\pi d^2}{4} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} - \left[ -k \frac{\pi d^2}{4} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \Delta x \right) \right] - \epsilon_w \left( \pi d \Delta x \right) \sigma \left( \tau^* - \tau_o^* \right)$$

Substituting the fact that:

$$m = \rho \frac{\pi d^2}{4} \Delta X$$

and simplyfing, the heat balance equation becomes:

$$\rho c \frac{\pi d^2}{4} \Delta x \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = k \frac{\pi d^2}{4} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \Delta x - E_w \sigma \pi d \Delta x \left( T^* - T_0^* \right)$$

or

.

Equation (1) is the governing equation provided that the wire is in a vacuum.

By letting the time variation in temperature (the left side of Equation (1)) go to zero, the steady state equation is developed as:

$$\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x^2} = \frac{4\epsilon_w \sigma}{kd} \left(T^* - T_0^*\right) \qquad -(2)$$

### Solution - Temperature Invariant Material Properties

A solution to Equation (2) may be found by making the following assumptions:

- -----

(1) The wire is semi-infinite.

. . .

- (2) Thermal expansion of the wire is negligible.
- (3) The material properties do not vary with temperature (i.e. emissivity and thermal conductivity are independent of temperature).



(b) Model of a segment of wire

Figure 3. Model of Support Wire

Let

$$=\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$$

z

Therefore

.

Ĵ,

ì,

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial T} \frac{\partial z}{\partial T}$$

Substituting in equation (2);

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial T} = \frac{4 \varepsilon_w \sigma}{k d} \left( T^{\psi} - T_{\sigma}^{\psi} \right)$$
$$\frac{Z}{k d} = \frac{4 \varepsilon_w \sigma}{k d} \left( T^{\psi} - T_{\sigma}^{\psi} \right) dT$$

Integrating both sides (since emissivity and thermal conductivity are not functions of temperature):

$$\frac{Z^2}{Z} = \frac{4 \epsilon_{w} \sigma}{\kappa d} \left( \frac{T^{s}}{s} - T T_{s}^{*} \right) + C$$

where G is the constant of integration. Using the boundary condition that the wire asymptotically approaches the ambient temperature:

when 
$$T = T_0$$
  $\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = 0 = 2$ 

The constant of integration is evaluated and the resulting equation is:

$$\frac{3^2}{2} = \frac{4 \epsilon_{-} \sigma}{\kappa d} \left( \frac{T^5}{5} - T T_0^* + \frac{4 T_0^5}{5} \right)$$

Solving for a defines the temperature gradient as:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{8 \epsilon_{w} \sigma}{\kappa d} \left(\frac{T^{s}}{s} - T T_{0}^{*} + \frac{4 T_{0}^{s}}{s}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad -(3)$$

.

$$XXXVI - 7$$

leat conducted across any cross section of the wire is given by:

$$q = -k \frac{\pi d^2}{4} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \qquad --(4)$$

₹.\_

Combining equations (3) and (4) and simplyfing the results yields:

$$q = TT \left[ .1 \in w \sigma k d^{3} \left( T^{5} - 5 T T_{6}^{4} + 4 T_{6}^{5} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - (5)$$

Equation (5) can be used to predict the heat loss up the wire provided that T is taken as the drop temperature and that the previously defined assumptions are valid.

## Solution - Temperature Variant Material Properties

During the analysis of the molten drop it is difficult to prevent the drop from dissolving enough of the wire that is supporting it to reach a critical mass and fall. For this reason tungsten is often used as the support wire due to its high melting point.

Material characteristics of tungsten [10] are shown in Figure 4. These curves show that the assumption of temperature invariant material properties is not valid. The ratio of emissivity to thermal conductivity is not constant either (as shown in Figure 4(b)). A fourth order polynomial curve fit to the data in Figure 4(b) yields the following expression:

$$\frac{E_{w}}{K} = a_{\psi} T^{\psi} + a_{3} T^{3} + a_{z} T^{2} + a_{i} T + a_{o} ---(6)$$
where:  $a_{\psi} = 4.96 \times 10^{-15}$ 
 $a_{3} = -5.16 \times 10^{-17}$ 
 $a_{z} = 1.66 \times 10^{-7}$ 
 $a_{i} = -4.55 \times 10^{-5}$ 
 $a_{o} = 2.14 \times 10^{-2}$ 

Using the polynomial approximation of the  $\epsilon_{\nu/k}$  ratio, equation (6), in the steady state equation, equation (2), results in:

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} = \frac{4\sigma}{d} \left( a_{\psi} T^{\psi} + a_{\gamma} T^{\gamma} + a_{z} T^{2} + a_{\gamma} T + u_{\phi} \right) \left( T^{\psi} - T_{\phi}^{\psi} \right)$$

Solving this equation in a manner similar to the previous section yields a temperature gradient equation;

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = \pm \left\{ \frac{g_{\sigma}}{d} \left[ a_{\psi} \left( \frac{T^{\varphi}}{9} - \frac{T^{\delta} T_{\varphi}^{\varphi}}{5} + \frac{\psi T_{\varphi}}{95} \right) + a_{y} \left( \frac{T^{\varphi}}{9} - \frac{T^{\psi} T_{\varphi}^{\varphi}}{4} + \frac{T_{\psi}}{9} \right) + a_{y} \left( \frac{T^{\gamma}}{7} - \frac{T^{\delta} T_{\varphi}^{\varphi}}{3} + \frac{\psi T_{\varphi}}{21} \right) \right. \\ \left. + a_{1} \left( \frac{T^{\delta}}{6} - \frac{T^{\delta} T_{\varphi}^{\varphi}}{2} + \frac{T_{\theta}^{\delta}}{3} \right) + a_{y} \left( \frac{T^{\delta}}{5} - T^{\gamma} + \frac{\psi T_{\varphi}}{5} \right) \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - (7)$$

where the coefficients  $\mathbf{a}_{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_{1}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_{0}$  are defined in equation (6) for tungsten properties. The heat conduction equation is still defined by equation (4) where the thermal conductivity,  $\mathbf{k}_{1}$  is evaluated at the

а., .,



,×

Ĭ.

ा **व**्र । ।

(a) Thermal Conductivity (k) and Total Emissivity (e,)



Figure 4. Jungsten Properties

XXXVI - 9
# ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

temperature,  $\mathbf{T}$ , of the appropriate cross section. To evaluate the heat loss form a pendant drop sample, the cross section adjacent to the drop is crossel so that the temperature used in evaluating equations (4) and (7) is the sample temperature.

Equations (4) and (7) are combined and rewritten as:

$$\frac{q}{a^{1.5}} = \pi K \left\{ \frac{\sigma}{2} \left[ a_{4} \left( \frac{T^{9}}{9} - \frac{T^{5} T_{0}^{9}}{5} + \frac{4 T_{0}^{9}}{4 5} \right) + a_{3} \left( \frac{T^{8}}{9} - \frac{T^{4} T_{0}^{9}}{4} + \frac{T_{0}^{8}}{9} \right) + a_{2} \left( \frac{T^{7}}{7} - \frac{T^{3} T_{0}^{9}}{3} + \frac{4 T_{0}^{7}}{2 I} \right) \right. \\ \left. + a_{4} \left( \frac{T^{6}}{6} - \frac{T^{2} T_{0}^{9}}{2} + \frac{T_{0}^{6}}{3} \right) + a_{0} \left( \frac{T^{5}}{5} - \frac{T T_{0}^{9}}{4} + \frac{4 T_{0}^{9}}{5} \right) \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - (8)$$

or

. .

4

The temperature dependent function  $B_{,}(T)$  in equation (9) represents the right hand side of equation (8) since it is a function of temperature alone. If the wire is tungsten then the coefficients  $a_{\psi}$ , ...,  $a_{\phi}$  are defined in equation (6). The temperature variation in the thermal conductivity of tungsten is obtained by curve fitting a fourth order polynomial to the data in Figure 4(a) [11] and the resulting equation is:

$$k = 7.92 \times 10^{-14} T^4 - 5.83 \times 10^{-10} T^3 + 1.59 \times 10^{-6} T^2 - 2.10 T + 2.22$$

The heat loss rate from the pendar.t drop sample itself is given by the equation:

$$Q = (\pi D^2) \mathcal{E} \sigma (T^4 - T_o^4)$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

This equation assumes that radiation occurs equally from the entire surface area of the drop to a gray body enclosure at temperature  $T_0$  (whose emissivity is unimportant [12]). This equation may be written as:

$$\frac{Q}{\epsilon D^2} = \pi \sigma \left( T^4 - T_0^4 \right)$$

Dividing equation (9) by this equation results in:

$$\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon D^2}{d^{1/5}}\right) = \frac{B_{,}(T)}{TT \ \sigma^{-} \left(T^{\psi} - T_{\sigma}^{\psi}\right)} = B_{2}(T) \tag{11}$$

The right hand side of equation (11) is also just a function of temperature so it is represented by the function  $\mathcal{B}_{2}(T)$ . Note that these are functions of temperature alone because the material properties of thermal conductivity (K) and total hemispherical emissivity ( $\epsilon_{\infty}$ ) of the wire were defined as functions of temperature.

The relationships represented by equations (9) and (11) are plotted in Figure 5. The abscissa is absolute temperature. The functions  $B_i(\tau)$ and  $B_i(\tau)$  are plotted so that the left hand sides of equations (9) and (11) may be determined graphically if the support wire is tungsten.

The second in the system is an an experimentation of the second s



Figure 5. Heat Loss in a Tungsten Wire



Figure 6. Heat Loss Example

XXXVI - 11

."

#### Example

ł

This example will determine the amount of heat being lost in the tungsten support wire using Figure 5. Suppose a .3 cm diameter niobium sample drop is suspended from a .025 cm (.010 inch) tungsten wire and is maintained a an absolute temperature of 2100 K. The function  $\mathcal{B}_{i}(\mathcal{T})$  is determined from Figure 5 to be 220. Therefore:

$$Q_{d}^{I,s} = B_{1}(T) = 220$$
  
 $Q = 220 (.025)^{I,5}$   
 $Q = .89 watts$ 

The ratio of heat conducted up the wire to the heat radiated from the sphere is determined from function  $B_2(T)$ . Assume the emissivity of niobium is known to be 0.265.

$$\frac{\varrho}{Q} \left(\frac{e D^2}{d^{1.5}}\right) = B_2(2100 \text{ k}) = .63$$
$$\frac{\varrho}{Q} = .63 \frac{d^{1.5}}{e D^2}$$
$$\frac{\varrho}{Q} = .63 \frac{(.035)^{1.5}}{(.3)^2} = .107$$

Therefore the heat loss up the wire is 10.7% of the heat loss from the sample drop itself.

Using reported values of emissivity for niobium [8], the heat loss ratic for the previously defined sample (.3 cm niobium drop on an .025 cm tungsten wire) was determined over a range of temperatures. The results are shown in Figure 6 as the solid line.

Also plotted in Figure 6 are the results obtained using the temperature invariant property solution of equation (5). The tungsten properties were evaluated at the drop temperature for this solution. It can be noted that this approach overestimates the heat loss in the wire in this example by up to 2 percent.

Typical temperature profiles along the wire are shown for both cases in Figure 7. These were obtained by numerically integrating the temperature gradient equations (equations (3) and (7)).

#### Conclusions

T.

Temperature variations along the pendant drop support wire cannot be ignored. If the temperature variations of the support wire material properties are known, then the heat loss in the wire can be determined by knowing the drop temperature alone.

# XXXVI - 12

.. ....



# TEMPERATURE OF A FALLING MOLTEN DROP

Heat transfer to and from spheres has been a topic of interest for many years. Many experiments were performed using different fluids (air, water, and oils) at various flow rates. From the results many empirical relationships have been developed to describe the heat transfer process [9, 10, 13 - 18]. Several of the investigators developed relationships to bit a number of experimental data sets using the dimensionless constants of Reynolds number (Re), Nusselt number (Nu) and Prandtl number (Pr). These are:

> McAdams [14] -  $N_{\mu} = .37 \text{ Re}^{.6}$  -----(12) 17 < Re < 17,000White [18] -  $N_{\mu} = 2 + .3 P_{r}^{.4} y_{2} \text{ Re}^{.6}$  -----(13) Re < 100,000Whitaker [17] -  $N_{\mu} = 2 + (.4 \text{ Re}^{.42} + .06 \text{ Re}^{\frac{2}{3}}) P_{r}^{.4} (\frac{M_{0}}{M_{T}})^{.25}$ ---(14) 3.5 < Re < 80,000 $.7 < P_{r} < 380$

#### XXXVI - 13



1

*x*`

з

۴ ۲



Equations (12) and (13) have all the fluid properties evaluated at the film temperature as defined by;

Sila and show

1

t linear a

1. M. S. ...

;

217

÷.,

÷

÷

Equation (14) on the other hand evaluates all properties at the bulk temperature ( $T_0$ ) except for  $\mathcal{M}_T$ , the absolute viscosity of the gas which is evaluated at the drop temperature (T). Figure 8 compares these functions under the conditions that the Prandtl number is 0.7 and the bulk temperature ( $T_0$ ) is nearly the same as the sphere temperature ( $T_0$ ). The lower limit of the Nusselt number being 2 for the last two equations represents steady state heat transfer by conduction from a stationary sphere in an infinite, non-moving fluid media.

Drop tube conditions which limit the applicability of the previous equations to determination of heat transfer from a falling molten drop include:

- (a) Conditions are not steady st ...e, velocity continually increases.
- (b) Large temperature differences exist between the specimen and ambient conditions (~2000 K) as compared with the cited experiments (200 K maximum).
- (c) The gas in the tube (if any) is below atmospheric pressure and most of the experimentars used atmospheric pressure and above.
- (d) As a result of low gas densities (and pressures), Reynolds number for the drop tube are typically very low (on the order of 0.1 to 100),
- (e) At very low drop tube gas pressures the mean free path of the gas molecules will become so large that the gas will no longer behave like a continuum (a basic assumption for the three models presented).

A solution to this problem has been formulated by Robinson [7] using an average film temperature to evaluate the gas properties and McAdams model of heat transfer (equation (12)). Since properties are assumed constant a closed form solution is obtained. Helium gas was used as the backfill gas due to its high thermal conductivity and inert behavior.

The present study determines the lower pressure applicability of the continuum assumption in this problem, develops an iterative scheme to include helium property variations during the fall, and investigates the effect of different heat transfer models.

# Helium Properties

r,

Branktore 1

A gas can be considered a dilute gas if it exists at a temperature significantly above its critical temperature and at a pressure below its critical pressure. For helium these properties are [18]  $T_c = 5$  K and  $P_c = 2.26$  atmospheres. Thus for the drop tube conditions helium may be accurately modeled as a dilute gas.

Viscosity of a dilute gas may be expressed (with an accuracy of plus or minus 4%) by a power law equation [18]:

$$\mu_{Ne} = 4.46 \times 10^{-6} T^{-666} --(16)$$

Using the ideal gas law the density may be expressed by the equation (note that the pressure is in units of torr):

$$P_{He} = 6.41 \times 10^{-5} \, 7^{2} / T \qquad --(17)$$

White [18] states that thermal conductivity of gasses may also be expressed as power law functions. A curve fit of published helium thermal conductivities [11] yields the relationship (which fits the data to plus or minus 4% over the range of temperatures of interest in the drop tube):

$$k_{He} = 2.20 \times 10^{-5} T^{.74}$$
 ---(18)

Helium Mean Free Path

÷

2

The Knudsen nember is defined as the ratio of mean free path to some characteristic dimension. For the drop tube the characteristic dimension is the drop diameter, D. Therefore,

$$K_n = \lambda / D$$

When the Knudsen number is very small the gas may be assumed to be a continuum. For Knudsen numbers greater than one the gas must be treated as free molecules with intermolecular collisions becoming rare. Gas heat transport will depend to an increasing extent on collisions of molecules with the bounding surfaces.

Mean free path is the average distance traveled by an atom or molecule of a gas between collisions. For a gas at rest in local thermodynamic equilibrium is defined by [19]:

$$\lambda = \frac{\mu}{\rho} \left( \frac{\pi}{2 \, \text{RT}} \right)^{\nu_z}$$

But since

$$pv = p/\rho = RT$$

Therefore

$$= \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{\pi}{2\,\rho\,p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{19}$$

Combining equations (16), (17), and (19) result in:

λ

$$\lambda_{\rm He} = 1.91 \times 10^{-5} T^{1.166} \rho \qquad ---(20)$$

Figure 9 is a graphic representation of equation (20) and shows helium mean free path as a function of temperature and pressure,



•. .

\* \*



# XXXVI - 17

1

For film temperatures of cround 1500 K and a drop diameter of .3 cm, the applicability of the continuum assumption at pressures below 2 torr would be suspect. At a pressure of 10 torr the gas should behave as a continuum.

This analysis will include pressure computations down to 1 torr although the accuracy of the results at this pressure should be suspect for small diameter samples,

### Computation Method

والاراب والمتحافظ

ń

 $\cdot$ 

4

čì

The rate at which a heated sphere will lose energy during a free fall in the drop tube filled with a gas is given by Robinson [7] and Zemansky [20] as:

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = -\epsilon A \sigma \left( T^{4} - T_{o}^{4} \right) - h A \left( T - T_{o} \right)$$

The heat lost in a small time increment may be estimated from:

The temperature drop of the sphere can be estimated from:

$$\Delta T = \Delta Q / cm \qquad ---(22)$$

The time increment can be determined for a given displacement of the drop from the general dynamics equations:

acceleration is the acceleration of gravity minus the effect of drag -

$$a = g - F_m$$
 (23)

velocity change in a distance of dx -

$$V_{new} = \left( V_{old}^{2} + 2 \alpha \Delta X \right)^{V_{2}} - ....(24)$$
  
$$\Delta V = V_{new} - V_{old}$$

time to travel a distance  $\Delta X =$ 

$$\Delta t = \Delta V / \alpha \qquad ----(25)$$

The iteration scheme developed determines the temperature, acceleration, and heat transfer histories of a drop by examining the conditions at incremental positions along the drop tube. Conditions are assumed to remain constant during the small travel distance and new conditions are determined at the end of the interval to be used in the next interval. The iteration scheme is:

- (a) Define the system conditions (gas pressure, drop material properties, etc.) and initial conditions (initial drop temperature).
- (b) Determine the film temperature -- equation (15)

- (c) Determine the helium properties at the film temperature --equations (16), (17), and (18).
- (d) Evaluate Reynolds number using current helium properties (at the film temperature).
- (e) Evaluate drag force (discussed in a later section).

1.14

- (g) Determine the current value of Nusselt number, Na, using one of the models given in equations (12), (13), and (14). With the Whitaker model (equation (14)) the bulk Reynolds and Prandtl numbers are used,
- (h) h is determined from the definition of the Nusselt number:

$$h = \frac{Nu \ K}{D}$$

- (i) Heat loss from the sphere is determined from equation (21).
- (j) The change in temperature is determined from equation (22) and thus a new drop temperature is found.
- (k) Repeat steps (b) through (j) until the drop reaches the bottom of the tube.

It was determined that steps of 10 cm provided accurate results. The results, after forcing all material characteristics to remain constant throughout the fall, were compared to the closed form solution of Robinson [7]. No appreciable differences were found in the results. Reducing the integration step size also resulted in no appreciable change.

#### Results

The iteration scheme is applied to the example of a niobium drop in order to compare the various heat transfer models. Table 1 shows the high temberature niobium properties which were assumed [8, 11].

A typical simulation result for a 3 mm niobium sphere dropped in a 100 torr (13.4 kPa) helium atmosphere with an initial temperature at its melting point is shown in Figure 10. The White model of convective cooling (equation (13)) was assumed in this example. Note that the radiation provides less than 40% of the total cooling for the sphere.

2741 К
8.57
.435 kJ/kg K
.548 W/m K
. 317

Table 1. Niobium Properties at High Temperature

Diameter + .30 cm init. Temp. + 2741 K Emissivity + .317 Specific Gr. + 8.57 g/cm<sup>3</sup> Therm. Cond. + .548 W/tm K) Heat Capacity + .435 kJ/kg } Tube Temp. + 300 K He Pressure = 100 torr Sphere Mass + .1212 g Surface Area + .28274 cm<sup>2</sup>

100

White model: Nu=2+.3#(Pr\*.333)#(Re\*.6)

Dist	Time	Temp	g-level	Grad	Qconv	H	Biot	Nu	Re	Pr
10	.143	2597	.002059	4.11	3.48	.0352	.0096	2.12	.3	.613
100	. 452	2357	.006577	10.18	10.08	.0344	.0094	2.28	1.2	.£19
200	.640	2236	.009476	12.86	13.73	.0344	.0094	2.37	1.8	.621
300	. 784	2153	.011777	14.58	16.39	.0344	.0094	2.43	2.3	. 623
400	. 906	2088	.013770	15.84	18.55	.0345	.0094	2.48	2.8	.624
500	1.013	2035	-015567	16.83	20.40	.0345	.0094	2.52	3.3	.625
600	1.110	1988	.017225	17.64	22.02	. 0345	.0095	2.56	3.7	.626
700	1.199	1948	.018779	18.33	23.47	. 0346	.0095	2.60	4.1	.627
800	1.282	1912	.020250	18.92	24.80	.0346	. 0095	2.64	4.5	.628
900	1.360	1879	.021654	19.43	26.02	.0347	.0095	2.67	4.9	.628
1000	1.434	1848	.023003	19.89	27.15	.0347	. 0095	2.70	5.3	.629
1100	1.504	1821	.024304	20.29	28.21	.0347	.0095	2.73	5.7	.629
1200	1.571	1795	. 025565	20.66	29.21	.0348	. 0095	2.76	6.1	.630
1300	1.636	1771	.026789	20.99	30.15	. 0348	.0095	2.79	6.5	.631
1400	1.698	1748	.027983	21.30	31.04	. 0349	.0095	2.81	6.8	.631
1500	1.758	1726	.029148	21.58	31.89	. 0.349	.0096	2.84	7.2	.632
1600	1,816	1706	.030287	21.83	32.70	.0350	.0096	2.87	7.5	.632
1700	1.872	1687	.031404	22.07	33.48	.0350	. 0096	2.89	7.9	.633
1800	1 - 926	1669	.032501	22.29	34.23	.0351	.0096	2.91	8.3	.633
1900	1.979	1651	.033578	22.50	34.94	.0351	.0096	2.94	8.6	.633
2000	2.031	1634	.034638	22.69	35.63	.0352	.0096	2.96	9.0	.634
2100	2.082	1618	.035682	22.11	36.30	. 0352	.0096	2.98	9.3	.634
2200	2.131	1603	.036711	23.04	36.94	.0353	.0097	3.00	9,6	.635
2300	2.179	1588	.037727	23.20	37.57	.0353	.0097	3.03	10.0	.635
2400	2.226	1574	.038729	23.35	38.17	.0354	.0097	3.05	10.3	.635
2500	2.273	1560	.039720	23.49	38.76	.0354	.0097	3.07	10.7	.636
2600	2.318	1547	.040699	23.62	39.33	" <b>0355</b>	.0097	3.09	11.0	. <b>6</b> 36
2700	2.362	1534	.041668	23.75	39.88	.0355	.0097	3.11	11.4	.636
2800	2.406	1521	.042627	23.87	40.42	.0355	.0097	3.13	11.7	.637
2900	2.449	1509	.043577	23. <del>99</del>	40.94	.0356	.0097	3.15	12.0	.633
2000	2.491	1497	.044518	24.10	41.45	.0356	.0098	3.17	12.4	.637
3100	2.533	1486	.045450	24.20	41.95	.0357	.0098	3.19	12.7	.638
3200	2.573	1475	.046375	24.30	42.44	. 0357	. 0098	3.21	13.0	.638

Figure 10. Typical Simulation Results

XXXVI - 20

L

÷

Figures 11, 12 and 13 graphically present the cooling curves for the heat transfer models of McAdams, Whitaker, and White, respectively, applied to the example of a 3 mm niobium sphere. Tube atmosphere varies from a vacuum to one atmospheric pressure (760 torr) of helium gas.

Figures 14 and 15 show the cooling curves for a 5 mm and a 7 mm niobium sphere, respectively, using White's heat transfer model.

Fe reamons -

Figures 16, 17 and 18 compare the three different methods of heat transfer prediction. Figure 16 is for a 3 mm drop with a helium atmosphere of 10 torr. Figure 17 is for a 3 mm drop at 760 torr helium pressure. Finally, Figure 18 is for a 5 mm drop at 760 torr.

In the beginning of the fall down the drop tube, the McAdams model predicts the slowest cooling rate. This is due to the fact that it does not have a lower bound of 2 on the Nusselt number as the other two models do (see Figure 8). This lower bound was included by White and Whitaker to account for conductive heat transfer into an infinite media. Equation (13) indicates, however, that the McAdams model applies only to situations where Reynolds number exceeds 17. This is true, in the examples presented, only after falling about 2 meters in a helium atmosphere of 760 torr. The Reynolds number is below 17 in all other cases, therefore the results for 1, 10 and 100 torr of helium pressure should not be trusted.

In all cases the White model (equation (13)) predicts the most convective heat transfer. Figure 8 shows that the White model and the Whitaker model are very similar -- provided that the bulk temperature and the film temperature are nearly identical. Since this is not the case for a niobium sphere in a drop tube, the models differ widely in the predicted temperature profiles (see Figures 16-18). The variation in the predicted undercooling varies between the two models by up to 160 K at the bottom of a 32 meter drop tube -- 1390 K versus 1230 K (see Figure 16).

The White model predicts undercoolings of between 1200 K and 1500 K are possible for a 3 mm niobium sphere dropped at its melting point into atmospheres of helium varying in pressure from 10 torr to 760 torr. About 700 K undercooling is possible in a vacuum under the same conditions.



**X**.,

1

, ,

٠

51 24 25



73

· · · · · · ·

,

s Y



1

. . . . . .

ţ

1

; ,^ }

ъł

#### DRAG ON A FALLING MOLTEN DROP

Drag on spheres has been extensively studied, both analytically and experimentally, and summaries of the results can be found in most fluid flow books [18, 21, 22]. Flow around a sphere can be catagorized into one of four types:

- (a) Free molecular flow
- (b) Creeping flow (laminar flow)
- (c) Turbulent flow

2

(d) Supersonic flow

Only the first three types of flow can exist in the typical drop tube so only they will be discussed. Turbulent flow results in a drag force, F, which can be predicted by the equation [21, 22]:

where  $C_0$  is the drag coefficient defined by Figure 19 in terms of the Reynolds number, Re, and  $A_p$  is the projected area of a sphere:

$$A_{p} = \frac{\pi}{4} D^{2}$$

The drag coefficient curve (Figure 19) is a result of experimental studies.

The creeping flow around a sphere can be solved analytically yielding the famous formula determined by Stokes in 1851 (see [18, 21]):



Figure 19. Drag Coefficients for Spheres

XXXVI - 25

This equation does not functionally depend on Reynolds number (or fluid density). However this equation is valid only for low values of Reynolds , numbers (Re < 1). If the drag coefficient of equation (26) is chosen as

$$C_0 = \frac{24}{Re}$$

the resulting equation is Stokes equation (equation (27)). This lower asymptotic limit for  $C_0$  is shown in Figure 19. White [18] suggests a formula for the drag coefficient,  $C_0$ , which closely matches the data of Figure 19 over a Reynolds number range of 0 to 200,000:

$$C_{p} = \frac{24}{Re} + \frac{6}{1 + \sqrt{Re}} + .4$$
 (28)

Using this formula both creeping flow and turbulent flow drag can be accurately predicted,

As pointed out by Happel and Brenner [23], the previous equations are based on the assumption that the fluid behaves like a continuum and that no slippage occurs at solid surfaces. For very dilute gasses these assumptions are not valid.

Epstein [24] developed a detailed theory of motion of small spheres relative to gasses from a kinetic theory viewpoint. The result is a first order perturbation scheme that is applicable when deviations from creeping motion are not large [23]:

$$F = 3 \pi D \mathcal{N} \left( 1 - \frac{2 \mathcal{M}}{D \beta} \right)$$
 (29)

where  $\beta$  is a coefficient of proportionality, designated as the "coefficient of sliding friction." The "slip coefficient,"  $\mathcal{M}/\beta$ , has been determined experimentally and found to agree with the general kinetic theory formulation based on the assumption that there is only a small proportion of specular reflection. Happel and Brenner [23] suggest that the slip coefficient can be evaluated from:

$$\frac{\mu}{\beta} = C \lambda$$

where the constant C has a value between 1.3 and 1.4 and  $\lambda$  is the mean free path of the gas molecules. Equation (29) then becomes (using C with a value of 1.35);

$$F = 3\pi D \mu V (1 - 2.7 Kn)$$
 -----(30)

where  $K_h$  is the Knudsen number defined previously in the discussion of the helium gas. Happel and Brenner [237 suggest that equation (30) is valid when the value of the Knudsen number is less than 0.1 (i.e. when the square of the Knudsen number is negligible). For a 3 mm diameter niobium sphere, Figure 9 indicates that this corresponds to a pressure greater than 2 torr.

For very small Knudsen numbers, say  $K_h < .01$ , the correction factor of equation (30) becomes negligible and Stokes equation (equation (29)) is sufficiently accurate. For a 3 mm niobium sphere, Figure 9 indicates that a helium pressure greater than 10 torr is required.

### Computation Method

; ;

r

2

For this study, the gas was assumed to be sufficiently dense so that the resulting flow could be considered either creeping or turbulent. Therefore equations (26) and (28) were used to compute the drag force on a falling drop.

The apparent g-level experienced by the drop was determined by dividing the frag force, F, by the weight of the drop:

As pointed out in the previous section, this computation procedure is valid only for Knudsen numbers less than .01.

The computation scheme is incorporated in the previously described iteration method. The film temperature is used to evaluate the helium properties of density and viscosity.

#### Results

Figures 20, 21 and 22 show the g-levels which result from drops of niobium 3 mm, 5 mm, and 7 mm in diameter respectively. The drops were started at the melting point of niobium into various pressures of helium gas.

The accelerations experienced by the spheres due to the drag force under these conditions ranged from approximately .005 times the acceleration of gravity to over .1 times the acceleration of gravity at the bottom of the 32 meter drop tube. These levels are sufficiently high to make a claim of "low - g" questionable.

Note that the g-levels predicted for the helium atmosphere of 1 torr are probably high. The methods presented in the previous section could be used to refine the drag predictions.



Ţ

1 •

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study has resulted in the development of methods to determine:

- (a) The heat loss from a pendant drop sample to its support wire.
- (b) The temperature history of a molten metal drop falling in a helium atmosphere.
- (c) The drag force and resultant g-level of a molten metal drop falling in a helium atmosphere.

Examples were given using niobium as the drop material but the method applies to any metal. The analyses schemes developed assume continuum behavior of the gas and are therefore limited to helium pressures greater than 5 torr for most drop tube specimens (the actual limit depends upon the Knudsen number -- the ratio of the mean free path to the helium to the drop diameter).

2

..

The results indicate that while cooling rates (and thus possible undercooling) increases as a result of introducing a gas (helium) into the drop tube, the resulting g-levels experienced by the drop are not negligible. The result is containerless but not necessarily low-g material processing.

This study confirms the results of previous studies and refines the accuracy of the predictions. It allows the incorporation of temperature dependent material characteristics not feasible with closed form solutions. However, additional work is needed in the following areas:

- Experimental temperature profiles of the pendant drop support wire should be compared with the theoretical predictions to test the validity of the assumptions.
- (2) More research is needed to determine the most appropriate heat transfer model for a hot sphere moving through a dilute helium gas. The available models show too much variation.
- (3) Experimental data points are needed to confirm the results of analytical predictions of the temperature of a drop falling in a tube. This might be accomplished by catching the drop at various elevations in the drop tube and then determining what its temperature was at that point by calorimetry (accurate high temperature properties must be known).
- (4) Experimental data points are needed to evaluate the drag predictions. This can be done by accurately timing a drop over a certain known distance.
- (5) The theory on the falling drop needs to be extended into regions of high Knudsen numbers. The application of the kinetic theory of gasses is needed.

XXXVI - 29

-

#### REFERENCES

"Proceedings of the Third Space Processing Symposium: Skylab Results,"
 Vol I and II, <u>NASA Report M-74-5</u>, June, 1974.

 Steg, L. (ed.), "Material Sciences in Space with Applications to Space Processing," <u>Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics</u>, 52, AIAA, New York, 1977.

à.

- "Apollo Soyuz Test Project Summary Science Report," Vol. I. <u>NASA Report</u> <u>SP-412</u>, 1977, pp. 353-563.
- 4. Naumann, R.J., "Early Space Experiments in Materials Processing," <u>NASA</u> <u>Report SP- 443</u>, 1980.
- 5. Lacy, L.L., Robinson, M.B., and Nisen, D.B., "Containerless High Temperature Calorimeter Apparatus," U.S. Patent 4,278,083, 1981.
- 6. Murr, L.E., <u>Interfacial Phenomena in Metals and Alloys</u>, Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1975, pp 95-98.
- 7. Robinson, M.B., "Radiative and Gas Cooling of Falling Molten Drops," <u>NASA</u> <u>Report TM-78189</u>, 1978.
- 8. Robinson, M.B., "Undercooling Measurement in a Low-Gravity Containerless Environment," M.S. Thesis submitted to The University of Alabama in Huntsville, 1981.
- 9. Kreith, F., <u>Principles of Heat Transfer, 3rd Edition</u>, Intext Publishing Co., New York, N.Y., 1973.
- 10. Holman, J.P., Heat Transfer, 5th Ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, N.Y., 1981.
- 11. <u>CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 54th Ed.</u>, The Chemical Rubber Company, Cleveland, OH, 1974.
- 12. Seigel, R. and Howell, J.R., <u>Thermal Radiation Heat Transfer</u>, McGraw-Hill Company, New York, NY, 1972.
- Kramers, H., "Heat Transfer From Spheres to Flowing Media," <u>Physica</u>, XII, No 2-3, June, 1946, pp. 61-80.
- 14. McAdams, W.H., Heat Transmission, McGraw Hill Co., New York, NY, 1954.
- Yuge, T., "Experiments on Heat Transfer From Spheres Including Combined Natural and Forced Convection," <u>Trans. ASME J. of Heat Transfer</u>, C82, 1960, pp. 214-220.
- 16. Vliet, G.C., and Leppert G., "Forced Convection Heat Transfer from an Isothermal Sphere to Water," Trans. <u>ASME J. of Heat Transfer</u>, C83, 1961, pp. 163-175.
- 17. Whitaker, S., "Forced Convection Heat Transfer Correlations for Flow in Pipes, Past Flat Plates, Single Cylinders, Single Spheres, and for Flow in Packed Beds and Tube Bundles," <u>AIChE Journal</u>, Vol. 18, No. 2, March, 1972, pp. 361-371.
- 18. White, F.M., Viscous Fluid Flow, McGraw-Hill Company, New York, NY, 1974.
- 19. Rohsenow, W.M., and Hartnett, J.P., (eds.), <u>Handbook of Heat Transfer</u>, McGraw-Hill Co., New York, NY, 1973.

XXXVI - 30

-----

20, Zemansky, M.W., <u>Heat and Thermodynamics, 5th Edition</u>, McGraw-Hill Co., New York, NY.

------

-----

- 21. Streeter, V.L., <u>Fluid Mechanics</u>, McGraw-Hill Company, New York, NY, 1962.
- 22. Shames, I.H., <u>Mechanics of Fluids</u>, McGraw-Hill Company, New York, NY, 1962.
- 23. Happel, J., and Brenner, H., Low Reynolds Number Hydrodynamics Noordhoff Int. Publishers, Leyden the Netherlands, 1973.

and another and the second 
24. Epstein, P.S., "On the Resistance Experienced by Spheres in Their Motion Throuth Gasses," Phys. Rev. 23, 1924.

# **N82-17080**

D37

1981 NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

.....

MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA-HUNTSVILLE

INCIPIENT FAILURE DETECTION (IFD) OF SSME BALL BEARINGS

Prepared By:

÷,

14 14 14

David Y. Wang

Academic Rank:

Professor

University and Department:

NASA/MSFC: Division: Branch:

MSFC Counterpart:

Date:

Contract No.:

Froressor

University of Wisconsin-Platteville Department of Civil Engineering

Structural Dynamics Environmental Analysis

J. H. Jones

August 7, 1981

NGT 01-008-021 The University of Alabama in H'ville

-----

. ...

# INCIPIENT FAILURE DETECTION (IFD) OF SSME BALL BEARINGS

by

# David Y. L. Wang, Ph.D. Professor of Civil Engineering University of Wisconsin - Platteville Platteville, Wisconsin

# ABSTRACT

2

Because of the immense noise background during the operation of a large engine such as the SSME, the relatively low level unique ball bearing signatures were often buried by the overall machine signal. As a result, the most commonly used bearing failure detection technique, pattern recognition using power spectral density (PSD) constructed from the extracted bearing signals, is rendered useless.

In order to retrieve the deeply buried bearing defect signals from the background noise, a survey of the possible usage of a noisecancelling filter  $(1)^*$  in conjunction with two data enhancement techniques: time domain averaging (TDA)<sup>(2)</sup> and random decrement analysis (Randomdec)<sup>(3)</sup>, was conducted.

TDA consists of averaging consecutive segments of the signal, one period apart. This is equivalent to cross-correlating the signal with a train of unit impulses having the same repetition rate. If this impulse train has the same repetition rate as the desired signal, the signal is, thus, extracted. Obviously, a prior knowledge of the desired signal period is required.

Randomdec averages segments of time history which start at a given amplitude. This averaging process yields a curve representing the response of a free, damped vibration system with an initial displacement. Advantages of using Randomdec to extract bearing defect signals are as follows:

1. Results do not vary with intensity of the input.

2. Randomdec eliminates the effect due to nonlinearity cf the system.

The above mentioned data enhancement techniques were carried out by using a HP5451C Fourier Analyzer. The signal was preprocessed by a Digital Audio Corp. DAC-1024I noise cancelling filter in order to estimate the desired signal corrupted by the background noise. Reference levels of good bearings were established. Any deviation of bearing signals from these reference levels would indicate the incipient bearing failures.

\*Numbers within the parentheses refer to the references at the back of the report.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

1. 41

The author wishes to acknowledge contributions made to this project by his counterpart at NASA, Mr. J. H. Jones. The author also wishes to express his thanks to Mr. P. Lewallen and those of the Environmental Analysis Branch who provided help in preparing this report. The fellowship awarded to the author by NASA-UAH this past summer in order to carry out his project is very much appreciated.

· · · ·

XXXVII-3

8

ł

# NOMENCLA "URE

.

Symbols	Definition
x(t)	Complex signal.
s(t)	Periodic signal.
n(t)	Noise.
m,n	Number of samples or rolling elements of bearing.
To	Period of desired signal.
k,n	Positive integers.
ft	Synchronizing or triggering frequency.
Δt	Sampling rate.
У <sub>S</sub>	Selected amplitude of randomdec.
δ(`)	Randomdec function.
IFD	Incipient failure detection.
HPOTP	High pressure oxygen turbopump.
f <sub>o</sub> , f <sub>i</sub> , f <sub>s</sub>	Ball pass frequency over outer, inner race, and ball spin frequency, respec-tively.
d	Rolling element diameter.
D	Bearing pitch diameter.
R	Shaft rotative speed in Hz.
a	Bearing contact angle

XXXVII-4

. ....

. Kai

΄,

.

، :

`

# INTRODUCTION

. . ... .

1

Instrumentation for early-warning fault detection is needed in many areas such as air transportation and space vehicles. High reliability and safety standards are required in these areas in order to prevent catastrophic failures that may result in tragedy. Vibration and sound measurements have been used for the detection of faults in rotating machinery for many years. These set-ups, with their moderate amount of data reduction, have been proven beneficial for small scale machines:<sup>4</sup> As machines become larger and more complex, the conventional method of pattern recognition became inadequate because the desired component signals are often buried by the immense background noises. This report describes two methods to extract the desired bearing defect signals from a complex signal with a high level background noise.

The first method is a time domain averaging (TDA) technique with "order normalization".<sup>(5)</sup> This technique will increase the signal to noise ratio. It, therefore, can extract the periodic signals due to the bearing defects from the complex waveforms. This process is coherent, requiring that the period of the periodic bearing signals be known or assumed.

The second method  $(Randomdec)^{(6)}$ , averages segments of time history of the complex wave with a constant amplitude. This method assumes that the signal consists of a deterministic part (a free damped response due to an initial desplacement) and a random part which we can assume to have a zero mean. The averaging process eliminates the random part of the signal yielding a unique signature corresponding to the deterministic part of the signal. This signature is, then, compared to a standard signature and changes of the signature are interpreted in terms of possible bearing failure.

The above mentioned two methods were carried out using facilities of the environmental analysis branch of the System Dynamics Laboratory. The possible usage of either method as an on-line IFD of SSME ball bearings was examined accordingly.

# OBJECTIVES

. ..

2

**.**, **1** 

The objective of this project is to evaluate two data enhancement techniques (TDA and Randomdec) on the extraction of a desired periodic component from a complex waveform and large background noises. This evaluation will yield valuable information in the determination of adopting a possible IFD system for SSME ball bearings.

÷

ţ

.....

ŧ

# TIME DOMAIN AVERAGING (TDA)

Consider a signal, x(t), where:

.i

È

$$x(t) = S(t) + N(t)$$
 (1)

S(t) is the desired signal with a known period  $T_0$ , and N(t) is the background noise. When summing up subsequent x(t), each one a period,  $T_0$ , apart, the periodic signal S(t) will add coherently while noise N(t) incoherently. After M summations, we get:

$$x(t_{i}) = 20S(t_{i}) + \sqrt{m} N(t_{i})^{(7)}$$
 (2)

therefore, the signal to noise ratio is enhanced by a factor of  $\int \mathbf{m}$ .

Summing m repetitions of the signal S(t) is equivalent, mathematically, to convolve the signal, S(t), with a train of m unit impulses at a time delay of the known period  $T_0$ . After averaging we get:

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(t-\varepsilon) \frac{\lambda}{2} \delta(\varepsilon - kT_0) d\varepsilon$$
$$= \frac{1}{m} \frac{M}{k=1} S(t-kT_0)$$
(3)

This linear averaging operation is equivalent to a comb filter with center frequencies of nft ( $f_t = \frac{Wt}{2\pi}$ ), and is described by: (7, 8)

$$|H(jw)| = \frac{1}{m} - \frac{\sin \pi m \overline{W}t}{\sin \pi w}$$
(4)

$$\phi(jw) = -\pi(m-1) \frac{w}{w_t}$$
(5)

Two techniques have been used in performing the above theory. The first of these is to determine the appropriate time shift according to the period,  $T_0$ , of the desired signal and sampling rate,  $\Delta t$ . Then we stack consecutive segments of signal, without any gap, m times successively. The second technique is the so-called "trigger and averaging". It is accomplished by generating a trigger signal that time locks m consecutive segments in the analog-to-digital data acquisition process. Block diagram of this process is shown in the following figure:

a second s

XXXVII-7



ţ

It can be defined as:

$$\delta(T) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{n} y_0(t_n + T)$$
 (6)

Where:

 $y_0 = y - y_8$  and

 $t_n = t$  when  $y_0 = 0$ 

As more and more samples are taken, the signature converges to a curve with a form as shown for N = 100. This curve can be considered as the free, damped, response of the system with an initial displacement,  $y_S$ . Even though, it has an appearance similar to the autocorrelation, but they are different in that the randomdec signature:

a). is independent of the input intensity.

b). has the same dimension as that of the time history.

Another interesting property of the randomdec is that if the desired signal is periodic and selected constant amplitude,  $y_s$ , equals to rms of the complex signal, then, the samples would be taken periodically.

To implement randomdec in failure detection, a possible setup is shown in Figure 3.



Fig. 3. Possible Set-up of Rendomder

The spectrum analyzer in the figure provides a broad view of the system response which may be used as an aid to specifying filtering requirements. When a failure or failures develops in the system, the number of degrees of freedom of the system will be increased so that the frequency of the failure mode is expected to decrease. Therefore, to detect ball bearing defect at an early stage, it is advantageous to apply the randomdec check in a high enough frequency such that corrective action can be taken and complete failure avoided. 1

Į

Ŧ

ъ.,

# DATA COLLECTION AND PROCESS

.....

•

Failures of ball bearings within the high pressure oxygen turbopump were experienced during tests of the SSME. Spallings, damage cuts, pittings, and discoloration appear on both inner and outer races, rolling elements and cages. The most serious failure seems to occur at bearings closest to the turbine of HPOTP. Data collected were usually low pass filtered at 10k Hz or under, therefore, possibility of adopting various IFD techniques in the higher frequency range (30kHz and higher) of the SSMD bearings is precluded.

To evaluate the two data enhancement techniques, signals were first processed by a adaptive noise-cancelling filter (DAC 1024) before feed into the HP5451C Fourier analyzer system to obtain the final results. Programs used in this final data reduction process are listed in the appendix. To implement the "trigger and averaging" of TDA, the signal from a nearby accelerometer was conditioned and is used as the trigger source, while a frequency multiplier is used to do the "frequency order normalization" as shown in Figure 1.

In order to analyze the obtained results, ball pass frequencies over defect, for the given bearing configuration at 100% SSME power level, were computed according to the following equations:

$$f_{0} = \frac{z}{2} R \left(1 + \frac{d}{D} \frac{(9)}{\cos \alpha}\right)$$

$$f_{1} = \frac{z}{2} R \left(1 - \frac{d}{D} \cos \alpha\right)$$

$$f_{s} = \frac{D}{d} R \left(1 - \left(\frac{d}{D}\right)^{2} \cos^{2} \alpha\right)$$
(7)

1 1

11111

ł

2

Three data reduction techniques were used in analyzing data obtained from known good bearings that were damaged in various degrees. They are: spectrum analysis (with adaptive filter), TDA, and randomdec. The general procedure in identifying bearing defects is to establish signatures of good bearings in either time or frequency domain as a reference of comparison. When bearing signatures start to deviate from the reference signature, it usually indicates that the tailure mechanism of the bearing is in its developing stage. The extent of the bearing damages is suggested by the degree of deviation of bearing signatures from the reference signature.

Figure 4 shows a power spectral density (PSD) of a typical good bearing. The fundamental frequency and its higher harmonics are distinctly shown. It can be used as the reference signal for comparison. Figures 5 and 6 are PSD's for damaged bearings. Two observations can be made in comparing these two figures with that of Figure 4. The first is the disappearance of higher harmonics (4th harmonic and up) and the growth of the first two fundamental modes. These changes may be explained by the following scenario. As bearing defects start to grow, the failure modes gradually decrease because of the additional degrees of freedom due to the added constraints by the bearing defects. The higher harmonics, therefore, will vanish with the formation of the defects. Evergy associated with these higher harmonics will shift to the lower modes and, therefore, cause their growth. The second observation is the mushrooming of narrow band peaks in the range of bearing defect frequencies (5.5N to 8N approximitely where N is the shaft speed of HPOTF). This is probably caused by the couplings of bearing defect and other periodic events or components during the operation of the SSME.

Figures 7 and 8 are the PSD's obtained by time domain averaging with a frequency sweep in the interested interval (1500 Hz to 4000 Hz). The increment of narrow band peaks in the ball pass frequencies over inner and outer races (approximately 3500 Hz, 2500 Hz) and ball spin frequency (3100 Hz) is obvious. Figure 9 simulates the application of randomdec in bearing defects detection. The decrease of failure mode as bearings start to fail is apparent. Recoon for this phenomena is the same as explained previously.

# XXXVIJ-12

# CONCLUSIONS

Three data reduction and enhancement techniques for detecting bearing failures have been described in this report. Advantages and disadvantages of adopting each method as part of an on-line 1FD system are discussed as follows.

1. Spectrum Analysis with an Adaptiv. Filter:

a. It is easiest of the three to implement.

b. It is incapable to predict the initial stage of bearing failures.

2. TDA:

h,

- a. It is hardest of the three to implement.
- b. It is imperative to know the period of the extracted signal.
- c. It does give source(s) of bearing defects.

# 3. Randomdec:

- a. It is the most sensitive of the three in predic-
- ting the initial stage of bearing defects.
- b. Sources of system defects can not be pin-pointed.

One alternative method in ball bearing defects detection is to utilize the bearing high frequencies, especially for large machines such as SSME. Natural frequencies of ball bearings are usually far beyond that of the noice, therefore, less noice interference to the bearing signal.

# REFERENCES

●、 発

.

- 1. Widrow, B., Glover, J. R. et. al. "Adaptive Noise Cancelling: Principles and Applications, "Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 63, No. 12, Dec. 1975.
- 2. Braun, S., "The Extrachon of Periodic Waveforms by Time Domain Averaging." Acoustica, Vol. 32, No. 2, 1975.
- Cole, H. A., Jr., "Failure Detection of A Space Shuttle Wing Flutter Model by Random Decrement," NASATM x-62041, May 1971.
- 4. Houser, D. R., "Signal Analysis Techniques for Vibration Diagonostics. "Proceedings of 22nd Meeting of the Mechanical Failures Prevention Group, Dec. 1975. PB248254.
- 5. Babkin, A. S., Anderson, J. J., "Mechanical Signature Analysis, "Sound and Vibration 7(4), April 1973.
- 6. Cole, H. A., Jr., "On-Line Failure Detection and Damping Measurement of Aerospace Structures By Random Decrement Signatures," NASA CR 2205, Mar. 1973.
- 7. Trimble, C. R., "What is Signal Averaging?" Hewlett-Packard Journal, April 1968,
- 8. Gold, B., Rader, C. M., "Digital Processings of Signals," McGraw-Hill, New York.
- 9. Harris, T. A., "Rolling Bearing Analysis," Wiley, New York, 1966.


3

· /\

~*C*?

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY Put = 109% ちょし 5000 902-183 HPOT RAD 135 11.13.22 ##PROC## (ZH) FREDUENCY 309E+9996 0.3383 0.2879 0.1347 0.0860 S O NIN +1.000E-005 (SEC) = 32 SLICE TIME ( +2.568E+000 BV=12.5 NO. SPECTRA COMPOSITE 3.73254 986 500 488 1000 6-8

, Maria

1.1

••

د

÷.

3

Figure 5



ì



•

XXXVII-18

.' •

<del>آ</del> ۲.

-



1 \*

ŗ

Figure 8

i

} ·

XXXVII-19



Figure 9

## XXXV11 20

n

. . AAA ( 1