### DOE/NASA/2593-25 NASA TM-81716

1

1.

NASA-TM-81716 19820009461

# Review of NASA Progress in Thermal Barrier Coatings for Stationary Gas Turbines

Philip E. Hodge, Robert A. Miller, Michael A. Gedwill, and Isidor Zaplatynsky National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center

LIBRARY COPY

JUN 2 9 1982

LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER LIBRARY, NASA HAMPTON, VIRGINIA

## Work performed for U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Fossil Energy Office of Coal Utilization

Prepared for International Gas Turbine Conference Houston, Texas, March 9-12, 1981

#### NOTICE

This report was prepared to document work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor its agent, the United States Department of Energy, nor any Federal employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights Review of NASA Progress in Thermal Barrier Coatings for Stationary Gas Turbines

Philip E. Hodge, Robert A. Miller, Michael A. Gedwill, and Isidor Zaplatynsky National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center

Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Work performed for U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Fossil Energy Office of Coal Utilization Washington, D.C. 20545 Under Interagency Agreement EF-77-A-01-2593

Prepared for International Gas Turbine Conference Houston, Texas, March 9-12, 1981

N82-17335-4

.....

e

.

.

·

#### REVIEW OF NASA PROGRESS IN THERMAL BARRIER COATINGS FOR

#### STATIONARY GAS TURBINES

#### by Philip E. Hodge, Robert A. Miller, Michael A. Gedwill, and Isidor Zaplatynsky

#### National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

#### SUMMARY

Ceramic thermal barrier coatings for industrial/utility gas turbines have been investigated at NASA Lewis under the DOE-sponsored Critical Research and Advanced Technology Support Project (CRT).

In early burner rig tests of a zirconia-yttria/nickel-chromiumaluminum-yttrium ( $ZrO_2-12w/OY_2O_3/NiCrAlY$ ) coating system on air-cooled superalloy specimens, ceramic coating life (spallation) was found to be sensitive to Na and V concentration in the fuel. The locations of coating spallation corresponded to areas where combustion products were predicted to condense.

Three new thermal barrier coating systems were identified. These are based on calcium silicate,  $ZrO_2-8w/OY_2O_3$ , and a MgO-NiCrAlY cermet. Furthermore, it was found that the spall resistance can be increased by reducing the ceramic layer thickness from 0.038 to 0.013 cm and by the use of more oxidation/corrosion resistant bond coats.

#### INTRODUCTION

The Critical Research and Advanced Technology Support Project (CRT) started at NASA Lewis in late 1977 under DOE Division of Fossil Fuel Utilization (FFU) sponsorship. The purpose of this project was to provide federal technical support in critical technology areas for the FFU Advanced Power Systems Program. The status of CRT through September, 1978 is given in the FY 1978 Annual Report (1). Work on ceramic/thermal barrier coatings was performed as part of the Long-Life Materials and Technology evaluation tasks.

The basis for the current interest in the use of insulating ceramic thermal barrier coatings (TBCs) on cooled hot section components of gas turbines was brought about by the good performance of such coatings in cleanfuel combustion gas exposures (2, 3, 4). These coatings are presently being developed for both clean-fuel aircraft gas turbines and the potential dirtyfuel environments of industrial/utility gas turbines. Through the use of such coatings, turbine inlet temperatures could be increased, cooling air flow rates could be decreased, or cooling schemes could be simplified. Alternatively, existing gas temperatures and cooling schemes could be maintained and the components could be operated at lower metal temperatures. In this latter instance, increased component durability and reliability would result. These benefits have been examined analytically for utility gas turbines and important fuel and capital cost savings have been identified (5, 6, 7). Initial results with the  $ZrO_2-12w/OY_2O_3/NiCrAlY$  system in the presence of the usual heavy fuel impurities (sodium (Na), vanadium (V), etc.) were less than encouraging (8). As a consequence of these early test results, a comprehensive program within the CRT project was undertaken to improve spall resistance of thermal barrier coatings exposed to the combustion products of potential coal-derived fuels. This program consisted of separate studies involving: (1) evaluation of the behavior of thermal barrier coatings exposed to Na and/or V doped combustion gases; (2) reaction of thermal barrier materials with potential air, fuel and bond coat impurities; (3) optimization of bond coat composition, thickness and deposition parameters; and (4) evaluation and endurance testing of advanced thermal barrier coating systems. The purpose of this paper is to review and discuss the results of this program.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Behavior of Thermal Barrier Coatings in Corrosive Environments

The response of single  $ZrO_2-12w/OY_2O_3/Ni-16Cr-6Al-0.6Y$  coated aircooled hollow erosion bar specimens to Mach 0.3 burner rig exposure with various levels of sodium (Na) and vanadium (V) fuel contamination is summarized in Fig. 1 (8). This coating system was developed for clean fuel, aircraft gas turbines applications (2).

At the 0.5 ppm Na fuel equivalent level, the coating system survived 1300 one-hour cycles without spallation as shown in Fig. 2. Appreciable erosion, presumably due to carbon particles, was noted. At the 5 ppm Na fuel equivalent level, the coating system failed after 92 one-hour cycles at a location outside the hot zone. At the 0.2 and 2.0 ppm V levels, the coating system failed in about 200 and 25 one-hour cycles, respectively. With vanadium in the combustion gases, failures occurred in the hot zone as shown in Fig. 3. When a fuel equivalent dopant level of 5 ppm Na + 2 ppm V was used, the coating failed in 43 one-hour cycles. The microstructure of the coating system on this specimen after exposure is shown in Fig. 4 along with the microstructure of the as-deposited coating. Here, as well as in the other tests, no bond coat corrosion was detected. In addition, coating failure in these tests occurred within the ceramic near the bond coat/oxide interface. This mode of failure is similar to tensile failures reported by Levine (9) and to the furnace and burner rig oxidation failures observed by Stecura (2, 3).

The locations of coating spallation corresponded to areas where combustion products were predicted to condense based on the calculated dew points of the condensates and the temperature profile of the specimens. A summary of the calculated dew points and melting points for the various fuel impurity conditions is given in Table 1 (10).

The most severe conditions for a porous plasma spray deposited ceramic coating such as  $ZrO_2-12w/oY_2O_3$  occur when a corrosive liquid such as vanadium oxide ( $V_2O_5$ ) or sodium vanadate ( $Na_2V_2O_6$ ) can condense at the surface and completely permeate the coating. That is, the dew point,  $T_{dp}$ , is above the surface temperature,  $T_s$ , of the ceramic and the melting point,  $T_{mp}$ , is below the bond coat temperature,  $T_{bc}$ . This was the

2

case with  $V_2O_5$  formed in the 0.2 ppm and 2 ppm V tests and with  $Na_2V_2O_6$  formed in the 5 ppm Na + 2 ppm V test. The problem with fuel or air impurities should not be as severe if the condensed combustion product does not react with the ceramic coating. If the melting point of the condensate is above the dew point (i.e., the condensate is a solid), or if the dew point is below the bond coat temperature, the fuel contaminant may be harmless. The fuel contaminant may also be harmless if the dew point is less than the surface temperature. With 5 ppm Na in the fuel the dew point of the surface temperature in the hot zone. Failure occurred out of the hot zone where the dew point was above the surface temperature -- as illustrated in Fig. 5. With 0.5 ppm Na in the fuel the dew point was below the bond coat temperature, but above the bond coat temperature in the hot zone and below the surface temperature, but above the bond coat temperature elsewhere. Thus, this test was no more severe than an oxidation test.

In a second series of Mach 0.3 burner rig tests, three yttriastabilized zirconias were tested at three fuel-to-air mass ratios and at a fuel equivalent impurity level of 5 ppm Na plus 2 ppm V. The conditions were similar to the conditions of previous tests except eight specimens were tested simultaneously and the substrates were 1.27 cm 0.D. Waspaloy cylinders. The results of this test are summarized graphically in Fig. 6. The data indicated that the spall behavior of yttria-stabilized zirconia thermal barrier coatings was sensitive to changes in the fuel-to-air mass ratio and that  $ZrO_2-8w/OY_2O_3$  coatings are more spall resistant in this type of combustion enviroment.

As the fuel-to-air mass ratio was increased from 0.039 to 0.049, the following occurred: the amount of impurities also increased 25 percent; the surface temperature of the ceramic increased; and the temperature gradient through the coating increased. Based on the responses of single coated  $ZrO -12w/OY_2O_3$  specimens to changes in the fuel impurity concentration, the difference in spall behavior as a consequence of increasing the fuel-to-air mass ratio was attributed primarily to an increase in fuel impurity flux.

#### Reaction Studies

In support of the burner rig tests, basic studies were conducted to determine how powdered zirconia-yttria, calcium silicate (actual composition was  $1.8Ca0.Si0_2$ ) and the candidate coating material, barium ziroconate (BaZrO<sub>3</sub>), react with powders of the potential combustion product compounds of major fuel and air impurities and with bond coating elements (11, 12). Equivalent amounts of each compound and of each thermal barrier powder were mixed and reacted in crimped platinum tubes, and the reactions were monitored as a function of time by X-ray diffraction.

Based on the reactions at  $1200^{\circ}$  and  $1400^{\circ}$  C, the chemical compounds which were used to react with zirconia-yttria ( $ZrO_2-8w/OY_2O_3$ ) could be divided into four distinct groups:

- 1. Chemical compounds that did not react with zirconia-yttria: Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and NiO
- 2. Chemical compounds that reacted completely with zirconia-yttria: CaCO<sub>3</sub> (CaO), BaCO<sub>3</sub> (BaO), and BaSO<sub>4</sub>

- 3. Chemical compounds that reacted preferentially with the monoclinic phase: Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (Na<sub>2</sub>O), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>), CoCO<sub>3</sub> (CoO), Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MgO, SiO<sub>2</sub>, and ZnO
- 4. Chemical compounds that reacted preferentially with the cubic phase:  $V_{205}$ ,  $(NH_4)_{2HP04}$  ( $P_{205}$ )

The results for  $Na_2SO_4$ ,  $V_2O_5$  and  $P_2O_5$  are in agreement with prior investigations (6, 8).

The results of reactions of calcium orthosilicate and barium zirconate with essentially the same set of compounds are summarized as follows:

- The impurities that reacted with 2CaO.SiO<sub>2</sub> are Na<sub>2</sub>O, BaO, MgO, CoO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>.
   The impurities that did not react with 2CaO.SiO<sub>2</sub> are Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>,
- The impurities that did not react with 2Ca0.SiO<sub>2</sub> are Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O, K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, BaSO<sub>4</sub>, NiO, ZnO and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.
   The impurities that reacted with BaZrO<sub>3</sub> are Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>,
- 3. The impurities that reacted with  $BaZrO_3$  are  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Fe_2O_3$ ,  $Cr_2O_3$ ,  $SiO_2$ ,  $P_2O_5$  and  $V_2O_5$ .
- 4. The impurities that did not react with BaZrO<sub>3</sub> are Na<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O, K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, MgO, CaO, CoO and ZnO.

It is noteworthy that no monovalent or divalent oxides and sulfates reacted with barium zirconate. Similarly, calcium orthosilicate was not affected by sulfates; however, it was attacked by sodium, barium and cobalt oxides. Vanadium and phosphorous are impurities generally contained in industrial fuels, and their pentoxides reacted readily with zirconia-yttria barium zirconate and calcium silicate.

#### Bond Coat Optimization

The work in this study was aimed at improving the oxidation and corrosion resistance of the bond coatings and the adherence of the thermal barrier coatings (15). Three testing methods were used to evaluate bond coatings. Cyclic furnace tests were used to determine the durability of bond coatings alone (oxidation) and to determine their performance as bond coatings in a thermal barrier coating system (endurance). The third method of evaluation was to test thermal barrier coating systems on solid superalloy pin specimens in a Mach 0.3 burner rig.

In cyclic oxidation tests at 1100°C fourteen bond coats were evaluated. Based on specific weight change data and post-test metallography results, the most oxidation resistant bond coatings identified for B-1900 + Hf were Ni-14.1Cr-13.4Al-0.10Zr, Ni-14.3Cr-14.4Al-0.16Y, and Ni-15.8Cr-12.8Al-0.36Y. A typical set of oxidation curves is given in Fig. 7 for cyclic oxidation of bond coats on B1900+Hf at 1010° and 1100° C. For MAR-M509 the most oxidation resistant bond coating found was Ni-30.9Cr-11.1Al-0.48Y.

In endurance testing at 1010°C, twenty-two bond coats were evaluated on B 1900+Hf and eight bond coats were evaluated on Mar-M509. The main criterion used for evaluating thermal barrier coating system performance (life) in endurance testing was the time to crack initiation in the thermal barrier coating. On B-1900+Hf the 0.010-cm-thick Ni-15.8Cr-12.8A1-0.36Y and Ni-14.1Cr-13.4A1-0.10Zr bond coatings (plasma deposited at 11 kW with argon) appeared to significantly improve the life of the TBC relative to the reference Ni-16.2Cr-5.6A1-0.61Y bond coating. Although Ni-30.9Cr-11.1A1-0.48Y was characterized as a good oxidation resistant bond coating on MAR-M509, thermal barrier coating life was shorter with this bond coating than life of a coating system with the reference bond coating.

In addition to the effect observed for composition changes of the bond coatings, it became apparent during the course of this work that coating deposition parameters affect the oxidation behavior of bond coats. It was found that the oxidation resistance of the bond coats was significantly improved by increasing the coating thickness (0.010 to 0.015 cm), arc power (11 to 20 kW) and hydrogen concentration in the arc gas (0 to 3.5 v/o). The effects of plasma arc power and hydrogen additions to the arc gas on the 1100° C oxidation behavior of a 0.015-cm-thick Ni-15.8Cr-12.8Al-0.36Y bond coating on B-1900+Hf are shown in Fig. 8. Burner rig testing results paralleled results of furance oxidation and endurance testing. Good bond coatings identified in oxidation and endurance testing gave superior results for thermal barrier coating systems evaluated on solid superalloy pin specimens in burner rig tests.

#### Advanced Thermal Barrier Coating Systems

The results of early tests of thermal barrier coatings developed for clean fuel aircraft gas turbine service indicated a need to identify ceramic coatings having improved resistance to fuel and air impurities (8, 14). An initial step toward this goal was taken when a series of coating systems was tested in a Mach 0.3 burner rig with the flame doped to the fuel equivalent impurity level of 5 ppm Na + 2 ppm V (8). Ceramic coating thickness was maintained at 0.04 cm and bond coat thickness was maintained at 0.013 cm. The results of these tests are summarized in Fig. 9. Various thermal and chemical treatments of the ZrO<sub>2</sub>-12w/OY<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Ni-16Cr-6Al-0.6Y system resulted in little or no improvement. A more oxidation/hot corrosion resistant bond coat (Ni-21Co-19Cr-13A1-0.6Y) and a dense Y203 topcoat with the baseline coating offered some improvement. The  $ZrO_2-8w/OY_2O_3/$ Ni-16Cr-5A1-0.15Y coating offered an even greater improvement. The most promising of these coating identified was 1.8Ca0.Si0<sub>2</sub>/Ni-16Cr-6A1-0.6Y which survived over 600 one-hour cycles before spalling compared to about 60 for ZrO<sub>2</sub>-12w/oY<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Ni-16Cr-6Al-0.6Y system. A higher thermal conductivity cermet coating consisting of 50 volume percent Mg0 - - 50 volume percent Ni-20-Cr-7Al-1.0Y over the standard bond coating survived 1000 one-hour cycles without spalling. However, coating thickness was reduced about 50 percent by erosion or microspalling.

In a second series of Mach 0.3 burner rig tests the lives of 1.8 Ca0.SiO<sub>2</sub> and  $ZrO_2-8w/oY_2O_3$  thermal barrier coatings exposed to Mach 0.3, Na plus V doped combustion gases were about four times longer when the ceramic thickness was reduced from 0.038 to 0.013 cm (15). High Cr + Al, Ni-31Cr-11Al-0.5Y and Ni-16Cr-13Al-0.4Y bond coatings improved the durability of calcium silicate and  $ZrO_2-8w/oY_2O_3$  TBCs by approximately 2 to 3 times. Similarly, Co-22Cr-13Al-0.3Y and Co-20Cr-9Al-0.4Y bond coatings improved the durability of calcium silicate TBCs by factors of approximately 3 and 2 times, respectively. The results of these tests are summarized in Fig. 10. Chemical and electron microprobe analyses supported the predictions of condensate compositions and their role in inducing spalling of  $ZrO_2-$ 8w/OY<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 11). The calcium silicate coating failure involved condensation and penetration of Na, V, and Co (cobalt) containing condensates, calcium sulfate (CaSO<sub>4</sub>) formation as a result of reaction with sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and the phase change from  $\beta-Ca_2SiO_4$  (larnite) to CaSiO<sub>3</sub> (wollastonite).

#### CONCLUDING REMARKS

As a part of this DOE-sponsored Critical Research and Advanced Technology Project substantial progress has been made in understanding the behavior of thermal barrier coatings in environments resulting from firing potential coal-derived fuels and in improving the durability of TBCs for this application. Specifically, the responses of  $ZrO_2-12w/OY_2O_3/NiCrAIY$ coatings to Na- and V-doped fuel have been determined. Thermochemical calculations of condensate dew points and melting points combined with the temperature profile of the specimens were found to give a good explanation of observed coating failure locations. Additional understanding of how and why coatings fail (spall) was gained from reaction studies between ceramic coating materials and potential air, fuel, and bond coat impurities.

In the area of advanced ceramic coating development, two coatings (calcium silicate and  $ZrO_2-8w/OY_2O_3$ ) were identified which significantly extended coating life in Na and/or V doped fuel combustion products. Recent testing involving these two coatings show that coating life can be extended about 4 times when the ceramic coating thickness is reduced from 0.038 to 0.013 cm. Also ceramic coating life was increased about 3 times when some of the high Cr and Al bond coats identified in the bond-coat optimization study were used. Similarly Co-based bond coats improved the durability of calcium silicate-based thermal barrier coating systems by approximatey 2 to 3 times.

#### REFERENCES

- Clark, J. S., et al., "Gas-Turbine Critical Research and Advanced Technology Support Project," NASA TM-79139, 1979.
- Stecura, S., "Two-Layer Thermal Barrier Coating for High Temperature Components," American Ceramic Society Bulletin, Vol. 56, No. 12, Dec. 1977, pp. 1082-1089.
- 3. Stecura, S., "Effects of Compositional Changes on the Performance of a Thermal Barrier Coating System," NASA TM-78976, 1978.
- Stecura, S., "Effect of Yttrium, Aluminum, and Chromium Concentrations in Bond Coatings on the Performance of Zirconia-Yttria Thermal Barriers," NASA TM-79206, 1979.
- 5. Amos, D. J., "Analytical Investigation of Thermal Barrier Coatings on Advanced Power Generation Gas Turbines," EM-1636, Westinghouse Electric Corp., Lester, PA, Mar. 1977. (NASA CR-135146).

- 6. Clark, J. S. and Nainiger, J. J., "Potential Benefits of a Ceramic Thermal Barrier Coating on Large Power Generation Gas Turbine," NASA TM-73712, 1977.
- 7. Carlson, N. and Stoner, B. L., "Thermal Barrier Coating on High Temperature Industrial Gas Turbine Engines," PSD-R-109, United Technologies Corp., South Windsor, CT, Feb. 1977. (NASA CR-135147).
- 8. Hodge, P. E., et al., "Thermal Barrier Coatings: Burner Rig Hot Corrosion Test Results," NASA TM-79005, 1978.
- 9. Levine, S. R., "Adhesive/Cohesive Strength of a ZrO<sub>2</sub>, 12 w/o Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ NiCrAlY Thermal Barrier Coating," NASA TM-73792, 1978.
- 10. Miller, R. A., "Analysis of the Response of a Thermal Barrier Coating to Sodium and Vanadium Doped Combustion Gases," NASA TM-79205, 1979.
- 11. Zaplatynsky, I., "Reactions of Yttria-Stabilized Zirconia with Oxides and Sulfates of Various Elements," NASA TM-78942, 1978.
- 12. Zaplatynsky, I., "Reactions of Calcium Orthosilicate and Barium Zirconate with Oxides and Sulfates of Various Elements," NASA TM-79272, 1979.
- Dapkunas, S. J. and Clarke, R. L., "Evaluation of the Hot-Corrosion Behavior of Thermal Coatings," MSRDC-4428, Naval Ship Research and Development Center, Anapolis, MD, Nov. 1974. (AD-A000093).
- 14. R. J. Bratton, S. C. Singhal, and S. Y. Lee, "Ceramic Turbine Components Research and Development, Part II – Evaluation of ZrO<sub>2</sub>-Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MCrAlY Thermal Barrier Coatings Exposed to Simulated Turbine Environments," EPRI RP-421-1, Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, CA, Mar. 1979.
- 15. Gedwill, M. A., "Improved Bond Coatings for Use with Thermal Barrier Coatings," NASA TM-81567, 1980.
- 16. Hodge, P. E., Miller, R. A., and Gedwill, M. A., "Evaluation of Hot Corrosion Behavior of Thermal Barrier Coatings," NASA TM-81520, 1980.

7

• ,

Dopant level ( Referred To Fuel)	PREDICTED CONDENSATE	DEW POINT T <sub>dp</sub> , °C	Melting point T <sub>mp</sub> , °C	, CONDENSATE LOCATION	CYCLES TO COATING FAILURE AND FAILURE LOCATION
5 ppm Na	Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (L)	920	884	$T_s > T_{dp} > T_{mp} > T_{bc}$	92, OUT OF HOT ZONE
0,5 ppm Na	Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (s)	845	884	$T_s > T_{mp} > T_{bc} > T_{dp}$	1300
2 ppm V	V <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>5</sub> (£)	1210	670	$T_{dp} > T_s > T_{bc} > T_{mp}$	25, HOT ZONE
0, 2 ppm V	V <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>5</sub> (£)	1125	670	$T_{dp} > T_s > T_{bc} > T_{mp}$	200, HOT ZONE
5 ppm Na	V <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>5</sub> (£)	1210	670		-
2 ppm V	Na2V206(L)	~1155	627	$T_{dp} > T_s > T_{bc} > T_{mp}$	
	Na2SO4(L)	~910	884	$T_s > T_{dp} > T_{mp} > T_{bc}$	43, HOT & COLD ZONE
	V <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>5</sub> (s)	575	670		

TABLE 1. - RELATION BETWEEN CONDENSATE DEW AND MELTING POINTS AND ZrO<sub>2</sub> · 12 Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Nicraiy Performance

MACH Q 3 BURNER RIG, FUEL/AIR RATIO Q 042; SPECIMEN TEMPS: 980° C SURFACE, (T<sub>SURF</sub>), 850° C BOND COAT/CERAMIC INTERFACE (T<sub>bc</sub>) IN THE HOT ZONE; 890° C SURFACE, 760° C BOND COAT/CERAMIC INTERFACE AT TIP & ROOT OF TEST SPECIMEN











92 ONE-hr CYCLES 5 ppm Na CS-79-2817

Figure 2. - Effects of sodium on  $ZrO_2 \cdot 12$  w/o  $Y_2O_3$ /Ni-16Cr-6AI-0, 6Y. Mach 0.3 burner rig, 0.05 w/o S in fuel; surface temperature, 980<sup>0</sup> C; bond coat temperature, 850<sup>0</sup> C.



200 ONE-hr CYCLES <sub>CS-79-2818</sub> 0.2 ppm V 25 ONE-hr CYCLES 2 ppm V 43 ONE-hr CYCLES 5 ppm Na + 2 ppm V

Figure 3. - Effect of vanadium and sodium plus vanadium on  $ZrO_2 \cdot 12$  w/o  $Y_2O_3/Ni - 16Cr-6AI-0.6Y$ . Mach 0.3 burner rig, 0.05 w/o S in fuel; surface temperature, 980<sup>o</sup> C; bond coat temperature, 850<sup>o</sup> C.





AFTER 43 ONE-hr CYCLES; 5 ppm Na + 2 ppm V; 982° C CERAMIC SURFACE; 843° C METAL TEMP









Figure 6. - Fuel-to-air mass ratio burner rig study. Fuel impurity level: 5 ppmNa + 2 ppmV; substrate: 1/2 in. O. D. Waspaloy; bond coat: Ni-16Cr-6AI-0.31Y.

.

٠



Figure 7. - Effect of oxidation temperature on weight-change behavior of 0.010-cm-thick plasma-deposited bond coatings on B-1900 + Hf in cyclic furnace oxidation at 1000<sup>0</sup> and 1100<sup>0</sup> C in static air. Cycles: 1 hr at test temperature and 20 min or more cooling. Coatings applied at 11 kW with argon arc gas.

.



Figure 8. - Effect of plasma-deposition parameters on weight-change behavior of Ni-15. 8Cr-12. 8A1-0. 36Y bond coating on B-1900 + Hf in cyclic furnace oxidation at 1100<sup>0</sup> C in static air. Nominal coating thickness, 0.015 cm.



Figure 9. - Improved thermal barrier coatings. Mach 0.3 burner rig, fuel impurities, 5 ppmNa, 2 ppmV, 0.05% S; flame temperature,  $1380^{\circ}$  C; ceramic surface temperature,  $980^{\circ}$  C; metal temperature,  $850^{\circ}$  C.







Figure 11. - Electron microprobe maps of ZrO<sub>2</sub>-8Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Ni-16Cr-6AI-0.31Y coating system after 120 1-hour cycles.

٠ .

-

•

1. Report No. NASA TM-81716	2. Government Access	ion No.	3. Recipient's Catalog	No.				
4. Title and Subtitle	5. Report Date							
REVIEW OF NASA PROGRESS	ARRIER COAT-							
INGS FOR STATIONARY GAS 7	6. Performing Organization Code 778-11-06							
7. Author(s)	8. Performing Organiza	ation Report No.						
Philip E. Hodge, Robert A. Mil	E-749							
	10. Work Unit No.							
9. Performing Organization Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space								
Lewis Research Center	11. Contract or Grant No.							
Cleveland, Ohio 44135								
	13. Type of Report and Period Covered							
U.S. Department of Energy	Technical Memorandum							
Office of Coal Utilization		14. Sponsoring Agency Code Report No.						
Washington, D.C. 20545		DOE/NASA/2593-25						
15. Supplementary Notes								
Prepared under Interagency Agreement EF-77-A-01-2593. Prepared for International Gas								
Turbine Conference, Houston,	Texas, March 9	-12, 1981.						
16. Abstract Ceramic thermal barrier coatings for industrial/utility gas turbines have been investigated at NASA Lewis under the DOE-sponsored Critical Research and Advanced Technology Support Pro- ject (CRT). In early burner rig tests of a zirconia-yttria/nickel-chromium-aluminum-yttrium (ZrO <sub>2</sub> -12w/oY <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /NiCrAlY) coating system on air-cooled superalloy specimens, ceramic coat- ing life (spallation) was found to be sensitive to Na and V concentration in the fuel. The locations of coating spallation correspond to areas where combustion products were predicted to condense. Three new thermal barrier coating systems were identified. These are based on calcium sili- cate, ZrO <sub>2</sub> -8w/oY <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , and a MgO-NiCrAlY cermet. Furthermore, it was found that the spall resistance can be increased by reducing the ceramic layer thickness from 0.038 to 0.013 cm and by the use of more oxidation/corrosion resistant bond coats.								
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statement						
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Ceramic coatings		18. Distribution Statement Unclassified - 1	mlimited					
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Ceramic coatings Thermal barrier coatings		<ol> <li>18. Distribution Statement</li> <li>Unclassified - 1</li> <li>STAR Category</li> </ol>	inlimited 26					
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Ceramic coatings Thermal barrier coatings Bond coatings		<ol> <li>18. Distribution Statement Unclassified - u STAR Category DOE Category</li> </ol>	mlimited 26 UC-90f	-				
<ul> <li>17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))</li> <li>Ceramic coatings</li> <li>Thermal barrier coatings</li> <li>Bond coatings</li> <li>19. Security Classif. (of this report)</li> </ul>	20. Security Classif. (c	<ol> <li>18. Distribution Statement Unclassified - 1 STAR Category DOE Category</li> <li>of this page)</li> </ol>	mlimited 26 UC-90f 21. No. of Pages	22. Price*				

2

.

..

.

 $^{*}$  For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161

. .

•

.

.



7

ţ

: / |

·

.