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## PREFACE

This report describes the preliminary design of a Zero Gravity Test Facility (ZGTF) for testing High-Gain Antenna Systems (HGAS). The work was done at Sperry Flight Systems, Phoenix, Arizona, under the supervision of Mr. Richard Van Riper, Space Pointing Systems Department Head and Mr. Mike Maurice, System and Software Development Section Head. Messrs. George Stocking, Crockett Ellis, Jim Wilson, Bob Touchberry, and Dr. George Wilson provided valuable guidance in the design. The work was performed between February and July 1981 in fulfillment of the Contract No. NAS5-26479.

The report is presenteg in two parts: Volume I, Technical, and Volume II, Development Plan and Cost Estimate (proprietary).
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SECTION 1.0
INTRODUCTICN

### 1.1 GENERAL

This report describes the work done for the preliminary design of a Zero Gravity Test Facility (ZGTF), NASA Contract No. NAS5-26479. The major project objectives were to define the functional requirements and develop the best conceptual design for a test facility that simulates weightless operating conditions for a High-Gain Antenna Systems (HGAS), that will broadcast to the Tracking Data Relay Satellites (TDRSS). The typical HGAS defined for this project is mounted on a low earth-orbiting satellite, and consists of an antenna with a double-gimbal pointing system mounted on a 13-foot-long mast. Typically, the gimbals are driven by pulse-modulated dc motors or stepper motors. These drivers produce torques on the mast, with jitter that excites the satellite and may cause disturbances to sensitive experiments.

The facility objectives as defined by the Statement of Work are:

- Verification of the dynamic properties of the antenna support structure (mast), including flexible mode characteristics.
- A good practical estimate of the torque profile induced on the spacecraft by motion of the high-gain antenna.
- Verification of gain and phase margins of the servo control loop of the gimbal drive electronics.
- An indication of tracking performance (pointing verification).

The facility proposed in this report should meet all of these objectives.

Although this facility was designed specifically for testing High-Gain Antenna Systems, it can also be used for testing other satellite mechanisms under simulated weightlessness. Examples of such mechanisms are telescope mirrors, solar panels, or deployed antennas. The major requirements are that the supported mechanism operates within the weight and speed limitations of the facility, and that the mechanism can be supported from above at a single point.

### 1.2 SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS

Part of the preliminary design effort was to define the performance requirements and test item parameters for the ZGTF. This involved gathering data representative of high-gain antenna systems expected to be tested on the ZGTF, and listing their worst-case parameters. The test facility performance requirements were derived from the type of tests to be performed, the test iten parameters, and the allowable error to be introduced by the facility on the test item during testing.

Two antenna pointing systems that represented the expected test design extremes were used to define the requirements. These were the Landsat highgain antenna and the Space Telescope (ST) antenna system. The ST antenna system is a lightweight approach that uses the antenna mast as a waveguide tube to send the RF signals between the electronics at the base of the mast and the antenna and gimbals at the top of the mast. The gimbal drives use brushless de motors to rotate the antenna in two directions perpendicular to the axis of the mast.

The Landsat antenna system is a heavy system with the radio and gimbal control electronics located at the top of the mast. The gimbals are driven by stepper motors around the axis of the mast, and up and down in elevation. The pertinent parameters for these antenna systems are listed in Table 1-1. The facility requirements for testing these antenna systems are listed in Table 1-2.

There was some question whether the Wide-Angle Test Fixture (WATF) built at Sperry for testing the ASPS Gimbal System (AGS) would be practical or available for testing the HGASs. The WATF is not high enough to allow testing with a 13 -foot mast on the test item. The drive mechanisms on the WATF do not possess the required positional accuracy to meet the applied torque error requirement ( 1 ounce-inch). Finally, the WATF will be committed almost full time to testing the AGS once the test program is started and will therefore be unavailable to other programs during that time.

TABLE 1-1
zero gravity test facility item parameters

Pointing system ginbal loop bandwidth - 1 Hz maxinum
Payload gimbal weight - 200 pounds maximum ( 45 pounds typical)
Mast weight - 0 to 150 pounds
Gimbal - payload cg offset - 2 feet, maximum
Gimbal travel are - $\pm 115$ degrees
Mast length - 13.5 feet maxinum
Gimbal slew rate - 30 degrees per minute ( $8.7 \times 10-3$ radians per second, maximum

Dummy payload eg travel rate - . 21 inches per second, maximum Mast bending mode - 5 to 6 hertz (typically very rigid)

TABLE 1-2
zero gravity test facility requirements

- Sinulate zero gravity operation for gimbals and antenna mass
- Allow verification of the structural and control dynamics of the pointing system
- Allow gimbal angles as large as possible with a goal of 90 degrees
- Measure all forces and torques at the base of the pointing system mast to 1 percent accuracy
- Apply less than 1 oz-in. of torque to the gimbals (or 5 percent of maximum gimbal torque)
- Allow no Facility structural modes or conerol loop resonances that interfere with pointing system measureants


# SECTION 2.0 

TRADEOFF

Several candidate methods for meeting the test requirements were considered for the ZGTF. All of the best candidates were based on tests that were conducted at Sperry for antenna pointing systems over the past several years.

### 2.1 COUNTERBALANCE METHOD

A sketch of the ZGTF counterbalance method is shown in Figure 2-1. The antenna system is suspended upside down from a test structure. The antenna mass is simulated by a set of counterweights attached to the gimbals. The counterweights reproduce the antenna moments of inertia, with the center of mass of the gimbal-counterweight combination going through each gimbal. To avoid overloading the mast, the weight of the gimbals and counterweights is suspended by cables driven by servomotors at a constant force. As in all of the methods, the mast is bolted to an instrumented base for measuring forces and torques transmitted by the antenna system during operation. This method introduces inaccuracies in the antenna system from the mass of the suspension cables, which interfere with the mast dynamics and the counterbalance weights. The counterbalance weights produce high loads on the gimbal bearings, and do not model the effect of the offset cen: of mass of the real antenna on gimbal dynamics. The counterweights interfere with each other and the gimbals, over a range of gimbal angles, preventing full gimbal rotation to all locations. Sperry's experience has shown that locating the counterweights for balancing during test setup is a tedious process.

The major advantage of this method is that it is relatively inexpensive.

Figure 2-1
ZGTF Counterbalance Method

### 2.2 WATF DERIVATIVE METHOD

This concept (Figure 2-2) is based on Sperry's Wide-Angle Test Fixture (WATF) used for testing the ASPS Gimbal System (AGS) to be used for Shuttle Orbiter pointing missions.

The antenna system is mounted upright, with the dummy antenna and upper gimbal supported by a cable that is controlled at a constant force level, but is free to wind and unwind on its spool. The cable drive is mounted on a carriage which moves in two perpendicular directions horizontally ( $X$ and $Y$ ), and is controlled to stay directly above the antenna suspension point. The cable remains vertical at all times. A tower supports the $X-Y$ drive carriage 8 to 10 feet above the antenna gimbals to provide enough cable length to reduce the errors induced by the suspension to a negligible amount. The method requires very precise position and force sensors for the $X, Y$, and $Z$ control loops. The ZGTF control loops must also have bandwidths that are higher than the pointing system control bandwidths.

Gimbal angle travel is limited to less than 90 degrees. For reference, the WATF gimbal angle limit is 60 degrees. This concept allows functional testing of the antenna system structure under simulated zero-gravity conditions at any allowable gimbal angle. It produces near-zero torque about each of the three gimbal axes. Some radial load remains on the gimbal bearings. The tower for the WATF derivative is the tallest of the four concepts, requiring higher than normal laboratory ceiling height and careful design to ensure that the tower modal frequencies are higher than the test item frequencies.
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Figure 2-2
Wide-Angle Test Fixture Concept for a Zero Gravity Test Facility

### 2.3 UPSIDE-DOWN WATF DERIVATIVE

Another WATF derivative concept (Figure 2-3) places the $X, Y$, and $Z$ drives at the base of the tower, with the antenna system mounted upside down. The constant vertical force is transmitted through a pivoting link from a linear actuator on the drive carriage to the dummy antenna mass, with the link remaining vertical at all times. The description of the WATF derivative concept also applies to this concept except that the tower for the upside down WATF is shorter. A major problem with this method is the inherent instability of the control loops for the dummy mass suspension. The problem is analogous to balancing a weight on the tip of a pencil on the palm of your hand while another person pushes on the weight (Figure 2-4). This test method was expected to be the most expensive.

### 2.4 AIR-BEARING SUSPENSION

A concept that uses an air bearing to support the weight of the dummy antenna and gimbals was developed, based on a test run at Sperry on the Solar Max Mission High-Gain Antenna System. A sketch of the concept is shown in Figure 2-5.

The mast base is clamped to a track on a concrete pillar, allowing the mast to be tilted to any angle up to 90 degrees from horizontal. The dummy antenna weight is floated on an air bearing, which allows it to pivot about one gimbal axis in the horizontal plane only. The surface plate is mounted on rollers to allow it to be positioned closer to the concrete pillar as the mast is tilted up from horizontal.

Theoretically, the air bearing should allow nearly fricticnless motion about one gimbal axis and produce no load on the gimbal bearings. However, closer analysis shows that errors are introduced to the structural dynamics tests in the form of extraneous forces on the mast and torques on .the gimbals. Because of the frictionless nature of the air bearings, the surface plate must be very level to avoid torques on the gimbals caused by the dummy mass and bearing "sliding downill" around the gimbal axis.



Figure 2-4
Upside-Down WATF Problem

Calculations for the Space Telescope (ST) antenna pointing system (the least stringent case) indicate the table has to be leveled to within 32 arc seconds (1 degree/li2) from horizontal to produce less than 1 oz-in. of torque on the gimbals. Since the surface plate must be moved for each gimbal angle tested, it must also be leveled again for each test.

Another problem is the fact that the air bearing acts as a nonlinear spring suspension in the vertical direction. During tests where the mast angle is other than horizontal, the reaction forces and torques from the gimbal are coupled into the mast in such a way as to cause mast bounce in the vertical plane. The air-bearing spring adds an unwanted force to this degree of freedom, and interferes with the ability to measure reaction forces at the mast base.

This method also tends to be dependent on operator technique for proper operation of the air bearing and leveling of the surface plate.

The major advantages of this concept are: 1) a large test structure is not required, and 2) control systems for force or position are not required.
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Figure 2-5
ZGTF Air-Bearing Method


#### Abstract

2.5 TEST METHOD COMPARISON

A table qualitatively comparing the different test methods is presented in Table 2-1, which shows that the counterbalance and air-bearing method can be built with the least front-end design and fabrication effort. The two WATF derivative designs are more complex, and require more mechanical and electronic components. This complexity, however, buys greater accuracy for force and torque measurements on the mast as well as decreased $\mathfrak{i}$ ime to run the tests once the setup is completed.


Several forces and torques to be measured at the mast base are missing in the counterbalance method. The gimbal loads are high and the mast suspension affects the mast dynamics zaversely.

For the air-bearing method, the air-bearing suspension interferes with the vertical axis dynamics, and there is the possibility of high gimbal torque error if the surface plate is not leveled properly before each test sequence. The requirement for a new test setup and surface plate releveling for each gimbal angle increases the time required to run a test series, and perhaps forces the tester to reduce the number of tests to meet a time constraint.

The upside-down WATF offers no real advantages over the WATF derivative method because of the inherent iristability and sensitivity to error of the antenna mass suspension.

These factors leave the WATF derivative as the test method which best meets the reqifements for the Zero Gravity Test Facility. The preliminary facility design is therefore based on the WATF concept, and the term ZGTF will be interpreted as the WATF-derivative design for the rest of this report.

TABLE 2-1
TEST METHOD COMPARISON

|  | Counterbalance | WATF | Lipside Down WATF | Air Bearing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limits on | Up to $110^{\circ}$ in a | $60^{\circ}$ any direction | same as | $110^{\circ}$ planar |
| Gimbel Angle | linited envelope | envelope to 90 | WATF | only |
| Residual Torque on Ginbals | None | None | None | Potentidlly High |
| Load on | High | Small or | Small or | Small or |
| Gimbals |  | None | None | Hone |
| Mechanical | Low | High | High | Low |
| Complexity |  |  |  |  |
| Electronic | Moderate | High | High | Moderate |
| Complexity |  |  |  |  |
| Design | Low | High | High | Low |
| Complexity |  |  |  |  |
| Test Setup | Moderate | Long | Long | Repetitively |
| Time |  |  |  | Long |
| Time to Run Tests | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate | Long |
| Chance of Equipment Breakdown | Low | Moderate-High High | ModerateHigh | Low Moderate |
| Structure Size (with 13 ft mast) | Medium | Large | Medium | Medium |
| Infial Cost | Low | High | High | Low-Mediun |
| Quality of Ug Simulation for the: |  |  |  |  |
| Gimbal Bearings | Fair | Good | Good | Good (limited to plane) |
| Hast | Fair | Good | Good | Poor-Fair |
| Inherent Errors | Antenna cg | None | None | Vertical Axis |
| Introduced by | offset force plus Torques |  |  | Force plus Torques plus |
| Mast Forces | Missing. |  |  | possible Gínbal Torque Error |
| Sensitivity to Errors (noise, vibration, etc) | Medium-Low | Low | High | Mediulil |



### 3.1 TEST FACILITY PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

Once the type of test facility was selected, the detailed performance requirements for the facility could be defined. For the WATF-derivative facility, the requirements are that the $X, Y$, and $Z$ control loops respond swiftly and accurately enough to follow the motion of dummy antennas, and that the structural resonances not be in the same frequency range as those of the test article. Table $3-1$ defines the ZGTF performance requirements.

## TABLE 3-1

## ZGTF PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

| Structural resonance | 20 to 30 hertz minimum |
| :--- | :--- |
| $X, Y$, and Z-axis loop bandwidths | 10 hertz minimuin |
| Z-axis cable resonance | 10 hertz minimum |
| Z-axis load cell ranges | 0 to 75 pounds, 0 to 250 pounds |
| Allowable Z-axis force error | $\sim .0 \%$ percent of payload weight |
| Z-axis travel during test | -2 feet |
| $X-Y$ axis position loop allowable error | .020 inch with 8 feet of cable |
| $X-Y$ axis travel during test | $\pm 2$ feet |

The structural and cable resonance frequencies are above the maximum test item mast frequency of 5 to 6 hertz, and the 1 -hertz gimbal-loop bandwidth. The $X, Y$, and $Z$ control-loop bandwidths are also set higher than the test-item frequencies to ensure adequate response. The $X, Y$, and $Z$ allowable errors are based on calculations for the maximum torque arror allowed from the cest facility to the test item. The $X, Y$, and $Z$ axis travel distances were sized to accommodate any projected high-gain antenna system presently foreseen. The $Z$-axis load cell ranges were specified to provide the proper amount of sensitivity for the whole range of antenna system weights. A ZGTF that meets these requirements will be flexible enough to handle any high-gain antenna system now proposed.

### 3.2 GENERAL DESIGN DESCRIPTION

A sketch of the ZGTF is shown in Figure 3-1. The most prominent feature is the 24 -foot tower which supports the $X, Y$, and $Z$ drives at the top. The $X, Y$, and $Z$ drives have been copied from the WATF as much as possible to keep new-design costs down. The $X$ - and $Y$-axis position control has been changed from the WATF's open-loop position command to a closed-loop system which minimizes the carriage position error with respect to the cable attachment point on the antenna mass. An optical position sensor was added to measure this error. The $Z$-axis force loop remains unchanged from the WATF design. high-accuracy load cell at the base of the Z-drive cable has been specified to allow the loop to meet the low error requirement. The instrumented mast base will probably utilize a 6-component force and torque transducer, nomally used for wind-tunnel testing, which allows all force components at the mast base to be measured simultaneously.

The electronics for the ZGTF have also been based on WATF elećtronics as much as possible. The motor-drive electronios are similar to the current WATF drivers except that they will have higher current capability. The WATF is being updated to include this change. The motor electronic safety interlocks and inhibits are the same for both facilities.

The ZGTF control electronics use the same microprocessor architecture as the WATF, but the software will be different to reflect the different control philosophies. Sensor electronics have been added, to handle the additional force and position transducers used in the ZGTF.

The following sections give a more detailed description of the components of the ZGTF.
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Figure 3-1
Zero Gravity Test Facility

### 3.3 MECHANICAL COMPONENTS

### 3.3.1 Tower

The tower design for the ZGTF differs markedly from the WATF tower. Originally, a scaled-up WATF tower was considered for the ZGTF, However, a computer analysis of that design showed a first mode bending frequency of only about 15 hertz, too low for the ZGTF. The proposed design (Figure 3.1) is much stiffer and has a calculated first mode frequency of about 22 hertz. The tower is all steel, and all joints are welded for rigidity. . The legs are 8 -inch schedule 40 pipe as in the WATF design. The horizontal beams are 6 inch, wide flange, I-beams and the diagonal braces are 3 -inch angle iron. The structure will be bolted together during construction and then welded.

At the second level (12 feet above floor level), a floor is built of I-beams covered with catwalk grating. A 5 -foot square hole is left at the center of this level for clearance for the test item. With a 13-foot mast and a 1-foot allowance for the mast base, the gimbals for the test item should be at approximately waist level for a person standing on the second level. Removable gratings or plates can be used to cover the 5-foot hole during set up of the antenna system to allow easy access during assembly. Access to the second level will be by removable ladders. As yet, no OSHA safety requirements for the tower have been identified, but fall protection can be provided for people working on the second level by using safety belts with a strap or cable attached to the tower third-level horizontal beam.

Construction of the tower requires cranes to lift the tower parts to their positions. The building enclosing the ZGTF must have enough clearance for this machinery to move around during construction. The minimum ceiling height for the building should be about 30 feet.

### 3.3.2 $X$ and $Y$ Drive Mechanism

The $X$ and $Y$ drives move the suspension point for the $Z$-axis (vertical) cable in the two horizontal directions. The $X-Y$ drive is based as much as possible on the WATF $X-Y$ drive shown in Figures $3-2$ and $3-3$. The major mechanical components of the $X-Y$ drive are the bridge ( $X$ direction), the trolley ( $Y$ direction), power boom, and the drive trains.

The bridge rests on rails on top of the 14 -inch l-beam frame at the top of the tower. The bridge is pulled back and forth on the rails by a pair of drive cables at each end of the bridge. A single electric motor drives both cables through a long drive shaft at one end of the frame.

The trolley rides on rails on top of the bridge, pulling itself along a single cable which runs through the center of the trolley. A single motor drives the trolley. The drive cable runs across two idler pulleys on each side of the motor to allow the cable to wrap around the motor drive gear. The power boom supports the electrical cables that supply power to the trolley. The two sections of the boom are counterbalanced about their vertical pivots on the trolley and the frame.

Both $X$ and $Y$ drives have limit switches which shut off motor power when the bridge or trolley reaches the limit of travel. The optical position sensor and electronics for the $X, Y$, and $Z$ drives are discussed in a separate section of this report.

### 3.3.3 Z-Axis Drive Mechanization

The $Z$-axis drive keeps a constant force that is equal to the dummy antenna weight, on the $Z$-axis cable. The mechanical portion of the drive consists of a brush-type do torquer motor which drives a cable drum through reduction gearing. A load cell at the botton of the cable senses the force applied by the drive, and signals adjustments to be made by the control electronics. The drive applies constant force for static conditions and when the cable is reeling or unreeling from the drum. The mechanism is based on
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Figure 3-2
ZGTF X, Y, and Z Drives
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the WATF Z-drive, but several changes were required to adapt it for use on the ZGTF. The WATF Z-drive motor is a surplus control-moment-gyro torquer that was available when the WATF was built, which will be replaced in the ZGTF by a brush-type dc motor. The load cell at the base of the cable was respecified to a high-accuracy transducer that is capable of meeting the ZGTF error requirements. Changes to the cable spool were also necessary. As the WATF Z-cable unreels, the location of the point at which the cable comes off the drum moves along the drum, and depends upon how much cable has been payed out. The ZGTF design will either allow the drum to move axially so that the cable reels off at the same point relative to the trolley, or the cable will pass over a pulley after coming off the drum, thus constraining it's horizontal position.

One bandwidth limitation of the ZGTF is due to the resonant frequency of the Z-cable. This resonance modulates the vertical tension produced by the $Z$-axis drive. The frequency depends on the cable force, cable length, the length of the load cell at the base of the cable, and its inertia. The WATF cable frequency was 10 hertz, which is also the goal for the ZGTF cable frequency.

The worst condition occurs with a light load and the cable unreeled to its maximum length. Using high-gain antenna system parameters and an unmodified WATF Z-cable, the resonant frequency will be about 3 hertz, so some redesign of the ZGTF is also necessary in this area. Modifications may include lightening and shortening the load cell link at the base of the Z-cable.

### 3.3.4 Mast Base

The mast base provides an interface for bolting the antenna mast to the floor, and the base also acts as a transducer to measure six components of force and torque transmitted by the antenna system to the spacecraft. The forces and torques will be measured by either a wind-tunnel sting transducer or by a Sperry custom-designed transducer. The tradeoff between the two choices involves the cost of the wind-tunnel transducer against the technical risk and cost involved in developing the custom-designed transducer. A brochure describing the wind-tunnel transducer is included in the Appendix.

The custom transducer would utilize six off-the-shelf load cells arranged in an array described in Figure 3-4.
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Figure 3-4
Custom Six-Component Transducer Load Cell Sensitive Axis Array

The load-cell outputs will be processed by an electronic sum and difference circuit to extract six orthogonal forces and torques. The schedule and cost estimates included in this report reflect use of the windtunnel transducer. The custom transducer requires more design and verification test time than that indicated in this report.

### 3.3.5 Hoisting Equipment

An overhead crane is necessary to assist in raising and assembling the antenna system before and after testing. A crane that is the equivalent the Yale one-half ton model used on the WATF is recommended. The WATF crane is mounted on a horizontal I-beam attached to the ceiling. The hoisting motor rides on rollers on the I-beam, and is controlled by a manual switch that hangs from the hoist. During use, the ZGTF carriage will be displaced to one side of the tower, thus allowing the hoisting cable to drop down the center of the tower. Antenna system components and tools may then be lifted straight up for assembly. The crane will also be useful during assembly of the ZGTF tower. For this reason, we recommend that the crane be installed in the test building before ZGTF construction.

### 3.4 ELECTRONICS DESIGN

### 3.4.1 Motor Drivers

The ZGTF requires a motor-drive electronics unit (MDU) for the $X, Y$, and $Z$ motors. An input/output block diagram for the MDU is shown in figure 3-5. Inputs from the control unit electronics provide error signal commands for motor torque to the $X, Y$, and $Z$ motors and also provide inhibit signals to shut down power to any of the three motors in case of abnormal operating conditions. The outputs are for high and low sides of the windings for the three motors. The MDU will contain one card of logic circuitry, for current crowbar electronics, to sense motor overcurrent conditions. The output of this card is run through $O R$ logic circuitry, with the control unit inhibit sigials, which allows either inhibit signal to stop motor power.

The MDU design is similar to the one used on the WATF. Because of the difference in motors and a more restrictive requirement on holding torques, some changes in the servocontroller characteristics will be required, such as higher current capability than that in the present WATF design. Power to the MDU will be from a centrally switched 120 -volt, $60-h e r t z ~ s u p p l y . ~$

### 3.4.2 Control Electronics and Panel

The control electronics unit contains the $X, Y$, and $Z$ control-loop compensation logic, safety interlock logic, and the manual controls required for operating the ZGTF in an open-loop mode. Block diagrams of the control unit is shown in Figures 3-6 and 3-7.
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Figure 3-5
ZGTF Motor Drive Unit Input/Output Diàgram
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Figure 3-6
ZGTF Control Unit
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MANUAL SHUTOFFS

Figúre 3-7
Control Unit Auxiliary Circuits

Inputs are received from the optical position sensor, $Z$-axis force transducer, $Z$-axis cable rate sensor, $X$ - $Y$ limit switches, and antenna gimbal commands for processing by a 280 -based logic circuit. Outputs are motor command and inhibit for the $X, Y$, and $Z$ axes of the MDU. Analog output jacks are provided for recording all input (except limit switches) and output commands as well as the mast base force sensor and gimbal resolver angles. Analog signals are converted to 16 -bit digital signals before processing. Fifteen separate inputs are provided for the three optical position sensor detectors. The six sensor $X$ and $Y$ sum inputs supply information on light intensity for each axis. The six $X$ and $Y$ difference inputs indicate percent offset of the light beam in each direction for each sensor. The microprocessor performs a division operation of difference/sum for each sensor and direction ( $X$ and $Y$ ) to obtain absolute position of the light beam for each optical sensor. The six displacement values are then arithmetically processed to give the $X$ and $Y$ position errors of the $X-Y$ drive carriage. These signals are processed in the $X$ and $Y$ loop-compensation equations to arrive at the motor cominand signals sent to the MDU. For each of the three optical sensors, the $X$ and $Y$ sum signals are added together and sent through a Schmitt trigger circuit to monitor total light intensity on each sensor. If the iight intensity falls below a preset level, signaling loss of the light beam from any sensor, an inhibit signal is sent to the MDU to shut off motor power.

A parallel 16-bit input is used for the optical sensor inhibit signal and for manual control of the ZGTF. The manual inputs command motion of the $X-Y$ carriage and $Z$ cable at a constant rate (in both directions) along each axis. Manual inhibits for each axis and a manual/automatic mode-select switch are also provided at this input port.

The Z-axis load cell output is summed with the $Z$ force reference voltage from a precision potentiometer. The $Z$-axis force error signal is then digitized, sent through the Z -axis control-loop compensation calculation, and output to the MDU.

A real-time watchdog timer monitors the 280 microprocessor. In case of 280 failure or power loss, the timer senses the lack of signals from the microprocessor and sends an inhibit signal to all three motor-drive inputs. Provisions are available to also send an inhibit to the antenna gimbal electronics for this condition.

Another inhibit signal is derived from $Z$-cable rate. A cable overspeed condition produces an inhibit signal to the $Z$-motor drive, actuating a fail-safe brake on the $Z$ drive.

Table 3-2 summarizes conditions for safety interlock commands.

TABLE 3-2
ZGTF CONTROL UNIT SAFETY INTERLOCK CONDITIONS

| Condition | Drive <br> Inhibited |
| :--- | :--- |
| Motor Overcurrent | $X, Y, Z$ |
| Manual Inhibit | $X, Y, Z$ |
| Z80 Failure | $X, Y, Z$ |
| Loss of Power | $X, Y, Z$ |
| Optical Sensor Low <br> Light Level/Loss of <br> Light Beam <br> X-Y Position Overtravel <br> Z-Cable Overrate | $X, Y$ |

Failures or power losses in other components of the ZGTF outside the controller can also lead to inhibit signals to the MDU. Examples are 2 -force transducer faiiure, leading to a high cable rate command and triggering the cable overrate inhibit, or the $X-Y$ carriage sticking in place and causing a motor overcurrent command or loss of light beam on the position sensor and leading to $X$ or $Y$ motor inhibit.

The control panel for the ZGTF will be kept simple. Labeled jacks will be provided for each output, along with switches for manual $X, Y, Z$ position command, $X, Y, Z$ inhibit, manual/automatic select, and a master power switch for the entire facility. Schematics for the position command switches ( $E$ through J) and the inhibit and mode-select switches ( $K$ through $N$ ) are shown in Figure 3-6. The master power switch and power distribution schematic are shown in Figure 3-7.

### 3.4.3 Sensor Electronics

The suggested transducer electronics are, for the most part, off-theshelf signal conditioning units. Equivalent electronics by other manufacturers than those mentioned will be acceptable. The electronics for the force sensors are Validyne CD-19 carrier-demodulator-amplifier units in an MC-10 power-supply enclosure. Descriptive literature for these units is shown in the appendix. The CD-19s transmit a sine-wave carrier to the force transducer strain-gage uridges. The return signal from the bridges is demodulated and amplified by the CD-19s. The carrier power signal to the bridges provides excellent immunity to electromagnetic interference- (EMI) induced noise from the ZGTF and antenna gimbal motors. Induced noise in the z-transducer cabling was a problem during initial operation of the WATF. The use of this type of signal conditioner should avoid this problem in the ZGTF. Ten channels of CD-19 plug-in units are required as follows:

- Mast base force sensor - 6 channels
- Z-axis load cell - 1 channel
- Mast-mode triax accelerometer - 3 channels

These units may be used with any strain-gage bridge type of transducer, possibly eliminating the need to buy new electronics if future tests require that new parameters be measured.

The optical position sensors require buffer and summing amplifiers at the photodetector output. United Detector Technology Model 301 amplifiers, or equivalent, plus 521 type opamps are connected as shown in Figure 3-8.


Figure 3-8
Optical Sensor Electronics Schematic

The 301 amplifiers derive the sum and difference signals from the photodetector output. The 521 opamp sums the $X$ and $Y$ sum signals for the loss-of-1ight-beam inhibit input to the control unit. Six type 301 amplifiers plus tinree 521 opamps are needed for the three photodetectors in the position sensors.

The final piece of transducer electronics are tri-state logic drivers for the $X$ and $Y$ position-limit switches. The drivers convert the on/off output of the limit switches to high/low logic for the microprocessor. Four logic drivers are necessary for the ZGTF.

### 3.4.4 X-Y Position Sensor

The position sensor* for the ZGTF $X$ and $Y$ drives must be capable of detecting position error between the $X-Y$ drive carriage and the suspension point for the dummy antenna mass to within a few thousands of an inch. A preliminary design that utilizes laser optics was developed; it appears capable of measuring position error to the required accuracy, unaffected by Z-axis cable dynamics.

The laser light source is mounted on the trolley with the $Z$-drive mechanism, as shown in Figure 3-9. The light beam is split into three parts and sent straight down to three reflectors mounted on the top of the $Z$-axis load cell at the base of the $Z$ cable. The three reflected beams travel back up to three optical position detectors mounted on the Z-drive trolley where light spot position is sensed.


Figure 3-9
X-Y Position Sensor Layout

[^0]The position sensor is designed around the reflective properties of two types of mirrors - flat and cube corner. The flat mirror reflects light at an angle equal to the angle of the incident beam of light (Figure 3-10).


CUBE CORNER MIRROR
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Figure 3-10
ZGTF Reflectors

The cube corner mirror reflects light in the opposite direction from which it enters the mirror, no matter what the mirror orientiation. The reflected beam is displaced from the center of the mirror, the same distance as the incident beam; on the opposite side of the center. This can be demonstrated by looking in a cube corner mirror. The observer will always see his eye upside down and centered in the mirror, no matter at what angle the mirror is held.

The flat mirror, when mounted on the top of the rigid load cell link, reflects the laser beam back at twice the angle that the link is cocked (Figure 3-11).


Figure 3-11
Optical Reflector Position Outputs

The displacement of the bottom of the link with respect to the top of the link is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{L}=\frac{-L_{2}}{2 L_{1}} \quad X_{M} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the flat mirror measures the displacement of the link only.

The cube corner mirror reflects its light beam straight back up, displaced twice the distance that the Z-drive trolley is displaced with respect to the top of the load cell link. The cube corner mirror measures $Z$ cable displacement only. By summing the displacement measurements of the cube corner and the flat mirror beams, the sensor arrives at the displacement of the trolley with respect to the bottom of the load cell link, which is the desired measurement (Figure 3-12).
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Figure 3-12
$X-Y$ Position Derivation

The calculated displacement is independent of the position of the top of the load cell link because this displacement is cancelled out in the summation process. When cable vibration occurs, the top of the load cell sustains a periodic displacement, even though the trolley and antenna mass may not move. Independence from load-cell displacement makes the position sensor insensitive to cable vibration.

Because of the nature of the cube corner mirror, the position sensor is sensitive to rotation of the load-cell link about the vertical axis. The use of one cube-corner mirror, offset from the link's vertical axis, would result in a displacement signal if the link rotated. For this reason, two cube-corner mirrors on opposite sides of the link axis are used in this design. The outputs of the two are averaged to cancel out rotational effects. Since the flat mirror is insensitive to rotation about the vertical axis, only one is needed; hence three laser beams are needed for this sensor.

The photodetectors used for sensing the reflected light-beam positions each produce $X$ - and $Y$-position outputs. The detector outputs are appropriately summed and scaled by the sensor and control electronics. The active area of each detector is 1.75 inches in diameter. During ZGTF start-up, the $X-Y$ carriage must be positioned so that the light beams are within this area. Loss of a light beam from any sensor causes the $X$ and $Y$ drives to shut down.

### 3.5 TEST•EQUIPMENT

### 3.5.1 Test Structure

It is assumed that the ZGTF may be used at a site other than Sperry Flight Systems. A preliminary test structure is necessary to avoid having to assemble the 24-foot ZGTF tower for preliminary testing of the ZGTF drives at Sperry and then shipping the assembled tower across the country.

The test structure supports the ZGTF $X, Y$, and $Z$ drives during preliminary checkout tests. The structure is the same as the WATF structure except that the drives are supported only 8 to 10 feet above the ground. At this height the end of the Z-drive cable may be attached to test equipment on the ground. The 8 to 10 feet of 2 -cable payout simulates actual operating conditions. The tower uprights will be 8 -inch schedule 40 steel pipe with 2 inch pipe diagonal supports. A sketch of the test tower is shown in Figure 3-13. The test structure will be a nondeliverable item.

### 3.5.2 Motion Simulator

During test facility checkout and verification tests, a motion simulator is necessary to check the facility's slew performance. A conceptual design of such a device is shown in Figure 3-14. The ZGTF Z-drive cable attaches to the moving block through a ball joint. The moving block rides on two linear ball bushings, propelled by a ball screw drive for slew simulation. A constant-speed motor turns the drive screw at predetermined rates. The simulator can be tilted up at angles up to 90 degrees from horizontal for simulating motion in any direction.

For precision static-displacement measurements, a displacement measuring block can be clamped to the simulator. Static displacements are measured with the micrometer head on the block. The motion simulator will be used for periodic recalibration of the ZGTF, and will be a deliverable item.
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Figure 3-13
ZGTF Test Structure

## ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



Figure 3-14
Gimbal Slew Simulator-Position Sensor Calibrator

# SECTION 4.0 <br> SYSTEM DESIGN 

## SECTION 4.0

## SYSTEM DESIGN

### 4.1 X-Y POSIIION LOOPS

The $X$ and $Y$ position-control loops are designed to keep the $X-Y$ carriage directly above the antenne dummy mass suspension point. The objective is to keep the suspension cable vertical at all times to avoid side forces on the antenna mass. The maximum allowable position error is .020 inch between the carriage and the antenna suspension point. The system block diagram is shown in Figure 4-1 for one axis. The system model for each axis is the same, but there are differences in the mass and stiffness in each direction. The $X$ loop models the bridge and trolley masses and two drive cables. The $Y$ loop contains the trolley and one drive cable. A similar system model without position feedback was used for the WATF $X$ and $Y$ controller. The parameters used for the preliminary design were taken from the WATF system model, except for the carriage mass which was scaled down to reflect the ZGTF mass. The $X$ loop was used for modeling because the higher mass of the bridge and trolley represent the worst-case design problem. The two friction terms shown were neglected in calculating the loop compensation.

The open-loop transfer function is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K_{D K T N}\left(\frac{R}{J+M R 2}\right)\left(\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}} s+1\right) G_{C}(s)}{s^{2}\left(\frac{J M}{K_{c}\left(J+M R^{2}\right)} s^{2}+\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}} s+1\right)\left(\frac{s}{W_{D}}+1\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{C}(s)$ is the compensation transfer function.

The uncompensated open-loop gain is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K D K T N \frac{R}{J+M R^{2}}=1.21 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$


Figure 4-1
ZGTF X-Y Position-Control Loop Block Diagram
and the uncompensated crossover frequency is about 1.1 radians per second as shown in Figure 4-2. For a $10-\mathrm{Hz}$ loop bandwidth, the compensated zero dB crossover frequency should be abcut 60 radians per second with a -20-decibel-per-decade slope around the crossover. The compensation picked for this loop was:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{c}(s)=\frac{K\left(\frac{s 2}{\omega_{1} 2}+\frac{1.45}{\omega_{1}}+1\right)}{\left(\frac{s^{2}}{\omega_{2}^{2}}+\frac{1.4 s}{\omega_{2}}+1\right)\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{3}}+1^{2}\right)} \quad \omega_{1}<\omega_{2}<\omega_{3} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compensated open-loop Bode plot is shown in Figure 4-2. One peculiarity of this loop is that the cable spring constant $K_{c}$ is expected to change by a factor of $2: 1$, depending on the position of the carriage. As the carriage position goes from one side of the tower to the other, the length of cable through which tension is applied changes by $2: 1$, causing a proportional change in spring constant. This change causes the break frequencies at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\sqrt{\frac{J M}{K_{C}\left(J+M R^{2}\right)}}=7.8 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s} \text { and } \omega=\frac{D_{C}}{K_{C}}=14 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

to drift down to 5.5 and 7 radians per second, respectively. For this reason the quadratic lead break frequency $\omega_{1}$ was put at 5.5 radians per second to avoid the possibility of instabilities during saturated operating conditions. The compensation gain was'set to $K=345$ to obtain the 60 radians per second crossover. The quadratic lag break frequency was set at 150 radians per second. This gives a calculated phase margin of 21.5 degrees at crossover.

Figure 4-2
ZGTF $\times$ Loop Open-Loop Bode Plot

The closed-1oop Bode plot is shown in Figure 4-3. The system bandwidth is about $120 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, or twice the goal of $60 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. The X-position loop was dynamically modeled on an Applied Dynamics/4 analog computer. The use of the analog computer allowed immediate examination of modifications to the system and was very useful in tracking down cause-and-effect relations in the loop. The modeled input was a transient ramp change in antenna mass position, at . 2 in./s, simulating a 30 -degree-per-minute slew of a Sperry HGAS antenna drive. The rainp input voltage was filtered with a 1 -hertz lag to simulate the 1 hertz bandwidth of the antenna gimbals. Transient responses that had several of the nonlinearities present in the loop were run to detemine the effect of each nonlinearity on the $X-100 p$ position error. The transient responses are shown in Figures 4-4 through 4-8. The monlinearities modeled were as follows:

- Saturations (limits)
- Control electronics voltage - 15 volts
- Motor torque - 140 inch-pound
- Carriage velocity - . 65 inch per second
- Dahl friction (no peaking)
- Motor torque - 7 inch-pounds
- Carriage bearings - 14 pourids

Also modeled was tower dynamics, as a linear, second-order negative feedback. Referring to the transient response curves, for saturations only, the peak position error was .0018 inch. The steady-state error diminished to zero. With saturations and the tower dynamics, the transient response showed no change. This was confirmed by an analysis that showed the static gain through the tower dynamics feedback path was 10,000 times less than the position sensor feedback gain because of the high stiffness of the tower.

With saturations and motor friction, the transient response showed a .0025 inch peak pointing error. The steady-state error was about . 001 inch. An analysis using the final value theorem predicted a .0009-inch steady-state error, which is in excellent agreement.
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Figure 4-4
ZGTF X-Y Loop Transient Response to Gimbal Slew Command Saturations Only
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Figure 4-6
ZGTF X-Y Loop Transient Response to Gimbal Slew Command - Saturation and Motor Friction


Figure 4-7
ZGTF X-Y Loop Transient Response to Gimbal Slew Command - Saturation and Carriage Friction


With saturations and carriage friction, the peak position error was . 011 inch. The steady-state error was about . 004 inch. A final value theorem analysis for carriage friction predicted . 0036 inch steady-state error, again in excellent agreement.

When all nonlinearities and tower dynamics were included in the model, the peak position error was . 0117 inch with .0045 inch steady-state error. These are both within the . 020 -inch error requirement.

In comparing the sources of position error, all the nonlinearities were insignificant except for the carriage friction. The cause for the large error was traced to the stiffness of the carriage $X$-drive cable. Figure 4-9 shows the eransient response for several points in the $X$ loop ahead of the carriage mass. The large initial difference in velocity at the $X$-motor output and at the carriage indicates that the $X$ cable is stretching. The stretch continues until the breakaway friction force at the carriage is attained. The motor output and the carriage both settie out to .2 inch/s velocity in a well-behaved manner within 6 second. The motor torque saturates in both directions at $140 \mathrm{in} .-1 \mathrm{~b}$ during the initial transient. The fact that no limit cycling occurs indicates that the control loop is stable. Data runs with the motor torque limit increased to $180 \mathrm{in} .-1 \mathrm{~b}$ also saturated, but showed almost no improvement in the position error response.

If it is necessary to reduce the . 020 -inch position error requirement, the analysis results indicate that some effort should be made to stiffen the $X$ drive between the motor and the carriage. This can be done by using a heavier cable or redesigning the drive. One solution would be to replace the single motor, two-cable drive with one that uses a pair of stepper motors driving ball screws at each end of the carriage. The stepper motors could be electrically synchronized to avoid cocking the bridge (on the rails at each end) and causing it to jam. A new system analysis would be necessary for this method since the control loop would be significantly different from the one modeled in this study.


### 4.2 2-FORCE LOOP

The $Z$-force loop is designed to apply a constant vertical force on the test item dummy antenna mass to counteract the force of gravity. The Z-loop system model and block diagram shown in Figure 4-10, are the same as those used for the AGS WATF. The changes made in hardware components for the ZGTF loop do not affect the WATF system model. The Z-drive motor will be selected to match the performance of the gimbal torquer used on the WATF. The highaccuracy force transducer for the ZGTF is modeled the same as the one used on the WATF.

A major difference in the WATF and ZGTF loops is the payload mass supported by the cable. The largest mass to be supported by the ZGTF is only one-half the AGS mass. However, this does not affect the loop design because the $Z$-drive motor acts through a 75:1 gear ratio. The effect of the gear train is to make the motor inertia appear much larger than the load inertia, thus making the loop insensitive to payload mass for the antennas to be tested. This is an advantage for the ZGTF because the loop compensation gain does not have to be changed for each different antenna system.

The compensation for the $Z$ loop is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K_{I}}{s} K_{A} \frac{\frac{s^{2}}{\omega_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1.4 s}{\omega_{1}}+1}{\frac{s^{2}}{\omega_{2}^{2}}+\frac{1.4 s}{\omega_{2}}+1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The break frequencies and gain are selected to produce a 100 radians per second ppen-loop crossover frequency with about 30 degrees phase margin.

The open- and closed-loop Bode gain plots for the $Z$ axis loop are shown in Figure 4-11. The loop has about 10 -hertz bandwidth and zero steady-state error for the linear model. An analysis to determine the steady state error with motor friction also results in zero steady state error on force output.

Figure 4-10
ZGIF L-Axis Tension Control Loop Functional Block Diagram
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Figure 4-11

The $Z$ loop like the $X$ and $Y$ loops is affected by changes in cable length. The cable spring constant $K c$ changes, causing the break frequencies at $\omega=\frac{K_{c}}{M}$ and $\omega=\frac{K_{c}}{D_{C}}$ to fluctuate. For this reason the compensation break frequencies 1 and 2 were set at the lower limite of the two mechanism frequencies to avoid instability problems.

No computer analysis on the $Z$ loop was done for the ZGTF preliminary design. The stability, gain and phase margins have been demonstrated on the WATF, and at this stage the ZGTF hardware parameters are not defined well enough to make it worthwhile to model the $Z$ loop for fine tuning. $Z$ loop transient modeling should be done at the detailed design stage for the ZGTF.

## SECTION 5.0

ANALYSES

No.
1 Air-Bearing Table Tilt Requirement
2 ZGTF X-Y Trolley Position Accuracy Requirement
3 WATF Force Error on AGS
4 Torque Error Caused by Mass Suspension Location
ZGTF X-Y Carriage Error Sensor
ZGTF X-Y Vertical Deflection and Frequencies
ZGTF Tower Structural Modes
Z-Axis Loop Analysis
Z-Axis Error caused by Friction in the Gear Train
X-Y Loop Analysis
X-Y Steady-State Error Caused by Carriage Friction
X-Y Loop Steady-State Error caused by Motor Torque Friction
X-Y Loop Digital Simulations (Frequency Response)
$X-Y$ Loop Analog Simulations (Transient Response)

ANALYSIS 5.1
AIr-bEARING TABLE TILT REQUIREMENT

### 5.1 AIR-BEARING TABLE TILT REQUIREMENT

If the air bearing for the antenna mass rests on an unlevel table, it will tend to slide downhill, assuming no friction is present.


Figure 5-1
Unlevel table will cause a torque error on the gimbal.


Figure 5-2
The gimbal torque error is
$T e=F R \tan \alpha=1$ ounce-inch maximum
for the STAPS antenna system

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =251 b \quad R \approx 16 \text { inch } \\
\tan \alpha & =\frac{1 \text { ounce-inch }}{(25 \mathrm{bb}) \frac{16}{16} \cdot(1602 / \mathrm{b})} \\
& =\frac{1}{6400} \\
\alpha & =.00895^{\circ}=32 \text { arcsec }=\frac{1^{\circ}}{112}
\end{aligned}
$$
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ANALYSIS 5.2
ZGTF X-Y TROLLEY POSITION ACCURACY REQUIREMENT

## 5.2 .1 ZGTF X-Y Trolley Position Accuracy

Find the maximum position error allowable


Figure 5-3

$$
T_{E}=E\left(16^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqslant 1 \mathrm{og} \text {.in TORQUE ERROR }
$$

$$
E_{\text {max }}=\frac{10 x \mathrm{~m}}{16 \frac{g}{4}}=3.9 \times 10^{-3} \mu \quad \text { FORCE ERROR LIMIT }
$$

$$
\frac{E}{F}=\frac{F \sin \alpha}{F}=\frac{\text { POSITION ERROR }}{C A B L E L E N G T H}=\frac{X_{E}}{L}=\frac{3.9 \times 10^{-3} / \mathrm{K}}{18.5 \mu}
$$

$$
x_{1}=2.1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~L} \text { enow penperntinalt seal length }
$$

$$
\theta=\sin ^{-1} \frac{E}{F}=.0121^{\circ}
$$

For $L=10 \mathrm{ft} X_{E}=.025^{\prime \prime} \max X-Y$ position error


Figure 5-4

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{E}=\left(-18.5 \mu+F_{\text {Acrual }}\right)\left(16^{\circ}\right)=10 g \text { in }=F_{E}\left(16^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{5-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{E}=\frac{109 \mathrm{in}}{\frac{160 \mathrm{~K}}{2}}=3.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{H} \text { ollavall fore enor } \\
& \frac{F_{E}}{F}=\frac{3.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{U}}{18.5 \mathrm{U}}=2.1 \times 10^{-4}
\end{aligned}
$$

ANALYSIS 5.3
WATF FORCE ERROR ON AGS
5.3 WATF FORCE ERROR ON AGS

Find the position error between the WATF and the AGS


Figure 5-5

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{2.5 \mu+\mu}{1.5 \mu^{4}}=1.67 \mu=500 \mu \sin \alpha \tag{5-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Trolley X-Y Position Error $=X E$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{X_{E}}{L}=\frac{E}{F}=\frac{1.67}{500}  \tag{5-4}\\
& X_{E}=11 \mathrm{f}+\frac{1.67}{500}=.44 \mathrm{im} .
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\alpha=\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{1.674}{50024}\right)=.19^{\circ}
$$
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Figure 5-6
$\frac{2.54+\mu}{1.5 \frac{1}{4}}=1.67 \mu$ FORCC ERROR ON Z.AXIS

$$
\frac{1.67}{500}=.0033
$$

. 33 percent error on Z-axis loop

## ANALYSIS 5.4

TORQUE ERROR CAUSED BY MASS SUSPENSION LOCATION
5.4 TORQUE ERROR CAUSED BY MASS SUSPENSION LOCATION (Figure 5-7)

The antenna mass is supported from the point at $F c$, located $\Delta Z$ from the dummy mass center of gravity, $W_{p}$. The variables are defined as:

```
Wp - dummy mass weight
WG - gimbal pair weight
Z
ZG
\DeltaZ - distance from antenna center mass to suspension point
\gamma - lateral gimbal angle, zero degrees straight up
\sigma - elevation gimbal angle, zero degrees straight up
FC - force on suspension cable, straight up
Tlat - torque error on lateral gimbal
Tel - torque error on elevation gimbal
```

The force exerted by the cable is the dummy mass weight plus half the weight of the gimbals.


Figure 5-7

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{L A T}=F_{C}\left(Z_{e m}-\Delta Z\right) \sin \gamma \cos \sigma-W_{p} Z_{c m} \sin \gamma \cos \sigma= \\
& \sin \gamma \cos \sigma\left[F_{c}\left(Z_{c m}-\Delta Z\right)-W_{p} Z c_{m}\right] \\
& \text { Let } F_{c}=\left(W_{p}+\frac{W_{k}}{2}\right)=\frac{\left(2 W_{p}+W_{c}\right)}{2} \text { and } \Delta Z=\frac{W_{s} Z_{\text {con }}}{\left(2 W_{p}+W_{0}\right)} \\
& T_{L A T}=\sin \gamma \cos \sigma\left[\left(\frac{2 W_{p}+W_{c}}{2}\right)\left(Z_{c m}-\frac{W_{c} Z_{c m}}{\left(2 W_{p}+W_{c}\right)}\right)-W_{p} Z_{c m}\right] \\
& T_{(A T}=\sin \gamma_{\cos } \sigma\left[\frac{\left(2 W_{p}+W_{c}\right)}{2} \cdot \frac{2 W_{p} Z_{c a n}}{\left(2 W_{p}+W_{c)}\right.}-W_{p} Z_{c m}\right] \equiv 0 \\
& T_{E L}=F_{c}\left[\left(Z_{c m}-\Delta Z\right) \cos \gamma+2 Z_{G}\right] \sin \sigma-W_{p}\left[Z_{c m} \cos \gamma+2 Z_{0}\right] \sin \dot{\sigma} \\
& -W G Z_{G} \sin \sigma \\
& T_{E L}=\sin \sigma\left[\left(F_{c}-W_{p}\right)\left(Z_{c o n} \cos \gamma+2 Z_{G}\right)-F_{c} \Delta Z \cos \gamma-W_{G} Z_{G}\right] \\
& \text { Let } F_{c}=\left(W_{p}+\frac{W_{c}}{2}\right)=\frac{\left(2 W_{p}+W_{G}\right)}{2} \text { and } \Delta Z=\frac{W_{c-} Z_{\mathrm{cm}}}{\left(2 W_{p}+W_{G}\right)} \\
& T_{E L}=\sin \sigma\left[\left(\frac{\left(2 W_{p}+w_{c}\right)}{2}-W_{p}\right)\left(z_{\operatorname{con}} \cos \gamma+2 z_{+}\right)-\frac{2 W_{p}+W_{c}}{2} \cdot\left(\frac{W_{c} Z_{c m}}{2 W_{p}+W_{c}}\right) \cos \gamma-W_{c} Z_{e}\right] \\
& T_{E L}=\sin \sigma\left[\frac{W_{c}}{2}\left(Z_{c m}-\infty \gamma+2 Z_{\epsilon}\right)-\frac{W_{A} Z_{c m}}{2} \cos \gamma-W_{G} Z_{G}\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

ANALYSIS 5.5
ZGTF X-Y CARRIAGE ERROR SENSOR

### 5.5 ZGTF X-Y CARRIAGE ERROR SENSOR

An optical sensor will be used which senses the position of a beam of laser light reflected off a mirror at the bottom of the Z-drive cable and on top of the Z-axis load cell.


Figure 5-8

### 5.5.1 Cube Corner Mirror

The light reflects in the opposite direction from which it enters the mirror. The reflected beam is reflected the same distance from the mirror center as the incident beam, on the opposite side of the mirror.


Figure 5-9

A cube corner mirror measures cable angle only.
$\alpha=\frac{x_{m 1}}{2 L_{1}}$

## Flat Mirror

Light reflects at twice the angle of the mirror to the incident beam.

$$
\begin{align*}
&-X_{M_{2}}=L_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta  \tag{5-7}\\
& \approx L_{1} 2 \theta \\
&=2 \frac{L_{1}}{L_{2}}\left(X_{\text {arghand }}-X_{\sin s}\right) \\
& X_{\text {paged }}-X_{\sinh }=\frac{-X_{M_{2}}}{2} \frac{L_{2}}{L_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 5-10

The flat mirror measures the link angle only,
The error between the carriage and the payload is
Kerr $=X_{\text {carriage }}-X_{\text {payload }}$
$=\left(X_{\text {carriage }}-x_{\text {link }}\right)+\left(x_{\text {link }}-x_{\text {payload }}\right)$
Yer $=\frac{x_{m 1}}{2}-\frac{x_{m 2} L_{2}}{2 L_{1}}$
Any errors in position measurement due to cable dynamics are eliminated by using both the flat and cube corner mirrors.

### 5.5.2 Cable Dynamics and Measured Displacements



Figure 5-11

The sum of the torques about the payload attachment point is

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=J \frac{\ddot{X}_{m_{1}}}{2 L_{1}}+\frac{F L_{2}}{2 L_{1}}\left(X_{m_{1}}+X_{m_{2}}\right) \tag{5-9}
\end{equation*}
$$is The relation between measurements with the two mirrors is

$$
\left(J S^{2}+F_{L_{2}}\right) X_{m_{2}}=-F_{L_{2}} X_{m_{1}}
$$

$$
X_{M_{2}}=\left(\frac{-F_{L_{3}}}{J S^{2}+F L_{2}}\right) x_{n_{1}}=\left(\frac{-1}{\frac{J S^{2}}{F L_{2}}+1}\right) x_{n_{1}}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum T_{p}=0=F_{L_{2}}(\sin \alpha \cos \theta-\cos \alpha \sin \theta)+J \ddot{\theta}  \tag{5-8}\\
& \approx F_{L_{2}}(\omega-\theta)+J \ddot{\theta} \\
& \alpha=\frac{X_{m_{1}}}{2 L_{1}} \quad \theta=\frac{-X_{M_{2}}}{2 L_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

For the flat mirror above measure $X_{m 2}$ on ll

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{\text {an }}=\frac{X_{H_{1}}}{2}-X_{H_{2}} \frac{L_{2}}{2 L_{1}}  \tag{5-10}\\
& =\frac{x_{n_{3}}}{2}\left[-\left(\frac{J S^{2}}{F L_{2}}+1\right)-\frac{L_{2}}{L_{1}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

For the cube corner mirror alone measure $x_{m l}$ only

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{\operatorname{sen}}=\frac{X_{m_{1}}}{2}-X_{m_{2}} \frac{L_{2}}{2 L_{1}}  \tag{5-11}\\
& =\frac{X M_{1}}{2}\left[1-\frac{L_{2}}{L_{1}}\left(\frac{-F L_{2}}{J S^{2}+F L_{2}}\right)\right] \\
& \text { cablefargunog (undamped) } \\
& X_{\text {an }}=X_{M_{L}} \frac{\left(L_{1}+l_{2}\right)}{L_{1}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{J L_{1} S^{2}}{F L_{2}\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right)}+1}}{\frac{J S^{2}}{F L_{2}}+1}\right) \\
& \text { risk pandubur forgrancy (undanged) Lulu }
\end{align*}
$$

In either case, the use of only one mirror introduces a pair of undamped poles in the position measurement dynamics.

## ANALYSIS 5.6

ZGTF X-Y VERTICAL DEFLECTION AND FREQUENCY

### 5.6 ZGTF X-Y VERTICAL DEFLECTION AND FREQUENCY

## Bridge frequency with trolley and load



Figure 5-12
$y \max =2.78 \times 10-4$ inch

$$
\begin{align*}
& K=\frac{F}{y=2}=\frac{48 E I}{l^{3}}=7.18 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\mu_{f}}{m^{n}}  \tag{5-12}\\
& \omega_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{K}{m}}=\sqrt{\frac{48 E I}{\operatorname{mb}} g}=1177 \frac{\mathrm{hed}}{2} \\
& f_{1}=187 \mathrm{~Hz}
\end{align*}
$$

With bridge beam weight


$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y \text { MAX }=\frac{5 \omega l 4}{3 \times 4 E!} \\
& \omega=28 \text { LB/FT }=2.333 \mathrm{LB} / \mathrm{IN} . \\
& \text { YMAX }=1.56 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{IN} \\
& 711.61 .82
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 5-13

$$
\text { I } \quad \text { gmegaractumz } K_{e} \cdot \int_{1}^{\prime E} E\left(\theta_{i}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)^{\prime} d x
$$

$$
1
$$

$\square$
$\qquad$

1

$$
W=28 \mathrm{lbf} / \mathrm{ft}=2.33 \mathrm{lbf} / \mathrm{in}
$$

[
Figure 5-14
Gantry frequency due to beam weight

(from "Vibration," Thompson, p. 204)

$$
t_{3}=189 \mathrm{~Hz}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { geneloged max }=\int_{0}^{l} \phi_{i}^{l}(x) m(x) d x=\int_{0}^{M_{0}} 2\left(\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{m \pi x}{l}\right) d x\right. \\
& \text { - Ms. }=180 \mathrm{LL} \\
& K_{i}=\frac{E I m^{4} \pi^{4}}{l^{3}}=\frac{E I \pi^{4}}{l^{3}} \text { for } n=1 \\
& K=1.46 \times 10^{6} \frac{\mu}{\sin } \\
& \omega_{2} \sqrt{\frac{K}{m}}=291 \mathrm{~Hz} \\
& \frac{1}{t_{\text {aider }}}=\frac{1}{t_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{t_{2}^{2}} \quad \text { here }=156 \mathrm{~Hz}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 5-15

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\max }=\frac{F a}{24 E I}\left(4 a^{2}-3 l^{2}\right) \quad F=\frac{125 \Omega}{4} \mu=312 \mu \tag{5-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K \cdot \frac{F}{y_{\text {mar }}}=\frac{24 E T}{a\left(-4 a^{2}+3 K^{2}\right)}$
$\omega \cdot \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}=\sqrt{\frac{24 E I G}{a\left(-4 a^{2}+3 l^{2}\right) m}}$
$f_{4}=528 \mathrm{mod} / \mathrm{h}=84 \mathrm{~Hz}$

$$
\frac{1}{f^{2}}=\frac{1}{f_{x=2}^{2}}+\frac{1}{f_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{f_{4}^{2}}=69 \mathrm{~Hz}
$$

Reference: Formulas for Stress and Strain, Roark, Fth Edition.
The first mode frequency of the ZGTF tower, in the vertical direction, is at. about 69 hertz.

TABLE 5-1
WATF AND ZGTF weights and deflections

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WATF } \\ & \text { Using } 10^{\prime \prime} \\ & \text { I-Beam } 25.4 \\ & \text { (1b) } \end{aligned}$ | WATF <br> Using <br> W $19 \times 26$ <br> (1b) | WATF <br> (1b) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2GTF } \\ & 3 / 10 / 81 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WEIGHT |  |  |
| Trolley | 200. | 200. | 200. | 200 |
| Loan | 1000. | 1000. | 1000.00 | 200 |
| Bridge | 615.2 | 938.16 | 977.07 | 847 |
| Gantry | 1066.8 | 1085.2 | 1112.98 | 853 |
| TOTAL | 2882.0 | 3223.36 | 3290.05 | 2100 |
|  |  | DEFLECTIONS |  |  |
| Bridge | . 006082 | . 002952 | . 002998 |  |
| Gantry | . 01998 | . 01103 | . 01194 |  |
| TOTAL | . 02606 | . 013982 | . 02241 |  |

ANALYSIS 5.7
zGTF TOWER STRUCTURAL MODES
5.7 ZGTF TOWER STRUCTURAL MODES

The ZGTF tower model analysis was done with the aid of the SAP VI computer program developed at the University of California at Berkley. The original design for the tower had vertical legs, as shown in the sketch of the original WATF tower. It had a first mode frequency of about 15 hertz, which was too low.

The revisea design looks like an oil derrick - 18 feet wide at the bottom and 6 feet wide at the top. This has a first mode of 23 hertz, which is acceptable.
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Figure 5-17
Side View of ZGTF Tower Modal Model, Relative Deflections Shown
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Figure 5-18
Original ZGTF Tower Design

ANALYSIS 5.8
Z-AXIS LOOP ANALYSIS

### 5.8 Z-AXIS CONTROL LOOP ANALYSIS

The analysis shown is from the updated WATF Z-loop design (9/2/80), Analysis No. 8085.5.1-60, The ZGTF Z-loop design is identical to the WATF design as far as the system analysis is concerned.

The original WATF Z-axis tension-control/loop-design goal was to provide a 10-hertz minimum control-loop bandwidth. This goal was based upon providing a loop bandwidth at least a factor of ten greater than the AGS gimbal control loop nominal bandwidth of 1 hertz to minimize the effect of the WATF upon AGS testing. The original WATF Zraxis tension-control loop bandwidth was approximately 2.5 hertz (Figure 5-19). This was primarily due to designing the loop based upon an incorrect value of the $Z$-axis drive inertia. However, the WATF frame/yoke had a bending mode at approximately 6 hertz, which also influenced the maximum achievable bandwidth. A discussion of present WATF Zaxis tension-control loop design follows.

### 5.8.1 WATF Z-Axis Tension-Control Loop Design Synthesis

The present WATF Z-axis tension-control loop block diagram is shown in Figure 5-20. It can be simplified to the forms shown in Figure 5-21. The simplification which assumes $J_{D} \gg M R_{D}^{2}$ is valid since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{D}=J_{m}\left(N_{1} N_{2}\right)^{2}=(0.012)(75)^{2}=67.5 \text { slug-ft }{ }^{2} \\
& M R_{D}^{2}=(14)(1 / 6)^{2}=0.389 \text { slug-ft }{ }^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The lower block diagram in Figure 5-21 shows that the control loop open-loop transfer function is

$$
\frac{F}{F_{c}}=\frac{K_{3 c} K_{1} K_{a} K_{T} N_{1} N_{2} M R_{0}\left[\frac{S^{2}}{\omega_{1}^{2}}+\frac{2 \rho_{1}}{\omega_{1}} S+1\right]\left(\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}} S+1\right)}{J_{0} S\left[\frac{M}{K_{c}} s^{2}+\frac{p_{c}}{K_{c}} S+1\right]\left[\frac{s^{2}}{\omega_{i}^{2}}+\frac{2 \rho_{2}}{\omega_{2}} S+1\right]}
$$

Substituting the parameter values shown in Figure 5-20 into the expression for the open loop transfer function yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.G_{o L}(S)=\frac{F}{F_{f}}=\frac{63.54}{S} \times \frac{\left[\frac{S^{2}}{(30)^{2}}+\frac{(2)(.5) S}{(30)}+1\right]\left(\frac{S}{425}+1\right)}{\left[\frac{S^{2}}{(41.6)^{2}}+\frac{(2 \times .049)}{(41.6)} S+1\right]\left[s^{1}(600)^{2}\right.}+\frac{(2)(.5)}{(600)} S+1\right] \tag{5-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The closed-loop transfer function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{F}{F_{c}}=\frac{G_{0 l}(s)}{1+G_{0 L}(S)}=\frac{\left[\frac{s^{2}}{(30)^{2}}+\frac{(2)(.5)}{(301} S+1\right]\left(\frac{s}{425}+1\right)}{\left[\frac{s^{2}}{(39.44)^{2}}+\frac{(2)(.675)}{(39.44)} s+1\right]\left(\frac{s}{62.6}+1\right)\left[s^{2}\right.}[637)^{2}+\frac{(22)(.353)}{(637)} s+1\right] \tag{5-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The straight-line approximations to the Bode gain plots for both the open- and closed-loop transfer functions are presented in Figure 5-22.

Figure 5-22 also indicates that the closed-loop, -3 decibel bandwidth is approximately 150 radians per second ( 23.9 hertz). Note that the bandwidth is greater than the resonant frequency of the cable/dummy payload which is at 41.6 radians per second ( 6.62 hertz) . The second-order lag at 41.6 radians per second due to this resonance is approximately canceled by the double lead at 30 radians per second in the loop compensation. The resonant frequency of the cable/dummy payload will vary with cable length because the cable spring constant (Kc) is a function of cable length.

The cable spring constant used in the original $Z$-axis tension-control loop design was $68,50016 / \mathrm{ft}$ (Reference Al). This value of cable spring constant tame from a test on a 2 -inch long specimen of cable which indicated the cable sming constant was

$$
n c(1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ft})=\frac{750,000 \mathrm{lb}}{\text { Cable Length } / \pi t)}
$$

and assumed an 11-foot cable length. However, the resonant frequency observed on the hardware with a known payload weight did not agree with the frequency predicted when using this value of cable spring constant. Consequently, another cable test was performed on a 10.5 -inch specimen of cable which determined that the cable spring constant is,

$$
\mathrm{Kc}(1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ft})=\frac{290,2801 \mathrm{~b}}{\text { Cable Length }(\mathrm{ft})}
$$

assuming a 12 foot cable length gives $K c=24,200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$, which is the value used in this analysis. The original cable test (11 May 1979) is documented in Reference B3, and the later cable test (12 December 1979) is documented in Reference 84.

The apparent inertia at the output shaft of the worm gear is approximately

$$
J_{D}=J_{m}\left(N_{1} N_{2}\right)^{2}=(0.012)(15 \times 5)^{2}=67.5 \text { slug-ft }{ }^{2}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{S G}=1,01 \mathrm{VOLT} / \mathrm{LB} \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{T}}=0.5 \mathrm{FT} \cdot \mathrm{LB} / \mathrm{AMP} \\
& \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15 \\
& \mathrm{~N}_{2}=5 \\
& \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{D}} \neq \mathrm{Jm}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)^{2}=(0.012)(75)^{2}=67.5 \text { SLUG } \cdot F T^{2} \\
& R_{D}=1 / 6 \mathrm{FT} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{C}}=57 \mathrm{LB} / \mathrm{FT} / \mathrm{SEC} \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{C}}=24,200 \mathrm{LB} / \mathrm{FT} \\
& M=14 \mathrm{SLUGS}\left(\frac{\mathrm{LB} / \mathrm{SEC}^{2}}{\mathrm{FT}}\right) \\
& M_{g}=450.5 \mathrm{LBM}
\end{aligned}
$$

$K_{1}=87.6$ 1/SEC
$K_{A}=0.564 \mathrm{AMP} / \mathrm{VOLT}$
$\rho_{1}=0.5$
$\omega_{1}=30$ RAD/SEC
711.61 .69

Figure 5-20
Present WATF Z-Axis Tension-control Loop Functional Block Diagram
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ANALYSIS 5.9
Z-AXIS ERROR CAUSED BY FRICTION IN THE GEAR TRAIN

### 5.9 Z-AXIS ERROR CAUSED BY FRICTION IN THE GEAR TRAIN

Use the block diagram from analysis 8085.5.1-60 (Figure 5-21) with $J_{D} \gg M R_{D}^{2}$


Figure 5-23
$T_{F}$ is the friction torque disturbance to the gear train let $K_{F B}=K_{S G} K_{T} K_{A} K_{T} N_{1} N_{2}$

$$
K_{F}=\frac{M R_{D}}{J_{D}}
$$

the loop can be redrawn as shown.


$$
\frac{F}{F_{F}}=\frac{F^{s} \quad\left(\frac{D_{c}}{K_{e}} s * 1\right)\left(\frac{s^{2}}{W_{k}^{2}}+\frac{2 f}{\omega_{2}} s+1\right)}{S\left(\frac{M}{K_{e}} s^{2}+\frac{D_{k}}{K_{k}} s+1\right)\left(\frac{s^{1}}{\omega_{2}}+\frac{2 f}{\omega_{2}} s+1\right)+K_{p} K_{p e}\left(\frac{p_{k}}{K_{c}} s+1\right)\left(\frac{s^{2}}{\omega_{1}^{2}}+\frac{2 l}{W_{1}} s+1\right)}
$$

Use the final value thearem to find the steady-state error $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} F(s) I(s)$
with a step input of friction to simulate Dahl friction, $I(s)=1 / \mathrm{s}$ $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=1 \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} s(s)$ $t \rightarrow \infty \quad s \rightarrow 0$
$=0$ because of the $s$ term in the numerator of $\frac{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{TF}}$
there is zero steady-state tension error due to motor friction.

## ANALYSIS 5.10

$X-Y$ LOOP ANALYSIS

### 5.10 X-Y LOOP ANALYSIS <br> Derive the open-loop transfer function from the loop block diagram.

Design a compensation $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{s})$ that produces an open-loop crossover frequency of 60 radians per second, with an adequate phase margin for stability.

Figure 5-25
2GIF X-Y Position Control-Loop Block Diagram
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Figure 5-26

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D_{c}+\frac{F_{0}}{S}}{M S+D_{c}+\frac{K_{c}}{S}}=\frac{\left(D_{c} s+K_{c}\right)}{M s^{2}+D_{c} S+K_{c}}=\frac{\left(\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}} s+1\right)}{\frac{M s^{2}}{K_{c}}+\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}} S+1} \tag{5-20}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5-27

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{R\left(\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}} S+1\right)}{J S\left(\frac{M S^{2}}{K_{c}}+\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}} S+1\right)+M R^{2} S\left(\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}} S+1\right)}  \tag{5-21}\\
\frac{R\left(\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}} S+1\right)}{S\left[\frac{M J S^{2}}{K_{c}}+\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}}\left(J+M R^{2}\right) S+\left(J+M R^{2}\right)\right]} \\
\frac{\frac{8}{J+M R^{2}}\left(\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}} S+1\right)}{S\left(\frac{S M S^{2}}{K_{c}\left(J+M R^{2}\right)}+\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}} S+1\right)}=B
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 5-28
Open-loop transfer function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{R}{M H N_{2}^{2}}\left(\frac{D_{e}}{K_{c}} S+1\right) K_{0} K_{T} N G_{c}(S)}{S\left(\frac{J M S^{2}}{K_{e}\left(S+M R^{2}\right)}+\frac{D_{e}}{K_{e}} S+1\right)\left(\frac{S}{\omega_{0}}+1\right)} \tag{5-22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting in values gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{R}{J+M R^{2}}=\frac{.05365}{2 H R^{2}} \quad K_{0} K_{T} N=22.58 \frac{\sin l /=}{V}  \tag{5-23}\\
& \frac{J M}{K_{C}\left(J+M R^{2}\right)} S^{2}=\frac{S^{2}}{60.57}=\left(\frac{S}{7.783}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \omega=7.783 \mathrm{med} / \mathrm{s} \\
& \frac{D_{c}}{K_{C}} S=\frac{S}{14}=\frac{2 R}{W} S \rightarrow S=.278
\end{align*}
$$

Uncompensated gain $=\frac{R}{J+M R^{2}} \quad K_{D} K T^{N}=1.21 \frac{\text { in }}{s V^{2}}$
The cable spring constant $K_{c}$ can change by a factor of two from 1400 to $700 \mathrm{lbf} / \mathrm{ft}$ so the uncompensated break frequencies are

$$
\begin{equation*}
5.5 \frac{\mathrm{med}}{2}<\sqrt{\frac{K_{c}\left(J+M R^{2}\right)}{J M}}<7.78 \frac{\mathrm{med}}{\mathrm{~J}} \tag{5-24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
7 \frac{\mathrm{Lad}}{\mathrm{~L}}<\frac{K_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}}}<14 \frac{\text { fad }}{\mathrm{L}}
$$

The open-loop Bode plot approximation is shown in Figure 5-29 for the loop with and without compensation.
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Figure 5-29


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{1} \approx 5.5 \mathrm{nad} \\
& \omega_{2} \approx 150 \mathrm{Nad} \\
& \text { pah } \omega_{1}<\sqrt{\frac{K_{0}\left(J_{+} M R^{2}\right)}{J M}} \text { final } K_{c} \\
& \omega_{3}=600 \frac{\mathrm{Knod}}{\mathrm{~L}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\omega_{1}=5.5 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ avoids a -3 slope below the crossover frequency when Kc changes, guaranteeing stability of the loop during saturation conditions.
$\omega_{3}$ is used to attenuate noise at high frequencies in the control electronics, but is not necessary for loop compensation.
5.10.1 Compensated Phase Margin

Use the break frequencies shown on the graph.
quadratic $\phi= \pm \tan ^{-1} \frac{2 \zeta \frac{\omega}{\omega_{e}}}{1-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{e}}\right)^{2}}$
Int order $\phi= \pm \tan ^{-1} \frac{\omega}{\omega_{c}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =180^{\circ}-180^{\circ}+165.49^{\circ}-175.80^{\circ}+76.87^{\circ}-33.69^{\circ}-11.42^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

$=21.45^{\circ}$ phase margin
(32.90) with ant $\omega_{3}$ in the loup

ANALYSIS 5.11
$X-Y$ STEADY-STATE ERROR CAUSED BY CARRIAGE FRICTION IN THE X-Y LOOP

## $5.11 X-Y$ STEADY-STATE ERROR CAUSED BY CARRIAGE FRICTION IN THE X-Y LOOP With carriage friction as the input



Figure 5-30

Reduce the feedback section


Figure 5-31


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\rightarrow-\left(\frac{G C(S) K_{0} K_{T} N}{\frac{S}{\omega_{0}}+1}+\frac{J S^{2}}{R}\right) \frac{R\left(D_{e} S+K_{c}\right)}{J S^{2}+R^{2}\left(D_{c} S+K_{c}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned} \rightarrow
$$

For a negative input loop


Figure 5-32

$$
\begin{aligned}
&
\end{aligned}
$$

Use final value theorem with a step input to simulate Dahl friction

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} S F(5)
$$

with a step input for friction

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{s} F(S) & =\frac{R^{2} K_{c} C}{G K_{0} K_{T} N R K_{c}}  \tag{5-29}\\
& =\frac{R C}{6 K_{0} K_{T} N} \\
& =\frac{3 C}{345(22.6} \frac{\mathrm{in}}{\operatorname{li}} \\
& =2.57 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{C} \text { in }
\end{align*}
$$

for $14 \mathrm{lbf}=\mathrm{C} \rightarrow 3.6 \times 10-3 \mathrm{in}$. steady-state error

## ANALYSIS 5.12

X-Y LOOP STEADY.-STATE ERROR CAUSED BY MOTOR TORQUE FRICTION

### 5.12 X-Y L.OOP STEADY-STATE ERROR CAUSED BY MOTOR TORQUE FRICTION



Figure 5-33

Refer to the carriage friction analysis for derivation of $A$ and $B$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=G_{c}(S) \frac{K_{0}}{\frac{S}{\omega_{0}}+1} K_{T} N  \tag{5-30}\\
& B=\frac{\frac{R}{J+M R^{2}}\left(\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}} S+1\right)}{S^{2}\left(\frac{J M S^{2}}{K_{c} J+M R^{2}}+\frac{D_{c}}{K_{e}} S+1\right)}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 5-34

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{X_{\text {canniage }}}{\text { finction }}=\frac{B}{1+A B}  \tag{5-31}\\
& \frac{x}{\text { Cannege }}=\frac{\frac{R}{J+M R^{2}}\left(\frac{Q_{C}}{K_{c}} S+1\right)\left(\frac{S}{\omega_{0}}+1\right)}{S^{2}\left(\frac{J M S^{2}}{K_{c}\left(J+M R^{2}\right)}+\frac{D_{c}}{K_{c}} S+1\right)\left(\frac{S}{\omega_{0}}+1\right)+\frac{R G(B) K_{0} K_{T} N\left(\frac{Q_{c}}{K_{c}} S+1\right)}{J+M R^{2}}}
\end{align*}
$$

Use a step input of friction and the final value theorem

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} s / s f(s)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) & =\lim _{Q \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{S} F(s) \\
& =\frac{\frac{R}{J+M R^{2}} C}{\frac{R G(S) K_{0} K_{T} N}{J+M R^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{1 C}{G_{0} K_{0} K_{T} N} \frac{\text { in }}{\operatorname{in} R y}=\frac{1 C}{345(22.6)}=1.28 \times 10^{-4}
\end{aligned}
$$

for 5 percent of 140 in lbs friction torque $\rightarrow 7$ inch-pound $=C$ steady-state error $=9 \times 10-4$ inch error
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ANALYSIS 5.13

## X-Y LOOP DIGITAL SIMULATIONS (FREQUENCY RESPONSE)

### 5.13 X-Y LOOP DIGITAL SIMULATIONS (FREQUENCY RESPONSE)

The X-Y control loop was modeled on the General Systems Analysis (GSA) computer program to plot the gain and phase transfer functions for the loop. The closed-loop frequency response for the linearized loop with a reference force as the input and carriage position as the output is shown in the first figure. The frequency is scaled down by 100 so the bandwidth is about 120 radians per second (20 hertz).

The second figure shows the loop frequency response with motor friction or torque ripple as the input and carriage position as the output. The dc gain is about -78 decibels, which agrees with the steady-state ripple torque analysis result of $1.28 \times 10-4$ for gain. The gain starts to rolloff at 4 radians per second, showing very good attenuation for ripple torque.

## Caw min pres 13 of poor quality



Figure 5-35
X-Y Closed-loop Response ZGTF Linear Model
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Figure 5-36
ZGTF Closed-loop Transfer Function for Motor Ripple Torque and Friction as Input

ANALYSIS 5.14
$X-Y$ LOOP ANALOG SIMULATIONS (TRANSMIT RESPONSE)

The $X-Y$ position lonp was simulated on the Advanced Dynamics-4 analog computer. The computer schematic is shown in Figure 5-37. Auxiliary circuits are also described. The auxiliary circuits used were; ramp generator, l-hertz gimbal loop filter, tower dynamics, motor and carriage friction, and motor ripple torque. The ripple torque could not be implemented with the frequency scaling used on the $X-Y$ loop because of saturation problems in the resolver section of the ripple generator. The $X-Y$ loop frequency was scaled down by 100. Amplitude scaling is shown in tabular form for the circuit variables and constant gains. Transient responses were run for simulated gimbal slew of 30 degrees per minute (. 2 inch per second suspension point travel). The transient responses for the loop with each nonlinearity are shown on the strip-chart recordings. The error sensitivities are as follows:

| Nonlinearity | Peak Error <br> $\times 10-3$ in. | SS Error <br> $\times 10-3$ in. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.7 | 0 |
| Saturation | 1.7 | 0 |
| SAT plus Tower Dynamics | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| SAT plus Motor Friction | 10.9 | 4.0 |
| SAT plus Carriage Friction | 11.7 | 4.5 |
| All Nonlinearities Combined |  |  |

The peak error is $11.7 \times 10-3$ inches, which is within the .020 -inch error requirement with 8 feet of cable. The final strip-chart recording shows velocity at each end of the X-drive cable. The difference in speed for each end at the initial transient shows that the cable is stretching until the 14 -pound carriage breakaway friction is reached. The velocity at both ends of the cable then goes nicely to .2 inch per second. The motor torque during the initial transient saturates in both directions. No limit cycle occurs, demonstrating the stability of the loop. Increasing the motor torque limit to $180 \mathrm{in}-1 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{ft}$ did not significantly improve the transient behavior of the loop.

The steady-state error level with all the nonlinearities is acceptable, but may be eliminated with an integral term in the compensation. However, this would lead to limit cycle problems during saturation. The loop could be arranged so that the integral gain of the controller would be deactivated during saturation to avoid instability, then activated at low error conditions to get rid of the steady-state error.
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Figure 5-37
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Figure 5-40
ZGTF X-Y Loop Analog Computer Simulation AD-4 Computer

### 5.14.1 Tower Dynamics

Second-order system $W n=22.63 \mathrm{~Hz}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=142.2 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s} \tag{5-33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=\left(\mathrm{m} S^{2}+C S+K\right) X \\
& \frac{X}{F}=\frac{\frac{1}{K}}{\frac{S^{2}}{\omega_{m}^{2}}+\frac{2 S}{\omega_{m}} S+1} \\
& \text { asama } S=.07 \text { then } \frac{1}{K}=3.526 \times 10^{-6} \\
& \text { scale } \omega \text { by } \frac{1}{100} \operatorname{so}\left(\frac{100}{\omega_{m}}\right)^{2}=2.022 \text { and } \frac{200 f}{\omega_{n}}=.09845
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.14.2 Motor Torque Ripple

Five percent of command torque at $25 \times$ motor frequency. Use chain-drive speed for motor speed

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{\prime \prime} \dot{\theta}_{m}=Q \quad \dot{\theta}_{\mathrm{m}}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{2} \\
& \dot{\theta}_{\mathrm{R}}=25 \dot{\theta}_{\mathrm{m}}=12.5 \mathrm{Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

## input ramp ciacuit



Figure 5-41

### 5.14.4 Gimbal Filter



Figure 5-42

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega=10 K_{2}=.063  \tag{5-34}\\
& \quad K_{1}\left(\frac{10}{I+\frac{10}{3} K_{2}}\right)=\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\frac{5}{10 K_{2}}+1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

5.14.5 Tower Dynamics


Figure 5-43

### 5.14.6 Motor Torque Ripple

- Five percent of torque output at a frequency proportional to motor speed.
- 82 to 181 times motor speed
- 21 rpm maximum speed $=2.2 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ gear ratio 150/1
$T=J \alpha$


Figure 5-44

### 5.14.7 Friction



Figure 5-45
for motor torque friction use five percent of Tmax

$$
140 \mathrm{in}-1 \mathrm{~b}(.05)=7 \mathrm{in} 1 \mathrm{bf}
$$

with scaling $\frac{7}{3}=2.333$

## / 5.14.8 Ripple Torque



Figure 5-46

TABLE 5-2
AMPLITUDE SCALING

| Variable | Scaled Variable |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & X_{p}= \pm 10 \mathrm{~V}( \pm .1 \mathrm{in}) \\ & X_{C}= \pm 10 \mathrm{~V}( \pm .1 \mathrm{in}) \\ & E= \pm 20 \mathrm{~V} \\ & A= \pm 15 \mathrm{~V} \\ & A^{\prime}=75 \mathrm{~V} \\ & B=140 \mathrm{in}-1 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{ft} \\ & I= \pm .65 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{s} \\ & H=70 \mathrm{lbf} \\ & L=140 \mathrm{ibf} \\ & G=1.3 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{s} \\ & P=\frac{280}{1320}=.212 \frac{\mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{~s}} \\ & Q=.65 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 X_{p}(v)\left(500 X_{p} \text { in. }\right) \\ & 5 X_{c} \\ & 2 E \\ & \frac{50}{15} A=3.33 \mathrm{~A} \text { use } 3 A \\ & \frac{50}{75} A^{\prime}=.667 A^{\prime} \text { use } A^{\prime} \\ & \frac{50}{120} B=.357 B \text { use } \frac{B}{3} \\ & \frac{50}{.65} \mathrm{I}=76.9 I \text { use } 1001 \\ & H \\ & \frac{50}{140} L \text { use } \frac{L}{3} \\ & \frac{50}{1.3}=38.46 \text { use } 100 G \\ & \frac{50}{.212} P \text { use 200P } \\ & \text { use } 10 \text { Q } \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 5-3
LIMITER GAIN SCALING

| Equation | Gain | Limiter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3 A=\frac{3}{500} K_{c}\left(500\left(X_{p}-X_{c}\right)\right) \\ & 3 A=\frac{3}{10^{4}} K_{c} 10^{4} X_{T} \\ & 3 A^{\prime}=3 A \\ & \frac{B}{3}=\frac{K_{0} K_{T} N 3 A^{\prime}}{3(3)} \\ & 100 I=\left(100 \frac{1}{M(100)}\right) H \\ & 100 P=H 100 \frac{R^{2}}{1000} \\ & 100 . P=\frac{B}{3} 100(3) \frac{R}{100 V} \\ & H=\frac{D_{c}}{100} 100 G \\ & H=\frac{K_{C}}{100} \frac{100 G}{100} \\ & 500 X_{C}=(100 I) \frac{C}{1005} \\ & X_{C}=\frac{I}{S} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{500} K_{c}=2.07 \\ & \frac{3}{10^{4}} 345=.1035 \\ & \frac{K_{D} K_{T} N}{3}=7.533 \\ & .368 \\ & .1515 \\ & .2273 \\ & D_{C}=.08333 \\ & K_{c}=.011667 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 45 <br> 46.7 <br> 65 <br> 65 |

TABLE 5-4
POTENTIOMETER LIST

| Potentiometer Number | Setting | Potentiometer Number | Setting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 202 | . 207 | 254 | . 1196 |
| 203 | . 207 | 255 | . 0368 |
| 204 | . 225 | 257 | . 0152 |
| 205 | . 21 | 262 | . 650 |
| 206 | . 225 | 263 | . 650 |
| 207 | . 3636 | 264 | . 650 |
| 212 | . 467 | 265 | . 650 |
| 213 | . 467 | 266 | . 005 |
| 214 | . 45 | 274 | . 010 |
| 215 | . 45 | 276 | . 50 |
| 216 | . 2545 | 277 | . 50 |
| 217 | . 0100 | 314 | . 050 |
| 225 | . 01 | 324 325 | .0063 .2022 |
|  |  | 326 327 | .0063 .0353 |
|  |  | 334 | . 0986 |
|  |  | 335 | 1.0 |
| 234 | . 5 | 337 | . 0104 |
| 236 | . 5 | 342 | . 0233 |
| 237 | . 2511 | 343 | . 0233 |
| 244 | . 0227 | 344 | . 0227 |
| 245 | $\begin{aligned} & .0833 \\ & (.0856) \end{aligned}$ | 346 | . 14 |
| 247 | . 0100 | 347 | . 14 |
| 354 | . 001 | 354 | . 001 |
| 364 | 1.25 | 364 | 1.25 |
| 366 | . 0227 | 366 | . 0227 |
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ZGTF PERFORMANCE VERIFICATION PLAN

## SECTION 6.0

## ZGTF PERFORMANCE VERIFICATION PLAN

Verification of ZGTF performance will be done in the following areas.

- X-Y position loop
- Z-force loop
- Tower dynamics and stiffness
- Force sensor at the mast base and in $Z$ loop
- X-Y loop optical position sensor
- Force sensor electronics
- Safety devices

These tests will demonstrate that the ZGTF will perform as designed, and will introduce errors into the test article below the allowable limits. The tests to be run will measure the following parameters:

- Controller electronics frequency response and calibration (analog-todigital converters)
- Force sensor electronics calibration
- Structural frequencies and stiffnesses (tower, bridge, $Z$ cable)
- X-Y-Z drive friction
- Optical position sensor accuracy and isolation from cable dynamics
- Force sensor calibration check (Z loop and mast base)
- Safety device trip points and operation
- Z-force error during slew and static conditions
- X-Y position error during slew and static conditions

The tests are listed in the order of system complexity, starting at the component level for the control electronics test, to the subsystem level for the sensor tests, to full-up system level for the $X, Y$, and $Z$ loop error tests. All tests will be performed at Sperry except for the structural frequency and stiffness tests on the tower, which will be performed at the test facility site. The electronics and sensor calibrations should be performed periodically as a regular calibration routine for the ZGTF. Other tests, such as the structural frequency test, need not be redone unless major structural changes are made to the facility.

### 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT

Several items of test equipment are necessary for the verification tests. Most of the commercial equipment will also be necessary for antenna pointing system testing or recalibration of the ZGTF, making it advantageous for NASA to purchase or have available the items listed below.

- H-P 5423 structural dynamics analyzer (or equivalent)
- X-Y plotter (H-P 9872B, or equivalent)
- 2 or 3 triaxial strain-gage type accelerometers (Entran EGAX3-10D, or equivalent)
- Accelerometer signal conditioners (Validyne CD-19, or equivalent)
- Instrumented hammer (PCB Piezotronics)
- Wheatstone bridge calibrator (BLH 624/625, or equivalent)
- 4 to 6 channel strip chart recorder
- Calibrated weights and hangers
- Precision voltage supply
- High-precision digital voltmeter

Also necessary is a slew motion simulator-position sensor calibrator, which will be designed and fabricated as one of the subtasks for the ZGTF program. A conceptual drawing of a simulator is shown in Figure 3-14.

### 6.2 VERIFICATION TESTS

This section describes the test objective, performance criteria and test setup for each test. $\dot{f}$ list of the necessary equipment is also given.

### 6.2.1 Control Electronics Calibration (Figure 6.1)

## Objective: To calibrate the $A / D$ and $D / A$ converters in the control

 electronicsPerformance Criteria: The converters must be linear to within TBD percent with less than TBD volts offset.

Equipment: Precision power supply
High-precision DVM (16-bit resolution)
H-P 5423 Analyzer
X-Y Plotter
Microprocessor development system


Figure 6-1
Test Setup: Control Electronics Calibration

Test: A known constant voltage is applied to each channel of the control electronics analog-to-digital ( $A / D$ ) converters. The digital output of the converters is read out on the microprocessor development system. The $A / D$ outputs are routed through the digital-to-analog (D/A) converters, and their output is read on the DVM. The A/D output is compared to the expected digitized value for the input voltage to verify that the converter is working properly. The $D / A$ output voltage is compared to the $A / D$ input voltage to verify that the gain through both converters is one. If not, the gain and offset can be adjusted on the $D / A$ converter to produce the proper output. This test should be done as part of the normal calibration procedure.

### 6.2.2 Control Electronics Frequency Response (Figure 6-2)

Objective: Demonstrate that the electronic controller has the proper transfer function programmed into it.

Performance Criteria: The transfer function gain and break frequencies will be within TBD percent of the proper values.


Figure 6-2
Test Setup: Control Electronics Frequency Response

Test: The wite noise output of the H-P 5423 is applied in sequence to the $X, Y$, and $Z$ channels of the control electronics. The controller outputs are fed back into the H-P analyzer for frequency analysis. The analyzer outputs the controller gain and phase frequency response to the plotter. Since this test is simple to set up and run, it can be done as a routine test during calibration. However, the electronics transfer function should not change once it is programmed into the controller, making this test noncritical.

### 6.2.3 Force Sensor Electronics Calibration (Figure 6-3)

Objective: Verify the signal conditioning electronics are noise free and set to the proper gain.

Performance Critera: The electronics gain shall be linear within TBD percent with less than TBD volts offset.

Equipment: Wheatstone Bridge Calibration (BLH 624/625) DVA and Oscilloscópe or H-P 5423 Añalyzer


Figure 6-3
Test Setup: Force sensor Electronics Calibration

Test: The Wheatstone bridge calibrator simulates a resistance bridge transducer, such as a load cell, and produces calibrated bridge unbalances that can be interrogated by the strain-gage electronics. The strain-gage electronics are connected to the calibrator and the electronics outputs are displayed on the H-P analyzer, DVM, or oscilloscope. The static gain and linearity of the electronics are checked with the H-P analyzer or the DVM (for high precision). A series of bridge unbalances is produced with the calibrator, and the strain-gage electronics output voltage is compared with a predicted out.put voltage, which is proportional to the calibrator setting. The result is plotted as the calibration curve for the strain-gage amplifier. The oscilloscope or analyzer is connected to the electronics output to look for ripple from the electronics carrier frequency or noise in the transducer system. The ripple can be balanced out with adjustments to the strain-gage electronics.

This test should be performed as a routine calibration on the signal= conditioning electronics. It is also useful for troubleshooting the sensor electronics in case of problems with them.

### 6.2.4 Structural Frequencies Testing (Figure 6-4)

Objective: To do a modal analysis of the ZGTF and to verify the accuracy of the structural dynamics simulations for the tower and drive carriage

Performance Criteria: All tower modes must be above 20 hertz

Equipment: HP 5423 Analyzer Instrumented Hammer Large ladder or Cherry Picker Triaxial Accelerometer (plus spare) Strain-Gage Signal Conditioners $X-Y$ Plotter
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Figure 6-4
Test Setup: Tower Modal Testing

Test: The test method is to measure and process the acceleration response to impulses app'ed to various points on the ZGTF structure.

The structure is excited with a hammer, that is instrumented with an accelerometer, at points defined as nodes by a structural model programmed into the H-P analyzer. The tower vibration response to the impulses is measured with a triaxial accelerometer, attached to a point on the structure which can be modeled as a node, and which has a measurable response to disturbances at all points on the structure. The output of the accelerometer is fed into the HP analyzer for data processing. Since the object of this test is to define disturbances to the suspension for the test article, the best point to mount the accelerometer is at the top of the tower where the disturbances are fed into the $Z$-axis cable. It is advisable that the person hitting the tower not be standing on the tower while the disturbance is
applied since this will introduce additional damping and mass to the tower. Additional unmeasured forces would also be applied to the tower because of the reaction of swinging the hammer. To avoid these problems, the operator should stand on a ladder or cherry picker while hitting the tower.

The modes of interest on the structure are vertical bending of the cross beams at the top of the tower (computed at $\sim 70$ hertz), a cantilever beam mode of the tower in the horizontal direction (computed at $\sim 23$ hertz) and torsion of the tower about the vertical axis (computed at~27 hertz). This test will verify frequencies and mode shapes for the ZGTF structure, and only has to be done once following assembly of the ZGTF. Major structural revork of the tower will require that the test be rerun.

### 6.2.4.1 Cable Vibration Frequency (Figure 6-5)

Objective: Determine the vibration frequencies for the $Z$-axis cable for the range of tensions and lengths used during actual testing.

Performance Criteria: TBD (dependent on cable length and tension)

Equipment: Rigid attachment for bottom of Z-axis cable H-P analyser or Strip-Chart Recorder


Figure 6-5
Test Setup: Cable Vibration Frequency

Test: The X-Y position sensor consists of an array of reflecting mirrors and optical position detectors which measure position and angular error of the top of the load cell link at the base of the Z -axis cable. The combined output of the sensor is proportional to $X-Y$ position error and independent of cable motion. However, the output of any one detector is very dependent on cable motion, and can be used to measure its vibrational frequency.

For this test, the bottom of the cable is attached to a rigid mount and positioned so that the output of one of the sensors is zero. The cable force is commanded to some typical test level and the cable is twanged lightly. The output of the optical detector, proportional to the amplitude of the cable vibration, is fed into the analyzer or strip-chart recorder where the cable frequency and damping ratio can be measured. The test should be repeated for several typical cable force levels and payout lengths since the frequency is predicted to be proportional to the square root of cable tension and is dependent on cable length. The range of calculated cable frequencies can therefore be verified and mapped for each particular test.

This test should be done before each series of antenna tests, using the force and cable lengths expected, for reference information. It will also be done following construction of the ZGTF, using the extreme design values of cable force and lengths to bracket the frequencies of cable vibration to be expected.
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### 6.2.5 $X-Y-Z$ Drive Friction (Figure 6-6)

Objective: Verify the friction character and level assumed in the $X-Y$ and $Z$ control loop analyses

Performance Criteria: TBD

Equipment: Z-Axis Load Cell
Strain Gage Signal Conditioner
Oscilloscope or H-P Analyser


Figure 6-6
Test Setup: X-Y-Z Drive Friction

Test: The load cell for the Z-axis loop is attached to the $X$ or $Y$ drive carriage with a rope attached to the opposite end of the load cell for manually pulling the carriage. The force on the carriage is gradually increased until carriage motion occurs, at which point the force level is read on the strip-chart recorder. The test should be repeated with the carriage in various positions to check for variations in friction with position.

The Z-axis force is measured in a similar manner with the load cell attached to the bottom of the $Z$ cable. Force is applied to the load cell until the cable drum starts to rotate, at which point the force is read on the strip-chart recorder. The force levels obtained will be compared to the friction force levels assumed in the systems analyses to verify the accuracy of the analyses. This test will be run once after fabrication of the ZGTF since the friction is a function of the facility's mechanical design and cannot be adjusted.

### 6.2.6 Optical Position Sensor Test (Figure 6-7)

Objective: Verify the calibration of the optical position sensor. Check its sensitivity to cable vibration.

Performance Criteria: The sensor gain will be linear within TBD percent with less than TBD bias. Cable vibration amplitude will be less than TBD percent of the cable angle displacement amplitude (cube corner mirror displacement)

Equipment: Gimbal Slew Simulator-Calibrator Oscilloscope or H-P Analyser DVM


Figure 6-7
Test Setup: Optical Position Sensor Test

### 6.2.6.1 Position Sensor Calibration Verification

The optical sensors used in this system produce a voltage that is proportional to the position of the light dot on the face of the sensor. The cube corner mirror reflects the beam that measures cable angle, and the flat mirror reflects the beam that measures angle of the rigid link at the bottom of the cable. Summation and scaling of the two signals are done in the sensor amplifier which is calibrated separately. The slew simulatorcalibrator is bolted down with the ball screw drive horizontal in the $X$ or $Y$ direction, with the displacement measurement block attached. The Z-drive cable is attached to the moving block of the slew simulator with some tension in the cable. The position of the calibrator is adjusted until the position sensor output reads zero, then the micrometer is zeroed. The moving block of the simulator is displaced and the distance measured with the micrometer. The micrometer reading is then compared to the position sensor output, read on the DVM or analyzer, allowing a calibration curve to be drawn for the sensor. Errors in calibration must be aajusted by changing the gains of the optical sensor amplifiers, since no adjustments can be made to the optical sensors or mirrors, other than zero adjustment. This test should be done every time the gain of the link angle sensor (for the flat mirror) is changed to compensate for cable length changes. It should also be done periodically to check the position-sensor calibration.

### 6.2.6.2 Sensitivity to Cable Vibration

The position sensor is designed to be insensitive to cable vibrations. In this test the cable is aligned veritically (position sensor output equal to zero) with the bottom link attached to a rigid mount. The sensor amplifier output is viewed on a strip chart while the cable is lightly twanged. Since the $X-Y$ carriage and the bottom of the cable are constrained to be motionless, the position sensor output should be zero. Oscillating outputs indicate imprope: gains in the optical sensor amplifiers that should be readjusted. This test should also be done every time the link angle sensor gain is changed, and periodically during regular calibration.

### 6.2.7 Force Sensor Calibration Check (Figure 6-8)

Objective: Verify the calibration of the $Z$-axis force sensor and and the 6 -component mast base transducer.

Performance Criteria: The transfer ratio of the transducers will be linear within TBD percent with less than TBD bias.

Equipment: Calibrated weights plus hangers
DVM or H-P Analyzer


Figure 6-8
Test Setup: Force-Sensor Calibration Check

Test: Calibrated weights are hung on the Z-axis load cell and the six-axis mast base transducer to verify the transducer calibration. The six-component transducer is supplied with a calibration body on which the weights are hung, thus allowing both forces and torques to be applied to the transducer. This test is a standard calibration procedure, znd should be done regularly.

### 6.2.8 Safety Device Verification

Objective: Verify the operation of the fail-safe brake on the Z-axis loop, and the $X-Y$ position limiters.

Performance Criteria: Safety device function will be verified before operation of the ZGTF, Devices to be tested are the fail-safe brake on the $Z$-axis and the $X$ - and $Y$-axes travel limiters. The fail-safe brake should engage any time there is a failure in the Z-axis control loop; for example, power failure to the electronics or disconnection of the force sensor or torque motor from the electronics.

Test: Function of the brake will be tested by disconnecting the force transducer, the motor, and the electrical power from the Z -axis control electronics. For this test, the bottom of the Z -axis cable will be attached to an unmovable object (such as the floor), with a constant force command to the cable.

The $X$ - and $Y$-axes travel limiters should incerrupt power to the $X$ - or $Y$-axes motors whenever the limiters are tripped. These can be tested by manually driving the ZGTF carriage in the $X$ and $Y$ directions until the limiters are tripped and monitoring the motor power in the appropriate axis. Motor power should go to zero when the limit of travel is reached. Both of these tests should be done regularly during calibraton and before beginning a series of tests to ensure that the test article or test facility will not be inadvertently damaged by an electronics failure.

### 6.2.9 Z-Axis Force Error Test (Figure 6-9)

Objective: Measure the force error produced at the bottom of the Z-cable under simulated operating conditions.

Performance Criteria: The force output of the Z-loop will vary by less than . 02 percent during operation.

Equipment: Slew Simulator Calibrator Full-Up ZGTF Strip-Chart Recorder


Figure 6-9
Test Setup: Z-Axis Force Error Test

Test: The Zaxis loop is designed to apply a constant vertical force to the item under test. The slew simulator checks the level of error present in the $Z$ loop during dynamic testing. The simulator is set up in the vertical position with the $Z$-axis cable attached to the moving block. The $X-Y$ carriage is positioned so that the $X-Y$ position sensor reads zero ( $Z$ cable vertical). The cable force is commanded to some typical test value and the force sensor reading is output to the strip-chart recorder. The slew simulator position and Z -loop error are also recorded. A constant speed command equal to the maximum test article rate is applied to the simulator, producing a ramp position change for the $Z$ cable. After the desired amount of travel is reached, the simulator is stopped. The recorded data will show the $Z-100 p$ error response to a ramp input and the loop steady-state error for the time after the simulator is stopped. From this data tile expected torque error applied by the $Z$ loop to the test articles can be calculated. This test will be done once following the facility start-up to verify the accuracy of the system analyses and should be repeated if changes are made to the control-loop compensations.

### 6.2.10 X-Y Loop Position Error Test (Figure 6-10)

Objective: Measure position error between the $X-Y$ carriage and the suspension point at the bottom of the Z-cable during simulated operation. Transient and steady-state errors will be measured.

Performance Criteria: The position error in either $X$ or $Y$ directions will be less than .020 inch under operating conditions.

Equipment: Slew Simulator-Calibrator
Full-Up ZGTF
Strip-Chart Recorder


Test: The $X$ and $Y$ position loops are designed to force the $X-Y$ carriage to follow the motion of the test article suspended by the $Z$ cable. This test is set up similar to the Z-loop error test except that the slew simulator is in the horizontal position. The $X-Y$ loop position error signals and the slew simulator position signal are fed into the strip-chart recorder. The Z-axis force is commanded to some typical test value to ensure tension on the cable. A constant rate equal to the maximum test article rate is commanded to the slew-simulator until the desired amount of travel occurs, and then is shut off. The data recorded will be the $X$ - and $Y$-loop position error for a ramp position input and the steady-state position error for the time after the simulator is shut off. This data can be used to calculate the torque error applied by the $X$ and $Y$ loops to the test article. This test will be done in each the $X$ and $Y$ direction. The test should be run following ZGTF start-up and after any changes to the $X-Y$ loop compensations.

## APPENDIX

# VALIDYNE MCI SYSTEM - MULTICHANNEL MODULAR TRANSDUCER CONTROL SYSTEM 

## Signal Conditioning for All Types of Transducers

## MC1 System

## A Multi-Channel Modular Transducer Control System That Can Facilitate Your Data Acquisition Requirements.

- Up to 20 plug-in units per module case
- Operation with Variade Reluctance, Strain Gage, Piezoeiectric, Piezoresistive, LVDT and Temperature fransducers
- Conditioners for Fiequency and Voltage inputs

The MC1 System consists of a complete line of Signai Conditioning units housed in compact module cases. Designed to be either rack or surface-mounted, they provide the user with superb fexibility to handie a wide variety of signal conditioning needs.

The signal conditioning requirements of the variable reluctance units have stimulared development of transducer control systems which ofter wide usage for all types of transducers and measurement of electrical parameters associated with many physical variables. A stronger capability for data acauisition is now avoilable through the deveiopment of signal conversion and digital data handling techniques.

The MC1 module cases provide the necessary DC operating voitage and camizt excitation for the plug-in units and associated transducers. A broad line of signal conditioning plug-in units are availabie for use with variable reluctance, LVDT, strain gage, piezoelectric, piezoresistive and temperature transducers, voltage and frequency input signals. These are described on the fellowing pages.

The all solid state precision camer supply is a distortion-fee Wien-Bridge oscillator in a closely cortrolled frediback loop to insure constant voitage and trequency output. Available carrier trequencies from 400 Hz to 20 kHz can be easily changed in the field by means of smail plug-in frequency determining boards.

Protection against overioad and short circuit of the carrier supply is provided. In the event of an overioad or short circuit on the camer output, the oscitlator will shut down and recover automatically when condition is removed.

Validyne maintains its consistently high capability for engineering, design and manufacture of custorn electronics and transducers. This is totally in keeping with the levei of performance Validyne has achieved.

- Selectable Comer Frequency 400 Hz to 20 kHz
- Short circuit proot
- Low cost per channel
level which meets general instrumentation requirements at a more competilive price.

The MC1 module case is truly mult-channel, housing up to 20 plug-in units and providing the necessary carrier and DC operating voitages for a broad line of plug. in units and associated transducers. The units are availabie in 20,10 and 3 channel capacities.


MC1-10 and MC1-20
The MC1-10 Series Module Case provides plug-in copacity for up to 10 plug-in signal conditioning modules and provides the nee "ary DC operating voltage and carrier excitation boin for the modules and their associated transducers. The MC $1-20$ accommodates up to 20 channels.
The compiete line of Validyne manufactured signal conditioning plug-in modules are wholly compatible with the system for use with variable reluctance, IVDT, strain gage, 'empercture, piezoelectric and piezoresistive franscucers, voitage and frequency inputs.

## ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

MC1-3
This is a 3 -channel, scaled-down Signal Conditioning System for applications where signal conditioning is to be dedicated to smail data acquisition requirements. Typicaliy, the system may be used as peripherat equipment with mini computers. The portability feature of the MC1-3 makes possible many laboratory and fied tests, where rapid response to set up requirements is mandatory while restricted to low cost inventory.


| Specifications for MC1-20, MC1-10, MC1-3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power Input: | $105-125 \mathrm{~V}$ AC. $50-400 \mathrm{~Hz}$ Optional 210-250V AC $50-400 \mathrm{~Hz}$, add:-C to model number. |  | Power Connection: | MC1-10 \& -20: <br> 3-wire socket, rear parel <br> ( 6 ' line cord fumished) |
| Power Consumption: | MC1-10 \& -20: 150VA max. MC1-3: 15VA max. |  |  | MC1-3: <br> 3-wire power cord and plug attached to unit |
| Carrier Supply: Voltage: Power: | 5 V rms, sine wave MC1-10 \& -20: 10VA max. MC1-3: 0.75VA max |  | Size: |  |
| Frequency: | 3 kHz unless cified. Opti $\mathrm{kHz}, 20 \mathrm{kHz}$. availablz. changed in cessory" po assembly P | therwise spe- <br> al: 5 kHz .10 <br> and 400 Hz <br> an be <br> eld, see "Ac- <br> for plug-in <br> 7796 | MC1-20 MC1-10 | $83 / 4$ " $\mathrm{h} \times 19^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{w} \times 12$ "d Standard Rack Mount <br> $(22.2 \mathrm{~cm} \times 48.2 \mathrm{~cm} \times 30.2 \mathrm{~cm})$ <br> $51 / 4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{h} \times 19^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{w} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d}$ <br> Standard Rack Mount |
| Regulation: <br> Line, $105-130 \mathrm{VAC} /$ $210-250 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{AC}$ | Amplitude $\pm 0.05 \%$ | Frequency $\pm 0.01 \%$ | MC1-3 | ( $13.3 \mathrm{~cm} \times 48.2 \mathrm{~cm} \times 30.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) $\begin{aligned} & 5-19 / 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{h} \times 8 \frac{1 / 22^{\prime w} \mathrm{w} \times 133 / 4 \mathrm{~cd}}{} \\ & \text { Cabinet }(14.9 \mathrm{~cm} \times 21.6 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \times 34.5 \mathrm{~cm}) \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Load, No load to tull load | $\pm 0.15 \%$ | $\pm 0.10 \%$ |  |  |
| Temp., 0-160 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $\pm 0.01 \% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $\pm 0.006 \% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Optional Feature: |  |
| DC Supply: | $\pm 15 \mathrm{VDC}$ for overioad and proof. | plug-in units. short-circuit | MC1-3 | Standard Rack Mount $5-19 / 32^{\prime \prime} \times 19^{\prime \prime}$. Specify MC1-3R |
| Elzctrical Connections: |  |  | Weight (not including modules): |  |
| Transclucer input: | WK-4-32S, 1/channel, mating connector WK-4-21C |  | MC1-20 M 1 C1-10 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \mathrm{lbs}(10.0 \mathrm{~kg}) \\ & 20 \mathrm{lbs} .(9.1 \mathrm{~kg}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Output: | XLR-3-32S, | channel, mat- | МС1-3 | $9 \mathrm{lbs} .(4.0 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) |

MODULES APPLICATION

| APPLICATION | TYPE OF TRANSDUCER | MCI SIGNAL CONDITIONING PLUG-IN MODULE | TYPES OF MODULES USED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acceleration, Steady | Variable Reluctance Strain Gage | CD18. CD19. CD90 See Force/Load | Carrier demodulators, dual outputs. |
| Flow | Differential Pressure Turbine Flowmeter | $\begin{gathered} \text { See Pressure } \\ \text { FC62 } \end{gathered}$ | Carrier demodulators, dual outputs. Frequency-to-voltage converter |
| Force/Load | ```Strain Gage. AC Excitation (see Note 1) Strain Gage. OC Exeitation (see Note 2)``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { CD19. CD90 } \\ \text { SG71 } \end{gathered}$ | Carrier demodulators. dual outputs selectabie gain <br> OC strain gage signal conditioner |
| Position, Linear and Anguiar | Variabie Transtormer <br> Potentiometer | $\begin{gathered} \text { CD148. CD18. CD19. } \\ \text { CD90 } \\ \text { BA112. CD19 } \end{gathered}$ | Carrier demodulators. dual outputs seiectable gain. <br> DC bufter amplitier or carrier demodulator |
| Pressure | Variabie Reluctance <br> Strain Gage. AC Excitation (see Note 1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CD18. CD19 } \\ & \text { CD19. CD90 } \end{aligned}$ | Carrier demodulators. dual output selectable gain. |
|  |  | PE251 | Pressure to voitage/pressure to current converter |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Strain Gage. טC } \\ & \text { Excitation (see Note 2) } \\ & \text { Potentiometer } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SG71 } \\ \text { BA112. CD19 } \end{gathered}$ | Strain gage signal conditioner provides DC excitation for strain gage <br> DC buffer amplifier and carrier demodulator |
| RPM | Electro-magnetic or Photocell | $\begin{gathered} \text { FC62 } \\ \text { FC236 } \end{gathered}$ | Frequency to voitage converters. |
| Temperature | Resistance <br> Thermocouple Thermocouple | PT60 CM 196 (see Note 3). CD19. CD90 TC243 | Platinum and nickei resistance thermometer signal conditioner. <br> Remote carrier modulator <br> Carrier demodulators high gain. <br> Thermocouple conditioner |
| Torque | Strain Gage <br> Rotary Transformer | $\begin{gathered} \text { SG71 } \\ \text { CD19. CD90 } \end{gathered}$ | Strain gage signal conditioner Carrier demodulator, high gam |
| Velocity, <br> Dispiacement, Vibration | Piezo Electric Strant Gage Electro-magnetic | PA89 <br> SG71. AM49 AM49 | Piezoeiectric signal conditioner Strain gage signal conditioner 8 linear amplifier Linear amplifier with integrators |
| Vibration | Piezo-Electric Strain Gage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAB9 } \\ & \text { SG77 } \end{aligned}$ | Piezoeiectric signal conditioners Strain gage signal conditioners |

NOTES:
1 AC carrier excitation features low noise and good zero stability. but frequency response limited to $25 \%$ of carrier frequency.
2. DC excitation features trequency response to limit of transducer.
3. CM 196 is an externai accessory mounted near the thermocouple to convert the DC signal to a modulated carrier voltage.

SPECIAL FUNCTION MODULES

| APPLICATION | PART NO. | NAME | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alarm | AL64 | Alarm Controller Unit | Adjustable HI-LCW Alarm |
|  | AD136 | Peak Hoid/Auto Zero | Hoids peak input signals. provides automatic zero functions. |
| Analog Multiplexers | MPX255 | Anaiog Multipiexer 10 or 20 Channeis | Multiplexes anaiog output signais of the 10 or 20channet signal conditioning moduie: |
|  | MAD264 | Anaiog Multiplexer, 10 or 20 Channeis, with A/D Conversion | Muitiplexes the analog output signais of 10 or 20 signal conditioning modules and converts the signal output to digital format. |
| Arithmetic | MF253 | Multifunction Module | Multiplies. divides and performs square-root operaticns. Internally seiectable. |
| Autometive | FC236 | Frequency to Voitage Converter | Converts eiectrical ign:tion timing puises to a DC voltage proportional to RPM |

SPECIAL FUNCTION MODULES (Con*

| APPLICATION | PART NO. | NAME | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carrier-Demodulator (special purpose) | C0257 | Carrier demodulator with Suppression and X10 Gain | Carrier Carrodulator with suppression control and $K 10$ gain capabilities |
|  | CD262 | Carrier Demodulator with 4 to 20 mA or Square Root. 10 V DC output | Carrier demodulator with special outputs |
| Fliters | BPF 205 | Band Pass Filter | Provides selectabie frequencies pass bands from DC to 10 kHz |
|  | LPF 162 | Ultra Low Pass Filter | Provides tow pass fitering 0.1 to 300 second filter time constants |
| Remete Carrier Modulator | CM196 | Remote Carrier Modulator | Provides remote carrier modulation and isolation to low level DC input signais. Optional coid junction compensation for thermocoupie inputs. |
| Null Indicator | N1157 | Nuill Indicator | Provides a visual indication of " R " and " C " baiance. |
| Indicators | PM118 | Plug-in Anaiog Meter | Vertical panei meter. Reads 0 to $\pm 10 \mathrm{~V}$ DC |
|  | PM204 | Plug-In Anaiog Meter | 19 -position vertical-scale meter for MC1-10 and MC1-20 systems. Selector switch to display 9 or 19 channels. 10X scale expansion external inputs |
|  | PM212-1 | Plug-in Digital Meter | 17 -position $31 /$-digit readout for MC $1-10$ and MC1-20 systems. |
|  | PM212-2 | Plug-in Oigital Meter | 17 -position $41 / 2$-digit readout for MC $1-10$ and MC1-20 systems. |
| Calibration Simulators | TS234 | Transducer Simulator | Provides transducer simulation signais for system cailibrations and diagnostic testing of carrier demodulators |
| Temperature | TC243 | Thermocouple Amplitier | Provides cold iunction compensation and amplification of thermocouple input signals. |

## MC1 SYSTEM Typical Applications



Typical Velocity and Displacement Measuring System


Typical Auto Zero of Residual Pressure System Set-up

## MC1 SYSTEM Module Index

This numerical index is provided to facilitate module information retrieval. Complete unit information can be found in the appropriate data sheet.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { MODEL } \\ \text { NO. } \end{gathered}$ | NAME | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD18 | Carrier Demodulator Dual Output | Demodulates and signal conditions transducer output |
| CO18 | Seiectable High Gain Dual Output Carrier Demodulator; Sensitivity from $1 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$ to $166 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$ | Operates with full bridge or half bridge transducers - delivers 10 V OC output for inputs from $1 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$ to $/ 66 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{v}$ |
| AM49 | Linear, Single and Dual Integration Amplifier | Selectabie gain inear amplifier with low pass filter |
| PT60 | Resistance Thermometer Conditioning Unit | Indicates resistance of temperature sensor - produces voitage proportional to the input resistance |
| FC62 | Frequency to Voitage Converter | Converts eiectrical trequency signais between 50 Hz to 50 kHz to a proportional OC voltage |
| AL64 | Alarm Controlier Unit | Offers two continuously adiustable set point 0 to $\pm 10 \mathrm{~V}$ DC |
| SG71 | Signal Conditioner and Power Supply | Provides a short-circuit proof. OC excitation supply for strain gage bridge circuits |
| PA89 | Vibration Monitoring Amplifier | Produces arr output proporbonal to acceleration, veiocity or displacement for use with piezoelectric pickups |
| CD90 | Uitra Hign Gain Dual Output Carrier Demodulator Sensitivity $0.1 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$ | Provides transducer excitation, amplities and demodulates output of carrier. excites strain-gage c.idges and transducers. LVOT/RVDT |
| BA112 | Buffer Amplitier | Offers a hign impedance seiection gain differential input for $A C$ and $D C$ signais and provides a low impedance singieended output |
| PM118 | Plug-In Anaiog Meter | Vertical Panel Meter. Reads 0 to $\pm 10 \mathrm{~V}$ DC (Reter to p. 7 tor additional information) |
| AD136 | Peak Hold/Auto Zero | Senses and hoids a peak indut signai without decay until a larger signal is applied or until reset to zero. |
| CD148 | Carrier Demodulator LVDT Conditioner | Demodulates the output of linear variabie differential transtormer |


| MODEL NO. | NAME | description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPF162 | Ultra Low-Pass Filter | Provides extremeiy iow-pass fitering for ther MC 1 signal conditioning modules Provides unity voitage gain. |
| PM204 | Plug-in Analog Meter | 19-position vertical-scale meter for MC 1-10 and MC 1-20 systems |
| BPF205 | Band Pass Filter | Provides seiectable frequency pass bands from DC to 10 kHz |
| PM212-1 | Plug-In Digital Meter | 17-oosition 3'? dight readout for MC 1-10 and MC 1-20 syutems |
| PM212-2 | Plug-in Digita: Mater | 17-position 4' a-dight reacout for MC 1-10 and MC 1-20 systems |
| TS234 | Transducer Simulator | Provides transducer stimulatoon signais for system calibrations and diagnostic testing of carfier demodulators |
| FC236 | Frequency to Voitage Converter | Converts eiectrical ignition timing pulses to a DC voitage proportional to RPM |
| TC243 | Thermocouple Amplifier | Provides cold junction compensation and amplifcaton of thermocounie input s'gnais |
| PE251 | Pressure to Voltage Converter | Provides dual outouts of voifage. square-root function, or 4-20 mA of pressure input |
| MF253 | Muitifunction Module | Multiplies. divides and derforms square-root overations |
| MPX255 | Anaiog Multipiexer 10 or 20 Channels | Multipiexes anaiog output signais of the 10 or 20 channel signal conditioning modules. |
| CD257 | Carrier Demodulator with Suppression and X10 Gain | Carrier demodulator with suppression control and $\times 10$ gain caoabilities |
| CD262 | Carrier Demodulator with 4 to 20 mA or Square Root and 10 V DC output | Carrier demodulator with special output capabilities. |
| MAD264 | Analog Multipiexer, 10 or 20 Channeis, with A/D Conversion | Muitiplexes the analog output signais of 10 or 20 channei signal conditioning modules and converts the signal output to digital format. |

## MC1 SYSTEM Accessories

ACCESSORIES INDEX

| $\begin{gathered} \text { MODEL } \\ \text { NO. } \end{gathered}$ | * NAME | DESCRIPTION | MODEL NO. | - NAME | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MC1-3 | MC1 Mating Connector | Set of 9 connectors (Aeter to <br> p. 7 for additional intormation) | 7796-X | MC1 Carrier Frequency Determinant | Changes MC1 Module carrier excitation trequency See tad'e on p. 8 of this brochure for dash number $(\mathrm{X})$ identification. (Refer to D. 8 for antditional information) |
| MC1-10 | MC1 Mating Connector | Set of 30 connectors (Reter to <br> p. 7 for additional information) |  |  |  |
| MC1-20 | MC1 Mating Connector | Set of 60 connectors (Reter to <br> p. 7 for additional information) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8050-1 | Blank Plug-in Module | Plug-in module with blank PC board. No plating. (Peter to D. 8 for additional information) |
| 7110 | Transducer Cabie | Standard 10 ft . cable with WK-421C on each end (Reter to p. 7 for additional information) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8050-2 | Blank Plug-in Module | (Same as 8050-1) Complete clad copper-PC board (Reter to D. 8 for additional intormation) |
| 7273 | Blank Pane! | For empty channel siot (Reter to p .8 for additional information) |  |  |  |
| 7592 | MC1-3 Aack Mount Kit | To mount either one or two MC1-3 cabinet in a standard 19 -inch rack (Refer to D. 8 for additional information) | 8542-2 | Module Interconnect Cable | 2-tiong cable connecting output of XLR3 module to input of another XLA3 mudule (Reter to p. 8 for additional information) |
| 7616-2 | Plug-in Module Extender | PC plug-in board with 20 -inch cabie. PC plug-in board connector at end of cabie (Reter to p. 8 for additional infortation) | 8573-2 | Module interconnect Cable | 2-ftiong cabie connecting output of XLR3 module to input of a WK. 4 module (Reter to $p .7$ lor additional informat on) |

PM118 Plug-in Analog Meter
Consists of a vertical panel meter mounted on a PC plug-in board. Reads 0 to $\pm 10 \mathrm{~V}$ DC. Connection is made at output connectors on the back panel of the MC1 module case


P/N 7592 - MC1-3 Rack Mount Kit
Designed for mounting either one or two MC1-3 cabinets in a standard 19"' relay rack. Takes $51 / \mathrm{in}$ ches of rack space.
for one unit specity (1) each P/N 7592-1 and -2 . for two units specify (2) P/N 7592-1


## Altemate Carrier Frequency Accessories

P/N 7796-"X" - MC1 Carrier Frequency
Determining Plug-in Asszmbly
Used to change MC1 module case camier excitation trequency See following toble for dash numbers that reiate to the trequency designation.

| DASH |  | CARRIER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO. | FREQUENCY |  |

P/N 8050-1 - Blank Plug-in Module
A complete biank plug-in module with diank PC boara PC board contzins no plating except for PC connector pads.

P/N 8050-2 - Blank Plug-in Module
A complete blank plug-in module with biank PC board. PC board is copper clad.

P/N 7273 - Blank Panel
The purpose of this panel is to fill in the spoce of an empty channel.
P/N $7616-2$ - Plug-in Module Connection Extender Permits plug-in modules to be checked or adjustments mode oulside of the MC1 moctule case. Accessory consists of a PC plug-in board and a 20 -inch cable with a PC board moting connector attached to the end of the cable.

8626 WILBUR AVENUE
NORTHRIDGE, CALIFORNIA - 91324
(213) 886-8488 - TELEX 65-1303

## CD19 CARRIER DEMODULATOR



## Description

The CD19 is a high gain, dual output Carrier Demodulator Plug-In Module for use in Validyne Engineering Corporation's MC1 System. The unit is used to provide transducer excitation, and to amplify and demodulate the output of carrier-excited strain-gage bridges and transducers, variabie reluctance transducers, potentiometric transducers and linear and totary variable differential transformers (LVDT/RVDT).

The CD19 will operate with full-bridge or haif-bridge transducers delivering 10V DC output for inputs ranging from 1 $\mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$ to $166 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$. A six-position gain switch and a ten-turn vernier gain potentiometer permit the use of inputs up to 166 $\mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$.

Screwdriver adjusted " R " and " C " baiance controis are provided, with a HI and LOW balance range switch for a 10 to 1 balance range expansion.

A calibration circuit provides availability of four different levels of plus end minus calibration voltages to be injected into the input.

Output frequenc; responsa is controlled by a low-pass active filter, and is swich seiectable to $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 50 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and 200 Hz and 1 kHz .

## Features

Six selectable gain settings
Selectable calibration points
Four seiectable frequency response ranges
3 kHzz carrier operation
Adjustabie R \& C balance controls
Dual output

## Specifications

Input Sensitivity: $1 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}, 2.5 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}, 5 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}, 10 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}, 25 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$ and $50 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$ (switch seiected)
Gain Vernier: 0 to $100 \%$, cailbrated dial
Bridge Excitation: 5 V rms AC. 3 kHz from precision center tapoed transtormer
Bridge Configuration: 2 \& 4 arm variable reluctance \& strain gage transducers. LVD transformers and potentiometer transducer
Input Impedance: Singie ended, 100 K onms. Differential. 200 K onms.
Bridge Balance: Resistive (R), normai $2 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$, high $20 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$; Capacitive (C) normal $1 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$, high $10 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{V}$
Output A: $\pm 10 \mathrm{~V}$ DC at 10 mA ; B: $\pm 5 \mathrm{mV}$ DC at 0.5 mA
Output Impedance: A: 50 ohros: 8: 10 ohms
Output Neise: $80 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{p}-0$ with 1 kHz filter; $20 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{p}$ with 200 Hz fitter: 10 mV p-p with 50 Hz filter: 2 mV D-0 with 10 Hz fiter
Frequency Aesponse: Selectable 0 to $10.50,200$ or 1000 Hz , flat $\pm 10 \%$ Linearity: $\pm 0.05 \%$ FS
Temparature Range: $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ to $+160^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Thermal Zero Shift: $0.00 \sim \% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Thermal Sensitivity Shift: $0.01 \% /{ }^{\circ} F$
Power Requirements: 5 V rms. 3 kHz \& $\pm 15 \mathrm{~V} D C$ supplied by MC 1 module case

## Options Available

| Dash \# | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| -529 | A \& B outputs tov OC |
| -809 | "A" output 10V DC <br> " 8 " output 5V DC |
| -1195 | Bifurcated terminals for R56 \& R57 |
| -1268 | A \& B outputs 5 V DC |
| 1309 | Output filter 1 Hz .5 Hz . 20 Hz and 100 Hz |
| .1312 | 'A" output 4-20 ma <br> " 8 " output 10 V DC |

REPRESENTED BY QUALTECH, INC DICK CUNNINGH S10 W. BROADWAY =

TEMPE. AZ. 85281 $967-4655$

For typical application, see reverse side
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## Typical Applications



NOTE: CD19 may be operated over 1000 or more feet of cabie between it and the transducer


Simple Pressure Measurement and Control System


Simple Force/Load Measurement and Control System


Accessorles
Torque Measurement and Alarm System
P.O. BOX 9025

NORTHRIDGE, CALIFORNIA - 91324
(213) 886-8488 - TELEX 65-1303
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## GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The Able Corporation Series-D, six component internal strain gage balance is basicaily of the floating-frame type. The primary frames consist of an inner rod, which fastens to the model support sting, and a cylindrical outer case, which is inserted into and attaches to the model. Forces and moments are resisted by individuaily removabie elements, employing fiexure pivots, connected between the inner rod and outer case of the balance.

The six force and momerit sensing camponents of the balange consist of two normal force elements for determination of normal force and pitching moment, two side force elements for determination of side force and yawing moment, a dual axiai force eiement and a dual roll element.

The normal and side force elements are equipped with reiaxation members at either end and are arranged to act in roll as a set of crossed rihbon flexures. Similar reiaxation members provide compliance in the axial force direction. The rolling moment elements are provided with flexure pivots at either end which are designed to transmit pure rolling moment to the gage section. The dual axial force element is located inside the dual roll element and transmits axial force from the outer case to the inner rod.


## PERFORMANCE

Accuracy - All points from any series of loadings of a single element produce data within $\pm 0.25 \%$ of maximum load or $\pm 0.5 \%$ of applied load when compared with the best straight line fit. This accuracy is inclusive of all scatter, hysteresis. and non linearity for both plus and minus loads.

Interactions - Percentage interactions on any gage member caused by the application of full design load on any other gage member fall within the following values: Seventy \% of the possibie first order inter. actions will be lass than or equal to $0.5 \%$. An additional $20 \%$ of the first order interactions will be less than or equal iv $1.0 \%$. The remaining $10 \%$ of the first order interactions will be less than or equal to $3.0 \%$. All interactions in excess of $1.0 \%$ will be linear within pius or minus $10 \%$ of the interaction output.

The percentage values of the first order inter actions may change slightly if specifications require a smail diameter balance or a very light or very heavy load range.

Thermal effects - Transient temperature errors in any gage eiement do not exceed $1.0 \%$ of full load output when the sting temperature is constant or changing and the outer case temperature is changing at a rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ per minule between $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

At stabilized temperatures, the error in any gage element does not exceed $0.5 \%$ of full load output between $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

Sensitivity - Full load output of any gage member is approximately 1 millivolt per voit input.

Gage characteristics - Bridge resistance is 350 ohms for normal and side force elements.

The basic bridge resistance of the rolling moment and axial force elements is 350 ohms. However, these components each operate with two full bridges wired in parallel. Thus the resistance is 175 ohms. well t wis $\times$ axis

Operating voltage of each eiement is 6 to 12 volts. Lower voltage is recommended for balances 0.75 inch diameter or less.

## PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Each balance is subjected to a performance evalu ation to demonstrate satisfactory oneration of all functions. It is expected that a more detailed calibration, tailored to the individual laboratory's needs, will be performed by the user. More complete calibrations can be performed by Able if desired.

## TEMPERATURE CDMPENSATIOIN PRINCIPLE

The arrangement of axial force eiements provides complete mechanical compensation for radial tem perature gradients. The two axial force element strain gage bridges are wired to produce a signai when the forces on the two elements are opposite in sign. (This occurs only when an external axial load is applied.) If there is a length increment due to cnange in temper ature added all along the inner rod or outer case, each axial force gage will experience an increment of load having the same sign and the signais will cancel. Thus the sensitivity of axial force to temperature gradients has been significantiy reduced.

## STRAIN GAGES

All gaged sections, with the exception of rolling moment, are of the bending type empioying 4 active foil type, epoxy bonded. 350 ohm strain gages. Rolling moment is resisted by a torque tube to which are bonded similar gages also wired in the form of a 4 -active eiement bridge.

All strain gages are pre-matched in comulete bridges for resistance and change in resistance with temperature. Bonding is accomplished using a combination of heat eycling and pneumatically applied pressure. After bonding, the individual bridges are again checked for temperature effects and trimming resistors are added where necessary.

## ACCESSORIES

Able Corporation also produces all necessary balance accessories including

- Ring and plug gages-Master gages for balance-to-sting joint.
- Balance gage-Exact duplicate of the balance exterior and sting fitting. Center hole permits passage of leads when used in place of a balance
- Calibration body-Loading sleeve used to upply loads for sensitivity and interaction calibration. includes roll arm, precision circular level and flexures to which weight hangers attach
- Cailbration rig-Complete single or multiplane rigs for the application of calibrating loads outside of the tunnel.
- Calibration weights-Traceable to National Bureau of Standards are offered in the ranges 2 \#, 5\#, 10 \#, $215=50=75=$ and $100=$ Weight tolerance is $\pm 0.1 \%$ of the total unit weight. More exacting tolerances are quoted on request.
- Coolant jackets-Required for balance opera tion up to stagnation temperatures of $1,500^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ Available for ail balance sizes and require a minumal diarreter increase.
- Overload stops-Available for roll and axial force in all talance sizes.


## STANDARD PERFORMANCE CALIBRATION PROCEDURE

1. Positive and negative design loads are applied to the balance outer case such that each force and moment element is loaded individually. The load on each element is applied in increments not greater than one-fifth of full design load.

Normal forces and side forces are applied orthogonally to the outer case. and axial force is applied along the axis of the outer case. Roiling moment is applied to the outer case by means of a moment acting in a plane normal to the balance axis.

Each gage output is tabulated and sensitivity calculated for each eiement in terms of millivolts per volt per pound or millivolts per volt per inch pound for both increasing and decreasing loadings. Interactions are plotted in terms of percent full load output in the member being interacted upon, versus applied load.
2. With the sting taper maintained at approximately $60^{\circ}$ F the balance case temperature is increased at a rate of at least $5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ per minute until the balance has reached $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The outer case temperature is then maintained at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ untul the inner case temperature is stabilized, then the temperature is reduced at least $5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ per minute until the balance is again stabilized at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The output of ail gages and thermocouples are recorded every 2 minutes throughout the run

A similar test is also performed wherein both the sting taper and balance sleeves are raised simultaneously in temperature to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

With the balance at approximately $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, each component is loaded separately and the sensitivity in millivolts per volt per pound or millivolts per volt per inch pound is calculated.

Prior to assembly, the individual balance elements are subjected to a sudden pressure change from 2 to 60 PSIA and the outputs recorded.

# Incerface <br> AOVANCED PORCE MEASUREMENT 



Model SM－25
FEATURES
－Ultra Precision
－Exce！lent Linearity
－High Repeatability
－Thermally Compensated

SUPER－MINI LOAD CELLS


Model SM－1000

RATED CAPACITIES： $10,25,50,100,250,500$ and 1000 pounds $(44 \mathrm{~N}, ~ 111 \mathrm{~N}, 222 \mathrm{~N}, 445 \mathrm{~N}, 1112 \mathrm{~N}, 2224 \mathrm{~N}, 4448 \mathrm{~N}$ ）
These ultra precision strain gage load cells are designed expressly for all controiled environment，tension and compression force and weight measuring requirements．Intertace＇s application of proprietary advanced materiais technology，in strain gage and flexure dasign，produces load ceils with the highest accuracy in the industry yet priced competitively with lower performance units．
These rugged celis have no moving parts to wear out or get out of 6 ＂．stment．The specifications listed below illustrato the superior performance of interface SM Series load ceils and are a major factor in their worldwide acceptance in applications such as structural force testing．thrust measurement，steelyard roo conversions （to $\mathrm{H}-44, \mathrm{PTB}$ and SiM requirements）．conveyor scaies，check weighers，counting and white scaies．tensile testing and engine dynometers．
The Interface optional MR（Moisture Resistant）Super－Mini is now available ss a cost effective method of protecting 25 thru 250 lb load ceils against the effects of exposure to high humidity（up to $95 \% \mathrm{RH}$ ）and periodic condensation．
For metric applications see Metric Super－Mini Series offering $200 \mathrm{~N}, 500 \mathrm{~N}, 1000 \mathrm{~N}, 2000 \mathrm{~N}$ and 5000 N capacities and metric mounting threads．

## SPECIFICATIONS＊

| Non－Linearity－\％Rated Output． | $\pm 0.03$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hysteresis－\％Rated Output． | $\pm 0.02$ |
| Non－Repeatability－\％Rated Output | $\pm 0.01$ |
| Temperature Range．Compensated－${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~\left(-18 ~ t o ~ 66{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0 to 150 |
| Temperature Range，Operating－${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~(-54 ~ t o ~ 93 * ~ C) ~$ | －65 to 200 |
| Temperature Effect on Rated Output－\％of Reading／100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(\%\right.$ of Reading $/ 55.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ） | $\pm 0.08$ |
| Temperature Effect on Zero－\％Rated Output／100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$（\％Rated Output $\left.55.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ． | $\pm 0.08$ |
| Temperature Etfect on Zero－\％Rated Output 100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$（\％Rated Output $55.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ） For Moisture Resistant Models | $\pm 0.12$ |
| ＊＂Creep，After 20 Min －\％Rated Output Overioad Ratings－\％Rated Capacity | $\pm 0.03$ |
| Sate．．． | $\pm 150$ |
| Ultimate | $\pm 500$ |
| Nominal Output－－mV／V | 3 |
| Zero Balance－\％Rated Output | $\pm 1$ |
| Input Resistance－Ohms | $350 \pm 3.5$ |
| Output Resistance－Ohms | $350 \pm 3.5$ |
| Excitation Voitage |  |
| Recommended－VDC | 10 |
| Insulation Resistan：e，Bridge to Case－Megohrns | 5000 |
| Per SMA Load Cen Term soiogy and Detimitions <br>  |  |
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## SUPER-MINI LOAD CELLS

INSTALLATION DIMENSIONS

| Model |  | A | 8 | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} S M 10.25 .50 \\ 100.250 \end{gathered}$ | inch | $\cdot 27$ | 2 | $1 /$ | 1/4-28 UNF.28 <br> $\because$ Deep, too $\$$ bottom |
|  | กum | 64 | 51 | 19 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SM S00. } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | neh | 3 | 2 | $11 /$ | $1 / 2$-20 UNF-2B <br> $1 /$ Deep, top $\$$ bottom |
|  | mer | 76 | 51 | 32 |  |



SM-10. SM-25.
SM-50. SM-100, SM-250


SM-500, SM-1000

## ELECTRICAL INFORMATION

SM Series is provided with a 4 -conductor shieided cable (AWG 28) 5 leet ( 1.5 m ) long
Wiring color code complies with ISA S37.8-1975 "Specifications and Tests for Strain Gage Force Transducers.


## APPLICATION NOTES

1. Super-Mint load celis are designed for controiled environmental applications. In yeneral, they can be used anywhere a 'eadout instrument is used.
2. One diameter thread engagement is desirabie-aporoximately "4" (6mm) on the 10 through 250 pound ranges and $12 z^{\prime \prime}$ ( 12 mm ) on the 500 and 1060 pound units
3. Moisture Resistant Super-Mini ioad ceils are marked -40. These units are resistant to high humidity conditions up to and including 95\% Relative Humidity and periodic exposure to condensation. The design capabuity is not intended for submerged operation.
4. Jam nuts may be used. however care shouid be exercised to not apply excessive torque across the icad ceil. Torque shouid be reacted against the ioad cell structure immediately adjacent to the jam nut.

| Model | Jam nul torque/Inch-pounds |
| :---: | :---: |
| SM-10 | 5 |
| SM-25 | 10 |
| SM-50 | 20 |
| SM-100.250 | 40 |
| SM-500.1000 | 200 |

## TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. Ordering information: Super-Minis are ordered by specifying Series (SM) and capacity (1n, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500, 1000 pounds). Example: SM-100
2. Pricing: Consult your local interface Representative or the factory for price and delivery
3. Terms: Net 30 days in U.S. dollars, FOB Scottsdate. Arizona U.S.A.
4. Warranty: Interfaca, inc. s standard two-year warranty is appicable to the Super-Mini Serier 'oad call. Intertace. Inc. certifies that its calibration measurements are traceabie to the U.S. National Bureau of Standards (NBS).

Prices and specifications subject to change without notice.


The UDT "SC" series detectors arc dual axis position sensors that provide X and Y axis position information of a light spot on the detector surface. These devices sense the centroid of the light spot and provide continuous analog output as the light spot moves from null point to the limit of the active area.
These unique devices are unmatched in position sensitivity, resolution and position accuracy. The PIN-SC/10, PIN-SC/25, and PIN-SC/50 are Schottky barrier type PIN photodiodes to provide maximum in performance and reliability with the lowest noise values for large area devices.
features
Position sensitivity to 0.0001 inch
Simultaneous power level and position signal
Position accuracy independent of light spot size
Uncomplicated connection
Electricaily variable null point
Adaptable for special applications
Spectral response optimized from 350 to 1150 nm
Position sensitivity for power levels from
$0.5 \mu \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ to $10 \mathrm{mw} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$





3939 Landmark St., Cuivar City, Ca. 90230 USA - Phone (213; 204-2250

APPLIICATIONS:
Optical tooling systems
Remote optical alignment
Vibration monitors
Antenna alignment
Structural stress monitors
Medical instrumentation
Machine tool alignment and control


[^0]:    *Optical position sensor refers to the positiori-sensing system. Optical position detector refers to the photoelectric light spot measuring component.

