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## PREFACE

The G400PA mathematical formulations and resulting computer code described herein were developed by United Technologies Research Center (UTRC) under Contract NAS1-16058, "Coupled Rotor Fuselage Vibration Analysis". This contract was through the Structures Laboratory of the USRTL (AVRADCOM) and Sikorsky Aircraft Division of the United Technologies Corporation was prime contractor. The initial development of the G 400 analysis was conducted at UTRC by Dr. Richard L. Bielawa under Corporate sponsored independent research and development. Extensive refinements to the analysis were made under sponsorship of the Langley Research Center of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Langley Directorate as part of Contract NASl-10960. This effort constitutes one of the contributing technologies to the Simplified Coupled Rotor Fuselage Vibration Analysis (SIMVIB) also funded through Contract NAS1-16058.
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# Aeroelastic Analysis for Helicopter Rotors 

 with Blade Appended Pendulum Vibration Absorbers Mathematical Derivations and Program User's Manual ${ }^{*}$by

Richard L. Bielawa
United Technologies Research Center

## SUMMARY

Mathematical development is presented for the expanded capabilities of the United Technologies Research Center (UTRC) G400 Rotor Aeroelastic Analysis. This expanded analysis, G400PA, simulates the dynamics of teetered rotors, blade pendulum vibration absorbers and the higher harmonic excitations resulting from prescribed vibratory hub motions and higher harmonic blade pitch control. Formulations are also presented for calculating the rotor impedance matrix appropriate to these higher harmonic blade excitations. This impedance matrix and the associated vibratory hub loads are intended as the rotor dynamic characteristics elements for use in the Simplified Coupled Rotor/Fuselage Vibration Analysis (SIMVIB).

Sections are included presenting updates to the development of the original G400 theory, and material appropriate to the user of the G400PA computer program. This material includes (1) a general description of the structuring of the G400PA FORTRAN coding, (2) a detailed description of the required input data and other useful information for successfully running the program, and (3) a detailed description of the output results.
*
The research effort which led to the results in this report was financially supported by the Structures Laboratory, USARTL (AVRADCOM).

## INTRODUCTION

The G400PA helicopter rotor aeroelastic analysis described herein represents a generalization and extension of the original United Technologies Research Center (UTRC) G400 Rotor Aeroelastic Analysis which was first described in Reference 1. This analysis was originally developed in response to the unique aeroelastic characteristics of the composite bearingless rotor and represented an advancement in the state-of-the-art with regard to the modeling of rotors with time-variable, nonlinear structural twist and multiple structural redundancy. Since the publication of Reference 1 , the 6400 analysis has evolved into a family of analyses with a completely general range of applicability in rotor type (articulated, hingeless, teetered) and vehicle application (helicopters, propellers, wind turbines).

The principal distinguishing features of the G400pA version of the analysiti are:

1. A capability for analyzing underslung tectered rotors.
2. The implementation of the nonlinear equations of motion for two independent blade appended pendulum vibration absorbers.
3. Inclusion of the dynamic and aerodynamic loads due to specified harmonic accelerations in arbitrary combinations of all six. components of hub motion.
4. The expansion of the blade pitch control description to include cosine and sine components of the ( $b-1$ ), $b$, and ( $b+1$ ) harmonics of cyclic pitch angle, (where $b$ is the number of blades).
5. Provision for calculating impedance matrices, wherein each of the components of hub acceleration are systematically perturbed to obtain numerical partial derivatives of hub loads with respect to hub motion.

Since the original G400 analysis is well documented in Reference 1 , this report presents only the technical background, and descriptions and/or formulations pertinent to the G400PA features listed above. Additional sections are presented to facilitate the user of the actual (G400PA) computer program. One section gives a general description of the structuring of the computer program with descriptions of all the program elements. Other sections give
complete descriptions of all required inputs for the program as well as descriptions of the output results. Although these input and output descriptions overlap to a large degree those given in keference 1 , they are presented for completeness. The appendix updates, where appropriate, the material of Reference 1.

| [ 1 ] | Incrtia coupling matrix for a single blade. |
| :---: | :---: |
| [AA] | The combined inertia coupling matrix for the degrees-of-freedou of the two blades of a teetered rotor. |
| $A_{n_{s}}, B_{n_{s}}$ | n'th Fourier cosine and sine components, respectively, of inputted cyclic blade pitch control (higher harmonic control angles), deg. |
| $A_{q q}, A_{q \beta}, A_{\beta B}$ | Submatrices comprising [A]. |
| b | Number of blades. |
| $\mathbf{c} \psi, \mathbf{s} \psi$ | Abbreviated notation for cosine and sine of $\psi$, respectively. |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Damper rate of explicit restraint of pendulum absorber about hinge, in-lb-sec/rad. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{DS} 1_{1}, \mathrm{DS}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{DS} 3_{k}, \\ & \mathrm{DS} 4_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{DS} 5_{\mathrm{j}} \end{aligned}$ | Integration constants used to calculate the blade internal loads immediately outboard of the flexbeam torque tube juncture, (ND). |
| e | $x_{2}$ coordinate of coincident flat-lag hinge or hingeless blade offset point, in. |
| $\mathrm{f}_{i}$ | Function defining fraction of pendulum absorber mass to be included in mass of $i$ 'th blade segment, (ND). |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}_{5}}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}_{5}}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{z}_{5}}$ | Components of force in respective axis directions in the 5-coordinate system, lb. |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {us \& }}$ | Distance rotor/blade apex point is below teeter hinge, in. |
| [ $I_{m}$ ] | Identity matrix of dimension m. |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Mass moment of inertia of pendulum absorber about its flapping hinge, in-lb-sec ${ }^{2}$. |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}_{10}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{z}_{10}}$ | Mass radii of gyration of blade section about axes through and perpendicular to the spanwise ( $\mathrm{x}_{5}$ ) axis and in the chordwise and thicknesswise directions, respectively, in.. |


| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Spring rate of explicit restraint of pendulum absorber about hinge, $\mathrm{ft}-1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{rad}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $K_{B_{1}}, K_{E_{2}}$ | Effective spring rates defining the nonlinear elastic characteristics of teeter stops, ft-lb/rad. |
| $\ell_{p}$ | Distance from hinge to mass center of a pendulum absorber, in. |
| m | Blade mass distribution, $1 \mathrm{~b}-\sec ^{2} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. |
| $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ | Reference blade mass distribution, defined by mass of the 5 th blade segment, $1 b-s e c^{2} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. |
| $M_{\text {PA }}$ | Mass of pendulum absorber, $1 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{sec}^{2} / \mathrm{ft}$. |
| $M_{x_{5}}, M_{y_{5}}, M_{z_{5}}$ | ```Components of blade moment about axes in the 5- coordinate system, arising frnm inertia, aerodynamic and/or concentrated mechanical effects, ft-lb.``` |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | The saturation moment used in the nonlinear elastic characteristics of teeter stops, ft-1b.. |
| $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{n}_{0}$ | Blade segment numbers of inner and outer segments bracketing pendulum absorber mass. |
| NEM, NFM, NTM | Numbers of assumed flatwise, edgewise, and torsion natural "uncoupled" primitive modes, respectively. |
| ${ }^{P}$ I | Differential operator denoting differentation with respect to time of quantities measured in the inertial frame, sec ${ }^{-1}$. |
| $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}_{5}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}_{5}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}_{5}}$ | Section shear load distributions in directions of axes in the 5- coordinate system, lb/in. |
| q | General expression for a response variable deflection, (ND). |
| \{q\} | Vector of blade degrees-of-freedom excluding flapping motion. |
| $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ | Blade k'th edgewise modal response variable, (ND). |
| $q_{\text {wi }}$ | Blade i'th flatwise modal response variable, (ND). |
| $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{x}_{5}}, \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{y}_{5}}, \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}_{5}}$ | Section moment load distributions about axes in the 5- coordinate system, $\mathrm{In}-1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{in}$. |

## LIST OF SYMBOLS (Cont'd)

| $\mathrm{q}_{\theta j}$ | Blade $\mathrm{j}^{\prime}$ th torsion modal response variable, (ND). |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | Blade spanwise coordinate, measured from offset, $e$, in $x_{5}$ direction, ft. |
| $\Delta r_{i}$ | 1'th blade spanwise segment length, ft.' |
| R | Rotor radius, ft. |
| $S_{p}$ | Amplitude of friction moment restraint of pendulum absorber about hinge, ft-1b. |
| $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{x}_{5}}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}_{5}}, \mathrm{~s}_{z_{5}}$ | Components of concentrated shear in directions of axes in the 5- coordinate system, lb. |
| t | Time, sec. |
| $\left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}\right],\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}\right],\left[\mathrm{T}_{2}\right],$ | Coordinate system transformation matrixes relating rotating coordinate system deflection to the inertial frame. |
| $\Delta v, \Delta w$ | Deflection correction terms due to first order twist effects, (ND). |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{V}_{z}$ | Components of instantaneous lineal velocity of the nonrotating hub in the hub fixed coordinate system; ft/sec. |
| $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{5}\right\}$ | Vector of components of velocity of a point in the coned, leadlagged, rotating coordinate system, ft/sec. |
| $\Delta \mathrm{V}, \Delta \mathrm{W}$ | Deflection correction terms due to second order twist effects, (ND). |
| $\mathrm{x}_{5}, y_{5}, z_{5}$ | Components of the 5 - coordinate system, defined to be rotating with the hub, but at the blade coned and lagged position, ft. |
| $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{5}\right\}$ | Vector of components of displacement of a point in the coned, lead-lagged, rotating coordinate system. |
| $\mathrm{y}_{10}, \mathrm{z}_{10}$ | Chordwise and thicknesswise position coordinate, respectively, of an arbitrary point within a blade section, in. |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}{ }_{10}$ CG | Chordwise distance of blade section mass center from the reference axis, in. |

## LIST OF SYMBOLS (Cont'd)

| ${ }^{2} 4$ | Out of plane deflection in the unconed (and unflapped) coordinate system, ft. |
| :---: | :---: |
| [Z] | Higher harmonic impedance matrix (partial derivatives of hub loads with respect to hub acceleration and HHC angles). |
| $\beta$ | Total cone angle, consisting of built-in and flapping (or teetering) contributions, rad. |
| $\beta_{B}$ | Built-in blade precone, deg, rad. (as appropriate). |
| $\beta_{p}$ | Flapping angle of blade appended pendulum vibration absorber, rad. |
| $B_{t}$ | Teeter angle ( $=\beta-\beta_{B}$ ), rad. |
| $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ | Angles defining the nonlinear elastic characteristics of tecter stops, deg. |
| $\gamma_{v_{k}}$ | Deflection mode shape for the $k^{\prime}$ th edgewise normal mode, (ND). |
| $\gamma_{w_{i}}$ | Defiection mode shape for the i'th flatwise normal mode, (ND). |
| $\gamma_{\theta_{j}}$ | Deflection mode shape for the $j^{\prime}$ th torsion normal mode, (ND). |
| $\delta$ | Blade lead angle, rad. |
| $\theta$ | Elastic torsion deflection angle, rad. |
| ${ }^{\theta} S_{X}$, | Abbreviated torsion flexibility coefficients containing deflection dependency, $\mathrm{rad} / 1 \mathrm{~b}$, $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{ft}-1 \mathrm{~b}$. |
| $\theta_{0}$ | Blade pitch angle due to input control angle, rad. |
| ${ }^{\theta_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{PAH}}}$ | Built-in inclination of pendulum absorber hinge axis relative to local chord line, deg, rad (as appropriate). |
| 0 | Total local blade pitch angle, rad. |
| $\Delta_{J}$ | Total torsion deflection of flexbeam at junction point beyond built-in value, rad. |
| $\{\Xi\}$ | Vector of excitations for two-bladed teetering rotor degrees-of-freedom. |

$E_{q}, E_{B}$
$\Delta \Xi_{T S}$
$\psi$
$\omega_{w_{i}}, \omega_{v_{k}}, \omega_{\theta_{j}}$
$\omega_{x}, \omega_{y}, \omega_{z}$
' $\Omega$

Component vectors of the $\equiv$ excitation vector relating to modal excitations and flapping excitation, respectively:

The moment imparted to the teeter moment by the teeter stops.
Blade azimuthal (angular) position, rad.
(Nondimensional) uncoupled natural frequencies of i'th flatwise bending mode, $k$ 'th edgewise bending mode and $j$ 'th torsion mode, respectively, rad/sec.

Components of instantaneous rotational velocity of the nonrotating. hub in the hub fixed coordinate system, rad/sec.

Rotor rotational frequency, rad/sec.

## SUBSCRIPTS

Higher harmonic blade pitch control
( ) TS

## LIST OF SYMBOLS (Cont'd)

SUPERSCRIPTS

| ()$^{(m)}$ | Pertaining to the $m^{\prime}$ th blade of a teetered rotor |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(\overline{)}$ | Nondimensionalization by combinations of $m_{0}, R$ and/or |
| $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ |  |
| ()$^{\prime}$ | Differentiation with respect to $(\Omega t)$. |
|  | Differentiation with respect to $(r / R)$. |

## SIMULATION OF UNDERSLUNG TEETERED ROTORS

## Basic Modeling Considerations

For articulated and hingeless rotor systems the individial rotor blade: are mechanically coupled to each other only through motions of the hub. Consequently, these rotor types can be conveniently analyzed as singlebladed rotors whenever the assumption of infinite hub rigidity is involved. That is, without hub motion, the blades do not interact mechanically with each other. This is not true for teetered (two-bladed) rotors; however. Even for infinite impedance of the supporting shaft, each of the blades of a teetered rotor can impart bending loads to the other through the root load restraint. Accordingly, teetered rotors must be analyzed as a multiple (two) bladed rotor system.

The method of implementing the teetered rotor capability in the G400PA analysis was selected based upon the already inherent use of the Galerkin method of solution. The selected method actually amounts to a "modified Galerkin" approach in that the assumed uncoupled bending modes used to define the blade elastic deformations actually satisfy only the geometric boundary conditions. More specifically, the modeling is based upon the following considerations:

1. The rotor system is assumed to consist of a rigid body finite mass hub which teeters as a rigid body, and two blades which are each cantilever attached to this hub mass. Thus, the out-of-plane displacements for either blade consists of a rigid flapping part plus an elastic part based on "cantilevered" uncoupled mode shapes. For untwisted blades, this displacement distribution (for the $n$ 'th blade) assumes the following form (using the nomenclature of Reference 1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{4}^{(m)}(r)=r\left[\beta_{B}+(-1)^{(m-1)}\left(\beta-\beta_{B}\right)\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{N E M} \gamma_{w_{i}}(r) q_{w_{i}}^{(m)}(t) \quad(m=1,2) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{w_{i}}(r)$ are the uncoupled cantilevered flatwise bending mode shapes. In this formulation, each of the $q_{w_{f}}(\mathrm{~m})$ modal response quantities are independent degrees-of-freedom. The advantage of this formulation is that symmetric bending rotor modes, which respond typically at even harmonics, are simulated exactly, and the antisymmetric rotor modes, which respond typlcally at odd harmonics, can be well approximated geometrically as "pinned" modes with Equation (1).
2. The blade inplane deflections are simulated with no lead lag rotation, but with combinations of edgewise and flatwise bending as in a typical hingeless configuration.
3. The mass of the hub, to which the blades are cantilever attached, is set to zero as a limiting process. The required moment balance about the teeter hinge (in the flapping direction) is achieved by properly combining the flapping equations for the two blades together with the moment imparted to the teeter motion excitation by the teeter stops.
4. This formulation is inherently incapable of yielding correct bending moment calculations at the root region using mode deflection methods. This is because the formulation uses modes which do not satisfy the "load" boundary conditions at the root. Both the modified Galerkin formulation and the forceintegration method, however, are relatively insensitive to these boundary conditions. Consequently, the bending moments and stresses obtained using force-integration, should be reasonably accurate.

The four considerations discussed above form the basis for the actual mathematical formulation discussed in the following subsections. In the first subsection, the load distributions resulting from the flapping motion of an underslung teetered blade are described. In the next subsection, the implementation of the moment equilibration across the teeter hinge is presented. Finally, in the last subsection, the details of the implementation of the teeter stop load characteristics are presented.

## Aerodynamic and Dynamic Load Distributions

The analysis presented in Reference 1 , and implemented in the parent 6400 code, would be sufficient for formulating the teeter flap angle equation, but for the presence of the undersling distance, $h_{u s l}$. This distance is defined as the distance of the rotor-hub apex below the teeter axis. The approach taken for defining the additional loads due to undersling is to define an incremental position vector and to then form the appropriate incremental components of inertial velocity and acceleration, as measured in the blade coordinate system. Using Equation (35) of Reference. 1 as a starting point and referring to Figure l, one can write the incremental (nondimensional) position vector as:

$$
\Delta\left\{x_{5}\right\}_{\text {us } \ell}=h_{\text {us }}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\beta_{B}  \tag{2}\\
0 \\
-1
\end{array}\right\}
$$



Figure 1. Schematic of Teetered Rotor System - Kinematic Definitions and Flapping Moment Equilibration

Using coordinate system transformations as described in Reference 1 and appropriate dfferentation ylelds the following exprestion for incremental velocity vector:

$$
\left\{\Delta v_{5}\right\}_{\text {usl }}=h_{\text {usl }} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\stackrel{*}{\beta}  \tag{3}\\
\left(\beta-\beta_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

This vector then modifies the definition for blade section inflow angle used for defining the quasi-static angle-of-attack, and finally the blade airload distribution. Further use of the coordinate system transformations, additional differentiation and D'Alembert's principle, yields the following vector for the incremental (inertial) dynamic load distribution:

$$
\Delta\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{D_{y 5}}  \tag{4}\\
P_{D_{y 5}} \\
P_{D_{y 5}}
\end{array}\right\}=-\bar{m} h\left\{\begin{array}{l}
* *-\left(\beta-\beta_{8}\right) \\
2 \stackrel{*}{\beta} \\
-\beta\left[{ }^{*}{ }^{*}-\left(\beta-\beta_{8}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Before the teetered blade root constraint can be implemented, the basic flapping equation must also be modified to account for the undersling distance. With reference to Figure 1 , equilibration of the moment about the flapping hinge results in the following modified flapping equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left[\left(r-h_{u s l} \beta_{B}\right) p_{z 5}-\left(z_{5}-h_{u s l}\right) p_{x_{5}}-q_{y_{5}}\right] d r-M_{y 5}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This modified equation is to be compared with Equation (31) of Reference 1. Note that $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}_{5}}$ are, respectively, the total load distributions in the $\mathrm{x}_{5}$ - and $\mathrm{z}_{5}$ - directions and contain the incremental loads given by Equation (4). The loads due to teeter stops are accounted for by the general moment about the flapping hinge, $M_{y 5}$, this moment defined in greater detail in the following subsection.

## Implementation of Teetered Blade Root Constraint

As implemented by the $G 400$ analysis for a single blade (infinite impedance hub), the blade equations of motion take the following form:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c:c}
A_{q q} & A_{q \beta}  \tag{6}\\
\hdashline A_{q \beta}^{T} & A_{\beta \beta}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
*_{q}^{*} \\
\hdashline q_{*}^{*}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\Xi_{q} & (\psi) \\
\hdashline \Xi_{\beta} & (\psi)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In this form, the elastic mode acceleration subvector, $\left\{_{4}^{* *}\right\}$, and the flapining acceleration, $\hat{\beta}^{*}$, are linearly coupled, and all remaining linear and nonlinear terms in the various equations are grouped on the right-hand side as an excitation vector. This vector is composed of a subvector for the elastic modes, $\left\{E_{q}\right\}$, and the term for the flapping equations, $\left\{\Xi_{\beta}\right\}$. This form of the equations is appropriate for time-history solutions, but requires a simultaneous equations solution at each time step to decouple the accelerations.

Before two blades are mechanically constrained by the teetered blade boundary conditions, Equation (6) must be generalized to the following augmented form:
or, in a more abbreviated form as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[A A]\left\{\|_{0}^{*}\right\}=\{\equiv\} \tag{7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The teetered constaint can be conveniently implemented by first defining the following constraint relationship:


The teeter constraint is applied to the equations of motion, Equation (7), with the following matrix operations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{T||[A]| T T|\left\{Q^{*}\right\}=\{T] \mid\{\theta\}+\{\Delta E\}_{\mathrm{TS}}\right. \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The partitioning of Equation (9) is as follows:

Equation (10) is then in the same form as Equation (6) and the same procedure must be followed to achieve a time-history solution (decoupling of the accelerations and then numerical integration). Generally, the simultaneous equations solution of Equation (10) is accomplished using partitioning methods; the details of this essentially mathematical operation are outside the scope of this report and are therefore omitted.

## Teeter Stop Moment Characteristics

The moment applied to the teeter angle equation due to the teeter stops, $\Delta \Xi_{T S}$, is an essentially nonlinear function of teeter angle. This moment is characterized in the G400PA analysis by the five parameters defined graphically in Figure 2. For teeter angles between $\pm \beta_{1}$, the teeter stop moment is, of course, zero. When the teeter angle reaches the first limit stop angle, ${ }_{1}$, the limit stop imparts an initially elastic reaction with a spring rate of $K_{B 1}$. Between this first limit stop angle and a saturation angle; $\beta_{2}$, the reaction moment is assumed to be a generally nonlinear function of teeter angle and increases to the value of $M_{2}$ with a rate of $K_{B_{2}}$, at the saturation angle, $\beta_{2}$. At teeter angles above $\beta_{2}$, the reaction moment again obeys an elastic relationship. Generally, the saturation spring rate, $K_{\beta_{2}}$, will exceed the initial rate, $\mathrm{K}_{\beta_{1}}$. However, this implementation provides sufficient latitude for modeling other nonlinear relationships. Mathematically, this implementation can be defined as follows:


Figure 2. Generalization of Nonlinear Teeter Stop Moment vs Teeter Angle Characteristics


## Basic Assumptions

The inclusion of blade appended pendulum absorbers in the aeromechanical description of the rotor blade requires the definition of additional equations of motion, as well as modifications to existing ones. The following list of principal assumptions forms the basis of the G400PA modeling of blade appended pendulum absorbers:

1. Provision must be made for simulating a maximum of two (2) Independent pendular absorbers. State-of-the-art installations of such vibration absorbers have included up to two absorbers, each tuned to a different rotor harmonic.
2. Each pendular absorber consists of a specified hinge location, a rigid body mass (with c.g. offset and rotary inertia about that hinge), and a restraint about the hinge accruing from a rotary spring, a rotary viscous damper, and a (nonlinear) friction damper (see Figure 3).
3. The hinge location and orientation for each absorber is subject to the following constraints (again refer to Figure 3):
a. The hinge axis must be within a blade section plane, e.g., perpendicular to the spanwise axis.
b. The hinge axis may be both translated and inclined relative to the local chord line. This translation and inclination define the distance, $z_{10_{\mathrm{PAH}}}$, and the angle, $\Theta_{O P A}$, shown in Figure 3.
c. The hinge axis may be located at any spanwise station.
4. The mass center of each absorber is nominally chordwise balanced at the blade elastic (reference) axis, (i.e., no chordwise mass offset, $y_{10}=0$ ) in the undeflected position. Note that for nonzero values of inclination angle (of the hinge axis relative to the chord line), deflections of the pendulum will produce time variable chordwise c.g., offsets.
5. To enable the analysis to make rapid parameter variations on the pendular parameters, the blade bending and torsion modes are calculated excluding the mass of the pendula. Therefore, the effect of incremental pendulum mass must be explicitly accounted for in the blade dynamic equations. This is accomplished by adding the respective pendulum masses as incremental mass distributions extended over the two blade segments which straddle that pendulum's radial location.


Figure 3. Pictorial of Typical Pendular Absorber Installation on Blade
6. Lastly, the pendula deflection angles are not restricted to "small" amplitudes. Thus, the realistic effects of amplitude saturation can be analyzed.

## Dynamic Loads

The derivation of the dynamic loads acting on the pendulum follows the basic method described in the previous chapter wherein an incremental displacement vector is defined, and then with appropriate differentiation and coordinate transformations an inertial acceleration vector is formed. The displacement vector for a point mass hinged to an offset, inclined axis is given by:

$$
\Delta\left\{\dot{x_{5}}\right\}_{P A}=\ell\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cos \beta_{P}  \tag{12}\\
-\sin \Theta_{P A H} \sin \beta_{P} \\
\cos \Theta_{P A H} \sin \beta_{P}
\end{array}\right\}+z_{10_{P A H}}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-\sin \theta \\
\cos \theta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where the total pitch angle of the hinge axis, $\Theta_{\text {PAH }}$, is the sum of the blade pitch axis, $\Theta$, and the built-in pitch angle relative to the blade, $\theta_{O P A}$. The resulting components of inertia loading are then given by the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\Delta p_{D_{x_{5}}}\right)_{P A}=\frac{-M_{P A} f_{i}^{\ell}}{m_{0} \Delta_{r_{i}}}\left[-\sin \beta_{p} \beta_{p}^{*}-\cos \beta_{p}+2 \cos \beta_{p} \stackrel{*}{\beta}_{p} \sin \Theta_{P A H}\right. \\
& \left.+(\beta+2 \dot{\theta}) \sin \beta_{p} \cos \Theta_{P A H}\right] \\
& \left(\Delta d_{D_{Y_{5}}}\right)_{P A}=\frac{-M_{P A} f_{1}}{m_{0} \Delta_{r_{i}}}\left\{\ell \left[-\cos \beta_{p} \sin \Theta_{P A H} \stackrel{*}{\beta}_{p}^{*}-2 \sin \beta_{p} \stackrel{*}{\beta}_{p}\right.\right. \\
& +\sin \beta_{p}\left(1+2 \delta^{*}+\dot{\beta}_{p}^{2}+{ }^{*}{ }^{2}\right) \sin \Theta_{P A H} \\
& \left.-\left(2 \cos \beta_{p}\left(\ddot{\beta}_{p} \ddot{\theta}^{*}+\beta \stackrel{*}{\beta}_{p}\right)+\sin \beta_{p}(2 \beta+\stackrel{*}{\theta})\right) \cos \Theta_{P A H}\right]  \tag{13b}\\
& +Z_{10_{\text {PAH }}}\left[-2\left(\stackrel{*}{W}_{e}^{\prime}-\Delta \stackrel{*}{W}^{(2)}-\Delta \stackrel{*}{W}^{(2)}\right)-\left({ }^{*}{ }_{(4)}^{*}+2 \stackrel{*}{\beta}\right) \cos \Theta\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(1+\stackrel{H}{\Theta}^{2}+2 \beta \Theta+2 \stackrel{*}{\delta}\right) \sin \Theta\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\Delta_{p_{D_{Z_{5}}}}\right)_{P A}=\frac{-M_{P A} f_{i}}{m_{0} \Delta_{r_{i}}}\left\{\ell \left[\cos \beta_{p} \cos \Theta_{P A H}{ }^{* *} \beta_{p}^{*}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\left(2 \cos \beta_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\ddot{\beta}_{\mathrm{p}} \ddot{\theta}^{*}+\beta \stackrel{\ddot{\beta}}{\mathrm{p}}\right)+\sin \ddot{\beta}_{\mathrm{p}}^{*} \theta\right) \sin \Theta_{\mathrm{PAH}}-\sin \beta_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\ddot{\beta}^{2}+\ddot{\theta}^{2}+2 \beta \ddot{\theta}\right) \cos \Theta_{\mathrm{PAH}}\right] \\
& \left.+z_{10_{\mathrm{PAH}}}\left[-\left(\ddot{\Theta}^{2}+2 \beta_{\Theta}^{*}+\beta^{2}\right) \cos \Theta-{ }^{*}{ }^{*} \sin \Theta\right]\right\} \tag{13c}
\end{align*}
$$

where the distribution function, $f_{i}$, is defined for only two of the blade spanwise segments, the centers form a straddling pair: the one closest inboard, $r\left(n_{I}\right)$, and the one closest outboard, $r\left(n_{0}\right)$, of the pendulum mass center location, ${ }^{r_{P A}}$ : (see Figure 4):

$$
f_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{r\left(n_{0}\right)-r_{P A}}{r\left(n_{0}\right)-r\left(n_{I}\right)} ; i=n_{I}  \tag{14}\\
\frac{r_{P A}-r\left(n_{I}\right)}{r\left(n_{0}\right)-r\left(n_{I}\right)} ; i=n_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Equations (13) and (14) are then in a general form appropriate for inclusion in the blade dynamic equations. Note that the nominal inertia load distributions, given by Equations (4la, b, c) and (44a, b, c) of Reference 1 must also be modified since, at the two straddling radial stations, the mass distributions must be augmented by $M_{P A} f_{i}$.

## Equations of Motion

The usual blade dynamic equations (those for modal responses, flapping and lead-lag motions) are easily modified by including the additional inertia load distributions as defined in the above subsection. The pendulum equations are formed by equilibrating the inertia moments about the hinge to the spring and damper restraints:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p}^{* *} \beta_{p}^{*}=\ell\left[\cos \beta_{p} F_{Z_{6}}-\sin \beta_{p} F_{X_{5}}\right]-K_{p} \beta_{p}-C_{p} \stackrel{*}{\beta}_{p}-S_{p} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\stackrel{*}{\beta}_{p}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the concentrated loads acting on a pendulum mass center, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}_{5}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}_{6}}$, are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x_{5}}=\widetilde{p}_{x_{5}}+\Delta \tilde{p}_{x_{5}} \tag{16a}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{z_{6}}=-\sin \Theta_{P A H}\left(\tilde{p}_{y_{5}}+\Delta \tilde{p}_{y_{5}}\right)+\cos \otimes_{P A H}\left(\tilde{p}_{z_{5}}+\Delta \tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{z_{5}}\right) \tag{16b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where the quantities $\tilde{p}_{x 5}, \tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{75}, \tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{25}$ are components of the usual blade inertia loadings for the straddilng segments modified by the following multiplication:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}_{P A}=\sum_{i=n_{I}}^{n_{0}} \frac{M_{P A} f_{i}}{m_{0} \Delta r_{i} \bar{m}} p\left(r_{i}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantities; ( $\Delta \widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{x}}, \Delta \widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{y}}{ }_{5}$ and $\Delta \widetilde{\mathrm{p}}^{5}$ are formed from Equations ( $13 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c ), respectively, but with the factor $\left.\} \mathcal{i}_{i} / m_{0} \Delta_{* x_{i}}\right)$ set equal to unity, and all the
 single inertia-acceleration term on the left-hand side of Equation (15). Note that $I_{p}$ is the effective rotary inertia of the pendulum about the hinge. The above development is the same for either pendulum and subscripting to denote pendulum index was omitted for clarity.

BLADE LOADS DUE TO HIGHER HARMONIC EXCITATIONS


#### Abstract

As originally formulated, the 6400 aeroelastic analysis simulated a wide spectrum of "higher harmonic" blade loads. The term "higher harmonic" is used herein to denote frequency content in the range of blade number (b) $x$ rotor rotation frequency ( $\Omega$ ), and above. Indeed, because of the multiharmonic nature of the local dynamic pressure of helicopter blade section in forward flight, higher harmonic airloads ensue even from the usual collective and simple harmonic cyclic blade pitch angles. Another explicit source of higher harmonic airloads is the considerable harmonic variability of the inflow velocities induced in the rotor plane by the vortex structure of the rotor itself. Reference (2) presents an in-depth description of this theory and its interactive implementation with the $G 400$ analyses.

Two further sources of higher harmonic excitation are considered herein. The first, prescribed harmonic motion of the hub, is required to evaluate the characteristics of the rotor ccupling with the airframe. This coupling is accomplished in the Simplified Coupled Rotor/Airframe Vibration Analysis (SIMVIB) using the rotor impedance matrices computed in the G400PA code. The details of this calculation are described in a subsection below. The second source of higher harmonic excitation considered, higher harmonic pitch control, is included to provide an important analytic capability for application to a timely area of helicopter vibration of research and development. Technical developments of each of these sources of higher harmonic excitation are presented in the subsections which follow.


## Prescribed Harmonic Hub Motions

The required impedance matrix is the collection of partial derivatives of the six components of hub vibratory loads ( 3 shears and 3 moments) with respect to each of the six components of hub vibratory acceleration (3 translations and 3 rotations). This calculation is generally implemented by first achieving harmonic responses with selective perturbations in each of the components of acceleration and then forming numerical partial derivatives of the hub loads. The actual hub loads are calculated using the force-integration method (see Reference 3) together with the total resulting inertia, gravity and aerodynamic blade load distributions. The detalls of this calculation do not represent new technology and, consequently, are omitted herein.

Of more importance are the calculations of the incremental airloads and dynamic loads due to harmonic hub motion. For this purpose, the components of inertia velocity and acceleration, in the blade coordinate system are required. Generally, the hub degrees-of-freedom are defined in the nonrotating (with rotor speed, $\Omega$ ) body-fixed axis system; therefore, the basic degrees-of-freedom are the instantaneous velocity components along (or about) coordinate axes fixed to the "nonrotating", but generally moving hub. Because. of this definftion of basic hub degrees-of-freedom, the actual deflections (translations and rotations) are subject to the order of integration and the appropriate Euler angles must be suitably defined and integrated in this context. Furthermore, recourse must be made to the Theorem of Coriolis (Reference 4) to obtain the correct differentiation with respect to time as measured in an inertial space. The material to follow outlines the approach for making these differentiations and obtaining their components in the blade coordinate system. Much intermediate calculation is omitted for clarity, and the final results include the previously considered effects of undersling (teetered rotor feature) and thickness noise mass center offsets (pendulum absorber feature).

Consider the vector sum for a point mass at a blade section:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{x}_{I}=\vec{x}_{0}+\vec{x}_{1}+\vec{x}_{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{I}$ is the position vector with respect to inertial space. $X_{o}$ is the position vector of the nonrotating (with respect to $\Omega$ ) hub:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x_{0}\right\}=\left[T_{0}\right]\left\{x_{n}\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

[ $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ] is the coordinate transformation due to hub orientation motion and $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}\right\}$ is the position vector of the hub in the hub fixed system. Consistent with the definition of the hub centered coordinate system, $\left[T_{0}\right]$ and $\left\{X_{h}\right\}$ can be considered to be unity and null, respectively, at any instant in time, but have finite time derivatives. $\left\{X_{1}\right\}$ is the position vector of the offset/ blade root point:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x_{1}\right\}=\left[T_{0}\right]\left[T_{1}\right]\{e\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [ $T_{1}$ ] is the coordinate system transformation due to rotor rotation, and $\{e\}$ is the position vector from the hub center to the blade root point. $\left\{x_{2}\right\}$ is the position vector due to blade element displacement within the blade coordinate system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x_{2}\right\}=\left[T_{0}\right]\left[T_{1}\right]\left[T_{2}\right]\left\{x_{5}\right\} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[\mathrm{T}_{2}\right]$ is the coordinate transformation due to plapping and lead-lag about a common point, and $\left\{x_{5}\right\}$ is the position vector of the polnt mass within the blade " 5 " coordinate system. Specific definitions for the coordinate transformations and position vectors are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\psi_{0}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\omega_{z} & \omega_{y} \\
\omega_{z} & 0 & -\omega_{x} \\
-\omega_{y} & \omega_{x} & 0
\end{array}\right]}  \tag{22a}\\
& {\left[T_{1}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c \psi & -s \psi & 0 \\
s \psi & c \psi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]}  \tag{22b}\\
& {\left[T_{2}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\delta & -\beta \\
\delta & 1 & 0 \\
\beta & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]} \\
& \{e\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\bar{e} \quad+\bar{h}_{u s l}\left(\beta-\beta_{8}\right) \\
-\bar{h}_{u s l}
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{22~d}\\
& \left\{x_{5}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{r} & +\epsilon_{x} \\
\bar{y}_{10} c \Theta-\bar{z}_{10} s \Theta+\epsilon_{y} \\
\bar{y}_{10} s \Theta & +\bar{z}_{10} c \Theta+\epsilon_{z}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{22e}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{x}, \epsilon_{y}$ and $\epsilon_{z}$ are the elastic deflections in the $x_{5}, y_{5}$ and $z_{5}$ directions, respectiveivy, and $\underset{\sim}{z}$ are functions of the modal responses, structured twist, etc. Furthermore, for convenience, a matrix $\left[\mathrm{T}_{5}\right]$ is defined which transforms from the nonrotating blade root to the blade "5" coordinate system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[r_{5}\right]=\left[_{1}\right]\left[\left[_{2}\right]\right. \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Other operations on the $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ matrix which are useful:

$$
\left[T_{5}\right]^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \delta & \beta  \tag{24}\\
-\delta & 1 & 0 \\
-\beta & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c \psi & s \psi & 0 \\
-s \psi & c \psi & 0 \\
& 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
*  \tag{25}\\
T_{5}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\left[\left(1+\delta^{*}\right) s \psi+\delta c \psi\right][-(1+\dot{\delta}) c \psi+\delta s \psi][\beta s \psi-\vec{\beta} c \psi] \\
{\left[\left(1+\dot{\delta}^{*}\right) c \psi-\delta s \psi\right]-\left[\left(1+\delta^{*}\right) s \psi+\delta c \psi\right]-[\beta c \psi+\stackrel{*}{\beta} s \psi]} \\
\dot{\beta} \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The total inertial velocity vector, is defined using the Theorem of Coriolis and is given in matrix form as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{I}\left\{X_{I}\right\}=\left[T_{0}\right]\left\{\tilde{X}_{h}^{*}\right\}+\left[\left[\tilde{T}_{0}\right]\left[T_{1}\right]+\left[T_{0}\right]\left[\Psi_{1}\right]\right]\{e\} \\
& +\left[T_{0}\right]\left[T_{1}\right]\{\stackrel{*}{e}\}+\left[\left[\begin{array}{l}
T_{0} \\
T_{0}
\end{array}\right]\left[T_{5}\right]+\left[T_{0}\right]\left[\ddot{H}_{5}\right]\right]\left\{X_{5}\right\}+\left[T_{0}\right]\left[T_{5}\right]\left\{\ddot{X}_{5}\right\} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where the operator $p_{I}$ denotes differentiation with respect to time, as measured in the inertial coordinate frame.

The terms underlined are deleted because they do not contribute to hub motion. Note that in this formulation, the actual hub deflections (translations and rotations) are taken as zero at any instant in time.

Transformation into components in the " 5 " coordinate system is accomplished by premultiplication by $\left[T_{5}\right]^{-1}$, and retention of only those terms relating to hub motion and/or undersling results in the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\Delta V_{5}\right\}=\left[T_{5}\right]^{-1}\left\{\vec{X}_{5}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{x}(c \psi-\delta s \psi)+\dot{v}_{y}(s \psi+\delta c \psi)+\beta v_{z} \\
-v_{x}(s \psi+\delta c \psi)+v_{y}(c \psi-\delta s \psi) \\
-\beta\left(v_{x} c \psi+v_{y} s \psi\right)+v_{z}
\end{array}\right\}+\bar{e}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\omega_{z} \delta \\
\omega_{z} \\
-\omega_{y} c \psi+\omega_{x} s \psi
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{27}\\
& +\bar{h}_{u s \ell}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\omega_{x} s \psi-\omega_{y} c \psi \\
\omega_{y} s \psi+\omega_{x} c \psi+\left(\beta-\beta_{B}\right) \omega_{z} \\
0
\end{array}\right\}+\bar{r}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\omega_{z}-\beta\left(\omega_{y} s \psi+\omega_{x} c \psi\right. \\
-\omega_{y}(c \psi-\delta s \psi)+\omega_{x}(s \psi+\delta c \psi)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& -+\left(\bar{y}_{10} c \Theta-\bar{z}_{10} s \Theta\right)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\omega_{z}+\beta\left(\omega_{x} c \psi+\omega_{y} s \psi\right) \\
0 \\
\omega_{x} c \psi+\omega_{y} s \psi
\end{array}\right\}+\left(\bar{y}_{10} s \Theta+\bar{z}_{10} c \Theta\right)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\omega_{y} c \psi-\omega_{x} s \psi \\
-\left(\omega_{y} s \psi+\omega_{x} c \psi\right) \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (27) then gives the components of incremental velocity at the local blade section with which the tangential and normal components or air velocity, $U_{T}$ and $U_{p}$, respectively, can be_ appropriately modified. The total blade section In flow angle, $\phi\left(=\tan ^{-1}\left(U_{p} / U_{T}\right)\right)$, is then formed in the usual manner and included in the section angle-of ${ }^{p}$ at tack. In this manner, the effects of prescribed hub motion are included in the airload distribution.

The inertia (dynamic) load distributions require the second time derivefive with respect to inertial space. The Theorem of Coriolis is now applied to the velocity vector, Equation (26), rather than the displacement vector:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{T}\left\{p_{A} x_{1}\right\}\left\{F_{0} \mid\left\{x_{n}\right\}\left\{\left[\dot{t}_{0}\right\}\left\{\dot{x}_{n}\right\}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left[t _ { 0 } \left[\left[_{3}\right]+2\left[\left[_{0}\right]\left[t_{0}\right]+\left[\left[_{0}\right]\left[r_{0}\right]\left[x_{3}\right\}\right.\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The underlined terms are deleted because they do not contain contributions accruing from hub motion. After the indicated operations have been performed, the following expressions for incremental components of inertia load distribution are obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
& +(\bar{r}+\bar{e}):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-2\left[\omega_{x}-\beta\left(\omega_{x} c \psi+\omega_{y} s \psi\right)\right] \\
\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}_{z}-\beta\left(\stackrel{*}{\omega}_{x} c \psi+\stackrel{*}{\omega}_{y} s \psi\right) \\
2 \beta \omega_{z}-\left(\omega_{y}-2 \omega_{x}\right) c(\psi+\delta)+\left(\stackrel{*}{\omega}_{x}+2 \omega_{y}\right) s(\psi+\delta)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& +\bar{e}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\stackrel{*}{\omega}_{z} \delta-\beta\left(*_{y} c \psi-\stackrel{*}{*}_{x} s \psi\right) \\
2 \omega_{z} \delta+\beta\left(\stackrel{*}{*}_{x} c \psi+*_{y} s \psi\right) \\
-\delta\left[\left(*_{x}+2 \omega_{y}\right) c \psi+\left(\omega_{y}-2 \omega_{x}\right) s \psi\right]
\end{array}\right\} \\
& +\left(\bar{y}_{10} c \Theta\right)-\bar{z}_{10} s \Theta\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\dot{\omega}_{z}+\left[\beta \omega_{x}+2\left(\beta+\ddot{\theta}_{0}\right) \omega_{y}\right] c \psi+\left[\beta \omega_{y}-2\left(\beta+\ddot{\theta}_{0}\right) \omega_{x}\right] s \psi \\
2\left[-\omega_{z}-\dot{\theta}_{0}\left(\omega_{x} c \psi+\omega_{y} s \psi\right)\right] \\
\beta \stackrel{\omega}{z}^{*}+\left(\dot{\omega}_{x}+2 \omega_{y}\right) c \psi+\left(\omega_{y}-2 \omega_{x}\right) s \psi
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{29}\\
& +\bar{h}_{u s \ell}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\omega}_{x} s \psi-\ddot{\omega}_{y} c \psi-2\left(\beta-\beta_{B}\right) \omega_{z} \\
\left(\beta-\beta_{B}\right) \ddot{\omega}_{z}+\dot{\omega}_{x} c \psi+\dot{\omega}_{y} s \psi \\
\beta_{B}\left(\ddot{w}_{y} c \psi-\dot{\omega}_{x} s \psi\right)+2\left(\beta-\beta_{B}\right)\left(\omega_{y} s \psi+\omega_{x} c \psi\right)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \left.+\left(\bar{y}_{10} s \Theta+\bar{z}_{10} c \Theta\right)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
2\left(\beta+\theta_{0}\right) \omega_{z}+\ddot{\omega}_{y} c(\psi+\delta)-\dot{*}_{x} s(\psi+\delta) \\
-\beta \ddot{\omega}_{z}-\omega_{x} c(\psi+\delta)-\ddot{\omega}_{y} s(\psi+\delta) \\
-2\left(\beta+\theta_{0}\right)\left(\omega_{x} c \psi+\omega_{y} s \psi\right)
\end{array}\right\}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

In both Equations (27) and (28) the expressions for hub velocity and acceleration are completely general. For present purposes, these components of velocity and acceleration are to be prescribed as simple (but higher) harmonic functions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{j}  \tag{30}\\
w_{j}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{j n c} \\
\omega_{j n c}
\end{array}\right\} c(n \psi)+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{j n s} \\
\omega_{j n s}
\end{array}\right\} s(n \psi)
$$

where $j=x, y$, or $z$, and where $n$ is equal to a prescribed multiple of the number of blades.. To minimize the computational requirements for calculating these frigonometric functions, the following identities are utilized:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{n}{1} & \frac{c(n \psi)}{c \psi} & \frac{s(n \psi)}{s \psi} \\
2 & 1-2(s \psi)^{2} & 2(s \psi)(c \psi) \\
3 & 4(c \psi)^{3}-3(c \psi) & 3(s \psi)-4(s \psi)^{3} \\
\geq 4 & 2 c(n-1) \psi c \psi-c(n-2) \psi & 2 s(n-1) \psi c \psi-s(n-2) \psi
\end{array}
$$

## Higher Harmonic Blade Pitch Control

The implementation of higher harmonic pitch control ( HHC ) in the G400PA analysis is a relatively straightforward task. For this type of higher harmonic excitation, the incremental blade pitch angle is assumed to be of the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \theta_{H H C}= & -A_{n-1_{s}} c(b-1) \psi-B_{n-1} s(b-1) \psi \\
& -A_{n_{s}} c(b \psi)-B_{n_{s}} s(b \psi)  \tag{32}\\
& -A_{n+1} c(b+1) \psi-B_{n+1} s(b+1) \psi
\end{align*}
$$

The amplitudes in this partial Fourier series are generally prescribed, or are perturbed in order to obtain the partial derivative matrix of hub loads with respect to HHC pitch amplitudes. The incremental pitch control angle given by Equation (32) is then ${ }_{x}$ sed to ${ }_{\star} d_{A}$ fine the blade alrloads and to complete the calculations for $0_{0}, 0_{0}^{*}$ and $0_{0}^{*}$ used in forming the blade fnertia load distributions.

## Impedance Matrix Calculation

The impedance matrix is defined as the array of partial derivatives of components of hub loads with respect to components of higher harmonic excilation variables.

$$
[z]=\left[\frac{\partial\left(x_{c_{j}}, x_{B_{j}}\right)}{\partial\left(q_{c_{j}}, q_{s_{j}}\right)}\right] \begin{align*}
& 1 \leq i \leq 6  \tag{33}\\
& i \leq j \leq 9
\end{align*}
$$

These $X_{C_{i}}$ and $X_{s_{i}}$ terms are, respectively, the cosine and sine components of each of the six components of hub load, $X_{I}$, and similarly $q_{c_{j}}$ and $q_{c_{j}}$ are, respectively, the cosine and sine components of the higher harmonic excitation variables, $q_{j}$. Specifically, these consist of each of the six components of hub vibratory amplitude (cosine and sine) and three higher harmonic control pitcii amplitudes (cosine and sine). The calculations for these derivatives are accomplished numerically by first obtaining responses which are converged to periodicity without, and then, with perturbations in each of the higher harmonic excitation variables, $q_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial q_{j}} \approx \frac{x_{i}\left(q_{j}+\Delta q_{j}\right)-x_{i}\left(q_{j}\right)}{\Delta q_{j}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In practice, the perturbation amplitudes are selected to achieve calculations of the partial derivatives which approach limit values. Due to round-off characteristics of the computer and the inability to achieve exactly converged responses, there is generally a practical limit to how small the various $\Delta q_{i}$ values can be. Some care and experimentation are therefore warranted in the selection of the $\Delta q_{j}$ perturbation levels.

## GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE G400PA PROGRAM STRUCTURE

The G400PA Rotor Aeroelastics Analysis is an extended, special purpose version of the parent G400 analysis described in Reference 1. The G400 analysis was revised to include the dynamics of blade appended pendular vibration absorbers, and the additional blade loadings (both aerodynamic and dynamic) due to prescribed sinusoidal hub motion. This version includes impedance matrix calculations, teetered rotor simulation, spanwise variable airfoil data, direct coupling with the UTRC Rotor Inflow Anlaysis (UTRC Program F389) and the use of higher harmonic pitch control angles. The eigensolution and transient spectral stability analysis features are deleted in this version of G400. The program was coded in FORTRAN IV and developed on the UNIVAC 1110/81A computer system and has been converted to the IBM370 and Langley Research Center CDC systems.

## Program Structure

The G400PA program is structured in a generally conventional hierarchical fashion with an initial routine (MAIN), appropriate modular levels and a collection of utility subroutines and/or functions. The subroutine calling structure is presented in the tabular outline given below; this functional structuring also serves to list the elements (subroutines and/or functions), contained in G400PA. Note that the hierarchial structure is alternately indicated using indentations with indicating symbols and/or nested parentheses: Note also that this table lists the subroutines in alphabetical order within any given hierarchical level and not with respect to calling order, size or importance. The subsection which follows Table I presents a brief description of each of the G400PA elements.

TABLE I

HIERARCHICAL LISTING OF G400PA ELEMENTS

```
- MAIN
    NIAM
        \triangle BNDGTT (GJR, QUADFT)
        A INISH2
            A ADMCOF
            - DPCHEK
            - PABSSU (ADMCOF)
            \triangle INTCOF (FACT12, LNAERO)
            A LNAERO (BLIN5, SHLDM)
            A LOAD
            A MODEIN
            A MYKSTD (GJR)
            A PRNT
            A PTFLLG
            A RESETQ (QQPSET)
            A SPNWIZ
            A SPRINT
            A TRFLX1
            A TWOF
            | MOTION
            \triangle MAJITR
                * F389SU
                            \nablaBLIN5
                                    \nabla INTERP
                                    \ LNAERO
                                    V SHLDM
                                    \nabla STALN
                    - QSTHRM (HARM)
                | RESETQ (QQPSET)
                TMARCH
```

```
    | ADAMS
    \nabla AERPRF (ALFCOR (HEYSDL(HEYSK)))
    | BLADEL
        - FACT12
        -HBINRT
        - HHCANG
        - QQPSET
        - RDHANL
        - SETUP
        - SPANS
            BLIN5
            D DMPRTB
            HYSDMP
            - SHLDM
            - UNCOEF
                BLIN5
                    LNAERO
                            SHLDM
                            \TALM
                                    STALN
                                    FULSTAL
        TABLE
        `CROUTS
        \nabla EXTRAP
        QPPTST
        \nabla STRSS
        \nabla TEETR (GJR)
| TRMRLB (GJR)
```

A HUBSUM

Brief Description of Program Elements
ADAMS
This function implements an algorithm for time integration of any of the time dependent variables.

ADMCOF

This subroutine evaluates the coefficients required for the time integration algorithm.

AERPRF

This subroutine completes the calculations for and outputs the summary of aerodynamic performance quantities.

ALFCOR

This subroutine calculates the corrected rotor angle-of-attack due to the proximity of a ground plane or wind tunnel walls using the theory of Reference 5 . BLADEL

This subroutine provides the computational loop over number of blades in forming the blade response equations. The upper limit on this hoop is (1,2) depending on whether the rotor is of (unteetered, teetered) type.

BLIN5

This subroutine does a tri-variant table loop up of the airfoil section coefficients. The three variables are angle-of-attack, Mach number and spanwise station.

## BNDGTT

This subroutine calculates the flatwise and edgewise bending stiffness characteristic of the torque tube component of a bearingless rotor, as required for the redundant analysis calculation (see RDANAL).

CROUTS

This subroutine is a compact simultaneous equations solver used for nonteetered rotor configurations. It uses the Crout Reduction method described in Reference 6.

DMPRTB

This subroutine does a table look-up to implement the nonlinear lag damper angular rate vs damper moment characteristics.

## DPCHEK

This subroutine tests the input integration steps size for acceptable accuracy, and automatically decreases it if the value is too large.

EXTRAP
This subroutine effects a "static" solution on any degree-of-freedom whose natural frequency is sufficiently high to approximate the response neglecting the twice time differentiated term in that degree-of-freedom's governing equation.

## FACT12

This subroutine performs an interpolation function calculation in the use of input tabulated variable inflow.

F389SU

This subroutine sets up the various blocks of data needed by the (UTRC F389) variable inflow branch of the coupled rotor/fuselage vibration analysis and writes them to appropriate data files. A detailed description of the appropriate data transmitted between the G400PA and F389 portions of the analysis is given in Reference 2.

GJR

This utility subroutine optionally obtains simultaneous equation solutions and/or matrix inversions using the Gauss-Jordan Reduction method.

HARM
This utility subroutine performs a Fourier (harmonic) Analysis of any time history string of data. This harmonic analysis uses a negative cosine and sine definition for the harmonic components.

HBINRT

This subroutine calculates components of inertial acceleration, as measured in the rotating blade coordinate system, from the prescribed harmonics of hub motion.

HEYSDL

This subroutine calculates interference factors needed for subroutine ALFCOR.

HEYSK

This subroutine calculates induced velocity functions for subroutine HEYSDL.

HHCANG

This subroutine calculates contributions to the total blade pi.tch angle and its first and second time derivatives accruing from higher harmonic control angle inputs.

HUBSUM

This subroutine provides a printout of the rotor hub loads and impedance matrix calculations which are transmitted to the coupled matrix/fuselage vibration analysis program.

HYSDMP

This subroutine calculates the increment to blade edgewise bending moment to account for hysteretic structural damping. This formation of structural damping is dependent on edgewise deflection and the signs of rate and acceleration, but not their magnitudes.

INISH2

This subroutine initializes arrays and logic variables, and nondimensionalizes parameters, as required for the time-history solution.

INTCOF

This subroutine forms the modal integration coefficients.

## INTERP

This utility subroutine provides linear interpolation calculations for subroutine F389SU.

LNAERO

This subroutine calculates partial derivatives of airfoil section coefficients with respect to angle-of-attack and Mach number.

MAIN

This is the main program element. Its explicit functions are to input the tabulated airfoil section data and to call subroutines NIAM and MOTION.

## MAJITR

This subroutine provides the structuring needed to produce a trimmed configuration, and to calculate the impedance matrices. Specifically, this subroutine provides the iterative loop structure to perturb various system parameters in a systematic manner to form numerical partial derivatives, both those needed for trim and those needed for the impedance matrices. MODEIN

This utility subroutine inputs the blade bending and torsion mode shapes and their derivatives with respect to span.

## MOTION

This subroutine controls the time-history solution flow.

## MYKSTD

This subroutine calculates the static flatwise bending deflection and spanwise derivative distributions for the blade for unit load on the inboard and of the cantilever mounted torque tube (for bearingless rotor rotor appliciations only).

NIAM

This subroutine performs the following functions:

1. completes the input of the required data; specifically (a) the inertia, elastic, geometric and other operational data, (b) the blade mode shape data, ( $c$ ) the variable inflow data, and (d) the saved initial conditions, from an appropriate data file.
2. performs some initializations and/or nondimensionalizations of logic and system parameters.
3. calculates the deflection correction arrays which accrue from structural twist.

## PABSSU

This subroutine sets up parameters and provides appropriate nondimensionalizations as required for the prescribed hub motions and simulations of the pendular absorbers.

PRNT

This subroutine provides an echo print output of the Part II input data which pertains to the Inertia, Elastic, Geometric and other Operational Data.

PTFLLG

This subroutine calculates the parameters used to def ine the pitch-flat, pitch-edge and pitch-flap couplings. QPPTST

This subroutine tests the system degrees-of-freedom for numerical instabilities. The criteria used to identify such an instability are the occurrence of three sign changes of increasing amplitude in three time steps.

QQPSET

This subroutine sets the blade modal responses and modal rates for a given blade from the vectors of system response amplitudes and rates, respectively.

QSTHPM

This subroutine performs harmonic analyses (using subroutine HARM) of the blade modal responses, pendular absorber responses, hub shears and moments, and blade stresses, after the responses have converged to periodicity.

QUADFT

This subroutine fits a quadratic function to any set of three ordinates corresponding to three equally spaced abscissae.

RDNANL

This subroutine makes the redundant anlaysis calculations needed for simulations of bearingless rotor configurations.

RESETQ

This subroutine places selected terminal conditions into an array and writes them to a data file for use as initial conditions for subsequent runs.

## SETUP

This subroutine sets up the inertia coupling matrix and excitation vector for the modal responses of a given blade.

SHLDM

This subroutine analytically approximates the NACA 0012 airfoll aerodynamic coefficients as functions of angle-of-attack and Mach number.

## SPANS

This subroutine implements the loop over spanwise station in forming the blade response equations. The spanwise inertia, aerodynamic and gravity load distributions are formed in this subroutine.

SPNWIZ

This function performs a numerical integration between blade section centers of a specific integrand type as required for forming the deflection corrections functions due to structural twist.

## SPRINT

This subroutine outputs (as optionally requested) the spanwise integration coefficients. Although most of these coefficients were required only for the (deleted) eigensolution, some are used in the time-history solution. For completeness all coefficients were retained.

STALM

This function approximates the variation of static moment coefficient stall angle with Mach number for the NACA 0012 airfoil.

STALN

This function approximates the variation of static normal force (lift, to close approximation) coefficient stall angle with Mach number for the NACA 0012 airfoil.

This subroutine calculates the spanwise stresses and integrated hub loads optionally using the force-integration or mode deflection methods.

TEETR

This subroutine combines the inertia coupling matrices and excitation vectors for a two-bladed rotor to effect the teetered rotor boundary conditions at the blade roots.

TMARCH

This subroutine controls the solution flow for obtaining the time-history solutions. It furthermore tests for numerical instabilities and convergence to periodicity.

TRFLXI

This subroutine calculates the torsion deflection characteristics of the flexbeams (for bearingless rotor configurations) due to spanwise varying torques resulting from the concentrated shears and moments at junction point of the flexbeam, torque tube and blade proper.

TRMRLB

This subroutine calculates the final partial derivative matrices used to obtain a trimmed flight condition.

TWOF

This function performs a least-square curve fit calculation on blade twist to facilitate subroutine NIAM in the numerical differentiation of blade twist.

ULSTAL

This subroutine performs a table lookup of the input airfoil data to obtain (1) derivatives of section unstalled lift and moment coefficients with respect to angle-of-attack, and (2) static life and moment stall angles, for use in subroutine UNCOEF.

## UNCOEF

This subroutine implements the UTRC synthesized, unsteady stalled airloads formulations, as described in Reference 7.

## PROGRAM INPUT DESCRIPTION

The required input to the program consists of the following major data blocks in order of loading:
I. Airfoil Data
II. Inertia, Elastic, Geometric and other Operational Data (in Loader Format)
III. Blade Mode Shape Data
IV. Harmonics of Variable Inflow

Details for preparing the data for each of these blocks are given in the subsections which follow. An additional subsection provides information for facilitating program operation and improving its efficiency.
I. Airfofl Data

This data block generally consists of one or more sets of tables of twodimensional. lift, drag and pitching moment coefficients versus angle-of-attack for various Mach numbers for up to four (4) arbitrary spanwise locations. Additionally, if unsteady aerodynamics are used, the static stall angles and linear coefficient slopes for both lift and pitching moment are included in this table.

## Input Format for First Card(s)

While actual set-up of this data block follows a basic format (described below), specific variations are required on the first card (s) of this block depending on optional usage. These variations denote whether multiple data sets are to be input for respective spanwise locations, a single set is to be input for use on all spanwise locations, or an analytic representation of the NACA 0012 airfoil is to be used for all spanwise locations. Each of these optional usages are described below.

Multiple_Spanwise Airfoils
For the case of distinct airfoil characteristics being defined at up to four (4) multiple spanwise locations, the first card image format is as follows:

| .$N Z$ NRCL . NRCD NRCM TITLE(optiona1) | (4I2, A72) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

where $N Z$ would be ignored so long as the sum of the quantities. NRCL, NRCD and $\operatorname{NRCM}$ is 4 or greater. The quantities NRCL, NRCD and NRCM are, respectively, the number of radial stations for which multiple $c_{\ell}, c_{d}$ and $c_{m_{c}} /{ }_{4}$ airfoil data are to be inputted, as appropriate, each with a minimum value.of. 1 and a maximum value of 4. Note that at least one of these three inputs must have a value of 2 or greater. For multiple spanwise section properties, an additional card, following the one described above, is then required, which begins the input of the $c_{\ell}$ airfoil data:
card \#1B:
NZ(1) $\operatorname{RADCL}(1)$ TITLE(optiona1) $\quad$ (I2, F8.0, A70)
where $N Z(1)$ is the number of Mach number for which groups of $c$ data are to be read in for the first radial station; $\operatorname{RADCL}(1)$ is the nondimensional radial station at which the airfoil data is defined.

```
Single_Airfoil Description_
```

For the case of a single airfoil to be used for all spanwise locations, a single first card image is input. This card is similar to the card \#lA described above, except the quantities NRCL, NRCD and NRCM are input as zero (or the columns are left blank):
card \#1:

| $\mathrm{NZ}(1)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | TITLE(optional) | (4I2, A72) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

In this case the card is interpreted as the first card of the $c_{\ell}$ data with the RADCL(1) information omitted (see description above for card \#1B).

## Analytic_Airfoil_Description_

For those optional cases wherein the analytic NACA 0012 airfoil option is invoked (see location 996 discussed in the following subsection) the first card image must be a single card with blank or zeroed columns 1 through 8. For this option, the remainder of the airfoil data block of data is omitted.

## Input Format for Subsequent Cards

For those cases wherein tabulated airfoil data are to be input, the subsequent inputs continue the airfoil $c_{\text {d }}$ data initiated with the \#lA andor \#1B cards. Thus, the card image set-up defined below is then input NRCL times (or only once, if $\mathrm{NRCL}=0$ ):
card \#2:

cards \#2+:

...A(N) CL(N) ALSTAL DCLDAO
(F9.0, 9F7.0)
where: $J$ is the number of data entries to be input into each Mach number group. $N$ is the number of angle-of-attack - $c$ abscissae-ordinate pairs to be input. Normally a maximum of thirty-four (34) $c_{l}$, $\alpha$ pairs may be input; thirty-three (33) pairs are input if the unsteady option is chosen. M is the Mach number appropriate to the data group. $A(i)$ are the $N$ angle-of-attack abscissae in degrees and CL(i) are the $N$ lift coefficient ordinates. ALSTAL and DCLDAO are, respectively, the static stall angle, in degrees, and the lift curve slope at zero angle-of-attack, in per degree; these items are needed only if the unsteady airloads option is invoked. Note that $J$ is fixed-point formatted, but $N$ and $M$ are floating point formatted.

Cards 2, and $2+$ are repeated for each successively higher Mach number. A maximum of 12 Mach numbers is allowed and the lowest and highest Mach numbers need not define the total working range as the search technique uses the boundary data for Mach numbers beyond the range input. Thus, repeated data for zero and supersonic Mach numbers are not needed. The lowest Mach number input must contain an angle-of-attack range from $-180^{\circ}$ to $180^{\circ}$ or from $0^{\circ}$ to $180^{\circ}$ depending on whether or not unsymmetric airfoil data is being input; all higher Mach number data need extend only from $-30^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$ or from $0^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$ in a similar manner.

The general format described above is repeated for the $c{ }_{d}$ and ${ }^{m_{m} / 4}$ subblocks in that order but with either card image $\# 1 \mathrm{~B}$ or \#1A used, depending on whether multiple airfoil section data are or are not being input (and used). The static stall angles and aerodynamic coefficient curve slopes at zero angle-of-attack are deleted for the $c_{d}$ subblock. Lastly, note that the total storage allocated for the combined airfoil data is 4000 (which is somewhat less than 2000 abscissa - ordinate point pairs). The maximum storage should be adequate for most applications and can be "budgeted" among the various spanwise sections, as appropriate.

```
II. Inertia, Elastic, Geometric and Other Operational Data
```

This data block includes those items used to define the more detailed dynamic features and/or those which are most likely to vary from case to case. The card image format for these data is as follows:

$$
\text { NN } L \operatorname{DATA}(\mathrm{~L}) \quad \operatorname{DATA}(\mathrm{L}+1) \ldots \operatorname{DATA}(\mathrm{L}+4) \quad(\mathrm{I} 2, \mathrm{I} 4,5 \mathrm{~F} 12.0)
$$

where: NN is the number of data items to be input on a given card; NN must not exceed 5. L is the location or identifying number of the first data item on the card columns 3-6 right adjusted. DATA( $L+1$ ) represents the various data items on the card image, columns $7-18,19-30,31-42,43-54$, and $55-66$, in the floating point format. The locations or identifying numbers for the various data and control items are listed below along with definitions and other pertinent comments; note that some data locations are intentionally left blank.

| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\Omega R$ | Rotor tip speed, $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$. |
| 2 | $R$ | Rotor radius, ft. |
| 3 | $\rho$ | Air density, $1 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{sec}^{2} / \mathrm{ft}^{4}$. |
| 4 | $\mathrm{a}_{\infty}$ | Speed of sound, $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$. |
| 5 | b | Number of blades. |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | e | Nondimensional offset distance of start of deformable and/or deflectable portion of rotor blade (hinge offset for articulated rotors), e/k. |
| 7 | B | Tip loss, used to define equivalent momentum area and three-dimensionality corrections to computed two-dimensional airloads near the blade tip. |
| 8 | NSEG | Number of blade segments used to define spanwise variable arrays. |
| 9 | $\Delta \psi$ | Azimuth increment used in the numerical integration of the dynamic equations, deg. (See section on general information for efficient program usage.) |
| 10. | $\dot{N}_{F}$ | Number of "flap trials", i.e., maximum number of rotor revolutions for which the blade timehistory will be computed in an attempt to obtain convergence to periodicity. If a transient response is desired for only a portion of one rotor revolution the program will compute a time-history solution for any nonzero fractional $N_{F}$ value input. An identically zero value will cause the time-history solution to be by-passed entirely. |
| 11 | $\varepsilon_{F}$ | Flapping tolerance to within which the aeroelastic/dynamic responses must repeat on successive revolutions in order for the motion to be considered converged to periodicity. The tolerance applied to lead-lag motion is equal to $5 \varepsilon_{F}$. |
| 12 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | Longitudinal cyclic pitch, coefficient of minus $\cos \psi$ term in Fourier expansion of blade control pitch angle, deg. |
| 13 | ${ }^{\mathrm{B}} 1_{\mathrm{S}}$ | Lateral cyclic pitch, coefficient of minus $\sin \psi$ term in Fourier expansion of blade control pitch angle, deg. |
| 14 | ${ }^{\theta} .75 \mathrm{R}$ | Blade collective pitch angle as defined at the $75 \%$ radius, deg. |


| Location | Item | Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | $\lambda$ | Mean rotor inflow ratio. |
| 16 | v | Forward flight velocity, kts. |
| 17 | $\sigma$ | Rotor area solidity ( $b c / \pi R$ ). |
| 18 | $\Delta c_{d_{0}}$ | Increment added to all values of $c_{d}$ obtained from tabulated airfoil data or from the analytic NACA 0012 data. Airfoil data generally correspond to smooth wind tunnel models and $\Delta c_{d_{o}}$ is often used to adjust for the higher drag of production blades; a commonly used value of $\Delta c_{d_{o}}$ is 0.002 . |
| 19 | (Control) | Make greater than zero (1.) for first case or when new blade modal data are to be input. Program automatically sets this control number to (-1.) after each loading of modal data. |
| 20 | N <br> cut-out | Number of blade segments, starting at inboard end and defining the cut-out region, for which the lift and moment coefficients are set to zero. |
| 21 | $\left(c_{\text {d }}\right)_{\text {cut-out }}$ | The drag coefficient used on the first N cut-out segments. |
| 22 | $\theta_{1}$ | Built-in linear blade twist angle; i.e., difference between tip and root built-in angles, positive when tip angle is greater (L.D. up) than root angle, deg. |
| 23 | $\Delta \psi_{\text {print }}$ | Azimuth increment used to present printed output of various pertinent aerodynamic, dynamic and elastic load distributions as well as aeroelastic responses and stresses, deg. This input quantity should be an integral multiple of location 9 , deg. |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | $\theta_{B}$ | "Direct" value of pitch-flap coupling ( $\Delta \theta / \Delta \beta$ ). A nonzero value will suppress a calculation of this quantity from the input pitch-horn/ push-rod geometry. |
| 25 | ${ }^{\circ}{ }_{\delta}$ | Pitch-lag coupling ( $\Delta \theta / \Delta \delta$ ). |
| 26 | $C_{L D}$ | Viscous lag damper coefficient, ft-1b-sec. |
| 27 | c | Blade chord if chord is constant, otherwise omit, ft: |
| 28 | NFM | Number of flatwise bending modes to be used (4 max). |
| 29 | NEM | Number of edgewise bending modes to be used (3 max). |
| 30 | NTM | Number of elastic torsion modes to be used (3 max) note that the total number of dynamic degrees-of-freedom is limited to 10 . Thus, if the articulation degrees-of-freedom, $B$ and/or $\delta$, are used, or if the rigid feathering d.o.f. is Invoked, NFM, NEM and NTM will be automatically changed to keep the total degrees-of-freedom to no more than 10.). |
| 31-34 | $\bar{\omega}_{w_{i}}$ | Flatwise modal frequencies, nondimensional with respect to $\Omega$, in ascending modal order. |
| 37-39 | $\bar{\omega}_{v_{k}}$ | Edgewise modal frequencies, nondimensional with respect to $\Omega$, in ascending modal order. |
| 40-42 | $\bar{w}_{\theta}$ | Torsion modal frequencies, nondimensional with respect to $\Omega$, in ascending modal order. |
| 43 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}{ }_{5}$ | Second harmonic cyclic pitch coefficient of minus cos $2 \psi$ in Fourier expansion of blade control pitch, deg. |
| 44 | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | Second harmonic cyclic pitch coefficient of minus sin $2 \psi$ in Fourier expansion of blade control pitch, deg. |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | $g$ | Acceleration due to gravity, ft/sec ${ }^{2}$; a negative value implies inverted flight. |
| 46 | (Control) | Make nonzero (1.) if airfoil data for a nonsymmetric airfoil are to be used. |
| 47 | (Control) | Make nonzero (1.) if the total (transient) time-history is to be output; l.e., responses calculated before convergence to periodicity is obtained. |
| 48 | (Control). | Make nonzero (1.) if the modal responses and hub shears and moments are to be (negative) Fourier analyzed after periodicity has been obtained. |
| 49 | $\left.{ }^{(G J}\right)_{\text {root }}$ | Torsional rigidity at the blade root, $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$. |
| 50 | $\mathrm{K}_{\theta_{\text {root }}}$ | Torsional spring rate connecting root of blade to fixed structure to represent control system flexibility, ft-lb/rad. A nonzero value will automatically introduce the rigid-body feathering degree-of-freedom as an addition "torsion mode". Note that this "mode" will inherently couple with the NTM normal elastic torsion modes at frequencies both below and above the input torsion frequencies (locations 40-42); hence, a smaller integration interval will generally be required. |
| 5.1 | (Control) | Make nonzero (1.) to simplify the numerical spanwise integration techniques from the nominal trapezoidal rule to rectangular (Eulerian) form. Rectangular integration is effected by setting the quadrature numbers used for spanwise integration equal to the input segment lengths (loc. (100-114)). Use of this option is recommended for blade configurations with significant discontinuities in spanwise properties (e.g., counterweights, tip weight, step twists, etc.). |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | (Control) | Make nonzero (1.) to output modal integration constants used in the eigensolution and, to a limited extent, in the time-history solution. |
| 53 | (Control) | Make nonzero (1.) to load (vorticity) induced velocity distribution either from cards or unit 14, as per location 999. |
| 54 | (Control) | Make nonzero (1.) to use the induced velocitles loaded as per location 53. |
| 55 | $\alpha_{s}$ | Shaft angle-of-attack, deg. This input item serves a dual role: when variable inflow is used (either vorticity induced or Glauert momentum) $\alpha_{s}$ is used to define $\lambda_{\text {ram }}$. Also, when a major iteration is to be $\underset{\text { performed }}{\text { ram }}$ (nonzero location 60) and when trims on propulsive force are deactivated (zero location 59) the program will trim to this input shaft angle. |
| 56 | $L_{r e q}$ | Requested value of lift to be used in major iteration, lb. |
| 57 | $\mathrm{PF}_{\text {req }}$ | Requested value of propulsive force to be used in major iteration, lb. |
| 58 | ${ }^{\text {LIfft }}$ | Tolerance on lift for major iteration, lb. A zero value deactivates trimming on lift. |
| 59 | $\varepsilon_{\text {PF }}$ | Tolerance on propulsive force for major iteration, lb. A zero value deactivates trimming on propulsive force. The automatic trim calculation (major iteration) must trim either to a required propulsive force or to a required shaft angle-of-attack; therefore a deactivation of trim to propulsive force automatically directs the trim calculation to trim to requested shaft angle, location 55. |



| Location | Item | Deflultion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | $\text { ( } \left.{ }_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)$ | Initial condition on (nondimensional) articulated lead-lag rate. |
| 72 | $\delta_{B}$ | Built-in prelead angle, deg. An identically zero value denotes a rotor blade articulated in lead-lag; similarly a finite nonzero value signifies a blade nonarticulated in lead-lag. |
| 73 | (Control) | Input nonzero (1.) to harmonically analyze and output harmonics of flatwise stresses. |
| 74 | (Control) | Input nonzero (1.) to harmonically analyze and output harmonics of edgewise stresses. |
| 75 | (Control) | Input nonzero (1.) to harmonically analyze and output harmonics of torsional stresses. |
| 76 | (Control) | Initial condition input control. Input (1., 2.) to (only write, both read and write) response initial conditions to unit 26. Note that an input value of 2 . is typically used only for restart cases involving previous runs. |
| 77 | $\psi$ | Initial condition on rotor azimuth, deg. |
| 78 | $K_{\text {vim }}$ | Effectivity factor of the induced velocity calculated using actuator disk momentum considerations in calculating inflow angle at a local blade section. Default value is 1. , corresponding to conventional usage of momentum actuator disk inflow. This input quantity can be used to approximate the effects caused by real inflow characteristics as modeled by more accurate theories. For such usage, the effectivity would typically be in the range of $1.0 \rightarrow 1.1$. |
| 79 | (Control) | Generalized Glauert (momentum derived) variable |
| - |  | inflow option. A zero value deactivates usage. A value of 1 . causes the input induced velocity components to be used; a value of 2 . causes the input values to be used initially, and then varied in trim calculations in place of control |


| Location | Item | Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | angles; a value of 3 . causes the control and shaft angles to be fixed and the induced velocity components to be varied only to satisfy momentum equations in any requested major iteration. If the value is l., the induced velocity components will be varied to satisfy momentum considerations in addition to the usual trim calculation. |
| 80-82 | $\bar{v}_{o}, \bar{v}_{1_{c}}, \bar{v}_{1_{s}}$ | Initlal conditions on the "momentum" induced velocity components comprising a Glauertlike variable inflow description. Note. that the "vorticity" variable inflow (controlled by locations 53 and 54) and the momentum variable inflow can be used separately or simultaneously. |
| 83 | (Control) | Input (1.) for teetered rotor configurations. |
| 84-89 |  | These input data pertain only to teetered rotor configurations (loc (83) =1.) |
| 84 | $\mathrm{h}_{\text {us } \ell}$ | Distance the hub vertex point is underslung below the teeter hinge, in. |
| 85 | $\beta_{1}$ | Limit stop angle below which there is no constraint on teetering, deg. (a zero input is treated as infinity). |
| 86 | $K_{1}$ | Inftial limit stop flapping spring rate defined when $\beta_{1}$ limit stop is first reached, $f t-1 b / r a d$. |
| 87 | $B_{2}$ | Saturation limit stop angle above which the limit stop constraint is defined by a second constant spring rate, deg. |
| 88 | $M_{B_{2}}$ | Saturation moment when the $\beta_{2}$ limit stop is reached, ft-lb. |
| 89 | $K_{\beta_{2}}$ | Flapping spring rate of limit stop for teeter angles in excess of $\beta_{2}$, $\mathrm{ft}-1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{rad}$. (Note that locations $85-89$ provide a general representation of a nonlinear limlt stop spring.) |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93 | $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{d}_{5 f}}$ | Blade airfoil section skin friction drag coefficient, for use with swept airfoil, skewed flow option. |
| 94 | ${ }^{\zeta} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{se}}$ | Critical (viscous) damping ratio used to approximate structural damping in edgewise bending modes. |
| 95 | (Control) | Variable (vorticity) inflow shape option. <br> A value of (0., l.) causes the input vorticity variable inflow azimuthal distributions to be used in a (continuous point-to-point, stepped pulse) manner. |
| 96 | (Control) | Make l., to invoke the radial flow swept airfoil option. |
| 97 | ${ }^{\theta} \mathbf{l}_{\mathrm{equ}}$ | Linear equivalent blade (nonlinear) twist angle defined similar to location 22 , deg. A nonzero value for $\theta_{1}$ equ is required to use the input nonlinear twist arrays and is used to calculate that portion of the aerodynamic inflow velocity at the $3 / 4$ chord position, $U_{p}$, accruing from radial flow and twist. |
| 98 | (Control) | Input nonzero (1.) for stress calculations using the mode deflection method. Zero value defaults to force-integration method. |
| 99 | (Control) | Location used to end a case or series of cases. Input ( +1. ) to end the Loader Format data block for the case defined by the Loader data and load additional cases at the conclusion of that case. Make (-1.) to end the Loader data and read no further cases. In both instances the word count, LL, (see beginning of this section above) should be (-1). Note: this entry must appear singly. on an input card, and that card must be the last card for the case. |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100-114 | $\Delta \mathrm{x}$ | Nondimensional blade segment lengths, in order from root to tip, maximum of 15 values, starting from the offset location. Accuracy is generally improved if the last segment is small ( $<0.03$ ) . |
| 115-129 | $M_{i}$ | Mass of each blade segment, $1 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{sec}^{2} / \mathrm{ft}$. |
| 130-144 | $\theta_{\mathrm{B}}$ | Aerodynamic built-in nonlinear twist angle distribution, deg. Since collective angle is defined at the $75 \%$ span location, $\theta_{B_{a}}$ should have a zero value at $75 \%$ span. Should the structural twist angle distribution differ from $\theta_{B}$, the appropriate data must be loaded into locations 690-704; otherwise, ${ }^{0}$ Ba will be used for both aerodynamic and structural applications. Nonlinear twist distributions will be used only if a nonzero value is input into location 97 , ${ }^{\theta} 1_{\text {equ. }}$ |
| 145-159 | $c_{i}$ | Blade chord at center of each blade segment (use for nonconstant chord blades only), root to tip, ft. |
| 160-174 | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | Constants relating (nondimensional) flatwise second derivative to flatwise stress (Ec/R) ${ }_{F}$, evaluated at center of each segment, root to tip, psi. |
| 175-189 | $K_{E}$ | ```Constants relating (nondimensional) second derivative to edgewise stress (Ec/R)E, root to tip, psi.``` |
| 190-204 | $\tau / \mathrm{M}$ | Constants relating torsional moment to torsional stress, root to tip, in ${ }^{-3}$. |
| 205-219 | $\overline{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{z}_{10}}$ | Chordwise mass radii of gyration of blade segments about elastic (reference) axis, root to tip, nondimensional with respect to R. |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220-234 | $\overline{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{y}_{10}}$ | Thicknesswise mass radii of gyration of blade segments about axis perpendicular to chord line and through the reference axis, root to tip, nondimensional with respect to $R$. |
| 235-249 | $\overline{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | Area radii of gyration about elastic axis, root to tip, nondimensional with respect to R. |
| 250-264 | $\bar{y}_{10 c / 4}$ | Distances from elastic axis forward to airfoil quarter chord position, root to tip, nondimensional with respect to $R$. |
| 265-279 | $\overline{\mathrm{y}}_{10}$ | ```Distances from elastic axis forward to airfoil section mass centers, root to tip, nondimensional with respect to R.``` |
| 280-303 | - | Initial conditions on the elastic modal responses for the reference blade. |
| 280-283 | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ | Initial conditions on i'th flatwise bending mode deflections. |
| 286-289 | $\stackrel{\star}{\mathrm{q}_{\cdot}^{\mathbf{w}_{i}}}$ | Initial conditions on i'th flatwise bending mode (nondimensional) rates. |
| 292-294 | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ | Initial conditions on $k$ 'th edgewise bending mode deflections. |
| 295-297 | $\stackrel{*}{\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{v}_{k}}}$ | Initial conditions on $k$ 'th edgewise bending mode (nondimensional) rates. |
| 298-300 | $\mathrm{q}_{\theta_{j}}$ | Initial conditions on $j^{\prime}$ th torsion mode deflections. |
| 301-303 | $\stackrel{\star}{\mathrm{q}}_{\theta_{\mathrm{j}}}$ | Initial conditions on $j^{\prime}$ th torsion mode (nondimensional) rates. |
| 310-333 | - | Initial conditions on the elastic modal responses for the second blade of a teetered rotor configuration, in same order as locations 280-303. |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 355-369 | $\Lambda_{s}$ | Aerodynamic built-in sweep angle distribution, positive aft, deg. |
| 498 | NLDATT | Segment number of the lag damper (outboard) attachment point. Default value is 1. |
| 499 | (Control) | ```Input (0., 1.) as the outboard lag damper attachment is (inboard, outboard) of the feathering bearing.``` |
| 500 | (Control) | Input (1.) to use tabulated lag damper moment vs. angular velocity properties. |
| 501 | $N_{L D}$ | Number of abscissa-ordinate pairs used to define the lag damper moment-angular velocity characteristics. Maximum of 24 pairs are allowed. |
| 502-549 |  | Table of lag-damper ${ }_{\star}$ characțeristics taken in point pairs: $\left(\hat{A}_{k}\right), M\left(\hat{A}_{k}\right)$; $U(\hat{A})=\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$; <br> $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{M})=\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}$. (Normal sign convention is that $\Lambda$ and $M$ have the same sign.) |
| 554 | (Control) | In general, a nonzero value invokes the hysteretic (nonviscous) structural damping. formulation in the edgewise bending mode equations. Specifically, make (1., 2.) to use (the constant location 94 value, a spanwise distribution of values) in this nonviscous formulation. |
| 559-569 | $\zeta_{v_{s e}}$ | Distribution of edgewise nonviscous structural damping ( $=1 / 2 g_{v}$, where $g_{p}$ is the usual structural damping coefficient). |
| 600-614 | $(I / c)_{E}$ | Section modulii for flatwise, bending, root to tip, in ${ }^{3}$. Note that the products of $(I / C)_{F}$ and $K_{F}$ (locations 160-174) must equal the bending stiffness distribution, EI/R. |
| 615-629 | $(\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{c})_{\mathrm{E}}$ | Section moḑulii for edgewise bending, rool to tip, in . See remarks above for flatwise bending section modulii. |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 660-674 | $\mathrm{EB}_{1}$ | Torsional stiffness (to be multiplied by twist rate squared), as defined in reference 8 , $1 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{ft}^{4}$. |
| 675-689 | $\mathrm{EB}_{2}$ | Torsion to edgewise elastic coupling stiffness (to be multiplied by thist rate), as defined in reference $8,1 b-f t$. |
| 690-704 | ${ }^{\theta_{B_{S}}}$ | Structural built-in nonlinear twist angle distribution, root to tip if different from aerodynamic twist, deg. See remards above for aerodynamic built-in twist, location 130-144: |
| 705-719 | $\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{B}}$ | Built-in (structural) twist angle change per segment length distribution, root to tip deg. Note that this item is a direct statement of the built-in twist rate distribution, $\theta_{B}^{\prime}$; if all values of this distribution are input as zero, the twist rate distribution is computed internally using numerical methods from the input twist angle distributions, locations 130-144 or 690-704, as appropriate. |
| 720-734 | $\overline{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | Distances from reference (elastic) axis forward to edgewise bending neutral axis, root to tip, nondimensional with respect to R. |
| 735-744 | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{FB}}$ | Flexbeam plate bending stiffness distribution; lb-ft. Note that all items in locations 735-824 are required only for a redundant analysis of the torque-tube (flexbeam span of bearingless rotor (CBR) configurations) (see location 991). |
| 745-7.54 | $\mathrm{GJ}_{\mathrm{FB}}$ | Flexbeam torsion ( $\mathrm{S}_{2}$. Venant) stiffness distribution, $\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}^{2}$. |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 755-764 | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{FB}}$ | ```Flexbeam section width (chord) distribution, ft.``` |
| 765-774 | $\left(\mathrm{EI}_{y}\right)_{\mathrm{TT}}$ | Torque tube flatwise bending stiffness distribution, $1 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{ft}$. |
| 775-784 | $\left(\mathrm{EI}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)_{\mathrm{TH}}$ | Torque tube edgewise bending stiffness distrlbution, $\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}^{2}$. |
| 785-794 | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{TT}}$ | Mass of each torque tube segment whose ${ }_{2}$ span is defined by location $100-109,1 b-\sec ^{2} / \mathrm{ft}$. |
| 795-804 | $\left(\bar{y}_{10}\right)_{C G} \mathrm{TT}$ | Distances from reference axis forwarded to torque tube mass centers whose masses are given. in locations 785-794, nondimensional with respect to R. (Input locations provided, but quantity is not used.) |
| 805-814 | $(\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{c})_{\text {FTT }}$ | Section moduliii for torque tube flatwise bending, in . (Input locations provided, but quantity not used.) |
| 815-824 | $(\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{c})_{\mathrm{ETT}}$ | Section modylii for torque tube edgewise bending, in. (Input locations provided, but quantity not used.) |
| 850-881 | [T] | Trim partial derivative matrix, (obtained as output from a previous trim calculation. Nonzero values will suppress internal recalculation of [T]. |
| 900 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {pend }}$ | Number of blade appended pendular vibration absorbers activated, maximum of 2 . |
| 900-910 | - | Data for pendular absorber No. 1. |
| 901 | ${ }^{\text {r }}$ PAH | Radial location of pendular absorber hinge attachment, in. |
| 902 | $\mathrm{z}_{10} 0_{\text {PAH }}$ | Location of pendular hinge point in direction normal to the section chord, (+ upward), in. |
| 903 | $\ell^{\prime}$ | Pendular absorber arm length (i.e., distance from hinge point to mass center), in. |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 904 | ${ }^{M} P_{A}$ | Mass of pendular absorber, $l b-s e c^{2} / f t$. |
| 905 | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | Rotary inertia of pendular absorber about the hinge point, lb-sec -ft. |
| 906 | $K_{p}$ | Discrete rotary spring restraining the pendular absorber about the hinge point, ft-lb/rad. |
| 907 | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | Rotary damping about hinge point, lb-sec-ft. |
| 908 | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Frictional moment about the hinge point, lb-ft. |
| 909 | $\beta_{p}^{(1)}$ | Initial condition on pendular absorber flap angle, rad. |
| 910 | $\stackrel{\beta}{\beta}_{p}^{(1)}$ | Initial condition on pendular absorber (nondimensional) flap rate, rad. |
| 911-920 | - | Repeat of locations 901-910, but for the second pendular absorber. |
| 921-922. | $\bar{\omega}_{\text {PA }}$ | Integration frequencies for the pendular absorbers 1 and 2 , respectively. These frequencies should be close to the respective natural frequencies of the absorbers. Default values are calculated from the input mechanical properties, nondimensional. |
| 923-924 | $\theta_{\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{PA}}}$ | Built-in (+, nose up) pitch angles, relative to section chord line for pendular absorbers 1 and 2 , respectively, deg. |
| 925-928 | - | Initial conditions for the pendular absorbers of the second blade of a teetered rotor. |
| 925-926 | $\beta_{\mathrm{p}}^{(2)},\left(\stackrel{\star}{(2)}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{(2)}\right)$ | Initial conditions for pendular absorber No. 1 (same as locations 909 and 910 , but for second blade), rad. |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 927-928 | ${ }_{B_{\mathrm{P}_{2}}}^{(2)},{\stackrel{\star}{\mathrm{B}_{2}}}_{(2)}^{(2)}$ | Initial conditions for pendular absurber No. 2 (same as locations 919 and 920 , but for second blade), rad. |
| 930-939 | - | Input data for controlling the calculations of the hub excitation vector and the impedance matrix. |
| 930 | m | Multiple of number of blades to determine the harmonic of hub motion and resulting loads and impedance. Thus, resulting frequency, $\omega$, would be $\omega \doteq \mathrm{mb} \Omega$. |
| 931 | $\Delta \alpha$ | Perturbational amplitude used for incrementing each of the components of linear hub acceleration ( $\ddot{x}, \ddot{y}$ and $z$ ) to calculate the impedance matrix numerically, ft/sec. |
| 932 | $\Delta \alpha$ | Perturbational amplitude used for incrementing each of the components of angular hub acceleration $\left(\ddot{\theta}_{x}, \ddot{\theta}_{y}, \ddot{\theta}_{z}\right)$ to calculate the impedance matrix numerically, rad/sec ${ }^{2}$. |
| 933 | NSTAVB | Number of blade radial stations for which harmonics of vibratory stresses are to be included in augmented impedance matrix, maximum of 3 . |
| 934-936 | ISTAVB | The (NASTAVB) radial station segment numbers for utilizing vibratory stresses in the augmented impedance matrix. |
| 939 | (Control) | Input (1.) to include vibratory push-rod loads in the augmented impedance matrix. |
| 940-945 | . ${ }^{-}$ | Higher harmonic control pitch angle amplitudes wherein the frequencies are at ( $b-1$ ), (b) and $(b+1)$ times the rotor rotational speed and $b$, the number of blades, is location 5, and where a negative Fourier Series representation is assumed. |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 940-941 | ${ }_{(b-1)_{s}}, B(b-1)_{s}$ | Cosine and sine components of (b-1) per rev higher harmonic control pitch angles, respectively, deg. |
| 942-943 | $A_{b_{s}}, B_{b_{s}}$ | Cosine and sine components of (b) per rev higher harmonic control pitch angles, respectively, deg. |
| 944-945 | ${ }^{A}(b+1)_{s}^{, B}(b+1)_{s}$ | Cosine and sine components of ( $b+1$ ) per rev higher harmonic control pitch angles, respectively, deg. |
| 946 | $\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{HHC}}$ | Perturbational amplitude used for incrementing the components of higher harmonic control |
|  | . | (locations 940-945) to calculate the augmented impedance matrix numerically, deg. A zero value will retain the input higher harmonics of pitch control, but will suppress this portion of the impedance matrix calculation. |
| 957 | h | Height of positively thrusting rotor from ground or wind tunnel floor for purposes of evaluating Heyson corrections to rotor angle of attack (see Ref. 5), ft. |
| 958 | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{WT}}$ | Height of wind tunnel test section for purposes of evaluating Heyson corrections, ft. Note that zero values for the wind tunnel test section dimensions implies that ground effect corrections, rather than wind tunnel wall corrections, are to be made. |
| 959 | ${ }_{W}{ }_{\text {WT }}$ | Width of wind tunnel test section for purposes of evaluating Heyson corrections, ft. |
| 975 | CASE | Case number. |
| 976 | ${ }^{\theta} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{PR}}$ | Built-in elevation angle of push-rod attachment point, measurable when $\theta_{.75}=0$, deg. |
| 977 | NDEL3I | ```Segment number of inboard attachment point of pitch input structural members (pitch horn, feathering cuff or torque tube) to blade spar.``` |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 978 | NDEL30 | Segment number of outboard attachment point of pitch input structural member to blade spar. Note that if this structural element is attached to the blade spar at a single point (cantilevered configuration) NDEI 31 and NDEL30 must both be fapul with the same approprlate value. For bearingless rotor (CBR) applications NDEL30 serves the additional function of defining the outer limit of the flexbeam; this limit is taken as the inner boundary of the NDEL 3 ''th segment. |
| 979 | $r_{P R}$ | Radial location (blade station) of push-rod to pitch input structural member attachment point, in. |
| 980 | ${ }^{y}{ }_{10}$ | Location, forward of feathering axis, of pushrod to pitch input structural member attachment point, in. Note that input items 977980 together with the input modal data provide the geometric data from which pitch-flap, pitch-flat and pitch-edge coupling are calculated. These calculations are bypassed if either of locations 978 or 980 are input identically zero. |
| 981 | NSPSTR | Segment number of innermost end of the feathering flexure; defaults to 1. |
| 982 | ${ }^{\theta} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{FB}}$ | Built-in twist angle of the flexbeam, positive leading edge up at outboard end of flexbeam, deg. Note that $0_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{FB}}}$ is defined relative to the inputted twist angle distribution, which in turn is defined for zero collective angle. Thus, ${ }^{\theta_{B}}$ represents the built-in collective angle for the blade. |
| 983 | $\overline{\mathrm{GJ}}_{\mathrm{FB}}$ | (Inverse) averagged torsional stiffness of the flexbeam 1b-ft. |
| 984 | $\mathrm{k}_{\tau_{\mathrm{FB}}}$ | Equivalent or critical torsion stress coefficient for flexbeam; i.e., stress per unit average torsion strain as defined by total flexbeam twist, in-psi. |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 985 | (Control) | ```Make nonzero (1.) to include the "wobble" mode for cantilever torque tube configured bearingless rotors.``` |
| 986 | (Control) | When finlte snubber stiffnesses are inpul (locations 987 and 988), the stiffness alignments (principal axes) are assumed to be (vertically and horizontally, in torque tube flatwise and edgewise directions) as location 986 is (0., 1.). |
| 987 | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}_{z_{5}}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{SF}}\right)$ | Snubber vertical (torque tube flatwise) spring rate, $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ft}$. |
| 988 | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{Y} 5}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{E}}}\right)$ | Snubber horizontal (torque tube edgewise) spring rate, lb/ft. |
| 989 | (Control) | When the torque tube flexbeam redundant analyols is involved (location 991) the torque tube is assumed to be in (tenslon, compression) as location (989) is (0., 1.). |
| 990 | NTQTB1 | Segment number of innermost end of torque tube; defaults to 1. |
| 991 | (Control) | Input nonzero (1.) to activate the redundant analysis option. When the redundant analysis is activated, the flexbeam and torque tube stiffness and mass inputs, locations (735) through (794), must be appropriately nonzero. |
| 992 | (Control) | Used to weight the effectiveness of nonlinear $\Delta$ EI bending excitation of torsion over the flexbeam span; the effectiveness is taken to be ( $100 \%, 0 \%, 100 \%$ ( $x$ ) pseudo-torsion mode shape) as LOCATION (992) is (0., 1., 3.)). |
| 993 | $\Lambda_{\text {FB }}$ | Flexbeam aspect ratio parameter $\left(=\frac{S}{C} \sqrt{1.5(1-v)}\right)$ to determine plate-like deflection for torsion "pseudo-mode". A zero value gives a rod-like deflection shape. |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 994 | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{W}_{\text {OB }}}$ | Spring rate of rotational spring connecting outboard end of torque tube to the blade spar about chordwise axis, ft-1b/rad. A zero value implies zero stiffness for pinned-pinned torque tube configurations and infinite stiffness for snubbed configurations. |
| 995 | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OB}}}$ | Spring rate of rotational spring connecting the outboard end of the torque tube to the blade spar about an axis perpendicular to the chord line, ft-lb/rad (see above). |
| 996 | (Control) | Analytic (static) airfoil option. Make nonzero (1.) to use the built-in analytic approximation to the static NACA 0012 airfoil data. |
| 997 | (Control) | Unsteady airfoil data option. Make nonzero ( $\geq$ 1.) to use the synthesized unsteady airfoil data technique described in Reference 7. This can be used with either the explicity input airfoil data or the built-in analytic NACA 0012 static airfoil data. For nonzero values greater than unity, the "cutoff Mach number" (Mach number above which the unsteady data is disregarded in favor of quasi-static data) is taken to be the input value minus one (1.) rather than the built-in default value of 0.6 . However, only nonstandard cutoff Mach numbers between 0.1 and 0.95 are accepted. |
| 998 | $\Delta \psi_{\text {F38 }}$ | Aximuth increment used to generate punched card image output which is then used as input data to UTRC Program F389 for computing variable inflow. This option is bypassed with a (0.) input value. |
| 999 | (Control) | Input (0., 1.) for data transferrals with the F389 variable inflow program to be made using (punched cards, data files). If data files are used, G400PA reads from unit 14 , and writes to units 16,23 and 13 . |


| Location | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | (Control) | Input nonzero (1.) to activate use of the tabulated time-histories of incremental control angles. |
| 1001 | $N \Delta \theta .75$ | Number of abscissa-ordinate point pairs used to define time-history of $\Delta \theta, 75(t)$; calculation of this time-history is bypassed with a (0.) value. |
| 1002-1050 | $\Delta \theta .75$ | Table of $\Delta 075$ abscissa-ordinate pairs; $\mathrm{U}(\Delta \theta .75)=\operatorname{deg} . ; \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{t})=\sec$. |
| 1051 | ${ }^{\mathrm{N} \Delta \mathrm{~A}_{1}}$ | Number of abscissa-ordinate point pairs used to define time-history of $\Delta A_{l_{s}}(t)$; zero value bypasses calculation. |
| 1052-1100 | $\Delta \mathrm{A}_{1_{\mathrm{s}}}$ | Table of $\Delta A_{1}$ abscissa-ordinate point pairs; $U\left(A_{1_{S}}\right)=\operatorname{deg}, U(t)=\sec$. |
| 1101 | ${ }^{\mathrm{N} \Delta \mathrm{~B}_{1}}{ }_{\mathrm{s}}$ | Number of abscissa-ordinate point pairs used to define time-history of $\Delta \mathrm{B}_{1_{S}}$ ( $t$ ); zero value bypasses calculation. |
| 1102-1150 | $\mathrm{BB}_{1_{\mathrm{s}}}$ | Table of $\Delta B_{1_{s}}$ abscissa-ordinate point pairs; $U\left(B_{1_{s}}\right)=\operatorname{deg} ; U(t)=\sec$. |


III. Blade Mode Shape Data

Included in this data are the radial distributions of the blade (uncoupled) flatwise, edgewise and torsion normal mode shapes and their derivatives. These quantities must generally be provided from an external source such as United Technologies Corporation Program E159 or an equivalent, in the following card image format:
subsequent cards:
$\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I}) \quad \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I}+1) \quad \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I}+2) \quad \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I}+3) \quad \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I}+4)$
(F18.0, 4F12.0)
where: NFM, NEM, and NTM are, respectively, the numbers of flatwise bending, edgewise bending and torsion normal modes whose mode shapes and derivatives are to be input. NSEG is the number of blade spanwise stations for which the input modal data are defined. $F(i)$ are the modal functions listed below (defined at the i'th spanwise stations). Five entries per card are made for each $F$ function input for NSEG total entries. The modal functions must be loaded in the following order:

IV. Variable Harmonle Inflow

If location 53 of the Loader block of operational data is nonzero, the following block of variable harmonic inflow is loaded in:

card \#3 + (NHARML/5):
XLAMS (I,1) XLAMS (I, 2) $\ldots$
(5F14.6)

NHARML is the number of harmonics of inflow to be loaded, and XLAMO(i), $\operatorname{XLAMC}(i, n)$ and XLAMS ( $i, n$ ) are, respectively, the zeroth, $n$ 'th cosine and $n ' t h$ sine components of harmonic inflow at the i'th radial station, wherein a positive Fourier series is assumed. The inflow is defined positive up and has the units of $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$. If $\mathrm{n}>5$ card image $\# 3$ is repeated until n cosine coefficients are input. The series of card images, \#1 through \#3+ are then repeated for the remainder of the NSEG segments.

## V. Multiple Case Runs

The above described data set-up defines the correct ordering of required data blocks for a general case. When multiple cases are run (while remaining within the G400PA branch of the base program) the second and subsequent cases utilize most of the data input for the first case. The following rules apply to the running of multiple cases:

1. Airfoil data is loaded only.for the first case; all subsequent cases within the run use the same tabular data, if analytic data is not used.
2. Only those items within the operational (Loader) datal which arc to be changed from case to case need to be input.
3. Item 99 of the operational data controls the running of subsequent cases; a ( +1. ) value causes a subsequent case to be loaded whereas a (-1.) value terminates the computer run after the current case.
4. Unless otherwise specified (by a +1 . value for operational data item 19) the input modal array data block is used for all cases within the run.
5. Similarly, unless otherwise specified (by a +1. value for operational data item 53) the input harmonic variable inflow data block is used for all cases within the run.
6. Operational data items 19 and 53 discussed above are both automatically set to zero at the conclusion of the data input for every case.
7. Terminal conditions on the blade azimuth angle, item 77, and on the degrees-of-freedom, items 67-70, $280-303,310-333,909,910,919,920$, and 925-928, for any case are carried over as initial conditions on these quantities for the subsequent case. Thus, for some applications, e.g., investigations of unstable responses, it would be appropriate to reinitialize these items on the subsequent cases.

When solution flow leaves the G400PA branch of the base program, the ability to carry over terminal conditions (as initial conditions for a subsequent case) and/or any other quantities associated with trim is lost. However, a need still exists for preserving these initial conditions for subsequent reentries to the G400PA branch of the program. As per loader locations (76) (described above) these initial conditions are written to and read from file 26.

General Information to Facilitate Operation of Program and Improve Efficiency

Aside from considerations of the actual aeroelastic parameters describing the blade configuration, which are covered in the above sections, additional attention should be paid to the mechanics of obtaining efficient numerical solutions of the dynamic equations. In this regard, there arise two basic areas of concern wherein this section should be of assistance. The first of these areas is the proper selection of parameters for efficient temporal numerical integration of the dynamic equations (flapplng or minor fterations) and the second is the proper selection of parameters for effecting a sutisfactory rotor trim (major iteration). The following subsections provide information for making proper parameter selection in each of these areas.

## Temporal Numerical Integration

As discussed in Reference 1 temporal integration of the higher differentiated response variables to obtain the lower ones is achieved in the G400PA program using a variant of the Adams integration algorithm. The selected algorithm is defined by means of the azimuthal integration step size, $\Delta \psi$, and the integration frequency, $w$.

The integration.step size should be an integral divisor of 360 ; a proper choice depends on the maximum coupled frequency inherent in the various aeroelastic responses. A reasonable upper limit for $\Delta \psi$ is 30 divided by the maximum such frequency in per rev. Values of $\Delta \psi$ greater than this upper limit will compromise the integration accuracy and, for sufficiently large values, will cause the computed responses to develop "numerical" instabilities. As a corollary, a check on any response which is predicted to be unstable by the analysis, is to rerun the case with a reduced integration step size to test for the possibility of the unstable response being merely a numerical instability.

For each response degree-of-freedom a different integration frequency, $\bar{\omega}$, is used in the integration algoithm; this frequency is, for each of the elastic modes, the respective input natural frequencies (locations 31-34, 37-39, and 40-42). The usual pendular frequencies of a rotating, hinged, rigid beam are taken as the integration frequencies of the flapping and lead-lag degrees-of-freedom. In addition to defining modal stiffnesses and integration frequencies, the input frequencies serve yet another purpose. As noted above, the proper value of integration step size, $\Delta \psi$, varies inversely with the maximum modal frequency. Thus, run times (caused by reduced step size) will significantly increase as any one modal frequency increases. Since any degree-of-freedom exhibiting a large natural frequency tends to respond quasi-statically, i.e., as if the acceleration ( $\left.\begin{array}{c}* * \\ q\end{array}\right)$ term were
negligible, a reasonable approximation to the response calculation is to avoid the numerical integration of the $q$ term entirely and treat the response quasi-statically. This option can be invoked for any such highfrequency mode by input of a negative frequency; a negative sign will not affect the proper usage of the frequency in the calculation of the dynamic equations. Note that this optional response calculation can be invoked singly or in combination for any of the elastic modal responses (negative values in any of locations 31-34, 37-42, 921 and 922).

## Hub Force and Moment Trim

Operation of the trim or major iteration feature of the program is controlled by input locations 55 through 60,62 through 66 and, for some applications, 79. The main control for the major iteration is location 60 , the number of major iterations, $N_{M I}$. A zero value causes the major iteration feature to be completely deactivated. On the basis of past usage, a reasonable range for this input appears to be from 5 to 10 , depending on the tightness of the convergence tolerances selected and the "goodness" of the initial guesses on the control parameters. Convergence of the major iteration is adversely affected by any lack of convergence of the responses to periodicity within each trim iteration and by incursion of the rotor into a significantly stalled flight regime. Note that a negative value of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{MI}}$ will activate a stall avoidance calculation wherein the controls will be perturbed to reachieve unstalled flight rather than to achieve the prescribed trim condition. Should a major iteration fail to converge within any one run the last used control. angles and initial conditions on response variables are generally available in output card image form andor partially in the output printed records of each major iteration for use in subsequent major iterations.

An additional capability available for achieving a trimmed configuration is the option to calculate the aerodynamic partial derivative matrix either approximately using simple linearized strip theory or using a straightforward numerical differentiation. This option is controlled by loader location 66. It should be noted that the accuracy of the numerical differentiation is dependent upon how well the responses have converged to periodicity, which in turn is controlled by the flapping tolerance, loc. 11. When numerical derivatives are calculated, they are output on card images for subsequent input, using loader locations 850-881.

The trim iteration is operationally flexible as to what hub loads it will drive to requested values. Generally, the varlous requested hub loads, lift, propulsive force, pitching and rolling moment are activated in turn by specifying nonzero values for each of their respective tolerances. Specifically, the following table describes the optional combinations of hub loads and rotor shaft angle available with the G400PA trim capability.

SUMMARY OF OPTIONAL BASIC TRIM COMBINATIONS

| Option | Lift | Prop. <br> Force | Pitch. <br> Moment | Roll <br> Moment | ${ }^{\theta} .75 \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | ${ }^{B}{ }_{5}$ | ${ }^{\alpha}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S | U | U | U | V | F | F | S, (V) |
| 2 | S | S | U | U | v | F | F | v |
| 3 | U | U | S | S | F | V | v | S, (V) |
| 4 | S | U | S | S | V | V | V | S, (V) |
| 5 | S | S | S | S | V | v | v | v |
| 6 | U | U | S | U | F | v | F | S, (V) |
| 7 | S | U | S | U | V | V | F | S, (V) |
| 8 | S | S | S | U | V | V | F | V |

where: F: Control parameter kept fixed
V: Control parameter varied
S: Trim parameter specified and trimmed to
U: Trim parameter unspecified and ignored

Note that for each option available, the individual trim parameter is activated by input of a positive, nonzero value for the respective parameter tolerance. For each option the trim calculation trims either to the specified propulsive force or the input shaft angle, $\alpha_{s}$ (location 55) as the propulsive force tolerance, $\varepsilon_{P F}$, is finite or zero, respectively. $\Lambda$ reasonable choice of tolerance values for the hub force and moment loads can be obtained from considerations of the helicopters inertia properties and acceptable deviations of the load factor from unity, and of the pitching and rolling accerations from zero.

The trim calculation can also be used in conjunction with the Glauert variable inflow. Location 79 controls the use of this simplified form of variable inflow. A variety of trim calculation operations are achieved when this control location is nonzero. If this control option is 1 ., the Glauert variable inflow induced velocity components. $\bar{v}_{0}, \bar{v}_{1 c}$, and $\bar{v}_{1 s}$ (locations $80-82$ ) would be varied in addition to those parameters shown in Table II to effect momentum balances in thrust, pitching moment, and rolling moment as well as the specified trim. If the control option is 2 ., only the velocity components would be varied (instead of the control angles, 0 $A_{1}$, and $B_{1}$ ), but in an analogous manner. If the control option is 3 $75 \mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ the trim calculation would again only vary the velocity components, but to achieve momentum balance only, with an specified trim.

## PROGRAM OUTPUT DESCRIPTION

The complete printed output generated by the G400PA program can be classified into the following four major categories:
I. Listing of Input Data
II. Parameters Calculated from the Input Data
III. Results of Time-History Solution
IV. Impedance Matrices

This section describes the pertinent output pages associated with each of these categories. It should be noted that while output will always be generated for the first three categories, output for the remaining category depends upon the optional calculation of these impedance matrices. The subsections which follow describe, in turn, the details of each of these four categories.

## Listing of Input Data

Output in this category includes a listing of the static airfoil data (if any is inputted), a descriptive listing of the "inertia, elastic, geometric and other operational" (Loader Format) data, and a listing of the harmonic variable inflow: blocks I, II, and IV, respectively, of the input data. If static afrfoil data is inputted, then a listing of this data will be outputted for $c_{l}, c_{d}$ and $c_{m_{c}} / 4$ each with the format shown in Sample Page 1 where each column represents data at one Mach number. Within each column the first line gives the number of angle-of-attack/ aerodynamic coefficient pairs defining the functionality; the second line is the Mach number, and the ensuing line pairs are the angle-of-attack/aerodynamic coefficient pairs, where the angles-of-attack are in degrees. This output closely follows the input format described in the previous section.

A description of the Loader Format data output is omitted herein since this output merely duplicates the description already given in even greater detail in the previous section. In Sample Page 2 is shown the listings of the inputted harmonic variable inflow, both by harmonic components and by azimuthal variation for each of the (maximum of) fifteen blade segments. As with the input format, the inflow is defined positive up, has the units of $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ and a conventioanl positive Fourier series representation is used.

## Parameters Calculated from the Input Data

Sample Pages 3 and 4 list a variety of intermediate parameter calculations which, for the most part are directly applicable only to the composite bearingless rotor. Sample Page 3 presents the matrices and vectors used to define, respectively, the

| xx.x | 11. | xx. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $x \times x$ | xx.x | ax.x | $x \mathrm{x} \cdot \mathrm{x}$ | x. $x^{\text {x }}$ | $x \times . x$ | $x \times$ x | x. $x^{1}$ | xx.x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .xxxx | .xis | .xxy | xxxy | xxxx | .xxx | .x8x | -xixx | .1x×x | . $\times \times \times \mathrm{x}$ | . $8 \times \times \mathrm{x}$ | , 18x\% |
| xxinxix | xxx.xixi | 1ximix | 7x.1x] | mx.xxix | xx.xxx | 719xix | yiosix | yx.xxxx | mexixx | xk.xix | xexixix |
| Axymax | -xtitar | -xixixx | , xisxax | -xxxxxx | xxxax | (1x) | -1xixix | xx | xxyx | -xixixy | 1 |
| xyx.xixix | xxatirsx | xaxixx | xx.xx)x | xx.xxxx | xxexixy | x.18x | x.axx | 2x.xxxx | yx.xixx | xa.xyx | xx-xyax |
| x $\times 8 \times$ | -ximix | (1) | x\|x) | $\times x$ | -XXX | \% 1 | -18xinx | - $\times 1 \times 1$ | - $x \times x$ | -xxaxix | , $1 \times \mathrm{xax}$ |
| xex.xxix | xxa.xixi | xixixx | xx.xxxx | 1x.axix | xx.xxay | x8.xxx | yarinix | xtixxpx | 1x.xx | .xix | .xr |
| xxyxx | -18188 | .xxisxx | -8xaxis | . $x$ xxxx | -xatix | -8118x | -x81881 | -xixixy | -xxaxax | .xxxixx | .xixixi |
| xxx.xxix | Axx.aisy | xixixix | xx.xxxx | Mx.xx\% | miximax | ya.xixy | xidixix | xtexxxx | xxixxar | rxexxi | xxexya |
| .xxxxy | -xatry | .xicixx | xixaxk | .xxxxy | .xyexix | -Axisix | .xyisix | -xixixx | . $x$ xxxxx | . $x$ xxixx | -xyxyay |
| xix.xxix | 1xx.2181 | 18.3xix | x ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | kx.xxxy | maxixi | ,xix | mixixi | .xxx | mixixx | MxAxMx | xxoxxax |
| -xAxyxx | -x1118\% | -xixixx | -riaxax | -xxxax | -xxAxxy | -xixixx | -xxaxix | .xyxxax | -xxxxxx | eximixy | - Mrxif |
| xxx.ixxx | xx.xix | 19.xxx | x.xxx | xx.xxxy | xx.xxx ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | xix | xx | xixixix | xx.xxxx | xi.zive | y,.axix |
| -xxxxy | -xixisi | .xxixx | -xxxxxx | -xixxxi | .xxxxxy | .xyxix | - $1 \times 8 \times x$ x | .xxxxix | . $x$ xxxxx | -ixaxix | -18xisx |
| x从x.xxx] | 18x.17x | mexixx | mexixix | rx.sxxy | xXexxix | xisixix | Paxixx | xx.xxix | mexyxx | :s.xyr | Ph.axix |
| -xixax | -185x | -xisixy | . $x$ xax)x | .xxaxxy | -xixisk | .rxxixy | , Aximix | . $\times$ xxxxx | . $x$ xxyx | .xxirxx | . $8 \times 8 \times 8$ |
| mxaxixi | xix.xix | yx.xixy | Mrixix | xx.xxxx | xtexix | mixixy | 7x.xinx | ynixixi | xx.xxax | xixixa | Masisk |
| -xxaxix | -11838 | -613XY | , X1XXXX | , AXXXXX |  | , FHXX | -xxirix | -18xXXX | -xyxxa | enimix | -xAxA\% |

Sample Page 1
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elastic bending characteristics of the torque tube and the inboard (snubber) end vertical deflection of the torque tube. For each, a quadratic variation with pitch angle is assumed so that the total bending stiffness matrix, FDEFL, and inboard deflection vector, $25 I$, are formed in the indicated manner wherein the total blade pitch angle at the 75 percent span, TTHO, is taken in radians. Furthermore, all the results output for these two quantities are nondimensional (see the superscripts section of the List of Symbols for the proper nondimensionalization). The matrix FDEFL duplicates matrix $S$, whose rows and columns are defined by equation (108) of Reference 1 ; vector 251 shares the same columnar dependence with matrix FDEFL. Finally, at the bottom of Sample Page 3 are evaluations of FDEFL and Z 5 I , using the quadratic representations, at a pitch angle equal to the inputted collective angle, ". 75k. It should be noted that the functionalizations of these quantities to quadratic form is accomplished by matching exactly the quantities calculated with pitch angles equal to the collective angles.

The first group of output parameters given in Sample Page 4 consists of the (nondimensional) coefficients defining the nonlinear torsional stiffness characteristics of the flexbeam; they correspond directly to the coefficients defined in Reference 1. Again the standard nondimensionalization is used. The second group of output parameters on the sample page consists of the quadratic functionality coefficients for the pitch-flat and pitch-edge couplings and the angular motions of the torque tube relative to the flexbeam at the juncture for each of the selected flatwise and edgewise modes. The quadratic functionality on pitch angle duplicates that used for FDEFL and $Z 51$ described in the above paragraph. Note that RELMW and RELMV are calculated only on the basis of an infinitely rigid torque tube and, hence, are omitted when the redundant analysis option is invoked. The third group of output parameters are the effective torsional springs $K_{\theta_{1}}, K_{\theta_{2}}$, and $K_{\theta_{3}}$, as defined in Reference 1 , and the flexbeam stiffness, $G J$ and $\mathrm{Tk}_{\mathrm{a}}$, respectively. The units of the springs are $1 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{rad}$ and those of the stiffnesses are $\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft} \mathrm{t}^{2}$.

In Sample Page 5 are shown typical modal information for the inputted flatwise and edgewise bending modes. For each such mode the (nondimensional) modal frequency, pitch-flat (or pitch-edge) coupling and inputted mode shape and spanwise derivatives are listed. In addition the listing presents the derived incremental deflection vectors which account for blade twist (see equations (7-10), of Reference 1. Within a flatwise modal information group, the DVB and DVE arrays correspond to those first order $\Delta v$ spanwise functions due to built-in twist and torsional modal twist, respectively. The DWWBB, DWWBC and DWWCC arrays correspond to those second order $\triangle W$ functions due to the combinations of built-in twist with itself, built-in twist with pseudo-torsion mode (control) twist, and pseudo-torsion mode twist with itself, respectively. The various arrays, DV2BP, DV2FP, DWW2BBP, DW2BCP and DWW2CCP are the first spanwise derivatives of the second componerts (those with superscript "2") of the above discussed arrays, DVB, DVE, DWKLB, DWWBC, and DWWCC, respectively.


## FLEX-bEAM TORSIONAL STIfFNESS CHARACTERISTICS

```
THETA-JCT = (THTTHP)*THETAP-JCT + (THTMX)*HXS + (THTSX)*SX5*(Y5*Z5'- 25*Y5')
    +(THTMO)*(MY5*Y5+MZ5*25) + (THCM1)*(MY5*Y5'+M25*Y5') + (THTSO)*(SZ5*Y5- SY5*25) + (THTS1)*(SZ5*Y5' - SY5*25')
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline THTTHP & THTYX & THTSX & THTMO & THIM 1 & THTSO & THTS 1 \\
\hline xXX. XXXXXX & \(\mathrm{xxx} . \mathrm{Xxxxxx}\) & \(\mathrm{xxx} . \mathrm{xxxxxx}\) & xxx. xxxxxx & XxX. XxXxXX & \(\mathrm{xxx} . \mathrm{xxxxxx}\) & x. Xxxxx \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```



```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{} \\
\hline 1 & . \(x \times x \times x\) & .xaxix & .18xif & , xxixx \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(?\)} & . \(1 \times \times x \times 8\) & . \(\times\) xxxx & .18881 & . \(x \times x \times x\) \\
\hline & .xxxas & ,xyxy & .1818 & -xxxx \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1} & ave(x) & avilus & (v218) & RELay(k) \\
\hline & . \(\times\) xxax & .xxix2 & .12:11 & -xixix \\
\hline : & -xxax & - \(\times\) xxx & -8187 & -xxax \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
tcrsional reteqtion spaings and effletive flex-gran poosional stiffnesses
```


## Sample Page 4

limear and monlinear mooal offlection vectors

| flatuise moot : |  |  | nodal facouency $=$ x.xxaxx |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | $\chi$ | GH | Gup | GwPP | dve | Ov28P | ouras | Du*2B8P | OUabc | Owaz 2 CP | Ounce | Duvzecp |
| 1 | ,xixy | -xixix | -7xisi | . Axxix | .xyxix | . $x$ xxxx | .xxxx | . 1 xxxx | .xxxix | -xxxx | - xxxxx | -6xixx |
| 2 | .xxxxx | .xxaxx | -118ix | . 8 xxxx | -xyax | .xxxxx | .xixxx | . 8 xxxx | , xxxxx | -xxxxx | . $x \times x \times x \times$ | , XXXXX |
| 3 | .xxixa | .rixxx | .risix | .xxixi | -xxxxx | -xxxxx | Axixix | , 1 xixix | . $x$ Xxxx | . $x$ xxxy | . $x$ XXXX | © Xxxx |
| - | . $1 \times 1 \times 8 \mathrm{x}$ | , 1 xxx | -183x | , xixxx | -xixxx | . $x$ xxxx | -xxxix | , XXXXX | , XxXXX | - $x \times x \times x$ | - $x$ xnxx | -1xixi |
| - | . XXXXX | . XXXXX | .7118 | . 1 Ixxx | -xxxxx | .xxxxx | -xyxx | . $x$ xxxx | . XXXEX | . $x \times x \times x$ | . $x$ xxxx | -1xixi |
| - | . $\mathrm{XXXXX}^{\text {a }}$ | - $\times 1 \times \times \times \mathrm{x}$ | -11318 | - $7 \times \times \mathrm{xx}$ | - xxixx | , XXXXX | -xyxix | , XXXXX | . XXXXX | - $\times$ xxxx | - XXXXX | , XIXXX |
| $\cdots$ | , HXXXX | .xxxx | . 81818 | .xixix | .xyxx | .xxxxix | .xxax | , 1 XXXX | . Xxxxx | .xxxxx | , XXXXX | , $\times 1 \times 8 \mathrm{x}$ |





| $\cdots$ | $x$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | .rxaxi |
| 2 | -18ixi |
| 3 | - xixxix |
| - | -18188 |
| - | -18xix |
| ; | -xinim |


| Ont | 0.25* | due | Du2EP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .riaxi | . $818 \times 8$ | -8XXXX | , xxxix |
| 13x1 | .xixix | .xxrix | .xxxxx |
| .13x88 | .18xix | -xixix | , 8 ¢xxz |
| .rixis | .18xix | .xxix] | , x1xxx |
| .risiz | .axisx | -2xixi | ,x1878 |
| .81188 | .1E818 | -xXAXI | , 14xxx |
| .ra188 | , 18882 | , xraxy | ,xixix |


| Out | OuztP | uve. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .xixix | . 1 xixi | . 2 yxxx | . $8 \times \mathrm{xxx}$ | .xixix | .18xix |
| - Axixi | - 1 Inxi | - Xrixx | -xixix | , xixim | Axixix |
| -xxxix | . 8 1888 | -xixix | -xxixi | ,xixis | . $1 \times 1 \times \mathrm{xE}$ |
| , iximi | -18xx | -xixix | .xixix | , xpaxi | -xixix |
| , xixix | , xixxx | - Mrixx | -xinx | , xxixi | . 8 IXIX |
|  | -xixix | -xixxx | .xixix | , Prixi | , ixixy |
| .1888\% | -1817x | , XPXXX | -xyax | ,7xix | frisix |

Sample Page 5

The UWE nonlinear deflection arrays correspond to the bracketed integral function defined in Reference 1. Within the edgewise modal information group, the various arrays, DWB, DWE, DVBB, DVVBC and DVVCC, etc., correspond to similarly defined spanwise functions involving twist and the edgewise modal deflection and spanwise derivative arrays.

The output parameters presented in Sample Page 6 consist of the inputted torsion modal arrays together with the derived pseudo-torsion mode shape (as defined in Reference 1) and spanwise derlvative. The output parameter group presented in Sample lage 7 consists of the spanwise distributions of various pertinent aeroelastic quantities. The $X$ and XCEN arrays are the nondimensional distances of the centers of the segments from the offset and rotor axis, respectively. The units of the CHORD array are feet. The angle of attack descriptors THETA-AERO, PHI and ALPHA are, respectively, the geometric aerodynamic pitch angle, the inflow angle, and the resulting section angle-of-attack, all in degrees. These angles are calculated using the input initial conditions on azimuth angle and on the response variable deflections and velocities. The resulting Mach number and aerodynamic coefficients are used to define the perturbational airloads used in the eigensolution. The quantity KAPPA/U is the spanwise variation in aerodynamic moment damping coefficient which when multiplied by the local pitch rate approximates the potential flow unsteady pitching moment coefficient. The quantity ( $\mathrm{Y} 10 \mathrm{C} / 4$ )/C is the spanwise distribution of quarter chord offset from the reference axis nondimensionalized by chord. The dynamic and structural quantities in the third group of this output page includes the QUAD array which constitutes the integration weighting numbers for spanwise integration. The THETA-STR array is the pitch angle distribution of the structural principle axes and has the units of degrees and, in general, differs from the aerodynamic pitch angle distribution. The two arrays, TWIST-BLT and TWIST-TOT, are the nondimensional structural twist rate distributions of the built-in twist and the total twist (including elastic response and control inputs), respectively; these arrays have the units of radians. The quantities TENSB, EIYB, EIZB, and MASSB are, respectively, the blade tension, flatwise bending stiffness, edgewise bending stiffness and mass distributions, all nondimensionalized in the standard sense. The (Y1ONA)/C and (Y10CG)/C arrays are, respectively, the edgewise bending neutral axis and mass center offset distributions nondimensionalized by chord.

## Results of Time History Solutions

Sample Page 8 presents a variety of response and load quantities defining the transient aeroelastic responses. The first row of parameters following the page title presents, for the time-history solution, a listing of the parameters defining the flight condition. These parameters consist of the various control angles (in degrees), the inflow and advance ratios, and the nondimensionalized values of the "momentum" induced velocity components. The remainder
icesion mooss


Sample Page 6
papial distmizutions of aepodimanic and drmanicistructual guamitite

| $\wedge$ | $x$ | xcem | choro | theta-aEmo | Pri | alpha | mach | CL | CD | CM | Rappa/U | (r10c/al/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . $\times \times \times \times \times \mathrm{x}$ | -xinix | . $8 \times \times \times \mathrm{x}$ | A.xxx | .xxx | x.xxx | . $\times$ xx | , xixizi | .xyxx |  | -xxixk | .rxixi |
| 2 | . $\times 1 \times 1 \times 8$ | -xyxiz | .rixix | x.xix | .xix | x.xxx | .xyx | .18188 | . XxXXX | , xxixx | -xyxix | -xyxiz |
| 3 | -xxay | , mxixi | . XXXXX | x.xix | .4x | A.xxx | . $\times 1 \times$ | -1818x | .xyixx | . $x$ xxxa | -xxxxi | -xxxix |
| - | .xisy | ,61xx | .rxax | x.xix | . $8 \times \mathrm{x}$ | x,xxx | .xxx | .18188 | .fxixx | -xixxx | . $x$ xxxi | -xxixz |
| - | -xixym | grexix | -ixixx | x,xxx | .xix | A.xix | .8x\% | , 1 8xix | , Axixx | -xyxix | , Axixx | .xxixx |
| - | -xixix | -xixix | .rxxx | x.11x |  | x, xix | .188 | .1818 | ,ayxix | . $\mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{x}$ | - Axpxi | , Xixix |
| $*$ | .x8x: | , rıxx | .xixx | x.1xx | -418 | 1.xix | -8>x | .188i | ,Ayxx | .xxax | , Mxix | -14xx |
| 4 | $x$ | $x$ cex | cual | Theti-sta | 1vist-bit | Tust-10t | tense | firs | [170 | (710nAl/C | masss | 1710C61/6 |
| 1 | -xixix | -xixit | .xxxix | x.xix | .xxax | .axxax | .118x | -xixy | -xpaxi | , xixxx | x.axixx | ,xisix |
| ? | -1818x | , AEx18 | -xxixx | A.1xi | -17x] | -18xix | -1xys | - 1 yixi | - Axpxx | , Xxixx | x, 118xx | -1918 |
| 3 | -xixy | -xixx | -rixix | A.Axi | -xisix | firxix | .18xx | .1xxx |  | -xixxx | moximi | -xixix |
| - | -ximia | -1117x | ,rixix | 1.18x | graxi | . xixx $^{\text {c }}$ | . $x \times 1 \times 8$ | - 1 Mxix | .ayxix |  | meximix | -fixix |
| - | - $\mathrm{mixa}^{\text {a }}$ | - $1 \times 1 \times 1$ | ,rixix | x,xix | , xxax | , xixix | , 1xix | -1818x | - Axixi | , Xxxix | x, mixix | -xixix |
| - | -2xxas | -xixix | -xixix | 1.18x | .1818 | grixa | .18xı | .1818x | -Axixi | -xyaxi | x.xixix | -Exix |
| $\checkmark$ | -xıx\% | , 118×× | .1888x | x,xyx | -xxax | -18x\% | .xnyx | .1888 | ,Axxix | , X1xxK | x.xyixy | -rixy |


of Sample Page 8 comprises the typleal azimuthal listing; this; list fing is outputted for every azimuth angle which is a multiple of the print azimuth increment, input item no. 23.

The first of the four groups of result quantities on this sample page lists the spanwise distributions of the pertinent aerodynamic quantities. The inflow and total section angles of attack PHI and ALPHA, respectively, are in degrees. The MACH NO., CL, CD, and CM are self-explanatory and nondimensional. The airload distributions in the $Z 5$ and $Y 5$ directions, SAZ5 and SAY5, respectively, have the units of $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{in} .$, the aerodynamic pitching moment distribution, MAX5, has the units of in.-lb/in. The quantities SDZ5, SDY5 and MDX5 are "semi-dynamic" load distributions. These distributions are dimensionally similar to those above described resulting from aerodynamics, but instead arise from all the dynamic effects except those involving second time differentiations of the response varlables (1.e., $q_{W_{i}}, q_{v_{k}}$, etc.). The quantity MEX9 is the elastic torsion moment distribution which consists of those torsion couplings arising from $\triangle E I$, the tension-neutral axis offset and other twist related elastic effects; it too, has the units of in. $-1 b / i n$.

The second group of result quantities consists of the instantaneous generalized excitations, XI, and the generalized accelerations, velocities, and displacements ( $Q^{* *}, Q^{*}$, and $Q$, respectively), for each of the modal response variables selected, all appropriately nondimensionalized. The generalized excitations are defined to be the elements of the right hand sides of the dynamic equations.

The third group of result quantities are comprised mainly of blade deflection and stress distributions. The vertical and inplane deflections are those in the Z 5 and $Y 5$ directions, respectively. All stress quantities have the units of $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{in} .^{2}$, whereas the torsion moment has the units of $l \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{in}$. It should be noted that, over the flexbeam-torque tube span, the flatwise and edgewise stresses outputted are those only for the flexbeam whereas the torsion moments and stresses outputted are those only for the torque tube. The last two columns are the distributions of the $A$ and $B$ parameters needed to define the unsteady airloads. The fourth group of result quantities at the bottom of the sample page consists of miscellaneous deflection, load and stress results for the flexbeam and push-rod.

The line titled SPAR/FLEXURE PARAMETERS presents similar stresses and torsion moments for the flexbeam at the indicated spanwise location; note, however, that the output torsion moment is for the flexbeam immediately inboard of the juncture. Of the remaining four quantities, PUSH-ROD (RELATIVE) DEFL. (in.), TORQUE TUBE ROOT DEFL. (in.), and TORQUE TUBE ROOT SHEAR (lb) pertain only to cantilevered torque tube configurations wherein the "wobble mode" option is invoked (input location 985). All three quantitics are defined in the positive 25 direction. The quantity luSil-ROD LOAD (Ib)
is the upward $(+25)$ directed load the push-rod exerts on the pitch horn/ push-rod attachment point; this quantity is calculated for all blade types. For composite bearingless rotors, the push-rod load accounts for the total blade torsion moment at the root less that torsion moment resisted by the flexbeam.

After the time-history solution has either converged to periodicity or run to maximum flapping trials (input location 10 ) various integrated loads are calculated for one final blade revolution to form the aerodynamic performance and stress results depicted in Sample Page 9. For each of eight (8) performance quantities results are presented in nondimensional coefficient form, in nondimensional form divided by solidity, and in actual dimensional form. Note that ten (10) dimensional quantities are listed and the units are 1 lb for forces and $\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}$ for moments, as appropriate. The quantity EQU. DRAG (lb) represents the combined power expended by the rotor due to rotor rotation (torque) and translation (drag) divided by flight speed.

The next line duplicates the parameters defining the flight condition and includes four (4) additional quantities which depend on the integrated performance for evaluation. At the beginning of the time-history calculation it is not known which part of the inflow ratio being used is due to ram effects and which due to momentum induced effects. Once the integrated rotor thrust is calculated, however, the induced portion of the inflow can then be calculated using the simple usual momentum formula derived for flight in an infinite continuum. The complementary portion of the inflow represents the ram effect from which the shaft angle-of-attack ALPHA S, in degrees, can be calculated. The quantity VEL ACT. is the actual forward flight velocity, in knots, consistent with the advance ratio used and the shaft angle of attack. For finite forward flight speeds EQU. L/D is the lift divided by the equivalent drag; for hovering cases this quantity is the figure of merit. PAR. AREA, the rotor parasite (drag) area, in square feet, is the rotor drag divided by dynamic pressure. The line titled CORRECTIONS DUE TO WIND TUNNEL WALL INTERFERENCE: consists of recalculations of those quantities which depend on the induced portion of the inflow wherein the induced inflow is calculated using the formulae derived for flight in wind tunnels or in ground effect. The remainder of Sample Page 9 consists of reductions of the various stresses (lb/in. ${ }^{2}$ ) and the push-rod load (lb) to median and $1 / 2$ peak-to-peak values over the final rotor revolution.

Should major (trim) iterations be used (see descriptions of input items 60 and 62 through 65) two similar types of page output will be generated. The trim calculation first calculates a trim matrix of partial derivatives. For this calculation the blade pitch control angles (or, optionally, components of Glauert inflow) and shaft angle are systematically incremented to form the numerical partial derivatives. During the calculation of these partial derivatives, the time history solution is run separately five times to

## 


establish the base values and the four perturbations. An output page is generated for each of these five runs. Each of these pages has the combined form of the first three lines of Sample Page 8 (giving header information) and the aerodynamic portion of Sample Page 9 (exclusive of the stress results).

Once the partial derivative matrix has been calculated from the perturbational calculations, the second type of trim related page output is generated, and is shown in Sample Page 10. This page, which is repeated for each trim iteration, consists of the same output as is generated while incrementing the control quantities (as discussed above) together with additional specific trim iterative information. The first line of this additional information consists of the iteration number, the zeroth, first cosine and first and second sine harmonics of first flatwise mode response, in radians, and an estimate of an effective angle-of-attack on the retreating blade side ( $\psi=270^{\circ}$ ), in degrees. The nonzero elements of the TRIM PARTIAL DERIVATIVE MATRIX give, for each row, the partial derivaties of the four trim quantities $\left(C_{L}, C_{P F}, C_{P M}, C_{R M}\right.$, respectively) with respect to the four control quantities being used ( $\theta .75, A_{1 s}$, $B_{1 s}$, and (sin $\alpha_{s}$ ), or $v_{0}, v_{1 c}, v_{1 s}$, and ( $\sin \alpha_{s}$ ), for each respective column. The elements of this matrix are formulated using approximate linear strip theory (with reversed flow effects) or numerical differentiation and are calculated for either set of control quantities. The ERROR VECTOR consists of the differences between the four requested trim quantities and those achieved in the preceeding time-history. The two lines depicted give the error vector in dimensional ( 1 b and $1 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{ft}$ ) and nondimensional forms, respectively. The TRIM VARIABLE CORRECTION VECTOR consists of those changes to the control quantities which should null the above described error vector. The correction vector is obtained from the premultiplication of the inverse of the $G$ matrix with the error vector, but the corrections are scaled, if necessary, to prevent control changes of more than 2 degrees within any one iteration. The control parameters whose increments are depicted in this output page are, in respective order: $\theta_{.75}, A_{1 s}, B_{1 s},\left(\sin \alpha_{s}\right), \lambda, C_{T}, v_{0}, v_{1 c}$, and $v_{1 s}$; the first three have units of degrees and the remainder are dimensionless or nondimensionalized.

PART II. TIME HISTORY SOLUTION OF COMPLETE (NONLINEAR) EQUATION SET - AEROELASTIC TRANSIENT RESPONSES


AERODYNAMIC PERFORMANCE AND STRESSES

|  | H FORCE | Y FORCE | THRUST | ROLL. MOM. | PITCH MOM. | TORQUE | LIFT | PROP. FORCE | HORSEPOWER | EQU. DRAC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C( ) | . xxxxxxxx | . xxxxxxxx | . XXXXXXXXX | . Xxxxxxxx | . xxxxxxxx | . $\mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{XXXXXXXX}$ | . XXXOXXXXX | . XXXXXXXXX |  |  |
| C( )/SIG | . xxxxxxxx | . xdxxxxxx | . xXXXXXXXX | . xxxxxxxx | . XXXXXXXXX | . xxxxxxxx | : XXXXXXXXX | . Xxxxxxxx |  |  |
| DMEENS. | XX. XX | XX. XX | XX. XX | XX. XX | XX. XX | XX. XX | XX. XX | XX. XX | XX. XX | XX. XX |
| Als | B1S | A2S | B2S | THETA 75 | Lambda | MU | VEL ACT. | EQU. L/D | PAR. AREA | ALPHA S |
| . XXX | . XXX | . XXX | . XXX | . XXX | . XXXXX | . XXX | XX.XX | . XxX | . XXX | . XXX |

TRIM ITERATION NO. 99, $\quad Q H 10=X . X X E-Y Y \quad Q W I C=X . X X E-Y Y \quad Q W 1 S=X . X X E-Y Y \quad Q U 2 S=X . X X E-Y Y \quad A(270)=X X . X X$ TRIM PARTIAL DERIVATIVE MATRIX, $D(C L, C P F, C P M, C R M) / D(X X(I), S I N(A L P H A S))(X X(1)=T H 7 S$ OR VO, XX(2)=AIS OR VIC, XX(3)= BIS OR VIS

| X.XXXE-YY | $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ | $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ | $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ | $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ | $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ | $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ |
| $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ | $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ | $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ | $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ |
| $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ | $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ | $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ | $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ |

ERROR VECTOR (DIMENSIONAL AND NONDIMENSIONAL)

```
X.XXXE-YY X.XXXE-YY X.XXXE-YY X.XXXE-YY
X.XXXE-YY X.XXXE-YY X.XXXE-YY X.XXXE-YY
```

TRIM VARIABLE CORRECTION VECTOR, $\operatorname{DXX}(1)(1=T H 75,2=A 1 S, 3=B 1 S, 4=S I N(A L P H A S), 5=L A M B D A, 6=V O ; 7=V 1 C, 8=V 1 S)$ $X \cdot X X X E-Y Y$ X.XXXE-YY X.XXXE-YY X.XXXE-YY X.XXXE-YY X.XXXE-YY X.XXXE-YY X.XXXE-YY

Once the time-history solution has converged to periodicity and all major iterations have been completed, the program optionally porforms harmonle analyses of the azimuthal variations of various response quantities (see descriptions of input items 48 and 73 through 75). The outputs of these harmonic analyses are depicted in Sample Pages 11 through 13. In each of these sample pages the harmonic information for each response variable is contained in the appropriate horizontal band of five rows. The harmonics are listed by columns up to a maximum of 10 harmonics. All harmonic analysis output depicted on these sample pages assume a negative harmonic content form in keeping with the (negative) harmonic form conventionally assumed for the blade pitch control and rigid flapping angles. For each harmonic of response variable five quantities are outputted; these quantities are, respectively, the cosine and sine components, the equivalent amplitude and phase angle, and lastly, the amplitude of the harmonic relative to all the other harmonic amplitudes outputted. Sample Page 11 depicts the harmonic analyses of the dimensionless modal response variables selected wherein $\mathrm{QW}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{QV}(\mathrm{K})$, and $\mathrm{QT}(\mathrm{J})$ are, respectively, the (I) flatwise, (K) edgewise and (J) torsional uncoupled mode responses.

Sample Page 12 depicts the harmonic analyses of the total shears and moments exerted by one blade to the hub. In contrast to the steady hub loads listed in the AERODYNAMIC PERFORMANCE AND STRESSES output (Sample Page 9) which are calculated by integrating only the aerodynamic load distributions, the total hub loads which are herein harmonically analyzed are calculated by similarly integrating the combined aerodynamic and the dynamic load distributions. The longitudinal, lateral and vertical hub shears comprising the first three quantities of this sample page all have the dimensions of $1 b$ and are defined in the $x_{1}-(a f t), y_{1}$ (starboard), and $z_{1}$ (up and along axis of rotation) axis directions, respectively. The roll, pitch and yaw moments comprising the latter three quantities on this sample page have the dimensions of lb-ft and are defined positive (using the right-hand rule) about the $x_{1}$, , $y_{1}-$, and $z_{1}$-axes, respectively. Note that the aerodynamic rolling moment whose output is depicted in Sample Page 9 is defined positive starboard side down and is opposite from the harmonically analyzed total rolling moment depicted in Sample Page 12. Sample Page 13 depicts the harmonic analysis of the flatwise stresses at the center of each of the spanwise segments. A similar output listing is provided for both edgewise and torsional stresses.

## marnomic amatysis of blade responses

|  | $x$. | XexXXE-Yy | $x$ exxyc-yr | xoxise-ry | xexixe-ry | $x$ xexut-ry | X $\quad$ XXXE-Yr |  | mexxit-ry | xoxxy-yy | $x \cdot X X X P-Y y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | x.xxxE-yy | moxxxe-yr | x.xixe-y | x,xxxe-yy | XexxXE-ry | x.xxxt-yr | x-xixe-yt | x.xxitity | xoxixe-yy | $x$ xexxe-yr |
|  |  | xoxxem-yt | X.XXXE-Yy | y.xyxe-py | A.xEXE-Yy | X.XXXE-y | Rexxxe-ry | X, xxixty | xexixfiry | xexxec-iy | x.xxxE-Yy |
|  |  | xxx.x | xxx.x | 1xi.x | xxx.x | xxx.x | $x \times x . x$ | xxx.x | xxix | xxx.x | $x \times x . x$ |
|  |  | - $8 \times 8$ | -xxx | . $1 \times 1$ | .1xx | xxx | xxx | xxx | mxx | -xx | xxx |
|  | x,xxat-ry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | x, xXXE-Tt | X, XXXE-Yy | x,xxic-ty | x.xxxe-yr | x.xxxE-iy | XexXXE-Yy | x.xxxE-y |  | x, xxye-y | $x .8 \times x[-y t$ |
|  |  | $x \cdot x \times X E-Y Y$ | X, XXXE-Yy | X, XIXE-Yy | x.rxic-ry | X XXXE -7y |  | X.xxxE-y | x.1xxE-ry | x, xxitery | $x . \times x \times t-r y$ |
|  |  | E.xxxt-yr | x.xixe-yt | x.xixe-ty | x,xixe-yy | x.xxicery | xoxxxe-ry | X, XXXE-y | dexixE-ry | x,xxxE-y | x, XXXF-ry |
|  |  | xxx.x | xxx.x | $x \times \mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{x}$ | xxi.x | xER.x | xxx.x | xxx.x | xxix | xxi,x | $x \times x . x$ |
| $0 \times 3$ |  | . $x \times x$ | -xxx | . 818 | - XXX | cexx | -xxx | xxx | 7xx | xax | $x \times x$ |
|  | X.xxxE-y | x.xxxE-ry | x.xxxichy | x.xexe-ti | x. 1 IXE-y | x.xxxe-yy | x.xxxe-ty | x.xxye-in | mexixt-ry | x.xxxe-yr | mexixe-ry |
|  |  | xexxxe-ry | x.xxxc-ry | x.xixicrit | x.EIXE-ry | X, XXXE-y | x.xxxiery | x-xxer-y | x.fyefery | m.xixt-yy | xexxxe-ry |
|  |  | x, XXXE-TY | x.xxxt-ry | x.xxic-ry | x.exixe-ry | X.IXXE-Yy | x.exxE-y | x,xixt-ty | mexixc-yr | x.xxie-yr | x.xxxe-ry |
|  |  | xxx.x | xxx.x | xxx.x | xıx.x | $x \mathrm{xx} \mathrm{x}^{\text {x }}$ | $x \times \mathrm{x}$. x | $x \times x . x$ | xix.x | xxi.x | xxx.x |
|  |  | -xXX | . 8 xx | .7xx | -x>x | -xxx | .xxx | -xx | . $x \times x$ | .xXX | -xxx |
| ovi | XexxXE-y | $x$ x $\times \times X E-Y$ \% | xexxxe-y | xexinc-ry | x.xxxe-ry | x-xxxE-yy | Xexxxe-ry | x, xxyery | x.inxf-yr | X Xxxe-ry | $x$ exxxe-ry |
|  |  |  | x, xxxicrir | xomixc-ry | mexxictry | x, xxxE-y | x, xxxe-ry | x, $\times \times \times 8$-ry | x,xxie-ry | x.xxictry |  |
|  |  | X.xXXE-ry | xexxic-it | x-xxic-y | x, xxit-ry | x,xxic-it |  | x, xxxery | x.exifery | yexare-ry | xexxe-ry |
|  |  | xxx.x |  | xxx.x | xix.x | xix.x | xxx.x | xxx.x | xxa.x | xxx.x | xxx.x |
|  |  | .xxy | .181 | - $\times 8 \times$ | . $x \times x$ | . $1 \times \mathrm{x}$ | -xyx | , xx | -xx | -xx | -xxx |
| ov | X.XXXE-yY |  | x.xxen-ry | x.xIXE-1\% | mexxemery | xoxxem-ry | xexxem-yt | x, xxat-ry | x.xxic-ry | x.xxxc-ry | x.xxxE-ry |
|  |  | X, XXXEE-Yy | x.xxemery | x.xixe-ty | R, XXXE-Yy | x, xxicier | $x$ x, xxxe-y | x, xXXE-Ty | x.1xxf-yr | x.xixe-ry | x, XXXE - y |
|  |  | yexxem-ty | x,xxet-ry | x.ximety | meximety | xexixe-ty | xexxat-y | xexxxe-ty | x.axit-ry | x.xaxe-ry | x.xxex-ry |
|  |  | $x \times x . x$ | xxy.x | $x \times x . x$ | xxix | xix.x | $x \times x . x$ | xxx.y | 11x.x | xxx.x | xxx.x |
|  |  | .xxx | -xxx | .xxy | -xıx | -xxx | -xix | -xix | .xx | .xxx | -xxx |
| 011 |  | x, xxat-ir | x,xire-ty | x.xxit-Tr | 8.1xxE-ry | y.xaxe-ry | x-xxxE-y | x-xixfery | xosxic-ry |  |  |
|  |  | x, ixxerit | x.xxicty | x.xiti-vt | a.xisc-py | yexpre-ry | x, xxxe-yr | x.xixe-ry | x.15xt-y | y.xixe-ry | x,xxxE-r |
|  |  | x, $x \times x$ Erir | x.xixity | x.xitity | x.mat-ry | xexine-ty | xexaxi-y | x,xixe-ry | y.ximery | meximery | x.xxxer |
|  |  | xxx.x |  | $x \times 1 . x$ | ixix | XXIX ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | xxx.x | xxx.r | mixix | xix.x | $x \times x . x$ |
|  |  | -xx | .181 | -14x | 184 |  | -xx | -xx ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | -xı | . XIX | .xxx |



Sample Page 12


## Impedance Matrices

When the analysis is optionally used to calculate impedance matrices (as controlled by input items 930 through 939, and 946) these matrices are output as shown in Sample Pages 14 and 15 . Sample Page 14 presents the output format for the blade passage harmonic characteristics of conventionally defined hub loads and their respective imepdances due to vibratory hub acceleration. The column denoted HUB LOADS presents the $N / r e v$ harmonic content of the hub loads as determined by summing contributions from all blades, where $N$ is indicated in the first line of this sample page. Thus, the values in this column are equal to the Nth harmonic values shown in Sample Page 12 but multiplied by the number of blades. Note that, as shown in Sample Page 14 , the results would be appropriate for the 4 th harmonic hub loads for a fourbladed rotor. The columns denoted LDXC, LDYC, LDZC, LDXS, LDYS and LDZS present the derivatives of the respective HUB LOADS values with respect to $\mathrm{N} /$ rev vibratory displacements in the $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z directions, cosine and sine components each. The columns denoted RDXC, RDYC, ..., RDZS present similar derivatives of the hub loads with respect to vibratory rotations about the $x, y$ and $z$ axes, cosine and sine components each. Note that the units of the loads and perturbational quantities are defined in the second line of this sample page. The positive directions of the loads are also defined and are consistent with those of Sample Page 12.

Sample Page 15 presents elements of an "augmented" impedance matrix representing the partial derivatives of the HUB LOADS components, but with respect to the six components of higher harmonic (pitch) control angle (HHC) appropriate to $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{rev}$ vibratory hub loads. Normally these components consist of the cosine and sine components each of the $N-1, N$ and $N+1$ harmonics. Note that Sample Page 15 indicates harmonic components of HHC appropriate to $4 / \mathrm{rev}$ vibrations. As indicated in the first line of this sample page the pitch angle perturbations have the units of degrees.

In Sample Page 16 is depicted the typical additional page of output generated at the beginning of every case following the first case of a multiple case sum. The two columns depict, respectively, the location numbers and data values for the newly inputted data distinguishing the prsent case from the previous one. This feature is intended solely as an ease of usage output to assist in data management.

SUMMARY OF ROTOR RUB 4/REV LOADS AND HUB DMPEDANCE MATRLX CALCULATIONS FOR 4/REV VIBRATORY HUB DISPLACEMENTS UNITS... U(SHEAR) $=$ LBS, $U($ HOMENT $)=F T-L B S, U(L I N E A R ~ D I S P L A C E M E N T)=F T, ~ U(R O T A T I O N A L ~ D I S P L A C E M E N T)=R A D$ CONVENTIONAL FOURIER SERIES REPRESENTATIONS

hub impedance matrix for hhC pitch angles (units - deg)

| LONG. | SHR ( + , | AFT) | Cos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAT. | SHR ( + , | STRBD) | Cos |
| VERT. | SHR ( + , |  | Cos |
| ROLL | MOMT ( + , | STRBD UP) | Cos |
| PITCH | MOMT (+, | NOSE UP) | Cos |
| YAW | MOMT ( + , | OHEGA DIR.) | COS |
| LONG. | SHR ( + , | AFT) | S IN |
| LAT. | SHR ( + , | STRBD) | IN |
| VERT. | SHR ( + , |  | IN |
| ROLL | MOMT (+, | STRBD UP) | S IN |
| PITCH | MOMT ( + , | NOSE UP) | SIN |
| YaW | MOMT ( + , | OMEGA DIR.) | SIN |


| A3S | A4S | A5S | B3S | B4S | B5S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXXE-YY | X. XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X. XXXES-YY |
| X. XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X. XXXE-YY | X. XXXE-YY |
| X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY |
| X.XXXE-YY | $X . X X X E-Y Y$ | X.XXXE-YY | X. XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY |
| X.XXXE-YY | $X . X X X E-Y Y$ | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X. XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY |
| X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X, XXXEE-YY | X.XXXE-YY |
| X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X. XXXE-YY | X,XXXE-YY |
| X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXEEYY | X.XXXE-YY | X. XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY |
| X. XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY |
| X.XXXE-YY | X. XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X. XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY |
| X. XXXE-YY | X. XXXEE-YY | X. XXXE-YY | X. XXXE-YY | X. XXXES-Y | X. XXXE-YY |
| X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY | X.XXXE-YY |

## Sample Page 15

program gaoo - case 2 of multi-case run. input oata representing changes to the ppeyidus case are as follous:

| \%xX |
| :---: |
| xix |
| x<x\% |
| mixi |
| xixy |
| xixx |
| 118x |
| x>x |
| 118x |
| 18x |
| $x \times x$ x |
| xix\% |
| xix ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $x 18 \mathrm{x}$ |
| XXXX |



Sample Page 16
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## APPENDIX I

UPDATES TO DOCUMENTATION OF BASIC G400 ANALYSIS

Since the publication of Reference 1 the original (;400 analysis has undergone continual development. In this process many of the original formulations and derivations have been reassessed, rechecked, and, where appropriate, reformulated. This appendix presents some of these reformulations and are, in fact, an errata update sheet to Reference 1.

1. The first such update pertains to the definitions for the deflection correction terms resulting from structural twist. In particular, the first order terms given by Eqs. (7) and (8) of Reference 1 should read, respectively, as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta v=\left[\int_{0}^{\bar{r}} \Theta^{\prime} \gamma_{w_{i}} d \bar{r}_{1}+\int_{0}^{\bar{r}} \int_{0}^{\bar{T}_{1}} \Theta^{\prime} \gamma_{w_{i}} d \bar{r}_{2} d \bar{r}_{1}\right] q_{w_{i}}  \tag{I.1}\\
& \Delta w=\left[\int_{0}^{\bar{T}} \Theta^{\prime} \gamma_{v_{k}} d \bar{r}_{1}+\int_{0}^{\bar{r}} \int_{0}^{\bar{r}_{1}} \Theta^{\prime} \gamma_{v_{k}}^{\prime} d \bar{r}_{2} d \bar{r}_{1}\right] q_{v_{k}} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

2. The second update pertains to formulation of the elements of the inertia coupling matrix, [A], (Eq. (45) of Reference l). This matrix should be completely symmetric; the offending terms, $A_{w_{i}} \theta_{j}$ and $A_{v_{k}} \theta_{j}$ should equal their respective transposed elements:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{w_{i} \theta_{j}}=A_{\theta_{j} w_{i}}=\int_{0}^{1} m y_{10_{C G}} \gamma_{\theta_{j}}\left(\gamma_{w_{i}}-\Delta w_{i}\right) d r  \tag{I.3}\\
& A_{v_{k} \theta_{j}}=A_{\theta_{j} v_{k}}=-\int_{0}^{1} m y_{10_{C G}} \gamma_{\theta j} \Delta w_{k} d r \tag{I.4}
\end{align*}
$$

3. The third and fourth updates pertain to the implementation of the redundant analysis of flexbeam-torque tube assemblies. The first of these two relates to the torsional stiffness characteristics of the flexbeams. A more correct statement of the boundary conditions for the flexbeam torsion equation (Eq. (ll5) of Reference 1) includes relating the flexbeam outboard twist rate, $\theta^{\prime}\left(r_{j}\right)$, to the twist rate of the outer blade:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime}\left(r_{\mathrm{J}}\right)=\Theta_{J}^{\prime} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the twist rate of the outer blade comes from built-in and response contributions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{J}^{\prime}=\Theta_{B}^{\prime}\left(r_{j}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N T M} r_{\theta_{j}}^{\prime}\left(r_{j}\right) a_{\theta_{j}} \tag{I.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inclusion of this generally nonzero value of twist rate has the effect of modifying the resulting expression for the elastic torsion deflection of the flexbeam at the juncture (Eq. (129a)):

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \Theta_{j}= & \frac{1}{\left(e_{11} e_{23}-e_{21} e_{13}\right)} \sum_{i=}^{4} T_{i}\left[e_{13}\left(f_{2, i+1}+e_{22} \Theta_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right.  \tag{1.7}\\
& \left.-e_{23}\left(f_{1, i+1}+e_{12} \Theta_{J}^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and the symbolic expression for this deflection (Eq. (130b)) then becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \Theta & =\theta_{S_{x}} S_{x_{5_{F_{B}}}}+\theta_{M_{x}} M_{x_{5_{F B}}}+\theta_{S_{y}} S_{y_{5_{F_{B}}}} \\
& +\theta_{S_{z}} S_{z_{5_{F_{B}}}}+\theta_{M_{y}} M_{y_{5_{F_{B}}}}+\theta_{M_{z}} M_{z_{5_{F_{B}}}}  \tag{1.8}\\
& +\theta_{\Theta^{\prime}} \cdot \Theta_{J}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

4. The fourth update pertains to the calculation of internal blade shears and moments in the blade adjacent to the juncture. As outlined in Reference 1 a force integration approach was implemented which necessitated extrapolations to estimate the modal accelerations. This calculation was found to be best performed using a mode deflection method instead. Thus, Eqs. (132b) and 132f). of Reference 1 are, respectively, replaced by the following expressions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S y_{5_{B}}=-S \Theta_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{N F M} D S I_{i} q_{w_{i}}+C \Theta_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{N E M} D S 3_{k} q_{v_{k}} \tag{1.9a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2_{5}}=c Q_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{N F M} D S I_{i} a_{w_{i}}+s \theta_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{N E M} D S 3_{k} q_{v_{k}} \tag{I.9b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{x_{5 B}}=\sum_{j=1}^{N T M} D S 5_{j} q_{\theta_{j}} \tag{1.9c}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{y_{5_{B}}}=c \Theta_{J} \sum_{i=1}^{\text {NFM }} D S 2_{i} q_{w_{i}}-s \Theta_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{\text {NEM }} D S 4_{k} q_{v_{k}}  \tag{I.9d}\\
& M_{z_{5}}=S \Theta_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{\text {NFM }} D S 2_{i} q_{w_{i}}+c \Theta_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{\text {NEM }} D S 4_{k} q_{v_{k}} \tag{1.9e}
\end{align*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D S I_{i}=\omega_{w_{i}}^{2} \int_{r_{j}}^{1} m \gamma_{w_{i}} d \bar{r}  \tag{I.10a}\\
& D S 2_{i}=\int_{r_{j}}^{1} m\left[\left(\bar{r}-\bar{r}_{j}\right) \omega_{w_{i}}^{2} \gamma_{w_{i}}-\bar{r}\left(\gamma_{w_{i}}(\bar{r})-\gamma_{w_{i}}\left(\bar{r}_{j}\right)\right)\right] d \bar{r}  \tag{I.10b}\\
& D S 3_{k}=\left(\omega_{v_{k}}^{2}+1\right) \int_{\bar{r}_{j}}^{1} m \gamma_{v_{k}} d \bar{r}  \tag{I.10c}\\
& D S 4_{k}=\int_{\bar{r}_{j}}^{1} m\left[\left(\bar{r}-\bar{r}_{j}\right)\left(\omega_{v_{k}}^{2}+1\right)-\bar{r}\left(\gamma_{v_{k}}(\bar{r})-\gamma_{v_{k}}\left(\bar{r}_{j}\right)\right)\right] d \bar{r}  \tag{1.10d}\\
& D S 5_{j}=\int_{\Gamma_{j}}^{1} m \gamma_{\theta_{j}}\left[\omega_{\theta_{j}}^{2}\left(\bar{k}_{z_{10}}^{2}+\bar{k}_{y_{10}}^{2}\right)+\bar{k}_{y_{10}}^{2}-\bar{k}_{z_{10}}^{2}\right] d \bar{r} \tag{I.10e}
\end{align*}
$$
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