MCR-82-569 Contract No, NAS8-34679 https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19820025259 2020-03-21T06:22:43+00:00Z

Final Report

June 1982

ちちちち いろうと ちちちちちち 四日の

Development of an Autonomous Video Rendezvous and Docking System

(NASA-CE-162076)DEVELOPMENT OF ANLE2-33135AUTCNOMOUS VIDEO FENDEZOUS AND DOCKINGSYSTEM Final Report (Martin MariettaSYSTEM Final Report (Martin MariettaAerospace, Denver, Colo.)284 pHC A13/MF B01CSCL 12B G3/6b 28930



MCR-82-569 Contract No. NAS8-34679

Final Report

June 1982

DEVELOPMENT OF AN AUTONOMOUS VIDEO RENDEZVOUS AND DOCKING SYSTEM

John C. Tietz and Joy H. Kelly

MARTIN MARIETTA AEROSPACE DENVER AEROSPACE P.O. Box 179 Denver, Colorado 80201

FOREWORD

This report presents the results of a nine-month study by Martin Marietta for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's George C. Marshall Spaceflight Center. This study, including conceptual design and simulation of three video guidance systems for spacecraft, was performed under contract NAS8-34679, Development of an Autonomous Video Rendezvous and Docking System. Significant benefits were obtained from previous related work under Martin Marietta IR&D task D-11R.

.....

١

•

		Page
Ι.	SUMMARY	1-1
II.	INTRODUCTION TO THE PROBLEM	II-1
111.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	III-1
Δ.	A Three-Light Docking Aid is Recommended	1-111
B.	Many Factors Determine Performance	111-2
с.	The Hard Part is the last Fight Meters	111-3
с. п	Tumbling Tarrata Maka Control Difficult	111_3
D.	A Dhusiant Simulation Should Include a Control System	111 5
E •	Camera, and Target	III-4
IV.	THE THREE SYSTEMS THAT WERE SIMULATED	IV-1
Α.	Image of Three Lights Gives Attitude and Position in First	* 1
в.	Projected Rainbows and Stereo Rangefinding Form Second	1-1
	System	1V-12
С.	Image of Ring of Lights Leaves Roll Undefined in Third System .	IV-21
۷.	SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	V-1
Α.	The Three-Light System Works Best	V-1
Β.	The Three-Light System Works with Tumbling Targets	V-5
С.	There is Only One Option	V-9
D.	The Hardware Technology Is Available	V-11
V1.	TWO OTHER CANDIDATE SYSTEMS	VI-1
Α.	Correlation System Was Too Expensive	VI-1
В.	Curve Fitting Scheme Duplicated MSFC Effort	VI-1
VII.	OTHER TECHNIQUES THAT WERE INVESTIGATED	VII-1
Α.	Corner/Edge Recognition Is Too Expensive	VII-1
В.	Bar Pattern Requires High Resolution and Good Lighting	VII-2
С.	Rangefinding by Optical Focusing Is Hard to Use	VII-2
VIII.	A GENERIC VIDEO GUIDANCE SYSTEM	VIII-1
۸.	The Kalman Filter Improves Accuracy and Derives Rates	VJII-2
в.	The Mathematical Dynamics Model Allows Dead Reckoning	VIII-4
с.	The Inertial Measurement Unit Provides Attitude and Attitude Rate Information	VIII-6
D.	Goal-Setting Logic Provides Intelligence	VIII-7
Ε.	The Control Law Determines Ideal Thrust Vectors	VIII-9
F.	Thrusters Approximate Required Forces and Torques	VIII-11
G.	Chase Vehicle Dynamics Respond to Thruster Commands	VIII-11
н.	Target Attitude is Modeled As Deterministic	VIII-15
Ι.	Different Spacecraft Can Be Modeled	VIII-16
1 X.	COMPUTER MODELS OF MEASUREMENTS AND NOISE	IX-1
Α.	Light Positions Modeled are with Perspective Projection	IX-1
в.	Random Noise Corrupts Image Coordinates	IX-2

١

AP PENDIX A. COMPUTER PROGRAM TO SIMULATE THREE-LIGHT SYSTEM A-1 COMPUTER PROGRAM TO SIMULATE SYSTEM THAT USES "RAINBOW" B. B-1 COMPUTER PROGRAM TO SIMULATE SYS M THAT USES RING OF LIGHTS . C. C-1 D. D-1 Ε. ADAPTING THE KALMAN FILTER FOR OTHER SIMULATIONS E-1 Figure II-1 Chase Vehicle Modeled for Computer Simulations 11-2 **II-2** Target Spacecraft Modeled for Computer Simulations II-3 III-1 III-1 Modification to Ring-of-Lights Docking Aid III-2III-2 IV-1 Flashing-Light Docking Aid IV-2 IV-2 IV-4 IV-3 IV-8 IV-4 IV-9 IV-5 IV-12 IV-6 Stereo Rangefinder on the Chase Vehicle IV-13 IV-7 Stereo Rangefinder Block Diagram **IV-14** IV-8 The Stereo Rangefinding System and Nomenclature IV-15 IV--9 Percent Error vs Range for Stereo Rangefinding System IV-17 Ring-of-Lights Docking Aid IV-10 IV-22 Possible Hardware to Compute Centroid and Ellipse Parameters . IV-11 IV-23 IV-12 Equations Solved by Circuit of Figure IV-11 IV-23 17-13 IV-25 V-1 Typical Trajectories with Three-Light System V-2 V-2 V-3 v-3 Typical Trajectories with Ellipse System V-4 V-4 Typical Trajectories with Three-Light System with Various Target Tumble Rates about the Docking Axis V-6 V-5 Trajectories with Three-Light System with Various Tumble V-7 V-(Trajectories with Three-Light System with Various Tumble V-8 VIII-2 VIII-2 Physical Significance of Equation (VIII-10) • • • • • • • • • • • • VIII-8 VIII-3 Esstential Logic of Function ACCEL VIII-10 IX-1 Physical Interpretation of Equation (IX-1) IX-1 Table II-1 II-2 TV-1 Derivations of Formulas (IV-18) and (IV-19) IV-16 V-1 Tradeoff Matrix for Three Rendezvous and Docking Systems . . . V-10 VII-1 VII-1 VIII-1 Relationship between Block Diagram Blocks and Subroutines in VIII-2 Definitions of Elements of True-State Array STATE VIII-12

I. Summary

I. Summary

ERRATA

``

Į

1 A J 🛉

 Please note the following errors in <u>Development of An Autonomous Video Rendezvous</u> and <u>Docking System</u>:

~~ ***** · ·

١.

Page	Line	Original Text	Correction
111	2nd from .bottom	Light Positions Modeled are	Light Positions are Modeled
I-1	6th line of 2nd paragraph	20,000 degrees per second	20,000 degrees per hour
IV-11	3rd line from bottom	1/600th of the	1/400th of the
IV-11	2nd line from bottom	380 television lines	128 television lines
IV-12	lst and 2nd lines	better resolution, and resolution as	better resolution. [delete remainder of sentence]
IV-16	lst line of figure	diagram in Figure IV -9.	diagram in Figure IV -8.
IV-21	3rd line of lst paragraph	system. The same resolution was modeled, and the same assumptions were made.	system, and the same assumptions were made. but 380-line resolution was modeled.
V-5	5th line of last paragraph	degrees per hour a ove	degrees per hour about
V-10	3-Light system line of Table, Resolution column	380 Television Lines	128 Television Lines
VIII-7	After definition of A _c	[Text missing before paragraph that begins, "In these simulations]	 μ, represented in the program by the 3-element array BODVEL, is the chase vehicle angular velocity, expressed in the body coordinate system.
VIII-15	Row defining <u>q</u> and ġ, "Meaning" column	Allitude Quaternion	Attitude Quaternion

I. SUMMARY

A simple docking aid consisting of three flashing lights has proved viable for use in an autonomous video rendezvous and docking system for spacecraft. A television image of this target can be analyzed to determine the relative positions and attitudes of the two spacecraft. The analysis time is only 100 milliseconds because a simple dedicated electronic circuit assists in the analysis.

Control systems using this and two other types of docking aids were evaluated through computer simulation in this study and other approaches were considered. However, this three-light system performed much better than the others. Its accuracy is affected little by tumbling of the target spacecraft, and in the simulations it was able to cope with attitude rates up to 20,000 degrees per hour about the docking axis. Its performance with rotation about other axes is determined primarily by the state-estimation and goal-setting portions cl the control system, not by measurement accuracy.

A physical simulation of the three-light control system would be useful to verify the validity of the assumptions and mathematical models used in this study. The simulation should employ scaled target models, a television camera, and hardware video signal processing electronics. The simulation should also model the spacecraft control system and the limitations of a practical spacecraft. This level of detail is recommended to force consideration of compatibility problems and may reveal weaknesses in the approach that might not otherwise be detected. This report includes a discussion of a suitable control system, and Appendix A discusses a computer program that can serve as the basis for the physical simulation.

II. Introduction to the Problem

The need for an Automated Rendezvous and Docking System has been shown in a number of mission models, including those in the Mars sample return and large space system studies. Several factors make automation attractive: practical limitations on human interaction, fuel-use and trajectory optimization requirements, safety, communication limitations, and the need for real-time operation. 42 Mar 1 M

. . . 4 . 4 . 4

and the second second

š

т. г.

\$

١

The purpose of this study was to identify video techniques that might be suitable for such an automated system, define the equations and algorithms these techniques would use, and evaluate video guidance control systems based on these techniques through computer simulation.

To ensure that practical problems were considered, the simulation was to model not only the sensor but also methods for dealing with a number of practical problems, e.g., maintaining control when the target spacecraft leaves the field of view of the guidance sensor. The simulation also was to model the characteristics and limitations of practical spacecraft, because this may reveal subtle incompatibilities. A mission model was then defined to serve as a basis for the simulation.

In this model the chase vehicle is a general-purpose spacecraft for repair, refurbishment, and retrieval of other spacecraft. After it is deployed from the Space Shuttle, it must rendezvous and dock with a passive target spacecraft that is in a circular orbit about the earth at an altitude of 300 km. The chase vehicle is illustrated in Figure II-1 and has the characteristics summarized in Table II-1.

The target spacecraft (Fig. II-2), is similar to the Long Duration Exposure Facility (LDEF). It has an appropriate docking aid and docking fixture, but it is passive during the docking operation. It can nei-ther perform cooperative maneuvers nor maintain a stable attitude. Its only means of cooperation is turning docking-aid lamps on and off in response to radio commands.

Each computer simulation was to begin when the coarse rendezvous system, which has gotten the chase vehicle to within 1000 feet of the target, hands control to the video system. The coarse rendezvous is assumed accurate enough to guarantee that the target is within the field of view of the video guidance sensor. (Initial target acquisition is considered in the study but is not modeled in the computer simulations.) It is also assumed that, although fuel represents a significant fraction of the vehicle's mass, the mass during the terminal rendezvous can be predicted with reasonable accuracy. Because fuel use can be measured, this assumption is not unreasonable.

The scope of the study did not include system optimization, trajectory planning, or detailed hardware design.



The Body Coordinate System Used in the Simulations Are Shown

Figure II-1 Chase Vehicle Modeled for Computer Simulations

Table II-1 Chase Vehicle Characteristics

Vehicle Size:	Length: 5m								
	Width: 13m								
	Height: 4.5r	n							
Vehicle Mass:	3700 kg (Full	Fuel Tank)							
1800 kg (Empty Fuel Tank)									
Fuel: Monopropellant Hydrazine with GN ₂ Blowdown									
Approximate Translational Acceleration Authority (Each Axis): 0.1 m/s ² *									
Approximate Angular Acceleration Authority (Each Axis): 0.037 rad/s ² *									
Total Impulse: 4.9 x 10 ⁶ N·s*									
Moments of Inertia (kg·m ²):									
1	Full Fuel Tank	Empty Fuel	Tank						
Ro11	4240	1910							
Pitch	5110	2300							
Yaw	5030	2260							

* These Characteristies Vary with Fuel Load



Ĵ



Figure II-2 Target Spacecraft Modeled for Computer Simulations

The Body Coordinate System Used in the Simulations Is Shown. Note the Negative X Axis Is Chown: Chase Vehicle and Parget X Axes Are Parallel When the Two Spacecraft Are Do Wed.

III. Conclusions and Recommendations

İ

N.

1

A. A THREE-LIGHT DOCKING AID IS RECOMMENDED

Of the three v_deo guidance systems simulated in this study, the best system used the three-light docking aid shown in Figure III-1. Not only was it the simplest of the three systems, it was also the only system that worked reliably in the simulations.



Figure III-1 Flashing-Light Docking Aid

It was not accuracy that made this system best; it consistently outperformed the others even though the resolution and target size that were simulated gave it the poorest accuracy at long range. Its advantage was that it could measure both relative position and relative attitude from a single observation. The other systems had to resolve ambiguities in attitude by combining two successive measurements, an approach the simulation results proved to be dangerous.

The problem with the other two systems could be solved by modifying the docking aids slightly. For example, one of these systems used a ring of lights on the target spacecraft for a docking aid. By observing the target, the guidance system can determine that the camera lies somewhere on a circle about the docking axis, but it cannot determine the camera's position on the circle. The addition of one light (Fig. III-2) would greatly improve the performance of the guidance system by

uniquely defining the direction to the docking axis. Unfortunately, this approach results in a system that is more complicated than the three-light system because the modification requires adding a way of distinguishing between the ring of lights and the added light. Further, target roll about the docking axis still cannot be observed with this system.



Figure III-2 Modification to Ring-of-Lights Docking Aid Target Roll about the Docking Axis Is Still Not Observable, but the Direction to the Docking Axis Is Uniquely Defined.

The other system simulated used a docking aid that projects a rainbow of light in each of two directions. Color sensing and stereo rangefinding are used in this system to uniquely define the chase vehicle's position. Relative attitude, however, is ambiguous because chase vehicle rotation about the line of sight is not observable. The problem could be solved by adding some means for sensing this rotation, but this system is already the most complex of the three.

B. MANY FACTORS DETERMINE PERFOPMANCE

The video guidence system must do much more than determine the current position and actitude. It must also turn chase vehicle thrusters on and off to steer a safe course from the current position to the target spacecraft. It must ensure that the chase vehicle arrives at the target with the proper attitude and that the two spacecraft contact at low velocity. It must also align the chase vehicle's docking fixture properly with the docking fixture on the target spacecraft. In the process of doing these things, it must tot allow the target's image to leave the field of view of its television camera for more than a moment.

A control system may perform these functions poorly despite accurate knowledge of relative position and attitude. In this study we tried to uncover subtle incompatibilities between video guidance techniques and the remainder of the control system by modeling a complete system. If we had not, problems with the "rainbow" and "ring-of-light" approaches might have gone undiscovered. The problem in evaluating the systems this way is that performance depends on the entire system and not just the video guidance technique. If the system as a whole does not make effective use of the video information, there is a danger that a potentially useful technique will be rejected.

For example, we found that a small change in the goal-setting logic of the control system made a great difference in the ability of the three-light system to cope with tumbling targets. Suitable changes in the other simulations might have made the "rainbow" and "ring-oflights" approaches look more attractive.

While we do not believe that such changes would have altered the conclusions of the study (the three-light system is still simplest and least sensitive to the rest of the system), we believe that "fine-tuning" of the control system for best performance is worth the effort. We also want to caution the reader that the performance reported here is not necessarily the best the system might be able to provide.

C. THE HARD PART IS THE LAST EIGHT METERS

A system that measures only the distance and direction to the target is adequate to approach within eight meters of the targe". At this point attitude information becomes vital because offsets among the docking aid, target docking fixture, and target center of mass become major contributors to alignment errors. The offsets among the camera, chase vehicle center of mass, and chase vehicle docking fixture make attitude information doubly important because chase vehicle attitude and position must be controlled. To further complicate the problem, the target may be coning and nutating, making it difficult to anticipate attitude changes.

All three systems performed well at great distances from the target, and all three had problems at close range. The ring-of-lights system was totally unable to cope with close range because the relative velocicy between the chase vehicle and target is small at close range. This system depends on differences between successive observations to measure attitude. With the velocity reduced, it was using observations in which the differences were almost entirely due to random effects.

The "rainbow" system received its best attitude information at close range because small movements produced greater changes in orientation of the line of sight. But during the last few critical seconds of the operation, it loses range information because the rangefinder cameras cannot see the docking aid.

Although the three-light system maintained good accuracy at close range, it still had problems. Small translational movements between light ilashes made it more difficult to keep the entire target within the camera's field of view. Target rotation made the problems more severe. Also, because the equations this system uses for image interpretation are based on orthographic projection, their accuracy became poorer as range decreased: this projection approximates the image's appearance well only when the range is much greater than the distance between the lights on the docking aid.

3

Some of the close-range problems might be handled by using two different docking aids. A large docking aid could be used at long range, and a smaller one with the same geometry could be used for close-in operations. Even if this is done, close range will remain the most difficult problem.

D. TUMBLING TARGETS MAKE CONTROL DIFFICULT

Effective operations with tumbling and coning targets will require sophisticated state estimators and goal-setting logic. The normal tumble rate of the target in the mission model for this study is one revolution per orbit, or 240 degrees per hour. Although the control system used in the simulations was able to cope with roll rates of over 20,000 degrees per hour about the docking axis, the system had trouble in keeping the docking aid within the field of view and in docking with the proper alignment with rates as small as 1000 degrees per hour in pitch or yaw. At rates of about 4000 degrees per hour in pitch or yaw, docking was extremely difficult. The problem is not measurement accuracy but control. The structure of the control system presented in Chapter VIII is adequate for dealing with rotating targets, but the simplified version used in the simulations is inadequate for high tumble rates.

Some fundamental constraints must be kept in mind in refining the control system. First, the chase vehicle's thrust authority is limited. If the control system tries to minimize stresses on the docking fixtures by staying on the docking axis at all times, the thrusters may not be able to overcome centrifugal force. If the control system tries to minimize problems with centrifugal force, it can plan a trajectory that takes the chase vehicle directly to the point where the target's docking fixture is predicted to be on arrival. However, this approach may greatly stress the docking fixtures of both spacecraft. Further, a slight error in estimating tumble parameters may cause the docking fixtures to miss each other. Solving problems like these was beyond the scope of the study reported here. We recommend a separate study to investigate possible solutions.

E. A PHYSICAL SIMULATION SHOULD INCLUDE A CONTROL SYSTEM, CAMERA, AND TARGET

By modeling an entire six-degree-of-freedom control system, this study uncovered problems that might have gone unnoticed if only measurements had been modeled. We highly recommend the same approach in a physical simulation. This will not be difficult to implement because the computer programs in Appendices A through C already include "dummy" subroutines for control of a physical simulator.

1

The simulator should allow positioning of a television camera anywhere in a large room under computer control, and have a gimbal set to allow pointing of the camera. It is not necessary to rotate the target model because only relative position and attitude are detectable in the television image. Tumbling of any complexity can be simulated, and it is not necessary to make mechanical changes to switch between simple tumbling about the docking axis and a complex coning and nutating motion. However, the simulated rates must be small enough so only one side of the target need be visible.

Simulator speed is entirely a matter of convenience. Although computer control will be required to allow simulations to be run in a reasonable amount of time, little is gained by running the simulation in exactly the amount of time required for an actual operation in space. Although full-speed operation would show the effects of image smear and after images, implementing the system with a solid-state camera and flash lamps would make these factors insignificant.

To simulate the full dynamic range of 300 meters to contact, it will probably be necessary to build two or three different target models. One of these models would be one or two percent of full scale and would simulate the appearance of the target at long range. Another would be much larger, perhaps full scale, and would show the detail visible at close range. Depending on the dimensions of the room and the accuracy of the simulator, it may be desirable to use a third model for intermediate ranges.

and the second se

;

The results of this study show that a camera with resolution comparable to standard television will be suitable. A solid-state camera is attractive for space because it does not require high voltage and a fragile high-vocuum camera tube. We therefore recommend the use of a solid-state camera in the physical simulation. This will help to uncover subtle problems related to camera problems such as blooming, image granularity and resolution, lens adjustments, dead picture elements, and variations among picture elements in dark signal and sensitivity.

The computer used in the simulations should be equipped with interfaces to drive the simulator and to receive information from the television camera. If flashing lights are used, it will also require an interface to synchrowize light flashes with the computer's calculations. Any video signal processing that would be done in hardware in a real spacecraft should be done in similar hardware in the simulation to evaluate hardware design problems and shortcomings. Aside from these requirements, the computer does not have to be particularly large or fast. The program could, in fact, fit into many personal computers.

IV. The Three Systems That Were Simulated

> IV. The Three System That Were Simulated

June 199

j,

1

and the for the second

ş

Ι

Three separate video guidance systems were simulated in this study. This chapter describes the technical details, computer models and key mathematical equations used in each system to derive relative position and attitude.

1

One of the most formidable challenges in autonomous video guidance is recognizing and analyzing the target. The image analysis must be done quickly, because a long computation delay degrades the performance of the control system. The analysis must also be accurate, because position data will be used to determine velocity and perhaps other parameters. For example, if the target is tumbling rapidly, the control system may have to estimate parameters for predicting what the target's attitude, attitude rate, and rotation axis will be when the two spacecraft touch.

Whether this is a job for a mainframe computer or an inconspicuous electronics package depends largely on the design of a docking aid on the target spacecraft. In all of the simulated systems, the docking aids were designed to be analyzed with a minimum of onboard intelligence. Each system uses a hardware analyzer that reduces the video data to a small set of parameters. This approach gives these systems high speed without placing a heavy burden on the flight computer.

A. IMAGE OF THREE LIGHTS GIVES ATTITUDE AND POSITION IN FIRST SYSTEM

1. Description of Technique

The first system, developed in 1980 at Martin Marietta under IR&D task D-11R, is the simplest of the three systems. It uses a docking aid that consists of the three lights (Fig. IV-1). By using a simple dedicated electronic circuit and a small routine in the flight computer, this system can analyze the docking aid in approximately 100 milliseconds. The speed and simplicity are achieved by giving the analyzer a very simple job: finding the coordinates of a spot of light in a television image.

The simplification results from the fact that only one lamp is on at a time. Bright flash lamps are used so that the lamps are by far the brightest objects in the television image. The dedicated electronic analyzer can therefore analyze the image by simply finding the coordinates of the center of brightness of the image as a whole.

There are several ways to make individual lamps stand out without flashing the lamps in sequence. Colored lights and polarization, for example, can be used to give each lamp a unique "signature."



.

المالية المراجع
į,

1

And a de

Figure IV-1 Flashing-Light Docking Aid Three Sequentially Flashed Lamps Provide Full Relative Attitude and Position Information with a Minimum of Computation. In the Basic Configuration, Lamp Flashes are Directly Controlled by the Chase Vehicle via a Radio Command Link, But Variations Not Requiring a Command Link Are Discussed in the Text.

However, sequentially-flashed lamps have three significant advantages:

- 1) The system can very easily determine which lamp is which.
- 2) The flashes can be synchronized to a shutter on the camera so that light from other sources can got to the camera only during the flash. This reduces the effective brightness of background "clutter" by a factor of 30 but does not change the effective brightness of the lamp. Further reduction in background lighting can be realized by comparing an image showing the lamp flashing with an image showing the lamp off.
- 3) The flash duration is short, about a millisecond. This is short enough to fit into the television camera's retrace interval so that there is no question about whether the flash occurred before or after the corresponding picture elements were scanned. Flashing during the retrace also allows the analyzer to process a different lamp on each video frame, greatly speeding the analysis. If an integrating sensor is used (a charge coupled device or vidicon), the electron image left by the flash will remain in the sensor until the image is scanned.

When the three lamps are flashed in sequence, high attitude rates may cause image distortion. This distortion can be partially corrected by flashing one of the lamps twice, noting the change in the location of the center of brightness, and adjusting the other two light locations accordingly.

÷,

*

· 14.14.

2.44

¥

(IV-2)

2. Camera and Video Processing

The center of brightness or "centroid" of an image is defined by the coordinates:

$$X_{c} = k \int_{\text{frame}}^{V(t)X(t)dt} \int_{\text{frame}}^{V(t)dt} (IV-1)$$

and

$$Y_{c} = k \int V(t)Y(t)dt / \int V(t)dt$$

frame $\int trame$

where:

k is a constant that depends on scan rate and amplitude;

V is the video signal with blanking and synchronizing pulses removed;

X and Y are the horizontal and vertical deflection, varying from a negative value at the left side or bottom of the image to a positive value of equal magnitude at the right side or top of the image;

t is time.

These coordinates can be computed with analog electronic components such as multipliers and integrators, cr they can be approximated with digital components such as counters, adders, and accumulators. Multiplication of the video signal by the deflection signal is reduced to a gating operation if the video signal is converted to a two-level digital signal with a comparator, but careful selection of the comparator threshold is required to ensure that the lights and background are reliably separated by this technique.

The choice of technology for implementing equations (IV-1) and (IV-2) will depend on a number of factors including environmental factors, reliability requirements, and camera type. In general, it will be convenient to uses an analog approach with vidicons and other cameras that use an analog deflection signal. A digital approach may be more convenient for systems using self-scanned solid-state arrays. Figure IV-2 illustrates the analog approach.



1

Figure IV-2 Analog Centroid Computer The Centroid Coordinates X_c and Y_c Are Valid at the End of a Frame. The Boxes Labeled "a+b" Are Analog Dividers.

Besides computing the image centroid, the video preprocessing circuit is required to synchronize the scanning of the camera with the flashing of the lights. This can be done quite easily if the circuit directly commands the lights by a low-power radio link. This approach has a number of advantages:

- It is a simple matter to determine which of the three lamps is flashing if each is commanded individually. (The lamps must be uniquely identified for proper image interpretation);
- Operation with multiple targets in the same area is simplified, as each can be assigned a unique code or radio channel;
- 3) The lights will flash only when they are needed;
- Acquisition of the target is simplified;
- 5) Very little power is required, since the transmitter's operating range is only 300 meters.

An alternate approach to synchronization, phase locking to independently flashing lights, may reduce the cost of the total system when one (or a few) chase vehicles are used for many missions. In such a system, the target cost and complexity are reduced at the expense of a more complicated chase vehicle system.

When target simplicity is most important, the flash-lamp approach will be less attractive. The same pattern of three reference points on the target can be used in a much simpler docking aid, but with retroreflectors and colored filters replacing the flash lamps. A color television camera is then used to distinguish among the three points, and a flashing light on the chase vehicle supplies illumination. If lighting is favorable, cost could be further reduced by using painted spots for the reference points. In either case, the reference points are distinguishable by the ratios among the red, blue, and green camera outputs. The color-camera approach allows the video preprocessor to find all three centroids from a single video frame, sc image distortion from vehicle motion will not need correction.

Υ

After finding the coordinates of the three lamps in the image, the system determines the position of the chase vehicle in the instantaneous target reference frame. The calculations the flight computer uses to do this are found in subroutine POSIT of the program listing in Appendix A. The calculations are derived from the positions of the lights in an orthographic projection of the light pattern. This projection is a good approximation to the appearance of the image when the distance from the camera to the lights is many times greater than the distances between lights, and although perspective effects become significant at close range, the equations still properly indicate the direction to the docking axis of the target. The perspective effects do not cause serious problems if the camera and docking aid are set back from the docking fixtures so that the camera is a few meters from the lamps when the two spacecraft are docked.

Orthographic projection was used in deriving the equations to minimize the amount of calculation. A more accurate solution could be developed to consider perspective effects, or a correction algorithm could be used to refine the position estimate provided by the simpler equations presented here. Unless the camera must be mounted at the very front of the chase vehicle, such improvements produce little measurable benefit.

3. Equations Used

There are two aspects to attitude control with this system: the camera must remain pointed at the docking aid, and the chase vehicle must determine the target spacecraft's attitude and match it to dock properly.

Camera pointing is the easiest. Yaw and pitch errors are simple trigonometric functions of the coordinates of the center light's image on the television screen:

$$\theta_{\text{pitch}} = \tan^{-1}(v_2/f) \tag{IV-3}$$

$$\theta_{yaw} = \tan^{-1}(u_2/f)$$
 (IV-4)

where (u_i, v_i) are the coordinates of the <u>ith</u> lamp's image on the camera-focal plane and f is the lens focal length. The roll error can be approximated by the angle between horizontal and the line connecting the images of the two side lamps:

$\theta_{roll} = atan2(v_3-v_1,u_3-u_1)$

where atan2 is the FORTRAN two-argument arctangent function. This formula is accurate only when the camera is in line with the x axis of the docking aid, but since roll errors are usually unimportant until the instant of docking, and the guidance system forces the chase vehicle toward this axis, the formula works very well in practice. Subroutine RPY of the simulation program uses these formulas to correct attitude after each light-flashing sequence, as long as the lights are in the camera's field of view. Alternate formulas that use the "state estimate" from an onboard mathematical dynamics model are used when the light cannot be seen. These formulas are found in subroutine ESTRPY, a complete discussion of which will be found in Chapter VIII.

The more complicated problem of determining target spacecraft attitude is solved by comparing the camera's position in two different coordinate systems. The first system is a reference frame centered at the center docking-aid light and parallel to the target-body frame (Fig. II-2). This may be called the "docking-aid" frame. The second coordinate system is the so-called "primary" reference frame used for navigation. The latter frame is a nonrotating frame that is centered at the center of mass of the target spacecraft and is parallel to the chase vehicle body axes at the instant the video guidance system takes control. (See Chapter VIII for a more complete discussion of this coordinate system.) In the simulation program, the calculations for deriving target attitude are done in subroutine ATITUD, which calls the lowerlevel subroutines FINDCV, QUATRN, and DIRMAT.

Subroutine FINDCV computes the camera's position in a coordinate system parallel to the primary coordinate system but centered at the center light. The equation it uses is:

 $\underline{c} = A_{c}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} -f \\ u_{2} \\ -v_{2} \end{bmatrix} \rho / \sqrt{f^{2} + u_{2}^{2} + v_{2}^{2}}$ (IV-6) in which:

 \underline{c} , represented in the program as CVPOS, is the required camera position vector.

 ρ , represented in the program as RHO, is the measured range from the camera to the docking aid. This value is provided by subroutine POSIT, which was previously discussed.

 u_2 , v_2 , represented in the program as UC and VC, are the coordinates of the center light's image at the focal plane of the camera as in equations (IV-3) through (IV-5).

 A^{T} , represented in the programs as ACVT, is the transpose of the chase vehicle's attitude direction cosine matrix, which is obtained from the inertial measurement unit.

f, represented in the program as FOCLEN, is the lens focal length.

(IV-5)

1

÷

.

N

To come the target's attitude, the guidance system uses c and another where, \mathbf{r} , which defines the camera's possible n in the "docking aid" reference frame. In the program, r is represented by the array RELPOS and is computed from the image appearance in abroutine POSIT. Subroutine QUATRN computes the target attitude rnion with the following formulas:

$$\underline{w} = \underline{r} \times \underline{c} \quad (\text{cross product}) \tag{IV-7}$$

$$\psi = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{|\underline{w}|}{\underline{r} \cdot \underline{c}}\right)$$
(IV-8)

$$q' = \begin{vmatrix} \underline{w} \sin(\phi/2) / |\underline{w}| \\ \cos(\phi/2) \end{vmatrix}$$
(IV-9)

$$\underline{S} = A_{c} \begin{bmatrix} -2(q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2} - q_{3}^{2} q_{4}^{2}) \\ q_{1}^{2} - q_{2}^{2}^{2} + q_{3}^{2} - q_{4}^{2} \\ -2(q_{2}^{2} q_{3}^{2} + q_{1}^{2} q_{4}^{2}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(IV-10)

$$\theta = \tan^{-1}(S_3/S_2) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{v_3 - v_1}{u_1 - u_3}\right)$$
 (IV-11)

$$\underline{q''} = \begin{vmatrix} \underline{r} & \sin(\theta/2) / |\underline{r}| \\ \cos(\theta/2) \end{vmatrix}$$
(IV-12)

$$\underline{q} = \underline{q}' \underline{q}''$$
 (quaternion product--see Appendix D) (IV-13)

in which:

q, represented in the program as QT, is the required quaternion,

r and c are the camera position vectors defined previously,

 u_i , v_i are the coordinates of the image of lamp i at the camera focal plane, as before,

A,, represented in the program as ACV, is the chase vehicle attitude direction cosine matrix from the inertial measurement unit,

The remainder of the variables are intermediate results.

The physical interpretation of this procedure is as follows. The vectors r and c both represent the line of sight, or the camera's position with respect to the docking aid, but they are expressed in two different coordinate systems that have a common origin. The onboard computer knows the orientation of one of those coordinate systems, because that

OF POOR QUALITY

system is the reference frame for its inertial measurement unit. The other coordinate system, which is parallel to the target's body axes, is unknown.

The computational strategy is to initially assume that the two coordinate systems are identical. If they are, r and c should have identical numerical values, and the observations should agree with predictions based on projective geometry. The assumption is probably wrong, so they probably won't.

1

5aar 25

.....

£

ì

÷

. 1

The computer's task is to find a rotation that will give predicted observations that agree with what the camera sees.

Consider what happens if the docking aid coordinate system rotates and r retains its orientation with respect to that system (r rotates with the coordinate system). If the docking-aid coordinate system is rotated to align with the primary frame, r and c will point in different directions. This is where the computer starts: assuming that the coordinate systems are aligned and noting that r and c have different numerical values (Fig. IV-3).



Figure IV-3 Interpretation of <u>r</u> and <u>c</u>

Immediately the computer senses that something is wrong. The vectors \underline{r} and \underline{c} don't line up, so the first step is to find a rotation of the docking-aid coordinate system that will line them up.

The smallest rotation that will align <u>r</u> and <u>c</u> is a rotation about the axis defined by the cross product $\underline{r} \times \underline{c}$ (equation [IV-7]). The magnitude of the cross product is $|\underline{r}| \leq \sin \phi$, where ϕ is the required rotation angle. Similarly, the dot product $\underline{r} \cdot \underline{c}$ is $|\underline{r}| |\underline{c}| \cos \phi$. The ratio of chese quantities is

 $|\underline{r}||\underline{c}| \sin \phi$ = tan φ, r c cos o

1. F. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

. -12 (IV-14)

7

£

which allows the computer to determine ϕ (equation [IV-8]).

An axis of rotation and a rotation angle define a quaternion (Appendix D), and this quaternion, \underline{q}' , is computed with equation (IV-9).

This quaternion only partially specifies target attitude; any rotation of the docking-aid frame about <u>r</u> leaves <u>r</u> and <u>c</u> aligned, so there are an infinite number of target attitudes to select from, as Figure IV-4 illustrates. Some other method must now be found to find the proper rotation about the line of sight. The approach here is to use the appearance of lamps 1 and 3.



Figure IV-4 Alternate Attitude Interpretations An Infinite Number of Target Attitudes Align r and c. Four Possibilities Are Shown in (a) through (d).

A vector from lamp 3 to lamp 1 lies parallel to the docking aid y axis and points in the -y direction. This vector's orientation in the television image can be measured:

$$\theta_1 = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_3 - v_1}{-(u_3 - u_1)} \right)$$
 (IV-15)

(The minus sign in the argument's denominator shows that the positive horizontal axis of the image is aligned with the negative y axis of the chase vehicle.)

The vector's orientation can also be predicted. Suppose that \underline{q} represents the target attitude. If A_t is the direction cosine matrix that corresponds to q',

$$\underline{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{A}_{c} \mathbf{A}_{t}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$
(IV-16)

is a unit vector in the direction of the target's -y axis but expressed in chase vehicle coordinates. The expression

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

can be evaluated with equation (D-5) from Appendix D. The result is equation (IV-10).

The projection of <u>s</u> onto the y-z plane of the chase vehicle's coordinate system (which is parallel to the camera focal plane) gives the predicted orientation of the vector in the television image:

$$\theta_2 = \tan^{-1} \frac{s_3}{s_2}$$
 (IV-17)

Perspective effects may produce errors of approximately one or two degrees at close range, because this procedure uses orthographic projection.

The difference between θ_2 and θ_1 is the required amount of rotation about the line of sight, and the axis of rotation is defined by a unit vector in the direction of \mathbf{r} . The axis and rotation define a second quaternion \mathbf{q} " (equation [IV-12]).

Finally, the computer calculates the net rotation to get the target attitude. The associated quaternion is found from the quaternion product of q' and q'' in equation (IV-13).

4. Mission Constraints and Compatibility

Except for the camera position requirement--the camera must be set back far enough from the front of the chase vehicle to avoid severe image distortion--this approach to video guidance imposes few mission constraints. For example, it can operate in total darkness or full sunlight as long as the sun does not enter the field of view. Unlike the other approaches, this approach allows the docking aid and camera to be offset from the docking fixture, simplifying the design of the system and reducing the impact on other systems. Further, it computes position and attitude fast enough to allow its use with targets that tumble several degrees per second, a'though other factors may make docking with such a target impractical. (Other parts of the control system may not be able to keep up with such rates, the thrusters may have too little control authority, or the docking mechanism may not be strong enough to withstand the stresses. Moreover, the Kalman filter in the simulated control system was not designed to estimate tumble parameters, and the goal-setting logic used in the system does not optimize trajectories.) With improvements in these portions of the control system, the three-light approach might do much better with tumbling targets than the simulation results suggest.

Although the system requires a computer, it is likely that an autonomous spacecraft will require one with any rendezvous system. Very little additional hardware is needed beyond what one might expect to be required for other functions. The additional hardware consists of a television camera, a handful of electronics weighing perhaps two kilograms including packaging, and a low-power command transmitter with a range of 300 meters. Power requirements will depend on the camera type and other implementation details, but it is reasonable to assume the added hardware can be designed to consume less than ten watts. Because the system is used for only two to four minutes, the energy requirement is negligible when compared to the requirements of other onboard systems.

If the flashing-light approach is used, compatibility with target spacecraft systems must be considered carefully. The spacecraft must provide power to the lights and command receiver, and although the energy requirement is small, it may result in a requirement for solar panels and a power system on a spacecraft that otherwise has no need for them. Other potential compatibility problems with the target spacecraft include interfering with science instruments by blocking their fields of view, overpowering instruments with bright lights, or generating electromagnetic interference. The impacts on propulsion, telemetry, coarse rendezvous, launch vehicle, and ground support systems cannot be meaningfully evaluated without specific details of the mission. However, the small size, ruggedness, low power requirement, and speed of the technique will minimize problems.

5. Measurement Model for Simulation

The simulation program used the perspective projection techniques described in Chapter IX to provide "measurements" from this system. The program simulated motions of the chase vehicle and target between the three light flashes of a single measurement, because this motion produces image distortion that may affect accuracy. The effective resolution of the camera is determined by the random shifting of computed image coordinates in subroutine FLASH. Normally distributed random shifts were added in horizontal and vertical directions. The mean shift was zero, which models negligible fixed biases, and the standard deviation was 1/600th of the width of the field of view, which models an effective resolution of 380 television lines. This is comparable with standard commercial television. Better accuracy was obtained with

better resolution, and resolution as coarse as 128 lines allowed reliable docking with good control.

B. PROJECTED RAINBOWS AND STEREO RANGEFINDING FORM SECOND SYSTEM

1. Description of Technique

In the second system, a beacon on the target spacecraft projects "rainbows" of light. The apparent cclor of the beacon, viewed from the chase vehicle, depends on the angle between the docking axis and the line of sight. If the light from the beacon appears blue, the chase vehicle is to the left of the docking axis; if the light appears yellow, the chase vehicle is on the docking axis; if the light appears red, the chase vehicle is to the right of the axis. The beacon also projects a second rainbow and rapidly alternates between the two. The second rainbow shows whether the chase vehicle is above or below the docking axis. In the simulations, it was assumed that the beacon is directly commanded by the chase vehicle by radio, but other approaches are possible. For example, the chase vehicle could send a radio signal to indicate which rainbow is active, or the chase vehicle's guidance system could synchronize to a one-two-off flashing sequence.

To detect the colors, the system uses a prism spectrometer as illustrated in Figure IV-5. Light from the beacon is refracted in the prism, and the angle of refraction is a function of the wavelength or color of the light. A linear Charge Coupled Device (CCD) array behind the grating detects this deflected light, and the position of the brightest spot along the array indicates the color. The system locates the bright spot with a peak detector that monitors the video signal from the CCD array. The peak detector records the number of clock pulses required to scan out the brightest picture element in the line, and the count is used to compute the wavelength or distance from the docking axis.



Light from the Beacon Is Deflected by the Prism by an Angle , Which Depends on Wavelength. The Electronics Convert This Deflection to a Measurement of the Chase Vehicle's Angular Separation from the Docking Axis.

The two television cameras used in the storeo rangefinder are mounted on opposite sides of the chase vehicle (Fig. IV-6) to maximize the interocular distance. Both cameras find the center of brightness, the location of the beacon in the image, by using the centroid detector technique used in the three-light system. The range to the target is computed by comparing the center-of-brightness calculations from the two cameras.



Figure IV-6 Stereo Rangefinder on the Chase Vehicle

The Cameras Are Mounted on Opposite Sides of the Chase Vehicle for Greatest Stereo Effect. The Cameras Are Not Gimballed But Mounted at a Fixed Angle to the Vehicle Frame.

The center-of-brightness information is also used to point the color detector, which has a much smaller field of view than the cameras.

Because accuracy at 300 meters calls for precise alignment, the cameras are mounted rigidly to the frame of the chase vehicle; they are not gimballed.

Figure IV-7 is a block diagram of the rangefinder. Two centroid calculators, similar to the one in Figure IV-2, calculate the image-plane coordinates of the image of the beacon, and an onboard computer uses the coordinates to calculate the distance to the target and to keep the cameras pointed at the target. The system provides 30 range measurements per second.



. **,

1

i.



The Video Signals from the Cameras Are Processed to Determine the Center of Brightness for the Images of a Beacon on the Target Spacecraft. The Range Is Updated by an Onboard Computer Using Equation: (4-18). The Centroid Calculators Are the Same As in Figure IV-3.

2. Equations Used

The range formula* is:

$$\rho = \frac{fd(x_{g} + x_{r})}{(x_{g} + x_{r})^{2} - 4(\Delta x)^{2}}$$
(IV-18)

where

 $^{\rho}$ is the estimated range, d is the interocular distance (see Figure IV-8), f is the camera lens focal length, X_l and X_r are the distances along the image plane from the center of the field of view to the image center of brightness for the left and right cameras, respectively, and

 ΔX is the tolerance on $X_{\underline{\ell}}$ and $X_{\underline{r}}$, which includes:

- The effects of having discrete photosensitive sites in the image plane,
- Uncertainty in camera boresighting;
- Scan position uncertainty;

*Equations IV-18 and IV-19 are derived in Table IV-1.

- Tolerances in the electronics that calculate the center of brightness of the image,
- Image blur from motion and lens imperfections.



Figure IV-8 The Stereo Rangefinding System and Nomenclature

Because the Guidance System Will Keep the Chase Vehicle Cameras Pointed Toward the Target, the Range Will Equal the Distance along the Perpendicular from the Camera Image Plane to the Object. Uncertainty (ΔX) in the Image Position Causes Uncertainty in Range (ΔR).

In the simulation program (Appendix B) this formula is implemented in subroutine POSIT, where ρ is represented by the variable RHO. If resolution is the only significant limitation on accuracy, the relative measurement error for moderate values of ρ is

$$\frac{\Delta R}{\rho} = \frac{2\rho\Delta X}{fd}$$
(IV-19)

The candidate system, for which $\Delta X = (line length)/750$, has a measurement error of approximately (0.2P/d) percent of the true range.

Figure IV-9 is a graph of percent error versus range for a rangefinder with 256 line resolution. Although the accuracy is $\pm 15\%$ at the start of the rendezvous operation, the system achieves accuracy better than 5% at ranges where accuracy is critical.

Table IV-1 Derivation of Formulas (IV-18) and (IV-19)

Range - Consider the diagram in Figure IV ... The maximum possible 1. range, R_1 , can be determined by noting the similar triangles ABC and ADE. Since the ratios among the sides of similar triangles are equal, $\overline{AE} = (R_1)(X_g - \Delta x)/f$ (IV-20) The same reasoning for the right camera gives $d - \overline{AE} = (R_1)(X_r - \Delta x)/f$ (IV-21) Combining (IV-20) and (IV-21) gives $\frac{(R_1)(X_{\ell} - \Delta x)}{f} + \frac{(R_1)(X_r - \Delta x)}{f} = \overline{AE} + d - \overline{AE} = d$ (IV-22) which can be manipulated algebraically to give the maximum possible range: $R_1 = fd/(X_g + X_r - 2\Delta x)$ (IV - 23)The same reasoning gives the minimum possible range: $R_2 = fd/(x_1 + x_r + 2\Delta x)$. (IV - 24)The average of R_1 and R_2 is the estimated range: $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(R_1 + R_2) = \frac{fd(X_{\ell} + X_r)}{(X_{\rho} + X_r)^2 - 4(\Delta x)^2}$ (IV-25) 2. Range Tolerance - The range tolerance is half the difference between R_1 and R_2 : $\Delta R = \frac{1}{2}(R_1 - R_2) = \frac{2fd\Delta x}{(X_0 + X_1)^2 - 4(\Delta x)^2}$ (IV-26) Dividing (IV-26) by (IV-25) gives the relative error $\frac{\Delta R}{\rho} = \frac{2\Delta x}{X_{o} + X_{o}}$ (IV - 27)At moderate ranges, $(X_{\ell} + X_r)^2 >> 4(\Delta x)^2$. [In the candidate system, $(X_{\ell} + X_r)^2$ is over 40 times as large as $4(\Delta x)^2$ for ranges to 300 m.] So Δ x can be ignored in (IV-25) with little error: $\rho = \frac{fd}{X_o + X_c}$ (IV-28)

Table IV-1 Concl



Figure IV-9 Percent Error vs Range for Stereo Rangefinding System With a 30-degree Field of View and 256 Pixels Per Line. The Percentage Error Increases Linearly with Range at the Rate of 0.05%/m.

Because the two cameras used for stereo ranging are not gimballed, they cannot look "cross-eyed" at the beacon. As the beacon moves betweeen the cameras in the last moments before contact, it leaves the fields of view of both cameras. A third camera is therefore used to allow at least pointing, if not ranging, during the last few seconds of the docking operation. This camera is mounted very close to the docking fixture so that the beacon will always be in its field of view.

The third camera has a circuit like the one in Figure IV-2 to compute the beacon's center of brightness. The coordinates from this circuit are used in the flight computer to compute a vector c, which defines the camera's position in a coordinate system parallel to the primary coordinate system but centered at the beacon. The equation used is identical to equation (IV-6), except that the variable ρ (range) now comes from the stareo rangefinding system. The vector c plays the same role in this guidance system as in the three-light system.
From the data provided by the beacon color analyzer, the system "knows" its angular separation from the docking axis. The analyzer provides two angles, one for horizontal error ("yaw") and one for vertical error ("pitch"). These angles are combined with the range information (ρ) to give <u>r</u>, the *c* amera's position in a reference frame that is parallel to the target's body axes but centered at the bacon. In the simulation program in Appendix B, the calculations are found in subroutine POSIT, and r is represented by the variable RELPOS.

The flight computer therefore has available to it vectors that are analogous to the r and c vectors of the three-light system.

Unfortunately, the computer has no information to help it resolve the ambiguity in orientation about the line of sight. Unless it can resolve this ambiguity, it cannot determine which way to steer to get to the docking axis.

The solution to this problem is found in combining two separate measurements. Suppose, for example, that one measurement gives <u>r</u> and <u>c</u> and that the second measurement gives <u>r'</u> and <u>c'</u>. If the measurements are closely spaced in time, the target's attitude will not have time to change appreciably. Therefore only two coordinate systems are involved: the primary system, which is known, and the target system, which is to be computed. If A_t is the direction cosine matrix (see Appendix D) giving the target's attitude with respect to the primary frame,

$$\underline{r} = A_{\underline{c}}$$
, and (IV-30)

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}^{*} = \mathbf{A}_{\underline{\mathbf{r}}} \underline{\mathbf{c}}^{*} \cdot \mathbf{(IV-31)}$$

This is true because r and r' are expressed in a frame parallel to the target frame and the frame in which c and c' are expressed is parallel to the primary frame and has the same origin as the frame for r and r'.

The relationship illustrated in equations (IV-30) and (IV-31) holds for any pair of vectors expressed in these two frames. For example, the cross product of <u>c</u> and <u>c'</u> is related to the cross product of <u>r</u> and <u>r'</u> by the same direction cosine matrix. So the relationship holds between the two matrices:

$$M_{r} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{1}_{r} & \underline{1}_{r} & \underline{k}_{r} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } (IV-32)$$

$$M_{c} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{i}_{c} & \underline{j}_{c} & \underline{k}_{c} \end{bmatrix}$$
(IV-33)

(IV - 34)

in which

$$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}|} = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{c}|},$$

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

$$\underline{\mathbf{j}}_{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r}'}{|\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r}'|} \qquad \underline{\mathbf{j}}_{\mathbf{c}} = \frac{\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{c}'}{|\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{c}'|} ,$$

(IV-35)

(IV-36)

$$\underline{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{T}} = \underline{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{T}} \times \underline{\mathbf{j}}_{\mathbf{T}} \qquad \underline{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{C}} = \underline{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{C}} \times \underline{\mathbf{j}}_{\mathbf{C}}$$

Because of the way M_r and M_c are constructed (they are 3x3 matrices in which each column is a unit vector of a rectangular coordinate system), these matrices have the special property that their inverses are equal to their transposes. The flight computer can therefore find A_t from these matrices by the formula:

$$A_{t} = M_{r} M_{c}^{T} . \qquad (IV-37)$$

In the simulation, this formula is evaluated in subroutine QUATRN. The validity and usefulness of the formula depends on two requirements:

- The vector <u>r</u> must differ significantly in orientation from <u>r</u>', and <u>c</u> must differ significantly from <u>c</u>'. Otherwise, small errors in these vectors may make the results totally random.
- 2) The target spacecraft must not rotate much between the time \underline{r} and \underline{c} are measured and the time $\underline{r'}$ and $\underline{c'}$ are measured.

Unfortunately these two requirements conflict: if the two measurement sets are taken in rapid succession to minimize target rotation between readings, the measurement sets will differ by very little. However, if there is much delay between the two sets, the target may have rotated enough to invalidate the assumptions behind the formula. The delay also requires the chase vehicle to use stale data for an extended period of time.

In the simulation program we tried only one approach to dealing with this conflict: we attempted to optimize the time interval between measurements. There are alternative strategies.

One strategy is to move the chase vehicle in a path perpendicular to the line of sight from time to time to maximize the difference between the measurement sets. This strategy would be combined with an expanded Kalman filter that estimates target tumble parameters. The additional cost in system complexity would be small, but the approach wastes fuel and places a much heavier burden on the flight computer.

An alternate strategy is to use two color-decoding circuits instead of one. One would be placed midway between the middle camera and the left camera; the other would be placed midway between the middle camera and the right camera. The resulting system would provide two separate color/rangefinder systems that would allow simultaneous measurement of both sets of data. Because of the close spacing of the instruments, the vectors in the two sets would be almost parallel until the chase vehicle gets close to the target. This implies that good attitude information is not available at great distances. If the target's tumble rate is small enough so that elaborate trajectory planning is not required, this should cause few problems.

We did not simulate these approaches, because we felt that they would result in a system that was so much more complex and expensive than the three-light system that even if they worked well, they would not be recommended.

3. Mission Constraints and Compatibility

Camera placement is a bigger concern with this approach than with the three-light system. Although the beacon, center camera, and color analyzer could be offset from the docking fixtures, there is a risk in doing so. The problem is that the algorithm for computing target attitude is not very robust. The factors discussed previously (too little chase vehicle motion or excessive target attitude change betweeen measurements) can cause errors in attitude computations. This problem is compounded when the stereo ranging system becomes "blind" during the critical seconds just before docking as the beacon moves between the cameras, out of their fields of view.

The loss of stereo ranging might be cured by making the outboard cameras "crosseyed." Although time did not permit a simulation of this modirication, we believe it will greatly improve performance at close range. However, this change tightens constraints on camera placement and spacecraft design, since it will be harder to avoid obstructing the fields of view of the cameras.

Because the "rainbow" beacon approach requires a significant delay between observations to properly derive target attitude, the approach is inherently slower than the three-light approach. We do not recommend it for use with rapidly tumbling targets. With modifications to the Kalman filter, it may be able to cope with modest tumble rates, but this has not been demonstrated.

The burden on the onboard computer is greater with this approach than it was with the three-light approach, and it requires more hardware on the target and chase vehicle. If one considers only the hardware that would not be required w mout the video guidance system, the difference in quantity is approximately a factor of five. The power requirement and weight can also be expected to increase by a factor of five. Furthermore, the hardware in the "rainbow" system is more complex and less rugged.

The beacon cannot use flash lamps, because it must produce a continuous spectrum. Although careful design may allow a low-power rainbow projection system, we doubt that power consumption can be reduced to within a factor of ten of what is possible with a three-light system.

4. Measurement Model for Simulation

The measurement model for simulating the television cameres in the "rainbow" system was identical to the model used for the three-light system. The same resolution was modeled, and the same assumptions were made. The calculations are in subroutine CENTRD.

The model for the rainbow itself, however, is unique. The program first computes the true angular error from the docking axis about the target z and y axes. This calculation fully takes into consideration the offset between the beacon and the target spacecraft center of mass and the offset between the color analyzer and the center of mass of the chase vehicle. ١

The validity of the measurement is then tested. If the color decoder cannot "see" the beacon, the program recognizes that the measurement is unuseable, and the guidance system ignores the measurement.

Otherwise, the program adds a random number to the computed angle to simulate imperfections in the system. The accuracy of a "pitch" measurement can be expected to deteriorate when the "yaw" angle becomes large. Similarly, "yaw" measurements will be poor when the "pitch" angle is large. As the colors get crowded close together near the axis about which they are spread out, it becomes harder to separate them. The program models this effect by making the corruption to "yaw" measurements proportional to "pitch" and by making the corruption to "pitch" measurements proportional to "yaw."

The program then rounds off the simulated measurements to the nearest multiple of 0.01 radian and limits the range of measurements to ± 1 radian. This procedure models the inherent quantization in the angle decoding circuit and the limited rainbow width achievable with a practical rainbow projector.

The computations for "yaw" measurements are in subroutine GETYAW. The computations for "pitch" measurements are found in subroutine GETPCH. The structures of these subroutines are virtually identical. Subroutine DOCK selects one or the other of these subroutines for each measurement to model the fact that both measurement types cannot be taken simultaneously.

C. IMAGE OF RING OF LIGHTS LEAVES ROLL UNDEFINED IN THIRD SYSTEM

1. Description of Technique and Equations Used

Figure IV-10 shows a third docking aid that can be analyzed with a small dedicated video signal processor. The required circuit (Fig. IV-11) uses the equations in Figure IV-12 to analyze a television image of the docking aid in 1/30 second. In the simulation program of Appendix C, this hardware analysis is simulated in subroutines LOCATE

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

1.1

and MCALC. The circuit computes parameters defining an ellipse tha approximates the appearance of the image. These parameters can be interpreted in software to get position and attitude, except that target rotations about the target x axis produce no visible effect. This ambiuguity does not matter if the docking fixture is at the center of the ring of lights and allows mating with an arbitrary roll misalignment and if the camera is mounted at the center of the chase vehicle's docking fixture.



Figure IV-10 Ring-of-Lights Docking Aid

Ring Encircles Docking Fixture. Spacecraft Body Coordinate System Is Shown. Pocking-Aid Coordinate System Is Parallel to Body Frame But Centered at the Middle of the Ring of Lights.

Because the video processor analyzes the image as a whole, it is important for the ring of lights to be the only significant source of light in the image. Flashing-light and color-keying approaches can be used with this docking aid in the same way they were used in the three-light system. All of the lights in the ring must flash simultaneously if flash tubes are used.

The parameters X_c and Y_c from the scene analysis circuit are the coordinates of the center of the ellipse on the camera's focal plane. The moments I_{XX} , I_{XY} , and I_{YY} are intermediate results that must be processed further in the flight computer. The computer calculates the ellipse semimajor and semiminor axes (a and b, respectively) and the rotation of the somimajor axis from horizontal (0). The formulas are:



Figure IV-11 Possible Hardware to Compute Centroid and Ellipse Parameters



Figure IV-12 Equations Solved by Circuit of Figure IV-11

FOLDOUT FRAME

.

م مور ر

.....

× 1.



The Integration May Be Replaced with a Summation for a Digital Implementation; • Thresholding of Video Signal Is Optional

5

$$I_{xx}' = I_{xx} \qquad X_c^2$$
$$I_{yy}' = I_{yy} - Y_c^2$$
$$I_{xy}' = I_{xy} - X_c \cdot Y_c$$

ā. - -

IV-23

.

.

FOLDOUT FRAME

** •••

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2 I'}{\frac{xy}{1' - I'}} \right)$$
 ORIGINAL PAGE IS
OF POOR QUALITY.
(IV-38)

$$\lambda_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{I}_{xx}' + \mathbf{I}_{yy}' + \sqrt{(\mathbf{I}_{xx}' - \mathbf{I}_{yy}')^{2} + 4\mathbf{I}_{xy}'^{2}} \right)$$
(IV-39)

$$\lambda_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(I_{xx}' + I_{yy}' - \sqrt{(I_{xx}' - I_{yy}')^{2} + 4I_{xy}'^{2}} \right)$$
(IV-40)

$$a = k \sqrt{\max(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$$
(IV-41)

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{k} \sqrt{\max(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \tag{IV-42}$$

where k is a constant that depends slightly on the exact target geometry. In this simulation, which models eight-point light sources, $k = \sqrt{2}$. These calculations are performed in subroutine EPAR in the simulation program.

Because the roll component of the target's attitude is not observable, the computer assigns it an arbitrary value. Specifically, roll orientation is assumed to place the camera in the X-Z plane of the docking aid coordinate system. This coordinate system is parallel to the target spacecraft system but is centered at the middle of the docking aid.

With this arbitrary roll value assigned, a vector \underline{r} can be computed, which is analogous to the vector \underline{r} in the other two video guidance systems discussed previously. The formulas are:

 $\rho = 0.5 df/a \qquad (IV-43)$

 $\beta = b/a \qquad (IV-44)$

 $\underline{\mathbf{r}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{\rho} \sqrt{1 - \beta^2} \end{bmatrix}$ (IV-45)

where:

P is the range to the target,

 β is the ratio of the lengths of the semiminor axis and semimajor axis of the ellipse,

<u>r</u> is the position of the camera in a reference frame that is parallel to the target spacecraft frame but centered at the center of the ring of lights.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

In the simulation program, these equations are implemented in subroutime ELIP, and r is represented by the array RELPOS.

This system also computes a vector \underline{c} , analogous to the vector \underline{c} in the other two systems. This vector specifies the camera's location in a reference frame that is parallel to the "primary" reference frame used for navigation. The origin of this frame is at the center of the ring of lights. Equation (IV-6) is used to compute this vector as in the other two systems, except that X_c and Y_c from the video-processing hardware fill the role of u_2 and v_2 in the equation.

In the other two systems, \underline{r} and \underline{c} were combined to determine the attitude of the target. The same approach is used in this system, but the details of their use differ.

In the three-light system, there was no ambiguity in the interpretation of an observation. A single measurement was therefore sufficient to define the chase vehicle's position. In the "rainbow" system, there was an ambiguity because target rotations about the line of sight were not observable. Two different measurements were therefore combined to determine the target's attitude uniquely.

In the ring-of-lights system, there are two sources of ambiguity. First, target rotation about its x axis is undetectable. This ambiguity is unresolvable but causes no problems if the ring of lights encircles the docking fixture as was discussed previously. The second ambiguity is of greater concern; the target's center of mass may be on either side of the major axis of the ellipse (Fig. IV-13). If the guidance system simply guesses, it will drive the chase vehicle farther from the docking axis. Instead of correcting position errors, it will make them worse.



Figure IV-13 Two Ellipse Interpretations

In (a) the Chase Vehicle Should Steer Down and to the Right to Reach the Docking Axis. In (b) the Chase Vehicle Should Steer Up and to the Left. A Wrong Guess Results in an Unstable Control System.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY,

This problem is also solved by combining two measurements, but the method for combining the measurements is completely different.

The procedure starts by picking a plausible interpretation from the equations:

$$\underline{\mathbf{w}} = \underline{\mathbf{r}} \times \underline{\mathbf{c}} \quad (\text{cross product}), \quad (IV-46)$$

$$\phi = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{|w|}{\underline{r} \cdot \underline{c}} \right), \qquad (IV-47)$$

$$\mathbf{g'} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\mathbf{w}} \sin(\phi/2) / |\mathbf{w}] \\ \\ \cos(\phi/2) \end{bmatrix}$$
(IV-48)

These equations are identical to equations (IV-7) through (IV-9), which were used in the first two systems, and they serve the same purpose here. The quaternion \underline{q}' defines a target attitude that aligns the \underline{r} and \underline{c} vectors. This quaternion must now be corrected for rotation about the line of sight. In the first system this was done by comparing the observed target -y axis with a predicted observation that was based on \underline{q}' . With the ring-of-lights docking aid, the -y axis cannot be observed. The -x axis, however, is known to lie along the ellipse minor axis in the image, and this fact can be used to form the correction for rotation about the line of sight. The reasoning is identical to that used in deriving equations (IV-10) and (IV-11), but the use of a different axis results in slightly different formulas:

$$\underline{S} = A_{c} \begin{bmatrix} -q_{1}^{2}^{2} + q_{2}^{2}^{2} + q_{3}^{2}^{2} - q_{4}^{2}^{2} \\ -2(q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2} + q_{3}^{2} q_{4}^{2}) \\ 2(q_{2}^{2} q_{4}^{2} - q_{1}^{2} q_{3}^{2}) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (IV-49)$$

$$\gamma = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{s_2}{s_3} \right) - \theta . \qquad (1V-50)$$

where <u>s</u> is the projection of the target -x axis unit vector onto the chase vehicle's y-z plane. The angle γ is the amount of rotation about the line of sight required to make the predicted ellipse appearance match the observed ellipse. The angle θ , the orientation of the ellipse in the image, is computed by the video signal processing hardware shown in Figure IV-ll.

The corresponding quaternion is computed with the equations:

$$\mathbf{q}'' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r} \sin(\gamma/2) / |\mathbf{r}| \\ \cos(\gamma/2) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (1V-51)$$

d = **d**, **d**, •

ORIGINAL PAGE **IS** OF POOR QUALITY

(IV-52)

7

1. The second second

ń

Č,

÷

.

đ.,

١

The alternate interpretation, in which the target spacecraft's center of mass lies on the opposite side of the major axis of the ellipse, is formed by rotating the target 180 degrees about the line of sight. This rotation is done with the formulas:

$$q''' = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{r} / |\underline{r}| \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (IV-53)$$

All these equations are implemented in the simulation program in subroutine QUAIRN, where \underline{q} and \underline{q}^* , the possible target attitude quaternions, are represented by the first and second columns of the 4x2 array QT, respectively.

After the first observation of the target, the guidance system has no basis for preferring one attitude interpretation over the other, but after the second and subsequent observations, it can compare \underline{q} and \underline{q}^* from the current observation with the values from the previous observation. If the target is not tumbling too rapidly, one pair of attitude measurements will match closely. This pair can be assumed to represent the proper image interpretation.

One problem in implementing this method is that the quaternions all contain arbitrary components representing roll about the target x axis. Some means is required for comparing two quaternions while ignoring this roll component in each. The guidance system simulated in this study makes this comparison with the equations:

 $a = q_1Q_1 + q_2Q_2 + q_3Q_3 + q_4Q_4 , \qquad (IV-55)$

 $b = q_1 Q_4 + q_2 Q_3 - q_3 Q_2 - q_4 Q_1 , \qquad (IV-56)$

$$\delta = \cos^{-1}\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$$
 (IV-57)

where \underline{q} and \underline{Q} are any two quaternions to be compared, and δ is smallest possible Euler angle that will account for the difference between \underline{q} and \underline{Q} , making the most generous assumptions about the arbitrary roll angle. Here variables a and b are intermediate results and are used only to simplify equation (IV-57). They should not be confused with the ellipse parameters used in other formulas.

Equations (IV-55) through (IV-57) are implemented in the simulation program in subroutine TSTATT. This subroutine is called by subroutine SELEC1 to test all possible combinations of old and new target attitude interpretations to find the best match. SELEC1 returns pointers that indicate which pair is assumed to represent the true target attitude.

IV-27

The guidance system uses the selected interpretation of the current observation for control, but it remembers both interpretations for testing the next observation and for propagating the state estimate. Two sets of parameters are maintained for the onboard mathematical dynamics model. After each observation it throws away the parameter set that was based on the target attitude interpretation that the current observation shows to be false. This maintenance of two parameter sets minimizes errors caused by operation with a tumbling target.

2. Mission Constraints and Compatibility

Because this system cannot detect roll misalignment of the two spacecraft, it is essential that such a misalignment makes no difference. This requires the camera to be mounted very close to, or even within, the docking fixture. The ring of lights must encircle the docking fixture of the target spacecraft. Also, the docking fixtures must be designed to operate properly with an arbitrary roll misalignment.

The hardware power requirement for this system will probably be only slightly more than that of the three-light system, and the hardware is not much more complex than that system's hardware. The burden on the flight computer is also slightly greater.

In all other respects, the mission constraints imposed by this system will be essentially the same as those imposed by the three-light system.

3. Measurement Model for Simulation

The simulation program used the perspective projection techniques described in Chapter IX to provide "measurements' from this system. The center of brightness coordinates for each light were corrupted to represent 380-line television resolution. The method used to do this was the same as the method used with the three-light system. The equations in Figure IV-12 were simulated with summations that approximated the required integrals. These calculations are in MCALC of the simulation program.

V. Simulation Results and Discussion

V. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. THE THREE-LIGHT SYSTEM WORKS BEST

In more than 50 simulations run with the computer programs in Appendices A through C, the three-light version consistently outperformed the other two systems. Figure V-1 shows six typical trajectories produced with the three-light system. Each simulation started with the chase vehicle at a random position approximately 300 meters from the target spacecraft and was assigned a random initial velocity. Target attitude varied from one simulation to the next, but the target's attitude rate was zero. In each of these simulations, and several others not shown, docking was successful. Terestan.

.

alta ita .

.

あった ある ちょうちょう あん ちょう しょう いちち たいしょうしん

ě,

.

1 1 1

Ş

1

.

,×

Figure V-2 and V-3 show the results of similar simulations with the "rainbow" and ring-of-lights systems, respectively. Both systems performed reasonably well at distances over 150 meters from the target, but both had trouble at close range. The "rainbow" system successfully docked or came very close most of the time, but the final alignment was never as good as the three-light system achieved. More serious is the fact that the system occasionally became "confused" and wandered away from the target. This is what the control system is supposed to do if the target leaves the field of view of the television cameras. It is a preprogrammed maneuver to avoid a collision when the system is "blind." However, the system should not have had to take this action with such benign starting conditions.

In some simulations where the simulation time limit was increased, the chase vehicle made another pass at the target when this happened. The onboard mathematical model, described in Chapter VIII, was provided to allow recovery from a temporary loss of imagery. We believe, however, that it is significant that the three-light version did not have the problem.

Three explanations can account for this behavior of the "rainbow" system:

- The projected rainbow does not produce valid data when it is viewed from more than one radian from the docking axis. This restriction models what we believe to be a reasonable estimate of the limitations of a practical system;
- 2) The stereo rangefinder's cameras are mounted at the sides of the chase vehicle, and at close range the image of the beacon moves toward the edge of each camera's field of view. Because of these two limitations, small errors in position and attitude at close range can cause loss of ranging information or incorrect angle measurements;
- 3) The chase vehicle may be moving too little between successive observations, causing errors in determining the target's attitude.





Typical trajectories with three-light system: a) and b) show entire trajectory; c) through f) are closeup views of the last 60 m. All trajectories had an initial range of approximately 300 m.

; ;

^{ي ع}ر

÷



1

Figure V-2

Typical Trajectories with rainbow system: a) and b) show entire trajectory; c) through j) are closeup views of the last 60 m. All trajectories had an initial range of approximately 300 m.



١

Figure V-3

Typical Trajectories with Ellipse system: a) and b) show entire trajectory; c) through f) are closeup views of the last 60 m. All trajectories had an initial range of approximately 300 m.

The ring-of-lights system never docked successfully. In fact, in mos' of the simulations it appeared to actively avoid the target spacecraft. The problem appears to be in the method it uses to resolve ambiguity in interpreting the image of the ring of lights. This ambiguity (Fig. IV-13), is resolved by combining pairs of successive observations, and the algorithm used is not robust enough to cope with modeled imperfections in the measurements and control system. We have found no simple algorithm change that measurably improves performance.

B. THE THREE-LIGHT SYSTEM WORKS WITH TUMBLING T. &GETS

Because the "rainbow" and ring-of-lights systems did not work well even with an inertially stable target, we believed it was pointless to test them with tumbling targets. We therefore concentrated on testing the three-light system.

Figure V-4 shows trajectories for target roll rates of 540 to 40,000 degrees per hour. The control system appears to handle rates up to 20,000 degrees per hour with good accuracy. Above this rate, performance is degraded by gyroscopic torques caused by attempting to maintain roll alignment with the target at all times. If the chase vehicle did not rotate in synchronism with the target, much better performance could be expected, because the accuracy of the measurementh is not measurably degraded at 20,000 degrees per hour. (Rotation affects the measurement accuracy only by moving the lamps, and the motion during the time an observation takes is only 2 percent of the spacing between lamps.) However, if the chase vehicle does not rotate, the ocking fixture must be designed to accept relative rotation between the two spacecraft.

Figures V-5 and V-6 show the performance of the three-light system with target spacecraft that rotate about their pitch and yaw axes. Again the limiting factor was the control system, not measurement accuracy. If a control system is to operate with target attitude rates over 700 degrees per hour above these axes, some form of attitude prediction will probably be needed. This can be implemented without changing the structure of the control system but will require more parameters in the onboard mathematical dynamics model described in Chapter VIII. It may also require an increase in the number of state variables used in the Kalman filter portion of the control system. The result will be an increase of approximately 20 percent in the computational burden on the flight computer. We do not believe this will be a major problem in system design.



ì

2 **2** 5

. ١

۰,

Figure V-4

Trajectories with three-light system with various target tumble rates about the docking axis: a) with 540°/hr; b) with 1000°/hr; c' with 4000°/hr; d) with 10,000°/hr; e) with 12,000°/hr; and f) with 20,000°/hr.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POUR QUALITY



Figure V-5

> Trajectories with three-light system with various target tumble rates about the pitch axis: a) with 540°/hr; b) with 1000°/hr; c) with 2000°/hr; d) with 4000°/hr; e) a closeup of d); and f) with 8000°/hr.



.;

And a second sec

. .

Figure V-6

1

Trajectories with three-light system with various tumble rates about the yaw axis: a) and b) are a stereo pair with 540°/hr tumble rate; o) with 1000°/hr; d) with 2000°/hr; e) with 4000°/hr; and f) with 8000°/hr.

C. THERE IS ONLY ONE OPTION

1. S.

\$°

The selection of the best system does not require a tradeoff matrix because only the three-light system worked reliability. It is interesting to note, however, that the system would be selected even if the other systems had performed as well. Consider how the systems compare in the 10 characteristics summarized in Table V-1. It is better than the other two systems in almost every column of the table.

The table entries shown in the cost column are only for comparison and are based on the known costs of hardware of similar complexity. Although the figures do not include such indirect costs as software development and impact on other systems, these costs can be expected to vary roughly in proportion to the hardware costs. None of the systems requires the expensive packaging associated with high voltage, none requires a zoom lens, and all can operate in the red/near-infrared portion of the spectrum for which inexpensive sensors are available. All of the systems will require some form of iris or threshold control, and this control will have comparable complexity in all three systems. Because the color sensor and rainbow beacon of the "rainbow" system are the only elements in any of the systems based on totally untried technology, the "rainbow" system represents by far the greatest risk.

All three systems have an algorithm hazard in common; they must be able to determine when the target is not within the television cameras' field of view. When the distance to the target is great, the video signal amplitude will fall with the square of the distance to the target, and it may be difficult to determine whether the target is further away than expected or is out of the field of view. We recommend a physical simulation to demonstrate that a hardware system can solve this problem.

In addition to this common hazard, the "rainbow" and ring-of-lights systems have the problems discussed previously that caused them to perform poorly in the simulations.

The speed of the three-light system is set primarily by the video frame rate, and the figure shown in Table V-l is based on standard video rates. A special-purpose camera might be developed for higher speed. The other two systems, in contrast, are limited in speed by more fundamental constraints. In both of these systems, two successive observations are combined to define target attitude. Enough time must be allowed between observations to ensure that the observations are significantly different from one another. Therefore these two systems can never achieve the speed of the three-light system.

Accuracy and television resolution are closely related. The resolution specified for the three-light system was based on simulations that showed the system works with resolution three times poorer. A factor of three safety margin was added to increase confidence while adding little to the cost; a 380-line resolution is approximately that of standard television cameras.

V-9

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Table V-1 Tradeoff Matrix for Three Remiezvous and Docking Systems

Criterion System	Hardware Cost (1)	Algorithm Hazaids (2)	Accuracy	Speed	Size and Weight (equip (4)	Power Required	Min/Max Range	Resolution Required	Rugged- ness and Hard- ware Relia- bility	System Compati- bility
3-Light Svøtem	\$300,000	Moderate	3% at 20 Meters 47% at 300 Meters	Up to 10 Measure- ments per Second	0.02 m ³ 5 kg	10-15 Watts	0-300m	380 Television Lines	Good	Good
Rainbow System	\$500,000	Severe	42 at 20 Meters 72 at 300 Meters	Up to 1 Measure- ment per Second (3)	0.04 m ³ 12 kg	25 Watts	0-300m	380 Television Lines	Fair	Fair
Ellipse Svstem	\$300,000	Severe	412 at 20 Meters 23% at 300 Meters	Up to 1 Measure- ment per Second (3)	0.03 m ³ 8 kg	20 Watts	0-300m	380 Television Lines	Good	Severe Problems

(1) For Comparison Only - Based on Cost per kg of Similar Hardware

(2) See Text

÷

· · · ·

(3) Constraint Imposed by Having to Take Two Measurements; If Measurements Are Too Close, Noise Will Be Dominant

(4) Chase Vehicle System

The resolution for the other two systems was specified at a level high enough to convince us that accuracy was not the dominant cause of failure. Simulations with much better resolution demonstrated no better performance.

All three systems are rugged, but the "rainbow" system uses a rainbow projector and a color detector that may require fragile parts and precise alignment. The types and the quantity of components make this system rate the lowest in terms of hardware ruggedness and reliability. The differences among the systems are so great in other respects, however, that this factor is insignificant.

- Ail three systems place similar constraints on the system:
- 1) Nothing must be allowed to block the field of view of the cameras or color detector;
- The propulsion system must be able to support the added mass of the guidance system;
- 3) The power system must provide power for the additional hardware:
- 4) The coarse rendezvous system must provide a sufficiently accurate estimate of the relative positions and velocities of the two spacecraft to give the video system time to search for the target, lock on, and begin to track.

Additional constraints are discussed in Chapter IV. However, of the three systems the three-light system imposes the fewest constraints; it has only one camera, and the camera does not have to be placed at the docking fixture, it requires the smallest amount of power and weighs the least. Even if the other systems worked well, they would place more constraints on the two spacecraft.

D. THE HARDWARE TECHNOLOGY IS AVAILABLE

The three-light system does not require any new developments in hardware to make it practical. It can use television cameras of standard resolution and speed operating in a convenient spectral band. The burden on the flight computer is modest and will not require a special computer design.

We do not recommend, however, that fully autonomous operation be tried with the first such system built. We recommend that the system be used first as an aid in remote piloting of the chase vehicle. As the system proves its ability, it can be given greater autonomy from mission to mission. This approach of gradually increasing autonomy minimizes risks and allows the collection of valuable data that can be used to improve system performance.

V-11

VI. Two Other Candidate Systems

Two Oth Jate Syst

N S

VI. TWO OTHER CANDIDATE SYSTEMS

A. CORRELATION SYSTEM WAS TOO EXPENSIVE

In most correlation guidance schemes, a reference scene is compared to the live scene from a television camera. The center of the reference scene is placed at different locations on the live scene, and the correlator computes the quality of the match with each alignment. The system uses the coordinates that give the best match between scenes for horizontal and vertical error signals. the comparison to

The rendezvous system cannot afford the time to do that because the misalignment can be in six degrees of freedom, not just two. The rendezvous system would have to match scenes with several different horizontal displacements for several individual vertical displacements, for each zoom lens setting for each of several rotations of the camera about the optical axis, for each of several reference scenes showing the target from different angles. The number of comparisons required for each measurement is astounding, perhaps ten billion at the very least, and each comparison may require 2000 or more operations.

The number of comparisons must be reduced, and this is possible. The guidance system can use the centroid-tracking and ellipse-fitting techniques described in Chapter IV to greatly reduce the number of degrees of freedom. The system we considered used these techniques to control the camera's zoom lens and gimbal set. This was done to keep the target image centered in the camera's field of view, to maintain a constant image size, and to keep the major axis of the best-fit ellipse horizontal. The eccentricity of the best-fit ellipse was to be used to select a subset of reference scenes for comparison. The correlator would then need only to select the reference scene that best matched the live scene.

Without question this system was the most complex of the five systems that were evaluated, though it was less complex than pattern interpretation systems and more tolerant of sensor imperfections. It had the advantage of requiring no special docking aids on the target spacecraft. With modifications to its database of comparison images, it could, in principle, be used with any target. However, the scheme could not compete with the other concepts in accuracy or cost.

B. CURVE-FITTING SCHEME DUPLICATED MSFC EFFORT

R. Dabney of NASA's George C. Marshall Spaceflight Center describes a video guidance scheme in MSFC memorandum ED15-81-71. His system used the same ring-of-lights docking aid as one of the systems described in Chapter IV, but its method for fitting an ellipse to the ring's image

was considerably different, and a different approach was used to derive guidance information from ellipse parameters.

This system was not evaluated under this study, because more could be gained from the study of systems that had not already been analyzed and simulated, as this one had.

Ξ.

.

-

۴ ب

ŝ

ì

ł

1. N N N

. .

 A^{+}

Ĩ

1 . . .

 H^{n-1}

11 . *

VII. Other Techniques That Were Investigated Ę

Table VII-l summarizes the approaches that were considered for use in the video guidance system. Many of these are discussed in detail in Chapters IV and VI. This chapter discusses the rejected approaches.

Table VII-1 Techniques Investigated

Interpreting an Image of Three Lights
Fitting an Ellipse to the Image of a Ring of Lights
Correlation
Recognition of Corners and Edges
Projected Pattern from Target
Recognition of a Bar Pattern on the Target Spacecraft
Detection of Target Color, which Varies with Observer's Position
Stereo Rangefinding
Stadimetry
Rangefinding by Optical Focusing

A. CORNER/EDGE RECOGNITION IS TOO EXPENSIVE

Many of the studies on robotic vision have concentrated on the detection of edges and corners. While this approach holds promise in many robotics applications, it is not recommended for an autonomous video rendezvous system. There are two reasons:

- 1) Fast algorithms are easily fooled. The guidance system must contend with an image of extremely high contrast and with shadows that are almost totally black. Although contrast aids in the detection of corners and edges when the target's geometry is simple, the variable collection of instruments on the target grocecraft will result in a complex pattern of shadows that will model in the interpretation very difficult.
- 2) Better algorithms cannot compete in speed or cost. Speed is vital when the system must operate with tumbling targets, and software analysis of a complex image cannot come close to the speed of the other algorithms considered. Further, the better algorithms store the entire image, which may require as much as a quarter of a million bytes of memory. A detailed cost analysis is not required to conclude that such a system will be far more expensive than one that uses one of the selected algorithms.

VII-1

B. BAR PATTERN REQUIRES HIGH RESOLUTION AND GOOD LIGHTING

The Universal Product Code has been highly successful in automating checkout lines in supermarket. In principle, a similar bar-code pattern could be used for locating key reference points on a spacecraft. Although this approach would greatly simplify the target, it greatly complicates the overall system. First, it requires good lighting to ensure that the bar patterns are visible. Because the target may be tumbling, sunlight will be unreliable. While the problem is not insurmountable (a backlit pattern might be used, for example), the approach remains more susceptible to lighting problems than the selected approaches.

The hardest problem to solve, however, is resolving the details of the pattern. The pattern must be small if it is to be effective for identifying reference points, and the small size makes it difficult to use, especially when the system must operate at all ranges from zero to over 300 meters. Other techniques such as those discussed in Chapter IV, circumvent these problems and provide the same capabilities at a lower cost.

C. RANGEFINDING BY OPTICAL FOCUSING IS HARD TO USE

Optical focusing, at first glance, appears to be a simple method for determining range, but a number of practical problems make it very difficult to implement:

- 1) The system does not know what is in focus. A practical guidance system needs to determine the range to a known reference point on the target spacecraft to adjust approach speed for a soft contact with the target. A simple focusing system attempts to adjust for maximum sharpness of the image as a whole, and there is no guarantee that a known reference point will be in sharp focus. While this is not a problem at great distances from the target, it may cause severe problems in deriving velocity at close range, and proper alignment of the docking fixture may require additional hardware that partially duplicates the function of the rangefinder.
- 2) A telephoto lens is required at long range. Lenses with wide fields of view and modest F numbers have great depth of focus; one lens setting may produce sharp focusing for any range from a few meters to infinity. A large-aperture telephoto lens is therefore required to make effective use of optical focusing. Such lenses, however, are expensive and their narrow fields of view introduce pointing problems. A gimballed pointing mount may be required, along with a complex state estimation algorithm to keep the target centered in the field of view of such a lens.
- 3) Automatic focusing is a noisy operation. Sharp focusing is detected by measuring the high-frequency content in the video signal,

because sharpest focusing is associated with the highest high-spatial-frequency content in an image. Finding the point of sharpest focus is analogous to finding a point of zero slope on a curve of sharpness versus lens setting. Both operations involve the calculus operation of differentiation. This operation is always "noisy" in that it emphasizes signal corruptions, and the double differentiation is especially noisy. The result is that an accurate system will be slow, expensive, or both.

Although it may be argued that accurate information is not required at great distances from the target, the simulations have shown that systems which are not accurate do not work well. The problem is that the guidance system cannot determine the approach rate. Therefore, it allows the chase vehicle to drift away from the target or drives the vehicle toward the target at such a high speed that it cannot stop.

VIII. A Generic Video Guidance System

· \$1. 3

• ;

The simulation programs in Appendices A thru C are adaptations of a single original program called DSIM, which was developed by Martin Marietta to test our autonomous video rendezvous guidance system. The program and the guidance system were developed under IR&D project D-11R. Because a major portion of this guidance system is common to all three simulations, a detailed explanation of the system is presented.

1

Figure VIII-1 is a block diagram of the control system, and each block corresponds, in general, with a subroutine or set of subroutines in the simulation programs. The exceptions to this rule are:

- Telemetry uplink and downlink have not been implemented in these simulations;
- Several subroutines simulate television images of the docking aid and process them to obtain position and target actitude. These subroutines, which differ among the simulation programs, were written to perform specific functions rather than to correspond to specific hardware modules in the block diagram;
- 3) Subroutine DOCK in each simulation is the "wiring" among the blocks; it sequences operations and passes data among blocks.

Table VIII-1

Relationship between Block-Diagram Blocks and Subroutines in the Simulation Programs

Block Name	Subroutines*				
Kalman Filter	INCORP, (COMPG, ESTCOV, KALGAN, UPDSTA, UPDCOV)				
Mathematical Dynamics Model	PROPES, RPY, ESTRPY				
Inertial Measurement Unit	IMU, (DIRMAT, ANGVEC)				
Goal-Setting Logic	SETGOL				
Control Law	THRUST, (CNTLAW, ACCEL, FIRTHR)				
Thrusters	SELECT, (TABLE1, TABLE2, TABLE3)				
Chase Vehicle Dynamics	PROPTR, (COMPK1, COMPK2, COMPK3, COMPK4, POINT, STPRIM, ANGVEC, LINACL, MPRIME, FORCE, DIRMAT, TORQUE, LPRIME, MAKROT, QPRIME)				
Target Spacecraft Dynamics	TRGATT				
* Library routines such as m	atrix arithmetic routines are not listed				

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Table VIII-1 shows the correspondence between program subroutines and blocks in the block diagram. Subroutine names are shown in parentheses if they are not the primary routines involved in implementing a block. These routines are called on by the primary routines to implement lowlevel details of a function.



Figure VIII-1 Genern Video Rendezvous Guidance Systems

Only Major Signal Paths Are Shown. The Simulations Reported Here Do Not Use TM Uplink and Downlink, and Only Minimal Forms of the Kalman Filter, Mathematical Model, and Goal-Setting Logic Were Implemented.

A. THE KALMAN FILTER IMPROVES ACCURACY AND DERIVES RATES

The Kalman filter combines observations to fine-tune the parameters in a mathematical dynamics model, providing accurate estimates of position and velocity. In these simulations, the filter adjusts six parameters, the x, y, and z components of position and velocity of the chase vehicle relative to the target. It can also be expanded to estimate such other parameters as target tumbling characteristics.

Although a mathematical dynamics model is an essential feature of a Kalman filter, the model is considered to be a separate entity in this control system for two reasons:

 The model would be used in the control system even if the filter were not. The primary reason the system maintains the model is to allow it to operate properly even when feedback is interrupted by obstruction of the camera's view of the target. The model is not simply a piece of the Kalman filter;

VIII-2

2) The model contains parameters that are not adjusted by the filter. The parameters include the latest chase vehicle attitude quaternion and angular velocity vector from the inertial measurement unit, the latest target attitude measurement, information about the geometry of both spacecraft, an estimate of the mass of the chase vehicle, optical system parameters, and other data.

The subroutine that implements the Kalman filter is called INCORP. Each time a new measurement is taken, this subroutine updates the state estimate (x) and the state covariance matrix (P) by calculating

$K+PG^{T}$ (R+GPG ^T) ⁻¹	,	(VIII-1)
$\underline{x} \leftarrow \underline{x} + K(\underline{z} - \underline{g})$,		(VIII-2)
P←(I-KG)P ,		(VIII-3)

where

- K, represented in the programs as KGAIN, is a 6x3 matrix referred to as the Kalman gain matrix,
- P, represented in the program as P, is a 6x6 matrix, the state covaraance matrix,
- G. represented in the programs as G, is a 3x6 matrix, the partial derivative of the predicted measurement with respect to the state. Since the measurements in all three simulations are the first three elements of the state vector, G is a constant with the value

[1	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0

It is, however, "computed" in subroutine COMFG to maximize the usefulness of the program for other simulations in which G is not constant,

- R, represented in the programs as R, is a 3x3 matrix, the measurement covariance matrix. This matrix is calculated from an empirical formula in subroutine ESTCOV. The formula was derived by observing the measurement errors at various ranges in several rendezvous simulations and fitting a curve to a graph of the mean square error versus range. In the design of a flight system a similar approach could be used, but test data and analytically derived tolerances would be used instead of simulation results,
- \underline{x} , represented in the programs as ESTATE, is the estimated chase vehicle state. This is a six-element vector, the first three elements of which represent position along the x, y, and z axes of the socalled "primary" reference frame. The remaining elements are the velocity components along these axes. (The primary frame is a non-

rotating right-handed rectangular coordinate system centered at the target spacecraft's center of mass but aligned with the body axes of the chase vehicle at the instant the video guidance system takes control),

- z, represented in the programs as CVPOS, is a three-element measurement vector representing the measured position of the chase vehicle's center of mass in the primary reference frame,
- g, which is not explicitly represented in the programs, is the predicted observation. In these implementations of the control system, g is simply the first three elements of x,
- I, the 3x3 identity matrix, is not explicitly represented in the programs.

The Kalman filter form used here does not have the best roundoff and stability characteristics for flight software, but is ideal for simulations because it is easy to modify.

B. THE MATHEMATICAL DYNAMICS MODEL ALLOWS DEAD RECKONING

The mathematical model embodies the guidance system's knowledge of the target spacecraft and chase vehicle. Some of this information--positions of the cameras, docking aids and fixtures with respect to the spacecraft centers of mass, the optical focal lengths, the thruster locations, orientations and thrust levels, and similar information--is known in advance. In the simulations, this information is passed to all subroutines that need it through common blocks. All these common blocks appear in subroutines INIPAR, where the variables are initial-ized and the variables' definitions, which vary from one simulation to the next, are given in comments with the type declarations in that subroutine.

A second class of information in the mathematical model is measured data that does not pass through the Kalman filter. Although the nature and use of this information vary among the three simulations, all three programs maintain target attitude, chase vehicle attitude, and the coordinates of the lamp images' centers of brightness.

The third class of information, which is identical in all three simulations, is the information processed by the Kalman filter. In the three simulations this class included only the position and velocity of the chase vehicle. These collectively referred to as the state estimate (ESTATE) and the state estimate covariance matrix (P). Additional parameters could be added to improve performance with tumbling targets.

In addition to a data base, the mathematical model has procedures for updating and using the data. The largest of these is subroutine PROPES, which uses numerical integration to propagate the state estimate and covariance matrix between observations. The formulas it uses are
$\mathbf{\ddot{x}} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{K}_{2} \mathbf{\underline{f}} / \mathbf{m}$	(VIII-4)
$\Delta x = (\dot{x} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{x} \Delta t) \Delta t$	(VIII-5)
$\Delta \mathbf{\dot{x}} = \mathbf{\dot{x}} \Delta \mathbf{t}$	(VIII-6)
$\Delta P = (FP + PF^{T} + NVN^{T})\Delta t$	(VIII-7)

where

- x, \dot{x} and \ddot{x} represent the position, velocity, and acceleration vectors, respectively. The position vector is the first three elements of the array ESTATE, and the velocity vector is the second three elements. The program variable name for x is ACCEL,
- is the transpose of the chase vehicle direction cosine matrix, as Α measured by the inertial measurement unit, which is modeled in subroutine IMU. The variable name in the program is ACVT,
- K2 is a constant matrix relating the force magnitudes of all the thrusters to the force vectors they produce. The variable name in the programs is AK2,
- f is a 14-element array in which each element corresponds to the magnitude of the force currently produced by one thruster. The programs refer to this array as F,
- is an estimate of the chase vehicle mass. The programs refer to m this variable as AVGMAS,
- t is the time interval over which the state estimate is to be propagated. The variable is referred to in the programs as STEP,
- is the state estimate covariance matrix. The correspond Ρ יg variable name in the programs is P,
- is the partial derivative of d(ESTATE)/dt with respect to ESTATE, F which, in this context, is the constant matrix.

0	0	0	1	0	0]
0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0

This matrix does not appear explicitly in the programs because its effect is simply to select elements of P to be added together. The selection and addition were done more efficiently by avoiding matrix arithmetic,

NVNT is a matrix that gives the covariance of stray (unmodeled) accelerations of the chase vehicle. These accelerations arise from uncertainty in thruster force magnitude from attitude changes between the time A^T is measurel and the time it is used, from gravity gradient acceleration, from thruster misalignment, and from roundoff errors in computation. Because this matrix is sparse (only three elements out of 36 are nonzero), it is handled without using matrix arithmetic and is not explicitly represented in the programs.

The mathematical model is also responsible for attitude control. Subroutine RPY is used if the docking aid is within the field of view. It returns the changes in roll, pitch, and yaw required to align the chase vehicle's x axis with the line of sight between the camera and the docking aid. Subroutine ESTRPY is used when the docking aid cannot be It estimates the changes in roll, pitch, and yaw required to seen. align the chase vehicle's x axis with the line connecting the chase vehicle's center of mass and the target spacecraft's center of mass. ESTRPY operates on the state estimate (ESTATE) whereas RPY uses the coordinates of the center of brightness of the docking aid's image. ESTRPY is the same in all three simulations, but RPY is slightly different in the three versions because of the differences in the docking aids among the three systems.

THE INERTIAL MEASUREMENT UNIT PROVIDES ATTITUDE AND ATTITUDE RATE INFORMATION

The guidance system uses the so-called "primary" reference frame to maintain estimates of relative position and velocity, and of the attitudes of both spacecraft. The primary frame is a nonrotating coordinate system that is initially aligned with the chase vehicle's body axes at the instant the video guidance system takes control. The center of the coordinate system is at the target spacecraft's center of mass. Since both spacecraft rotate with respect to this frame, the guidance system must measure the chase vehicle's attitude with each video measurement to properly interpret the imagery. Subroutine IMU supplies simulated attitude measurements from the inertial resourement unit for this purpose. The attitude is returned in the form of a direction cosine matrix defining the attitude with respect to the primary frame.

The transpose of the direction cosine matrix and an angular velocity vector, used for control system damping, are also returned from the IMU.

The attitude is determined by examining the "true" state of the chase vehicle, which is maintained in the 14-element array STATE. Elements 10 through 13 of this array are the current attitude quaternion and define the attitude with respect to the "truth" coordinate system. Subroutine IMU subtracts the initial attitude from the current attitude to compute attitude with respect to the "primary" reference frame used for control. It then converts from quaternion notation to direction cosine notation.

с.

The angular velocity vector is found from elements 7 through 9 of STATE, which are the angular momentum vector, and from element 14 of STATE, which is the chase vehicle mass. The equations are

$$I = I_{o} + (m-m_{o})dI/dm \qquad (VIII-8)$$

$$\underline{\omega}_{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{I}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{\underline{L}}$$
(VIII-9)

whe re

D.

- I, represented in the programs as the 3x3 matrix INERTA, is the total chase vehicle inertia,
- I_o, represented in the programs as the 3x3 matrix INERCV, is the inertia of the chase vehicle without fuel,
- m,m_o, are the mass of the chase vehicle with the current fuel load and the mass with no fuel, respectively. In the programs m is represented as STATE (10), and m_o is MEMPTY,
- d[/dm, represented in the programs by the 3x3 matrix FULDIS, is the amount of additional inertia added for each unit of fuel,

represented in the programs by the three-element array ATRATE (in subroutine IMU) or BODVEL (in subroutine ANCVEC), is the angular velocity vector expressed in the chase vehicle's body coordinate system,

- L, represented in the programs by elements 7 through 9 of STATE, is the angular momentum vector of the chase vehicle, expressed in the "truth" coordinate system,
- A_c, represented in the program by the 3x3 matrix TACV, is the direction cosine matrix that defines the current chase vehicle attitude with respect to the "truth" coordinate system.

In these simulations the IMU returns exact values for attitude and angular momentum. Although it would be easy to add corruptions to these quantities, this has not been done because the inertial measurement unit of a real spacecraft can be very accurate for the few minutes a rendezvous operation requires, and IMU errors will not be a major contributor to the total error.

GOAL-SETTING LOGIC PROVIDES INTELLIGENCE

The goal-setting logic is a software module in the flight computer and is responsible for planning, safety, and hazard recognition. For example, it examines the state estimate and covariance matrix provided by the mathematical dynamics model, decides how close it should come to the target, and where its aim point should be with respect to the current position. The simulations use a minimal version of this software;

VIII-7

l

a practical flight system might also decide what trajectory will minimize fuel use, determine if the target is tumbling so fast that docking is impossible, or decide to ask for human assistance via telemetry.

The subroutine that embodies the goal-setting logic is SETGOL. The three simulation programs use slightly different versions of this routine because the locations of lights and cameras differ among the simulations. The essential logic, however, is identical.

First, the subroutine picks a desired location for the docking fixture of the chase vehicle. This point (Fig. VIII-2) will be just beyond the end of the target spacecraft's docking fixture. It can be expressed in target coordinates as $\underline{r} = \underline{h}d\underline{t} - \underline{d}$, where $\underline{h}d\underline{t}$ is the location of the end of the target docking fixture with respect to the target's center of mass and $\underline{d} = (d, 0, 0)^T$ is a safety margin.



Figure VIII-2 Physical Significance of Equation (8-10) Multiplications by A_c and A_t^T Are Done to Convert All Vectors to the Chase Vehicle's Current Body Coordinate System. Attitude Control, a Separate Operation, Keeps \underline{h}_{dc} Pointed Toward the Target So That, by the Time the Chase Vehicle Reaches Its Goal the Attitude Is Correct.

The value of d is computed from the state estimate covariance matrix (P) and has a value of approximately three times the standard deviation of the position estimate. If the chase vehicle is estimated to be more than 15 meters from the target's x axis, d is increased to encourage an approach along the x axis.

When range and velocity are sufficiently small, d is set to zero. If this were not done, the chase vehicle might hover indefinitely a few centimeters from contact.

After \underline{r} has been determined, the subroutine finds a goal for the chase vehicle's center of mass, expressed in chase vehicle coordinates, with the formula

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{A}_{c} \left(\mathbf{A}_{t}^{T} (\underline{\mathbf{r}} - \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{dc}) - \underline{\mathbf{x}} \right)$$
(VIII-10)

where

 \underline{v} , represented in the programs as V3, is the goal for the chase vehicle's center of mass,

1

- A_c, represented in the programs as ACV, is the chase vehicle's attitude direction cosine matrix, as measured by the inertial measurement unit,
- Λ_t , represented in the programs as TRNAT, is the transpose of the target's attitude direction cosine matrix, which is derived from the appearance of the target in the television images,
- x, represented in the programs as the first three elements of ESTATE, is the chase vehicle's estimated position in the "primary" reference frame,
- <u>h</u>dc, represented in the programs as HDC, is the location of the chase vehicle's docking fixture with respect to the chase vehicle's center of mass.

Finally, the subroutine defies a "box" or region of space around the goal. The control law will use this box in selecting thrusters. If the chase vehicle is already within the box, the control law will attempt to prevent it from leaving. If it is not within the box, the control law will attempt to force it to enter the box before a deadline time has been reached. If the chase vehicle is within the box and is not in danger of immediately drifting out of the box, the control law will not activate any thrusters.

The box concept has two advantages. First, it allows the control law to work on a single axis at a time and to ignore any interaction. Second, it allows control tolerances to be adjusted as a function of the distance to the target. This prevents waste of fuel at greater distances from the target where accurate positioning is not important. The subroutines implement only a very simple version of the box-sizing strategy.

E. THE CONTROL LAW DETERMINES IDEAL THRUST VECTORS

The control law, subroutine CNTLAW, converts the velocity estimate (elements 4 through 6 of ESTATE) to the chase vehicle body coordinates and then calls on the function ACCEL six times, once for each translational and rotational axis. ACCEL returns a value of zero or +1.0

to indicate the state of an idealized thruster (off, on with positive thrust, or on with negative thrust). The value is selected to ensure that limit cycling along the axis the thruster controls is confined to the "box" defined by the goal-setting logic. The algorithm behind ACCEL is difficult to state in plain English, but the "pseudocode" flowchart in Figure VIII-3 shows the essential logic. For a given set of input parameters, ACCEL defines a simple phase-plane control law. The input parameters have the effect of adjusting the phase-plane decision boundaries to accommodate different thrust authorities, decision intervals, limit cycle envelopes and control bandwidths.

Ϊ

.

, i

÷.,



The Thrust Directions Required to Implement "Brake," "Accelerate," and "Thrust Toward Goal" Depend on the Current Position and Velocity.

After r has been determined, the subroutine finds a goal for the chase vehicle's center of mass, expressed in chase vehicle coordinates, with the formula

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}} \left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathrm{T}} (\underline{\mathbf{r}} - \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{dc}}) - \underline{\mathbf{x}} \right)$$
(VII(-10)

where

- \underline{v} , represented in the programs as V3, is the goal for the chase vehicle's center of mass,
- A_c, represented in the programs as ACV, is the chase vehicle's attitude direction cosine matrix, as measured by the inertial measurement unit,
- A_t, represented in the programs as TRNAT, is the transpose of the target's attitude direction cosine matrix, which is derived from the appearance of the target in the television images,
- x, represented in the programs as the first three elements of ESTATE, is the chase vehicle's estimated position in the "primary" reference frame,
- hdc, represented in the programs as HDC, is the location of the chase vehicle's docking fixture with respect to the chase vehicle's center of mass.

Finally, the subroutine defies a "box" or region of space around the goal. The control law will use this box in selecting thrusters. If the chase vehicle is already within the box, the control law will attempt to prevent it from leaving. If it is not within the box, the control law will attempt to force it to enter the box before a deadline time has been reached. If the chase vehicle is within the box and is not in danger of immediately drifting out of the box, the control law will not activate any thrusters.

The box concept has two advantages. First, it allows the control law to work on a single axis at a time and to ignore any interaction. Second, it allows control tolerances to be adjusted as a function of the distance to the target. This prevents waste of fuel at greater distances from the target where accurate positioning is not important. The subroutines implement only a very simple version of the box-sizing strategy.

E. THE CONTROL LAW DETERMINES IDEAL THRUST VECTORS

The control law, subroutine CNTLAW, converts the velocity estimate (elements 4 through 6 of ESTATE) to the chase vehicle body coordinates and then calls on the function ACCEL six times, once for each translational and rotational axis. ACCEL returns a value of zero or ± 1.0

to indicate the state of an idealized thruster (off, on with positive thrust, or on with negative thrust). The value is selected to ensure that limit cycling «long the axis the thruster controls is confined to the "box" defined by the goal-setting logic. The algorithm behind ACCEL is difficult to state in plain English but the "pseudocode" flowchart in Figure VIII-3 shows the essential logic. For a given set of input parameters, ACCEL defines a simple phase-plane control law. The input parameters have the effect of adjusting the phase-plane decision boundaries to accommodate different thrust authorities, decision intervals, limit cycle envelopes and control bandwidths.



The Thrust Directions Required to Implement "Brake," "Accelerate," and "Thrust Toward Goal" Depend on the Current Position and Velocity.

į.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

ŗ,

يعاد أأتهر فالمارة

Υ**τ** 'γ., γ.,

·i ·

ļ

F. THRUSTERS APPROXIMATE REQUIRED FORCES AND TORQUES

A practical spacecraft has a limited number of thrusters and cannot command an arbitrary acceleration in an arbitrary direction. The simulation models this restriction by giving the chase vehicle only 14 thrusters. (Physically there are 15, but two of them are controlled as a unit., Combinations of the 14 thrusters can be selected to provide accelerations in any combination of the three translational axes and torques about any combination of these axes. The magnitudes of the accelerations and torques may, however, significantly differ from the ideal values. The logic in the control law accounts for this fact by knowing both the maximum and the minimum possible value for each acceleration and torque.

There are three possible commands (-1, 0, and +1) for each axis, and there are six axes for which commands can be given (x, y, z, yaw, roll,and pitch). This means there are only 3^{6} or 729 different command combinations that can be given. Subroutine SELECT converts the set of commands to an index and then looks up an appropriate set of thrusters in a table. In flight hardware this could be done by using read-only memory to convert acceleration commands to thruster commands.

G. CHASE VEHICLE DYNAMICS RESPOND TO THRUSTER COMMANDS

The simulation programs use a set of five coupled differential equations to compute the chase vehicle's response to the thrusters. These equations propagate the vehicle's so-called "true state", which includes position, velocity, angular momentum, attitude, and mass. Because some of these quantities are vectors, the number of elements in the state is 14. These quantities are represented in the programs by the array STATE, whose elements are defined in Table VIII-2.

The array STATE differs significantly from the array ESTATE, the state estimate used for navigation. ESTATE contains only position and velocity estimates and therefore has only six elements. These six elements are not simply estimates of the first six elements of STATE because two different coordinate systems are used.

The true state is measured with espect to a nonrotating coordinate system centered at the target spacecraft's center of mass. The orientation of this coordinate system is fixed at the instant the simulation begins, with the +z axis pointing away from the center of the earth, the +y axis aligned with the orbit angular momentum vector, and the +x axis defined by the cross product of unit vectors along the +y and +z axes. This orientation was chosen to simplify the calculation of gravity gradient accelerations.

÷,

Table VIII-	2					
Definitions	of	Elements	of	True-State	Array	STATE

Element Number	Definition
1	X Position (m)
2	Y Position (m)
3	Z Position (m)
4	X Velocity (m/s)
5	Y Velocity (m/s)
6	Z Velocity (m/s)
7	Angular Momentum about X Axis (kg m ² /s)
8	Angular Momentum about Y Axis (kg m^2/s)
9	Angular Momentum about Z Axis (kg m^2/s)
10	q ₁ of Attitude Quaternion
11	q ₂ of Attitude Quaternion
12	q ₃ of Attitude Quaternion
13	q ₄ of Attitude Quaternion
14	mass (kg)

The state estimate (ESTATE) uses a different coordinate system to demonstrate that the guidance system has no need for the target-orbit information that defines the truth coordinate system. In a flight situation the guidance system may, in fact, have no access to this info mation.

The differential equations, summarized in Table VIII-3, are integrated in subroutine PROPT's with fourth-order Runge-Kutta numerical integration. This integration algorithm calculates the state at a future time from the current state and the first derivative of the state at various points within the integration interval. Since a minimum of four evaluations of the first derivative are used for any interval, a separate subroutine, STPRIM, is used to calculate the derivatives. This subroutine, in turn, calls subroutines that evaluate the equations in Table VIII-3. Table VIII-4 shows the meanings of the symbols in the formulas and the names of the variables used in the simulation program to represent them.

The orbit is modeled as a 300-kilometer circular earth orbit. Because of the choice of coordinate system, the orbit has only a minor secondorder effect; it determines the magnitude and direction of the gravity gradient acceleration, which is a relative acceleration between the two spacecraft caused by the fact that gravity acts most strongly on the spacecraft closest to the earth. The maximum magnitude of this differential acceleration is approximately 0.0001 g.

.

17.85.

. . . .

Υ.

Ϊ,

i T

×,

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. J. L.

; . .

١

Equation		Subroutine Computed	Whe
	E (UTIT-		

Table VIII-3 Major Equations for True-State Computation

Equation		Subroutine Where Computed
$\underline{f} = A^{\mathrm{T}}K_{2} \underline{F}$	(VIII-11)	FORCE
$\dot{\mathbf{m}} = -\mathbf{k}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \underline{\mathbf{F}}$	(VIII-12)	MPRIME
$\dot{\underline{v}} = \underline{\underline{a}}_{gg} + \underline{\underline{f}}/\underline{\underline{m}}$	(VIII-13)	LINACL
$\underline{\mathbf{\omega}}_{\mathbf{b}} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\omega}_{\mathbf{b}} \\ \mathbf{\omega}_{\mathbf{b}} \\ \mathbf{\omega}_{\mathbf{b}} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{I}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{L}$	(VIII-14)	ANGVEC
$ \Omega = \begin{bmatrix} $	(VIII-15)	MAKROT
$\underline{\mathbf{N}} = \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{Y}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{1}$	(VIII-16)	TORQUE
$\underline{\mathbf{L}} = \underline{\mathbf{N}} - (\mathbf{A}^{T} \underline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b}) \times \mathbf{L}$	(VIII-17)	LPRIME
<u>ថ្មំ</u> = 0.5 ន ឮ	(VIII-18)	QPRIME
<u>×</u> = <u>v</u>	(VIII-19)	STPRIM

10

A *

Ì

Algebraic Symbol	Dimension	Program Variables	Units	Coordinate System	Meaning
A,A ^T	3 x 3	A, TRNA		Truth	Direction Cosine Matrix Corresponding to \underline{q}
f	3 x 1	NTFORC	N	Truth	Net Force Vector from Thrusters
F	14 x 1	<u>F</u>	N		Element i is the Magnitude of Thrust Produced by Thruster i
I, I ⁻¹	3 x 3	INERTA, INVIN	$\frac{\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2}{\text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}}$	Body	Moment of Inertia and Its Inverse
dI/dm	3 x 3	FULDIS	m ²	Body	Sensitivity of I to Additional Fuel Mass
k ₁ ^T	1 x 14	AK1	kg•N ⁻¹ s ⁻¹		Element i is Fuel Mass Consumed, per Newton- Second of Thrust, for Thruster i
к ₂	3 x 14	AK2		Body	Column i is a Unit Vector in the Direction of the Thrust Produced by Thruster i
K ₃	3 x 14	AK3	m	Body	Column i is a Vector Corresponding to Thruster i. Its Direction is the Direction of the Torque Produced by the Thruster. Its Magnitude is the Amount of Torque per Newton of Force.
<u>L, È</u>	3 x 1	SiATE(7) (et seq.), DSTATE(7) (et seq.)	kg·m ² /s, kg·m ² /s ²	Truth	Angular Momentum about Center of Mass and its Rate of Change

Table VIII-4 Definition of Variables in Table VIII-3

وينافحه والمتحرين المرا

والاستعادية المالية والمراجع

4. 5

· · · ·

Ì.

· * · · · *

4

, , ,

....

\ 7

Table VIII-4 (concl)

Algebraic Symbol	Dimension	Program Variables	Units	Coordinate System	Meaning
m , m	Scalar	STATE(14), DSTATE(14) or DMDT	kg, kg/s		Total Chase Vehicle Mass and its Rate of Change
N	3 x 1	N	N•m	Truth	Torque about Center of Mass
<u>q,q</u>	4 x 1	STATE(10) (et seq), DSTATE(10) (et seq) or QPRM	, s ⁻¹	Truth	Allitude Quaternion and its Rate of Change
<u>v, v</u>	3 x 1	STATE(4) (et seq), DSTATE(4) (et seq) or ACCEL	m/s, m/s ²	Truth	Velocity and its Rate of Change
<u>×,×</u>	3 x 1	STATE(1) (et seq), DSTATE(1) (et seq)	m, m/s	Truth	Position and its Rate of Change
<u>ω</u> ,	3 x 1	BODVEL	-1 s	Body	Angular Velocity
75	_ 4	OMEGA	s-1	Body	Matrix Formed from Elements of $\underline{\omega}_{b}$ to Implement Equation (D-6)

H. TARGET ATTITUDE IS MODELED AS DETERMINISTIC

Subroutine TRGATT computes the target spacecraft's attitude as a function of time. In the simulations several versions of this subroutine were used to simulate different initial attitudes and tumbling at different rates about different axes. In each case a simple tumbling was assumed so that system performance could be evaluated as a function of simple parameters.

د 1

I. DIFFERENT SPACECRAFT CAN BE MODELED

** 5. 7, 82**

* * * * *

. . . .

, . * The dynamics model used in these programs is readily adapted to different targets and chase vehicles. For example, different masses, thruster orientations, number of thrusters, thruster forces, docking fixture locations, camera locations, fuel loads, and engine types can be modeled without changing the program logic. Different control laws can be used by changing the logic of subroutine CNTLAW, and different methods for deriving rates can be invest.gated by replacing the Kalman filter subroutines. These investigations were beyond the scope of the study reported here.

IX. Computer Models of Measurements and Noise

IX. Computer Models of Messurements and Noise

IX. COMPUTER MODELS OF MEASUREMENTS AND NOISE

A. LIGHT POSITIONS ARE MODELED WITH PERSPECTIVE PROJECTION

In each program, lights are assumed to be point light sources, and the images from the cameras are simulated by perspective projection. The calculations are identical for each light in every program, except that the vectors defining camera and lamp positions vary in value. The basic strategy is to compute the position of the lamp in the chase vehicle's camera coordinate system. This system is parallel to the chase vehicle's body coordinate system but offset by h_c , which is the separation between the camera and the chase vehicle's center of mass. The formula for determining a lamp's position in the camera coordinate system is

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}} \left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathrm{T}} \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{t}} - \dots - \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{c}} \right)$$
(IX-1)

The physical interpretation of this formula is shown in Figure IX-1.



Figure IX-1 Physical Interpretation of Equation (IX-1)

The position of the lamp's image on the television screen can be determined from

 $\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{r}_2 \, \mathbf{f}/\mathbf{r}_1 \tag{IX-2}$

for the horizontal component and from

 $v = r_3 f/r_1$

(1X-3)

λ

for the vertical component, where r_1 , r_2 , and r_3 are the x, y, and z components of r. The direction cosine matrix A_c is derived from the true state, which is represented in the programs as the array STATE. Elements 10 through 13 of this array are the attitude quaternion. It is converted to a direction cosine matrix with equation (D-5) from Appendix D. The vector x is the current position of the chase vehicle's center of mass with respect to the "truth" coordinate system defined in Chapter VIII. This vector is the first three elements of the array STATE. The vectors \underline{h}_c and \underline{h}_t , which vary from one simulation to the next, are the positions of the camera and lamp, respectively: \underline{h}_c is the camera position with respect to the clase vehicle's center of mass, and \underline{h}_t is the docking aid's position with respect to the target spacecraft's center of mass. The direction cosine matrix A_t is the target's attitude, which is computed as a function of time in subroutine TRGATT, as described in Chapter VIII.

This measurement model's accuracy depends on the validity of several assumptions:

- The focal plane of the camera is flat and parallel to the y-z plane of the chase vehicle's coordinate system;
- The lens and the scanning of the camera do not significantly distort the image;
- 3) The lamp's image is small enough to be considered a print;
- 4) The lamp is bright enough to be considered the only significant object in the image.

Equations (IX-1) through (IX-3) are used several times in each simulation. In the program that simulates the system with three flashing lights, for example, the equations are used three times per observation with different values of \underline{h}_t . Similarly, in the program that simulates the system with stereo ranging, the equations are used three times with different values of \underline{h}_c .

B. RANDOM NOISE CORRUPTS IMAGE COORDINATES

:

To simulate the imperfections of a practical guidance system, the simulation programs add random numbers to the coordinates computed by equations (IX-2) and (IX-3). The random numbers have a Caussian distribution with a mean of zero and a standard deviation selected to represent the root-mean-square uncertainty in the ideal locations of the lamp images.

IX-2

This uncertainty arises from several factors:

- Imperfect electronics are used to compute the center of brightness of the image. The operational amplifiers, analog multipliers, and other components corrupt the video and deflection signals with biases and random errors;
- 2) Scanning is not perfect. In some cameras a sawtooth analog deflection voltage or current is used for scanning. Misalignment of deflection coils, imperfections in deflection drive amplifiers and similar factors may cause errors in the center-of-brightness calculations. Some cameras use discrete picture elements, which may cause the center of brightness to shift due to quantization errors. Finally, solid-state cameras use no analog deflection voltage, and the use of a synthetic deflection voltage in the center of brightness computation may introduce errors caused by errors in deflection amplitude and synchronization with the actual scanning of the camera;
- Lenses introduce errors. The distortions, aberrations, and focusing limitations of practical lenses can add additional corruptions to the center of brightness calculations;
- 4) Camera positioning is not perfect. The mathematical model of equation (IX-1) does not allow for misalignment of the camera axes from the spacecraft axes, errors in the camera's mounting location, or the transient changes in position and alignment caused by thermal expansion and vibration;
- 5) Camera sensitivity varies from picture element to picture element. In some solid-state cameras the variation is as much as 15 percent. Further, some solid-state cameras have blemishes--picture elements that always give full output or no output no matter how much light shines on them. Charge-coupled device (CCD) cameras tend to "bleed" from one scanning line to the next when a bright light is in the field of view, while other comeras are troubled with blooming and after-images. Many cameras have s.gnificant "dark" output that varies from picture element to picture element and may vary greatly with temperature.

Meaningful numbers cannot be assigned to all these error sources, especially because there are no detailed designs for the spacecraft, guidance system, and mission. The noise model should therefore be considered a specification of the maximum allowable signal corruption rather than a prediction of system performance with some specified set of hardware.

Appendix A Computer Program to Simulate Three-Light System

jî Î

÷.,+,

1. 1. A. 1. 1.

ζ

`¢-

The program listing in this appendix is provided to document the simulation methods used in analyzing the three-light videc guidance system. It was written to run on a Prime 550 computer under the PRIMOS operating system, but it has few hardware-dependent subroutines. If it is to be run on another computer, the following information will prove useful:

1

- Several library routines are used, and these are not shown in the listing. The routines include ACOS and ASIN, which compute the inverse trigonmetric functions cos⁻¹x and sin⁻¹x, and a random number generator, RANFN. The latter function computes normally distributed random values with a specified mean and standard deviation. In addition, the matrix arithmetic routines MADD (addition), MSUB (subtraction), MMLT (multiplication), MINV (inversion), MSCL (multiplication by a scalar), MIDN (setting an array to the identity matrix), and MTRN (forming the transpose of a matrix) are used from the Prime library MATHLB;
- 2) File handling may present conversion problems even if the program is to be run on another Prime 550 computer because logical unit numbers, file names, and amount of disk storage vary from installation to installation. Standard Prime subroutines are used to open and close files. These subroutines (TSRC\$\$, EXST\$A, CLOS\$A, DELE\$A) are from the Prime library APPLIB;
- Run time is approximately real time if the computer is dedicated to a single user. That is, a simulation of three minutes of flight will take approximately three minutes;
- 4) The perspective drawings shown in this report are not created directly by this program. They are drawn by a second program that uses the data file created by this program. This allows the creation of stereo plots and views from different perspectives;
- 5) Several WRITE statements in subroutine DOCK are rendered inactive by a character C in the first column of the text. Removing this character will provide a printout at the user's terminal for monitoring the progress of a simulation, but this will make the simulation run more slowly;
- 6) The goal in writing the program was to make the program easy to modify. As a result, many of the operations are donc inefficiently, and a speed improvement by a factor of two or three might be achieved.

The first part of the listing is the text of a terminal session, which includes compilation, loading, and execution of the program.



1 . N. . N. . . .

* . 1 . 4 .

1

ň đ :

1.4

ŝ

No. 11

÷

t

۴

•

•

• • , ORIGINAL PAGE 18 OF POOR QUALITY

.

.

٦,

ķ

12

4

Ì

Đ

ANTHER SIAULATION NO

TENTER TURBLE FATE IN DEGREES PER 240. J. 16-DIGIT RANDOR INTEGER 260. J.1250, 1244, 18 RANDOR INTEGER 260. J.14250, 1244, 18 RANDOR INTEGER 200. J.1417, AMUTHER SIMULATION? <u>IM</u> 00.

A-2

ž.

	-	SUC SUC		N N N N	, , ,	RVATIC	TO 10	
GRAM PAGE - 3	#EE-L 16H	INDICATES		THIN DOCK	1 1 7 1	MEEN OBSEI	TION +) 0,-1)) 60	
ATION PRO	tockam	ION VALUE		LLE IS WI TINE R AT TERM	- (+ (+	CRVAL BETI	R SIMULA	
F SIMUA	ATION PR	E (FUNCTI	11LE	nl ISE VEHIC SUBROUT	E	N & INTE	1 40 5 ANOTHE ER SIMUL	
FERSION (NG SIMUL	ISE FILES R FTER SEI	DUTPUT F DUTPUT F TDR	E TERMINA THE CHA M 'OPEN'	0 1004E S 10 1004E S 1011, 0011 1011, 0011 1011, 0011 1012, 1011 1012, 1011	D +) SERVATIO E, STATE,	I) GO TC HE WANT	
	- DOCKI T. INIPAR	N TO CLO TE VECTO	MBER DF TATE EST TATE VEC	MBER FOR RUE ' IF RNED FRC VES/ND	L, OUT L, OUT LANTS N MANTS N ATA FILE ATA FILE LIZE PRO LIZE PRO LIZE PRO LIZE STE: LIZE STE:	STATE) OT DOCKE (D 30 ULATE DE (P. ESTAT	ILE +) sA (DUT-4 WHETHER O YOU WA	
- THREE	-C DSIMI	DS&A FUNCTIO E(6) E OF STA FON THR	UNIT NU UNIT NU VCE DF S (14) 14) 14) 14) 14) 14) 14) 14) 14) 14)	ANNE ANNE CNNT CNT CNT CNT CNT CNT CNT CNT CNT CN	AL/TERMN 	TNISIA FALSE	DATA F DATA F CLOS CLOS CLOS CLOS CLOS	
- A XIQN	CRAM MSF LS CLOSBA. [ICAL CLC LIBRARY LEBRARY ESTIMATE ESTIMATE FORCFS F			MCN/51M 	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	CONTRO	
BPE	Q Z Z		R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R			2 2 2		
-								
-	000000			0 0 0 0 00	υσυυυ	υυ	υυυ	
	000000	υυυ	. U U U	0000000		υų	υυυ	
	000000	υυυι) U U U			υυ	000	
5	000000	υ υ υ ι				UU	000	
RAN PACE 2	000000	υυ ι				UU	000	
ON PROCRAM PAGE 2	000000	υυι				UU	000	
INULATION PROCRAM PACE 2	000000	ς υ υ ι				UU		
IN OF SIMULATION PROCRAM PAGE 2		υ υ υ ι				UU	000	
VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM PAGE 2		υ υ υ ι				-0.0 +	000	
-Light version of simulation program Page 2	AD0000C			- EPA				
• Firree-Light Version of Sinulation Program Page 2	Page Rouri INE-Mark						6 7 7 7	
it a Three-Light Version of Simulation Program Page 2	DUTINE PADE RIDUTINE-NUR MINA 1 000 MINA 1 000 MORE 53 401 1 MORE 53 401 1							

: ;, ÷

r -\$

1.

.

7

000000

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

î

...

1

War Warders

· · · · · ·

۲. ...,

2

F.

٩,

ł

;

ţ

,

. بالمانية الم

REAL THANK THIV THIV THE VALUE THE PORT VALUE THE THE THE VALUE THE THE VALUE THE THE VALUE THE	FOR OUTPUT ') FO	C REAL FIGAE OF BIATE ESTIMATE C COVARIANCE OF BIATE ESTIMATE C REAL PHI, THE A INITIAL TARGET (TTITUAL FOR A YAM-PITC.I-ROLL C ANGLES BFECTRYING INITIAL TARGET (TTITUAL FOR A YAM-PITC.I-ROLL	MAM. PATM. OUT-4, CHAPOS. TYPE. CODE) C TASS OF CHASE VENICLE WITHOUT FUEL INTEGER OUT C REAL PIG.6.6) REAL PIG.6.6)	C TUNBLE ATTS, VALUE DF 1, 2, ON 3 INDICATE? YAM, PITCH, ON R' L C TUNBLE AESPECTIVELY REAL MENTY	(PATH(I), 1=1.16) 4.221) GO TO 20 4.021) GO TO 20 4.021) GO TO 20 5.011 MERCAY EXISTS ON TO OVERMATITE', C MARCY(3, 3) 5.101 MERCAY CHASE VEHICLE	REAL HDC(3), MDT(3) DOCKING FIXTURE ' POBITIONS IN THEIR RESPECTIVE SPACECRAFT COORD C MARE BYSTERS	REAL HC(3) HT(3) C CANER POSITION IN CHASE VEHICLE BODY FRAME AND DOCKING AID POS TV TAREET BODY FRAME BEDREFE BEDREFE BEDREFE BEDREFE AND DOCKING AID POS	D TO CALLING MOUTINE OF A TERMINAL C REAL FIGHS NOW THRUGTERS AFTER BELECTION S/ND ANBAGER FROM YSER AT TERMINAL C LIST OF AXIMUM THRUGT MAGNITUDES FROM EACH THRUGTER OF CHASE V	CHECK EXISTENCE OF FILE (UE IT EXISTS) C CHASE VEHICLE FUEL-DISTRIBUTION INERTIA TENSOR	DELETE A FILE (RETURNES TRUE IF SUCCESSFUL) C REAL FULDIS(3, 3) Real FULDIS(3, 3)	BY TENCOS (UNUSED MERE) C ESTIMATE OF STATE VECTOR DELA ENVIEN	T MADER OF USER TECHIMML	II MATER FUN UUTUT C AND GIVES TOROUE/NEWTON & TOROUE DIRECTION FOR EACH THRUSTER INING PATH MANE	TATRICES SPECIFYING CHASE VEHICLE AND CUES FUEL USE FUELUSE FUELUS	ALCOUNT CODES FOR SYSTEM ROUTINE TERCONS C LAND SEPARATION ON DOCKING AID (A-BASE TO EITHER SIDE LAND, B=B, ED BY TERCON (C INPUT C ONDEC C OUTPUT ANIL AND AND DAGED SETATE FIRE FILE FILE TARBEL MEMOTY P. TEU	C CALLS Soft: RAFN C CALLED BY CAAIN>	19. TEAMML.OUT) DA DUTPUT WARNING MIGMLY INSTALLATIUN DEPENDENT +) C (+ 200 - INITALIZE PADBLEM PARAMETERS +)		<pre>guesourie intomatic same rest. cuts.</pre>	THRE 4 TILER TERME, OUT) LET FIN DEFINISHING MICHLY INSTALLATION DEFENDENT *) TITON/COUNT CODES FON SYSTEM ROUTINE TSACAN TITON/COUNT CODES FON SYSTEM ROUTINE TSACAN TURNED BY TSACAN (
---	--	--	---	---	--	---	--	--	--	--	---	--------------------------	---	---	--	--	---	--	--	--	---	---

A-4

.

2.4.4

.

.-2,

.

;

۶.

۹ .

, 1 -

į

Ľ

•

يد 1

١.

1

۰.

,

-å

۰.

			22		ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY
SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 7		. TARGET ATTITUDE +) COS(PHI)-COS(THETA)+SIN(PHI) SIN(PSI)+COS(THETA)+COS(PHI)	CINCESSIS-SINCHERAN-SUNCERAN-	HOUR TO RADIANS/SECOND	
e-licht version of	ATTITUDE ANGLES +) 1.PS1.THETA 329 329	DE 05 78UE INITIAL DE 05 78UE INITIAL S(PSI) = COS(PHI) S(PSI) = COS(PHI) S(PSI) = SIN(PSI) = (THETA) = SIN(THETA) = S S(THETA) = SCOS(PSI) = (THETA) = (TH	((PHI)*COS(THETA)*S ((THETA)*COS(PSI) (15 *) (15 *) (15 *) (15 *) (15 *) (16 *) (17 **) (17 **	ITE +) 13) Turkai Rate From Decrees/ 84813E-6	6
appendix a three	(* IMPUT INITIAL / MRITE(TERMAL, *) PHI READIFERMAL, *) PHI (* CONVERT ANGLES (*) PHI=PHI * 017452 PHI=PHI * 017453	(* 71457.45 01 (* 71457.45 01 188.4570(1, 1, -605 188.4570(1, 1, -605 188.4570(1, 2, -505) 188.470(1, 2, -515) 188.470(1, 2, -515) 188.470(1, 2, -515) 188.470(1, 2, -515) 188.470(1, 2, -515) 188.470(1, 2, -515) 18.470(1, -515) 18.470	TRNATO(2.3) =SIN TRNATO(2.3) =SIN TRNATO(2.3) =COS INPUT TUNELE AX INPUT TUNELE AX INPUT TUNELE CONTINUE S CONTINUE TO 3	(* INPUT TURBLE RA MRITE(TERNAL, 90 MRITE(TERNAL, 90 MREAL(TERNAL, 9) (* CONVERT TURBLE TURRAT=TURBLE	
	ູບັບ	Q	U	υυ	<u> </u>

59 · • //> ·

k

į e

ł

i

APPENDIX A --- IHREE-LICHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGNAT. PAGE

÷

a state the state

.

. . .

. 4

•

......

ļ

CUMPDN/SIMU./TERMAL, JUT CONVINIONOF /HC, MT, MDC, HDT CONVON/RE/QO CONVON/RE/QO

K-5



* ...*

;

.

· *****

, .

ς,

,

· · · ·

•

5

4.

A-6

APPENDIX A THREE-LIGHT VERSION DF SIMULATION PROGRAM Page 10	APPENDIX A THPEE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 11
SUBROUTIME INIGIA(GTATE) (* 300 - INITIALIZE SIMMLATOR *) CALLS CALLED BY CALLED BY	G SUBROUTIME DOGM(P, ESTATE, STATE, T, DOGMED,F) C (* 400 - RUN SIMULATION FOR DUE MEANDARENT INTERVAL *) CALLS SETFOL, INCORP, PROPST, SORT, F' ASH, PROP FN, POSIT, ATITUD, PROPES, THRUST, STATED BY CALLED BY CALLD BY
INPUT CARRES CHORES CHORES CHORY ROUTINE - ACCOMODATES PHYSICAL SIMULATION *) RETURN END	INPUT PLATE: DOMRAD. STATE. T.F. INERCV OUTPUT STATE. T.F. DOCKED. ESTATE. P REAL ACV(3.3). ACVT(3.3) DIRECTION COSINE MATRIX DESCRIB. 4C CURRENT CHARE VEHICLE ATTITUDE DIRECTION COSINE MATRIX DESCRIB. 4C CURRENT CHARE VEHICLE ATTITUDE (RELATIVE TO PRIMANY REFERENCE FRANE) AND TRANSPOSE OF ACV NEASURED DIRECTION COSINE MATRIX OF TARGET SPACECRAFT REAL ATTARTE(3) C REAL ATTARTE(3) REAL ATTARTE(3) C REAL ATTARTE(3) C
C	C RADIUS FROM TARGET 7 MHICH CHASE VEHICLE IS CONSIDERED DOCKED REAL DUDV C REAL DUDV C REAL STATE VENTER-LAMP IMAGE COORDINATES BETWEEN FLASHES REAL FOR CHASE VEHICLE THRUSTERS AFTER SELECTION C REAL FOR CHASE VEHICLE THRUSTERS AFTER SELECTION REAL OF TO FOR CHASE VEHICLE THRUSTERS AFTER SELECTION C REAL FOR CHASE VEHICLE THRUSTERS AFTER SELECTION REAL OF TO FOR CHASE VEHICLE THRUSTERS AFTER SELECTION C REAL FOR CHASE VEHICLE THRUSTERS AFTER SELECTION REAL OF TO FOR CHASE VEHICLE THRUSTERS AFTER SELECTION C REAL OF THRUSTERS OF COMPLANE VEHICLE THRUSTERS AFTER SELECTION C REAL OF THRUSTERS
- 2	C REAL (9, 6), 6), 6) REAL (9, 6), 6) REAL RELORDIATE ESTIMATE INTERMEDIATE RESULTS WITH NO SIMPLE PHYSICAL INTERPRETATION RELATIVE CAMERA COURDINATES IN CURRENT DOCKING AID FRAME CENTERED RELATIVE CAMERA TO CARENT DOCKING AID FRAME CENTERED REAL REDUCED RANGE, CAMERA TO CARENT DOCKING AID FRAME CENTERED REAL REDUCED RANGE, CAMERA TO CARENT DOCKING AID CENTER LIGHT REAL REDUCED RANGE, CAMERA TO CARENT DOCKING AID CENTER LIGHT REAL REDUCED RANGE, CAMERA TO CARENT DOCKING AID CENTER LIGHT REAL REDUCED RANGE, CAMERA TO CARENT DOCKING AID CENTER LIGHT REAL STATE(14) C REAL STATE VECTOR C ANSE VEHICLE STATE VECTOR C ANSE VEHICLE STATE VECTOR
	REAL TADUT(3, 3) TRANSTORE OF TRADET OF TADUT(3, 3) THATH RESPECT TO TRUTH ACES) FOR OUTPUT ONLY REAL DOURD ACES OF LAMPS' INAGES COORDINATES OF LAMPS' INAGES LOSICAL DOURD TRUE IF CHASE VEHICLE IS WITHIN DOCKING RADIUS LOSICAL VALID TRUE IF MEASUREMENT VALID (LIGHT IN FIELD OF VIEW) CONTON STAUL (DUMMY, ONL), DOKRAD, DUMMZ(14) COMMON/VEHIC/DUMMY1(99), DOKRAD, DUMMZ(14)

· ...

÷ ₽,

o

<u>م</u>

3

i

,

ţ ı,

* *** * *** *

1

Ì

1

ł ١

o <u>ococcosoccaso</u> a

ķ

. ------

-

1 1

į



The control of the control of the second
and the second of the second of the

-1 ` Į

, <u>4</u>

≜,={ }

ì

grptuit A = Infet-Light Version of Simulation Filight: PAGE 14 PAGE 15 PA

υυ

υ

υ

U

A - Q

PAGE 15 * FIND LAW POBITION (= ULT , WITH RESPECT TO CAMERA *) (= 000 - CONTINE TOTATION (= ULT , WITH RESPECT TO CAMERA *) (= 010 : 101:30. 30). LAN CONTINUE CONTIN

i

:

;

.

:

.

, . APPENDIX A -- THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

000

۰. ۰ ۰ ۶۰ م

いろう いちょうちょう あんしょう かん しょうしょう しょう

ĸ

日本 ちょうち 御書 いたち

للمالي والمراجع المراجع المراجع المحالية المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع

こうち あいまで ちょうてい なる ない かい

4

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Ż

١

÷

•

;

, ,

,



APPENDIX -- THREE-LICHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM

PAGE 16

SUBROUTINE COMPKI(F,KI,STATE,STEP,T) (+ 421 - COMPUTE VECTOR KI FOR RUNGE-KUTTA INTEGRATION +) REAL DETATE(14) REAL DETATE(14) REAL FORMATIVE OF STATE VECTOR REAL FORMATHVOSTERS AFTER SELECTION REAL FULDIS(3) THPUSTERS AFTER SELECTION INTEORE VEHICLE FUEL-DISTRIBUTION IMERTIA TENSOR INTEORE VEHICLE FUEL-DISTRIBUTION IMERTIA TENSOR (* COMPUTE INERTIC. *) CALL MADDC (INERTL: FULDIS. 3.3. STATE(14) -HEMPTY) CALL MADDC (INERTL: FULDIS. 3.3. STATE(14) -HEMPTY) (* 992 - COMPUTE TATE DERIVATIVE *) (* 992 - COMPUTE TATE DERIVATIVE *) (* 1578114 K1=51EP*(55ATE DERIVATIVE) *) (* 1545,14=51EP*(55ATE DERIVATIVE) *) KI USED IN RUNGE-KUTTA INTEGRATION INPUT F.STATE, STEP, T, FULDIS, INERCV, NEMPTY OUTPUT KI DO LOGO INDEX INETTA OF JUL INETTA OF JUL INETTA OF CHUL INETTA OF CHUSE VEHICLE (LOADED) INETTA OF CHUSE VEHICLE (LOADED) COMMON/MASPRP/FULDIS, INERCV, MEMPTY REA¹K1:14) VECTOR K1 USED IN ... REAL STATE14) REAL STATE14) ...TABE_VEHICLE STATE VECTOR ...TECRATION BTEP+DSTATE(1) CALLS STPRIM, MSCL. MADD CALLED BY PROPTR REALT ELAPSED TIME RETURN REAL REAL END ŝ ιυ o 0 0 00 υŲ σ C) υ SUBROUTIME PROPIRIDI,F.STATE.T) 14 420 - PROPAGATE IRUE STATE BY 4"H-ORDER RUNGE-KUTTA INTEGRATION #) SUETATLET-STEPTA (LEF1) (421 - COPUTE K1 + 1, 5TATE, STEP, T) (421 - COPUTE K2 + 1, 5TATE, STEP, T, 42) (422 - COPUTE K2 + 1, 5TATE, STEP, T, K2) (423 - COPUTE K3 + 5TATE, STEP, T, K3) (424 - COPUTE K3 + 5TATE, STEP, T, K3) (424 - COPUTE K4 + 5TATE, STEP, T, K4) (424 - COPUTE K4 + 5TATE, STEP, T, K4) (424 - COPUTE K4 + 5TATE, STEP, T, K4) (424 - COPUTE K4 + 5TATE (1) + (H1(1)+2 + K2(1)+2 + K2(1)+2) (424 - COPUTE K4 + 5TATE (1) + (H1(1)+2 + K2(1)+2 + K2(1)+2) (5TATE(1) + STATE(1) + (H1(1)+2 + K2(1)+2 + K2(1)+2) (5TATE(1) + STATE(1) + (H1(1)+2 + 5TATE(12)+2) (422 - POINT STHULATION CAMERA +) (423 - POINT STHULATION CAMERA +) (424 - POINT STHULATION CAMERA +) (425 - POINT STHULATION CAMERA +) (424 - POINT STHULATION CAMERA +) (425 - POINT STHULATION CA WEED TIME AND TIME AT START OF INTEGRATION INTERVAL Left DO LOOPIMDEX L'HIIIDIMILTAL KIIAL KATIA VECTORE XI THRU KA USED IN PUNGE-KUTTA INTEGRATION CALLS CALLS CALED BY DOCK THE LEFT TO CO OUT OF DT THE LEFT TO CO OUT OF DT ANNEERS STEPHY=0 2 MAXIMUM THEGRATION STEP SIZE REAL DT INTEGRATION INTERVAL SIZE CTLEFT LE 01 30 TO -0 STEP=AMINI (STEPMY TLEFT) INPUT DT.F.STATC.T DUTPUT STATE.T TLEFT=DT PETUR EN3 ខ្ញុំដ 9 2 8 υυυ υ 0 00000000000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 φ ŧ١ υ υ U.

APPENDIX A -- THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

FACE 17

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

ł I

1 1

	ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY			
APPENDIX A THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMILATION PROGRAM PAGE 19	<pre>SUBROUTINE CORFACTF.MS.STATE.STEP.1M3 CALLS CALLS CALLS CALLS CALLS CALLS CALLED FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM</pre>			
APPENDIX A THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PASE 18	<pre>Sumoriae commiste will state step i.m2) cuis cuis cuis cuis cuis cuis cuis cuis</pre>			

.... ... ۹<u>۴</u>

••,

۰. • • _{مرد}-•

١

ł

Ľ,

١

• 5 × F.

.,

and the substantian sector is the sector of

رار معهد مرجو محمد ال

ŧ,

and the state of the second reaction of the second second

;

- 17 -

ţ

• • • •

and a second second and the second



大学を行うしたができた。 夏季を見たる かんながかかい たかし ひっかい しょうかん かんしょう しゅうしゅう しゅうしょう しゅうせい

は、いいかくたいしょ 小子 子 あまり き たいし 歴史といれた

and a state of a state of a state of the sta

A-12

PAGE 22	HELENUIX A TH IMPERTURAN VENDIUN UN DIALMIJUN FRUGAMA
DUTIME POSITIU, V. RELPOS, RHD/ 30 - COMPUTE CAMERA POSITION 'IN DOCKING-AID COOPD SYSTEM! FROM 1941 IMAGE CENTROIDS *) 3 2011.085	SUBROUTINE ATTTUD(ACV, ACVT, RELPOS, PHD, U, V, AT, CVPOS) (* 440 - DETERMINE TARGET ATTITUDE AND CHASE VEHICLE POSITION IN PRIMAR/ REFERENCE FRAME *) CALLE CALLE CALLE ON FINCV, DIRMAT, QUATRN, MEDD, MMLT, MSUB
КСИ # 1 1.1 1.1 1.1	CULDEX FT INPUT OUTPUT POS. RHD. U. V. ACV. ACV. HC. HT. B OUTPUT POS. RHD. U. V. ACV. ACV. ACV. B
ICH SPACING FIXED FOR ANY ONE TARGET SPACECRAFT, FOLLEN FOLLEN FOLLEN ENS FOCAL LENGTH IN METEPS FRELATIVE CAMERA CCORDINATES IN CURRENT TARGET DOCKING AID REFERENCE FRAGE CENTEREC AT CONDINATES IN CURRENT TARGET DOCKING AID REFERENCE AND CONTEMBER AT CONDINATES IN CURRENT TARGET DOCKING AID REFERENCE JUSTAVE BETWEEN LIGHT AND CAMERA JUSTAVE BETWEEN LIGHT AND CAMERA JUSTAVE BETWEEN LIGHT AND CAMERA JUSTAVE BETWEEN LIGHT AND CAMERA AND FIRST CENTER CENTROLID POSITIONS, RESPECTIVELY AND FIRST CENTER CENTROLID POSITIONS, RESPECTIVELY AND FIRST CENTER CENTROLID POSITIONS, RESPECTIVELY AND FIRST CENTER POSULTS, SPECTIVELY AND FIRST CENTER POSULTS, SPECTIVELY	REAL ACV(3,3).ACVT(3,3) REAL ACV(3,3).ACVT(3,3) RELATIVE TO PRIMARY RESERENCE FRAME, AND ITS TRANSPOSE RELATIVE TO PRIMARY REFERENCE FRAME, AND ITS TRANSPOSE RELATIVE TO SIME MATRIX OF TARGET SPACECRAFT (IN PRIMARY REF FRAME), ADD FRANSPOSE OF THIS MATRIX OF TARGET SPACECRAFT (IN PRIMARY REF FRAME), ADD FRANSPOSE OF THIS MATRIX OF TARGET SPACECRAFT (IN PRIMARY REF FRAME), REAL CAPPOSE OF THIS MATRIX OF TARGET SPACECRAFT (IN PRIMARY REF FRAME), REAL CAPTOSE OF THIS MATRIX OF TARGET SPACECRAFT (IN PRIMARY REF FRAME), REAL CAPTOSE OF THIS MATRIX OF TARGET SPACECRAFT (IN PRIMARY REF FRAME), REAL CAPTOSE OF THIS MATRIX OF TARGET SPACECRAFT (IN PRIMARY REF FRAME), REAL CAPTOSE OF THIS MATRIX OF TARGET SPACECRAFT (IN PRIMARY REFERENCE REAL CAPTOSE OF THE POSITION IN PRIMARY REFERENCE FRAME REAL CAUTOSE OF THE POSITION IN PRIMARY REFERENCE FRAME
IQN/OPTEVS/DUMMY(2), FOCLEN, A, B	C CAMERA POSITION IN CHASE VEHICLE BODY FRAME AND DOCKING AID POSITION C IN TARGET SPACECHAFT BODY FRAME, RESPECTIVELY
9≠(v(1)+v(3)) 9+(v(1)+v(3)) GO TO 10 AM= 24ABS(V(3)-U(1)) AM= 24ABS(V(3)-U(1)) AM= 24ABS(V(3)-U(1)) AM= 24ABS(V(3)-V(1)) AM=	C TH PLUS LEWOTH OF CENTER LIGHT SUPPORT ROD REAL OFFICION CORRESPONDING TO AT RELATION CORRESPONDING TO AT RELATIVE CHASE VEHICLE COORDINATES IN CURRENT TARGET SPACECRAFT C RELATIVE CHASE REALUCE BETWEEN LIGHTS AND CAMERA C DISTANCE BETWEEN LIGHTS AND CAMERA RALUCED, VICE), VOID C REAL VICE), VOID) VOID C REAL VICE), VOID) C ALLUCE RESULTS WITH NO PROBLEM-PELATED SIGNIFICANCE
imagi(((1)-u(2)) 10.10 30 11.00 10 30 11.00 11 -0(3))((u(1)-u(3)) 12.00 11 -0(3))((u(1)-u(3)) 12.00 11 -0(3))+(2)) 12.00 11 (u(2-u(2))+(2)) 12.00 11 (u(2-u(2))+22) 11.00 11 (u(2-u(2))+22)	CCOPPON/MADDF/HC.HT.DUMMY(6) COMMON/MADDF/HC.HT.DUMMY(6) COMMON/MADDF/HC.HT.DUMMY(6) CCAL FINDCV(6 VT.RHO.UC3).V(2).CAMPOS) C (* 442 - COMPUTE CAMERA POSITION WITH RESPECT TO DOCKING AID *) C (* 442 - COMPUTE TARGET ARGET ATTITUDE IN PATIMARY REFERENCE FRAME *) C (* 642 - COMPUTE TARGET PAGE ATTITUDE IN PATIMARY REFERENCE FRAME *) C (* 901 - COMPUTE TARGET POSITION COSINE MATRIX FROM QUATERNION *) C (* CALL BUNATION OF CHASE VEHICLE CENTER OF MAVITY IN PRIMARY REF C (* COMPUTE POSITION OF CHASE VEHICLE CENTER OF MAVITY IN PRIMARY REF C COMPUTE POSITION OF CHASE VEHICLE CENTER OF MAVITY IN PRIMARY REF C C COMPUTE POSITION OF CHASE VEHICLE CENTER OF MAVITY IN PRIMARY REF
#Feit.b)/(5 = H+9 GMT1(D)) -GMT1(CD-TP=2-1) -GMT1(C1-TP=22)/(1 +D)) -GMT1(C1 -TP=22)/(1 +D)) /(2) 0T VC1 TP=22)/(1 +D)) /(2) 0T VC1 TP=22)/(1 +D)) /(1) VC1 TP=22)/(1 +D)) /(1) VC1 -2 = VC2) YP=-YP -25011 -27 = VP=24	CALL MAD (VI) (23 - 41 (2) - 4 HTA(2) = HT(2) CALL MAL (V2) AT, HTA, 3, 3, 1) CALL MAL (V2) CAPES, V2 3, 1) CALL MAL (V2) ACV' HC, 3, 3, 1) CALL MAL (V2) ACV' H
198(3)=2759810 198(3)=2759810 1981 (RELPOS(1)=42+RELPOS(2)=42+RELPOS(3)=+2)	
	L PAGE IS QUALITY

如此,此时是有一个有一个人,也不是有一个人,就是一个人,这个人,也不是一个人的是是一个人的是有一个人,也不是一个人,也不是一个人,也不是一个人的,也不是是是这些人的。" 1993年,我们就是一个人,这个人的是一个人,就是一个人,这个人,就是我们就是一个人的是是一个人,这个人,也不是一个人,也不是一个人,也不是一个人,也不是一个人,

- And the set of the first state of the set of the s

. . ..

A-13

f

•

APPENDIX A THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 25	SUBBUTINE GUATRNICAMPOS, RELPOS, GT. ACV, U, V) REDH HEASUREHENT *) CALLS CA	ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY
Appendix a Three-Light Version of Sirra. Ation program Page 24	 9.9980UTIKE FINDCVIACUT, RHD. UC. VC: CAMPOS 9.441 - COMPUTE POSITION D. C. VC: CAMPOS 9.441 - COMPUTE POSITION D. C. VC. FORCET LIGHT *) ALLEL BY CALLED BY<!--</td--><td></td>	

.

١

۲,

and the second
しいちょう しんしゅう キート・キャング しょうかいしょう かいしんしょう ちゅう あり

*

5

1.1.1

2.

しん ビー・ター・フー

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1 00 00 00

3 0000000000000 00

υu

υυ

υ

A 1.4

υ

APPENDIX A --- THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE

vuu

26

5

APPENDIX A -- THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMMLATION PROGRAM PAGE

î

ŧ ; ; ;

ţ

and the second of the second o

the contract contract with the second of a

ŗ,

2

States and the second second

.

, ¹

•

.

ŧ i i

1

ł ł

ł į

•

,

•

.

PEAL CVPDS(3) PEAL CVPDS(3) REAL ESTATIC(6) REAL ESTATIC(6) REAL ESTATIC(6) REAL 20(3) REAL 0(3) REAL 0(2004 IANCE CALLS LEDECOV, UPDSTA, COMPG, ESTCOV, KALGAN CALLED DOCK SUBROUTINE INCORP (CVPDS, P. ESTATE) (* 450 - INCORPORATE MEASUREMENT INPUT ESTATE, P, R OUTPUT P, ESTATE ł Ų DO CONTINUE 01110-0001741-0 1F'(U(2) EQ (U1) AND V(3) EQ V(1)) RETURN (CONTECT FOUR MOTATION ADD V(3) EQ V(1)) RETURN (CONTECT FOUR MOTATION ADD V(3) EQ V(1) ADD MATRIX +) (CONTECT CONTENT ON CALLENT IN TO CALL ATTION TARGET AS (CONTENT ADD CONTENT ON CALLENT IN TO CALL ATTION TARGET AS V2(1)--THWNY(1, 2) V2(1)--THWNY(2) ADD V(1)-V(1), U(1)-U/3)) (CONTENT ADD V(2) ADD V(2) ADD V(1), U(1)-U/3)) (CONTENT ADD V(2) ADD V(2) ADD V(2) ADD V(1), U(1)-U/3)) (CONTENT ADD V(2) ADD V(2) ADD V(2) ADD V(2) ADD V(2) ADD V(2) (CONTENT ADD V(2) ADD FROM (* COPUTE CONFORM'S OF CROSS FRODUCT TO DEFINE RUTATION AXIS FRC YILL OG TO CONFOS *) YILL CONFOS(2) **ELPOS(2) **ELPOS(2) YILL CONFOS(2) **ELPOS(2) **ELPOS(2) YILL CONFOS(2) **ELPOS(2) **ELPOS(2) YILL CONFOS(2) **ELPOS(2) **ELPOS(2) ** YILL CONFOS(2) **ELPOS(2) ** YILL FIND OUT WHICH *) DOTPOSCAPPOS(3) * IF (FDDMO 01 0) OUT 20 YILL ON THIPARALLEL FIND OUT WHICH *) OF (10000 00 100 20 IF (10000 00 00 00 IF (10000 00 00 00 IF (10000 00 00 00 00 IF (10000 00 00 IF (10000 00 00 IF (10000 00 IF (100000 00 IF (10000 00 IF (100000 00 IF (10000 Ŧ CONTINUE (+ COPUTE EULER ROTATION ANCLE *) (+ COPUTE EULER ROTATION ANCLE *) (+ COPUTE BUTENION COMPONENTS *) (* COLUTE GUTENION COMPONENTS *) CALL MCL(071, V1, 3, 1, SIN(PH1* 3) CALL MCL(071, V1* 3) RETURN 2 : ٠ 8 9 8 ų υυ 00 00 U Ų

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY •

i,

đ.,

١,

ì

;

:

2

į

14 15 47

* • • • •

•

والمستعمر المرا



۰*۰*

١

f



APPENDIX A -- THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

"我们,我们还能帮助我们的"第一个人子","你们,你不是什么?""你要们,不可以来了我们的,你就是什么?" 医鼻子的 安静的

ñ

ć

,

• • • •

5*

a state of the sta

• •

.......

A Distant Annual State (Second

- Martin Contractor

......

:....

PAGE 31

SUBRDUTINE UPDSTATE STATE MGAIN. CVPCIS) (* 434 - UPDATE STATE ESTIMATE *, CALED CALED T. CALEDBY INCUT CALEDBY INCUT RELODS. AT. ESTATE RELEVANTE RELEVAN

ORIGINAL PACE IS OF POOR QUALITY

) Y

١

ķ

1

ł


.

A 1

• •

ì

and the second of the second
•

.

, ,

1

1 į

ł

}

-

١

.

•

د

.

Ę

4

:...

	appendix a Three-Light version of Similation Program Paue 34	Appendix a Three-Light Version of Simulation Program Page 35
υu	(* COMPUTE FORCE-ACVT+AN296 *) Canil PriffBod, An2:F, 3.14.1)	C SUBROUTINE SETGOL (ESTATE, P. T. ACV. AT. GXL. GXM. GYL. GYH. GZL. GZH. A DEDLIN. VALID)
U	CALL NULT(FORTE, ACVT, FBOD, 3, 3, 1) (* ESTIMATE ACCELENATION *)	C (+ 470 - 5ET GOAL +)
u	CALL MBCL(ACCEL,FUNCE(J,1,1,1, /AV2NAS) (# SETENTE ACCELENATION VARIANCE *) ANAGOR-ACFEL(1)**2*ACCEL(2)**2*ACCEL(2)**2	C CALLED BY C CALLED BY
U	(* F100 POINTORO+1 E-7 (* F100 POINTOR C+44406 *)	
U	DETATE(1)=(ESTATE(1+3)+ 3+ACCFL(1)+STEP)+STEP) 10 CONTINE 14 PELDOT(Y CHANDE +)	C DUTEUT E. P. DOWRAD. T. ACV. AT DUTEUT CAN. CVL. GYM. GZL. GZM. DEDL IN G XL. SXM. CVL. GYW. GZL. GZM. DEDL IN
	DO 20 1=4.6 DETATE(1)=5TEP+ACCEL(1-3) DO FONTRAFE	C REAL ACV(3,3), AT(3,3) TRAAT(3,3) C BIRECTION COSINE MATRICES FOR CHARE VENICLE & TARGET SPACECRAFT
U	(+ / 1 MD COVARTANCE CHANNEL +)	C AND TRANSPOSE OF TARGET DIRECTION COSINE MATPIX REAL D
		C TIME BY WHICH STRATEGY LOGIC WANTS CHASE VEHICLE IN GOAL 'BOX'
		REAL TOWRAD C RADIUS FROM TARGET SPACECPAFT WITHIN WHICH THE SPACECRAFT ARE C CONSIDERED DOCKED
		REAL ESTATE(6) C ESTATE OF STATE VECTOR JEAL OFFL OFFL OV OVN JOY OFM
		C TX, Y.Z LONER AND UPPER LIMITS OF GOAL 'BOX' REAL MOCID, MOT(2) FORMULAS - TYTHES AND UPPER LIMITS OF GOAL 'BOX'
	00 30 Jet.3 0 (1, 4) = 0 (1, 4) = 0 (1, 4-5) 30 CDMTMARE	C COURTNATE SYSTEMS TOSTILLAS IN TALIT AND ELITYE WAY AND TALLE COURTNATE SYSTEMS
U	(* COMPUTE NEM STATE AND COVATIANCE *) DO 40 11-14 Fertate(1)=Estate(1)=DSTATE(1)	C REAL OLLOW INDICES C REAL (6.6) C COVARIANCE OF STATE ES.IMATE
		REAL BLOP C ALLONED ENFOR IN FOSI (ION (PER AXIS) DEAL T
ų	es continue Return	C REAL PIPE TIME REAL VI(3), V2(3), V3(3), V4(3), V3(3)
•	END	C LOGICAL VALID Logical valid C true if last ressurement has valid
		C COMPON/VENIC/DUMNYI(18), DOMRAD, DUMNY2(14) COMMON/MANCOFF/DUMNY3(4), HDC, HDT

, 11 K

:

*

-

.

;

••••

1 •

١

ţ

1 \$

.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

and the second
ŕ,

ł

, ***

 $\cdot \mathbf{N}$

こうたい 御書 きいしょう

1 ļ

> ; ŧ

í

1

a F

ì

÷

(* 481 - USE CGNTROL LAN TO DETERMINE NEERED THUGT *) CALL CNITAM (ROTCHD, KLTCHD, ESTATE, ACV, TLIM, OXL, 0XH, 0YH, 0ZL, A DELA ATRATERPLER (* 482 - SELECT THRUSTER SET TO 01VE NEEDED THRUST *) CALL SELECT (ROTCHD, KLTCHD, E) DO 10 (11,14) REAL ACV(3.3) HEAL ACV(3.3) REAL ATRATE(3) REAL (14) REA SUBROUTINE THRUST(F, ESTATE, GXL, GXH, GYL, GYH, G2L, G2H, TLIM, ACV, A ATRATE, RPYERR) (* 480 - SELECT THRUSTERS *) APPENDIX A -- THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM , Q2H, TLIM, ESTATE, ACV, ATRATE, RPYERR CALLS CNLLAN. DEFINF, FIRTHR, SELECT (ALLED BY DOCK ¥ CONTINUE CONTINUE (* 483 - FIRE THRUSTERS CALL FIRTHR(E) RETURN E(I)#F1(I) INPUT SSTATE, GXL, GL, FPUT <u>0</u> υ 000000000000000000 υ υ U υ υ υ υ υ υu υ υ υu c VALID) R(1)=-D-20 12, AND 8 PAGE APPENDIX A -- THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM CURRENT POSITION IF (50%1 (ESTATE (1) **2+ESTATE (2) **2+ESTATE (3) **2) LT A SQM1(FSTATE (4) **2+ESTATE (2) **2+ESTATE (6) **2) LT B CO TO TO 20 D 10 2001 (P (1, 1) +P (2, 2) +P (3, 3)) 10 CONTINUE VALL TSUBIVZ, VI, ESTATE, J.) CALL TSUBIVZ, VI, ESTATE, J.) SLOP-0 23-ANINI (1+AB5(V4(1)), 29) STHU3(1) 10 (CAL-00 CAL-12(1) 0 (CAL-00 CAL-12(1) - SLOP CAL-12(2) - SLOP CAL-23(2) - SLO 1 DN Ŷ HULE AIN POINT ON TARGET X MXIS (1)=-D+DT(1) (1)=-D+DT(2) (3)=0 +HDT(2) (3)=0 +HDT(2) (3)=0 +HDT(2) ALL MLIT(3), ALL STATE, 3, 1) ALL MLIT(3), ALL MAL2 25 0 OR CALL 7417(2):471-2214[E.3,3,1] F(U4.2):4717(2):471-23-67 CALL 7118(0):78140[C.3,1] 5027-0 0R CALL 7118(0):78140[C.3,1] 503787A CALL 7117(1):713-71-23-3,1] CALL 711(1):718-71-23-3,1] CALL 711(1):718-71-23-3,1] CALL 711(1):471-13-3,1] CALL 741(1):471-13-3,1] CALL 741(1):771-13-3,1] CALL ð ZO CONTI END ŧ υ υ U υ

37 PAGE

S. . .

WARE STREET

これをいたい たんしょう ションデー たいしん しょうしん

・ マン・シックは中国のシン・ディングの主要がある。そうから、たち、シスト・シスト・シスト・スト・スト・スト・スト・スト・シン・トームのシン・キー・シー・スケイが入ります。 ● アングラング Martine Control

÷ 4

برد میر دم - مر

Υ.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

No.

いったいろう ちょうちょう うちょう しょうしょう

:.

۲۳. ۱۹۷۹ ۱۹۹۹

5

:ي.» داد

A-11

ଞ PAGE appendix a -- Three-Light version of simulation program

Ų

L COMPANY &

REM. MCV(3.3) MARE VEHICLE ATTITUDE IN PRIMARY REFERENCE FRAME HEAGURED CLASE VEHICLE ATTITUDE IN PRIMARY REFERENCE FRAME REM. ESTATE (2) R SUBROUTINE CNTLAW ADTCHD, XLTCHD, ESTATE, ACV. TLIM, GXL, GXH, GYL, GVH, SUBROUTINE, CNTLAW ADTCHD, XLTCHD, ESTATE, ACV. TLIM, GXL, GXH, GYL, GVH, A GXL, GZH, ATRATE, RPVERR) ** 481 - CONTROL LAW *) , GZH. ATRATE. RPVER INPUT ESTATE, ACV, TLIN, GXL, OUTPUT ROTCMD, XLTCMD CALLE T, ACCEL RETURN

ပပ

HEAL TIRE STEP BETWEEN DECISIONS HEAL TIRE STEP BETWEEN DECISIONS REAL CONSTATTS BASETD ON MIN MAX POSSIBLE ACCELERATION REAL TIRE LIMIT - SECONDS UNTIL DEADLINE REAL VELOCITY IN THIS AXIS REAL VELOCITY IN THIS AXIS REAL XTIN XYMAX ACCEPTABLE FIMAL X VALUE FUNCTION ACCEL (XDOT) XNIN, XMAX, TLIM, DT, ANIN, AMAX) (* 481 1 - ESTIMATE ACCELERATION FOR ONE AXIS *) REAL AMIN, MAX RELATIVE THRUST INPUT XDDT, XNIN, XMAX, TLIM, DT DUTPUT CEUNCTION VALUE DMLY? CALLS CALLED BY CALLED BY

0 000000000000 0 0 0 0

4 5

۰۲. - . -

and the second and the second second second and the second of the second s

....

-1 -

.

; ł

. ' -

٠.

8

PAG

APPENDIX A -- THREE-LI #4T VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POCR QUALITY

.

. . .

i ,

. . .

1

.

·__ .

8

J



1

,¢

Ļ

a ., 1, 1, ×

A-22



ч ч +т и

1.1.2.3 ţ

A CALL AND A CALL AND A

;" ;" ÷

and a second and the

F

والمعالمين والمعالية والمعالية

;

うちょうちょう あいま ちょうち :

1 ×

33 T N

같은 방법 사람을 가야 한지 않았다.

.

•

. . .

2 . . .

. . .

ŕ

7 ç 1

, N ١

١,

a connerence a nee



**

こうちょう たいない かいしょう しんじょう ビー・シー・シー・シー・シー・シー・シー 男子をしたい たいかい アイ・シー・シー たいとう しょうたい しょうかん アイン・ディー・シー・シー・シー・シー

ومالية كريد بحريد التلاق

The second second

;

. .

r

8

·.;

. .

. - -

.

.

•



10.71

1 8125

8

5 ¥

4

1-11- J

and the second s

I

í

APPENDIX A -- THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 48

SUBROUTIME FIRTHRIEJ (* 483 - PUT THRUSTER COMMANDS IN COMMAND PORTS *) CALLS
000000000000 00 0

0 0 0 0 0 00 0 000

ç

SUBROUTINE ITUUSTATE, ACV. ACVT. ATRATE) (*US CALLS CALLS CALLS CALLS CALLS CALLS CALLS CALLS CALLS THEN THE

ϕ addressedade and ϕ

. . –

A-26

000

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

END

U

υ

υ

١

いったい 第二日本 アイチャー・ディー・アイト かんしょう しょうしょう しょうせい

.,

ŗ

states a second states and

ورو بالمراسم و

) | | | .

PAGE 49

APPENDIX A -- THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

2 . g. 2

PAGE 50	TERMIN A WARE-LIAN ACADIM OF STOCATION FROM
GUGROUTINE RPY(U.V.RPYERR) 16 440 - Calculate Roll, Pitch, and Yaw Errorg +)	C BUBROUTINE ESTRPY(ESTATE, ACVT, RPVERR) C (* 480 - ESTIMATE ATTITUDE ERROR FROM STATE ESTIMATE *)
CALLS ATANZ CALLED BY	CALLS ATANZ, ASIN, MOCL
I AND V	INPUT ESTATE, ACVT DUTPUT BUYERD
REAL REVERA(3) Holl, Pitch And Vam Errors (radi/96) Real U(3),V(3) Land Invoe centroids From Television camera	C REAL ACVT(3,3) C TRANSPORE OF CHASE VEHICLE DIRECTION COSINE MATRIX (WITH RESPECT TO PRIMARY REFERENCE FRANT) REAL DOTPRD
COMON/OFTSYS/DUMIY1(2), FOCLEN, DUMIY2(2)	C DOT PRODUCT OF VECTORS DEFINING LINE TO TAPGET AND VEHICLE X AXIS REAL ESTATE(8) C ESTIMATED STATE
(* EVERTICAL FARMER *) * EVERTICAL FARMER *) * EVERTICAL **** ENTOR: *) * EVERTICAL **** ENTOR: *) * EVERTICAL **** ENTOR: *) * EVERTICAL **** ENTOR: *) * EVERTICAL ************************************	CLORENCE AND

• • •

1

- "F - "F

ć

1

÷

i 1

, ,

-. *

والمراجعة والمراجعة المراجع والمحاجبة المحاجة والمحاجبة والمحاجبة والمحاجبة والمحاجبة والمحاجبة والمحاجبة والمحاج

والمعالية المراجع المراجع

:

a mana ta ca na katinana ila ka



.

(r

Ì s

î

î

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY APPENDIX A --- THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

SUBROUTIME FONCE/F.MTFONC, TRNA) (+ 902 1 - COMPUTE NET FONCE FROM THRUSTER OPERATION USING EQUATION NET FORCE- A TRANSPOSE + AX2 + F +)

VECTOR OF CHASE VEHICLE AFTER THRUSTER SELECTION CORCESSION THRUSTER DEERATION IN TRUTH COORD SYS COREE FROM THRUSTER DEERATION IN TRUTH COORD SYS

A(3,3) Pose of Direction Cosine Matrix A

REAL TRANS

RAL NT

COMMON/VEHIC/DUMHYI(14), AK2, DUMHY2(37)

(+ COMPUTE NET FORCE +) CALL MPLT(B, M2,F,3,14,1) CALL MPLT(NTFORC, TRNA, 8,3,3,1) RETURN

E NO

ų

WT MAFFIR RELATING INDIVIDUAL THOUSTER OUTPUTS T THOUGT IN SPACECART REFERENCE FRANC ACCOMPANES HISALICHWENT OF THAUSTERS)

B(3) Intermediate Results

CONSTANT N

REAL

0 0000000000000 000 0 0 0 00 00

INPUT F. M.2. Q DUTPUT NTFORC

CALLS MALT CALLED BY STPRIM

PAGE 54

2

4

こうちょう ビース・キャイト しょう

X, . . .

•

the set of the set of

ţ

.

,

* 2 5

and the second of the second of the second of the

* 4 • '-

APPENDIX A -- THREE-LIGHT VERSION DF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 55 SUBROUTINE MPRIME(F. DMDT) (* FR2, 2) - COMPUTE THE DERIVATIVE OF SPACECRAFT MASS *) (* CALEB CALLEB CALLEB (* CALEB (* CALE

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY , , ,

「ないないので、そうに、ときのないというで、そうである」という。

۰. إ

· ··· · · · · ·

· · · · · · · · · ·

4

ž

then 1.1 met.



ŕ

A-30

R. G ÷

÷

د. •



APPENDIX A --- THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 59

, La

- - - - 、 ディンディ

. . ,

1.1.1.1

1

•

5

ŕ

Ę

7

5

ì

1

SUBROUTINE MARGT (BODVEL OREGA, A) (* 902 6 - FORH ROTATION MATRIX OREGA FROM ANOULAR VELOCITY VECTOR *) (* 100 STRAIN INUT INU

-

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY **

?

4

ŗ

: ; ;

-

•

. .

a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a second a s

.

ī

5 F.

10 01

* 2 i

END

v

SUBROUTINE OFFINE(O.DMEGA.OFPM) (* 902 7 - COMPUTE OFFIN = TINE DEFILVATIVE OF OUATERNION Q +) טיי שיזיים APPENDIX A -- IMREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM REAL DREGA(4,4) MATRIE FORMED FROM ANOULAR VELOCITY COMPONENTS UDE QUATERNIION OF CHASE VEHICLE CALLS THE T. MSCL CALLED BY STPRIN INDUT 0. ONECA OUTPUT OPEN RAL REAL

ა იიტიძიიიიიიიი

PAGE -1 APPENDIX A -- THREF-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMMATION PROCOAN

. ** ;

1

, * 1.4.13 FUNCTION SURI(X) (* 903 - RETURNS SQUARE ROOT BUT ALLONS SLIGHTLY NETR'IVE ** DUTPUT (FUNCTION VALUE ONLY) CALLS SORT, ANAXI CALLED BY POEIT, SETCOL, GUATRN TUGNI END

1

J .

TEDIATE VALUES. NO PROBLEM RELATED STONIFICANCE

OF 0 = 0 3+0MEGA+0 +)

COMPUTE THE DETIVE OF OF COMPUTE THE DETIVATIVE OF CONTUNT OF CALL MALL MALL OPECA 0.4.4.1. CALL MALLOPECA 0.4.4.1. CALL MACLOPHH DIMT.4.1.0.51

QN 3

ç

TIME DE Z

J 0 000 ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

78

١,

ł

ł



ON COSIME MATRIA (CHASE VEMICLE ATTITUDE LAT 'TRUTH' AVES) TE RESULTS WITH NO PROBLEM-RELATED SIGNIFICANCE ETVERTICLE ANOULAR VELOCITY IN UN COORDINATE SYSTEM HITACIA 31 HITACIA CHASE VEHICLE (LOADED) (401 FORMATC' MATRIX MVERSION FAILURE IN SUBJOUTINE MOVEC') SUBROUTINE ANSVECTINERTA, ANONNI, BODVEL, A) * 904 - COMPUTE TRUE ANOULAR VELOCITY VECTOR ANGVEL IN CV BODY COORDINATE SYSTEM *) ETHER FLAG (1) IT NU CANNOL UNER TERLINAL INTEGER TRIPAL (* CALL PRIME OF INCTIA TENDIA *) (* CALL PRIME D'INCTIA TENDIA *) (* CALL PRIME D'INCTIA TENDIA *) (* CALLER * 0) 90 °C 10 (* CALLATE BUUELE INVENTIA *) (* CALLATE BUUELE ANNEL *) (* CALL PRLITEDOVEL ANNEL *) (*) OF HONENT OF INERTIA LENSOR î CONTINUE (* REPORT ERROR -CONDITION AND MALT MITE(TERROL, 901) STOP G (=0 IF NO ERROR) HEDIATE NORKSPACE NONENTUN VECTOR INPUT INERTA, ANDRNT, TERME, A DUTPUT BODVEL COPENDIAL / TEPH CALLS HINV. HALT CALLED BY STPRIK INU NEAL ACT. 31 MEN, TE HEN. INTEG R. P. 2 υ U U υ υ υ

3 PAGE APENDIX A -- THREE-LIGHT VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

11.

:

.

; ,h

4 - 4 - 7 - - - - - 7

1 REAL CHGATT(3,3) TRANSTOBE OF DIRECTION COSINE NATRIX REPRESENTING TRUE TARGET ATTITUDE CHNORE INTECENTIONSEL INTECENTIONSEL INTECENTIONSEL PICCH, CN ROLL TUNGLE FITCH, GR ROLL TUNBLE REAL PHI REAL PHI REAL THE ELAPSED THE ELAPSED THE FLANSFORE OF TANGET'S TRUE ATTITUDE DIRECTION COSINE MATRIX REAL TRANSFORE OF TRMAT REAL TUNBLE RATE (IN RAD/SEC) TUNBLE RATE (IN RAD/SEC) REBULTING FROM A ROLL TUMBLE +) RESULTING FROM PITCH TUNBLE +) ; REBULTING FROM YAM TUMBLE *) î SUBROUTINE TRGATTITRNAT, T) (* 903 – COMPUTE TARGET ATTITUDE AS A FUNCTION OF TIME NEUTE TRUE TARGET ATTITUDE 'I COMPONIATINED/TRNATO, ITUMBL, TUMBAT CONVER ATTITUDE CHANGE R HEATTICIL -- STOREHL) HEATTICIL -- STOREHL) HEATTICIL -- STOREHL) HEATTICIL -- STOREHL] HEATTICIL -- STOREH
Image: State of the s PUTE ATTITURE CHANGE ATTITUTURE CHANGE ATTICUTURE CHANGE ATTICUTUR CALLS COS, BIN CALLED BY DOCK FLASH PHI=TUMAT+T + SELECT APP 60 T0 (10 CONTINUE (* CONTINUE 40 CONTINUE INPUT OUTPUT TRNAT 8: ē. 55 8 8 9

> υ ψ

υu υυ υυ υ U υu

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POUR QUALITY

14 14 15

.

1.

υ

υ

υ

ł



.

1

and the states of the second s

× · · · · · · · · · · ·

いっかいたい ひっちゃく 大手 からってきたい 一部 ちょう

and the second se

A-34

-

Appendix B Computer Program to Simulate System That Uses "Rainbow" Beacon and Rangefinder APPENDIX B--COMPUTER PROGRAM TO SIMULATE SYSTEM THAT USES "RAINBOW" BEACON AND RANGEFINDER

9

and the second second

÷

man and the second of the seco

- 2

à

in a standard a standar Standard a st The second and the second and the second and

传幕的

1

X

The program listing in this appendix is provided to document the simulation methods used in analyzing the "rainbow" beacon video guidance system. The discussion presented in Appendix A is equally applicable to this program. The text of the terminal session, which is presented in Appendix A, is not repeated here because the user commands and dialog are essentially identical to those in Appendix A. APPENDIX B -- RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

PAGE 1 · · · · · ·

V

and the second s

INUE STATE

STATE(1)	X POSITION
STATE(2)	Y POSITION
STATE(3)	Z POSITION
STATE(4)	X VELOCITY
STATE(5)	Y VELOCITY
STATE(6)	Z VELOCITY
STATE(7)	ANGULAR MOMENTUM ABOUT X
STATE(8)	ANGULAR MOMENTUM ABOUT Y
STATE(9)	ANGULAR MOMENTUM ABOUT Z
STATE(10)	Q1 \
STATE(11)	Q2 \
STATE(12)	Q3 > ATTITUDE W.R.T. 'TRUTH' AXES
STATE(13)	Q4 /
STATE(14)	MASS, INCL FUEL
(X' X' Z)	= 'TRUTH' AXES

STATE ESTIMATE

ESTATE(1) ESTATE(2) POSITION X; n n n n n n

STATE(3)	7.	POSITION
STATE (4)	Σ.	VELOCITY
STATE(5)	Y'	VELOCITY
STATE(6)	Ζ'	VELOCITY

(X',Y',Z') = 'PRIMARY REF. FRAME' AXES

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

ж ¥

APPENDIX B -- RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

ABN-

ROUTINE

PACE

ROUTINE

PAGE 2

0000000 0 000

APPENDIX B -- RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM

PAGE 3

いいまうちょう そん いち ひかいい あいもいいなる うちょうちょう

المترجم مالياتهما المرتج

}

•

3

•

· . .

3

-

ł

A STREET

. . .

ţ

• 7

	OF POOR QUALITY
PROCRAM MEET DEIM2 - DOCMING SIMULATION PROGRAM, RAINBOH CALLS CLOBAA, DOCK, EXIT, TWIPAR INISIM, OPEN, YSMOBA CALAGO CLOBAA, DOCK, EXIT, TWIPAR INISIM, OPEN, YSMOBA CALAGO CALAGO CLOBAA, DOCK, EXIT, TWIPAR AT DOCKING, TEATTON COSING MATRIX OF TARGET SPACECRAFT REAGARGY (FRANC) FRANC) AT DOCKING, TEATTON COSING MATRIX OF TARGET SPACECRAFT AT DOCKING, TEATTON TO CLOBE FILES (FUNCTION VALUE INDICATES SUCCESS) COCKING, TRANCISCO CLOBER FILES (FUNCTION VALUE INDICATES SUCCESS) COCKING, THOUSTERS AFTER SELECTION FRANC FORTANI WINDER OF DUTFILE COCKING, MUT MAUBER OF DUTFILE COCKING, MUT MAUBER OF DUTFILE COCKING, MUT MAUBER OF DUTFILE FRANC FORTER AT DOCKING ATO FRANC COCKING, THOUSTERS AFTER SELECTION FRANC FORTANI INTEGER FRANC COCKING, CANTANIS, COCKINIA FRANC FORTANI INTEGER FRANC FRANC FORTANI INTEGER FRANC FRANC FOR FRANC FRANC FORTANI INTEGER FRANC FRANC FRANC FRANC FRANC FRANC FORTANI INTEGER FRANC FRANC FOR FRANC FRANC FRANC FRANC FOR FRANC FOR FRANC FRANC FOR FRANC FRANC FOR FRANC FRANC FOR FRANC FRANC FOR FRANC FRANC FOR FRANC	CMITTING (* 100 - OPEN DATA FILE *) (* 100 - OPEN DATA FILE *) (* 200 - INITIALIZER FROMEO.T) (* 200 - INITIALIZE FROMEESTATE FROMEFXANCHARELPOS CAMPOS.AT) OCAL INITIALIZE SIMULATOF *) CCAL INITIALIZE SIMULATOF *) CCAL DOCHED FALSE (* 1000 + HILE MOT DOCHED *) (* 1000 + HILE *) (* 1

۰ <u>۹</u>

υυ

I

ο ο

00

2

U.

8 8

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

۲° • • • ****

Ą

. Ý1

2

•3

ຕມຈຸດ

••

3

APPENDIX B RAINBON VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM PAGE 4	Appendix B rainbow version of sinulation program Page 5
C SUPPOUTINE OPENIFILERA, TERNAL, OUT) C (* 100 - OPEN FILES FOR OUTPUT MANNING HIGHLY INSTALLATION DEPENDENT *) C ALLS C A	G SUBROUTINE INIPARIT, STATE, P. ESTATE, F. YANCHK, RELPOS, CAMPOS, AT) C (* 200 - INITIALIZE PROBLEM PARAMETERS *) C ALES C ALES C ALED BY
CONTROL INVI INVI CUTPUN FILENA INTEGRA FOBITION/COUNT CODES FOR SYSTEM NOUTINE TERCOS INTEGRA FOBITION/COUNT CODES FOR SYSTEM NOUTINE TERCOS CODE RETURNED BY TERCOS (=0 IF NO ENROR)	<pre>Main Control Cont</pre>
C INTEGRA DUT INDEX C INTEGRA DUTTERAM LODICAL UNIT MUMBER FOR OUTPUT C INTEGRA PATHILIA C INTEGRA PATHILIA C INTEGRA TERMAL C INTEGRA LODICAL UNIT MUMBER OF UMER TERMINAL C INTEGRA TYPE FORMED BY TERCEG (UMUBED HERE)	C AND DIVES TWICE UNCE UNCLUE TO AND THE DIRECTION FOR EACH THRUSTER REAL AND DIRECTION COSINE MATRIX OF TANGET SPACECRAFT REASURED DIRECTION COSINE MATRIX OF TANGET SPACECRAFT REASURED CONTEX-CAMERA POSITION IN COONDIANTE SYSTEN CENTERED AT DOCKING ALD CENTER AND PARALLEL TO PRIMAY REFERENCE FRANE DOCKING ALD CENTER AND PARALLEL TO PRIMAY REFERENCE FRANE C FIRST COLUMN IS CURRENT REABURENENT, SECOND IS PREVIDUS UNE) REAL DOCKING COLUNN IS CURRENT REABURENENT, SECOND IS PREVIDUS UNE) REAL DOCKING COLUNN IS CURRENT REABURENENT, RECOMD IS PREVIDUS UNE)
C LOGICAL BDLEAN HOUTINE TO DELETE A FILE (RETURNS TRUE IF SUCCESSFUL) C LOGICAL EXTERN LOGICAL EXTERN C LOGICAL FILENN TO CRECK EXISTENCE OF FILE (TRUE IF IT EXISTS) C LOGICAL YEADAN C C DICAL YEADAN C C LOGICAL YEADAN C C LOGICAL YEADAN C C LOGICAL YEADAN C C LOGICAL YEADAN C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	C REALENTRE DOCKED REALENTRE OF BITATE VECTOR REALFOCLEN C REALFOCLE LENOTH IN METERS REALFILD 19(3,3) C REALFILD 19(3,3) C REALFILD REALFI(3,5) C REALFILD 1000 REALFI(3,5)
C CONTRACT 21-22 10 CONTINUE 10 CONTINUE 10 CONTINUE 15 (107 ENVELTENAL, 9012) 001 TO 20 15 (107 ENVELTENAL, 9012) 001 TO 20 15 (107 YMUDAN, 922) 001 TO 20 15 (107 YMUDAN, 922) 001 TO 20 15 (107 YMUDAN, 922) 001 TO 20 CONTINUE 15 (107 YMUDAN, 922) 001 TO 20 CONTINUE 16 (107 YMUDAN, 922) 001 TO 20 CONTINUE 16 (107 YMUDAN, 922) 001 TO 20 CONTINUE 16 (107 YMUDAN, 922) 001 TO 20 CONTINUE 17 (107 YMUDAN, 922) 001 TO 20 CONTINUE 16 (107 YMUDAN, 922) 001 TO 20 CONTINUE 17 (107 YMUDAN, 922) 001 TO 20 17 (107 YMUDAN, 922)	C LIST OF TAXIMAT THATUST MANITURES FROM EACH THRUGHER OF TAXUER WHILLE LEFT CENTER, MO RIGHT CARENA POSITIONES IN CHARGE VEHICLE BODY FRAME AND FOSTION OF CENTER OF DOCKING ALD IN AND FOSTION OF CENTER OF DOCKING ALD IN REAL HOC(3) HUT(3) NEEL HOC(3) HUT(3) REAL HOC(3) HUT(2) REAL INDICES REAL INDICES
ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY	C THE CAME CAME VENTICLE WITHOUT FUE. THE OF THAN WIT WHERE FOR DUTFUT FILE FORTHANK WIT WHERE FOR DUTFUT FILE FEAL PHIT WHENE FOR DUTFUT FILE REAL PHIT WHENE COR DUTFUT FILE REAL PHIT AND FOR THE AND OF CAME VENTICLE REAL PHIT AND FOR THE AND OF CAME VENTICLE REAL PHIT AND FOR CONDINATES IN CURRENT POCKING AND FRAME REAL PHIT PHIT FILE OF THE AND FOR THE AND VENTICLE REAL PHIT PHIT FILE OF THE AND FOR THE AND VENTICLE REAL PHIT PHIT FILE OF CONDINATES IN CURRENT AND VENTICLE REAL PHIT PHIT FILE OF CONDINATES IN CURRENT AND VENTICLE REAL PHIT PHIT FILE OF CONDINATES IN MORE FORT AND FOR THE AND REAL PHIT PHIL FILE OF CONDINATES IN CURRENT AND VENTICLE REAL PHIL PHIL FILE OF CONDINATES IN CURRENT AND VENTICLE REAL FILE OF CONDINATES IN CURRENT AND VENTICLE REAL FILE OF CONTROL FOR THE AND FOR THE AND FORT AND FOR THE AND FORT AN

4

124 -

Ś

Sec. 1. Sec.

1

ŝ

λĴ, ż.

ł

B-4

APPENDIX B -- RAINBOU VERSION OF SIMALATION PROGRAM

-0 39¥d

PEA

ARGET DIRECTION COSINE MATRIX

0 0 00

ថ ខ្ម BEACON LIGHT IS ON. OTHERWISE, PITCH BEACON LIGHT CONTON/MASPRE LOGICAL

RP/FULDIS, INERCV. MEMPTY YS/TPHIM, TPHIV, FOCLEN CARL, MC2, AND, DOKPAD, FI L/TEPMAL, OUT O/TRNATO, ITUMBL, TUMPAT

BE OF TRUE INITIAL TARGET ATTITUDE *) PSI)+COS(PHI) PSI)+SIN(PHI) 43329 6 0f 1

Xint(31) Xin(ThETA) *61N(P91) *CO5(PH1)-CO5(THETA) *61N(PH1) Xin(ThETA) *61N(P51) *CO5(THETA) *60S(PH1) Xin(ThETA) *CO5(PS1) O5(THETA) *CO5(PS1) O5(THETA) *CO5(PS1) *51N(THETA) *60S(PH1) D5(THETA) *CO5(THETA) *51N(THETA) *CO5(FH1) D5(THETA) *CO5(PS1) *51N(PS1) -51N(THETA) *CO5(FH1) D5(THETA) *CO5(PS1) *51N(PS1) *51N(THETA) *CO5(FH1) D5(THETA) *CO5(PS1) *51N(PS1) *51N(THETA) *CO5(FH1) *51N(THTA) *CO5(FH TPARTO(1, 2)=51N(FSI) TPARTO(1, 2)=51N(FSI) TPARTO(1, 2)=51N(FFI)=51N(FSI)=605(FHETA)=605 TPARTO(1, 2)=51N(FFI)=51N(FSI)=51N(FSI)=605 TPARTO(1, 2)=505(TFETA)=605(FSI)=51N(THETA)=605 TPARTO(2, 2)=551N(FPI)=505(TFETA)=51N(THETA)=605 TPARTO(2, 2)=551N(FPI)=605(TFETA)=51N(FSI)=51N(THETA)=605 TPARTO(2, 2)=551N(FPI)=605(TFETA)=51N(FSI)=51N(THETA)=605 TPARTO(2, 2)=505(TFETA)=605(TFETA)=51N(FSI)=51N(THETA)=605 TPARTO(2, 2)=505(TFETA)=605(TFETA)=51N(FSI)=51N(THETA)=605 TPARTO(2, 2)=505(TFETA)=605(TFETA)=51N(FSI)=51N(THETA)=605 TPARTO(2, 2)=505(TFETA)=605(TFETA)=605(TFETA)=605 TPARTO(2, 2)=505(TFETA)=605(TFETA)=605(TFETA)=605 TPARTO(2, 2)=505(TFETA)=605(TFETA)=605(TFETA)=605 TPARTO(2, 2)=505(TFETA)=605 ċ

ω

Ċ

n

CONTINU (* INPU n

υ

UT TYMBLE RATE *) LIETEPHAL, 901 NUERPHAL, 901 VERT TYMBLE RATE FROM DEGREES/HOUR TO RADIANS/SECOND VATETUMBLE RATE FROM DEGREES/HOUR TO RADIANS/SECOND VATETUMBLE 84812E-6 <u>.</u> υ

B-5

DE1, 3 ERCV(1, J)=0 11 66

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

1=1.3 30 J=1.3 FULDIS(1, J)=0

1,14 1)=6 636E-4 30 CONTINE FULDIS(1, J): FULDIS(1, 1) = (8) FULDIS(1, 1) = (8) FULDIS(2, 3) = 706 FULDIS(2, 3) = 706 MAI(1) = 4 536E-4 40 CONTINE 20 20 1=1,3

1,3 0=1,14 (2(1,0)=0 (3(1,0)=0

88

DO CONTINU

÷. . .

;

Ŋ

PHI.PSI.THETA LES TO RADIANS +) 145329 ATTITUDE ANCLES

•

o

1141

392°

υu υ

an a grant of the same and a

PAGE

~

APPENDIX B -- RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM

ŝ

* * * ~ ÷

:

الملك والمستحد والمستح

:

ş ÷ .

* * *

7

... 4

بم ;

× *

:

1

..... - -

ł



- -

1.44

ĩ 1.4

È,

1. J. J.

;

11, 1 , 1 , 1

ŗ

رد يوري المر مرد ي

:*

۰,

-

APPENDIX B RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGMAM PAGE 10		APPENDIX B RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 11
PAROTIME INIGHTESTICATES STRUCTOR - MITIALIZE STRUCTOR - MUSICALES CALERATION - MUSICALES CALERATION - MUSICALES CALERATION - ACCOMPATES PHYSICALE STRUCTOR - ACCOMPATES PHYSICALES CALERATION	ORIGINAL PAGE IS	<pre>Submouring dock restricts state. th dockeds rf. v. down.at.ree.post. </pre>

. •

3

ميه مر ويو و ويونو م

.

. . . .

.

.

۵

۰ ب

0 000000 000000 0

1

B-7

, , , , , , , , , , ,

.

•

,

. | X

,

ł

١

いいを敷えたからないないないないとうとないで、これを見たいとないというという、それになっていたい、これにないたかで、これになっていたい、

,

5 PAGE

APPENDIX B -- RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

Ŷ

UREMENT +) - DO TO 10 - MEASURE ERROR ANGLE ABOUT TARGET Y AXIS 1. GETPCHISTATE, PITCH, T, VALID)

ço₩

₹.

, , r, ,

APPENDIX B -- RAINBUL VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM

ζ.

•••••

PACE 12 PROJECTION OF THE POSITION ONTO THE -X/Y PLANE

SUREMENT VALID (LIGHT IN FIELD OF VIEW) MORE THOROUGH TEST THAN VALIDI) SE VEHICLE IS WITHIN DOCKING RADIUS LOGICAL VAL LOGICA 1001

VAL BEACON LIGHT IS ON. OTHERWISE, PITCH BEACON LIGHT IS ON

/ IDUMHY, DUT F/DUMHY3(9), MDC, MDT, MCC / DUMHY1(98), DOKRAD, DUMHY2(14) COMPON/SIMUL

0 - MEASURE ERROR ANGLE ABOUT TARGET Z AXIS *) LL GETYAW(STATE, YAW, T, VALID) 33333234 NOU 2 υ

8

110

8

MEASURE CENTROID WITH EACH CAMERA *) ENTRD(STATE, VALID, VALID, U, V, T) E IHU MEASUREMENT *) STATT, ACU, ATOATE)

ČVT.MTRAFE) SVTATRAFE) STATE TO TIME OF THRUSTER COMMAND CHANGE +> ATE.T) .

IF CAL

Ĩ 30 CONI

480 - ESTIMATE ATTITUDE ERROR FROM STATE *) Call Estrpv(Estate, acvt, rpvear)

40 CONTINU

- LID: - LID: - CONTROL THRUSTERS *) - TRUDST(F.ESTE.GXL, GXH, GYH, GZL, GZH, AMAX)' - TRUDST(F.ESTE.GXL, GXH, GYH, GZL, GZH, AMAX)' - PROPTAGTE.F.GYL ENC.F.R.F.R.F.S. - PROPTAGTE.F.SSTIMTE TO TIME NEXT MEASUREMENT *) - PROPTAGTE.F.SSTIMTE TO TIME NEXT MEASUREMENT *) - PROPTAGTE.F.SSTIMTE.TO TIME NEXT MEASUREMENT *) - PROPTAGTE.F.STIMTE.TO TIME NEXT MEASUREMENT *) - PROFTAGTE.F.STIMTE.TO TIME NEXT MEASUREMENT *) - PROFTAGTE.T.STIMTE.T.STIMTE.TO TIME NEXT MEASUREMENT *) - PROFTAGTE.F.STIMTE.T.STIMTE.T.STIMTE.T.STIMTE.T.STIMTE.T.STIMTE.T.STIMTE.T.STIMTE.T.T.STIMTE.

∢ສບ

STATE(3) TATE(1), STATE TE(4), STATE(1) TE(10), STATE(1) TE(10), STATE(1)

(12), STATE (13)

RETUR

.

۰. .

۰,

00000

ORIGINAL PAGE 15 OF POOR QUALITY

u u uu uu

		and the second	- North
APPENDIX B RAINBOM VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM PAGE 15	GUEDOTTIKE CENTRED CATTER VALIDI VALIDI VV. 17 (**110 - FREASURE CENTRED VALIDI VALIDI VV. 17 (**110 - FREASURE CENTRED VALIDI VALIDI VV. 17 CALLES TALES TALES TALES THE CALLES THE CALLES T	ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY	
OF SIMULATION PRESMAN PAGE 14			

ر س

Ł

ğ ē ۲ APPENDIX B -- RAINBON VERSION

\$ 1

,

5

1

ļ

901 FORMAT(/ -----TIME* / F10 2/ 903 FORMAT(VELTETY) 15 - 901 903 FORMAT(VELTETY) 15 - 401 904 FORMAT(ANOL AN HOTENTAN 15 905 FORMAT(ANOL AN HOTENTAN 15 905 FORMAT() ANOL AN HOTENTAN 15 600



ORIGINAL

;



PAGE 13

1

ŝ

÷.

4

ŗ

7 . .

.

.'

:

.

. 7

5.

;

1

ţ

ţ

۰ ۱

ł

PACE 19

AFFENDIX B -- RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

SUBROUTINE COMPRICE'AL STATE.STEP.T) (* 421 - COMPUTE VECTOR KI FOR RUNGE-KUTTA INTEGNATION */ REAL FOR STATE OF STA TL FULDIS, 3, 3, STATE (14) - TEMPTV) TA INDECU INEREL 3, 3) STATE DERIVATUE STATE DERIVATUE PetSTATE DERIVATUE) =) REAL RICES AL VIED IN RUNCE HUTTA INTEGRATION VECTOR AL VIED IN RUNCE HUTTA INTEGRATION REAL STATE (14) COMME VEHICLE STATE VECTOR ŧ INPUT F. BEATE, STEP, T, FULDIS, INERCV MENETY DUPUT A1 INERTIA DE FUEL - INERTA(J. J) INERTIA DE CHASE VEHICLE (LOADED) CONTON/MAGTER / FUL DIS, IMERCY HERT MEAL DSTATE (14) TIME DERIVATIVE OF STATE VCCTOR REAL STEP STEP SIZE FOR INTEGRATION EP+DSTATE (1) 1. CALLE STPRIM. MSCL. MADD CALLED BY PROPTR 100 T REAL T CONT : L NOW A N U U U U U U U 8 8 l L L 3 2 • **.** : : õ

Ų

8-11

U

00000000000000

0.000000000000

SUBROUTINE COMPRZ(F.K1.STATE.STEP.T.K2) (* 422 - DETERNINE VECTOR #2, FOR RUNGE-WIITIA INTEGRATION *) INTEGER I MER REAL INSTCV(3) 2) REAL INSTCV(3) 2) REAL INSTCV(3) 2) REAL INSTA 05 ENPTY CHASE VEHICLE REAL INSTA 05 EVEN REAL INSTA 05 EVENICLE (LOADED) REAL INSTA 05 EVENICLE (LOADED) REAL INSTA 05 EVENICLE UITHOUT FUEL VECTORS AL AND A2 USED IN RUNGE-KUTTA IVIEGRATION REAL INSTA 05 EVENICLE WITHOUT FUEL MASS 05 CHASE VEHICLE WITHOUT FUEL REAL INSTATE (15 STATE VECTOR REAL VETOR INTEGRATION STEP SIZE FOR INTEGRATION STEP SIZE FOR INTEGRATION NE TEMPORARY INERT.A AS FUNCTION (N' TEMPORARY ASS =) î CLUTENERFL, FULDIS, 3. 3. TEMPST(14)-WENPTV) UDD(1MERTA, IMERCV, IME 3. 3. UDD(1MERTA, IMERCV, IME 1. 3. 3. DEPIN(TEMPST, F, IMERTA, T, 34STEP DS. 3TE) FRIN(TEMPST, F, IMERTA, T, 34STEP DS. 3TE) E X2=STEP*(DERIVATIVE AT TEMPDRARY STATE REAL DETATE(14) TIME DERIVATIVE OF STATE VECTOR RELET(14) REALF(15) REALF(15) FUEDIS(13) REALF(UEDIS(13) CAMERA INTEGRA I VANCE INTEGRA I VANCE INTEGRA I VANCE INPUT F. F.U.DIS, INERCV, M1, MEMPTV, STATE, STEP, T DUTPUT M2 CONSIGN/WASPAP/FULDIS, INERCV, MEMPTY (+ COMPUTE TEMPORARY STATE +) DO 10 1=1.14 TEMPBT(1)=STATE(1)+0 3-M1(1) EP+DSTATE (1) ELAPSED TIME REAL TEMPST(14) TEMPDRARY STATE VECTOR CALLS MADD, MSCL, STPRIM CALLED BY PROPTR CONTINU DETERNI RETURN REAL REAL REA REAL REAL REAL REAL REA : t

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00

U

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

8

Q

ଛ

<u>9</u>

υU

U

υυ

7



APPENDIX B -- RAINBOH VERSION OF SINULATION PROCHAM

PNCE 21

÷

ř

4

ビュー

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

1. N. - -

5

uυ υu 444 u

U

υ 41 U • υ

U U

APPENDIX B RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM PAGE 23	<pre>genorise fragmer /pre>	
APPENDIX B RAINBOM VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 22	ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY INTERIMENTI	

· · · · · · · · · ·

1

ŗ

B-13

- Proving and Annual Annua

;

. ,

i

State of the other

a na stana an na

PPENDIX B RAINOO, VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCAM	APPENGIX 8 RAINBON VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM
PAGE 24	PAGE 25
	ι
SUBROUTINE ATTUDIACY, ACVT, RELPOS, RAO, U.V. AT CUPOS, CAPOGS. 16 440 - Determine Target Attitude and chase vehicle position in Primary Reference Franke at	SUBROUTER FINCCVACUT, RHOLUC, VC, CAPTOS) (* 441 - COMPUTE POSITION OF CANERA FRAME PARALLEL TO PRIMARY REF FRAME BUT CENTERED AT DOCKING AND LIGHT +)
CALLS FINDCV, DIRMAT, QUATRN, MADD, MML MBJB, MIRN Called By Doca	CALLS PALTS CALLED BY CALLED BY
INPUT RELPOS, RHO. U. J. ACV. ACVT. HC. HT. AT. CANPOS OUTPUT AT. CVPOS	INPUT ACVT. RHD. UC. VC. FOCLEN OUTPUT CAPTOS
MEAL ACV(3.3).ACVT(3.3) DIRECTION COBINE MATRIX DESCRISING CURRENT CHAME VEHICLE ATTITUDE RELATIVE TO PRIMARY REFERENCE FAME, AND ITS TRANEPOSE REAL AT(3.3), ATT(3.3)	REAL ACVT(3.3) DIRECTION COBINE MARTIX DESCRIBING CURRENT CHAGE VEHICLE ATTITUDE RELATIVE TO PRIMARY REFERENCE FRANE RELATIVE TO PRIMARY REFERENCE FRANE REAL CARDION (3.2) C REAL CARDION (2.2)
UNE THE SECTION COLOR THIS UNITED STATEMENT IN TRIVENT FET THE SECTION OF THE SEC	C BUT CEVERED AT DOCKING ADD. TUST COLUMN IS CURRENT MEASUREMENT. 200 CLUMN IS PREVIOUS MEASUREMENT) REAL FOCLEN C MERA LENS FOCAL LENGTH, IN METERS C INTEGEN I
REAL CONDERS) Readening commer vehicle position in primary reference frame Real Hec(3), HT(3), Hectore vehicles and the frame frame and	C REAL MATIO C Real Matio C Delivertate results, no problem related Significance
FUSITION IN TERRICK CONCERNENT BOOT FRANE, RESPECTIVELY Position in Tanget SpaceCanet Boot Frane, respectively Meal (144)	C REAL UC. VC REAL DISTANCE BETWEEN DOCKIN AID LIGHT AND CANERA
AURTENION CORRESPONDING TO AT Rea frendes) Reference frame Venicle coordinates in current target spacecaart	C MONIZONTAL MON VENTICAL CONFORMENTS OF THE TARGET LIGHT C INGE CENTROID AS REASURED IY CENTER CANERA REAL VECTO: C MEGATIVE OF TARGET POSITION IN CANERA FRAME
REAL THO DISTANCE DETNEEN LIGHTS AND CAPEPA	C COMMON/OPTSYS/DUMMY1(2) FOCLEN
REAL USY VED VENTICAL CONFORMED OF LIGHT CENTROID AS MEASURED In the control cameda Real VIG: /2/13/ VGIG) Real VIG: /2/13/ VGIG) Intermediate results with no product-m-related significance	((* COMPUTE SCALAM MATTPLIER *) ANTONAUS/SALAM MATTPLIER *) (* FIDD MEG OF TAROET POSITION IN CAMERA FRAME *) (* FIDD MEG OF TAROET POSITION IN CAMERA FRAME *)
COMPUN/HEDGFF/DUMWY1(6).HT.DUMMY(6).HCC (+ 4+1 - COMPUTE CAMERA PGSTTON MITH RESPECT TO DUCKING AID +) (- 4+1 - COMPUTE CAMERA PGSTTON WITH RESPECT TO DUCKING AID +) (+ 4+2 - COMPUTE TAND, U(2).V(2).COMPUB (+ 4+2 - COMPUTE TAND, U(2).V(2).COMPUB (+ 4+2 - COMPUTE TAND, U(2).V(2).COMPUB	C (* CUIS)=-VC#ATTQ C (* SAVE D. MEABUREDELTS *) C 204POB(1,2)=ECAMPOB(1,1) 10 CONTAME CONVENT JC CAMERA POGITION IN DOCAING AID LIGHT FRAME *)
(* VOI - COPYUE TANAN DEE OF TANGET DIRECTION CODINE MATRIA *) (* COLL MITMIATTATTAT) (* COPUTE POSITION OF CAMBE VENICLE CENTER OF MAAVITY IN PRIMARY REF	
CALL MULTICAS ATTACK 3.3.1) CALL MULTICAS ATTACK 3.3.1) CALL MULTICAS ACTINCC 3.1) CALL MULTICAS ACTINCC 3.1) RETURN	RIGINA POO
8	R QI
	AGE I JALIT
	S Y

2

٢

Ì.

î,

f

ģ

UT. "Waterblue during the

υυ

uυ υU υ ωu υ

U

υU υu U.



.

المحمولية فالمحال والمحال والمحالية المحالية

"when a rate"

. .

×

and the second second second

語・笑と、「てきましょ

.

1

э

B-15

.

, ¹,

「おうちょうちょう」 していたいろうち あいやうち な

えいろう こうちょう ちょうちょう

ゆう きょう たん

A THE AND A THE ADDRESS OF

4

· ····

	APPENDIX B RAINBON VERSION OF SIMILATION PROCRAM PACE 28	APPENDIX B RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM PAGE 29
0.00	C Burroutime togumita.0) (* 442 i - comvert direction cobine matrix to guaternion *) (*	SUBROUTIME INCOMP(CVPOS,P.ESTATE) (* 450 - INCOMPRATE MEASURENT *)
uuuu	CALLS SORI CALLED BY GALATER	CALLS UPDCOV, UPDSTA, COMPG, ESTCOV, KALGAN CALLED BY DOCK
00000		INPUT Estate.P.R Outpreserate P.Estate
	REAL A(3,3) Direction cosine matrix real 0(4) real cantegrouding guaternion real factor intermediate results	REAL CVPOB(3) REAL CVPOB(3) REAL EBTATE(b) REAL EBTATE(b) REAL EBTATE(b) REAL OF MESUMEMENT WITH RESPECT TO STATE PARTIAL OF MESUMEMENT WITH RESPECT TO STATE
U U	C(4)=0 5=001(1 +A(1,1)+A(2,2)+A(3,3)) C(4)=0 5=001(1 +A(1,1)+A(2,2)+A(3,3)) F(A(1) L1 0 5) 60 T0 10 F(A(1) L1 0 5) 60 T0 10 C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2)) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2)) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2))) C(2)=F(A(10)*(A(2,3)-A(3,2)))	REAL MONIN(6.2) MALTAN ONIN MATRIX REAL F(6,6,6) COVANIANCE OF STATE ESTIMATE REAL REAL F(2,6) MEASURENT COVANIANCE
	0 0 10 40 10 40 11 2 1 2 4 (2 1) 0 0 10 40 20 20 11 4 4 (1 1) - 4 (2 2) - 4 (3 3) 1 1 (0 1 - 1 0 3) 00 10 20 20 - 4 (3 3) 1 2 (2 1 - 1 0 3) 00 10 20 20 - 4 (2 2) - 4 (3 2) 1 2 (2 1 - 1 0 3) 00 10 20 - 4 (2 2) - 4 (2 2) - 4 (3 2) - 4 (2 2) - 4	(* 451 - COMPUTE JACOBIAN (* *) CALL COMPUTE JACOBIAN (* *) (* 452 - ESTITATE MAABURENT COVARIANCE *) CALL ESTITATE MAABURENT COVARIANCE *) (* 453 - COMPUTE MALTAN OAIN MATRIX *) (* 453 - KALONG (* P.O.KATAN OAIN MATRIX *)
	00 0(2) 0 0(2) 0 0(2) (2) - 4(1, 1) - 4(3, 3)) 1 F(0(2) 0 (2) 0(2) (2) - 4(1, 1) - 4(3, 3)) 1 F(0(2) 0(2) 0(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	CALL UPDETATESTATE, KOAIN, CVPDS) (* 855 - UPDATE COVALANCE MATRIX *) Return Return End
	00 CONTINUE 10 CO	OF LOON
U	Do continue Return Euro	

ž ł

・ いうぎょうから、シークを見たい、まるもちゃ、「「「」」、いっていて、「」、いたいないです。

.

B-16

1

١

, ř

۰,

~~ ·

.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



.

.

;;

And have very

4

2 -

. . .

B-17

۱ آ

þ.

5 4 X V

;

ę. .

ومورد وأرزار يطل يبد

ş

١

ì



and and a support of the second provides and the second of the second of the second
بر ب

٠

ORIGINAL PAGE **IS** OF POOR QUALITY ì

١

ľ

د در در ۲۰ مد

دي. من د در مارد ا

, 0

B-18


APPENDIX B -- RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION FROGRAM

PAGE 35

.

۹. ۲. ۱.

t · · · ·

. . . .

, . •

, , ,

į

•

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY :

١

1

Υ.

. , - .



「そう、読みがない」では、「またままですからっていた」」ですが、ことのというでは、ないというか、ないというか、「ちょう」では、「ちょう」、「ちょう」、「ちょう」では、「ちょう」

м. . 3 4 4

۰,

• 5

4 5

7 i .

B-20

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

`**`**}

١

)

1

1

الموالية فالمستحمين المالي المحر الم

,ŧ

PROGRAM
SIMULATION
N OL
VERSI
RAINBOW
1

APPENDIX

υ

o

PAGE 38

U

1

ころ、た、 ・ 愛い とう とう

يد د

۶.

3

د ر...

1

• • •

.

-1+

1.1.1

:

:

A LA AND AND AND AND A A

•

8

PAGE

APPENDIX B --- AINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

VALIDI R(1) -- 0-20 Ŵ L WELTOWAT, ESTATE, 3.3, 1) (WELTOWAT, ESTATE, 3.3, 1) (WET CORPILATES AND SUBTRACT CURRENT POSITION +) WET CORPILATES AND SUBTRACT CURRENT POSITION +) L MELTOYTIMAT, V3, 3, 1) L MELTOY, CV, 25, 3, 1) 2,290 MTNL(10485(V4(1)), 29) ดูติ IF (504:(ESTATE(1)++2+ESTATE(2)++2+ESTATE(3)++2) LT A 504:(ESTATE(1)++2+ESTATE(2)++2+ESTATE(5)++2) LT B 504:101:(P(1,1)+P(2,2)+P(3,3)) D 12:201:(P(1,1)+P(2,2)+P(3,3)) 10:001:000 5+90#1 (V3(1)++2+V3(2)++2+V3(3)++2) ÷ D GKH=GKH+SLOP ĉ HIGHTAR CONSTRUCTION 8 ENG :

0

υυ

υυυυ

SUBROUTINE THRUSTIF. ESTATE. GXL. GXH. GYL. GZL. GZH. TLIM. ACV. (* 400 - SELECT THRUSTERS *) CALS

υυ

0 00 00 0

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

END

ο c

and the second s

, v. 1<mark>2</mark>

.

;

. . . .

, k - ١

υ



APPENDIX B -- RAINBON VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM

INPUT ESTATE, ACV. TLIM. GXL. DUTPUT ROTCMD. XLTCMD

FEAL ACV(3.3) FEAL ACV(3.3) FEAL ACV(3.3) FEAL ATTART(3) FEAL . CZH. ATRATE. RPYERR CALLS HALT, ACCEL CALLED BY THRUST

APPENDIX B -- RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

PAGE 41

the second se

المراجعة المراجع المتلافح ا

•••••

• • •

A CONTRACT OF A

. .

REAL ANTN, ANAX REAL DT AND MAX RELATIVE THRUST REAL DT AND MAX RELATIVE THRUST REAL DT AND MAX RELATIVE THRUST REAL TIME STEP BETWEEN DECISIONS REAL ATLANTS BASED ON MIN. MAX POSSIBLE ACCELERATION REAL TIME LIMIT - SECONDS UNTIL DEADLINE REAL VELOCITY IN THIS AXIS REAL VELOCITY IN THIS AXIS REAL ATHIN. MAX ACCEPTABLE FIMAL X VALUE "UNCTION ACCEL (XDDT, XHIN, XMAX, TLIM, DT, AMIN, AMAX) (* 451 1 - ESTIMATE ACCELERATION FOR ONE AXIS *) INPUT X, XDOT, XMIN, XMAX, TLIM, DT SUTPUT GEUNCTION VALUE ONLYS CALLS CALLED BY CALLED BY

CRAINAL PAGE IS POOR QUALITY OF

١

¥.

÷...

RETURN

U

	* U C L	
Appendix 16 Rainbow Version of Simulation Program Page 43	SUBADUTINE SELECTIRUTCHD. KLTCHD.E) SUBADUTINE SELECTIRUTCHD. KLTCHD.E) CALLS CALLS TABLE1, TABLE2, TABLE3 TABLE1, TABLE2, TABLE3 CALLED BY TABLE3 CALLED BY TABLE3 CALLEN CALLED BY TABLE3 CALLED BY TABLE3 CALLE	(*************************************
APPENDIX B RAINBON VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM PAGE 42	M1= 3000000000000000000000000000000000000	 IF (FORTENT A) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10

14 × 1 × 1

þ

¢

1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

. . .

<u>۲</u>

ì

. s,



•

* * * * * *

í.

• · · :

đ

20

ORIGINAL PAGE IS

B-24

a adnaradeadae a aca

SIMULATION PROGRAM В -- RAINBOW VERSION 80 APPENDIX

SIMULATION PROGRAM RAINBON VERSION OF I APPENDIX B

ŝ

:

.

: ñ, . .

5 · . . : ; ş.

:

: i

. (

; 1

. . . .

*

1 ţ



1

8-25





Ų



\$

r : :

ļ

•

.

•

ike ti

2

! .

×

- 1 - - -

9 1 -

ş

• • • •

and the second second

¥

ь. •

;

ŧ



.

:

•

۱

×.

.

4 ⁻

÷

REAL ACV(3,3), ACVT(3,3) DETECTION COSINE MATRIX AND ITS TRANSPOSE FOR "MEASURED" ATTITUDE OF CHAGE VEHICLE WITH RESPECT TO PRIMARY REFERENCE FRAME TRANSPORT COLORENTIATION READED TO TRIANSPOSE FOR "MEASURED ATTITUDE REAL FUDDES (3,3) TUDE RATES ABOUT CHASE VEHICL? AXES REAL FUDDES (3,3) TUDE RATES ABOUT CHASE VEHICL? AXES REAL INFORM DESCRIBING THE LISTRIBUTION RATENOR DESCRIBING THE TIA OF EMPTY CHASE VEHICLE REAL INFORM OF CHASE VEHICLE (LOADED) REAL INFORM OF CHASE VEHICLE REAL SOUTH OF CHASE VEHICLE (LOADED) REAL INFORM OF CHASE VEHICLE REAL SOUTH OF CHASE VEHICLE (LOADED) REAL INFORM OF CHASE VEHICLE REAL INFORM OF CHASE VEHICLE (LOADED) REAL INFORM OF CHASE VEHICLE REAL INFORM OF CHASE VEHICLE (LOADED) REAL INFORM OF CHASE VEHICLE REAL INFORM OF CHASE VEHICLE (LOADED) REAL INFORM OF CHASE VEHICLE ATTITUDE QUATERNION IN 'TRUTH RESPECT TO TRUTH COORRENT FRIENCE OF THE OF CHASE OF THIS MATRIX COORRENTATE SYSTEM AND INVERSE OF THIS MATRIX ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY 1 ı. ł ŝ PAGE PURCHASE IN APPENDIX B -- RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM ----, SUBRDUTINE IMU(STATE, ACV, ACVT, ATRATE) (* 490 - SIMULATE IMU MEAS'PEMENT *) 111 STATE, FULDIS, INERCV, MEMPTY ŧ CALLS DIRMAT, MADD, MSCL, ANGVEC CALLED BY DOCK 1 INPUT 90, STATE, FULDIS, 0UTPUT ACV, ACVT, ATRATE ł , į END , Ų ω υ U 000 ß PAGE REAL E(14) THRUSTER COMMAND ENTRIES FROM THRUSTER SELECT LOGIC ころう とうしていたいがく しんとう しんかい 雪いつ APPENDIX B -- RAINBOW VERS''N OF SIMULATION PROGRAM Ŷ SUBROUFINE FIRTHR(E) (+ 433 - PUT THRUSTER COMMANDS IN COMMAND PORTS (+ TEMPORARY DUNHY SUBROUTINE +) RETURN ţ ŅP. ;---+ + ÷ CALLS CALLED BY CALLED BY THRUST INPUT BUTFUT CNDNE> END 0 00000000000 000 0

B-27

يو. م

rii

•

١

ì

; Ł.

,

ł

ŕ

APPENDIA B MAINBUM VERSIUN UP SIMULATION FRUGAMA PAGE 53	<pre>SUBROUTINE ESTRAY(ESTATE.ACVI, REVERR) STATE ESTIMATE *) (***80'-* ESTIMATE ATTITUDE ERROR FROM STATE ESTIMATE *) C.L.LS C.LS C</pre>
: B Rainbow Version of Simulation Program Page 52	EFFYLULY FIYERFACUAT) CALCULATE RULL PITCH, AND YAM ERRORS *) FITUM. MALT W. M M. M M

B-28

AIMA

i

i I

> 1 • 1

> > ł

Ĩ

とうま あんちょう うちょう たいちょう

' ŕ

e 1977

÷ • •

Ī į

· • • • • • Ŧ

1

Ń

一方が、「かって、たいな」などをなるないです。 たんてん しょう きんしょう 大変な たたい しょう したたち しょうさい しゅうしょう たいしょう たいしゅう しょうせい シューチャップ しょうせいしょ か

and a star way was a star and a star and a

1 1

2

È

	ANSPOR ANSPOR	IS ITY
APPENDIX B RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 55	<pre>SUBBDUTIE GETYWHSTATE YAW.TVALED CALES CALE</pre>	
··- RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 54	<pre>% GETPENTER FIGUE TWALD; % GETPENTER FIGUE TWALD; % MALE % M</pre>	

•

.

١

f.

ļ

ž.

· ·

• • •

B-29

, **54**

APPENDIX B RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 57	<pre>Hendrike Fine Sinthing Fine Science Bills verter + Hendrike Fine Science Fine Science Bills verter + Labor - Science Linkel-Lange Andrike Bills verter + Contact - Contact Contact - Contact - Data -</pre>
ENDIX B RAINBOM VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM PAGE 56	ORIGINAL PAGE IS ORIGINAL PAGE IS ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY OF

B-30

١

ť

ľ,

}--`-**\$**

、. ·

1. . . J. . . .

1.1.1.1.1.1

الموجد والمراجب والم مستقدمات مستقدمات من مارك المراجب والمراجب والمراجب والمراجب والمراجب والمراجب والمراجب والمراجب والمراجب والمراج

(1) The first of the second s second sec

APPENDIX B RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 58	APPENDIX B RAINDOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM PAGE 59
SUBROUTINE FORCE(F.NTFORC,TRNA) (* 9021 - COMPUTE NET FORCE FROM THRUSTER OPERATION USING EQUATION NET FORCES A 19ANSPOSE * AK2 * F *) CALLS CALLS FML CALLE BY	SUBROUTINE MPRIME(F.DMDT) (* 902 2 - COMPUTE TIME DERIVATIVE OF SPACECRAF1 MASS *) CALLS CALLS CALLED BY CALLED BY
STPRIM INPUT F.M.R.G OUTOTC NTTORC RFAL AK2.3.14) F.AL AK2.3.14) F.AL AK2.3.14) F.AL AK2.3.14) F.AL AK2.3.14) F.AL AK2.3.14) F.AL AK2.3.14) F.AL AK2.3.14) F.AL AK2.41 F.AL AK	C INPUT MALJF OUTPUT DEAL AM1(14) REAL AM1(14) C REAL DADT REAL DADT REAL DADT REAL DADT REAL DADT REAL PLOTOR DERIVED FROM ENGINE SPECIFIC IMPULSE DATA C REAL DADT REAL FILA
REAL 6(3) REAL 6(14) REAL F(14) FORCE VECTOR OF CHASE VEHICLE AFTER THRUSTER SELECTION REAL NIFORCE(3) NET TRANSIOSE OF THRUSTER OF ERATION IN TRUTH COORD SYS REAL TRANSPOSE OF DIRECTION COSIME MATRIX A	FORCES FROM THRUSTERS AFTER SELECTION COMMON/VEHIC/AK1,DUMHY(99) C
COMMON/VEHIC/DUMMYI(14).Av2, DUMHY2(37) (* COMPUTE NET FORE *) CALL MMLI(B.AX2/F,3.14,1) RETURN RETURN END	c RE TURN END

あっていたい あっち あっちょう しょうしょう ちょうちょう

ストレート アンド・アイアン・ キャイト・ストレート アンド・ストロント しょうたいがく たいきょうしょう 美国人 シード・ 一般をする ほうてんがく しゅうしゅう

į

and the second se

1

;

,

,

£

4

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY •

· · · ·

,

;

.

,

X 1

: .

ļ

١

*

ç

PAGE 60	E	-
SUBROUTINE -INACL(I,M.NTFORC.CURPOS.ACCEL) (+ 902 3 - CONFUTE LINEAR ACCELERATIONS IN X. Y. AND Z DIRECTIONS +) CALLS SIM.COS CALLED BY STRIM	ດ ດດດດາ ເ	Z 2
INPUT T.M. NTFORC, CURPOS OUTPUT ACCEL	3 8 6	
REAL ACCEL(3) BACECRAFT ACCELERATIONS REAL AOOY.AOOY.AOOZ ACCELERATIONS DUE TO GRAVITY GRADIANT REAL CURPENT CHASE VEHICLE POSITION VECTOR	, 분분원 100 U U U	
REAL DIFFERENCES SPACECRAFT IS M REAL WIFFORC (3) REAL SWI-CORCE FROM THRUGTER OPERATION REAL SWI-CORCE FROM AND	· 문 분 · · · · ·	55
RELATIVE ANULAR ACCELERATION IN RADS/SEC RELATIVE ANULAR ACCELERATION IN RADS/SEC • PRECOMPUTE VALUES USED SEVERAL PLACES *) • A=2 0.7671E-0		
BHOFT SWITSIN(B) CUT-COS(B) (* CUT-COS(B) * AGOT-A-SWITY ORADIENT ACCELERATION *) AGOT-A-SWITY ORADIENT ACCELERATION *) AGOT-A-SWITY CUMPOS(1) *SWIT+CUMPOS(3) *CWIT) (* CUPPUTE TACCELERATION (BRAVITY PLUG THRUSTERS) *) ACCEL(1) *AGOT**WITCH(C(1)/M	5	2

~

APPENDIX B -- RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

APPENDIX B -- RAINBON VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

PACE 61

.

- あったちょう ちょうちょう あいたい ちょうちょう

, sr

1777 J.

· · · ·

.

· · · · · · · · ·

 The second secon

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

١

°.↓

.

υ

v

U



τ

.

•

. . .

1

Y

. v

..

.

.

للمحمد في والارد المحمد من المحمد

;

ŧ

1. 18 J. 18 1. 18 1. 18 1. , i

•

the start of the second second

;

í

1

1

, ÷

.

B-33



1....

٢

tr. . .



トスプラ手

* * * * *

ŗ

ą

•

.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY 「「」というないというというとし、

.

•

÷

.

• • • •

•

.

۰. ۱

4

ĵ,

....

1

į

ŧ

/ 1 |

١



٠

2

į

. . .

i

* * ** * *

•

1. 1. **B**

٦

2



.......

APPENDIX B -- RAINBON VENSION C

v

8

B-36

APPENDIX B -- RAINBOW VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

Ţ

*. 1

è.

. 121313

Ç

с С

CC

С

С

· · · · · · · · · · · · ·

PAGE 70

í

```
FUNCTION DPRD(X,Y,IDIM)
(* 907 - COMPUTE THE DOT PRODUCT OF TWO VECTORS *)
    CALLS:
         (NONE)
    CALLED BY:
QUATRN
    INPUTS:
    X, Y, IDIM
OUTPUTS:
         DHRD
    REAL DPRD
         (* DOT PRODUCT OF VECTORS X AND Y *)
    (* DD) PRODUCT OF VECTORS X AND Y *)

REAL X(3).Y(3)

(* VECTORS FOR WHICH DOT PRODUCT IS TO BE DETERMINED *)

INTEGER IDIM, I

(* DIMENSION OF VECTORS X AND Y, AND DO LOOP INDEX, RESPECTIVELY *)

REAL SUM
         (* INTERMEDIATE RESULT WITH NO SIMPLE INTERPRETATION *)
                                                              **
    (* COMPUTE DOT PRODUCT *)
    SUM=0. 0
    DO 10 I=1, IDIM
SUM=SUM+X(I)*Y(I)
    CONTINUE
DPRD=SUM
10
    RETURN
    END
```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

B-37

ilijn ulitikkenstater a

Appendix C Computer Program to Simulate System That Uses Ring of Lights andis C. Computer Pron to Simulate System. That i Ring of Lights

AT PENDIX C--COMPUTER PROGRAM TO SIMULATE SYSTEM THAT USES RING OF LIGHTS

The program listing in this appendix is provided to document the simulation methods used in analyzing the "ring-of-lights" video guidance system. The discussion presented in Appendix A is equally applicable to this program. The text of the terminal session, which is presented in Appendix A, is not repeated here because the user commands and dialog are essentially identical to chose in Appendix A.

Ņ

5

. . . .

¥

APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 1

TRUE STATE

STATE(1)	X POSITION
STATE(2)	Y POSITION
STATE(3)	Z POSITION
STATE(4)	X VELOCITY
STATE(5)	Y VELOCITY
STATE(6)	Z VELOCITY
STATE(7)	ANGULAR MOMENTUM ABOUT X
STATE(8)	ANGULAR MOMENTUM ABOUT Y
STATE(9)	ANGULAR MOMENTUM ABOUT Z
STATE(10)	Q1 \
STATE(11)	G2 \
STATE(12)	Q3 > ATTITUDE W. R. T. 'TRUTH' AXES
STATE(13)	Q4 /
STATE(14)	MASS, INCL FUEL

١

(X,Y,Z) = 'TRUTH' AXES

STATE ESTIMATE

(X <i>1</i>	, Y ′	, z	<i>'</i>)	*	'P	RII	MARY	REF.	FRAME '	AXES
ESTAT	E(6)	Z		VEL	0C :	ITY			
ESTAT	E(5)	Y	•	VEL	DC:	ITY			
ESTATI	E(4)	X	•	VEL	OC:	ITY			
ESTAT	E(3)	Z	•	POS	IT	ION			
ESTAT	E(2)	Y	•	POS	IT	ION			
ESTAT	E(1	>	X	• 1	POS	IT	ION			

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

ŕ

· · · · · ·

•••••••

> •

.

;

. . .

• *

		ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY
APPENDIX C RIND-DF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM PAGE 3	PROMAM MERC DSIME - DOCMING SIMULATION PROGRAM, RING-OF-LIGHTS CLIEGES DOCK.ETT.INIPAR.INISIN. DFN. YSNOA REAL ADURYS, 22 MATTAL VALUES OF TARGET DIRECTION COSING MATRIX ESTIMATE LOUISAN DOTA REAL ADURYS, 22 REAL ADURYS, 22 REAL ADURYS, 22 REAL PRIVATE COSING STATE VECTOR REAL PRIVATE OF STATE VECTOR REAL PRIVATE OF STATE ESTIMATE REAL REAL DIA NUTI WOBER OF DUFUT FILE COVARINCE OF STATE ESTIMATE REAL PRIVATION WHERE OF DUFUT FILE REAL STATE VECTOR REAL REAL DIA NUTI WOBER OF DUFUT FILE COVARINCE OF STATE ESTIMATE REAL PRIVATION OF STATE ESTIMATE REAL REAL DIA NUTI WOBER OF DUFUT FILE REAL STATE VECTOR REAL PRIVATION OF STATE ESTIMATE REAL PRIVATION WHERE OF TERMINE REAL PRIVATION OF STATE ESTIMATE REAL STATE VECTOR REAL PRIVATION OF STATE STATE VECTOR REAL PRIVATION TO CLOSE FILES FROM REAL PRIVATION OF STATE STATE VECTOR REAL PRIVATION TO CLOSE FILES ATTER VECTOR REAL PRIVATION TO CLOSE FILES REAL PRIVATION OF STATE STATE VECTOR REAL PRIVATION OF STATE VECTOR REAL PRIVATION OF STATE VECTOR REAL PRIVATION OF STATE VECTOR REAL PRIVATION OF STATE VECTOR REAL PRIVATIONS - 0 CONTINUE CONT	
C RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM		

.

۰.

ł

ξ,

ľ

ĩ

ĺ

INPUT CADNES OUTPUT XX, AXG. DOKRAD. ESTATE.FOCLEN.FULDIS.F1. INERCV. HEMPTY. P. TPHIH. TPHIY.STATE.FERMEL.T.F.HC.HT.D.K.AOLD.GO чъ APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM SUBROUTINE INIPAR(T, STATE, P. ESTATE, F. AOLD) (* 200 - INITIALIZE PROBLEM PARAMETERS *) CALLS SGRT, RANFN C LLED BY <AMAIN> υυ υ U υυ SUBROUTINE OPEN(FILERR, TERMAL, OUT) (* 100 - OPEN FILES FOR OUTPUT WARNING HIGHLY INSTALLATION DEPENDENT *) INTEGER CHAPOS(2) CUMACTER POSITION/COUNT CODES FOR SYSTEM ROUTINE TSRC44 INTEGER CODE RETURNED BY TSRC45 (=0 IF NO ERROR) INTEGER ND CODE INDEX INTEGER UNT.TERML FORTAN LOGICAL UNIT NUMBER FOR OUTPUT INTEGER PATYLIA NEEDER PATYLI CRIGINAL PAGE IS OK TO OVERWRITE'. OF POOR QUALITY 4 - JUNE TE (TERNAL, 902)
 READ (TERNAL, 902)
 A (ATT EXERVAL, 902)
 Appendix C --- Ring-OF-Lights version of simulation program Page ; 111111 C 901 FORMAT(1642) 902 FORMAT('ENTER PATHANAME FOR DUTPUT CALLS DELEAA, EXST6A, TSRC69 CALLED BY CALLED BY THAIN> C LUCTION TO OCT CHRF08(2)=32 30 FILERR= TRUE RETURN INPUT IN. OUT OUTPUT FILERR 2 8 υ 0 000000000000 0 0 0 0 0 0

ł

ł

こういわたい たちょう たい たいしょう かんきちょうかい ひんせいいい し

المرام المراجع
ł,

υ C-4

υυυ

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY ~ appendix c --- ring-of-ights version of singlation program Page =1,6 E(1)=RAMFN(2,ESTATE(1,1),SGRT(P(1,1,1))) FULDIS(2,2)= 706 FULDIS(3,3)= 706 D0 1=1,14 MA(1)=4,636E-4 CONTINNE D0 0011118 D0 001=1,14 D0 0001=1,14 (=1,3 20 (=1,14 24(2(1,4)=0 24(3(1,4)=0 000 000 SO CONTINU 38 STATE ************* ່ທີ່ດີ 40 9 20 TA *81N(PSI)+CO3(PHI)-CO5(THETA)+SIN(PHI) TA *821N(THETA)+SIN(PSI)+CO5(THETA)+SCO5(PHI) TA +8C08(PSI) TA +8C08(PSI)+CO5(PHI)+SIN(THETA)+SIN(PHI) TA)+SCO8(THETA)+SIN(PSI)+SIN(THETA)+SCO5(PHI) TA)+SCO8(FSI) -0 n STERME.*) TURNAT STERTURALE HATE FROM DECHEES/HOUR TO RADIAMS/SECOND TATEILURATEA 84813E-6 appendix C --- ring-df-lights version of similation program Page TUMBLE 0 2 DR ITUMBLE0 3) CD TD BE OF TRUE INITIAL TARCET ATTITUDE +) (PSI)+COS(PHI) (PSI)+SIN(PHI) ATTITUDE ANGLES +) OVTRNATO, ITUMBL, TUMRAT FULDIS, INERCV, NEMPTY IIH. TPHIV. FOCLEN MAZ. MA3. DOKRAD. FI î PHI. PSI. THETA ES TO RADIANS 45329 TERMA, DUI L +) ITUMBL BL EG I OR ERMML, 904) ÷ TUMBLE RATE CV(1, U) -0 1-1,3 1.DIS(1, J)=0 (1, 1)=1033 (2, 2)=1903 (3, 3)=1903 CONTINUE FULDIS(1,1)= 781 1 100 20 101 101 28 TTTTT ŝ, <u>.</u> **. t** 2 8 n m υ U U υυ U

Ϊ

1.

いまたす 小部 たた スピー ひろうたい たった さんたんごう しろうゆせい しゃんりょうかい たいえをたた マリーロー・マ

;

ŗ

21

と かいた いっち とうかい ひん きょう

.

I

ANTIMA CONTRACTOR

..... 1

:

C-5

PACE B STATE(13)=1 STATE(13)=1 STATE(13)=1 STATE(13)=2 STATE(13)=

APPENDIX C --- h1!!!-UF-LICHTS VERSION OF SIMUATION PROGRAM

SUBROUTINE INISIM(STATE) (* 300 - INITIALIZE SIMULATOR *) (* 300 - INITIALIZE SIMULATOR *) CALLS CALLED CALLED CALLED CALLED CALLED COME? (* DUMMY ROUTINE - ACCOMODATES PHYSICAL SIMULATION *) (* DUMMY ROUTINE - ACCOMODATES PHYSICAL SIMULATION *) RETURN

0 0000000000000 0

END

ð

APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE

* * * * -

- 1712 とおからは、1812 ときまたがある。 とうかん はがく シャーズ・コング 一番 ちゅうごう ちゅうせい かいきょう かいしょう かいかい かいろう マイロ・マイン

.

いっ たんかす どうゆみ たちたい 一番 たいしょう 一番 たんざいち

1 1 1

and the subscription of the second

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Ι

1

î CONTRINCTION CONTRINCTION CONTRINCTION CONTRINCTION CONTRINCTION CONTRINCTION CONTRINCTION CONTRINCTION CONTRINUE FOR CONTRINUE CHANGE į PACE 11 APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM 901 FORMAT(/ -----TIME- 'FID. 2/' POSITION IS ', 3014 902 FORMAT(' VELDORITY IS ', 3014 7) 903 FORMAT(' AUATERNION IS ', 40, 4 7) 904 FORMAT(' ANOLLAR TOW IS ', 40, 4 7) 905 FORMAT(' MASS IS ', 014 7) COMMON/SIMUL/IDUMNY, DUT COMMON/HMCOFF/DUMNY3(6), HDC, HDT COMMON/VEHIC/DUMNY1(99), DOKRAD, DUMNY2(14) * • • 1 J U FFA_FCY33.3) ACVT33.3) DETECTION COSTME HATTRIX DESCRIBING CURRENT CHABE VEHICLE ATTITUDE INFECTION COSTME HATTRIX DESCRIBING CURRENT CHABE VEHICLE ATTITUDE INFECTION COSTME HATTRIX DESCRIBING CURRENT CHABE VEHICLE ATTITUDE INFEGRED DIFFECTIONS) FROM LAST AND CORRENT FAMO INFEGRED ATTITUE RATES ABOUT CHASE VEHICLE AXES INFEGRES CONTRUITING: RATES ABOUT CHASE VEHICLE IN GOAL 'BOX FOR CONTRUST VEHICLE POSI 'TON IN PRIMARY REFERENCE FRAME REAL CVOSSI OF ANON WHICH ARE TO BE KEPT TRACK OF REAL CVOSSI OF ANON WHICH ARE TO BE KEPT TRACK OF REAL CVOSSI OF ANON WHICH ARE TO BE KEPT TRACK OF REAL CVOSSI OF ANON WHICH ARE TO BE KEPT TRACK OF REAL CVOSSI OF ANON WHICH ARE TO BE KEPT TRACK OF REAL CVOSSI OF ANON WHICH ARE TO BE KEPT TRACK OF REAL CVOSSI OF ANON WHICH ARE TO BE KEPT TRACK OF REAL CVOSSI OF ANON WHICH ARE TO BE KEPT TRACK OF REAL CVOSSI OF ANON WHICH ARE TO BE KEPT TRACK OF REAL CVOSSI OF ANON WHICH ARE TO BE KEPT TRACK OF REAL CVOSSI OF ANON WHICH ARE TO BE KEPT TRACK OF REAL DECONTROL OF AND TRACK OF A DALT BOX REAL DECONSTANT OF A DALT AND TRACK OF REAL DECONSTANT OF A DALT BOX REAL DECONSTANT OF A DALT BOX REAL DECONSTANT OF A DALT AND YAN A DALT AND A DALT REAL RELATIVE AND WHEN A DATE OF AND A DATE OF AND A REAL REPREDIATE RESULTING IN THINT OF AND A DOCUME AND A REAL RELATIVE AND WHEN A DATE OF AND A DOCUME A DOCUMENTE INTERPREDIATE REAL DATE OF A DATE OF A DOCUME AND A REAL RELATIVE AND WHEN A DATE OF A DOCUME AND A REAL RELATIVE AND A DATE OF A DATE OF A DOCUMENTE INTERPREDIATE AND A DATE OF A DOCUME AND A DOCUMENTE INTERPREDIATE AND A DATE OF A DOCUMENTE AND A DOCUMENTE INTERPREDIATE AND A DATE OF A DOCUMENTE AND A DOCUMENTE INTE CONSE VILLE ELATED TIME REAL THETA REAL THETA ANOLE BETWEEN CANERA HORIZONTAL AND ELLIPLE MAJOR AXIS REAL THETA ANOLE BETWEEN CANERA HORIZONTAL AND ELLIPLE MAJOR AXIS REAL THETA REAL THETA TANDE BETACECHAT DIRG COSINE MATPIX (WITH RESPECT TO TRUTH TANDE BEACECHAT DIRG COSINE MATPIX (WITH RESPECT TO TRUTH TANDE STATUS COORDIMATE SYSTEM), AND ITS TRANSPOSE COORDIMATE SYSTEM CALLS SETCH. I'-LORP.SELECI.SORT.LOCATE.PROPTR.ATITUD.PROPES.THPUST. SETCH. 'MALT, MSUB.MADD.MTRN CALLED BY CALLED BY PAGE 10 APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM BUBROUTIME DOCK(P.ESTATE.STATE.T.DOCKED.F.AOLD) (* 400 - RUN SIMULATION FOR OME MEASUREMENT INTERVAL *) ESTATE, DOKRAD, STATE, T, F, INERGV INPUT CUPUTE, DOKRAD. STATE, T.F. DUPUT STATE, T.F. DOCKED, ESTATE, P 1.1 м' . ۰, ÷, ŗ ·*** · ·** υ υ

and the set of the second s

. ¥ 4

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

;^

į,

Ì

C-7



FAGE 13	(* 903 - CUMPUTE TARGET AND CHASE VEHICLE ATTITUDES *) Call Trantistriat,T) VL_12=HT41: VL_12=HT1:	DU 10 LAMP=1.8 (* FIND LAMP POSITION IN CANERA COORDINATE SYSTEM *) Angeo.7833982#FLOAT(LAMP-1) (.(2)#MYC3)*#SILVANO)	CLC1 PHT (3) + FECBS (ANO) CLC1 PHL 7 (1) + TRANET VL (3, 3, 1) CLC1 PHL 7 (20) AC(V2, 1) = STATE, 3, 1) CLC1 PHL 7 (20) AC(V2, 3, 3, 3, 1)	(* FIND DEFLECTION *) (* FIND DEFLECTION *) (* (IAMPP)=-VIT(2)*COLEN/VLT(1)	(* BE SURE DOCKINO AID IS IN FIELD OF VIEW OF CAMERA *)	(* BE SUME DOKING AFTURN VISIBLE SIDE OF TARGET SPACECRAFT *) (* BE SUME DOKING ALD IS DN VISIBLE SIDE OF TARGET SPACECRAFT *) CALL MTRNGI, TRNGI, 12, 3, 1)	CALL MMLT(V6,AT,V5,3.3.1) VALID=VALID AND V6(1) GT O (+ CORRUPT CENTROID COORDIANTES MITH NDISE +) V(1 AND)=RAIFN(5,X(LANP),TPHIHHEOLEN/600 (10 CONTINUE TO RETURN STATE TO CONTINUE TO CONTINUE TO CONTINUE TO CONTINUE TO CONTINUE TO CONTRACT OF	

ORIGINAL PAGE IS PUOR QUALITY OF

I

ì

، _ج`

C-8

بالمالة المالية فكالمحاط فالمالي أحفا المتعامين بالمالي

そうかん いっちょう ちょうしん ちょうしん しゅうない あいたいかい しょう ちょうちょう しょう しょう しょう しょうしょう しょう

. . . .

APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM



20 ŧ 0 00000000000 0 0 0 0 0 υ υ υ uυ υ υ υ υ υ υ METERS DIGITART RELATING HORENTS TO ELLIPSE SIZE PALOR PRIMOR ARTIO VITALOR AND BATIO NO THE RATIO HINDR/MALOR PAGE 16 4ºPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM REAL THETA REAL THETA REAL XIF, XTP, YTP CAMERA HORIZONTAL TO ELLIPSE MAJOR AXIS REAL XATP, YTP YTP ATTERN REAL LANDAL, LANDAZ, DISC ENTERMEDIATE RESULTS BUBROUTINE EPAR(XXP, XYP, XYP, THETA, RATID, MAUOR) (+ 411 2. - COMPUTE ELLIPSE PARAMETERS +) RC-901(XTP-VTP)=2-4 (XTP++2)) RAL=0 3+(XTP+VTP)=2-4 (XTP++2)) RAA1=0 3+(XTP+VTP)=DISC) RAA21 2+(XTP+2) 0 TO 10 RAA31 2+SBR1((ANDA2) 0 TO 10 RAA31 4+SBR1((ANDA2) 0 TO 10 RAA31 4+SBR1(ANDA2) 0 TO 10 RAA31 NINCR/NAJOR 0 Seatan2(2 +XVP, XXP-VVP) (TVOLVE)) TUBB+Y-COMPON/EL IPBE/DUMY, K INPUT KKP, KYP, YYP, K OUTPUT THETA, RATIO CALLB CALLED BY CALLED BY CONTINE RATION RATION REAL REP. ð 8 33Ľ 2 8 0 00000000000 0 00 0 0 00 0 U C-10

APPENDIX C --- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM Page 17

· · · ·

;

ч Л.

. .

•

POOR QUALITY ÖF BUBRDUTIME PROPTRIDT,F,BTATE,T) (* 420 - PROPAGATE TRUE BTATE BY 4TH-ORDER RUNGE-KUTTA INTEGRATION *) REAL DT INTEGRATION INTERVAL BIZE REAL F(14) F(14) INTEGER IN TE QUATERNICN #) 191412(10)##249TATE(11)##249TATE(12)##24 5(13)##2) POINT SIMULATION CANERA +) POINT(STATE) CALS CALS CALED BY CALED BY DOCK 1 1=10, 13 ATE(1)=8TATE(1)/0H INPUT DT, F, STATE, T DUTPUT STATE, T 45 7=7+54LL 7=7+54LL 7=7+54L 7=70+50 7=10+50 7=10+80 7=10+80 50 8 < 8 8

ORIGINAL PAGE IS

υ

١

ł

PAGE 18 APPENDIX C --- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

.

4-٤.

.

したいが、とうかったいがあるとうないが、死とう、したなかったが、したいとなっていたのでものがなったがないです。 だ

-

1

:

APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 19

υυ U U υ υ U υ

i

SUBROUTIME COMPALIF.MI.STATE.STEP.T) (* 421 - COMPUTE VECTOR MI FOR RUNGE-KUTTA INTEGRATION *) REAL DETATE(14) TITRE DERIVATIVE OF STATE VECTOR REAL F(14) FORES FROM THRUSTERS AFTER SELECTION FORES FROM THRUSTERS AFTER SELECTION REAL FULDIS(3.3) CALARICUS THRUST INTEORT I DO LOOP THOSE REAL LAOTE (3.3) The second secon INTEGRI INEX Rel Interlis) Interlis) Interlis) Interlis) Interlis) Interlis INPUT F. STATE, STEP, T. FULDIS, INERCV, NEMPTY DUFUT AL COMMON/MASPRF/FULDIS, INERCV, NEWPTY EP+DBTATE(1) CALLS STPRIM, MBCL, MADD CALLED B/ PROPTR REAL T 111-1100 +1 RETURY 30, 30, ė 2

 $\mathbf{O} = \mathbf{O} =$

SUBROUTINE COMPRZIF, K1, STATE, STEP, T, K2) (* 422 - DETERMINE VECTOR K2, FOR RUNGE-KUTTA INTEGRATION INTEGER I DO LOP INDEX TO LINERCV(3) 3) REAL INERT(3) REAL INE : TEMPORARY INERTIA AS FUNCTION OF TEMPORARY 15 +) 31 MERFL FULDIS, 3, 3, TEMPBT(14)-MEMPTY)
(1) MERTA, INERCV, INERFL, 3, 3)
(1) NEUE DERIVATIVE AT TEMPDRARY BIATE *)
IN(TEMPBI,F, INERTA, 7 + 3*3TEP-DBAATY BIATE *)
2*3TEP*(DERIVATIVE AT TEMPDRARY STATE *) REAL DSTATE(14) TIME DERIVATIVE OF STATE VECTOR REAL F(14) FOULSES FROM THRUSTERS AFTER SELECTION REAL FLDIS(3,3) REAL FLDIS(3,3) INTEQUE TU INTEQUE TU INPUT F, FULDIS, INERCV. K1, MEMPTY, STATE, STEP, T OUTPUT K2 51(1)=BTATE(1)+0 5+M1(1) COMMON/MASPRP/FULDIS, INERCV, MEMPTY +DSTATE([) CALLS HADD, MSCL, STPRIM CALLED BY PROPTR CONTINUL RETURN (* DETERNI (* DETERNI

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

õ

υu υ υ

8

υ

υu υu C-11

uυ

U U U uυ 8

<u>,</u>~

ŕ.

، در از ۱۹۰۰ - ۱۹۰۱ ۱۹۰۰ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹

	ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY	
	<pre>Sumpurime commune ing since size i.i.m. Lies Child Chil</pre>	
AGE 20 PAGE 20	11'LE COMMUNITY VECTOR NJ., FOR NUMEE-UNITA INTEGRATION *) 11'LE COMMUNITY VECTOR NJ., FOR NUMEE-UNITA INTEGRATION *) 10. NALL: START 10. DIS. INENCV. NJ. NEWAYY, STATE. STEP. 1 10. DIS. INENCV. NJ. NEWAY, STATE STEP. 1 10. DIS. INENCV. NJ. NJ. 1 10. DIS. INENCV. NJ. NJ. 1 10. DIS. INENCV. NJ. NJ. 1 10. DIS. DIS. INENCV. NEWY 10. DIS. DIS. DIS. DIS. DIS. DIS. DIS. DIS	

į

ì

C-12

ţ

INTEGER CONTRL.TRACK SUBSCRIPTS TO INDICATE VERSIONS OF ESTATE AND P FOR CONTRTL AND KEEFING TRACK REAL ESTATE (0.2), P(6, 6, 2) REAL ESTATE (0.2), P(6, 6, 2) INTEGER (0.2), P(6, 2) INTEGER (0.2) ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY TTINE Ect New Interpretation For Control, & Alternate Interpretation Neep Track OF *) Neep Track OF *) PAGE 23 APPENDIX C --- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM CONTINUE RECUTIONE & COVARIANCE ACCORDINGLY *) SELECT STATE ESTIMATE & COVARIANCE ACCORDINGLY *) SELECT STATE ESTIMATE & COVARIANCE ACCORDINGLY *) CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE DO 40 Jai 6 P(1, J, CONCENT) = P(1, J, OBEST) CONTINUE 10.011,2 CALL 11.1.1.1.1.1.1.ANEW(1.1.1.).ANGLE) FCANOLE OF BESTA) GO TO 10 FCANOLE OF BESTA) GO TO 10 MEST-0 SUBROUTINE BELECI(ADLD, ANEW, P. ESTATE. CONTRL, TRACK) (* 430 - SELECT TARGET ATTITUDE INTENPRETATION *) 0.011.3 0.011.4.13=ANEW(1.4.1) 0.011.4.23=ANEW(1.4.2) INPUT AOLD, P. ESTATE OUTPUT, P. ESTATE, CONTRL , TRACK TO ANEN ... N=1 70 RETURN ¥88 CALLS TSTATT CALLED BY DOCK S END ***** : : ŝ 20 8 2 8 8 ę 8 υ υ ų Uυ 4-0 υ Ųυ υ υv υ 0 000000000000 00 υ BURRUTINE POINT(STATE) 4.23 - Dobitor Simulation Camera +) 14 This Duany Surrutine is for later Expansion to Physical Simulation+) PACE 22 APPENDIX C --- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

ο φοροσορο ο

CALLS (NDME) CALLED BY PROPTH

RETURN END

8.1

The second secon

ý

1: : , i

÷, 1

· · · ·

2 . Ŷ

5

C-13

ł



1 | 1 - 2 - 2 - 1 - 1

* • •

1. 5. - 1. 2 to

ş

.

•

A TAL TALL

き キ しいい

:

C-14

APPENDIX C RING-OF-CIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROCRAM PAGE 26	APPENDIX C RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 27
SUBROUTINE ATTUCIACY.ACVT.RELFOS PHO.THETA.ANEW.CVPOS) (* 440 - DETENTINE TARGET ATTITUDE AND CHASE VEHICLE POSITION IN PRIMARY REFERENCE FRAME *)	C SUBROUTINE FINDCVIACUT, NHO, XC, YC, CANPOS) (* 441 - COMPUTE POSITION OF CANERA FRAME PARALLEL TO PRIMARY REF FRAME BUT CENTERED AT CENTER OF DOCKING ALD *)
CALLF Fimdev, dirnat, quatri, madd, mmlt, mgub Called By	C ALLS
DOCK Release and the tak acv. acvt. HC. HT Duteut	INPUT ACVT. RHD. XC. VC. FOCLEN DU FPUT CAMPOS
REAL ACVID.D. ACVID.D. DIRECTION COSINE MATRIX DESCRIBING CURFENT CHASE VEHICLE ATTITUDE DIRECTIVE TO PRIMARY PEFERENCE FRAME. CAD ITS TRANSPOSE Relative To Primary REAL ANEWID.J.21.ATVID.D. DIRECTION COSINE WATRIX OF TARGET SAFCTARET IRELATIVE TO PRIMARY DIRECTION COSINE WATRIX OF TARGET SAFCTARET IRELATIVE TO PRIMARY DIRECTION COSINE WATRIX OF TARGET SAFCTARET IRELATIVE TO PRIMARY	REAL ACVIDE TATIX DESCRIBING CURRENT CHAGE VEHICLE ATTITUDE DIRECTION COSINE MARTIX DESCRIBING CURRENT CHAGE VEHICLE ATTITUDE REAL CAPOS(3) REAL CAPOS(3) REAL CAPOS(3) REAL FOR TO AT CENTER OF DOCUME AID C BLI CONTERED AT CENTER OF DOCUME AID C BLI CONTERED AT CENTER OF DOCUME AID
REAL CARENTORING TOW IN COURT SYS CENTERED AT CENTER OF DECRING ALD AND PARALLEL TO PRIMARY REFERENCE FRAME Real Cardes(1) and Parallel to Primary Reference Frame Reference Construction of Primary Ref Frame (fund Inter-	C CONFRACIENS FOCAL LENGTH. IN METERS Real ratio C Tatemediate results. No problem related Significance real radio distance between docain aid center and camera
FEEM HCG).HCG FEEM HCGITION IN CHASE VEHICLE BODY FRAME AND DOCKING ALD POSITION IN TAAGET SPACECRAFT BODY FRAME. RESPECTIVELY INTENER	C REAL XG/YAL AND VERTICAL COMPONENTS OF THE TARGET CENTER HARIZONIAL AND VERTICAL COMPONENTS OF THE TARGET CENTER REAL VECTICE OF TARGET POSITION IN CAMERA FRAME
DO LONG INCEL INTERVIENT OF A CONTRACT AND A CONTRACT AND A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT AND A CONTRACT A CONTR	C (* COMPUTE SCALAR MULTIPLIER *) C (* COMPUTE SCALAR MULTIPLIER *) C (* COMPUTE SCALAR MULTIPLIER *) C (* FIND GRATOFAND/SCATCH-2+CC+2) RATIOFAND/SCATCH-2+CC+2) C (* FIND GRATOFAND/SCATCH-2+CC+2) C (* FIND GRATOFAND/SCATCH-2+CC+2)
REAL PHO DISTANCE BETWEEN LIGHTS AND CANERA DISTANCE BETWEEN LIGHTS AND CANERA REAL VICTO REAL VICTOJ.V2020.V2020 Real VICTOJ.V2020.V2020 REAL VICTOJ.V2020.V2020	VECTIZITYCHARTO VECTIZITYCHARTO C (* CONVERT TO CAMERA POSITION IN TARGET CENTER-LIGHT FRAME *) C (* CONVERT TO CAMERA POSITION IN TARGET CENTER-LIGHT FRAME *) RETURN C CALL HWLT(CAMPOS. ACVT.VECT.3.3.1)
CUMPUN/IMMODEF/MC.MT.DUMPEV(6) (* 441 - COMPUTE CAMERA POSITION WITH RESPECT TO DOCKING AID *) (* 441 - COMPUTE TAROLIC'SCAMPOSI (* 442 - COMPUTE TAROLIC ATTITUDE IN PRIMAY REFERENCE FRAME *)	END
(* FIND WC IN PRIMARY REFERENCE FRAME *) (* FIND WC IN PRIMARY REFERENCE FRAME *) COLL MALING, ACVING, 3.3.1) DO 10 INTER-1.2 COLL DERNAR(GT(1, INTERP)) ARGENCE COMME MATRIX FROM QUATERNION *) CALL DERNAR(GT(1, INTERP)) ARGENCE (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	Gr (
(* CORPUTE POBITION OF CAMES VENICLE CENTER OF CHAVITY IN FRITANT NET FRAME *) FILVES ATTHET 3-3-11 CALL MADO(VI.CAPPOS.1.1) ADD(VI.CAPOS(1.INTERP).VI.V3.3.1)	SOOR
	PAGE IS QUALITY

υu

U

C-15

U

5 U.1 V.4

2.4.4.2

A Design of the second se

;

, **.** .

.

.

ŧ,

ł

y yuracaccouder uu

,

00 00 00

00 0

:

·•

100

١

1

1 :

ļ

APPENDIT C --- RING-OF-LIGHTS VENSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 28

-

÷

· 2*·· · ·· ·

••••

-'

î . .

•

4

£

;

2

₽ .

> , . ,

••••

*-- **1**

PAGE 29

APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SINULATION PROCRAM

ູບບ

Ų

charge warencemer affiled outfention in Primary Reference Frame from Personsfrent and the outfention in Primary Reference Frame From Persons act. cos. Meccl. DIMMT. ML T CALED BY
 $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{U}} =$

υυ

C-16

υυ

00 00

U

ų

υv

υ

CONTRICT CONCRETE AND ALL AND

the second of the second secon

، • بر • بر

į

ł


PAGE 30

1. 化氟化 2.

1

erseller og Tijstansfiskans, ander

5

というない、それになるというで、「ない」ではないというです。 しゅうしんない ひんしゅう たんしゅう たんしゅう

n_i

こう こう きやう

;

={r .

where a second second

and the second s

1

Į





e v

ORIGINAL PAUE IS OF POOR QUALITY ·

a ware of a set of a

المحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ

;

1

.....

APPENDIX C RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMILATION PROGRAM PAGE 32	APPENDIX C RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 33
SUBRDUTINE ESICOV(ESIATE.R) SUBRDUTINE ESICOV(ESIATE.R) CALLE	SUBROUTINE MALOAN(R, P.O. MAATNIX *) (***********************************
	CALL MINUTENPON TENPON 3: SCRACH, 4, 6, ERR) IFLERR ME 00 00 1220 CALL MALT(REMPL, 01 ZEUP2, 6, 3, 3) CALL MALT(ROAIN, P. TENP1, 6, 6, 3) RETORN C 20 CONTIME C 20 CONTINE C 20 C

51.12

2

いたい うい ちち ちち いいちょう ゴント ひい

٣ 4

۱ . .

Constants

المراجع المراجع

6-1-

-

1

:

t, ÷

......

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

۲

£ ŧ

100

ı

C-18



ں C-19

1414.

a series of a series of the
Ł

••

4 J. S

*, *****, *

·

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

. در

ł

:

: ;

•25



APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSIGN OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

1990 - 1997 - 19

1. M. N.

-

• • • •

ی د

REAL ACCEL(3) REAL ACCEL(3) TEAL ACCEL(3) TEAL ACCEL TEAL ACCELERATION TEAL ACTORS OF CAMAGE VENTICLE DIRECTION COSINE MATRIT TEAL ACTORS OF CAMAGE VENTICLE DIRECTION COSINE TATRIT TEAL ACTORS OF TAMAGE OF TOTAL ACCELERATION TEAL ACTORS OF TAMATION VARIANCE TEAL ACTORS OF TAMATION VARIANCE TEAL ACTORS THAT 9UBPOUTINE PROPERIPLESIATE, F STEP. ACVT) 1+ 460 - PROPAGATE STATE ESTIMATE AND COVARIANCE INDUT STATE, ACVT.F STEP SUPPUT P.ESTATE CALLS WECL

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

١

.

ł*

C-20

0 0 0 00

COMPON/VEHIC/DUMPY1(14 , M.2, DUMY2(57)



÷,

الله المراجع المالية المالية المراجع المحاصلة المراجع المحاصة المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع ا

1.41.4.127

.

.

· · · · · ·

÷ . *-.

ļ

•

: *

υ

_***·** *

ł

ORIGINAL PAGE is OF POOR OUAL ITY

۰,

• ١

, ;

, ,

u = u =**U U UU**

C-21

DIT C RING-CF-LICHIG VERSION OF SIMUATION PROGRAM PAGE 40 DATE: NEWERSTOR: ESTATE: OIL. GNH. OVL. GVH. C2L. G2H. TLIN. ACV. DOTTINE THRUGTOR: ESTATE: OIL. GNH. OVL. GVH. C2L. G2H. TLIN. ACV. DOTTINE: TRAUSERS •) DOTTINE: TRAUSERS •)

1

and the second
:

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

- 4

· · · · · · · · · · · ·

.

٠.

١.

1

.

1.545-1.6

C-22



FUNCTION ACCEL(XDOT, XMIN, XMAX, 1LIM DT, AMIN, AMA,) (+ 481 1 - ESTIMATE ACCELERATION FOR ONE AVIS +)

PAGE 42

ス・キュー たいたい ひょう しゅうしゅう

大学なない ひょうかんかい しょうてん かいかい ちょうちょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしんしょ

.

, **I** , *****,

1

PAGE 43

-1

APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

•

4 ,

.

DND υu υ

REAL ANTN. ANAX FEAL ANTN. ANAX FEAL DT TIME STEP BETWEEN DECISIONS REAL VALAR REAL VALAR REAL VALAR REAL TIME LIMIT - SECONDS UNTIL DEALINE REAL VALUE REAL VALUE REAL MAX ACCEPTABLE FINAL X VALUE

INPUT TATATA KHIN, KMAK, TLIH, DT OUTPUT CFUNCTION VALUE ONLYS

CALLS CALLED BY CALLED BY CALLED BY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

. . .

ļ

.

í

1

ì

, ; ; ;

ļ,

١

a 000000000000 0 000 î SUBROUTINE SELECTIROTOMD.KLTOMD.E. (* 482 - FROM CONTROL LAN OUTPUTS SELECT MHICH THRUSTERS TO FIRE REAL E(14) THE FUNCTIONAL AND THANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM CONTROL LAN THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM CONTROL LAN THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM CONTROL LAN THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM CONTROL LAN THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM CONTROL LAN THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM CONTROL LAN THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM CONTROL LAN THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM CONTROL LAN THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM CONTROL LAN TO THE FORT THAT TOWL THAT TOWLED TO THAT TOWLED TO THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM CONTROL LAN THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM THAT TOWLED THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM THAT TOWLED THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM THAT TOWLED THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL COMMUNDS FROM THAT TOWLED THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL TO THAT TOWLED THE FORT TOWLED THE FORT TOWL AND TRANSLATIONAL TO THAT TOWLED THE FORT TOWLED THE ÷ PAGE 44 CALLS TABLE2, TABLE2, TABLE3 CALLED BY THRUST INFUT ROTCHD. XLICHD DUTPUT EUTPUT 3 ğ 8 110 8 ī. º 8 8₹ 8 8 88 ų υ υ υ j obooteboote o o e o oote

APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 45

--- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

APPENDIX C

and the state of the state of the

4 · 7 (AL · F

.

.

د ,

į,

1

7. . .

, 1<u>5</u>

يد د ا

مي ب م

ì

ŧ



/

Ą

k



١

ì



.

· · · · · ·

I





*

• •

١

Ĭ,

1



and a second
*, ;

;

ŝ

7

ĭ.

,

. . .

. . .

•

.*

÷

í

r 、



APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 50

APFENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM PAGE 51 Subroutime Firthries (* 483 - Put Thruster commands in command ports *) (* 483 - Put Thruster commands in command ports *) called by thrust information thruster command by thruster select locic (* Tendary dump subroutime *)

:

· · · •

: •

.

1

e F

ه . --

· .

í

and a star to seems shares as a some

1

•

END

0 000000000000 000 0

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY . .

ì

4

١

ı F



ŝ

Í ł

a mountain an area (a) -

j

Ľ

;

;

ORIGINAL PAGE IS

. . . .

......

......

: *

:

١

C-28

0000000000000000000

U.

U U U U Ų. v U ųψ υ

υ U



i

į

ļ

.

1

-

1

ו גע ע ע עע ע ע עע עעעעעעעעעע ע

ċ,

7 1:

ţ

2

t i

у т 4 т

. .

· · ·

÷

ź

? •

;

•

. ,

•

•

6

ų



• • • •

. •

:

5 ;

a .

- 4

Ļ

¢

ŝ

ź

ť

1

ł,

•

2

٠

, ,

.

PAGE 57

ORIGINAL FAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

• • •

- 1- - 2- - -

ć

•

¥

, ,

2

ţ

APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

•

÷.

PAGE 58

PAGE 59

APPENDIX C -- RÍNG-OF-LIGHTS VERSISAN OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

SUBRDUTINE LINACL(T,M.NTFOPC,CURPOS,ACCEL) (* 902 3 - COMPUTE LINEAR ACCELERATIONS IN X, Y, AND Z DIRECTIONS *) BTHUR: BTHUR: CUTEODS(B) CUTEODS(B) CUTEODS(B) AG6X=00 AG70 AG6X=00 AG70 AG 1 REALTYCHTTE ELAPSED TIME REAL ADSED TIME (* PRECOMPUTE VALUES USED GEVERAL PLACES *) (* PRECOMPUTE VALUES USED GEVERAL PLACES *) AC2 612716-5 BELOSTIC-5 B REAL ACCEL(3) REAL ACCEL(3) REAL AGGY, AGGY AGG REAL AGGY, AGGY AGG CCELERATIONS DUE TO RAV.TY GRADIANT REAL CURRENT CHASE VEHI .E POSITION VECTOR CURRENT CHASE VEHI .E POSITION VECTOR REAL MASS OF SPACECRAFT IS M REAL STOR(3) NET FORC(3) NET FORC(3) NET FORC(3) REAL STOWT AND THRUSTER OPERATION REAL STOWT AND THRUSTER OPERATION REAL STOWT AND THRUSTER CHASULTS INPUT T, M. NTFORC, CURPOS OUTPUT ACCEL CALLS SIN, CDS CALLED BY STPRIM REAL N υ REAL AKI(14) COBITAN VECTOR DERIVED FROM ENGINE SPECIFIC IMPULSE DATA REAL DMT TIFE DERIVATIVE OF MASS REAL FROM TOTO OF MASS REAL FROM FROM THRUSTERS AFTER SELECTION SUBROUTINE MPRIME(F,DMDT) (* 932 Z - COMPUTE TIME DERIVATIVE OF SPACECRAFT MASS *) CALLES CALLED BY CALLED BY INPUT AK1, F OUTPUT DMDT E ND 0 00000000000 0 0 00 00 0 υ



ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

RETURN END

υ

<u>*</u>

υ

للمراجع المسلمان والمحالية المحال المراجع المراجع المراجع المحالية ا

「そうない」では、「ないない」では、「ないない」では、「ないない」では、「ないないない」では、「ないないない」では、「ないない」では、「ないない」では、「ないない」では、「ない」では、「ないない」では、「

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

.

ī,



;

The second s

,

ORIGINAL PACE IS OF POOR QUALITY

. . . .

•

* '-.

APPENDIX C --- RING-OF-LIGHTS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

.

PAGE 62

PAGE 63

APPENDIX C -- RING-OF-LIGHIS VERSION OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

SUBROUTINE @PRIME(0, OMEGA, OPRM) (* 902 7 - COMPUTE OPRM = TIME DERIVATIVE OF QUATERNION (*) REAL OMEGA(4,4) MATRIX FORMED FROM ANGULAR VELOCITY COMPONENTS REAL 014) ATTIUDE QUATERNIION OF CHASE VEHICLE INTERMEDIATE VALUES, NO PROBLEM RELATED SIGNIFICANCE INTERMEDIATE VALUES, NO PROBLEM RELATED SIGNIFICANCE INTERMEDIATE VALUES, NO PROBLEM RELATED SIGNIFICANCE (NTEMEDIATE VALUES, NO PROBLEM RELATED SIGNIFICANCE INTERMEDIATE VALUES, NO PROBLEM RELATED SIGNIFICANCE REAL OFFICIAL OF OF Q (* COMPUTE TIME DERIVATIVE OF Q = 0.5*OMEGA*Q *) (* COMPUTE TIME DERIVATIVE OF Q = 0.5*OMEGA*Q *) CALL MMLT(0INT,4,1,0,5) RETURN CALLS MMLT, MSCL CALLED BY STPRIM INPUT G. OMEGA DUTPUT GPRM END 0000000000000 0 0 0 000 υ SUBRDUTIME MAKRDT(BODVEL, UMEGA, A) (* 902 b - FORM ROTATION MATRIX DMEGA FROM ANGULAR VELOCITY VECTOR *) REAL A(3.3) BIFRECTION COSTVE MATRIX GIVING TRUE C V ATTITUDE REAL BORCE(L3) INTEGENT VELOCITY IN CV COORDINATE SYSTEM INTEGENT VELOCITY IN CV COORDINATE SYSTEM REAL DATEON MATRIX OMEGA. TD BE APPLIED TO GUATERNION TO TOTATION MATRIX OMEGA. TD BE APPLIED TO GUATERNION DO LOOP INDEX REAL DATEON MATRIX OMEGA. TD BE APPLIED TO GUATERNION TO TOTATION TO TOTATIVE INPUT ANGVEL OUTPUT OMEGA CALLS ANONE> CALLED BY STPRIM Т Т

u uuuuuuuuuuuu u u uuu

-

.

•

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

В И И

υ

1



1

Statement of the second
-

.

.

. . .



٠,

N

.

•

. i

• • • •

C-35

Appendix D Attitude Parameterization

ь.

Appendix D Attitude Parameterization -1

The three computer simulations performed in this study required frequent conversions among coordinate systems. For example, the camera locations are fixed in the chase vehicle's body coordinate system, but this system is constantly rotating with respect to the inertial frame used for navigation. Similarly, the docking aid's position is fixed in the target spacecraft's coordinate system, which also rotates with respect to the inertial frame. After each observation, the onboard computer must compute the position of the chase vehicle's center of mass with respect to the target's center of mass. Because the camera and the docking aid are not at the target's center of mass, the computer must convert all the position data to a consistent coordinate system.

One of the most convenient ways to convert a vector from one coordinate system to another is to multiply the vector by the direction cosine matrix that expresses the relationship between the two coordinate systems.

Because the target's direction cosine matrix is not known in advance, it must be measured. The calculations required to do this are simplified somewhat if quaternions are used as an intermediate step. Quaternions were also found useful for a number of other operations in the simulation programs.

Direction cosine matrices and quaternions are alternative ways to express exactly the same information, and the computations to convert from one to the other are not difficult. Subroutine DIRMAT in the computer programs in Appendices A through C converts from quaternion notation to direction cosine matrix notation. Subroutine TOQUAT in Appendix C converts from direction cosine matrix notation to quaternion notation.

Suppose that A is a 3x3 direction cosine matrix that expresses the attitude of a rotated coordinate system with respect to a fixed system, and that $\underline{v_r}$ and $\underline{v_f}$ are 3x1 matriles that express the vector \underline{v} in the rotated and fixed frames, respectively. Then $\underline{v_r}$ and $\underline{v_f}$ are related by the equations

$$\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{r}}}{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{f}}}{\mathbf{f}} , \qquad (D-1)$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \, \underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{r}} \quad . \tag{D-2}$$

The second equation makes use of the fact that direction cosine matrices are members of a special class of matrices whose inverses are equal to their transposes. The superscript T can therefore be thought of as denoting eit er the inverse or the transpose in this context. The rows and columns of a direction cosine matrix have a simple physical interpretation: the columns are the unit vectors that define the fixed coordinate system, expressed in the rotated coordinate system. Similarly, the rows are the unit vectors defining the rotated coordinate system, expressed in the fixed coordinate system.

For example, if the fixed coordinate system is defined by the vectors \underline{i} , \underline{j} , and \underline{k} , and the rotated system's unit vectors are \underline{u} , \underline{v} , and \underline{w} , and \overline{if} $\overline{A} = [a_{rs}]$, \underline{i} can be expressed in the fixed system as $(1, 0, 0)^T$ or in the rotated system as $(a_{11}, a_{21}, a_{31})^T$. Likewise, \underline{u} can be expressed in the rotated frame as $(1, 0, 0)^T$ or in the fixed frame as $(a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13})^T$.

There is a simple formula for computing the direction cosine matrix that represents the results of two successive rotations. If A_{21} represents the attitude of coordinate system 2 with respect to system 1, and A_{32} represents the attitude of coordinate ystem 3 with respect to system 2, then A_{31} , which gives the attitude of system 3 with respect to system 1, can be computed from the formula

$$A_{31} = A_{32}A_{21}$$
 (D-3)

Quaternions are based on an entirely different view of attitude. There is a theorem that states that no matter how a rotated frame is oriented with respect to a fixed reference frame, the orientation can be accounted for by assuming a single rotation about a single axis. The angle of rotation is called the Euler angle (ϕ), and the axis is called the Euler axis (e). The quaternion representing the attitude of the rotated frame is a $\frac{1}{4}xl$ matrix*

	e ₁ sin (¢/2)		[4 ₁]	
<u>9</u> =	e_2 sin ($\phi/2$)	Ξ	۹ ₂	(D-4)
	$e_3 \sin (\phi/2)$		۹ ₃	
	cos (¢/2)		q4	

where e_1 , e_2 , and e_3 are the components of the Euler axis in the fixed coordinate system.

The direction cosine matrix can be expressed in terms of the quaternion elements as

$q_1^2 - q_2^2 - q_3^2 + q_4^2$	$2(q_1q_2 + q_3q_4)$	$2(q_1q_3 - q_2q_4)$
$A = 2(q_1q_2 - q_3q_4)$	-q1 + q2 - q3 + q2	$2(q_2q_3 + q_1q_4)$ (D-5)
$2(q_1q_3 + q_2q_4)$	2(q ₂ q ₃ - q ₁ q ₄)	$-q_1^2 - q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2$

and subroutine DIRMAT is a straightforward implementation of this formula. The reverse operation can be performed by any of four equivalent sets of simple formulas, but an appropriate set must be used to avoid subtraction of nearly equal quantities or division by zero. Subroutine TOQUAT contains all four sets of formulas and the logic required to select an appropriate set.

*In mathematics texts where quaternions are viewed as hypercomplex numbers, the elements are usually shown in a different order. The order given here is used consistently throughout this report. Quaternions are useful when orientation is known (or sought) in terms of the Euler angle and axis. They also prove especially useful in numerical integration of angular velocity because there is a simple formula for the integration and because they are easy to renormalize.

Renormalization is required because roundoff and other errors in numerical integration cause the norm of the quaternion to drift. [The norm is the square root of the sum of the squares of the four elements, and must be exactly 1.0 for the definition in equation (D-4) to be valid.] Renormalization is a simple matter of dividing each element of the quaternion by the norm.

Numerical integration with direction cosine matrices requires only about 30 percent more additions and multiplications, but normalization is much more difficult. If a direction cosine matrix is not normalized, after several integration steps it begins to represent a mapping into a distorted coordinate system and not a simple rotation. This happens because roundoff errors leave rows and columns representing vectors that are not of unit length or vectors that do not define the axes of a rectangular coordinate system.

The formula used in the simulations for integrating angular velocity is

 $dq/dt = \frac{1}{2} \Omega q$

where:

 $\Omega \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega_{\mathbf{w}} & -\omega_{\mathbf{v}} & \omega_{\mathbf{u}} \\ -\omega_{\mathbf{w}} & 0 & \omega_{\mathbf{u}} & \omega_{\mathbf{v}} \\ \omega_{\mathbf{v}} & -\omega_{\mathbf{u}} & 0 & \omega_{\mathbf{w}} \\ -\omega_{\mathbf{u}} & -\omega_{\mathbf{v}} & -\omega_{\mathbf{w}} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$

(D-6)

(D-7)

(D-8)

a matrix made up of angular velocity components about the spacecraft body axes. The integration starts with an initial value for \underline{q} and uses equation (D-4) to calculate q at future times.

A quaternion version of equation (D-3) can be used to combine the effects of multiple rotations. If \underline{q}_{21} represents the attitude of coordinate system 2 with respect to system 1, and \underline{q}_{32} represents the attitude of coordinate system 3 with respect to system 2, then \underline{q}_{31} , which gives the attitude of system 3 with respect to system 1, can be computed from the formula

$$\mathbf{q}_{31} = \mathbf{Q} \, \mathbf{q}_{21}$$

where Q is a 4x4 matrix formed from the elements of q_{32}

 $Q \equiv \begin{bmatrix} q_4 & q_3 & -q_2 & q_1 \\ -q_3 & q_4 & q_1 & q_2 \\ q_2 & -q_1 & q_4 & q_3 \\ -q_1 & -q_2 & -q_3 & q_4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (D-9)$

in which

$$\underline{q}_{32} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \\ q_4 \end{bmatrix} . \quad (D-10)$$

An alternate formula that gives identical results is

$$\underline{q}_{31} = Q' \underline{q}_{32}$$
 (D-11)

in which Q' is a 4x4 matrix formed from the elements of q_{21} :

$$q' = \begin{bmatrix}
 q_4' & -q_3' & q_2' & q_1' \\
 q_3' & q_4' & -q_1' & q_2' \\
 -q_2' & q_1' & q_4' & q_3' \\
 -q_1' & -q_2' & -q_3' & q_4'
 \end{bmatrix}$$
where
$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{21} & = \begin{bmatrix}
 q_1' \\
 q_2' \\
 q_3' \\
 q_4'
 \end{bmatrix}$$
(D-12)
(D-13)

Equations (D-8) and (D-11) can be considered definitions of quaternion "multiplication." The multiplication defined is $(\underline{q}_{21} \ \underline{q}_{32})$, which does not equal $(\underline{q}_{32} \ \underline{q}_{21})$. Quaternion multiplication has an identity quaternion $(0 \ 0 \ 1)^T$ that is analogous to the identity matrix in matrix arithmetic. The product of this quaternion with any quaternion \underline{q} is \underline{q} , whether \underline{q} is on the left or on the right in the multiplication. Also, any quaternion has an inverse \underline{q}^{-1} . The product $\underline{qq}^{-1} = \underline{q}^{-1}\underline{q}$ equals the identity quaternion. The inverse is formed by simply negating the first three elements of the quaternion.

D-4

Appendix E Adapting the Kalman Filter for Other Simulations

APPENDIX E--ADAPTING THE KALMAN FILTER FOR OTHER SIMULATIONS

The Kalman filter used in the three simulations presented in this report can be adapted for other rendezvous and docking simulations if the appropriate changes are made.

ì

The Kalman filter accepts a 3-element measurement vector representing the measured position of the chase vehicle's center of mass in the primary reference frame. (The primary reference frame is a nonrotating right-handed rectangular coordinate system that is centered at the target spacecraft's center of mass but aligned with the body axes of the chase vehicle the instant the video guidance system takes control.) The measurement data in the simulation programs are obtained from video information. The Kalman filter can be used for simulations that use any other sensor and target that produces X, Y, and Z position data, provided that the necessary changes are made. Subroutine ESTCOV, which estimates the measurement covariance, must be altered so the measurement cc ariance matrix is reasonable for the accuracy of the sensor and target used. There are two convenient methods of deriving the measurement covariance matrix. It can be calculated by fitting a curve to data from a Monte Carlo simulation or it can be derived from an analytical determination of the sensor's accuracy. The simulation programs in this report used curve-fitting and a Monte Carlo simulation to determine the equation for VARNCE, the estimated variance per axis. The estimated measurement covariance matrix R is then assigned to be a diagonal matrix whose elements along the diagonal are equal to VARNCE. It is important to realize that system performance is the issue--as long as the Kalman filter performs well, an accurate measurement covariance estimate is not necessary.

If a different chase vehicle is desired for other simulations, a thorough reevaluation of the Kalman filter equations is recommended. The dynamics equations and the assumptions made to implement the Kalman filter could be totally wrong for another chase vehicle, and overlooking these differences could cause unsatisfactory system performance.

The numerical scheme that propagates the state estimate and the state covariance matrix between measurements is valid only for small time intervals between measurements. If intervals between measurements of about 1 second or greater are desired, a more accurate integration technique must be used. To use an alternative integration scheme, subroutine PROPES must be changed to implement the new integration technique.

Other design changes not mentioned here require a thorough reevaluation of the Kaiman filter equations.