NASA - TM-82954

NASA Technical Memorandum 82954

NASA-TM-82954 19830003912

# Shock Tube Measurements of Growth Constants in the Branched Chain Formaldehyde-Carbon Monoxide-Oxygen System

Theodore A. Brabbs Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

and

1

Richard S. Brokaw Baldwin-Wallace College Berea, Ohio

## LIBRARY COPY

DEC 1 1982

LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER LIBRARY, NASA HAMPTON, VIRGINIA

September 1982





#### SHOCK-TUBE MEASUREMENTS OF GROWTH

#### CONSTANTS IN THE BRANCHED-CHAIN

#### FORMALDEHYDE-CARBON MONOXIDE-OXYGEN SYSTEM

Theodore A. Brabbs

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

and

#### Richard S. Brokaw

#### Baldwin-Wallace College Berea, Ohio

#### SUMMARY

Exponential free-radical growth constants have been measured for formaldehyde-carbon monoxide-oxygen systems by monitoring the growth of oxygen atom concentration as manifested by CO flame-band emission. Data were obtained over the temperature range of 1200 to 2000 K.

The data have been analyzed using a formaldehyde oxidation mechanism involving 12 elementary reaction steps. The computed growth constants are roughly in accord with experimental values, but are much more temperature dependent. The data have also been analyzed assuming formaldehyde is rapidly decomposed to carbon monoxide and hydrogen. Growth constants computed for the resulting carbon monoxide-hydrogen-oxygen mixtures have a temperature dependence similar to experiment; however, for most mixtures, the computed growth constants were larger than experimental values.

#### INTRODUCTION

Formaldehyde is an important intermediate in the oxidation of hydrocarbons to carbon dioxide and water. Thus, in order to obtain a complete set of chemical reactions and rates for modeling hydrocarbon combustion it is desirable to know the pathways by which formaldehyde reacts to form carbon monoxide, hydrogen, water, and carbon dioxide.

The shock tube has proved useful for obtaining elementary reaction rates from growth constants in the  $H_2-CO-O_2$  (ref. 1) and  $CH_4-CO-O_2$  (ref. 2) systems. Consequently an analogous study of the  $CH_2O-CO-O_2$  system was initiated in hopes of extracting elementary reaction rates for formaldehyde oxidation.

#### Formaldehyde Oxidation Scheme

When a mixture containing carbon monoxide, oxygen, and a small amount of formaldehyde is subject to a temperature and pressure pulse in a shock tube, small concentrations of atoms and free radicals may first be formed by processes such as

1183-12182 4

$$CO + O_2 \longrightarrow CO_2 + O$$
  
 $CH_2O + O_2 \longrightarrow CHO + HO_2$   
 $CH_2O + M \longrightarrow CHO + H + M$ 

These atom and free-radical concentrations may then grow exponentially via the branched-chain scheme

$$H + 0_2 \xrightarrow{k_2} 0H + 0$$
 (II)

$$OH + CO \xrightarrow{k_5} CO_2 + H$$
 (V)

$$0 + CH_2 0 \xrightarrow{k_8} CHO + OH$$
 (VIII)

$$CHO + M \xrightarrow{k_{10}} CO + H$$
 (X)

$$HO_2 + CO \xrightarrow{k_{11}} CO_2 + OH$$
 (XI)

$$HO_2 + M \xrightarrow{k_{-4}} H + O_2 + M \qquad (-IV)$$

1

Chainbranching is inhibited by the reactions

1

$$H + O_2 + M \xrightarrow{k_4} HO_2 + M$$
 (IV)

$$OH + CH_2 O \xrightarrow{k_6} CHO + H_2 O$$
 (VI)

$$H + CH_2 0 \xrightarrow{K_7} CH0 + H_2$$
 (VII)

$$CHO + O_2 \xrightarrow{k_9} CO + HO_2$$
 (IX)

$$H + CO + M \xrightarrow{k-10} CHO + M$$
 (-X)

$$0 + c0 + M \xrightarrow{k_{12}} co_2 + M$$
 (XII)

The chain carriers H, OH, and O are more reactive than CHO, which in turn is more reactive than HO<sub>2</sub>. Numerical integration of this kinetic scheme for the experimental conditions indicates that the rate of reaction (-IV) is greater than reaction (IV) and that there may be some reversal of reaction (V). Reactions have been numbered to be compatible with notation used in the study of the  $H_2-O_2-CO$  system (ref. 1).

Theory shows (refs. 2 to 5) that in such chain branched systems when depletion of reactants is negligible and temperature and pressure are constant, the atom and radical concentrations increase proportional to exp

 $(\lambda t)$  increases (except very early in the reaction). The growth constant  $\lambda$  depends on the rate constants of the elementary chemical reactions and the concentrations of stable reactants – in this case CO, O<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>2</sub>O.

This report presents experimental growth constants measured behind incident shocks for a range of pressures, temperatures, and gas compositions. These experimental growth constants are compared with values computed from theory. Agreement between theory and experiment is only semiquantitative.

Inasmuch as the analysis of the data is not definitive, the experimental conditions for each datum - gas time interval, temperature range, and pressure, are recorded for the benefit of others who may wish to reevaluate the data.

#### EXPERIMENTAL ASPECTS

Growth constants were obtained by measuring the blue CO flame band emission behind incident shocks. The intensity of this radiation is proportional to the product of carbon monoxide and oxygen-atom concentrations (ref. 6), and since little or no CO is consumed, the light measures the increase of oxygen atom concentration with time. Details of the shock tube and associated optical and electronic equipment have been described elsewhere (ref. 7).

Gas mixtures contained small amounts of  $CH_2O$  with varying amounts of  $CO_1O_2$ , and  $CO_2$  diluted with argon. (Carbon dioxide was added to ensure vibrational relaxation of carbon monoxide.) Oxygen and argon were high-purity tank gases and were used without further purification. Carbon monoxide was condensed at liquid nitrogen temperature; about a fourth of the condensate was pumped off and discarded. Dry ice served as a convenient source of carbon dioxide. It was purified by subliming three quarters of a sample into a liquid nitrogen cooled trap. The first quarter of this trapped fraction was discarded and the middle half used for mixture preparation.

Formaldehyde was prepared from trioxane, a cyclic trimer of formaldehyde which contains no combined water. This is important, since traces of water catalyze the polymerization of formaldehyde to paraformaldehyde. On the other hand, pure dry formaldehyde gas shows no visible signs of polymerization when stored in glass vessels at 80 to  $100^{\circ}$  C.

Trioxane was depolymerized by passing a nitrogen-trioxane mixture over an acid catalyst at 200 to  $240^{\circ}$  C. Formaldehyde was collected in a trap cooled by liquid nitrogen and purified by two bulb-to-bulb transfers in a glass-vacuum system. A 5 percent formaldehyde mixture in argon was prepared from the purified liquid and was stored in a glass bulb maintained at 110° C.

Test mixtures were prepared in a large glass vessel by introducing first the formaldehyde argon mixture and then adding an appropriate  $CO-O_2-CO_2$  argon mixture from a high pressure storage tank.

It has been shown (refs. 7 and 8) that boundary-layer effects must be considered in analyzing data obtained behind incident shocks. Conditions behind the shocks, in the region of the experimental measurements, were obtained from a computer program (ref. 9) which integrates the equations of chemical change for a shocked-gas accounting for the effects of boundary layer buildup. The procedure has been described for both turbulent boundary layers (ref. 7) and laminar boundary layers (ref. 8). Data were obtained with both laminar and turbulent boundary layers in this report.

Exponential growth constants were obtained from plots of logarithm of observed light intensity versus gas time. The relation between gas and laboratory times was obtained from the computer calculations.

#### THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The analytic solutions of the differential equations describing the 1gnition kinetics in chain-branched systems involving hydrogen, oxygen, and carbon monoxide have been discussed in detail previously (refs. 3 to 5) and the solution has been presented for the methane-carbon monoxide-oxygen system (ref. 2). The solution for the system of equations discussed in the introduction is quite analogous and will merely be outlined here.

To obtain the analytic solution, the atom and radical concentrations H, OH, O, HO<sub>2</sub>, and CHO are assumed to be small in comparison to the concentrations of reactants  $CH_2O$ , CO, and  $O_2$  so that the reactant concentrations may be considered constant and reactions between chain carriers are unimportant. In addition, the temperature and pressure must be nearly constant over the time range of the experimental observations.

Subject to these assumptions, the chemical kinetics are described by a system of simultaneous first-order linear differential equations, one for each chain carrier. The solution of these equations shows that the chain-carrier concentrations grow as exp ( $\lambda$ t) (except very early in the reaction). The growth constant  $\lambda$  is the positive root of a polynomial of a degree equal to the number of chain carriers. Thus, with H, OH, O, HO<sub>2</sub>, and CHO as chain carriers, the following quintic equation is obtained:

$$0 = \begin{vmatrix} -(v_8 + v_{12} + \lambda) & v_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(v_2 + v_4 + v_{-5} + v_7 + v_{-10} + \lambda) & v_5 & v_{-4} & v_{10} \\ v_8 & (v_2 + v_{-5}) & -(v_5 + v_6 + g) & v_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & v_4 & 0 & -(v_{-4} + v_{11} + \lambda) & v_9 \\ v_8 & (v_7 + v_{-10}) & v_6 & 0 & -(v_9 + v_{10} + \lambda) \end{vmatrix}$$
(1)

where 
$$v_2 \equiv k_2[0_2]$$
,  $v_4 \equiv k_4[0_2][M]$ ,  $v_{-4} \equiv k_{-4}[M]$   
 $v_5 \equiv k_5[C0]$ ,  $v_{-5} \equiv k_{-5}[C0_2]$ ,  $v_6 \equiv k_6[CH_20]$ ,  $v_7 \equiv k_7[CH_20]$   
 $v_8 \equiv k_8[CH_20]$ ,  $v_9 \equiv k_9[0_2]$ ,  $v_{10} \equiv k_{10}[M]$ ,  $v_{10} \equiv k_{-10}[C0][M]$   
 $v_{11} \equiv k_{11}[C0]$ , and  $v_{12} \equiv k_{12}[C0][M]$ 

The rows in this equation derive from the differential equations for the rates of formation of H, OH, O, HO<sub>2</sub>, and CHO, respectively. The columns derive from the rates of formation or distruction of H, OH, O, HO<sub>2</sub> and CHO in the elementary chemical reactions.

This equation was used to calculate growth constants corresponding to the experimental conditions using rate constants taken from the literature. The rate constants and sources are listed in the appendix. Also, Equation (1) was numerically differentiated to obtain the sensitivities of the calculated growth constants to the various reaction rates.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The compositions of the four gas mixtures are given in table I. For mixture 1 there were slightly different compositions, designated as a, b, and c. Also shown are the sensitivities, a  $\ln \lambda/a \ln \nu_1$  for the various reaction rates. The range of sensitivities shown is for the temperature range of the data for each composition. On the basis of low sensitivities several reactions might be eliminated from the scheme reactions (IV), (-V), (-X), (XII), and perhaps (-IV).

The experimental results are set forth in table II and Figures 1 to 4. The data for mixtures 1 and 2 were obtained at pressures (1 to 1.5 atm) where the boundary layers were turbulent. The data for mixtures 3 and 4 were at lower pressures (0.12 to .25 atm) where boundary layers were laminar. The data for mixtures 1 and 2 range from about 1400K to 1600K; the data for mixtures 3 and 4 cover a wider temperature range, from about 1200K to over 2000K.

Because the most important reactions in the formaldehyde oxidation scheme are all bimolecular [only reactions (IV), (-X), and (XII) are not], the growth constant at a given temperature should be proportional to the pressure. Consequently, in figures 1 to 4 the experimental data are plotted as the logarithm of  $\lambda/P$  versus reciprocal temperature. Also shown as solid lines are values computed from equation (1) using rate constants taken from the literature, and set forth in the appendix.

The calculated growth constants are generally smaller than experimental values, except for mixtures 3 and 4 at the higher temperatures. Indeed, at the lowest temperatures, computed growth constants for mixtures 3 and 4 are about one seventh of the experimental values.

Attempts were made to reconcile theory and experiment by changing the rates of several of the important reactions. From the sensitivities in table, I, the important reactions are (II), (V), (VI), (VII), (VIII), (IX), (X), and (XI). The rates of reactions (II) and (V) are well-established and are not candidates for tinkering. Values of kg were computed from mixture 3 using equation (1) together with the experimental growth constants. Although a good correlation was obtained, when the rate constant was fitted to the Arrhenius equation the pre-exponential factor was  $8X10^{10}$  which is three orders of magnitude too large for such a bimolecular abstraction reaction. In another attempt to fit the data  $k_{10}$  was computed from mixture 3; these calculations yielded rate constants which were larger at low temperatures than at high temperatures, which is absurd. In still another attempt to fix the data  $k_7$  was computed from mixtures 1 and 2.

When these rate constants were fitted to the Arrhenius equation, the pre-exponential factor was about four orders of magnitude too large.

The pattern which seems to emerge is the following: to fit the data, reactions that promote branching such as (II), (V), (VIII), (X), or (XI), must be assigned small or negative temperature dependences; or inhibiting reactions such as (VI), (VII), and (IX) must be assigned very strongly positive temperature dependence. Neither alternative is reasonable.

Perhaps the formaldehyde is rapidly decomposed to hydrogen and carbon monoxide so that the growth constants are really those of the H<sub>2</sub>-CO-O<sub>2</sub> system. To explore this possibility, growth constants were calculated for the H<sub>2</sub>-CO-O<sub>2</sub> system, assuming the formaldehyde present decomposed instantaneously to hydrogen and carbon monoxide. Results are shown as dashed curves on figures 1 to 4. For mixtures 3 and 4 (figs. 3 and 4), which span a wide range of temperature, growth constants computed for the H<sub>2</sub>-CO-O<sub>2</sub> system show a temperature dependence similar to that of the experimental data; indeed, for mixture 3, calculated and experimental growth constants are in agreement. For mixture 4, calculated growth constants are perhaps 60 percent to 90 percent larger than experiment. For mixture 1, experimental growth constants lie between values calculated for the CH<sub>2</sub>O-CO-O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>-CO-O<sub>2</sub> systems, while for mixture 2, calculated growth constants for both schemes are lower than experimental values.

The analyses reported here suggest there may be substantial but incomplete decomposition of formaldehyde to carbon monoxide and hydrogen prior to the exponential growth of chain carriers. To model this situation, numerical chemical kinetic calculations would be required. It is not clear that such calculations would accomplish more than a rationalization of the experimental data; it is uncertain as to whether rates of elementary reactions could be established with confidence.

#### CONCLUDING REMARKS

Exponential free-radical growth constants have been measured for formaldehyde-carbon monoxide-oxygen systems by monitoring the growth of oxygen atom concentration as manifested by CO flame band emission. Data were obtained over the temperature range of 1200 to 2000 K.

The data have been analyzed using a formaldehyde oxidation mechanism involving 12 elementary reaction steps. The computed growth constants are roughly in accord with experimental values, but are much more temperature dependent. The data have also been analyzed assuming formaldehyde is rapidly decomposed to carbon monoxide and hydrogen. Growth constants computed for the resulting carbon monoxide-hydrogen-oxygen mixtures have a temperature dependence similar to experiment; however, for most mixtures, the computed growth constants were larger than experimental values.

#### APPENDIX

#### SPECIFIC REACTION RATE CONSTANTS

This appendix lists individual reactions used in the calculations and indicates values and sources of the assumed rate constants. Bimolecular rate constants are expressed in  $cm^3mole^{-1}sec^{-1}$  and the molecular rate constants are in  $cm^6mole^{-2}sec^{-1}$ . Temperatures are in degrees Kelvin and activation temperatures, E/R, are in degrees Kelvin.

(II)  $H + 0_2 \longrightarrow 0H + 0$ ,  $k_2 = 1.25 \times 10^{14} \exp(-8203/T)$ 

This rate constant, from reference 1, was measured in the shock tube used in this investigation.

(IV)  $H + O_2 + M \rightarrow HO_2 + M$ ,  $k_4 = 1.5 \times 10^{15} \exp(500/T)$ 

This rate constant (ref. 10) is for argon as the third body. Other thirdbody factors used (Ar = 1) were  $0_2$  and CO = 1.3,  $CO_2 = 5$ , and  $CH_2O = 1$ .

(-IV) HO<sub>2</sub> + M  $\rightarrow$  H + O<sub>2</sub> + M, k<sub>-4</sub> = 1.8X10<sup>15</sup> exp (-25 260/T)

This rate constant is obtained from the rate of reaction (IV) and the equilibrium constant for reaction (IV), from reference 11. Chaperon efficiencies are the same as in reaction (IV).

(V) 
$$CO + OH \rightarrow CO_2 + H$$
,  $k_5 = 10^{12} \exp(-1860/T)$ 

This rate constant (ref. 1) was obtained from the shock tube used in this investigation.

$$(-V)$$
 CO<sub>2</sub> + H $\rightarrow$ CO + OH, k<sub>-5</sub> = 1.24X10<sup>14</sup> exp (-13000/T)

This rate constant is obtained from the rate of reaction (V) and the equilibrium constant for reaction (V) from reference 11.

(VI) OH + CH<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  H<sub>2</sub>O + CHO, k<sub>6</sub> = 7.8X10<sup>12</sup>

Recommendation of reference 12.

(VII) H + CH<sub>2</sub>0->-H<sub>2</sub> + CHO,  $k_7 = 1.35 \times 10^{13} \exp(-1892/T)$ 

From reference 13.

(VIII) 0 +  $CH_20 \rightarrow OH$  + CH0,  $k_8 = 1.77 \times 10^{13} \exp(-1542/T)$ 

From reference 14.

This rate constant is obtained by fitting the Arrhenius equation to the room temperature recommendation of reference 12 with the 1600K value of reference 15.

(X) CHO + M  $\rightarrow$  CO + H + M,  $k_{10} = 6.7 \times 10^{13} \exp(-8741/T)$ 

This rate constant is obtained from the rate of reaction (-X), and the equilibrium constant for reaction (X). The equilibrium constant was calculated from the thermodynamic data of reference 11, with an adjustment to account for the heat of formation of CHO recommended in reference 12. The rate shown is for M = Argon. Other chaperon efficiencies were taken to be those of reaction (IV).

(-X) H + CO + M  $\rightarrow$  CHO + M,  $k_{-10} = 10^{14} \exp(-755/T)$ 

This rate is 0.2 of that suggested in reference 16 with hydrogen as a third body and was assumed appropriate for M = Argon. Other chaperon efficiencies were assumed the same as reaction (IV).

(XI)  $HO_2 + CO \rightarrow CO_2 + OH$ ,  $k_{11} = 8X10^{13} \exp(-11500/T)$ 

Recommendation of reference 16.

(XII) 
$$0 + C0 + M \rightarrow C0_2 + M$$
,  $k_{12} = 4X10^{15} \exp(-2300/T)$ 

Recommendation of reference 16.

.

#### REFERENCES

- Brabbs, T. A.; Belles, F. E.; and Brokaw, R. S.: Shock Tube Measurements of Specific Reaction Rates in the Branched Chain H<sub>2</sub>-CO-O<sub>2</sub> System. Thirteenth Symposium (International) on Combustion, Combustion Institute, 1971, pp. 129-135.
- Brabbs, T. A.; and Brokaw, R. S.: Shock Tube Measurements of Specific Reaction Rates in the Branched Chain CH<sub>4</sub>-CO-O<sub>2</sub> System. Fifteenth Symposium (International) on Combustion, Combustion Institute, 1975, pp. 893-900.
- 3. Brokaw, R. S.: Analytic Solutions to the Ignition Kinetics of the Hydrogen-Oxygen Reaction. Tenth Symposium (International) on Combustion, Combustion Institute, 1965, pp. 269-277.
- Brokaw, R. S.: Ignition Kinetics of the Carbon Monoxide- Oxygen Reaction. Eleventh Symposium (International) on Combustion. Combustion Institute, 1967, pp. 1063–1072.
- 5. Brokaw, R. S.: Rate of Reaction Between Molecular Hydrogen and Molecular Oxygen. NASA TM X-2707, 1973.
- 6. Clyne, M. A. A.; and Thrush, B. A.: Mechanism of Chemiluminescent Combination Reactions Involving Oxygen Atoms. Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), Ser. A, vol. 269, no. 1338, Sept. 1962, pp. 404-418.
- Belles, F. E.; and Brabbs, T. A.: Experimental Verification of Effects of Turbulent Boundary Layers on Chemical-Kinetic Measurements in a Shock Tube. Thirteenth Symposium (International) on Combustion, Combustion Institute, 1971, pp. 165-175.
- Brabbs, T. A.; and Belles, F. E.: Experimental Study of Effects of Laminar Boundary Layers on Chemical Kinetic Measurements in a Shock Tube. Presented at the 8th International Shock Tube Symposium, (London, England), Jul. 5-8, 1971.
- 9. Bittker, D. A.; and Scullin, V. J.: General Chemical Kinetics Computer Program for Static and Flow Reactions, with Application to Combustion and Shock-Tube Kinetics. NASA TN D-6586, 1972.
- Baulch, D. L.; et al.: Evaluated Kinetic Data for High Temperature Reactions. Vol. 1. Homogeneous Gas Phase Reactions of the H<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub> System. CRC Press, 1972.
- 11. JANAF Thermochemical Tables, Dow Chemical Co., Midland, Michigan, Dec. 31, 1960 to March 31, 1979.
- Baulch, D. L.; et al.: Evaluated Kinetic and Photochemical Data for Atmospheric Chemistry. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, vol. 9, no. 2, 1980, pp. 295-471.
- 13. Westenberg, A. A.; and de Haas, N.: Measurement of the Rate Constant for H + H<sub>2</sub>CO→H<sub>2</sub> + HCO at 297-652° K. J. Phys. Chem., vol. 76, no. 16, Aug. 1972. pp. 2213-2214.
- 14. Klemm, R. B.; Skolnik, E. G.; and Michael, J. V.: Absolute Rate Parameters for the Reaction of Atomic Oxygen (<sup>3</sup>P) with H<sub>2</sub> CO over the Temperature Range 250 to 750K. J. Chem. Phys., vol. 72, No. 2, Jan. 1980. pp. 1256-1264.
- Peeters, J.; and Mahnen, G.: Reaction Mechanisms and Rate Constants of Elementary Steps in Methane-Oxygen Flames. Fourteenth Symposium (International) on Combustion. Combustion Institute, 1973, pp. 133-141.
- 16. Dixon-Lewis, G.; and Williams, D. J.: The Oxidation of Hydrogen and Carbon Monoxide. Comprehensive Chemical Kinetics. Vol. 17 Gas Phase Combustion, C. H. Bamford and C. F. H. Tipper, eds, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, 1977, pp. 1-248.

Compositions, mole %	Gas mixture					
	1		2	3	4	
	a	Ь	с			
СН <sub>2</sub> 0	0.481	0.530	0.474	0.117	0.175	0.170
co	4.91	4.96	4.92	5.36	4.73	3.32
02	.520	.525	.474	.567	1.88	15.30
cõ2	4.96	5.01	4.97	5.42	.964	1.16

### TABLE I. - MIXTURE COMPOSITION AND GROWTH CONSTANT SENSITIVITIES

Sensitivities, reaction i	Gas mixture				
	1	2	3	4	
II IV -IV V -V VI VII VIII VIII IX X -X XI XII	1.12 to 1.05 02 to -0.01 .05 to 0.06 .14 to 0.11 03 to -0.05 10 to -0.02 89 to -0.62 .04 to 0.07 87 to -0.68 .88 to 0.70 0 .70 to -0.39 02 to01	$\begin{array}{c} 0.92 \text{ to } 0.70 \\04 \text{ to } -0.01 \\ .01 \text{ to } 0.02 \\ .21 \text{ to } 0.17 \\04 \text{ to } -0.05 \\12 \text{ to } -0.01 \\42 \text{ to } -0.15 \\ .19 \text{ to } 0.24 \\43 \text{ to } -0.22 \\ .43 \text{ to } 0.23 \\01 \text{ to } 0 \\ .33 \text{ to } 0.12 \\06 \text{ to } -0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

Temper- ature, K	Pressure, atm	Growth constant, λ,sec <sup>-1</sup>	Temperature range, K	Pressure range, atm	Time range, µsec			
	Mixture 1							
1596 1934 1524 1506 1493 1481 1469 1463	1.1589 1.2472 1.1084 1.1988 1.3505 1.3864 1.3871 1.4840	26.62×10 <sup>3</sup> 20.94 17.12 16.45 18.28 18.42 16.93 17.99	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	1.15 - 1.16 $1.24 - 1.25$ $1.10 - 1.12$ $1.19 - 1.21$ $1.34 - 1.36$ $1.38 - 1.39$ $1.38 - 1.39$ $1.48 - 1.49$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
Mixture 2								
1598 1573 1571 1526 1495 1442 1410	1.0958 1.1485 1.1337 1.1658 1.2598 1.3257 1.4137	31.99x10 <sup>3</sup> 29.53 30.50 26.32 23.99 21.93 23.38	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	1.09 - 1.10 $1.14 - 1.15$ $1.13 - 1.14$ $1.16 - 1.17$ $1.25 - 1.26$ $1.32 - 1.34$ $1.41 - 1.42$	$150 - 240 \\ 180 - 270 \\ 150 - 260 \\ 190 - 310 \\ 230 - 305 \\ 260 - 420 \\ 380 - 510$			
			Mixture 3					
2053 2027 2022 1832 1774 1728 1669 1618 1611 1611 1557 1351 1342 1297 1296 1266 1258 1211	0.2193 .1472 .2331 .2187 .1571 .1781 .2078 .1714 .1989 .1706 .1401 .2524 .1414 .1285 .1342 .1470 .1445 .1458	11.000x10 <sup>3</sup> 8.550 11.250 12.250 7.425 7.533 8.200 8.220 7.967 5.580 4.860 3.125 3.224 2.305 2.515 2.320 2.940 1.870	$\begin{array}{r} 2038 \ - \ 2068\\ 2013 \ - \ 2041\\ 2010 \ - \ 2034\\ 1823 \ - \ 1841\\ 1757 \ - \ 1780\\ 1719 \ - \ 1738\\ 1658 \ - \ 1680\\ 1609 \ - \ 1624\\ 1602 \ - \ 1620\\ 1600 \ - \ 1622\\ 1547 \ - \ 1567\\ 1343 \ - \ 1358\\ 1338 \ - \ 1346\\ 1293 \ - \ 1301\\ 1291 \ - \ 1301\\ 1291 \ - \ 1301\\ 1263 \ - \ 1268\\ 1253 \ - \ 1262\\ 1208 \ - \ 1214 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.204 - 0.216\\ .145 - 0.149\\ .230 - 0.236\\ .217 - 0.220\\ .155 - 0.159\\ .177 - 0.179\\ .206 - 0.209\\ .170 - 0.172\\ .197 - 0.200\\ .169 - 0.172\\ .138 - 0.142\\ .250 - 0.254\\ .140 - 0.142\\ .127 - 0.129\\ .133 - 0.135\\ .146 - 0.148\\ .143 - 0.146\\ .145 - 0.147\\ \end{array}$	$100 - 300 \\ 100 - 450 \\ 75 - 300 \\ 150 - 400 \\ 200 - 700 \\ 350 - 675 \\ 300 - 675 \\ 525 - 850 \\ 275 - 700 \\ 550 - 1000 \\ 550 - 1150 \\ 1300 - 2300 \\ 1700 - 2750 \\ 2300 - 3900 \\ 2100 - 3900 \\ 2900 - 3950 \\ 1600 - 2750 \\ 3400 - 5200 \\ \end{array}$			

## TABLE II. - EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Table II. - Concluded

Temper- ature, K	Pressure, atm	Growth constant, λ,sec <sup>-1</sup>	Temperature range, K	Pressure range, atm	Time range, µsec
			Mixture 4		
2007 1812 1695 1679 1659 1604 1572 1512 1444 1443 1399 1337 1335 1297 1288 1270 1225	0.1804 .2260 .2750 .2147 .2255 .2444 .1917 .2148 .1992 .1782 .1525 .1691 .1405 .1628 .2073 .1240 .1582	9.202×10 <sup>3</sup> 9.146 10.909 10.563 8.824 9.464 6.985 7.100 5.950 3.960 3.520 3.565 2.985 3.130 4.250 2.342 3.109	$\begin{array}{r} 1989 - 2024 \\ 1794 - 1830 \\ 1684 - 1706 \\ 1668 - 1690 \\ 1648 - 1670 \\ 1595 - 1612 \\ 1563 - 1580 \\ 1506 - 1517 \\ 1438 - 1449 \\ 1435 - 1450 \\ 1391 - 1406 \\ 1333 - 1342 \\ 1329 - 1340 \\ 1294 - 1301 \\ 1283 - 1292 \\ 1267 - 1273 \\ 1222 - 1228 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.178 - 0.183 \\ .223 - 0.229 \\ .273 - 0.277 \\ .212 - 0.217 \\ .223 - 0.228 \\ .242 - 0.247 \\ .189 - 0.194 \\ .213 - 0.216 \\ .197 - 0.201 \\ .176 - 0.181 \\ .150 - 0.155 \\ .168 - 0.170 \\ .139 - 0.142 \\ .162 - 0.164 \\ .205 - 0.209 \\ .123 - 0.125 \\ .157 - 0.159 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 80 & - & 400 \\ 100 & - & 500 \\ 120 & - & 420 \\ 120 & - & 460 \\ 160 & - & 520 \\ 120 & - & 480 \\ 200 & - & 650 \\ 325 & - & 725 \\ 400 & - & 950 \\ 275 & - & 1000 \\ 500 & - & 1650 \\ 1000 & - & 1850 \\ 900 & - & 2100 \\ 1150 & - & 2050 \\ 700 & - & 1650 \\ 2300 & - & 3500 \\ 1850 & - & 2900 \end{array}$







Figure 2. - Experimental and computed growth constants as a function of temperature. Mixture 2.









1 Report No NASA TM-82954	2 Government Acce	ssion No	3 Recipient's Catalo	g No			
4 Title and Subtitle SHOCK-TUBE MEASUREMENT	Subtitle 5 Report Date -TUBE MEASUREMENT OF GROWTH CONSTANTS IN September 1982			82			
THE BRANCHED-CHAIN FORMALDEHYDE-CARBON			6 Performing Organi	zation Code			
MONOXIDE-OXYGEN SYSTEM	505-31-42						
7 Author(s)	Author(s)			zation Report No			
Theodore A. Brabbs and Richar		E-1371					
9 Performing Organization Name and Address		IU WORK UNIT NO					
National Aeronautics and Space	Administration	ĺ	11 Contract or Grant				
Lewis Research Center							
Cleveland, Ohio 44135			13 Type of Report and Period Covered				
2 Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			Technical Memorandum				
National Aeronautics and Space	Administration	ł	14 Sponsoring Areacy Code				
Washington, D.C. 20546				,			
15 Supplementary Notes Theodore A. Brabbs, Lewis Res Berea, Ohio.	search Center;	Richard S. Brokaw	, Baldwin-Walla	ace College,			
<sup>16</sup> Abstract Exponential free-radical growth constants have been measured for formaldehyde-carbon monoxide-oxygen systems by monitoring the growth of oxygen atom concentration as manifested by CO flame-band emission. Data were obtained over the temperature range of 1200 to 2000 K. The data have been analyzed using a formaldehyde oxidation mechanism involving 12 elementary reaction steps. The computed growth constants are roughly in accord with experimental values, but are much more temperature dependent. The data have also been analyzed assuming formaldehyde is rapidly decomposed to carbon monoxide and hydrogen. Growth constants computed for the resulting carbon monoxide-hydrogen-oxygen mixtures have a temperature dependence similar to experiments; however, for most mixtures, the computed growth constants were larger than experimental values.							
17 Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))		18 Distribution Statement	<u></u>	<u> </u>			
Formaldehyde oxidation	Inclassified - unlimited						
r ormataenyae oxidation		STAP Category 25					
				STAR Category 25			
19 Security Classif (of this report)	20 Security Classif (o	f this page)	21 No of Papes	22 Price*			
Unclassified	Uncla	nclassified					

\* For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161

**End of Document** 

•