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#### Abstract

Present ground station microwave antennas of the Deep Space Network are of the symmetric dual reflector (cassegrainian) type. An investigation is being made of alternative high-performance offset antenna designs which have a clear aperture (no reflector or structure blockage) with shaped reflector surfaces. A $1.5-\mathrm{m}, 32-\mathrm{GHz}$ clear aperture model was built for experimental studies. This article describes the unique processes of fabrication, surface measurement and alignment.


## I. Introduction

Present microwave antennas in the NASA-owned Deep Space Network (DSN) are of the dual-reflector (cassegrainian) type. Recently an investigation has been made of alternative ground station antenna designs to increase the performance and enable efficient operation at 32 GHz . Significant advances in the synthesis microwave, analysis and performance optimization of several designs have been completed since 1978 (Ref. 1). Parallel studies on structural designs of antennas with symmetrical and asymmetrical reflectors were undertaken. The clear aperture (sometimes called offset) antenna design wth dual-shaped reflectors emerged as a superior design. A $1.5-\mathrm{m}$ clear aperture antenna model with shaped-reflector surfaces was selected as a proof-of-design for experimental verification. The predicted superior performance has a potential 2 to 3 dB increase in the gain to noise temperature ratio ( $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ ). This proof-of-design antenna was to be carefully tested at JPL both for mechanical stability and for the high-performance electrical (microwave) characteristics. The antenna synthesis and
analysis has predicted a high aperture efficiency of $86 \%$. It was necessary to obtain a highly accurate surface finish with minimum surface and alignment tolerance to permit accurate estimates of the electrical (microwave) loss at 32 GHz at the $0.5 \%$ level for surface error to wavelength ratio. This accuracy requirement corresponds to a mechanical setting accuracy at the $0.04-\mathrm{mm}$ ( 0.0015 -inch) level.

## II. Surface Tolerances

The geometry specifications and the design details were developed in house to meet the needs of the high performance sought. The subreflector and feedhorn as shown in Figs. 1 and 2 were to be adjustable in $X, Y$, and $Z$ axes plus a rotation for further mechanical alignment and microwave testing. The goal for the main reflector and subreflector surface tolerance was to be equal to 0.18 mm ( 0.007 in .) or better. The alignment error must be equal to 0.5 mm ( 0.020 in .) or better for optimum performance.

## III. Fabrication Steps

After the documentation was completed a search was initiated to locate a vendor with the unique capability to machine the shaped surface of the reflectors to the specified tolerance. Among 20 firms contacted, Tempe Precision Aircraft Co. of Tempe, Arizona, was selected in June 1981, with a contract completion date of Feb. 1, 1982. The details of the computer coordinates for machining the reflectors were developed in house as described in the appendix. Details of the fabrication of the major components are as follows:

## A. Main Reflector

This is designed to be 1.5 m in diameter. A $1524 \times 1828.8$ $\times 260-\mathrm{mm}$ ( $5 \mathrm{ft} \times 6 \mathrm{ft} \times 10 \mathrm{in}$.) aluminum billet was blanchard ground and mounted on a three-axis, numerically controlled horizontal milling machine as shown in Fig. 3. The excess material was removed to form a unique "parabolic" shape. Since the machine was not large enough to machine the entire surface, the main reflector was rotated in 90 deg increments for machining. Each quadrant was machined in three steps. The first step was rough cut to remove excess material to within $2.8 \mathrm{~mm}(0.110 \mathrm{in}$.) of the finished surface. The second step was a cut to remove material to within 0.25 mm ( 0.010 in.) as shown in Fig. 4. The third cut produced a finished machined surface. The surface was spot-checked after each machining operation to verify the computer program results. After the surface was machined and the perimeter trimmed to the final envelope size, the reflector was removed and hand-finished to remove the tool marks. The main reflector surface was measured at 727 points at Tempe Precision Aircraft Co. as shown in Fig. 5 and verified at JPL machine shop as shown in Fig. 6. The root mean square (rms) error obtained from the two machines was 0.114 mm ( 0.0045 in .) as outlined in Table 1.

## B. Subreflector

The subreflector, which is 0.4 m in diameter, was machined utilizing the same methods and equipment above. An rms error of $0.114 \mathrm{~m}(0.0045 \mathrm{in}$.) was obtained. The $X$-, $Y$-, and $Z$-axis adjustments were obtained utilizing three micrometer translation units with 50 mm travel.

## IV. Field Alignment and Installation

The complete assembly was optically and mechanically aligned as shown in Fig. 7 utilizing an optical level (Wild, N-3), a theodolite (Wild T-2), two master precision levels, a series of inside micrometers and a precision fabricated template to maintain the theoretical geometry and to obtain the optimum signal reception. The three subassemblies were aligned within 0.25 mm ( 0.010 in .), verified and inspected as shown in Fig. 8. The assembly was transported to the test range and installed on an azimuth elevation positioner located on the roof of the test building and the alignment was rechecked.

## V. Results of Surface Measurements

An attempt was made to compute the rms value of the surface distortions in the $Z$-axis normal to the reflector surface. The surface distortions were measured in a local coordinate system and the reflector surface has a final shape close to a paraboloid. A FORTRAN computer program CAA-RMS, described in the appendix, was developed to transform the local coordinates into a global coordinate system. An rms best fitting program (half-path length error) was used to calculate the rms value of the reflector surface distortion. The rms values of the surface distortion of the main reflector $e_{1}$, were found to be 0.094 mm ( 0.0037 in .) without best fitting, and $0.0285 \mathrm{~mm}(0.001123 \mathrm{in}$.) with best fitting. The surface deviation of the subreflector $e_{2}$ (without best fitting), was found to be comparable 0.114 mm ( 0.0045 in .).

Since comparable machining techniques were used throughout, it is estimated that the fitted rms of the subreflector is approximately $0.028 \mathrm{~mm}(0.0011 \mathrm{in}$.). The net effective rms of both reflectors together, with best fitting, is thus approximately $\sqrt{e_{1}^{2}+e_{2}^{2}}$ or 0.040 mm ( 0.0015 in .). This yields $0.5 \%$ for the ratio of surface error to wavelength at the 32 GHz microwave frequency, from the Ruze equation, and a gain limit frequency near 600 GHz . The $1.5-\mathrm{m}$ clear aperture antenna model is currently undergoing electrical microwave tests that will be the subject of future TDA reports.
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Table 1. Results of surface measurements

| Surface deviation, mm (in.) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not <br> best-fit | Best-fit |
| Main reflector | 0.112 | 0.028 |
|  | $(0.0044)$ | $(0.0019)$ |
| Sub-reflector | 0.114 | 0.028 |
|  | $(0.0045)$ | $\cong(0.0011)$ |
| RSS | 0.160 | 0.038 |
|  | $(0.0063)$ | $\cong(0.0015)$ |

Half pathlength error, $0.0381 \mathrm{~mm}(0.0015 \mathrm{in}$ ); surface tolerance loss $\cong 0.5 \%$ of wavelength ( 32 GHz ); gain limit frequency $\cong 600 \mathrm{GHz}$.
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Fig. 1. Geometry of offset clear aperture dual reflector (in cm)


Fig. 2. Alternative reflector configurations for very low noise and high-gain ground stations


Fig. 3. Milling machine and tooling showing billet


Fig. 4. First rough cut and one quadrant of second cut of main reflector


Fig. 5. Checking the rms of the completed reflector at Tempe Precision


Fig. 6. Checking the rms of the reflector at JPL machine shop


Fig. 7. Alignment equipment for the clear aperture antenna
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Fig. 8. Axis alignment of the clear aperture antenna

## Appendix

## Details of Computer Program CAA-RMS

The computer program CAA-RMS, written in FORTRAN, was developed to transform the measured surface distortions from a local-coordinate system into a global-coordinate system as shown in Fig. A-1. The output of this program as shown in Fig. A-2 is used as input to the JPL RMS-BEST-FITTING PROGRAM to calculate the best fitting rms value of the reflector surface distortion.

## I. Surface Geometry Equations

The paraboloid is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{X^{2}+Y^{2}}{4 F}=\frac{R^{2}}{4 F} \tag{A-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=$ focal length

The slope is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta Z}{\Delta R}=\frac{R}{2 F}=\frac{\sqrt{X^{2}+Y^{2}}}{2 F} \tag{A-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normal vector at any point on the paraboloid is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}\right)=\left(-\frac{2 X}{C_{1}},-\frac{2 Y}{C_{1}}, \frac{4 F}{C_{1}}\right) \tag{A-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
C_{1}=\sqrt{4 X^{2}+4 Y^{2}+16 F^{2}}
$$

The coordinate transformation from the local coordinate $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)$ to the global coordinate $(X, Y, Z)$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
X=x_{1} \\
Y=Y_{o f f}+y_{1} \cos \theta-z_{1} \sin \theta \\
Z=Z_{o f f}+y_{1} \sin \theta+z_{1} \cos \theta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The measured distortion vector $\Delta Z$ has components $U, V$, $W:$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
U=0  \tag{A-5}\\
V=\Delta Z \cdot \sin \theta \\
W=\Delta Z \cdot \cos \theta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The projection of the distortion vector on the normal has components:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
P_{1}=C_{2} \cdot N_{1}  \tag{A-6}\\
P_{2}=C_{2} \cdot N_{2} \\
P_{3}=C_{2} \cdot N_{3}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
C_{2}=U \cdot N_{1}+V \cdot N_{2}+W \cdot N_{3}
$$

The length of the projected vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
P L=\sqrt{P_{1}^{2}+P_{2}^{2}+P_{3}^{2}} \tag{A-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the normal is projected in $d Z$ direction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|d Z|=\frac{P L}{\cos (S L O P E)}=\frac{P L}{\cos \left(\sqrt{\frac{X^{2}+Y^{2}}{2 F}}\right)} \tag{A-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A listing of the program is given in Fig. A-3.

## II. Sample Calculation

## A. Input

Focal length of fitting paraboloid, $F=1342.39 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( 52.85 in.)

Offset of local coordinate system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{o f f}=0 \\
& Y_{o f f}=1434.08 \mathrm{~mm}(56.46 \mathrm{in} .)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
Z_{\text {off }}=383.03 \mathrm{~mm}(15.08 \mathrm{in} .)
$$

Angle between local and global systems:

$$
\theta=28.073 \mathrm{deg}
$$

The surface distortions, $\Delta Z$, measured in local coordinates, are read in (a total of 400)

## B. Output

RMS values of the surface distortion:
0.094 mm ( 0.0037 in .) (without fitting)
0.0331 mm ( 0.001303 in .) (with best fitting)

The printout of this example is shown in Fig. A-4.


Fig. A-1. Local and global coordinates


Fig. A-2. Flow chart of rms computer steps
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```
4833b*HKKC1%-CAA-RMS
    C PKOGRAM COMPUTES IHF DELIA L ERNORS OF CLEAR APETATURE REFIL
        IHE SUGFACE WAS ACTUALLY MEASURED IN A LOCAL COORDINATE SYSTEM
        AROUI ITS X AXIS 28.073 DEJKEES
        OFFSET IN Y 56.46 INCHES FRJM THE PARABOLJIJS' SYSTEM
        OFFSEI IN Z 15.UB INCHES FPOM THE PARABOLOINS' SYSTEM
                CONSTANTS
            REAL NI,N2,N3
            AREA= 1.0
            F52.85 G FOCAL LENGTH OF FITIING PARABOLOID
            YOFF=56.46 O YFESET OF LOCAL COCRDS SYSIEM
            ZOFF= 15.08 2 Z OFFSET OF LOCAL COOROS SYSIEG
            XROT=28.073* 0.01745329252
            NP=0
            DIMENSION IO(400%, XI(400), YI(4NO), ZI(4COI, ZERRIGOO)
            PRINI 4OON
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 4000 & FORMA & \(6^{\circ}\) & I 0 & \(x\) & & Y & & & 22 & 2 & \(v\) \\
\hline & - & & C 2 & P1 & P2 & & P3 & P. & & IANG & -2'1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
            PRINI 4100
                Cl
                    N 1
                    N2 N3 **/H
        4100 FORMA! &*
    C READIN DATA
            00 50 I=1,400
            KEAD 1000. IO(I%, XI(IJ, YIIIJ, ZI(IJ, ZERRII)
        1000 FORMA: (%
            IF (10&i).EQ.0) Go To 5t
            NP=NP* 1
            X= X16I)
            Y= YOFF*Y1(II* COSIXROII- ZIII)*SIN(XRCT)
            Z2= ZUFF + ZICII*COS(XROIJ + IIIJF SIN(XROTD
            Z={X*X*Y*Y)/(G.*F)
                    NIONZON3 = COMPONENTS OF THE NORMAL TO PARABJLOID
            CI= S.jRT{4.*X*X*4.*Y*Y* 16.*F*F)
            NI= - 2.0**/C1
            N2= -2.**/C1
            N3=4.FF/R1
                LGVOW = COMPONENTS CF THE DISIORSIGN VECIOR
            u=0.
            v=-2ERR(II* SIN(XROT)
            W= ZEKRIIT* COSIXKOT\
                PRUJECT NISTORIION VECTOR ON NORMAL
            C2=U*N1+V*N2+W*N3
            P1=C?*N1
            P2=C2*N2
            P3=C2*N3
            PL=SJRT6P1*P1+ P2*P2*P 3*P33
                                    SLUPF OK TANGENT ANTLE TO PARABOLOIT
            C
            SLOPF= SURI(X&X* Y*Y)/C2.*FJ
            SLOPE= ATANISLOPE?
            DL= PL/ COS\SLOPE.
            IANG= SLOPE* 57.295770E131
    C
```



```
            & JANL, DZ
            PRINT 2tOC, CI,N1.N2,N3
    2100 FOKMAT [69X,FR.2,3FB.4)
    2000 FORMAI (IF,4F9.4,F7.4,PF8.4,F9.4)
        WRITE 610.3000J K,YODZ.AREA,ID(11
        3000 FORMAT (2F10.3.30X,F1C.4.F10.2.11O\
    50 CONTINUF
    51. CONTINUE
            PRINT 50OC:NP
        5000 FORMAT 6IHO****** - *,I5,* - POINIS PRCSESSFO*)
            STOP
            END
AHKI,S MKK.CAA/LOCAL-DATA
```

Fig. A-3. CAA-RMS program listing

```
clear apliatune - a
ORIGIN Y CFFSET=
    PUNCH=0 OFIION=O RFSIFIT= O SPLOSS = O NC OF PCINTS = 135
    FUCAL LENGTH= 1.24239-MI 52.95U-INJ
    CNST1= .00000 CNST2 = .000nO CNST3 = .00000
    YUFF=.00J 2OFF= .000 XROI = .000
                INPUT DISTORTIONS OBIAINEO ANALYIICALLY - OPIION O
                    NORMAL ANALYSIS FUR INPUT DATA
    MINIMIZATIUN OF KMS WITH RESPECT TO THE DESIGN PARAEOLOIO
    KMS OF 1/2 LAMBDA WFIGHTED PY AREAS = .09-MM ( .0037-1N)
    MINIMJZAIIUN OF RMS wITH RESPECI TO FOCAL LENGTH CHANGE
    KMS OF I/2 LAMBOA WEIGHTFO BY AKEAS = .OJ-MM (001303-INI
    NEWFOCALLENSTH = 1.34304-M ( E2.R76-IN)
    OEVIAIION OF IHE MEAN - 1/2 LAMBOA = -.OOOU7762-MM
    X COOROINATE OF VERTEX= -. 204-CM (ORO-INI
    Y COOROINATE OF VERTEX = .4AG-CM 1 .191-INI
    Z COOKOINATE OF VEKTEX = .O47-CM (OIT-IN)
    ROTAIION AROUI X AXIS = .ON145?-RAOIANS
    RUTAJION ABOUT YAXIS = .OOOS77-RADIAAS
    EIGLISH UNITS USED FOR MEASUREMENIS AND CALCULATIONS
HLUITING CONTROL CARO GATA - MG = 0 NV = -1 OONO
NOKKPI PRINI&
```

Fig. A-4. Sample results of CAA-RMS program

