General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

AgRISTARS⁻⁻

"Made available under NASA sponsorship in the interest of early and wide dissemination of Earth Resources Survey Program information and without liability for any use made thermat." Early Warning and Crop Condition Assessment E83⁻10050

DHI2-04349 JSC-18561 C R-169566 A Joint Program for Agriculture and Resources Inventory Surveys Through Aerospace Remote Sensing

SEPTEMBER 1982

DIURNAL PATTERNS OF WHEAT SPECTRAL REFLECTANCES AND THEIR IMPORTANCE IN THE ASSESSMENT OF CANOPY PARAMETERS FROM REMOTELY SENSED OBSERVATIONS

P. J. PINTER, JR.; R. D. JACKSON; S. B. IDSO; R. J. REGINATO

(B83-10050)DIURNAL PATTERNS CF WHEATN83-14559SPECIRAL REFLECTANCES AND THEIR IMECATANCEIN THE ASSESSMENT OF CANOPY PAGAMETERS FROMUnclassEENCTELY SENSED OBSERVATIONS (Department of
Agriculture, Phoenix, Ariz.)49 pG3/43 20050

USDA/SRS U. S. WATER CONSERVATION LABORATORY 4331 E. BROADWAY PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85040











Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center Houston. Texas 77058

1. Aspart Na.	2. Government Access	on No.	3. Recipient's Catalog	No.
4. Title and Subtitle Diurnal Patterns of Wheat Spec Importance in the Assessment o Remotely Sensed Observations	tral Reflectance f Canopy Paramet	ers from	S. Report Date 6. Performing Organiz	stion Code
7. Authoris) P. J. Pinter, Jr. R. D. Jackson	S. B. Idso R. J. Reginato	,	8. Perfor hing Organiza	ntion Report No.
 Performing Organization Name and Address U.S. Water Conservation Labora 4331 F. Broacheav 	tory		10. Work Unit No.	No.
Phoenix, Arizona 85040			13. Type of Report an	d Period Covered
EW/CCA 1050 Bay Area Blvd., Houston,	TX 77058	-	14. Sponsoring Agency	Code
15. Supplementary Notes				
16. Above Spectral reflectances of Produ Phoenix, Arizona, during April which had bandpass characteris altitude and azimuth angles ca visible and near-IR regions of them. The magnitude of these percent cover and green leaf a period were well correlated wi changed significantly with tim GLAI from remotely sensed spec accounted for.	ra wheat were me 1979 using a na tics similar to used significant the spectrum ar changes were rel rea conditions. th green leaf ar e of day. Thus tral data could	asured at 13 diffe dir-oriented hand- those on LANDSAT s diurnal changes i ated to different Spectral measurem rea index but the r a significant bia occur if sun angle	erent times of t held 4-band rad atellites. Dif in radiant retur cation indices of canopy archited nents taken at of hature of the ro as in the estimates are not proper	the day at diometer fferent sun rn in both derived from cture, each time elationship ation of erly
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statement		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Clessif. (o Unclassifie	f this page) ed	21. No. of Pages 49	22. Price*

4

ų

*For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161

TITLE: DIURNAL PATTERNS OF WHEAT SPECTRAL REFLECTANCES AND THEIR IMPORTANCE IN THE ASSESSMENT OF CANOPY PARAMETERS FROM REMOTELY SENSED OBSERVATIONS

Constant and an

Authors: P. J. Pinter, Jr., Entomologist R. D. Jackson, Research Physicist S. B. Idso, Research Physicist R. J. Reginato, Soil Scientist

The second

- Location: U. S. Water Conservation Laboratory, 4331 E. Broadway, Phoenix, Arizona 85040
- CRIS No. 5510-20760-001

Publicatiou: Geosciences and Remote Sensing

INTERPRETIVE SUMMARY

Using a hand-held radiometer with bandpass characteristics similar to those on present LANDSAT satellites, spectral reflectance measurements of Produra wheat fields were made at 13 different times of the day at Phoenix, Arizona. The main objective was to determine the effect of changing sun angles on the reflectance properties of canopies in various phenological stages and with different levels of green leaf area index (GLAI). Results indicated that diurnal changes in each of the four LANDSAT wavebands and several !ndices derived from them are related to canopy architecture, percent cover and vertical distribution of green leaves within the canopy. An analysis using our data showed that substantial errors could be introduced into the estimate of GLAI from spectral observations if the diurnal patterns of reflectance caused by changing sun angles are not properly accounted for.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent developments in hand-held radiometry [1] have paved the way for the rapid collection of broad waveband spectral data over experimental agricultural plots. Such data are essential to develop and understand the relationships between spectral and agronomic data, such as leaf area index (LAI), biomass and yield. In turn, these relationships should provide needed information to improve the interpretation of satellite data and provide inputs toward the design of future satellite instrumentation and orbital characteristics. Ground-based and aircraft-collected spectral data are not limited to a specific time-of-day acquisition, as are present LANDSAT satellite data. Instead, they are controlled by other constraints, such as those imposed by weather, personnel and equipment availability. Although most researchers limit data collection to several hours before and after solar noon, the time involved for a sequence of measurements over widely spaced fields is often substantial. The bidirectional reflectance properties of plant canopies are complex [2] and not well understood. As a result, spectral data are usually not adjusted for diurnal or seasonal changes in sun angle; a factor which can lead to serious errors or misinterpretation of results.

In an earlier paper [3], we presented spectral data for wheat plots that were hand planted to a high density within rows and approaching a hedgerow type configuation. At the time of our observations all plants were in the same phenological stage. We discussed the complex interactions of sun angles with row orientation, geometry and light reflectance, and concluded that changing sun angles due 1

to time-of-day were sufficient to obscure apparent spectral differences which might have been attributed to varietal characteristics. Because those results appeared to contradict earlier findings of Lenne and Westin [4], we decided that the effect of changing sun angles warranted more extensive investigation under field conditions. As a consequence, the following report describes a series of hand-held spectral measurements made at 13 times during a single day over differentially waterstressed wheat plots in three different growth stages. Our specific objectives were three-fold: First, to document the diurnal pattern of several widely used vegetation indices derived from several visible and infrared wavebands; second, to determine whether an optimum timeof-day exists for extracting agronomic information from these spectral data: and third, to assess the relative magnitudes of errors in scene interpretation which may arise when canopy geometry and changing sun angle configuration, due to time of day, seasonal or latitudinal effects, are not properly accounted for.

II. EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

Agronomic Methods

In 1978 we initiated a "serial cereal" experiment at Phoenix, Arizona (112 W longitude, 33 N latitude). Three plots of wheat (<u>Triticum durum Desf. var. Produra</u>) were planted sequentially, the first on 1 November 1978, the second on 15 December 1978 and the third on 13 February 1979. Seeds were machine-planted in N-S oriented rows that were 18 cm apart. Each planting was divided into four 12 x 13 m

plots for differential irrigation purposes. Although winter rains prevented the early initiation of differential stress on the first two plantings, by 14 April 1979, the day diurnal spectral measurements were made, significant water stress was evident in at least one plot within each planting date.

The agronomic data were estimated from 5 to 6 twice-weekly samples centered around the 14 April measurement period. Each sample consisted of 6 randomly chosen plants. Green leaf area index (GLAI) was determined using an optically integrating leaf area meter. It is defined here as a dimensionless ratio of the green leaf area (m^2) to the surface area of the soil supporting it (u^2) . Fraction brown was the ratio of brown LAI to green LAI. Final yield and plant density were determined for the spectral target areas in each plot at the time of narvest, 1 to 2 months later. Percent green cover was estimated by a dot grid analysis of vertical photographs of the target areas.

Spectral Measurements

Observations were made using an Exotech Model 100 A "LANDSAT Groundtruth Radiometer" with 15° field-of-view lenses. The spectral bandpasses for this radiometer are similar to those of LANDSAT satellites, i.e., MSS4 (0.5 to 0.6 μ m), MSS5 (0.6 to 0.7 μ m), MSS6 (0.7 to 0.8 μ m), and MSS7 (0.8 to 1.1 μ m).¹/ The radiometer was 3

^{1/} LANDSAT spectral data are expected to follow trends similar to Exotech radiometer data, however, absolute values will undoubtedly be different due to calibration differences and atmospheric attenuation. It should be pointed out that radiance data from the LANDSAT MSS7 is quantitized into 63 different levels instead of the 127 levels used in the other three bands. Thus calculations involving digital data from LANDSAT must be scaled appropriately before any direct comparisons between the two data sets can be made.

nadir oriented and hand-held about 2 m above the soil surface. It was used in conjunction with a microprocessor-based portable data acquisition system that allows simultaneous recording of measurements from each band and subsequent direct output to a computer [5]. Data collection began by recording four readings from a BaSO₄ reflectance plate, six readings from designated target zones within each of three wheat subplots, four readings of the plate, six readings each from three wheat subplots, etc., until all twelve subplots were measured. This sequence, requiring about six minutes to complete, was carried out at thirteen times during the day, beginning at 0753 h and ending at 1758 h MST.

III. RESULTS

Weather and Sun Angles

Meteorological conditions on 14 April were characterized by clear skies and relatively low levels of haze throughout the day. Wind conditions remained calm except during the 1538 h and 1634 h measurement period, when light winds were sufficient to cause the leaves to move slightly. Sun altitude changed markedly during the measurements from 22.5° at 0753 h to a maximum of 65.6° at solar noon, and then dropped to 7.2° at 1758 h MST. Sun azimuth varied from 94° to 276° (Fig. 1). Agronomic Information

Table 1 presents agronomic data for the experimental wheat plots on the day measurements were made. The plots which have the "1" designation, i.e., 1A, 1B, 1C, and 1D, were phenologically most 4

i ha

advanced with kernel development in the milky ripe stage [6]. The green leaf area had passed its peak and was declining. Plot 1B senescenced most rapidly and most of its canopy was brown because of insufficient water. Although the lower canopy elements in plots 1A and 1D were also brown, the upper canopy, flag leaves, heads and awns remained green and effectively obscured the soil and brown leaves from an overhead madir view. A slight amount of lodging was present in 1A and 1D. A maximum yield of 6010 kg/ha was recorded in 1A.

The "2" plots were planted six weeks later. Plant density was slightly less than in the "1" plots due to different environmental conditions during germination and emergence. By mid-April, these plots had headed and were just past the flowering stage with kernels partially formed. With the exception of 2A, which had some dying of leaves due to limited amount of water, these plots were mostly green, the leaves were oriented vertically and percent green cover was 80-90 percent. Yields from these plots ranged from 2290 to 5680 kg/ha.

Plants in the "3" plots were in stage 7 (second node formed and the next to the last leaf just visible). A few brown leaves were present in these canopies, but inasmuch as green cover ranged from 51 to 73 percent, substantial amounts of soil were viewed by the nadirlooking radiometer. Plots 3C and 3D had yields less than 1000 kg/ha. This was caused primarily by drought stress imposed after the diurnal sequence of radiometric measurements in mid-April. Plot 3B was irrigated one day prior to measurements, and thus the surface soils were wet throughout the study.

5

Diurnal Changes in Crop Spectral Reflectances

a) <u>Ratio and ND Indices</u>: A number of indices derived from radiometric measurements have been proposed to characterise various agronomic parameters such as plant cover, leaf area index, biomass, and yield [7]. Usually incorporating data from several wavebands, these indices exploit the fact that as the density of the photosythetically active biomass increases, there is a concomitant increase in near-infrared reflectance and a decrease in visible reflectance. For illustration, we have chosen two such indices that utilize band ratioing techniques which make them relatively independent of illumination intensity and eliminate the irradiance measurements required for the calculation of reflectances. The ratioing of waveband radiances instead of reflectances also circumvents errors in irradiance estimates which are introduced by the non-Lambertian response of BaSO4 reference panels at low sun angles [8].

Figure 2 shows the ratio of radiances in MSS7 to MSS5 and the Normalized Difference parameter, $\frac{2}{ND} = (MSS7 - MSS5)/(MSS7 + MSS5)$, as a function of time of day for five of our experimental fields which represent a range of cauopy conditions. The standard error bars show that these indices change significantly during the day for each vegetated field. They are roughly symmetrical about solar noon and attain their lowest values at that time. We observed the greatest diurnal changes when the green LAI was low and substantial amounts of sunlit soil (fields 2A and 3C) or brown leaves (field is; were viewed by the

2/ ND was originally referred to as the Vegetation Index or VI [9].

6

and the second second

radiometer. Note that field 2A has three times the green LAI of 1B and although their spectral data are statistically separable at low sun angles, they are almost identical from 1000 to 1500 h. We found the opposite to be true for fields 1D and 3C. They are statistically indistinguishable before 0800 h or after 1700 h, yet at midday they remain well separated. Such examples underscore the complexities of relating single scene multispectral data to agrononomic variables without preliminary knowledge of sun angles and canopy configuration.

b) <u>Visible and Near-IR Light</u>: The diurnal patterns illustrated in Fig. 2 can best be explained by examining the interaction of incident light in the visible (MSS5) and near-IR (MSS7) wavebands with canopy architecture and the changing proportions of soil and green or brown canopy elements. First, consider the behavior of visible light when it strikes a canopy (Fig. 3A). We found that its reflectance^{3/} was relatively uniform throughout the day for canopies with LAI > 3. The same was true in field 3B (not shown in Fig. 2A) where soils were wet from a recent irrigation. On the other hand, the vertical reflectance of visible light from more sparse canopies was very dependent upon the direction of insolation and varied by a factor of 2 with a pronounced maximum at solar noon. At low sun altitudes, with the sun azimuth at right angles to row orientation, only the upper canopy elements were illuminated by direct beam insolation. In most of our fields these elements were predominately green plant tissue (heads, awns, and/or upper

7

¢

. . . .

 ~ 10

 $[\]frac{3}{1}$ The Lambertian response of the BaSO4 reference panel was unknown and thus not corrected for. Data presented by Kimes and Kirchner [8] indicate that this will result in an overestimation of target reflectance factors at low sun altitudes.

canopy leaves). Consequently, early and late in the day all fields should and did look similar from a reflectance standpoint in visible wavelengths. However, as the sun's altitude increases, and the azimuth angle became more parallel with the rows, progressively more and more sunlight penetrated deeper into the canopy, striking both soil and brown leaf targets which have a much higher reflectance for visible light than do green plant leaves. As a result, scene reflectance was higher at noon in plot 1B because of the illumination of brown canopy elements beneath the partly green flag leaves. The reflectance was higher in 2A and 3C because varying amounts of soil and brown leaves were being illuminated. In the dense canopies, the proportion of illuminated green plant tissue remained essentially constant throughout the day, with the consequence that reflectance remained rather uniform despite changing solar angles.

We found reflectance of near-IR light (MSS7) to behave quite differently, reaching a minimum during midday. The near-IR reflectance from the senescent vegetation in field 1B was distinctly lower, but differences between the other canopies were not as clear-cut as were those we observed in the visible wavebands. There are several explanations for less diurnal change in the near-IR. The first, and most obvious, is that there is less difference in reflectance between bare, dry sunlit soil and green canopy in MSS7 (32 percent vs 37 percent, respectively, at solar noon) than in MSS5 (25 percent vs 2 percent). Thus even though more soil was illuminited at high sun angles, the net effect on reflectance in MSS7 was not as great. A second explanation involves the transmittance properties of green leaves. Although they are relatively less so

.

in the longer wavelengths, with near-IR light being transmitted through as many as eight leaf layers before complete attenuation (and hence maximum reflectance) occurs. Thus, at low sun angles, incident light in MSS7 is intercepted by the same number of leaves in low as small as high GLAI situations; and since reflectance is always near maximum, the near-IR does not permit discrimination between the two canopy conditions. However, during midday, maximum near-IR reflectance occurs in fields wherein the intercepted density of leaves in the path of incoming light approachés eight layers, a situation which occurs only under very high green biomass conditions.

c) Other Vegetation Indices: Other types of spectral vegetation indices, such as the Green Vegetation Index (GVI), Soil Brightness Index (SBI), and Perpendicular Vegetation Index (FVI) are linear combinations of two or more wavebands. In order to make these indices more comparable between days, seasons, or latitudes, the radiometric data must be expressed as reflectances or converted by some other normalization procedure so that their absolute values are not proportional to the levels of illumination. If irradiances are not measured directly, as is often the case with satellite and aircraft measurements, they must be estimated by invoking a regular season/time/latitude dependent cosine response function, or by measuring the spectral response of a known relatively unchanging target and then normalizing all unknown targets to that observation. It is important to recognize that these "sun angle corrections" will not remove the diurnal changes we report here, since they do not correct for the

non-Lambertian reflectances of light from a canopy. Indeed, when we converted target radiances to reflectances and calculated GVI and SBI using published empirical coefficients and PVI using a soil background line derived for our soil, we found these three indices also changed significantly with time-of-day.

Correlations With GLAI

Numerous studies have documented correlations between spectral indices and various agronomic characteristics, particularly those related to the canopy's photosynthetically active biomass. Little attention has been given to the influence that sun angles have on these relationships. Our data provided a means to test whether certain times were better than others for monitoring canopy conditions. Using simple linear regressions, we tested whether spectral radiances could be used to predict green leaf area index of all 12 wheat plots at thirteen different times of the day. An examination of the residuals associated with each regression indicated that linear models provided an acceptable characterization of the relation.

a) <u>Individual Wavebands</u>: The coefficients of determination, which indicate the amount of variation in GLAI accounted for by a particular waveband are shown as a function of time of day in Fig. 4A. We found that radiances in both MSS4 and MSS5 accounted for more than 60 percent of the total variability in GLAI regardless of time of day (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, our data show that MSS6 has relatively little information about the photosynthetically active biomass in a canopy, since its coefficient of determination remained lower than 0.20 for all but one time period. Although the 0933 h radiances in the second near-IR

waveband explained more of the variability in GLAI than the visible radiances, its overall performance was lower. It was particularly degraded before that time and after 1400 h, implying that radiance in this waveband cannot discriminate different GLAI at low sun angles.

Contration of the second se

b) <u>Vegetation Indices</u>: Transforming the spectral data into commonly used vegetation indices improved their ability to predict GLAI (Fig. 4B). Both the ratio of MSS7/MSS5 and ND consistently accounted for more variability in GLAI than did any of the wavebands taken separately. In addition, there does not appear to be any one time-ofday which is best for inferring GLAI. The ratio and ND also remained superior to PVI calculated from either radiances or reflectances. In fact, prior to 0930 or after 1400, PVI did not appear to be very responsive to GLAI at all. We suspect that this was due to the relative importance of MSS7 in determining the value of PVI and the fact that there is a serious reduction in green canopy information content of that band at low sun argles.

c) <u>Temporal Changes in Correlations</u>: Although a relatively good correlation exists between the MSS7/MSS5 ratio and ND, and GLAI throughout the day, the nature of the relation changes significantly with time. To illustrate this point, we show these indices vs GLAI for the solar noon and 1800 h observations in Fig. 5. These two sets of data bound the extremes in sun altitude and azimuth which we observed during our study. Data collected at other time periods were intermediate in nature. Our data set is unique in that it encompasses plants in different stages of growth and senescence conditions as well as different levels of plait water status, yet the standard error of the estimate of

GLAI remained at approximately 0.5 units regardless of the time of day. This is an acceptable error level since the field measurement of GLAI itself, using an optical planimeter, has approximately the same degree of precision associated with it. The slope of the ratio vs GLAI remained constant throughout the day while the intercept approached a maximum at solar noon. As a consequence, a predictive equation based on empirical data collected for one set of sun angles will not be able to predict GLAI well unless the spectral data are taken under similar sun angle conditions and unless row configuration remains approximately the same.

Both the slope and intercept of ND vs GLAI changed with time but the relationships appeared to pivot about the higher LAI values. This implies that under relatively high LAI conditions the value of ND will be similar regardless of time of day.

Potential Errors in GLAI Prediction

The final step in our analysis concerned the determination of how much error could be introduced in the estimation of GLAI from use of these spectral indices if an empirical relationship were derived from measurements at solar noon and then applied to spectral data collected at other times. To perform this error analysis, we first calculated an expected spectral vegetation index for GLAI = 1 thru 4 using the specific relationship between GLAI and the observed ratio 7/5 and ND at each time. Then we used that expected index value to calculate GLAI using the solar noon relationship. Figure 6 shows the percent error from the true GLAI which occurred when we used spectral data collected at different times of the day. Note that substantial errors are introduced for time periods extending several hours on either side of solar noon, a time period

12

1. Carlo 1.

generally considered "safe" for data collection. Errors introduced at the time of LANDSAT overpass are also significant. Our results show that if the ratio 7/5 data collected at solar noon are used to generate a model for the prediction of GLAI from LANDSAT data (atmospheric attenuation problems aside), it will overpredict GLAI by 25 percent to 35 percent (Fig. 6A). Earlier in the day the problem becomes even more acute. For example, if the 0900 ratio data were used, we would predict a GLAI of almost six for a field where it actually was 4.

In general, the percent errors are greater for low GLAI situations and become more tolerable as GLAI approaches higher values. This was particularly evident when we performed the same type of analysis with ND and found that errors remained less than 10 percent for a GLAI > 4regardless of the time of day. This was evident in Fig. 2B and 5B where values of the normalized difference in plots 1D and 2D remained fairly constant at 0.9 throughout the day. The exact reason for the difference in information content of the ratio of MSS7 to MSS5 and the Normalized Difference is unclear at this point.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Although our conclusions may be specific to the bright soil and north-south row orientation used in this experiment, we have shown that the diurnal changes in sun altitude and azimuth angles affect visible and near-IR reflectance measurements of a wheat canopy in a complex manner. This is due to the interaction of the specular component of irradiance with crop geometry, soil, and both green and brown plant tissues. At solar noon, more direct beam sunlight penetrates to the ground in a wheat canopy with N-S oriented rows than at other times of the day, causing

13

The second se

maximum reflectance in visible wavebands and minimum near-IR reflectance. As a consequence, several vegetation indices calculated from radiances in these wavebands attained minimum values at that time. Earlier and later in the day values of these indices were significantly higher which could lead to a serious misinterpretation of canopy conditions if illumination angles and crop geometry are not properly accounted for.

Our findings suggest that the remote detection of low green biomass levels will be enhanced if spectral measurements are made under low sun angle conditions. Also, the ability to differentiate between very high green biomass situations will be facilitated by a high sun altitude and a sun azimuth parallel with row orientation. Finally, seasonal and latitudinal ranges of sun position might impose limitations on the use of remotely sensed spectral data for assessment of dense vegetation conditions in some locations.

Researchers must employ caution when extrapolating empirical relations derived under specific sun angle and row orientation conditions to other latitudes, seasons and times-of-day. Poor correlations between instantaneously acquired satellite spectra and "ground truth" collected over a span of several hours may be a result of rapid diurnal changes we observed in spectral reflectances. Likewise, we believe that some apparent differences or similarities between crops could conceivably result from a systematic bia- in spectral data caused by a regular measurement sequence in which one target was consistently viewed under a different set of sun angles.

Much information is contained in the diurnal course of canopy spectral reflectance and it should be more thoroughly investigated 14

to exploit its potential. The remote sensing community has extracted a great deal of information from our present sunsynchronous LANDSATs. However, we may find that seasonal and latitudinal variation in the sun's relative position could place an upper limit on the amount of useful agricultural information which can be extracted from those vehicles. It is likely that the agricultural community would derive more benefit from geostationary satellite platforms capable of monitoring MSS or Thematic Mapper wavebands on a more continuous basis.

The irradiance levels and target radiance data for each time period are available in tabular form as an appendix in the AgRISTAR'S version of this report.

15

Constant of the second

REFERENCES

- Cipra, J. E., M. F. Baumgardner, E. R. Stoner, and R. B. MacDonald, [1] "Measuring radiance characteristics of soil with a field spectradiometer, Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc., 35:1014-1017, 1971; Exotech, Inc., LANDSAT (ERTS) groundtruth radiometer model 100-A, Technical description, Exotech, Inc., 1200 Quince Orchard Blvd., Gaithersburg, MD, 1975; Pearson, R. D. and L. D. Miller, Remote mapping of standing crop biomass for estimation of the productivity of the shortgrass prairie, Pawnee National Grassland, Colorado, Proc. 8th Intern. Symp. Remote Sens. Environ., p. 1355-1379, 1972; Weber, F. P., "Development and field test of an ERTS-matched fourchannel spectrometer, Presented at Fourth Annual Earth Resources Program Review, pp 120-121, Jan 17-21, 1972; Robinson, B. F., M. E. Bauer, V. P. DeWitt, L. F. Silva, and V. C. Vanderbilt, "A multiband radiometer for field research," Society of Photo-optical Instrumentation Engineers, Measurements of Optical Radiations 196:8-15, 1979; Jackson, R. D., P. J. Pinter, Jr., R. J. Reginato, and S. B. Idso, "Hand-held radiometry," U. S. Dept. Agric., SEA, ARM-W-19, 66 pp, 1980; Tucker, C. J., W. H. Jones, W. A. Kley, and G. J. Sundstrom, "A three-band hand-held radiometer for field use", Science 211:281-283, 1981.
- [2] Breece, H. T. and R. A. Holmes, "Bidirectional scattering characteristics of healthy green soybean and corn leaves in <u>vivo</u>," Applied Optics, 10: 119-127, 1971; Suits, G. H., "The calculation of the directional reflectance of a vegetative canopy," Remote Sensing Environ., 2:117-125, 1972; Duggin, M. J., "Likely effects of solar elevation on the quantification of changes in vegetation

with maturity using sequential LANDSAT imagery," Applied Optics,
16:521-523, 1977; Bonhomme, R., G. Guyot, and J. Riom, "Relations
existant entre reflectance d'un couvert vegetal et sa structure,
la hauteur du soleil et l'angle de visee - perspectives de
modelisation," <u>In</u> Earth Observation from Space and Management of
Planetary Resources. J. Plevin, V. Hood, T. D. Guyene and
S. Adamy, eds., Toulouse., pp 319-326, 1978; Rao, V. R.,
E. J. Beach and A. R. Mack, "Bidirectional reflectance of crops
and the soil contribution, "Remote Sensing of Environment, 8:115-125,
1979; Kollenkark, J. C., V. A. Vanderbilt, C. S. T. Daughtry, and M.
E. Bauer, "Influence of solar illumination angle on soybean canopy
reflectance", Applied Optics 21:1179-1184, 1982; Kirchner, J. A., D.
S. Kimes and J. E. McMurtrey III, "Variation of directional reflectance factors with structural changes of a developing alfalfa
canopy", Applied Optics (In press).

- [3] Jackson, R. D., P. J. Pinter, Jr., S. B. Idso, and R. J. Reginato, "Wheat spectral reflectance: Interactions between crop configuration, sun elevation, and azimuth angle," Applied Optics, 18: 3730-3732, 1979.
- [4] Lemme, G. D. and F. C. Westin, "Landsat simulating radiometer for agricultural remote sensing," Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, 45: 99-103, 1979.
- [5] Allen, R. F., R. D. Jackson, and P. J. Pinter, Jr., " To relate LANDSAT data to U. S. agriculture", Agricultural Engineering, 61:12-14, 1980.

[6] Large, E. C., "Growth stages in cereals. Illustration of the Feekes Scales," Plant Pathology, 3:128-129, 1954.

1.120 Bartin Provinsi In

[7] Kauth, R. J. and G. S. Thomas, "The tasseled cap - A graphic description of the spectral-temporal development of agricultural crops as seen by LANDSAT," Proc. Symp. Machine Proc. of Remote Sens. Data, LARS, Purdue, IN., 4B:41-50, 1976; Pearson, R. L., L. D. Miller, and C. J. Tucker, "Hand-held spectral radiometer to estimate graminaceous biomass," Applied Optics, 15: 416-418, 1976; Richardson, A. J. and C. L. Wiegand, "Distinguishing vegetation from soil background information," Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, 43:1541-1552, 1977; Tucker, C. J., "Red and photographic infrared linear combination for monitoring vegetation," Remote Sensing of Environment, 8:127-150, 1979; Wiegand, C. L., A. J. Kichardson, and E. T. Kanemasu, "Leaf area index estimates for wheat from LANDSAT and their implications for evapotranspiration and crop modeling, Agronomy J., 71: 336-342, 1979; Idso, S. B., P. J. Pinter, Jr., R. D. Jackson, and R. J. Reginato, "Estimation of grain yields by remote sensing of crop senescence rates," Remote Sensing of Environment, 9:87-91, 1980; Pinter, P. J., Jr., R. D. Jackson, S. B. Idso, and R. J. Reginato, "Multidate spectral reflectances as predictors of yield in water stressed small grains," International J. of Remote Sensing, 2:43-48, 1980; Tucker, C. J. and B. N. Holben, "Relationship of spectral data to grain yield variation, Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, 46:657-666, 1980.

[8] Kimes, D. S. and J. A. Kirchner, "Irradiance measurement errors due to the assumption of a Lambertian reference panel," Remote Sensing of Environment, (In press).

[9] Deering, D. W., J. W. Rouse, Jr., R. H. Haas, and J. A. Schell, "Measuring "forage production" of grazing units from LANDSAT MSS data," In Proc. Tenth International Symp. on Remote Sensing of Environment, pp. 1169-1178, 1975. Table 1. Agronomic characteristics of experimental Produra wheat fields at Phoenix, Arizona,

.

 C. B. Stational Science and a space of process of the second science.

ľ

on 14 April 1979.

Plot	Stage [6]	Plant Density (m ²)	Height (cm)	Green LAI	Fraction Green	Z Green Cover	Total Dry Biomass (kg/ha)	Final Yield (kg/ha)
1A	11.1	117	100	2.8	.60	81	17420	0103
18	11.1-11.2	120	100	0.5	.40	49 49	026071	0100
IC	1.11	118	105	1.5	.51	; ;	15200	0666
10	11.1	121	102	3.7	.72	8 2	00001	0004
2 A	10.6	95	86	1.4	- 67	20 29	06061	2630 2260
2 B	10.6	117	97	3.2	.84	80	1156.)	0406
2C	10.6	98	97	2.9	.35	68	USYO	
2D	10.5-10.6	96	96	4.1	.85		0006	0005
3A	7	66	46	1.3	.98	3 5	0101	0000
38	7	85	46	2.0	66.	5 5	0121	1220
30	7	92	45	1.8	66.	73	1830	0420
30	7	85	42	1.6	96.	99	2040	069

.

LIST OF FIGURES

- Sun altitude (degrees above the horizon) and azimuth (degrees clockwise from north) angles for Phoenix, Arizona, on 14 April 1979.
- Diurnal changes in two vegetation indices derived from spectral radiances in MSS5 and MSS7 for five selected Produra wheat fields on 14 April 1979 at Phoenix, Arizons. Vertical bars define +1 standard error. Green LAI is shown in parenthesis.
 2a. Radiance ratio of MSS 7 to MSS5.

2b. Radiance normalized difference parameter.

- Reflectance in MSS5 and MSS7 for selected Produra wheat fields as

 a function of time of day. Vertical bars on figure 3A represent
 +1 SE. They have been omitted on 3B to reduce clutter.
- 4. Coefficients of determination calculated for the relationship between green LAI of 12 experimental fields and the radiances measured in each waveband (4a), and four indices calculated from them (4b).
- 5. The relationships between green LAI and two vegetation indices calculated from spectral data in MSS5 and MSS7 for two times of the day when sun angles were at extremes.
- 6. Percent error in green LAI when the relation between spectral indices and GLAI at solar noon was used with spectral indices observed at other times of the day.



ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY Figure 1



1 M 1 M 1

Figure 2A

ORIGINAL PAGE 18 OF POOR QUALITY 13. ES



ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY





18.48⁻¹⁸⁻18-5-18



*£ @\$*_\$* 4



ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



Figure 4B



ORIGINAL PAGE 13 OF POOR QUALITY



.....

Contraction and the second second



c

÷.



Ì







 ್ ಜಾತ್ರಿ ಕ್ರೇಕ್ರಿಸಿಕ್ಕಾರಿಗಳು

APPENDIX

1.20

afrada de ser esta en en de la ser en la

The following section documents the radiance (Wm^{-2}) measured in each experimental field. The abbreviation "CL" refers to 95% confidence limits (1.96 * standard error of 6 observations). The measurement sequence was different than the order presented in the tables: it was STANDARD, 1A, 2A, 3A, STANDARD, 1B, 2B, 3B, STANDARD, 1C, 2C, 3C, STANDARD, 1D, 2D, 3D, STANDARD. The 4 lines of irradiance data shown in the tables were calculated from the mean radiance measured over the 0.6 x 0.6 m painted BaSO₄ reflectance standard at the beginning and end of each set of 3 consecutive plots. Thus the first line of irradiance values can be used to calculate reflectances in the "A" plot; the second for the "B" plots and so forth.

SERIAL CEREAL

SPECTRAL RADIANCES - PRODURA WHEAT

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

PRODURA WHEAT

. .

D I URNAL.	RUN	DAY 79104
TIME 753	3	14 APR 79

BAND4	BAN	105	BAN	D6	BAN	D7
(0.5-0.	6) (0.6-	-0.7)	(0.7-	0.8)	(0.8-	1.1)
RAD	CI RAD	CI.	RAD	Cl	RAD	Cl
1. 32 0	. 17 1. 51	0. 13	10. 60	0. 85	21. 97	2. 63
1.63 0	. 13 2. 22	0.16	9.15	0.45	18.48	0. 92
1.37 0	. 34 1. 58	0. 41	9.29	2.28	17.94	4. 61
1.41 0	. 25 1. 47	0. 12	12.22	3.13	24.89	5. 70
1.54 0	. 13 1. 76	0. 21	10.49	0. 82	20, 66	2.11
1. 12 0	. 27 1. 15	0, 33	10.14	1.66	20.70	3. 01
1.04 0	. 12 1. 09	0.16	10.68	0.64	22.63	1. 51
1.02 0	. 15 0. 97	0.18	10. 57	1.43	21.93	3. 25
2.15 0	. 36 2. 48	0. 52	12.49	2.58	23.81	4. 82
1.60 0	. 29 1. 50	0.30	11.84	1. 98	22.69	3. 59
1.54 0	. 08 1. 48	0.10	12.14	0.78	22.88	1. 69
1.86 0	. 24 1. 97	0. 37	12. 79	1.40	24. 18	2. 88
	JRF BARIUM SU	RADIANCI	E FROM			
	BAND4 (0, 5-0, RAD 1, 32 0 1, 63 0 1, 37 0 1, 41 0 1, 54 0 1, 12 0 1, 04 0 1, 02 0 2, 15 0 1, 60 0 1, 54 0 1, 86 0	BAND4 BAN (0, 5-0, 6) (0, 6- RAD CL RAD 1, 32 0, 17 1, 51 1, 63 0, 13 2, 22 1, 37 0, 34 1, 58 1, 41 0, 25 1, 47 1, 54 0, 13 1, 76 1, 12 0, 27 1, 15 1, 04 0, 12 1, 09 1, 02 0, 15 0, 97 2, 15 0, 36 2, 48 1, 60 0, 29 1, 50 1, 54 0, 08 1, 48 1, 86 0, 24 1, 97	BAND4 BAND5 (0, 5-0, 6) (0, 6-0, 7) RAD CL RAD CL 1, 32 0, 17 1, 51 0, 13 1, 63 0, 13 2, 22 0, 16 1, 37 0, 34 1, 58 0, 41 1, 41 0, 25 1, 47 0, 12 1, 54 0, 13 1, 76 0, 21 1, 12 0, 27 1, 15 0, 33 1, 04 0, 12 1, 09 0, 16 1, 02 0, 15 0, 97 0, 18 2, 15 0, 36 2, 48 0, 52 1, 60 0, 29 1, 50 0, 30 1, 54 0, 08 1, 48 0, 10 1, 86 0, 24 1, 97 0, 37	BAND4 BAND5 BAN (0, 5-0, 6) (0, 6-0, 7) (0, 7- RAD CL RAD CL RAD 1, 32 0, 17 1, 51 0, 13 10, 60 1, 63 0, 13 2, 22 0, 16 9, 15 1, 37 0, 34 1, 58 0, 41 9, 29 1, 41 0, 25 1, 47 0, 12 12, 22 1, 54 0, 13 1, 76 0, 21 10, 49 1, 12 0, 27 1, 15 0, 33 10, 14 1, 04 0, 12 1, 09 0, 16 10, 68 1, 02 0, 15 0, 97 0, 18 10, 57 2, 15 0, 36 2, 48 0, 52 12, 49 1, 60 0, 29 1, 50 0, 30 11, 84 1, 54 0, 08 1, 48 0, 10 12, 14 1, 86 0, 24 1, 97 0, 37 12, 79	BAND4BAND5BAND6 $(0, 5-0, 6)$ $(0, 6-0, 7)$ $(0, 7-0, 8)$ RADCLRADCLRAD1. 320. 171. 510. 1310. 600. 851. 630. 132. 220. 169. 150. 451. 370. 341. 580. 419. 292. 281. 410. 251. 470. 1212. 223. 131. 540. 131. 760. 2110. 490. 821. 120. 271. 150. 3310. 141. 661. 020. 150. 970. 1810. 571. 432. 150. 362. 480. 5212. 492. 581. 600. 291. 500. 3011. 841. 981. 540. 081. 480. 1012. 140. 781. 860. 241. 970. 3712. 791. 40	BAND4 $BAND5$ $BAND6$ $BAND6$ BAN $(0, 5-0, 6)$ $(0, 6-0, 7)$ $(0, 7-0, 8)$ $(0, 8-7)$ RAD CL RAD CL RAD CL RAD CL RAD CL RAD CL $1, 32, 0, 17$ $1, 51, 0, 13$ $10, 60, 0, 85$ $21, 97$ $1, 63, 0, 13$ $2, 22, 0, 16$ $9, 15, 0, 45, 18, 48$ $1, 37, 0, 34$ $1, 58, 0, 41, 9, 29, 2, 28, 17, 94$ $1, 41, 0, 25, 1, 47, 0, 12, 12, 22, 3, 13, 24, 89$ $1, 54, 0, 13, 1, 76, 0, 21, 10, 49, 0, 82, 20, 66$ $1, 12, 0, 27, 1, 15, 0, 33, 10, 14, 1, 66, 20, 70$ $1, 04, 0, 12, 1, 09, 0, 16, 10, 68, 0, 64, 22, 63$ $1, 02, 0, 15, 0, 97, 0, 18, 10, 57, 1, 43, 21, 93$ $2, 15, 0, 36, 2, 48, 0, 52, 12, 49, 2, 58, 23, 81$ $1, 60, 0, 29, 1, 50, 0, 30, 11, 84, 1, 98, 22, 69$ $1, 54, 0, 08, 1, 48, 0, 10, 12, 14, 0, 78, 22, 88$ $1, 86, 0, 24, 1, 97, 0, 37, 12, 79, 1, 40, 24, 18$

750	40, 68	49. 91	40. 82	53, 88
	41. 37	50, 68	41. 56	54, 84
	41.84	51.04	41.70	55. 09
	42. 75	52.12	42. 59	56. 21

757

RADIANCES IN WATTS / MA2 OPERATORS -#- PUP INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION - 328.96 WATTS / M^2

SUN ALTITUDE ANGLE - 22.5 DEGREES SUN AZIMUTH ANGLE - 93.9 DEGREES

1 0F DIURNAL RUN - NO RECORDER PROBLEMS EXCELLENT SKY CONDITIONS - VERY GOOD VISIBILITY OVFRALL DATA QUALITY - ##- EXCELLENT

ACTUAL DATA FILE - SC50279104

SUN - DIRECT BEAM UNOBSCURED HAZE - NONE CLOUDS - NONE CLOUD COVER (TENTHS) - 0 WINDSPEED - SLIGHT BREEZE -***- DIRECTION - 90 PREC /ATION - O -***-DEW - NONE IRRIGH TON - 3B

DATE OF THIS PRINTOUT 16 JUN 1982 - PUP

1

SERIAL CEREAL

SPECTRAL RADIANCES - PRODURA WHEAT

PRODURA WHEAT

		OPIGINAL PAGE IS
DIURNAL. RUN	DAY 79104	OF POOR QUALITY
TIME 847	14 APR 79	

	BAND4	BAN	105	BAN	D6	BAN	D7
PL.OT	(0. 5-0.	6) (0, 6-	-0.7)	(0.7-	0. 8)	(0, 8-	1.1)
	RAD	CI. RAD	CL.	RAD	Cl	RAD	Cl
1 A	2.08 0	31 2.41	0. 24	17. 25	2. 10	36. 07	3. 82
1B	2.67 0	. 46 3. 77	0. 47	14. 31	1. 62	28. 83	1.89
10	2.04 0	. 36 2. 45	0.45	13.95	3.10	28. 21	6. 05
1D	1,83 0	23 2.04	0.14	16. 97	3. 05	35. 47	6.14
2A	2.76 0	23 3.53	0.44	16. 38	0. 87	31. 73	2. 38
2B	2 12 0	27 2.16	0. 28	16.17	1.85	32. 95	3. 32
20	1.61 0	18 1.63	0. 20	16.77	1.55	35.89	3. 19
20	1.60 0	. 31 1. 55	0. 31	17.80	1.48	37. 21	3. 21
38	3.82 0	60 5.08	1.16	17. 59	2. 22	32. 03	4. 23
38	2.78 0	51 2.96	0.96	18.08	1.48	34, 60	2. 67
30	2 70 0	19 2.82	0.24	18.82	0.99	36. 36	2. 36
30	3. 25 0	40 3.80	0. 63	18.83	1. 57	35. 55	3. 11
TIME			RADIANC	E FROM			
		DRIVEOU DE	26.7 6116.				
844	66. 50	80. 4	10	64. 3	9	84. 9	2
	66. 58	80. 4	46	63. 9	7	84. 2	4
	66. 71	80. 5	50	63. 7	'5	83. 6	1
	67. 31	81. 2	25	64. 3	0	84. 3	17
851							

RADIANCES IN WATTS / M^2 OPERATORS -+- PJP INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION - 537.073 WATTS / M^2

SUN ALTITUDE ANGLE - 33.7 DEGREES SUN AZIMUTH ANGLE - 102.2 DEGREES

2 OF DIURNAL RUN SO FAR SO GOOD OVERALL DATA QUALITY -**- EXCELLENT

ACTUAL DATA FILE - SC50379104

SUN - DIRECT BEAM UNOBSCURED HAZE - NONE CLOUDS - NONE CLOUD COVER (TENTHS) - O WINDSPEED - SLIGHT BREEZE -***- DIRECTION - 90 PRECIPITATION - O -***- DEW - NONE IRRIGATION - 3B

DATE OF THIS PRINTOUT 16 JUN 1982 - PJP

2

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

3

4

U I

SERIAL CEREAL

SPECTRAL RADIANCES - PRODURA WHEAT

PRODURA WHEAT

DIURNAL.	RUN	DAY	79104
		•	

TIME 933 14 APR 79

	BAND4	BAND5	BAND6	RAND7
PLOT	(0.5-0.6)	(0.6-0.7)	(0. 7-0. 8)	(0.8-1.1)
	RAD CI.	RAD CL	RAD Cl.,	RAD CL
18	2.82 0.31	2.98 0.18	22. 47 2. 11	46. 50 3. 66
1B	3. 65 0. 29	5.35 0.35	17.42 1.41	34. 64 2. 96
iC	2.89 0.52	3. 49 0. 53	17. 57 2. 87	34. 65 5. 47
1D	2. 52 0. 42	2, 75 0, 35	2.2, 78 4, 67	47. 17 9. 53
20	3.93 0.40	5. 44 0. 73	20. 21 1. 55	39. 59 3. 29
2B	2, 80 0, 27	2,90 0,30	21. 16 2. 55	43. 26 4. 53
20	2, 17 0, 13	2, 15 0, 13	21. 11 0. 89	44, 89 2, 27
20	2. 19 0. 27	2.09 0.32	22.71 1.73	47. 36 4. 31
30	5.46 0.89	7.30 1.72	22. 61 1. 95	41. 12 4. 53
38	3.17 0.43	3. 31 0. 64	20,00 1,82	37. 94 3. 15
30	3 76 0 36	4, 17 0, 41	22.66 1.25	42. 67 2. 45
3D	4. 58 0. 48	5, 83 0, 86	22. 22 1. 01	41. 13 2. 16
TIME	یک می دند بند جد دو هم بی بی بی	IRRADIANC	E FROM	
	ß	ARIUM SULFATE	STANDARD	
929	86. 12	103. 22	81. 49	107. 58
	85. 69	103. 24	80. 95	107.04
	86. 23	103.81	81.51	107. 69
	87. 30	104. 94	82. 83	109. 37
				·····

937

RADIANCES IN WATTS / M^2 OPERATORS -#- PJP INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION - 670.705 WATTS / M^2

SUN ALTITUDE ANGLE - 42.9 DEGREES SUN AZIMUTH ANGLE - 110.7 DEGREES

3 OF DIURNAL RUN SO FAR SO GOOD OVERALL DATA QUALITY -++- EXCELLENT

ACTUAL DATA FILE - SC50479104

SUN - DIRECT BEAM UNOBSCURED HAZE - NONE CLOUDS - NONE CLOUD COVER (TENTHS) - O WINDSPEED - SLIGHT BREEZE -***- DIRECTION - 90 PRECIPITATION - O -***- DEW - NONE IRRIGATION - 38

DATE OF THIS PRINTOUT 16 JUN 1982 - PUP

· SERIAL CEREAL

.

SPECTRAL RADIANCES - PRODURA WHEAT

and the second second

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

PRODURA WHEAT

DIURNAL.	RUN	DAY	79104

TIME 1018 14 APR 79

	BAN	D4	BAN	D5	BAN	ID6	BAN	D7
PIOT	(0. 5-	0.6)	(0. 6-	0.7)	(0. 7-	0.8)	(0. 8-	1.1)
	RAD	CI_	RAD	CL.	RAD	Ç CI	RAD	CL
1A	3. 34	0. 21	3. 45	0. 20	26. 41	1. 79	54. 69	2. 92
JB	4, 56	0. 50	6. 82	0. 68	20. 32	1.84	39. 74	2. 71
10	3, 59	0. 42	4. 36	0. 52	19.86	2. 92	38. 79	6. 80
1D	2. 72.	0. 21	2. 96	0. 28	24. 27	3. 68	51. 26	7. 72
2A	5. 20	0. 50	7.48	0. 95	24. 01	1. 20	46. 40	2.80
2B	3. 53	0. 60	3. 83	0.70	24. 30	3, 03	49. 57	5. 78
2C	2. 82	0.15	2.96	0.14	23.99	2.44	51. 05	5. 43
2.D	2. 81	0. 37	2.71	0. 46	26. 59	2. 28	55. 13	5. 02
34	7. 21	1.42	10. 31	2, 81	26. 31	0. 94	47. 54	3. 34
3B	3.99	0.46	4. 33	0.78	22.82	1. 62	43. 49	3. 36
30	5. 35	0, 80	6.40	0. 65	26. 62	1. 27	49.80	2. 53
3D	6. 12	0. 63	8. 12	1. 26	26. 08	0. 96	47, 89	1, 80
TIME	<u>م</u> ف ف م		IRF		E FROM			•
•	و چه چه چه چه	B	ARIUM SL	IL.FATE :	STANDARE)		

1015	104. 62	128.89	101.72	129. 92
	105.12	126. 29	102.30	130.66
	104. 97	126. 22	98. 76	133. 43
	105.84	127. 25	99.64	134. 67

1022

RADIANCES IN WATTS / M^2 OPERATORS -#- PUP INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION - 796.327 WATTS / M^2

SUN ALTITUDE ANGLE - 51.3 DEGREES SUN AZIMUTH ANGLE - 121.4 DEGREES

4 OF DIURNAL RUN SO FAR SO GOOD OVERALL DATA QUALITY -**- EXCELLENT

ACTUAL DATA FILE - SC50579104

SUN - DIRECT BEAM UNOBSCURED HAZE - NONE CLOUDS - NONE CLOUD COVER (TENTHS) - O WINDSPEED - SLIGHT BREEZE -***- DIRECTION - 90 PRECIPITATION - O -***- DEW - NONE IRRIGATION - 3B

DATE OF THIS PRINTOUT 16 JUN 1982 - PUP

SERIAL CEREAL

SPECTRAL RADIANCES - PRODURA WHEAT

5

PRODURA WHEAT

-

DIURNAL RUN	DAY 79104	OF POOR QUALITY
TIME 1104	14 APR 79	

	BAND4	BANDS	RAND6	BAND7
PL.OT	(0, 5-0, 6)	(0, 6-0, 7)	(0. 7-0. 8)	(0.8-1.1)
	rad cl.	RAD, Ci	rad Cl.	RAD CI
1A	3. 75 0. 24	4 4. 21 0. 15	28, 75 2, 28	60. 29 4. 15
1 B	5. 34 0. 7	1 8.47 1.24	22. 29 1. 77	44, 78 3, 81
10	4. 54 0. 70	5 5.83 0.69	22. 95 3. 69	44, 61 6, 87
1 D	3, 18 0, 1	8 3. 43 0. 34	25, 50 4, 37	56. 50 6. 20
2A	6. 55 0. 7	1 9.84 1.32	26. 98 0. 92	50. 97 1. 37
2B	4, 28 0, 4	8 4, 99 0, 53	26, 61 2, 13	54. 42 4. 41
20	3. 68 0. 3	3 3. 97 0. 58	28.35 1.53	59. 26 3. 23
2D	3. 21 0. 3	5 3. 29 0. 42	29. 51 1. 84	61. 90 3. 77
ЗA	8. 27 1. 6	9 11.36 2.58	28. 52 1. 35	50. 94 2. 20
3P	4. 57 0. 5	1 5.11 0.80	24.86 1.36	47. 05 2. 41
30	6. 27 0. 5	3 7.74 0.92	28.91 1.08	53. 60 2. 12
3D	7.96 0.7	3 10. 89 1. 58	29. 78 0. 76	53. 47 1. 47
TIME		IRRADIANC	E FROM	فله خلب ري دي خلته غرب
	ر کا کہ سے کا بلک خلیا خلیا جلی	-BARIUM SULFATE	ETANDAPD	***
1101	119. 14	142. 96	111.81	147. 00
	119.05	142. 83	111.71	147. 16
	119.45	143.11	112.09	147. 63
	119.35	143. 10	111.71	147. 50

1107

RADIANCES IN WATTS / M^2 OPERATORS -+- PUP INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION - 858.407 WATTS / M^2

SUN ALTITUDE ANGLE - 58.8 DEGREES SUN AZIMUTH ANGLE - 136.4 DEGREES

5 OF DIURNAL RUN SKY CONDITIONS REMAIN EXCELLENT - SO FAR SO GOOD OVERALL DATA QUALITY -++- EXCELLENT

ACTUAL DATA FILE - SC50679104

SUN - DIRECT BEAM UNORSCURED HAZE - NONE CLOUDS - NONE CLOUD COVER (TENTHS) - O WINDSPUED - SLIGHT BREEZE -+++- DIRECTION - 90 PRECIPITATION - O -+++- DEW - NONE IRRIGATION - 3B

DATE OF THIS PRINTOUT 16 JUN 1982 - PUP

SERIAL CEREAL

PECTRAL	RA	DIAN	ICES		PROD	URA	こ まま	AT
PRODURA WHEAT	• .							
DIURNAL RUN	DAY	79104				ORIG	INAL PAG	E 13
TIME 1153	14	APR 79				V ir		
	BAN	<u></u> . 74	BAN	N 5	BAN	<u></u> በራ	BAN	D7
PL.OT	(0. 5-	0.6)	(0.6-	0.7)	(0. 7-	0.8)	(0.8-	1.1)
	RAD	CL.	RAD	CI	RAD	CL.	RAD	Cl.,
1A	4. 16	0. 14	4. 54	0. 20	29. 96	1. 03	61. 75	1. 90
1B	6, 30	0.46	10.00	0. 68	23. 63	1.32	45. 32	3.46
10	5, 33	0.53	7.07	0.69	24. 03	2. 39	45. 52	5. 61
1D	3. 27	0.06	3. 76	0. 43	27.24	3.40	56. 92	7. 21
2A	7.85	0.96	12.14	1.64	29.20	1. 20	55. 20	1. 90
2B	5. 04	0.62	5. 92	0.86	29. 32	1. 51	53, 48	3. 54
2C	4. 07	0. 54	4.60	0.82	29.47	1. 29	61.26	2. 47
2D	3, 53	0.17	3, 59	0. 30	29.68	1. 59	60.71	3, 55
3A	8, 84	1. 57	12.30	2. 25	30. 67	0.80	54. 56	1. 56
ЗB	5. 34	0.37	6.17	0. 69	26. 41	0. 90	49. 52	2.08
30	6, 80	0.45	9. 08	1.07	29. 76	1.17	55. 52	2.04
30	9. 19	0. 45	12. 71	0. 97	32. 08	0. 51	56.65	1. 28
TIME		BA	IRR RIUM SU	ADIAN	CE FROM STANDARD			
		_				_		
1150 ·	130.3	2	155.5	1	121.0	2	158 3	8
	129.8	:4	154. 9	o	120. 2	0	157.5	9
	129.8	0	154. 1	3	119.6	8	156. 5	i3
1157	130. 6	1	155. 3	6	120, 8	0	158. 3	3

RADIANCES IN WAITS / MA2 OPERATORS -*- PUP INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION - 924.492 WATTS / MA2*

SUN ALTITUDE ANGLE - 64.3 DEGREES SUN AZIMUTH ANGLE - 159.3 DEGREES

6 OF DIURNAL RUN SKY CONDITIONS REMAIN EXCELLENT - SO FAR SO GOOD OVERALL DATA QUALITY -**- EXCELLENT

ACTUAL DATA FILE - SC50779104

SUN - DIRECT BEAM UNOBSCURED HAZE - NONE CLOUDS - NONE CLOUD COVER (TENTHS) - O WINDSPEED - SLIGHT BREEZE -***- DIRECTION - 90 PRECIPITATION - O -***- DEW - NONE IRRIGATION - 3B

DATE CF THIS PRINTOUT 16 JUN 1982 - PUP

6

ORIGINAL PAGE IS

4

4,

ł

7

SERIAL CEREAL

OF POOR QUALITY

the started and

SPECTRAL RADIANCES - PRODURA WHEAT

PRODURA WHEAT

DIURNAL RUN DAY 79104

TIME 1234 14 APR 79

	BAND4	BAND5	BAND6	BAND7
PL.OT	(0.5-0.6)	(0.6-0.7)	(0.7-0.8)	(0.8-1.1)
	RAD CI	RAD CL.	RAD CL.	RAD CL.
1A	4.34 0.13	4. 77 0. 24	30. 57 1. 83	62. 94 3. 59
1B	6. 71 0. 67	10, 90 1, 32	23. 87 1. 55	44.89 4.28
10	5, 51 0, 71	7, 63 0, 80	22. 47 3. 41	42. 69 7. 40
1D	3, 70 0, 37	4. 28 0. 71	27. 55 2. 64	58, 29 5, 82
28	8, 14 0, 62	12.73 1.04	29, 29 0, 70	54.38 2.22
2B	5. 52 0. 70	6.75 1.21	30. 31 1. 33	60.28 3.12
2C	4, 70 - 0, 53	5, 57 0, 79	29.98 1.29	61.09 2.39
2D	3, 72 0, 35	3, 89 0, 38	30. 58 1, 50	63.36 3.44
3A	9, 80 2, 00	14, 19 3, 83	31, 17 0, 99	54.45 1.94
ЗB	5, 36 - 0, 32	6. 08 0. 68	27. 50 1. 66	51. 45 3. 67
30	6. 98 0. 61	9, 55 1, 69	30. 32 0. 66	55.95 1.68
3D	9, 4 5 - 0, 60	13. 21 1. 48	32. 51 0. 23	57. 54 0. 81
TIME	`	IRRADIAN(:E FROM	
	~~~~~ <b>~~</b> ~~	BARIUM SULFATE	STANDARD	
1230	132. 29	157. 64	122. 27	160. 12
	131.86	156, 98	121. 59	159. 27
	131. 95	157. 22	121.63	159.82
	132.04	157. 19	121. 79	160. 04

1238

RADIANCES IN WATTS / M^2 OPERATORS -*- PUP INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION - 928.189 WATTS / M^2

SUN ALTITUDE ANGLE - 65.6 DEGREES SUN AZIMUTH ANGLE - 183.3 DEGREES

7 OF DIURNAL RUN - NEW RECORDER BAT PACK SKY CONDITIONS REMAIN EXCELLENT - SO FAR SO GOOD OVERALL DATA QUALITY -**- EXCELLENT

ACTUAL DATA FILE - SC50879104

SUN - DIRECT BEAM UNOBSCURED HAZE - NONE CLOUDS - NONE CLOUD COVER (TENTHS) - O WINDSPEED - SLIGHT BREEZE -***- DIRECTION - 90 PRECIPITATION - O -***- DEW - NONE IRRIGATION - 3B

DATE OF THIS PRINTOUT 16 JUN 1982 - PUP

SERIAL CEREAL

SPECTRAL RADIANCES - PRODURA WHEAT

 The second se Second sec

8

PRODURA WHEAT

DIURNAL, RUN	DAY 79104	ORIGINAL PAGE 13
		OF POOR QUALITY
TIME 1331	14 APR 79	

	BAND4	BAI	ND5	BAN	D6	BAN	קע
PLOT	(0.5-0.	6) (0.6-	-0.7)	(0.7-	0.8)	(0.8-	1.1)
	RAD	CI RAD	CL.	RAD	CL	RAD	CL.
1A	4, 00 0.	18 4.39	0. 23	30, 15	1. 14	63, 33	2. 99
1B	5, 84 0.	. 66 9. 31	1.07	22. 75	1.90	44. 47	4. 59
10	4.87 0.	. 53 6. 43	0.60	22.80	2.61	43. 38	6.17
1 D	3, 16 0.	. 18 3. 51	0.35	26, 93	1.63	57. 59	4.06
2A	7. 22 0.	. 68 11. 15	1. 34	27.47	0. 86	51. 69	1.61
2B	4, 77 0.	44 5. 93	0. 54	28. 29	0.55	56, 98	2. 62
20	3, 99 - 0,	64 4.49	0, 90	28. 32	1.96	58.25	3. 54
2D	3, 25 0,	18 3.25	0.29	29.79	1.31	62. 52	2.75
3A	9. 02. 1.	41 12.73	2. 65	30. 27	0.84	53. 79	2.48
ЗB	5, 06 - 0,	. 28 5. 66	0.55	26. 93	1.34	50. 52	3.08
30	6, 06 0.	37 7.89	1.10	29.15	1.49	54. 95	3.25
3D	8, 18 0,	55 11.12	1. 29	30. 79	0. 63	56.10	2. 04
TIME	والم الجار برود الله الله الله الله	I RF	RADIANCE	E FROM	، چە ھە ھە ھە ھ		
		BARIUM SU	ILFATE S	STANDARD			
1327	126. 08	150. 0	09	. 116. 0	7	152. 7	1
	124. 01	147. 7	79	114.4	9	150.5	8
	124.06	148. 0	09	114. 7	9	151.5	3

 124.06
 148.09
 114.79
 151.53

 124.56
 148.54
 115.31
 152.30

1335

RADIANCES IN WATTS / M^2 OPERATORS -*- PUP INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION - 892.528 WATTS / M^2

SUN ALTITUDE ANGLE - 61.7 DEGREES SUN AZIMUTH ANGLE - 214.2 DEGREES

8 OF DIURNAL RUN SKY CONDITIONS REMAIN EXCELLENT - SO FAR SO GOOD OVERALL DATA QUALITY -**- EXCELLENT

ACTUAL DATA FILE - SC50979104

SUN - DIRECT BEAM UNOBSCURED HAZE - NONE CLOUDS - NONE CLOUD COVER (TENTHS) - O WINDSPEED - SLIGHT BREEZE -***- DIRECTION - 90 PRECIPITATION - O -***- DEW - NONE IRRIGATION - 38

ORIGINAL PAGE 13 OF POOR QUALITY

n na sena na se Na sena na sena

#### SERIAL CEREAL

9 SPECTRAL RADIANCES - PRODURA WHEAT

PRODURA WHEAT

14 A.

And the second s

ħ	THRNAL	· RUN	DAY	79104
			1.1771	

TIME 1436 14 APR 79

	BAND4	BAND5	BAND6	BAND7
PL.OT	(0.5-0.6)	(0.6-0.7)	(0, 7-0, 8)	(0.8-1.1)
	RAD CI.	RAD CL.	RAD CI	rad Cl.
1A	3, 65 0, 2;	2 3.84 0.2	1 28.18 1.69	57. 99 2. 5 <b>7</b>
1B	4, 69 0, 7:	3 6. 97 1. 0	9 20.34 1.81	38. 65 3. 98
10	3, 56 - 0, 39	9 4.82 0.7	9 18.76 2.46	36, 39 5, 34
1D	2. 72. 0. 2	4 3.07 0.3	4 23.33 1.94	49.36 4.81
2A	5, 25 0, 3	B 7. 77 0.6	1 23.90 0.74	46, 28 1, 93
2B	3, 50 0, 34	4 3.95 0.3	6 24. 59 1. 70	50, 19 3, 85
20	3, 13 0, 3	9 3.36 0.5	2 24, 49 2, 51	50, 99 4, 95
2D	2, 54 0, 4	0 % 57 0.4	1 26. 24 3. 28	55, 52 7, 15
3A	7. 28 1. 1	3 10.00 1.6	7 27.30 1.45	49. 50 2. 74
Зв	4. 31 0. 2	4 4, 54 0, 4	5 25.49 1.58	48, 67 3, 01
30	4. 98 0. 2	4 5.89 0.6	7 26.84 1.50	50, 82 3, 05
ЗD	6. 36 0. 4	7 8.12 0.9	0 28, 25 1, 43	52, 51 3, 16
TIME		IRRADIA	NCE FROM	
		-BARIUM SULFAT	E STANDARD	ين جو حا كه من م
1433	107. 81	128. 73	100. 33	132. 19
	106, 79	127.68	99. 56	131. 24
	105.67	126. 57	98. 42	130. 01
	105.10	125, 75	97, 99	129.36

1440

RADIANCES IN WATTS / MA2 OPERATORS -*- PUP INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION - 773.606 WATTS / M^2

SUN ALTITUDE ANGLE - 51. 9 DEGREES SUN AZIMUTH ANGLE - 237.7 DEGREES

9 OF DIURNAL RUN SKY CONDITIONS REMAIN EXCELLENT - SO FAR SO GOOD OVERALL DATA QUALITY -**- EXCELLENT

ACTUAL DATA FILE - SC51079104

SUN - DIRECT BEAM UNOBSCURED HAZE - NONE CLOUDS - NONE CLOUD COVER (TENTHS) - 0 WINDSPEED - SLIGHT BREEZE -***- DIRECTION - 180 DEW - NONE PRECIPITATION - 0 -***-IRRIGATION - 3B

DATE OF THIS PRINTOUT 16 JUN 1982 - PUP

SERIAL CEREAL

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

- 98. 67

1

SPECTRAL RADIANCES - PRODURA WHEAT

PRODURA WHEAT

TIME 1538 14 APR 79

BAND4 BAND5 BAND6 BAND7 PLOT (0.5-0.6) (0.6-0.7) (0.7-0.8) (0.8-1.1) RAD CL RAD CL. RAD Cl., RAD Cl.. 

 2. 59
 0. 28
 2. 88
 0. 41
 21. 17
 1. 58
 44. 57
 3. 75

 3. 34
 0. 46
 4. 74
 0. 59
 15. 99
 2. 12
 30. 97
 2. 96

 2. 85
 0. 28
 3. 59
 0. 78
 16. 92
 0. 99
 32. 35
 2. 77

 2. 12
 0. 23
 2. 27
 0. 24
 17. 95
 1. 39
 37. 88
 2. 86

 3. 35
 0. 32
 4. 79
 0. 41
 17. 79
 1. 56
 35. 87
 2. 81

 2. 64
 0. 42
 2. 77
 0. 37
 20. 37
 1. 88
 41. 71
 3. 31

 1. 97
 0. 21
 2. 05
 0. 19
 17. 51
 2. 06
 37. 16
 3. 16

 1. 54
 0. 18
 1. 43
 0. 20
 17. 49
 1. 63
 37. 68
 4. 02

 5. 11
 0. 76
 6. 58
 1. 23
 22. 82
 1. 62
 42. 91
 3. 73

 3. 36
 0. 31
 3. 32
 0. 43
 21. 43
 1. 40
 41. 57
 2. 76

 2. 59 0. 28 1A 1B 10 1D 2A 2B 2C 2D 3A 

 3. 36
 0. 31
 3. 32
 0. 43
 21. 43
 1. 40
 41. 57
 2. 76

 3. 45
 0. 11
 3. 81
 0. 26
 22. 02
 1. 66
 42. 71
 3. 38

 3B 30 3D 4. 53 0. 32 5.63 0.57 21. 92 2. 01 41.27 3.69 TIME ----- IRRADIANCE FROM----------BARIUM SULFATE STANDARD-----98.13 77. 32 1.02. 39 1535 81.83 75.80 100.46 80. 31 96. 33 79.31 95, 30 75.07 99.84

94. 12

1542

RADIANCES IN WATTS / M^2 OPERATORS -*- PUP INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION - 610.089 WATTS / M^2

SUN ALTITUDE ANGLE - 40.2 DEGREES SUN AZIMUTH ANGLE - 252.1 DEGREES

78.17

10 OF DIURNAL RUN - WIND PICKING UP SKY CONDITIONS REMAIN EXCELLENT - SO FAR SO GOOD OVERALL DATA QUALITY -**- EXCELLENT

ACTUAL DATA FILE - SC51179104

SUN - DIRECT BEAM UNOBSCURED HAZE - NONE CLOUDS - NONE CLOUD COVER (TENTHS) - 0 WINDSPEED - LIGHT WIND -****- DIRECTION - 235 PRECIPITATION - 0 -***- DEW - NONE IRRIGATION - 3B

#### DATE OF THIS PRINTOUT 16 JUN 1982 - PJP

74.14

HS WATER CONSERVATION LAR. HSDA. ARS. PHY A7

#### SERIAL CEREAL

SPECTRAL RADIANCES - PRODURA WHEAT

ORIGINAL PAGE 13 OF POOR QUALITY e

11

PRODURA WHEAT

	D	IURNAL	RLIN	DAY	79104
--	---	--------	------	-----	-------

TIME 1634 14 APR 79

D1 07	BAND4	BANDS	BANDS	BAND7
PL.U1	(0. 5-0. 6)	(0, 6-0, 7)	(0. 7-0. 8)	(0, 8-1, 1)
	RAD CL.	RAD CI	RAD CI.	RAD CI
1A	1. 91 0. 21	2.14 0.35	15, 40 1, 63	32. 40 3. 98
1B	2. 34 0. 25	3.24 0.41	11.42 1.05	22. 59 2. 01
10	1.61 0.23	2. 20 0. 51	10. 03 0. <b>9</b> 2	20. 88 1. 67
1D	1.48 0.28	,1.60 0.16	12.64 1.85	26. 69 2. 34
2A	2.31 0.22	3.04 0.38	13.33 0.90	26. 69 1. 84
2B	1.63 0.16	1.67 0.24	14, 39 1, 57	29. 56 3. 31
2C	1. 14 0. 20	1. 12 0. 19	10.99 2.00	23. 78 3. 70
2D	1.21 0.18	1.13 0.18	12.67 1.65	27. 18 3. 32
36	3.04 0.43	3. 65 0. 67	15.99 2.16	30. 26 3. 86
3B	2. 27 0. 29	2. 22 0. 32	15, 27 1, 25	29. 53 2. 80
30	2.24 0.15	2.41 0.15	15.16 1.88	29.11 3.53
ЗD	2.82 0.25	3.16 0.33	15.88 1.83	30, 20 3, 63
TIME	<b></b>	IRRADIANC	E FROM	
		BARIUM SULFATE	STANDARD	
1631	55 31	67.00	53 43	70. 78
	54 35	65 82	52 58	69 71 .
	53 52	64.96	51.89	69.03
	53. 03	64, 49	51.46	68. 63

1638

RADIANCES IN WATTS / M^2 OPERATORS -*- PJP INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION - 420.616 WATTS / M^2

SUN HLTITUDE ANGLE - 28.8 DEGREES SUN AZIMUTH ANGLE - 261.6 DEGREES

11 OF DIURNAL RUN - SLIGHTLY CALMER NOW SKY CONDITIONS REMAIN EXCELLENT - SO FAR SO GOOD OVERALL DATA QUALITY -**- EXCELLENT

ACTUAL DATA FILE - SC51279104

SUN - DIRECT BEAM UNOBSCURED HAZE - NONE CLOUDS - NONE CLOUD COVER (TENTHS) - O WINDSPEED - LIGHT WIND -***- DIRECTION - 135 PRECIPITATION - O -***- DEW - NONE IRRIGATION - 3B

DATE OF THIS PRINTOUT 16 JUN 1982 - PUP

ORIGINAL PAGE 13

SERIAL CEREAL

OF POOR OUALITY

SPECTRAL RADIANCES - PRODURA WHEAT

PRODURA WHEAT

DTURNAL	RUN	ΠΑΥ	79104
7.1 2 C 1 V 1 1 1 1 1	11124	A-17-1 1	///V

TIME 1721 14 APR 79

BAND4 BAND5 BAND6 BAND7 PLOT (0.5-0.6) (0.6-0.7) (0. 7-0. 8) (0.8-1.1) RAD CL. RAD CL. RAD CL RAD CL. 2.93 1. 58 0. 36 10. 85 1. 40 22.41 1A 1.35 0.27 

 1. 45
 0. 22
 2. 13
 0. 29
 7. 33
 1. 23

 1. 13
 0. 28
 1. 46
 0. 42
 6. 94
 1. 67

 0. 98
 0. 17
 1. 14
 0. 13
 8. 57
 1. 21

 14.53 1.90 1B 13.74 2.78 10 1D 18.64 2.79 2A 1.31 0.09 1. 61 0. 17 8.22 0.61 16.80 1.89 

 1. 01
 0. 07
 1. 01
 0. 17
 0. 12
 0. 01
 1. 07

 1. 05
 0. 16
 1. 08
 0. 20
 8. 97
 1. 28
 18. 61
 2. 70

 0. 74
 0. 12
 0. 80
 0. 16
 7. 15
 1. 40
 15. 70
 2. 87

 0. 69
 0. 15
 0. 69
 0. 17
 7. 30
 1. 21
 16. 21
 3. 02

 1. 72
 0. 25
 1. 97
 0. 32
 10. 09
 1. 89
 19. 79
 4. 03

 1. 49
 0. 17
 1. 47
 0. 15
 10. 45
 1. 58
 20. 27
 2. 63

 1. 31
 0. 16
 1. 32
 0. 17
 9. 95
 1. 11
 19. 86
 2. 84

 2B 2C 2D 3A 3B 30 18.93 2.88 1. 57 0. 20 1.70 0.20 9.73 1.52 3D ----- IRRADIANCE FROM------TIME ----BARIUM SULFATE STANDARD------40. 93 33.18 33: 69 44, 90 1717 32. 58 43.52 39.56 32.05 31.38 38. 81 31, 85 42.82 31.36 42.19 30.83 38.12

1725

RADIANCES IN WATTS / M^2 OPERATORS -*- PJP INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION - 256.328 WATTS / M^2

SUN ALTITUDE ANGLE - 19 DEGREES SUN AZIMUTH ANGLE - 268.5 DEGREES

12 OF DIURNAL RUN - SHADOWS WEST AREAS THIS AND EARLIER RUN SKY CONDITIONS REMAIN EXCELLENT - SO FAR SO GOOD OVERALL DATA QUALITY -++- EXCELLENT

ACTUAL DATA FILE - SC51379104

SUN - DIRECT BEAM UNOBSCURED HAZE - NONE CLOUDS - NONE CLOUD COVER (TENTHS) - 0 DIRECTION - 180 WINDSPEED - NONE -***-PRECIPITATION - 0 -***-DEW - NONE IRRIGATION - 3B

DATE OF THIS PRINTOUT 16 JUN 1982 - PUP

US WATER CONSERVATION LAB. USDA. ARS. PHX A7

SERIAL CEREAL

SPECTRAL RADIANCES - PRODURA WHEAT 13

n 1997 - Engels Anno ann an Anno an Anno ann an Ann Anno ann an Anno ann ann ann ann ann an

> ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR OUALITY

4

PRODURA WHEAT

TIME 1758 14 APR 79

	BAND4 (0.5-0.6)		RAND5 (0.6-0.7)		BAND6 (0.7-0.8)		BAND7 (0.8-1.1)	
PLOT								
	RAD	Cl	RAD	Cl.,	RAD	CI.	RAD	Cl.,
18	0. 78	0. 10	1. 01	0. 22	6. 59	0. 79	14. 09	2. 07
1B	0. 79	0. 09	1. 10	0.14	4. 09	0. 59	7.89	0, 90
1C	0. 59	0. 17	0. 72	0. 26	3. 56	1.37	6. 81	2. 01
1D	0. 53	0. 09	0. 62	0. 05	4. 56	0. 97	9. 61	1.96
2A	0. 69	0. 07	0. 82	0.10	4.60	0.45	9. 51	1.14
2B	0. 42	0. 03	0.43	0. 08	3.79	0. 47	7.96	1. 01
20	0. 38	0. 10	0.39	0.10	3. 62	0. 64	7. 78	1. 20
2D	.0. 32	0. 07	0. 31	0.09	3.65	0. 69	7.84	1. 79
ЗА	0.83	0.13	0. 91	0.16	5. 22	1.24	10. 50	2. 64
3B	0. 73	0. 06	0. 72	0. 03	5. 24	0. 73	10. 14	1.14
30	0, 68	0.10	0. 68	0.10	5. 21	1.03	10. 14	2. 00
ЗD	0. 74	0. 08	0. 80	0. 11	4. 78	0. 99	9. 58	2. 17
TIME	IRRADIANCE FROM							
		BA	RIUM SU	LFATE S	TANDARD		*	
1755	16. 47		20. 62		17. 70		23. 92	
	15.80		19.85		17.13		23.12	
	15. 26		19. 22		16. 57		22. 34	
	14. 59		18. 37		15. 92		21.49	

1802

RADIANCES IN WATTS / M^2 OPERATORS -*- PJP INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION - 134.788 WATTS / M^2

SUN ALTITUDE ANGLE - 7.2 DEGREES SUN AZIMUTH ANGLE - 276.3 DEGREES

13 OF DIURNAL RUN - SOME SKINNY SHADOWS FROM POLES ONLY SKY CONDITIONS REMAIN EXCELLENT - SO FAR SO GOOD OVERALL DATA QUALITY -**- EXCELLENT

ACTUAL DATA FILE - SC51479104

SUN - DIRECT BEAM UNOBSCURED HAZE - NONE CLOUDS - NONE CLOUD COVER (TENTHS) - O WINDSPEED - NONE -***- DIRECTION - 180 PRECIPITATION - O -***- DEW - NONE IRRIGATION - 3B

DATE OF THIS PRINTOUT 16 JUN 1982 - PJP

US WATER CONSERVATION LAB, USDA, ARS, PHX AZ

+ U.S. COVERNMENT FRUITING OFFICE: 1882-480-0112125