

ORIGINAL PAGE DI OF POOR QUALITY

1. Report No. CR-168049 4. Title and Subtitle	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
4. Title and Subtitle		
a' title bill Subtitio		6. Report Date
A Mathod to Retimete Wair	nht and Dimonsions	August 1982
of Small Aircraft Propuls Engines - Firal Report	sion Gas Turbine	6. Performing Organization Code
7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organization Report No.
P.L. Hale		21-4270-1.1
		10. Work Unit No.
9. Performing Organization Name and Address		
Garrett Turbine Engine Company		11. Contract or Grant No.
P.O. Box 5217		NASA-23037
Phoenix, Arizona 85010		12 Type of Benort and Period Covered
		IS, 1796 OF HERVILLING FERIOD COVIED
2. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address National Acconcision 6 9	page Administration	
Washington, D.C. 20546	Page Frantisterer areast	14. Spansoring Agency Code
5. Supplementary Notes Final Report Program Manager, Gerald F	t Knip, Aircraft Propulsion	Division
Advanced Programs Branch,	, NASA Lewis Research Cen	ter, Cleveland, Ohio
6. Abstract	<u> </u>	
tive of the Boeing WATE- major component in the	2 computer code. WATE-S	determines the weight of each
exchangers, nozzles, prop is used where the stress properties, geometry, st speed are used to determ of the method is generally small aircraft propulsion gram Manager and Garrett	engine including compress pellers, and accessories. s levels, maximum pressur age loading, hub/tip radi ine the component weights y better than ±10 percent n gas turbine engines joi	sors, burners, turbines, heat A preliminary design approach es and temperatures, material us ratio, and mechanical over- and dimensions. The accuracy as verified by analysis of four ntly selected by the NASA Pro-
exchangers, nozzles, prop is used where the stress properties, geometry, st speed are used to determ of the method is generall; small aircraft propulsio gram Manager and Garrett	engine including compress pellers, and accessories. s levels, maximum pressur age loading, hub/tip radi ine the component weights y better than ±10 percent n gas turbine engines joi	sors, burners, turbines, heat A preliminary design approach es and temperatures, material us ratio, and mechanical over- and dimensions. The accuracy as verified by analysis of four ntly selected by the NASA Pro-
exchangers, nozzles, prog is used where the stress properties, geometry, st speed are used to determ of the method is generall; small aircraft propulsio gram Manager and Garrett	engine including compression of the component weights age loading, hub/tip radiine the component weights y better than ±10 percent in gas turbine engines joi n gas turbine engines joi . <td< td=""><th>sors, burners, turbines, heat A preliminary design approach es and temperatures, material us ratio, and mechanical over- and dimensions. The accuracy as verified by analysis of four ntly selected by the NASA Pro-</th></td<>	sors, burners, turbines, heat A preliminary design approach es and temperatures, material us ratio, and mechanical over- and dimensions. The accuracy as verified by analysis of four ntly selected by the NASA Pro-
 exchangers, nozzles, progis used where the stress properties, geometry, stapped are used to determ of the method is generally small aircraft propulsion gram Manager and Garrett 17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Engine Weight Weig Gas Turbines Turb Aircraft Propulsion Prediction Analysis Tech 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 	engine incluting compression of the component service of the component weights age loading, hub/tip radiation in the component weights y better than ±10 percent of the regimes joi n gas turbine engines joi . </td <th>sors, burners, turbines, heat A preliminary design approach es and temperatures, material us ratio, and mechanical over- and dimensions. The accuracy as verified by analysis of four ntly selected by the NASA Pro- on Statement desified-Unlimited 21. No. of Pages 22. Price*</th>	sors, burners, turbines, heat A preliminary design approach es and temperatures, material us ratio, and mechanical over- and dimensions. The accuracy as verified by analysis of four ntly selected by the NASA Pro- on Statement desified-Unlimited 21. No. of Pages 22. Price*

* For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161

والاراد المرابق المكافل فالدار المرد ومناه المعين ماريمين

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

SECTION I				
1.0	SUMM	SUMMARY		
SECTION I	I			
2.0	2.0 INTRODUCTION		2	
	2.1 2.2 2.3	Component Modules Requiring Modifications New Component Modules General Modification	4 5 5	
SECTION I	II			
3.0	3.0 METHOD OF ANALYSIS		7	
	3.1 3.2 3.3	Component Weight and Dimension Methodology Other Program Capabilities Program Validation	8 48 52	
SECTION I	v			
4.0	USER'S GUIDE		54	
	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5	Input Description and Formats Output Description and Format Sample Cases Program Diagnostics Program Structure	54 87 90 125 125	
SECTION V	,			
5.0	CONC	LUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	130	
APPENDICE	S			
A B	Symb Refe	ols Rences	132 133	
DISTRIBUTION LIST			134	

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT RUMBD

iii

na 10 secondara en esta secondar a contra contra en secondar en secondar en esta en esta en esta en esta en est

SECTION I

1.0 SUMMARY

A method has been developed to estimate the weight and major envelope dimensions of small aircraft propulsion gas turbine engines. The computerized method, called WATE-S (Weight Analysis of Turbine Engines - Small) is a derivative of the Boeing WATE-2 computer code (ref. 1). As in WATE-2, WATE-S determines the weight of each major component in the engine including compressors, burners, turbines, heat exchangers, nozzles, propellers, and accessories. A preliminary design approach is used where the stress levels, maximum pressures and temperatures, material properties, geometry, stage loading, hub/tip radius ratio, and mechanical overspeed are used to determine the component weights and dimensions.

A relatively high level of detail was found necessary in order to obtain a total engine weight within the required ±10-percent accuracy. Component weight data from Garrett engines was used as a data base to develop the methods required for small gas turbine engine components. The small engine weight method is probably valid for other manufacturers, however, this has not been verified. The accuracy of the method is generally better than ±10 percent, on the order of ±5 percent. This accuracy was verified by applying the method to four Garrett propulsion engines, some of which were in the original data base. Engines used in the validation study were jointly selected by NASA and Garrett.

SECTION II

2.0 INTRODUCTION

Aircraft propulsion system studies are frequently conducted by industry and government. These studies may encompass a wide variety of engine concepts ranging from relatively simple turboprop and turbofan engines to complicated variable cycle engines. The aerospace industry in general has acquired an adequate computer capability to evaluate the thermodynamic performance of these diverse engine concepts; however, until recently, accurate methods of estimating engine weight and dimensions were not readily available.

The Boeing WATE-2 (Weight Analysis of Turbine Engines) computer program was written primarily to estimate the weight and major envelope dimensions of large, axial-flow turbofan and turbojet propulsion engines. A preliminary design approach is used where the stress levels, maximum temperatures and pressures, material properties, geometry, stage loading, hub/tip radius ratio, and shaft mechanical overspeed are used to determine component weights and dimensions.

Overall, the basic approach of the Boeing WATE-2 program is valid for small gas turbine engines; however, major modifications to some of the component modules were required to improve the accuracy and flexibility of this program for small gas turbine engines.

A computer program specifically written to estimate the weight and dimensions of small gas turbine engines was derived from the Garrett version of the Boeing WATE-2 computer program. This program will be referred to as WATE-S (Weight Analysis of Turbing Engines - Small). It was configured on a stand-alone basis, the required input being obtainable from most general purpose thermodynamic engine cycle performance computer programs. A small gas turbine engine as defined for WATE-S suitability is outlined below:

- Conventional and unconventional Brayton Cycles (regeneration, intercooling, reheat, afterburning, combinations)
- o Separate or mixed-flow turbofans
- o Core-corrected airflows from 0.23 to 4.54 Kg/s (0.5 to 10.0 lbm/s)
- o Compressor pressure ratios from 5 to 25
- o Turbine rotor inlet temperature from 1089°K (1960°R) to 1922°K (3460°R)
- o Propellers and propfans suitable for aircraft flight speeds up to Mach 0.8
- o Axial and radial flow turbomachinery components with input specified staging and shafting arrangements

o Geared or ungeared propulsor drive.

WATE-S determines engine weight and dimensions by summing the weights and dimensions of each major component including propellers, fans, compressors, combustors, turbines, and nozzles.

Each individual component specified in the input is individually modeled using fundamental physical relationships. The specific tasks accomplished are summarized in the following sections.

2.1 Component Modules Requiring Modifications

Fans and Axial Compressors - The WATE-2 axial compressor model assumes the general design pressure-ratio corrected tip-speed relationship is applicable to fans as well as low- and highpressure compressors. Garrett experience has demonstrated that this assumption is not valid for most small and intermediate turbofan engines. Separate fan accounting is provided in WATE-S as well as a design pressure-ratio corrected tip-speed relationship suitable for small axial compressors.

<u>Centrifugal Compressors and Radial Turbines</u> - The WATE-2 centrifugal compressor and radial turbine models are not consistent with the desired component preliminary design philosophy. These modules have been completely rewritten so that radial flow component weights and dimensions are determined on the basis of fundamental physical relationships similar to those used for axial flow components.

<u>Reverse-Flow Co...Justors</u> - The WATE-2 program's combustor logic is not applicable to reverse-flow combustors. The mean burner diameter is used as the geometric design parameter. For reverse-flow burners, where the burner is positioned around the HP turbine, the inner diameter has more geometric significance. The ability to use either of these diameters as the geometric design parameter is incorporated in WATE-S.

Engine Accessories - Engine accessory weights are currently lumped together as a percentage of bare engine weight. Small gas turbine engine accessory weight data including the starter/generator,

battery, fuel pumps, oil pumps, propeller pitch control, fuel control, etc., was reviewed to determine if a more detailed weight model was warranted. A new accessory weight model has been developed and included in WATE-S.

2.2 New Component Modules

<u>Gearboxes</u> - The WATE-2 program gearbox weight accounting is spread through a number of subroutines. A different model is used for axial and centrifugal compressor gearboxes, and no method is available for linking a gearbox to a turbine for geared fan or propeller free-turbine engines. A new gearbox component module was necessary to provide the required flexibility for small gas turbine engines. In this way, gearboxes may be connected to any rotating component desired and consistent gearbox weights estimated.

<u>Propeller and Propfans</u> - Methods of estimating propeller and propfan weight and dimensions are not included in WATE-2. A new propeller component module based on Hamilton Standard propeller data has been developed and incorporated in WATE-S.

2.3 General Modifications

In addition to the above, the printer plot option of WATE-2 has been made operational for small gas turbine engines. Centrifugal compressors, reverse-flow combustors, and radial turbine elements were added to the axial flow component plot capabilities. A general review of the many geometric assumptions used in WATE-2 for large turbomachinery components was completed to determine their suitability to small gas turbine engine components.

A default engine configuration is incorporated in WATE-S. The default engine is a two spool turbofan engine consisting of a

fan, axi-centrifugal high-pressure compressor, axial highpressure turbine, and axial fan turbine. Four reference engines have been used for computer program checkout and validation. The accuracy of WATE-S in estimating engine weight and major envelope dimensions is ± 10 percent or better. This has been demonstrated by analysis of the reference engines.

SECTION III

3.0 METHOD OF ANALYSIS

The methods of analysis used in WATE-S are based on a preliminary design approach where the aerodynamic and mechanical design variables are taken into account. In the compressor, for example, rotor blade weight is calculated as a function of the specified geometric parameters. Blade centrifugal stress is then found, and the disk weight that will support the blade rotational force is determined. This type of preliminary design approach was also used for the other components.

The WATE-S method is intended to estimate the weight of a given engine design; it will not design an engine. This function must be performed external to the program. WATE-S utilizes component state conditions which are generated in an external engine thermodynamic cycle computer program.

In the normal use of WATE-S, the desired engine cycle is simulated at the engine design point. The user of WATE-S must be cognizant of other conditions in the flight envelope where maximum component temperature, work, speed, or flow occur. If these conditions are greater than the design values, they can size the component and have a significant impact on the component weight. WATE-S allows input of scalars to account for these off-design conditions.

A more accurate weight estimate can be achieved by developing an array of engine cycle data over the engine operating envelope. The WATE-S program will scan the input engine cycle data and select the maximum conditions for each component. The engine cycle simulation may use components that are required mathematically, but are not desired in the engine weight. These can be selectively eliminated by the use of the component weight scalars.

3.1 Component Weight and Dimension Methodology

The methods of analysis described for each component in the following sections have been developed to achieve an overall engine accuracy of ±10 percent. Since the rotating components comprise the major part of the total engine weight, considerable detail was necessary in order to achieve the accuracy goal. Normal program users may not have sufficient knowledge to adequately define all of the necessary inputs; however, typical values are given in the User's Guide, Section 4.

3.1.1 Axial Fans and Compressors

The procedure used for axial fan and compressor weight prediction is a stage-by-stage aeromechanical design as illustrated in Figure 1. Rotor blade volume and weight are determined; then, blade centrifugal stress, disk stress, and disk weight are calculated. Connecting hardware, stator blades, and cases are then estimated and summed to give the total component weight. The following input data is necessary:

- o The number of compressor stages. Stage work is held constant for multistage axial compressors. Alternatively, a maximum first-stage pressure ratio, which reflects the design approach and technology level, may be input
- Inlet and exit Mach numbers of the compressor



We distantia Americana

Figure L.

Q	Inlet hub/tip radius ratio of the first-stage blade
٥	Compressor design mode: i.e., constant meanline, con- stant hub, or constant tip diameter
0	Effective density of blade material; defined as the ratio of total blade weight and volume
0	Maximum inlet and exit temperatures, if not at design
0	Aspect ratios for the first and the last stage blades
0	RPM _{max} /RPM _{des} overspeed factor
0	Blade tip solidity, ratio of blade tip chord and spacing
0	Density of disk material
0	Blade taper ratio
0	Blade volume factor; ratio of blade volume and total annulus volume.

The total enthalpy change for the component is available from the engine cycle data. Equal work for each stage is assumed, and the number of stages required is found by iteration until the first-stage pressure ratio is equal to or less than the specified maximum. When the number of stages is specified, first-stage pressure ratio is calculated based on the equal work per stage assumption and the maximum allowable pressure ratio input is ignored. Shaft speed is determined from the axial fan and compressor tip speed correlations given in Figure 2. These compressor tip speed correlations are based on current (1982) technology levels. The rpm of additional compressors driven on the same shaft will be set by the first upstream compressor.

ORIGINAL PAGE MA



PR - FIRST-STAGE PRESSURE RATIO



Figure 2. Axial Fan and Compressor Tip-Speed Correlation.

In the event that an existing compressor is being weighed, or the shaft speed is already known, a speed scalar can be applied to the shaft speed found from Figure 2 to achieve the desired value. The speed scalar can also be used to modify the estimate of shaft speed for high-pressure compressors, where the inlet temperature significantly affects the pressure ratio capability, or for external iterations of an engine design.

The first-stage flow area is determined by the specified Mach number and the corrected inlet airflow from the cycle data. Inner and outer diameters of the flow path are calculated from the specified radius ratio:

$$D_{t} = \sqrt{\frac{4A \text{ inlet}}{\pi [1 - (h/t)^{2}]}}$$
(1)

$$D_{h} = D_{t} \cdot \frac{h}{t}$$
 (2)

Compressor RPM is determined by dividing the tip speed (found from Figure 2) by the product of π and the tip diameter (D_+) .

Stage length is found in the following manner. Axial blade chord (C), as shown in Figure 3, is the quotient of the blade height and aspect ratio:

$$C = \frac{h_B}{AR}$$
(3)

12



Figure 3. Blade Schematic.

The stator length was assumed to be equal to the rotor length (or blade chord), and 17 percent of the rotor length required for clearance between rotor and stator and the same clearance between the stator and the next rotor.

The total number of blades is calculated from the specified tip solidity (C/S) and aspect ratio (AR), and previously calculated tip diameter (D_+) and blade height (h_B) :

$$N_{B} = \pi \cdot D_{t} \cdot C/s \cdot \frac{AR}{h_{B}}$$
(4)

This value is truncated to an integer number of blades, and the same value is used for the stator.

The total volume of metal in the compressor blades is then calculated from;

$$V_{\rm B} = \frac{K + h_{\rm B}^3}{AR^2}$$
(5)

where K is a volume factor which accounts for firtree mount volume, taper ratio, and thickness-to-chord variations in typical blades. For the data base engines, K was found to be 0.06 for fan blades and 0.12 for compressor blades with hub/tip radius ratios less than 0.8. For compressor blades with hub/tip radius ratios greater than 0.8;

K = 0.12 + 0.04 (h/t - 0.8)(6)

The rotating blade weight of each stage is determined from the blade volume and material density. Material density automatically changes from titanium to steel when the stage inlet temperature exceeds a specified maximum, normally 644°K (ll60°R). Stage temperature is determined from the engine cycle data, the equal work assumption, and the specified over-temperature ratio. Stator blade weight and dimensions are assumed equal to the rotor blades and include the inner shroud. The maximum blade centrifugal stress occurs at the blade root and is a function of tip speed, blade height, taper ratio, and material density. Expressed in terms of the nondimensional blade geometry, the equation for blade root centrifugal stress is:

$$\sigma = \frac{100\rho v_t^2}{g \cdot TR} \left[\frac{1 - (h/t)^2}{2} + \frac{TR - 1}{12} (1 - h/t) (1 + 3 h/t) \right]$$
(7)

where U_t is the product of the design tip speed and the overspeed factor, $\text{RPM}_{\text{max}}/\text{RPM}_{\text{des}}$. An inserted blade is assumed, and the disk material is assumed to be titanium or steel forgings with ultimate strengths of 82.7 KN/cm² and 110.3 KN/cm² respectively. The rim of the disk is assumed to be 10 percent of the blade hub radius or 1.91 cm, whichever is greater. The remaining disk volume is assumed to be a trapezoidal section rotated about the axis. The thickness of the trapezoid at its outer radius is sized for 75 percent of the ultimate strength. Thickness of the trapezoid at the inner radius is based on 50 percent of ultimate strength for the tangential stress. This value was selected based on an experimentally determined burst speed margin.

If the average tangential stress can be satisfied with a constant thickness disk, the bore radius is increased until the lowest weight disk is achieved that just meets the design criteria.

Disk stresses are calculated based on the total blade force acting on the outer circumference of the disk, and are therefore sensitive to changes in blade aspect ratio and solidity, as well as blade centrifugal stress and hub radius.

Dimensions of succeeding stages are based on the design mode selected (constant mean, tip, or hub radius). In the constant mean line method, for example, the mean radius is based on the mean flow area of the first stage. This mean radius is held

constant for subsequent stages. Corrected airflow at the entry of each stage is determined from the calculated state conditions derived from the equal work per stage assumption. Stage inlet Mach number is assumed to vary proportionally to the number of stages when different inlet and exit Mach numbers are specified. Tip speeds for the downstream stages are then calculated from the stage dimensions and shaft speed. Blade aspect ratio is determined by assuming a proportional change for each stage if inlet and exit aspect ratios are different.

Figure 4 illustrates the stage coupling method that was developed by Boeing and used in WATE-S. The spacer, nuts, and bolts are assumed to be steel, with the spacer being a 0.191-cm thick cylinder located at 75 percent of blade hub radius. The connecting hardware weight (W_{hw}) is estimated by the following equation:

$$W_{hw} = 2\pi (0.75 \cdot R_h) \cdot 0.191 \cdot L_{STG} \cdot \rho$$
 (8)

where R_h is the blade hub radius, $L_{\mbox{Stg}}$ is the stage length, and ρ is the material density.

The outer case is the last item of weight included in the compressor weight buildup. Average case thickness in the data base engines was 0.254-cm equivalent thickness, including fasteners and flanges. Case weight is calculated stage by stage, and the same material used in the disk is also assumed for the case:

$$W_{c} = \pi D_{t} \cdot L_{STG} \cdot 0.254 \cdot \rho$$
 (9)

A CARLEN CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

where D_{+} is the stage tip diameter.

Total stage weight is the sum of the rotor blades, stators, disk, connecting hardware, and case. Stage weights are summed to



Figure 4. Typical Stage Components.

give the total component weight. The sum of all stage lengths gives the total compressor length. Inlet guide vanes are not included in the compressor weight, but can be accounted for with a frame (see Section 3.1.9).

Rotational inertia of the compressor is determined by finding the inertia of each stage of the component. It is assumed that blades have uniform weight/area and the disk is of uniform thickness. This method had been applied to several existing engines and the results showed good agreement. The following equations are used for the blades and disk inertias:

$$I_{B} = \left[\frac{W_{B}}{g}\left(\frac{h_{B}^{2}}{3} + R_{h}^{2}\right)\right]N_{B}$$
(10)

$$I_{\rm D} = \frac{W_{\rm D}}{2g} \cdot R_{\rm h}^2$$
(11)

The total stage inertia is the sum of blade and disk inertias

$$I_{Stg} = I_B + I_D$$
(12)

The component inertia is the sum of the inertia of each stage.

3.1.2 Rotating Splitter

A rotating splitter, see Figure 5, is a circumferential separator of two flows within the same component. These flows normally have different pressures and temperatures, and the splitter must perform a sealing function. Stages that incorporate rotating splitters are treated the same as compressors; a rotorstator pair comprises one stage, stator weight and size are assumed to be the same as the rotor blade, and rotor-stator spacing is 17 percent of rotor length.



Figure 5. Rotating Splitter.

The rotating splitter adds weight to the blade and increases the centrifugal blade force. Consequently, the disk must be heavier to carry the added load. Splitter weight per blade is estimated by:

$$W_{\rm SPL} = \frac{2\pi\kappa_{\rm SPL}C^2 \cdot 0.10 \cdot \rho}{N_{\rm B}}$$
 (13)

÷

where C is the blade chord found from Equation (3) and $R_{\rm SPL}$ is the radial location of the splitter. Thickness of the splitter is assumed to be 10 percent of the chord, however, this choice was based on only one engine, the General Electric CJ805-23. The CJ805-23 aft-fan blade has a rotating splitter which has a box section. The solid equivalent thickness of the hollow box was approximately 10 percent of the chord. A more accurate estimate could be made by actual design of the cantilevered platform to the desired deflection and/or stress levels.

The centrifugal force contribution of the rotating splitter is:

$$F_{SPL} = \frac{Mr\omega^2}{g} = \frac{W_{SPL}}{100g} \cdot R_{SPL} \cdot \frac{2\pi \cdot RPM}{60.0} + 2$$
 (14)

where RPM is the shaft speed determined in the same manner as for a compressor.

Blade root centrifugal stress (Equation 7) is increased by the amount

$$\Delta \sigma = \frac{F_{SPL}}{A_B} = \frac{F_{SPL}}{C^2 \cdot t/C}$$
(15)

where t/C is the thickness/chord ratio of the blade (which is assumed to be 10 percent). Disk weight is determined with the increased stress level using the procedures described for axial fans and compressors (Section 3.1.1).

Shaft speed determination (as described in Section 3.1.1) assumes that blade-root stress is subcritical. Use of a rotating splitter will cause the blade centrifugal stress to increase significantly, and the WATE-S output should be inspected to determine whether or not the stress level is acceptable. Reduction of shaft speed may be required to reduce the stress level.

If shaft speed is decreased, additional compressor stages may be required to accomplish the same work. Alternatively, the radius ratio of the compressor can be increased to restore work capacity (due to higher tip speed). Disk weight of each stage will increase for this compromise, however. The final choice must be iterated external to WATE-S and may depend on whether or not the flow path is reasonably well matched to connecting components.

These secondary effects may have a much larger impact on the engine weight than the weight of the splitter material, and they should not be ignored.

3.1.3 Axial Turbines

The methods used for axial turbines are generally the same as those previously described for axial compressors. The following input data is required:

- o The number of stages or the maximum mean diameter of the first stage
- Inlet Mach number (axial) of the first stage, and exit
 Mach number (axial) of the last stage
- o Rotor blade aspect ratios of the first and last stages

o Blade tip solidity

- Reference disk stress, 0.2 percent yield point of the material selected
- Cooling indicator, to modify the blade volume calculation for cooling passages
- o Turbine design mode; i.e., constant hub diameter, constant mean line, or constant tip diameter
- o Shaft overspeed factor, RPM max/RPM des
- o Mean work coefficient, $\lambda_{m} = \frac{g N}{U_{m}^{2}}$ where $\Delta H/N =$ enthalpy change per stage and $U_{m} =$ mean blade speed
- o Blade material density
- o Blade taper ratio
- o Blade volume factor; ratio of blade volume and total annulus volume.

Two alternative procedures may be used to size the turbine: (1) specify the number of stages, and assuming equal work per stage, calculate the mean diameter of the first stage based on the input turbine mean work crefficient; or (2) specify the maximum mean diameter of the first stage, and assuming equal work per stage, calculate the number of stages required to satisfy the input turbine mean work coefficient. Total component work and state conditions are taken from the engine cycle data.

The flow area required to pass the corrected airflow at the specified Mach number is calculated at the inlet of each stage. For the first stage, the hub and tip radii are calculated from this area and the previously determined turbine mean radius. Subsequent stage dimensions are calculated based on the design mode

selected (constant mean, hub, or tip diameter). When the firststage inlet Mach number and aspect ratio are different from the last stage values, a proportional change is assumed for the intermodiate stages.

Rotor blade chord and number of blades are determined by the same methods used for axial compressors. Blade volume is also determined by the same method, Equation (5), except that K = 0.155 for high-pressure turbines and K = 0.195 for low-pressure turbines. When the blade is a cooled blade, the calculated volume is reduced 20 percent to compensate for cooling-air passages. Blade weight is then found from the specified material density. Blade root centrifugal stress is calculated by Equation (7). The disks are calculated by the preliminary design procedure already discussed for axial compressors.

Each stage of the turbine is treated as a stator-rotor pair (as opposed to rotor-stator pair in the compressor). Stator blades are assumed to have the same number and volume of material as the rotor blades. The stator weight is calculated by Equation (5), with K = 0.155 for high-pressure turbines and K = 0.195 for low-pressure turbines. Stator-rotor spacing is the same as compressors, 17 percent of the rotor length. Stator AR is taken to be 83 percent of the rotor blade AR.

Connecting hardware and case weight are also determined by the same methods used in the compressors. The total weight and length of the turbine component is the sum of disk, blade, stator, connecting hardware, and case. No exit guide vanes (EGV) are assumed in the turbine component. EGV's, if required, can be considered a part of the exit frame weight. Rotational inertia is determined in the same manner as the compressor.

3.1.4 Centrifugal Compressors

The procedure used for centrifugal compressors is a preliminary design approach similar in principle to that used for axial compressors. Blade volumes and weights are determined and a disk is sized to support the blades for allowable stress levels based on inlet and exit flow path temperatures. The following inputs are required for centrifugal compressors:

- o Inlet Mach number
- Maximum first-stage pressure ratio, which reflects the design approach and technology level. Stage work for this stage will be held constant for the second stage. Alternatively, the number of stages can be specified.
- o Inlet hub/tip radius ratio
- o Number of blades and splitters
- o Exit Mach number
- o RPM_{max}/RPM_{des} overspeed factor
- o Hub inlet/tipexit radius ratio

Only a one- or two-stage centrifugal compressor may be used in a WATE-S engine simulation. The total enthalpy change for the component is available from the engine cycle data. Equal work for each stage is assumed, and the number of stages is calculated to satisfy the maximum first-stage pressure ratio requirement. When the number of stages is input, first-stage pressure ratio is calculated based on equal work per stage and the input maximum pressure ratio is ignored.

The inlet flow area is determined from the specified Mach number and inlet corrected flow from the engine cycle data. The inlet hub (R_{1H}) and shroud (R_{1S}) radii are calculated from the specified inlet/hub tip radius ratio (see Figure 6).



Figure 6. Contrifugal Compressor/Radial Turbine Schematic.

$$R_{1S} = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda_{inlet}}{\pi [1 - (h/t)^2]}}$$
(16)

$$R_{\rm LH} = h/t \cdot R_{\rm LS}$$
(17)

The exit tip radius (R_t) is then calculated from the input hub_{inlet}/tip_{exit} radius ratio. The exit flow area is determined from the specified exit Mach number and corrected flow from the engine cycle data. The compressor exit blade length (BL) is calculated from this area and R_t :

$$BL = \frac{A_{exit}}{2\pi R_{e}}$$
(18)

ORIGINAL LASS WS OF POCK QUALLEY

The ratio of axial length to radial height of the blade at the shroud (D_Z/D_R) , is assumed to be 2., and the flow path is assumed to be comprised of two ellipses. Blade volume is computed assuming the blade thickness at the shroud is 0.076 cm and the thickness at the hub results in 15-percent blockage;

$$t_{hub} = \frac{0.15 (2\pi R_{1H})}{N_B}$$
 (19)

where N_B is the number of blades. The compressor material and allowable blade and disk stress levels are selected based on the maximum temperature conditions for the compressor.

The compressor speed is determined from the current (1982) technology design pressure-ratio corrected tip-speed correlation illustrated in Figure 7. Once the material is selected and the rpm is calculated, the blade weight, stress, and natural frequencies are calculated.



Figure 7. Centrifugal Compressor Tip-Speed Correlation.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

The compressor disk is sized by an iterative preliminary design procedure to support the blades and minimize the stage weight, while maintaining the maximum allowable stress level at the bore. This is done by varying the bore radius and backside geometry of the disk.

Total stage weight is the sum of the blade, disk, shroud, and diffuser weights; and crossover duct weight if a two-stage centri-fugal compressor is modeled (see Section 3.1.6, Equation 32).

$$W_{shroud} = 0.1673 \rho \frac{R_{1s} + R_{t}^{3}}{2}$$
 (20)

$$W_{\text{diffuser}} = 0.2845 \rho R_t^3 \qquad (21)$$

The diffuser radius is assumed to be 1.6 times the exit tip radius (R_{+}) and the length equal to 7 percent of its diameter.

The total stage inertia is estimated from the following expression:

$$I_{cent} = 0.1086 \rho R_t^5$$
 (22)

where ρ is the material density selected.

3.1.5 Radial Turbines

The method described for centrifugal compressors is generally the same for radial turbines. The input data required is;

o Inlet Mach number

o Turbine work coefficient,
$$\lambda_t = \frac{g\Delta H/N}{U_t^2}$$

- o Number of blades and splitters
- o Exit Mach number
- o RPM_{max}/RPM_{des} overspeed factor.

Only a single-stage radial turbine is allowed. The total enthalpy change for the turbine is known from the engine cycle data. The inlet tip radius (R_t) of the turbine is calculated from the input turbine work coefficient and the previously calculated shaft speed. The inlet flow area is determined from the specified Mach number and the corrected flow from the engine cycle data. The inlet blade length is calculated from:

$$BL = \frac{A_{inlet}}{2\pi R_{t}}$$
(23)

The exit shroud radius (R_{1S}) of the turbine is assumed to be 70 percent of the inlet radius (R_t) and the exit hub radius (R_{1H}) is calculated knowing the exit corrected flow and Mach number.

$$R_{1H} = \sqrt{R_{1S}^2 - \frac{A_{exit}}{\pi}}$$
(24)

The ratio of axial length to radial height of the blade is assumed to be 2.1, and the flow path is assumed to be comprised of two ellipses. Blade volume is computed the same as for centrifugal compressors. The turbine material is assumed to be a nickel-based superalloy with a density of 0.00792 kg/cm^3 and allowable stress levels for the blade and disk of 51.7 KN/cm² and 86.2 KN/cm², respectively. Disk weight is calculated the same as for the centrifugal compressors.

Total component weight is the sum of the blade, disk, and shroud weights.

original, page is of poor quality.

$$W_{\rm shroud} = 0.1755\rho \left(\frac{R_{\rm 1S} + R_{\rm t}}{2}\right)^3$$
 (25)

The total inertia of the radial turbine is estimated from the following expression:

$$I_{rad turb} = 0.1687 \rho R_t^5$$
 (26)

3.1.6 <u>Ducts</u>

The design criteria used to size the ducts in WATE-S is assumed to be the internal pressure. Structural loads cannot be addressed in the preliminary design process where WATE-S is intended to be used. Figure 8 illustrates the typical duct geometry assumed. Generally, the outer surface of the OD duct wall is exposed to ambient pressure or fan duct pressure. The inner surface of the ID duct wall can be subjected to fan pressure, LP compressor pressure, or HP compressor exit pressure, etc. For these reasons, ambient pressure is assumed for the calculations of duct wall thickness, this assumption results in conservative weight estimates.



Figure 8. Duct Schematic.

The equation for stress on a longitudinal section of a thinwalled cylinder subjected to internal pressure is (ref. 2):

$$\sigma = \frac{PD}{2t} \tag{27}$$

or solving for the minimum thickness, t_{min}:

$$t_{\min} = \frac{PD}{2\sigma}$$
 (28)

where σ is the allowable stress level, P the internal total pressure, and D the duct wall diameter. A minimum gage thickness of 0.127 cm is assumed for all ducts. The assumed materials are titanium (σ = 34.5 KN/cm²) below 644°K (ll60°R) and stainless steel (σ = 48.3 KN/cm²) above 644°K (ll60°R). The material is selected based on the total temperature of the duct airflow. The weight is calculated as a function of the duct length (L), the inner diameter (D_i), the outer diameter (D_o), and the calculated wall thicknesses ($t_{min,i}$ and $t_{min,o}$);

$$W_{\text{duct}} = \rho \pi L \left(D_{\text{otmin},0} + D_{\text{itmin},i} \right)$$
(29)

Duct Mach number is specified as an input, and corrected airflow is determined from the engine cycle data. The inner and outer diameter are determined as a function of the required flow area and the dimensions of the connecting upstream component.

Care should be taken to ascertain whether these assumptions apply for specific engine configurations. For example, a thinwalled cylinder subjected to an external collapsing pressure will fail at a much lower pressure than it would if it were subjected to an internal bursting pressure, as assumed in the duct weight calculation. If both ID and OD walls of the duct are exposed to ambient pressure, the ID wall should be sized to avoid collapse, such as determined experimentally by Stewart (ref. 2) for lapwelded steel tubes: ORIGINAL CHORE IN OF POOR QUALITY.

$$P_{max} = 689.5 \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - 1600 \left(\frac{t}{D}\right)^2}\right]$$
 (30)

or expressed in terms of minimum wall thickness:

$$t_{min} = \frac{D}{40} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1 - \frac{P_{max}}{689.5}}{2}\right)^2}$$
 (31)

WATE-S does not perform the above calculation to determine whether collapsing pressure sizes the ID wall.

Crossover ducts are required to join two centrifugal compressors, and are calculated in a different manner. The crossover duct diameter is assumed to be 1.6 times the exit tip radius of the upstream centrifugal compressor. The duct length is assumed to be 20 percent of the diameter and the weight is estimated from the following expression:

$$W_{\text{crossover duct}} = 2.283 R_{t}^{3}$$
 (32)

where R_t is the exit tip diameter of the upstream centrifugal compressor.

3.1.7 Burners

The methods used for burners are based on a calculated volume of materials, similar to the previously described methods for ducts. However, wall liners, fuel manifolds, and fuel nozzle weights are also calculated as shown in Figure 9. The method described is used for primary burners, both axial and reverseflow, as well as duct heaters and afterburners.

The required inputs include;

o Burner residence time

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

- o Through-flow velocity
- Burner mean radius for axial primary burners and duct heaters, and inner radius for reverse-flow primary burners.



Figure 9. Burner Schematic.

The differences in burner types and configuration are reflected in the input values. When a primary burner is specified, a frame weight may be added. Primary burners and duct heaters require a radius input, while the afterburner is assumed to have no inner wall.

Burner flow area is determined from the input through flow velocity, the mean radius, and the inlet-corrected airflow from the engine cycle data. Burner length is found to give the specified residence time based on the input velocity and entry conditions. Flow area is used to obtain the inner and outer dimensions of the burner (R_i and R_o) from the specified mean radius. Inner and outer case thicknesses are determined from Equation (28). The assumed material is steel with an allowable stress of 48.3 KN/cm². The weight of the inner and outer case is determined from Equation (29) using the burner length L.

.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Liner weight is determined in a similar manner, assuming 0.140-cm thick steel walls, located at 20 percent of passage height from the inner and outer case. The burner dome, fuel mani-fold, fuel nozzles, and other components are estimated by the fol-lowing equation developed by Boeing.

$$W_{\text{dome}} = 0.1508 (R_0^2 - R_i^2)$$
 (33)

Total burner weight is the sum of the inner and outer cases and liners, burner dome and fuel nozzle system, and frame when specified.

3.1.8 Shafts

A shaft is assumed to be the power connection component between compressors and turbines, or propellers/propfans and turbines. Figure 10 illustrates the shaft geometry and nomenclature.


Multiple concentric shafts can also be specified, and will be sized around the inner shaft with 0.381-cm radial clearance. Dimensions of the inner shaft are determined to provide the necessary torque capability at the specified allowable stress. Total shaft power is the summation of work for all turbines on the shaft. Torque is calculated by:

$$T = \frac{10^5}{\omega} - \Sigma PW \qquad (34)$$

where ω is the shaft rotational speed.

Shear stress due to the torque load is defined by (ref. 2)

$$\tau = \frac{16 \text{ T } \text{D}_{0}}{\pi (\text{D}_{0}^{4} - \text{D}_{1}^{4})}$$
(35)

or in terms of the input diameter ratio (D_i/D_o)

$$\tau = \frac{16T}{\pi D_0^3 \left[1 - \left(\frac{D_0}{D_1}\right)^4\right]}$$
(36)

Solving for ${\rm D}_{\rm O}$ in terms of allowable stress

$$D_{O} = \left\{ \frac{16T}{\pi \tau \left[1 - \left(\frac{D_{O}}{D_{i}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]} \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
(37)

The shaft weight is then found by

$$W = L_{\rho \pi} \frac{D_o^2}{4} \left[1 - \left(\frac{D_o}{D_i} \right)^2 \right]$$
(38)

ORIGINAL MAGE TO OF POOR QUALITY

A similar procedure is used for concentric shafts. The outer shaft's inner diameter is found by adding 0.762 cm to D_{Q} , and Equation (37) is solved by iteration to satisfy the desired allowable stress.

While it is assumed in the shaft weight estimate that torque determines the shaft dimensions, it should be recognized that other design considerations may dictate shaft dimensions. Shaft critical speeds or longitudinal stiffness may actually design the shaft, but this is a function of bearing arrangement, mount stiffness, location of and stiffness of rotating masses. The calculated shaft weight should be considered to be an absolute minimum, and can possibly be much larger when these other criteria are considered. The rotational inertia of the shaft is not calculated, since it is a negligible quantity compared to the compressors and turbines.

3.1.9 Frames

A structural frame is normally required to span the engine flow path from the outer engine case to the shaft, usually to support a bearing (as shown in Figure 11 for several typical engines). Mechanical design of the frame would require a definition of all loads imposed on the frame under normal operating conditions, transients, and adverse operating conditions, such as a hard landing. This level of detail is normally not available at the preliminary design stage for which WATE-S has been developed.

Boeing had found, however, that the frame weight correlates well with the total frame-projected area. This data is shown in Figure 12 for five types of frames commonly used: single-bearing frames with and without power takeoff (PTO), turbine exit, and intermediate. Frame weight is determined from this data, based on the local diameter and the type of frame specified.







Figure 11. Frame Types.





Figure 12. Frame Weight.

3.1.10 Nozzles and Thrust Reversers

250

Unlike the rotating components, the loads and load paths of nozzles (particularly variable area C-D nozzles) are not readily defined on a general basis. A selected type of nozzle could be subjected to a detailed weight-estimating procedure, however, the trade-offs of internal and external performance with nozzle length and diameter would also be necessary to optimize the design. This type of data is not likely to be available at the level of development for which WATE-S is intended.

A procedure has been developed that shows proper trends for multiple-stream nozzles and for variable geometry and fixedgeometry nozzles. Nozzle length is specified and should be selected to be representative for the type of nozzle. An effective surface area is calculated based on the diameter of the connecting component and the specified length. Only circular, conical nozzles are assumed, and coannular nozzles can be represented by specifying a circular nozzle for each flow path. Plug nozzles can be represented by specifying a larger effective length; e.g., from nozzle entry to end of plug.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Wall thickness is assumed to be a 0.1626-cm composite of titanium (0.3013-cm) and stainless steel (0.0813-cm) below 644°K (1160°R) and 0.0813-cm stainless steel above 644°K (1160°R). Variable nozzles are calculated in the same manner except that the effective wall thickness is 2.75 times that of the fixed nozzle.

A weight estimating method for thrust reversers previously developed for aircraft preliminary design studies by Boeing was based on the weight of 18 different thrust reversers that are in current use. It had been found in correlations of this data that reverser weight (W) is a function of corrected mass flow $(\frac{W\sqrt{\theta}}{\delta})$ and nozzle pressure ratio (P_R), and is dependent on whether the stream is hot (primary) or cold (fan). The following relationship is included in WATE-S:

$$W = \left[K_1 \frac{W\sqrt{\theta}}{\delta} + K_2 \right] \left[K_3 P_R + K_4 \right]$$
(39)

	^K 1	к2	кз	к ₄
Hot (primary)	0.52631	191.87	1.0036	-0.5054
Cold (secondary)	2.2222	5.0348	0.23014	0.56091

The WATE-S method will apply the cold stream equation to a fan stream whether or not it is heated by a duct burner. The hot stream equation is used for turbine exit or mixed-flow exhaust streams.

3.1.11 Mixers

A mixer is a mechanical device placed at the confluence of two coannular streams to increase the mixing boundary so that thermal mixing takes place in a minimum length. Figure 13 illustrates a typical example of a m'xer. This type of mixer is known as a daisy mixer or forced mixer.



Figure 13. Daisy or Forced Mixer

The required inputs include:

- o Mixer specific length, $L_{sp} = \frac{L}{\sqrt{4A/\pi}}$
- o Number of lobes or passages, N
- o Engine core and bypass flow areas, AII and AIO

Engine core and bypass annular flow areas are taken from the engine cycle data, and the inlet radius (R_i) of the upstream component is used as a starting point for locating R_m and R_o , as shown in Figure 14. R_i normally will be the hub radius of the final turbine stage.





 R_m is based on core flow area (AII) and R_i

A = (AIO + AII)/2 where AIO and AII are inputs L_{SD} = $L/\sqrt{4A/\pi}$ is an input, calculate L

= is the number of lobes or passages input

$$W_{mix} = 1.25 \text{ N} (R_0 - R_m) \text{ L} \text{ K}_1$$

$$W_{\text{plug}} = \left[2\pi R_{i} L + \frac{\pi R_{i}^{2}}{\sin 15} \right] K_{2}$$

 K_1 and K_2 are products of material density and thickness: $K_1 = (0.127 \text{ cm}) (0.00775 \text{ kg/cm}^3) = 0.00098 \text{ kg/cm}^2 (\text{steel})$ $K_2 = (0.0813 \text{ cm}) (0.00775 \text{ kg/cm}^3) = 0.00063 \text{ kg/cm}^2 (\text{steel})$

Figure 14. Mixer Schematic.

The mixer is assumed to consist of two components, the mechanical mixer section and the mixer core plug, both fabricated from stainless steel with a density of 0.00775 kg/cm^3 . The average flow

40

N

area of the mixer is used with the input specific length to determine the length of the mechanical mixer section. The mechanical mixer section is assumed to be constructed of 0.127-cm material and the weight estimated from the following expression:

 $W_{mix} = 1.25 N (R_o - R_m) L\rho (0.127)$ (40)

The mixer core plug is assumed to be constructed of 0.0813-cm material and has a cylindrical section as well as a conical section. The mixer plug weight is estimated from the following expression:

$$W_{plug} = \left[2 \pi R_{i}L + \frac{R_{i}^{2}}{\sin 15^{\circ}} \right] \rho(0.0813)$$
(41)

The total mix ... weight is then:

$$W = W_{mix} + W_{plug}$$
(42)

3.1.12 Annulus Inverting Valve (AIV)

This device has been used in some variable-cycle engines to invert the annular position of two concentric flow paths. It accomplishes the flow inversion within a constant diameter envelope, and with constant-area duct passages. Figure 15 shows a typical example of an AIV. This AIV was designed to vary the bypass ratio in a JT8D engine.

The AIV weight method assumes a constructon similar to that shown in Figure 15, except that instead of sheet-metal, the material is assumed to be a titanium honeycomb at 5.37 kg/m² below 644° K (1160°R) and steel honeycomb at 9.13 kg/m² above 644° K (1160°R). If desired, different materials can be specified.

41

N I

ORIGINAL PACE IS OF POOR QUALITY



Figure 15. Typical Annulus Inverting Valve: JT8D Variable-Bypass Engine Test.

ORIGIMAL PROF. 43 OF POOR QUALITY

An empirical relationship, similar to the mixer method, had been developed by Boeing for estimation of the AIV weight:

$$W_{\Lambda IV} = L \cdot \frac{W}{A} \left[2 \pi \left(R_{i} + 2 R_{o} \right) + 3.93 R_{M} + 1.25 N \left(R_{o} - R_{i} \right) \right]$$
 (43)

where R_i is the hub radius of the upstream connecting component, and R_m and R_0 are found to satisfy the input Mach number with the inlet corrected airflow (see Figure 16). The number of passages (N) is an input, and material weight per unit area (W/A) is selected depending on the stream temperature. Length (L) of the AIV is calculated from the input specific length, L_{sp} :

 $L = L_{SP} \sqrt{4A/\pi}$



Figure 16. Annulus-Inverting Valve Schematic.

43

(44)

Specific length is preferred as an input because it is nondimensional, and it is a major variable that determines AIV pressure loss. A relatively good compromise between size and performance is achieved when N=8 and $L_{\rm SP}$ =0.8 to 1.0, which results in a pressure loss between 2.5 and 1.5 percent.

If the AIV is of the switching type, where one half indexes in a rotational direction relative to the other half to change flow-path orientation, an actuator weight is estimated at 10 percent of total AIV weight. Additional structure to support the rotating half is not included and should be represented as an additional frame.

3.1.13 Gearboxes and Transmissions

A method of estimating the weight of various types of gear systems has been previously developed by Schmidt (ref. 3). This method has proved applicable for estimating the weight of turbofan engine gearboxes, such as the one in the Garrett TFE731. The equation used for total gearbox weight is

Gearbox Wt = 15.5 .
$$\frac{PW}{GR(RPM)}$$
 . (1. + GR)³ (45)

where $\frac{PW}{GR(RPM)}$ defines the maximum torque transmitted and GR = gear ratio (> 1.)

The gearbox used in turboprop engines is weighed with a different method.

Gearbox Wt = 471.86
$$\left(\frac{PW}{Prop.RPM}\right)$$
 0.8 (46)

3.1.14 Heat Exchangers

Both rotary and fixed heat exchanger weights can be estimated. Methods previously developed produce adequate results for preliminary design purposes, see Figure 17.



ROTARY HEAT EXCHANGER

Figure 17. Heat Exchangers.

For rotary heat exchangers, a ceramic core is assumed. Weights of this type of heat exchanger have been determined by the Corning Glass Company (ref. 4) and are represented in Table I for various levels of effectiveness and pressure loss. This data is developed for a total corrected airflow of 90.7 kg/s. For other sizes, these weights are scaled directly with corrected flow.

TABLE I. CERAMIC ROTARY REGENERATOR WEIGHT

9	0.7 kg/s	correct	ed weig	ht flow		
BPR	3	}		7	1	.0
ΔP/P (%)	5	10	5	10	5	10
Weight (kg) € ≈ 80% 85% 90%	305.7 440.4 735.7	245.8 342.0 538.9	284.9 414.6 647.7	201.8 290.3 492.1	272.2 378.3 595.6	191.9 279.9 450.9

Fixed-tube heat exchangers are estimated by a heat-transfer analysis (ref. 5) where the required tube surface area is found to give the specified effectiveness. Flow area of the tubes is found from an input Mach number, number of tubes, and corrected flow. An estimate of the pressure drops is made for the input number of tubes based on input relative roughness values for each flow path. The flow Reynolds number is calculated and the Moody diagram is used to estimate the friction factor, and thus the pressure drop. These calculated AP/P's can be used to ascertain whether the number of tubes selected is reasonable. Wall thickness of the tubes is determined by Equation (28) to satisfy an assumed allowable stress of 34.5 KN/cm² and a density of 0.00465 Kg/cm³ below 644°K (1160 °R). A stress of 48.3 KN/cm² and a density of 0.00792 Kg/cm³ Minimum wall thickness of is assumed above 644°K (1160°R). 0.0254-cm is used for the tubes. The length of the tubes is determined to satisfy the surface area requirements. Fixed-tube heat exchanger tube weight (W_{tubes}), is then found by

$$W_{tubes} = \rho L \pi (R_0^2 - R_i^2)$$
 (47)

where R_0 and R_1 are the tube radii and L is the total length required. A wrap-up factor of 1.85 is used to account for the weight of the casings, mounting hardware, manifolds, and other equipment that may be necessary.

$$W_{\rm HTEX} = 1.85 \cdot W_{\rm tubes} \tag{48}$$

3.1.15 Propellers and Propfans

The following expressions for estimating the weight and diameter of advanced technology propellers and propfans were derived from methods developed by Hamilton Standard (ref. 6). It is noted that these expressions are based upon a conventional blade of the stated materials with a generally rectangular platform shape, and

医外外外的 计分子分子 化分子分子 化分子分子 化分子分子 化合金化合金 医外外外的 计分子 医外外外的 医外外的 医外外的 医外外的 医外外的 医外外的 医外外的 化分子分子 化分子分子

ORIGINAL PORTEN

a standard flanged shank. The blade weight relations are for common propeller materials; composite and aluminum. If other materials are desired, a density ratio can be used to estimate the weight. However, allowable working stresses and blade stiffness may vary and considerable judgement is required to account for these effects.

The expression used in WATE-S for double acting, advanced technology propellers is

Prop Wt = K
$$\left[\left(\frac{D}{3.048} \right)^2 \left(\frac{N}{4} \right)^{0.7} \left(\frac{AF}{100} \right)^{0.75} \left(\frac{U_t}{319.2} \right)^{0.5} \right]$$

(Mn + 1)^{0.5} $\left(\frac{PW}{D^2} \right)^{0.12}$ (49)

where K = 42.6 for composite materials

57.6 for aluminum

$$D = diameter (m)$$

- N = number of blades
- AF = blade activity factor
- PW = maximum shaft power (KWatt)
- Mn = design cruise Mach number
- $U_{\perp} = 100$ -percent design tip speed (m/s)

The expression for propfans is

Propfan Wt =
$$\left(2.476 + 2.005 \left(\frac{PW}{D^2}\right)^{0.35}\right) D^{2.5} \left(\frac{AF}{231}\right)^{0.75} \left(\frac{U_t}{243.8}\right)^{0.3} \left(\frac{N}{8}\right)^{0.65}$$
 (50)

The input quantities are tip speed, PW/D^2 or D, the number of blades, activity factor, and design cruise Mach number. The calculation procedure determines the diameter if not input, then the propeller RPM is calculated from the tip speed,

$$RPM = \frac{60 \ U_{\rm L}}{\pi \ \rm p} \tag{51}$$

and finally the propeller or propfan weight is calculated from the above expressions.

3.1.16 Accessories

Accessory weight data was collected from twelve general aviation aircraft manufacturers and was supplemented with Garrett data for several engines. The data is presented in Table II and includes 22 various turbofan, turboprop, and turboshaft engines ranging in sea level static thrusts from 2.67 KN to 22.46 KN and maximum shaft horsepowers from 0.373 mWatt to 1.163 mWatt.

A tremendous amount of scatter exists in the data, and hence, separate correlations for each accessory were not possible. Battery weights varied and were generally the heaviest accessory. For these reasons, the starter/generator, hydraulic pump, oil pump, and fuel pump were lumped together and a single correlation derived for these accessories (note that the battery is not included).

Accs Wt =
$$18.2 + 0.02$$
 (bare engine weight) (52)

If this calculation results in an accessory weight fraction less than 10 percent of the bare engine weight, then 10 percent of the bare engine weight is used for the accessories. The bare engine weight where this break point occurs is 198.4 kg.

3.2 Other Program Functions and Capabilities

3.2.1 Flight Envelope Maximization

In the normal use of the WATE-S program, a flight envelope of engine cycle data will be generated. Since the weight of each

							į				
Aireraft Manufacturer	Aircraft Model	Engin	des/NJ	Engine Weight	Starter Generator	Rydraulic Pumo 1	011 Pump	Puel Puel	Battery	Propeller Pitch Controller	el spella- apeous
Dassault 11252	Falcon 10 Falcon 20	TFE731-2 CF730	15.57 20.02	322	15.2 28.6	1.50				90///10 90	
	Falcon 200 Falcon 50	AFT3 TFE731-3	16.45	334	18.1	2.1					
Piper	Cheyenne 2 Cheyenne 3	PT6-11 PT6-11	0.534	137	11.2	8.0			34.0		m m n n
Lockheed	Jetstar 2	TPE731-3	16.46	324	15.4	4.1	-+				
Rockwell	Sat-eliner	TPE731-3 CP700 JT12	16.46 20.02 14.68	334 212 212	16.6 28.6 28.6						
Gates	Learjet 25 Learjet 35/36	CJ610 TPE731-2 TPE731-3A	13.12 16.46 16.46	180 994 994	24.5 15.3 15.3	1.0 1.7 2.6					
Cessna	Conguest 411 Conguest 411 Contation 1 Citation 2	TPE331 PT6-112 JT15D-1 JT15D-4	0.645 0.396 9.79 11.12	170 145 231 253	14.5 14.5				43.5 34.0 36.3/2	• e	e z
	Citation 3	T?E731-3B	16.46	334	1.7.4 2.81		T		£3.5		0.3
Gulfstreda Svearinges	Cozyander Metro/Merlin 4C	TPE331-11	9.746	170	14.5	2-9			25.3	1.9	
Mitsubishi	Solitaire	PT6-45C TPE331 TTE331	0.626	192 163 253	14.5	r • •			43.5		
A:Research Avn.		TPE331 P76 TPE731 77150	0.533 0.533 16.46 11.12	154 145 334 253							
Bell		P76 1706 LTS101	0.671 1.163 0.503	315/2 188 105	13.6 16.8 7.7		'	ŀ			
Rughes		250-C30 7700	0.503 1.119	131 188	7.7				17.9		
Garrett		TPE331-8 TPE331-11 TPE331-14/15		175 181 238	15.9		0.78		18.1	5.44/1.81*1	23.9 26.7 15.8/17.3
		TPE731 ATF3-6			 			3.97			11.4

TABLE II. ACCESSORY WEIGHT DATA

li R

•One battery for A/C •Double-acting/single-acting prop.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

49

component is affected by its maximum work, flow, temperature, and speed; these maximum values are stored for use in the dimension and weight calculations. The flight condition is given in the output data where the maximum condition occurs for each component.

3.2.2 Design Limits

As an aid to assist the user in achieving a reasonable engine design, the output will provide a warning and suggested corrective action to bring the engine design within reasonable limits. These limits can be specified, or default values will be used if not specified. Table III shows a list of warnings and corrective actions.

3.2.3 Automatic Airflow Scaling

The WATE-S program will automatically scale the engine ± 20 percent of the size that is defined by the thermodynamic input. Up to six selected scale factors can also be specified. A scaling exponent (ϵ) is calculated for each scaled engine based on the following expression:

$$W = W_{REF} \left(\frac{W_a}{W_{a_{REF}}} \right)^{e}$$
(53)

The scaling exponent (ϵ) for each engine size is provided in the output data.

3.2.4 Engine Center of Gravity

The center of gravity of each component, except the rotating components, is assumed to be the midpoint of its length. The moment relative to the front flange of the engine is determined as a function of the position of each component.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE III. DESIGN LIMITS

		والمحصور المراجع المراجع المراجع فالأوب كالمتحد وبالمحصر والمحصوب والمراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المحدور
Warning Message	Action Recommended	Default Value Tested Against
Blade Centrifugal Stress Exceeded	Reduce shaft speed (by rpm scalar) or increase exit Mach number	σ = 34.5 KN/cm ² psi HPT σ = 41.4 KN/cm ² psi LPT σ = 55.2 KN/cm ² psi fans and axial compressors
h/t Too Large	Reduce hub/tip ratio input	h/t = 0.93 HPC exit
h/t Too Small	Increase hub/tip ratio input	h/t = 0.32 fan, LPC, HPC inlet
		h/t = 0.50 HPT, LPT exit
Turbine Work Too High	Add turbine stages decrease λ_m input	$\lambda m \frac{\Delta H}{\theta} = 139.6 \text{ KJ/kg}$ HPT, LPT
Stage PR Too High	Reduce stage pres- sure ratio input	PR = 1.8 fan PR = 1.65 HPC, LPC
Flow Velocity Too High	Decrease stage inlet Mach number input	Mn _{exit} = 0.60 all components
Blade Size Too Small	Change overall pressure ratio or reduce h/t input	h _B = 1.016 cm all axial compressors
Compressor Work Coefficient Too High	Add compressor stages or increase hub/tip ratio	ψ = 0.9 all axial compressors
Flow Velocity Too High Blade Size Too Small Compressor Work Coefficient Too High	Decrease stage inlet Mach number input Change overall pressure ratio or reduce h/t input Add compressor stages or increase hub/tip ratio	$\frac{\text{Mn}_{\text{exit}} = 0.60 \text{ all}}{\text{components}}$ $\frac{\text{Mn}_{\text{B}} = 1.016 \text{ cm all}}{\text{compressors}}$ $\frac{\psi = 0.9 \text{ all axial}}{\text{compressors}}$

For rotating components, the weight of each stage is assumed to act at mid-length of the stage. Moments are summed about the front flange for each stage. The center of gravity of each rotating component and the total engine is calculated. CG locations are shown in the output data for the total engine and each component.

3.3 Program Validation

A verification of the accuracy of the WATE-S method can only be done by applying it to various types of engines and comparing the results with the actual measured engine weight and dimensions, or with those estimated by the manufacturer for proposed engines. Since the manufacturer's estimate of proposed engines also includes some error, the real deviation or error of the WATE-S method can only be found by comparing engines that have been built in production quantities.

In order to demonstrate the accuracy of WATE-S, the NASA Program Manager and Garrett jointly selected four Garrett propulsion engines for comparison. These included both production and proposed engines. Results of this comparison are presented in Figure 18. As can be seen, the predicted engine weight and overall dimensions of the selected engines are within the ±10 percent accuracy goal.



(i) A summary Weigner, and in the second second of the second second



4.0 USERS MANUAL

This section contains a description of the WATE-S input and output data, values of typical inputs, sample cases, and program structure definition. WATE-S is designed to function with a component type thermodynamic engine cycle analysis program. The thermodynamic engine design point may be used to generate the WATE-S inputs, or additional off-design points can be used and the maximum conditions of component work, flow, temperature, and pressure are used to size the turbomachinery components. In order to achieve the most accurate engine weight estimate, the off-design cases should include the maximum performance levels required of each component.

WATE-S will also accept input weight scalars for each component so that components may be selectively eliminated, increased, or decreased in weight to determine sensitivities, etc. Input and output units may be either English or SI.

4.1 Input Description and Formats

The inputs of WATE-S are arranged in three NAMELIST groups: \$C for the configuration data, \$T for the thermodynamic data, and \$W for the aeromechanical design data. The configuration data is input only once per WATE-S execution, whereas the thermodynamic and aeromechanical design inputs are grouped in pairs. Table IV provides a summary of the input variables. Figure 19 illustrates a typical card stacking arrangement to execute WATE-S. The following sections discuss each of these NAMELIST groups in detail and provide typical and default values where appropriate.

1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	is only needed once 2-S execution	itities are generally from the other \$C and \$T hence, are not required	ORIGINAL PAGE 18 OF POCS QUALITY
	npu t WATI	guar ted nd 1 case	
	ing	cula cula all	
	Thí đur	The cal inp in	
	NCOMP NOSTAT JTYPE JCONF	WTF TOTEMP TOPRES FAR PERPF DATOUT DATINP	IWMEC DESVAL ACCS IWT IPLT IPLT ISII ISII ISIO ISIO ISIO ISCALE SCALE ACCARM DISKWI
	u	11	ll
	ŞC	ŞT	MŚ
	e ¹ tst	elist	elist
ļ	Nam	Nan	Nam
	I	1	1
	Input	Input	Input
	ion	шi с	ical
	urat	dy na	chan
	ıfig	С H J S	e E O
	CO	The	Aei
	0	0	0

TABLE IV. WATE-S PROGRAM INPUT

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



Figure 19. Deck Stacking.

4.1.1 Configuration Inputs

The engine configuration input is contained in the \$C namelist. It is preceded by a title card describing the engine configuration or any other description the user might desire. The configuration inputs fully describe the component connectivity and define the flow stations throughout the engine. The following variables were originally supplied by NNEP (ref. 7) in WATE-2, but in WATE-S are required input.

- "NCOMP" is an integer variable defining the total number of components used in the WATE-S engine simulation.
- "NOSTAT" is an integer variable defining the total number of flow stations used for the thermodynamic simulation of the engine.
- "JTYPE" is a one-dimensional integer array containing the type of component.

1	Inlet	'INLT'				
2	Duct	'DUCT'				
3	Water Injection	'WINJ'				
4	Compressor	'COMP'				
5	Turbine	'TURB'				
6	Heat Exchanger	'HTEX'				
7	Splitter	'SPLT'				
8	Mixer	'MIXR'				
9	Nozzle	' NO 22 '				
10	Propeller	'PROP'	(this component in NNEP)	known	as	'LOAD'
11	Shaft	'SHFT'				

"JCONF" is a two-dimensional integer array defining the component connectivity using the flow station numbers. It is of the form JCONF(M,N) where M is the component number, and N is the variable number as described below.

Location

Description

- 1 is the primary upstream airflow station number for flow components; or the first component hooked onto a shaft, or the shaft hooked onto a propeller.
- 2 is the secondary upstream station number, or the second component hooked onto a shaft.
- 3 is the primary downstream station number, or the third component hooked onto a shaft.
- 4 is the secondary downstream station number, or the fourth component hooked onto a shaft.

A default engine configuration has been included in WATE-S. Figure 20 illustrates this engine; a two-spool turbofan with axial single-stage fan, axi-centrifugal HP compressor (three-stage axial), axial single-stage HP turbine, and axial two-stage LPT. The default \$C namelist data corresponding to this engine configuration are shown in Table V.



Figure 20. Default Engine Configuration.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE V. DEFAULT \$C VALUES

NCOMP = 17, NOSTAT = 19

.

Component	an an an an tha tha an		JC	ONF	
Number	JTYPE	1	2	3	4
1	1	1	0	6	2
2	4	2	0	3	0
3	2	3	0	4	0
4	9	4	0	5	0
5	4	6	0	7	0
6	2	7	0	8	0
7	4	8	0	9	10
8	2	9	0	11	0
9	4	11	0	12	13
10	2	12	0	14	0
11	5	14	13	15	0
12	2	15	0	16	0
13	5	16	10	17	0
14	2	17	0	18	0
15	9	18	0	19	0
16	11	5	13	o	0
17	11	7	9	11	0,

4.1.2 Thermodynamic Input

The thermodynamic input is contained in the \$T namelist. It is preceded by a title card describing the engine being weighed or any other description the user might desire. The thermodynamic input fully describe the properties for each flow station identified in the configuration input. These properties include mass flow rate, total pressure, total temperature, and fuel/air ratio. These inputs are used as component upstream flow conditions.

- "WTF" is a one-dimensional array containing the physical mass flow rate at each station (lbm/s).
- "TOPRES" is a one-dimensional array containing the total pressure at each station (psi).
- "TOTEMP" is a one-dimensional array containing the total temperature at each station (°R).
- "FAR" is a one-dimensional array containing the fuel/air ratio at each station.

In addition to the above, three additional arrays of data are required. These arrays were originally filled by the NNEP code in WATE-2, however, since WATE-S is not configured with an engine cycle code, separate input variables were needed.

"PERPF" is a one-dimensional array containing twelve values which describe general performance parameters for the engine.

Location

Description

1	inlet total flow (lbm/s)
2	gross thrust (1bf)
3	fuel flow (lbm/hr)
4	net thrust
5	TSFC

6	net thrust/inlet total flow
7	total inlet drag
8	total brake shaft power (hp)
9	installed thrust
10	installed TSFC
11	spillage and lip drag
12	boattail drag

This data is used for information purposes only, and hence, is considered optional in WATE-S.

- "DATINP" is a two-dimensional array containing several miscellaneous component properties. It is of the form DATINP(N,M) where M is the component number, and N is the variable number as described in Table VI. The only required inputs in this array are the shaft gear ratios. The remainder of the inputs are optional. The bleed fractions will be calculated from the "WTF" array input and the Mach number and altitude are for information purposes only.
- "DATOUT" is a two-dimensional array containing several other miscellaneous component properties. It is of the form DATOUT(N,M) where M is the component number, and N is the variable number as described in Table VII. The required inputs in this array include the turbine rpm, if the turbine is not connected to a compressor (free-turbine turboprop and turboshaft engines), splitter bypass ratio, and mixer primary and secondary flow areas. The remainder of the array is calculated from the "WTF", "TOPRES", TOTEMP", and "FAR" arrays, if not input.

Conponent								
Type	 2	3	Location 4	5	9	6	•	•
Inlet				Marb NO			>	
								Altitude
DUCT								
Water Inj.								
Compressor	Bleed Fraction							
Turbine	Bleed Fraction							
Reat Exchanger								
Splitter								
Mixer								
Sozzle								
Propeller								
Shaft	Gear (1) Ratio	Gear (1) Ratio	Gear (l) Ratio	Gear (l) Ratio				
1) - Cost								-(

TABLE VI. DATINP ARRAY DEFINITIONS.

Gear ratio inputs are RPM compressor and are required input. NUTE (L) :

63

:

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY TABLE VII. DATOUT ARRAY DEFINITIONS.

Casonent				Location					
Type		2	3	ŧ	2	9	7	8	a n
Inlet							Inlet Recovery		
Duct	Pressure Ratio								
Water Inj.									
Compressor	Horse- power							stri- ciency	Pressure Ratio
Turbine	Horse- power	Physical* rpm						Bffi- ciency	Pressure Ratio
Heat Exchanger	ΔΡ/Ρ Primary	∆P/P Secondary		Effective- ness					
Splitter	Bypass* Ratio								
Mixer	Main Flow* Area	Secondary* Plow Area							
Mozzle									
Propeller	Horse- power			-					
Shaft									

*Notes required inputs (see text for turbine rpm)

.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

4.1.3 Aeromechanical Input

The aeromechanical input is contained in the \$W namelist. These variables control the aerodynamic and mechanical sizing of the components. The following sections describe the aeromechanical input and give the default values where applicable.

4.1.3.1 Miscellaneous Variables

"ACCARM" is a variable that contains the value of the centroid distance for the accessories component in the CG calculations. If no value is input, accessories are not included in center-of-gravity calculations.

"DISKWI" is a variable that is used as an indicator for the axial compressor disk weight method. (Default = 1.)

- 0 Do disk weight calculations using the Boeing WATE-2 method.
- 1 Do disk weight calculations using the Garrett WATE-S method.

"ACCS" is a one-dimensional array containing three values which control the accessory weight calculations. (Default = 40., 0.02, 0.10)

ACCS(1) = Fixed accessory weight (1bm)

ACCS(2) = Accessory weight fraction of bare engine weight

ACCS(3) = Minimum total accessory weight fraction

"ISCALE" is a one-dimensional integer array containing three values which control the engine scaling logic of the program. (Default = 1, 3, 1)

ISCALE(1) Output indicator for scaled engines. Unscaled engine output set by "IOUTCD".

- 0 Short form engine weight, length, and maximum radius.
- 1 Long form component weights and dimensions.

2 - Debug option.

ISCALE(2) Number of scaling points.

ISCALE(3) Not used.

"SCALE" is a one-dimensional array containing six values. The values correspond to the engine scaling factors desired. The number of "SCALE" values must be equal to the value of ISCALE(2). First value must always equal 1. (Default = 1, 0.8, 1.2, 3*0.)

"IWT" is a integer variable to control the execution of the component weight calculations. (Default = 1)

0 - Do not do weight calculations.

- 1 Do weight calculations without the thermodynamic parameter maximization feature of the WATE-S code. This is used for design point paramteric weight analysis.
- 2 Do weight calculations using maximum thermodynamic parameter maximization feature.

3 - Do weight calculation with airflow scaling without the thermodynamic parameter maximization feature.

4 - Do weight calculation with airflow scaling using the thermodynamic parameter maximization feature.

"IPLT" is a logical variable to control the gas path printer plot option of WATE-S. (Default = T)

T - Gas path layout F - No gas path layout

"ISII" is a logical variable to specify the units of the W namelist variables. (Default = F)

T - SI units input F - English units input

"ISIO" is a logical variable to specify the units of the output of the weight calculations. (Default = F)

T - SI units output F - English units

"IOUTCD" is an integer variable to control the amount of output generated by the component and engine weight calculations. (Default = 2)

0 - Short form engine weight, length, and maximum radius.

Long form component weights and dimensions.

2 - Debug option.

4.1.3.2 Length Contributing Vector

"ILENG" is a one-dimensional integer array specifying only those components which contribute to the total additive engine length. The component numbers are specified in the order that the components would add in length to achieve the total engine length. This must start with the inlet and end with the furthest downstream nozzle. The default "ILENG" values for the default engine configuration illustrated in Figure 20 are:

The default "ILENG" input does not include the bypass flow components (COMP 2, DUCT 3, NOZ 4), reverse-flow burner (DUCT 10), or shafts (SHFT 16, SHFT 17) since these components do not contribute to the total engine length.

4.1.3.3 <u>Mechanical Design Indicators</u>

"IWMEC" is a two-dimensional integer array containing the mechanical design indicators. It is of the form IWMEC(N,M), where M is the component number, and N is the variable number as described in the following sections for each component type. The mechanical design indicators must be specified for each component. Table VIII illustrates the default "IWMEC" values, which are representative of the default engine (see Figure 20).

TAB	LE	VI	I	I	•

IWMEC DEFAULT VALUES (See Figure 20).

Component	Location						
Number	1	2	3	4	5	6	1
1	'INLT'	Q	0	0	0	0	0
2	'FO'	1	0	0	5	0	1
3	'DUCT'	2	0	0	0	0	0
- 4	' NO2 '	1	0	0	0	0	0
5	'FI'	1	0	1	2	0	1
6	'DUCT'	2	0	0	0	0	0
7	'LPC'	1	0	0	0	0	3
8	'DUC'I'	2	0	0	0	0	0
9	'HPC'	2	0	0	0	0	1
10	'PBUR'	1	0	0	0	0	0
11	'HPT'	0	9	9	1	0	0
12	'DUCT'	2	0	0	0	0	0
13	'LPT'	5	5	0	2	0	0
14	'DUCT'	2	0	0	0	0	0
15	'NOZ'	1	0	0	0	0	0
16	'SHAF'	1	0	0	0	0	0
17	'SHAF'	2	0	0	0	0	0

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY
4.1.3.3.1 Compressors

Location			Description
1		Type of co	mpressor being weighed
	(1)	'FAN'	- Typical fan
	(2)	'FO'	- Outer portion of nonrotating split- ter fan
	(3)	'FI'	- Inner portion of nonrotating split- ter fan
	(4)	'RSFO'	 Outer portion of rotating splitter fan
	(5)	'RSFI'	- Inner portion of rotating splitter fan
	(6)	'LPC'	- Low-pressure compressor
	(7)	'HPC'	- High-pressure compressor
2		This indic the compre	cates if the compressor has stators or if essor is a centrifugal compressor.
		0	- Stator weight is not calculated
		1	- Stator weight is calculated
		2	- Centrifugal compressor
3		This is t pressors.	he indicator for 'front' frames in com- This input may be:
		0	- No frame
		1	- Single bearing frame without Power Takeoff (PTO)
		2	- Single bearing frame with PTO
		4	- Two bearing frame, such as the frame in front of the HPC in the JT8D or JT9D, which extends outward to the fan outer case and holds two bearings with PTO

Description

Location

4

This is the indicator for the 'rear' frame in a compressor

- 0 No frame
- Single bearing frame without Power Takeoff (PTO)
- 2 Single bearing frame with PTO
- 4 Two bearing frame, such as the frame in front of the HPC in the JT8D or JT9D, which extends outward to the fan outer case and holds two bearings with PTO
- This is the component number connecting to this component for split flow compressors only. If this is the Fan Outer, the Fan Inner must be specified. If this is the Rotating Splitter Outer, the Inner Splitter must be specified, and vice versa. If (-), a dummy compressor is assumed.
- 6 Gearbox indicator. Input is component number of shaft, 0 for no gearbox.
- 7 Number of stages; if 0, will calculate number of stages assuming equal work based on the maximum first-stage pressure ratio input [DESVAL(2,M)].
- 4.1.3.3.2 Turbines

Location

Description

1

2

- This is the type of turbine
- (8) 'HPT' High-pressure turbine
- (9) 'LPT' Low-pressure turbine

Indicator for turbine exit frame

- No frame

0

5

3 - Single bearing turbine exit frame for large engines

- Small turbine exit frame

71

3		Compressor number from which the rpm is deter- mined; if 0, DATOUT(2,M) is used for turbine rpm (axial turbines only).
4		Component number from which the mean radius limit for the turbine is determined. If the component number is positive, the outlet outer dimension is used. If negative, the inlet outer dimension is used. If O, it will use the outlet of the feeding component.
5		Number of stages; if 0, will calculate number of stages assuming equal work based on turbine mean radius limit and mean work coefficient.
6		Indicator for axial or radial turbine
		0 - Axial turbine
		2 - Radial turbine
7		Not used.
4.1.3.3.3	Burn	ers
Location		Description
1		This is the type of burner being weighed
	(10)	'PBUR' - Primary burner
	(11)	'DBUR' - Duct burner (a mean radius is speci- fied)
	(12)	'AUG' - Augmentor (no inner wall)
2		This is the indicator for frame weight, normally only for primary burners. This frame includes a bearing.
		0 - No frame
		l - Frame

3-7 Not used.

1

ŝ,

ŗ,

the Minister of the state of the Resource of

Location

4.1.3.3.4 Ducts

Location			Description
1		(20)	'DUCT'
2		Indicator	as to type of duct
		1	- Dummy - i.e., no weight or length
		2	- Length input
		3	 Length derived as in a duct connect- ing a splitter and a mixer
		4	- Crossover duct for centrifugal com- pressors
3-7		Not used.	
4.1.3.3.5	<u>Shaft</u>	8	
Location			Description
1	(13)	'SHAF'	
2		Shaft num	ber from inner to outer.
3-7		Not used.	
4.1.3.3.6	<u>Mixer</u>	S	
Location			Description
1		Type of n	nixer
	(14)	'MIX'	- The coannular emergence of two streams without mechanical mixer
	(15)	'FMIX'	 Forced mixer, mechanical, i.e., Daisy lobed mixer
2		Indicato	r for primary input node
		0	Engine core is inner (primary)
		1	Engine core is outer (secondary)
3-7		Not used	•

73

ł

4.1.3.3.7 <u>Nozzles</u>

Location			Description
1	(16)	'NOZ '	
2		Nozzle ty	pe
		1	- Convergent
		2	- C-D variable area
3		Component eter can b from the number mus will be u mines the	number from which the nozzle inlet diam- be determined. If this diameter is taken inlet of the component, a (-) component at be entered. If (+), the exit station sed. If the previous component deter- diameter, this location may be zero.
4		Thrust rev	verser type
		0	- None
		1	- Fan
		2	- Primary
5-7		Not used.	
4.1.3.3.8	<u>Heat</u>	Exchangers	
Location			Description
1	(19)	'HTEX'	
2		Heat excha	nger type
		1	- Fixed tube
		2	- Rotary
3		Flow Direct	tion
		1.	- Parallel flow
		2 .	- Counter flow
4-7		Not used.	

4.1.3.3.9 Splitters Location Description 1 (17) 'SPLT' 2 0 - Inner stream is primary - Inner stream is not primary 1 3-7 Not used. 4.1.3.3.10 Annulus Inverting Valve Location Description 1 (18) 'VALV' 2 Location of Valve 1 - Inner 2 - Outer Component number of opposite duct 3 0 if fixed, 1 if movable 4 5-7 Not used. 4.1.3.3.11 Propellers and Propfans Location Description 1 (21) 'PROP' 2 Propeller type 0 - Hamilton Standard double acting, advanced technology aluminum propeller. 1 - Hamilton Standard double acting, advanced technology composite propeller. 2 - Hamilton Standard propfan. 3-7 Not used.

4.1.3.4 Aeromechanical Design Variables

"DESVAL" is a two-dimensional array containing the aerodynamic and mechanical design inputs. It is of the form DESVAL(N,M), where M is the component number, and N is the variable number as described in the following sections for each component type. The default values are stored in a separate array (DEFAUL) which cannot be altered by program WATE-S input. A summary of these arrays is contained in Table IX. The default values of the "DEFAUL" array are illustrated in Table X. The "DEFAUL" array values are used when the "DESVAL" input is not specified for a given component. Table XI contains typical ranges of the "DESVAL" input variables for each component type.

up of the second second

-		BUP	EV.														
2		ŕ	Ĩ														
F	<u>م</u>	# 15C	Wtsc.			"tsc	tsc	Wtsc	 	ដ	tsc		ă 🛛	N tsc	,	ان ان	1
		PHETAL						_									
	1	RPMSC				T								DSHII			
	12	AODE	BVP	T										E/To		•	
ļ	7	BOB	RBO B		T	T			T	-						CHHN	
Ī	10	RPMR	Ĕ	Ī	T									RPMR			
	6	TMAX	RPM.	-										THAX	2	RPM	
•	8	Т _{МАХ.}	HODE	T							W.			T _{MAX} ,			
ion	4	<u> </u>	STRESS	T										Nn o		Stress	
Locat	9	چ	C M	•				Ś	ĥ		1	Ę			Ţ	e Me o	
	2	, a		•	NO.CANS			S.			La la			1		_	•
	4	SOLID.	AR.	-	REF LOC	REF LOC		ada Bar			Į	•		NO.BLD			
	3	L.			DIA	DIA	B/T	5	S		5	-		8/T.	-	NO. BLD	Ţ
	2	Prmax			TIME	L/I	RHO	5	đ	NO. PASS	EN DACE		BPR	Pr	YUL	THIC	
		Mn		inn.	VEL.	Mn.	STRESS		CHIERT DA	L/B	1	n/1	e7a	, un	PT	, E M	•
	Transment Tvoe	Arial compressors		Arial turbines	Burners	Ducts	Shaft		geat exchanger	Mixers		NIN I	Nozzle	Centrifugal		Radial turbine	

DESVAL/DEFAUL ARRAY PARAMETERS. TABLE IX.

77

ORIGINAL PACE 14 OF POOR QUALITY

ъ**т**

Ş

UJE.CN

ĥ **Des**

Propellers/ Propfans

						1001	PTON										Γ
CONFORENT TYPE	1	2	З	4	5	6	7	æ	6	10	F	12	EL	14	15	36	5
ALL FAMS (AXIAL)	0.50	1.7	0.5	1.5	2.	1.5	0.45	ő	°.		6	5	-	1160.		1.8	0.06
LPC (AXIAL)	0.45	1.6	0.6	1.7	1.8	1.2	0.40	•	6		6	2.		1160.		1.2	D.12
EPC (AXIAL	0.40	1.5	0.7	1.7	1.2	0.9	0.35	9	ė	4		2.	ч.	1160.		1.2	D. 12
RPT (AX LAL	0.2	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.5	0.4	125000.	2.			6	0.155				ч	0.155
LPT (AXTAL)	0°.3	2.0	1.6	5.	'n.	0.55	125000.	s.	н.	6	<u>.</u>	0.195			н Н	h	0.195
PRIMARY BURNER	75.	0.01		.	6			_									
DOCT BURNER	150.	0.01													6		
DOCTS	•••	Ŀ.	°.	-1.											6		••••••
AUCHENTOR	300.	0.01													 		
SHAFT	50000.	0.286	0.8												<u>.</u>		
HEAT EXCRANGER	4000.	0.015	0.035	7.	0.001	0.001									6		
MIXEES																	
AIV	1.		0.5	0.5		1.1	1.1	1-1									·····
AUZZU	-	٥.															
CENTRIPUGAL COMPRESSOR	0.45	4.1	0.5	-19.			0.2	•	<u>.</u>	i		0.3	÷		-i		•
RADIAL TURBINE	0.3	0.8	17.			0.45	125000.				0.2	*				-	
PROPELLER/PROPPAN	0.5	-	130.	600-	20.												

TABLE X. DEFAUL ARRAY VALUES

ORIGINAL PRODUCT

ARRAY	
DESVAL	
FOR	
VALUES	
TUPUT	
OF	
RANGE	
TYPICAL	
XI.	
TABLE	

							OCATION							ł		
COMPONENT					-				F			2	- 2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		2
TYPE	-	~	~	┥		•	·		╋	┨╌	┢	+	┝╸	 	-	
ALL FAILS			13-16	ål-4.1	30-15	24-1.9	0.4-D.5		1				-12-	1	12-21	
(AXGAL)					31.35				i		5		1 -12]-	1	-	
LPE AXIAL		[[-61			1	 				4			12-12			
HE WIND	23-85	12-15	19-58	1.B-1.6	28-12	15-67				i 			 			
		12-28	11-0.1	13-01	12-06	12-135		92-91	1	3	<u> </u>	12-E18	<u></u>	1		
UT LAXAL	L15-L35	11-26	11-116	35-18	22-15	125-045		1-30	1	1	7	15-12		1	3	
FISHAARY BUSGUE R	4 1 .8-75.0	51 018-9101 5		 	1	١	 l	1			 	 		1		1
00001			!			1	1	1	ł		1	 	1	<u> </u> 	+	
								I	١	1		1	1	$\frac{1}{1}$	1	1
DUCTS	5-65	12-57	1	1								ļ	<u>ن</u> ــــ	 	 	1
AUCHENTOR	154.0-346.0	1.12-1.05	1	1	ļ		1	I	1				 	י 	ا 	
TANK	I	L2-L3				1	1	1			1					
HEAT CURRENT	ł	199-93	6.1-0.3	 			1	ł	I		1	I	1	<u></u>	1	
		2 T. 14 D	1	ł	1	1	ł	ł		1	1	I		<u></u>	 	ļ
			116-41	13-21	1	21-20	3.1-2.0	ĉ. - ĉ .	1	1		١	1	<u> </u>		1
A.V						1	l	ļ	1	1	1	١	1			ا
MOZZLE	57-13	 	ļ	1												
CENTRIFUGAL COMPRESSOR	13-15	11-11	14-175	15.8-21.9	ł	1	215-235	l	1	1		62-E4	12	1	1	1
PADIAL TU NAME	51-13	17-15	15.0-210		!	NJ-52.1	ł	l	1		2-13	l	l	ļ	1	
PROFELLEN/	309-E.B	30-11	ALB-140.5	500-5105	15.0-30.0		1			1		1	١		 	

ORIGIMAL PACE SE OF POOR QUALITY

4.1.3.4.1 <u>Compressor</u>

Array

ς.

Location		Description
1		Compressor inlet Mach number.
2		Maximum first-stage pressure ratio, required if IWMEC(7,M) equals 0.
3		Compressor inlet hub/tip ratio.
4	Axial:	Blade tip solidity, ratio of blade tip chord and blade spacing.
	Centri- fugal:	Number of blades. If (-), splitter weight is calculated.
5*		Blade aspect ratio of first stage.
6*		Blade aspect ratio of last stage.
7		Compressor exit Mach number.
8		Maximum compressor inlet temperature. ZERO if design point temperature is to be used for material selection. °R (°K)
9		Maximum compressor outlet temperature. ZERO if desired point temperature is to be used for material selection. °R (°K)
10		Maximum speed ratio - RPM _{max} /RPM _{des} .
11*		Blade material density. ZERO if WATE-S is to select material. lbm/in ³ (Kg/cm ³)
12	Axial:	Compressor design type
		1. Constant hub radius design.
		2. Constant mean radius design.
		3. Constant tip radius design.
	Centri- fugal:	Hub _{inlet} /tip _{exit} radius ratio.

*Not used for centrifugal compressors.

Location	Description
13	RPM scaler, normal input is 1 use to match known rpm or modify tip speed correlations.
14*	Temperature at which a change of material is required. °R (°K)
15	Compressor weight scalar, input ZERO if no scaling is desired.
16*	Blade taper ratio.
17*	Blade volume factor.

ъ

÷,

л

*Not used for centrifugal compressors.

المرار بالماسية الما فاستخرار والمحمد مساعد الأناطة

4.1.3.4.2 <u>Turbines</u>

Location		Dr.acription
1		Turbine inlet Mach number.
2	Axial:	First-stage mean work coefficient - $\lambda_m = \frac{gJ^{\Delta H}/N}{U_m^2}$
	Radial:	Radial turbine work coefficient - $\lambda_t = g J^{\Delta H/N}$ U_t^2
3.	Axial:	Blade tip solidity, blade tip chord/blade spacing.
	Radial:	Number of blades. If (-), splitter weight is calculated.
4*		Blade aspect ratio of first stage.
5*		Blade aspect ratio of last stage.
6		Turbine exit Mach number.
7		Disk reference stress - 0.2-percent yield, lbf/in2 (Newton/cm2).
8*		Turbine design type
		1. Constant tip radius design.
		2. Constant mean radius design.
		3. Constant hub radius design.
9		Maximum speed ratio - RPM max/RPM design.
10*		Turbine control radius, inch (cm) - blank if transferred from a component. This overrides IWMEC input if nonzero.

*Not used for radial turbines.

فعفات التعالية

Location	Description
11	Density of material in turbine blades, lbm/in ³ (Kg/cm ³).
12*	Blade volume factor.
13-14	Not used.
15	Turbine weight scalar. Input ZERO, if no scal- ing is desired.
16*	Turbine blade taper ratio.
17*	Stator blade volume factor.

*Not used for radial turbines.

4.1.3.4.3 Burners

Location

Description

- Burner through-flow velocity, ft/s (m/s).
- 2 Burner airflow residency time, s.
- 3 Burner mean diameter, inch (cm). If zero, diameter is calculated to match a connecting component (see below).
- 4 Component number for calculating burner reference diameter, if other than upstream component. Not used when diameter is specified. If greater than zero, connecting component mean diameter is used for burner mean diameter. If less than zero, connecting component outer diameter is used for burner inner diameter (reverse-flow burners).
- 5 Number of cans for can burners.
- 6-14 Not used.
- 15 Burner weight scalar. Enter ZERO, if no scaling in desired.
- 16-17 Not used.

4.1.3.4.4 Ducts

1

Location	Description
1	Duct inlet Mach number.
2	Length to height ratio of duct, required if IWMEC(2,M) equals 2.
3	Duct mean diameter, inch (cm). If 0, duct diameter is calculated to match a connecting component.
4	Component number for calculating mean duct diameter. Not used when mean duct diameter is specified. Enter -1, if upstream connecting component is to be used.
5-14	Not used.
15	Weight scalar. ZERO, if no scaling is desired.
16-17	Not used.

1. 1. 1

4.1.3.4.5 Shafts

Location	Description
1	Shaft allowable stress, lbf/in^2 (Newton/cm ²).
2	Shaft material density, lbm/in ³ (Kg/cm ³).
3	Diameter ratio of shaft, D _{inner} /D _{outer} , not used for outer shafts.
4-14	Not used.
15	Shaft weight scalar. 2ERO if no scaling desired.
16-17	Not used.

84

-----. .

.

4.1.3.4.6 Mixers

Location

Description

1	Effective length-to-diameter ratio of mechanical mixer, $L/\sqrt{A/\pi}$, where L is the mixer length inlet to exit, A is the total flow area. Enter 0, if not a mechanical (forced) mixer.
2 3-14	Number of passages (or lobes) in mixer. Not used.

15 Weight scalar. Enter ZERO, if no scaling desired.

- 16-17 Not used.
- 4.1.3.4.7 <u>Nozzles</u>

Location

Description

1	Length to diameter ratio of nozzle.
2	Bypass ratio for mixed flow nozzle for thrust reverser weight.
3-14	Not used.
15	Weight scalar. Zero, if no scaling desired.
16-17	Not used.

4.1.3.4.8 Heat Exchangers

Location

Description

1	Number of tubes if "Fixed" type.
2	Mach number in primary stream (cold side).
3	Mach number in secondary stream (hot side).
4	Engine bypass ratio if "Rotary" type.
5	E/D for primary stream if "Fixed" type.
6	E/D for secondary stream if "Fixed" type.
7-14	Not used.
15	Weight scalar. Zero if no scaling desired.
16-17	Not used.

4.1.3.4.9 Splitters

Location	Description
1	Only input if first calculated component in flow path. Inlet Mach number.
2	Inlet hub/tip radius ratio.
3-14	Not used.
15	Weight scalar. ZERO, if no scaling desired.
16-17	Not used.

4.1.3.4.10 Annulus Inverting Valve

Location

Description

- 1 Specific length ratio of length to effective diameter of the AIV, $L/\sqrt{4A/\pi}$
- 2 Number of passages.
- 3 Mach number of inner passage.
- 4 Mach number of outer passage.
- 5 Hub radius in inches (cm); or component number from which hub radius is taken; or blank, if feeding component determines the hub radius.
- 6 Inner cylinder weight lb/ft^2 , (Kg/m²).
- 7 Outer cylinder weight lb/ft^2 , (Kg/m²).
- 8 Wall weight lb/ft^2 , (Kg/m²).
- 9-14 Not used.

15 Weight scalar. ZERO, if no scaling desired.

16-17 Not used.

4.1.3.4.11 Propellers and Propfans

Location	Description
1	Design cruise Mach number of aircraft.
2	Number of blades.
3	Activity factor.
4	Tip speed - ft/s (m/s).
5	Ratio of propeller shaft power to diameter squared - hp/ft2, (KW/m ²).
6-14	Not used.
15	Weight scalar. Zero, if no scaling desired.
16-17	Not used.

4.1.3.5 Design Limits

"DESLIM" is a one-dimensional array containing the design limits for WATE-S. Table XII describes the design limits and the default values currently in the code. These can be changed as desired through the namelist \$W input. If these limits are exceeded, the component weight and dimension calculations continue and a warning message is printed out.

4.2 Program Output

The output of WATE-S is contained in three sections, coinciding with the input: configuration, thermodynamic, and aeromechanical. The configuration output contains the \$C namelist inputs and a simple configuration layout. The thermodynamic output contains the \$T namelist inputs.

The acromechanical output may be selected in either English or SI units. The units used are presented in Table XIII. The type

NOLTISO	TYPE	VALUE
T	AXIAL COMPRESSOR LOADING PARAMETER $\left(\frac{2Jg \ \Delta H/N}{U_m^2}\right)$ CANNOT EXCEED:	6-0
	BLADE CENTRIFUGAL STRESS CANNOT EXCEED:	
2	AXIAL HP TURBINE	50000.psi
m	AXIAL LP TURBINE	60000.psi
47	FANS	80000. <u>p</u> si
ហ	AXIAL COMPRESSORS	80000.psi
9	HUB/TIP FOR ALL COMPRESSORS CANNOT EXCEED:	0.93
	HUB/TIP CAN NOT BE LESS THAN:	
7	FANS AND AXIAL COMPRESSORS	0.32
œ	AXIAL TURBINES	0.50
σ	AXIAL TURBINE WORK $\left(\lambda_{\text{III}} \frac{\Delta H}{\theta}\right)$ cannof exceed:	60. Btu/lbm
	MAXIMUM COMPRESSOR FIRST-STAGE PRESSURE RATIO CANNOT EXCEED:	
10	FANS	1.8
11	AXIAL COMPRESSORS	1.65
12	LAST AXIAL COMPRESSOR STAGE EXIT MACH NUMBER CANNOT EXCEED:	0.6

TABLE XII. DESIGN LIMIT DEFAULT PARAMETERS AND VALUES.

-,

88

ORIGINAL PAGE M OF POOR QUALITY

0.4 inch

AXIAL COMPRESSOR BLADE REIGHT CANNOT BE LESS THAN:

е П

VARIABLE	SI UNITS	ENGLISH UNITS
Velocity	m/s	ft/s
Temperature	۰ĸ	°R
Pressure	N/m ²	lbf/ft ²
Area	m ²	ft ²
Stress	N/cm ²	lbf/in ²
Density	Kg/cm ³	lbm/in ³
Weight	Kg	lbm
Length	cm	in
	Joule/Ka	Btu/1bm
Putuarby	KWatt	hp
Power	Ka/s	lbm/s
Weight LLOW		1bm/ft ² s
Weight flow/unit area	kg/m s	
Radius	CM	10

TABLE XIII. OUTPUT UNITS.

of units in use are noted in the units section of the aeromechanical output. The level of output is controlled by the \$W namelist integer variable "IOUTCD" and has three output options. Examples of these output formats are shown in Section 4.3, Sample Cases for two different engines.

A flow path printer plot is also available when \$W namelist logical variable "IPLT" is true. This plot is automatically scaled to fit on one page of output. Examples of this option are also contained in Section 4.3, Sample Cases.

4.3 Sample Cases

Two sample cases are included herein to illustrate the execution and output of WATE-S. The first is a single-spool turboprop and the second a low bypass-ratio mixed-flow turbofan.

4.3.1 Turboprop Engine

Figure 21 illustrates the configuration selected for the sample turboprop test case. The engine has a single spool consisting of a two-stage centrifugal compressor and three-stage axial turbine. A reverse-flow burner and gearbox are also included.

Figure 22 illustrates the WATE-S input necessary to estimate the weight and dimensions of this engine. The first title card is followed by the configuration input contained in the \$C namelist. This engine has 11 components and 11 thermodynamic flow stations, thus "NCOMP" and "NOSTAT" are set to 11. The component types are defined by the "JTYPE" array and the component connectivity is specified by the "JCONF" array.



ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

i G

And the second shirts a



ORIGINAL PACE IS OF POOR QUALITY

```
4.3.1 TURBOPROP ENGINE SAMPLE CASE
$C
NC MMP=11, NMSTAT=11, JTYPE=1, 2, 4, 2, 4, 2, 5, 2, 9, 10, 11, 10*0,
JCONF(1,1)=1,2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10,11,3,10+0,
JCONF(1,2)=6+0,7,3+0,5,10+0,
JCONF(1,3)=2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10,11,0,7,10+0,
JC ONF(1,4)=4+0,7,16+0,
SEND.
4.9.1 TURBOPROP ENGINE SAMPLE CASE
$T
WTF=5+7.6502,7.26,.3902,7.4152,3+7.7213,
TOPRES=3+14.696, 59.452, 59.155, 2+155.8077, 148.7029, 3+14.696,
TOTEMP=3+518.688,2+826.8,2+1135.259,2463.7,1557.319,2+1541.506,
FAR=7+0.,.10214,3+.0205,
PERPF(3)=558.1,4*0.,1000.,
SEND.
$W
ILENG=1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10+0,
TWMEC(1,2)=20,2,5+0,
IWMEC(1,3)=6,2,1,3+0,1,
t d MEC ( 1, 4) = 20, 4, 5+0,
IWMEC(1,5)=7,2,4+0,1,
IWMEC(1,6)=10,1,5+0,
IWMEC(1,7)=8,5,5,0,3,0,0+
IWMEC(1,8)=20,2,5+0,
IWMEC(1,9)=16,1,5+0,
IWMEC(1,10)=21,6*0,
IWMEC(1,11)=13,1,5*0,
DESVAL(1,3)=.49,5.,.356,17.,2*0.,.303,2*0.,1.,0.,.258,1.016,
DESVAL(1,5)=.30, 5.,.561,15.,2*0.,.208,2*0.,1.,0.,.318,.969,
 DESVAL(1,6)=50.,.012,15.,
DESVAL(1,7)=.196,1.523,1.0,1.2,2.2,.25,125000.,3.,1.,
 DE SVAL (1,10) =. 5, 4., 130., 600., 18.,
 $ 5 ND
```

Figure 22. WATE-S Turboprop Sample Case Input.

Following the second title card, the thermodynamic input is specified in the \$T namelist. The mass flow rate, total pressure and temperature, and fuel/air ratio are defined for each flow station. Note that the flow stations represent upstream component conditions. The fuel flow and brake shaft horsepower are entered in the "PERPF" array but this data is for informative purposes only and is not used by the WATE-S code.

The aeromechanical input is next, contained in the \$W namelist. Default values are used for all but the "ILENG", "IWMEC", and "DESVAL" arrays. Basically, the default values set both the input and output units to English, turn on the weight estimation code and printer plot option, and provide a complete debug printout. The length contributing vector "ILENG" does not contain DUCT 6, PROP 10, and SHFT 11 since these components do not contribute to the total engine length.

The "IWMEC" values follow the "ILENG" array. The inlet, component 1, is not entered since inlet calculations are not performed in WATE-S. Components 3 and 5 are specified as one-stage centrifugal compressors with a front frame associated with compressor 3. Component 4 is a crossover duct, IWMEC(2,4)=4 and component 6 is a burner. The HP turbine, component 7, derives its rpm from compressor 5, IWMEC(3,7) = 5; and is a three-stage axial turbine, IWMEC(5,7) = 3 and IWMEC(6,7) = 0. The propeller type is a Hamilton Standard double acting, advanced technology aluminum propeller, IWMEC(2,10) = 0.

The "DESVAL" values follow the "IWMEC" inputs. "DEFAUL" values are used for all but the compressors, burner, turbine, and propeller. Compressor 3 has an inlet Mach number of 0.49, inlet hub/tip radius ratio of 0.356, and exit Mach number of 0.303. The number of blades is 17 and no splitters are used. DESVAL(4,3) = 17. The design point pressure-ratio tip-speed correlation is

being modified 1.6 percent to match a known compressor rpm. DECVAL(13,3) = 1.016. Burner 6 has an airflow velocity of 50. ft/s, residency time of 0.012 seconds, and a mean diameter of 15.0 inch.

Turbine 7 has an inlet Mach number of 0.196, a mean work coefficient of 1.523, and an exit Mach number of 0.25. The tip solidity is 1.0 and the aspect ratios of the first and last stages are 1.2 and 2.2, respectively. A constant hub radius design is specified, DESVAL(8,7) = 3. The disk material is a high strength superalloy with a reference stress of 125,000 psi.

The design cruise Mach number of propeller 10 is 0.5 and the propeller has 4 blades. The activity factor is 130, and the tip speed is 600, ft/s. The propeller loading is 18, $h_{\rm P}/{\rm ft}^2$, DESVAL(5,10) = 18.

Figure 23 illustrates the complete debug output for the turboprop sample case. This includes the configuration, thermodynamic, aeromechanical, and printer plot sections. Figures 24 and 25 illustrate the long and short form outputs of the aero echarical calculations of WATE-S.

ORIGINAL PAGE 19 OF POOR QUALITY

\$C
NCOMP=11,NOSTAT=11,JTYPE=1,2,4,2,4,2,5,2,9,10,11,10+0,
JCONF(1,1)=1,2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10,11,3,10+0,
JCONF(1,2)=6+0,7,3+C,5,10+0,
JCONF(1,3)=2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10,11,0,7,10+0,
JCONF(1,4)=4+0,7,16+0,
\$END

4.3.1 TURBOPROP ENGINE SAMPLE CASE

COI	NFIGURA	TION DAT	ΓA	11 ST	ATION	S 1	1 COMPON	ENTS
COMPONENT NUMBER	NKIND	COMPONE TYPE	NT.		STREA	M	DOWNS Stat	TREAM Ions
- 1	1	INLET				۵	2	٥
2	2	DUCT	3	- 2		Ō	3	ŏ
3	4	COMPRES	R	3		Ŏ	4	ŏ
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		-DUCT-E	 _	h		<u> </u>	5	
5	4	COMPRES	ir .	5		0	6	7
6	2	DUCT 6	ŀ	6		Ŷ	8	Ó
·····	5	TURBIN	I£			7		- 0
7	Z	OUCT 8)	9		0	10	0
¥ 1 0	9	NUZZLE		10		0	11	0
	10	PROP.				0	· •···· 0	0
**	11	SMAPI		- 3		5	7	0
···· •								
		1						
		INLT	1					
*4 w• &								
		DUCT	2					
		3						
· ·		4, U.M.P			يوندون - وي داد درا		• •	
		9 DUC 7	Ŀ					
		0061 6	4					
		COMP	B		COMP	E.		••••
		6			509F 7	2		
			6		T110 0	7		
		8		<u>من مصحح</u> ه خد ب ره مع بد مع ده				
		TURB	7					
			-	-				
		DUCT	8				• • • • • •	
		10	-					
		NOZZ			·			
		11						

SHAFT (11) IS CONNECTED TO COMP(3) AND COMP(5) AND TURB(7) AND

Figure 23. WATE-S Turboprop Sample Case Debug Output.

6-2

¢↑ ∀Ţ:=\$\$7,6502,7,25,.3702,7.4152,347,7213, TTR#ESS3014.665,59.4572,59.155,24155,3077,146.7029,3414.696, TTF#Ess3514.695,29226.8.21135.259,2463.7,1557.319,2\$1541.506, #27683770314,98.0206.8.21135.259,2463.7,1557.319,2\$1541.506 #2286743)=558.1,440.s1000.0

CASE IDENTIFICATION 4.3.1 TUPOGPAGP ENGINE SAMPLE CASE

	I	0	R'F	lG I	۱۱ ۲	20		- २	P	A JU	୍କ ନ	e 13 LITY
DENTING	A JUT FUG	•	•	•		•	•	5.	•	•	•	•
BATTUDA		.	•	•	.	•••	•		•	•	•	8
		5	•	•	•	•		5	•	4	•••	•
	OANT I TO	•	••	•	•	°	•	•		•	•	ċ
	CANTING	•	•	•	•	•0	.	••	••	••	•	c
	14N1170	••		•	•	••	•••	•	••	•	••	•
	EdNILND	•0	•	••	••	•	0 .	•0	·	0 •	•	å
	24NI 1VQ	•	• •	•	• • •	.51005E-01	••	• 5	ę.	•	· ·	3
	1441140	.	3	0 .	3	:	0 •	3	3	3	•	4
th sheet	1745	THLFT	34101 8	C Japon SR	P3CT =	CONPRESS	511CT 8	TILEN	DUCT 3	4-12 26 F	6	SHEFT
Ĺ	ŝ		N	m	+	5	•	•	80	D	10	11

WATE-S Turboprop Sample Case Debug Output (Contd). Figure 23.

i

ritation and states of

1 7,73028-01 14006123 513058-03 5.755028-01 11 7.75028-01 11 7.75028-01 11 7.75028-01 11 7.75028-01 11006123 513058-03 5.755028-01 11006123 1100616-03 5.755028-01 1100616-03 7.55028-01 1100616-03 7.55028-01 1100616-03 7.55028-01 1100616-01 <	ויידער אנין געגנטא פו	GHT Du	TUTAL	TCHDCD LINE	FUEL/ALR	REFEPRED CLOU	HACH	STATIC IN	ITERFACE CORRE.	CTED
7 7.55021401 11006123 119956403 0 755021401 11006123 119956403 0 755021401 11006123 119956403 0 755021401 11006123 119956403 0 755021401 11006123 119956403 0 755021401 11006123 119956403 0 755021401 110 11 1		025401	-14604E412	-51850E402	01144 C.	-765625461				
7 7.550264001 7150264001 7150264001 7150264001 7150264001 715026401 71502664	246	025401	-1440A5412	. 19406403		765025401	•	1		
+ -7.5502F601 53435F602 350805401 -7 + -7.5702F601 153782F603 11353F604 -233995F01 -1 + -7.7502F601 153782F603 11353F604 -233995F01 -1 + -7.7502F601 1135781604 -233995F01 -1 -1 + -7.7502F601 1135781604 -23995F01 -139771601 -139771601 -1 0 -77513F601 1135781604 -20000E-01 1139771602 -139771602 -1 -1 1 -77213F601 1149056402 115718601 1139771602 -139771602 -1 -1 -1 1 -77213F601 1149056402 1194156404 -20000E-01 1139771602 -1 -1 -1 1 11 -77213501 1139576401 -20000E-01 1139771602 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 1 14151 -77213501 1149056402 1241014 041014 041014 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	. 755	026+01	146966+32	519596+03	•	.755026+01	17	ī	1	
5 -750000000 -700000000 -700000000 -700000000 -7000000000 7 -770100000 -100000000 -100000000 -1000000000 -1000000000 10 -772130000 -10000000000 -100000000000 -1337910000 -10000000000000000 10 -7721300000000000000000000000000000000000	4 .755	02F+01	53452E+02	.B2680E+03		-23876E401	1	7	•	
0 .77006000 .113315000 .113315000 .113315000 .113315000 .11331500 .111000 .111000 .11599500 .11599500 .11331500 .111000 .111000 .111100 .11331500 .111100 .1111000 .111100 .111100 .111100 .111100 .111100 .111100 .111100 .111100 .111100 .111100 .111100 .111100 .111100 .111100 .111100 .111100 .1111100 .1111100 .111100 .111100 .1111100 .1111100 .1111100 .1111100 .1111100 .1111100 .1111100 .1111100 .11111100 .11111100 .11111100 .11111100 .11111100 .111111100 .11111100 .11111100 .111111100 .11111100 .11111100 .11111100 .111111100 .11111100	5 .755	C2E+01	•59155E+32	• 52630E+63		.239956+01	ī	7	'T	
7 -372205600 -155615600 -113735606 -113735601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -113775601 -11	6 .725	0CE+01	.15581£+03	.11353E+C4		.10131F+01	ī	1	1	
9 .771135E+01 .16870E+03 .25437F+04 .21400E+01 .13971E+01 .13371E+01 .13371E+01 .13371E+01 .15415E+04 .20500E+01 .13371E+02 .15415E+04 .20500E+01 .13371E+02 .14605;402 .15415E+04 .20500E+01 .13311E+02 .1 <td< td=""><td>7 .339</td><td>206+00</td><td>.15581£+03</td><td>•11353E+04</td><td>•••</td><td>10-364445*</td><td>+</td><td>7</td><td>Ĩ</td><td></td></td<>	7 .339	206+00	.15581£+03	•11353E+04	•••	10-364445*	+	7	Ĩ	
9 .772134+CI .146565+02 .153734+04 .20500E-01 .133795+02 .133795+02 .133115+02 .1 -1	9 a741	526+01	.14870E+03	-244375+04	•21400E-01	.15971E+01	1	ł	Ĩ	
10 .77213F+CI .14605/+02 .15415F+04 .20500E-01 .13311F+02 -1 -1 11 .77213E+CI .14605/+02 .13415F+04 .20500E-01 .13311F+02 -1 -1 7 .77213E+CI .14605/+02 .13415F+04 .20500E-01 .13311F+02 -1 -1 7 .77213E+CI .14605F+02 .13415F+04 .20500E-01 .13311F+02 -1 -1 7 .77213E+CI .14500E+02 .13415F+04 .20500E+01 0 0 0 1 INEFT 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1 INEFT 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1 INEFT 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1 1 0.107 0.0 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1 1 0.107 0.0 0.0 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1 1 0.107 0.0 0.0 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1 0.107 0.0 0.0 0.	9 .772	136+01	*14656£+02	*I5573E+04	-205C0E-01	•13379E+02	1	7	1	
11 .77213E+C1 .154956+02 .15415E+C4 .25500E-01 .13311E+02 -1	10 .772	13+361	.146956432	.15415E+04	-20500E-01	*13311E+C2	7	7	7	
C1997/R VT C07PDWEWF GUTPUT DATA C07PDWEWF GUTPUT DATG DATGUT DATGUT <thdatgut< th=""> <t< td=""><td>11 .772</td><td>136+01</td><td>•14596č+02</td><td>*15415E+04</td><td>• 26500E-01</td><td>•1 3311 E+02</td><td>7</td><td>7</td><td>7</td><td></td></t<></thdatgut<>	11 .772	136+01	•14596č+02	*15415E+04	• 26500E-01	•1 3311 E+02	7	7	7	
C149794617 W1. TYPE 0ATOUT1 DATOUT2 DATOUT3 DATOUT5 DATOUT6 DATOUT6 DATOUT7 DATOUT7 W1. TYPE 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.				-	COMPONENT OUT	PUT DATA				
NJ. TYPE 0ATOUT DATOUT	オケヨ やじょい				•					
1 INLET 0. 0. 0. 1.0000E+01 0.	TYPE 04T	DUTI	DAT OVT 2	DATGUTA	DATOUT4	DA10UT5	DATOUTO	DATOUT7	DATOUT 0	DATOUT9
2 7)UCT % 1)DODCEFOI G 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 CTVRPES% 03527EF03 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 CTVRPES% 03527FF03 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 CTVRPES% 03527FF03 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 CTVRPES% 03527FF03 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 CTVRPES% 03577FF03 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 TURNTY 03577FF03 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 TURNTY 03577F03 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 TURNTY 03577F03 0 0 0 0 0 0 9 VIZTLD 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 9 VIZTLD 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 11 SVAFT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	INLET 0.		0.	0 .	•0		•0	_1C000E+01	•0	.
3 C140RESP03527E+03 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0 0. 0.0	OCT. # 1304	10+330	. .	° •	••	•0	•	••	••	•
+ 74:7 M -99500E+00 0.<	5C8*- 8538akL	27E+03	.	••	0 •	••	•	•	* 82099E+03	.40455E+0;
5 C (1487ESP) 7 558 F + 03 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.82373E + 00 5 0177 15 0.95446 E + 00 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.82373E + 00 7 1981 MF .27328 E + 00 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 7 1981 MF .27328 E + 01 0.	94JCT 8 . 995	004300	¢.	•	•0	•	.	•	.	•
5 5107 B •95440E+00 0. <td>626°- aSžadků.</td> <td>58F+03</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>•0</td> <td>.</td> <td>••</td> <td>•</td> <td>• 82370E+D0</td> <td>•26339E+D)</td>	626°- aSžadků.	58F+03	•	•	•0	.	••	•	• 82370E+D0	•26339E+D)
7 7 7 7 7 7 9 7 7 9 9 0	5954 954	466+00	••	•	•	•	•••	•	0,	••
TUET B -loodEE+01 0.	TURTINE .273	285+04	••	. .	••	•0	•••		+ 86630E+00	.10119E+D
9 4722LE 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	PUCT B .100	0CE+01	ċ.	-	••	0.	•	••	••	•
10 PPDP10989F+04 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	4722LE 0.		0.	•	.	••	••	••	•	•
11 S4AFT 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	601"- duad	89E+04	.	•0	••	•	0.	••	•	-0
MAC 4+ 0.39 ALTIFUDE+ 0. RECOVERY+ 1.090 A19 FLM4 (La/SEC) 7.65 GP955 THRUST 0.00 FUEL FLD4 (L9/HR) 553.10 Met THRUST 0.20 TSEC 2.0000 MET THRUSTAIRFLM9 0.000 A111 THR PARE	SHAFT 0.		•	••	.	•	•	•	•	°0
Δ19 FL94 (L9/SEC) 7.65 69055 THRUST 0.00 FUEL FL04 (L9/HR) 559.10 Net THRUST 0.00 15FC 0.00 15FC 0.0000 Net THRUST/AIRFLAY 0.0000 TOTAL THREE DOLE 0.000 0.000 Net THRUST/AIRFLAY 0.000	111 0°00 WLTIT	-300	0. RECOVER	T-1.000						
NET THREET THREET D. 0.00 TSEC THE D. COOD MET THREET THREET D. 0.000	LAW LEAKSED		7.65	GPASS THRIB'		6-00	FUEL FLAN (I 9 / HE I	559-10	
TATAL TATE ADAC ALLEA DAME CULET NO 1000.00 ADAC ADAC ADA	THRIFE		00-0	TSFC		0.000	NET THRUST!	ALGELIN	0000	
	L INLET DPAS		6+40	TOTAL BRAKE	SHAFT HP	1000.00	STATIALL DR	.45	0.03	
INSTALLET THPUST 0.00 INSTALLED ISEC 0.0000 SPILLAGE + LIP DRAG 0.00	ALLES THPRIST		00.0	TNSTALLED T	SFC	0,0000	SPILLAGE +	LIP DRAG	0-00	

!

3\$VJ 314WV° "NISN3 cDacCadll T*E**

CASE IDENTIFICATION

.....

WATE-S Turboprop Sample Case Debug Outrut (Contd). Figure 23.

97

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

S.W ILENG=1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10+0, IWMEC(1,2)=20,2,5+0, IW4EC(1,3)=6,2,1,3+0,1,.... TW4EC(1,4)=20,4,5+0, IWMEC(1,5) = 7,2,4 + 0,1,...IWMEC(1+6)=10+1+5*0+---TWMEC(1,7)=8,5,5,0,3,0,0, IW4EC(1,9)=20,2,5+0, IWMEC(1,10)=21,6+0, IWMEC(1,11)=13,1,5+0, DESVAL(1,3)=.49,5.,.356,17.,2*0.,.303,2*0.,1.,0.,.258,1.016, DESVAL(1.5)=.30,5.,.561,15.,2*0.,.203,2*0.,1.,0.,.318,.969, DESVAL(1,6)=50.,.012,15., DESVAL(1,7)=.196,1.523,1.0,1.2,2.2,.25,125000.,3.,1., DESVAL(1.10)=.5,4.,130.,600.,18., \$END

Figure 23. WATE-S Turboprop Sample Case Debug Output (Contd).

driginia dr puo	R QUALITY		
		SVAL DE+0	
			
		2 * * * * * 2 * * * *	² , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		0ESV 9000£	
		0ESVAL 030E+00 080E+30	DESVAL1 -1 -1 -1
		ఉం ^{స్} ం ^{స్} ం ్ంంగం	
		SVAL 6 	ESVALLE * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
		5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
£		• • • • •	
n∂n [= +]	C	DESVAL 2200E+0	DESVAL
ATA		0000000000	
0 TUAKI	50000000000000000000000000000000000000	DE SVAL 4 000E+01 7000E+02 500E+02 500E+01 500E+01 200E+01 000E+01	0ESVAL13 015E+00+
SIGN	* *********		
1 06	US50000000000 E 3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	* *** * 0 0 *
ONEN	н	ESVA 60E+ 10E+ 10E+ 10E+ 100E+	15 VA 306+
dhCJ	0		
_			S VAL
ۍ ۵	20***********		
2	5		
ii t			SVAL1 56+01
*		1 2020000000000000000000000000000000000	100 U
5	M M M	0	
- -			
÷ \$			
		SENNARNORFORM	

٦.

WATE-S Turboprop Sample Case Debug Output (Contd). Figure 23.

99

<u>.</u>...

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

CASE IDENTIFICATION 4.3.1 TURBOROP ENGINE SAMPLE CASE * ********* 0101 S Ń *********** MAX CONDITIONS OCCUR AT ************* ALT MN. 0.000 PTOT. 0. 0. 0.000 TTOT ************** R4= 0.00 RT= 3.36 LENG= 3,36 -246 RHD= -168 CAS WT INC WI AREAN INC WT WTOT . 60 +60 0.00 ********** CENC 3 * # 2 MAX CONDITIONS OCCUR AT VALUE ALT MN 0. 14.7 LB/SQIN PTIT 0.000 TTTT 0. 0.000 519.7 DEG R 0.000 7.7 L8/SEC CWIN 0. ************** ********* 1 200 VEL T TOT P TOT P STAT AREA GAM M NO 1796. .210 1.401 2116. .490 534. 519. BORE RADIUS LIMIT STAGE 1 W6LD WSHRD WDIFF CL. RHO WDISK 3.06 +134 .6 1.7 4.6 5.1 WEIGHT STAGE I OSTR 8 S T R RTIP NB UTIPC RPM SPR 29.5 48175. 5.7 1322+7 41728 . 4.59 17 22263. 4.045 FRAME WT . 5.04 N ST3 WEIGHT LENGTH CENGRA INERTIA 4.72 29.5 17.12 2.68 1 -DUCT. VEL T TOT P TOT P STAT AREA GAM N NO +098 1.391 8036. 422. 827.1 8561. .303 ЧP ΡŖ AD EF 90 TO. 805. 8561. 827. 4.045 .8210 4ิก 4 I . WI. CWI 123.96 198.35 7.65 7.65 ***************** TOTAL COMP WEIGHT IS 17.1

Figure 23. WATE-S Turboprop Sample Case Debug Output (Contd).

ORIGINAL PROVE 15 ********** OF POOR QUALITY . É. 0UCT 6 * *********** MAX CONDITIONS OCCUR AT ***** MIN ALT 0.000 0. PTOT Ú+ 0.000 TTOT ***************** ***CROSSOVER DUCT*** LENGTH= 2.935 WEIGHT= 6.1 ********* ٠ + CENC 5 ۰ 1 . *** ********* MAX CONDITIONS OCCUP AT ****** VALUE MN ALT 59.2 L8/SQIN Û. 0.000 PTST 0.000 026.8 DEG R TCTT 0. 2.4 L9/SEC ٥. 0.000 CWIN ***** OUCT P TOT P STAT AREA GAM N NO VEL T TOT .099 1.391 .300 418. 827. 8518. 8005+ ***** RECALCULATE UPSTREAM DUCT CONDITIONS, SPECIFIED RPM DUCT P STAT AREA GAN N NO VEL T TOT P TOT .097 1.391 7982. .307 427. 827. 8518. ADRE RADIUS LIMIT STAGE 1 8H0 CL WOIFF WSHRD WALD. WOISK .134 4.26 1.2 4.3 . 5 8.0 BSTR WEIGHT STAGE I DSTR UTIPC RP4 RTTP NR SOR 1106.5 21462. 30691. 8.4 26.7 41725 4.50 15 2.634 N STG REIGHT LENGTH CENGRA INERTIA 26.7 4.34 14+01 4.34 1 DUCT M NO VEL T TOT P TOT +203 339. 1135. 22436. GAM P TOT P STAT AREA 21793. .062 1.372 TO. ЧP AD EF PO. 9 P 829. 22436. 1135. 2.634 .9237 ĈŴI WI на 4I -193.34 274.89 7.65 2.40 ***************** TOTAL COMP WEIGHT IS 14.0 **********

Figure 23. WATE-S Turboprop Sample Case Debug Output (Contd).

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

49T 7 # *********** HAX CONDITIONS BOOMP AT ******* ALT MN 0. 0.000 0. 0.000 MN VALUE 148.7 LB/SQIN PTOT 2463.7 DEG R 1121 13.4 LB/SEC CWOUT ***** DUCT M NO VEL T TOT P TOT P STAT AREA \$195 455. 2464. 21413. 20887. 10 GAN 20887. .101 1.300 TR H/T DEN WGHT/A UTIOMAX STRESS .202 1.00 .805 .286 1323.4 32829. TURRINE 7 MECHANICAL DESIGN H/T N STG M WRK C ARE AREA 3.0 1.523 .101 .805 RPM MAXRPM TORG RHUR DEL M RTIP 117 RHUN 2.93 260.5 41728. 41728. 4128. 1323.4 3.63 STAGE STAGE 1 DISK 9LADE VANE HWD CASE AR 3.7 .4 .6 1.4 1.0 1.20 SOR DEL 4 MACH AREA R HUB R TIP NB UTIONAX STR WEIGHT LENGTH STG I 1.950 85.3 .195 .101 2.93 3.63 39 1323.4 32829. 7.06 1.52 19. STAGE 2 DISK BLADE VANE HWD CASE AR 4.5 .8 1.2 1.5 1.2 1.70 A.5 .8 1.2 1.5 1.2 1.70 SAR DEL H MACH AREA R HUB R TIP NO UTIPMAX STR WEIGHT LENGTH STG I **** WARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT EXCEEDED ***** BLADE ROOT STRESS IS 55081.7 DES LIMIT IS 50000.0 ##STRESS IS TOD 1IGH REDUCE SHAFT SPEED DR INCREASE EXIT MACH NUMBER** 2.154 85.8 .214 .170 2.93 4.04 38 1472.6 55082. 9.21 1.69 26. STAGE 3 DISK 9LADE VANE HWD CASE AR 5.7 2.1 3.0 2.0 1.9 2.20 SPR DEL 4 MACH AREA R MUB R TIP NO UTIPMAX STR WEIGHT LENGTH STG I **** HARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT EXCEEDED ***** BLADE RADT STRESS IS 101798.9 DES LIMIT IS 50000.0 +*STRESS IS TOD 4IGH REDUCE SHAFT SPEED OR INGREASE EXIT NACH NUMBER** 2.485 85.9 .232 .314 2.93 4.79 35 1744.8101799. 15.64 2.19 49. FRAME WT = 21.51 N STG LENGTH JEIGHT CENGRA INERTIA 95. 6.30 53.42 4.9 3 DUCT. H NO VEL T TOT P TOT P STAT AREA GAN 2030. . . 666 1.333 .250 469. 1557. 2116. AD EF PO TO TO-1 +8663 2116+2 1535+1 1557-3 9 R T R 1.503 10.117 HP. ANDZ FLOW TUD I-H 11 7.42 2733. .581 552.49 392.24

Figure 23. WATE-S Turboprop Sample Case Debug Output (Contd).

************* TOTAL TURB WEIGHT IS 53.4 ORIGINAL PACE IS DUCT A OF POOR QUALITY h 9 MAX CONDITIONS OCCUR AT 11.00 ******** ***** MN. ALT. PTJT ۹. 0.000 ٥. ттэт 0.000 ***** 5,57 LENG= 2.27 RH# 3.30 RT= .440 RH0 - .286 CAS NT INC WI AREAN WTOT. INC WT .67 1.81 1.14 NOZ a . ********* MAX CONDITIONS OCCUR AT ******* MN. ALT. 0.000 ٥. PTOT TTOT. 0. 0.000 ******* ********* 11.15 TR WT# .0.00 WEIGHT= 3.50 LENGTH= PBUR 6 ¥2 MAX CONDITIONS OCCUR AT ************** ********** VALUE MN. ALT. 0.000 155.8 L8/SQ1N PTOT 0. 1135.3 DEG R 0.000 TOT 0. 1.0 L9/SEC ò. CWEN 0.000 ********* ********** MACH WSPEC RIN ROUT LENGTH 2.587 9.08 7.20 .031 5.38 INC WT NOZ WT WTOT FRAME CAS WT LIN WT 82.0 59.2 9.5 11.2 ** PROP 10 . **2 MAX CONDITIONS OCCUR AT

Figure 23. WATE-S Turboprop Sample Case Debug Output (Contd).

And the second second second

ORIGINAL PRAME IN OF POOR QUALITY

0

1

٥

1

đ

3

0

٥

1

ALT MN. PTTT ۹. 0.000 TTOT ٥. J.000 ********** ********* RUMER RPM DIA WANCH GBWT 1098.9 1457. 7.81 159.0 214.9 *********** ¢ SHAF 11 . *********** MAX TOROUE CONDITION ********** TURQUE 344+0 ***** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * SHAFT 11 01 0 t LENG 0N W٣ .90 14.48 1.13 1.19 1.48 TOTAL INERTIA OF THIS SPOJE IS 151. ********* ** É * ACCS 4T . *****2 ACCS HT . 38.53 CASE IDENTIFICATION 4.3.1 TURSOPROP ENGINE SAMPLE CASE WEIGHT INPUT DATA IN ENGL UNITS VEIGHT OUTPUT DATA IN ENGL UNITS COMP WΤ COMP ACCU UPSTREAM RADIUS DOWNSTREAM RADIUS Nn. ESI RI LEN LEN RI RO RI RÐ. 80 **9**I NSTAGE 80 3.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3.4 3.4 0.0 3.4 0.0 + 6 3 17.1 4.7 9.1 3.3 0.0 0.0 1.2 1.2 4.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6.1 2.9 11.0 4.6 7.3 0.0 4.6 7.3 0.0 0.0 5 14.0 4.3 15.4 1.4 2.5 0.0 0.0 1.4 4+5 0.0 0.0 12+0 7.2 22.5 6.9 6 8.1 0.0 0.0 6+9 8.1 0.0 0.0 7 6.3 0.0 53.4 21.7 2.9 0.0 2.9 3.0 6,2 0.0 0.0 A 1.6 2.3 23.9 3.3 5.6 0.0 0.0 3.3 5.5 0.0 0.0 ٥ 35.1 0.0 5.5 0.0 0.0 5.0 3.5 11.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 11 1.5 3.0 15.4 1.2 3.3 1.4 2.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 BARE ENGINE WEIGHT ... 176.5 TOTAL ENGINE LENGTH . 35.1 ACCESSORY WEIGHT # MAXIMUM RADIUS = 38.5 8.1 HEAT EXCH WEIGHT # 0.0 CENTER OF GRAVITY . 8.1 EXHAUST SYSTEM WT + 3.5 GEARBOX VEIGHT = 214.9 PROPELLER WEIGHT . 159.0 TOTAL ENGINE JEIGHT = 433.4

Figure 23. WATE-S Turboprop Sample Case Debug Output (Contd).




ORIGINAL PAGE MI OF POOR QUALITY

GASE IDENTIFICATION 4.3.1 TURBOPROP ENGINE SAMPLE CASE

WEIGHT INPUT DATA IN ENGL UNITS WEIGHT DUTPUT DATA IN ENGL UNITS

CONP	WT	CONP	ACCU	UP	STREA	M RAC	IUS	004	NSTR(EAN RAI) IUS	
NO	EST	LEN	l en	RI	RÖ	RI	RD	RI	RØ	RI	RO	NSTAT
2	•0	3.4	3.4	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0+0	3.4	0.0	9.0	O
3	17.1	4.7	8.1	1.2	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	4.6	0.0	0.Ç	1
4	6.1	2.9	11.0	4.6	7.3	0.0	0.0	4.6	7.3	0.0	0.0	ü
Ś	14.0	4.2	15.4	1.4	2.5	0.0	0.0	1.4	4.5	Ö.Č	3.6	1
6	82.0	7.2	22.0	6.9	8.1	0.0	0 .0	6.9	8.1	0.0	4.0	Ē
7	53.4	6.3	21.7	2.9	3.6	0.0	0.0	2.9	6.2	0.0	a.a	ž
. Š	1.6	2.1	21.9	3.3	5.6	0.0	0.0	1.1	5.6	0.0	3.0	Ē
. ă	1.5	11.1	35.1	6.5	5.6	0.0	0.0	6.0	5.4	0.0	0.0	č
11	1.5	0.0	15.4	1.2	3.3	1+4	2.5	0.0	0.e	0.0	0.0	č
BARE	ENGINE	WEIGHT	. 1	176.5	1	OTAL	ENGIN	E LENG	TH =	35.1		
ACC	ESSORY	WEIGHT	•	30.5		N	IAXTHU	N RADI	US -	8.1		
HEA	T EXCH	WEIGHT	•	0.0		CENT	TER OF	GRAVI	TY #	8.1		
EXHA	UST SY	STEM WT		3.5								
6	EARBOX	WEIGHT	• 2	214.9		PROPE	LLER	VEIGHT	e	159.4		
TOTAL	ENGINE	WEIGHT	• 4	33.4								

Figure 24. WATE-S Turboprop Sample Case Long Output.

CASE IDENTIFICATION 4.3.1 TURBOPROP ENGINE SAMPLE CASE

WEIGHT INPUT DATA IN ENGL UNITS WEIGHT DUTPUT DATA IN ENGL UNITS

BARE ENGINE WEIGHT -	176.5	TOTAL ENGINE LENGTH = 35.1
ACCESSORY WEIGHT -	20.5	MAXIMUM RADIUS = 8.1
HEAT EXCH WEIGHT =	0.0	CENTER OF GRAVITY = 8.1
EXHAUST SYSTEM WT 🖻	3,5	
GEARBOX WEIGHT #	214.9	PROPELLER WEIGHT = 159.4
TOTAL ENGINE WELGHT =	433.4	

Figure 25. WATE-S Turboprop Sample Case Short Output.

106

 \mathbf{b}

all and the state of the second second

4.3.2 Turbofan Engine

Figure 26 illustrates the configuration of the sample turbofan test case. The engine has two spools. The low-pressure spool consists of a two-stage axial fan, T-stage, and two-stage axial low-presure turbine. The high-pressure spool consists of a single-stage centrifugal compressor driven by a single-stage axial high-pressure turbine. The engine has a reverse-flow burner and a mixer-compound exhaust system.

Figure 27 illustrates the input required to estimate the weight of this engine. The first title card is followed by the configuration input, namelist \$C. This engine configuration is nearly identical to the default and requires few changes. Component 15 is a mixer instead of a nozzle, and shaft 16 contains (be fan, T-stage, and LP turbine.

Following the second title card, the thermodynamic input is specified in the \$T namelist. The mass flow rate, total pressure and temperature, and fuel/air ratio are specified for each station. The thrust and fuel flow are entered in the "PERPF" array, but these are optional inputs and are not required for execution. However, the mixer flow areas, DATOUT(1,15) and DATOUT(2,15) are required and must be input for the mixer weight and dimension calculations.

The aeromechanical inputs are next, and are contained in the \$W namelist. Default values have been used for most of the "IWMEC" array since the sample turbofan configuration is so close to the default configuration. However, IWMEC(7,2) and IWMEC(7,5)are set equal to 2 for the two-stage fan, and IWMEC(7,7) is set equal to 1 for the single-stage t-stage LP compressor. A twostage LP turbine is input, IWMEC(5,13) = 2 and component 15 is specified as a forced mixer.



WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Configuration. Figure 26.

í

ORIGINAL PAGE IN OF POOR QUALITY

```
4.13.2 TURBOFAN ENGINE SAMPLE CASE
$Ĉ
JTYPE(15)=8,
JC ONF (17, 1) =9, JC ONF (15, 2) =5, 7, 11, JC ONF (16, 3) =13, 0,
SEND.
4.3.2 TURBOFAN ENGINE SAMPLE CASE
$7
WTF=17.886,8.879,3+8.812,3+9.007,8.969,.038,8.969,8.759,.210,8.931,
2+9.010,3+9.154,
TOPRES=14.1696, 18.143, 43.537, 42.178, 14.696, 18.145, 2443.531, 2458.1780,
59.193,2+310.694,298.269,104.530,102.125,40.837,40.426,37.347,
TOTENP=518.7,562.5,751.9,24756.6,562.5,24739.8,3+817.6,241395.6,2579.7,
2077.1,2071.5,1694.4,2+1687.7,
F4R=13+0.,.0192,.0192,.0191,.0191,.0188,.0188,
PERPF(2)=651.2,618.3,
DATINP(5,1)=.65,
                                                                  ÷
DATOUT (1,15)-31.88,16.55,
$END
51
IWMEC(1,2)=2,1,2,0,5,0,2,
IWMEC(1,5)=3,1,2,0,2,0,2,
IW MEC ( 1, 7) = 6, 1, 4 + 0, 1,
IWHEC(1,13)=9,5,5,12,2,0,0,
IWHEC(1,15)-15,6+0,
DE SVAL (1,2)=.56, 1.7, .4,1.5,2.,1.5, .43,0.,0.,1.,0.,3.,.965,
DESVAL(1,3)=+4,30.,0.,-1.,
DESYAL(1,5)=.56,1.7,.4,1.5,2.,1.5,.43,0.,0.,1.,0.,3.,.965,
DE SVAL (1,7) =.43, 1.7,.8,1.5,1.5,1.5,.40,0.,0.,1.0,0.,2.,.861,
DE SVAL (1,8)=.4,4.,0.,-1.,
DE SVAL (1,9) = ,42, 4.1, .59, 20., 2+0., .19, 2+0., 1., 0., .37, .97,
DE SVAL (1,10)=55.,...008,0.,-9.,
DESVAL (1, 11)+.16, 1.24, 1.6, 2+1.2, .31, 125000., 2., 1.,
DE SVAL (1, 12)=.4, 5.,0.,-1.,
DE SVAL (1,13)=+23,1+2,1+6,1+5,2+0,+31,125000+,1+,1+
DE SVAL (1, 15) =+0, 8+, 13+0+,
SEND
```

Figure 27. WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Input.

The "DESVAL" values follow the "IWMEC" inputs. In this case, most "DESVAL" values are specified, the "DEFAUL" values used for Here on provide (component 4), intercompressors duct (component 6), turbine exit duct (component 14), and shafts (components 16 and 17). The fan, (components 2 and 5) has an inlet Mach number of 0.56, inlet hub/tip radius ratio of 0.4, and exit Mach number of 0.43. The tip solidity is 1.5 and the first and last stage aspect ratios are 2.0 and 1.5, respectively. The fan utilizes a constant tip radius design mode, DESVAL(12,2) =3.; and a 3.5 percent scalar is applied to the design-point pressure-ratio corrected tip-speed correlation, DESVAL(13,2) = 0.965. The intercompressor duct, component 8, has an inlet Mach number of 0.4 and a length-to-height ratio of 4.0. The inlet mean radius is determined from the upstream component, LP compressor 7. The burner through-flow velocity is 55. ft/g and a burner residency time of 0.008 seconds is specified. The inner diameter of the burner is specified as the outer diameter of component 9, the HP turbine This is how reverse-flow burners are (DESVAL(4,10) = -9.).handled when the mean diameter is not known.

The HP turbine, component 11, has an inlet Mach number of 0.16, turbine mean work coefficient of 1.24, and an exit Mach number of 0.31. The tip solidity is 1.6 and the aspect ratio is 1.2. A disk reference stress of 125,000 psi is specified, DESVAL(7,11) = 125,000. The forced mixer has a length to hydraulic diameter ratio of 0.8 and has 8 lobes.

Figure 28 illustrates the complete debug output for the turbofan sample case. This includes the configuration, thermodynamic, aeromechanical, and printer plot sections.

ORIGINAL POULLA OF POUR QUALITY

\$C J1YPE(15)=8p JCCNF(17p1)=9pJCCNF(15p2)=5p7p11pJCUNF(14p3)=13p0p \$END

4.3.2 TURBOFAN ENGINE SAMPLE CASE

CÜN	FIGURA	FICN DATA	19 STATI	ONS	17 COMFONE	N12
CUMPONENT NUMBER	NKINC	CLPPONENT Type	UPSTR Stati	EAM INS	DOWNST Stati	REAM
۰.	١	INLET	1	0	6	2
A		COMPRESS.	2	0	3	0
ĸ		DUAT A	ä	0	4	0
3	č	LC 7715		Ō	5	0
4	•			ō	7	G
5	•	CEMPRESK			À	0
6	2	DUCT 8	ſ	š	Ğ	10
7	4	COPPRESR	6	U O	,,,	• ŏ
6	2	DUCT B	9	0	44	
ē	Ā	CUMPRESP	11	o	75	13
16	2	DUCT B	12	C	14	ů,
11	ĥ	TURBINE	14	13	15	ç
11		CLCT B	15	C	16	Q
14	Ę	TLESTNE	16	10	17	0
13	2	ICKRTUE	13	ō.	16	0
14	2	DUCT B	1 C	ĕ	19	Õ
15	8	PIXER	10	~ ~		ő
16	11	SHAFT		, r	13	Ň
17	11	SHAFT	9	11	Ų	v

		<inlt< th=""><th>1></th><th><inlt< th=""><th>7></th><th></th><th></th></inlt<></th></inlt<>	1>	<inlt< th=""><th>7></th><th></th><th></th></inlt<>	7>		
		CCMP	5>	<comp< td=""><td>2></td><td></td><td></td></comp<>	2>		
		7 <duc t<="" td=""><td>6></td><td><000T</td><td>3></td><td></td><td>-</td></duc>	6>	<000T	3>		-
CCMP	7>	SC UMP	7>	<nczz< td=""><td>4></td><td></td><td></td></nczz<>	4>		
10 Turb	13>	<duct< td=""><td>6></td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></duct<>	6>	,			
		SCCNP	9>			<comp 13</comp 	9>
		12 <duct< td=""><td>10></td><td></td><td></td><td>STURB</td><td>11></td></duct<>	10>			STURB	11>
		TURB	11>				
		<duct< td=""><td>12></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></duct<>	12>				
		10 <turb< td=""><td>13></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></turb<>	13>				
		<pre>uuct</pre>	142				
		<nixr< td=""><td>15></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></nixr<>	15>				
		14					

SHAFT (16) IS CONNECTED TO COMP(3) AND COMP(7) AND TURB(13) AND Shaft (17) IS connected to comp(9) and turb(11) and

Figure 28. WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Output.

111

1281 12 9. 41141.1

S. AND CAPACITY

\$1 bF=17.866.8.875,3*6.412,3*9.607,8.969.038,0.9.6759.216.8.931, 295.616.375.154 1678.5814.456.145,43.537,42.175,14.664.17.14.52443.531,2458.760, 56.193.28310.694.292124.53531.127.127.40.4271.40.4241395.652579.7 1118.45214.551.694.4229124.2756.6552.652.7739.8.248174.6.241395.652579.7 1118.45214.551.694.4229120.73 1118.4521.22071.551.694.4229120.75 1118.4521.22011.43 127.1121.425012.43 1418.4121.425012.43 1418.4121.425012.43 1418.4121.425012.43 1418.4121.425012.43 1418.4121.425012.43 1418.4121.4121.44555 1418.412.425012.43 1418.42301.4255 1418.42301.4251.4555 1418.42301.4212.4442.4555 1418.42301.4212.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4230.4442.4555 1418.4550.4555 1418.4555 1418.4555 1418.4555 1418.4555 1418.4555 1418.4555 1418.45555 1418.45555 1418.4555 1418.4555 1418.4555 1418.4555 1418.4555 1418.45555 1 4.3.2 TUPBOFAR ENEINE SAPPLE CASE CASE IDENTIFICATION Crbonkent

ORIGINAL PARE DE OF POOR QUALITY

6 6 6 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
m AM M C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
DATIKP2 0. 754596=02 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
AC. 1775 AC. 1775 CCAFFES CCAF

Figure 28. WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Output (Contd).

			5 T.I	TION PREPERT	T CUTPUT CATA				
					ELEDEL	4764	STATTC TW	JFRFACF CCRPE	180
	PE IGHT						CEFSSIPE	FLCL FFECT	
STATICH		FFF 55UFL	The product of the second s	ALLU	1111				
-4	175562+C2	• 14696E +02	E3+362#15*	•	•17886t +CZ		7		
~	*********	• 16145E+U2	•56759E+C3	•••	• 74868E +C1	•			
m	•0€12CE+01	20+]ZZ4E5**	£0+jtol,∠°	•	13+ JE LAGE*	, ,			
•	•6t]2t€•01	+4217fi +02	•75560F+C3	•	• 37CE2E+CI	•	7,	; ;	
ш3	.÷€12CÉ+01	144546462	•75659F4C3	•:	• 10543E+C2	•		ret fr	
J	°5(C7(E+C1	-16145E407	• 5 6 7 5 0 F 4 C 3	•	-759655401	7.	-1 p	i 1	
~	*95C31E+C3	• 43521£402	E)+ 108022 *	:	[]+]][E]E	1	77	77	
a,	"9C C 7CE +61	•43521E+62	-730P0E+C3	3	10+301696*	•	1	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
v	**5E5LE+C1			•	• 28153E • 01		1		
3	• 3E CCCE-CI	•587tGE+62	• 8176CE+C3		10-122417.	77	11	•	
11	*65eSct+01	• 5 £ 1 5 3 F + 0 2	•E1+10+113*	-	1943/6432*		1	1	
12	*8755CE+C1	. 31069£+03	*393956E*		• 67559E + CO			7 7	
EI	+21COCE +CO	 31669£+03 	*3305FF+C4	•	• 16293E+C1	ī		; ,	
+1	-+521C1+C1	.258276+03	257975404	.1520CE-C1	.96135E+00	7		•	
. =	-50100 +01	1C453E+02	+3+31240c*	.1520CE-C1	• 25345E +01	-	7		•
1	- 0C 1CCF 001	-1C2135+03	-29715F+C4	*191CCE-01	.25511E+01	7	7	7	
;:	C1441401	. 408 376 402	.169665+64	19100E-01	.5954CE+C1	7	7	7	
	104 314 1 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4	- 40424F407	169775404	.leecce-cl	-6C027E+01	7	7	•	
51	10+30+515"	20+324676.	.16077E+04	.18£0C£-01	• 64975E +01	ī	7	7	
			-	CEPCAEPT CUE					
CCAPCNENT							5 atriv2	5 13 5 13 5	TATENTS
PL. TYPE	DATOLT1	CATCUTZ	5100184	PA10U14	CATCUTS	DAILLIC			
1 INLET	•	•	Ĵ	•	:	••			
2 CCPRESE	-+5727CE+03	•	•••	•	•	•	, ,	*******	4/37775142
2 DUCT 8	-56175E+0C	<u>ن</u>	č	••	:	•	5	3	5.
A DC22LE		5	Ĵ		ť	•		0.	C.
5 CEPEBLSE	EC+355445		.	•	5	••	3	* 553406900	•23431642•
	100000000	: :	:		•	•	3		6.
	240976+03	1		.0		••	3	• 5352563•	E3+3E35Et*
	OSCOTE ACC	: 3		•		•	Ĵ	3	
	- 154555 464	:3	: 5		5		.	• £2CJ3E+0C	*53356EE
		: 3		-	3	•••	J.	3	:
11 TIESTAG	3543164(4	6	:2	•0	3	••	3	• E9633F + CC	*28534£+C1
	0.2400142.0		•	-0-	5	°.	.	3	
as tetts				3	-0	¢.	3	•51425E+CO	-250016+51
	0000012400		1			.0		•	••
		.145505402	ć	D.	•	0 .	.	3	•
					5		J	Ĵ	:
	: 3	:	:2	.0		• 0 .	3	3	:
	•	1							
PACH+ +65	ALT11ULE =	0° \$ECCAE4	Y - .939						
					461.30	CHEL CLOW	197791	612.2C	
AJPFLC'H (LEJ	7 SEC)	17-89	REFINE THRUS	_	5070°	THET THEUST	/1155LCh	20+ +08+	
150.145.051		070754	TOTAL BEAKE	CHAET ND	00-0	AGATTAN D	**6	10.1	
TLIAL JALET Jestalled TH	LEAU PPUST	\$51.20	INSTALLET T	SFC	\$ 4 9 5	SPILLAGE +	LIF CFAG	03*J	
cfe cfæbofs	10 J 11 B 11	ELD FPACTICN J	5 .0075						
FCP CC+PPESS	5C9 7 7HE E-1	FFC FPACILON I	r _0(s2						
FEP CLAPRESS	ILE STHE PLE	ELC FRACTICS T	: °C234						
	14	igure 28.	WATE-S	Turbofan	Sample C	Case Outp	ut (Conto	d).	
		•							

TPLT CATA ē

4*3*5 ILFGREAN FRETE CASE

CASE ICONTIFICATION

ş

113

ORIGINAL PAGE IG OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE MA

56 INPEC(1,2)=2,1,2,C,5,C,2, 16MEC(1,5)=3,1,2,C,2,C,2, INFEC(1,7)=6,1,4+C,1, INMEC(1,13)=9,5,5,12,2,0,0, IhMEC(1,15)=15,6+C, DESVAL(1,2)=,56,1.7,.4,1.5,2,,1.5,.43,0,,0.,1.,C.,3.,.965, CESVAL(1,3)=.4,30,,0,,-1., CESVAL(1,5)=.56,1.7,.4,1.5,2.,1.5,.43,C.,0.,1.,C.,3.,.965, DESVAL(1,7)=,43,1,7,, t,1,5,1,5,1,5,,40,0,0,,1,,0,,2,,, E61, DESVAL(1,8)=.4,4.,0.,-1., CESVAL(1,9)=.42,4.1,.59,20.,2+0.,.19,2+0.,1.,0.,.37,.97, DESVAL(1,1C)=55,,,008,0.,-9., CESVAL(1,11)=,16,1,24,1,6,2+1,2,,31,125000,,2,,1,, CESVAL(1,12)=+4,5+0+,-1+, CESVAL(1,13)=.23,1.2,1.6,1.5,2.C,.31,125000.,1.,1., DESVAL(1,15)=.8,8,8,13+0., SEND

Figure 28. WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Output (Contd).

ĥ

CCHPONENT

		d).
	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	put (Cont
มันถึงจุดถึงคือคองจุบิจจิงต สี่	DESVAL 6 DESVAL 6 . 15CCE 401+ . 15CCE 40+ . 15CCE 40+	Case Outj
ບັນວິນຸມຸຣິນູໂມນນມະຍິນຍິນອ ເ ສີ	DESVAL 5 C. 2500E 01 C. 2000E 00 C. 2000E 00 C. 2000E 00 C. 2000E 00 C. 2000E 00 C. 2000E	n Sample
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<pre> C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C</pre>	Turbofāi
20000000000000000000000000000000000000	955 VAL 3 4000 F 400 * 6.4000 F 400 * 9.800 5 400 * 1.100 F 400 * 1.100 F 401 * 1.100 F 400 * 1.100 F 40	WATE-S
「いったとうれられってものであっか」	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	igure 28.
3.9 EEC1 3.9 EEC1 1.8 LT 3.8 LT 1.8 LT 9.1 CT 9.1 CT 9.1 CT 9.1 CT <td>C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C</td> <td></td>	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
1 1 <td>$\begin{array}{c} CCPCDFEFS \\ FC. 777E \\ FC. 7072E \\ FC.$</td> <td></td>	$ \begin{array}{c} CCPCDFEFS \\ FC. 777E \\ FC. 7072E \\ FC. $	

ORIGINAL DO

i

۰. .

CASE IDENTIFICATION 4.3.2 TURBOFAN ENGINE SAMPLE CASE ******** ORIGINAL PACE IS â F1 5 + É. OF POOR QUALITY *********** OLCT P NO VEL T TOT +560 631. 563. P TOT P STAT AREA GAM .379 1.400 2613. 2112. LTIPMAX STRESS WGHT/A DEN TR H/T .580 1.60 1382.1 33788. .168 +400 CEMPRESSOR 5 MECHANICAL DESIGN RPM C RPM LGADING N STG DIAM U TIP C MAX RPM 2.C 9.09 1327.2 34841. 33456. 1.161 34641. FRAME WT . 14.54 STAGE 1 ND WE WS WN WC CL RHCB RHOD AR 1.8 1.6 1.6 C.0 2.6 3.2 .168 .168 2.00 SPR DEL H MACH AREA R HUB R TIP NB UTIPMAX STR WEIGHT TIN TMAX STG I 4444 WARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LINIT EXCEEDED 44444 Stage work cuefficient is 1.10 des limit is .40 44stage work cuefficient to High, add stages or increase H/T inplt44 1,592 22.1 .56C .375 1.82 4.55 31 1382.1 33788. 7.6 563. 563. 12. STAGE 2 NO WE WS WN WC CL RHUB RHOD AR 3.1 .9 .9 .9 2.2 2.7 .168 .168 1.50 SPR GELH MACH AREA RHUB RTIP NB UTIPMAX STR WEIGHT TIN TMAX STG I 1.497 22.1 .495 .279 2.80 4.55 36 1382.1 25445. 7.9 654. 651. 20. N STG WEIGHT LENGTH CENGRA INERTIA 2 30.46 7.35 3.06 31.9 DUCT P NO VEL T TOT P TOT P STAT AREA GAM 5523. .430 564. 746. +219 1+394 6269. PR 96 AD EF TO HP 6269. 2.399 .8698 746. 1117. CWI HI но WI 134.47 178.60 17.89 15,09 ****************** TETAL COMP WEIGHT IS 30.5 ********** DUCT 6 . ۰. . ********** NAX CONDITIONS OCCUR AT **************** - PN ALT 0. .650 PICT

e .

Figure 28. WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Output (Contd).

Q. 1101 .610 ORIGINAL L'AGE IS ***************** 4FEA+ 3.2C RT= OF POOR QUALITY 3.55 LENG= .75 +117 AHC= +160 CAS WT INC H INC WT WTOT •16 • 13 .28 1 ********** ٠ LPC 4 7 . ÷ 444444444442 PAX CONDITIONS OCCUR AT *********************************** ALT MN VALUE 0. PTOT .650 43.5 LB/SQIN 0. TICT .650 739.8 CEG R ChIN C. .65C 3.6 L8/58C ************************************ DLCT M NO VEL T TOT .430 562. 740. P TOT P STAT AREA GAM 6268. 5523. .110 1.395 ***** RECALCULATE UFSTREAM DUCT CONDITIONS, SPECIFIED RPM CLCT. R NO VEL T TOT P TOT P STAT AREA GAM 6246 ·435 566. 740. 5508. .110 1.395 LTIPHAX STRESS DEN WGHT/A TR H/T 1135.4 12881. .168 .134 1.20 .800 CEMPRESSOR 7 MECHANICAL DESIGN LCADING N STG CIAN U TIP C <u>89</u>M C RPH MAX RPH .907 1.0 7.47 950.7 34841. 29173. 34841. STAGE 1 ND WB WS WN WC CL RHOB RHOD AR 1+4 +3 +3 1+1 +8 1+2 +168 +168 1+50 SPR DELH MACH AREA R HUB R TIP NR UTIPMAX STR WEIGHT TIN TPAX STG I 0000 WARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT FXCEFCED 00000 STAGE WORK CUEFFICIENT IS .91 DES LIMIT IS .90 00stage work coefficient to High, add stages or increase H/T inpute0 1.350 18.9 .435 .110 2.59 3.73 70 1137.4 12866. 3.8 740. 740. 9. N STG WEIGHT LENGTH CENGRA INERTIA 1 3.79 1.17 1+17 8.8 DLCT P NO VEL T TOT P TOT P STAT ARFA GAN ·400 550. 815. 8464. 7586. .091 1.391 PR AD EF PC to. HP 1.350 .6392 8464. 616. 241. HI. HŪ 61 CWI 177.20 196.10 9+01 3.63 ***************** TOTAL COMP WEIGHT IS 3.0

ъ

Figure 28. WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Output (Contd).

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY DUCT # HAX CONDITIONS OCCUR AT ******************* ALT Q_p C+ **MN** .650 PICT TTCT . 69C ******** RH= 3.04 RT= 3.66 LENG= AFEA= .091 RHC= .168 2.49 .091 RHC# .166 CAS WT INC WI WTOT INC WT •40 .88 .46 ********** . . CENC 9 * . Ú. é HAX CONDITIONS OCCUR AT ************************************ MN VALUE ALT 58.2 LB/SQIN -65C PTCT 0. 817.6 DEG R 2.8 LB/SEC .650 0. TTCT CHIN .650 0. *********************************** DUCT GAM P NO VEL T TOT P STAT AREA P TOT 7428. . .000 1.391 8380. .420 577. 818. STAGE 1 BLADE STRESS EXCEEDS ALLOWABLE, STRESS = 51099. ALLOWABLE = 46143. STAGE 1 ĊL WDIFF RHO **WSHRD BBLD** HOISK .134 05TR 3.0 3.26 •9 5.2 . .4 WEIGHT STAGE I **BSTR** UTIPC RPM RTIP NB SPR 5.6 14+4 51099. 3.97 45682. 20 1345.8 5.329 63690+ N STG HEIGHT LENGTH CENGRA INERTIA 9.56 3.34 3.94 14.4 1 CUCT. GAM PING VEL TITAT PITAT P STAT AREA .045 1.356 .190 341. 1396. 44740. 43661. HP ΤÜ PG FR AD EF 44740. 1396. 1849. 1.339 .0201 WŻ. CWI HQ HI E. 97 196.16 341.76 2.84 ************** TETAL CEMP WEIGHT IS 9.6 *********** ÷. - HPT 11 • . \$ ۰

Figure 28. WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Output (Contd).

ORIGINAL PACE IS *********** OF POOR QUALITY HAR CONDITIONS OCCUP AT VALUE ALT NN -.450 298.3 L8/SQTN P101 Ű. 2579.7 DEG P . 650 TICT Ó. 2.5 LB/SEC .650 CHOUT Ĉ. ****************************** **** DLCT. P STAT AREA GAM MIND VEL TITOT P TUT 42244. .076 1.299 ·160 383. 2560. 42591. DEN WOHT/A TR H/T 1.00 LTIPPAX STRESS .835 .160 .28¢ 1886.6 57168. TURBINE 11 MECHANICAL DESIGN AREA N STG N WRN C HZT .076 1.240 1.0 .835 MAXRPH TORQ A o M RTIP DEL H RHUB UT. 63690. 1844. 147.4 63690. 2.82 1860.6 3.38 STAGE 1 HWD CASE AR BLADE VANE CISK 5.0 .4 .6 1.0 .7 1.20 SPR DEL H MACH AREA R HUB R TIP NA UTIPMAX STR WEIGHT LENGTH STG I **** WARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT EXCREDED ***** BLADE RODT STRESS IS 97167.7 DES LIMIT IN 90000.0 **STRESS IS TOO HIGH REDUCE SHAFT SPEED OR INCREASE EXIT MACH NUMBER** 2.82 3.38 73 1880.6 97168. 7.67 23. 1.20 2.900 147.4 .160 .076 CENGRA INERTIA N STG LENGTH WEIGHT 1.20 23. 7.67 1.2 1 DUCT GAM P NO VEL T TOT P TOT P STAT AREA +105 1+312 665. 2077. 15052. 14139. .310 ta TO.1 TR PÖ AD EF ₽R. 2077+1 .8963 15052.3 2077.1 2.853 1.242 HOUT HP ANG Z FLOW H IN 8.93 1863. .357 ess. 39 537.97 **************** TCTAL TURB WEIGHT IS 7.7 *********** . ÷. DUCT 12 . 444444444444 **MAX CONDITIONS OCCUR AT** ****** MN ALT .650 PTOT 0. C . .650 1101 ******************* 2.78 RT- 3.40 LENG-3.12 RHO .084 RHL= .286 AFEA+ WTOT INC WT CAS NT .78 1.73 . 45

Figure 28. WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Output (Contd).

119

1

1

ī

à

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY LPT 13 . . MAX CONDITIONS OCCUR AT ********************** ALT MN VALUE . 690 0. 102.1 L8/SOIN 2071.5 DEG R PTOT TICT Q. L 65 C . 650 6.6 LA/SEC CHCUT. 0. **************** ****** CLCT. PNO VEL T TOT P TOT P STAT AREA GAM ·230 495. 2072. 14706. .140 1.312 14266. UTIPMAX STRESS 1196.4 31757. DEN WGHT/A TR H/T .205 1.00 +764 .286 TURBINE 13 MECHANICAL DESIGN HZT N STG # WRK C AREA 2.0 .764 1.200 .140 UT RTIP RPH RHUB DEL H MAXRPH TORG 1196.4 3.93 3.01 106.8 34841. 34841. 2462. STAGE 1 BLADE VANE HWD CASE AR 1+2 1+4 1+9 1+1 1+50 CISK 3.6 SPR DEL H MACH AREA R HUB R TIP NB UTIPMAX STR WEIGHT LENGTH STG I 1,549 53.4 .23C .140 3.01 3.93 63 1196.4 31757. 8.90 1.60 26. STAGE CISK BLADE VANE HWD CASE AR 3.0 1.7 1.9 1.2 1.1 2.00 SPR DEL H MACH AREA R HUB R TIP NO UTIPMAX STR WEIGHT LENGTH STG I 1.622 53.4 .270 .176 2.70 3.93 64 1196.4 40332. 0.90 1.59 24. FRAME WT = 12.50 N STG LENGTH WEIGHT CENGRA INERTIA 3.70 30.29 3.0 52. 2 CLCT P NO VEL T TOT P TOT P STAT AREA GAN 5661. .310 604. 1694. 5520. .244 1.327 FR TR AD EF PO to. 70+1 .9143 5880.5 1694.4 2.501 1.223 1694.4 ANO2 h IN Ĥ QUŤ FLOW HP 536.29 429.53 . 941 9.01 1361. ***************** TGTAL TURB WEIGHT IS 30.3 ********* ۰. DUCT 14 . . ************ PAX CENDITIONS OCCUR AT

Figure 28. WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Output (Contd).

120

「日本の一日本の

. . .

ļ

Y

i.

ORIGINAL L. OF POUR QUALITY PN. ALT .450 A1CT Q. -65C 6. ****** *************** 1.54 1.69 LENG# 2.15 KT= 81--.196 RHC= .266 AREAM NTOT INC WT CAS NT .30 . 61 . 51 FRIX 19 *****2 MAR CONDITIONS OCCUR AT ******************** NN. AI.T 0. .650 PICT .650 1011 C. ********************** LENGTH OUTER RAD PLUG HT 1.0 WTOT HIX WT 4.41 4.4 2.5 1.5 *** FC 2 PBUR 10 *****2 HAN CONDITIONS UCCUR AT VALUE ₽N ALT .650 310.7 LB/SQIN 0. PICT 1395.6 DEG R .7 L8/SFC .650 TTCT C+ .650 Q. CHIN ********* ******************** WSPEC LENGTH MACH ROUT RIN 2.567 .031 5.28 5,26 3.97 WTOT INC NT FRAME CAS WT LIN WT NG2 WT 35.7 27.3 •7 2.0 ****** DUCT 3 ÷. •2

Figure 28. WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Output (Contd).

121

110111-0

******************** 4.55 LENG= 16.74 3.43 RT= RH# .115 RHD# .166 AFLAM CAS WT INC NT WTUT 4.50 3.20 8.38 ORIGINAL PAGE 14 OF POOR QUALITY . . NOZ ********** MAX CUNDITIONS DECUR AT ************** MN ALT 0. • 65 C PTOT 0. .650 TICT ************* WEIGHT= 3.16 LENGTH= 9.10 TR WT= 0.00 ********* . SHAF 16 ŵ ********** MAX TORQUE CONDITION ******** TCRQUE 205.2 ******* SHAFT 16 10 WT. LENG DN 00 .87 .76 12.06 •84 .95 92. TCTAL INERTIA CF THIS SPOOL IS . ********* SHAF 17 ۵ ٠ 444444444444 MAX TORQUE CONDITION ******** TERQUE 153.6 ***** SHAFT 17 hT. DO 11 LENG ON .10 2.11 1.31 1.25 5.28 TCTAL INERTIA OF THIS SPOOL IS 37. THE DN VALUE OF 2.11 FILLION IS HIGH

Figure 28. WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Output (Contd).

ORIGINAL PARTY LA OF POOR QUALITY

**********	••
•	۰
ACCS WT	٠
•	
*********	12

ACCS WT= 37.61

CASE IDENTIFICATION 4.3.2. TURBOFAN FNGINE SAMPLE CASE

WEIGHT INPUT CATA IN ENGL UNITS WEIGHT GUTPUT DATA IN ENGL UNITS

CEMP	ыŤ.	CCMP	ACCU	ÚΡ	STRE	M RAD	IUS	DQM	NSTRE	AN RAI	DIUS	
NG	EŜŤ	LEN	LEN	RI	RG	PI	ŔŌ	RI	RG	RI	64	NSTAGE
2	15.1	7.4	7.4	3.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	4.0	4+5	C+0	0.0	C
3	8.4	18.7	26.1	3.9	4.5	0.0	0.0	3.9	4.5	C+0	C+C	C
	3.2	9.1	35.2	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	C
Ř	18.3	7.6	7.4	1.6	3.5	0.0	0.0	3.2	4.0	C.O	C.C	2
	.3		8.1	3.2	4.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	4.0	0.0	0.0	C
	• • •	1.2	0.3	3.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.7	0.0	C.C	1
ŗ	3.0	1.05	11.0	3.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	3-0	3.7	0.0	0.0	ō
		2.17	TTOD	300	241	0.0	0.0	1.8	4.0	0.0	0.0	ī
9	9.0	3+3	1241	147	202		0.0	103		0.0	A. A	
10	35.7	2+3	20.4	4 • U	2+3	0.0	0.0		283			ĭ
11	7+7	1+2	10.3	2.0	3+4	0.0	0.0	K • (3.3	U. U		
12	1.7	3+1	19.4	2+8	3+4	0.0	0.0	2.8	3e 4	GeO	0.0	¥.
13	30.3	4+0	23.4	3.0	3.9	0 • C	0.0	2,1	3.9	C+O	CeC	2
14	6.	1.5	29.0	2.1	3.7	0.0	0.0	2.1	3.7	0+0	0.0	0
15	2.5	4.4	29.4	2.0	3.8	3.8	4.4	2.0	4.4	C • 0	C + C	C
16	1.6	0.0	15.1	3.8	4.4	3.2	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	C+0	Ç
17	• 2	C.0	0.0	3.C	3.7	1.5	2.5	0.0	G. Q	0.0	0.0	C
BARE	ENGINÊ	WEIGHT		130.6		TOTAL	FNGINF	LENG	TH .	29.4	ł	
ACC	ESSOAY	WEIGHT	•	37.6		1	MAXIMUM	RADI	(US 🔹	5.3	ļ	
HEA	T EXCH	WE IGHT	•	0.0		CEN	TER OF	GRAVI	TY	19.0		
EXHA	UST SYS	STEN WT		5.7								
IGTAL	ENGINE	WEIGHT	•	173.9								

Figure 28. WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Output (Contd).

CASE IDENTIFICATION 4.3.2 TURƏDFAM EMETVE SAPPLE CASE

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Ľ dddddddddddddddddddddd <u>כַרַרַרַרַרַרַרַרַרַרַרַרַרַ</u>

-1/)----1/)--

l S I

-122-

ž

51

-173--

--[/]-----[/]-----[/]-----[/]------[/]---

Figure 28. WATE-S Turbofan Sample Case Output (Contd).

4.4 Program Diagnostics

The WATE-S program contains several error checks to aid the user in obtaining an acceptable engine design. A listing of these errors, and the subroutines the cocur in, are included in Table XIV. None of these errors will cause the execution of WATE-S to stop. The subroutine the error occurred in may be terminated and subsequent component calculations can be in error.

4.5 Program Structure

The WATE-S code is written in FORTRAN IV and has been checked out on the IBM 370 computer. However, the code was developed at Garrett on a CDC 170 machine in an overlay structure. The code is written in single precision and requires no subroutines beyond those in the IBM FORTRAN IV manual. There is no character manipulation and only full word tests are used when testing BCD input.

The main program is called WATES and in turn calls INPUT and WTEST as shown in Figure 29. Subroutine INPUT reads the configuration (\$C) and thermodynamic (\$T) data. Subroutine WTEST reads the aeromechanical (\$W) data and calls the component routines. These component routines are independent of each other, and some use the same lower level routines as others. After all weights and dimensions have been estimated, ENGPLT is called to make the printer plot.

The following variables in the common blocks may be referenced by a component weight estimating routine depending on the component type: DATOUT, WTF, TOPRES, TOTEMP, FAR, CORFLO, JCONF, JTYPE, NCOMP, NOSTAT. In no case is any value changed by the weight estimation code.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE XIV. WATE-S ERROR MESSAGES

SUAROUTINE FIGURE-

MAIN FLOW PATH DIDNT END, RETURN

FLOWPATH, II+1, DIDNT END, CHECK LOGIC

SUBROUTINE DISK-

++++++VERAGE DISK STRESS GREATER THAN ALLOWABLE STRESS+++++ XXXXXXX.

SUAROUTINE DUCT1-

DUCT IS NOT CONVERGING

SUBROUTINE CENCOM-

STAGE II DISK STRESS EXCEEDS ALLOWABLE, STRESS - XXXXXXX, ALLOWABLE - XXXXXXX,

STAGE II BLADE STRESS EXCEEDS ALLOWABLE, STRESS = XXXXXXX, ALLOWABLE = XXXXXXX,

STARE II BLADE FREQUENCY LESS THAN 2/REV

SUARDUTINE CNECH-

**** WARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT EXCEFDED ***** Blade Root Stress is XXXXXXX, des limit is XXXXXXX. **Stress is You high reduce smaft speed or increase exit mach number**

 ####
 WARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT EXCEEDED #####

 STAGE HURTIP RATID IS X.XX DES LIMIT IS X.XX

 ##HUB TIP RATID IS TOO HIGH REDUCE HUB TIP RATID INPUT##

WARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT EXCEEDED ##### Stage murtip ratio is X.XX des limit is X.XX ##HUB tip ratio is yog low increase mur tip ratio input##

**** WARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT EXCEEDED ***** 1st stagf pratid is xx.xx des limit is xx.xx **stage allowable pressure ratio is tod high reduce input+*

WARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT EXCEEDED ##### Last stage mach no is x.xx des limit is x.xx ##Last stage mach no is too high reduce stage exit mach no input##

WARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT EXCEEDED ##### Stage Rladf Height IS XX&XX des limit is XX&XX ##Stage bladf meight is too small change des opp or reduce h/t input##

**** WARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT EXCEEDED **** Stage work coefficient is xx.xx des limit is xx.xx **Stage work coefficient to migh. Add stages or increase h/t input**

COMPRESSOR II PRESSURE RATID IS TOD HIGH

COMPRESSOR IT STAGE AND BLADE PARAMETERS, MEANINGLESS

TABLE XIV. WATZ-S ERROR MESSAGES (CONTD)

SU3 ROUTINE SHIFT-
FRAMP IN SHAFT II The My Value je XX,XX militon is High
SUBRDITTME PADTUP- DISK STRESS EXCEEDS ALLOWARLE, STRESS = XXXXXX, ALLEWARLE = XXXXXX. Rlade Stress exceeds allowarle, Stress = XXXXXX. Allowarle = XXXXXX. Blade Frequency Less Than 2/Rev
SUGROUTINE THECH. **** VARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT EXCEEDE##### Blade Pont Strees is XXXXXX. Des Limit is XXXXXX. **Stress is too High Reduce Shaft Speed or increase exit mach Number**
**** WARNING FOLLOWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT EXCEEDED***** Stage Hubtip Ratio is X.XX des Limit is X.XX ***4ub tip Patio is too low increase tuarine control Radius**
**** WARNING FJLLDWING STAGE DESIGN LIMIT EKCEEDED***** Stage work is X.XXY des Limit is X.XXX **\$tage york is to high, add stages or decrease input mean work cjefficient
TURRINE II WORK ER PADIUS TOO HIGH, RC = XXX.XX Turbine II stage and blade parameters meaningless

.) < 30**8**9

1.56

ORIGINAL Press i à OF POOR QUALITY



Figure 29. Diagram of Subroutine Connectivity.

128

フロード

Based on the information in NCOMP and the JTYPE array, the proper component routine is called with the component number (I) as an argument. Each component is expected to fill WATE(I), ALENG(I), TLENG(I), RO(1,I), RO(2,I), RI(1,I), RI(2,I). Rotating components also fill RPMT(I). The shaft component fills DSHAFT(N) where N is the shaft count from the inside out.

The array "CONVER" in common CONVER are conversion factors to convert English to SI units for output, or SI to English units for input. However, all internal calculations in WATE-S are performed in English units.

LOCATION	VALUE	UNITS English	to	UNITS SI
1	2.5400	inch		CM
2	0.30480	feet		meter
3	0.45359	lb _m		Kg
4	0.092903	ft ²		meter ²
5	0.027680	lb _m ∕in ³		Kg/cm ³
6	0.68948	lb _f ∕in ²		Newton/cm ²
7	4.8824	lb _m /ft ²		Kg/m ²
8	0.55556	°R		°K
9	2326.0	Btu/lbm		Joule/Kg
10	0.074570	hp		KWatt
11	47.880	$1b_{e}/ft^{2}$		Newton/m ²

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The WATE-S program can provide the level of accuracy in predicting weight and dimensions of both small and intermediate propulsion gas turbine engines that is required for preliminary engine cycle selection studies. On an absolute basis, the calculated weights and dimensions have been demonstrated to be within ± 10 percent for six reference engines. On a relative basis, between engines of the same generic family and configuration, the accuracy is much better, estimated at less than ± 2 percent.

The flexibility of modeling the engine allows virtually any conventional or nonconventional gas turbine engine to be analyzed given the thermodynamic performance of the engine components. WATE-S is viewed as an extension of the Boeing WATE-2 code, that is, the structure of the basic program was not altered. Hopefully, this should allow users of the WATE-2 code to easily adapt WATE-S to their design system, if desired.

The following improvements to the program are recommended:

- (a) Exit Mach number should be used to size the blades for axial turbines instead of inlet Mach number as is currently in use.
 Exit Mach number is a more fundamental parameter in turbine design and the code should reflect this.
- (b) The heat exchanger calculation should be made to "close the loop" on fixed tube number. Currently, WATE-S estimates the pressure drops through the heat exchanger for the input number of tubes. This could be compared against the thermodynamic data, and the number varied until the results agree. For nonpropulsion engines, e.g. auxillary power units, stationary power units, and vehicular engines, the heat exchanger can be the largest and heaviest component in the engine, and hence, should be modeled as accurately as possible.

130

- (c) For ducts, burners, and duct burners where the ID wall is subjected to collapsing pressure rather than internal bursting pressure, the calculations outlined in Section 3.1.6 should be included. Duct weights are typically a small fraction of total engine weight but a definite component accuracy improvement could be obtained. In addition, the duct model could be improved to radially match both the upstream and downstream components.
- (d) Blade mean solidity should be used to determine the blade count for axial components instead of the blade tip solidity.
 Blade mean solidity generally has more significance and consistency than does blade tip solidity.

APPENDIX A LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	area (cm ²)	11	wheel aneod (m/a)
AF	activity factor	v	
AR	aspect ratio	w	weight (kg)
С	blade chord (cm)	τ Τ	shear stress (N/cm^2)
C-D	convergent-divergent nozzle	λ	turbine work
C/S	solidity, blade chord to spacing ratio	ρ	density (kg/cm^3)
D	diameter (cm)	ω	rotational velocity (rad/s)
F '	force (Newton)	σ	normal stress (N/cm^2)
g	gravitational constant K <u>g-M</u> 2	E	heat exchanger effectiveness
GR	N-S" gear ratio	θ	ratio of local total temperature to standard temperature
h	height (cm)	8	ratio of local total
h/t	hub/tip radius ratio	•	pressure to standard
H	total enthalpy (Joule/kg)		pressure
HP	high-pressure spool	Ψ	compressor work coefficient
I	rotational inertia (cm ² -kg)		
J	778.16 ft-1bf/Btu	Subsc	ripts:
K	factor for blade volume	h	- h.s.h
L	length (cm)		nup
LP	low-pressure spool	L B	tip
Mn	Mach number	В	
N	number of elements	C	case or corrected conditions
P	pressure (N/cm ²)	S	stator
PTO	power takeoff	hw	hardware
PW	power (KWatt)	Stg	stage
R	rađius (cm)	D	disk
RPM	revolutions per minute	SPL	splitter
S	blade spacing (cm)	2	engine inlet station
Т	temperature (°K) or	m	mean
L	torque (cm=N)	i	inner
C m~	CDICKNESS (CM)	0	outer
TR	plade taper ratio		

APPENDIX B REFERENCES

- Onat, E., and G. W. Klees, "A Method to Estimate Weight and Dimensions of Large and Small Gas Turbine Engines -Final Report", NASA CR-159481, January 1979.
- 2. Eshback, O.W., ed., Handbook of Engineering Fundamentals.
- Schmidt, A. H., "A Method for Estimating the Weight of Aircraft Transmissions", SAWE Paper No. 1120, May 1976.
- 4. "Ceramic Rotary Heat Exchanger Cores", Corning Glass Works, 1974.
- 5. Keith, F., Principles of Heat Transfer, International Textbook Co., 1967, pp. 483-508.
- 6. "Parametric Propeller Data Package for Advanced Technology Commuter Aircraft Propellers, Small Transport Aircraft Technology Propeller Study", Hamilton Standard.
- 7. Fishbach, L. H. and M. J. Caddy, "NNEP The Navy-NASA Engine Program", NASA TM X-71857, December 1975.
- Gerend, R. P., and J. P. Roundhill, "Correlation of Gas Turbine Engine Weights and Dimensions", AIAA Paper 70-669, June 1970.
- 9. Klees, G. W., and L. H. Fishbach, "Aircraft Engine Weight Estimation Method", SAWE Paper No. 1248, May 1978.
- 10. GASP General Aviation Synthesis Program. Aerophysics Research Corporation, NASA CR152303.
- 11. Baerst, C. F., and D. G. Furst, "General Aviation Turbine Engine (GATE) Study", AiResearch Manufacturing Company of Arizona, NASA CR-159482, 1979.
- 12. Mechtly, E. A., "The International System of Units", NASA SP-7012, 1973.