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# A STUDY OF HIGH DENSITY BIT TRANSITION REQUIREMENTS VERSUS THE EFFECTS ON BCH ERROR CORRECTING CODING 

## SUMMARY

This report constitutes the final fulfillment of the requirements of the contract NAS8-33887. A comprehensive technical interim final report was submitted in January 1982 and an interim report was submitted on September 16, 1980.

The interim report of September 16,1980 contained the recommended design to achieve the required bit transition density for the HRM data stream of the Space Laboratory Vehicle. It contained a recommended circuit approach, specified the pseudo raniom (PN) sequence to be used and detailed the properties of the sequence. Calculations showing the probability of failing to meet the required transition density were included in this report.

The tecinical interim final report of January 1982 included a computer simulation of the data stream and PN cover sequence. All worst case situations were simulated and the bit transition density always exceeded that required. An interested reader is referred to that comprehensive report (MSU report MSSU-EIRS-EE-81-5, Appendix E).

In March 1982 the Preliminary Design Review (PDR) was held at Martin Marietta/Denver and the critical Design Review (CDR) was held at MBB in Munich, Germany in June 1982. This investigator attended both meetings and reports have been filed for both of these activities. These reports are included as appendices of this final report.

Several related activities were requested of this investigator and the reports concerning these activities are also included as appendices of this final report.

In summary it should be noted that the CSG Encoder/Decoder design has been constructed and demonstrated by MBB and Martin Marietta/Denver. The demonstrations were successful and all HRM and HRDM units will contain the CSG encoder or CSG decoder as appropriate.

## APPENDIX A

## REDUCING THE BIT ERROR RATE OF A DATA STREAM

A request was made to suggest a method that would be inexpensive and yet effective for achieving an average bit error rate of $10^{-6}$ from a data stream with an average bit error rate (BER) of $10^{-5}$. The following material of appendix $A$ is the response to this request.

# MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING 

DEPARTMENT IF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING DRAWER EE<br>MISSISSIPPI STATE, MISSISSIPPI 39762<br>PHONE (601) $325-3912$

December 17, 1980

Mr. Russ Coffee
EF13
MSFC, Alabama 35812
Dear Mr. Coffee:
Enclosed is a write up concerning a method of effectively lowering the average BER from $10^{-5}$ to $10^{-6}$ for additive white gaussian noise.

This is only one possible method of many and it is to be stressed that a detailed knowledge of the structure of the frame of data is necessary to properly match the data source to the coding technique.

If I can be of any other help please contact me.
Sincerely,

Frank Inge1s
Professor

On Lowering the Average Bit Rate From $10^{-5}$ to Less than $10^{-6}$

1. Assumptions $10^{-5}$ average bit error rate due to additive white gaussian noise.
2. Assume that $1 \%$ or $2 \%$ overhead may be added to data stream by simply increasing number of words in frame and upping output data stream rate by $1 \%$ or $2 \%$.
3. Assume frame is roughly in multiples of 1000 bits.
(actually 1013 or less)
Structuring Frame in 1013 segments we may add 10 extra digits per segment thereby producing 1023 bit segments. Thus we have a (1.023, 1013,1$) \mathrm{BCH}$ code which has 1013 information digits (acutally 63 words, 16 bits each, will be 1008 digits and we have 5 unused digits. Hence the overhead will actually be 15 digits out of 1008 for $1.488 \%$ overhead. Thus if the frame consist of 63 words, 126 words or multiple of 63 words we may add error correcting for 1 digit out of $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0}$ for an overhead of approximately $1.5 \%$ ).

Probability of error in 1013 digits unencoded is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\text { error, unencoded }) & =1-P(0 \text { errors in } 1013 \text { digits }) \\
& =1-(.99999)^{1013}=1.0078914 \cdot 10^{-2}=1.0078914 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

For the $(1023,1013,1)$ code the probability of error in 1023 digits is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\text { error, encoded }) & =1-[P(0 \text { errors in } 1023)+P(1 \text { error in 1023 })] \\
& =1-\left[(.99999)^{1023}+1023(.99999)^{1022}\left(10^{-5}\right)\right] \\
& =5.19208 \times 10^{-5}=.00519208 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

The equivalent average bit error rate due to additive gaussian noise would thus be
$[1-P(0$ errors for 1023$)]=\left(1-X^{1023}\right)=5.19208 \cdot 10^{-5}$
or

$$
x^{1023}=.99994807
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
1023\left(\log _{10} X\right. & =\log _{10}(.99994807) \\
X & =.99999994
\end{aligned}
$$

of Average Eq Bit Error Rate $=1-\mathrm{X}=5.05 \times 10^{-8}$

Thus a significant reduction in equivalent bit error rate is achieved through this simple encodirg.

The encoder is shown in Figure 1. The decoder may be an encoder circuit plus a $1023 \times 1013$ (or $10^{6}$ digit) ROM or the error trapping deocder shown in Figure 2. The tradeoff is 1023 clock pulses versus $10^{6}$ bits of ROM.


Figure 1. Encoder ( $1.023,1013,1$ ) BCH Code


Figure 2. Error Trapping Decoder for $(1023,1013,1)$ BCH Code

## APPENDIX B

## PROBABILITY OF ACHIEVING FRAME SYNCHRONIZATION

A request was made to conduct an analysis of the probability of achieving frame synchronization (sync) within 3 frames of data with and without a 1 bit per frame sync allowable error. The analysis was conducted and the report follows as Appendix B.

```
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
DRAWER EE
MMSEISAPPI STATE, MIE*SSIPPI 30762
PHONE (CO1) 3RE-3012
```

March 1.5, 1982

Mr. Russ Coffey
EF-13
MSFC, AL 35812
Dear Mr. Coffey:
Enclosed is an independent analysis of the probability of achieving frame sync in 3 frames of data. You tainy notice that 1 have deemed it necessary to include the possibility of errors occurring in the frame count word when detecting the first frame sync word. This is necessary since the second detection of a correct frame sync word (when in Verify Mode) will automatically check to see if the frame count is correctly incrementing (or decrementing if encoded with the PN sequence) with respect to the first correctly deterinined frame sync word. Thus, an error in the first frame count will result in non-verification in the second frame count even if it is correct.

If you have any questions, please call.
Sincerely,

lan
Enclosures
cc: Mr. Frank Echols

PROBABILITY OF FRAME SYNCHRONIZATION LOCK WITHIN 3 FRAMES FOR HRDM 2 MHZ DATA STREAM

## ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

1. It is assumed that zero ( 0 ) errors are allowed in a 28 bit frame synchronization (sync) word and that (0) errors are allowed in the frame count word for recognition to occur.
2. To enter the Verification Mode (VM), from the Search Mode (SM) it is required that the 28 bit frame sync word be detected with zero errors.
3. To enter the Lock Mode (LM) from VM, it is required that the 28 bit frame sync word be detected in the next successive time location with no errors, and that the frame count has incremented (or decremented) by 1 count with respect to the first detected frame sync frame count.
4. The frame sync 28 bit pattern in question is:

11111010111100110011010000004 Bit ID.
Let the following terms be defined:
$P_{0}=$ Probability of zero errors in the 28 bit frame sync pattern.
$P_{F C}=$ Probability of zero errors in the 4 bit frame count pattern.
$P_{F S}=$ Probability of a false sync word occurring in the data
stream or in the slipped frame sync pattern.

```
P
    Search Mode
P
    Verification Mode.
```

To determine the probability of sync Lock, $P_{3 F}$, in 3 frames of data we first note the following: For 2 successive frames we require

$$
P_{2 F}=P_{V M} P_{L M}
$$

For 3 successive frames, we have the following options:
A. Missing the first frame due to errors, but locking on the next two.
B. Receiving all 3 frames successively, thus, Lock up occurred on first two.
R. Missing the last frame due to errors, but Lock has occurred (This is considered satisfactory since Lock occurred)

Thus:

```
\(P_{3 F}=P_{V M} P_{L M}\) (Probability of \(C\) ) + (Probability of A) \(P_{V M} P_{L M}\)
    \(+P_{V M} P_{L M}\) (Probability of \(B\) ) .
```

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Probability of } A=\text { Probability we don't enter } V M=1-P_{V M} \\
& \text { Probability of } B= \text { Probability of zero errors for } 3 \text { rd received } \\
& \text { frame }=P_{F C} \\
& \text { Probability of } C= \text { Probability of error in frame count }=1-P_{F C}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a resuit,

$$
P_{3 F}=P_{V M} P_{L M}\left(1-P_{F C}\right)+\left(1-P_{V M}\right) P_{V M} P_{L M}+P_{V M} P_{L M} P_{F C} .
$$

To enter $V M$ from $S M$ we must detect the frame sync pattern with zero errors and not have detected a false frame sync pattern (which would place us in an erroneous time slot, thus preventing the transition from VM to $L M$ on the next frame). At the same time, there must be no errors in the frame count or the next frame count will not appear to have incremented (or decremented) properly. Thus.,

$$
P_{V M}=P_{0}\left(1-P_{F S}\right) P_{F C}
$$

To enter LM from VM we must detect the next frame sync pattern with zero errors and not have an error in the frame count. (Note the possibility of an erroneous frame sync occurring in the data is not an option due to the time gating used in the detection algorithm.) Thus,

$$
P_{L M}=P_{0} P_{F C} .
$$

We must first determine the probability of an erroneous frame sync word, $P_{F S}$.

False sync can occur two ways:
A. A slippei frame sync pattern can differ from the non slipped frame sync pattern by only a few bits. If these bits are changed by error on the channel, then false frame sync will occur.
B. A false frame sync can occur in the data stream.

## For situation $A$ we note:

Frame Sync
11111010111100110011010000004 Bit ID

Slipped Frame Sync (two bits slip)
XX11 1110101111001100110110000 00xX

We note that since the 4 bit ID pattern in continuously changing it must be considered a random bit pattern and each bit may match the appropriate pattern with probability 1/2. Also note the slipped frame sync word matches the original in 14 places and differs in 12 places. To have frame sync occur within the slipped portion, we must thus have 12 bits changed by channel errors, 14 bits error free and two bits match by random choice. Thus, for this two bit slip we have the probability of false frame sync equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {FS }}=(1 / 2)^{2}(\text { BER })^{12}(1-\text { BER })^{14} \\
& 2 \text { Bit Slip }
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{FS}} \cong 2.4965 \times 10^{-61}\left(\mathrm{BER}=10^{-4}\right) \\
& 2 \text { Bit S1ip }
\end{aligned}
$$

A tabulation of the number of differences and number of random match digits versus the number of bits skipped is tabulated in Table I. Obviously a 28 bit slip produces a 28 random match situation and for a well chosen frame sync pattern no bit slips will produce agreement in all places. A worst case bound on the probability of false frame sync due to small slips in the bit stream may be
obtained by assuming each of the $\pm 27$ bit slips produces 27 randomill matches and 1 difference. (BER = Channel Error Rate). Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {FS }}^{\text {Bit Slips }}
\end{aligned} \leq 54(2)^{-27}(\text { BER }) \approx 4.023310^{-12} \text { (for } \mathrm{BER}=10^{-4} \text { ) }
$$

In addition there are $3070-54=3016$ ways for random data matches to create false frame sync.

Thus

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{FS}}^{\mathrm{Data}_{\text {Match }}} \quad=1 \cdot 123547554 \cdot 10^{-5}
$$

The total probability of false frame sync is thus bounded by

$$
P_{F S} \leq 1 \cdot 123547 \cdot 10^{-5}\left(\text { for } B E R=10^{-4}\right)
$$

The probability of 0 errors in the frame count is

$$
P_{F C}=(1-B E R)^{4}=(.9999)^{4} \cong 9 \cdot 9960006 \cdot 10^{-1}\left(\text { for } B E R=10^{-4}\right)
$$

Therefore, we have for $P_{V M}$ (for $B E R=10^{-4}$ )

$$
P_{V M} \cong 9.967937 \cdot 10^{-1}
$$

and for $P_{L M}$ (for $B E R=10^{-4}$ )

$$
P_{L M} \cong 9 \cdot 968049 \cdot 10^{-1}
$$

## ORIGINAL PAGE IS <br> OF POOR QUALITY

For two successive frames, we have the probability of frame sync Lock as: (BER $=10^{-4}$ )

$$
P_{2 F}=P_{V M} P_{L M} \approx 9.936088 \cdot 10^{-1} .
$$

or

$$
99.36088 \% \text { of the time Lock up occurs within } 2 \text { frames. }
$$

For three successive frames we have the probability of frame sync Lock as: (BER $=10^{-4}$ )

$$
P_{3 F}=P_{V M} P_{L M}\left(2-P_{V M}\right) 9.9679465 \cdot 10^{-1}
$$

or
99.67946\% of the time Lock up occurs within 3 frames.

Table II shows the probability of frame sync Lock up for two and three frames for BER's of $10^{-4}, 10^{-5}$ and $10^{-6}$.

## CONCLUSION

Dropping the 1 error tolerance in the 2 MHZ frame sync detector does not create an undesirable frame sync Locl: up situation.

TABLE I

| NUMBER OF BIT SLIPS | NUMBER OF DIFFERENCES | NUMBER <br> OF RANDOM MATCHES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 11 | 1 |
| 2 | 12 | 2 |
| 3 | 11 | 3 |
| 4 | 10 | 4 |
| 5 | 11 | 5 |
| 6 | 11 | 6 |
| 7 | 11 | 7 |
| 8 | 10 | 8 |
| 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 11. | 8 | 11 |
| 12 | 9 | 12 |
| 13 | 9 | 13 |
| 14 | 10 | 14 |
| 15 | 6 | 15 |
| 16 | 9 | 16 |
| 17 | 7 | 17 |
| 18 | 6 | 18 |
| 19 | 5 | 19 |
| 20 | 5 | 20 |
| 21 | 5 | 21 |
| 22 | 5 | 22 |
| 23 | 5 | 23 |
| 24 | 4 | 24 |
| 25 | 3 | 25 |
| 26 | 2 | 26 |
| 27 | 1 | 27 |
| 28 | 0 | 28 |

NUMBER

0
1
2

3

5
6

7

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
TABLE II
PROBABILITY OF FRAME SYNC LOCK UP VERSUS CHANNEL ERROR RATE (BER)

|  | BER $=10^{-4}$ | BER $=10^{-5}$ | BER $=10^{-6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERCENT OF TIME |  |  |  |
| LOCK UP WITHIN | $99 \cdot 36088 \%$ | $99.93489 \%$ | $99.99567 \%$ |
| 2 FRAMES WILL |  |  |  |
| OCCUR |  |  |  |
| PERCENT OF TIME | $99.67946 \%$ | $99.96799 \%$ | $99.9968 \%$ |
| LOCK UP WITHIN |  |  |  |
| OCCUR FRAMES WILL |  |  |  |

APPENDIX C

## REPORT OF PDR (MARTIN/DENVER)

A report was submitted after attendance of the Preliminary Design Review (PDR) held at the Martin Marietta/Denver facility in March 1982. A copy of this report follows as Appendix C.
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## A STUDY OF hIGH DENSITY BIT TRANSITION REQUIREMENTS VERSUS THE EFFECTS ON BCH ERROR CORRECTING CODING

## Work Summary (March 1, 1982 - May 31, 1982)

In March a preliminary design review (PDR) at Martin/Denver was attended. Participants included representatives and/or personnel from Martin/Denver, NASA/MSFC, NASA/KSC, M.B.\& B./Germany, MATRA/ FRANCE, MDTSCO/Huntsville.

A review of the actual encoder schematic to be implemented for the PN sequence generator was conducted by this participant. It was judged to be the same as the circuit recommended in the research report with two exceptions. These are; first that the circuit is now loaded with a clear line and the appropriate starting point is now achieved by use of complementing the appropriate outputs.

The CSG encoder decoder circuit approved is illustrated in Figure 1 of this report. Note, output off the fifth stage is required to achieve complementation of the sync ID bits.

Further questions will be clarified at the summer CDR meeting concerning the actual circuit (verification that it is as Figure 1) and to ascertain the operation of the counter used to start/stop the encoder.


## APPENDIX D <br> REPORT OF CDR (MBB/MUNICH)

A report was submitted after attendance of the Critical Design Review (CDR) held at the MBB facility in Munich, Germany in June 1982. A cofy of this report constitutes Appeniix D.

# A STUDY OF HIGH DENSITY BIT TRANSITION REQUIREMENTS VERSUS THE EFFECTS ON BCH ERROR CORRECTING CODING 

A REPORT<br>COVERING THE<br>CRITICAL DESIGN REVIEW<br>(CDR)<br>June - July 1982

Submitted to:
Geozge C. Marshall Space Flight Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration Marshall Space Flight Center, Alabama 35812 Technical Monitor: Mr. Russ Coffey, EF-13

## Submitted by:

Mississippi State University Engineering and Industrial Research Station Department of Electrical Engineering Mississippi State, MS 39762

Principal Investigator: Frank M. Ingels Contract Number: NAS8-33887

# A STUDY OF HIGH DENSITY BIT TRANSITION REQUIREMENTS VERSUS THE EFFECTS ON BCH ERROR CORRECTING CODING 

## WORK SUMMARY (Critical Design Review - CDR)

During the period June 21,1982 to June 26, 1982, a critical design review (CDR) for the cover sequence generator (CSG) encoder/decoder for Spacelan was held. This meeting was held at the Messerschmidt/Boh1m/Bolkow (MBB) facility in Ottobraun, Germany. Representatives from McDonnell Douglas Support Company (MTDSCO), Martin Marietta/Denver (MM/D), MATRA of France, ERNO and NASA/MSFC attended this meeting.

A demonstration of the engineering prototype unit test procedure was conducted. This demonstration encoded transmitted, received and decoded a known data stream. Various possible fault conditions were demonstrated along with the resulting front panel displays which indicated the fault under demonstration.

The demonstration tests were satisfactory in both performance and test design. Discussions with Dave Banerian of MM/D and Dick Burtzlaff (MM/D) to ascertain the test philosophy and test design procedure were conducted by this investigator. These discussions satisfied me as to the appropriateness of the testing procedure. Copies of the first annual report by Mississippi State University concerning the philosophy and design of the CSG encoder and decoder (in particular the sequence chosen and the properties desired) were requested by Mr. Jerry Malloy (MTDSCO), Mr. Don Rawson (MTDSCO) and Mr. Chuck Kervin (MBB). After clearing the request with Mr. Bern Siler of NASA/MSFC copies of these reports were distributed.

A discussion of the actual synchronization pattern being used in the Space Lab data format for the data being encoded/decoded arose when there was confusion over the nomenclature. The structire of the frame synchronization word is illustrated in Figure 1 of this report. Note the 28 bit synchronization pattern has the LSB of each 4 bit group on the left side while the 4 bit frame LD count is structured in an opposite fashion with the LSB on the right side. The proper hexidecimal code for the frame synchronization ( 28 bit ) pattern is FAF3 340X where the X stands for the 4 bit ID frame count
which varies from frame to frame. The computer software used for the tests created the need to invert the data on a 4 bit basis. .

There are six modes of HRDM operation. These are diagramed in Table 1. Displayed in Table 2 are the two operational modes of the HRM.

Martin Mrietta/Denver has verified all 3040 bits of the desired cover sequence pattern as generated by the HRM. Mr. Jerry Malloy and this investigator inspected the computer print out of the MBB demonstration test for approximately 300 bits and verified the synchronization word and proper incrementation of the ID count for seven consecutive synchronization patterns.

The test procedure of page 111 illustrates several routines used to verify that each synchronization bit is actually involved in the synch detector circuit operation. These routines developed by Martin/MBB are original and interesting.

It is worth mentioning that the analysis of the probability that frame synch lock is not acquired within 3 frames with and without a one error tolerance by this investigagor and the analysis by Martin Marietta/Denver differ by less than . 00019 percent. The difference lies in the inclusion by this investigator of the probability of error in the ID bits in the first frame which results in a discarding of that 3 frame sequence.

The CDR uncovered no problem, in design or philosophy. The CSG encoder/decoder is effectively a finished product from the philosophy and design viewpoints.

```
    NORMAL STRUCTURE 1111 1010 1111 0011 0011 0100 0000 XXXX
STRUCTURE INVERTED
    ON LETTER BY
    LETTER BASIS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline L & M L & M & M & L \\
\hline S & S 5 & S & S & S \\
\hline B & B B & B & B & B \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Figure 1. Structure of the Frame Synchronization Word



|  | OPERATIONAL MODE | NORMAL | ENCODER FAILURE | DECODER FAILURE | BOTH FAIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Normal Unencoded | L | L | L | L |
| 2. | Inverted-Unencoded | L | L | L | L |
| 3. | Reversed-Unencoded | L | L | L | L |
| 4. | Reversed-Inverted | L | L | L | L |
| 5. | Normal-Encoded | L+E | L | (F) L+E | L |
| 6. | Inverted-Encoded | L+E | L | (F) L+E | L |
|  | Decoder-Self Test | L | N/A | E | N/A |

## F = Decoder Fail Signal (Output on Back

Panel of HRDM).
(This occurs when either a hard or soft error occurs in the decoder but the encoder is on this situation continues until frame lock is lost. Then decoder may retry to work.)

Decoder-Self Test I
FRONT PANEL HRDM LIGHTS: Lock Indicator Light On
Encoder On Light Lit Encoder $=$ Then decoder may retry to work.)

OPERATIONAL MODES OF HRM

OPERATIONAL MODE
NORMAL
BITE
(A11 Outputs
Unencoded)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { CSG ON }+ \text { GO } & \text { CSG OFF }+ \text { NO GO } & \text { NO GO }=\text { FAIL* } \\ \text { CSG OFF }+ \text { GO } & \text { CSG OFF }+ \text { NO GO } & \text { NO GO }=\text { FAIL }^{*}\end{array}$

| - 09 ON | O9 ON + ano oso | O5 + aso 9 S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $=09 \mathrm{ON}$ | OO ON + yat oso | O9 + No ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ S |
|  | TIVE | TVWसO | Unencoded)

* Single failure saved until saved until Housekeeping readout. a) One time error readout $=$ soft failure b) Permanent error readout $=$ hard failure
(See pages 1-5 of MBB's CDR package)


# APPENDIX E <br> INTERIM FINAL REPORT <br> JULY 1, 1980 to DECEMBER 31, 1981 

This appendix contains the interim final report which fully documents the technical design, philosphy and system constraints behind the development of the CSG circuitry. This system has been built, tested and incorporated into the HRM and HRDM units by MBB/Munich and Martin Marietta/ Denver under the auspices of MDTSCO/Huntsville.
A STUDY OF HIGH DENSITY BIT TRANSITION
REQUIREMENTS VERSUS THE EFFECTS ON BCH
ERROR CORRECTING CODING
InterimFinal Report
Covering the Period
July 1, 1980 - December 31, 1981
Submitted ..... to:
Mr. Russ Coffee EF-13
George C. Marshall Space Flight CenterNational Aeronautics and Space AdministrationMarshall Space Flight Center, Alabama35812
Submitted by:
Mississippi State University Enginetring and Industrial Research Station Department of Electrical Engineering Mississippi State, Mississippi ..... 39762
Principal Investigator: Frank IngelsAssociate Investigator: William O. Schoggen

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Digital data streams using non-return-to-zero-level encoding (NRZ-L) as the signalling waveform are subject to periods of no level change. The signalling waveform with not changing levels has energy content that is rich about the origin and of course does not contain any edges in the waveform.

Proper operation of the ground station receiving such digital data streams depends upon satisfactory bit synchronization. Bit synchronizers typically require a certain minimum percentage of edger in the received signalling waveform. This requirement is not always satisfied by NRZ-L waveforms.

The purpose of this investigative study has been to determine a satisfactory method of providing sufficient bit transitions (edges) in the signalling waveform for the 2 MHz data link of the Space Shuttle High Rate Multiplexer (HRM) unit.

The system design already in existence places severai constraints on any method used to ensure the desired bit transition density of at least 1 bit transition in every 64 bits and at least 64 bit transitions in every 512 bits.

These constraints are:

1. The method chosen must produce at least 1 bit transition in every 64 bits and at least 64 transitions in every 512 bits.
2. The method chosen must not increase the present bandwidth nor decrease the present information rate.
3. The method chosen must be compatible with the existing BCH code.
4. The method chosen should have a minimal design impact on the present system.
5. The method chosen must pass unaltered any data stream whose data rate is greater than 2 MHz .
6. The method chosen should resolve the bit phase ambiguity problem inherent in the Channel 2 return link of the KUBand system.

Many methods for increasing bit transition densities in a data stream exist. These methods have been sumarized, discussed in detail and compared one against another and against the constraints mentioned above.

These methods include use of alternate Pulse Code Modulation (PCM) waveforms, data stream modification by insertion, alternate bit inversion, differential encoding, error encoding and use of bit scramblers. (Bit acramblers come in many different versions such as: self synchronizing, multi and single count, serial, cascaded and parallel scramblers).

Of all the methods discussed, one method satisfied the desired objective, met all constraints and had advantages that outweighed disadvantages when compared against the remaining methods. This method was chosen - the reset scrambles or simply the Psuedo-Random Cover Sequence Generator ( $\mathrm{PN}-\mathrm{CSG}$ ). This technique is fully analyzed and a design implementation is proposed.

The method consists of modulo-2 addition of a PN sequence to the data stream before the modulations of the Radio Frequence (RF) transmitter. It is recommended that only the data streams and the 4 bit frame synchronization Identity Count (ID) be so modified. It
 word.

If the PN sequence is added to the frame synchronization word, it is very likely that the special properties chosen for frame synchronization patterns would be violated. Furthermore, the decoder must then search for four frame synchronization patterns if it is desired to correctly detect the presence or absence of the PN cover sequence, and to alleviate any phase ambiguity.

The probability of failing to provide the required bit transition density is less than $2.44 \cdot 10^{-17}$. A computer simulation program was developed which tests the truncated PN sequence with random data streams. The computer results indicate that the output sequence to be transmitted by the RF modulator will have a transition density of approximately $50 \%$. This should improve the overall KU Band system performance considerably in the presence of low signal-to-noise ratios by increasing the bit synchronizer's capability to stay locked to the incoming bit clock.

The statement of work contains five distinct items in five distinct paragraphs. The first three items are specifically addressed in sections 2 and 3 with Table 3.1 presenting a summary of the various methods of $H B T D$ encoding and comparing their relative performance in so far as error propagation characteristics, transition properties and system constraints are concerned. The appendix contains a computer simulation of the system using the specific PN code recommended in this study. The interim report of September 30,1980 recommended a specific $P N$ sequence and this is detailed in section $4 . B$.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

The Spacelab (SL) is an orbital laboratory which remains attached to the Space Shuttle for the duration of a mission. The SL will utilize the KU-Band communication system of the Shuttle for communication with the ground. Figure 1.1 is a simplified sketch of the return link. The following paragraphs give a brief description of the SL return link, for further information the reader is referred to References 1, 2, 3, and 4.

The onboard experiment data is collected by the High Rate Acquisition Assembly (HRAA). The HRAA consists of the onboard High Rate Multiplexer (HRM) plus associated High Rate Links, and the ground based High Rate Demultiplexer (HRDM). The return 1ink, connecting the HRM and HRDM, utilizes the Shuttle KU-Band Signal Processor (KUSP) and the Tracking and Delay Relay Satellite System (TDRSS) which includes the receiving station, and the bit synchronizer. The return link will provide a bit-error-rate (BER) of at least $1 \times 10^{-5}$ with a Bit-SlipRate (BSR) of less than $1 \times 10^{-15}$ provided certain system constraints are met. Herein lies the problem; the SL data stream violates the transition requirements of the bit synchronizer. This will be further reviewed in Chapter II.

The HRM receives data from 18 experiments, 2 I/O units and 2 records, and outputs data to the KUSP and the 2 recorders. Sixteen of the 18 experiment channels are switchable and the other 2 are direct. The HRM time multiplexes these 16 channels with the other data including playback data from the recorders and serially transmitts the data to the KUSP.

The SL employs the KU-Band Link of the Shuttle and this link utilizes the two operation modes of the KUSP; Mode 1 - Quadrature Phase Shift Key (QPSK) modulation and Mode 2-FM modulation. The KUSP has three channels of input data in each mode. Table 1.1 lists the available input data in both modes. Figure 1.2 illustrates the . interface between the HRM and the KUSP.

The HRM uses several different format structures for its output. Only the general user format, for frequencies less than 32 Mbps , will
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Figure 1.1 KU Band Return Link

## table 1.1 available input masa via KU-BAND LIMK

## PM MODE 1:

```
CHANNEL 1 - SANE AS FM Mode
CHANNEL 2 - SAME AS FM MODE
CHANNEL 3- PAYLOAD DIGITAL OUTPUT, 2-50 MBPS WITH CLOCK,
    NRZ-L, M, OR S
```

FM MODE 2:
CHANNEL 1 - 192 KBPS BI-PHASE-L PCM AND VOICE FROM NSF
CHANNEL 2 - SELECT ONE OF FOUR INPUTS

- PLI (NarROW band bent pipe from deteached payload)
- PAYLOAD DIGITAL OUTPUT, 16 KBPS-2MPPS,' ${ }^{\prime N R Z-L, ~ M, ~}$
OR S, OR 16 KBPS - 1.024 MBPS Bi-PHASE L, M, OR S
- OPERATIONAL RECORDER DUMP
- PAYLOAD RECORDER DUMP


## CHANNEL 3 - SELECT ONE OF FOUR INPUTS <br> - TV

- PAYLOAD DIGITAL OUTPUT, 16 KBPS-4 MBPS, NRZ-L, M, OR S
- PAYLOAD ANALOG OUTPUT, DC-4.5 MHz
- PLI (WIde band bent pipe from detached payload)
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be discussed. For further information concerning the HRM formats; see references 1 and 2. The user format consists of eight frames of 96 words each ( 768 words), each frame beginning with a sync or a status word. The normal frame is composed of 6 lines by 16 words. Since each of the 21 inputs to the HRM operate at bit rates assynchronous to the output bit rate, fill data is required. The i太th or last word of each line is a fill data indicator. The HRM employs a unique method to provide the necessary fill data words. When aline of data requires fill, all the valid data words to the right of the fill word are shifted to the left thus making the fill word the 15th word of the data line. The system requires that the fill data (stuffing) indicator shall be constructed such that the probability of error in interpreting the indicator is less than $10^{-10}$. The HRM utilizes a ( $31,16,3$ ) BCH code to satisfy the required BER for the stuffing indicator. The HRM encodes the 16 bit stuffing indicator into a 31 bit BCH code word capable of correcting 3 errors per word. When more than one fill word is needed, the valid data words are again shifted left and the 14 th word of the previous line is inserted into the 14 th word of the present line.

The general input data format is illustrated in Figure 1.3. The input data to the HRM is as follows:

18 expriments NRZ-L
HDRR NRZ-L Reverse playback 2 Mbp only
PLR Manchester II Reverse 1Mbp only
(Must be playback through HRDM)


STATEMENT OF PROBLEM AND CONSTRAINIS

## 2.A THE PROBLEM

An investigation of the effect of low bit transition density on the performance of the Channel 2 KU -Band return link was conducted. The results of this investigation indicated the SL will not meet the minimum specifications of the TDRSS Users' Guide with respect to the bit synchronizer. The end result of this failure to meet the bit synchronizer (sync) specifications is loss of lock by the bit sync and subsequent loss of data for an undefined period of time (Reference 5). To alleviate this problem the SL must increase the bit transition density on the Channel 2 KU -Band return 1 ink to a minimum of one bit transition every sixty-four bits and sixty-four bit transitions within five-hundredtwelve bits.

There are several different methods that may be employed to provide the data stream with the necessary bit transition density. These methods are discussed in more detail in Chapter III. This chapter deals mainly with the problem and the constraints caused by the system. There are four main constraints placed on the HBTD coder. They are listed in Table 2.1 and discussed in the following paragraphs.

## 2.B PRIMARY SYSTEM CONSTRAINTS

The primary objective of the HBTD modification is to increase the bit transition density of the data stream to at least one bit transition every sixty-four channel symbols and sixty-four bit transitions every Efive-hundred-twelve channel symbols. This is the absolute minimum requirement by the TDRSS bit synchronizer at White Sands, New Mexico to provide the desired BSR of $10^{-15}$ with the SL signal characteristics.

Since the 2 Mbit channel is expected to operate at the maximum rate of 2 Mbps for maximum utilization, the modification must not increase the channel errors. The system requires that the fill indicator must be able to locate the fill data with an accuracy of no less than $10^{-10}$. The fill indicator is a $(31,16,3) \mathrm{BCH}$ code. A $(31,16,3) \mathrm{BCH}$ code takes 16 information bits and converts them into a 31 bit code word capable of correcting 3 errors. Since the channel bit error rate affects the fill
table 2.1 hich int transition density (hbid) code constraints
the hbid code must have at least one transition every 64 bits
and at least 64 transitions within 512 bits
2. the code must not increase the present bandwidth, nor decrease
the information rate.
3. IT MUST be COMpatible with the existing bch code. . (implies a scheye
Which produces multiple decoder errors per discrete channel errors
would be incompatible with bch code.)
4. the hardware implementation must have minimal desige impact on the
PRESENT SYSTEM.

Indicator word, any modification that increases the channel error would degrade the fill indicator. For example', if the system modification produced only one additional error per channel error, then the rellability of the fill indicator, as shown below, would be $4.6 \times 10^{-8}$, which is unacceptable.

To illustrate the problem consider the effects of differential encoding on BCH word error probability. Assuming the RF channel has an average random error rate, due to additive white gaussian noise, corresponding to 1 bit in 100,000 (that is an average bit error rate of $1 \times 10^{-5}$ ) one may calculate the probability of an erroneous decoding of a BCH coded word with use of NRZ-L code and also with use of a differental encoding such as NRZ-M or NRZ-S.

The model to be used is:
Using NRZ-L Coded Data Stream
A single error on the RF channel in the BCH code word will result in a single error in the data input to the BCH decoder. The BCH code word can correct up to and including 3 errors out of 31 bits.

Thus the probability of erroneous decoding of the BCH word (PEBCH) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P E B C H=1-[P(0)+P(1)+P(2)+P(3)] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$P(0)=$ Probability of no errors in the 31 bit word $P(1)=$ Probability of 1 error in the 31 bit word $P(2)=$ Probability of 2 errors in the 31 bit word $P(3)=$ Probability of 3 errors in the 31 bit word

In general $P(X)$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(X)=\binom{N}{X} \quad X_{q} N-X \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

> P is the probability of an RF channel error
> q is the $1-\mathrm{p}$
> X is the number of errors in the word
> N is the number of bits in the word.

The expression $\binom{N}{X}$ relates to the number of different ways in which $X$ errors occur in an $N$ bit word and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{N}{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{\mathrm{N}!}{(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{X})!\mathrm{X}!} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Using NRZ-M or NRT-S (Differential Encoding) Coded Data Stream

A single error on the RF channel in the BCH code word will result in two adjacent errors on the data stream input to the BCH decoder. (Reference is any text in communications, in particular: Reference 16, page 324.)

Thus we have as the probability of erroneous decoding of the BCH word with Differential Encoidng (PEBCHDE)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P E B C H D E=1-[P(0)+P(1)] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the expressions $P(0)$ and $P(1)$ are as defined in the previous case. Note this expression for PEBCHDE reflects the fact that 2 RF channel errors in the $B C H$ word will result in 4 errors presented to the BCH decoder and this will result in erroneous decoding.

These calculations were performed using a double precision digital computer program.

The results are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { PEBCH } & =3.01841884819964434 \times 10^{-16} \\
\text { PEBCHDE } & =4.64910190316402087 \times 10^{-8}
\end{aligned}
$$

One sees a significant difference in the exror performance due to erroneous decoding of BCH words!

For a 50 Mbps data stream the average length of time between erroneous decoding of the BCH word would be approximately

160 seconds average between erroneous decoding of $B C H$ words using differential encoding (NRZ-M or NRZ-S)
$16,000,000,000$ seconds average between erroneous decoding of BCH words using NRZ-L encoding.

The unacceptability of adding additional errors to the system is obvious.

The fourth criterion, minimal impact on the present system, results from the fact that the system is in the production stage and any major changes would be very costly. Approximately $\$ 100,000$ cost results from a minor change alone due to the paper work required.

## 2.C SECONDARY SYSTEM CONSTRAINTS

Additional criteria, dealing with the implementation, results from the expected characteristics of the data stream. The modification must pass unaltered data emanating from any source other than the HRM and data rates greater than 2 Mbps from the HRM. These constraints result from the physical location of the modifications and the operational functions of the HRM. All data emitted from the HRM via the 2 Mbit channel will be NRZ-L. The above stated constraints apply to both the encoder and decoder. There decoder must also resolve the phase ambiguity problem which results when a Bi-Phase NRZ-L data is used. Since NRZ-I employs a high level to represent a one and low level to represent a zero, it is possible for the data stream to become inverted. That is to say a transmitted one is received as a zero and vice versa. Therefore the decoder must be capable of detecting and correcting the inverted data stream. These secondary system constraints are listed in Table 2.2.
TABLE 2.2 SECONDARY SYSTEM CONSTRAINTS

1. hbtd code must pass unalter any data stream nhose rate is
greater than 2 mbps.
2. the code must pass unaiter all data strenys hhich manate
from sources other than the hrm regardless of the data rate.
THE HBTD ENCODER MUST HAVE A BYPASS MODE.
3. the hbtd code must resolve the "bit ambiguity"
problem inherent to the channel 2 return link.
4. 
5. 

## CHAPTER 3

## DIFFERENT METHODS AVAILABLE TO ALLEVIATE THE PROBLEM

Two basic types of modifications exist to improve the Bit Transition Density of the Channel 2 data stream to the minimum requirements of the bit synchronizer. They are: (1) Use an alternative $P Q A$ waveform or (2) modify the data atream. The remainder of the section is devoted to describing several methods for accomplishing these modifications.

## 3.A ALTERNATE PCM WAVEFORM

The 2 Mbit channel presently employs a NRZ-L waveform. There are several other binary waveforms available. Several of the most common are shown in Figure 3.1. The most frequently used waveforms, for high bit transition density applications, are the Bi-Phase and Delay Modulation waveforms. Both are widely used in the tape recording industry.

## 3.A. 1 Bi-Phase

The three main types of Bi-Phase waveforms are; Bi-Phase Level, Bi-Phase-Mark, and Bi-Phase-Space. Bi-Phase Level is also called Split Phase or Manchester Code. All three waveforms provide at least one transition for each bit cell. They produce single output errors for a single input or channel error. The hardware required to implement each is moderate in complexity. All three Bi-Phase waveforms are self-synchronizing. The main disadvantage of all three is that BiPhase modulation requires twice the bandwidth of the present NRZ-L to provide the same information rate. Therefore the use of Bi-Phase would require either an increase in the present bandwidth or a decrease in the information rate. Neither case is acceptable since it violates one of the main system constraints.

[^1]

| CODE <br> desigriations | LOGIC WAVIFORN Levels |
| :---: | :---: |
| NRZ - L | "1" |
|  | "0" |
| NRZ - m | "1" |
|  | "0" |
| NRZ - s | "1" |
|  | "0" |
| EIT - 1 | "1" |
|  | "0" |
| $\mathrm{EI}-\mathrm{H}^{(1)}$ | "1" |
|  | "0" |
| BI $-\mathrm{s}^{(\mathrm{J})}$ | "1" |
|  | "0" |
| DM - M | "1" |
|  | "®" |
| DM - s | "1" |
|  | "0" |

1. A one is represented by a transition from one level to the other at the midpoint of the bit cell.
2. A zero is represented by no transition unless it is followed by another zero. The case of consecutive zeros is . represented by transition at the end of the leading zero bit cell.
In the case of DM-S the rules for ones and zero are enterchanged. These rules are illustrated in Figure 3.1.

Delay Modulation has several attractive properties:

1. The majority of the signalling energy lies in frequencies less than one-half the symbol rate.
2. The power spectrum is small in the vicinity of $f=0$ (that is at D.C.).
3. $D M$ provides at most one transition per bit cell and at the least 2 bit transitions every 3 bit cells; thus, providing a bit stream with a very high bit transition density. These properties provide DM with the advantage of inherent selftiming information using phase modulation which is not present ith NRZ-L, while requiring approximately the same bandwidth as NRZ-L. DM is also suitable for use with tape recorders, especially when higher packing density is required, or with systems which require high bit transition densities.

DM requires a given 3 bit sequence to assure proper bit sync. This sequence is 101 for $D M-M$. This sequence has a high probability of occurring one or more times in any random data bit stream. The probability that one or more 101 bit sequences will occur increases rapidly as the number of bits in the data sequence increases. The following equation may be used to obtain a close approximation of the probability of 101 occurring $n$ or more times in mbits (the number of bits per sequence).

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{m}(101 \geq n)= & 1-P_{m}(101 \leq n)=1-\left(P_{m}(101=0)+P_{m}(101=1)+\ldots\right. \\
& P_{m}(101=(n-1)) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

## Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{m}(101-r) & =\binom{k}{r}\left(\begin{array}{l}
q_{0}^{k-r}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
p_{0}^{r}
\end{array}\right) \\
q_{0} & =\text { the probability of any } 3 \text { bits not being } 101=\frac{7}{8} \\
P_{0} & =\text { the probability of any } 3 \text { bite being } 101=\frac{1}{8} \\
k & =m-2 \\
\binom{k}{r} & =\frac{k!}{(k-r)|r|}
\end{aligned}
$$

For axample, let $m$ be 16 (for 14 binary bits) then the probability of a 101-bit sequence occurring one or more times is:
$P_{16}(101-1)=1-P(101-0)$
$=1-\left(\frac{14}{0}\right)\left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^{14}\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{1}=1-\frac{14}{14!01}\left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^{14}=1-\left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^{14}=1-.154=.846$

In other words, there is a $84.6 \%$ probability of a 101 pattern occurring and hence providing bit sync within a 16 bit sequence. Thus, one should expect a bit sync lock within a very short time upon the start up of a DM encoded sequence. The main disadvantage of Delay Modulation is that single errors into the decoder will yield double errors out of the decoder. This results from the comparison of the present and most recent bit to decermine the value of the previous bit. Thus the property that produces the improved bit transition density also makes DM incompatible with the BCH code used by the fill indicator.

## 3.B DATA STREAM MODIFICATTON

There are several men $n$ of modifying the present data stream to meet the bit transition requiraments. The first method that comes to mind is to simply invert every other bit or alternate bit inversion. Other means such as differential encoding, a bit insertion technique or error correcting encoding techniques are commonly used to improve
the bit transition density of a data stream. Bit scrambling is another technique which is employed to increase the bit transition in a sequence. Each of these methods are discussed in the following paragraphs.

All the aforementioned techniques require the same bandwidth for the same information rate as the present system.

## 3.B.1 Alternate Bit Inversion

Alternate bit inversion is probably the simplest method for Incretsing the transition density. This technique inverts every other bit of the data stream. It yields excellent results in the case of Eong sequences of bits of the same value. The implementation is very simple except for the synchronization with the data strease and a bit slip will result in the inversion of the original data stream. Single channel errors produce single output errors. The main disadvantage of this technique is the inability to guarantee the bit transition density. In the case of an alternating input data stream, this procedure will produce an output sequence of bits of the same value equal in length to the input sequence. Since the SL data stream is excepted to contain long runs of alternating bits this method must be discarded. Additional logic could be added to the encoder and decoder to prevent this occurrence but the logic required would be quite complex and require additional considerations.

## 3.B. 2 Differential Encoding

The use of differential encoding as a means of improving the bit transtitions density has received considerable use in other systems, especially when the data stream contains long strings of bits having the same value; all ones or all zeros. This technique has advantage oyer alternate bit inversion in that an alternating bit sequence retains half of the original transitions. There are two types of differential coding, NRZ-M and NRZ-S as illustrated in Figure 3.1. NRZ-M uses a change of state to indicate a one and no change for zero, while NRZ-S indicates a zero by a change in level and no change for a one. Differential encoding provides a $50 \%$ transition density for an alternating input data stream and a $100 \%$ transition density for sequence of the same value provided the value is the one represented
by a change of state, one for NRZ-M and zero for NRZ-S. If the values is the level represented by no change, nothing is gained by different encoding. Therefore one must design for the level which is most dowinant in the data stream and know that the sequence lengths of the other level will not result in a loss of lock by the bit sync. Another disadvantage of differential encoding is that single input errors produce double output errors. Since the dif-: ferential encoding propagates the number of channel errors and can be designed for only a single case of bit sequence of the same value instead of for both, it must be eliminated as a possible solution.

## 3.B.3 Bit Insertion

Bit insertion technique are also commonly used to increase bit transition density. There are several different types of bit insertion techniques. Some add bits to the data stream while others use blocks of bits to replace certain data sequences. However, all of these techniques share the need for a complex timing and coumting circuitry. The basic concept for all insertion techniques is the need to recognize when to insert and when to remove their speicfied patterns. For example, assume a bit replacement technique is to be used to meet the sixty-four in five-hundred-twelve requirement. The obvious way to guarantee that the data stream would meet this requirement would be to have at least one transition every eight bits. This would mean that an eight bit pattern would have to be inserted in place of any eight bit sequence of the same value. The selection of the particular pattern to be used for insertion must be chosen in a manner similar to that of a synchronization pattern for frame sync. The insertion sequence must meet the following:

1. The probability of it occurring naturally in the data stream must be extremely small. Since it is very desirable to avoid false recognition at the receiver, which would result in a misinterpretation of valid data for inserted data.
2. The inverse of the sequence must also be available. Since the bit sequence of the same value may be either ones or zeros, two separate sequences are needed.
3. The effects of channel errors must be considered. Should the ground receiver accept no errors, one error, two errors, etc? If a short sequence such as the eight bit one used in the example is selected, then the no error case would probably be best. The probability of no errors in any 8 bits is .99992 thus the probability of not recognizing the inserted pattern due to channel error would be about $8 \times 10^{-5}$, slightly greater than the channel error rate of $1 \times 10^{-5}$. In the one error case the probability of false synchronization detection would be much higher than the gain in recognizing a valid insertion with a single error.

By employing this simple insertion technique, one does not take advantage of the natural transition that might occur prior to and following the sequence of the same value. As stated above the timing and clocking circuitry would be complex even for this simple case. For this reason bit insertion is not the most favorable method although it can be designed to meet the system constraints given in Chapter 2. But it should be noted that only the replacement type can be employed. The inserting of additional bits would decrease the information rate and therefore this type is not acceptable. Since the amount of additional bits cannot be predetermined.

## 3.B. 4 Error Encoding

Telecommunication systems often employ different types of error correcting codes to improve their bit transition density. In this manner, the error correcting codes provided two services. First, they improve the channel bit error rate and second, they provide an increase transition density. This type of method is employed by the 50 Mbit channel of the shuttle as well as by many other systems. All these systems add addition bits to the data and thus decrease the information rate. Therefore they are not viable candidates for the HBTD modification, but are included in the following for completeness.

The following discussion concerning the output symbol transition density of the $1 / 3$ convolutional encoder with alternate symbol inversion is based on the material by M.K. Simon and J.F. Smith in Reference 6 and

111ustrated in Figure 3.2. Simon and Smith have determined, for a particular class of convolutional codes, that alternate symbol inversion assures a maximum transition-free run of output symbols, and hence its minimum transition density. This maximum length is independent of the data source model, independent of the code connections, and dependent only on the code constraint length and rate. Simon and Smith separate all $1 / v$ convolutional codes into three classes of codes: Veven, Vodd for transparent codes, and $v$ odd for nontransparent codes. A transparent code is one which provides the complement of the output sequence for the complement of the input sequence. A simple test to determine if a code is transparent is each row of the generator matrix $C$ has an odd number of ones then the code is transparent.

The generator matrix $\underline{C}$ for the $1 / 3$ convolutional code employed by the Space Telescope (ST)

$$
\underline{C}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1  \tag{3.3}\\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the right hand columa represents the present input and the left hand column represents the oldest (content of the last shift register $K$, the code constraint length) input.

Since $v=3$, odd and each row of $C$ contains an odd number of ones, the convolutional code is a member of case 2. Simons and Smith state for $v$ odd and transparent codes, the only input bit sequence that will produce an output alternating sequence longer than $N_{\max }$ symbols, where $N_{\max }$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\max }=K+\left\lceil\frac{K-1}{v-1}\right\rceil-1+v \tag{3,4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K=$ the code constraint length; $\lceil X\rceil$ denotes the smallest
integer greater than or equal to $X$.
is the alternating sequence. Furthermore, if the encoder is such that the alternating input sequence produces the alternating output sequence, then this output sequence can continue indefinitely, i.e., alternate symbol inversion will not produce a finite transition-free symbol sequence.

Figure 3.2 Convolutional Encoder with PCI
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Reference 6 provides a test to determine if a case 2 code will produce an alternating output for an alternating input. Split the generator matrix $\underline{C}$ into two matrices $\underline{C}_{\text {odd }}$ and $\underline{C}_{\text {even }}$ where $\underline{C}_{\text {odd }}$ is composed of all the odd columns of $\underline{C}$ and $\underline{C}_{\text {even }}$ all the even columns. If the number of ones in each row of the matrix formed by stacking $\mathrm{C}_{\text {odd }}$ on top of $\mathrm{C}_{\text {even }}$ alternates even, odd, even, ... or vice versa, then an alternating input sequence will produce an alternating output sequence. Testing the generator matrix, it is found the number of ones in each row of the test matrix does not alternate even, odd or vice versa. Therefore the maximum number of transition-free output symbols from the $1 / 3$ convolution encoder with alternate symbol i inversion is

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{\max } & =K+\left\lceil\frac{K-1}{v-1}\right\rceil-1+v \\
& =7+\left\lceil\frac{7-1}{3-1}\right\rceil-1+3
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=12 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maximuri number of transition-free output symbols was also determined to be 12 in References 7 and 8. Magnavox in Reference 7 utilized an extensive computer analysis to arrive at a maximum of 12 Baument, et al., Reference 8, used a slightly different mathematical approach to obtain 12 as the maximum bits between transitions and therefore the system is guaranteed to meet the 1 in 64 requirement.

Simon and Smith also prove in Reference 6 the ll-bit input sequence 01110100100 yields the output 010000000000001 . Neither this output sequence nor its compliment can be repeated within the next 33 output symbols. The next input will produce at least one additional bit transition therefore the average bit transition for this worse case plus one additional input is 2 transitions per 16 output symbols which yields an average of 1 transition every 8 output symbols. Therefore the output of the $1 / 3$ convolutional encoder with alternate bit inversion and generator matrix given in Equation 3.3 will meet both the 1 transition per 64 bits and 64 transitions in 512 or an average of 1 transition every 8 bits.

If the 12-bit input sequence is 01110100100 the output will be 010000000000001100.

If the 12 -bit input sequence is 01110100101 the output will be 010000000000001011.

Since the output of the $1 / 3$ rate convolutional encoder will have a transition at least every 13 bits independent of the data input, it is not necessary to examine the equipment preceeding the encoder. However if the channel interleaver is utilized it is necessary to determine if it is possible to obtain 64 or more symbols out of the interleaver without a transition. The channel interleaver is shown in Figure 3.3. This interleaver will take any two symbols within 30 of each other and separate them by at least 119 bits. Equation 3.6 may be used to express a typical output symbol $b_{i}$ in terms of the input symbols $a_{i}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{j+1}=a_{j+i-4: \times 30}=a_{j+i-120_{i}}=a_{j-119} & j \geq 119_{i} \\
b_{j+i}=0 & j \leq 119_{i} \tag{3.6b}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j=0,30,60,90,120, \ldots \\
& i=0,1,2,3, \ldots, 29
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, a typical output sequence of the interleaver would resemble a sampling of the input sequence with the samples being taken every $119^{\text {th }}$ bit for sequences up to 30 bits in length. In order for the interleaver to have an output of 64 consecutive symbols of the same value, the input data must be such that samples of the input sequence separated by 119 symbols be of the same value. The length of input symbols corresponding to 64 output symbols is approximately 3511 . Also noting that the output of the interleaver is combined with a $P N$ cover sequence of length 30 , it would appear highly unlikely that a string of 64 ones or zeros will occur, however due to the systematic construction of the components of the system, it is possible that a

Figure 3.3 Periodic Convolutional Interleaver and Deinterleaver
sequence of data does exist that will yield a string of 64 output symbol without a transition. Since the actual structure of the data is presently unavailable it is not possible to examine this probliem more closely. It would be necessary to examine very closely the structure of the data and how that structure is effected by the various components of the system.

## 3.B. 5 Bit Scramblers

A bit scrambler is a digital machine which maps a data sequence into a channel sequence and with the special mapping of a periodic data sequence into a periodic channel sequence with period much greater than the data period. For periodic source, the channel sequence produced by the scrambler, also, has many transitions.

The basic element of all scramblers is a feedback shift register generator (FBSRG) with tap polynomial $h(x)$; where $h(x)$ is a primitive polynomial over the field $G F(p), p$ is prime. The manner In which this element is connect determines whether the scramblers is self-synchronizing or not. The self-synchronizing group utilizes the data sequence to drive the FBSRG. The non-self synchronizing group, often call reset, utilizes the FBSRG as a maximal length (ML) generator and modulo adds the ML sequence to the data. Each group is discussed in the following paragraphs.

## 3.B.5.a Self-Synchronizing Scramblers

The self synchronizing group maybe subdivided into two types called multi-counter scramblers (MCS) and single-counter scramblers (SCS). Both types consists of a "basic self-sync scrambler" and a "monitoring logic". Figure 3.4 illustrates the "basic self-synchronizing scrambler" (BSS). The logic circuit determines the scrambler type Figures 3.5 and 3.6 show the MCS and SCS respectively.

The BSS when excited by a periodic sequence of period* 's' will respond with a periodic line sequence which has either period ' $s$ ' or a period which is the least common multiple (LCM) of ' $s$ '
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and $p^{m-1} 1^{*}$ (denoted by $\operatorname{LCM}\left(s, p^{m}-1\right)$. The period with which the scrambler responds is a function of the initial values stored in the scrambler storage elements, ( state (for each phase of input sequence) for which the line sequence has period 's'. For all other such initial states the line sequence has the larger period. The preceding statements are Savage's Theorem 1 for BSS (See Reference 9 for proof).

The logic circuit employed by the MCS and SCS are used to detect the presence of a periodic sequence of low period on line and alter the starting state of the BSS to insure the line sequence has period of LCM ( $s, p^{m}-1$ ).

## 3.B.5.a.1 Mul.ti-Count Scrambler

The logic used by the MCS is more general than the SCS and allows for the simultaneous detect of sequences of several periods. The MCS employs $N$ counters, one for each period ' $s_{i}$ ', $1 \leq i \leq N$, and the $i^{\text {th }}$ counter will generate +1 if it reaches its threshold $\boldsymbol{t}_{s_{1}}$. The counter is reset whenever the reset lead is nonzero so that $t_{s_{i}}$ consecutive zeros on the reset lead of the $i$ th counter will cause it to reach its threshold. Whenever a counter reaches its threshold a ' 1 ' is added to the feedback line of the BSS, thereby change the state of the BSS. Thus, the line sequence will then be changed from period ' $s_{i}$ ' to period $\operatorname{LCM}\left(S_{i}, p^{m}-1\right)$ where the $i^{\text {th }}$ counter was the one reaching threshold. At the same time, all counters ace reset.

Thus, the MCS shown in Figure 3.5 will scramble a periodic sequence of period ' $s$ ' if ' $s$ ' divides ' $s_{i}$ ' (denoted by ' $s$ '/' $s_{i}$ ') for some $1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \mathbb{N}$, and will produce a periodic line sequence of period LCM ('s $i_{i}, p^{m}-1$ ) if the following two conditions are met:

1) The tap polynomial $h(x)$ of degree $m$ is primitive over GF(p) where data sequences have components from GF(p).
2) The thresholds $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}_{i}}, 1 \leq \mathrm{S}_{i} \leq \mathrm{N}$ are chosen as

[^3]$$
t_{s_{1}} \geq(m-1)+\max _{\substack{1 \leq j \leq N \\ j \neq i}} s_{1} \text {. }
$$

If all input priciods divide ' $s_{0}$ ', then the statemert holds when condition (i) is met and a threshold of $t_{s_{0}} \geq m$ is used. The above is Savage's MCS theorem. (See Reference 9 for proof.)

## 3.B.5.a.2 Single-Counter Scramblers

The SCS is designed to scramble periodic binary sequences whose periods divide either ' $s_{1}$ ' or ' $s_{2}$ ' or both. Since thi utilizes only one counter, it may be less costly to build than the MCS in some case. The SCS operates in the same manner as the MCS.

Savage's SCS theorem states that a SCS exists which will scramble all periodic binary sequences with periods which divide ' $s_{1}$ ' or ${ }^{\prime} s_{2}$ ' where $s_{1}<s_{2}$ and $s_{1}$ does not divide $s_{2}$ (denoted by $8_{1} \times 8_{2}$ ) if

1) the tap polynomial $h(x)$ of degree ' $m$ ' is primitive over GF(2),
2) $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are relatively prime to $2^{m}-1$, and
3) a counter threshold, $t, t \leq s{ }_{2}\left(2^{m}-1\right)-2^{m-1}+2$ is chosen.

See Reference 9 for proof.

## 3.B.5.a.3 Transition Density for Self-Synchronizing Scramblers

Transitions occur frequently in a scrambled periodic sequence and in one period of a scrambled sequence there are approximately half as many transitions as there are digits. These have been illustrated in Reference 9 when the source is binary and the scrambler input periods are relatively prime to $2^{m}-1$, where $m$ Is the size of the BSS.

Assuming the BSS generates a line sequence with period ' $\ell$ ' when the input has period ' $s$ ', the source is binary, the BSS has ' $m$ ' stages, and ' $s$ ' is relatively prime to $2^{m}-1$; then ' $\ell$ ' is an ' $s$ ' $\left(2^{m}-1\right)$ component vector. If the binary line sequence is converted into a line signal by the mapping $1 \rightarrow+1,0 \rightarrow-1$ and if it is linear modulated,
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then Savage's Transition theorem states "The binary vector ' $\ell$ ' of length $s\left(2^{m}-1\right)$ representing the response of a binary scrambler to an input of period ' $s$ ', when ' $s$ ' and $2^{\text {m }}-1$ are relatively prime, has at least one transition every ' $s$ ' + ' $m$ ' digits and has a total of $\operatorname{Tr}(\ell)$ transitions where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2^{m}-2}{2^{m}-1}\right) \leq \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\ell)}{s\left(2^{m}-1\right)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2^{m}}{2^{m}-1}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This theorem may hold for reset scrambler also, but Savage's proof does not take into count the reset scrambler. Therefore prior to applying these bounds to the reset scrambler further evaluation is needed.

## 3.B.5.a.4 Self-Synchronizing Descramblers

The descramblers for the MCS and SCS are shown in Figures 3.7 and 3.8, respectively. The descrambler is said to be out of synchronism with the scrambler if either (1) the values in the BSS and the delay elements differ from those stored in the corresponding sections of the scrambler or (2) if the counters in the monitoring logic are not at the same levels as those at the scrambler or both. Examining Figure 3.7 or 3.8 , it can be seen that the delay elements of the descrambler will be purged after ' $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ' clock intervals, provided ' $s_{N}$ ' is the largest expected period (number of delay elements). The monitoring logic at the scrambler and descrambler will be at the same level after an additional ' $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ' clock intervals provided no line errors have occurred. Therefore the descrambler will require at most $2 \times$ ' $s_{N}$ ' clock intervals free of error (channel errors or bit slip) to recover sync.

The primary effect of a channel error on the descrambler is to introduce additional errors. If the effect on the monitoring logic is neglected, the descrambler will produce approximately $w(h)$ as many output errors as channel errors, where $w(h)$ is the number of nonzero terms in the tap polynomial $h(x)$.
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## 3.B.5.a.5 The Spectrum of the Scrambler Output

Assume a linearly modulated carriar, a binary source, and the source is converted into a waveform such that $0=-1,1=+1$. Let $T_{0}$ be the time interval alloted to each binary digit and let $\hat{l}(t)$ be the waveform generated by the binary sequence $\ell$.

If $\ell$ is the output of the scrambler for an equiprobable, independent source input, then $\ell$ is a sequence of independent, equiprobable, binary digits. Thus the autocorrelation function of $\ell(t)$ is

$$
R_{\ell}(\tau)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1-\frac{|\tau|}{T_{0}}, & |\tau| \leq T_{0}  \tag{3.9}\\
0 & , \quad|\tau|>T_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the power density spectrum for $\hat{\ell}(t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(f)=T_{0}\left(\frac{\sin \pi f T_{0}}{\pi f T_{0}}\right)^{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let the source be periodic, with period 's' such that the line sequence has period $T_{0}\left(\operatorname{LCM}\left(s, 2^{m}-1\right)\right)$; then the power density spectrum for. $\ell(t)$ is
$S(f)=\frac{1}{p} \delta(f)+T_{0}\left(\frac{\sin \pi f T_{o}}{\pi f T_{o}}\right\}^{2}\left\{\frac{u}{s P T_{1}} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta\left(f-\frac{j}{P T_{1}}\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\left(1-\frac{u}{s}-\frac{1}{P}\right) \frac{1}{P T_{0}} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta\left(f-\frac{j}{P T_{0}}\right) \right\rvert\, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P=2^{m}-1$

$$
T_{1}=s T_{0}
$$

$u$ is a function of the scrambler input (the number of 1 's in $\ell+\ell_{k}, k$ a multiple of $P$, depends on the input; $\ell_{K}$ represents $k$ cyclic shifts of $\ell$ ).

In other words the principle effect of scrambling is to decrease the number of tones in a given bandwidth by a factor which is approximately $P$ and to decrease the level of each tone by approximately the same factor. The bandwidth is unchanged.
3.B.5.a.6 Serial, Cascaded, and Parallel Scramblers

Self-synchronizing scramblers may be classed in subgroups depending on the inter connects between the scramblers. A single scrambler with m delays is called a serial scrambler. The scramblers described in the above were serial.

Scramblers may also be connected in cascaded, meaning the output of one is fed to the input of the next scrambler. Cascade scramblers are inferior to serial scramblers with the same number of delay elements. For example 4 serial scramblers connected in cascade (see Figure 3.9) has a longest output period (output of the $4^{\text {th }}$ scrambler) of only the $\operatorname{LCM}\left(s, 4\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}-1\right)\right.$ ) and a probability of occurance of $\left(1-2^{-2 \mathrm{~m}}\right)$ where as a single scrambler with 4 m delays has a maximum output period of $\operatorname{LCM}\left(s, 2^{4 m}-1\right)$ with a probability of occurance of $\left(1-2^{-4 m}\right)$. Therefore a serial scrambler is preferred to a cascaded scrambler.

Scramblers may also be connected in parallel. The main advantage of parallel scramblers is the reduction of the number of output errors to input errors. Parallel scramblers use two inputs thereby requiring additional components for series input sequences. Figure 3.10 shows the configuration for parallel scramblers. Examining Figure 3.10, if an error occurs on input ' $a$ ', w(h) +1 errors will be produced by the output, but if an error occurs on input $b$ only one will be produced in the output. Therefore, in the case of parallel inputs, the output errors will be reduced for 1 ine $b$. This technique provides little improvement for random errors occurring in serial data; however.

References 9 and 10 provide additional information on selfsynchronizing scramblers. The main point concerning self-synchronizing scramblers is that the principal effect of infrequent channel errors on the descrambler is to multiply the number of channel errors by $w(h)$, where $w(h)$ is the number of non-zero terms in the tap polynomial $h(x)$.
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## 3.B.5.b Non-Self Synchronizing (Reset) Scramblers.

The reset scrambler is simple, consisting of a maximal-length sequence generator modulo 2 added to the data sequence prior to modulation. The reset scrambler must be provided with synchronizing pulses in order to relock on sync. Since the ML sequence is Independent of the data stream there is no multiplication of output errors relative to input errors.

At present the number of transition for a given sequence length is unknown. It is possible that the reset scrambler may provide the same $\operatorname{Tr}(\ell)$ as the self-synchronizing scrambler, but the proof utilized by Savage ${ }^{9}$ cannot be directly applied to the reset scrambler.

The scrambler and descrambler for the reset group are identical maximal-length sequence generator for binary data. Figure 3.11 shows the reset scrambler. The synchronizing pulse may be obtained from the frame sync or another sync pattern found in the data stream. Since the sync pattern would be utilized to reset and start the MLsequence, it would not be scrambled.

The self-synchronizing scramblers are poor candidates for the SL due to the multiplication of channel errors. The reset group is a better candidate provided a lower bound can be determined for the number of transitions for a given sequence length, and is discussed in the next Chapter. (See Reference 12 for a brief summary on scramblers.)

Table 3.1 summarizes the possible methods discussed in this section for improving bit transition density of the 2 Mbit SL Link. Only the reset scrambler remains as a viable option. The reset scrambler is actually a PN Cover Sequence which is modulo-2 added to the data stream. This technique is examined in further detail in Chapter IV.

Reset Scrambler and Descramble:
$h(x)=x^{m}+c_{1} x^{m-1}+\ldots+c_{m}$
where $c_{i}=1$ or 0 and $h(x)$ is a primitive
polynomial over GF(2) of degree $M$
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table 3.1 bit transition density coding choices

| tectarique | SPECTRNL M | edror charcteristics | STMCRRONIZATIOM | mabrine complits | Thansition mopritiss | comants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Eit Scrambler Self Synchronizing <br> a. Serial | Same as Data Streat | Exror propagation and multiple outpur errors for single error input | Self Symehroaizing | Encoder-Molerate | a Guaranted <br> Performance can <br> be Provided | * |
| b. Parallei | Scae as <br> Data Strean | Same as la. | Self Synchroniziag | Encoder-Moderate | a Cuaranteed Performace can be provided | 13 |
| 2. Delay Modulation <br> a. Miller | Same as Data Strean | Double errort out for single error in | Must Provide Syachronization | Encoder-Moverate Decoder-Hore Complex | 2 Trmaitions in every 3 bit celle | - 33 |
| b. M111 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sase as } \\ & \text { Data Strean } \end{aligned}$ | Double errors out for single error in | Pust Provide Symehronizacion | Encoder-Hoderate Decoder-Hore Complex | 1 Transition in every 3 bit cells | 03 |
| 3. Ei-Phase KoJulasion (Manchester, Manchester II. Bi-Phase Mark, BL-phase Space) | Double <br> Data <br> Banduidth | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { error out for } \\ & 1 \text { error in } \end{aligned}$ | Self Synchroalziag | Encoder-Moderate Decocer-Hoderate to Complex | 1 transition for every bit cell | 02 |
| 4. hiternate ELE Version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Same as } \\ & \text { Data } \end{aligned}$ | 1 bit slip yields inverted ble stream. 1 error out for 1 error in. | Pust Prowide Syachronization | Encoder-Moderate Decoder-Hodarate | Daza Pattern Semeicive. Wo Guarantees. Statistically Geod | 13 |
| 5. Sequence Insertion (Includes Error Codes) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sase as } \\ & \text { Data } \end{aligned}$ | Posifible error multiplication sue so channel errors and data convolucions. | Must Provide Synchronixation Senifitive to Iaverted Data Screaz | Tiniag and Cowntiog Complex- | Can be Designed to Meet Specificetions. | 33 |
| 6. Pi Cover Sequence (Reset Scrambler) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sare at } \\ & \text { Daca } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { error our for } \\ & 1 \text { error in. } \end{aligned}$ | Must Provide Synchronizacion | Encoder-5imple Decoder-Hoderate | Cam be Desigaed to Keet SpecificaEloas. | mone |

## CHAPTER 4

In the preceding chapter, different techniques were examined to determine their capability of resolving the bit transition density problem of the SL 2 Mbit Return Link and these techniques are summarized in Table 3.1. Only the PN Cover Sequence (or Reset Bit Scrambler) was capable of meeting all the system constraints listed in Tables 2.1 and 2.2. This chapter deals primarily with the particular PN sequence chosen for the SL, however a brief general discussion of PN sequences is also given.

## 4.A PSEUDO-NOISE (PN) SEQUENCES

Pseudo-Noise Sequences are binary-valued, noise-like sequences in that they are purely random. That is any bit in the sequence may be a one or a zero with equally likely probability. However their primary advantages are that they are deterministic, easily generated by feedback shift registers, and they have a correlation function which is highly peaked for zero delay and approximately zero for other delays. By proper selection of the tap polynomial, which indicates the feedback connections, a maximal linear (ML) sequence or m-sequence is generated. Figure 4.1 illustrates a general ML sequence generator. A $\mathbb{I L}$ sequence has a length, $L=2^{n}-1$, where ' $n$ ' is the number of stages in the shift register (SR). The number of ones in the sequence equals the number of zeros plus one. There are

$$
\left[\frac{2^{n}-1}{2}\right] \text { zeros and }\left[\frac{2^{n}-1}{2}\right]+1 \text { ones. }
$$

The number of transitions within the sequence is approximately half the number of bits in the sequence. The number of transition equals
$\left[\frac{2^{n}-1}{2}\right]$. The maximum number of bits without a transition is
equal to the number of stages in the $S R$, ' $n$ '. The statistical

distribution of ones and zeros is well defined and always the same. There are exactly $2^{n-(p+2)}$ runs of length ' $p$ ' for both ones and zeros in every maximal sequence where ' $p$ ' is any positive integer less than ' $n$ ', including zero. However, the relative positions of their runs vary from ML sequence to ML sequence depending on the tap polynomial and the method of connection. The main propertias of ML sequences are listed below.

1. Tha number of ones in a sequence equals the number of zeros within one bit.
2. The statistical distribution of ones and zeros is well defined and always the same. Relative positions of their runs vary from code sequence to code sequence, depending on the tap polynomial and the method of connection, but the number of each run length does not.
3. Autocorrelation of a maximal linear code sequence is such that for all values of phase shift the correlation value is -1 , except for the 0 to 1 bit phase shift area, in which cori:elatica varies linearly from the -1 value to $2^{n}-1$ (the sequence length).
4. A modulo-2 addition of a maximal linear code with a phase shifted replica of itself results in another replica with a phase shift different from either of the originals.
5. Every possible state, or m-tuple, of a given $n$-stage generator exists at some time during the generation of a complete code cycle. Each state exists for only one clock pulse. The exception is that the all-zeros state does not normally occur and cannot be allowed. (For additional information concerning $\mathbb{M}$ sequences, see Reference 13, pp. 53-72 and Reference 14.)
The particular ML sequence, the reasons for choosing it, and a statistical statement of the probability of not incurring sufficient transitions are discussed in the following paragraphs.

## 4.B THE PARTICULAR PN SEQUENCE FOR THE COVER SEQUENCE GENERATOR (CSG)

It is a common engineering practice to select a ML sequence whose length is at least equal to the number of bits. between synch words, since the sync pattern in better left alone. The SL general user format contains 3040 bits between each 32 bit sync word ( 28 bit sync pattern and a 4 bit ID word), therefore the sequence generated by the CSG should have a length equal to or greater than 3040. Although a $12^{\text {th }}$ degree polynomial yields a ML sequence length of 4095 bits and is the smallest sequence that could be used, it is not a Mersenne prime sequence and is therefore susceptible to interperodicty. For this reason a $13^{\text {th }}$ degree polynomial was chosen. It will generate a $2^{13}-1=8191$ bit sequence before repeating itself; its composition will vary depending upon the tap poiynomial used.
A 13 stage PN generator is a Mersenne prime generator. Various tap polynomials are available for use and some are listed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(x)=1+x+x^{3}+x^{4}+x^{13} \\
& g(x)=1+x^{4}+x^{5}+x^{7}+x^{9}+x^{10}+x^{13} \\
& g(x)=1+x+x^{4}+x^{7}+x^{8}+x^{11}+x^{13}
\end{aligned}
$$

etc.
The first polynomial listed yields the fewest number of connections which would be desirable if a shift register implementation was used for producing the code.

Since the sequence generated is 8191 digits long and only 3040 digits exist between the frame synchronization patterns, a truncation of the sequence is desirable.

Deciding upon the particular 3040 bit piece of the sequence is dependent upon the structure of the sequence. It is highly desirable to avoid long strings of alternating 1 's and 0 's due to the very likely prospect of these strings occurring in the data. Factors which enter into the sequence structure are the initial condition (or contents) of the PN sequence shift register and the tap polynomial.

Figures 4.2 and 4.3 illustrate the methods of modifying the data stream emanating from the HRM. Figure 4-2 illustrates the shift
 Register output is " 0 ".
Thus Frame Synch and ID
pass to transmitter unalpass to transmitter unal-
tered. For the next 3040 bits the PN sequence (a
specific 3040 bit portion specific 3040 bit portion
of the 8191 total) is added to data thereby pro-
transition density in the transmitted bit stream

Figure 4.3 HDBT Encoder Using ROM Implementation
register type of encoder for HDBT and Figure 4.3 illustrates the ROM type of encoder for HDBT. In either case the same sequence will be utilized. It should be noted that the fyame sync and ID portion are not altered.

The sequence will have the run length distributions, shown in Table 4.1, for all tap polynomials and initial start vectors (the arrangement of these various runs will vary of course or there would be no difference in the sequences).

In general there are $2^{n-(p+2)}$ runs of length $p$ for both ones and zeros in every maximal sequence, except that there is only one run of length $n$ (ones) and one run of length $n-1$ (zeros).

A computer program based on an algorithm presented by Robert Gold in Reference 14 was used to generate the complete sequence of 8191 bits. This sequence was examined and the particular portion of 3040 bits was selected. This sequence is shown in Table 4.2. The zero-one distribution for this truncated 13 stage PN sequence is illustrated in Table 4.3. An examination of Table 4.3 reveals that this truncated sequence maintains the properties of a ML sequnce. Although they are not perfectly retained, it is very close. Since the SL data stream is expected to contain long runs of alternate ones and zeros, the truncated sequence must be examined for these also. Table 4.4 lists the number and lengths of all alternating runs contained in the truncated sequences.

## 4.C PROBABILITIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE SEQUENCE

Now let us investigate some probabilities of not achieving the transition density requirements.

In order for failure to achieve a transition in 64 bits to occur it must have a data sequence that exactly matches the PN sequence for 64 bits. Since the PN sequence is statistically independent of the data sequence in bit by bit as well as string by string fashion we have Prob (of more than 63 bits with no transition) $=(.5)^{64}=5.4210108 \times 10^{-20}$

The requirement that 64 transition in 512 bits occur may be thought of from the following viewpoint:
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TABLE 4.2 TRULICATED SEQUEACE FOR THE CSG


0010100001111110
0010010010111001
0000001101001001

## 0101100011101110

 0110110011101111 1011111101001111
## 0000011000110110 0011000001101100 1101110101001101

1001010011001110 1011000001111001 0111010110101000

1100100011101001
010100111001090
1110001111000010
1010001010101100 0100911100111011
1010101010010100

## 0011001101101100 1010101100000110 010000111100000

0110111001100001 0011010010111001 1101111100100000

0111011010110100 001101110010011
0100111110111011
1101001001000011
11111101C0110011
1101111001000011
0010019000011101 1000001011111000
0909110001111900

1000011010111111 0000001101011011 0001001101000111

0100001000011111 1010011100011010 0001011191111111

## $111110 c 110000101$ 101000110100001 1100010111101001

1010019100109010
00011011001190
0110918100117010

0100101111011098
$00011010 n 0110110$ 0011010100101011

### 1010111110101.100

 1011001119010011 c100010c110010101111111011111010 1001000001001110
60100019000000

1110011101001100 1100010111001101 C011000001110000 0101000001111100 0101111110010001
0101111111100000
0110101100100110
$61000110 \mathrm{CiO11000}$
11111000011110
1000001000000111 1011100011000001 0001011100011100

1001000000001001
1111900190114101
1011100100100010
0101001101010110 0111100000010000 C011000010000001

## 0111001001011001

 C010101110c11101 00111011011111101000111010011091 1119101000111101 1101100110001010

1101110100001010 0111110011110011 1110111000010111

1110001100110011 1000000010009001 C011001100110111
1100111000001010 $1101011011 c 10001$ 0001011101100011
C110001001010111 C011000101101100 1111000010001011

TABLE 4.2 TRURICATED SEQUEMCE FOR THE DSG (Continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & 1000100101000000 \\ & 01001001000011 \\ & 0000100101910001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0111110001110011 \\ & 11110001110100 \\ & 1011101001000001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0010011000101011 \\ & 000001011000110 \\ & 0100101010100119 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 011101110011 c 100 \\ & 000100010110110 \\ & 1010010000101100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0101101001110010 \\ & 1010110010110000 \\ & 0100100010101001 \end{aligned}$ | 1100010110101110 <br> c100111100011111 <br> C101001111110010 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1011110101001191 \\ & 0.101110110919011 \\ & 0101010110100111 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0101100010111011 \\ & 1100119111019 \\ & 190011191010001 \end{aligned}$ | 1111100110100001 <br> C011010090010011 <br> 1001100000001001 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1011011010100010 \\ & 1110101011010000 \\ & 1010101011110111 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000100011111000 \\ & 011010011100101 \\ & 0111010001011001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1001011110001001 \\ & 001011100000111 \\ & 1911110011101000 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0100110000000011 \\ & 1010100010001000 \\ & 1190010199010000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1100011100001010 \\ & 1001010100100011 \\ & 0011001010101011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000000001101010 \\ & 01011010111019 \\ & 1001010 \mathrm{Ci1110110} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0000010110010010 \\ & 1100011110010110 \\ & 0000111011110001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0111100000011110 \\ & 1111011000011007 \\ & 1001011111110110 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1010101010011010 \\ & 111000190010111 \\ & 0001011111011011 \end{aligned}$ |
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* Maximum Run of Alternate One/Zero is 14


# TABLE 4.4 <br> dISTRIBUTION OF ALTERNATE ONES/ZEROS FOR THE TRUNCATED 13 STAGE PN SEQUENCE 

RUN LENGTH
(BITS) $\quad$ NUMBER OF RUNS

| 14 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 12 | 1 |
| 11 | 2 |
| 10 | 2 |
| 9 | 4 |
| 8 | 4 |
| 7 | 16 |
| 6 | 21 |
| 5 | 35 |
| 4 | 102 |

The PN sequence is random in nature and contains approximately equal numbers of ones and zeros with a corresponding large number of bit transitions. In fact from the run length table one may observe that approximately 4096 transitions between various run lengths will occur. This amounts to roughly a $50 \%$ (or 1 transition per 2 bits) transition density. Thus, for less than 64 transitions to occur in a total of 512 bits we must have the data match the 512 bit random sequence in all bit positions except for 63 bits or 62 bits, or 61 bits, etc.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\leq 64 / 512) & =\sum_{k=0}^{63}\binom{512}{k}(.5)^{512} \\
& =3.946^{+} \times 10^{-71}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we see that the dominant factor is the probability of less than at least 1 transition in 64 bits which is $-5.42 \times 10^{-20}$.

Of course there are 448 possible chances for a 64 bit string co have no transitions in 512 bits.

Thus, the transition density requirements should be met with at least a failure probability of no more than

$$
-2.434 \times 10^{-17}
$$

A computer simulation program was developed which tests the truncated CSG Sequence for achieving the high bit density transitions by modulo-2 addition with the data stream. This program is explained and listed in the Appendix. Since little is known about the SL data, the program generates a sequence of random numbers to represent the SL data stream. Several runs were made using different seed numbers to produce different random sequences. These random sequences were also truncated to various lengths and repeated to simulate periodic data sequences. The computer results indicate that the output sequence of the CSG will have a transition density of approximately $50 \%$, an average of one transition every two bits. Although the computer simulation was not exhaustive, it is sufficient to substantiate the theoretical probability of meeting the required bit transition density of the SL 2 Mbit return link.

## CHAPTER 5

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOSMENDATIONS

In conclusion only the PN Cover Sequence of the six possible techniques examined is capable of meeting or exceeding the System Constraints placed on the HBTD encoder (See Table 5.1). Since the PN Cover Sequence is NRZ-L and employs the HRM clock, it will not, alter the present bandwidth or data rate. The probability of not meeting or exceeding the required bit transition density is at most $2.434 \times 10^{-17}$. Since the PN Cover Sequence is independent of the data stream, it will not propagate channel errors, a single input error yields a single output error. Thus the PN Cover Sequence is compatible with the existing BCH code. The above mentioned are three primary constraints listed in Table 2.1. The fourth constraint deals with the means use to implement the CSG and will vary depending on whether shift register or ROM techniques are employed. This constraint is also effected by the Secondary Constraints in Table 2.2. However the circuitry required to meet the secondary constraints is basically the same for all six techniques and since the truncated PN Sequence is one of the least complicated to implement, the fourth constraint presents no major problem.

Since the actual implementation of the CSG is beyond the scope of this contract, it was not covered in the preceding material. However, a specificail ins document concerning the PN Cover Sequence Generator Encoder/Decoder was constructed and submitted with the April 1981 monthly progress report. A copy of this specifications document is included in the Appendix. It contains diagrams for the purpose of enhancing the concept of the CSG encoder and decoder. These figures illustrate the functional properties dasired for both the CSG encoder and decoder which will enable them to meet the secondary constraints of Table 2.2.

A second method of implementation was also put forth in the November 1980 Monthly Report for meeting the secondary constraints. This method differs in that the 4 ID Bits are used to designate whether the CSG encoder had been activated or by passed. This modification
varies only slightly from the original truncated sequence, 4 additional bits are added increasing the sequence length to 3044 bits. This would not effect any of the ifrst three constraints of Table 2.1 and would require moderate changes in the implementation. A copy of the November 1980 Monthly Report is included in the Appendix for convenience.
table 5.1 COMPARISON OF CSG WITH System constraints

> NO CHANGE IN RF BANDWIDTH
no change in data bandwidth
probability of not meeting transition requtreatents

## 1 transition in 64 bits $-5.421 \times 10^{-20}$

## 64 TRANSITION IN 512 BITS $-3.946^{+} \times 10^{-71}$



hardware Implementation

## atrborne: simple (frame sync pulses and cloce provided by hru)

GROUND: MODERATE (REQUIRES FRAME SYNC DETECFION FOR NORMAL and inverted and must determber dars rate.)
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## CHAPTER 7

APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A COMPUTER SIMULATION PROGRAM

A computer simulation program was developed which tests the PN-Sequence for achieving the high bit density transitions by modulotwo addition with the data stream.

The computer program will generate several different PN-Sequences for given generating polynomials (represented by IX) with given initial conditions (represented by IG). Both IX and IG are written in octal representation. The program generates, via subroutines, a sequence of random numbers which simulates the input data stream to the Cover Sequence Generator (CSG) Encoder. Any specified portion of this random sequence can be used to simulate a periodic input sequence. Thus the program can generate periodic sequences of varying lengths and transition densities to simulate the input data stream to the CSG Encoder. The modulo-two sum of the PN-Sequence and the simulated input data is calculated. This modulo-two sum represents the output data stream of the CSG Encoder. All three sequences are printed and the total number of transitions for each is determined and printed.

The program will also determine for the output sequence the run lengths for all bits of the same value and the order of their occurrence. This information is stored and printed in two groups, one for the all zeros case and the other for the all ones case. Examination of these two groups permits a determination of whether the output sequence satisfies the required transition density.

The status of the requirement of one transition every sixty-four bits is determined by simply checking the number of bits per run in each group. The second requirement of sixty-four transicion within five-hundred-twelve bits is slightly more complicated to evaluate. An average of one transition every elght bits will satisfy this requirement. Thus by locating all runs of length eight or greater and examining the runs prior to and following each of these, a determination concerning this requirement can be made. Note the output sequence alternates between the two groups. The question of which group to start with, zeros or ones, depends upon the value of the first output bit ('XOR OF THE

# GENERATED BITS'). If the bit is a one atart with the "LENGTHS OF GROUPS OF ONES" and if the first output bit is a zero atert with the "LENGTHS OF GROUPS OF ZEROS". 

## ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

## BSYA PAINTS . FORAS

Hin: ${ }^{6}$




```
    SUB ROUTINE URITER(IROM,K)
C*** THIS SUBROUTINE URITES OUT A SET OF GENERATEO MANDON
C*** THIS SUUROUTINE WRITES
C:EBGGOU=2 FOR INPUTESEOUENCE
C:-: IROU=3 FOR MOD-2 SUN
    JINE=0
        D0 10 IOUT=1,31
        NPE10UT:100
        NT=((1OUT-1)*100)+1
        MRITE(C,20) (K(IROU,L),LENT,NP)
        MLSEEJLINE+{
10
        G0ATMATCIM .1117%,100(11)S
        END
```

    SUEROUTINE TRANS(JB, KRITRZCTNC RM)
    C:
THIS SUEROUTINE FIRDS THE TOTAL NUMEER OF TRANSITIONS
C: © THIS SUEROUTINE FIHDS THE TOTAL NU
C: © INRANY SEOUENCE GF BINARY NUME
C*: ITAZCT(2:O) IS FCR INPUT SEOUENCE
C*: ITRZCT(2:0) IS FCR INPUI SEO
$\begin{array}{ll}1 T 2=0 \\ 00 & 10 \\ 0\end{array}=18$

CONTINUE
00
$1 i=1$
102
$11=1-1=2$,
if(xK(1), $E Q \cdot x \times(11)) 60 T 020$
ITZ=1TZA
CONTINU
RETURN
Nonnen
SUEROUTINEKYTRAAD (K,M,AC)
C***
THIS SUEROUTINE GENERATES AA SET OF PSEUDO-RANDON BITS
C**
C**
$N=13573951373$
$\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N} \mathrm{C}$
CALL RANO (R,N)
日月R?

10 CONfinue
K(2 finzzeIt
CONTINU
AETURN
END
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## APPENDIX B

## SPECIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR PN COVER SEQUENCE GENERANOR ENCODER/DECODER

### 1.0 Introduction

This Statement of Woric (SOW) is to establish the requirements for the definition and fabrication of Cover Sequence Generator (CSG) encoders and decoders and associated cabling hardware for utilization in Spacelab.

The encoder shall be utilized in the interface between the Spacelab HRM 2 Mbps output and the Orbiter KU-band signal processor to format the bit stream to ensure minimum bit transition density.

The decoder shall be utilized in the interface between ground station receivers and $\operatorname{HRDM}(\mathrm{s})$ to restore the reformatted data to the non-encoded configuration and to resolve output bit ambiguity.

### 2.0 Scope

The contractor shall provide the necessary effort to define and fabricate:
(a) CSG encoders and associated cabling and hardware both for the Spacelab module and pallet modules.
(b) CSG decoders for implementation and integration into:

1. ATE at KSC
2. SLDPF at GSFC
3. POCC at JSC

The concractor shall provide the necessary effort to support the High Data Link Test scheduled at JSC - April 1982 by having available a CSG encoder/decoder qualification unit set and providing engineering and test support.
The contractor shall prepare and maintain a schedule plan which implements this SOW.

### 3.0 Deliverables

- One (1) Set of Documentation
- One (1) CSG E/D Qualification Set
- Two (2) CSG Encoders
- Three (3) CSG Decoders
- Associated Hardware and Cabling


### 4.0 Specifications

The units shall be manufactured in accordance with Spacelab flight and GSE requirements. The quality program shall be in accordance with NHB 5300.4(1C). Functional characteristics shall be in accordance with Appendix B. 1.

### 5.0 Acceptance

Acceptance tests will be performed at the contractor plant. The acceptance data package will be provided to NASA for review and approval. The review by NASA will be completed with 30 days of submittal.

### 6.0 Reviews

Design and development reviews shall include but not be 'imited to the following:

```
PRR
PDR
CDR
Acceptance Review (AR)
```

The contractor alll present additional reviews as required.

## APPENDIX B-1

## COVER SEQUENCE GENERATOR ENCODER/DECODER PRELIMINARY SPECIFICATION

### 1.0 Scope

This appendix defines the preliminary requirements for design of the CSG Encoder/Decoder elements and will be used as the basis for development of the part 1 CEI Detail Specification (CM-04).

### 1.1 Purpose and Description

The Cover Sequence Generator Encoder serves the purpose of reformatting the Spacelab HRM 2MBPS serial bit stream in order to satisfy data handling constraints for minimum bit transitions density. The CSG Encoder will be physically located on board the Spacelab vehicle and will be functionally located between the Spacelab HRM 2 MBPS output and the Orbiter KU Band Signal Processor (KUSP).

A complementary decoder shall be utilized to restore the reformatted data to the non-encoded configuration. The decoder shall be functionally located in the interface between the ground station receiver and the HRDM. Figure B. 11 illustrates the functional location of the encoder and decoder units. Figure: B, 2 illustrates the portion of the data stream to be reformatted and the portion of the data stream which is not to be reformatted. Note that use of the frame synchronization pulse generated by the HRM is necessary to turn the CSG Encoder on and off at the proper time. Note further that either the synthesis of the frame synchronization pulse or use of such a pulse from the HRDM will be necessary in the decoder. Furthermore, because of possible phase inversion of the data stream (including the frame synchronization word), the synthesis of the frame synchronization pulse if necessary will require a frame synchronization pattern or the inverted frame synchronization pattern. If however, the HRDM supplies the frame synchronization pulse it will take the possibility of inverted data into account.

The CSG encoder/decoder consists basically of a PN sequence which is derived using a $13^{\text {th }}$ order polyncmial. The sequence so generated is truncated to 3040 bits to match the data stream between frame synchronization pulses.
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a) CSG Encoder Functional Location

b) CSC Decoder Functional Location

Figure B. 1

## 28 BIT F.S. WORD

## This Portion has PN Sequence

Figure B. 2 HRM Data Strean

| 28 BIT F.S. WORD | 4 BIT ID | 3040 BIT DATA.STREAM | 28 BIT F.S. WORD | 4 BIT ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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A printout of the desired 3040 bit sequence will be furnished to the contractor by the contracting agency. Figures B. 3 and B. 4 illustrate the functional properties desired for both the CSG encoder and decoder. These diagrams are provided for the purpose of enhancing the communication of the concept of the CSG encoder and decoder.

IN NO WAY ARE THE FIGURES TB. 3 AND B. 4 INTESDED OR SHOULD BE CONSTRUED TO BE RECOMMENDED DESIGN APPROACHES.

The basic system requirements are:
For the Cover Sequence Generator (CSG) Encoder

1. Data input shall be NRZ-L from the HRM formatter varying discretely at a rate between 125 KBPS to 2 MBPS. The encoder shall whilize a frame synchronization pulse from the HRM to turn the PN encoder on and off.
2. Only HRM 2 MBPS data line (Data rates vary from 125 日BPS 2 MBPS) formatted data will be CSG encoded.

For the Cover Sequence Generator (CSG) Decoder

1. If no HRM frame synchronization (nominal 2 MBPS data rate) word occurs in the data stream from the bit synchronizer the data shall be passed on unaltered.
2. For HRM 2 MBPS formatted science data with proper frame synchronization word the data outputs phase will be unaltered and the cover sequence removed.

In Figure B. 4 the Timing Gate and the Time Coincidence Gate serve: the purpose of determining that the clock and frame sync are indeed a 2 MBPS rate rather than a higher rate. The hold portion of the Time Coincidence Gate is to activate the cover sequence generator for a major portion of a frame.

It should be noted that the frame synchronization detector protion of the CSG decoder must contain capability for and follow the same frame synchronization search, acquire and maintenance mode protocol as that specified in the HRDM specifications.

These following statements descibe the basic HRDM frame synchronization operation and frame synchronization pulse location.
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Figure B. 4 Cover Sequence Decoder Block Diagra

1. Frame synchronization 1s achieved by searching for two consecutive frame synchronization words. When the first word is recognized the 4 bit ID count is set in a counter. This count is then updated by 1 count and upon recelpt of the next frame synchronization word the new 4 bit ID count is compared to the updated count and if there is agreement frame synchronization is achieved.

At this time a pulse is generated (from high to low) which lasts for one bit time during the 32 nd bit of the frame sychronization mord.

The data that is received during these two frame synchronization words is discarded.

### 2.0 Requirements

### 2.1 Performance

### 2.1.1 CSG Encoder

The CSG Encoder will reformat the 2 MBPS HRM serial bit stream with the following constraints.
a. The probability of not having at least one transition in 64 bits shall be $5.4210108 \times 10^{-20}$ or less
b. The probability of not having 64 transitions in 512 bits shall be $3.945^{+} \times 10^{-71}$ or less
c. The combined failure probability shall be $2.434 \times 10^{-17}$ or less
d. The reformatted data stream shall not increase or decrease the information rate
e. The reformatted data shall be compatible with the existing BCH code
f. The mechanization of the PN cover encoder shall have a minimal impact on the existing system
g. Source *oltage for the PN cover encoder will be provided by a 28 voit input DC to DC converter
$h$. There shall be no increase in $P F$ bandwidths

1. The HRM sync word shall be unaffected by the CSG sequence
j. There shall be no error propagation due to the une of the CSG
k. The recomended 13th order polymomial sequence is $g\left(x 0=1+x^{9}=x^{10}+x^{12}+x^{13}\right.$ which shall be truncated to a 3040 digit data stream
2. The CSG shall pass through any data without an HRM frame sync undisturbed
$m$. The CSG shall ignore any frame sync pulse signal that Indicates a total data rate greater than 2 MBPS
n. Parts for the CSG shall be EEEE.

### 2.1.2 CSG Decoder

The CSG Decoder will reformat the 2 MBPS HRM data streams with the following constraints:
a. The CSG Deocder shall pass data without HRM frame sync (normal or complementary) undisturbed
b. The CSG Decoder shall pass NRZ-S, NRZ-M and Bi- $\phi$ data undisturbed, assuming basic NRZ-L compatible logic levels
c. The CSG Decoder shall have the capability to recognize both normal and complementary HRM frame sync. (If available the CSG Decoder may substitute a frame synchronization pulse from the HRDM in lieu of generating this information within the Decoder itself. The availability of this frame synchronization pulse from the HRDM must be resolved between the contractor and the contracting NASA agency.)
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## A STUDY OF HIGH DENSITY BIT TRANSITION reouirrments versus the effects on bch ERROR CORRECTING CODING

## Work Summary

Period: (November 1, 1980 to November 30, 1980)
A meeting was held at NASA-MSFC on November 10, 1980. Participants were Mr. David Mann (MSFC), Mr. Elilington Pitts (MSFC), Miss Virginia Johnson (MSFC), one representative from MDTSCO; Mr. W. O. Schoggen (MSU) and Dr. F. Ingels (MSU). The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the latest requirements on the system to be implemented for achieving a high density bit transition data stream for the 2 MBPS HRM formatted science data.

The requirements are:
For the Cover Sequence Generator (CSG) Encoder

1. Data input shall be NRZ-L from the HRM formatter. However, the encoder shall pass NRZ-S, NRZ-M or Bi- $\phi$ data streams in unaltered fashion as long as they have proper logic levels (that is logic levels compatible with the HRM NRZ-L data).
2. The encoder shall pass unaltered data streams of 2 MBPS which emanate from the system other than from the HRM formatter. These data streams will not contain a frame synchronization pattern similar to that of the HRM 2 MBPS formatted science data.
3. Only HRM 2 MBPS formatted data will be CSG encoded. If the HRM formatted data is being transmitted at a faster rate it shall not be encoded.

For the Cover Sequence Generator (CSG) Decoder

1. If no HRM frame synchronization ( 2 MBPS data rate) word occurs in the data stream from the bit synchronizer the data shall be passed on unaltered.
2. For HRM 2 MBPS formatted science data with proper frame synchronization word the data output shall be non-inverted in phase and the cover sequence removed.
3. The decoder shall pass NRZ-M, NRZ-S, or Bi- $\phi$ data without alteration. It is assumed that these data streans will have compatible logic levels with the HRM 2 MBPS formatted science data stream.

The CSG Encoder block diagram is illustrated in Figure $\mathbf{C}+1$." The CSG Decoder block diagram is illustrated in Figure C. 2.

In Figure 2 the Timing Gate and the Time Coincidence Gate serve the purpnse of determining that the clock and frame cync are indeed a 2 MBPS rate rather than a higher rate. The hold portion of the Time Coincidence Gate is to activate the cover sequence generator for a major portion of a frame.

After recognition of a frame synchronization word the 4ID bits of the frame synchronization pattern are encoded to provide a 4 bit pattern for the decoder to use for determining that the cover sequence has actually been added to the data stream.

The design is fail safe in that the HRM 2 MBPS formatted data will pass through unaltered if the Encoder fails to activate the CSG, however, in this event the data stream is not guaranteed to contain a sufficient bit transition density.

In Figure C. 2 the double frame synchronization word detectors suffice to determine if the data stream enamating from the bit synchronizer is in non-inverted or inverted phase. In the case of the inverted phase the data stream is reinverted automatically. The 4ID bies are cecked for the presence of the cover sequence and if it is present and if the Time Coincidence Gate agrees that the data stream is a 2 MBPS rate then the cover sequence generator is activated.

The 4 Bit Delay is necessary for inspection of the 4ID bits prior to activation of the cover sequence generator.
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[^0]:    Principal Investigator: Frank M. Ingels
    Contract Number: NAS8-33887

[^1]:    3.A. 2 Delay Modulation

    Delay Modulation (DM) is a procedure for encoding binary data into rectangular waveforms of two levels according to the following rules for $D M-M$;

[^2]:    * $A$ sequence has period ' $s$ ' if it is the smallest period in the sequence.

[^3]:    ${ }^{*} p^{m}-1$ is the period of the maximal length sequence generated by BSS in the absence of an input.

