

Technical Report  
To  
NASA  
Patterns Of Residual Stresses Due To  
Welding  
BY  
DR. B. H. Botros,  
P.E., C. Mfg. E.

(NASA-CR-169913) PATTERNS OF RESIDUAL  
STRESSES DUE TO WELDING (North Carolina  
Agricultural and Technical) 330 p  
HC A15/MF A01

N83-19123

CSCI 20K

Unclas

G3/39


02776

Final Inventory of Residual Equipment and Property

Subject: - NASA Research Grant NSG 1516 - Patterns of Residual Stresses  
Within Complex Welded Metallic Structures

Principal Investigator: - Dr. B. M. Botros - Professor, Mechanical Engineering

<u>Item Number</u>	<u>Date Purchased</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Original Price</u>
1	April 25, 1978	BLH Portable Digital strain indicator - Solid State Model 1200	<hr/>





TELEPHONE 379-7995  
379-7996

NORTH CAROLINA AGRICULTURAL AND TECHNICAL  
STATE UNIVERSITY  
GREENSBORO 27411

OFFICE OF THE  
DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH

February 3, 1983

NASA Scientific and Technical  
Information Facility  
Post Office Box 8757  
Baltimore and Washington International Airport, MD 21240

Dear Sir:

Re: NASA Research Grant NSG 1516 - Patterns of Residual Stresses  
Within Complex Welded Metallic Structures

Enclosed you will find two (2) copies of the final technical report for  
the subject grant under the direction of Dr. B. M. Botros.

Kindly contact me if I can be of further assistance in this regard.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Marvin H. Watkins".

Marvin H. Watkins, Acting Director  
Research Administration

MHW:b

Enclosures (2)

cc: Dr. B. M. Botros

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TECHNICAL REPORT  
TO THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

SUBJECT:- NASA RESEARCH GRANT NSG-1516

PATTERNS OF RESIDUAL STRESSES WITHIN COMPLEX WELDED METALLIC  
STRUCTURES

BY

B. M. BOTROS, Ph.D., P.E.

January 19, 1983

## INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

Residual stresses caused by welding result from the nonuniform rate of cooling and the restrained thermal contraction or non-uniform plastic deformation.

From the zone of extremely high temperature at the weld, heat flows into both the adjoining cool body and the surrounding atmosphere. The weld metal solidifies under very rapid cooling. The plasticity of the hot metal allows adjustment initially, but as the structure cools the rigidity of the surrounding cold metal inhibits further contraction. The zone is compressed and the weld is put under tensile stresses of high magnitude(1). The danger of cracking in these structural elements is great(2).

Change in specific volume is caused by the change in temperature. The following simple analysis helps to visualize the action of temperature change in a confined body on stress operation(3):

A reduction of the temperature ( $t$ ) of a confined body by ( $\Delta t$ ) would decrease the body's original length ( $\ell$ ) by ( $\Delta \ell$ ). To restore the original length, a tensile stress ( $\sigma$ ) must be applied.

Hooke's law gives  $\frac{\sigma}{E} = \frac{\Delta \ell}{\ell}$ , and the expression for temperature expansion is  $\alpha \cdot \Delta t = \frac{\Delta \ell}{\ell}$ . By substitution therefore,

$\frac{\sigma}{E} = \alpha \cdot \Delta t$ , where  $\alpha$  is the temperature expansion coefficient, and  $E$  is the body's modulus of elasticity.

Assuming  $E = 30 \times 10^6$  psi for steel and  $\alpha = 6.3 \times 10^{-6}$  per  $^{\circ}\text{F}$ , the temperature reduction necessary to reach the yield point of about 30,000 psi is:

$$\Delta t = \frac{\sigma}{E} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{30 \times 10^3}{30 \times 10^6} \cdot \frac{10^6}{6.3} \approx 160 \text{ } ^{\circ}\text{F}$$

This indicates how a relatively small temperature change in a confined body can give rise to an intense stress, and of course stresses will result from any temperature gradient regardless of whether the body is confined or not.

In the case of large and complex structural frames, residual stresses will definitely be high because of the confinement of the individual members. Also the proportions of components have significant effect on the stresses.

As a result of the highly localized heat input, followed by the rapid cooling, specific effects are introduced into the regions adjacent to the weld. The sudden variation in temperatures between the weld zone and adjacent parts, Figs.1 & 2, can cause non-uniformity in grain structure, and the formation of hard Martensite or Bainite, Figs.3, 4 & 5, which are brittle and; with the interplay of thermal stresses, contribute to cracking under external factors. The sharpness of the variation in the microstructure can be reduced by preheating the basic metal adjacent to the weld, to about 400°F just prior to welding. This preheating produces more gradual change in the microstructure and thereby eliminate the metallurgical stress-raiser.

In many projects where stiffness and dimensional stability are essential, the removal or at least a significant reduction of such stresses is necessary.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




Figure 1





Figure 2




ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Oxy-Acetylene Welded Mild Steel Plate (0.2%C)



Macrostructure - Section Of Weld 5X

Coarse grains in the heat affected zones (in excess of 1000°C)  
Excessive austenite grain growth near the fusion boundary



Microstructure  
Parent Material  
Ferrite + Pearlite

500 X




Microstructure  
Heat Affected Zone  
Upper Bainite (Cementite  
Separated by wide bands  
of ferrite (E))  
500 X

Figure 3

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY**

Manual Metal-Arc Welded Mild Steel (0.2%C)



Macrostructure - Section Of Weld 5 X  
Less extensive heat-affected zone compared to Oxy-Acetylene  
Welding material subjected to high temperatures for very long.  
Small region of coarse grains

Heat affected Zone 500 X  
The large coarsened grains (G)  
probably troostite or lower  
bainite, are surrounded in  
the boundaries by bands of  
proeutectoid ferrite (H), on  
which upper bainite (J) has  
nucleated.



Figure 4

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Metal-Arc Fillet Welds In "40" Carbon Steel (0.41%C)



Heat affected zone near the fusion boundary. Martensitic structure with small islands of dark etching constituent in the prior austenite grain boundaries.

The right figure shows a part in greater detail.

Light acicular structure of martensite within the body of the grain - Dark etching pools in the grain boundaries may be troostite or very fine pearlite.

Figure 5

Some of the patterns of residual stresses are understandable, especially when a metallic solid is exposed to a homogeneous treatment. Various theories that depend upon layer removal (4-6) have been developed to analyse uniform stress distributions.

The decision was made to release the embeded residual stresses by removing successive layers off the metallic surface using diluted nitric acid solution or caustic soda solution. Although such a technique is time consuming, yet it is preferred to the mechanical methods where excess heat and vibrations can affect the pattern of the existing residual stresses.

Fusion welding is generally used because it is rigid and simple, as compared to rivetting or bolting techniques. In fusion welding tensile stresses of high magnitude are always developed within or near a weld(7) and the danger of cracking in these structural elements is great.

Thermal treatment is currently applied to relieve such stresses. The technique of inducing mechanical vibrations, through a variable speed eccentric motor, has been tried with simple metallic shapes to reduce or eliminate residual stresses developed by welding(8-10). The effect of such a technique on complex structures, however, is not clear and needs investigation. One major project affected by fusion welding is the Lawrence Livermore Laboratory's Shiva project in California(11).

THEORY

Stablein,<sup>(12)</sup> Davidenkow and Shevadin,<sup>(13)</sup> Letner,<sup>(14)</sup> and Frisch and Thomsen,<sup>(15)</sup> all tried to deduce mathematical equations for predicting residual stresses in bar specimens, applying the deflection technique. The most suitable analysis is the one developed by Frisch and Thomsen. If a straight metallic bar of rectangular cross section is subjected to such loads that stresses are induced in one of its surface, the stressed surface of the unrestrained bar will become curved upon release of the load. Furthermore, if the induced stresses are tension stresses and are constant at any particular depth in the stressed layer, the bar will assume a concave curvature of radius R,

The analysis deals with longitudinal stresses only, and it has been assumed that the effects of possible transverse stresses, if present, are negligible.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

$$\sigma_n = \frac{E}{3L^2} \left( \frac{H^2}{1 + \frac{\Delta h_1}{H - \Delta h_1}} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta h} \right)_1 \quad \text{-----} \quad 1$$

Where:

$\sigma_n$  = Original stress in the infinitesimal layer  $\Delta h_n$  which is an arbitrary infinitesimal layers of thickness  $\Delta h$  below the surface of the original bar

E = Modulus of elasticity

2L = Central portion of the bar between supports

H = Initial depth or thickness of test specimen

$\Delta h_1$  = First layer to be removed

$\Delta f$  = The change in deflection after the first layer is removed

Successive layers of thickness  $\Delta h$  are to be removed from the bar until  $h_s$  is completely removed. From the observed changes in deflection of the bar, it is possible to reconstruct the original stress distribution in the stressed layer h

The above relationship can be simplified to give

$$\sigma = K L^{-2} H^2 \left( \frac{df}{dh} \right) \text{-----} 2$$

Where:

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY**

$K = \frac{4}{3} E = A$  constant for anyone material

$\frac{df}{dh}$  = The slope of deflection as a function of the depth of the layer

Also it may be written in a logarithmic form while replacing  $\sigma$  by  $\eta$ , the true response of the residual stress (psi)

$$\eta = B_0 + B_1 X_1 + B_2 X_2 + B_3 X_3 \text{-----} 3$$

or

$$Y = b_0 + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + b_3 X_3 + \epsilon \text{-----} 4$$

Where:

$B_0, B_1, B_2, \& B_3$  = Parameters

$b_0, b_1, b_2, \& b_3$  = Estimates of the parameters

$\epsilon$  = Experimental error

$X_1, X_2, \text{ and } X_3$  = Logarithmic transformation of  $L^2, H^2$  and  $\frac{df}{dh}$

$Y$  = The observed residual stress on the logarithmic scale

The stress in the first layer can be obtained by obtaining the slope of the deflection versus depth-of-layer curve at the surface and letting  $\Delta h \rightarrow 0$ , Thus


$$\sigma_1 = \frac{E}{3} \frac{H^2}{L^2} \left( \frac{df}{dh} \right)_{\text{surface}} \text{-----} 5$$

Where:


$\left( \frac{df}{dh} \right)_{\text{surface}}$  = Slope of deflection as a function of depth of layer at  $h=0$ , or surface of bar

Revealing the pattern of the residual stress distribution for each of the geometrical shapes under consideration will help to develop a new set of analytical formulas to represent such stress patterns as found in complex frame structures by welding.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



Configuration Of An Initially Straight Bar



Location Of Layers Below Surface Of Bar Containing  
Residual Stresses.






Figure 7

Assume the body of a bar is formed of infinitesimal layers of thickness  $\Delta h$  each.

Let  $\sigma_n^i$  be the stress generated by welding in a layer (n) below the original surface of the bar and of thickness  $\Delta h_n$ .

Following the removal of all layers above  $\Delta h_n$ , the original stress  $\sigma_n^i$  in that layer will be changed to  $\sigma_n$ .

This change is caused by the redistribution of the stresses following the removal of (n-1) layers above the layer (n) and in order to restore the balance of stresses across the bar section.

In order to visualize the effect of the removal of any specific layer,  $\Delta h_m$  at depth  $h_m$ , upon the stress  $\sigma_n$  in the layer  $\Delta h_n$ :-

Let  $\sigma_m$  be the stress in the layer  $\Delta h_m$  at the time this layer is removed.

The removal of the layer  $\Delta h_m$  causes two changes upon the stress  $\sigma_n$ :-

- 1- Inducing a stress  $\sigma_{nA}$  because of the removal of the axial force in the layer  $\Delta h_m$
- 2- Inducing a stress  $\sigma_{nB}$  because of the removal of the bending moment effect of the force removed in the layer  $\Delta h_m$

Therefore, the original stress  $\sigma_n^i$  in the layer  $\Delta h_n$  caused by the welding is composed of:-  $\sigma_n$ , which is the stress in that layer at the time of its removal +  $\sum_{0}^{n-1} (\sigma_{nA} + \sigma_{nB})$  which is the total stress induced in that layer  $\Delta h_n^0$  because of the removal of all previous stressed layers.

$$\therefore \sigma_n = \sigma_{nR} + \sum_0^{n-1} (\sigma_{nA} + \sigma_{nB}) \quad \text{----- (6)}$$

If  $F_m$  = The axial force in the layer removed, it balances the remaining axial force  $F_s$

$$F_s = - F_m$$

Assuming the width of the bar = b

$$\therefore F_m = \sigma_m \times \text{cross sectional area of the layer} \\ = \sigma_m \cdot \Delta h_m \cdot b \quad \text{----- (7)}$$

The remaining axial force  $F_s$  is worked from the balancing axial stress  $\sigma_{nA}$  appearing at layer n.

$$\therefore F_s = \sigma_{nA} \cdot (H - h_m) \cdot b \quad \text{-----} \quad (8)$$

$$\therefore \underline{\underline{\sigma_{nA}}} = \frac{F_s}{(H-h_m) \cdot b} = - \frac{F_m}{(H-h_m) \cdot b} = - \sigma_m \cdot \frac{\Delta h_m}{H-h_m} \quad \text{-----} \quad (9)$$

The second reaction is the bending reaction

$$\sigma_{nB} = - \frac{M_s \cdot C_n}{I_s} \quad \text{-----} \quad (10)$$

Where:-

$$I_s = \frac{b(H-h_m)^3}{12} \quad \text{-----} \quad (11a)$$

$$C_n = \text{The distance of the layer n from the N.A. of the remaining section}$$

$$= \frac{H-2h_n+h_m+\Delta h_n}{2} \quad \text{-----} \quad (11b)$$

$$M_s = \text{The bending moment in section remaining}$$

$$= F_m \cdot \text{Its arm from the N.A.}$$

$$= F_m \cdot \frac{H-h_m+\Delta h_m}{2} \quad \text{-----} \quad (12)$$

Substituting (11a), (11b) and (12) in (10)

$$\therefore \sigma_{nB} = \frac{-F_m \cdot \frac{H-h_m+\Delta h_m}{2} \cdot \frac{H-2h_n+h_m+\Delta h_n}{2}}{\frac{b(H-h_m)^3}{12}} \quad \text{-----}$$

$$= \frac{-3F_m (H-h_m+\Delta h_m) (H-2h_n+h_m+\Delta h_n)}{b(H-h_m)^3} \quad \text{-----} \quad (13a)$$

And substituting for  $F_m$  from equation (7)

$$\therefore \underline{\underline{\sigma_{nB}}} = \frac{-3\sigma_m \cdot \Delta h_m (H-h_m + \Delta h_m) (H-2h_n + h_m + \Delta h_n)}{(H-h_m)^3} \quad \text{-----} \quad (13b)$$

Stress at the outer fiber

$$\sigma_o = E \cdot \epsilon_o \quad \text{-----} \quad (14)$$

Where

E = Modulus of Elasticity

$\epsilon_o$  = Strain measured using electric strain gages

$\therefore$  The total change at layer n, due to the removal of  $\Delta h_m$

$$\sigma_{n1} = \sigma_{nA} + \sigma_{nB} \quad \text{-----} \quad (15)$$

From equations (9) and (13b)

$$\therefore \sigma_{n1} = - \frac{\sigma_m \cdot \Delta h_m}{H - h_m} - \frac{3\sigma_m \cdot \Delta h_m (H-h_m + \Delta h_m) (H-2h_n + h_m + \Delta h_n)}{(H - h_m)^3} \quad (16a)$$

$$\text{Or } \underline{\underline{\sigma_m}} = - \frac{\sigma_{n1}}{\frac{\Delta h_m}{H - h_m} + \frac{3\Delta h_m (H-h_m + \Delta h_m) (H-2h_n + h_m + \Delta h_n)}{(H - h_m)^3}} \quad \text{-----} \quad (16b)$$

And for the outer fiber

$$\sigma_{n1} = \sigma_o, \quad h_n = H \quad \text{And} \quad \Delta h_n = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \sigma_o &= - \frac{\sigma_m \cdot \Delta h_m}{H - h_m} - \frac{3\sigma_m \cdot \Delta h_m (H-h_m + \Delta h_m) (-H+h_m)}{(H - h_m)^3} \\ &= - \frac{\sigma_m \cdot \Delta h_m}{H - h_m} + \frac{3\sigma_m \cdot \Delta h_m (H-h_m + \Delta h_m)}{(H - h_m)^2} \quad \text{-----} \quad (17a) \end{aligned}$$

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

$$\text{Or } \sigma_m = - \frac{\frac{\Delta h_m}{H-h_m} - \frac{3 \Delta h_m (H-h_m + \Delta h_m)}{(H-h_m)^2} \sigma_o}{\dots} \quad (17b)$$

$$= - \frac{E \cdot \epsilon_o}{\frac{\Delta h_m}{H-h_m} - \frac{3 \Delta h_m (H-h_m + \Delta h_m)}{(H-h_m)^2}} \quad (17c)$$

From equations (16b) & (17b)

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \sigma_{n1} &= \frac{\frac{\Delta h_m}{H-h_m} - \frac{3 \Delta h_m (H-h_m + \Delta h_m)}{(H-h_m)^2} \sigma_o}{\dots} \times \\ &\times \left[ \frac{\Delta h_m}{H-h_m} + \frac{3 \Delta h_m (H-h_m + \Delta h_m) (H-2h_n + h_m + \Delta h_n)}{(H-h_m)^3} \right] \\ &= \frac{\sigma_o (H-h_m)^2}{\Delta h_m (H-h_m) - 3 \Delta h_m (H-h_m + \Delta h_m)} \times \\ &\times \frac{\Delta h_m (H-h_m)^2 + 3 \Delta h_m (H-h_m + \Delta h_m) (H-2h_n + h_m + \Delta h_n)}{(H-h_m)^3} \\ \therefore \sigma_{n1} &= \sigma_o \cdot \left[ \frac{(H-h_m)^2 + 3(H-h_m + \Delta h_m)(H-2h_n + h_m + \Delta h_n)}{(H-h_m)^2 - 3(H-h_m + \Delta h_m)(H-h_m)} \right] \quad (18a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{And } \sigma_{n1} = E \cdot \epsilon_o \cdot \left[ \frac{(H-h_m)^2 + 3(H-h_m + \Delta h_m)(H-2h_n + h_m + \Delta h_n)}{(H-h_m)^2 - 3(H-h_m + \Delta h_m)(H-h_m)} \right] \quad (18b)$$

This equation can be put in the form

$$\sigma_{n1} = E \cdot \epsilon_o \cdot \frac{A^2 + 3BC}{A^2 - 3BA}$$

Where

$$A = \underline{H - h_m}$$

$$B = H - h_m + \Delta h_m = \underline{A + \Delta h_m}$$

$$C = H - 2h_n + h_m + \Delta h_n = \underline{A + 2(h_m - h_n) + \Delta h_n}$$

Since we need to know the change in stress at each level for each layer removed,  $\Delta \epsilon_o$  and  $\Delta \sigma_{n1}$  are introduced as the change in strain at the outermost fiber and the change in the stress at level n respectively

From equation (18b)

$$\therefore \sigma_{n1} = E \cdot \Delta \epsilon_o \left[ \frac{(H-h_m)^2 + 3(H-h_m+\Delta h_m)(H-2h_n+\Delta h_n+h_m)}{(H-h_m)^2 - 3(H-h_m+\Delta h_m)(H-h_m)} \right] \quad (19)$$

Procedure:-

- 1- Remove a layer by etching
- 2- Calculate the stress in that layer using equation (17c) ;  
It will be the residual stress in that first layer
- 3- When removing each subsequent layer, the stress in each layer is the sum of the residual stress in the layer plus the change in the stress due to the removal of the previous layers.

Equation to be used is equivalent to equation (6)

$$\text{i.e., } \sigma_n = \sigma_{nR} + \sum_1^{n-1} \Delta \sigma_{n1} \quad \text{-----} \quad (20a)$$

$$\text{Or } \sigma_{nR} = \sigma_n - \sum_1^{n-1} \Delta \sigma_{n1} \quad \text{-----} \quad (20b)$$

This means that equation (19) is used to find the changes in stress at any level. Sum them. And upon the removal of layer n, equation (20b) is used to find the residual stress in the nth. layer.

Example

$$H = 0.2505 \text{ inch}$$

$$\text{First layer removed } \Delta h_m = 0.0006 \quad h_m = 0.0006 \text{ inch}$$

$$\Delta h_m = \Delta h_n \quad h_n = h_m$$

$$\epsilon_o = 0.0000 = 4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in/in} \quad E = 29 \times 10^6 \text{ psi}$$

From equation (12c)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_m &= - \frac{29 \times 10^6 \times 4 \times 10^{-6}}{0.0006} - \frac{3 \times 0.0006(0.2505 - 0.0006 + 0.0006)}{(0.2505 - 0.0006)(0.2505 - 0.0006)^2} \\ &= - \frac{116 \times 0.062}{0.0005} = + 24,000 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Since this is the first layer, this is the residual stress in that layer.

For the change in stress in the next layer

$$\Delta h_n = 0.0059$$

$$h_n = 0.0059 + 0.0006 = 0.0065$$

From equation (14)

$$\Delta \sigma_{n1} = 29 \times 10^6 \times 4 \times 10^{-6} \left[ \frac{0.2499^2 + 3 \times 0.2505 \times 0.2440}{0.2499^2 - 3 \times 0.2505 \times 0.2499} \right]$$

$$\therefore \Delta \sigma_{n1} = 29 \times 4 \times \frac{0.062 + 0.183}{0.062 - 0.188} = -226 \text{ psi}$$

Second layer removed:-

$$\Delta h_m = 0.0059, \quad h_m = 0.0065, \quad \epsilon_o = 1 \times 10^{-6}$$

From equation (12c)

$$\sigma_n = \frac{29 \times 10^6 \times 1 \times 10^{-6}}{\frac{0.0059}{0.2440} - \frac{3 \times 0.0059 \times 0.2499}{(0.2440)^2}}$$

$$= \frac{1.74}{0.003} = 580$$

And from equation (20b)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{nR} &= 580 - (-226) \\ &= 806 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

which will be the residual stress in this second (nth) layer.



The basis for the calculations of welding heat originated in the work of Roberts And Rosenthal (16,17). Various assumptions were necessary in the derivation of the mathematical formulae, including the possible radiation and convection losses(18). Heat is lost by conduction into the adjacent parts of the metallic bars; in addition, the bars lose heat from its surfaces by convection and radiation, which was proved previously to be negligible(19). Radiation loss can be calculated from "Stefans Law" to be about 1.8 cal/square centemeter or 7.5 watts/square centemeter, if the temperature is 800°C. If the remainder of the surface reaches 200°C, the radiation loss will be 0.3 w/sq.cm. Convection loss will be of the same order(20).

In a theoretical analysis it is necessary to know accurately the amount of heat reaching the work-piece. Efficiency with Vee-Groove and a good arc may reach 90%, while it may drop to 30% with simple melt-run on a flat plate with poor flames.

In their mathematical analyses the above authors aimed at throwing some light on the effect of the material characteristics and welding conditions on the temperature distribution, but it is not intended to supplant experimental measurements, they related heat input to  $vd/4\alpha$

Where  $v$  = welding velocity

$d$  = fused width

$\alpha$  = theoretical diffusivity of the parent material

Example:

	$\alpha$ e.g.s units	arc-volt	thermal conductivity
Mild steel	0.082	30.4	$\sim$ 0.10
Aluminum Alloy	0.88	25	$\sim$ 0.45

If  $vd/4\alpha$  is  $>1$ , the heating is efficient with not much losses

Also  $Q = 8kT_m(1/5 + 4d/4\alpha)$

Where  $Q$  = rate of heat input to plate (cal/sec/cm)

$k$  = thermal conductivity

PROJECT DESIGN

### PROJECT DESIGN

The suggested investigation will cover structural steels, Type ASTM A36, with flat and W-cross sectional shape, up to 1/4" thick. Reinforced box geometric structures will be built using the semi automatic solid wire arc welding technique, to conform to AWS D1.0 standards, (21) using Hobart wire #28, AWS E70s-6, size 0.035 as filler metal (Consumable wire AWS A5-18 for mild steel electrodes) with maximum current of 100/225 amps and maximum voltage of 19/28 volts. Edges will be brush free of mill scale, rust and other foreign matter. The base metal will be dry and free of all oils, paints and foreign matter. Temperatures will be checked by the use of temperature indicating crayons.

All joints will be of the Groove-fillet type. The filler metal will eliminate possible variation in the coefficient of linear expansion of the parts and prevent complications in the stress analysis.

The geometry of the structure will be studied closely. Marks will be made at certain distances around the welded zones and accurate measurements will be taken.

Electric strain gages will be fixed on the metallic surfaces, protected by suitable adhesives against the acid treatment which is to be used to remove successive layers for releasing residual stresses.

Several frames of the same structural shape are to be prepared and used for the various phases of the study that will include:

- (a) Comparison of the original and welded shape and dimensions
- (b) Sectioning and releasing of the residual stresses by layer removal with diluted nitric acid solution, a technique that has been developed by Waisman and Phillips.(5) This layer removal method is most useful in determining the residual stresses in flat plates or beams in which the stress varies with the thickness, particularly if the stress gradient is sharp. This method consists of removing thin layers in the region of interest and observing the dimensional changes in the part from which the layers were removed. The average stress in each layer can be calculated and a plot of the residual stress distribution obtained. The same chemical etching technique has proved suitable and effective for other shapes including the cylindrical, solid and hollow geometries.(22-27)
- (c) Exposure to vibrational conditioning as a stress relief technique, by attaching a stress relief vibrator to a central area of the frame, in a working cycle of fifteen minutes.

Dasgupta, Baker and Weiss(28) have studied the vibrational technique to relieve residual stresses. They learned that dynamic surface stresses are induced in or near a weld.

The basic stress relieving method employed will be that is referred to by The Stress Relief Engineering Co. of Costa Mesa, California and The Rigging International Of Oakland, California, as The Formula 62 System.

The procedure recommended is to relieve stress immediately after the frame is completely welded by placing it on level rubber pads and running vibration thru its 15-minute automatic mode. The technique is a non-thermal method of relieving the residual stresses in metals or metal weldments without distorting or changing the tensile strength, fatigue, or yield point of the work piece. It is necessary to prove that the vibrational technique is reproducible and capable of being monitored and effective at all critical locations within a part being so processed.

In the thermal stress relieving process, energy in the form of heat is introduced into the workpiece to reduce stresses. In the mechanical process energy is introduced into the workpiece by means of vibrations in a low frequency range of 0 to 100 cps, which will generate enough dynamic stresses to interact with the high intensity surface tensile residual stresses, and at the same time eliminate the side effect of vibrations using up a large fraction of the fatigue life of the part being processed. It is important for this investigation to establish relationships among stresses, forces, amplitudes and accelerations developed during vibration.

Relationships between force and deflection characteristics should also be experimentally investigated.

An accelerometer can be used to monitor acceleration of a vibrating part. Electric strain gages can be used to measure about half the yield strength of the material as an indication of the level of vibrations to be applied for stress relief.

The resistance strain gage can be used in conjunction with the accelerometers to characterize the vibrational act for complex shapes and to monitor the vibrational stress relief operation. It may also

be helpful in indicating vibrational stress relief when oriented parallel to the direction of maximum residual stresses.

Combining measurement and analysis to characterize the vibrational behavior of a given shape, and monitoring the vibrational stress relief treatment, requires a spectrum analyser. Such an instrument exists at N. C. Agricultural and Technical University, similar to the more expensive Fourier Analyzer, except that it needs continuous vibrational source applied to the structure. The accelerometers and strain gages are affixed to the part, which is then subjected to a continuous vibration. Signals are processed by the analyser and the modes associated with the natural frequency, characteristic of the part, are determined and displayed in animated isometric form on a cathode ray tube and photographed.

The frames, vibrationally conditioned, will be checked for stress relief in two ways:

- (a) Tolerances, squareness and parallelism will be measured and compared with a similar frame untreated by vibrations.
  - (b) A full stress investigation by sectioning and layer removal will be made as explained before.
-

PART ONE




Work on the project started in April, 1978 and has continued for six months. The first two and one half months were spent purchasing equipment, strain gages, accessories and steel bars. A stand for etching the metallic bars and a tray for holding the acid solution were designed and built of plexyglass sheets, 3/8 inch thickness, cemented together by ethelyne dichloride, Fig. 8

Carolina Steel Co. of Greensboro, N.C. through Mr. Robert Sherman, Vice President for Engineering has encouraged the project, showing support by offering to cut, weld and recut the steel and boxes free of charge when I purchased the required steel from their company.

Electric strain gages were supplied by the BLH Co. They are designated SR-4, FAE-50-35 SX (350 Ohm) with a length of 0.500 inch (Gage Factor 2.07) and 0.250 inch (2.04). The gages are encapsulated with a 0.001 inch polyimide film that provides protection for the sensing element during insulation handling. The film also promotes better long term stability as the foil grid is protected from air borne contaminants or fingerprints.

The gages are self temperature compensated; They automatically cancel unwanted changes in gage resistance, due to temperature, so that only stress produced strains are measured. The degree and accuracy of self temperature compensation is defined in terms of a chord slope temperature coefficient; apparent strain is (U in/in) per degree F temperature change over a specific temperature range on a material having a specific coefficient of expansion over the same range.



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG 8 PLEXIGLASS SUPPORT  
For Etching Steel Bars

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY.



Recommended temperature range of these gages is -100 to 400 °F. The gages are made of constantan on polyimide carrier. They were provided with nickel-clad copper-ribbon leads soldered to the gage tabs (one inch long) with 425 °F tin-silver solder.

To prepare the metallic surface at the spots where the gages are to be fixed, the steel is smoothed with a sanding disc fixed to a portable rotor. The surface is cleaned with Methyl Ethyl Keton. The surface is next roughened with sand paper and re-cleaned with MEK.

A layer of EPY-500 adhesive is used to adhere the gages to the metallic surface. The adhesive must be cured at about 170 °F for 24 hours using electric heaters under slight pressure of about 20 psi, using pads and wooden blocks wrapped with string. This type of adhesive can stand a strain of about 5% at room temperature. It has an excellent moisture and chemical resistance and is useful over a temperature range of -450 to 600 °F. When the wrapping is removed, the gages are checked for adhesion. A gage tester, is used to check on gage adhesion and insulation. Readings should show less than 1/10 % deviation from a resistance of 350 Ohm, which shows that the gage is within the range that the bridge can balance. Lead wires with polyvinyl chloride tephlon are next soldered in place. Nylon plastic tape is used to isolate the wire ends off the metallic surface.

A barrier D which is a two component semi-rigid epoxy system, is spread on the gages to protect them against water and chemicals. It has a temperature range of  $-100$  to  $225^{\circ}\text{F}$ . It has to be cured at a temperature of about  $150^{\circ}\text{F}$  for one hour using the electric heaters. The layer is thin in order to not stiffen the gage.

The recording equipment is composed of a portable BLH digital strain indicator, model 1200A solid state, and a switching and balancing unit model 1225 that can provide up to ten input channels. The recorder has an accurate setting of gage factor of 0.001 and a four-way display of  $\pm 10,000$   $\mu$  inch per inch.

Before etching takes place, the gages are connected to the strain indicator through the switching and balancing unit. The gage factor is adjusted and the indicator is balanced for each gage. To compensate for the temperature effect, three leading wires are soldered; two to one side and the third to the other side of the gage leads, Fig. 9

Structural A36 steel bars, hot rolled, 6 inch wide by  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch thick are sawed to a length of 3 feet each. All cutting is done by means of a saw. No flame cutting is used. This eliminates any heating other than the welding itself.

One bar is tested as received in order to investigate any possible residual stresses while forming. The analysis showed negligible residual stresses in that bar.

Square boxes, 3 feet by 3 feet, Fig.10 were formed by welding the hot rolled bars together either by continuous real

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

STANDARD STRAIN GAGE CONNECTIONS




FIG. 9




FIG-10

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

intershield welding technique using 26 volts, 275 amps., 3/32 inch bare wire and powder flux, or by an AC welder using 225 amp., 5/32 inch rod. Such shapes simulate some of the conditions in built-up structures.

The sides of the box used are sufficiently long to obtain a uniform state of stress distribution. Strain gages are fixed along the bar and simultaneous measurements are taken after each etch. One gage is fixed across each bar.

Future studies will cover thicknesses up to one inch and the width of plates up to 15 inch. Such study will reveal any plate distortion that may take place beside the actual residual patterns generated in such plates.

At present a set of tests is being performed on 4 inch bars welded together in various ways to form square boxes and then sawed and analyzed as has been done before.

A third group of tests is planned to weld unequal sections together in order to study the effect of various widths when welded together and if such a structure may develop concentrated residual stresses.

Sectioning and releasing residual stresses by means of layer removal, was performed using nitric acid solution of 30% concentration. This technique has been developed and proved to be most useful in determining the residual stresses in flat plates as well as many other sectional forms. (5,22,25)



Etching precautions include wearing respirators for toxic fumes and gas, rubber gloves and rubberized apron on top of lab coat. The stand and the acid tray are supported on a table under a hood with an outlet fan running continuously.

Nylon scrub brushes and paint brushes are used to continuously brush the metallic surface with the acid solution; because of the inclination of the supported bar the residue drops into the acid tray.

The bar is etched. After 24 hours measurements of strains and thicknesses are taken, to be followed by a second etching and so on.

Magnetic surface temperature thermometers are fixed to the back side of the bar while etching. No excess heat is registered (170 to 180°F).

3 inch and 6 inch deep throat micrometers are used to measure the bar thickness at various locations.

The average stress in each layer is calculated using the theory explained and presented in this report, together with a developed computer program, a copy of which is enclosed.

Results of the residual stress distributions in the bars cut from the welded straight and box shapes are shown in Figs. 11 to 18, High tensile residual stresses are found at the weld (about 25,000 psi) dropping sharply to compressive stresses in the range of 3,000 to 5,000 psi which spreads for some depth through the bar section. Surface stresses change gradually along each

bar, from maximum tensile of about 25,000 psi to maximum compressive of about the same value at a distance of about 7 to 8 inches away from the weld.

The similarity between the patterns of stresses obtained from the flat welded bars and the box welding indicates that the stress distribution in various shapes can be obtained approximately by superposition. These stresses can, therefore, be considered as the cumulative sum of the thermal stresses set up by welding.

In order to judge the reaction on the strain gage fixed across the bar, other tests are planned with various bar widths and with more than one gage fixed across the span.

Both tests No. 1 & 2 are performed on bars sawed from a set of three bars, 3 feet each, welded together end to end as shown in Fig. 10. Welding is carried out with the #3 AC Jet Welder mentioned before.

Test No.3 is performed on a bar sawed from a square box welded together using the Inter Shield Technique.

Test No.4 is performed on a bar sawed from a square box welded together using #3 AC Jet welder.

With these results and with what may be revealed in the further built-up shapes planned and under study at present it will be possible to estimate the general stress distribution in welded built-up shapes.

This is essential before going through the second phase of

of the study that will deal with shaking the various shapes of built-up shapes, registering the spectrum and analyzing it through a Fourier Analyzer using both a load cell with strain gages, and an accelerometer with a suitable amplifier. It is possible to register such spectrum and then feed it into an analyzer using a suitable computer program. The structure is to be shaken for about 15 minutes at the frequency that shows maximum amplitude, and then will be tested by a complete residual stress analysis to investigate how the welding stresses can be relaxed by the vibrations.


Standard tensile test specimens  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch x  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch are prepared lengthwise and crosswise from one of the bars as received. Strain gages are cemented on the specimens and calibrated against load. Results are as shown in Fig.19 The calibration was carried out on a 10 ton tensile testing machine.

Fatigue testing specimens are prepared from one side of a welded box as near to the weld as possible. These specimens are being tested at the present time. Similar analysis will be carried out on specimens from a box after vibration, in order to investigate how such vibrations may affect the fatigue life of the steel. This is considered as an essential study especially where the steel is to be exposed to dynamic loading in practice.

Definite conclusions cannot be made at this early stage of the study.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

+++ = APPARENT STRAIN IN MICRO-INCHES PER INCH



*A. J. Carollo*  
A. J. Carollo  
Quality Control Department

... = PERCENT CHANGE IN ROOM TEMPERATURE GAGE FACTOR  
COMPUTER PROCESSED DATA


GAGE FAMILY FAB,FAE LOT NUMBER 313-4 SERIAL NUMBER BN  
TEMPERATURE ERROR (APPARENT STRAIN) EQUATION

$$E_{ap} = -148.69 + 3.77T - 2.89 \times 10^{-2} T^2 + 6.78 \times 10^{-5} T^3 - 4.43 \times 10^{-8} T^4$$


1018 STEEL TEST SPECIMEN

ORIGINAL PAGE 8  
OF POOR QUALITY


TEST 1




TEST 2



TEST 3



TEST 4



TEST 1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

STRESS PSI

+20,000

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

GAGE 1

0.005

0.010

0.015

0.020

0.025

0.030

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS PSI

+20,000

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

GAGE 2

0.005

0.010

0.015

0.020

0.025

0.030

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 11




FIG. 12

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67

.TYPE TST1B.RES

2505.000	8	4	2.070000	6.000000	59.00000	47.0000
42.00000			38.00000			
41.00000			33.00000	34.00000	-3.000000	4.000000
2.000000			-4.000000	-5.000000		
1.000000			2.000000	-2.000000	3.000000	-3.000000
3.000000			4.000000	1.000000		
-2.000000			-3.000000	2.000000	3.000000	-2.000000
-2.000000			3.000000	2.000000		
-4.000000			4.000000	0.000000E+00	3.000000	-4.000000
3.000000			2.000000	4.000000		
-5.000000			5.000000	4.000000	2499.000	2440.000
2393.000			2351.000	2313.000		
2272.000			2239.000	2205.000	-18052.73	24070.31
12035.16			-24070.31	-3063.972		
806.1529			1271.085	-1384.825	1705.549	-1875.149
2373.783			2536.131	526.3311		
-1481.678			-1986.038	1483.504	2383.400	-1739.208
-1516.912			2602.368	1538.952		
-3258.616			3216.633	187.5758	2975.954	-4202.783
3198.955			2108.191	3933.228		
-5167.281			5069.873	3969.003		

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



TEST 2

STRESS PST

+20,000

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

GAGE 1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

0.005

0.010

0.015

0.020

0.025

0.030

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS PST

+20,000

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

GAGE 2

0.005

0.010

0.015

0.020

0.025

0.030

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 13





FIG. 14

TYPE TST2B RES

2480.000	, 8, 4,	2.070000	, 10.00000	, 10.00000	, 21.0000
0	, -37.00000	, 13.00000	, ,	, ,	, ,
35.00000	, 24.00000	, 15.00000	, -5.00000	, 2.000000	, ,
6.000000	, 4.000000	, 2.000000	, ,	, ,	, ,
2.000000	, 4.000000	, 1.000000	, 0.000000E+00,	-2.000000	, ,
0.000000E+00,	-2.000000	, -2.000000	, ,	, ,	, ,
-2.000000	, -2.000000	, 0.000000E+00,	2.000000	, 0.000000E+00,	, ,
-3.000000	, -1.000000	, 3.000000	, ,	, ,	, ,
3.000000	, -1.000000	, -2.000000	, 3.000000	, 2.000000	, ,
0.000000E+00,	1.000000	, 2.000000	, ,	, ,	, ,
2.000000	, 0.000000E+00,	0.000000E+00,	2470.000	, 2460.000	, ,
2439.000	, 2402.000	, 2389.000	, ,	, ,	, ,
2354.000	, 2330.000	, 2315.000	, -17799.41	, 7119.763	, ,
21359.29	, -14239.53	, -7379.010	, ,	, ,	, ,
7206.062	, 14527.42	, 3775.979	, -400.4290	, -3096.082	, ,
572.4406	, -3039.326	, 2233.416	, ,	, ,	, ,
-1729.047	, -1277.901	, 166.8236	, 4784.811	, -4.885372	, ,
-7490.035	, -2478.878	, 2482.407	, ,	, ,	, ,
2857.023	, -692.8616	, 1803.351	, 3957.442	, 2938.583	, ,
199.1129	, 1374.969	, 4405.643	, ,	, ,	, ,
4711.044	, 196.4892	, 46.05377	, ,	, ,	, ,

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TEST 3

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

STRESS PSI

+20,000

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

GAGE 1

0.005

0.010

0.015

0.020

0.025

0.030

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS PSI

+20,000

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

GAGE 2

0.005

0.010

0.015


0.020

0.025


0.030

LAYER ETCHED


FIG. 15



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



LAYER ETCHED



LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 16

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

.TYPE TSTSB.RES

2466.000	, 12, 4,	2.070000	, 12.00000	, 9.000000	, 49.000
00	, 30.00000	, 15.00000	,		
44.00000	, 16.00000	, 28.00000	, 29.00000	, 34.00000	,
23.00000	, 28.00000	, -7.000000	,		
3.000000	, 1.000000	, 3.000000	, -2.000000	, 4.000000	,
3.000000	, 7.000000	, -4.000000	,		
5.000000	, 4.000000	, 3.000000	, 0.0000000E+00,	-2.000000	,
1.000000	, 3.000000	, 2.000000	,		
4.000000	, 1.000000	, -1.000000	, 5.000000	, -4.000000	,
-4.000000	, -4.000000	, 3.000000	,		
-2.000000	, -1.000000	, -2.000000	, 1.000000	, -4.000000	,
4.000000	, -2.000000	, 2.000000	,		
-3.000000	, 5.000000	, -2.000000	, 2.000000	, 2.000000	,
5.000000	, -1.000000	, 2.000000	,		
2.000000	, 4.000000	, -2.000000	, 3.000000	, 1.000000	,
6.000000	, 0.0000000E+00,	2454.000	,		
2445.000	, 2396.000	, 2366.000	, 2351.000	, 2307.000	,
2291.000	, 2263.000	, 2234.000	,		
2200.000	, 2177.000	, 2149.000	, -20605.61	, 8830.975	,
2943.658	, 8830.975	, -8238.480	,		
15843.03	, 11810.24	, 27595.64	, -3261.841	, 3837.283	,
2979.187	, 2632.359	, -723.9754	,		
-1573.565	, 1570.523	, 4091.055	, 3788.608	, 9551.240	,
2750.146	, -1365.556	, 3109.478	,		
-2192.396	, -2410.002	, -2144.725	, 5874.111	, -3587.970	,
-1746.584	, -3541.286	, 1036.533	,		
-4202.913	, 4848.556	, -1855.839	, 2136.741	, -3123.253	,
5947.427	, -1868.731	, 1894.520	,		
1823.415	, 5327.703	, -715.3185	, 2875.660	, 2805.097	,
6416.686	, -2561.780	, 3559.478	,		
1306.315	, 7771.501	, 23.34309	,		

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE 13  
OF POOR QUALITY

TEST 4

STRESS PSI

+20,000

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

GAGE 1

0.005

0.010

0.015

0.020

0.025

0.030

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS PSI

+20,000

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

GAGE 2

0.005

0.010

0.015


0.020

0.025

0.030

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 17



STRESS PSI

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

+20,000

GAGE 3

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

STRESS PSI

+20,000

GAGE 4

+10,000

0


-10,000

-20,000

LAYER ETCHED

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 18





TYPE: TAB: RES

2	2494.000	15.74	2.070000	9.000000	17.00000	24.000
5	00	11.00000	17.00000			
7	15.00000	26.00000	14.00000	21.00000	13.00000	
9	35.00000	28.00000	15.00000			
10	27.00000	26.00000	5.000000	4.000000	-7.000000	
11	-8.000000	3.000000	-1.000000			
13	-5.000000	-6.000000	1.000000	2.000000	1.000000	
14	-3.000000	3.000000	-1.000000			
15	-1.000000	0.000000E+00	3.000000	-1.000000	-2.000000	
17	2.000000	1.000000	-3.000000			
18	1.000000	0.000000E+00	2.000000	0.000000E+00	2.000000	
19	1.000000	-1.000000	-3.000000			
20	0.000000E+00	3.000000	-3.000000	0.000000E+00	2.000000	
21	2.000000	0.000000E+00	-1.000000			
22	3.000000	3.000000	1.000000	-3.000000	-2.000000	
23	3.000000	-3.000000	0.000000E+00			
24	-3.000000	0.000000E+00	-2.000000	3.000000	3.000000	
25	3.000000	2.000000	2.000000			
26	0.000000E+00	2.000000	-2.000000	-2.000000	1.000000	
27	-1.000000	2485.000	2468.000			
28	2444.000	2433.000	2416.000	2401.000	2375.000	
29	2361.000	2340.000	2327.000			
30	2292.000	2264.000	2249.000	2222.000	2196.000	
31	19909.89	15927.91	-27873.85			
32	-31855.83	6538.325	-1853.346	-10820.48	-12961.56	
33	1911.144	3080.336	770.9309			
34	-5163.777	10065.08	-2902.558	-3805.012	-961.9011	
35	6794.112	-1816.167	-4749.958			
36	3124.818	3141.504	-6734.905	1519.699	-829.1460	
37	3494.718	-10.56369	1893.875			
38	484.7344	-1432.061	-7281.765	-588.6897	6520.677	
39	-3859.602	-182.4735	2606.525			
40	2618.517	740.7924	-2754.455	7261.075	7272.981	
41	-1657.278	-3019.719	-2138.534			
42	-2514.649	-2682.884	-400.5633	3063.823	-93.66317	
43	-3717.590	6062.629	6245.516			
44	6365.538	2808.190	2126.145	-37.08179	2425.155	
45	-1837.317	-2506.464	1167.367			
46	-1009.051					

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY


57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

40 STRAIN GAGE CALIBRATION  
Average from 4 test specimens  
(Accuracy Within 5%)  
35 Along And Across The Bars.

STRESS PSI x 10<sup>3</sup>

30  
25  
20  
15  
10  
5  
0



200 400 600 800 1000 1200

STRAIN GAGE READING

FIG. 19

The objective of the first phase of the program is to determine experimentally the magnitude and distribution of stresses lengthwise and across the thickness of each member. The use of electric strain gages eliminates the need for straightening steel members following the welding.

1:3 by volume diluted nitric acid solution is used to etch successive layers off surfaces opposite the gages. The rate of metal removal is 2 to 3 mills/15 minutes. Dimensions and strains are measured after a period of 24 hours following each etching process. This arrangement permits measurement under stabilized conditions.

The stresses are next calculated using the theoretical approach that we developed

6 inch and 4 inch wide by  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch thickness hot rolled steel bars are used in the first and second phases of the study. The bars are cut to a length of 3 feet by means of a saw. No flame cutting is used, eliminating any heating other than that from the welding itself.

Bars are also tested as received to investigate any possible residual stresses from the milling. The study showed negligible stresses in such bars.

Square boxes 3 ft x 3 ft are formed by welding which simulates some of the conditions in built-up structures.

Future investigations will study multi-pass welding, weld widths up to 15 inches, and unequal section welds to determine the effect of such connections on concentrated residual stresses.

Current results indicate high tensile stresses at the weld of about 25,000 psi, dropping sharply across the bar thickness to compressive stresses of about 3,000 to 5,000 psi. Surface stresses showed more gradual changes along each bar, from the maximum tensile of 25,000 psi to maximum compression of about the same magnitude at a distance of 7 to 8 inches away from the weld.

Some flat bars were welded end to end; a length of 3 ft. sawed with the weld central. Patterns of stresses obtained from this study are similar to those obtained with box welding. This similarity may indicate that the stress distribution in various shapes can be obtained by superposition.

How to relieve such stresses? Traditionally, residual stresses are relieved through thermal treatment, suitable for simple and symmetric structures. Complex and heavy structures, however, cannot be thermally stress-relieved.

The technique of inducing mechanical vibrations in the weld to reduce or eliminate residual stresses has been tried with various metallic shapes. Consideration must be taken of the fact that a continuous application of vibrations or vibrating near resonance, may lead to metal fatigue, and a possible spreading of any embedded cracks. For this reason it is planned to vibrate at a frequency within 100 cps, which would generate enough dynamic stresses to interact with the high intensity tensile stresses and at the same time eliminate metal fatigue.

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY**

In the second phase of the study which is in progress at the present time, a load cell is fixed to steel structures similar to those already analyzed for stress patterns. A hammer is used to strike the cell generating an impulse to the system, Figs. 20, 21. It is important to observe the frequency response of the force input before proceeding with the test.


The signal will be treated in either one or both the following two ways:-

1- The signal will be sent into a channel of a Fourier analyzer. The acceleration response is measured through an accelerometer, amplified and sent to a second channel of the analyzer, Figs. 22, 23.

The impulse should have a low enough frequency input to excite the system sufficiently at low frequencies. A softer or harder hammer may be indicated by the observed frequency content of the impulse.


2- A second method, which is being applied at the present time is to read the data of the pulse and feed this information into a Dec.10 computer available on campus, through a suitably developed program that uses data digitized manually and stored on a magnetic disk. It is designed for the joint analysis of two random, stationary, ergodic processes containing significant noise. The frequency analysis consists of Fourier transformed probability functions and statistical smoothing.

The fundamental utility of the program is in the analysis of frequency response characteristics.



## I. FREQUENCY ANALYSIS

---



## II. VIBRATION

---

FIG. 20

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY






FIG.21


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




TYPICAL IMPULSE TEST

FIG. 22





TYPICAL TIME WAVEFORMS OF THE IMPULSE  
AND SYSTEM RESPONSE



TYPICAL FREQUENCY PLOT OF THE IMPULSE  
AND RESPONSE

FIG. 23

The routines used include: Power spectrum density, cross-spectral density, Transfer function analysis, Coherence analysis, Hanning window smoothing and Parzen lag smoothing 30,31

The structure will be shaken for about 15 minutes, using a mechanical shaker, Fig. 24 at the frequency that will show maximum amplitude, according to the previous shock study.

The third phase of the program will be to test the vibrated structure and carry out a complete residual stress analysis, as in phase one, to reveal the effectiveness of the vibration technique on the embeded residual stresses.

It is also important and necessary to prove that the vibrational technique is reproducible and capable of being monitored and effective at all critical locations within a part being so treated.

Fatigue test specimens will be prepared from the welded structures and tested for fatigue life, and similar analyses will be carried out on specimens to be prepared from similar structures after vibration.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY





FIG. 24

Future Work Plan

Study multi-pass welding with bar thickness up to 1 inch, weld widths up to 15 inches, and unequal section welds to determine the effect of such connections on concentrated residual stresses.

A load cell will be fixed to steel structures similar to those already analyzed for stress patterns. A hammer will strike the cell generating an impulse to the system. The signal will be treated in either one or both of two ways:-

- 1- Amplify load cell and accelerometer signals and send to two channels of a Fourier analyzer. A softer or harder hammer may be indicated by the observed frequency content of the impulse.
- 2- Read the data of the pulse and feed the information into the Dec10 computer through a suitably developed program.

The structure will be shaken for about 15 minutes, using a mechanical shaker, at the frequency that will show maximum amplitude, according to the shock study.

Next the vibrated structure will be analyzed for stresses, as in phase one, to reveal the effectiveness of the vibration technique on the embeded residual stresses.

Fatigue test specimens will be prepared from the welded structures and tested for fatigue life, and similar analysis will be carried out on specimens to be prepared from similar structures after vibration.

PART TWO

A second set of steel boxes was formed by welding bars 4 x  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch section. Details of shapes and dimensions of these boxes, referred to as tests No.5, 6, and 7, are given in Fig. 25. The results of the stress distribution measured as before are presented in Figures 26 to 31.

Test 5 was stopped short due to an apparatus failure, yet the general trend of its results together with those of test 7 are similar to the previous results of tests 1 to 4 of the first report.

The second phase of the study developed a set of computer programs to analyse the load pulse and the acceleration response that were obtained when steel frames similar to those studied for stress analysis were secured to the ground through brackets. A copy of these programs, together with the theoretical analysis and a key to applying these programs are presented later in this report.

Vibrational characteristics of a part have to be analysed experimentally. If the part is vibrated near its natural frequency, vibration amplitudes become quite large, even though the input forces or displacements are relatively small. Correspondingly large dynamic stresses can be developed, which interact with residual stresses. A typical set-up for impulse testing the steel frames is shown in Fig. 32-I

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

A load cell provided with an electric strain gage, and an accelerometer were attached at opposite ends of the top side of the frame. A plastic hammer applied a force to the load cell at point X, Fig. 52-I and the signal was sent into channel 1 of an oscilloscope. The acceleration response was measured at point Y using the accelerometer and sent to channel 2 of the oscilloscope. A photograph was taken of the display.

Results of treating a frame similar to the one tested for stress distribution No. 7 are shown in Fig. 33. A blow-up of the photograph was prepared, from which measurements of both the load and acceleration frequencies were taken. These values represent the X-data and Y-data for the computer programs. The output of these programs give statistical analysis of the frequencies, while the Trans. results give the frequencies in cps against the magnitude of deflection. Results of the Trans. values are presented in Fig. 34 showing the prominent periodic frequency component that may help reduce the stresses and may reveal the frequency of the structure.

The frequency chosen for vibrating the frame in test 8 was about 162 cps. An MB model C10 vibration exciter,

tilted to a horizontal position was used. The frame was connected to the exciter with a solid rod, as shown in Fig. 32-II. This arrangement was necessary because the heavy weight of the steel frames prevents placing them on top of the vertically positioned exciter. The exciter used has a frequency range of 5 to 3000 cps that can be controlled through an attached panel. The vibration is accomplished principally by means of an induced electromagnetic force which is localized in the region of the basic structure or body casting.

The frame under study was vibrated at 162 cps for 15 minutes. It was removed, the sides were sawed apart and a stress analysis was performed on the top side that was exposed to the heaviest vibration.

Results of this study are presented under test 8, in Figs. 36 & 37. These results indicate that the vibration affected the stress distribution at the critical location, i.e., at the weld. Vibrating the box developed sufficient dynamic stresses to reduce the intensity and general distribution characteristics of the residual stresses generated by the original welding.



Quantitative data from measuring residual stress patterns both after welding and after vibration are needed in order to state some definite conclusions. Also it is important to determine how vibration treatments effect the various steel structures to relieve welding stresses.

Although experiments performed up to the present time are limited, the results demonstrate that applying a suitable vibration amplitude to low carbon steel weldments significantly reduces peak residual stresses in the weldment. Such vibration seemed to smooth out the pattern of residual stresses by reducing the localized high stresses due to welding.

Such findings were expected due to the fact that residual stresses are the result of internal nonuniform plastic deformation and that the vibration reduces the disorder by changing the dimension of the structure. The procedure, however, is expected to be more complicated with complex shapes and multiple modes of vibration may be needed to allow all junctions of the structure to be exposed to the suitable vibrational amplitude.

The effects of the vibrational technique and the corresponding induced cyclic stresses on the fatigue of steel is still under investigation and no conclusions can yet be

presented at this stage.

The boxes formed and studied are of comparatively simple shape. The following studies will cover more complex shapes; pulses will be induced at various locations and analysed. It is hoped that through such studies, a standard type of treatment can be formulated.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY.




FIG. 25(a)




FIG. 25(c)




FIG. 25(b)




FIG. 25(d)





FIG. 26

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




FIG. 27

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY.

	0001	1	2	3	4
LAYER	1	-38142.	43370.	43370.	50098.
LAYER	2	-16585.	-19902.	26421.	26429.
LAYER	3	3653.	1006.	8399.	7611.
LAYER	4	4942.	6398.	15467.	16943.
LAYER	5	1933.	3749.	11856.	11970.
LAYER	6	-1753.	1509.	5323.	5485.
LAYER	7	3903.	1477.	-7202.	-873.

ENTER THE NAME FOR A NEW FILE FORTORAGE  
TS15.RFS

END OF EXECUTION

CPD TIME: 0.80 ELAPSED TIME: 1115.48  
END

STRESS PSI

+20,000

GAGE 1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

0.005

0.010

0.015

0.020

0.025

0.030

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS PSI

+20,000

GAGE 2

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

0.005

0.010

0.015

0.020

0.025

0.030

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 28

STRESS PSI

+20,000

GAGE 3

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS PSI

+20,000

GAGE 4

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

LAYER ETCHED





FIG. 29



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GROUP	1	2	3	4	5
LAYER	1	7564.	-7564.	-8782.	13257.	
LAYER	2	5910.	-9433.	-3796.	5922.	
LAYER	3	10798.	-13209.	-8516.	21425.	
LAYER	4	6476.	10317.	-6308.	17764.	
LAYER	5	12455.	11379.	9019.	15296.	
LAYER	6	9499.	-14857.	-15706.	13571.	
LAYER	7	4067.	-5528.	-7245.	5799.	
LAYER	8	8943.	-12034.	-21060.	10301.	
LAYER	9	1982.	-7180.	-11386.	2935.	
LAYER	10	7411.	-2034.	-5474.	5667.	
LAYER	11	-1010.	1020.	2992.	6785.	
LAYER	12	-1134.	-1133.	987.	11994.	
LAYER	13	1568.	670.	1869.	1575.	

TEST 7

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY





FIG. 30

11/15/11

STRESS PSI

+20,000

GAGE 3

+10,000

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

0

-10,000

-20,000

0.005

0.010

0.015

0.020

0.025

0.030

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS PSI

+20,000

GAGE 4

+10,000

0

-10,000

-20,000

0.005

0.010

0.015


0.020

0.025

0.030

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 31



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER 1 18133.-10880.-21759. 25386.  
LAYER 2 6444. -5098. -6500. 5941.  
LAYER 3 12963.-11224.-11504. 19077.  
LAYER 4 8638.-12038.-12313. 14435.  
LAYER 5 13784.-17762.-18032. 20436.  
LAYER 6 14023.-16091.-16358. 16804.  
LAYER 7 12276.-12404.-14650. 15091.  
LAYER 8 11905.-12032.-16329. 8805.  
LAYER 9 13213. -8225.-13823. 1128.  
LAYER 10 1271. -6028. -8520. -1179.  
LAYER 11 -7789.-1496. -394. -9366.  
LAYER 12 -13536. 2184. 4246.-16068.  
LAYER 13 -4336. 1896. 511.-5056.


ENTER THE NAME FOR A NEW FILE FOR STORAGE  
TSTZ.RES

END OF EXECUTION


CPU TIME: 1.40 ELAPSED TIME: 2:3.98

EXIT

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



## I. FREQUENCY ANALYSIS



## II. VIBRATION

FIG. 32

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY.




FIG. 33

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TYPE-OUTPUT  
NX=240 H= 0.00033 M= 24 IC= 1200.00010 BE=  
125.00001 E= 0.31623  
ES(D) HT HS(D) C(D)

8 0.241544 0.002881 0.608313 0.136984 0.085014 0.09777

2 0.905302 0.248880 -0.987671 -0.222238 0.208769 0.64760

9 0.013664 -0.326009 0.481282 0.022956 0.121537 0.04932

2 -0.833476 -1.058601 -0.298581 0.039087 0.047018 0.03127

5 4.191418 10.556224 -0.140905 0.021730 -0.821737 0.00539

3 -0.076307 -2.218233 -0.107778 0.000055 0.245422 0.00812

0 1.492605 2.069481 0.072054 0.011153 0.040944 0.00131

1 6.171654 -5.578962 -0.033360 0.004980 0.031249 0.00279

3 -9.014317 3.934666 0.005769 0.003171 0.023026 0.00000

0 10.856132 -8.718988 0.027186 0.003166 0.459262 0.00051

4 -7.204051 2.752593 0.038155 0.002441 0.139442 0.00010

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

11.538108 3.132010

0.049856 0.001535

0.344490

0.00038

8

3.677828-30.716488

0.058047 0.002430

12.257801

0.00235

2

10.696361 2.466761

0.052577 0.001582

0.327823

0.00076

9

-21.785019138.697460

-0.060148 0.001726

52.440475

0.00252

8

11.740766 2.014545

0.053590 0.001215

0.371586

0.00079

9

-14.205511-84.279397

-0.060741 0.001310

29.701223

0.00257

9

11.208734 1.381945

0.053815 0.000834

0.361601

0.00080

6

3.468986-25.119526

-0.060571 0.000960

8.2429313

0.00257

3

11.584917 1.034872

0.053905 0.000746

0.378632

0.00080

8

2.707635-13.013425

-0.060671 0.000549

5.100894

0.00257

4

10.795040 0.187078

0.054185 0.000410

0.355188

0.00081

7

12.061397 -9.991795

-0.060019 0.000201

1.378977

0.00251

9

10.020042 0.072639

0.061556 0.000046

1.481004

0.00105

5



NX=240 H= HT

0.00033

M= 8 HS(I)

FC=

1200.00010

C(I)

BE=

375.00004

E=

0.18257

CS(I)

0.451908 -0.000312 0.416366 -0.045561 0.028219 0.036160

-0.010567 -0.161158 -0.126140 0.103135 0.006205 0.000954

1.875225 -0.353666 -0.044466 -0.035834 0.022090 0.000146

-1.251191 -0.444802 0.112383 -0.035611 0.002823 0.002055

-1.302220 -0.112088 -0.075498 -0.010375 0.073307 0.001181

-0.324424 -0.456504 0.103622 0.009900 0.000571 0.001924

1.488197 -0.048239 -0.068860 -0.002534 0.314333 0.001000

-0.199735 -0.160803 0.096749 0.002511 0.000094 0.001692

1.591380 -0.001024 -0.084116 -0.000703 0.082279 0.001494

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

FILE NAME:

12.50000	y	0.7861853E-04	y	-0.1564026E-03	y	-1.989386	y
25.00000	y	0.1000000E-02	y	0.0000000E+00	y	0.0000000E+00	y
37.50000	y	150.9785	y	139.0576	y	0.9210420	y
50.00000	y	111.8163	y	-63.26190	y	-0.5657661	y
62.50000	y	-82.74754	y	-85.11066	y	1.028558	y
75.00000	y	45.71558	y	-324.8166	y	7.105162	y
87.50000	y	-173.6392	y	-422.6140	y	2.433863	y
100.0000	y	46.19609	y	18.09390	y	0.3916761	y
112.5000	y	-149.2011	y	-242.3333	y	1.624205	y
125.0000	y	192.5251	y	236.0914	y	1.226289	y
137.5000	y	8.198017	y	51.83154	y	6.322448	y
150.0000	y	187.9613	y	225.0673	y	1.197413	y
162.5000	y	-0.9405687	y	263.4407	y	-280.0866	y
175.0000	y	82.68034	y	-292.6387	y	-3.539399	y
187.5000	y	-53.19046	y	-323.6385	y	6.084521	y
200.0000	y	151.6819	y	-224.8064	y	-1.482091	y
212.5000	y	78.18889	y	12.51051	y	0.1642038	y
225.0000	y	199.6640	y	-27.67320	y	-0.1385989	y
237.5000	y	136.1775	y	-112.6739	y	-0.8274047	y
250.0000	y	71.35597	y	-2.937418	y	-0.1112369	y
262.5000	y	53.99740	y	245.4271	y	4.545165	y
275.0000	y	42.51398	y	291.8554	y	6.864927	y
287.5000	y	94.39206	y	455.5269	y	4.825903	y
300.0000	y	97.52081	y	-242.4269	y	-2.485899	y
312.5000	y	160.0996	y	25.07870	y	0.1566443	y
325.0000	y	11.31685	y	-188.9824	y	-16.23795	y
337.5000	y	84.03408	y	-145.5217	y	-1.731698	y
350.0000	y	-59.75730	y	5.382805	y	-0.9007778E-01	y
362.5000	y	44.49602	y	-60.72386	y	-1.364703	y
375.0000	y	-15.09106	y	22.07986	y	-1.463109	y
387.5000	y	78.57802	y	-254.6479	y	-3.240702	y
400.0000	y	-20.61485	y	212.9392	y	-10.32941	y
412.5000	y	56.17418	y	177.8973	y	3.166887	y
425.0000	y	-61.24784	y	184.5940	y	-3.013887	y
437.5000	y	21.42395	y	-105.3154	y	-4.915782	y
450.0000	y	-53.58968	y	-168.6844	y	3.147703	y
462.5000	y	11.05934	y	-82.97371	y	-7.502595	y
475.0000	y	-43.45011	y	57.39591	y	-1.320961	y
487.5000	y	-0.6453957	y	81.81208	y	-126.7627	y
500.0000	y	-28.93555	y	-107.7104	y	3.722424	y
512.5000	y	11.73539	y	-0.8802552	y	-0.7500862E-01	y
525.0000	y	-14.26173	y	-84.46985	y	5.922835	y
537.5000	y	15.49726	y	54.20937	y	3.497998	y
550.0000	y	-28.59888	y	36.74725	y	-1.284919	y
562.5000	y	-3.449380	y	200.4197	y	-58.10312	y
575.0000	y	-18.94477	y	-19.66747	y	1.038148	y
587.5000	y	17.30794	y	-36.09905	y	-2.085693	y
600.0000	y	2.330848	y	32.73435	y	14.04396	y
612.5000	y	38.12707	y	139.6453	y	3.667629	y

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

625.0000	y	-24.99107	y	222.5470	y	-8.905080	y
637.5000	y	11.93198	y	94.35165	y	7.907461	y
650.0000	y	-41.88533	y	-138.8071	y	3.313980	y
662.5000	y	5.385611	y	-162.8133	y	-30.23117	y
675.0000	y	-22.54707	y	108.0099	y	-4.790420	y
687.5000	y	20.16100	y	-93.65978	y	-4.645592	y
700.0000	y	-30.23576	y	11.572905	y	-0.5202133E-01	y
712.5000	y	4.366481	y	-148.5256	y	-34.01494	y
725.0000	y	-45.90525	y	90.68083	y	-1.975391	y
737.5000	y	-10.40945	y	-25.91076	y	2.489157	y
750.0000	y	-33.51018	y	206.2403	y	-6.154558	y
762.5000	y	-5.197509	y	242.8108	y	-46.71676	y
775.0000	y	-27.61350	y	19.77407	y	-0.7161016	y
787.5000	y	-9.874155	y	10.87199	y	-1.101055	y
800.0000	y	-32.09090	y	-253.7132	y	7.906079	y
812.5000	y	-18.21086	y	0.9956197	y	-0.5467175E-01	y
825.0000	y	-25.96596	y	11.32470	y	-0.4361362	y
837.5000	y	-19.21392	y	53.65513	y	-2.792513	y
850.0000	y	-18.80778	y	-21.48460	y	1.142325	y
862.5000	y	-19.47731	y	-136.1321	y	6.989265	y
875.0000	y	-12.75355	y	221.8886	y	-17.39818	y
887.5000	y	-15.11855	y	209.0619	y	-13.82818	y
900.0000	y	-8.093527	y	99.98973	y	-12.35428	y
912.5000	y	-14.31376	y	58.95194	y	-4.118549	y
925.0000	y	-10.49673	y	-184.1631	y	17.54480	y
937.5000	y	-19.81569	y	-308.1110	y	15.54884	y
950.0000	y	-5.002851	y	13.33428	y	-2.665337	y
962.5000	y	-12.11855	y	-429.6819	y	35.45655	y
975.0000	y	4.570205	y	284.2736	y	62.20151	y
987.5000	y	-3.072534	y	-307.9075	y	100.2129	y
1000.000	y	-2.882151	y	406.1298	y	-140.9121	y
1012.500	y	-11.96455	y	-86.44149	y	7.224801	y
1025.000	y	-8.237401	y	1175.530	y	-142.7064	y
1037.500	y	-14.57576	y	1153.159	y	-79.11487	y
1050.000	y	2.722073	y	-1386.622	y	-50	y

ADORE BUSINESS FORMS, INC. PRINTED IN U.S.A. 87%

68  
67  
66  
65  
64  
63  
62  
61  
60  
59  
58  
57  
56  
55  
54  
53  
52  
51  
50  
49  
48  
47  
46  
45  
44  
43  
42  
41  
40  
39  
38  
37  
36  
35  
34  
33  
32  
31  
30  
29  
28  
27  
26  
25  
24  
23  
22  
21  
20  
19  
18  
17  
16  
15  
14  
13  
12  
11  
10  
9  
8  
7  
6  
5  
4  
3  
2  
1

7	12.50000	0.9536743E-06	0.0000000E+00	0.0000000E+00
8	37.50000	-54.24877	-47.42885	-0.8742844
9	62.50000	-29.24072	-16.64006	0.5690713
10	87.50000	-56.99455	-140.9965	2.473858
11	112.50000	-56.77778	-80.15561	1.411743
12	137.50000	-4.512192	-23.81135	-5.277114
13	162.50000	5.146555	-141.1951	27.43488
14	187.50000	-18.84820	-46.67597	2.476416
15	212.50000	13.15314	13.08460	0.9947892
16	237.50000	50.58742	-14.64900	-0.2895780
17	262.50000	-28.87008	-0.3034998	-0.1051261E-01
18	287.50000	24.20383	142.2461	5.877008
19	312.50000	56.07147	-23.70649	-0.4227906
20	337.50000	47.29784	-84.33347	-1.783030
21	362.50000	19.30187	-50.49999	-2.616326
22	387.50000	25.67358	-72.92706	-2.840548
23	412.50000	30.21515	7.464522	0.2470456
24	437.50000	15.33736	96.77225	-6.309578
25	462.50000	-7.715114	38.39276	-4.976305
26	487.50000	3.225154	12.40730	3.847043
27	512.50000	1.059259	-22.48950	-21.23136
28	537.50000	7.400002	-55.50004	-7.500004
29	562.50000	4.095055	-14.91915	-3.643211
30	587.50000	-0.9974161	26.91895	-26.98868
31	612.50000	11.20194	-24.05212	-2.147138
32	637.50000	15.54183	104.4684	6.721759
33	662.50000	3.511331	-25.97452	-7.397344
34	687.50000	3.852908	-33.09186	-8.588801
35	712.50000	9.800625	-42.00000	-4.285441
36	737.50000	1.654088	-68.72238	-41.54698
37	762.50000	-4.561670	9.552348	2.094046
38	787.50000	-1.999923	120.5270	-60.26585
39	812.50000	-4.480295	9.347021	-2.086251
40	837.50000	-9.190781	-4.180703	0.4548800
41	862.50000	-10.28128	22.37312	-2.176104
42	887.50000	-11.05180	-116.8240	10.57059
43	912.50000	-9.470908	91.50858	-9.662071
44	937.50000	-8.621144	37.65340	-4.367564
45	962.50000	-12.75634	-226.7350	17.77430
46	987.50000	-10.82858	-298.1550	27.53409

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY.

25.00000	0.1000000E-02	0.0000000E+00	0.0000000E+00
50.00000	36.46849	-19.62529	-0.5381439
75.00000	15.92929	-110.0183	-6.906667
100.00000	10.49614	-18.25453	-1.739165
125.00000	56.99547	58.36524	1.024033
150.00000	66.17998	67.27688	1.016575
175.00000	28.82285	51.31708	1.780430
200.00000	39.69564	-106.6420	-2.686491
225.00000	68.77678	52.03100	0.7565199
250.00000	36.26049	26.46629	0.7298932
275.00000	8.701916	150.1402	17.25369
300.00000	29.80191	40.48984	1.358632
325.00000	19.88465	-87.31516	-4.391083
350.00000	-17.45667	-64.09554	3.671694
375.00000	13.85749	9.526278	0.6874462
400.00000	-1.496063	-33.65305	22.49441
425.00000	-15.64992	99.52728	-6.359603
450.00000	-22.06526	-33.38540	1.513030
475.00000	16.67005	14.62798	-0.8775005
500.00000	-14.00691	66.00872	-4.712583
525.00000	-6.658938	-9.801565	1.471941
550.00000	-5.500004	-2.500011	0.4545471
575.00000	11.25104	8.877078	-0.7890005
600.00000	-3.312222	-74.69887	22.55250
625.00000	0.9359885	-3.712527	-3.966424
650.00000	-13.28712	38.96610	-2.932623
675.00000	16.90037	88.33668	5.226908
700.00000	-8.987985	57.63683	-6.412654
725.00000	-14.72352	8.660257	-0.5881922
750.00000	-21.28416	54.08127	-2.540916
775.00000	-15.35607	105.3673	6.861604
800.00000	-13.08668	4.758537	-0.3636169
825.00000	-15.63874	-135.9833	8.695286
850.00000	-12.91840	9.171346	-0.7099443
875.00000	-9.238415	3.005097	-0.3252827
900.00000	-6.199382	95.14196	-15.34701
925.00000	-3.252385	78.96177	-24.27811
950.00000	-4.558754	-110.5741	24.25533
975.00000	-3.021162	93.85307	31.06523
1000.000	3.830004	13.40832	3.500863

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68

↑ PERIOD

62.2  
100.0

1.271-  
-142.7  
-140.9

-46.7

-34.01

-30.2


-58.1

-126.76


-280.0

SCALE X - AXIS 1 UNIT = 12.5 CPS  
Y - AXIS 1 UNIT = 1.0

FIG. 34



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY.

	PAGE #	1	2	3	4	5
LAYER	1	2216.	-1478.	0.	2216.	
LAYER	2	3254.	915.	-1028.	3254.	
LAYER	3	2791.	-1691.	-1694.	1973.	
LAYER	4	3485.	1826.	1823.	2433.	
LAYER	5	2489.	563.	1172.	2377.	
LAYER	6	3519.	2722.	4132.	3410.	
LAYER	7	4243.	-989.	2457.	1912.	



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




FIG.35

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TEST 8





FIG. 36





FIG. 37

PART THREE

The welded steel boxes studied during the period from April 15 to November 15, 1979 were formed of bars 4, 6 and 8 inches wide and  $\frac{1}{4}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch thick. They had various shapes, Table 1, and other complex shapes are planned for the coming study period.

Figs. 38-58 show the results of the tests conducted on seven sets of boxes. Each set of boxes was subjected to three phases of testing. The first phase of the study examined the residual stress distribution across the thickness of each bar and at various locations along the bar directly after welding. Both the theory and the technique for such analysis together with the developed computer program for stress calculation have been presented.

The second phase showed the results of impact testing using a load cell, an accelerometer and an oscilloscope. The study was performed on a second box identical to the one examined in the first phase. This phase also analyzed the pulses, applying the computer programs presented at the end of this report & the chart of displacement vs, the frequency of vibration. A specific frequency was chosen to be within 100 cps that showed a peak displacement.

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY**

For the third phase of the study the second box was fixed on the floor facing the mechanical vibrator. A steel rod of L-section, with welded studs at both ends, was used to connect the box to the vibrator. Other designs will also be used to suit other shapes of boxes for future investigation. Each box was vibrated at the chosen frequency for 15 minutes. The vibration was resonant and was felt through the metallic frame. Following the vibration, the box was sectioned and studied for stress distribution as previously carried out in the first phase.

Tests numbers 3 and 7 are not complete yet; with phases 2 and 3 of test 3 and phase 3 of test 7 still under investigation.

Handling the vibrator was very successful during this period of the study and its manual control confirmed the peak that was chosen from the pulse analysis for each box.

Most of the pulse results indicated that a suitable frequency within 100 cps can be used to give a peak displacement which reduces fatigue of the metal to a minimum level.

SUMMARY OF TESTS PRESENTED IN THIS REPORT

TEST NO.	FIG. NO.	BOX SHAPE	NATURE OF TEST	LENGTH x WIDTH x DEPTH x WALL THICK.	STRAIN GAUGE ARRANGEMENT	REMARKS
1	a	1	(a) Stresses Before Vibration (b) Impact Test (c) Stresses After Vibration	36"x36"x6"x $\frac{1}{4}$ "		Tests 3&4 1st report Fig.11 of 2nd rep.
	b	2				
	c	3				
2	a	4		36"x36"x4"x $\frac{1}{2}$ "		Test 7 of 2nd.rep.
	b	5				Figs.13&14 of 2nd.R
	c	6				
3	a	7		36"x36"x6"x $\frac{1}{2}$ "		Test 5 of 2nd. rep.
	b	8				
	c	9				
4	a	10		36"x36"x4"x $\frac{1}{3}$ "		
	b	11				
	c	12				
5	a	13		36"x36"x4"x $\frac{1}{2}$ " Flat		Test 6 of 2nd rep.
	b	14				
	c	15				
6	a	16		36"x36"x8"x $\frac{1}{4}$ "		
	b	17				
	c	18				
7	a	19		36"x36"x8"x $\frac{1}{2}$ "		
	b	20				
	c	21				

TABLE 1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



TYPE	TST	RES					
2480.000	8	4	2.070000	10.00000	10.00000	21.00000	
0	37.00000	13.00000					
35.00000	24.00000	15.00000	-5.000000	2.000000			
6.000000	4.000000	-2.000000					
2.000000	4.000000	1.000000	0.0000000E+00	-2.000000			
0.0000000E+00	-2.000000	-2.000000					
-2.000000	-2.000000	0.0000000E+00	2.000000	0.0000000E+00			
-3.000000	-1.000000	3.000000					
3.000000	-1.000000	-2.000000	3.000000	2.000000			
0.0000000E+00	1.000000	2.000000					
2.000000	0.0000000E+00	0.0000000E+00	2470.000	2460.000			
2439.000	2402.000	2389.000					
2354.000	2330.000	2315.000	-17799.41	7119.763			
21359.29	14239.53	-7379.010					
7206.062	14527.42	3775.979	-400.4290	-3096.082			
572.4406	-3039.326	-2233.416					
-1729.047	-1277.901	166.8236	4784.811	-4.885372			
-7490.035	-2478.878	2482.407					
2857.023	-692.8616	-1803.351	3957.442	2938.583			
199.1129	1374.969	4405.643					
4711.044	196.4892	46.05377					


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE 2

TEST 1-a

STRESS  
PSI

TEST # 1-a  
GAGE # 1




LAYER ETCHED

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG. 39-1

STRESS  
PSI



Bar wall thickness-Inch

FIG. 39-2

LAYER ETCHED

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

STRESS  
PSI




FIG. 39-3

STRESS  
PSI




FIG. 39-4

LAYER ETCHED

A(I)

X(I)

Y(I)

H(I)

6.250000	-0.2334186E-06	-0.7152557E-06	3.000000
18.750000	0.98333372	0.1075997	0.1094200
31.250000	0.69143001	1.7241555	0.2109420
43.750000	0.83988996	1.3663369	0.4536606
56.250000	0.81510227	0.6885377	0.6408444
68.750000	0.65022647	-0.6003598	-0.9923255
81.250000	0.7261916	0.1711077	0.2335633
93.750000	0.70040228	0.5059855	0.7189906
106.250000	0.5368714	0.6672617	1.1887711
118.750000	0.5769966	0.7055887	1.2228866
131.250000	0.5849083	0.4145351	0.7037188
143.750000	0.4282232	0.4494899	1.1753331
156.250000	0.4449822	0.5328878	1.1575233
168.750000	0.4990000	0.1766498	-0.3354677
181.250000	0.3792500	-0.1304374	-0.8342933
193.750000	0.3385332	0.3216784	0.8334800
206.250000	0.4873362	0.4079997	0.8337155
218.750000	0.4228806	0.4056497	0.9433996
231.250000	0.4236617	0.7306888	1.1922410
243.750000	0.5576722	0.1924005	0.6633611
256.250000	0.5888977	0.2994821	0.5005664
268.750000	0.5599999	0.5199999	0.8600000
281.250000	0.5599999	0.8822222	1.1666666
293.750000	0.8264285	0.1404555	0.1668844
306.250000	0.8339772	1.1029833	1.1666666
318.750000	0.9767942	1.3133099	1.2263333
331.250000	1.0805110	1.1013222	1.3019266
343.750000	1.0904899	0.8932404	0.8151194
356.250000	1.1797500	1.3531888	1.1477022
368.750000	1.2829588	0.6382032	0.9147767
381.250000	1.2877991	1.1774566	0.4955799
393.750000	1.2145388	1.0132300	0.7707877
406.250000	1.3389013	1.0072655	0.7251366
418.750000	1.3686611	0.3502860	0.2225122
431.250000	1.3686611	0.8401455	0.3660744
443.750000	1.3995977	0.4900246	0.6018333
456.250000	1.4242455	0.5502490	0.3440592
468.750000	1.3730445	1.688463	0.4007510
481.250000	1.3351866	1.010261	1.2645900
493.750000	1.410748	1.010261	0.7161170

TABLE 3  
TEST 1-b

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG.40  
TEST 1-b



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Scale X-Axis 1 unit = 6.25 Hz  
Y-Axis 1 unit = 1 unit



FREQUENCY

CHART 1  
TEST 1-b

5  
10  
15  
20  
25  
30

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
LAYER	1	2381.	2381.	4761.	-2381.				
LAYER	2	57.	1463.	2925.	-2868.				
LAYER	3	2838.	4286.	1618.	-171.				
LAYER	4	1899.	3742.	3740.	1561.				
LAYER	5	2954.	3124.	3121.	-109.				
LAYER	6	2033.	2200.	2197.	1590.				
LAYER	7	2071.	3918.	3916.	-49.				
LAYER	8	440.	1759.	657.	-48.				
LAYER	9	1742.	2014.	1954.	-1357.				
LAYER	10	483.	2379.	2320.	-103.				
LAYER	11	1759.	2080.	739.	1182.				
LAYER	12	2120.	4032.	2323.	-43.				
LAYER	13	576.	3065.	-1344.	2077.				
LAYER	14	3066.	990.	-541.	1264.				
LAYER	15	1906.	-262.	638.	72.				
LAYER	16	718.	2433.	2161.	1605.				

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE 4  
TEST 1-c






FIG. 41-1



STRESS  
PSI

TEST #1-c  
GAGE #3

ORIGINAL PAGE #15  
OF FOUR QUALITY



Bar wall thickness-Inch

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 41-3

STRESS  
PSI

TEST # 1-c  
GAGE # 4

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




FIG. 41-4

LAYER ETCHED

LAYER 1 18133,-10880,-21759, 25386.  
LAYER 2 6444, -5098, -6500, 5941.  
LAYER 3 12963,-11224,-11504, 19077.  
LAYER 4 8638,-12038,-12313, 14435.  
LAYER 5 13784,-17762,-18032, 20436.  
LAYER 6 14023,-16091,-16358, 16804.  
LAYER 7 12276,-12404,-14650, 15091.  
LAYER 8 11905,-12032,-16329, 8805.  
LAYER 9 13213, -8225,-13823, 1128.  
LAYER 10 1271, -6028, -8520, -1179.  
LAYER 11 -7789,-1496, -394, -9366.  
LAYER 12 -13536, 2184, 4246,-16068.  
LAYER 13 -4336, 1896, 511,-5056.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

ENTER THE NAME FOR A NEW FILE FORTORAGE  
TSTZ.RES


END OF EXECUTION

CPU TIME: 1.40 ELAPSED TIME: 2:3.98

EXIT

TABLE 5  
TEST 2-a

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 2-a  
GAGE # 1

Bar wall thickness-Inch

FIG. 42-1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED



10 squares to the inch

STRESS  
PSI




FIG. 42-2

LAYER ETCHED


STRESS  
PSI



FIG. 42-3

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 42-4

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




FIG. 43  
TEST 2-b

MOORE BUSINESS FORMS, INC. PRINTED IN U.S.A.

19  
18  
17  
16  
15  
14  
13  
12  
11  
10  
9  
8  
7  
6  
5  
4  
3  
2  
1

7	12.50000	0.9536743E-06	0.0000000E+00	0.0000000E+00
8	37.50000	-54.24877	-47.42885	-0.8742844
9	62.50000	-29.24072	-16.64006	0.5690713
10	87.50000	-56.99455	-140.9965	2.473858
11	112.50000	-56.77778	-80.15561	1.411743
12	137.50000	-4.512192	23.81135	-5.277114
13	162.50000	5.146555	-141.1951	27.43488
14	187.50000	-18.84820	-46.67597	2.476416
15	212.50000	13.15314	13.08460	0.9947892
16	237.50000	50.58742	-14.64900	-0.2895780
17	262.50000	-28.87008	0.3034998	-0.1051261E-01
18	287.50000	24.20383	142.2461	5.877008
19	312.50000	56.07147	-23.70649	-0.4227906
20	337.50000	47.29784	84.33347	-1.783030
21	362.50000	19.30187	50.49999	-2.616326
22	387.50000	25.67358	-72.92706	-2.840548
23	412.50000	30.21515	7.464522	0.2470456
24	437.50000	15.33736	96.77225	6.309578
25	462.50000	7.715114	38.39276	4.976305
26	487.50000	3.225154	12.40730	3.847043
27	512.50000	1.059259	-22.48950	-21.23136
28	537.50000	7.400000	-55.50004	-7.500004
29	562.50000	4.095055	14.91915	3.643211
30	587.50000	-0.9974161	26.91895	-26.98868
31	612.50000	11.20194	-24.05212	-2.147138
32	637.50000	15.54183	104.4584	6.721759
33	662.50000	3.511331	25.97452	7.397344
34	687.50000	3.852908	-33.09186	-8.588801
35	712.50000	9.800625	-42.00000	-4.285441
36	737.50000	1.654088	-68.72238	-41.54698
37	762.50000	4.561670	9.552348	2.094046
38	787.50000	-1.999923	120.5270	-60.26585
39	812.50000	-4.480295	9.347021	-2.086251
40	837.50000	9.190781	-4.180703	0.4548800
41	862.50000	-10.28128	22.37312	-2.176104
42	887.50000	-11.05180	-116.8240	10.57059
43	912.50000	-9.470908	91.50858	-9.662071
44	937.50000	-8.621144	37.65340	-4.367564
45	962.50000	-12.75634	226.7350	17.77430
46	987.50000	-10.82858	-298.1550	27.53409
47				
48				
49				
50				
51				
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				
61				
62				
63				
64				
65				
66				
67				
68				


TABLE 6  
TEST 2-b

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

25.00000	0.1000000E-02	0.0000000E+00	0.0000000E+00	5
50.00000	36.46849	-19.62529	-0.5381439	6
75.00000	15.92929	-110.0183	-6.906667	7
100.00000	10.49614	-18.25453	-1.739165	8
125.00000	56.99547	58.36524	1.024033	9
150.00000	66.17998	67.27688	1.016575	10
175.00000	28.82285	-51.31708	-1.780430	11
200.00000	39.69564	-106.6420	-2.686491	12
225.00000	68.77678	52.03100	0.7565199	13
250.00000	36.26049	26.46629	0.7298932	14
275.00000	8.701916	150.1402	17.25369	15
300.00000	29.80191	40.48984	1.358632	16
325.00000	19.88465	-87.31516	-4.391083	17
350.00000	-17.45667	64.09554	3.671694	18
375.00000	13.85749	9.526278	0.6874462	19
400.00000	-1.496063	-33.65305	22.49441	20
425.00000	-15.64992	99.52728	-6.359603	21
450.00000	-22.06526	33.38540	1.513030	22
475.00000	16.67005	14.62798	0.8775005	23
500.00000	-14.00691	66.00872	-4.712583	24
525.00000	-6.658938	-9.801565	1.471941	25
550.00000	-5.500004	-2.500011	0.4545471	26
575.00000	-11.25104	8.877078	-0.7890005	27
600.00000	-3.312222	-74.69887	22.55250	28
625.00000	0.9359885	-3.712527	-3.966424	29
650.00000	-13.28712	38.96610	-2.932623	30
675.00000	-16.90037	88.33668	5.226908	31
700.00000	-8.987985	57.63683	-6.412654	32
725.00000	-14.72352	8.660257	-0.5881922	33
750.00000	21.28416	54.08127	-2.540916	34
775.00000	-15.35607	1.053673	6.861604	35
800.00000	-13.08668	4.758537	-0.3636169	36
825.00000	-15.63874	-135.9833	8.695286	37
850.00000	-12.91840	9.171346	-0.7099443	38
875.00000	-9.238415	3.005097	0.3252827	39
900.00000	-6.199382	95.14196	-15.34701	40
925.00000	-3.252385	78.96177	-24.27811	41
950.00000	-4.558754	-110.5741	24.25533	42
975.00000	-3.021162	93.85307	31.06523	43
1000.000	3.830004	13.40832	3.500863	44
				45
				46
				47
				48
				49
				50
				51
				52
				53
				54
				55
				56
				57
				58
				59
				60
				61
				62
				63
				64
				65
				66
				67
				68

TABLE 6 Cont.  
TEST 2-b

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
 OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4	5	6
(	LAYER	1	2216.	-1478.	0.	2216.	
(	LAYER	2	3254.	915.	-1028.	3254.	
(	LAYER	3	2791.	-1691.	-1694.	1973.	
(	LAYER	4	3485.	1826.	1823.	2433.	
(	LAYER	5	2489.	563.	1172.	2377.	
(	LAYER	6	3519.	2722.	4132.	3410.	
(	LAYER	7	4243.	-989.	2457.	1912.	


S  
 SIDE  
 O  
 CC

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
 OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE 7  
 TEST 2-c



STRESS  
PSI




LAYER ETCHED


Bar wall thickness - Inch

FIG. 44-1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 2-c  
GAGE # 3

Bar wall thickness-Inch

FIG. 44-3

LAYER ETCHED

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

STRESS  
PSI




FIG. 44-4

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY


	1600				
LAYER	1	56142.	43570.	43570.	100.00.
LAYER	2	16385.	19909.	26421.	26421.
LAYER	3	3653.	1002.	8399.	7611.
LAYER	4	4947.	6398.	13467.	16943.
LAYER	5	1933.	3749.	11316.	11920.
LAYER	6	1707.	1507.	5373.	5405.
LAYER	7	2906.	1177.	1207.	1970.

ENTER THE NAME TOP L. NEW FILE. FOR ORIGINAL  
ESTIMATES

END OF EXECUTOP  
CANTHIT. 0.80. 11.01.00. 1.16.30  
P.11

TABLE 8  
TEST 3-a

STRESS  
PSI




ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 45-1

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 3-a  
GAGE # 2


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Bar wall thickness-Inch

FIG. 45-2

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 3-a  
GAGE # 3

Bar wall thickness

FIG. 45-3

LAYER ETCHED

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



45-5-4

STRESS  
PSI

+30,000  
+25,000  
+20,000  
+15,000  
+10,000  
+5,000  
0  
-5,000  
-10,000  
-15,000  
-20,000  
-25,000  
-30,000

TEST # 3-2  
GAGE # 4

0.005

0.010

0.015

0.020

0.025

0.030

0.035

0.040

0.045

Bar wall thickness - Inch

FIG. 45-4

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




FIG. 46  
TEST 3-b

X(I)

X(I)

Y(I)

H(I)

7  
8  
11  
12  
15  
16  
19  
20  
22  
24  
27  
28  
31  
32  
35  
36  
39  
40  
43  
44  
47  
48  
51  
52  
55  
56  
59  
60  
63  
64  
67  
68

6.25000	-0.7152557E-06	-0.9536743E-06	-1.33333
131.75000	2.242232	2.1502169	0.52113
311.75000	2.747234	1.386169	0.50613
431.75000	1.051820	2.749330	2.64569
561.75000	0.6784344	5.149467	7.55922
681.75000	-0.3109391	4.488403	-14.43499
811.75000	-0.222619	4.541687	-19.43034
931.75000	0.3696470	3.336337	9.47857
1061.75000	0.5287182	1.707470	3.22994
1181.75000	0.7847478	-1.190125	-1.51657
1311.75000	0.8223593	0.887522	1.67921
1431.75000	0.8888334	-0.5147218	-0.57951
1561.75000	0.4481144	1.834173	4.09309
1681.75000	0.2778947	1.951367	7.02146
1811.75000	0.3437526E-02	3.367813	3.99146
1931.75000	-0.2114844	2.972814	-14.05569
2061.75000	-0.9878509	0.7176146	-0.72244
2181.75000	1.3228864	-1.341268	1.01938
2311.75000	-1.859032	-2.516194	0.30399
2431.75000	-2.231308	-2.233206	1.00085
2561.75000	-2.819940	-2.895397	0.99484
2681.75000	-2.600000	-3.550002	1.36538
2811.75000	-2.567862	-2.826384	1.11667
2931.75000	-2.343365	-6.257313	2.67009
3061.75000	-1.989987	-5.525238	2.77652
3181.75000	1.649659	-6.284113	3.86934
3311.75000	-0.9258670	-6.277784	6.78943
3431.75000	-0.8035762	-5.784917	3.19896
3561.75000	-0.1471875	-4.544062	7.87262
3681.75000	0.3381682E-01	-5.743323	169.33355
3811.75000	-0.3670735E-01	-4.125495	112.38888
3931.75000	0.1056297	-6.608572	-62.56635
4061.75000	-0.2175731	-6.284097	28.88228
4131.75000	0.5318579	-6.695921	12.58969
4311.75000	-0.8194151	-5.193827	6.33845
4431.75000	-2.9196477	-4.436830	4.88244
4561.75000	-2.071877	3.335186	1.66097
4681.75000	-1.953140	-4.391899	2.24863
4811.75000	-1.189883	-3.068493	2.57660
4931.75000	-0.8396516	-1.101198	1.31149

TABLE 9  
TEST 3-b

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

12.500000	0.100000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
25.000000	-1.043946	2.567167	-2.459099	
37.500000	-3.194663	5.071345	-1.587443	
50.000000	-2.735263	2.282261	-0.834384	
62.500000	-2.287580	1.653251	-0.722707	
75.000000	-2.109373	2.231176	-1.057774	
87.500000	-0.797018	1.641514	-2.055956	
100.000000	-0.735519	1.339789	5.900302	
112.500000	-0.490932	1.710217	0.594433	
125.000000	-0.668535	3.559978	5.850077	
137.500000	-1.217866	1.055647	1.687827	
150.000000	-0.552960	1.317670	2.372991	
162.500000	-1.317557	2.228599	-0.222274	
175.000000	-1.087387	3.220633	0.296181	
187.500000	-1.563717	1.655733	1.058844	
200.000000	-1.720666	1.825566	2.222372	
212.500000	-1.953788	1.666648	2.333333	
225.000000	-2.042970	1.774841	2.333720	
237.500000	-1.746810	1.758968	3.296688	
250.000000	-1.335433	1.672472	3.749988	
262.500000	-1.139783	1.642472	3.195715	
275.000000	-0.550000	1.000000	1.722727	
287.500000	-1.191622	1.622255	1.333333	
300.000000	-0.462495	1.281540	-1.257578	
312.500000	0.800643	1.856713	1.542337	
325.000000	1.297757	1.453863	1.220202	
337.500000	1.129046	0.610511	0.473099	
350.000000	1.134600	1.358331	0.009141	
362.500000	1.164057	1.635164	0.996700	
375.000000	1.121013	1.012193	2.489140	
387.500000	1.182454	1.693370	1.476633	
400.000000	1.196522	1.952272	1.502249	
412.500000	1.200602	1.629253	1.800617	
425.000000	1.177254	1.079271	1.999388	
437.500000	1.158930	1.244121	1.816444	
450.000000	1.196864	1.904164	1.733099	
462.500000	1.195108	1.490004	1.888990	
475.000000	1.187747	1.654186	1.993200	
487.500000	1.217090	1.541190	1.833299	
500.000000	3.373931	20.35427	6.033880	

TABLE 9-Cont.  
TEST 3-b

Displ.

30  
25  
20  
15  
10  
5  
5  
10  
15  
20  
25  
30

Scale X-axis 1 unit = 6.25  
Y-axis 1 unit = 1

CHART 3  
TEST 3-b

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY


FRE

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
LAYER	1	0.	-1265.	-1265.	-1265.				
LAYER	2	-822.	-879.	-879.	-57.				
LAYER	3	-736.	-791.	-791.	-55.				
LAYER	4	-650.	-704.	-164.	487.				
LAYER	5	-164.	-1545.	-1489.	-1325.				
LAYER	6	-160.	-1009.	-212.	689.				
LAYER	7	571.	-316.	-206.	1459.				
LAYER	8	1970.	724.	832.	1151.				
LAYER	9	688.	424.	528.	1506.				
LAYER	10	1657.	610.	712.	1070.				

TABLE 10  
TEST 3-c

ORIGINAL PAGE 19  
OF POOR QUALITY

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 3 - C  
GAGE # 1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Bar wall thickness-Inch

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 47-1

STRESS  
PSI




FIG. 47-2



STRESS  
PSI

+30,000  
+25,000  
+20,000  
+15,000  
+10,000  
+5,000  
0  
-5,000  
-10,000  
-15,000  
-20,000  
-25,000  
-30,000

TEST # 3-c  
GAGE # 3


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

0.005 0.010 0.015 0.020 0.025 0.030 0.035 0.040 0.045


Bar wall thickness-Inch

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 47-3



STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 3-c  
GAGE # 14

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Bar wall thickness-Inch

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 47-4


LAYER	1	6936.	-3468.	-3468.	6936.
LAYER	2	13965.	-4674.	-4674.	9348.
LAYER	3	4716.	-115.	-1591.	6135.
LAYER	4	5231.	2276.	-170.	5232.
LAYER	5	15160.	4831.	-9823.	19988.
LAYER	6	4205.	-3297.	-13716.	905.
LAYER	7	-4196.	1700.	-509.	7686.
LAYER	8	-2163.	60.	-504.	9752.
LAYER	9	-1397.	60.	-500.	9473.
LAYER	10	-1514.	-2041.	-2595.	9898.
LAYER	11	-5008.	-3686.	-4234.	9083.
LAYER	12	-2230.	5036.	-3231.	12221.
LAYER	13	2412.	6386.	3549.	8516.
LAYER	14	2691.	7231.	4119.	9341.
LAYER	15	4128.	11548.	10736.	9908.
LAYER	16	447.	3557.	2754.	5572.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE 11  
TEST 4-a

in S. inch

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 4-a  
GAGE # 1


Per wall thickness - Inch

FIG. 48-1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG. 48-2





FIG. 48-3

psi to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 4-a  
GAGE # 4

Bar wall thickness - Inch

FIG. 48-4

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER FITTED

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY**

FIG. 49  
TEST 4-b



15 3  
 4  
 15 7  
 15 8  
 19 11  
 19 12  
 19 15  
 19 16  
 23 15  
 23 16  
 23 17  
 23 18  
 23 19  
 23 20  
 23 21  
 23 22  
 23 23  
 23 24  
 23 25  
 23 26  
 23 27  
 23 28  
 23 29  
 23 30  
 23 31  
 23 32  
 23 33  
 23 34  
 23 35  
 23 36  
 23 37  
 23 38  
 23 39  
 23 40  
 23 41  
 23 42  
 23 43  
 23 44  
 23 45  
 23 46  
 23 47  
 23 48  
 23 49  
 23 50  
 23 51  
 23 52  
 23 53  
 23 54  
 23 55  
 23 56  
 23 57  
 23 58  
 23 59  
 23 60  
 23 61  
 23 62  
 23 63  
 23 64  
 23 65  
 23 66  
 23 67  
 23 68  
 23 69  
 23 70  
 23 71  
 23 72  
 23 73  
 23 74  
 23 75  
 23 76  
 23 77  
 23 78  
 23 79  
 23 80  
 23 81  
 23 82  
 23 83  
 23 84  
 23 85  
 23 86  
 23 87  
 23 88  
 23 89  
 23 90  
 23 91  
 23 92  
 23 93  
 23 94  
 23 95  
 23 96  
 23 97  
 23 98  
 23 99  
 23 100

A(I)	X(I)	Y(I)	H(I)
6.250000	-0.5966464E-06	-0.4768372E-06	0.8000000
18.750000	0.14222350	3.025710	21.27261
31.250000	0.10355034	0.6008103	5.804739
43.750000	0.1536738	-0.4747725E-01	-0.3101592
56.250000	0.3530619	-2.097229	-5.940116
68.750000	0.4636920	-0.9322674	-2.9010532
81.250000	0.6124296	1.778944	2.904733
93.750000	0.6691294	4.909606	7.337305
106.250000	0.5985094	4.480725	7.486473
118.750000	0.5771630	2.577475	4.465766
131.250000	0.5081584	-0.8742760	-1.726479
143.750000	0.3569202	-1.445958	-4.051208
156.250000	0.3067014	-2.693244	-8.781325
168.750000	0.1319940	-0.1417594	-1.073984
181.250000	0.3868750E-01	1.528063	39.49758
193.750000	0.2482751E-01	2.696932	108.6268
206.250000	0.1252199	1.699881	-13.57517
218.750000	-0.1356764	-0.6496987	4.788592
231.250000	-0.2483852	-2.505574	10.088745
243.750000	-0.3615775	-4.002553	11.06970
256.250000	-0.3721835	-3.425724	9.204395
268.750000	-0.4800001	-1.800000	3.749999
281.250000	-0.5270284	0.5250531E-01	-0.9962520E-01
293.750000	-0.5069322	2.634300	-5.196552
306.250000	-0.5321749	1.769805	-3.325607
318.750000	-0.4183192	-0.4726929	1.129981
331.250000	-0.2923848	-2.985789	10.21185
343.750000	-0.2638633	-5.040104	19.10119
356.250000	-0.8043749E-01	-3.427313	42.60840
368.750000	-0.6234031E-01	-2.895551	46.44750
381.250000	-0.4044751E-02	-1.568370	387.7544
393.750000	-0.8384279E-01	-2.077255	-24.77559
406.250000	-0.5606281E-01	-2.544590	45.38819
418.750000	-0.1999991E-01	-4.977800	248.8911
431.250000	-0.7657378E-01	-5.177842	67.61899
443.750000	-0.1291295	-6.079607	47.08147
456.250000	0.1372340E-01	-2.210812	-161.0979
468.750000	-0.6609529E-01	-1.140132	-17.24983
481.250000	0.6369241E-03	1.641525	2577.270
493.750000	0.2187983	3.370970	15.40675


TABLE 12  
TEST 4-b

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

12.50000	0.1000000E-02	0.0000000E+00	0.0000000E+00	11
25.00000	1.206526	0.4028735	0.3339120	12
37.50000	0.4280995	-2.051101	-4.791178	
50.00000	0.4125961E-01	-0.9722238	-23.56358	
62.50000	0.2118492	-0.5496376	-2.594476	15
75.00000	-0.1891608	-2.443632	-12.91828	16
87.50000	-0.1895338	-2.842466	-15.74478	
100.0000	-0.1831975	0.9678778	-5.283248	
112.5000	-0.3996087	-2.430842	6.083057	15
125.0000	-0.3349030	-4.173017	12.46037	26
137.5000	-0.3907262	-4.034981	10.32688	
150.0000	-0.3926228	-1.997907	5.088618	
162.5000	-0.2618207	-0.7891093	3.013930	23
175.0000	-0.2722762	-2.216675	-8.141274	24
187.5000	-0.2417294	-0.3937166	-1.628750	
200.0000	-0.1714505	-0.7588269E-01	0.4425924	
212.5000	-0.2292777	-3.630111	15.83281	27
225.0000	-0.1806045	-3.422874	18.95232	28
237.5000	-0.2225881	-3.798648	17.06582	
250.0000	-0.2959282	-1.129967	3.818384	
262.5000	-0.2543463	0.6651324	-2.615066	31
275.0000	-0.2900000	-2.349997	-8.103438	32
287.5000	-0.1991120	1.978463	-9.936432	+
300.0000	-0.1277306	0.6878973	-5.385534	
312.5000	-0.4025210E-01	-3.505224	87.08176	35
325.0000	0.1232414	-3.370935	-27.35229	36
337.5000	0.2698410	-4.235034	-15.69455	
350.0000	0.4599614	-0.1865638	-0.4056074	
362.5000	0.6242960	0.7228066	1.157795	39
375.0000	0.7687280	-2.144940	2.790246	40
387.5000	0.9392939	1.244772	1.325221	
400.0000	1.107763	1.615122	1.458003	
412.5000	1.251294	0.6437061	0.5144323	43
425.0000	1.413190	2.249764	1.591976	44
437.5000	1.446601	3.781776	2.614250	
450.0000	1.545822	7.065997	4.571028	
462.5000	1.574095	10.28475	6.533754	47
475.0000	1.467952	9.203225	6.269432	48
487.5000	1.431122	8.284515	5.788827	
500.0000	1.018516	9.361416	9.191234	

TABLE 12 Cont.  
TEST 4-b

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

4-191


60191

	GAGE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
LAYER	1	0.	-2784.	0.	0.				
LAYER	2	-3471.	-7000.	0.	0.				
LAYER	3	-58.	-172.	0.	-2459.				
LAYER	4	-3041.	-171.	2984.	-58.				
LAYER	5	-114.	2178.	4752.	4638.				
LAYER	6	-3064.	-111.	172.	58.				
LAYER	7	-2413.	-2353.	-2072.	-2185.				
LAYER	8	-5186.	-2646.	112.	-0.				
LAYER	9	-2349.	-2233.	111.	-0.				
LAYER	10	-4791.	-2476.	-2090.	-2199.				
LAYER	11	-4170.	-3998.	51.	-3723.				

TABLE 13  
TEST 4-c

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

STRESS  
PSI



LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 50-1

STRESS  
PSI





FIG. 50-2

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 4-c  
GAGE # 3

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Bar wall thickness-Inch

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 50-3

STRESS  
PSI




FIG. 50-4




	0604	1	2	3	4	
1	LAYER	1	7563,	7563,	3782,	14357,
	LAYER	2	5910,	9433,	3795,	5932,
	LAYER	3	10793,	13209,	-3516,	21435,
	LAYER	4	6476,	10317,	-6508,	12704,
	LAYER	5	12335,	11379,	9019,	13290,
	LAYER	6	9499,	14097,	-15706,	13571,
	LAYER	7	3087,	5000,	7744,	5799,
	LAYER	8	8948,	12034,	21060,	12301,
2	LAYER	9	1542,	-7180,	-11680,	2915,
	LAYER	10	2411,	-2034,	-5174,	5587,
	LAYER	11	-1018,	1000,	2992,	6705,
	LAYER	12	1134,	-1128,	-387,	11994,
3	LAYER	13	1380,	870,	1089,	1535,

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE 14  
TEST 5-a

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 5-a  
GAGE # 1

Bar wall thickness-Inch


FIG. 51-1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI

TEST # 5-a  
GAGE # 2




LAYER ETCHED


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG. 51-2

STRESS  
PSI



STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 5-a  
GAGE # 5

Bar wall thickness - Inch

FIG. 51-4

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY


LAYER ETCHED

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
LAYER	1	25737.	-19303.	-19303.	28955.	25737.	22520.	25737.	
LAYER	2	13744.	-8647.	-10308.	15462.	13744.	12026.	13744.	
LAYER	3	12397.	-7805.	-9298.	13947.	10961.	9412.	10961.	
LAYER	4	13785.	-8672.	-8785.	15508.	12174.	12005.	13728.	
LAYER	5	14108.	-10412.	-10524.	15872.	13995.	10749.	12513.	
LAYER	6	15330.	-8991.	-10973.	21223.	17090.	14939.	17089.	
LAYER	7	7633.	-4588.	-4753.	8798.	9023.	5806.	7579.	
LAYER	8	12179.	-7677.	-7838.	13826.	12241.	10533.	12127.	
LAYER	9	11035.	-6547.	-6705.	13777.	11095.	8294.	9881.	
LAYER	10	14817.	-10298.	-8859.	15460.	18064.	12583.	16303.	
LAYER	11	10881.	-6876.	-6110.	11511.	11053.	9334.	10832.	
LAYER	12	8611.	-5226.	-5262.	9836.	9392.	7890.	9790.	
LAYER	13	12713.	-7561.	-8756.	14524.	12933.	14330.	13940.	
LAYER	14	8412.	-5433.	-6114.	10283.	10398.	7252.	9125.	

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE 17  
TEST 6-a

STRESS  
SI



TEST # 6-a  
GAGE # 1


Bar wall thickness - Inch

FIG. 52-1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 6-a  
GAGE # 2

Bar wall thickness-Inch

FIG. 52-2

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



1000 PSI = 1 Inch

STRESS  
PSI

TEST # 6-a  
GAGE # 3

+30,000  
+25,000  
+20,000  
+15,000  
+10,000  
+5,000  
0  
-5,000  
-10,000  
-15,000  
-20,000  
-25,000  
-30,000

0.005

0.010

0.015

0.020

0.025

0.030

0.035

0.040

Bar wall thickness - Inch


Fig. 52-3

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

540.0

psi  
res to the inch


STRESS  
PSI



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG. 52-4

STRESS  
PSI




Bar wall thickness - Inch

FIG. 52-5

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Stress to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI




Per wall thickness-Inch

FIG. 52-6

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

STRESS IN THE INCH

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 6-a  
GAGE # 7

Bar wall thickness - Inch

FIG. 52-7

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




FIG. 53  
TEST 6-b

A(I)

X(I)

Y(I)

H(I)

6.250000	0.3576279E-06	0.4768372E-06	1.333333
18.750000	-0.8449934E-06	1.6444052	-1.9456660
31.250000	-0.7065322	1.8864440	-2.6699999
43.750000	-0.1239962E-01	1.5764388	-12.71711
56.250000	-0.8717401E-01	2.0340661	-23.33334
68.750000	0.9474022E-01	1.6024688	16.91433
81.250000	1.0166656E-01	1.3102277	1.288811
93.750000	0.4175756	-0.1783623	-0.4271376
105.250000	0.5524458	-0.1268218	-0.2295643
118.750000	0.7550866	-0.1735545	-0.9741208
131.250000	0.8651939	-0.1809401	-0.1851399
143.750000	0.8954032	-0.1809401	-0.9039518
156.250000	0.6321120	-0.1828899	-0.3311459
168.750000	0.2914844	-0.1988790	-3.403940
181.250000	1.4572500	-0.1814562	5.569134
193.750000	0.2895272E-01	-0.1814431	-28.12969
206.250000	0.1436379E-02	-0.1018542	-709.10339
218.750000	0.1925933	-0.4994590	2.5933303
231.250000	0.3070853	-0.3824143	2.2453003
243.750000	0.1627415	0.3114554E-01	-0.1913496
256.250000	0.2189682	0.9757568	-4.4561588
268.750000	0.1750000	1.0750000	-6.142856
281.250000	0.2116939E-01	1.435429	67.80681
293.750000	0.3809435E-01	2.533814	6.651381
306.250000	0.2277831	2.132142	9.360403
318.750000	0.4031679	2.470745	6.360403
331.250000	0.5712488	2.832443	6.192832
343.750000	0.3372043	2.434328	7.219150
356.250000	0.2925750	1.637812	5.597924
368.750000	0.7832099E-01	0.9789969	-12.49877
381.250000	0.7442870E-01	0.8463519E-01	1.137131
393.750000	0.3069355E-01	1.3953911	1.288191
406.250000	0.7583335	1.204067	1.587775
418.750000	0.3693926	3.129444	5.764717
431.250000	0.7877651	3.399868	4.315840
443.750000	0.9175759	5.546637	6.044882
456.250000	1.105596	7.489402	6.774084
468.750000	0.8660555	8.617260	10.01366
481.250000	0.2840406	8.180313	28.79874
493.750000	0.2778889	7.006353	25.21279

TABLE 18  
TEST 6-b

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

275.500000	0.10000000E-02	0.00000000E+00	0.00000000E+00	
275.500000	-0.4093776	0.7032880	-1.717944	
275.500000	0.1420565	0.3168283	2.230297	11
275.500000	0.4035198	-0.3506109	-0.868816	12
275.500000	0.5346471	-1.184508	-2.215496	
275.500000	0.6186816	-1.648966	-2.665290	
275.500000	0.4352871	-2.817468	-6.472666	15
275.500000	0.4602023	-2.679888	-5.823283	16
275.500000	0.2020495	-1.783396	-8.826530	
275.500000	0.7160344E-01	-0.7814512	-10.91360	
275.500000	0.7565469E-01	-0.8211999	-10.85458	15
275.500000	0.4800499E-01	0.1265418	6.636013	20
275.500000	0.2492783E-01	-0.4859872	18.73520	
275.500000	0.5133691	0.2592283	-0.5049550	
275.500000	0.1984497	0.6273271	-3.161138	
275.500000	0.4274627E-01	1.027417	24.03524	21
275.500000	0.2492783E-01	1.009048	-40.47875	24
275.500000	0.1494069	1.428983	-9.564369	
275.500000	0.5149814E-02	1.5250996	247.99077	27
275.500000	0.2066526	1.089453	10.11535	26
275.500000	0.6642999E-01	1.440320	-21.68178	
275.500000	0.6700003E-01	1.224999	-18.28356	
275.500000	0.3207290E-01	1.328511	41.42139	31
275.500000	0.1034663	-0.5864501	5.668033	32
275.500000	0.8481196E-01	-0.5292915E-01	0.6240765	
275.500000	0.3950346	-0.4497278	1.138452	
275.500000	0.2736679	-0.8942394	3.267608	35
275.500000	0.1118472	-1.949600	7.015057	36
275.500000	0.2268647	-2.273858	20.33004	
275.500000	0.12306699E-01	-2.521960	12.15378	
275.500000	0.5906609	-2.527615	204.92099	35
275.500000	0.8099376	-2.008877	-4.279300	40
275.500000	0.8810978	-2.186671	-2.480286	
275.500000	0.9625416	-2.159704	-2.481757	
275.500000	0.7863476	-1.421986	-1.243751	43
275.500000	2.680912	0.4879630	-0.808343	44
275.500000	1.681481	3.960007	0.1820138	
275.500000	1.400330	7.239397	2.355070	
275.500000	0.9778438	11.60467	5.169778	47
			11.86761	48

TABLE 18 Cont.  
TEST 6-b

11  
12  
15  
16  
15  
20  
21  
24  
27  
26  
31  
32  
35  
36  
35  
40  
43  
44  
47  
48  
51  
52  
55  
56  
58  
60  
63  
64  
67  
68



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SCALE

X-Axis 1 unit = 6.25 Hz  
Y-Axis 1 unit = 1 unit



FREQUENCY

CHART 6  
TEST 6-b

43.47  
25.1

GAGE #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
LAYER 1	-2933.	-2933.	-5866.	0.	-5866.	-2933.	-5866.	
LAYER 2	1448.	-57.	2896.	1505.	-115.	-1563.	-115.	
LAYER 3	1176.	-2407.	1176.	1232.	-2463.	-1289.	-3638.	
LAYER 4	1949.	-3953.	3842.	2005.	-4009.	-2061.	3501.	
LAYER 5	1800.	-283.	3544.	3541.	-3709.	-3596.	-5222.	
LAYER 6	1272.	-2483.	1386.	-1924.	-2651.	-2540.	-1436.	
LAYER 7	3217.	-3380.	1832.	-1334.	-5042.	-1940.	1112.	
LAYER 8	2489.	-2649.	1465.	-975.	-2869.	-1570.	1834.	
LAYER 9	4033.	-601.	4028.	43.	-4407.	-2337.	3388.	
LAYER 10	3922.	-594.	3917.	1728.	763.	-3962.	3285.	
LAYER 11	2902.	538.	1775.	2343.	1395.	-2941.	2275.	
LAYER 12	3150.	-2907.	1893.	1407.	1671.	-3189.	2536.	
LAYER 13	7823.	-7583.	4226.	28100.	6363.	-7861.	7216.	
LAYER 14	1947.	-2691.	-188.	2681.	-3415.	957.	1349.	


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE 19  
TEST 6-c

TABLE 19 (continued)

DEPTH	OF	PIVETS	IN	NO. OF	PIVETS
1.0'				18	
2.0'				18	
3.0'				20	
4.0'				25	
5.0'					
6.0'					
7.0'					
8.0'					

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 6-c  
GAGE # 1

Bar wall thickness-Inch

FIG. 54-1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI

+30,000

+25,000

+20,000

+15,000

+10,000

+5,000

0

-5,000

-10,000

-15,000

-20,000

-25,000

-30,000

TEST # 6-c  
GAGE # 2

Bar wall thickness-Inch

0.005

0.010

0.015

0.020

0.025

0.030

0.035

0.040


0.045

FIG. 54-2

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED


STRESS  
PSI



LAYER ETCHED

1000 lbs. per sq. inch

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 6-c  
GAGE # 4


Bar wall thickness-Inch

FIG. 54-4

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 6  
GAGE # 5


Bar wall thickness-Inch

FIG. 54-5

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI




LAYER ETCHED

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG. 54-6



STRESS  
PSI



Bar wall thickness - Inch

FIG. 54-7

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED


LAYER	1	29713.	-29713.	-23771.	17828.	17828.	17828.	17828.
LAYER	2	44841.	-35930.	-35873.	26904.	26904.	35815.	35815.
LAYER	3	21797.	-18202.	-18145.	14493.	14493.	14550.	11014.
LAYER	4	11520.	-13525.	-11348.	9055.	11176.	9113.	9055.
LAYER	5	30471.	-30415.	-24446.	18363.	24275.	18420.	12508.
LAYER	6	22419.	-18873.	-15212.	14927.	22021.	14984.	11380.
LAYER	7	17805.	-15027.	-12135.	9187.	17411.	9243.	1077.
LAYER	8	20349.	-9259.	-1695.	4997.	19957.	12333.	1070.
LAYER	9	18808.	-5359.	1592.	-1871.	11865.	4853.	-5491.
LAYER	10	14813.	1911.	2422.	-6737.	10272.	5663.	-7136.
LAYER	11	19929.	-5472.	1865.	1217.	5713.	8503.	7650.
LAYER	12	18880.	-2104.	3767.	-4041.	18096.	12281.	11433.
LAYER	13	21420.	-6627.	-1419.	5680.	20639.	10953.	-3491.
LAYER	14	23416.	-10107.	-5395.	9164.	14673.	9958.	4962.
LAYER	15	12942.	-5301.	4656.	-1752.	8996.	2095.	-5086.
LAYER	16	15100.	1442.	2393.	-2488.	10433.	9538.	-10276.
LAYER	17	15869.	-2215.	2664.	1175.	14877.	10054.	-7138.
LAYER	18	11616.	-5902.	-1205.	4868.	14330.	9688.	4311.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE 20  
TEST 7-a

in Stress to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 7-3  
GAGE # 1

Bar wall thickness, Inch


FIG. 55-1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

In Stress in the Inch

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 7-3  
GAGE # 2

Bar wall thickness - Inch

FIG. 55-2

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

Stress to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI

+30,000  
+25,000  
+20,000  
+15,000  
+10,000  
+5,000  
0  
-5,000  
-10,000  
-15,000  
-20,000  
-25,000  
-30,000

TEST # 7-a  
GAGE # 3

0.005

0.010

0.015

0.020

0.025

0.030

0.035

0.040


0.045

Bar wall thickness-Inch

FIG. 55-3


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED



Stress to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 7-a  
GAGE # 4

Bar wall thickness-Inch


FIG. 55-4

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

in. x 10<sup>-3</sup> inch

STRESS  
PSI




Bar wall thickness - Inch

FIG. 55-5

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED



In Sp. ms to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI




Fig. 55-7

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




FIG. 56  
TEST 7-b

SPEEDHEAD WITH EYE TRACK PATENT PENDING

CONTINUOUS INTERFLOO MOORE BUSINESS FORMS INC. H.4

6.250000	-0.1192093E-06	0.7152557E-06	-6.060000
18.750000	1.324972	1.735201	1.309613
31.250000	0.8268281	1.876595	2.269631
43.750000	-1.727668	0.4448196	-0.2574683
56.250000	-1.218540	-2.186089	-1.794023
68.750000	0.8199350	-1.791428	-2.164841
81.250000	0.4339552E-01	-0.6402678	-14.75424
93.750000	0.5444562	0.2579578	0.4737899
106.250000	0.8148764	1.257541	1.543229
118.750000	0.8996522	0.9459059	1.071413
131.250000	0.6981044	1.285714	1.841722
143.750000	0.2337615	0.4986301	2.133072
156.250000	-0.1324508	-0.1005131	-0.7588715
168.750000	0.6586843E-01	-0.2221962	3.333334
181.250000	0.1402501	0.1937502	1.381462
193.750000	0.1160381	0.1361681	1.173477
206.250000	0.1496218	-0.1079704E-01	-0.7216222E-01
218.750000	0.1050289	-0.9879037E-01	-0.9406021
231.250000	-0.7076846E-01	-0.5840568E-02	-0.8253066E-01
243.750000	-0.1485789	-0.3410231	2.255233
256.250000	-0.1924364	-0.1418860	0.7373138
268.750000	-0.4000026E-01	-0.2500003	6.249966
281.250000	0.1330673	-0.3785491E-01	-0.2844795
293.750000	0.1082482	-0.3287502E-01	-0.3037003
305.250000	0.1626519	0.2590370	1.552586
318.750000	0.6152168	0.5895820	0.9563321
331.250000	0.6849760	0.9601308	1.401700
343.750000	0.5403027	0.5505469	1.018960
356.250000	0.5167500	0.2812501	0.5442672
368.750000	0.2099017	-0.1777225	0.8476941
381.250000	0.8737256E-01	0.1344267	1.538546
393.750000	0.4199593	0.1204891	0.2869067
406.250000	0.5206719	0.2159201	0.4146952
418.750000	0.7044503	0.5389189	0.7680205
431.250000	0.5689838	0.9031010	1.587217
443.750000	0.6554378E-01	1.4922043	2.216407
456.250000	0.7932011	0.5154500	0.6498352
468.750000	-2.518612	-1.426191	-0.5662606
481.250000	-2.107212	-2.556440	-1.213186
493.750000	-1.962778	-7.266361	-3.702080

TABLE 21  
TEST 7-b

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

12.50000	0.10000000E-02	0.0000000E+00	0.0000000E+00
25.00000	-1.9052247	0.4490613	-0.2355971
37.50000	-2.556141	-2.010060	0.863652
50.00000	-1.035801	-2.723342	2.629213
62.50000	-0.4026100	-1.751148	4.349490
75.00000	0.2423844	0.5824772	2.402907
87.50000	1.134577	0.9987937	0.8803226
100.00000	0.9098348	1.185913	1.303438
112.50000	0.5232278	0.5836106	1.115405
125.00000	0.2520475	0.1581805	0.6275820
137.50000	-0.4268087E-02	-0.4385279	102.7458
150.00000	-0.4388625E-01	-0.7074940	16.12108
162.50000	0.23864071	0.1124433	0.3925994
175.00000	0.5174829	0.1925565	0.3721022
187.50000	0.5079238	0.7252962	1.427662
200.00000	0.4265256	0.4066316	0.9533581
212.50000	0.3729886	0.1930622	0.5176089
225.00000	0.1971029	0.4643727E-01	0.2355991
237.50000	0.8756790E-01	0.5594628E-01	0.6388903
250.00000	0.8440178E-02	0.8230597E-01	9.151686
262.50000	0.23309831E-01	0.2991935	12.95304
275.00000	0.1399999	0.1499999	1.071424
287.50000	0.4183480E-01	0.1363680	3.259679
300.00000	0.5715900E-01	0.4089679	7.154907
312.50000	0.1675933	0.1294436	0.7723672
325.00000	0.2760330	0.1261660	0.4581554
337.50000	0.1538582	0.3545940	2.304681
350.00000	0.2027971	0.2127579	1.049117
362.50000	0.2173983	0.2273317	0.7162348
375.00000	0.4596533	0.2853926	0.6222404
387.50000	0.6858622	0.5790534	0.8442708
400.00000	1.061981	1.528833	1.439605
412.50000	1.094164	1.601085	1.463295
425.00000	1.232552	1.672747	1.357141
437.50000	1.029447	1.546781	1.502536
450.00000	0.4196649	0.2765870	0.6590664
462.50000	0.3838868	0.7436554	1.937174
475.00000	0.8284854	1.942926	2.345154
487.50000	1.567071	1.329173	0.8481893
500.00000	3.081077	2.489605	0.8080309

TABLE 21-Cont.

TEST 7-b

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

C-3

102.715

1sp.

SCALE

X AXIS 1 UNIT=625HZ  
Y AXIS 1 UNIT=1 UNIT

30  
25  
20  
15  
10  
5  
-5  
-10  
-15  
-20  
-25  
-30

FREQUENCY

CHART 7  
TEST 7-c

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY OF VIBRATION FREQUENCIES OF TESTS

TEST NO.	VIBRATION FREQUENCY	FROM FIG. NO.
1	62	CHART 1
2	162	CHART 2
3	56 or 180	CHART 3
4	50	CHART 4
5	70	CHART 5
6	55	CHART 6
7	80 & 135	CHART 7

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE 23

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

PART FOUR

Summary Of Current Investigation

The research program currently underway investigates the patterns of residual stresses in welded A36 steel structures of various geometrical designs, formed of hot rolled bars of various widths and thicknesses. High tensile stresses of about 25,000 to 30,000 psi were found at the weld; these dropped rapidly to compressive stresses in the range of 3,000 to 5,000 psi which spread for some depth through the bar thickness.

The pattern of stresses at a distance from the weld was reversed, showing high compressive stresses at the outer layers. The outer layer stresses, however, were of a lesser degree than the stresses found at the weld.

In the second phase of the study, a load cell was used together with an accelerometer to generate an impulse and analyze the response. A vibration frequency within the range of 100 cps was chosen to generate a peak displacement. Such a limited frequency was chosen to reduce the damage to the steel by fatigue, due to the vibration. A set of computer programs was designed for choosing the appropriate frequency. This technique was found to be less expensive than using a commercial spectrum or Fourier analyzer. Results showed that the most effective pulse varies between 50 and 80 cps.



At present, the steel structures are vibrated using an MB model C10 mechanical vibrator with attached panel to control the frequency to the required value.

#### Future Work Plan

A new study is suggested using a variable speed motor with eccentric load.<sup>(32)</sup> The motor will be connected to a metallic frame fixed firmly to the ground. The motor speed can be adjusted to generate vibrations at the specified frequency. The study can be performed in the field, or where the frame is to be located. This technique presents a practical way to test the method away from laboratory facilities. The frequency should be determined using the same present load cell and the accelerometer. The pulse can be recorded on the screen of a portable oscilloscope and analyzed using the same computer programs already developed.

Fatigue testing of specimens prepared from the sides of the welded boxes showed a life span of a fatigue limit of 30,000 psi. This investigation needs to be continued. Similar studies are being carried out at present on specimens cut from boxes after vibration. Most of the vibrations so far are limited to a maximum of 80 cps. For the future investigations, similar boxes will be vibrated at higher frequencies chosen according to other peak values that were registered from the impulse analyses.

This study will examine the effect of high vibrations on the fatigue life of the steel and establish a relationship between the magnitude of the vibration and the fatigue life of the metal.

The study will be expanded to include aluminum which is used on a large scale in the aerospace industry. Aluminum can be etched using caustic soda solution, a technique that was applied in studying residual stresses in drawn tubes.<sup>(22)</sup> The study will use the same basic equipment, including the strain indicator, the resistance box and either the existing laboratory mechanical vibrator or the new planned variable speed motor. Basic and fundamental theories and computer programs presently developed will be used.

---

This project heretofore has examined the effectiveness of vibration in reducing residual stresses from welding various shapes of steel structures. The present activity, part of which is reported here, examined the pattern of stresses in some more steel structures, aluminum structures and the effectiveness of vibration in reducing these stresses. A second part of the activity studied the fatigue life of steel exposed to vibration treatment. Further, and as reported before, a variable speed motor has been added to our testing equipment and was used to vibrate some metallic frames at the required frequency.

A new set of steel frames was formed by welding bars 4 and 8 inch wide by  $\frac{1}{4}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch thick. Details of shapes and dimensions are given in Fig. 57.

The results of stress distributions, measured as before, are presented in Figs. 58-65, 76-82 and 92-96. The second phase covered the load pulse and the acceleration response analyses and their results are given in Figs. 66-67, 83-84 and 97. The three frames were vibrated at 78, 63 and 112 cps for 15 minutes respectively.

It was noticed that when the frame was an open structure, test2, Figs. 76-82, the peaks of the stresses were lower than when the frame was restricted and had a closed shape, test1, Figs. 58-65.

The cross connection between the bars in test 3 helped to reduce the stresses and the results showed a maximum stress of about 5,000 psi only, Figs. 92-96.

The aluminum (6061T6) studies started by testing a bar as received and showed that the material was exposed to some residual stresses of about 3,000 to 4,000 psi which were mostly developed due to the straightening process following the extrusion and treatment of the bars. The few tests carried out so far show that the welding caused peak residual stresses of about  $8 \times 10^3$  psi that appeared at a certain depth from the outer surface. That may be due to the higher conductivity of aluminum to the heat of welding as compared to mild steel and such a behavior may reduce the risk of surface cracks. These conclusions however cannot be final. The experiments on welding are still in their first stage and further investigations are needed.

An investigation of how vibration affects the fatigue life of steel was carried out on steel specimens of the shape shown in Fig. 119. The investigation was essential particularly for steel exposed to dynamic loading.

The specimens were machined locally for both the non-vibrated and vibrated metal boxes. They were tested using a classical rotating beam, constant load fatigue testing machine, of the type shown in the same figure.

Each specimen was mounted between two rotating spindles. Stresses were continuously reversed and the steel was passed through complete cycles of flexural stress. The load consisted of accurately adjusted weights stacked on a hanger and the machine operated continuously at a speed of 10,000 rpm.

Stress was calculated from

$$S = 16 wL / \pi D^3$$

Where:-

S=extreme fiber stress, psi

w=total load on the specimen in lbs.

L=moment arm, i.e., distance from end support to load point (in inches)

And D=minimum diameter of specimen (4 inch)

A maximum fiber stress of 30,000 psi was assumed for the structural steel under study, for which experiments showed a fatigue life span, or cycles to failure of  $2 \times 10^6$  cycles and higher. The microstructure analysis of the grain showed no effect of vibrations, Figs. 120-123.

The conclusion as of now is that the vibration of steel structures within 100 cps and for a limited time period of about 15 minutes does not fatigue the steel while it significantly reduces the peaks of residual stresses at the weld zone:

Our school of engineering has received a new testing machine MTS-Type 810 and I intend to use it in the near future for some further studies on the fatigue life of the vibrated

metallic structures, both steel and aluminum.

As reported before, a variable speed motor with a speed range of 700 to 4500 rpm has been added to our testing equipment. It was mounted on a heavy channel-section's base, and provided with an eccentric at the end of its spindle.

The eccentric was connected to the side of the frame to be vibrated, Fig. 124. The vibration lasted for about 15 minutes at the required frequency decided upon by the impulse study and the analysis of the signal registered on the oscilloscope. This technique proved successful and opens the way for a practical method of vibrating the metallic structures at the chosen frequency, in the field as well as in the laboratory.

In conclusion I can say that although the surface stresses due to welding mild steel structures are high, yet the design of the connections may limit the severity of these stresses. The technique of vibrating the structure at resonance within about 100 cps for a short period of about 15 minutes reduces the peak values of stresses in both steel and aluminum structures.

As far as fatigue life of the metal, there is no indication at the moment of any sound effect of a limited vibration on the fatigue of steel, especially if such vibration is performed within 100 cps.







TEST NO.	FIG. NO.	BOX SHAPE	NATURE OF TEST	LENGTH x WIDTH x DEPTH x WALL THICKNESS	STRAIN GAUGE ARRANGEMENT	MATERIAL AND REMARKS
1	a	2-9	(a) Stresses Before Vibration (b) Impact Test (c) Stresses After Vibration.	36"x36"x8"x 1/2"		M.St.
	b	10-11				
	c	12-19				
2	a	20-26		36"x36"x36"x 4"x 1/4"		M.St.
	b	27-28				
	c	29-35				
3	a	36-40		36"x4"x36"x8"x 1/4"		M.St.
	b	41				
	c	42-46 47-49				
4				36"x4"x 1/4"		Aluminum As Received
5	a	50-55		36"x36"x4"x 1/4"		Aluminum
	b	56-57				
	c	58-62				

FIG. 57

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
LAYER	1	29713.	-29713.	-23771.	17828.	17828.	17828.	17828.	
LAYER	2	44841.	-35930.	-35873.	26904.	26904.	35815.	35815.	
LAYER	3	21797.	-18202.	-18145.	14493.	14493.	14550.	11014.	
LAYER	4	11520.	-13525.	-11348.	9055.	11176.	9113.	9055.	
LAYER	5	30471.	-30415.	-24446.	18363.	24275.	18420.	12508.	
LAYER	6	22419.	-18873.	-15212.	14927.	22021.	14984.	11380.	
LAYER	7	17805.	-15027.	-12135.	9187.	17411.	9243.	1077.	
LAYER	8	20349.	-9259.	-1695.	4997.	19957.	12333.	1070.	
LAYER	9	18808.	-5359.	1592.	-1871.	11865.	4853.	-5491.	
LAYER	10	14813.	1911.	2422.	-6737.	10272.	5663.	-7136.	
LAYER	11	19929.	-5472.	1865.	1217.	5713.	8503.	7650.	
LAYER	12	18880.	-2104.	3767.	-4041.	18096.	12281.	11433.	
LAYER	13	21420.	-6627.	-1419.	5680.	20639.	10953.	-3491.	
LAYER	14	23416.	-10107.	-5395.	9164.	14673.	9958.	4962.	
LAYER	15	12942.	-5301.	4656.	-1752.	8996.	2095.	-5086.	
LAYER	16	15100.	1442.	2393.	-2488.	10433.	9538.	-10276.	
LAYER	17	15869.	-2215.	2664.	1175.	14877.	10054.	-7138.	
LAYER	18	11616.	-5902.	-1205.	4868.	14330.	9688.	4311.	


TEST 1-a

FIG. 58



IN STRESS TO THE TENSILE

STRESS  
PSI




ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG. 59

LAYER ETCHED

In Seconds to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI



STRESS  
PSI





FIG. 61

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

STRESS  
PSI





FIG. 63

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

Stress to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 1-2  
GAGE # 6


FIG. 64

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS

PSI




ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR  
QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 65

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



TEST 1-d  
FIG. 66



Disp.

201

SCALE

X: AXIS 1 UNIT=625HZ

Y: AXIS 1 UNIT=1 UNIT

FREQUENCY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY


TEST 1-b  
FIG. 67

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
LAYER	1	0.	4466.	4466.	4466.	4466.	4466.	8932.	
LAYER	2	-4452.	8961.	4509.	58.	58.	58.	4567.	
LAYER	3	2659.	5606.	5548.	5491.	2774.	5491.	2889.	
LAYER	4	0.	4997.	4940.	9593.	4825.	9593.	9649.	
LAYER	5	-3050.	-5757.	3336.	287.	6271.	287.	-2707.	
LAYER	6	3625.	225.	4024.	7649.	3968.	7649.	7647.	
LAYER	7	3163.	3386.	3560.	6723.	6667.	6723.	3559.	
LAYER	8	58.	3931.	4103.	7812.	7756.	7812.	4102.	
LAYER	9	58.	3972.	4143.	4259.	4203.	4259.	7779.	
LAYER	10	-2928.	6363.	3547.	3662.	3607.	3662.	3604.	
LAYER	11	-0.	5079.	5191.	9880.	5250.	5305.	5247.	
LAYER	12	-3593.	559.	670.	4434.	4322.	4377.	4319.	
LAYER	13	-4061.	4560.	4670.	4898.	4786.	4841.	8787.	

TEST ? -C  
FIG 68

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 1-C  
GAGE # 1


FIG. 69

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

3-10-54

STRESS  
PSI



TEST #1-C  
GAGE #2


FIG. 70

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

Plot against the inch

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 1-C  
GAGE # 3


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 71

10  
11  
12


STRESS  
PSI



LAYER ETCHED

100  
100  
100

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 1-C  
GAGE # 5

FIG. 73

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

10 Spaces to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI




FIG. 74


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

Doc 2-1  
100-100  
10/57



STRESS  
PSI




ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4	5	6
LAYER	1	-12894.	-12894.	11461.	12894.	-12894.	-11461.
LAYER	2	-12986.	-12986.	11147.	11205.	-12986.	-12929.
LAYER	3	-12082.	-12082.	6382.	4577.	-12082.	-13887.
LAYER	4	-7158.	-6171.	-1019.	-1020.	-6171.	-6172.
LAYER	5	-7798.	-7742.	-966.	-967.	-6849.	-7743.
LAYER	6	-5979.	-6940.	-2362.	-2363.	-5866.	-4908.
LAYER	7	-5212.	-4435.	-1059.	-1060.	-4323.	-4323.
LAYER	8	-4011.	-3084.	-2246.	-2247.	-2975.	-2101.
LAYER	9	-503.	-2213.	-3445.	-3447.	-3017.	-2050.
LAYER	10	-1307.	-3004.	-4263.	-3419.	-2111.	-1999.
LAYER	11	257.	-2166.	-4927.	-3720.	-912.	-802.
LAYER	12	-198.	-1273.	-2987.	-2962.	-272.	-1009.
LAYER	13	37.	-245.	-2516.	-2351.	-35.	13.

TEST 2-a  
FIG. 76

STRESS  
PSI



LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 77

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

-30,000

-25,000

-20,000

-15,000

-10,000

-5,000

0

+5,000

+10,000

+15,000

+20,000

+25,000

+30,000





FIG. 78


STRESS  
PSI



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI




LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 80

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 2-a  
GAGE # 5


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 81

5/6

STRESS  
PSI




LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 82

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY.



TEST 2-b  
FIG. 83

Disp.



SCALE

X AXIS 1 UNIT=625HZ

Y AXIS 1 UNIT=1 UNIT

FREQUENCY

TEST 2-b  
FIG. 84

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
LAYER	1	2107.	-4215.	-2107.	-2107.	2107.	4215.		
LAYER	2	3133.	-3190.	-3133.	-1595.	3133.	3190.		
LAYER	3	2660.	-2717.	-2660.	-2603.	2660.	2717.		
LAYER	4	1353.	-2481.	-2426.	-2369.	-1863.	1409.		
LAYER	5	2953.	-3064.	2229.	-2955.	-2457.	3007.		
LAYER	6	3255.	-3364.	2543.	-3257.	2858.	3308.		
LAYER	7	3457.	-3565.	2756.	-3459.	-1295.	3510.		
LAYER	8	3534.	-2201.	2844.	-2097.	100.	2147.		
LAYER	9	4852.	-4899.	2808.	-4797.	98.	3482.		
LAYER	10	3739.	-3786.	3013.	-3684.	-2723.	3676.		
LAYER	11	2415.	-3856.	1700.	-3756.	-2810.	3748.		
LAYER	12	4248.	-4350.	3544.	-4252.	-1727.	2651.		

TEST 2-C  
FIG. 85

in Stress to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI





FIG. 86

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

In Strains to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI



in Squares to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI




FIG. 88

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI





FIG. 89

123  
1234  
12345

STRESS  
PSI




LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 90



10 Sec. rms to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI




ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4	5
LAYER	1	4776.	3184.	1592.	-1592.	
LAYER	2	5135.	3423.	1712.	-1712.	
LAYER	3	3789.	1951.	1837.	-114.	
LAYER	4	4054.	2083.	170.	-112.	
LAYER	5	3951.	3727.	1863.	-111.	
LAYER	6	2349.	446.	223.	-110.	
LAYER	7	2383.	440.	220.	-1775.	
LAYER	8	764.	-880.	-1098.	-1478.	
LAYER	9	-665.	-3878.	-1260.	-1634.	
LAYER	10	-2551.	-4658.	-1520.	-1889.	
LAYER	11	-2348.	-4342.	38.	-326.	
LAYER	12	-3687.	1222.	37.	-321.	
LAYER	13	-2590.	-94.	-1387.	1108.	

TEST 3-a  
FIG. 92

STRESS  
PSI




ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

TEST #3-a  
GAGE #1

FIG. 93


STRESS  
PSI




LAYER ETCHED

100-2  
11-1-52  
100-2

STRESS  
PSI



STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 3-a  
GAGE # 4

FIG. 96

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

Disp.

24.39  
at 137

9.72  
at 225

at 268.75

SCALE

X-AXIS 1 UNIT=625HZ  
Y-AXIS 1 UNIT=1 UNIT

FREQUENCY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TEST3-b  
FIG. 97

13.67  
at 325

35.41  
at 493.75

5

4

3

2

1

-1

-2

-3

-4

-5

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY


	GAGE #	1	2	3	4
LAYER	1	-1769.	-1769.	1769.	-1769.
LAYER	2	-57.	-964.	-850.	-1870.
LAYER	3	-1699.	-3399.	-3288.	-1813.
LAYER	4	-112.	-1938.	-1828.	-1937.
LAYER	5	-111.	-2069.	-1960.	-2068.
LAYER	6	-110.	-2018.	-1910.	-3701.
LAYER	7	-108.	-3726.	-1950.	-2113.
LAYER	8	-1762.	-2151.	-3644.	-2150.
LAYER	9	-1468.	-3157.	-1749.	-3155.
LAYER	10	-3029.	-3464.	-1900.	-3462.
LAYER	11	-3539.	-2362.	-2150.	-3966.
LAYER	12	1005.	-2246.	-2037.	-2302.
LAYER	13	991.	-848.	-3371.	-2268.
LAYER	14	1102.	579.	-746.	-2359.
LAYER	15	1088.	-764.	-734.	-988.

TEST 3-C  
FIG. 98




117  
118  
119


STRESS  
PSI



STRESS  
PSI



STRESS  
PSI




TEST # 3-C  
GAGE # 3

FIG. 101

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 102


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3
AYER	1	-3594.	-2396.	
AYER	2	-2543.	-1695.	
AYER	3	-2653.	-1965.	
AYER	4	-4557.	-3976.	
AYER	5	-2841.	-3629.	
AYER	6	-2347.	-3972.	
AYER	7	-2937.	-3808.	
AYER	8	-3574.	-4705.	
AYER	9	-3841.	-4634.	
AYER	10	-2841.	-2429.	
AYER	11	-2149.	-259.	

TEST 4  
FIG. 103

10 Squares to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 104

STRESS  
PSI

+30,000  
+25,000  
+20,000  
+15,000  
+10,000  
+5,000  
0  
-5,000  
-10,000  
-15,000  
-20,000  
-25,000  
-30,000


TEST # 4  
GAGE # 2

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

0.005 0.010 0.015 0.020 0.025 0.030 0.035 0.040 0.045

FIG. 105



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4	5
AYER	1	-3355.	-2982.	-3728.	2982.	2982.-
AYER	2	-4501.	-5022.	-6683.	4482.	5022.-
AYER	3	-9001.	-7918.	-12350.	4648.	6835.
AYER	4	-4713.	-6383.	-9939.	5459.	7208.
AYER	5	-582.	-3728.	1376.	5416.	5067.-
AYER	6	6570.	-3479.	3102.	8407.	7385.
AYER	7	8742.	-4937.	5162.	10858.	10894.
AYER	8	4413.	-3160.	2175.	4957.	4617.
AYER	9	7438.	-4988.	6320.	7347.	7362.
AYER	10	11607.	-5806.	9262.	10916.	9058.
AYER	11	10411.	-5134.	6635.	6310.	6939.-
AYER	12	9258.	-4451.	6045.	5509.	5505.-
AYER	13	11451.	-5518.	7343.	7433.	6745.-
AYER	14	10507.	-4527.	6115.	5709.	5685.-
AYER	15	10114.	-3984.	6239.	5771.	6942.-


TEST 5-a

FIG. 106



STRESS PSI

STRESS  
PSI




TEST # 5-a  
GAGE # 1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 107

STRESS  
PSI




TEST # 5-a  
CAGE # 2

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG. 108

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI



TEST #5-a  
GAGE #3

FIG. 109

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI

+30,000  
+25,000  
+20,000  
+15,000  
+10,000  
+5,000  
0  
-5,000  
-10,000  
-15,000  
-20,000  
-25,000  
-30,000


TEST # 5-a  
GAGE # 4

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

0.005 0.010 0.015 0.020 0.025 0.030 0.035 0.040 0.045


FIG. 110

LAYER ETCHED



100  
50  
25

STRESS  
PSI




ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 111

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



TEST 5-b

FIG. 112

Disp.



SCALE

X AXIS 1 UNIT=625HZ

Y AXIS 1 UNIT=1 UNIT

FREQUENCY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TEST 5-b

FIG. 11.3

50235

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4
AYER	1	-2085.	-2607.	-2085.	1043.
AYER	2	-3504.	-4380.	-3504.	2608.
AYER	3	-2254.	-2293.	-2953.	99.
AYER	4	-1281.	-1320.	-3434.	-1502.
AYER	5	-5667.	-5706.	-3961.	-5378.
AYER	6	-2290.	-2328.	-2828.	-3446.
AYER	7	-2521.	-2558.	-2597.	-2818.
AYER	8	-5055.	-4524.	-3428.	-3153.
AYER	9	-7644.	-7661.	-5043.	-5643.
AYER	10	-3694.	-3710.	-2416.	-2990.
AYER	11	-6506.	-6522.	-4019.	-3813.
AYER	12	-6600.	-5827.	-8019.	-7815.
AYER	13	-5708.	-5060.	-6232.	-6031.
AYER	14	-4268.	-4735.	-5151.	-4953.
AYER	15	-4636.	-4096.	-5650.	-5454.


TEST 5-C  
FIG.114



SEP 51  
1000  
15

in Squares to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 5-c  
GAGE # 1


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED


FIG. 115

10 Separations to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI




STRESS  
PSI



LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY









FIG.119

Fatigue Testing Machine And Specimen

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

X400  
As Received




X600  
As Received



FIG. 120

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

X400  
Vibrated



X600  
Vibrated





FIG. 121

X400  
As Received



X400  
Vibrated






FIG. 122



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

X600  
As Received



X600  
Vibrated




FIG. 123

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY





FIG. 124

Variable Speed Electric Motor  
With Eccentric For Vibrations

. PART FIVE

Summary of current investigations

The research project has examined the effectiveness of vibration in reducing residual stresses from welding various shapes of A36 steel structures(L-shape connections). It is currently investigating such patterns in welded 6061T6 aluminum, that had been cold extruded.

High tensile stresses of about 25,000 to 30,000 psi were found at the weld surface of the steel bars which dropped rapidly to compressive stresses in the range of 3,000 to 5,000 psi that spread for some depth through the bar thickness. A cross connection between the bars(T connections) helped to reduce the stresses and the results showed maximum surface stresses of about 5,000 psi only. It was noticed also that when the frame was an open structure, the peaks of the stresses were lower than when the frame was restricted and had a closed shape.

Patterns of stresses at a short distance from the weld were reversed, showing high compressive stresses at the outer layers, but to a lesser degree than those found at the weld.

A load cell and an accelerometer were used to generate an impulse in the steel frame and analyze the response picked on the screen of an oscilloscope. A vibration frequency within the range of 100 cps was chosen to generate a peak displacement.

Such a limited frequency was chosen to reduce the damage to the steel by fatigue, due to vibration. A set of computer programs was designed for choosing the appropriate frequency.

Results showed that the most effective pulse varied between 50 and 80 cps.

Originally the steel structures were vibrated using an MB model C10 mechanical vibrator with attached panel to control the frequency to the required cps. Later a variable speed motor with eccentric load was used and connected to the metallic frame. The motor speed could be adjusted to generate vibrations at the specified frequency. This new approach was to investigate the possibility of vibrating the metallic frames in the field. The pulse was recorded on the screen of a portable oscilloscope and analyzed using the same computer programs developed previously.

Fatigue testing of specimens prepared from the sides of the welded steel boxes were essential particularly for welded steel structures exposed to dynamic loading. Results showed a life span of a fatigue limit of 30,000 psi. The specimens for these tests were machined locally for both the non-vibrated and the vibrated metal boxes. They were tested using a classical rotating beam constant load fatigue testing machine, where the specimen was mounted between two rotating

spindles and stresses were continuously reversed. This investigation needs to be continued and a more advanced testing machine MTS-Type810 that our department has purchased recently will be used. It is also planned to widen the study and examine the effect of higher vibrations on the fatigue life of the steel and establish a relationship between the magnitude of the vibration and the fatigue life of the metal.

The second phase of the project which started few months ago deals with the above mentioned aluminum which is being used on a large scale in the aerospace industry. While the stress distribution for the steel was studied by etching the metal in diluted nitric acid solution, the aluminum is being etched using caustic soda solution. Both techniques were used before studying the distribution of residual stresses in tubes and plates(22,24&25). The study used the same equipment used for steel including electric strain gages, strain indicator and resistance box and similar computer programs modified to suit the aluminum.

Preliminary studies showed that the as received (cold extruded) aluminum bars were exposed to some residual stresses of about 3 to  $4 \times 10^3$  psi which were mostly developed due to the straightening process following the extrusion and treatment of the bars. The few tests carried out so far showed peak residual stresses of about 8 to  $10 \times 10^3$  psi that

appeared at a certain depth from the outer surface at the weld. This may be due to the higher conductivity of aluminum to the heat of welding as compared to the mild steel and such a behavior may reduce the risk of surface cracks. Such studies are still in their first stage and the conclusions cannot be final.

#### Future Work Plan

To continue the investigations on aluminum structures.

To expand the fatigue testing analysis for both the steel and aluminum, using the new testing machine MTS-Type 810.

In order to support the findings of the patterns of stress distributions, it is necessary to continue the study by measuring temperature distribution that takes place while welding and to correlate the patterns of both the stress and temperature. Thermoelectric technique using thermocouple wires of 0.0005 inch introduced into holes drilled in the metallic bars or spot welded to the bar sides. Temperatures can be measured at successively decreasing distances from the outer surface, together with temperatures at various distances from the weld zone. The state of affair may necessitate carrying out extrapolation to find the temperature at the weld. In addition a radiation pyrometer will be used to check on the temperature at the weld.

This section of the project studied the effectiveness of vibration on releasing residual stresses generated by welding aluminum bars to various shapes. The frames were formed of bars 3 and 4 inch wide by  $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{3}{8}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch thick. Details are given in Fig.125

Results of the stress distribution were worked out as before, and are presented in Figures 126-130, 132-141, 143-152, 154-163 and 165-169.

The load pulse and acceleration response analyses are given in Figs.131, 142, 153 and 164.

The four frames were vibrated at 82, 69, 85 and 50 cps respectively for 15 minutes. An unsuccessful trial of vibrating the frames at 26, 24, 35 and 27 cps, was performed for 15 minutes but the embeded stresses were not effectively reduced. It was found necessary to vibrate at the higher frequencies given above.

It was observed that the thicker the bars and the more restrained the structure (Tests 2&4) the higher the magnitude of the trapped stresses.

Both aluminum and mild steel structures showed comparatively high tensile residual stresses generated by welding. The main difference is that peak stresses appeared at the outer layers of the mild steel bars, while it appeared at a slight depth from the outer layer of the aluminum bars. Such a behavior is mostly due to the higher conductivity of the aluminum.



TEST NO.	FIG. NO.	BOX SHAPE	NATURE OF TEST	LENGTH X WIDTH X DEPTH X WALL THICKNESS	STRAIN GAUGE ARRANGEMENT	MATERIAL AND REMARKS
1	a		(a) Stresses Before Vibration (b) Impact Test (c) Stresses After Vibration	36"x36"x4"x $\frac{1}{4}$ "		Aluminum
	b				Before (4)(3)(2) (1) After (3)(1)(2) (4)	
	c					
2	a			36"x36"x4"x $\frac{3}{8}$ "	Before And After 	Aluminum
	b				(3)(1)(2) (4)	
	c					
3	a			36"x36"x3"x $\frac{1}{2}$ "	Before And After 	Aluminum
	b				(4) (3)(2)(1)	
	c					
4	a			36"x36"x3"x $\frac{1}{2}$ "	Before And After 	Aluminum
	b				(3)(1)(2) (4)	
	c					

FIG. 125

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4
AYER	1	-1209.	4837.	3023.	3023.
AYER	2	-1471.	2544.	2007.	99.
AYER	3	-3328.	-284.	-362.	-1518.
AYER	4	-3948.	-4569.	-2513.	-3163.
AYER	5	-5009.	-7520.	-4606.	-4159.
AYER	6	-5217.	-7016.	-6390.	-4933.
AYER	7	-4768.	-6830.	-5801.	-4489.
AYER	8	-5252.	-7592.	-5964.	-5467.
AYER	9	-5371.	-6816.	-6117.	-5605.
AYER	10	-5187.	-7246.	-6011.	-4960.
AYER	11	-5110.	-7138.	-5921.	-4886.
AYER	12	-4465.	-6396.	-5231.	-4244.
AYER	13	-4811.	-6485.	-5219.	-4594.

TEST 1-a  
FIG. 126

STRESS  
PSI

+30,000  
+25,000  
+20,000  
+15,000  
+10,000  
+5,000  
0  
-5,000  
-10,000  
-15,000  
-20,000  
-25,000  
-30,000

TEST # 1-a  
GAGE # 1

0.005 0.010 0.015 0.020 0.025 0.030 0.035 0.040 0.045


FIG. 127

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

REV. 2  
1/27/54

STRESS  
PSI




TEST # 1-a  
GAGE # 2

FIG. 128

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI



SECRET  
NO FORN DISSEM

STRESS  
PSI





FIG. 130

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

isp.



50.12745

64.51753

SCALE

X AXIS 1 UNIT = 1.25 HZ  
 Y AXIS 1 UNIT = 1 UNIT

FREQUENCY

TEST 1-b  
 FIG. 1.31

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
 OF POOR QUALITY

1c882

516.100

2.88-21

30610-68

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4
AYER	1	1099.	1099.	-1099.	1099.
AYER	2	-1161.	-2361.	-2440.	-2361.
AYER	3	1325.	-2690.	-3431.	-3353.
AYER	4	1220.	-3072.	-3168.	-3682.
AYER	5	2307.	-1887.	-3097.	-3041.
AYER	6	2477.	308.	-3888.	-2672.
AYER	7	912.	-2965.	-3915.	-3821.
AYER	8	-2034.	-3181.	-2897.	-1661.
AYER	9	1195.	-1960.	-2737.	-2606.
AYER	10	1326.	-1033.	-2995.	-2865.
AYER	11	242.	-1105.	-3297.	-3787.
AYER	12	-261.	0.	-2389.	-2283.
AYER	13	-304.	46.	-2493.	-2388.
AYER	14	-888.	-446.	-2602.	-3039.

TEST 1-c  
FIG.132



U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

STRESS  
PSI




FIG. 133


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

133

Load applied in pounds per square inch

STRESS  
PSI



LAYER ETCHED

134

4-5-60-10-11-12-13-14-15-16-17-18-19-20-21-22-23-24-25-26-27-28-29-30-31-32-33-34-35-36-37-38-39-40-41-42-43-44-45-46-47-48-49-50-51-52-53-54-55-56-57-58-59-60-61-62-63-64-65-66-67-68-69-70-71-72-73-74-75-76-77-78-79-80-81-82-83-84-85-86-87-88-89-90-91-92-93-94-95-96-97-98-99-100-101-102-103-104-105-106-107-108-109-110-111-112-113-114-115-116-117-118-119-120-121-122-123-124-125-126-127-128-129-130-131-132-133-134-135-136-137-138-139-140-141-142-143-144-145-146-147-148-149-150-151-152-153-154-155-156-157-158-159-160-161-162-163-164-165-166-167-168-169-170-171-172-173-174-175-176-177-178-179-180-181-182-183-184-185-186-187-188-189-190-191-192-193-194-195-196-197-198-199-200-201-202-203-204-205-206-207-208-209-210-211-212-213-214-215-216-217-218-219-220-221-222-223-224-225-226-227-228-229-230-231-232-233-234-235-236-237-238-239-240-241-242-243-244-245-246-247-248-249-250-251-252-253-254-255-256-257-258-259-260-261-262-263-264-265-266-267-268-269-270-271-272-273-274-275-276-277-278-279-280-281-282-283-284-285-286-287-288-289-290-291-292-293-294-295-296-297-298-299-300-301-302-303-304-305-306-307-308-309-310-311-312-313-314-315-316-317-318-319-320-321-322-323-324-325-326-327-328-329-330-331-332-333-334-335-336-337-338-339-340-341-342-343-344-345-346-347-348-349-350-351-352-353-354-355-356-357-358-359-360-361-362-363-364-365-366-367-368-369-370-371-372-373-374-375-376-377-378-379-380-381-382-383-384-385-386-387-388-389-390-391-392-393-394-395-396-397-398-399-400-401-402-403-404-405-406-407-408-409-410-411-412-413-414-415-416-417-418-419-420-421-422-423-424-425-426-427-428-429-430-431-432-433-434-435-436-437-438-439-440-441-442-443-444-445-446-447-448-449-450-451-452-453-454-455-456-457-458-459-460-461-462-463-464-465-466-467-468-469-470-471-472-473-474-475-476-477-478-479-480-481-482-483-484-485-486-487-488-489-490-491-492-493-494-495-496-497-498-499-500-501-502-503-504-505-506-507-508-509-510-511-512-513-514-515-516-517-518-519-520-521-522-523-524-525-526-527-528-529-530-531-532-533-534-535-536-537-538-539-540-541-542-543-544-545-546-547-548-549-550-551-552-553-554-555-556-557-558-559-560-561-562-563-564-565-566-567-568-569-570-571-572-573-574-575-576-577-578-579-580-581-582-583-584-585-586-587-588-589-590-591-592-593-594-595-596-597-598-599-600-601-602-603-604-605-606-607-608-609-610-611-612-613-614-615-616-617-618-619-620-621-622-623-624-625-626-627-628-629-630-631-632-633-634-635-636-637-638-639-640-641-642-643-644-645-646-647-648-649-650-651-652-653-654-655-656-657-658-659-660-661-662-663-664-665-666-667-668-669-670-671-672-673-674-675-676-677-678-679-680-681-682-683-684-685-686-687-688-689-690-691-692-693-694-695-696-697-698-699-700-701-702-703-704-705-706-707-708-709-710-711-712-713-714-715-716-717-718-719-720-721-722-723-724-725-726-727-728-729-730-731-732-733-734-735-736-737-738-739-740-741-742-743-744-745-746-747-748-749-750-751-752-753-754-755-756-757-758-759-760-761-762-763-764-765-766-767-768-769-770-771-772-773-774-775-776-777-778-779-780-781-782-783-784-785-786-787-788-789-790-791-792-793-794-795-796-797-798-799-800-801-802-803-804-805-806-807-808-809-810-811-812-813-814-815-816-817-818-819-820-821-822-823-824-825-826-827-828-829-830-831-832-833-834-835-836-837-838-839-840-841-842-843-844-845-846-847-848-849-850-851-852-853-854-855-856-857-858-859-860-861-862-863-864-865-866-867-868-869-870-871-872-873-874-875-876-877-878-879-880-881-882-883-884-885-886-887-888-889-890-891-892-893-894-895-896-897-898-899-900-901-902-903-904-905-906-907-908-909-910-911-912-913-914-915-916-917-918-919-920-921-922-923-924-925-926-927-928-929-930-931-932-933-934-935-936-937-938-939-940-941-942-943-944-945-946-947-948-949-950-951-952-953-954-955-956-957-958-959-960-961-962-963-964-965-966-967-968-969-970-971-972-973-974-975-976-977-978-979-980-981-982-983-984-985-986-987-988-989-990-991-992-993-994-995-996-997-998-999-1000

STRESS  
PSI


+30,000  
+25,000  
+20,000  
+15,000  
+10,000  
+5,000  
0  
-5,000  
-10,000  
-15,000  
-20,000  
-25,000  
-30,000

TEST #1-c  
GAGE #3


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 135



STRESS  
PSI



LAYER ETCHED

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY**

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4
AYER	1	5821.	-8003.	5921.	-8731.
AYER	2	7564.	-9269.	7564.	-8466.
AYER	3	6081.	-9052.	4644.	-7615.
AYER	4	5363.	-8788.	6948.	-5500.
AYER	5	7158.	2419.	7158.	3848.
AYER	6	10873.	5127.	10873.	7803.
AYER	7	12174.	4968.	12970.	9164.
AYER	8	11715.	3708.	10346.	8189.
AYER	9	15251.	6955.	13408.	10117.
AYER	10	13522.	6685.	12091.	9912.
AYER	11	14586.	7780.	12937.	12215.
AYER	12	12048.	9061.	11911.	13394.
AYER	13	12824.	10575.	13532.	14691.
AYER	14	10619.	9035.	10505.	11776.
AYER	15	10031.	9923.	11299.	12861.

TEST 2-a  
FIG.137

C-4

STRESS  
PSI



TEST #2-a  
GAGE #1


FIG. 138

LAYER ETCHED

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY


10 Squares to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI



100-100000

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 2-a  
GAGE # 3


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG. 140

LAYER ETCHED



STRESS  
PSI



Disp.

30  
25  
20  
15  
10  
5  
-5  
-10  
-15  
-20  
-25  
-30

184.2.411

148.9219

100.0657

124.7806

75.78993  
75.52037

SCALE

X AXIS 1 UNIT=125HZ  
Y AXIS 1 UNIT=1 UNIT

FREQUENCY

TEST 2-b  
FIG. 142

122.049

91.6720  
59.9187

1496.28  
38.3641  
7.43212  
2.12588

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4
AYER	1	1533.	767.	-1533.	-1533.
AYER	2	872.	-1644.	-2536.	-40.
AYER	3	1881.	-2753.	812.	-1861.
AYER	4	2457.	-2438.	1494.	-2437.
AYER	5	2310.	-856.	1398.	-1573.
AYER	6	3618.	-156.	2555.	675.
AYER	7	3520.	1459.	1676.	2267.
AYER	8	2891.	2408.	1782.	1588.
AYER	9	3356.	1900.	3071.	1901.
AYER	10	3384.	2164.	1650.	2165.
AYER	11	2918.	1621.	1811.	1622.
AYER	12	2042.	1518.	989.	802.
AYER	13	2689.	1489.	1655.	787.
AYER	14	2107.	2281.	1738.	830.

TEST 2-c  
FIG. 143

10 Squares to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 2-c  
GAGE # 1

FIG. 144

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

1/4 Squares to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI

+30,000  
+25,000  
+20,000  
+15,000  
+10,000  
+5,000  
0  
-5,000  
-10,000  
-15,000  
-20,000  
-25,000  
-30,000


TEST # 2  
GAGE # 2

0.005 0.010 0.015 0.020 0.025 0.030 0.035 0.040 0.045

FIG. 145


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED



10 Squares to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 2-c  
GAGE # 3

FIG. 146

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

30 Squares to the Inch

STRESS  
PSI




FIG. 147

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4
AYER	1	1773.	3546.	3546.-12413.	
AYER	2	11289.	11309.	11309.-13663.	
AYER	3	10705.	7197.	8961.	-9080.
AYER	4	10086.	8424.	6803.	-8563.
AYER	5	6126.	7528.	3201.	-1897.
AYER	6	8549.	7515.	6441.	543.
AYER	7	5703.	6942.	4306.	4665.
AYER	8	5502.	4274.	1737.	6888.
AYER	9	6319.	8139.	2404.	12768.
AYER	10	1684.	1664.	-2983.	6099.
AYER	11	4584.	-2980.	-7067.	10096.
AYER	12	-8697.	-11226.	-11598.	19323.
AYER	13	-5562.	-5720.	-9264.	11459.
AYER	14	-6081.	-6237.	-8342.	14054.

TEST 3-a  
FIG.148



STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 3-a  
GAGE # 1

FIG. 149

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI

TEST # 3-a  
GAGE # 2





FIG. 150

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED


STRESS  
PSI



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI



TEST # 3-a  
GAGE # 4

FIG. 152

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

Disp.

30

25

20

15

10

5

-5

-10

-15

-20

-25

-30

38

37.5

TEST 3-b  
FIG. 1.53

154-4

154-8

154-3

49.75

45.86

69.5

154-2

154-3

14.12

154-3

SCALE

X AXIS 1 UNIT=2.5 HZ  
Y AXIS 1 UNIT=1 UNIT

FREQUENCY


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4
AYER	1	2479.	2479.	1239.	-3718.
AYER	2	2880.	1933.	1913.	-1953.
AYER	3	4363.	3277.	4324.	-2231.
AYER	4	4831.	4791.	4792.	-138.
AYER	5	5316.	3252.	5277.	-2161.
AYER	6	5851.	4673.	5813.	-176.
AYER	7	5272.	5174.	5233.	2236.
AYER	8	5097.	3857.	2774.	2151.
AYER	9	2993.	2877.	1721.	3492.
AYER	10	2905.	2789.	542.	3365.
AYER	11	4058.	3943.	-1717.	4538.
AYER	12	1909.	615.	-3043.	4824.
AYER	13	-1130.	-1263.	-1442.	3934.
AYER	14	-1330.	-2476.	-2654.	4320.

TEST 3-c  
FIG. 154

STRESS  
PSI







11  
12  
13  
14

STRESS  
PSI



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TEST #3  
GAGE #3

FIG. 157

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI




FIG. 1.58

LAYER ETCHED

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4
AYER	1	3362.	3362.	-6723.	-5603.
AYER	2	6189.	5167.	-5227.	-5207.
AYER	3	5996.	5976.	-4872.	-4852.
AYER	4	6685.	5384.	-5423.	-4122.
AYER	5	6178.	4204.	-1341.	633.
AYER	6	7820.	5647.	657.	1783.
AYER	7	6076.	4868.	3992.	4090.
AYER	8	5501.	4169.	5688.	6980.
AYER	9	4754.	2619.	5752.	5869.
AYER	10	2838.	-2279.	6827.	5958.
AYER	11	-2165.	-4503.	7233.	7328.
AYER	12	-4742.	-5072.	9139.	9233.
AYER	13	-5102.	-4264.	8539.	8633.
AYER	14	-4020.	-4326.	7479.	8734.

TEST 4-a  
FIG. 159

STRESS  
PSI




FIG. 160

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

REV. 2-1-61  
10/15/61

STRESS  
PSI



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY


TEST # 4-a  
GAGE # 2

FIG. 1.61

LAYER ETCHED

4-11-1945


STRESS  
PSI




LAYER ETCHED

4-11-1945

Doc. No. 100-100000-100000



Disp.



SCALE

X AXIS 1 UNIT=625HZ  
Y AXIS 1 UNIT=1 UNIT

FREQUENCY

TEST 4-b  
FIG. 164

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

	GAGE #	1	2	3	4
AYER	1	2061.	2061.	-2061.	-1030.
AYER	2	1159.	2279.	-2279.	-2259.
AYER	3	2514.	3761.	-1307.	-1287.
AYER	4	3282.	3322.	-99.	-79.
AYER	5	3632.	4829.	-2414.	-1236.
AYER	6	3675.	4887.	2169.	2208.
AYER	7	5099.	5177.	2316.	1149.
AYER	8	3227.	3305.	1861.	2838.
AYER	9	3521.	2561.	3096.	3135.
AYER	10	2530.	1555.	2108.	3179.
AYER	11	2869.	-645.	3633.	3691.
AYER	12	2895.	-1841.	3677.	4913.
AYER	13	1593.	-2584.	4276.	4353.
AYER	14	-423.	-2632.	3320.	4410.

TEST 4-c  
FIG. 165

STRESS  
PSI




TEST # 4-c  
GAGE # 1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 166

STRESS  
PSI




TEST # 4-c  
GAGE # 2

FIG. 167

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

STRESS  
PSI




TEST # 4-c  
GAGE # 3

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

LAYER ETCHED

FIG. 168

STRESS  
PSI



LAYER ETCHED

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

PART SIX

Previous experimental investigations proved that a mechanical vibration of a suitable frequency within 100 cps can be used to reduce the peaks of residual stresses at the weld zone for both structural steel and aluminum frames. The vibration period is limited to 15 minutes in order to eliminate any fatigue in the metal bars.

The earlier experiments with vibration techniques pointed up the need to examine temperatures at the weld point as they affect residual stresses and their distribution. It is believed that controlling sharp changes in temperature will modify or eliminate the harmful effects of residual stresses.

The basis for the calculations of heat flow originated in the work of Roberts<sup>(16)</sup> and Rosenthal<sup>(17)</sup>. Various assumptions were necessary in the derivations of the mathematical formulae, including the possible radiation and convection losses<sup>(18)</sup>.

The experimental work involved measuring the temperature along and across the weld and through the depth following the bead deposit. Chromel-alumel thermocouples with refrasil insulation were used for that purpose. The junction of each thermocouple was mounted in a hole drilled in the bar to be welded at specific locations, as given in the figures with the experimental results.

Sometimes the thermocouples were dipped into the molten metal to check the actual melting temperature.\* Signals from the thermocouples were recorded using a pyrometer and a multi-channel switch. Equipment has been calibrated by the Omega manufacturers and rechecked locally on campus.

Results are presented as curves of temperature against time. The curves have a wide application for different speeds of moving heat source and for differing bar width. Results showed the max. temperature always located under the source of heat while it was moving across the metallic bar. Temperature increased through the bar thickness with the successive beads being deposited.

At the beginning of the weld and during the first pass, a steep temperature gradient was always encountered. But as the bars got hot the variation of the temperature narrowed. The multi bead deposition was carried out on both the A36 steel and the 6061T6 aluminum bars using the arc welding technique.

As the heat source crossed the metallic bar, the temperature dropped sharply from the weld zone along the bar, i.e., in a direction at right angles to the weld. This sharp drop was due to several reasons including the metal conductivity, the speed of weld, the bar proportions and the radiation and convection heat losses.

\*A36 steel indicated a melting temperature of 2300°F  
6061T6 aluminum indicated a melting temperature of 1100°F




Figures 172,173 show the variations in temperature in the direction of weld and that these variations narrow with the successive beads. This supports the idea of limiting the development of stresses if the metal is preheated. It also indicates that the larger the number of passes the better the temperature distribution and the less the danger of the internal stresses.

The same line of discussion is true for other tests where temperatures are measured along the bar , i.e., at right angles to the weld direction, the case that represents the most critical problem as far as the variation in temperatures and correspondingly the peaks of the generated residual stresses are concerned.

At present several tests are being carried out on both steel and aluminum bars of various thicknesses and widths. This is done in order to confirm the temperature distribution characteristics and to find out the effect of the bar proportions on the degrees of heat losses and the sudden differential temperature.


For future work, a study will be added to investigate the beneficial effects of stress-relief on the metallurgical structure of both the aluminum and steel and how can this be related to the fatigue strength of the metal. An investigation will be carried out on the possible reduction or control of the effects of peak temperatures at the weld zone by raising the temperature of the structure members around this zone using some type of induction heating thereby reducing the sudden differential of temperature in the surrounding metal.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



A36 Steel bars


FIG.170



6061T6 - Aluminum Bars

FIG.171

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



A36 Steel Bar 4" x  $\frac{1}{2}$ "

FIG. 172


Gauge arrangement  
Holes are drilled from the bottom side of the bar

TABLE 24

GAUGE # 1			GAUGE # 2		
1st. Pass	2nd. Pass	3rd. Pass	1st. Pass	2nd. Pass	3rd. Pass
32	400	550	32	392	536 °F
78	400	550	68	392	520
200	450	550	212	438	572
380	560	730	392	572	752
680	730	955	692	752	932
1020	930	1100	1022	932	1112
1270	1120	1120	1282	1118	1115
1475	1335	1080	1472	1364	1076
1375	1300	1020	1382	1292	1030
1160	1170	1010	1152	1180	1020
1030	1090	1000	1036	1112	1004
935	1035	1000	932	1066	980
905	1020	960	890	1020	950
860	1000	950	852	990	950

NOTE: Readings are taken every 6 seconds

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Arc Welding

Speed of weld = 3.5 inch/minute  
Amp. 140, Volt 30, dia. of rod 1/8 inch

A36 Steel Bar 4" x  $\frac{1}{2}$ "

Gauge arrangement

Holes are drilled from the sides of the bar .





FIG.174

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY**

TABLE 25

GAUGE # 1			GAUGE # 2			GAUGE # 3			GAUGE # 4		
1st. P	2nd. P	3rd. P	1st. P	2nd. P	3rd. P	1st. P	2nd. P	3rd. P	1st. P	2nd. P	3rd. P
0	250	500	0	270	400	0	250	400	0	250	450
950	1280	1370									
			1150	1370	1270						
						50	270	450			
									100	320	520
900	1120	1270									
			1000	1150	1070						
						150	400	600			
									290	500	700
700	950	1090									
			800	960	900						
						270	610	825			
									500	720	880
540	815	995									
			630	810	730						
						430	830	1050			
									750	960	1030
450	710	820									
			490	690	600						
						600	1010	1190			
									900	1130	1135
340	630	770									
			380	580	490						
						685	1060	1250			
									880	1170	1170
280	555	650									
			310	470	400						
						700	1030	1260			


NOTE:- Readings are taken every 3 seconds





Steel Bar 6 inch x 1/4 inch

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



Arc Welding  
Amp. 80, Volt 30, Dia. of rod 3/32"

FIG. 176

Speed of weld=3.5 inch/minute

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE 26


GAUGE # 1		GAUGE # 2		GAUGE # 3		GAUGE # 4		GAUGE # 5		GAUGE # 6	
1st. P	2nd. P	1st. P	2nd. P	1st. P	2nd. P	1st. P	2nd. P	1st. P	2nd. P	1st. P	2nd. P
0	370	0	150	0	300	0	300	0	155	0	300
770	630	50	175								
				50	320						
						70	310				
								40	195		
										70	320
820	850										
		350	275								
				225	380						
						175	370				
								80	255		
										150	360
750	780										
		500	420								
				475	500						
						310	450				
								130	325		
										230	415
680	680										
		450	490								
				730	630						
						490	530				
								200	400		
										320	480
590	590										
		350	390								
				925	825						
						600	600				
								300	460		
										425	540

TABLE 26 Cont.										
530	530									
		280	300							
				920	960					
						580	580			
								400	500	
										530 620
450	450									
		250	250							
				750	870					
						500	495			
								500	525	
										680 715
395	390									
		220	215							
				620	670					
						420	420			
								530	500	
										790 795
350	345									
		195	200							
				520	570					
						350	355			
								425	425	
										835 835
300	305									
		170	170							
				430	440					
						300	300			
								320	320	
										700 700

Readings are taken every two seconds

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG.177



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




FIG. 178

A36 Steel Bar  $4 \times \frac{1}{2}$ "  
 Gauge arrangement 2  
 Holes along the axis of the bar

Arc weld  
 Amp. 160, Volt 25, Dia. of rod  $\frac{1}{8}$ "  
 Speed of weld = 3.5 inch/min.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE 27

Readings are taken every 2 seconds


GAUGE # 1			GAUGE # 2			GAUGE # 3			GAUGE # 4			GAUGE # 5		
1st.	2nd.	3rd.	1st.	2nd.	3rd.	1st.	2nd.	3rd.	1st.	2nd.	3rd.	1st.	2nd.	3rd.
0	300	400	0	500	500	0	405	430	0	300	330	0	250	275
1450			40			25			20			20		
	1290			505			410			310			252	
		1100			508			450			350			280
1340			140			70			50			50		
	1260			540			435			350			270	
		1045			545			470			380			300
1050			300			180			120			90		
	1150			570			480			400			300	
		940			580			505			430			325
830			500			290			190			150		
	1040			630			525			430			350	
		850			630			550			475			350
740			690			430			280			200		
	925			690			570			485			395	
		770			675			600			500			400
635			830			540			355			245		
	825			755			630			530			425	
		700			705			645			540			440
555			920			595			395			260		
	730			800			650			550			445	
		650			720			650			550			450
505			905			600			395			255		
	665			780			650			540			440	
		600			700			640			520			430
460			825			560			365			240		
	600			730			625			500			410	
		550			660			600			475			400
430			720			510			340			220		
	545			660			570			450			380	
		500			610			555			430			365
415			630			450			310			200		
	500			605			540			415			350	
		480			570			530			400			330

TABLE 27 Cont.

400		560		405		280		190	
	460		560		515		380		310
		445				500		360	300
400		505		380		270		180	
	440		530		490		335		295
		435				475		325	280
395		455		355		270		180	
	425		500		480		315		280

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY


ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY





ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG. 180



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

6061T6 - Aluminum Bar 3" x  $\frac{1}{2}$ "





FIG.181.

GAUGE # 1				GAUGE # 2				GAUGE # 3				GAUGE # 4			
1st.P	2nd.P	3rd.P	4th.P	1st.P	2nd.P	3rd.P	4th.P	1st.P	2nd.P	3rd.P	4th.P	1st.P	2nd.P	3rd.P	4th.P
0	300	400	520	0	300	350	470	0	280	340	480	0	280	320	460
60	330	425	530												
				50	310	360	480								
								50	300	350	470				
												40	300	350	450
200	500	550	640												
				200	420	480	580								
								175	430	460	530				
												160	380	425	520
400	650	700	760												
				350	550	620	700								
								300	510	560	600				
												275	450	490	560
700	700	800	760												
				520	615	700	710								
								400	530	575	620				
												360	490	525	565
730	625	760	665												
				560	605	660	660								
								460	510	555	580				
												400	500	525	540
540	500	540	535												
				500	550	575	580								
								420	450	530	525				
												400	470	490	510

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG. 182



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Aluminum Bar 3 x  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch





FIG.183

Amp. 120  
Volt 32  
Dia. of rod  $\frac{1}{8}$  inch (4043)  
Speed of weld =  $3\frac{1}{2}$  inch/min.



Readings Taken Every 3 Seconds


TABLE 29

GAUGE # 1				GAUGE # 2				GAUGE # 3				GAUGE # 4			
1st.P	2nd.P	3rd.P	4th.P	1st.P	2nd.P	3rd.P	4th.P	1st.P	2nd.P	3rd.P	4th.P	1st.P	2nd.P	3rd.P	4th.P
0	400	450	585	0	350	430	530	0	355	400	525	0	330	380	505
680	435	555	655												
				370	520	500	650								
								25	355	370	475				
												75	355	365	485
680	600	690	745												
				615	515	625	665								
								100	425	400	550				
												165	410	450	610
580	555	690	680												
				580	465	680	750								
								220	550	510	725				
												300	500	595	820
510	475	630	600												
				455	420	655	675								
								375	785	725					
												485	630	800	
450	380	500	495												
				370	380	595	600								
								710							
												765	810		
340	300	375	375												
				315	350	500	500								

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

FIG. 184



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

SUMMARY OF CURRENT INVESTIGATIONS AND COMMENTS

During the current experimental investigations, it was observed that the thicker the bar and the more restrained the structure, the higher the magnitude of the trapped stresses (33,34). Peak stresses of 25 to 30 x 10<sup>3</sup> psi appeared at the outer layers of the mild steel bars, and stresses of 8 to 10 x 10<sup>3</sup> psi appeared at a slight depth from the outer layers of the aluminum bars. Such a behavior is attributed to the higher thermal conductivity of the aluminum as compared to the steel.

Experimental analyses proved the effectiveness of the mechanical vibration technique on reducing and levelling the residual stresses generated by welding in both the steel and the aluminum. The vibration period was limited to 15 minutes at a frequency between 50 and 80 cps, in order to minimize any fatigue of the metal structure. Limited mechanical and metallurgical study of the resultant fatigue points to further experimental investigations.

Experimental studies with vibrations pointed out the need to examine the temperature at the weld and in the surrounding zone, and how the distribution of the temperature affects residual stresses.

It is believed that by controlling the sharp changes in the temperature around the weld it is possible to modify or eliminate the harmful effects of the residual stresses. Manual arc welds 3 to 8 inch long are made between pairs of steel or aluminum bars  $\frac{1}{4}$  to  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch thick. The bar edges are milled, straight with 45° chamfer. This procedure represents the normal manual arc welding practice.



Examination of temperature distributions both along and across the weld direction, is ongoing, using chromel-alumel thermocouples with refrasil insulation. The junction of each thermocouple is mounted in a hole drilled in the bar at a specified location. Several thermocouples are used simultaneously during each test. Results showed that the maximum temperature is located under the source of heat and moves with it across the metallic bar.

A beneficial finding is that the temperature residue in the metal increases through the bar thickness as the successive beads are deposited. During the initial pass, a steep temperature gradient is encountered. As the bar heats the variation of the temperature narrows.

Reducing the current in arc welding causes slower melting and shallower penetration. A larger number of passes are needed to fill between the rods, helping to preheat the metal and reducing the contrast of temperature distribution. The resulting reduction of internal stresses minimizes damage to the metal bars.

FUTURE WORK PLAN

At present several tests are being carried out on both steel and aluminum bars of various thicknesses and widths. This is being done to confirm the temperature distribution characteristics and to determine the effect of bar proportions on the degree of heat loss and temperature differential. A theoretical study of the temperature distribution also is under way. The basis for the calculations of heat flow originated in the work of Roberts<sup>(16)</sup> and Rosenthal<sup>(17)</sup>. Various assumptions were necessary in the derivation of the mathematical formulae, including the possible radiation and convection losses<sup>(18)</sup>.

Mechanical fatigue testing is continuing using the new MTS-810 type testing machine that has been added to our facilities. This testing will be supplemented with a metallurgical investigation of the grain structure to determine the internal effects of the vibration treatment.

A study is needed to analyse the beneficial effects of stress-relief on the metallurgical structure of both metals under investigation and how it can be related to the fatigue strength of the metal.

It was found that preheating steel to about 400°C produced a reduction in residual stresses of about fifty percent. The effectiveness of heat treatment following the welding fell off markedly at temperatures lower than 600°C<sup>(38,39)</sup>. Authors findings are based on mechanical tests rather than on actual measurements of residual stresses. Future investigation will examine the possible reduction

or control of the effects of peak temperatures at the weld zone by preheating the bars around the zone using induction heating. The effect of combining such preheating with a limited vibration for about 15 minutes at a frequency of 50 to 80 cps, following the welding will also be studied. Mechanical and metallurgical investigation of the effects of differing vibration frequencies on the fatigue life of both steel and aluminum will reveal the optimum range for effectively reducing internal stresses.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY**

Bibliography

- 1- "Mechanical and Metallurgical Behavior of Restrained welds in Submarine Steels", C. M. Adams and D. A. Corrigan, Final Report, U.S.Department of Navy, Contract Number NObs-8370 (May 1970)
- 2- "Tessellated Stresses", F. Laslo, Iron and steel (London), Vol.147, Part 1 (1943) 1973-1990
- 3- "Evaluation of Residual Stresses", Text by McGraw Hill Book Company, Inc. (1948)
- 4- "Methods of Residual Stress Measurement", SAE Information Report Handbook Supplement - 1965
- 5- "Simplified Measurement Of Residual Stresses", J. L. Waisman and A. Phillips, Proc. Soc. Experimental Stress Analysis, Vol.11, No.2 (1953-54 Math.) 29-44
- 6- "Complete Determination Of The State Of Residual Stress In Solid and Hollow Metal Cylinders", H.Budhler, Zeitschrift Des Vereines Deutscher Ingenieure, Vol. 94, Dec.1952, 1147
- 7- Mechanical Vibrations, Hartog, Den, J. P. , Mcgraw Hill, 1956
- 8- "An Investigation Of Vibrational Stress Relief In Steel", G. P. Wazney and G. R. Cramer, Welding Journal, 47(a) Sept. 1968, Res. Suppl. PP411-S to 419-S
- 9- "Report On The Efficiency of Vibrational Stress Relief", R. W. Strachan, General Dynamics. Electroc Boat Division, Report No. V413-68-059, April, 1968
- 10- "Vibrational Residual Stress Relief In a Plain Carbon Steel Weldment", S. Weiss, G. S. Baker And R. D. Das Gupta, The Welding Journal, 47-a, Feb. 1976
- 11- "Shiva, The 10 Kilojoule Nd: Glass Laser For The High Energy Laser Facility" , University Of California, LLL , California Nov. 1975

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY**

12. "Spannungsmessungen An Einseitig Adgeloeschter KuneppeIn",  
By F. Staeblein, Kruppsche, Vol.12, 93-99, 1931
13. "A sudy Of Residual stresses Due To Bending", By Davidenkow  
And Shevadin, Journal Of Technical Physics, USSR, Vol.9,  
1112-1124, 1939
14. "Application Of Optical Interference To The Study Of Residual  
Surface Stresses", By H. R. Letner, Proc. Of The Society For  
Experimental Stress Analysis, Vol.X, No.2, 23-36, 1953
15. "Residual Grinding Stresses In Mild Steel", By J. Frisch And  
E. G. Thomsen, T. A. S. M. E. , Vol.73, 337-346, 1951
16. T. Roberts: Proc. Roy. Soc. 1923, Vol.104-Series A, P640
17. D. Rosenthal: Trans. Amer. Soc. Mech. Eng., 1946, Vol.68, P852
18. A. A. Wells: Welding J. 1952, Vol.17, P265 S
19. "Temperatures In Spot Welding", British Welding Journal, June  
1961, P316, By J. A. Greenwood
20. "Numerical Analysis Of Heat Flow", By G. M. Dusenberre,  
McGraw Hill, 1949
21. AWS Structural Welding Code, Latest (1976) And Manual Of Steel  
Construction, Seventh Edition By The American Institute Of Steel  
Construction (AISC), 1976
22. "Residual Stresses In Cold Ironed Tubes", Int.J. Mech. Sci.,  
By B. M. Botros, Pergamon Press Ltd., 1960, Vol.2, 195-205
23. "The Application Of The Sachs Boring Method To The Measurement  
And Detection Of Residual Stresses In Cold Drawn Tubes", By  
E. M. Loxley, B. I. S. R. A. Report MW/E/55/53
24. "Distortion Patterns Due To Residual Stresses Induced In Surface  
Grinding Of Mild Steel Plates", By J. L. Batra, R. Lindberg  
And B. M. Botros, A.S.T.M.E., MR69-275, 1969
25. "Residual Stresses In Cylindrical Grinding Processes Of Mild  
Steel And Brass Tube Sections", By B. M. Botros, A. S. T. M. E.,  
MR68-114, 1968

26. "Effect Of Grinding Conditions And Resultant Residual Stresses On The Fatigue Strength Of Hardened Steel" By L. P. Tarasov, W. S. Hyler And H. R. Letner, T. A. S. T. M., Vol. 57, PP601-622, 1957
27. "The Influence Of Surface Residual Stress On Fatigue Limit Of Titanium", By E. C. Reed And J. A. Viens, Journal Of Engineering For Industry, Feb. 1960, P76
28. "Fourier Analyzer Training Manual", Hewlett Packard, Application Note 140-0
29. "An Investigation Of Vibrational Stress Relief In Steel", The Welding Journal, P411-s, Sept.1968
30. "Measurement And Analysis Of Random Data", By Julius S. Bendat, Text-John Wiley And Sons, 1966
31. "Fourier Analyzer Training Manual", Hewlett Packard, Application Note 140-0
32. "Report On The Efficiency Of Vibrational Stress Relief", By R. W. Strachan, General Dynamics. Electroc Boat Division, Report No. V413-68-059, April 12, 1968
33. "Residual Stresses In Welded Steel Structures"-II, By B. M. Botros, SME MF80-305, International Conference, Cleveland, Ohio, April 1980
34. "Residual Stresses In Welded Steel Structures"-I, By B. M. Botros, SME IQ78-280, International Conference, Philadelphia, Pa., May 1978
35. "Fusion Welding Of Aluminum Alloys", By Doris K. Roberts And A. A. Wells, British Welding Journal - Dec.1954 PP553-560
36. "Effects Of Residual Stresses In Welded Structures" By G. M. Boyd, British Welding Journal, Dec.1954, P560
37. "Distribution Of Temperatures In Arc Welding", By N. Christensen, V. De L Dacies And K. Gjermundsen, British Welding Journal- Feb. 1965-PP54-75
38. "Effect Of Preheating On Residual Stresses In Mild Steel Welds", By L. E. Benson And S. J. Watson, British Welding Journal, Sept. 1955, P372
39. L. E. Benson And S. J. Watson; Trans. Inst. Welding, 1953-Vol.16, P90

COMPUTER PROGRAMS



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```
TYPE NWTST.FOR
00100      COMPLEX FILL
00200      INTEGER WDRF(9)
00300      DIMENSION DHM(15),EQ(15,10)
00400      WRITE(5,38)
00500      38      FORMAT(/,'ENTER H,L,N,G',/)
00600      READ(5,*)H,L,N,G
00700      WRITE(5,599)H
00800      599      FORMAT('**',F5.0)
00900      WRITE(5,39)
01000      39      FORMAT(/,'ENTER DATA',/)
01100      DO 200 I=1,L
01200      WRITE(5,201)I
01400      201      FORMAT(/,'LAYER',I2)
01500      READ(5,*)DHM(I),(EQ(I,J),J=1,N)
01600      200      CONTINUE
01700      WRITE(5,466)
01800      466      FORMAT(/,'ENTER THE NAME FOR A NEW FILE FOR STORAGE')
01900      READ(5,112)WDRF
02000      112      FORMAT(9A1)
02100      ENCODE(9,43,FILL)(WDRF(I),I=1,9)
02200      43      FORMAT(9A1)
02300      OPEN(UNIT=20,FILE=FILL)
02400      WRITE(20,*)H,L,N,G,(DHM(I),I=1,L),(EQ(I,J),J=1,N),I=1,L
)
02500      453      CLOSE(UNIT=20,FILE=FILL)
02600      END
```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```
TYPE LOOK.FOR
      COMPLEX FILE
      INTEGER WORK(9)
      DIMENSION ED(15,10),HM(15),DHM(15),HH(15)
      WRITE(5,1)
1      FORMAT(1H3,'ENTER THE DATA FILE NAME')
      READ(5,99) WORK
99     FORMAT(9A1)
      ENCODE(9,8,FILE)(WORK(I),I=1,9)
8      FORMAT(9A1)
      OPEN(UNIT=20,FILE=FILE)
89     READ(20,*,END=90) H,L,N,G,(DHM(I),I=1,L),((EO(I,J),J=1,
1      N),I=1,L)
90     HHH=0.0
      DO 50 I=1,L
      HH(I)=HHH+DHM(I)
      HHH=HH(I)
      HH(I)=N-HH(I)
50     CONTINUE
888    CLOSE(UNIT=20,FILE=FILE)
      WRITE(5,21)H,N,L,G
21     FORMAT(/,5X,'BAR THICKNESS',F6.0,5X,'#
1 OF GAGES',I3,5X,'# OF LAYERS',I3,5X,'GAGE SE
ITTING',F8.2)
      WRITE(5,22)
22     FORMAT(/,5X,'AVERAGE THICKNESS',5X,'AVERAGE
1 REMOVED',5X,'GAGE NUMBER      1      2      3
1      4      5      6      7')
      DO 45 I1=1,L
      WRITE(5,26)HH(I1),DHM(I1),(EO(I1,J),J=1,N)
26     FORMAT(/,10X,F5.0,18X,F4.0,23X,10F8.0)
45     CONTINUE
      END
```

```

TYPE MAKE
00100 C H IS THE ORIGINAL THICKNESS (IN.)
00200 C HM IS THE DEPTH OF THE I LAYER REMOVED
00300 C DHM(I) IS THE THICKNESS OF THE I LAYER REMOVED
00400 C EO(I,K) IS THE CHANGE IN STRAIN IN THE OUTSIDE FIBER
00500 C I IS THE LAYER
00600 C K IS THE LOCATION
00700 C STRSS(I,K) IS THE TOTAL STRESS IN THE LAYER REMOVED
00800 C I IS THE LAYER
00900 C K IS THE STRAIN LOCATION
01000 C SSUM(I,K) IS THE SUM OF THE SUPERIMPOSED STRESS
01100 C I IS THE LAYER
01200 C K IS THE STRAIN LOCATION
01300 C SRES(I,K) IS THE RESIDUAL STRESS IN A LAYER
01400 C I IS THE LAYER
01500 C K IS THE LOCATION
01600 C L IS THE NUMBER OF LAYERS
01700 C N IS THE NUMBER OF STRAIN LOCATIONS
01800 C HHM IS AN INTERNAL COUNTING VARIABLE
01900
02000
02050 COMPLEX FILL
02060 INTEGER WOLF(9)
02100 COMPLEX FILE
02200 DIMENSION HH(19),DHM(19),HN(19),DHN(19),EO(19,10),STRSS(
02300 119,10),SSUM(19,10),SRES(19,10),HH(19)
02400 WRITE(5,1)
02500 1 FORMAT(1H3,' ENTER THE DATA FILE NAME')
02600 CALL DPEN(20,IER,FILE)
02700 IF(IER .EQ. 0)GO TO 87
02800 GO TO 89
02900 87 WRITE(5,88)
03000 88 FORMAT(/,' ERROR')
03100 89 READ(20,*,END=495) H,L,N,G,(DHM(I),I=1,L),((EO(I
03120 1,J),J=1,N),I=1,L)
03200 495 DO 15 J=1,L
03300 DO 10 K=1,N
03400 10 SSUM(J,K)=0.0
03500 15 CONTINUE
03600 HHM=0.0
03700 DO 50 I=1,L
03900 HM(I)=HHM+DHM(I)
04000 HN(I)=HM(I)
04100 DHN(I)=DHM(I)
04200 HHM=HM(I)
04300 HH(I)=H-HM(I)
04500 50 CONTINUE
04600 CLOSE(UNIT=20,FILE=FILE)
04700 DO 500 I=1,L
04800 DO 700 K=1,N
04900 STRSS(I,K)=29.0*EO(I,K)+(-1.0)/(DHM(I)/(H-HM(I))-3.0+
05000 10DHM(I)/(H-HM(I)+DHM(I)))/(H-HM(I))+2)
05100 DO 600 J=I+1,L
05200 600 SSUM(J,K)=29.0*EO(I,K)+((H-HM(I))+2+3.0*(H-HM(I)
05300 1+DHM(I))/(H-2.0*HN(J)+DHN(J)+HM(I)))/((H-HM(I))+2-3.0*(H-
05400 1HN(I)+DHM(I))/(H-HM(I)))+SSUM(J,K)
05500 700 SRES(I,K)=STRSS(I,K)-SSUM(I,K)
05600 500 CONTINUE
05700 WRITE(5,21)H,N,L,G
05800 21 FORMAT(//,5X,' BAR THICKNESS',F6.0,5X,' #
05900 1 OF GAGES',I3,5X,' # OF LAYERS',I3,5X,' GAGE SE
06000 ITTING',F8.2)

```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```

22   FORMAT(/,5X,' AVERAGE THICKNESS',5X, AVERAGE RE
      1MOVED',5X,' GAGE NUMBER      1      2      3
      1  4 .    5      6      7 )
      DO 45 I1=1,L
      WRITE(5,26)HH(I1),DHH(I1),(EO(I1,J),J=1,N)
26   FORMAT(/,10X,F5.0,10X,F4.0,23X,10F8.0)
45   CONTINUE
      WRITE(5,66)
66   FORMAT(///,1H2,'          GAGE #      1      2      3
      1      5      6      7      8')
      DO 47 I1=1,L
      WRITE(5,34)I1,(SRES(I1,K),K=1,N)
34   FORMAT(/,' LAYER',3X,I2,3X,10F7.0)
47   CONTINUE
      TYPE 898
898  FORMAT(1H2)
      WRITE(5,466)
466  FORMAT(/,' ENTER THE NAME FOR A NEW FILE FOR
      1STORAGE')
      READ(5,112)WORK
112  FORMAT(9A1)
      ENCODE(9,43,FILL)(WORK(I),I=1,9)
43   FORMAT(9A1)
      OPEN(UNIT=20,FILE=FILL)
      WRITE(20,1)H,L,N,G,(DHH(I),I=1,L),((EO(I,J),J=1,
      1N),I=1,L),(HH(I),I=1,L),((SRES(I,J),J=1,N),I=1,L)
      CLOSE(UNIT=20,FILE=FILL)
      END
      SUBROUTINE OPEN(UNIT,IER,FILE)
      INTEGER UNIT
      INTEGER ERROR
      INTEGER WORK(9)
      COMPLEX FILE
      INTEGER COL
      DO 1 COL=1,9
      WORK(COL)='
1    CONTINUE
      COL=1
      READ(5,99)WORK
99   FORMAT(9A1)
      ENCODE(9,8,FILE)(WORK(I),I=1,9)
999  FORMAT(' ',2A5)
8    FORMAT(9A1)
      OPEN(UNIT=UNIT,FILE=FILE,ERR=9)
9    IER=1
10   RETURN
      END

```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

TYPE NAKA.FOR

```
C      H IS THE ORIGINAL THICKNESS(IN.)
C      HM IS THE DEPTH OF THE I LAYER REMOVED
C      DHM(I) IS THE THICKNESS OF THE I LAYER REMOVED
C      EO(I,K) IS THE CHANGE IN STRAIN IN THE OUTSIDE FIBER
C          I IS THE LAYER
C          K IS THE LOCATION
C      STRESS(I,K) IS THE TOTAL STRESS IN THE LAYER REMOVED

C          I IS THE LAYER
C          K IS THE STRAIN LOCATION
C      SSUM(I,K) IS THE SUM OF THE SUPERIMPOSED STRESS
C          I IS THE LAYER
C          K IS THE STRAIN LOCATION
C      SRES(I,K) IS THE RESIDUAL STRESS IN A LAYER
C          I IS THE LAYER

C          K IS THE LOCATION
C      L IS THE NUMBER OF LAYERS
C      N IS THE NUMBER OF STRAIN LOCATIONS
C      HHM IS AN INTERNAL COUNTING VARIABLE

      COMPLEX FILL
      INTEGER WORF(9)
      COMPLEX FILE
      DIMENSION HM(19),DHM(19),HN(19),DHN(19),EO(19,10),STRSS(
119,10),SSUM(19,10),SRES(19,10),HH(19)
      WRITE(5,1)

1      FORMAT(1H3,' ENTER THE DATA FILE NAME')

      CALL OPEN(20,IER,FILE)

      IF(IER .EQ. 0)GO TO 87

      GO TO 89
87      WRITE(5,88)
88      FORMAT(/,' ERROR')
89      READ(20,*,END=495) H,L,N,G,(DHM(I),I=1,L),((EO(I
1,J),J=1,N),I=1,L)
495      DO 15 J=1,L
          DO 10 K=1,N
10         SSUM(J,K)=0.0
15         CONTINUE
          HHM=0.0
          DO 50 I=1,L
              HM(I)=HHM+DHM(I)
              HN(I)=HM(I)
              DHN(I)=DHM(I)
              HHM=HM(I)
              HH(I)=H-HM(I)
50         CONTINUE
          CLOSE(UNIT=20,FILE=FILE)
          DO 500 I=1,L
          DO 700 K=1,N
```

```

        STRSS(I,K)=10.0*EO(I,K)*(-1.0)/(DHH(I)/(H-HH(I))-3.0*
1DHH(I)*(H-HH(I)+DHH(I))/(H-HH(I))**2)
        DO 600 J=I+1,L
600      SSUM(J,K)=10.0*EO(I,K)*((H-HH(I))**2+3.0*(H-HH(I)
1+DHH(I))*(H-2.0*HN(J)+DHN(J)+HM(I)))/((H-HH(I))**2-3.0*(H-
1HM(I)+DHH(I))*(H-HH(I)))+SSUM(J,K)
700      SRES(I,K)=STRSS(I,K)-SSUM(I,K)
500      CONTINUE
        WRITE(5,21)H,N,L,G

21      FORMAT(//,5X,'BAR THICKNESS',F6.0,5X,'#
1 OF GAGES',I3,5X,'# OF LAYERS',I3,5X,'GAGE SE
ITTING',F8.2)
        WRITE(5,22)
22      FORMAT(//,5X,'AVERAGE THICKNESS',5X,'AVERAGE RE
1MOVED',5X,'GAGE NUMBER      1      2      3
1  4      5      6      7')
        DO 45 I1=1,L
        WRITE(5,26)HH(I1),DHH(I1),(EO(I1,J),J=1,N)
26      FORMAT(/,10X,F5.0,18X,F4.0,23X,10F8.0)
45      CONTINUE
        WRITE(5,66)
66      FORMAT(////,1H2,'      GAGE #      1      2      3
1      4      5      6      7')
        DO 47 I1=1,L
        WRITE(5,34)I1,(SRES(I1,K),K=1,N)
34      FORMAT(/,'LAYER',3X,I2,3X,10F7.0)
47      CONTINUE
        TYPE 898
898      FORMAT(1H2)
        WRITE(5,466)
466      FORMAT(//,'ENTER THE NAME FOR A NEW FILE FOR
1STORAGE')
        READ(5,112)WORF
112      FORMAT(9A1)
        ENCODE(9,43,FILL)(WORF(I),I=1,9)
43      FORMAT(9A1)
        OPEN(UNIT=20,FILE=FILL)
        WRITE(20,*)H,L,N,G,(DHH(I),I=1,L),((EO(I,J),J=1,
1N),I=1,L),(HH(I),I=1,L),((SRES(I,J),J=1,N),I=1,L)
        CLOSE(UNIT=20,FILE=FILL)
        END
        SUBROUTINE OPEN(UNIT,IER,FILE)
        INTEGER UNIT
        INTEGER ERROR
        INTEGER WORK(9)
        COMPLEX FILE
        INTEGER COL
        DO 1 COL=1,9
        WORK(COL)= ' '
1      CONTINUE
        COL=1
        READ(5,99)WORK
99      FORMAT(9A1)
        ENCODE(9,8,FILE)(WORK(I),I=1,9)
999      FORMAT(' ',2A5)
8      FORMAT(9A1)
        OPEN(UNIT=UNIT,FILE=FILE,ERR=9)
9      IER=1
10     RETURN
        END

```

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY**

Key To Computer Programs

WA1 Main program for frequency response analysis  
WA2 Subroutine for transfer function (complex valued)  
and coherence function (Real). It makes complex  
variables out of real raw and smooth versions.  
WA3 Subroutine for power spectral density functions  
(Raw version and smooth version by Parzen Lag).  
WA4 Subroutine for cross spectral density function  
(Raw version and smooth version by Hanning).  
WA5 Subroutine to check data and average X & Y (made  
equal to zero)  
WA6 Subroutine used to make the input and output files  
(Opens, Reads and Closes the files containing data)  
INTER Intepolates X & Y data  
FRFOUR Finds Fourier Transfer. .SUBMIT FRFOUR Prints  
TRANS (Includes FOUR).  
FRWA Finds statistical transfer values(OUTPUT).  
SUBMIT FRWA Executes WA Series and the output  
includes: OUTPUT, FOR20.DAT, FOR30.DAT,  
FOR31.DAT and FOR32.DAT (No TRANS.)(M value is needed)  
FRR Finds both Fourier Transfer Values (TRANS) and  
the Statistical Transfer Values (OUTPUT) (M value is needed)

Possible Output Files

FOR20.DAT Results of averages  
FOR30.DAT X power spectrum results  
FOR31.DAT Y power spectrum results  
FOR32.DAT Cross spectrum results(Complex)  
FOR33 CK(I),QK(I)  
FOR36 AR(I),BR(I)  
FOR37 CKCX(I),QKCX(I) Raw  
FOR38 CKCX(I),QKCX(I) Smooth  
FOR55 CPK(I),QPK(I)

\*File Name For Storage Of Results Must Be 9 Characters  
With .DAT Ext.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```
TYPE UA1.FOR
00100 PROGRAM VIBDAT
00200 COMMON GX(100),GXYS(100)
00300 COMPLEX FILEX,HT,HS,GXY,GXYS
00400 DIMENSION X(999),Y(999),GKX(100),GKXS(100),GKY(100),
00500
00600 1 GKYS(100),HT(100),HS(100);C(100),CS(100)
00700 1 ,D(100),RX(100)
00800 1 ,A(100),BB(100),CC(100),CD(100),CSS(100),DC(100)
00900
01000 C ENTER THE LAG NUMBER, M
01100 TYPE 5
01200 5 FORMAT(' M? ')
01300 READ(5,*)M
01400 C OPENS READS, AND CLOSSES THE FILE CONTAINING THE
01500 C DATA FOR THE INPUT FUNCTION,X
01600 CALL NAME(FILEX)
01700 OPEN(UNIT=20,FILE=FILEX)
01800 READ(20,*)HX,NX,TR,(X(I),I=1,NX)
01900 40 CLOSE(UNIT=20,FILE=FILEX)
02000 C CHECKS TO MAKE SURE M, AND N ARE POSITIVE AND EVEN
02100 C CALCULATES CUTOFF FREQ BANDWIDTH, AND STANDARD ERROR
02200 CALL CHECK(NX,HX,M,F,B,E)
02300 WRITE(5,50)NX,HX,M,F,B,E
02400 WRITE(46,50)NX,HX,M,F,B,E
02500 50 FORMAT(5X,'NX=',I3,3X,'H=',F15.5,3X,'M=',
02600 1 I3,3X,'FC=',F15.5,3X,'BE=',F15.5,3X,'E=',F15.5)
02700 C READ DATA FOR OUTPUT FUNCTION, Y
02800 CALL NAME(FILEX)
02900 OPEN(UNIT=20,FILE=FILEX)
03000 READ(20,*,END=75)HY,NY,TR,(Y(I),I=1,NY)
03100 75 CLOSE(UNIT=20,FILE=FILEX)
03200 C CHECK Y DATA
03300 CALL CHECK(NY,HY,M,F,B,E)
03400 WRITE(5,51)NY
03500 51 FORMAT(' NY' ,I3)
03600 C MAKE SURE THERE ARE SAME NO. OF X AND Y VALUES
03700 IF(NX .NE. NY) STOP 'NX NOT EQUAL TO NY'
03800 C AVERAGE X AND Y MADE EQUAL TO ZERO
03900 C RESULTS OF AVERAGING IN FILE FOR20.DAT
04000 CALL FORM(X,NX)
04100 CALL FORM(Y,NY)
04200 WRITE(20,29)
04300 29 FORMAT(3X,'X(I)',5X,'Y(I)',5X,'I')
04400 WRITE(20,31)(X(I),Y(I),I=1,NX)
04500 31 FORMAT(5X,I3,10X,2E10.5,F15.5)
04600 C POWER SPECTRA FOUND FOR X AND Y
04700 C RESULTS FOR X FUNCT. FOR30.DAT
```



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```
04800 C RESULTS FOR Y FUNCT. FOR31.DAT
04900 CALL POWER(X,HX,NX,M,GKX,GKXS,D,RX)
05000 WRITE(30,*)(GKX(I),GKXS(I),I=1,M)
05100 CALL POWER(Y,HY,NY,M,GKY,GKYS,D,RX)
05200 WRITE(31,*)(GKY(I),GKYS(I),I=1,M)
05300 C COMPLEX VALUED CROSS-SPECTRAL DENSITY FOUND
05400 C RESULTS IN FILE FOR32.DAT
05500 CALL CROSS(X,Y,HX,M,NX,A,BB,CC,CD,CSS,DC)
05600 WRITE(32,*)(GXY(I),GXYS(I),I=1,M)
05700 C TRANSFER FUNCTION FOUND, COMPLEX VALUED
05800 C COHERENCE FUNCTION FOUND. REAL
05900 CALL TRANS(GKX,GKY,GKXS,GKYS,M,HT,HS,C,CS,
06000 1 A,BB,CC,CD)
06100 C FILE IS NAMED FOR STORAGE OF THE RESULTS
06200 C FILENAME MUST BE 9 CHARACTERS WITH .DAT EXT
06300 TYPE 105
06400 CALL NAME(FILEX)
06500 OPEN(UNIT=20,FILE=FILEX)
06600 WRITE(20,101)
06700 101 FORMAT(5X'HT',5X,HS(I)',5X,C(I)',5X,CS(I)',5X,'I'////)
06800 WRITE(20,*)(HT(I),HS(I),C(I),CS(I),I=1,M)
06900 CLOSE(UNIT=20,FILE=FILEX)
07000 105 FORMAT('NAME OF FILE FOR TRANSFER DATA?')
07100 END
```

```

TYPE WA2.FOR
00100
00200 SUBROUTINE TRANS(GKX,GKY,GKXS,GKYS,H,H,HS,C,CS,
00300 1 GKXC,CX,CXS,GKXSC)
00400
00500 C THIS PROGRAM FINDS THE TRANSFER AND COHERENCE FUNCTION
00600 C COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN TWO SIGNALS.
00700
00800 EXTERNAL ABS
00900 COMMON GXY(100),GXYS(100)
01000 COMPLEX GXY,GXYS,GKXC,GKYC,GKXSC,GKYSC,H,HS,CX,CXS
01100 REAL C,CS
01200 DIMENSION GKXC(M),GKXS(M),GKX(M),
01300 1 GKY(M),GKYS(M),H(M),HS(M),CX(M),CXS(M),C(M),CS(M)
01400 1 ,GKXSC(M)
01500
01600
01700 C FIND THE TRANSFER FUNCTION
01800 M=M+1
01900
02000 DO 15 K=1,M
02100
02400 IF(GKX(K).EQ.0)GKX(K)=.0001
02500 IF(GKXS(K).EQ.0)GKXS(K)=.0001
02600 IF(GKY(K).EQ.0)GKY(K)=.0001
02700 IF(GKYS(K).EQ.0)GKYS(K)=.0001
02800 C MAKE COMPLEX VARIABLES OUT OF REAL
02900 GKXC(K)=GKX(K)
03000 GKXSC(K)=GKXS(K)
03100
03200 C THE 'RAW VERSION'
03300 H(K)=GXY(K)/GKXC(K)
03400
03500 C THE 'SMOOTH VERSION'
03600 HS(K)=GXYS(K)/GKXSC(K)
03700
03800
03900 C THE COHERENCE FUNCTION
04000
04100 C THE RAW VERSION
04200 CX(K)=GXY(K)+GXY(K)
04300 C(K)=CX(K)
04400 C(K)=C(K)/GKX(K)/GKY(K)
04500 C(K)=ABS(C(K))
04600
04700 C THE SMOOTH VERSION
04800 CXS(K)=GXYS(K)*GXYS(K)
04900 CS(K)=CXS(K)
05000 CS(K)=CS(K)/GKXS(K)/GKYS(K)
05100 CS(K)=ABS(CS(K))
05200
05300 15 CONTINUE
05400
05500 RETURN
05600 END
05700 C#####

```

```

TYPE WA3.FOR
13400 SUBROUTINE POWER(X,H,N,H,GK,GKS,DR,RXR)
13500
13600 DIMENSION X(N),GK(M),GKS(M),RXR(M),DR(M)
13700 EXTERNAL COS
13800
14000 DO 20 IR=1,M
14100 RXR(IR)=0.
14200 NX=N-IR
14300 DO 10 N1=1,NX
14400 RXR(IR)=RXR(IR)+X(N1)*X(N1+IR)
14500 10 CONTINUE
14600 RXR(IR)=RXR(IR)/NX
14700 20 CONTINUE
14800
14900 RD=0.
15100 DO 30 N1=1,N
15200 RD=RD+X(N1)**2
15300 30 CONTINUE
15400 RD=RD/N
15500
15600 MM=M-1
15700 QM=M
15800 MK=M+1
15900
16000 C RAW VERSION
16100 DO 40 K=1,MK
16200 Q=K-1
16300 Q=Q/QM*3.1416
16400 GK(K)=0.
16500 DO 50 IR =1,MM
16600 R=IR
16700 GK(K)=GK(K)+RXR(IR)*COS(R*Q)
16800 50 CONTINUE
16900 GK(K)=2.*H*(RD+2.*GK(K)+(-1.**K-1))*RXR(M))
17000 40 CONTINUE
17100
17200 MM=M/2
17300 Q=M
17400
17500 C SMOOTH BY PARZEN LAG FOR SMOOTH VERSION
17600 DO 60 IR=1,MM
17700 R=IR
17800 DR(IR)=1.-6.*((R/Q)**2-(R/Q)**3)
17900 60 CONTINUE
18000 DR(1)=1.
18100 DO 70 IR=MM+1,M
18200 R=IR
18300 DR(IR)=2.*((1.-R/Q)**3)
18400 70 CONTINUE
18500
18600
18700 DO 80 K=1,MK
18800 Q=K-1
18900 Q=Q/QM*3.1416
19000 GKS(K)=0.
19100 DO 90 IR=1,MM
19200 GKS(K)=GKS(K)+RXR(IR)*DR(IR)*COS(R*Q)
19300 90 CONTINUE
19400 GKS(K)=2.*H*(RD+2.*GKS(K))
19500 80 CONTINUE
19600
19700
19800 RETURN
19900 END

```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```

TYPE WA4.FOR
00100
00200      subroutine cross(x,y,h,m,nx,br,ar,ryx,rx,gy,ck,ck)
00300
00400      dimension x(nx),y(nx),ck(m),qk(m),
00500 1 RXY(M),RYX(M),AR(M),BR(M),QKX(100),CKX(100)
00600      common gxy(100),gxys(100)
00700      complex gxy,gxys,gkcx,qkcx,ckcx
00800
00900      do 10 ir=0,m
01000      i=ir+1
01100      nr=nx-ir
01200      rxy(i)=0.
01300      ryx(i)=0.
01400
01500      do 20 n=1,nr
01600      rxy(i)=rxy(i)+x(n)*y(n+ir)
01700      ryx(i)=ryx(i)+y(n)*x(n+ir)
01800 20      continue
01900
02000      rxy(i)=rxy(i)/nr
02100      ryx(i)=ryx(i)/nr
02200      ar(i)=0.5*(rxy(i)+ryx(i))
02300      br(i)=0.5*(rxy(i)-ryx(i))
02400
02500 10      continue
02600
02700      do 15 k=0,m
02800
02900      q=k
03000      qm=m
03100      q=q/qm*3.1416+.001
03200      kk=k+1
03300
03400      ck(kk)=0.0
03500      qk(kk)=0.0
03600
03700      do 25 ir=2,m
03800      r=ir-1
03900      ck(kk)=ck(kk)+2.*(ar(ir)*cos(q*r))
04000      qk(kk)=qk(kk)+br(ir)*sin(q*r)
04100 25      .continue
04200
04300      CK(KK)=2.*H*(AR(1)+CK(KK)+(-1.**K)*AR(M+1))
04400      qk(kk)=4.*h*qk(kk)
04500
04600 15      continue
04700
04800      mn=m+1

```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```
04900
05000      do 30 i=1,mn
05100
05200      qkcx(i)=(0,-1)
05300      ckcx(i)=ck(i)
05400      qkcx(i)=qkcx(i)*qk(i)
05500      gxy(i)=ckcx(i)+qkcx(i)
05600 30      continue
05700
05800  c      smoothing by hanning method
05900      ck(1)=(ck(1)+ck(2))/2.
06000      qk(1)=(qk(1)+qk(2))/2.
06100      do 37 j=2,m
06200      ck(j+1)=(ck(j)+ck(j+1))/2.
06300      QK(J+1)=(QK(J)+QK(J+1))/2.
06400 37      continue
06500      do 40 i=2,m
06600      ck(i)=(ck(i-1)+2.*ck(i)+ck(i+1))/4.
06700      qk(i)=(qk(i-1)+2.*qk(i)+qk(i+1))/4.
06800 40      continue
06900
07000  c      smooth version
07100      do 50 i=1,mn
07200
07300      ckcx(i)=ck(i)
07400      qkcx(i)=(0,-1)
07500      qkcx(i)=qkcx(i)*qk(i)
07600      GXY5(I)=CKCX(I)+QKCX(I)
07700
07800 50      continue
07900
08000      return
08100      end
```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```
TYPE WAS.FOR
00100      SUBROUTINE FORM(X,N)
00200      DIMENSION X(N)
00300      SUM=0.
00400      SN=N
00500      DO 10 I=1,N
00600      SUM=SUM+X(I)
00700  10   CONTINUE
00800      SUM=SUM/SN
00900      DO 20 I=1,N
01000      X(I)=X(I)-SUM
01100  20   CONTINUE
01200      RETURN
01300      END
01400  C#####
-----
01500
01600      SUBROUTINE CHECK(N,H,M,FC,BE,E)
01700      EXTERNAL ABS,SQRT
01800      NM=ABS(N/2)
01900      MN=2*NM
02000      IF(MN .NE. M)PAUSE 'M NOT POSITIVE, EVEN'
02100      NQ=ABS(N/2)
02200      NC=2*NQ
02300      IF(NC .NE. N)PAUSE 'N NOT POSITIVE, EVEN'
02400      FC=.4/H
02500      QM=M
02600      BE=1./(QM+H)
02700      QN=N
02800      E=SQRT(QM/QN)
02900      RETURN
03000      END
03100  C #####
```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```
TYPE WA6.FOR
00100      SUBROUTINE NAME(FILEX)
00200      COMPLEX FILEX
00300      INTEGER WORK(9)
00400      TYPE 30
00500      30      FORMAT('FILE NAME:DATA:')
00600      READ(5,99)(WORK(I),I=1,9)
00700      99      FORMAT(9A1)
00800      ENCODE(9,8,FILEX)(WORK(I),I=1,9)
00900      8      FORMAT(9A1)
01000      RETURN
01100      END
01200      C #####
```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```
00100      PROGRAM FOUR
00200      DIMENSION X(999),Y(999),H(999),A(999)
00250      CALL ERXSET(10,0)
00300      READ(23,*,END=33) HP,NX,T,(X(I),I=1,NX)
00400      33  READ(24,*,END=44) HP,NY,T,(Y(I),I=1,NY)
00500      44  CALL FORM(X,NX)
00600      CALL FORM(Y,NX)
00700      CALL FOURTR(X,NX+4,-1,A)
00800      CALL FOURTR(Y,NX+4,-1,A)
00900      DO 50 I=1,NX
00950      IF(X(I).EQ.0.)X(I)=.001
01000      H(I)=Y(I)/X(I)
01100      50  A(I)=I/T
01150      WRITE(41,11)
01160      11  FORMAT(4X,'A(I)',10X,'X(I)',10X,'Y(I)',10X,'H(I)')
01200      WRITE(41,*)(A(I),X(I),Y(I),H(I),I=1,NX)
01300      10  FORMAT(10X,F10.3,5X,3E15.12)
01400      END
```



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```
00100      PROGRAM INTERØ
00200      DIMENSION Y(999),X(300)
00300      READ(23,*)A,J,C,(X(I),I=1,J)
00400      TYPE 5
00500      5  FORMAT(' INPUT NUMBER OF INTERPOLATED VALUES')
00600      READ(5,*)N
00700      L=N*J
00800      A=A/N
00900      DO 10 I=1,N
01000      K=0
01100      DO 15 JJ=1,L,N
01200      K=K+1
01300      Y(JJ+I)=X(K)+I*(X(K+1)-X(K))/N
-----
01350      Y(JJ)=X(K)
01400      15  CONTINUE
01500      10  CONTINUE
01600      WRITE(24,*)A,L,C,(Y(I),I=1,L)
01700      END
-----
```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```
.TYPE FRFOUR.FOR  
COPY FOR23.DAT=X.DAT  
COPY FOR24.DAT=Y.DAT  
EX FOUR.REL,WA5.REL,SYS:SPCLIB.REL  
DELETE TRANS  
RENAME TRANS=FOR41.DAT  
PRINT TRANS  
DEL FOR23.DAT,FOR24.DAT  
DIR/L  
DAYTIME
```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

.TYPE FRWA.FOR

```
COPY FOR23.DAT=X.DAT
COPY FOR24.DAT=Y.DAT
COPY FOR60.DAT=H.DAT
EX WA11,WA2,WA3,WA4,WA5
DEL FOR60.DAT,FOR23.DAT,FOR24.DAT
PRINT FOR20.DAT,FOR30.DAT,FOR31.DAT,FOR32.DAT
DEL OUTPUT
RENAME OUTPUT=FOR46.DAT
PRINT OUTPUT
DEL FOR20.DAT,FOR30.DAT,FOR31.DAT,FOR32.DAT
DIR/L
DAYTIME
```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```
.TYPE FRR.FOR
COPY FOR23.DAT=X.DAT
COPY FOR24.DAT=Y.DAT
EX FOUR.REL,WA5.REL,SYS:SPCLIB.REL
DEL TRANS
RENAME TRANS=FOR41.DAT
PRINT TRANS
COPY FOR60.DAT=M.DAT
EX WA11,WA2,WA3,WA4,WA5
DEL FOR60.DAT,FOR23.DAT,FOR24.DAT
PRINT FOR20.DAT,FOR30.DAT,FOR31.DAT,FOR32.DAT
DEL OUTPUT
RENAME OUTPUT=FOR46.DAT
PRINT OUTPUT
DEL FOR20.DAT,FOR30.DAT,FOR31.DAT,FOR32.DAT
DIR/L
DAYTIME
```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```

TYPE UNIT
00100 PROGRAM QUANTITY
00200 COMMON DXY(100)*DAYS(100)
00300 COMPLEX I(1),X*H(1),Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)
00400 DIMENSION X(999),Y(999),D(100),D(100),D(100),D(100)
00500 I D(100)*H(100)*H(100)*H(100)*H(100)*H(100)
00600 I D(100)*H(100)
00700 I D(100)*H(100)*H(100)*H(100)*H(100)*H(100)*H(100)*H(100)
00800 D(100)*H(100)
00900 C ENTER THE LOW NUMBER, M
01000 TYPE 5
01100 5 FORMAT('M')
01200 PRINT(*,M)
01300
01400 C OPEN READER, AND CLOSE THE FILE CONTAINING THE
01500 DATA FOR THE INPUT FUNCTION.
01600 CALL NAME(1,1,X)
01700 OPEN(UNIT=20,FILE='FILE')
01800 READ(20)*H(1),X*H(1),Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)
01900 CLOSE(UNIT=20,FILE='FILE')
02000 C CHECK TO MAKE SURE M AND N ARE POSITIVE AND EVEN
02100 C CALCULATES DIFFERENTIALS, DERIVATIVES AND STANDARD DEVIATION
02200 CALL CHECK(X*H(1),Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1))
02300 WRITE(5,'(2)X*H(1),Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)')
02400 50 FORMAT(' M =',M,' N =',N,' D =',D,' M =',M,' N =',N,' D =',D)
02500 I D(100)*H(100)*H(100)*H(100)
02600 C READ DATA FOR OUTPUT FUNCTION, Y
02700 CALL NAME(1,1,X)
02800 OPEN(UNIT=20,FILE='FILE')
02900 READ(20)*H(1),Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)
03000 75 CLOSE(UNIT=20,FILE='FILE')
03100 C CHECK Y DATA
03200 CALL CHECK(Y*H(1),Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1))
03300 WRITE(5,'(2)Y*H(1),Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)')
03400 51 FORMAT(' M =',M,' N =',N)
03500 C MAKE SURE THERE ARE SOME POS. OR NEG.
03600 C Y VALUES.
03700 IF (X(1) .NE. 0) STOP 'X NOT EQUAL TO 0'
03800 C AVERAGE X AND Y MUST BE 0.0
03900 CALL FORM(X,X)
04000 CALL FORM(Y,Y)
04100 CALL FORM(X*H(1),Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1))
04200 CALL FORM(Y*H(1),Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1))
04300 C COMPLEX VALUE, CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA, DENSITY, TEMPERATURE
04400 CALL CROSS(X*H(1),Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1))
04500 C TEMPERATURE FUNCTION, FOURIER, COMPLEX VALUE
04600 C CHECK FOR FUNCTION, FOURIER, COMPLEX VALUE
04700 CALL FORM(X*H(1),Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1)*G*Y*H(1))
04800 I D(100)*H(100)
04900 END

```

```

TYPE WWA4.FOR
00100
00200      SUBROUTINE CROSS(X,Y,H,M,NX,BR,AR,RYX,RXY,QK,CK
00250      1,CPK,QPK)
00300
00400      DIMENSION X(NX),Y(NX),CK(M),QK(M),
00500      1 RXY(M),RYX(M),AR(M),BR(M),QKX(100),CKCX(100)
00550      1 ,CPK(100),QPK(100)
00600      COMMON GXY(100),GXYS(100)
00700      COMPLEX GXY,GXYS,QKX,QKCX,CKCX
00800
00900      DO 10 IR=0,M
01000      I=IR+1
01100      NR=NX-IR
01200      RXY(I)=0.
01300      RYX(I)=0.
01400
01500      DO 20 N=1,NR
01600      RXY(I)=RXY(I)+X(N)*Y(N+IR)
01700      RYX(I)=RYX(I)+Y(N)*X(N+IR)
01800      20 CONTINUE
01900
02000      RXY(I)=RXY(I)/NR
02100      RYX(I)=RYX(I)/NR
02200      AR(I)=0.5*(RXY(I)+RYX(I))
02300      BR(I)=0.5*(RXY(I)-RYX(I))
02400
02500      10 CONTINUE
02550      WRITE(36,*)(AR(I),BR(I),I=1,M+1)
02600
02700      DO 15 K=0,M
02800
02900      Q=K
03000      QM=H
03100      Q=Q/QM*3.1416+.001
03200      KK=K+1
03300
03400      CK(KK)=0.0
03500      QK(KK)=0.0
03600
03700      DO 25 IR=2,M
03800      R=IR-1
03900      CK(KK)=CK(KK)+2.*(AR(IR)+COS(Q*R))
04000      QK(KK)=QK(KK)+BR(IR)*SIN(Q*R)
04050      CPK(KK)=CK(KK)
04080      QPK(KK)=QK(KK)
04100      25 CONTINUE
04200
04300      CK(KK)=2.*H*(AR(1)+CK(KK)+(-1.**K)*AR(M+1))
04400      QK(KK)=4.*H*QK(KK)
04500
04600      15 CONTINUE
04700
04800      MM=M+1
04890      WRITE(33,*)(CK(I),QK(I),I=1,MM)
04900
05000      DO 30 I=1,MM

```

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

```
05100
05200      QKCX(I)=(0,-1)
05300      CKCX(I)=CK(I)
05400      QKCX(I)=QKCX(I)*QK(I)
05500      GXY(I)=CKCX(I)+QKCX(I)
05600  30      CONTINUE
05625      WRITE(55,*)(CPK(KK),QPK(KK),KK, KK=1,MM)
05650      WRITE(37,*)(CKCX(I),QKCX(I), I=1,MM)
05700
05800  C      SMOOTHING BY HANNING METHOD
05900      CK(1)=(CK(1)+CK(2))/2.
06000      QK(1)=(QK(1)+QK(2))/2.
06100      DO 37 J=2,M
06200      CK(J+1)=(CK(J)+CK(J+1))/2.
06300      QK(J+1)=(QK(J)+QK(J+1))/2.
06400  37      CONTINUE
06500      DO 40 I=2,M
06600      CK(I)=(CK(I-1)+2.*CK(I)+CK(I+1))/4.
06700      QK(I)=(QK(I-1)+2.*QK(I)+QK(I+1))/4.
06800  40      CONTINUE
06900
07000  C      SMOOTH VERSION
07100
07200      DO 50 I=1,MM
07300
07400      CKCX(I)=CK(I)
07500      QKCX(I)=(0,-1)
07600      QKCX(I)=QKCX(I)*QK(I)
07700      GXYS(I)=CKCX(I)+QKCX(I)
07800
07900  50      CONTINUE
07950      WRITE(38,*)(CKCX(I),QKCX(I), I=1,MM)
08000
08200      RETURN
08300      END
```

```

TYPE WA11.FOR
00100      PROGRAM VIB222
00200      COMMON GXY(100),GXYS(100)
00300      COMPLEX FILEX,HT,HS,GXY,GXYS
00400      DIMENSION X(999),Y(999),GKX(100),GKXS(100),GKY(100),
00500      1 GKYS(100),HT(100),HS(100),C(100),CS(100)
00600      1 ,D(100),RX(100)
00700      1 ,A(100),BB(100),CC(100),CD(100),CSS(100),DC(100)
00800      READ(60,*)M
00900      C      OPENS READS, AND CLOSES THE FILE CONTAINING THE
01000      C      DATA FOR THE INPUT FUNCTION,X
01200      READ(23,*)HX,NX,TR,(X(I),I=1,NX)
01300      C      CHECKS TO MAKE SURE M, AND N ARE POSITIVE AND EVEN
01400      C      CALCULATES CUTOFF FREQ BANDWIDTH, AND STANDARD ERROR
01500      CALL CHECK(NX,HX,M,F,B,E)
01600      WRITE(46,50)NX,HX,M,F,B,E
01700      50      FORMAT(5X,'NX=',I3,3X,'H=',F15.5,3X,'M='
01800      1 I3,3X,'FC=',F15.5,3X,'BE=',F15.5,3X,'E=',F15.5)
01900      C      READ DATA FOR OUTRPUT FUNCTION, Y
02000      READ(24,*)HY,NY,TR,(Y(I),I=1,NY)
02100      C      CHECK Y DATA
02200      CALL CHECK(NY,HY,M,F,B,E)
02300      C      MAKE SURE THERE ARE SAME NO. OF X AND Y VALUES
02400      IF(NX .NE. NY)STOP 'NX NOT EQUAL TO NY'
02500      C      AVERAGE X AND Y MADE EQUAL TO ZERO
02600      C      RESULTS OF AVERAGING IN FILE FOR20.DAT
02700      CALL FORM(X,NX)
02800      CALL FORM(Y,NY)
02900      WRITE(20,29)
03000      29      FORMAT(3X,'X(I)',5X,'Y(I)',5X,'I')
03100      WRITE(20,31)(X(I),Y(I),I=1,NX)
03200      31      FORMAT(5X,I3,10X,2E10.5,F15.5)
03300      C      POWER SPECTRA FOUND FOR X AND Y
03400      C      RESULTS FOR X FUNCT. FOR30.DAT
03500      C      RESULTS FOR Y FUNCT. FOR31.DAT
03600      CALL POWER(X,HX,NX,M,GKX,GKXS,D,RX)
03700      WRITE(30,*) (GKX(I),GKXS(I),I=1,M)
03800      CALL POWER(Y,HY,NY,M,GKY,GKYS,D,RX)
03900      WRITE(31,*) (GKY(I),GKYS(I),I=1,M)
04000      C      COMPLEX VALUED CROSS-SPECTRAL DENSITY FOUND
04100      C      RESULTS IN FILE FOR32.DAT
04200      CALL CROSS(X,Y,HX,M,NX,A,BB,CC,CD,CSS,DC)
04300      WRITE(32,*) (GXY(I),GXYS(I),I=1,M)
04400      C      TRANSFER FUNCTION FOUND. COMPLEX VALUED
04500      C      COHERENCE FUCTION FOUND. REAL
04600      CALL TRANS(GKX,GKY,GKXS,GKYS,M,HT,HS,C,CS,
04700      1 A,BB,CC,CD)
04800      C      FILE IS NAMED FOR STORAGE OF THE RESULTS
04900      C      FILENAME MUST BE 9 CHARACTERS WITH .DAT EXT
05000      WRITE(46,101)
05100      101     FORMAT(12X,'HT',22X,'HS(I)',18X,'C(I)',12X,'CS(I)')
05200      WRITE(46,102)(HT(I),HS(I),C(I),CS(I),I=1,M)
05300      102     FORMAT(2X,2F10.6,3X,2F10.6,3X,F10.6,5X,F10.6//)
05400      END

```



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Computer Program For The Measurement And Analysis Of Shock

Load And Acceleration Response(1)

Theory

Definitions

f	Frequency
$f_c$	$1/2h$ = The cut off frequency
x & y	Variables - impulse & response wave values
x(t)	Record
y(t)	Record
h	The time interval between data values
k	The harmonic number
r	The lag number
m	The maximum lag number
n	The number of data samples (number of intervals)
$\epsilon$	The normalized standard error desired for spectral calculations
$A_q$	The finite analogues of Fourier cosine coefficients
$B_q$	The finite analogues of Fourier cosine coefficients
$R_r$	The estimate of the true (autocorrelation function) value $R_r$ at $\log r$ corresponding to the displacement rh
$T_p$	The period t
$U_n$ or $x_n$	The data values
$s_x$	The sample standard deviation for x(t)
$s_y$	The sample standard deviation for y(t)
$B_e$	The desired equivalent resolution band width for power spectra calculations
$\hat{C}_k$	Digital value of the co-spectrum estimate at harmonic k between x(t) & y(t)
$\tilde{G}_k$	The "Raw" estimate of the power spectral density function at harmonic k, corresponding to the frequency $f=kf_c/m$ .
$\hat{Q}_k$	Digital value of the quadspectrum estimate at harmonic k between x(t) & y(t)
$G_x(f)$	Power spectral density function of x(t)
$\tilde{G}_x(f)$	Raw value of power spectrum $G_x(f)$
$t$	$=H_x, H_y$ Time intervals
$G_y(f)$	Power spectral density function of y(t)
$T_r$	Record length
Q	Index
$D_r$	Is Parzen Lag weighting function
ABS	Absolute value
C	Coherence function at each of the harmonic frequency k ( $f=kf_c/m$ )
$\hat{\sim}$	For smooth
$\tilde{\sim}$	For raw

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

$C_{xy}(f)$  The co-spectral density function  
 $Q_{xy}(f)$  The quadrature spectral density function  
 $\hat{G}_{k,x}$  Digital value of the power spectrum estimate at  
harmonic  $k$  for  $x(t)$   
 $\hat{G}_{k,y}$  Digital value of the power spectrum estimate at  
harmonic  $k$  for  $y(t)$   
 $G_k$   $(C_k, Q_k) = G_{xy}(kf_c/m)$   
 $G_{kxs}$  The smooth version of  $G_k$   
 $G_{xy}(f)$  Cross spectral density function  
 $G_{xys}(f)$  Smooth version using Hanning method  
 $\Delta t = H_x$  or  $H_y$  Time interval for  $x$  or  $y$

Digitizing Of Continuous Data

i.e., converting continuous data into discrete numbers.

The procedure is first to define the points at which the data are observed (sampling).

If the time interval =  $\Delta t$  (secs.) between samples =  $h$

∴ sampling rate =  $1/h$  samples per second.

The useful data will be from 0 to  $1/2h$  cps

The cutoff frequency  $f_c = 1/2h$  ----- (1)

known as the Nyquist frequency

For any frequency  $f$  in the range  $0 \leq f \leq f_c$ , the higher frequencies which are aliased with  $f$  are:-

$$(2f_c \pm f), (4f_c \pm f), \text{-----}, (2nf_c \pm f) \text{-----} \quad (2)$$

$$(\cos 2\pi ft = \cos 2\pi(2nf_c \pm f).1/2f_c = \cos \pi f/f_c)$$

Thus all data at frequencies  $2nf_c \pm f$  have the same cosine function as data at frequency  $f$  when data are sampled at points  $1/2f_c$  apart.

To Deal With Aliasing Problem

Choose  $h$  sufficiently small so that it is physically unreasonable for data to exist above the associated cutoff frequency  $f_c$  (example-assume that information below 500 cps is desired, as the case we are dealing with. This means that  $1/2h \approx 500$  or  $h=1$  msec. would technically be sufficient).

In general, it is a good rule to select  $f_c > 1\frac{1}{2}$  or 2 times greater than the maximum frequency of interest.

The second part of concern is quantization, i.e., the actual conversion of the observed values to numerical form.

If one assumes that the quantization errors follow a uniform probability distribution over one scale unit, then these errors will have a mean value  $p(x)=\text{zero}$  and a standard deviation of  $\approx 0.29$  scale unit. This is the rms value of the quantization error.

For most practical problems, quantization errors are negligible.

Basic Statistical Calculations For A Single Record  
Digitizing Of Data And Selection Of Sample Size

Let  $\{U_n\}$  ;  $n=1,2,---,N$  be the data values of a record  $U(t)$  found at the points  $t_n = t_0 + nh$ .

These points are at distance  $h$  apart

$$\therefore U_n = U(t_0 + nh) \text{-----} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Sample mean} = \bar{U} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N U_n \quad \text{-----} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Sample standard deviation} = S = \left[ \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N (x_n)^2}{N-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{-----} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{And } \bar{x}^2 = \frac{(N-1)}{N} \cdot s^2$$

For independent data samples. The normalized standard error  
=  $1/\sqrt{N}$

#### Transformation Of Data To Zero Mean Value

This is done in order that subsequent formulas and calculations may be simplified.

Define a new time history record

$$x(t) = U(t) - \bar{U}$$

Then  $x(t)$  has data values  $\{x_n\}$  given by

$$x_n = x(t_0 + nh) = U_n - \bar{U} \quad \text{-----} \quad (6)$$

note that  $\bar{x} = 0$

The reason for representing the original data values by  $\{U_n\}$  instead of  $\{x_n\}$  is to have the  $\{x_n\}$  notation indicate a zero sample mean value.

#### Fourier Series Representation

If a transformed sample record  $x(t)$  is periodic with a period of  $T_p$  and a fundamental frequency  $f_1 = 1/T_p$ , then  $x(t)$  can be represented by the Fourier series:

$$x(t) = a_0/2 + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} (a_q \cdot \cos 2\pi q f_1 t + b_q \cdot \sin 2\pi q f_1 t)$$

Where:

$$a_q = 2/T \cdot \int_{0}^T x(t) \cdot \cos 2\pi q f_1 t \cdot dt \quad q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$b_q = 2/T \cdot \int_{0}^T x(t) \cdot \sin 2\pi q f_1 t \cdot dt \quad q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Assume a sample record  $x(t)$  is of finite length  $T_r = T_p$ , the fundamental period of the data.

Also assume that the record is sampled at an even number of  $N$  equally spaced points a distance  $h$  apart, where  $h$  has been selected to produce a sufficiently high frequency cutoff  $f_c = 1/2h$ .

Consider the initial point of the record to be zero and denote the transformed data values as before, by

$$x_n = x(nh) \quad \text{-----} \quad (7)$$

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY**

One may calculate the finite version of a Fourier series which will pass through these N data values. For any point t in the interval (0, T<sub>p</sub>) one obtains:

$$x(t) = A_0 + \sum_{q=1}^{N/2} A_q \cos(2\pi qt/T_p) + \sum_{q'=1}^{(N/2)-1} B_{q'} \sin(2\pi q't/T_p) \quad (8)$$

At the particular points t=nh; n=1,2,---,N  
where T<sub>p</sub> = Nh

$$x_n = x(nh) = A_0 + \sum_{q=1}^{N/2} A_q \cos(2\pi qn/N) + \sum_{q'=1}^{(N/2)-1} B_{q'} \sin(2\pi q'n/N) \quad (9)$$

The coefficients A<sub>q</sub> & B<sub>q</sub> are given by:

$$A_0 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n = \bar{x} = 0$$

$$A_q = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \cos(2\pi qn/N) \quad q=1,2,---,(N/2)-1 \quad (10)$$

$$A_{N/2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \cdot \cos \pi n$$

$$B_q = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \cdot \sin(2\pi qn)/N \quad \text{Note that } A_0=0 \text{ since } x(t) \text{ is transformed data, where: } \bar{x} \text{ is made equal to zero}$$

Autocorrelation And Power Spectra Calculations

Basic formulas to calculate autocorrelation and power spectral density functions for single records from digitized data

Sampling interval

$$h = \Delta t \quad \text{such that } h=1/2f_c \quad (11)$$

where 1/f<sub>c</sub> is smallest "period" in record

For accurate correlation function measurements; Where the correlation function has frequencies near f<sub>c</sub>, one should choose h=1/4f<sub>c</sub>.

If the power spectra measurements are the prime consideration, one should choose h=2/5f<sub>c</sub>.

Number of correlation lag values m=1/B<sub>e</sub>.h ----- (12)

or B<sub>e</sub>=1/m.h ----- (13)

Thus B<sub>e</sub> will be small for a given h when m is large

The sample size; N is chosen such that N=m/ε<sup>2</sup> ----- (14)

The associated minimum record length T<sub>r</sub>=N.h ----- (15)

The number of degrees of freedom for spectral calculations is:

$$n = 2B_e \cdot T_r = 2N/m \quad (16)$$

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY.**

The Normalized Standard Error is given by  $\epsilon = \sqrt{m/N}$  --- (17)

$\epsilon$  will be small for a given N when m is small

Autocorrelation Functions

For N data values  $\{x_n\}$  from a transformed record  $x(t)$  which is stationary with  $\bar{x}=0$ , the estimated autocorrelation function at the displacement rh is defined by

$$\hat{R}_r = \hat{R}_x(rh) = \frac{1}{N-r} \sum_{n=1}^{N-r} x_n \cdot x_{n+r} \quad \text{-----} \quad (18)$$

The Maximum Lag Number m determines the later equivalent frequency band-width resolution for the power spectral density function in the frequency interval  $(0, f_c)$ .

This equivalent band width is:

$$B_e = 2f_c/m = 1/(m \cdot h) = 1/\tau_{max}. \quad \text{-----} \quad (19)$$

It means  $B_e$  is twice the range found by dividing the frequency interval  $(0, f_c)$  into m equally spaced parts ( $f_c/m$  apart).

( $B_e$  divides the theoretical frequency interval  $(-f_c, f_c)$  into m equally spaced parts). Thus from knowledge of  $f_c$ , one can choose m in advance so as to have a desired  $B_e$ .

Since the quantity m represents the maximum number of correlation lag values, the maximum displacement is:

$$\tau_{max} = mh \quad \text{-----} \quad (20)$$

It is desirable to keep the maximum lag m less than 1/10 the sample size N. And it is required to smooth the "Raw" power spectral density function estimates.

The autocorrelation function may take on negative as well as positive values.

A normalized value for the autocorrelation function is obtained by dividing  $\hat{R}_r$  by  $\hat{R}_0$  where

$$\hat{R}_0 = \hat{R}_x(0) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n)^2 = \overline{x^2} \quad \text{-----} \quad (21)$$

When  $\hat{R}_r$  is normalized, one obtains the quantity  $\hat{R}_r/\hat{R}_0$  which theoretically will be between plus and minus one

$$-1 \leq \hat{R}_r/\hat{R}_0 \leq 1 \quad \text{-----} \quad (22)$$

Power Spectral Density Functions

For samples data from a transformed record  $x(t)$  which is stationary with  $\bar{x}=0$ , a "Raw" estimate  $\tilde{G}_x(f)$  of a true power spectral density function  $G_x(f)$  is defined for an arbitrary  $f$  in the range  $0 \leq f \leq f_c$  by:

$$\tilde{G}_x(f) = 2h \left[ \hat{R}_0 + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \hat{R}_r \cdot \cos(\pi r f / f_c) + \hat{R}_m \cdot \cos(\pi m f / f_c) \right] \text{--- (23)}$$

The total mean square value of the record in the frequency range  $0 \leq f \leq f_c$  is:

$$\int_0^{f_c} \tilde{G}_x(f) \cdot df = \hat{R}_0 = \hat{R}_x(0) \text{----- (24)}$$

It is recommended that the values of the function  $\tilde{G}_x(f)$  be calculated only at the  $m+1$  special discrete frequencies. Where:  $f = k \cdot f_c / m$   $k=0,1,2, \text{---}, m$  ----- (25)

This will provide  $m/2$  independent spectral estimates since spectral estimates at points less than  $2f_c/m$  apart will be correlated.

At these discrete frequency points:

$$\tilde{G}_k = \tilde{G}_x(k \cdot f_c / m) = 2h \left[ \hat{R}_0 + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \hat{R}_r \cdot \cos(\pi r k / m) + (-1)^k \cdot \hat{R}_m \right] \text{-- (26)}$$

Smoothing is necessary since the periodogram (Raw estimate) given by equation (18) is an inefficient estimate of the true spectral density. The variability of these estimates does not decrease with increased record length or sample size.

This leads to the requirement of smoothing the periodogram or equivalently, weighting the correlation function nonuniformly.

When the computation of frequency response and the associated coherence functions is the main goal, certain variations in the above formulas is appropriate

$$\left. \begin{aligned} D_r^1 &= 1 - 6(r/m)^2 + 6(r/m)^3 & r=0,1,2, \text{---}, m/2 \\ &= 2(1 - (r/m))^3 & r=(m/2)+1, \text{---}, m \\ &= 0. & r > m \end{aligned} \right\} \text{--- (27)}$$

Note that  $D_0^1 = 1$  and  $D_m^1 = 0$

The use of this Parzen Lag  $W_P$  maintains the sample coherence function between its theoretical values of  $\pm 1$ , as opposed to the Hanning window of equation (28) which allows a sample coherence function to vary over wider limits depending on the form of the power spectrum.

The final "Smooth" formula of the power spectral density estimate at harmonic  $k$  is

$$\hat{G}_k = \hat{G}_x(k \cdot f_c / m) = 2h \left( \hat{R}_0 + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} D_r^1 \cdot \hat{R}_r \cdot \cos(\pi r \cdot k / m) \right) \text{--- (28)}$$

where  $D_r^1$  is given by equation (27)

Cross Correlation Functions

Choose a maximum lag number  $m$  which will give a desired equivalent frequency resolution  $B_e = 1/mh$  as well as a desired number of degrees of freedom  $2N/m$ .

The autocorrelation functions and power spectral density functions are calculated for the transformed variables  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$  in terms of the data values,  $x_n$  &  $y_n$ , separately according to the formulas listed previously.

The following are the formulas to calculate their joint cross-correlation functions and cross-spectral functions in terms of the trasformed data;

Estimates for the sample cross-correlation functions at lag numbers  $r=0,1,2,----,m$  are defined by:

$$\hat{R}_{xy}(rh) = \frac{1}{N-r} \sum_{n=1}^{N-r} x_n \cdot y_{n+r} \quad \text{-----} \quad (29)$$

$$\hat{R}_{yx}(rh) = \frac{1}{N-r} \sum_{n=1}^{N-r} y_n \cdot x_{n+r} \quad \text{-----} \quad (30)$$

(If  $N$  is  $\gg m$ , it may be more convenient to divide by  $N$  instead of  $N-r$  in equations (30),(29) and (18).)

For later determination of the cross-spectral density function estimate

$\hat{G}_{xy}(f)$  between  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$ , calculate for  $r=0,1,2,----,m$ , the two quantities (even and odd parts of the cross-correlation function).

$$\hat{A}_r = \hat{A}_{xy}(rh) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{R}_{xy}(rh) + \hat{R}_{yx}(rh) \right] \quad \text{-----} \quad (31)$$

$$\hat{B}_r = \hat{B}_{xy}(rh) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{R}_{xy}(rh) - \hat{R}_{yx}(rh) \right] \quad \text{-----} \quad (32)$$

Cross Spectral Density Functions

It is a complex-valued quantity defined by:

$$G_{xy}(f) = C_{xy}(f) - jQ_{xy}(f) \quad \text{-----} \quad (33)$$

"Raw" estimates from sampled data for the co-spectral density function and the quadrature spectral density function may be found as follows:

The formulas are for one-sided spectra which are nonZero only for  $f \geq 0$

At an arbitrary value of  $f$  in the range  $0 \leq f \leq f_c$ ,

"Raw" estimates for  $\tilde{C}_{xy}(f)$  &  $\tilde{Q}_{xy}(f)$  are



ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

$$\tilde{C}_{xy}(f) = 2h \left[ \hat{A}_0 + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \hat{A}_r \cos(\pi r f / f_c) + \hat{A}_m \cos(\pi m f / f_c) \right] \quad \text{--- (34)}$$

$$\tilde{Q}_{xy}(f) = 2h \left[ 2 \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \hat{B}_r \sin(\pi r f / f_c) + \hat{B}_m \sin(\pi m f / f_c) \right] \quad \text{----- (35)}$$

Where  $\hat{A}_r$  &  $\hat{B}_r$  are given by equations (31) & (32).  
As before, these functions should be calculated only at the  $m+1$  special discrete frequencies of harmonic.

At these discrete frequency points:

$$\tilde{C}_k = \tilde{C}_{xy}(k f_c / m) = 2h \left[ \hat{A}_0 + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \hat{A}_r \cos(\pi r k / m) + (-1)^k \hat{A}_m \right] \quad \text{--- (36)}$$

$$\tilde{Q}_k = \tilde{Q}_{xy}(k f_c / m) = 4h \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \hat{B}_r \sin(\pi r k / m) \quad \text{----- (37)}$$

"Smooth estimates for  $C_k$  &  $Q_k$  at harmonic  $k$  may now be calculated as in equation (27), by using the Hanning method. This yields:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \hat{C}_0 &= 0.5\tilde{C}_0 + 0.5\tilde{C}_1 \\ \hat{Q}_0 &= 0.5\tilde{Q}_0 + 0.5\tilde{Q}_1 \\ \hat{C}_k &= 0.25\tilde{C}_{k-1} + 0.5\tilde{C}_k + 0.25\tilde{C}_{k+1} \\ \hat{Q}_k &= 0.25\tilde{Q}_{k-1} + 0.5\tilde{Q}_k + 0.25\tilde{Q}_{k+1} \\ \hat{C}_m &= 0.5\tilde{C}_{m-1} + 0.5\tilde{C}_m \\ \hat{Q}_m &= 0.5\tilde{Q}_{m-1} + 0.5\tilde{Q}_m \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{----- (38)}$$

At the  $m+1$  discrete frequencies one obtains the smooth" cross-spectral density estimates

$$\hat{G}_{xy}(k f_c / m) = \hat{C}_k - j\hat{Q}_k$$

Frequency Response Functions

$H(f)$  is a complex-valued function

$$H(f) = |H(f)| \cdot e^{-j\phi(f)} \quad \text{----- (39)}$$

Where:-

$|H(f)|$  is the gain factor of the system  
 $\phi(f)$  is the phase factor of the system

If a stationary input  $x(t)$  produces an output  $y(t)$ , the system gain factor

$$|H(f)| = (\hat{G}_y(f) / \hat{G}_x(f))^{1/2} \quad \text{----- (40)}$$

**ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY**

Hence, at the discrete frequencies  $f = kf_c/m$ , the gain factor may be estimated digitally by

$$|\hat{H}_k| = |\hat{H}(kf_c/m)| = (\hat{G}_{k,y}/\hat{G}_{k,x})^{1/2} \quad \text{-----} \quad (41)$$

For either single input-single output problems where extraneous noise is present only at the output, or multiple input-single output problems where the inputs are uncorrelated, another more general method for estimating the system frequency response function (including both gain and phase factors) is given by:-

$$H(f) = \hat{G}_{xy}(f)/\hat{G}_x(f) \quad \text{-----} \quad (42)$$

It follows that:-

$$\text{And } \left. \begin{aligned} |\hat{H}(f)| &= |\hat{G}_{xy}(f)| / \hat{G}_x(f) \\ \hat{\phi}(f) &= \hat{\phi}_{xy}(f) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{-----} \quad (43)$$

Therefore at the discrete frequencies  $f = kf_c/m$  the gain factor and phase factor may be estimated digitally by:-

$$|\hat{H}_k| = (\hat{C}_k^2 + \hat{Q}_k^2)^{1/2} / \hat{G}_{k,x} \quad \text{-----} \quad (44)$$

$$\hat{\phi}_k = \tan^{-1}(\hat{Q}_k/\hat{C}_k) \quad \text{-----} \quad (45)$$

Coherence Functions

The coherence function  $\gamma_{xy}^2(f)$  between two stationary records  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$  is defined by:-

$$\gamma_{xy}^2(f) = |G_{xy}(f)|^2 / (G_x(f) \cdot G_y(f)) \quad \text{-----} \quad (46)$$

The coherence function theoretically should satisfy

$$0 \leq \gamma_{xy}^2(f) \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } f.$$

In terms of digital calculations, at the discrete frequencies  $f = kf_c/m$  the coherence function is estimated by

$$\hat{\gamma}_k^2 = (\hat{C}_k^2 + \hat{Q}_k^2) / (\hat{G}_{k,x} \cdot \hat{G}_{k,y}) \quad \text{-----} \quad (47)$$

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

The Transfer function is the mathematical description of the system. It can also be used to measure the relationship between any two signals. It can be defined as:-  
Average cross power spectrum of input & output  
Transfer Function =  $\frac{\text{Average cross power spectrum of input \& output}}{\text{Average power spectrum of input}}$   
This gives more reliable transfer function.

The coherence function can be used to check the validity of the transfer function, i.e., it can be used to measure the degree of causality between any two signals. A "0" value means no coherence between input and output of the system. A value of "1" means there is a complete coherence between them indicating that there is only one input and the system is linear.