General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source. \bullet
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

and Alban

Ŀ,

 \mathbf{r}

(NASA -CR-165912) METHODS FOR FSIIMATTCN OF N83-19741 BULLEN TORBULENCE SPECTRUM FAIAMETEES Final Report (Bolt, Berinek, and Newman, Inc.) 21 p HC A02/MF A01 CSCL 01C Unclas G3/05 10567

r-

METHODS FOR ESTIMATION OF BULLEN TURBULENCE SPECTRUM PARAMETERS

WILLIAM D. MARK BOLT BERANEK AND NEWMAN INC. CAMBRIDGE, MA 02238

CONTRACT NAS1-14831 MAY 1982

LIBRARY GOPY

→ ^* 2 1982

LANGUEY RESEARCH CENTER LIBPINGY, NASA HAMPTON, VIRGINIA-

Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665

ORIGINAL PAGE OF POOR QUAL

SUMMARY

In NASA Contractor Report 3463 [Ref. 1], maximum likelihood and constrained least-squares nethods were developed for estimating the parameters of the von Karman transverse and longitudinal spectra and autocorrelation functions. However, during the application of these methods to recorded turbulence measurements [NASA Contractor Report 3464, Ref. 2] it was discovered that a small percentage of such records are better modeled by the Bullen spectra or autocorrelation functions. The present report extends the above mentioned maximum likelihood and constrained leastsquares methods to Bullen transverse and longitudinal spectra and autocorrelation functions.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

AL BOMB DAVISION **INTRODUCTION**

In Ref. 1, a general methodology **was developed for characterizing** atmospheric turbulence **velocity records, estimating** the parameters of the characterizations from such records, and computing aircraft response **statistics** from the characterizations. The characterization methods were implemented in Ref. 2 using several turbulence velocity records measured during the NASA MAT (Measurement of Atmospheric Turbulence) program. The turbulence model used in Refs. 1 and 2 assumes that a turbulence velocity record w(t) can be expressed as the sum of "slow" and "fast" components $w_{\rm g}(t)$ and $w_{\rm f}(t)$ - i.e.

$$
w(t) = w_{s}(t) + w_{f}(t)
$$

= w_{s}(t) + \sigma_{f}(t)z(t), (1.1)

where

$$
w_r(t) = \sigma_r(t) z(t) \qquad (1.2)
$$

with

 $\sigma_r(t) \geq 0$ (1.3)

and

$$
E{z(t)} = 0, \t E{z2(t)} = 1, \t (1.4)
$$

where $E\{\cdots\}$ denotes the sathematical expectation or ensemble average value of the quantity within the braces The three random processes $\{w_{S}(t)\}$, $\{\sigma_{f}(t)\}$, and $\{z(t)\}$ are assumed to be mutually statistically independent. Furthermore, $\{z(t)\}\$ is assumed to be an ergodic Gaussian process. The process $\{\sigma_{\rho}(t)\}$ is not assumed to be Gaussian. Realizations of $\{\sigma_f(t)\}\$ are assumed to vary slowly in comparison with realizations of $\{z(t)\}\)$, and realizations of ${w_{s}(t)}$ are assumed to vary slowly in comparison with

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALM

realizations of ${w_{\rho}(t)}$ **. Hence,** ${w_{\alpha}(t)}$ **has the appearance of a slowly varying additive component to the process** $w_f(t)$ **, and** wf (t) has the appearance of ordinary turbulence **with a tem**porally varying envelope that is governed by the behavior of a f (t). A more complete description of the above **model can be found in** Ref. 1.

It **has** been shown in Ref. 3 that whenever the scale of fluctuations of $\sigma_{\rho}(t)$ is of the order of ten or more times the integral scale of $z(t)$, the velocity spectrum of $w_f(t)$ **will,** for practical purposes, coincide with the velocity spectrum of z(t) except for a constant multiplicative factor. Thus, if $z(t)$ is regarded as ordinary turbulence with a von Karman transverse or longitudinal spectrum, then to within an excellen⁺ approximation $w_f(t)$ will possess this same spectral form. Hence, in Refs. 1 and 2, it has teen assumed that the velocity spectral densities or autocorrelation functions of w(t) are the superposition of the spectral densities or autocorrelation functions of $w_{\alpha}(t)$ and $\overline{\sigma_f^2}$ z(t), where $\overline{\sigma_f^2}$ denotes a temporal average or expectation. This assumption can be justified from the assumed mutual statistical independence of ${w_{s}(t)}$, ${ \sigma_{f}(t)}$, and ${z(t)}$ and the slowly varying behavior of $\{\sigma_{\rho}(t)\}\)$ relative to $\{z(t)\}\.$

Since the spectrum of $w_{\rm g}$ (t), by assumption, contains predominantly low frequency or long wavenumber components, the asymptotic slope of the spectrum of w(t) should be governed by the process $z(t)$ which has been assumed to be described by the von Karman spectral form. The von Karman transverse and longitudinal spectral forms both possess the asymptotic -5/3 slope when plotted on log-log coordinates as required by classical turbulence theory $[\bar{4}]$. However, when the methods developed in Ref. 1 were applied to 7 real turbulence records in Ref. 2, it was found that the asymptotic slopes of the spectra of 2 out of the 7 records were distinctly different from -5/3. These are the spectra of the lateral turbulence records from Flight 32 Run 4 and Flight 30 Run 8 of the MAT program shown in Figs. 5 and 11 respectively in Ref. 2. Thus, an extension of the methods developed in Ref. 1 to accommodate asymptotic slopes different from -5/3 would seem to be useful.

11 MARIE 1979 A. \mathcal{P}

Two methods were developed in Ref. 1 for estimating "spectrum" properties of velocity records. The first method is applicable to cases where the slow component $w_{\alpha}(t)$ in

Eq. (1.1) is negligible in comparison with $w_{\rho}(t)$. This

first method utilizes the maximum likelihood method to estimate the two parameters of the von Karman transverse or longitudinal spectral forms. The second method is applicable to cases where $w_c(t)$ in Eq. (1.1) is not negligible.

This second method :tilizes a constrained least-squares integral fit of an autocorrelation function model to the autocorrelation function of the measured turbulence record. Both of these methods are extended in this report to models where the asymptotic slope of the spectrum of the component $z(t)$ is not constrained to be the classical value of $-5/3$.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS of POOR QUALM

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF PARAMETERS

IN BULLEN TURBULENCE VELOCITY SPECTRA

In Sec. 3 cf Ref. 1, the maximum **likelihood** method was used to develop equations for estimating the integral scale and *intensity* of the von Karman transverse and **longitudinal** spectral forms. In this section, this method is extended to the Bullen spectral forms given on pp. 200-202 of Ref. 5. The Bulien spectral forms are determined by three parameters rather than two as in the von Karman case. The third parameter controls the asymptotic slope of the spectra when plotted on log-log coordinates. When this asymptotic slope is set equal to -5/3, the Bullen spectral forms reduce to the von Karman forms.

The Bullen transverse and longitudinal *two-sided* wavenumber spectra are [p. 200 of Ref. 51

$$
\Phi_{\text{ET}}(\mathbf{k}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{L} \frac{1 + 8\pi^2 \ell^2 \mathbf{k}^2 (n+1)}{(1 + 4\pi^2 \ell^2 \mathbf{k}^2)^{n+3/2}},
$$
\n(2.1)

and

$$
\Phi_{BL}(k) = \sigma^2 L \frac{2}{(1 + 4\pi^2 \ell^2 k^2)^{n+1/2}}
$$
 (2.2)

where the above forms are one-half of the values given in Ref. 5 because $\Phi_{\text{BH}}(k)$ and $\Phi_{\text{BL}}(k)$ are two-sided spectra rather than one-sided as in Ref. 5, and where the parameter a in Ref. 5 has been replaced by ℓ to avoid later notational confusion. L is the scale of the turbulence, σ^2 is the meansquare value, and ℓ is related to n and L by

$$
\ell = \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})} L , \qquad (2.3)
$$

where $\Gamma(n)$ is the gamma funct^{ton}. When $n = 1/3$, Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) reduce to the von Karman forms given by Eqs. (3.18) and (3.19) of Ref. 1.

DRIGINAL PAUALITY **Of**

In the maximum likelihood procedure to be developed below, we shall derive likelihood equations for the three parameters σ^2 L, ℓ , and n in Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2). When solutions are arrived at for these three parameters, one can readily compute from n and ℓ

 $\sqrt{\pi}$ $\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})$ $L = \frac{(2.4)}{2}$, r(n)

according to Eq. (2.3), and then σ^2 = $\sigma^2 L/L$, which yield the scale L and mean-square value σ^2 .

Let S_i , $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N$ denote the periodogram (unsmoothed spectrum) samples described on pp. 74-80 of Ref. 1. The mathematical expectation of a typical spectrum sample S_j can be expressed as

$$
E\{S_j\} \equiv \overline{S_j} = \sigma^2 L F_j(\ell, n) , \qquad j=1,2, \cdots N
$$
 (2.5)

where for the Bullen transverse spectrum one has from Eq. (2.1)

$$
F_{j}(\ell, n) = \frac{1 + 8\pi^{2} \ell^{2} k_{j}^{2} (n+1)}{(1 + 4\pi^{2} \ell^{2} k_{j}^{2})^{n+3/2}},
$$
 (2.6)

and for the Bullen longitudinal spectrum one has from Eq. (2.2)

$$
F_{j}(\ell, n) = \frac{2}{(1 + 4\pi^{2} \ell^{2} k_{j}^{2})^{n+1/2}},
$$
 (2.7)

where k_i is the wavenumber at the location of the jth spectrum sample S_1 .

Turning now to derivation of the likelihood equations for estimating the spectrum parameters, when Eq. (2.5) is substituted into Eq. (3.15) of Ref. 1, one has for the logaof the joint probability density function of the N spectrum samples S_{1} , $J=1,2,\cdots,N$

$$
\ln[p(S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_N)] =
$$

=
$$
-\left\{\frac{N\ln(\sigma^2 L) + \ln F_1(\ell, n) + \ln F_2(\ell, n) + \cdots + \ln F_N(\ell, n) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2 L} \left[\frac{S_1}{F_1(\ell, n)} + \frac{S_2}{F_2(\ell, n)} + \cdots + \frac{S_N}{F_N(\ell, n)} \right] \right\}
$$
 (2.8)

where Eq. (3.12) of Ref. 1 also has been used. Equation (2.8) is to be maximized with respect to the three parameters $\sigma^2 L$, ℓ , and n. Thus, differentiating Eq. (2.8) with respect to $\sigma^2 L$, \tilde{x} , and n and setting the resulting three expressions equal to zero yields after minor manipulations:

$$
\sigma^2 L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{S_j}{F_j(\ell, n)}
$$
 (2.9)

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell} \ln F_j(\ell, n) \right] \left[\frac{S_j}{F_j(\ell, n)} - \sigma^2 L \right] = 0 \quad (2.10)
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \ln F_j(\ell, n) \right] \left[\frac{S_j}{F_j(\ell, n)} - \sigma^2 L \right] = 0 \quad . \tag{2.11}
$$

Equations (2.9) through (2.11) are three nonlinear simultaneous algebraic equations for the spectrum parameters σ^2 L, ℓ , and n in terms of the periodgram samples S_1 , S_2 , ..., S_N and the functions $F_i(\ell,n)$ given by Eqs. (2.6) and (2.7) for the Bullen transverse and longitudinal spectrum forms respectively. However, Eq. (2.9) can be substituted into Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11) so that only two nonlinear equations need to be solved simultaneously. Let us first define

$$
G_{j\ell}(\ell,n) \triangleq \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell} \ln F_j(\ell,n) \tag{2.12}
$$

ORIGINAL PAGE IS

 $\ddot{\bf q}$ to

and

$$
G_{jn}(\ell, n) \triangleq \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \hat{\ell} n F_j(\ell, n) , \qquad (2.13)
$$

which apply to either the transverse or longitudinal Bullen spectral forms as appropriate. Then substituting Eq. (2.9) into Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11) and using the definitions (2.12) and (2.13) , we obtain instead of Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11) ,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} G_{j\ell}(\ell, n) \left[\frac{S_j}{F_j(\ell, n)} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{S_i}{F_i(\ell, n)} \right] = 0
$$
 (2.14)

and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} G_{j n}(\ell, n) \left[\frac{S_j}{F_j(\ell, n)} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{S_i}{F_i(\ell, n)} \right] = 0 .
$$
 (2.15)

Equations (2.14) and (2.15) are to be solved simultaneously for the two spectrum parameters ℓ and n. These solutions ℓ and n are then to be substituted into Eq. (2.9) to yield the parameter σ^2 L. Equation (2.4) is then to be used to evaluate the scale L , and σ^2 then can be evaluated by $\sigma^2 = \sigma^2 L/L$. Thus, Eqs.(2.9), (2.14), and (2.15) are the solution to the problem of maximum likelihood estimation of the Bullen spectrum parameters $\sigma^2 L$, ℓ , and n which yield σ^2 , L, and n.

The solution to Eqs. (2.14) and (2.15) can be readily parried out by numerical means along the lines illustrated in Appendix F of Ref. 1. To carry out these solutions, the functions $G_{j\ell}(\ell,n)$ and $G_{jn}(\ell,n)$ are required for the Bullen transverse and longitudinal spectra. By differentiating the natural logarithms of Eqs. (2.6) and (2.7), one can readily show for the Bullen transverse spectrum that

$$
G_{j\ell}(\ell,n) = \frac{8\pi^2 \ell^2 k_j^2 (n+\frac{1}{2})}{\ell} \left[\frac{1-8\pi^2 \ell^2 k_j^2 (n+1)}{1+4\pi^2 \ell^2 k_j^2 (2n+3)+32\pi^* \ell^* k_j^* (n+1)} \right]
$$
(2.16)

 $\overline{}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\overline{}$

and

$$
G_{jn}(\ell, n) = \frac{8\pi^2 \ell^2 k_J^2}{1 + 8\pi^2 \ell^2 k_J^2 (r + 1)} - \ell n (1 + 4\pi^2 \ell^2 k_J^2) , \qquad (2.17)
$$

and for the Bullen longitudinal spectrum that

$$
G_{j\ell}(\ell,n) = -\frac{1}{\ell} \frac{8\pi^2 \ell^2 k_j^2 (n+\frac{1}{2})}{1 + 4\pi^2 \ell^2 k_j^2}
$$
 (2.18)

and

$$
G_{jn}(\ell, n) = -\ln(1 + 4\pi^2 \ell^2 k_j^2) \quad . \tag{2.19}
$$

$\frac{1}{2}$ (a) $\frac{1}{2}$ (b) $\frac{1}{2}$ (b) $\frac{1}{2}$ (b) $\frac{1}{2}$ (c) $\frac{1}{2}$

CONSTRAINED LEAST-SQUARES ESTIMATION OF TURBULENCE

AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION PARAMETERS

First Method

In this section, the method developed in Sec. 4 of Ref. 1 is extended to the case where the spectrum and autocorrelation functions of component $w_{\rho}(t)$ in Eq. (1.1) are

better modeled by the Bullen forms rather than the von Karman forms used in the cited reference. The parameter estimation method developed below is applicable to situations where the component $w_g(t)$ in Eq. (1.1) is not negligible.

The autocorrelation function model used here is

$$
\phi(\xi) \triangleq \overline{\sigma_f^2} \phi_B(\xi; \ell, n) + \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \xi^i, \qquad 0 \leq \xi \leq \xi_H
$$
 (3.1)

which replaces Eq. (4.1) of Ref. 1. The quantity $\overline{\sigma_{\mathbf{f}}^2}$ is the mean-square value of the "fast" component $w_{\bm r}(\mathrm{t})$ in Eq. (1.1), $\phi_{\bf n}(\xi;\ell,n)$ is either the Bullen transverse or longitudinal autocorrelation function, as appropriate, with $\phi_{\bf n}(0;\ell,\!n)$ = 1, and m

$$
\phi_{\mathbf{w}_S}(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i \xi^i, \qquad 0 \le \xi \le \xi_H
$$
 (3.2)

is a polynomial model of the autocorrelation function of the "slow" component $w_g(t)$ in Eq. (1.1) assumed valid within the range $0 \leq \xi \leq \xi_H$ as in Sec. 4 of Ref. 1.

The quantities σ_{ϕ}^2 , z , n, and $a_{\dot{+}}$, i=0,1, \cdots , m are to be evaluated from the autocorrelation function $R(\xi)$ of a measured turbulence record $w(t)$ by minimizing the quantity

F. m 2 ^E H^R(^)- a10,(&;t,n) - ^ai i^ dE, f (3.3) ^C i=C

OF POOR QUALITY.

where the minimization procedure is constrained by the two additional relations:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{jn}(\ell, n) \left[\frac{S_j}{F_j(\ell, n)} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{S_i}{F_i(\ell, n)} \right] = 0
$$
 (3.4)

and

$$
\overline{\sigma}_{\mathbf{f}}^2 \mathbf{L} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{S_j}{F_j(\ell, n)},
$$
\n(3.5)

where periodogram samples S_f and S_f in the wavenumber range $k_1 \geq k_2$, and $k_1 \geq k_2$, only are to be included in the summations in Eqs. (3.4) and (3.5) as explained below.

Ordinarily, the wavenumber spectrum content of the "slow" component $w_{\alpha}(t)$ in Eq. (1.1) is concentrated in the low wavenumber Pegion. Thus, we assume there exists a wavenumber k_0 , such that for wavenumbers $k \geq k_0$,, the -ectrum content of a turbulence record $w(t)$ is dominated for all $k \geq k_{c,t}$, by contributions from the "fast" component $w_{\rho}(t)$ only. It is advantageous to choose the smallest value of k_0 , that satisfies this condition. The two constraint equations (3.4) and (3.5) are to be evaluated using $peri$ odogram samples S_A and S_A taken only in the region $k \geq k_0$, where the wavenumber content of the process $w_{\rho}(t)$ in Eq. (1.1) is everywhere dominant. By hypothesis, then, within this region $k \ge k_{g,t}$, the wavenumber spectrum is well represented by the appropriate (transverse or longitudinal) Bullen spectral form. Equations (3.4) and (3.5) are two of the three likelihood equations available for evaluating the three Bullen spectrum parameters.

To examine why the third likelihood equation (2.14) is often not useful in the region $k \geq k_{\ell}$,, we examine the behavior of $G_{10}(k,n)$ for large values of k_1 for both the transverse

and longitudinal cases. For large values of k_{1} , one can readily see from Eq. (2.16) that for the case of the Bullen transverse spectrum one has

 $\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{max}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{max}}}{\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{max}}}}}\mathbf{S}_{\text{max}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{max}}}{\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{max}}}}}\mathbf{S}_{\text{max}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{max}}}}\mathbf{S}_{\text{max}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{max}}}}\mathbf{S}_{\text{max}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{max}}}}\mathbf{$

$$
G_{j\ell}(\ell,n) - \frac{8\pi^2 \ell^2 k_j^2 (n+\frac{1}{2})}{\ell} \left[\frac{-8\pi^2 \ell^2 k_j^2 (n+1)}{32\pi^4 \ell^4 k_j^4 (n+1)} \right]
$$

= $-\frac{2(n+1)}{\ell}$, $k_j \rightarrow \infty$, (3.6)

and, similarly, from Eq. (2.18) for the case of the Bullen \logit udinal spectrum one has approximately for large k_A

$$
G_{j\ell}(\ell, n) \sim -\frac{1}{\ell} \frac{8\pi^2 \ell^2 k_j^2 (n + \frac{1}{2})}{4\pi^2 \ell^2 k_j^2}
$$

$$
= -\frac{2(n + 1)}{\ell}, \qquad k_j^{+ \infty}.
$$
 (3.7)

Thus, for large values of k , for both the transverse and iongitudinal cases $G_{i,0}$ (ℓ ,n) dpproaches the same constant value of $-2(n+1)/\ell$. Examination of Figs. 20 and 21 on pp. 90 and 91 of Ref. 1 shows that when $n = \frac{1}{3}$, this constant value is approximately reached for values of $k_1 \ge 1/L$. Let us now suppose that k_{ℓ} , is approximately equal to 1/L or larger. In this case, then, in the region $k_+ \geq k_+$, where the summation in Eq. (2.14) would be used, we see from Eqs. (3.6)

and (3.7) that Eq. (2.1^h) would become, approximately,
\n
$$
-\frac{(2n+1)}{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[\frac{S_j}{F_j(\ell,n)} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{S_i}{F_j(\ell,n)} \right] = 0,
$$

• Ott, \mathbf{r}

or, dividing both sides of Eq. (3.8) by N,

$$
-\frac{(2n+1)}{\ell}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{S_j}{F_j(\ell,n)}\right] - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{S_i}{F_i(\ell,n)}\right]\right\} = 0 , (3.8)
$$

which is satisfied for all values of ℓ and n. Hence, when the smallest useable value $k_{g,t}$ of k_{t} is in the region where 3 6) or (3.7) is satisfied, the likelihood equation is satisfied for all values of ℓ and n and therefore is useless for the purpose of determining these values. The functions $G_{jn}(\ell,n)$ given by Eqs. (2.17) and (2.19) do not approach constant values with respect to k_1 as k_3 gets arbitrarily large; hence, the likelihood equation given by Eq. (2.15) or (3.4) does not suffer from the above described limitation of Eq. (2.14) .

To compare the present least-squares methodology with that in Sec. 4 of Ref. 1, we see that the Bullen autocorrelation functions $\phi_{\alpha}(\xi;\ell,n)$ in Eq. (3.3) have one more parameter (n) than the von Karman autocorrelation functions $\phi_K(\xi;\mathbf{L})$ in Eq. (4.3) of Ref. 1. Furthermore, in the present leastsquares procedure, there is one more equation of constraint, Eq. (3.4), than in the least-squares procedure described in Sec. 4 of Ref. 1. Hence, minimization of the quantity "E" given by Eq. (3.3) can be regarded as determining the single parameter $\bar{\tau}_{\hat{r}}^2$ of the "fast" component $w_{\hat{r}}(t)$ in Eq. (1.1). Similarly, in Scc. 4 of Ref. 1, minimization of "E" given by Eq. (4.5) of that reference also determined the single parameter σ_f^2 of the "fast" component $w_f(t)$. The reader is referred to Sec. 4 of Ref. 1 for a more thorough discussion of the least-squares procedure.

Derivation of Algebraic Equations for

Autocorrelation F_nction Parameters

The equation of constraint (3.4) contains two unknown parameters ℓ and n. Thus, one can regard that equation as

ORIGINAL PAGE 15

determining n as a function of $l - i.e.,$ n=n(l). Hence, assuming that this function $n=n(2)$ has been determined from Eq. (3.4) , the second equation of constraint (3.5) can be expressed as

.

• v

$$
\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{\text{L}^{\dagger}[\text{n}(\ell)]\ell N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{S_j}{F_j[\ell, n(\ell)]},
$$
 (3.9)

where the subscript f and the overbar have been left off σ_{ϵ}^2 in. Eq. (3.9), and where the integral scale L has been expressed as

$$
L = L^* [n(\ell)] \ell , \qquad (3.10)
$$

where from Eq. (2.4) , one has

$$
L = L^{r} [n(x)]x ,
$$

\n
$$
= \text{from Eq. (2.4), one has}
$$

\n
$$
\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma [n(x)] = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma [n(x)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma [n(x)]}
$$
 (3.11)

where in Eqs. (3.10) and (3.11) n has been expressed as a function of ℓ as determined by Eq. (3.4) . Hence, Eqs. (3.4) and (3.9) together determine σ^2 as a function of ℓ – i.e., $\sigma^2 = \sigma^2(\ell)$. Assuming that σ^2 is a monotonic function of l , Eqs. (3.4) and (3.9) also determine l as a function of σ^2 .

 $\ell = \ell(\sigma^2)$. (3.12)

Thus, assuming that Eq. (3.4) has determined n as a function of ℓ , the function $\phi_{\rm R}(\xi;\ell,n)$ has been reduced to dependence on only a single parameter ℓ which shall be denoted by

$$
\phi_{\mathcal{B}}^{\dagger}(\xi;\ell) \triangleq \phi_{\mathcal{B}}[\xi;\ell,n(\ell)] . \qquad (3.13)
$$

Assuming further that ℓ has been determined as a function of $\sigma^2 = \overline{\sigma_f^2}$ through the additional relationship (3.5), Eq. (3.3) then can be expressed as

$$
E = \int_{0}^{E} \left\{ R(\xi) - \sigma^2 \phi_B^{\dagger}[\xi; \ell(\sigma^2)] - \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i \xi^i \right\}^2 d\xi, \qquad (3.14)
$$

where we have used the notation of Eq. (3.14) and the abbreviation $\sigma^2 = \overline{\sigma_{\rm f}^2}$.

The problem, now, is to determine the values of σ^2 and a_j , i=0,1, \cdots ,m that minimize the value of E given by Eq. (3.14). This determination is carried out by finding
value of σ^2 and $a_{\frac{1}{2}}$, i=0,1, \cdots ,m that satisfy

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_j} = 0 \quad , \quad j = 0, 1, \cdots, m \qquad \frac{\partial E}{\partial \sigma^2} = 0 \quad . \tag{3.15}
$$

Differentiating Eq. (3.14) with respect to a_j , $j=0,1,\cdots,m$ and with respect to σ^2 and setting the resulting equations equal to zero yields the following set of m+2 nonlinear

algebraic equations for
$$
a_0
$$
, a_1 , \cdots , a_m and σ^2 :
\n
$$
\sigma^2 \int_0^{\xi_H} \xi^j \phi_B^+ \Big[\xi; \ell(\sigma^2) \Big] d\xi + \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \int_0^{\xi_H} \xi^{i+j} d\xi = \int_0^{\xi_H} \xi^j R(\xi) d\xi,
$$
\n
$$
j = 0, 1, \cdots, m \qquad (3.16)
$$

and

$$
\sigma^2 \int_0^{\xi_H} \left[\sigma^2 \frac{\partial \phi_B^{\dagger}(\xi;\ell(\sigma^2))}{\partial \ell} \frac{d\ell}{d\sigma^2} + \phi_B^{\dagger}(\xi;\ell(\sigma)^2) \right] \phi_B^{\dagger}(\xi;\ell(\sigma^2)) d\xi
$$

$$
\sigma^{2}\int_{0}^{\sigma^{2}}\left\{\sigma^{2} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \ell} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\
$$

where the function $\ell(\sigma^2)$ is determined by Eqs. (3.4) and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}$ (3.9) as described below.

Unfortunately, the derivatives of $\phi^{\dagger}_{B}[\xi;\ell(\sigma^2)]$ with

respect to ℓ turn out to be impractical to evaluate due, in part, to the dependence of the parameter n on ℓ that occurs in the expression for $L^{r}[n(\ell)]$ given by Eq. (3.11). Hence, the second method described below is recommended instead.

Second Method

Let us examine the large k behavior of the Bullen transverse and longitudinal spectra, Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2). For large k, these spectra are asymptotically equal to

$$
\Phi_{BT}(\mathbf{k}) \sim \sigma^2 L \frac{8\pi^2 \ell^2 \mathbf{k}^2 (n+1)}{(4\pi^2 \ell^2 \mathbf{k}^2)^{n+3/2}}
$$
 (3.18)

and

$$
\Phi_{BL}(\mathbf{k}) \sim \sigma^2 L \frac{2}{(4\pi^2 \ell^2 \mathbf{k}^2)^{n+1/2}} \tag{3.19}
$$

The logarithms of Eqs. (3.18) and (3.19) can be readily expressed as

$$
log\Phi_{\text{R}}(k) \sim log[2(n+1)\sigma^2L] - (2n+1)log(2\pi k) - (2n+1)log k
$$

(3.20)

and

$$
log\Phi_{\text{or}}(k)^{10g(2\sigma^2L)-(2n+1)log(2\pi k)-(2n+1)log k. (3.21)
$$

Hence, when considered as a function of k, Eqs. (3.20) and (3.21) are both of the form .

$$
\log \Phi_{\mathbf{D}}(k) \sim C - (2n+1)\log k, \qquad (3.22)
$$

where C is a constant. Thus, when plotted on log-log coordinates, both the Bullen transverse and longitudinal spectral forms are asymptctically linear for large k with a slope equal to $-(2n+1)$. In particular, for the von Karman transverse and longitudinal spectra, we have $n = 1/3$ which yields the well known asymptotic slope of -5/3. The form of Eq. (3.22) suggests the following well-conditioned procedure for determining the parameter n:

- 1. Tabulate and plot the logarithm of the empirically determined turbulence velocity spectrum as a function of log k.
- 2. Identify the region of approximate linearity of the data in the large k regime.
- 3. Form a least squares fit to the data usirg the functional form given by the right-hand side of Eq. (3.22) and solve for C and n.

4. Retain the value of n thus obtained.

Once n is evaluated as in steps 1 to 4 above, the Bullen spectral forms given by Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) can be regarded as being dependent on only two additional parameters σ^2 and L [after substitution of Eq. (2.3) into Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2)]. Thus, after evaluation of n as above and substitution of Eq. (2.3) into Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2), the procedure for estimating σ_f^2 and L given on pp. 92 to 110 of Ref. 1 applies directly to the Bullen spectral forms $\Phi_{\text{R}\text{T}}(k)$ and $\Phi_{\text{R}\text{T}}(k)$ also. The only exception is that the von Karman transverse and longitudinal autocorrelation functions and their derivatives given by Eqs. $\langle 4.48 \rangle$ to (4.52) of Ref. 1 must be replaced by the corresponding Bullen forms which are [5]: for the Bullen transverse spectra (vertical and lateral components):

$$
\overline{\phi}_{B}(\overline{\xi}) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(n)} \left(\frac{\beta \overline{\xi}}{2} \right)^n \left[K_n(\beta \overline{\xi}) - \frac{\beta \overline{\xi}}{2} K_{n-1}(\beta \overline{\xi}) \right]
$$
(3.23)

$$
\overline{\phi}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\bullet}(\overline{\xi}) = \frac{\beta}{\Gamma(n)} \left(\frac{\beta \overline{\xi}}{2} \right)^n \left[\beta \overline{\xi} K_n(\beta \overline{\xi}) - 2(n+1) K_{n-1}(\beta \overline{\xi}) \right], \qquad (3.24)
$$

for the Bullen longitudinal spectra:

٠.

$$
\overline{\phi}_{B}(\overline{\xi}) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(n)} \left(\frac{\beta \overline{\xi}}{2}\right)^{n} K_{n}(\beta \overline{\xi})
$$
 (3.25)

 ω and $\omega_{\rm{eff}}$

 \rightarrow

 ~ 10

ALC

$$
\overline{\Phi}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\bullet}(\overline{\xi}) = -\frac{2\beta}{\Gamma(n)} \left(\frac{\beta \overline{\xi}}{2}\right)^n K_{n-1}(\beta \overline{\xi}), \qquad (3.26)
$$

where, for both the transverse and longitudinal cases,

 $\ddot{}$

$$
\beta = \frac{L}{\ell} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n)} \qquad (3.27)
$$

Equations (2.23) through (3.27) reduce to Eqs. (4.48) through (4.52) of Ref. 1 when n is set equal to 1/3 which is the value of n for the von Karman case.

REFEREMCES .

- 1. Mark, W.D.: Characterization, Parameter Estimation, and Aircraft Response Statistics of Atmospheric Turbulence. NASA CR-3463, 1981.
- 2. Mark, W.D.; and Fischer, R.W.: Statistics of Some Atmospheric Turbulence Records Relevant to Aircraft Response Calculations. NASA CR-3464, 1981.
- 3. Mark, W.D.; and Fischer, R.W.:' Investigation of the Effects of Nonhomogeneous (or Nonstationary) Behavior on the Spectra of Atmospheric Turbulence. NASA CR-2745, 1977.
- 4. Hinze, J.O.: Turbulence, 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1975.
- 5. Taylor, J.: Manual on Aircraft Loads. Pergamon Press, 1965.