brought to you by TCORE

NASA Technical Memorandum 83361

NASA-TM-83361 19830013576

Multiple-Grid Convergence Acceleration of Viscous and Inviscid Flow Computations

Gary M. Johnson Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

LIBRARY COPY

MAR 1 1995

LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER LIBRARY, NASA HAMPTON, VIRGINIA

Prepared for the International Multigrid Conference organized by the Institute for Computational Studies at Colorado State University and the Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung cosponsored by AFOSR and NASA Copper Mountain, Colorado, April 6-8, 1983



Multiple-Grid Convergence Acceleration of Viscous and Inviscid Flow Computations

Gary M. Johnson

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

SUMMARY

A multiple-grid algorithm for use in efficiently obtaining steady solutions to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations is presented. The convergence of a simple, explicit fine-grid solution procedure is accelerated on a sequence of successively coarser grids by a coarse-grid information propagation method which rapidly eliminates transients from the computational domain. This use of multiple-gridding to increase the convergence rate results in substantially reduced work requirements for the numerical solution of a wide range of flow problems. Computational results are presented for subsonic and transonic inviscid flows and for laminar and turbulent, attached and separated, subsonic viscous flows. Work reduction factors as large as eight, in comparison to the basic fine-grid algorithm, have been obtained. Possibilities for further performance improvement are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

When steady solutions to the Euler or Navier-Stokes equations are sought, it is common practice to compute them as temporal asymptotes to the unsteady equations of motion, subject to steady boundary conditions and the hypothesis that such solutions exist. This is done because the unsteady equations are either purely hyperbolic, in the case of the Euler equations, or hyperbolic - parabolic, for the Navier-Stokes equations, and are thus amenable to numerical solution by robust time-marching procedures. Such procedures are relatively easy to implement, readily capture weak solutions and, to the extent that the computation is time-accurate, allow a physical interpretation of the transient behavior, which may prove valuable in analyzing the performance of the algorithm.

On the other hand, relaxation procedures for solving the steady versions of the Euler or Navier-Stokes equations are a topic of current research and have not as yet resulted in production algorithms. Attention has focused primarily on the steady Euler equations, where the research in progress includes the work of Bruneau, Chattot, Laminie and Guiu-Roux (1982) and Johnson (1982b).

Both explicit and implicit time-marching procedures are presently in widespread use. Explicit methods, such as the MacCormack (1969) scheme, are simple, easily vectorizable and allow a good deal of flexibility in the treatment

N83-21847

of boundary conditions. Their largest shortcoming lies in their conditional stability, which may place rather severe limitations on the time step size permissible on a given grid. When only a steady solution is sought and the accurate resolution of transients is of no consequence, explicit methods may consequently exhibit poor convergence rates.

One remedy to the slow convergence of explicit schemes which has been investigated in recent years by Beam and Warming (1976), Steger (1977), MacCormack (1981), Lerat, Sidès and Daru (1982) and others, is the construction of implicit methods. These methods, at the expense of a higher operations count, are generally unconditionally linearly stable and hopefully permit time steps to be taken as large as is consistent with accuracy requirements. In practice, large time steps may excite nonlinear instabilities and the choice of boundary condition implementation may introduce a stability limit.

Another approach is to maintain the explicit nature of the integration scheme but to abandon the temporal accuracy requirement in favor of the introduction of convergence acceleration techniques, such as numerical methods with stability bounds in excess of the CFL limit or enhanced temporal damping procedures. Such techniques are exhibited in the work of Essers (1978), Viviand (1981), Jameson, Schmidt and Turkel (1981) and Rizzi and Eriksson (1981).

Recently, efforts have been made at adapting multigrid techniques, which have shown substantial promise for the fast numerical solution of elliptic partial differential equations, to the Euler equations. Here, the nonlinear, nonelliptic nature of the equations, the existence of weak solutions and the fact that a coupled system of equations, rather than a single scalar equation, must be solved have been major obstacles. Jespersen (1983) has made notable progress in this direction.

The present work, which is based on a concept introduced by Ni (1981), uses a sequence of successively coarser grids to accelerate the convergence of an explicit fine-grid solution procedure. In this general sense, it is a multiple-grid method. However, the details of its implementation are a good deal simpler than is the case with the conventional multigrid approach. The coarse-grid acceleration scheme presented here is quite modular. It may be used without modification in conjunction with a number of different fine-grid solution procedures and with any set of flow equations in the hierarchy ranging from the Euler equations to the full Navier-Stokes equations.

EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The nondimensional equations of motion may be written in conservation-law form as

$$q_t = -(F_x + G_y) \tag{1}$$

where, for the full Navier-Stokes equations,

 $F \equiv f - Re^{-1}r$ $G \equiv g - Re^{-1}s$

while, for their thin-layer version,

$$F \equiv f$$
 $G \equiv g - Re^{-1}$

GΞg

and, for the Euler equations,

where:

$$q = \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u \\ \rho v \\ E \end{bmatrix} f = \begin{bmatrix} \rho u \\ \rho u^{2}+p \\ \rho uv \\ (E+p)u \end{bmatrix} g = \begin{bmatrix} \rho v \\ \rho uv \\ \rho v^{2}+p \\ (E+p)v \end{bmatrix}$$
$$r = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tau_{xx} \\ \tau_{xy} \\ \kappa Pr^{-1}(\gamma-1)^{-1}(a^{2})_{x} + u\tau_{xx} + v\tau_{xy} \end{bmatrix} s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tau_{xy} \\ \tau_{yy} \\ \kappa Pr^{-1}(\gamma-1)^{-1}(a^{2})_{y} + u\tau_{xx} + v\tau_{yy} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tau_{xy} \\ \tau_{yy} \\ \kappa Pr^{-1}(\gamma-1)^{-1}(a^{2})_{y} + u\tau_{xy} + v\tau_{yy} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\tau_{xx} = (\lambda+2\mu)u_{x} + \lambda v_{y}$$
$$\tau_{xy} = \mu(u_{y} + v_{x})$$
$$\tau_{yy} = (\lambda+2\mu)v_{y} + \lambda u_{x}$$

Here ρ , u, v, p, a and E are respectively density, velocity components in the xand y- directions, pressure, sound speed and total energy per unit volume. The total energy per unit volume may be expressed as

$$E = \rho (e + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2))$$

where the specific internal energy, e, is related to the pressure and density by the simple law of a calorically perfect gas

$$p = (\gamma - 1)\rho e$$

with γ denoting the ratio of specific heats. The coefficient of thermal conductivity, κ , and the viscosity coefficients, λ and μ , are assumed to be

functions only of temperature. Furthermore, by invoking Stokes' assumption of zero bulk viscosity, λ may be expressed in terms of the dynamic viscosity μ as

$$\lambda = -\frac{2}{3}\mu$$

Re and Pr denote the Reynolds and Prandtl numbers, respectively.

Although, for simplicity, the equations of motion are presented here written in Cartesian coordinates, Viviand (1974) has shown that their strong conservation law form may be maintained under an arbitrary time-dependent transformation of coordinates. Explicit detail concerning the generalized coordinate version of these equations which is employed in the computations to be discussed subsequently has been provided by Steger (1977) and need not be repeated here.

We note that the thin-layer approximation, in the words of Baldwin and Lomax (1978), "... evolves directly from a realistic assessment of what is really being computed in a typical high Reynolds number Navier-Stokes simulation." A highly stretched mesh is used to resolve the large flow gradients normal to the vorticity-generating surface. Consequently, because of limitations on computer capacity, the diffusion terms involving derivatives parallel to the surface are not resolved well enough to merit their computation.

Similar viscous terms are also neglected in the classical boundary layer approximation. However, while the boundary layer approximation replaces the normal momentum equation with the assumption that the normal pressure gradient is zero across the viscous layer, all momentum equations are retained in the thin-layer approximation and no assumptions are made concerning the pressure. Consequently, the separation point is not a singularity of the thin-layer model equations nor do the problems associated with matching a boundary layer solution to an inviscid outer flow occur when they are used.

In practice, the thin-layer assumption is implemented by using a body-fitted coordinate system and neglecting the viscous terms in the coordinate direction along the body. For Cartesian coordinates, with x representing the body-conforming coordinate, the thin-layer version of the Navier-Stokes equations is as given above.

The effects of turbulence are simulated by means of a two-layer algebraic eddy viscosity model. In the stress terms of the Navier-Stokes equations, the coefficient of dynamic viscosity, μ , is replaced by $\mu + \mu_t$, where μ_t is the coefficient of eddy viscosity. Similarly, in the heat flux terms, the coefficient of thermal conductivity, κ , is replaced by $\kappa + c_p \mu_t / Pr_t$, where Pr_t is the turbulent Prandtl number. The eddy viscosity is determined by the method of Baldwin and Lomax (1978) which is patterned after that of Cebeci (1970) with modifications to avoid the necessity of finding the boundary layer edge. Details may be found in the work of Baldwin and Lomax.

FINE-GRID SOLUTION PROCEDURE

The fine-grid integration scheme employed in this work is the two-step Lax-Wendroff method known as the MacCormack (1969) scheme. We observe that

schemes of Lax-Wendroff type may be arrived at intuitively by using Taylor's theorem to write the approximation:

$$\delta q = \Delta t q_t + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} q_{tt}$$
(2)

where we define the "correction" to q such that

$$\delta q \equiv q(t + \Delta t) - q(t)$$

Since we seek solutions to Eqn.(1), time derivatives may be expressed as space derivatives:

$$q_{t} = -(F_{x} + G_{y})$$

$$q_{tt} = \begin{bmatrix} A(F_{x} + G_{y}) \\ K \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B(F_{x} + G_{y}) \\ K \end{bmatrix} y$$

where A and B are the Jacobian matrices:

$$A \equiv \partial F/\partial q$$
 $B \equiv \partial G/\partial q$

Substitution into Eqn.(2) results in:

$$\delta q = -\Delta t (F_x + G_y)$$

$$+ \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \left\{ \left[A(F_x + G_y) \right]_x + \left[B(F_x + G_y) \right]_y \right\}$$

(3)

One-Step Methods

Second-order accurate spatial discretization of Eqn.(3) yields a one-step Lax-Wendroff method. Prior to discussing two-step schemes, we derive the one-step Lax-Wendroff fine-grid solution procedure used by Ni (1981). This is a necessary prerequisite to the development of the coarse-grid acceleration scheme.

If we make the following finite-volume type approximations:

$$(F_{x} + G_{y})_{i,j} = \frac{1}{8\Delta x} \left[(F_{i+1,j+1} + 2F_{i+1,j} + F_{i+1,j-1}) - (F_{i-1,j+1} + 2F_{i-1,j} + F_{i-1,j-1}) \right] \\ + \frac{1}{8\Delta y} \left[(G_{i-1,j+1} + 2G_{i,j+1} + G_{i+1,j+1}) - (G_{i-1,j-1} + 2G_{i,j-1} + G_{i+1,j-1}) \right]$$

and define the "change" in q at cell centers such that:

$$\Delta q_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}} \equiv -\frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} \left[(F_{i+1,j} + F_{i+1,j+1}) - (F_{i,j} + F_{i,j+1}) \right]$$

$$-\frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta y} \left[(G_{i,j+1} + G_{i+1,j+1}) - (G_{i,j} + G_{i+1,j}) \right]$$

$$(4)$$

it then follows that a discrete approximation to the first-order term in Eqn.(3) may be written as

$$-\Delta t (F_{x} + G_{y})_{i,j} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta q \\ i - \frac{1}{2}, j - \frac{1}{2} & i - \frac{1}{2}, j + \frac{1}{2} \\ + \Delta q \\ i + \frac{1}{2}, j + \frac{1}{2} & i + \frac{1}{2}, j - \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

Consistent with the above approximations and definition, we may write the approximation:

$$-\Delta t (F_{x} + G_{y}) = \Delta q = \Delta q = \frac{1}{2}, j + \frac{1}{2}, j + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, j + \frac{1}{2}$$

This motivates the definitions:

If we then approximate the second-order terms in Eqn.(3) such that:

$$-\Delta t \left\{ \left[A(F_{x} + G_{y}) \right]_{x} \right\}_{i,j} =$$

$$\frac{1}{2\Delta x} \left[\Delta F_{i} + \frac{1}{2}, j + \frac{1}{2} + \Delta F_{i} + \frac{1}{2}, j - \frac{1}{2} - \Delta F_{i} - \frac{1}{2}, j - \frac{1}{2} - \Delta F_{i} - \frac{1}{2}, j + \frac{1}{2} \right]$$

$$(6a)$$

$$-\Delta t \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} B(F_{x} + G_{y}) \\ M \end{bmatrix}_{x} \right\}_{i,j} = (6b)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\Delta y} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta G_{i} + \frac{1}{2}, j + \frac{1}{2} \\ i + \frac{1}{2}, j + \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} + \Delta G_{i} - \frac{1}{2}, j + \frac{1}{2} \\ i - \frac{1}{2}, j + \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} - \Delta G_{i} - \frac{1}{2}, j - \frac{1}{2} \\ i - \frac{1}{2}, j - \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

(7)

we may combine Eqns.(5) and (6) to yield:

$$\delta q_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} = \frac{1}{4} \left[\Delta q + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \Delta F + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y} \Delta G \right]_{\mathbf{i} - \frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{j} - \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \left[\Delta q + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \Delta F - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y} \Delta G \right]_{\mathbf{i} - \frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{j} + \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \left[\Delta q - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \Delta F - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y} \Delta G \right]_{\mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{j} + \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \left[\Delta q - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \Delta F + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y} \Delta G \right]_{\mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{j} - \frac{1}{2}}$$

Eqns.(4) and (7) constitute the one-step Lax-Wendroff method used as a basic integration scheme by Ni. He gives the following heuristic interpretation to these equations: the first calculates the change in q occurring in a control volume during the increment Δt , while the second distributes the effects of the changes occurring in four nearest-neighbor control volumes to their common central nodal point. There they are combined to form the correction to the vector of conservation variables, as illustrated in Fig. 1. This interpretation motivates the construction of the coarse-grid acceleration scheme to be discussed subsequently.

Notice that Ni's scheme may also be considered to be a two-step scheme with a full time increment predictor defined by Eqn.(4) and a corrector defined by Eqn.(7). However, such an interpretation would not be consistent with the general practice of avoiding the computation of Jacobian matrices in two-step schemes.

Two-Step Methods

Following Richtmyer (1962) many two-step Lax-Wendroff schemes have been developed. They have superseded the one-step schemes by virtue of their lower operations counts. MacCormack's method is a particularly popular and efficient member of this class. The forward predictor - backward corrector version of this method may be written as

$$\Delta q_{i,j} = -\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (F_{i+1,j}^{n} - F_{i,j}^{n}) - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y} (G_{i,j+1}^{n} - G_{i,j}^{n})$$

$$\delta q_{i,j} = -\frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} \left[(F_{i+1,j}^{n} - F_{i,j}^{n}) + (\tilde{F}_{i,j} - \tilde{F}_{i-1,j}) \right]$$

$$-\frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta y} \left[(G_{i,j+1}^{n} - G_{i,j}^{n}) + (\tilde{G}_{i,j} - \tilde{G}_{i,j-1}) \right]$$

where:

$$\Delta q_{i,j} = \tilde{q}_{i,j} - q_{i,j}^{n}$$

$$\delta q_{i,j} = \left[q(t + \Delta t) - q(t) \right]_{i,j}$$

$$\tilde{F}_{i,j} = F(\tilde{q}_{i,j})$$

$$\tilde{G}_{i,j} = G(\tilde{q}_{i,j})$$

First derivatives in the viscous terms are backward differenced in the predictor and forward differenced in the corrector.

The two-step methods of Burstein (1966) and Lapidus (1967) were also examined during the course of this work. Although they are spatially symmetric, their use resulted in no observable accuracy advantage over MacCormack's method, for the test cases considered. Furthermore, they are computationally less efficient than MacCormack's method. Details are contained in Johnson (1982a).

This approach to solving fluid flow problems is quite robust and has been in widespread and successful use for some time, both for the time-accurate computation of unsteady flow and for the time-asymptotic solution of steady flow problems. In the latter case, where accurate resolution of physical transients is not required, the numerical stability limitation inherent in these explicit methods may severely restrict the speed of their convergence to the steady state. Providing a method to accelerate convergence in this case is the objective of this work.

COARSE-GRID ACCELERATION SCHEME

Given the fine-grid corrections, which may be computed by any one- or two-step Lax-Wendroff scheme, we wish to use successively coarser grids to propagate these corrections throughout the computational domain, thus accelerating convergence to the steady state while maintaining the accuracy determined by the fine-grid discretization. Given a basic fine grid with the number of points in each direction expressible as $n(2^p) + 1$ for p and n integers such that $p \ge 0$ and $n \ge 2$, where p is the number of grid coarsenings and n is the number of coarsest-grid intervals, let successively coarser grids be defined by successive deletion of every other point in each coordinate direction.

Full Coarse-Grid Scheme

The full coarse-grid acceleration scheme, as illustrated in Fig. 2, replaces the computation of coarse grid changes by Eqn.(4) with a restriction of the latest fine-grid correction. This restricted fine-grid correction is then distributed according to a coarse-grid version of Eqn.(7) to obtain a coarse-grid correction. This coarse-grid correction is, in turn, prolonged to the fine grid to become the new fine-grid correction. One time-cycle of the multiple-grid scheme is composed of an application of some Lax-Wendroff method on the fine grid followed by an application of the coarse-grid propagation scheme to each successively coarser grid. The flow of information in this process is depicted in Fig. 3.

In the basic integration scheme, a change at one grid point affects only its nearest neighbors while, in a k-level multiple-grid scheme, the same change affects all points up to 2^{k-1} mesh spacings distant. Furthermore, since the change is always determined by information from the fine grid and simply propagated by the distribution formulae for coarser grids, fine grid accuracy is maintained.

This concept for convergence acceleration was introduced by Ni for use in conjunction with his one-step Lax-Wendroff scheme, as described above. He illustrated its utility by solving the homoenthalpic two-dimensional Euler equations. Johnson (1982a) extended its applicability to the class of two-step Lax-Wendroff schemes and solved the full two-dimensional Euler equations.

Convective Coarse-Grid Scheme

In Johnson (1982c), consideration of the physical processes being modelled in a viscous flow computation led to the formulation of an alternative coarse-grid scheme. Dissipative effects have a local character and their influence need not be taken into account in the construction of coarse-grid distribution formulae. Rather, it is the convective terms, with their global character, which are the key element in coarse-grid propagation. Hence, a coarse-grid scheme for viscous flow computations may be formulated on the basis of the inviscid equations of motion. Such a convective coarse-grid scheme is inherently more efficient than the full coarse-grid scheme because of the diminished computational effort associated with forming the Jacobian matrices of the Euler flux vectors rather than those of the viscous flux vectors. An additional benefit is that the convective coarse-grid scheme leads to a multiple-grid convergence acceleration procedure which is independent of the nature of the dissipative terms retained in the viscous model equations. That is to say: the coarse-grid scheme based on the Euler equations may be employed, without modification, to accelerate the convergence of viscous flow computations based on the Navier-Stokes equations, the thin-layer equations, or any other viscous model equations which contain the full inviscid Euler equations.

The correctness of the heuristic physical reasoning used in the formulation of the convective coarse-grid scheme is supported by the computational results for viscous flow to be presented subsequently.

COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

To investigate the robustness of the coarse-grid acceleration scheme and to explore the generality of its applicability, a large number of computational experiments have been performed. A representative sampling of those computations is reported here.

The full Euler equations have been solved for both subsonic and transonic flow. The thin-layer version of the Navier-Stokes equations has been solved for attached and separated, laminar and turbulent, subsonic flows. All computations have been performed in two dimensions. Extension of the technique to the full Navier-Stokes equations or to three dimensions presents no essential difficulties and should, in fact, yield greater advantage than is illustrated by the present results.

Problem Specification

We consider the inviscid flow through an unstaggered cascade of bicircular arc airfoils at zero angle of attack, as illustrated in Fig. 4, and the viscous flow through a similar cascade of sting-mounted airfoils, as shown in Fig. 5. The boundary conditions used are also indicated in these Figures. At the upstream domain boundary, total pressure, total temperature and flow angle are specified. At the downstream boundary, the static pressure is fixed. Along inviscid lateral boundaries, the tangency condition is applied, while, along solid walls, the no-slip condition is applied and the temperature specified. The values of the dependent variables on the domain boundaries are updated only during the fine-grid computations. This decouples the coarse-grid acceleration scheme from the details of boundary condition implementation. Uniform flow at the isentropic Mach number implied by the ratio of exit static pressure to upstream total pressure is used as an initial state.

For the subcritical cases, the ratio of exit static pressure to upstream total pressure is 0.8430191, yielding an isentropic upstream Mach number of 0.500, while, for the supercritical cases a ratio of 0.7369520 is employed, resulting in an upstream Mach number of 0.675. In the viscous cases, the Reynolds numbers, based on cascade gap and critical speed, span the approximate range from 8.4×10^3 to 2.0×10^5 .

Symmetry and periodicity are invoked to limit the size of the computational domain. All inviscid computations were carried out using the 65 x 17 node fine grid as shown in Fig. 6 and the coarser grids as indicated in Table I. As is also illustrated in Fig. 6, three different fine grids were employed in the viscous flow computations. All have 65 x 33 nodal points and have their transverse grid lines located at the same positions. They differ in the positioning of their lateral grid lines. These are smoothly stretched away from the solid boundary in a geometric progression, starting from the initial spacings indicated in Fig. 6. These fine grids each allow the construction of four successively coarser grids, as shown in Table II.

Inviscid Flow

The results of typical subcritical inviscid flow computations are illustrated in Fig. 7. The airfoils have a thickness-to-chord ratio of 0.200. Isomach contours and the surface Mach number distributions along the upper and lower domain boundaries are shown. We observe that the fore-and-aft geometric symmetry is well preserved in the computed flow field.

All computations reported here are considered to be converged when the average absolute value of the unscaled fine-grid residual in ρu drops below 10⁻⁶, representing a decrease of approximately five decades from its original value. This convergence criterion is more than sufficient for engineering purposes and could be relaxed by at least two decades without any significant accuracy deterioration in the solution. The converged single- and multiple-grid results were, as should be expected, identical, confirming that the solution obtained is not a function of grid sequence length.

Based on the computational work required to produce a converged solution, we may estimate a multiple-grid work reduction factor and a corresponding optimal grid sequence length. We define the work reduction factor to be the ratio of the work required to produce a converged solution using a single fine grid, to the work required to produce the same result using that multiple-grid sequence length which minimizes the computational work. The results obtained are recorded in Table III. For the case at hand, a sequence of three grids produced a work reduction factor of 5.1. The single- and optimal multiple-grid convergence histories are also shown in Fig. 7.

Supercritical results for the same thickness-to-chord ratio are shown in Fig. 8. Here, we observe that the shock is crisply resolved by the multiple-grid scheme although the explicit artificial viscosity term added to the algorithm for this purpose is only applied on the fine grid. We hasten to add that no artificial viscosity beyond that inherent in the basic multiple-grid algorithm was employed in either the inviscid or viscous subcritical computations reported here. A sequence of four grids produced a work reduction factor of 2.1 for this inviscid supercritical flow case.

Viscous Flow

Viscous flow computations have been performed for the combinations of Reynolds number, thickness-to-chord ratio and fine grid configuration indicated in Table III. A sampling of the results is illustrated in Figs. 9 through 12. In each case, isomach contours, u-velocity profiles and the single- and optimal multiple-grid convergence histories are shown. Note that the contour levels displayed are not equally spaced and that the same levels were used in all illustrations. Nevertheless, they provide a good qualitative indication of the nature of the computed flowfields. More quantitative information is provided by the normalized u-velocity profiles. The u-velocity, normalized with its value at the domain top boundary and same streamwise station, is plotted as a function of relative distance from the airfoil chord line. Curves for every second streamwise station, starting with the airfoil leading edge and ending at the outflow boundary, are displayed. They are staggered in proportion to the spacing of their respective streamwise stations. The thickness-to-chord ratios employed ranged from 0.100 to 0.000. Both laminar and turbulent flows have been computed. In the turbulent cases, the flow was tripped at the airfoil leading edge. Attached and separated cases were computed for both laminar and turbulent flow.

The work reduction factors obtained ranged from 1.7 to 8.2 and are recorded in Table III, together with the optimal grid sequence lengths. We note that Johnson (1982c) observed that although the work reduction factor and, possibly, the optimal grid sequence length decrease with increasing grid stretching, they do not appear to decrease with increasing Reynolds number.

ALGORITHMIC CONSIDERATIONS

During the course of this work, several issues bearing on the speed, computational efficiency, storage requirements and general applicability of this multiple-grid convergence acceleration scheme have come to mind. As most of these issues deserve a good deal more study, we let the following brief remarks suffice for the present.

Boundary Condition Implementation

As mentioned previously, boundary conditions are only enforced on the fine grid. This has the advantage of decoupling the coarse-grid acceleration scheme from both the physical and numerical nature of these boundary conditions. That is to say: the coarse-grid scheme always sees a Dirichlet problem. While this enhances the modularity of the coarse-grid scheme, it is not clear that such a boundary condition treatment serves to maximize the convergence acceleration of the resultant algorithm.

Accelerator Linearization

The computation of the Jacobian matrices used in the coarse-grid acceleration scheme has a non-trivial influence on the coarse-grid operations count and, hence, on the work reduction factor. We have found that the Jacobians need not be updated at each time cycle, but may be lagged by a substantial margin without any adverse effect on the resultant convergence history. In fact, in some cases this improves the convergence rate and, in so doing, raises the possibility of tailoring the Jacobian matrices to accelerate convergence rather than calculating them based on the actual state of the flow being computed.

Storage Minimization

Linearizing the coarse-grid acceleration scheme in the sense described above implies storing the Jacobian matrices rather than recomputing them based on the latest available flow state. Should this storage requirement constitute an unacceptable burden, it may be essentially eliminated by periodically storing information on the flow state at a small number of representative points and reconstructing a set of Jacobians from this information at each time cycle. Such a strategy would be in complete accord with our linearization ideas.

Restriction and Prolongation Operators

In the present computations, injection is used as the restriction operator and linear interpolation is chosen as the prolongation operator. These choices may not be optimal for use on highly stretched grids. Better choices could increase both the optimal grid sequence length and the work reduction factor.

Coarse-Grid Formation Strategy

Similar consequences might result from an alternative coarse-grid formation strategy. Given the convective nature of the coarse-grid scheme used here, it is entirely plausible that, particularly in viscous flow computations, the coarser grids should have a more uniform structure than is obtained by successive deletion of every other grid line from a highly stretched fine grid.

Coarse-Grid Propagation Strategy

In distinction to conventional multi-gridding, the current algorithm cycles from the finest grid to the coarsest at each step to the solution procedure. Consequently, relatively many computations are performed on the finest grid. Computational expense could be reduced by developing an alternative coarse-grid propagation strategy which would spend more of its time at the coarser grid levels.

Vectorization

As both the fine-grid solution procedure and the coarse-grid acceleration scheme used here are explicit, the resultant multiple-grid algorithm may be readily vectorized. Such vectorization is presently being performed and will be reported at a later date by Chima and Johnson (1983).

Applicability of the Accelerator

As the coarse-grid scheme uses a one-step Lax-Wendroff framework and as this concept was first employed by Ni to accelerate his one-step Lax-Wendroff fine-grid solution procedure, it is natural that the first generalization should be to the class of two-step Lax-Wendroff schemes. However, there is no compelling reason to expect that its applicability is limited to this class of methods. We expect that it may be used with a variety of explicit fine-grid solution procedures and, in fact, Stubbs (1983) has demonstrated its use with the implicit MacCormack (1981) scheme.

CONCLUSIONS

An explicit multiple-grid algorithm for the efficient solution of the steady Euler and Navier-Stokes equations has been presented.

The coarse-grid scheme used to accelerate convergence is compatible with all one- and two-step Lax-Wendroff algorithms. Here, it has been used in conjunction with MacCormack's method. The convective version of the coarse-grid scheme may be used with any set of flow equations in the hierarchy ranging from the Euler equations to the full Navier-Stokes equations. Here, we have used it with the thin-layer version of the Navier-Stokes equations.

D

Computational results have been presented for subsonic and transonic inviscid flows and for separated and attached, laminar and turbulent, subsonic viscous flows.

Multiple-gridding yielded work reduction factors ranging approximately from two to eight, over a fairly broad range of flow conditions. Ample margin remains for further performance improvements.

Given the encouraging results obtained to date, more comprehensive testing and more sophisticated applications of the inviscid and viscous flow convergence acceleration ideas presented here are planned.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The insightful suggestions and constructive criticism of my colleagues, Dr. Rodrick V. Chima and Dr. Robert M. Stubbs, are most gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

Baldwin, B.S. and Lomax, H.: Thin-Layer Approximation and Algebraic Model for Separated Turbulent Flows. AIAA Paper 78-257, Jan. 1978.

Beam, R.M. and Warming, R.F.: An Implicit Finite-Difference Algorithm for Hyperbolic Systems in Conservation-Law Form. J. Comput. Phys., Vol. 22, No. 1, Sep. 1976, pp. 87-110.

Bruneau, C.H., Chattot, J.J., Laminie, J. and Guiu-Roux, J.: Finite Element Least Square Method for Solving Full Steady Euler Equations in a Plane Nozzle. Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Numerical Methods in Fluid Dynamics, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 170, Springer-Verlag, 1982, pp. 161-166.

Burstein, S.Z.: Finite-Difference Calculations for Hydrodynamic Flows Containing Discontinuities. J. Comput. Phys., Vol. 1, No. 2, Nov. 1966, pp. 198-222.

Cebeci, T.: Calculation of Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layers with Heat and Mass Transfer. AIAA Paper 70-741, June 1970.

Chima, R.V. and Johnson, G.M.: Efficient Solution of the Euler and Navier-Stokes Equations with a Vectorized Multiple-Grid Algorithm. AIAA Paper to appear, July 1983.

Essers, J.A.: Time-Dependent Methods for Mixed and Hybrid Steady Flows. von Karman Institute for Fluid Dynamics, Lecture Series 1978-4, Mar. 1978. Jameson, A., Schmidt, W. and Turkel, E.: Numerical Solutions of the Euler Equations by Finite Volume Methods Using Runge-Kutta Time-Stepping Schemes. AIAA Paper 81-1259, June 1981.

Jespersen, D.C.: A Multigrid Method for the Euler Equations. AIAA Paper 83-0124, Jan. 1983.

Johnson, G.M.: Multiple-Grid Acceleration of Lax-Wendroff Algorithms. NASA TM-82843, Mar. 1982a.

Johnson, G.M.: Relaxation Solution of the Full Euler Equations. Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Numerical Methods in Fluid Dynamics, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 170, Springer-Verlag, 1982b, pp. 273-279.

Johnson, G.M.: Convergence Acceleration of Viscous Flow Computations. NASA TM-83039, Oct. 1982c.

Lapidus, A.: A Detached Shock Calculation by Second-Order Finite Differences. J. Comput. Phys., Vol. 2, No. 2, Nov. 1967, pp. 154-177.

Lerat, A., Sidès, J. and Daru, V.: An Implicit Finite-Volume Method for Solving the Euler Equations. Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Numerical Methods in Fluid Dynamics, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 170, Springer-Verlag, 1982, pp. 343-349.

MacCormack, R.W.: The Effect of Viscosity in Hypervelocity Impact Cratering. AIAA Paper 69-354, Apr. 1969.

MacCormack, R.W.: A Numerical Method for Solving the Equations of Compressible Viscous Flow. AIAA Paper 81-0110, Jan. 1981.

Ni, R.H.: A Multiple Grid Scheme for Solving the Euler Equations. AIAA Paper 81-1025, June 1981.

Richtmyer, R.D.: A Survey of Difference Methods for Non-Steady Fluid Dynamics. NCAR-TN-63-2, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Aug. 1982.

Rizzi, A. and Eriksson, L.E.: Transfinite Mesh Generation and Damped Euler Equation Algorithm for Transonic Flow Around Wing-Body Configurations. AIAA Paper 81-0999, June 1981.

Steger, J.L.: Implicit Finite Difference Simulation of Flow About Arbitrary Geometries with Application to Airfoils. AIAA Paper 77-665, June 1977.

Stubbs, R.M.: Multiple-Gridding of the Euler Equations with an Implicit Scheme. AIAA Paper to appear, July 1983.

Viviand, H.: Formes Conservatives des Équations de la Dynamique des Gaz. La Recherche Aérospatiale, No. 1, Jan.-Feb. 1974, pp. 65-66.

Viviand, H.: Pseudo-Unsteady Methods for Transonic Flow Computations. Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Numerical Methods in Fluid Dynamics, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 141, Springer-Verlag, 1981, pp. 44-54. . • • •

Grid	1	2	3	4
Number of Points	65 x 17	33 x 9	17 x 5	9 x 3

TABLE I. - INVISCID FLOW GRID DESCRIPTIONS

TABLE II. - VISCOUS FLOW GRID DESCRIPTIONS

Grid	1	2	3	4	5
Number of Points	65 x 33	33 x 17	17 x 9	9 x 5	5 x 3

TABLE III. - SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Test Case	Comments	<u>Thickness</u> Chord	Initial Transverse Spacing	Optimal Sequence Length	Work Reduction Factor
a	Inviscid Subcritical Fig. 7	0.200	0.03125	3	5.1
Ъ	Inviscid Supercritical Fig. 8	0.200	0.03125	4	2.1
c	Re = 8.4×10 ³ Laminar Fig. 9	0.000	0.01250	4	7.3
đ	Re = 8.4×10 ³ Turbulent	0.000	0.01250	4	8.2
e	Re = 3.4×10 ⁴ Laminar	0.000	0.00625	2	3.1
f	Re = 2.0×10 ⁵ Turbulent	0.000	0.00250	2	2.4
g	Re = 8.4x10 ³ Laminar	0.100	0.01250	4	5.6
h	Re = 3.4×10 ⁴ Laminar Separated Fig. 10	0.100	0.00250	2	1.7
1	Re = 3.4×10 ⁴ Turbulent Separated Fig. 11	0.100	0.00250	2	2.1
ť	Re = 3.4x10 ⁴ Laminar	0.050	0.00625	2	2.7
k	Re = 2.0×10 ⁵ Turbulent Fig. 12	0.025	0.00250	2	2.5





Lax-Wendroff Scheme



FIGURE 2. - Coarse Grid Scheme



P - Prolongation of Coarse-Grid 6q to Fine Grid



FIGURE 3. - Multiple-Grid Information Flow



. .





 $\Delta y = 0.01250$



Smallest Grid Spacing : $\Delta x = 0.03125$ $\Delta y = 0.00250$



FIGURE 6. - Fine Grid Configurations







×

average unscaled pu

FIGURE 7. - Inviscid Subcritical Flow



Isomachs





Convergence Histories :



FIGURE 8. - Inviscid Supercritical Flow



Isomachs





Normalized u-velocity

Velocity Profiles



FIGURE 9. - Viscous Flow, Re = 8.4×10^3 , Laminar



Isomachs





Velocity Profiles



FIGURE 10. - Viscous Flow, Re = 3.4×10^4 , Laminar, Separated

















FIGURE 12. - Viscous Flow, Re = 2.0×10^5 , Turbulent

Time and Subset MULTIPLE-CRID CONVERGENCE ACCELERATION OF VISCOUS AND INVISCID FLOW COMPUTATIONS Authoria Cary M. Johnson Authoria Cary M. Johnson Our Computation Actional Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Sensoring Agency Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Sensoring Agency Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Sensoring Agency Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546 Sensoring Agency Name and Address Authorial Computational Studies at Colorado State University and the Gesellschaft fur Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung, cosponsored by AFOSR and NASA, Copper Mountain, Colorado, April 6-8, 1983 A multiple-grid algorithm for use in efficiently obtaining steady solutions to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations is presented. The convergence of successively coarser grids by a coarse-grid information propagation method which rapidly eliminates transients from the computation- al domain. This use of multiple-griding to increase the convergence rate results in substantally reduced work requirements for the numerical solution or a sequence of flow problems. Computational results are presented for subsonic and transonic inviscid flows and for laminar and turbuler, attached and separated, subsonic viscous flows. Work reduction factors as large as eight, in comparison to the basic fine-grid algorithm, have been obtained. Possibilities for further performance improvement are discussed. Network Kouse Interments Z Senvir Guart (of this age) Unclassified Z. Pice* Unclassified Z. Pice*	1. Report No. NASA TM-83361	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.		
MULTIPLE-GRID CONVERCENCE ACCELERATION OF VISCOUS AND INVISCID FLOW COMPUTATIONS 6. Performing Organization Code 505-31-0 7. Authorid Gary M. Johnson 8. Performing Organization Code 505-31-0 8. Authorid Gary M. Johnson 10. Work Unit No. 9. Performing Organization Name and Address 10. Work Unit No. 19. Performing Organization Name and Address 11. Contract or Green No. 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 11. Contract or Green No. 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 14. Suppresentary Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 15. Suppresentary Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 16. Suppresentary Name and Address 11. Contract or Green No. 16. Suppresentary Name and Address 11. Contract or Green No. 16. Suppresentary Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 17. Key Words The Construct of Computational Studies at Colorado State University and the Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung, cosponsored by AFOSR and NASA, Copper Mountain, Colorado, April G-8, 1983 16. Autract A multiple-grid algorithm for use in efficiently obtaining steady solutions to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations is presented. The convergence of a simple, explicit fine-grid solution procedure is accelerated on a sequence of souccessively coarseregrid information propagation method which rapi	4. Title and Subtitle	4. Title and Subtitle			
7. Authoriti 8. Performing Organization Report No. E-1623 9. Performing Organization Name and Address 10. Work Unit No. 9. Performing Organization Name and Address 11. Contract or Grant No. Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135 12. Somoning Agency Name and Address 11. Contract or Grant No. National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546 15. Supplementary Notes Prepared for the International Multigrid Conference, organized by the Institute for Computational Studies at Colorado State University and the Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverabeitung, cosponsored by AFOSR and NSA, Copper Mountain, Colorado, April 6-8, 1983 16. Abutet A multiple-grid algorithm for use in efficiently obtaining steady solutions to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations is presented. The convergence of a simple, explicit fine-grid solution procedure is accelerated on a sequence of successively coarser grids by a coarse-grid information propagation method which rapidly eliminates transients from the computation- al domain. This use of multiple-gridding to increase the convergence rate results in substantially reduced work requirements for the numerical solution of a wide range of flow problems. Computational results are presented for subsonic and transonic inviscid flows and for laminar and turbulent, attached and separated, subsonic viscous flows. Work reduction factors as lerge as eight, in comparized Simul- ation; Steady Flow; Compressible Flow; Transonic Flow; Euler Equations of Motion Viscous Flow; Navier-Stokes Equation 18. Durbuton Statement Unclassified 19. Sewirk (MonterStumerics Equation Viscous Flow; Navier-Stokes Equation 21. No. of Page 22. Price*<	MULTIPLE-GRID CONVERGENCE ACCELERATION OF VISCOUS AND INVISCID FLOW COMPUTATIONS		6. Performing Organization Code 505-31-0		
Gary M. Johnson E-1623 9. Performing Organization Name and Address 10. Work Unit No. National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center 11. Context or Grant No. Cleveland, Ohio 44135 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 12. Spennoring Agency Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 13. Supportered wave Notes Prepared for the International Multigrid Conference, organized by the Institute for Computational Studies at Colorado State University and the Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung, cosponsored by AFOSR and NASA, Copper Mountain, Colorado, April 6-8, 1983 16. Abstract A multiple-grid algorithm for use in efficiently obtaining steady solutions to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations is presented. The convergence of a simple, explicit fine-grid solution procedure is accelerated on a sequence of successively coarser grids by a coarse-grid information propagation method which rapidly eliminates transients from the computation- al domain. This use of multiple-gridding to increase the convergence rate results in substantially reduced work requirements for the numerical solution of a wide range of flow problems. Computational results are presented for subsonic and transonic inviscid flows and for laminar and turbulent, attached and separated, subsonic viscous flows. Work reduction factors as large as eight, in comparison to the basic fine-grid algorithm, have been obtained. Possibilities for further performance improvement are discussed. 19. Key Word Suppetted by Authorit/Kumerical Analysis Difference Equations; Computerized Simul- ation; Steady Flow; Compressible Flow; Transonic Flow; Wavier-Stokes Equation	7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organization Report No.		
9. Performing Organization Name and Address 10. Work Unit No. 9. Performing Organization Name and Address 11. Contract or Grant No. Lewis Research Center 11. Contract or Grant No. Cleveland, Ohio 44135 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 13. Supported System 14. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 14. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 14. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 15. Supported Note: Prepared for the International Multigrid Conference, organized 14. Sponsoring Agency Coxe 15. Supported Prepared for the International Multigrid Conference, organized 15. Supported Note: Prepared for the International Multigrid Conference, organized 16. Association A multiple-grid algorithm for use in efficiently obtaining steady 16. Association 16. Associations to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations is presented. The 17. Key Word: Supported by Author Mumerical Analysis 17. Key Word: Gsupported by Author/Mumerical Analysis 18. Distribution Statement 19. Key Word: Gsupported by Author/Mumerical Analysis 18. Distribution Statement 19. Key Word: Gsupported by Author/Mumerical Analysis 18. Distribution Statement 19. Key Word: Gsupported by Author/Mumerical Analysis 18. Distribution Statement	Gary M. Johnson		E-1623		
National Aeronautics and Space Administration Levis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135 11. Contract of Grant No. 12. Spensoring Agery Nume and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Memorandum 14. Sponsoring Agery Nume and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Memorandum 15. Supplementary Notes Prepared for the International Multigrid Conference, organized by the Institute for Computational Studies at Colorado State University and the Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung, cosponsored by AFOSR and NASA, Copper Mountain, Colorado, April 6–8, 1983 16. Abstrat A multiple-grid algorithm for use in efficiently obtaining steady solutions to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations is presented. The convergence of successively coarser grids by a coarse-grid information propagation method which rapidly eliminates transients from the computation- al domain. This use of multiple-gridding to increase the convergence rate results in substantially reduced work requirements for the numerical solution of a wide range of flow problems. Computational results are presented for subsonic and transonic inviscid flows and for laminar and turbulent, attached and separated, subsonic viscous flows. Work reduction factors as large as eight, in comparison to the basic fine-grid algorithm, have been obtained. Possibilities for further performance improvement are discussed. 17. Kev Words (Suggetted by Author//Kumerical Analysis Inference Equations; Computerized Simul- ation; Steady Flow; Compressible Flow; Transonic Flow; Euler Equations of Motion Viscous Flow; Ruler-Stokes Equation 18.	9. Performing Organization Name and Address		10. Work Unit No.		
12. Spontoring Agency Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546 Technical Memorandum 13. Supplementary Nets: Prepared for the International Multigrid Conference, organized by the Institute for Computational Studies at Colorado State University and the Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung, cosponsored by AFOSR and NASA, Copper Mountain, Colorado, April 6-8, 1983 16. Abstract A multiple-grid algorithm for use in efficiently obtaining steady solutions to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations is presented. The convergence of a simple, explicit fine-grid solution procedure is accelerated on a sequence of successively coarser grid by a coarse-grid information propagation method which rapidly eliminates transients from the computation- al domain. This use of multiple-gridding to increase the convergence rate results in substantially reduced work requirements for the numerical solution of a wide range of flow problems. Computational results are presented for subsonic and transonic inviscid flows and for laminar and turbulent, attached and separated, subsonic viscous flows. Work reduction factors as large as eight, in comparison to the basic fine-grid algorithm, have been obtained. Possibilities for further performance improvement are discussed. 11. Key Word (Suggetted by Authorb/Numerical Analysis Difference Equations; Computerized Simul- ation; Steady Flow; Compressible Flow; Transonic Flow; Euler Equations of Motion Viscous Flow; Nuere-Stokes Equation 18. Distribution Statement Unclassified Unclassified 21. No. of Pages 22. Price*	National Aeronautics and Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135	Space Administration	11. Contract or Grant No.		
National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Supplementary Nets: Prepared for the International Multigrid Conference, organized by the Institute for Computational Studies at Colorado State University and the Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung, cosponsored by AFOSR and NASA, Copper Mountain, Colorado, April 6-8, 1983 16. Aburat: A multiple-grid algorithm for use in efficiently obtaining steady solutions to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations is presented. The convergence of a simple, explicit fine-grid solution procedure is accelerated on a sequence of successively coarser grids by a coarse-grid information propagation method which rapidly eliminates transients from the computation- al domain. This use of multiple-gridding to increase the convergence rate results in substantially reduced work requirements for the numerical solution of a wide range of flow problems. Computational results are presented for subsonic and transonic inviscid flows and for laminar and turbulent, attached and separated, subsonic viscous flows. Work reduction factors as large as eight, in comparison to the basic fine-grid algorithm, have been obtained. Possibilities for further performance improvement are discussed. 17. Key Word Gugetted by AuthorbiNumerical Analysis Difference Equations; Computerized Simul- ation; Steady Flow; Compressible Flow; Transonic Flow; Euler Equations of Motion Viscous Flow; Navier-Stokes Equation 18 Distribution Statement Unclassified 21. No. of Pages 22. Price*	12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		Technical Memorandum		
15. Supplementary Notes Prepared for the International Multigrid Conference, organized by the Institute for Computational Studies at Colorado State University and the Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung, cosponsored by AFOSR and NASA, Copper Mountain, Colorado, April 6-8, 1983 16. Abtract A multiple-grid algorithm for use in efficiently obtaining steady solutions to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations is presented. The convergence of a simple, explicit fine-grid solution procedure is accelerated on a sequence of successively coarser grids by a coarse-grid information propagation method which rapidly eliminates transients from the computation- al domain. This use of multiple-gridding to increase the convergence rate results in substantially reduced work requirements for the numerical solution of a wide range of flow problems. Computational results are presented for subsonic and transonic inviscif flows and for laminar and turbulent, attached and separated, subsonic viscous flows. Work reduction factors as large as eight, in comparison to the basic fine-grid algorithm, have been obtained. Possibilities for further performance improvement are discussed. 17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(Wigumerical Analysis Transonic Flow; Euler Equations of Motion Viscous Flow; Navier-Stokes Equation 18. Distribution Statement Unclassified 19. Security Cassif. (of this report Unclassified 20. Security Cassif. (of this page) Unclassified 21. No. of Pages 22. Price*	National Aeronautics and Washington, D.C. 20546	Space Administration	14. Sponsoring Agency Code		
A multiple-grid algorithm for use in efficiently obtaining steady solutions to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations is presented. The convergence of a simple, explicit fine-grid solution procedure is accelerated on a sequence of successively coarser grids by a coarse-grid information propagation method which rapidly eliminates transients from the computation- al domain. This use of multiple-gridding to increase the convergence rate results in substantially reduced work requirements for the numerical solution of a wide range of flow problems. Computational results are presented for subsonic and transonic inviscid flows and for laminar and turbulent, attached and separated, subsonic viscous flows. Work reduction factors as large as eight, in comparison to the basic fine-grid algorithm, have been obtained. Possibilities for further performance improvement are discussed.	15. Supplementary Notes Prepared for the International Multigrid Conference, organized by the Institute for Computational Studies at Colorado State University and the Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung, cosponsored by AFOSR and NASA, Copper Mountain, Colorado, April 6-8, 1983				
19. Security Classif. (of this report)20. Security Classif. (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. Price*UnclassifiedUnclassified21. No. of Pages22. Price*	 and NASA, Copper Mountain, Colorado, April 6-8, 1983 16 Abstract A multiple-grid algorithm for use in efficiently obtaining steady solutions to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations is presented. The convergence of a simple, explicit fine-grid solution procedure is accelerated on a sequence of successively coarser grids by a coarse-grid information propagation method which rapidly eliminates transients from the computational domain. This use of multiple-gridding to increase the convergence rate results in substantially reduced work requirements for the numerical solution of a wide range of flow problems. Computational results are presented for subsonic and transonic inviscid flows and for laminar and turbulent, attached and separated, subsonic viscous flows. Work reduction factors as large as eight, in comparison to the basic fine-grid algorithm, have been obtained. Possibilities for further performance improvement are discussed. 17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(b) Numerical Analysis 18. Distribution Statement Large discussed). 19. Distribution Statement State Play is a state of the state of				
	19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages 22. Price"		

 * For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161

:

2

.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Washington, D.C. 20546

Official Business Penalty for Private Use, \$300 SPECIAL FOURTH CLASS MAIL BOOK



Pottage and Fees Paid National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASA-451

ł

DO NOT	REMOVE	SLIP	FROM	MATERIAL
--------	--------	------	------	----------

Delete your name from this slip when returning material to the library.

NAME

DATE



MS

	11 00	una
		ž
U		
NASA Langley (Rev. Dec. 1991)		RIAD N-75



ş