## **General Disclaimer**

## One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

## PB83-124511

ESD-Caused Latent Failures, Study Effort

Westinghouse Electric Corp. Baltimore, MD

Prepared for

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC

Oct 81



U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service

1. a. a.



DARE BARE		l		PR8 3	12451
4. Title and Subtitle	<u> </u>	<u>i</u>		5. Report Da	te
ESD-Caused Latent F	ailures			Octobe	er 1981
	<u></u>				
7. Author(s)	Develd C. Tudek			2. Performing	g Organization Rept.
<u>UWEN J. MCATEEr and</u> 9. Performing Organization Name a	KONAIC L. IWIST			10. Project/T	iesk/Work Unit No.
Westinghouse Electr	ic Corporation			A06022	A3040A40
P.O. Box 746, MS 50	8 '			11. Contract(	(C) or Grant(G) No.
Baltimore, MD 2120	3			©F30602	2-78-C-0281
۰ <del>،</del>				(G)	
12. Sponsoring Organization Name a	and Address			13. Type of I	Raport & Pariod Cove
NASA Office of Chie	f Engineer			1/81-1	11/8]
Washington, DC	TVTSTOR			14.	
15. Supplementary Notes				<u>I</u>	
16. Abstract (Limit: 200 words)					
fow power IIL integ pulse to various le for 480 hours minim an unstressed contr	vels of degredation um at each temperat ol sample of device	. Life tes ure and the s.	ts were cond results of	iucted at 29 these tests	57C and 75 <sup>O</sup> C scompared to
fow power IIL integ pulse to various le for 480 hours minim an unstressed contr	vels of degredation um at each temperat ol sample of device	. Life tes ure and the s.	ts were cond results of	lucted at 25 these tests	5 <sup>0</sup> C and 75 <sup>0</sup> C 5 compared to
Tow power IIL Integ pulse to various le for 480 hours minim an unstressed contr	vels of degredation um at each temperat ol sample of device	. Life tes ure and the s.	ts were cond results of	lucted at 25 these tests	5 <sup>0</sup> C and 75 <sup>0</sup> C 5 compared to
<ul> <li>10w power IIL integ pulse to various le for 480 hours minim an unstressed contr</li> <li>17. Document Analysis a. Descript Electrostatic disch</li> </ul>	vels of degredation um at each temperat ol sample of device	Life tes ure and the s.	ent failure	ucted at 25 these tests mechanisms	5 <sup>0</sup> C and 75 <sup>0</sup> C scompared to
<ul> <li>10w power IIL integ pulse to various le for 480 hours minim an unstressed contr</li> <li>17. Document Analysis a. Descript Electrostatic disch Device degredation</li> </ul>	vels of degredation num at each temperat ol sample of device	Life tes ure and the s.	ent failure	ucted at 25 these tests mechanisms	5 <sup>0</sup> C and 75 <sup>0</sup> C 5 compared to
<ul> <li>IOW power IIL Integ pulse to various le for 480 hours minim an unstressed contr</li> <li><b>17.</b> Document Analysis a. Descript Electrostatic disch Device degredation Low Power TTL b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms</li> </ul>	vels of degredation num at each temperat ol sample of device	Life tes ure and the s.	ent failure	ucted at 25 these tests mechanisms	5 <sup>0</sup> C and 75 <sup>0</sup> C scompared to
<ul> <li>10W power IIL Integ pulse to various le for 480 hours minim an unstressed contr</li> <li>17. Document Analysis a. Descript Electrostatic disch Device degredation Low Power TTL b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms Latent failures</li> </ul>	vels of degredation num at each temperat ol sample of device	Lat	ent failure	Mucted at 25 these tests mechanisms	5 <sup>0</sup> C and 75 <sup>0</sup> C 5 compared to
<ul> <li>10w power IIL integ pulse to various le for 480 hours minim an unstressed contr</li> <li>27. Document Analysis a. Descript Electrostatic disch Device degredation Low Power TTL b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms Latent failures VZAP testing</li> </ul>	vels of degredation num at each temperat ol sample of device	Lat	ent failure	nucted at 25 these tests mechanisms	5 <sup>0</sup> C and 75 <sup>0</sup> C 5 compared to
<ul> <li>10W power IIL Integ pulse to various le for 480 hours minim an unstressed contr</li> <li>17. Document Analysis a. Descript Electrostatic disch Device degredation Low Power TTL b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms Latent failures VZAP testing</li> <li>c. COSATI Field/Group</li> </ul>	vels of degredation num at each temperat ol sample of device	Lat	ent failure FET	Mucted at 25 these tests mechanisms	5 <sup>0</sup> C and 75 <sup>0</sup> C 5 compared to
<ul> <li>10W power IIL Integ pulse to various le for 480 hours minim an unstressed contr</li> <li>27. Document Analysis a. Descript Electrostatic disch Device degredation Low Power TTL b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms Latent failures VZAP testing</li> <li>c. COSATI Field/Group</li> <li>18. Availability Statement No restriction on or</li> </ul>	vels of degredation num at each temperat ol sample of device Nors harge	Lat	ent failure FET	mechanisms	21. No. of Pages
<ul> <li>10W power IIL Integ pulse to various le for 480 hours minim an unstressed contr</li> <li>17. Document Analysis a. Descript Electrostatic disch Device degredation Low Power TTL b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms Latent failures VZAP testing</li> <li>c. COSATI Field/Group</li> <li>18. Availability Statement No restriction on of</li> </ul>	Pateu circuit. A s vels of degredation uum at each temperat ol sample of device	Lat	ent failure FET 19. Security Class Unclassi Unclassi	(This Report) fied (This Page) fied	50°C and 75°C scompared to 21. No. of Page 27 22. Price

0

4 10.1.5 s¥ ₹

ESD-CAUSED LATENT FAILURES STUDY EFFORT FINAL REPORT

NASA Office of Chief Engineer Program Assurance Division Washington, DC

and

Naval Sea Systems Command Washington, DC

Reliability Analysis Center Rome Air Development Center Griffiss Air Force Base, NY



K

ESD-CAUSED LATENT FAILURES STUDY EFFORT FINAL REPORT

SUBMITTED TO:

(Landard

3

Ĩ

I

「上京になるなななない」

# NASA Office of Chief Engineer Program Assurance Division Washington, DC

and

Naval Sea Systems Command Washington, DC

SUBMITTED BY:

Reliability Analysis Center Rome Air Development Center Griffiss Air Force Base, NY LATENT ESD FAILURE STUDY II FINAL REPORT

T

T

f sound

諸に

Government Contract Number: F30602-78-C-0281

October 1981

Submitted to:

NASA Office of Chief Engineer Program Assurance Division Washington, DC

and

Illinois Institute of Technology Research Institute Rome, NY

Submitted by:

Owen J. McAteer and Ronald E. Twist

Westinghouse Electric Corporation P.O. Box 746, MS 508 Baltimore, MD 21203 PREFACE

This Final Technical Report was prepared by Westinghouse Electric Corporation under Government Contract Number F30602-78-C-0281, supplemental agreement P00024. This study of latent ESD failures is a followon effort to an earlier study that was part of ESD Task (A6022A3040A40). The efforts reported herein were conducted over the period 20 January 1981 to 16 November 1981. Technical monitoring and coordination of the program was under the direction of Mr. Roy Walker,\* IIT Research Institute, Rome, New York.

Although the results of Latent Study I did not positively verify latent ESD failures, there were certain indicators of their existence. Thus, this earlier effort has provided direction for this follow-on Several part types showed a propensity for further degradation studv. with time once a certain degree of ESD damage had occurred. The parts in question were damaged beyond the part specification limits by ESD exposure prior to life tests. A part of this effort is to review the data on these severely damaged parts to see if latent failures might occur in certain higher assembly applications. Another indicator of possible latency existed in the few MOSFET devices with gate oxide damage (Most had increased drain to source leakage.). This effort includes a much larger sample of MOSFETS with gate oxide damage. Α second part type included in this study is the 54L04 low-power TTL integrated circuit. Samples of 5404 TTL devices and 54S04 Schottky TTL devices were a part of Latent Study 1. The sample sizes, including the number of controls, is significantly larger for Latent Study II.

The principal Westinghouse Electric Corporation investigators on this program were Owen J. McAteer and Ronald E. Twist.

\*Mr. Walker is presently employed by SAR Associates, Westmoreland, NY.
APPROVED: SUBMITTED:

i

I

Τ

 $\Box$ 

California California

Constantiant

Larry J. Phaller Reliability Engineering

neare

0.J LMcAteer Advisory Engineer

R.E. Twist Engineer

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

121111

i

į

CONCIDENTIAL OF

h

1.0	SUMMARY	1
2.0	INTRODUCTION	2
3.0	SCOPE	3
	3.1 Data Review	3
	3.2 3N128 MOSFET	3
	3.3 54LO4 Integrated Circuit	3
4.0	TEST DESCRIPTION	4
	4.1 ESD Zapper	4
	4.2 Pin Combinations and Parameters	4
5.0	TEST CONDITIONS AND FAILURE CRITERIA	7
6.0	ESD PULSE RESULTS	. 9
	6.1 Test Plan	9
	6.2 Data Logging	9
	6.3 Test Codes	9
	6.4 Preliminary Tests	10
	6.5 Multiple Pulse Tests	12
	6.6 Single Pulse Tests	12
7.0	) LIFE TEST CONDITIONS	15
8.0	) LIFE TEST RESULTS	17
	8.1 Non-Degreded Parts	17
	8.2 Degraded Parts	19
	8.3 Control Device Behavior	20
9.0	D DATA FROM LATENT STUDY I	22
10.	O CONCLUSIONS	25
REF	FERENCES	27

ii

I

١

h de la constant

#### 1.0 SUMMARY

This study is a follow-on effort to Latent Study I. In this follow-on effort a larger sample of just two part types was used for experimentation in an attempt to end the very controversial question as to whether or not latent ESD failures are a reality. The parts studied were the 3N128 MOSFET and the 54L04 low-power TTL integrated circuit. This follow-on effort also includes a brief review of some pertinent data from Latent Study I on parts that were initially out of specification limits following ESD exposure, then degraded further during 25°C and/or 75°C life tests.

Whereas the existence of latent failures was not felt to have been positively verified by Latent Study I, this latent study provides solid evidence of their existence. The success of this follow-on effort is certainly due to a large degree to information learned during the experience of the first study.

There were latent failures of both types investigated, and the unpulsed control devices were stable during life tests. A review of the Latent Study I data indicates a good likelihood that some of those parts could also be regarded as latent failures in some design applications.

Since this latest effort verifies that latent ESD failures can occur under certain circumstances, static must now be considered a threat to reliability. ESD susceptibility must be minimized and preventive controls must be effective. A further study is recommended in order to gain a better understanding of the types of parts prone to this latent phenomenon.

## Latent ESD Failure Study II Final Report

#### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

Ł

b

12 A C A

5-CC-2

. . .

.

Francisco I

1

Transferrance of

Contract And Address

The objective of the study is to end the existing controversy as to whether or not latent ESD failures are a reality and to attain an approximate measure of the likelihood of occurrence under normal handling and usage conditions. A latent ESD failure is defined as a failure that occurs in use conditions because of earlier exposure to ESD that did not result in an immediately detectable discrepancy. From a macroscopic viewpoint, a latent failure could occur in several ways:

- MAC 1: A device that remains in-specification after ESD exposure but degrades in life to an out-of-specification condition
- MAC 2: A device that remains in-specification after ESD exposure and, although it remains in-spec during life, degrades sufficiently to cause a discrepancy at a higher assembly level
- MAC 3: A device that goes out-of-specification after ESD exposure but is not detected at the higher assembly level until further degradation occurs with time

The time-dependent degradation associated with the preceding hypotheses might occur in either biased or unbiased conditions at room, ambient or accelerated temperatures.

This study is a follow-on to an earlier study conducted for Naval Sea Systems Command. The initial study did not positively verify the existence of latent failures, but additional analysis of some of the data from that study is included in this follow-on effort.

3.0 SCOPE

Contraction of the local data

Transfer L

The following efforts are included:

3.1 Data Review

Certain data from the initial study were analyzed. Specifically, there were parts that were degraded beyond the part specification limits by the exposure to static and then degraded further during the subsequent life and/or bake tests.

#### 3.2 <u>3N128 MOSFET</u>

Additional tests were conducted on MOSFET devices with emphasis on gate-oxide damage. The earlier study indicated a higher likelihood at latent failures or MOSFET with gate oxide damage. The 3N128 device type was chosen for this evaluation because of availability and high ESD sensitivity.

#### 3.3 54L04 Integrated Circuit

The 54L04 was selected for study because of earlier references<sup>1,2</sup> to subtle degradation not detectable externally until catastrophic. It was, therefore, felt to be a good candidate for latent failure tests.

### 4.0 TEST DESCRIPTION

The appropriate pin combinations, ESD exposure levels and failure criteria were determined by preliminary engineering tests and evaluation.

4.1 ESD Zapper

Ĩ

Ĩ.

An ESD zapper was constructed by following the requirements listed in DOD-STD-1686. A 1.5K ohm resistor and a 100 pF capacitor made up the passive circuit elements. A mercury wetted SPDT relay was used as the voltage switching element (see Figure 1). The resulting ESD pulse was then monitored on a scope and was verified to be repeatable over the entire voltage range used in the study (see Figure 2). The waveform was verified daily and a picture of the waveform was taken weekly. In order to avoid possible stray pulses when the capacitor was recharged, the part was disconnected from the ESD zapper terminals before the relay was de-energized.

#### 4.2 Pin Combinations and Parameters

Pin combinations and polarities for ESD pulsing were chosen to try to affect particular device structures based on previous ESD failure experience on these device types.

The 3N128 MOSFET pin combination used was gate-to-source with the gate positive. The objective was to inflict gate oxide damage, which the initial study had indicated as the most likely site of latent degradation. The parameters used to monitor degradation were  $I_{\rm GSSR}$  and  $V_{\rm GS}$  (off).

The 54L04 pin combination used was the same combination reported by Freeman and Beall: input (pin 7) to ground (pin 1), with the input negative. The objective was to inflict damage to the base emitter





and the second se

and the second s

Contraction of the

and the second

L. Hallowinder

Number of Contract of Contract

T



FIGURE 2: ESD WAVEFORM ACROSS 5000 LOAD

junction of Q2. Damage to this junction would not be detectable until the current gain (beta) degraded to the point that the base bias of Q3 and Q4 was not being switched properly. This results in the output staying at the "high" level. The parameters used to monitor degradation were  $I_{\rm IH}$  and  $V_{\rm OL}$ .

-

<u>،</u> ،

10 + ---- - **1** 

ų,

9

**1** - - - **0** 

## 5.0 TEST CONDITIONS AND FAILURE CRITERIA

The test conditions, specification limits, and failure criteria used for the 3N128 and the 54L04 devices are given in Figure 3. The failure criteria were decided after reviewing preliminary engineering test results. The failure criteria used reflect significant changes due to ESD exposure and are sufficiently wide to rule out measurement error and drift. A Hewlett-Packard Model 425 picoammeter was used for current measurements and a Hewlett-Packard Model 3440A DVM was used for voltage measurements. Series resistance was used for current limiting to prevent further damage during parametric testing.

Extreme care in static handling precautions was taken throughout this study so that unintended exposure to ESD would be avoided. The primary precautionary measures were: grounded wrist straps, grounded "static-dissipative" table tops, and conductive packaging.

Part Type	Test Parameter	Test Conditions	Specification Limits	Failure Criteria
7331.00	I <sub>GSSR</sub>	V <sub>GS</sub> = 8V V <sub>DS</sub> = 0V	- 50 pa max	> -25 pa
3NL28	V <sub>GS</sub> (off)	V <sub>DS</sub> = + 15V I <sub>D</sub> + 50 μa	5V min - 8V max	5V min - 8V Max
	I <sub>lH</sub>	V <sub>CC</sub> = 5.5V V <sub>IN</sub> = 2.4V All unused inputs at ground	10 µа max	<u>+</u> 20 na ∆
54604	V <sub>OL</sub>	$V_{CC} = 4.5V$ $I_{OL} = 2 \text{ ma}$ $V_{IN} = 2.0V$ All unused inputs at 5.5V	.3V max	<u>+</u> .050V A

T

# FIGURE 3: TEST CONDITIONS AND FAILURE CRITERIA

.

#### 6.0 ESD PULSE RESULTS

Ι

 $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ 

in the second

## 6.1 Test Plan

In Latent Failure Study 1, it was observed that most parts experienced an accumulation of damage from exposure to multiple lowlevel ESD pulses. This often occurs with no apparent parametric shifts until quite suddenly on the n'th pulse. The intent of this study was to test for this phenomenon over a suitable voltage range, as well as exposing a significant number of parts to appropriate single pulse levels. The plan was to then put these pulsed devices on life test and monitor for degradation. The life test sample ideally will include static-pulsed devices with severe, moderate, slight and no measureable damage as well as unpulsed control devices.

### 6.2 Data Logging

During all pulsing done in this study, detailed daily log sheets were filled out for every part. Dates were recorded. The ESD voltage level was recorded along with a device number which was also marked on the part itself. The number of ESD exposures was recorded. For multiple pulsing, test parameter values before exposure to ESD and after each exposure to ESD were recorded up to 10 exposures or pulses. From 10 to 50 pulses the test parameters were recorded after every 5 ESD pulses. From 50 to 200 pulses the test parameters were recorded after every 25 ESD pulses. Taking parameters measured at 5, 10, and 25 pulse intervals aided in completing the pulsing in a timely manner and was not believed to have affected the results significantly.

## 6.3 Test Codes

Test codes are used in defining test samples and test conditions throughout this report. The data is coded as follows:

- P Preliminary Engineering Evaluation Devices
- M Multiple Pulse Devices
- S Single Pulse Devices

•

I

C - Unpulsed Control Devices

## 6.4 Preliminary Tests

Latent Failure Study I revealed that the most efficient method of preliminary testing is multiple-pulsing to determine proper voltage ranges for both the single and multiple-pulse samples. The method consists of pulsing a preliminary sample of parts sufficient to approximate the voltage range for obvious damage from a single pulse to no detectable damage from 200 pulses. The preliminary results for the 3N128 (12 samples) are summarized in Figure 4.

	Number of Pulses to Failure											
l pulse level	10 pulse 1evel	50 p lse level	100 pulse level	200 pulse level								
200V 150V 125V 115V 110V	2 at 100V	5 OV	105V	75V 60V 50V								

FIGURE 4: PRELIMINARY RESULTS ON 3N128

Preliminary results for the 54L04 (30 samples) are given in Figure 5.

		•	N	umber of Pul	ses to Failu	re		
MFG.	1 pulse level	2 pulse level	5 pulse level	10 pulse level	20 pulse level	25 pulse level	50 pulse level	200 pulse level
<b>T.I.</b>	8000V 7500V 7000V 6000V 5000V	7000V	70009		6500V	6500V 6000V	7200V 4000V	5500V 5000V
National	3 at 5000V 4250V 4000V 2 at 3500V		3000V 2750V	3250V 2500V		3500V		3000V 2500V 2250V 2000V

1000

•

.

FIGURE 5: PRELIMINARY TEST RESULTS FOR 54L04 DEVICES

•

.

11

### 6.5 Multiple Pulse Tests

a.

Sec. 10

Based upon the preliminary test results, the range chosen for the 3N128 was 95V to 115V. The preliminary data might have led to a lower minimum voltage, but we intended to try to inflict measureable damage on most samples. Latent Failure Study I results showed no degradation on parts with no initial measureable damage. The 95V to 115V range was divided into 5 equal steps for variation. Although the intent was to pulse 20 devices at each level, we first pulsed 10 at each level so that the levels could be changed if desired. The selected range gave the desired result as summarized in Figure 6.

The 54L04 multiple pulse sample was made up of 100 parts also; however, there were two manufacturers represented. Since 80% were from National Semiconductor, the preliminary data for National parts was used to set the initial voltage range at 2000V to 5000V. Again, 10 were pulsed at each level to allow for possible change in the range. The results of the first 50 samples pulsed is given in Figure 7.

Since all 10 devices pulsed at 2000 volts withstood 200 pulses and since there seemed to be too many in general that took 200 pulses, the voltage range was raised to 2750V min to 5750V max for the second 50 devices. The results of the second fifty is given in Figure 8.

## 6.6 Single Pulse Tests

The single pulse levels were determined by taking 90% and 80% of the one pulse level used in the multiple pulse evaluations. This turned out to be 103V and 92V for the 3N128 and 4500V and 4000V for the 54L04.

The 3N128 results at these levels showed significant damage to all devices at 103V and to most devices at 92V. Twenty devices were pulsed at each level.

The 54L04 results were similar: 17 out of 20 initially damaged at 4500 volts and 11 out of 20 initially damaged at 4000 volts.

				. TARBARA					
23 C			÷	 	 			 	

						•				Pul	ses t	o Fai	lure							
Voltage Level	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	.8	9.	10	15	20	25	30	50	75	100	150	175	200
115V	12		1	3	.2		·			1			1			;				
110V	11	1		1		3					1	1			1					1
105V	.6				I	3	1	2	1			2		1	1	1				1
100V	7	Į						1		2	4			2			1		2	
95V	. 6	1						•••			4	1				2.	1	1		4

FIGURE 6; MULTIPLE PULS RESULTS ON 3N128 MOSFETS

i j

-

Voltage			Pu	ulses to	Failure		
Level	.1	6	7	8	50	75	200
5000V	5	1	l				3
4250V	7			1			2
350 <i>0</i> V	5						5
2750V	1				1	1	7
2000V		,					10

Ţ

J

e 1000

FIGURE 7: MULTIPLE PULSE RESULTS ON FIRST 50 54L04 DEVICES

Voltare		Number of Pulses to Failure											
Level	1	.2	3	4	8	125	175	200					
5750V	10	-											
5000V	7	1		-			1	1					
4250V	9							1					
3500V	4			2	I.		•	3					
2750	1		1		1	I	2	4					

FIGURE 8: MULTIPLE PULSE RESULTS ON THE SECOND 50 54104 DEVICES

### 7.0 LIFE TEST CONDITIONS

T

Contraction of the local distribution of the

TALES I

**MARKED** 

(TANK)

Life tests were conducted at 25°C and 75°C. The life test conditions are given in Figure 9.

Part Type	Life Test Conditions
3N128	V <sub>GS</sub> = -8V, V <sub>DS</sub> = 0V, 1 Meg ohm series resistance
54L04	$V_{CC} = 5.0V, V_{SS} = 0V, V_{IN} = 0$ to 3.0V Peak, $F_{IN} = 100KHz$ ,
	duty cycle = 50%

## FIGURE 9: LIFE TEST CONDITIONS

All of the devices of each part type were connected in parallel using appropriate device sockets on PC boards in groups of 16 to 20 devices. These groups of devices were coupled to the indicated supply voltages through series current limiting resistors although particular devices that were severely damaged by ESD had individual current limiting resistors to avoid dropping the overall voltage on a particular group. Individual resistors for each device were not used in order to reduce construction time and expense.

Life tests were conducted at 25°C and 75°C for 480 hours minimum at each temperature. The 25°C life test was selected because this is the most common condition to be encountered in actual usage. Also, a paper by Schwank<sup>3</sup> reported that ESD failures in CMOS devices annealed when exposed to high temperature life test conditions. Test parameter measurements were taken at or near 120, 240, and 480 hours. This interval was felt to be adequate to detect possible intermittencies in

the ESD failures. All ESD samples were put on life test regardless of the level of damage since even the severely damaged devices could become latent failures if the damage became intermittent. Life test circuit measurements were made regularly to detect any possible bias voltage changes during the life tests. When at 75°C, the devices were allowed to cool to room temperature under bias before removing power from the devices. Because of the extensive measurement times involved, the life test samples were at room temperature with no bias for approximately 8 hours at each measurement time indicated on the data sheets. Another period of several days when ESD samples were at room temperature with no bias existed between the time the final ESD exposure measurement was taken and the time that the initial life test measurement was taken. Control devices which received no intentional ESD exposures were included in the life test samples.

F

T

A. Markey

### 8.0 LIFE TEST RESULTS

The total sample that was used for the latent failure life test is tabulated in Figure 10.

Device Type	Preliminary Samples (P)	Multiple Pulse Samples (M)	Single-Pulse Samples (S)	Non-Pulsed Controls (C)
2N128	12	100.	40	68
54L04	30	100	<b>40</b>	, ,

### FIGURE 10: LIFE TEST SAMPLE

#### 8.1 Non-Degraded Parts

T

1

ada.

I

Those devices with no initial degradation in general remained rather stable throughout the life tests. This is particularly true of the 54L04 devices, and it is true of the 3N128 devices where there was definitely no initial degradation. There were some 3N128 devices, however, that, although meeting our accept criteria, had some upward shifts in  $V_{GS}(off)$  after ESD pulsing. These devices showing marginal initial degradation include multiple pulsed (200 pulses) samples 54, 57, 58, 75, 87 and 105 and single pulse samples 113, 115, 117 to 120, 123, 125, 128 to 132, 134, 135, 138, 139, 145 to 148 and 150.

Of these, the single-pulsed devices basically remained rather stable after the initial upward shift with no inductions of latent degradation. The multiple pulsed devices on the other hand showed a tendency toward gradual lowering of  $V_{\rm GS}({\rm off})$  after the initial upward shift. The data for these is given in Figure 11.

3N128 Device	Initial V <sub>GS</sub> (off)	Post 200 Zaps	Start 25°C Burn-in	120 hrs. 25°C	312 hrs. 25°C	648 hrs 25°C	304 hrs 75°C	465 hrs 75°C	840 hrs 75°C
54	+1.454V	-4.258V	-2.247V	-3.836V	-3,972V	-4.297V	.946V	.85IY	•917V
57	-1.718V	-5.94V	-3.556	4,6V	-4.7V	-4.7V	-2.9V	-2.4V	-2.6V
58	-2.119V	-=7.5V	-5.6V	-6.3V	-6. <u>3</u> V	-6.5V	-3.6V	-3.8V	-3.7V
75	-1.533V	-6.2V	-3.7V	-6.1V	-5.,2V	-5.4V	-2.8V	-2.6V	-2.6V
87	-1.7V	-6.8V	-6.8V	-5.8V	-6.0V	-6.1V	-2.8V	-2.9V	-2.9V
105	-1.4V	-3.8V	-3.8V	-3.3V	-3.5V	-3.8V	-,752V	503V	294V

machine

## FIGURE 11: MARGINALLY DEGRADED 3N128'S LIFE TEST DATA

.

.

2

\*\*7=

First, we must highlight device #105, which has resulted in a definite out-of-specification drift after 840 hours at  $75^{\circ}$ C. This appears to be a classic MAC 1 latent failure. Device 54 appears to be headed in the same direction, since VGS (off) has already drifted below its initial value. The remainder of the devices could either be heading toward an out-of-specification condition or stability; it is impossible to know without further testing.

8.2 Degraded Parts

Ľ

Ι

-----

-----

٦Ч

The 3N128 initial degradation was usually evidenced by either an out-of-specification VGS (off) or a large (fraction of one picoamp to greater than 10 micro-amps) shift in  $I_{\rm GSSR}$ . Of the 64 devices exhibiting high  $I_{\rm GSSR}$  after ESD exposure, all devices except five remained quite stable.

Devices 36 and 52 had  $I_{GSSR}$  of 36 a and 76 a, respectively, after zap.  $I_{GSSR}$  for these devices rose to 58  $\mu$ a and 220  $\mu$ a, respectively, at the start of life test, then remained quite stable. Devices 91, 109 and 149 all had > 180  $\mu$ a  $I_{GSSR}$  after zap but showed some improvement during life test.

As far as the 41  $V_{GSS}$  (off) failures are concerned, all either "improved" with time or remained stable. The vast majority of these had shifted to greater than -8.0V, and  $V_{GS}$  (off) was decreasing with time.

There was one exception to the general rule worth highlighting. Device 12P shifted from 1.6V to .497V after zap. The specification is .5V min. At the start of the test  $V_{GS}$  (off) was .4V. Through the entire life test it gradually improved to .459V.

There were four devices for which  $V_{GS}$  (off) was still in-spec but showed some interesting data. Devices 24M, 69M, 70M and 99M all had  $V_{GS}$ (off) shifted slightly downward after zap. The change is usually an increase in  $V_{GS}$  (off). These devices behaved as tabulated in Figure 12.

3N128 Device	V <sub>GS</sub> (off) Initial	After Zap	Start 25°C Life	120 hrs 25°C	312 hrs 25°C	648 hrs. 25°C	304 hrs 75°C	465 hrs 75"C	840 hr: 75°C
24M	-2.148V	-1.002V	1.0167	.832V	.868V	.887V	.842V	.879V	.877
69M	2.177	1.420V	.8997	.9047	.888V	.909V	.87 OV	.986V	1.0120
70M	2.1567	2.014V	1.605V	1.629V	1.626V	1.604V	1.615V	1.616V	1.520V
99M	2.55V	1.79V	1.79V	1.42V	1.45V	1.47V	1.24V	1.3V	1.21

FIGURE 12: LIFE TEST DATA ON 3N128'S WITH DECREASED VGS (off)

The degraded 54L04 devices experienced either an order of magnitude increase in  $I_{IH}$  or a large increase in  $V_{OL}$ , although there were a few with lesser changes. The  $I_{IH}$  failures either remained stable or improved slightly during life test.

The  $V_{GS}$  (off) data showed that 52 devices were catastrophic failures (output stuck high) from the ESD exposure and remained failures throughout life tests. There were 24  $V_{GS}$  failures that improved during life test. But most interesting is the data in Figure 13 showing how 9 devices failed in the stick-high condition during life test. All were National Semiconductor.

## 8.3 Control Device Behavior

1.4

All control devices of both part types were very stable throughout the life tests. There were 68 3N128 MOSFET devices and 50 54LO4 devices in the control sample.

54LO4 Device	Initial <sup>V</sup> OL·	After Zap	Start 25°C Life	120 Hrs 25°C Life	456 Hrs 25°C Life	696 Hrs 25°C Life	134 Hrs 75°C Life	294 Hrs 75°C Life	648 Hrs. 75°C Life
SP	.4 µа. .18V	.33V	.379V	.220V	5V	5V	5V	5V	5V
16P	1.62 па. .166V	.92V	, 96V .	.96V	1.4V	.85V	SV	5V	· 5V
27 P	139. па. .170V	.124V	.146V	5V	5V	SV	5V	:5V - ·	5V
43M	170 па. .174V	2.71	2.8V	SV	5V .	5V -	5V	SV	5V
81M	98 na .174V	.167V	1.4V	2.185V	5V .	5V'	5V	5V	5V
84M	100 na .173V	.265V	.224V	.218V	3.08V	.275V	5V	5V	SV.
133S	94 na. .168V	sv	5V <sup>.</sup>	4.8V .	2.475V	.349V	5V	4.7V	3.0V
134S	98 na .171V	.209V	.205V	.204V	.229V	.222V	5V	5V	5V
1655	90 na. .172V	.260V	.329V	4.968V	4.684V	5V	5V	5V -	.382V

.

FIGURE 13: 54L04 LIFE TEST DEGRADED DEVICES

•

#### 9.0 DATA FROM LATENT STUDY I

T

Torral L

1 :

Latent Study I data revealed numerous parts that degraded in time from an out-of-specification condition to a worse condition. The initial out-of-specification condition was usually after ESD exposure. Could these parts possibly have functioned in a higher assembly application while in condition 1, even though out of spec limits, and then cause a malfunction at the higher assembly level after further degradation with time? This would meet type 3 latent failure definition given in section 2.0 Introduction. The parts of interest from Latent Study I are tabulated in Figures 14 and 15.

Part Type	Device #	Parameter Limit	Condition 1	Condition 2	
3N170 MOS Fet	99 26 51	I <sub>GSSR</sub> = 10 pa I <sub>DSS</sub> = 10 na I <sub>DSS</sub> = 10 na	3.4 na 70 na 12 na	1.4 na 330 na 60 na	
2N4416 JFET	4 5 12 34 11	$I_{GSS} = .1 na$ $I_{GSS} = .1 na$ $I_{GSS} = .1 na$ $I_{GSS} = .1 na$ $V_{GS} = .30V$	3 na 5.8 µa 15 na 50 na 4.3V	11 па 8 µа 26 па 230 па .003V	
1N5711 Schottky Diode	13 13 (later) 19 21 25 26 28 32 34 41 45 30 50 (later) 58 78 79 80 84 97 103	I <sub>p</sub> = 200 na	305 na 250 na $.6-\mu a$ - 5 $\mu a$ 18 $\mu a$ 220 na 220 na 0.05 $\mu a$ 4.6 $\mu a$ 320 na 1.6 $\mu a$ 360 na 360 na 360 na 230 na 25 $\mu a$ 1 $\mu a$ 1.2 $\mu a$ 1.2 $\mu a$ 215 na 18 $\mu a$ 130 na	400 na 260 na 1.2 µa 17 µa 60 µa 240 na .4 µa 11 µa 400 na 3.6 µa 400 na 440 na 250 na 46 µa 4.2 µa 2.4 µa 2.4 µa 250 na 23 µa 290 na	

•---

8-\*

÷ •

.

# FIGURE 14: LATENT STUDY I, LIFE DEGRADED DISCREET DEVICES

 $\sim$ 

5404 TTL Device         8 $I_{1H} = 40$ µa.         230 µa         280 µa           40         11         220 µa         390 µa         390 µa           61         120 µa         500 µa         500 µa           54504         2 $I_{1H} = 50$ µa         540 µa         600 µa           54504         2 $I_{1H} = 50$ µa         540 µa         600 µa           54504         2 $I_{1H} = 50$ µa         540 µa         600 µa           54504         2 $I_{1H} = 50$ µa         540 µa         600 µa           54504         2 $I_{1H} = 50$ µa         540 µa         600 µa           54504         2 $I_{1H} = 50$ µa         540 µa         600 µa           545         2 $I_{2H} = 50$ µa         540 µa         600 µa           52         32         96 µa         140 µa         680 µa           42         500 µa         660 µa         540 µa         540 µa           51         140 µa         180 µa         180 µa           59         64         260 µa         540 µa	Part Type	Device #	Parameter Limit	Condition 1	Condition 2
70 73 140 µа 380 µа 160 µа 360 µа	5404 TTL Device 54S04 Schottky TTL Device	8 22 40 61 2 6 8 32 42 45 51 59 64 70 73 02	$I_{1H} = 40 \ \mu a$ $I_{iH} = 50 \ \mu a$	230 µa 220 ;;a 180 µa 120 µa 540 µa 340 µa 96 µa 500 µa 500 µa 420 µa 140 µa 460 µa 260 µa 540 µa 140 µa	280 µa 390 µa 200 µa 500 µa 500 µa 600 µa 600 µa 140 µa 680 µa 660 µa 540 µa 180 µa 560 µa 540 µa 360 µa

FIGURE 15: LATENT STUDY I, LIFE DEGREDED I.C.'S

**10.0 CONCLUSIONS** 

-

ġ.

•

- o Latent ESD failures are a reality
- o Unit 105 of the 3N128 devices was a classic MAC 1 latent failure
- Unit 12 of the 3N128 devices could be regarded as a marginal MAC 1 latent failure
- o 54L04 units 27, 81, 84, 134 and 165 are classic MAC 1 latent failures
- o 54L04 unit 5 is a MAC 1 latent failure but somewhat marginal
- 54L04 units 16 and 43 could be MAC 3 latent failures in applications where the original out-of-limits conditions, .92V and 2.7V, respectively, might still permit acceptable operation at the higher assembly level
- o 54L04 unit 133 could be regarded as a weak MAC 3 latent failure under the double conditions that:
  - o Operation was first monitored after the equivalent of 134 hours' operation at 75°C
  - o The unit was in an application where the .349V out-oflimits  $V_{OL}$  parameter did not cause higher assembly failure
- A large percentage of ESD-damaged devices are likely to improve during life
- o The stable behavior of the significant number of control devices in Latent Study II lends credence to the conclusions that the latent failures were due to ESD and discounts the involvements of some other mechanism

o As for the data from Latent Study I (Figures 14 and 15), one can draw his own conclusions as to whether or not there might be designs with sufficient margin to permit a higher assembly to function in condition I and yet fail when condition 2 is reached. We believe that this is certainly possible for some of the devices listed.

• • • • •

NOTE:

Î

Carried Street

The 1N5711 devices' increase in  $I_R$  leakage can probably be disregarded. Numerous control devices behaved in a similar fashion, so the change is most likely due to a mechanism other than ESD.

## REFERENCES

- Freeman, E.R., and J.R. Beall, "Control of Electrostatic Discharge Damage to Semiconductors," 12th Annual Reliability Physics Symposium (1974).
- 2. GIDEP ALERT GE-A-77-02 (517.46 GE-A-77-02), April 1977.
- 3. Schwank, J.R., R.P. Baker, and M.G. Armendariz, "Surprising Patterns of CMOS Susceptibility to ESD and Implications on Long Term Reliability," 1980 Electrical Overstress/Electrostatic Discharge Symposium Proceedings (EOS-2).