

## General Disclaimer

### One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.



Spectrophotometry of Two Complete Samples of Flat Radio Spectrum Quasars

---

E. Joseph Wampler<sup>1</sup>, C. Martin Gaskell<sup>2</sup>, William L. Burke  
Lick Observatory

Jack A. Baldwin  
Cerro Tololo Interamerican Observatory<sup>3</sup>

(NASA-CR-175343) SPECTROPHOTOMETRY OF 2 COMPLETE SAMPLES OF FLAT RADIO SPECTRUM QUASARS (Lick Observatory, Santa Cruz, Calif.) 35 p HC A03/MF A01 CACL 03A N84-17077 Unclassified 63/89 11617

Submitted to Astrophysical Journal

1. Visiting Astronomer, European Southern Observatory, Garching, Germany
2. Present address: Institute of Astronomy, Cambridge, U.K.
3. Supported by the National Science Foundation

Abstract

Spectrophotometry of two complete samples of flat-spectrum radio quasars show that for these objects there is a strong correlation between the equivalent width of the CIV  $\lambda 1550$  emission line and the luminosity of the underlying continuum. Assuming Friedmann cosmologies, the scatter in this correlation is a minimum for  $q_0 \approx 1$ . Alternatively, luminosity evolution can be invoked to give compact distributions for  $q_0 \approx 0$  models. A sample of Seyfert galaxies observed with IUE shows that despite some dispersion the average equivalent width of CIV  $\lambda 1550$  in Seyfert galaxies is independent of the underlying continuum luminosity. We give new redshifts for 4 quasars.

Subject headings: cosmology -- galaxies: Seyfert - quasars -  
spectrophotometry

## I Introduction

Baldwin (1977) suggested that a correlation existed between the strength of the Ly- $\alpha$  and CIV  $\lambda 1550$  emission lines of quasars and the luminosity of the underlying continuum radiation measured near the wavelength of the CIV line. Because the sense of the correlation was that the fainter, more difficult to observe, quasars had the strongest features, the possibility existed that much of the observed correlation was produced by optical selection effects. In this study we attempt to reduce, or eliminate, any such effects by observing all quasars in two complete radio samples. The objects were selected solely by accurate positional agreement between the radio source and a stellar object on the Palomar Sky Survey (PSS) plates. Flat spectrum radio objects were chosen, partly because the high frequency surveys give very accurate radio positions and partly because Baldwin (1977) found that the flat radio spectrum sources gave a slightly better correlation between continuum luminosity and line strength than quasars with other radio characteristics. Because strong emission lines can raise a faint quasar above the threshold for detection on PSS plates, the strong lined objects are probably overrepresented among the fainter sources. This is not a serious problem as it is possible to use the spectrophotometry to identify those objects that are in the sample because of their strong lines. It is more important to use the radio survey to set upper limits to the fraction of brighter, weak lined quasars that might exist and the number of bright, strong lined objects. We emphasize that the new QSO samples do not include any of the objects from the original study by Baldwin (1977).

A preliminary paper (Baldwin, Burke, Gaskell and Wampler; 1978) gave early results after a sample of the flat radio spectrum sources had been observed. In that paper it was suggested that MgII  $\lambda 2800$  might be a useful luminosity indicator for low redshift quasars. However, as the observations show a very poor correlation between the strength of MgII  $\lambda 2800$  and the continuum at  $\lambda 2800$ , the observations of MgII  $\lambda 2800$  were discontinued in favor of IUE observations of a small sample of low redshift quasars from the two radio surveys. Also Tarenghi, Véron and Véron have communicated to us pre-publication measurements of the CIV  $\lambda 1550$  strengths and continuum magnitudes for 23 Seyfert galaxies and low luminosity quasars that have been observed by IUE. Their data, together with ours, define boundaries that set upper limits to the intensity of CIV  $\lambda 1550$  as a function of continuum luminosity. The observations of the complete radio samples and the ratio of BL-Lac objects to Seyfert galaxies per unit volume of space can be used to limit the number of faint, weak lined objects that could have been missed in the surveys.

In section II we give the observations together with a discussion of the possible observational errors and completeness of the surveys. The results are analyzed and discussed in section III and the principal conclusions are given in section IV.

## II Observational Procedures, Results and Error Analysis

### II.1. The data.

The quasars selected for observation were taken from two "complete samples" of flat spectrum radio sources: the NRAO 5-GHz S2 and I survey (Pauliny-Toth et al.; 1972) and the Parkes  $\pm 4^\circ$  survey (Masson and Wall; 1977). In the

Pauliny-Toth et al. (1972) catalogues there are 21 quasars that have radio spectral indexes flatter than 0.5 and redshifts above 1.13. For some of the objects in the catalogue both high and low frequency spectral indexes were given. When available we used the high frequency index as the criteria for acceptance of a candidate. We give spectrophotometry here for 20 of these 21 candidates. Photometry for the other, 1211+33, is given by Richstone and Schmidt (1980). There are in addition four other candidates that are acceptable from their radio properties. Of these, two were later classified as blank fields by Shaffer (1978), one, 1751+28, is present only as a very faint image near the limit of the blue PSS and the last, 2337+26, is uncertain and very red (Schmidt, private communication). Neither of these last two is listed in Shaffer (1978). About 25% of the identifications in Shaffer (1978) differ from those of Pauliny-Toth et al. (1972). We have observed 16 of the objects listed by Shaffer (1978). In addition we required candidates to have tabulated magnitudes brighter than 20 mag. Shaffer's list gives 8 objects that satisfy the magnitude criteria but were not in the Pauliny-Toth et al. (1972) sample, and which we have therefore not observed. One, 2308+34, has spectrophotometry by Richstone and Schmidt (1980), another, 007+171, has spectroscopy by Wills and Wills (1976), in both cases giving redshifts which should place these objects within our sample. There is only one BSO among the remaining 6 candidates, the others are neutral or red stellar objects which are unlikely to be QSOs and only one of which is as bright as 18 mag. However, the choice of sources for observation was based on the earlier Pauliny-Toth et al. (1972) catalogue; the newer Shaffer (1978) identifications may be taken as the amount of uncertainty in the original identification procedure. It is unlikely that many additional candidates would surface from a third pass through the plate material, so we conclude that the Pauliny-Toth et al. (1972)

identifications constitute a complete sample to within about 3-4 QSOs. Because finding charts for the Pauliny-Toth et al. (1972) sources were not available when the observing program started Howard French kindly identified sources for us from computer generated overlays on PSS plates. We are also indebted to Maarten Schmidt for providing redshifts in advance of publication. This allowed us to reject low redshift quasars. It is rather remarkable that among the Pauliny-Toth et al. (1972) candidates there are no BL-Lac type objects. A few appear in the lists of Shaffer (1978) and one spectacular object, 0215+015, (Gaskell; 1982) earns candidate status on the basis of later radio spectroscopy (Wills and Lynds; 1978).

The Masson and Wall (1977) list contains 53 candidates with high frequency radio spectra flatter than 0.5, optical - radio positional agreement to  $\leq 6$  arc seconds, estimated magnitudes brighter than 20 mag and right ascension not in the  $7^{\text{h}}00^{\text{m}}-8^{\text{h}}59^{\text{m}}$  range. Of these 25 have  $z \geq 1.13$ , two are stars or low redshift galaxies, 3 have continuous or BL-Lac type spectra, two have noisy spectra but are probably BL-Lac objects and the remainder have  $z \leq 1.13$ . There are five objects in this list that overlap the Pauliny-Toth et al. (1972) catalogue. Taken together the two lists give us 43 quasars. We have observed all but 1211+33, for which Richstone and Schmidt (1980) give spectrophotometry, and we have added 0421+01 because Wills and Lynds (1978) list a high frequency spectral index that places it in our sample.

Spectra of candidate objects were obtained at Lick Observatory using the Image Dissector Scanner (IDS) system (Miller, Robinson and Wampler; 1976) attached to the Shane 3-meter telescope. Typically the resolution of this system is  $7\text{\AA}$  FWHM. Spectra of candidate objects from the Masson and Wall (1977) samples were also obtained using the CTIO 4-meter telescope equipped with an intensified

silicon image tube (SIT) spectrophotometer. In addition a few spectra were obtained at the AAT using the IDS and photometry of 1705+018 was obtained at Las Campanas using the Schectrograph. The SIT spectrophotometer gives a typical resolution of  $10\text{\AA}$ . Large apertures were used to obtain absolute continuum magnitudes; typically 8 arc seconds at Lick Observatory and  $10 \times 60$  arc seconds at CTIO. In order to improve the spectral signal-to-noise for line strength determination it was the practice at Lick Observatory to also observe each object with a small aperture in order to reduce the sky background. Spectra taken with small apertures were normalized to the large aperture spectra to correct for light loss due to differential atmospheric dispersion before measuring the equivalent widths. The spectrophotometric observations were calibrated by observing standard stars from the lists of Stone (1974). This calibration is traceable to the Hayes (1970) calibration of bright stars.

Five quasars that were sufficiently bright to observe with International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE) were chosen from the low redshift portion of the two surveys. The observing journal for these 5 objects is given in Table 1. In addition Ulrich et al. (1980) have published spectrophotometry from extensive IUE observations of 3C 273, a member of the Masson and Wall (1977) list. These six low redshift members are useful in checking the continuum luminosity, CIV  $\lambda 1550$  line strength correlation for nearby quasars and to anchor the low redshift position of the Hubble curve. The IUE integration times were very long and required collaborative observations between VILSPA and Goddard Space Flight Center. The IUE data were reduced using the standard IUE reduction package and calibration tables. At the European Southern Observatory (ESO) a reduction program developed by Massimo Tarenghi removes sharp noise spikes from the two dimensional geometric and photometric correct images that are available



on the IUE guest observer tapes. The program then extracts the data from the two dimensional frame. This procedure results in spectra that have less noise than the standard IUE reduced spectra which are provided on the guest observer tape. While the "ESO reduced" spectra were used to determine the continuum magnitudes and CIV  $\lambda 1550$  line strengths a comparison of the ESO and IUE reductions showed no systematic offsets. We are grateful to Massimo Tarenghi for giving us his program and explaining its use to us.

Philippe Véron, Mira Véron and Massimo Tarenghi (private communication) have provided us with mean  $\lambda 1550$  continuum magnitudes and CIV  $\lambda 1550$  equivalent widths for a large number of Seyfert galaxy spectra that are available in the IUE archives. These data were reduced using the ESO program mentioned above. The continuum levels and CIV  $\lambda 1550$  equivalent widths were determined using an interactive program developed for the ESO data processing system. We include the Seyfert galaxy data here, even though the selection of objects was not controlled by considerations of completeness, as it is instructive to compare the properties of the Seyfert spectra to those of the quasars.

In Table 2 we give the observational data for the high redshift quasars in the flat spectrum samples and the data for Seyfert galaxies from Véron, Véron and Tarenghi (private communication). For some objects, such as 3C 120, the data given here, which are based on additional spectra, differ substantially from earlier published results (Oke and Zimmerman; 1979). In columns 1-3 we give the name of the object, the source for the identification and its redshift. In column 4 we give the observed continuum magnitude at the wavelength corresponding to the redshifted position of  $\lambda 1550$ . This continuum magnitude,  $AB_{1550}$ , is defined as:

$$AB_{1550} = -2.5 \log f_{\nu_{1550}} - 48.60$$

where  $f_{\lambda 1550}$  is the observed flux at the redshifted position of  $\lambda 1550$  in  $\text{ergs s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{Hz}^{-1}$ . In column 5 we give the galactic reddening correction estimated from the HI maps published by Burstein and Heiles (1982). The reddening correction is not included in the tabulated observed magnitudes,  $AB_{1550}$  but is included in the plots given in Fig. 4-6. Column 6 gives the equivalent width of the CIV  $\lambda 1550$  line in the rest frame of the quasar. In the case of 2251+245 a very strong absorption feature blankets the CIV  $\lambda 1550$  emission line. Consequently, the strength of the emission line is very uncertain and we have not plotted this object in our figures. Column 7 gives the redshift corrected magnitude,  $M_{1550}$ , defined as:

$$M_{1550} = AB_{1550} - 2.5 \log \left( \frac{z^2}{1+z} \right) - 43.91 - A_{1550}(1+z)$$

This redshift correction is appropriate for Friedmann cosmologies in which  $q_0 = 1$ ;  $A_{1550}(1+z)$  is the reddening correction and a scaling constant was chosen so that  $M_{1550}$  would be the absolute magnitude if  $H_0 = 50$ . Finally in column 8 we give the telescope(s) that were used to observe the source.

## II.2 Error Analysis.

Rybski (1980) has found that the gain of the IDS systems at McDonald Observatory are a function of the exposure time. The effect that he observes could systematically decrease the magnitudes that we measure for faint objects compared to the standard stars, which were mostly 10-12 mag., by as much as 0.25 mag. Also Massy (1977) found that the magnitudes determined by Robinson and Wampler (1973) for galaxies in the cluster Shakhbazian 1 were one to 1.5 mag. too bright when compared to his photometry. Robinson and Wampler (1973) determined the magnitudes photographically but the calibration was established

by the IDS system. However other comparisons at Lick Observatory of IDS magnitudes with data from scanners equipped with photomultiplier detectors showed no large errors. The present set of data and the availability in the literature of extensive observations obtained by a number of observers using a variety of techniques permits a thorough study of our systematic and random errors. We give here the results of the comparison of our data with that of others as well as the intercomparison of our observations taken on different telescopes.

Because quasars vary in brightness, comparisons of observations obtained on different dates will be subject to brightness differences as well as differences caused by photometric errors. But if a large number of objects are observed the uncorrelated variability only serves to increase the scatter of the observational points. Systematic effects, if any, may still be found and limits may be placed on the amount of variability in the source or the amplitude of the observational errors.

From the Lick Observatory data continuum magnitudes were determined graphically using eye estimated linear fits to computer plots of the spectra. The equivalent widths of the emission lines were determined by planimetry of the plots. Allowances were made for absorption lines and HeII  $\lambda 1640$ , a weak line that is blended with the red wing of CIV  $\lambda 1550$ . For the observations obtained at CTIO and the AAT continuum fluxes and the equivalent width of the lines were found using an interactive computer program. The internal errors for the northern and southern hemisphere set of observations were about the same. Excluding a few obviously variable objects comparison of repeated observations suggests an internal continuum accuracy of about 10% for the brighter sources that decreases to about a factor of two uncertainty for the faintest objects. The

internal accuracy of the equivalent width measurements is about 30% for the bright sources and about a factor of two for the faint ones. The uncertainties in the continuum level are the major component in the uncertainty of determining the equivalent width of the emission lines for the faint quasars. For the brighter objects uncertainties in the measurements of the shallow, extended wings of the lines contributed substantially to the uncertainties of the measurements.

An intercomparison between the magnitudes obtained at Lick Observatory and data from other observers is given in Fig. 1. The agreement between the Lick and CTIO continuum measurements is in general accordance with the error estimates. This agreement between the continuum magnitudes is particularly noteworthy as the CTIO observations were obtained with the integrating television system on the 4-meter telescope. This system might not be subject to the non-linearities described by Rybski (1980) which could affect the IDS magnitudes.

The broad band observations shown in Fig. 1 are derived from the PSS, either measured or eye estimates. As they include the effect of emission lines excluded by the scanners they should give somewhat brighter magnitudes than are determined by the scanners. Also since the PSS data was obtained about 25 years before our data were collected the intercomparison of scanner data with PSS data will contain the effects of slow quasar variability that would be only weakly present in measurements which are nearly contemporary with the scanner data. Again the agreement is quite good. The observed systematic shifts can be explained as due to the influence of strong emission lines while the scatter of the points is in fact no larger than would be expected from the

estimates of the observational error. This shows that most of the quasars are approximately constant in light output even over long periods of time. It is worth noting, however, that the dispersion of the points about a mean line increases very rapidly for sources fainter than about magnitude 18.5. This increased dispersion may be due entirely to the uncertainties in estimating magnitudes of objects that are near the limit of the PSS plates. However the possibility remains that, since our quasars have similar redshifts, the observationally faint sources are also intrinsically faint and the increased dispersion may be partly caused by increased variability of the fainter quasars.

Narrow band data is available from a number of sources. Oke and Korycansky (1982) have published scans of high redshift quasars using the Palomar Multichannel Spectrometer. While none of the objects observed by them are included in this study, six of their sources have been observed by Baldwin (1977) using the Lick Observatory IDS scanner in his initial investigation of the CIV  $\lambda 1550$  continuum luminosity effect. Because the earlier data were obtained using the same techniques and equipment used here a comparison between the results of the two investigations can yield useful information on the linearity and accuracy of the IDS system. These data are also shown in Fig. 1b. While the Baldwin (1977) magnitudes are slightly fainter than those found by Oke and Korycansky (1982) there is in general very good agreement between the IDS data and the data obtained using the Palomar Multichannel Spectrometer.

For a number of quasars observed in this study Richstone and Schmidt (1980) have also published continuum fluxes and CIV  $\lambda 1550$  equivalent widths obtained from observations using the Palomar Multichannel Spectrometer. Richstone et al.

(1980) has supplemented these measurements with additional data from observations taken with a TV-type spectrophotometer used at Palomar Observatory. It is necessary to convert the  $\log f_{2500}$  measurements tabulated by Richstone and Schmidt (1980) and Richstone et al. (1980) to the  $AB_{1550}$  magnitudes we use. We do this by calculating

$$AB_{1500} = -2.5 (\log f_{2500} + \alpha (0.208)) - 48.60$$

where  $f_{2500}$  and  $\alpha$  are taken from their tables. Using a model they describe we have also removed the correction for galactic absorption that is included in their data.

A comparison between these data and ours (see Fig. 1b) does not show good agreement. The scatter seen in Fig. 1b for the continuum magnitude comparison is much larger than can be explained either from the internal error estimates or, in view of the lower dispersion seen in comparison with broad band data, from plausible variations in the sources. The explanation for these discrepancies is not known.

Comparison between the equivalent width measurements of CIV  $\lambda 1550$  is shown in Fig. 1. Satisfactory agreement exists between the CTIO and Lick observations and between the Lick IDS data published by Baldwin (1977) and the multichannel scanner data given by Oke and Korycansky (1982). The equivalent width measurements published by Young et al. (1982a, b) are systematically smaller than those found here. However a number of ionic species:  $He^+$ ,  $O^{++}$ , and  $N^{++}$  have weak lines extending to 1640 angstroms. As the high resolution spectra of Young et al. (1982a, b) do not extend very far into the red it is possible

that their adopted continuum levels were somewhat too high. Finally we note the scatter in the comparison between the Richstone and Schmidt (1980) equivalent widths and those given here which is unexplained.

The comparison of our data with that of others leads us to conclude: a) The IDS systems used at Lick Observatory and at the AAT and the intensified silicon camera used on the CTIO spectrophotometer are capable of linear photometric observations of faint objects. b) Most of the bright quasars are reasonably constant in light output for long periods of time. However there is sufficient scatter in the data of the faint sources that the possibility remains that intrinsically faint quasars are more variable than the bright ones.

c) Different observers can produce data with discrepancies that are at least an order of magnitude larger than the quoted errors. Care should be exercised when combining data from different observers.

It is much more difficult to assess the errors in determining the continuum flux and CIV  $\lambda 1550$  equivalent width from the IUE observations. There is, as yet no independent spectrophotometry for these sources. Fig. 3 shows the IUE spectra obtained for the five low redshift quasars we observed as part of our luminosity investigation. We are indebted to R. F. Carswell and E. H. Smith for the IUE spectrum of PKS 1217+02. As these quasars are near the limiting sensitivity of IUE, the spectra have substantial shot noise together with an increased chance for calibration errors. For the Seyfert galaxy data the IUE spectra frequently had many emission and/or absorption lines which made determination of the continuum level difficult (Véron, private communication). Thus it is likely that the errors in the IUE spectrophotometry are at least as great as those found in the higher redshift sample observed from the ground.

### III Luminosity - W(CIV) Correlations

In Fig. 4 we give plots of the continuum magnitude at the redshifted position of CIV  $\lambda 1550$  as a function of the logarithm of the equivalent width of CIV in the rest frame of the quasar. This figure shows that similar correlations between magnitude and equivalent width of CIV  $\lambda 1500$  exists for quasars at different redshifts. In this diagram the flat radio spectrum quasars are divided into three groups: 3C 273 together with the six low redshift quasars observed by IUE, quasars with redshift between 1.1 and 1.9 and quasars with redshifts between 1.9 and 2.6. For the low redshift objects we give the absolute magnitudes assuming  $q_0 = 1$  and  $H_0 = 50$ . Other cosmologies yield nearly identical results for the low redshift group. For the two higher redshift samples the observed magnitudes are plotted. Because the redshift differences in each of the two high redshift samples are small, differential cosmological corrections within a group are also small and it is useful to view observed magnitudes when assessing observational selection effects. In Fig. 4 all the magnitudes have been corrected for Galactic absorption before the points were plotted.

We believe that we have observed nearly all the quasars with continuum magnitudes brighter than 19.5 and redshifts greater than  $z = 1.13$  that are identified by the two flat spectrum radio surveys. These objects show the correlation between luminosity and CIV equivalent width. The inclusion of quasars with continuum magnitudes fainter than 19.5 strengthens the correlation. But the radio surveys may be incomplete for objects with continuum magnitudes fainter than 19.5 since for them the presence of strong emission lines increases the chance that the object will register well exposed images on the sky survey plates. If we restrict our attention to the brighter objects we note that



even if all of the approximately half dozen BL-Lac objects found in this survey have high redshift and populate the low equivalent width, low luminosity part of the diagram, they are insufficiently numerous to produce the rapid increase in the numbers of faint, weak lined sources proposed by Osmer (1980) to explain the absence of bright strong lined sources as a consequence of random sampling in a population whose space density increases rapidly with decreasing brightness and line strength. Thus it is clear that these two samples of flat spectrum radio sources show substantial intrinsic structure in their two dimensional luminosity /  $W(\text{CIV})$  distribution. The existence of such structure gives an important clue to the nature of the emission line region and can, in principle, be used as a "standard candle" for cosmological studies.

In Fig. 5 we plot all our observations on a magnitude -  $\log W(\text{CIV})$  plot using Friedmann cosmologies with  $q_0 = 0$  and  $q_0 = 1$ . The observed magnitudes were corrected by a factor  $-2.5 \log (z^2 / (1+z))$  for the  $q_0 = 1$  case and by  $-2.5 \log (z + \frac{1}{2} z^2) / (1+z)$  for the  $q_0 = 0$  case. If a Friedmann cosmology with  $q_0 = 0$  is used the lower redshift quasars are subluminous in comparison with the higher redshift ones. The group of quasars observed with IUE are among the brightest of the lowest redshift group. If  $q_0$  is taken to be one, all the quasars would be approximately comparable in luminosity. There is no evidence that the spectra of the high and low redshift group of quasars are substantially different. Baldwin's (1975) comparison of the optical spectra of 3C 273 and 0736+017 showed that it was possible for quasars differing in luminosity by nearly three magnitudes to have very similar equivalent widths for emission lines in the  $2700 < \lambda < 7000 \text{ \AA}$  region. But the IUE observations given here for 0736+017, although a low weight, suggest that the CIV  $\lambda 1550$  line in 0736+017 has a much higher equivalent width than the corresponding line in 3C 273. Thus we find no spectroscopic data to support the concept that there

is luminosity evolution of the type required to reconcile our observations with the predictions of an open Friedmann universe. While, of course, it is possible that reality may require a totally different cosmological formulation than the widely used Friedmann model or that the emission line spectra of quasars are insensitive to luminosity evolution, we note that these data can be reconciled with a closed Friedmann universe in which  $q_0 = 1$ .

When the Seyfert galaxy data from the sample of Tarenghi, Véron and Véron are added to the magnitude,  $\log W(\text{CIV})$  plot an interesting interpretation of the data suggests itself. The Seyfert galaxies all have strong CIV  $\lambda 1550$  lines when compared to the quasars. Over half of the quasars from our samples have weaker CIV  $\lambda 1550$  lines than all but four of the 28 Seyfert galaxies. Fig. 6 shows the combined data for the Seyfert galaxies and quasars with the assumptions that  $q_0 = 1$  and  $H_0 = 50$  km/sec/Mpc. The Seyfert galaxy data plotted here lie in the same part of the log continuum luminosity/log equivalent width diagram as the data given by Wu et al. (1982). They found a correlation between the equivalent width of the CIV  $\lambda 1550$  emission line and the continuum luminosity that is not confirmed by the data from Tarenghi, Véron and Véron. These data suggest that all Seyfert galaxies have comparable CIV  $\lambda 1550$  equivalent widths with a dispersion of approximately a factor of two about a mean value of  $100\text{\AA}$ . The bright end of the Seyfert distribution joins smoothly with the faint end of the quasar relationship. For  $q_0 = 1$  and  $H_0 = 50$  this occurs at an absolute continuum magnitude of about  $-24$ . For objects brighter than  $M_{1550} = -24$  the average strength of CIV  $\lambda 1550$  fails to increase as rapidly as the continuum magnitude. In fact it is possible to define a lower envelope to the quasar  $M_{1550}/\log W(\text{CIV})$  plot that has a slope corresponding to constant CIV line strength.

While  $W_{1549} \propto \text{const.}$  for Seyferts can easily be understood in terms of complete coverage of the central photoionizing source it is not so easy to understand why the CIV line for flat radio spectrum quasars show the CIV line luminosity tending to a constant value and the equivalent width decreasing with increasing continuum luminosity. The lower equivalent width of quasars can mean any of the following things:

- a) The observed continuum does not extrapolate to the  $C^{++}$  ionization edge (259 Å) but instead turns sharply down before then. Observations of very high redshift quasars do not support this.
- b) Some of the continuum we see is beamed towards us and does not contribute to the photoionization of the broad line clouds. This is believed to be the case in BL Lacertae objects and the optically violently variable quasars.
- c) The average cloud size is smaller than the Strömngren length in quasars, i.e., the clouds are matter bounded rather than radiation bounded.
- d) The covering factor is lower in quasars than Seyferts.

Further observations are needed to distinguish between these possibilities.

#### V Conclusions

The addition of new optical data from two complete samples of flat spectrum radio sources confirms and strengthens the conclusions reached by Baldwin (1977) and Baldwin et al. (1978) that there is a strong correlation between the strength of the CIV  $\lambda 1550$  lines in quasars and the luminosity of the underlying continuum. These two new samples are completely independent of the QSO sample which

originally suggested this correlation. The suggestion by Wu et al. (1982) that Seyfert galaxies show a similar correlation, only displaced, is not confirmed by this data. Instead, these data suggest that the equivalent width of CIV  $\lambda 1550$  in Seyfert galaxies has some real scatter but on the average is constant and independent of continuum luminosity. Seyfert galaxies appear to have an upper limit to the equivalent width of their CIV  $\lambda 1550$  emission which is nearly constant for absolute continuum magnitudes that range over 8 magnitudes. The quasars, however, seem to have an upper limit to the absolute luminosity of their CIV  $\lambda 1550$  lines which range over an additional four magnitudes of continuum luminosity. Spectra of all quasars detected in two complete surveys of flat spectrum radio sources show that contrary to Osmer's (1980) proposal there are too few faint weak lined quasars for this upper limit to be explained as a sampling effect in a bi-modal power law distribution of line strength and continuum luminosity. The data fit the predictions of Friedmann cosmologies with  $q_0 = 1$  without invoking an arbitrary luminosity evolution that is needed for fits to open universes.

The observational errors have been investigated, both by internal checks and through the comparison of these data with that of others. These checks generally show satisfactory agreement. Thus there is strong evidence that the spectrophotometers used in this investigation are linear and are capable of accurate photometry. The small scatter found in the comparison of data taken at different times for the brighter quasars demonstrates that most of these flat spectrum radio sources are not large amplitude variables even over a 25 year base line.

Acknowledgements

One of us (EJW) thanks the European Southern Observatory for its hospitality during the reduction of the IUE data. We thank M. Tarenghi, P. Véron and M. P. Véron for providing their Seyfert galaxy data prior to publication. We are grateful to R. F. Carswell for assisting with the IUE observations. We are indebted to H. B. French for assistance in the preparation of finding charts and help with observations. Our IUE observations were supported by NASA grant NAS 5-175 and by a grant from the British SERC.

Table 1

## IUE Observing Log for Low Redshift Quasars

| Name     | Date<br>(1981) | exp. (min) | Camera    |
|----------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| 0736+017 | Dec. 9         | 410        | SWP 15692 |
|          | Dec. 16        | 883        | SWP 15773 |
| 0742+318 | April 16       | 410        | LWR 10366 |
|          | Dec. 18        | 500        | LWR 12177 |
| 1103-006 | July 22        | 620        | LWR 11111 |
| 1146-037 | July 18        | 420        | LWR 11084 |
|          | July 20        | 650        | LWR 11098 |
|          | Dec. 17        | 645        | LWR 12158 |
| 1217+023 | July 15        | 684        | SWP 14476 |

ORIGINAL PAGE 19  
OF POOR QUALITY

Table 2

Magnitudes and CIV  $\lambda 1550$  Equivalent Widths

| Name     | Sample <sup>3</sup> | Z                  | AB <sub>1550</sub> | A <sub>1550</sub> (1+z) | log W <sub>0</sub> (CIV) | M <sub>1550</sub> <sup>5</sup> | Telescope <sup>4</sup> |
|----------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| 0103-021 | 1                   | 2.201 <sup>1</sup> | 19.84              | 0.00                    | 1.94                     | -24.52                         | 1                      |
| 0106+013 | 1,2                 | 2.107              | 18.42              | 0.00                    | 1.72                     | -25.87                         | 1,2                    |
| 0112-017 | .1                  | 1.366              | 18.36              | 0.00                    | 1.48                     | -25.29                         | 1,2                    |
| 0119+247 | 2                   | 2.025              | 19.50              | 0.23                    | 2.04                     | -24.97                         | 2                      |
| 0146+056 | 2                   | 2.35               | 21.6:              | 0.10                    | 2.37                     | -22.95                         | 2                      |
| 0149+218 | 2                   | 1.32 <sup>1</sup>  | 20.76              | 0.29                    | 2.06                     | -23.13                         | 2                      |
| 0149+335 | 2                   | 2.426              | 18.64              | 0.20                    | 1.58                     | -26.06                         | 2                      |
| 0202+31  | 2                   | 1.472              | 18.23              | 0.28                    | 1.57                     | -25.81                         | 2                      |
| 0226-038 | 1                   | 2.064              | 17.62              | 0.00                    | 1.34                     | -26.65                         | 1                      |
| 0229+130 | 2                   | 2.065              | 19.10              | 0.00                    | 1.93                     | -25.17                         | 2                      |
| 0234+285 | 2                   | 1.207              | 18.91              | 0.41                    | 1.65                     | -24.96                         | 2                      |
| 0400+258 | 2                   | 2.109              | 21.23              | 0.40                    | 2.07                     | -23.47                         | 2                      |
| 0421+019 | 2                   | 2.048              | 17.59              | 0.22                    | 1.32                     | -26.89                         | 2                      |
| 0454+039 | 1                   | 1.349              | 17.05              | 0.29                    | 1.49                     | -26.87                         | 2                      |
| 0457+024 | 1,2                 | 2.378              | 19.40              | 0.20                    | 1.66                     | -25.27                         | 2                      |
| 0458-020 | 1                   | 2.286              | 19.53 <sup>2</sup> | 0.24                    | 1.87                     | -25.12                         | 2                      |
| 0504+030 | 1,2                 | 2.463              | 18.57              | 0.30                    | 1.31                     | -26.25                         | 1                      |
| 0736+017 | 1                   | 0.191              | 17.95              | 1.05                    | 1.81:                    | -23.23                         | 5                      |
| 0742+318 | 2                   | 0.462              | 16.34              | 0.23                    | 1.58                     | -25.71                         | 5                      |
| 0748+333 | 2                   | 1.928              | 18.04              | 0.12                    | 1.71                     | -26.25                         | 2                      |
| 0922+005 | 1                   | 1.720              | 18.01              | 0.00                    | 1.40                     | -25.99                         | 1,2                    |
| 1004-018 | 1                   | 1.214              | 20.8:              | 0.00                    | 2.2:                     | -22.67                         | 1,2,3                  |
| 1010+350 | 2                   | 1.413              | 20.4               | 0.00                    | 2.08                     | -23.30                         | 2                      |
| 1018+348 | 2                   | 1.404              | 19.03              | 0.00                    | 1.92                     | -24.66                         | 2                      |
| 1021-006 | 1                   | 2.548              | 19.19              | 0.00                    | 1.99                     | -25.38                         | 2                      |
| 1103-006 | 1                   | 0.426              | 16.80              | 0.24                    | 1.45                     | -25.11                         | 5                      |
| 1146-037 | 1                   | 0.341              | 18.19              | 0.00                    | 2.32                     | -23.07                         | 5                      |
| 1048+340 | 2                   | 2.52 <sup>1</sup>  | 20.45              | 0.10                    | 2.13                     | -24.20                         | 2                      |
| 1148-00  | 1                   | 1.982              | 17.63              | 0.00                    | 1.54                     | -26.58                         | 1,2                    |
| 1217+023 | 1                   | 0.240              | 17.06              | 0.00                    | 2.10                     | -23.51                         | 5                      |
| 1226+02  | 1                   | 0.158              | 13.4               | 0.00                    | 1.05                     | -26.34                         | 5                      |
| 1351+021 | 1                   | 1.606              | 18.50              | 0.04                    | 1.58                     | -25.44                         | 1                      |
| 1356+022 | 1                   | 1.329              | 18.82              | 0.00                    | 1.49                     | -24.78                         | 1,2                    |
| 1402-012 | 1                   | 2.522              | 18.37              | 0.10                    | 1.68                     | -26.28                         | 1,2                    |
| 1449-012 | 1                   | 1.314              | 18.15              | 0.15                    | 1.59                     | -25.59                         | 2,3                    |
| 1532+017 | 1                   | 1.42 <sup>1</sup>  | 18.65 <sup>2</sup> | 0.28                    | 1.32:                    | -25.34                         | 1                      |
| 1602-001 | 1                   | 1.633              | 18.13 <sup>2</sup> | 0.39                    | 1.48                     | -26.18                         | 1,2                    |
| 1611+343 | 2                   | 1.396              | 18.68 <sup>2</sup> | 0.00                    | 1.76                     | -25.01                         | 2                      |
| 1615+026 | 1                   | 1.336              | 17.82              | 0.34                    | 1.44                     | -26.14                         | 3                      |
| 1656+348 | 2                   | 1.934              | 18.72              | 0.00                    | 1.54                     | -25.45                         | 2                      |
| 1705+018 | 1                   | 2.58               | 19.36              | 0.28                    | 1.62                     | -25.50                         | 1,4                    |
| 2134+004 | 1,2                 | 1.930              | 17.39              | 0.16                    | 1.55                     | -26.94                         | 2                      |

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY

Table 2

Magnitudes and CIV  $\lambda 1550$  Equivalent Widths

| Name        | Sample <sup>3</sup> | Z      | $A_{B1550}$        | $A_{1550(1+z)}$ | $\log W_0$ (CIV) | $M_{1550}^5$ | Telescope <sup>4</sup> |
|-------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| 2223+210    | 2                   | 1.959  | 18.19              | 0.15            | 1.33             | -26.15       | 2                      |
| 2243-032    | 1                   | 1.348  | 18.10 <sup>2</sup> | 0.29            | 1.72             | -25.82       | 2                      |
| 2251+245    | 2                   | 2.328  | 18.58              | 0.21            | 1.0::            | -26.07       | 2                      |
| 2254+024    | 1,2                 | 2.090  | 18.10              | 0.11            | 1.47             | -26.30       | 2                      |
| 2320-035    | 1                   | 1.396  | 19.15              | 0.14            | 1.60             | -24.68       | 2                      |
| 2328+107    | 2                   | 1.49   | 18.83              | 0.14            | 1.40             | -25.10       | 2                      |
| 2332-017    | 1                   | 1.185  | 18.30 <sup>2</sup> | 0.10            | 1.59             | -25.23       | 2                      |
| Mark 335    | 3                   | 0.025  | 14.56              | -               | 1.72             | -21.31       | 5                      |
| III Zw 2    | 3                   | 0.090  | 16.13              | -               | 2.08             | -22.46       | 5                      |
| I Zw 1      | 3                   | 0.061  | 16.54              | -               | 1.56             | -21.23       | 5                      |
| F 9         | 3                   | 0.045  | 13.53              | -               | 1.67             | -23.60       | 5                      |
| NGC 985     | 3                   | 0.042  | 15.25              | -               | 1.77             | -21.73       | 5                      |
| 3C 120      | 3                   | 0.032  | 16.75              | -               | 2.26             | -19.65       | 5                      |
| Akn 120     | 3                   | 0.033  | 14.98              | -               | 1.82             | -21.49       | 5                      |
| Mark 79     | 3                   | 0.022  | 16.00              | -               | 1.99             | -19.60       | 5                      |
| NGC 3516    | 3                   | 0.009  | 16.38              | -               | 2.05             | -17.29       | 5                      |
| NGC 3783    | 3                   | 0.009  | 16.40              | -               | 2.26             | -17.27       | 5                      |
| NGC 4051    | 3                   | 0.002  | 16.75              | -               | 1.79             | -13.66       | 5                      |
| Mark 205    | 3                   | 0.070  | 16.98              | -               | 2.06             | -21.08       | 5                      |
| NGC 4593    | 3                   | 0.008  | 16.20              | -               | 2.02             | -17.22       | 5                      |
| Mark 279    | 3                   | 0.031  | 15.41              | -               | 1.95             | -20.92       | 5                      |
| NGC 5548    | 3                   | 0.017  | 15.48              | -               | 2.34             | -19.56       | 5                      |
| Mark 506    | 3                   | 0.043  | 16.44              | -               | 2.16             | -20.59       | 5                      |
| 3C 382.0    | 3                   | 0.0578 | 16.49              | -               | 2.19             | -21.17       | 5                      |
| ESO 141-G55 | 3                   | 0.037  | 14.50              | -               | 1.83             | -22.21       | 5                      |
| Mark 509    | 3                   | 0.0355 | 15.13              | -               | 2.16             | -21.49       | 5                      |
| II Zw 136   | 3                   | 0.061  | 15.83              | -               | 1.66             | -21.94       | 5                      |
| NGC 7213    | 3                   | 0.0057 | 17.03              | -               | 2.28             | -15.65       | 5                      |
| Mark 304    | 3                   | 0.067  | 16.35:             | -               | 1.83:            | -21.44       | 5                      |
| MR 2251-178 | 3                   | 0.068  | 15.94              | -               | 2.27             | -22.06       | 5                      |
| NGC 7469    | 3                   | 0.016  | 15.41              | -               | 2.01             | -19.50       | 5                      |
| Mark 926    | 3                   | 0.047  | 15.39              | -               | 2.29             | -21.83       | 5                      |



Notes to Table 2:

1. New redshift.
2. The magnitudes measured on different nights are not in agreement. The object is a suspected variable.
3. The code for the samples is as follows: 1. Masson and Wall (1977); 2. Pauliny-Toth et al. (1972); 3. Véron, Véron and Tarenghi (private communication). The data for 1226+02 (3C 273) is from Ulrich et al. (1980).
4. The code for the telescopes is as follows: 1. CTIO 4-meter; 2. Lick Observatory 3-meter Shane telescope; 3. Anglo-Australian 4-meter; 4. 2.5-meter at Las Campanas Observatory; 5. International Ultraviolet Explorer.
5. A Friedmann cosmology with  $q_0 = 1$  and  $H_0 = 50$  km/sec/Mpc is assumed.

References

- Baldwin, J. A. 1975, Ap.J., 201, 26.
- Baldwin, J. A. 1977, Ap.J., 214, 679.
- Baldwin, J. A., Burke, W. L., Gaskell, C. M., and Wampler, E. J. 1978,  
Nature, 273, 431.
- Burstein, D., and Heiles, C. 1982, A. J., 81, 1165.
- Gaskell, C. M. 1982, Ap. J., 252, 447.
- Hayes, D. S. 1970, Ap. J., 159, 165.
- Masson, C. R., and Wall, J. V. 1977, M.N.R.A.S., 180, 193.
- Massy, P. 1977, Pub. A.S.P. 89, 13.
- Miller, J. S., Robinson, L. B., and Wampler, E. J. 1976, Adv. Electronics  
and Election Phys. (New York: Academic) 408, 693.
- Oke, J. B., and Zimmerman, B. 1979, Ap. J., 231, L13.
- Oke, J. B., and Korycansky, D. G. 1982, Ap. J., 255, 11.
- Osmer, P. 1977, Ap. J., 214, 1.
- Osmer, P. 1980, Ap. J. Suppl., 42, 523.
- Pauliny-Toth, I. I. K, Kellerman, K. I., Davis, M. M., Formalont, E. B.,  
and Shaffer, D. B. 1972, A. J., 77, 265.
- Richstone, D. E., and Schmidt, M. 1980, Ap. J., 235, 361.
- Richstone, D. O., Ratnatunga, K., and Schaeffer, J. 1980, Ap. J., 240, 1.
- Robinson, L. B., and Wampler, E. J. 1973, Ap. J., 179, L135.
- Rybski, P. M. 1980, BAAS, 12, 751.
- Shaffer, D. B. 1978, A. J., 83, 209.
- Stone, R. P. S. 1974, Ap. J., 193, 135.

- Ulrich, M. H., Boksenberg, A., Bromage, G., Carswell, R., Elvius, A.,  
Gabriel, A., Gonhalekar, P. M., Lind, J., Lindegren, L. Longair, M.S.,  
Penstron, M.V., Perryman, M. A. C. Pettini, M., Perola, G. C., Rees, M.,  
Sciama, D., Snijders, M. A. J., Tanzi, E., Tarenghi, M., and Wilson, R.  
1980, M.N.R.A.S., 192, 561.
- Wills, D., and Lynds, R. 1978, Ap. J. Suppl., 36, 317.
- Wills, D., and Wills, B. J., 1978, Ap. J. Suppl., 31, 143.
- Wu, C. C., Boggess, A., and Gull, R. 1982, Adv. in Ultraviolet Ast.: Four Years  
of IUE Res. (NASA; Conf. Pub. 2238), 160.
- Young, P., Sargent, W. L. W., and Boksenberg, A. 1982a, Ap. J., 252, 10.
- Young, P., Sargent, W. L. W., and Boksenberg, A. 1982b, Ap. J. Suppl., 48, 455.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1: Comparison between magnitudes: a) Lick AB<sub>1550</sub> and AB<sub>1550</sub> obtained by Baldwin (CTIO) for this study (points) or given by Osmer (1977) (crosses). b) Comparison between AB<sub>1550</sub> and Richstone et al. (1980) or Richstone and Schmidt (1980) magnitudes (points) and between Baldwin (1977) magnitudes and Oke and Korycansky (1982) (crosses). c) AB<sub>1550</sub> given here and Masson and Wall eye estimate magnitudes from the Palomar Sky Survey. d) AB<sub>1550</sub> given here and Wills and Lynds (1978) magnitudes. For quasars with  $z < 1.6$  the blue magnitude was used; for higher redshift quasars the V magnitude was used.

Fig. 2: Comparison between log W (CIV): Left, Lick values against those determined by Baldwin (CTIO) for this study (points) or given by Osmer (1977) (crosses). Right, Lick values against values given by Richstone et al. (1980) or Richstone and Schmidt (1980) (points) or Young et al. (1982a, b) (open circles). Comparison between Baldwin (1977) Lick values against those given by Oke and Korycansky (1982) (crosses).

Fig. 3: IUE spectra of 5 low redshift quasars taken from the two complete radio samples.

Fig. 4: Absolute continuum magnitudes corrected for Galactic absorption against the logarithm of the equivalent width of CIV  $\lambda 1550$  measured in the rest frame of the quasar. Crosses, low redshift quasars measured with IUE. Points, quasars with  $1.1 < z < 1.9$ . Open


circles, quasars with  $1.9 < z < 2.6$ .

Fig. 5: Absolute continuum magnitude plotted against the logarithm of the rest frame equivalent width of CIV  $\lambda 1550$  in the two complete samples. The symbols are crosses, IUE data for low redshift quasars; points, quasars with  $1.1 < z \leq 1.9$ ; open circles, quasars with  $1.9 < z < 2.6$ .


Fig. 6: Absolute continuum magnitudes against the logarithm of the rest frame equivalent width of CIV  $\lambda 1550$  for objects in Table 2 plus the observations of flat radio spectrum quasars from Baldwin (1977) (open circles). High redshift members of the two complete radio samples, filled circles, low redshift members, crosses. Vertical bars, Seyfert galaxies from Véron, Véron and Tarenghi (private communication). Quasar magnitudes have been corrected for the effects of Galactic absorption: Seyfert galaxy magnitudes, not. A Friedmann cosmology with  $q_0 = 1$ ,  $H_0 = 50$  is assumed.

Authors' Addresses:

- E. Joseph Wampler: Lick Observatory, UCSC, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA
- C. Martin Gaskell: Institute of Astronomy, Madingley Rd., Cambridge, CB3 0HA  
England
- William L. Burke: Lick Observatory, UCSC, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA
- Jack A. Baldwin: CTIO, Casilla 603, La Serena, Chile.







ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY





ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY



W



71

M

1550



1.0

$\log W_0$  (CIV)

2.0

2.5

