General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

NASA CONTRACTOR REPORT 156589

(NASA-CR-166589-Pt-3) DOUBLE ARCH MIRRON N84-31636 STUDY. PART 3: FABRICATION AND TEST REPORT Final Report, Jun. - Nov. 1983 (Arizona Univ., Tucson.) 49 p HC A03/MF A01 CSCL 131 Unclas G3/37 20178

Double Arch Mirror Study Part 3, Fabrication and Test Report

Daniel Vukobratovich Don Hillman University of Arizona

٠



CONTRACT NAG2- 220 November 1983



.

.

NASA CONTRACTOR REPORT 166589

Double Arch Mirror Study Part 3, Fabrication and Test Report

Daniel Vukobratovich Don Hillman University of Arizona Optical Sciences Center

Prepared for Ames Research Center under Grant NAG2-220

ì



Ames Research Center Moffett Field, California 94035

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODU	JCTI	ON	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	.1
MIRROR	MOD	IF	IC#	T	[0]	₹.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Ŷ	•	•	•	•	.6
MIRROR	MOʻU	NT	•	•	•	•	•		•	٠	•	•	.9	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	18
TESTING	. .	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.•	•	,	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•		23
CONCLUS	SION	Al	ND	DI	:sc	JUS	551	[0]	1.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ų	•	•	•	•	•	•	34
REFEREN	ICES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	40
APPENDI	x.	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	é.	•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•	•	٠	•	41·

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the double arch mirror study was to develop a method of mounting light-weight glass mirrors for astronomical telescopes that would be compatible with the goals of the Shuttle Infrared Telescope Facility (SIRTF). A 20-in. diameter double arch lightweight mirror previously fabricated at the Optical Sciences Center for NASA Ames Research Center was modified to use a new mount configuration. This mount concept was developed and fabricated at the Optical Sciences Center. Details of the mirror are available in Ref. 1; the development of the mount concept has been described in the "Preliminary engineering report" (March 1983) and "Engineering analysis report" (May 1983). Figures 1 and 2 provide details of the mounting concept. This report will deal with the modifications made to the mirror, fabrication of the mirror mount, and room temperature testing of the mirror and mount. An appendix is included to discuss the extension of the mirror and mount concept to a full size (40 in. diameter) primary mirror for SIRTF.

There were several areas of concern in the modification of the mirror. The first was possible figure change and degradation of mirror quality after removal of the integral mounting ring from the mirror back. This problem was addressed by testing the mirror figure before and after this operation. A second concern was the feasibility of machining sockets in the mirror's back. Use of expendable test blocks made of the same glass as the mirror (Corning Code 7940) allowed

ORIGINAL PAGE 13 OF POOR QUALITY



Fig. 1. Front view of double arch mirror and mount assembly with translation stages to simulate cryogenic contraction of baseplate.

ORIGINAL PAGE 18 OF POOR QUALITY



Fig. 2. Rear view of double arch mirror and mount assembly with translation stages to simulate cryogenic contraction of baseplate.

considerable practice and experience to be built up before tackling the mirror. Last, despite the favorable finite element model, there was some uncertainty about the stress in the socket area. Photoelastic tests of a full scale cross section plastic model reduced the uncertainty.

The only area that presented a potential problem in fabrication of the mirror mount were the tolerances on the titanium flexures. Experience has now shown that these tolerances can be held. However, the fabrication process is tedious and requires painstaking hand work.

The mirror and mount assembly were tested hanging upside down in the same orientation that will be used in the NASA Ames cryostat with a Shack interferometer. An attempt was made to simulate the cryogenic contraction of the aluminum baseplate. This was done by mounting the base of each flexure on a micrometer-controlled linear translation stage. This stage was used to move the base of each flexure radially to simulate differential thermal contraction of the baseplate. The mirror's optical figure was tested before translating the flexure bases. The translation stages were then set to simulate the cryogenic soak, and the mirror tested again. The translation stages were returned to their starting position and the mirror was tested a final time. In addition, the mirror was tested on edge, with its optical axis horizontal to ascertain the practicality of testing in this position.

II. MIRROR MODIFICATION

The double arch mirror was tested to determine its existing figure prior to modification. This test allowed a check to be made there figure change after modification. The test was performed by placing the mirror on its back (optical axis vertical). The mirror was placed on a styrofoam pad that provided continuous support against the back of the mounting ring. This pad also provided some vibration isolation. A Shack interferometer placed at the radius of curvature was used to produce interferograms of the optical figure. A folding flat was used to place the interferometer in a convenient horizontal location. To remove possible errors due to this folding flat, four interferograms were taken; after each interferogram was made the interferometer optics were rotated 90°. The actual interferograms were Polaroid prints. These prints were digitized manually and then analyzed using the FRINGE program. By using the four rotated interferograms, the FRINGE program removed the effect of the test optics.

The initial optical test results are shown in Figure 3. The RMS surface error was found to be 0.045 waves at 0.6328 µM. The peak-tovalley error was found to be 0.297 waves. These results are of interest considering that the double arch mirror had not been tested in this mode previously. The double arch mirror when previously tested on its back on a three-point support had an RMS error of 0.081 waves. Of this error,

ORIGIMAL PATT IS OF POOR QUALITY

CONTOUR STEP WIDTH	PAGE SIZE	-M-	-N-	-P-	-Q-
0.100 0.800	2.000	-0.150	-0.050	0.050	0.150
• • ••	• #	٠	**	• •	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	900			
4 PPP		8888 444	aa		
			9		
	n na			•	
	ra na	NNNN PPPPP		•	
NM NNMNNN	NNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	NNNNNN P		1	
NN NANANNAN	NNNNHHNNNHNNNNH	NNNNNNNN	**********	9 B	
INTARIA A LETATA MARKA	hitini hini hini hini hini hini hini hin	NNNNNNNNN		P.P.	
•	h] 42fq h] hI 41 hI 41 hI 41 hI hI hI hI hI hI A] A	NPINEINE NPITINE	N Perpres	**	
MN MNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	બનાનાનાનાનાનાનાનાનાનાનાનાના	NHNNNNNNNNN	NN SOBOR		
2) ANNANNANNANNANNA	nn an a	NNNNNNNNNNN	NNNN BPUP	****	
NNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	NNNNNNNNNN	NNNNN PEPI		
N NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	NUNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	NNNNNNNNNNN	NNNNNN PPI		
T NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	NNNNNNN NNN	NNNNNNNNN	NNANNNN PI		
	ni na				
	•				
an a					
DDUDD HINNNINNNINNNINNN	0.	9 NNHN	NNHNNNNNNNN		1
200 STATESHARANANANANANANANANANA	30	. NNNN	NNNNNNNNNNN		
PPIP HAINININININININININ	pp	P NNN	NNNNNNNNNNN		
DODD VARALATATATATATATATATATA	pà	P NNN	NNNNNNNNNN	IN PPE	
	90-	P NN	NNNNNNNNNNN	IN	
. DORODO MAINININININININI		989 M	NNNNNNNNNN	INN N	ı
+ DODODO MANNANNAN			NNNNNN	INNNNNN 1	,
	*********		NNNNNNN	INNAINNAN	
2000000	39999999999		NAMPINNAN	INNNN	
088999889			NNAMMAINNI	INNNNN	
	P # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #		NNNNNNNNN	INNNN	
+ 00000000		*******	NNNNNNNNN	INN .	ř.
	0 000 00	996999	NHUNNUN	j M	
			NNNNNNNNNN	24 M	
			NY TRUNK IN IN IN IN IN IN Al Mi Al Malaka a sur ma		
		``` <b>``````````````````````````````````</b>	MININE MAN	•	
		DOGGGGG NINN	NN .	•	
00000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		M		
		O HANDIN			
	9				
* * **	• •	•	• •	• •	•

7.11.11.11.11

Residual Wavefront Variations over Uniform Mesh

PTS RMS MAX MIN SPAN VOLUME 664. 0.045 0.125 -0.172 0.297 0.507 Units = Waves at 0.6328  $\mu$ M.

Fig. 3. Mirror figure as received. Mirror lying on its back, continuous support on mounting ring.

0.037 waves were 30 terms due to the effect of the support. Simple subtraction of the 30 term would give an RMS of 0.044 waves, which is within 2.25% of the continuous support result.²

Following testing, the mirror was prepared for modification. An aluminum alloy tooling plate disk 24 in. in diameter and 0.75 in. thick was used as a tooling fixture. The optical surface of the mirror was protected by covering it with wax. The mirror was waxed down to the aluminum plate, optical surface down. During the waxing process, the optical axis of the mirror was made coincident with the rotational center of the aluminum plate.

The tooling plate and mirgor assembly were mounted to the spindle of a conventional glass generator machine. The rotational axis of the tooling plate was coincident with the axis. A diamond impregnated grinding wheel machined away the mirror's mounting ring as the spindle rotated the mirror. The operation was halted when 1.00 in. of the mounting ring was removed. This left a flat surface on the back of the mirror (Fig. 4).

1

Putting the sockets into the back of the mirror required special diamond tooling. Due to the slenderness of the special tooling, the use of a high-strength steel as a base for the diamonds was required. Use of a high-strength material will not alter the dynamic behavior of the tool; but it will prevent permanent deformation or even failure from



occurring. To minimize the time needed to make the tooling, 17-4 PH stainless steel, in condition H 1150-M was used. This material is a precipitation hardening, magnetic stainless steel with a yield strength of 75,000 psi.³ This material was machinable at full strength, thus no heat treatment was needed. Conversations with Diachrome, the diamond tool maker, had indicated that for proper adhesion of the diamond, a magnetic steel was required.

Several special tools were made. A conventional core drill was made for initial coring of the socket. A simple diamond coated cylinder was made for elongating the socket hole. Another set of tooling was made for finishing the conical area of the socket (Fig. 5). This last set of tooling consisted of three identical tools, each coated with a different grade of diamond. The grades were 120, 220, and 400. It was intended to do most of the socket cutting with the coarse grade, smooth up the cut with the number 220 and produce the finished surface with the number 400 coated tool.

As a first step in fabricating the socket, the mirror and tooling plate assembly were transferred from the generator to a rotary table mounted on a milling machine. The rolary table was used as an aid in locating the position of the three sockets.

The tooling plate and mirror were moved atop the rotary table until the center of rotation of the rotary table was coincident with the



#

,

Special diamond tool for cutting conical socket surfaces. ŝ Fig.

. . . .

i.

future center of the sockes. This involved decentering the work piece relative to the rotary table. The diamond coated fore drill was used to core into the mirror back to a depth slightly less than the final depth of the socket. The glass plug was then broken out of the back of the mirror.

The bottom of the resulting hole was cleaned with a steel plug tool and loose abrasive. An attempt was made to perform this operation using the cylindrical diamond tool. This failed, due to lack of a good bond between the steel and the diamond, as well as rapid wear at the center of rotation of the tool.

The cylindrical tool did prove useful in the next operation, elongating the hole. The tool was rotated in the chuck of the milling machine while the horizontal motion of the milling table was used to decenter the tool. This combination of motions was able to produce the elongated hole or slot needed to insert the clamp into the socket.

The final operation was cutting the conical socket surfaces. The special conical tooling mentioned previously was used. The tool coated with the coarsest grade of abrasive, number 120, was chucked up in the milling machine. The horizontal milling table was used to bring the mirror and tooling plate and the rotary table carrying them into a position such that the center of rotation of the chuck was coincident with the center of the socket and the center of rotation of the rotary

table. The mirror was decentered an amount equal to half the quantity of the internal diameter of the conical socket less the maximum outer diameter of the tool. The tool was rotated by the milling machine chuck as the rotary table rotated the mirror under it. The tool center traced a circle whose center was coincident with the socket center. As the tool rotated about this circle, its contact point was tangent to the internal diameter of the socket. In this way, the conical socket area was formed.

It had been hoped to cut all the conical sockets with the 400 grade tool. Rapid wear of the diamond coating precluded this, forcing the use of the coarse number 120 grade tool. Part of the wear problem was due to lack of adhesion of the diamonds to the 17-4 PH stainless steel of the tool. This suggests that future tooling should be made of a high strength carbon tool steel. Such a tool would be more expensive and time consuming to make, but would allow a better surface finish to be attained.

The described operation was performed three times, once for each socket. To gain experience in the procedure, Edward Strittmatter, the Optical Sciences Center optician performing the work, practiced on several glass blocks. All cutting operations were performed on these expendable blocks and complete sockets were made. When confidence had been gained, as a last practice step, a complete socket was generated in glass from the cored-out plug from the mirror. This insured that all

problems with the tooling and process were solved prior to making any cuts on the glass. A useful byproduct was the creation of a complete socket in a block of Corning Code 7940 glass from the original mirror blank. This makes several further avenues of research open that will be discussed in the conclusion of this report (Figs. 6 and 7).

After socket fabrication was complete, the mirror was removed from the tooling plate, and the protective wax removed from the optical surface. The weight of the mirror following modification was 34 lbs. The mirror was cleaned, and tested to see if the optical figure had changed. The optical test set-up was identical to that used earlier, as was the test procedure. The results of this test can be seen in Fig. 8. The RMS surface error was now 0.017 waves, and the peak-to-valley error was 0.121 waves. The surface figure had changed from the original RMS and peak-to-valley figures. The improvement was by a factor of 2.6. Since the original fabrication of the double arch mirror had included an acid-etch stress relief, it is difficult to understand what led to the figure improvement. It is possible that lack of flatness of the back of the mounting ring could have led to excessive deformation, although the use of the compliant rubber pad for support should have eliminated this effect. The improvement is of the same order as the measurement error and is therefore somewhat suspect.

Fabrication of the socket did not include a final acid-etch stress relief. This step was eliminated for fear of possible damage to the

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



Fig. 6. Side view of practice socket block.

ORIGINAL PAGE 19'



Fig. 7. Top view of practice socket block.

# ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

CONTOU	R STEP	WIDTH	PAGE SIZE	-M-	-N-	-P-		-Q-
••••••	0 100	0.800	2.000	-0.150	-0.050	0.050		0.150
٠	0.,100	**	• 1	•	••	•	٠	
			Υ					
		NN						
•			900				1	
•								
	,	NNNN	I'L PERENA	P				
	224	UNNNNNN	NNNNNNN			P P		
	2010	NJ + I NJ KI KI AT NJ KI N ^a Nj kj	NATATATATATATATA	0 <b>0 0 0 0 0</b>		P G G P		
	200	and there also and a	errielyzere.elelarerelet	2000				
•	90 10	if there we represented	(+++++, +++ +)+ ++++ + + + +	20000			÷.	
26		VININI NIFI PININ' NJAJ +J PEPIN VININI NIKIKIKI KI KI KI PIPIPIN	INTO AN ANALANA ANALANA ANALANA ANALANA			0 0 0 0		
, 	• • • • •		, e y ne ny meny meny meny ne ny meny men			-		
9996		HANNEN ANN A' NA NAARAA	MNNNNNNNNNNN	94		NN		
+ 20µ	ويعايد	IN MANAGANATANA SIN	MANANANAN	9		NNN	÷	
န္ ဥသည္	-titt	NNNNNNNNNNNNNN	ALAIN	6		NNN	÷	
220	<b>'</b> y,	n albini kini kini Ni	IN .			NNNN		
70		INNER NUMBER OF STREET	Pt			NNNN	•	
		- MALAI IKINIKIAININIMINIP	[P]	•		NNNN		
28366			ing. ●	2		1 N PLN MALENINALE		
		FA ADAMA ADAMA	IN	-	PDD0988	NNNNN		
27			••	P	39995	MATTINA		
100		N 1,7,8,82557334N	EN .	Þ		NNNAMA		
100		<ul> <li>English State</li> </ul>	19 s		3	NUMBERS		
• 1		والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع	1* X ²	P.		MANNAN	٠	
+		1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.				+LATATATATATATA	•	
		11111 - 11111 - 111111 - 111111 		10				
		•• <u>••</u> ••			51 51 51	5: KI 47 77 87 87 87 87 87		
	•		200		1. 1. 1. 1.	A NENENENENENENE		
•	20		79000	900000	PENINIAJAINI.	NINININI	•	
	ودرهد	2	. ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	00000	ATATATATATAT	NHMN		
	2000	~ ~ 7		00	NUMBER	NNNN		
	a;0₽6	00000	9 <b>0</b> 0		NAMA MANAMANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA AN	N) NJ		
	1	0000000		NNA	INIALATATATATATAT			
•	;	44800066609		*jAjAjA	INTERATORNAL NEW YORK			
•		753000000000		araj staj s	1 M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M		•	
		0000000 0000000	00000000000	-1-1NP 	trena I			
		2001100	000000000000	•				
		• -	• 2					
•	•	**	• P	•	**	•	•	
RESIDU		FERONT VARIAT	IONS OVER UNIF	ORM MESH				

NED I DOAL			•••••		
	<b></b>	14 A 14	M 1 M	CDAN	

RTS	RMS	MAX	MIN	SPAN	VOLUME
664.	0.117	0.649	-0.052	0.121	0.153
		Units = W	aves at 0.6	328 µM	

Fig. 8. Mirror figure after modification. Mirror on its back, back continuously supported.

optical surface and because of the rough texture of an acid-etched surface. Further grinding would have been required to smooth the acidetched surface, which would have partially defeated the purpose of such a stress relief. In a future similar project, an acid etch could be performed on the mirror after all generating work, including the sockets, had been performed prior to polishing the optical surface. This would minimize the risk, but would still leave some residual stress and damage owing to the need for a final fine grind on the socket surfaces.

### III. MIRROR MOUNT

Construction of the mirror mount parts did not pose any serious problems and was acquimplished using conventional shop techniques (Fig. 9). Some concern had been expressed earlier in regards to fabrication of the titanium flexures. Two problems were envisioned: holding the required tolerances and the possible "springing" of the flexure during fabrication. The parallelism tolerance for the top and bottom of the flexure was 0.0005 in. The parallelism tolerance of the flexure blades was 0.001 in. These tolerances were derived from the analysis performed in the "Engineering analysis report" dated May, 1983. A high surface finish, number 16 on the broad side of the flexure blades, was specified to reduce possible crack formation at cryogenic temperatures. A very generous 0.125 in. transition radius between the flexure blades and flexure ends reduced stress concentration.



Contractor of Contractory

......

In actual fabrication, no problem was encountered in holding the parallelism tolerances. The Ti-6Al-4V ELI alloy had been furnished in plate form. It was found to be stable and not prone to "springing" despite removal of gross amounts of material. Obtaining the desired surface finish also proved straightforward. Since the Optical Sciences Center does not have a jig grinder, it was necessary to perform considerable handwork on the flexures following milling to obtain the desired finish.

The current flexure design represents the limit in terms of tolerances that might be expected to come out of the Optical Sciences Center fabrication shop. This does not mean that a more tightly toleranced flexure is not possible. What it does mean is that tighter tolerances would require better facilities and a greater risk than the existing design. Analysis has shown that thinner flexure blades have better performance. Charles Brown, the head of the Optical Sciences Center Instrument Shop, is ready to try a flexure with blades as thin as 0.030 in. Should further work be performed on this flexure concept, it is strongly suggested that an attempt be made to further develop the state of the art in fabrication. In particular, thinner, higher tolerance flexures should be attempted. On the other hand, decreasing the tolerances by a factor of two would reduce fabrication time by at least a third. More complex flexure configurations, such as might be required to remove baseplate deformations, could take advantage of this.

The remainder of the mirror mount components did not present any particular problem. Two modifications were made to the original mount design. The contact surfaces of the clamps were gold coated, and translation stages were placed under the base of the flexures, between the flexure and baseplate.

The gold coating on the clamps was put on using optical coating techniques in a coating chamber. The coating thickness was on the order of 0.002 in. Adhesion was poor; the coating could literally be rubbed off using a fingernail. Gold was used instead of silver since prior experience had shown silver to have tarnishing and adhesion problems. The gold coating was intended to act as a surface lubricant and to add some compliance in the contact area. Disassembly of the mount following testing revealed that the primary role of the gold coating was to indicate the amount of contact achieved between the clamp and the socket. That is, the better the contact, the more gold that came off the clamp. It is suggested that either the gold coating be eliminated from future designs, or that an alternate coating technology be developed.

The translation stages were Delton Catalogue No. 401 positioning slides. These have a load capacity of 20 lbs each, and a total travel range of 0.50 in. A micrometer drive is provided with a positioning accuracy of 0.001 in. The accuracy of travel as specified by the manufacturer is 0.0005 in. per inch of travel. The direction of translation for these slides was radial with respect to the baseplate

center. Displacing the slides 0.029 in. radially inwards simulated contraction of the baseplate as temperature was reduced to 10°K. This allowed the flexure performance to be evaluated at room temperature. It must be emphasized that the translation stages should not be used at cryogenic temperatures and should not remain a permanent part of the mirror mount assembly.

All mounting hardware on the mirror mount was stainless steel. Stainless was used in place of conventional carbon steel to gain increased fracture toughness at cryogenic temperatures.⁴ Although carbon steel fasteners might be acceptable given the very benign test environment, they would be totally unsuited for space use in a dynamic environment.

Finally, although designed to survive emergency landing conditions aboard the space shuttle, the mirror and mount assembly must be considered precision optical components and treated as such. Dropping a flexure on the floor would ruin it. A sharp blow to the assembly might misalign the system to the poing where it would not perform as desired. Unlike glass, metal has a "memory" and does not necessarily reveal fatal damage to the unaided eye. Rigorous monitoring of assembly and handling procedures is therefore in order.

#### IV. TESTING

Initially, the mirror and mount assembly were mounted upside down, optical surface facing down (optical axis vertical) to simulate the NASA Ames cryostat. In this position, the mirror was first tested in the unstressed position, then the translation stages were used to simulate cryogenic contraction of the baseplate and resultant stress on the assembly. The translation stages were returned to the starting position and the mirror figure tested a third time. This established repeatability of the test. The same series of unstressed and stressed tests were also performed with the mirror in the "on edge" position (optical axis horizontal). In addition, a plastic full-scale model of the flexure, clamp, and socket were also tested for stress photoelastically.

The upside down test was very similar to the test performed to establish the figure of the mirror as received. The mirror and mount were suspended upside down from a steel scaffold. The baseplate of the mirror mount was secured to the scaffold by three 0.25-20 socket head screws with spherical washers between the baseplate and scaffold. The spherical washers prevented lack of flatness in the scaffold from bending the baseplate. A Shack interferometer was placed at the radius of curvature. A folding flat was used to place the interferometer in a more convenient horizontal position. The fringes were recorded on Polaroid film and manually digitized. As before, four sets of

interferograms were made, with the test optics being rotated 90° between each set. This allowed the FRINGE program to remove errors due to the test optics. Fiducial marks were added to the optical surface of the mirror for reference during digitizing. Vibration and air turbulence were serious problems during testing. To reduce vibration, the steel scaffold originally used was replaced by a very heavy steel scaffold fabricated from 8 in. channel and 6 in. square steel tubing. Thus scaffold was normally used to support 72 in. mirrors and offered better stability. In spite of this, better isolation from vibration and turbulence would have been desirable.

Results of the first optical test are shown in Fig. 10. The translation stages are in a neutral position, and the mirror and moun; are unstressed. It is seen that the RMS surface error is 0.022 waves at 0.6328 µM. The peak-to-valley error is 0.114 waves. The mirror does not display any gross three-fold symmetry imposed by the three-point support.

The translation stages were then driven 3.029 in. to simulate a cryogenic contraction of the aluminum baseplate. This corresponded to a  $10^{6}$ K final temperature. The results of this test are seen in Fig. 11. The RMS surface error is 0.017 waves and the peak-to-valley error is 0.109 waves. Again, there is no apparent print-through of the three support points.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS

<u>,</u>

Ģ

Contour step	<u>Width</u> Pag	e size	-M-	-N=	<u>- P+</u>	-Q-
0.100	0.800	2,000	-0,150	-0,050	0.050	0,150
•	• ••	• •	•	<b>#</b>	÷	٠
	p##	•				
		HNNNNNNNNN	NNNNNNN			
	NNN	NNNNNNNNNN		N		
•	NNNN	~~~~~	*****	INNN P	_	•
•	NNNNNN	*****	NNNNNNNNNNN	INNNN FFF		•
	NNNNNN	NNNNNNNNNN	NNNNNNNNNN			
		n Naial maisle di Naial m	NY			
	a. Si ka	n i na	19 17 11 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19			
	- A MARINA		ny sa na papana na na na na na hai	999/		
•	NN					•
		NNNN	NNNN			
ډ		N	NNNN	****		
		NN	NNNNN	peri		
•		NNN	NNNNNN		*********	•
•		<b>• •</b>	NNN	P.9	*******	+
M			NN			
ATATAL			NNN		********	
NENENENE States			NNR			
V 1101						
a sererejej Karkiki				i na ma ma		
A COMPANY AND A				MARKING ALM	39709999	
STATATATA			•	NNNNN		
be up be heng				NNNNN	>=====	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ê ê		NNNNN		٠
÷ \$188853888	x:	**********	P # #	NNNNN	2000A	÷
braj ki hi bi		********	<b></b>	INHNNNHN		
hibihi		**********	P VA	NNNNN	20	
• • • •			NNANA	INNNNNN	29	
1910			N N N N N P M N N			
•			PT ** T ** PK T ** T ** T ** T ** T ** T	* ** *********************************		•
		nt ny miny ny ny At At At At At At At	ng ng pa sa ng pa sa ng mg ng mi mi ni ni Ng hi hi hi ha ha ha ha ha ha ha hi hi hi	( 74 74 74 76 76 76 76 77 77 79 71) 74 ( h) ha h) h) h) h) h, ha ha ha hi hi	(PF#) (A) A)	
		n hi hi hi hi hi hi			MA:	
		NNNNNN		NNNNNNNNNNN	1 F F	
14	<b>,,,,,,,,,,,</b> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	NNNNN	NNNNNNNNNN	NNNNNNNNNNNN	4	•
<b>5</b>		NNNNNN	NNHNNNHNNH!	INNNNNNNNN		•
	*****	NNNNNNN	NNNNNNNNNNN	INHNNN	*	
	******	NNNHHNNN	****	INNNN		
		NNNNN'	INNNNNNNNN	l.		
		λi.				
•	• ••	+ P	•		+	•

Residual wavefront variations over uniform mesh:

PTS	RMS	Max.	<u>Min.</u>	Span	Volume
664.0	0,022	0.057	-0.057	0.114	0.168

Units = Waves at 0.6328 µM

Fig. 10. Mirror under test upside down, unstressed.

# ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Contour	step	Width	<u>Page size</u>		<u>-M-</u>	<u>-N-</u>	<u></u>	-Q-
	0,100	0.800	2.000	-	-0.150	-0.050	0.050	0.150
	٠	**	٠	•	•	**	•	٠
				•				
		P	NNNNNNN	-	<b>พพพ์พพพ</b> พ	***		
		1	NNNNNNN		NNNNNN	N		
		NNNN	INNNN		NNNN	NNNN PPP		٠
		NNNNNN	NNN		N	NNHNNNN PP		•
	Ň	NHNNNNNNNNN				MP'8/PININ		
	AIN	NIMNNNNNNN	Pø		*********	NHNA	, p	
	MALN	NNNNNNNNN	P		*********	∎ <b>p</b> , HA	INN	•
	MANN	MNNNNNNNN		*****	*********	****	4 P	
	4998	NNNNNNNNN		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		*****		
	SIPININ N		4					
	NNNH	NNNNNNNNN	NN N	r	******		•	÷
	MAIN	NNNNNNNNNN	NN		*****	**********	<b>#</b>	+
	N	NNNNNNNNNN	HIM		P# <b>P</b>	******	NN NN	ł.
		MNNNNNNNNN	NNNNN		200 	<b>,,,,,,,,,,,</b> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	IPPP NN	ť.
						*********		•
	96	NNNNNNNNN	INNNNN		000		DDDD NN	INNN
	200	MAMMINK INNI	HINNN			******		}
	2885	NNNPINNNA	INNNN		p (2 p	***********	IPPP VAN	
	22620	NAMANA	NNNNII -					
		N NEW "IN N PINE	N N N N N N				1997 - 1993 - 1994 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	. <b>.</b>
			NNNNNNNNNNN				NNNNNN	•
		P NNNNNH	<b>UNNNNNNNNNN</b> NN				-IPINALNAL	
		OD HENRINAIS	AMBER MERINAL MERINE STREET	Þj			NNNNNN	
	200000		NINNNNNNNNNNNNN	NN.			NNNNNN	
	000	8899988 VI	n represente cere per per per per per per per per per	N PERI MANA			i ni ne ne ne ne pi ne La la la la la	•
			NNNNNNNNNN	NNN		NAINAIN	INNAN	·
	2 <b>8 9</b>	P <b>PPP</b> *****	MNNNN			MAININAT	INNNN	
	9	***********				NNNNNNN	14141	
						NNNNHNNNN		
				-	ki bi bi	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n N N N N N N		
		990			NNNNN	NNNN		-
					NNNNNN	NNN		
			p <b>########</b> #					
				9				
	•		· •	P	•	<b>*</b> *	•	•

Residual wavefront variations over uniform mesh:

PTS	RMS	Max.	<u>Min.</u>	Span	<u>Volume</u>
664.0	0.017	0.061	-0.048	0.109	0.142

and determined in the property of

5- **11**-1

Units = Waves at 0.6328  $\mu$ M

Fig. 11. Mirror under test upside down, stressed.

The translation stages were returned to their starting positions and a third test performed. This test result is shown in Fig. 12. The RMS surface error is 0.017 waves, and the peak-to-valley span is 0.114 waves. In this test, again no obvious effect of the three point support is visible.

From previous experience with this mirror and test configuration,² differences of 0.02 waves RMS and 0.15 waves peak-to-valley may be considered real. The maximum RMS change observed was 0.005 waves and the maximum peak-to-valley change was 0.005 waves. Thus the simulated cryogenic soak effect cannot be said to have been measured. A finite element model was used to predict the change in RMS surface figure in the mirror in going from room temperature to 10°K. The results of this model indicated that the figure would change 0.002 waves RMS. This is a factor of ten smaller than the sensitivity of the test apparatus. It is not therefore surprising that the simulation showed virtually no change. A more sensitive test is obviously required.

The mirror was also tested with its axis horizon val. The baseplate was bolted to a right angle bracket to hold the mirror in an "on edge" position. One flexure was oriented to be at the top of the mirror. The optical test was performed using a Zygo interferometer. Both interferometer and mirror were placed on a common granite surface plate for stability. Lack of space on the surface plate required a folding flat in the optical path. Due to the nature of the Zygo interferometer,



Residual wavefront variations over uniform mesh.

PTS	RMS	MAX	MIN	SPAN	VOLUME
664	0.017	0.055	-0.058	0.114	0.172
		Units =	Waves at 0.	6328 цм	

Fig. 12. Mirror under test upside down returned to unstressed condition.

rotation of the test optics was not possible. Vibration and air turbulence were serious problems. The interference fringes were displayed on a TV monitor and recorded on Polaroid film. The interferograms were manually digitized and evaluated using the FRINGE program. It was not possible to remove the effects of the test optics.

The mirror and mount were first tested in the unstressed condition with the translation stages in the neutral position. The resulting surface figure is shown in Fig. 13. The RMS surface error was 0.453 waves at 0.6328  $\mu$ M. The peak-to-valley error was 2.113 waves. A very strong astigmatic component dominates this result.

The translation stages were then adjusted as in the upside down test to simulate a cryogenic contraction of the baseplate. The results are shown in Fig. 14. The RMS surface error was 0.441 waves and the peak-to-valley error was 2.165 waves. The astignatism is obviously dominant and virtually unchanged from the unstressed condition.

Finally, the translation stages were returned to their starting position and the mirror tested again. This result is shown in Fig. 15. The RMS surface error was 0.449 waves, and the peak-to-valley error 1.951 waves. The astigmatism pattern appears again, apparently unchanged.

# ORIGINAL PASE 15 OF POOR QUALITY

CC	NTOUR STEP	WIDTH	PAGE SIZE	-M-	-N-	-P-	-Q-
	0.200	0.800	2.000	-0.300	-0,100	0.100	00و.0
+	•	**	• I	<b>♦</b>	•• •	٠	
		*****	(KAKKAKAKAKKKAKA	KKKWKK LILLI	L		
			******	K ILILLL			
+		1,131111111111111   Mamamananan	_8 \$ 9 <u>\$</u> . _1 1 # 2 1 # 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u>                                    </u>	MMMMNNN MN NININI	* *	
		иннинсти			AINNNN P	•	
	FININA Natio	lejejeiejei nemenemene Nationalisi nemeneme		I [] I MMMMM NI	NNNN PPAP		
	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	PP NINNIN 4M	™ \\T_\\!!!\ 4MMMAN   {	MMMMMMM NNNI	NN PPPPP AAA N PPPPP AAAAA		
+	P , P	PPPP NNINN		MMMMMM NNNN		•	
	000 00000 000 00000	PPPPP ANNINNN O DPPPP ANNINNN	na jia pa baj pa na pa pa pa ba na in na na na na na pa na na n	MMMMM NNNN I	PPPP 66996 PI 989 6666 988		
	bebaba udu		an ne pa ne pa ne pa ne pa ne pa ne	NU NNNN PPI	PP 0000 PR888	P 5	
	anadaadaada u		NH15151	MNNNNN PPP	DUCD BOODS	5555	
+	555555 PHOPD	, UUUUU 66666 1 100000 66666 1	SPJPENENENEN NJ	NNNNN PPPP	NNNN RPARR 5: NNNN RPARR 5:	55555 +	
		B 0000 8881	<b>,</b>	PPPPR (	1000 PRPR 1000	5484 V 545	
	<u>5 55555 000</u>		2		100 PRPR 5555	5,5 T	
	TTT 4555 99	8848 0000 PBI	- -		100 8888 3333 10 88888 5355	5 TT 8 TTT	
+ T	TTTTT SSSSS R	8888 0300 BI		PPPP nor	IN RPROP 5455	TTTT U	
	TTTT 85855 0	8888 0000 881 8888 0000 881	,	PPPP 000	10 HAPAA 5455	5 11	
	TTT SSSS RR	AKE UUUUU PE	>	PPPP Q	100 9000 9591 100 90009 9591	R. T.	
	TTT SSSS PPD			PPPPP DI	0000 98888 55	445	
•	555555 PPR 555555 PPR	00000 PPPP		N PPPPO / NNNNN P <b>PPP</b> P	17 99999 0000 9 99999 0000		
	SSSSSS DODD	UCUUD PPPPPP	NAMABIAIAN SIAMANAN	NNNAININ'N PPPI		9 45	
	2 86666 000	UUU BABBA MINI	ĴNENJŘENIKI NI. TNERVRI NI. – MEREMINENEM MENU M	NNNNNN PE			
	0000 0000 0000	PPPPP ANNI	191 Ministrik Ministrik Ministrik 1911 Ministrik Ministrik Ministrik		V PPPP 2000	3 P	
+	00000	PPPP NNHIN			NNN PPPPP 0	• · · ·	
	000 000 000 00	PPP NNNNH MMM Pnnnnn mmm	***************************************	иминний Аммини			
	p	NNNNNN MMMMM			AMMMM NININI		
	+1+1			I LI I I I I LI LI I	нининин		
•		MWWWWWW IIII		11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1. 11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	£1,1 ₩₩ .  _	* *	
		1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1			(		
		11.1.1 + L L L		 Krkrkrkkkkk	(		
+	*	<b>* </b>	+ J	•	** *	•	

Residual wavefront variations over uniform mesh.

PTS	RMS	MAX	MIN	SPAN	VOLUME
664.	0.453	1.057	-1.056	2.113	3.115

Units = waves @ 0.6328 µM.

.Fig. 13. Mirror on edge test, unstressed.

1,5

ş,

0.200 0.800 2.000 -0.300 -0.100 0.100 0.300 	CONTOUR STEL	• WIDTH	PAGE S	ZE	-M-	-N-	-P-	-Q-
<pre></pre>	0 201	0.800	2.0	000	-0.300	-0.100	0.100	0.300
Image: State of the second s	0,20	0.000						
Image: State in the s	•			•				
KKKKKKK         K         KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK	• •	••	•	1	•	••	• •	
VK         VK         VKKKKKKKK         LILLILLI           LILLILLILI         VKKKKKKKK         LILLILLI         VKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK			*******	v	ĸ			
<pre>Lilililili (KKKKKKKK Lilili MAMMANAMANAMA TLITILILIA MAMMANAMANAMA TLITILILIA MAMMANAMANAMA TLITILILIA MAMMANAMANAMANAMANAMANAMANAMANAMANAMAN</pre>		K K	*******	*******	KKKKWWKKKK	¥		
<ul> <li>MUMM [[[[[][[]]]] [[[[[]]]] [[[[[]]]] MUMHAMA MPANANA</li> <li>MANNANA MAMAMAMA [[[[]]] [[[]]] MUMHAMA MPANANA</li> <li>MANNANA MAMAMAMA [[[]]] [[]] MUMHAMA MPANANA</li> <li>MANNANANA MAMAMAMA [[[]]] [[]] MUMHAMA MNANANA PPDD</li> <li>DPDDPD DANANANANA MAMAMAMA [[]]] MUMAMAMA NNANANA PPDD</li> <li>DPDDPD DANANANANANA MAMAMAMA [[]] MUMAMAMA NNANANA PPDDP GGGG G</li> <li>DDDDDD MANANANANA MAMAMAMA [[]] MUMAMAMA NNANANA PPDDP GGGG G</li> <li>DDDDDD MANANANA MAMAMAMA [[]] MUMAMAMANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANA</li></ul>		1.11.	LILILI	***	K LILLI	LL LL		
<ul> <li>Мининацияливим I[I]I][[[]]][][][]][][][][][]][]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим I[I]I][[][]][][][]][][]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим I[I][][[]]][][]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим I[I][][][]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим I[I][][]][]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим I[I][][]]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим I[I][][]]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим I[I][][]]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим I[I][][]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим I[I][][]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим II][][]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим II][]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим II][]]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим II][]]]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим II][]]]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим II][]]]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим II][]]]]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим II][]]]]]]]]]]]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим II][]]]]]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим II][]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим II][]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]</li> <li>Мининацияливим II][]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]</li></ul>	•	мммм	LILLLILI	11	LLEFFLELL	MMMMM	•	•
NNNNNN MAMMAN [[[[][[[[[[[[[]]]]]]]]         MAMANNA DPDD           DDDDDD NNNNN MAMMAN [[[[][][[]]]]]]         MAMMAN NNNN PDDD           DDDDDD NNNNN MAMMAN [[[[]]]]]]]         MAMMAN NNNN PDDD           DDDDDD NNNNN MAMMAN [][[]]]]]]]         MAMMAN NNNN PDDDD           DDDDDD NNNNN MAMMANNN NNNNN PDDDD 0000 ****************************	•	мымымымыны	MM ILIILI	L111L1+	H L L L L L H M M	mbabaményye ba	•	•
INNNNNNNNNN MARMANN I [[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[		NAINAIHIN MMM	NWWM IFICI	LUILL	, ,   ,	HMM HPINNIN		
DBBDDD         NAMMINN         Click         Click <th< th="">         Click         <th< th="">         Click<td></td><td>NNNNPPPNNPINAIA</td><td>имини 111</td><td>1, 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<></th<>		NNNNPPPNNPINAIA	имини 111	1, 1 1 1 1 1 1				
<ul> <li>         РВВрве линун, инчинининининининининин кулник рире лала воо попалаа верее кулик, инчининининининини кулики реее лала воо попалаа верее кулик, инчининининини кулики, верее лала вое реверее лала, верее кулик, инчининининин кулики, верее лала вое реверее лала, верее кулик, инчининининин кулики, верее лала вее şsss варее лала, верее кулик, инчининининин кулики, верее лала вее şsss варее лала, верее кулик, инчининининин кулики, верее лала вее şsss варее лала вере кулик, инчининининин кулики, верее лала вее şsss варее лала вере кулик, инчинининининини, кулики, верее лала вее şsss варее лала вере кулик, инчининининин кулики, верее дала вее şsss варее лала вере кулик, инчинининини, кулики, верее дала вее şsss тазака варее лала вере кулик, верее сала веле şsss тазака верее лала вере верее лала велее şsss тазака верее лала вере верее лала веле şsss тазака верее лала вере верее ралаа веле şsss тазака верее лала вере велее зава тт тазака верее лала вере веле зава тт тазака верее лала вере ваза тт тазака верее лала верее şsss тазака верее лала верее şsss тазака верее лала верее şsss тазака верее лала верее зава тт тазака верее лала верее зава тт тазака верее лала верее зава за тазака верее зава верее зава за тазака верее лала верее зава за тазака верее зава за тазака верее зава верее зава за тазака верее зава за таза верее зава за таза</li></ul>		PPPPPP NMMMM		1166611		NNNNN PPPPI		
ПОДОЛОДЛЯ РОРРЕ ИNNUN ШИЧИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИЦИ			nr, ————————— Heili Minashimini				1000	
ВОПОЛОДОВ РОРОВ МЫЛЫН: МИНИМИКИЧНИК КАЛЫНИ РОРОВ ОЛОЛ ФОВОВ           РОТВОВ ОЛОДОВ РОРОВ МЫЛЫНЫ МИНИМИКИЧНИК КАЛЫНИ РОРОВ ОЛОЛ ОЗВЕВ           POPODOBOD ОЛОДО РОРО NUNINNA МИНИМИКИЧНИКИ КАЛЫНИ РОРОВО ОДОЛ ОЗВЕВ (\$555           *         КОРОВОВ ОЛОДОВ РОРО NUNINNA МИНИМИКИЧНИКИ ИNNUNINN РОРОВО ОДОЛ ОЗВЕВ (\$5555           *         КОРОВОВ ОЛОДО РОРО NUNINNA МИНИМИКИЧНИКИ ИNNUNINN РОРОВО ДОЛО ВОВО \$5555           *         КОРОВОВО ОДОЛО РОРО NUNINNA МИНИМИКИЧНИКИ ИNNUNINNA РОРОВО ДОЛО ВОВОВ \$5555           *         КОРОВОВО ОДОЛО РОРО NUNINNAN         ИНИКИЧКИКИ           *         КОРОВОВО ОДОЛО РОРО NUNINNAN         РОРОР ДОЛОЛ РОРО \$5555           *         КОРОВОВО ОДОЛО РОРО         РОРОР ДОЛОЛ РОРО S5555           *         КОРОВОВОВО ОДОЛО РОРО         РОРОР ДОЛОЛ РОРО \$5555           *         КОРОВОВОВОВО ОДОЛ РОРО         РОРОР ДОЛОЛ РОРО \$5555           *         КОРОВОВОВОВО ОДОЛ РОРО         РОРОР ДОЛОЛ РОРО \$5555           *         *         РОРО ДОЛОЛ РОРО         РОРО ДОЛОЛ РОРО \$5555           *         *         РОРО ДОЛОЛ РОРО         РОРО \$5555           *         *         РОРО ДОЛОЛ ВОВОВ \$5555         *           *         *         РОРО РОЛОДО РОРО         РОРОР           *         *         РОРО ВОЛОДОЛ ВОВОВ \$5555           *         *	1000	COOOD PPPPP N			MHMMMM NAM	NAM PPPP DO	10000 988 7	
P000000         QQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQ	ţ,		INNNN MMMM		MMMP KANPPI		UDDDD	
PPPOPDDDB         COUND         PPPDP         NUMMININ         NUMMINING         PPPPP         COUND         PPPP         COUND         PPPP         NUMMINING         PPPPP         COUND         PPPP         COUND         PPPPP         COUND         PPPP         COUND         PPPP <t< td=""><td>00000</td><td></td><td>NNNHINN MH</td><td></td><td>NNINFINN</td><td>PPPPP 7000</td><td>0 H B B B</td><td></td></t<>	00000		NNNHINN MH		NNINFINN	PPPPP 7000	0 H B B B	
Наярровар слада рер NNNNIN         NNN NNN Ререр дада вред 5555           • 55555         ревред дада рер NN         NNN Ререр дада вред 5555           • 55555         ревред дада рер PPP PPP дада вред 5555         PPP дада вред 5555           • 55555         ревред дада рер PPP PPP дада вред 5555         PPP дада вред 5555           • 55555         ревред дада рер PPP PPP дада вред 5555         PPP дада вред 5555           • 55555         ревред ада вред 1000         PPP PPP дада вред 5555         TT           • 55555         ревре ада вред 1000         PPP PPP 0000         Rep 5555         TT           • 55555         ревре 0000         PPP PPP 0000         Rep 75555         TT           • 7 55555         ревре 0000         PPP PPP 0000         Rep 75555         TT           • 7 55555         реве 0000         PPP PPP 0000         Rep 75555         TT           • 55555         реве 0000         PPP PPP 0000         Rep 75555         TT           • 55555         реве 0000         PPPP NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	<b>P B B B B B B</b> B B B B B B B B B B B B B		M LIANALANA M	мммин	NHNNNN I	PPPPP JOND 1		
•     \$\$\$\$\$\$ P8900 0000 P000     NNN     NNN     P9000 0000 P000     \$\$\$\$\$\$       \$\$\$\$\$\$\$ P8000 0000 P000     P00000     P0000 P000 \$\$\$\$\$     P0000 \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$       \$\$\$\$\$\$\$ 90000 0000 P00     P000000 P000 \$\$\$\$\$     P00000 \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$       \$\$\$\$\$\$\$ 90000 0000 P00     P000000 \$\$\$\$\$\$     P0000 0000 \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$       \$\$\$\$\$\$\$ 90000 0000 P00     P000000 \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	+ HRR	abebb vuuu bb	PP NNNNNN		NNNHNHN PI	abbe undur dada	PP 55955 4	•
\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	+ \$8585	088686 00000 B			NNN PPI	ada UUUU daa	IR 59859 +	,
\$353535     \$253555     \$25355     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$253555     \$255555     \$253555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$255555     \$2555555     \$2555555     \$2555555     \$2555555     \$2555555     \$2555555     \$2555555     \$2555555     \$2555555     \$2555555     \$25555555     \$2555555     \$25555555     \$25555555     \$25555555     \$25555555     \$255555555     \$255555555     \$255555555     \$255555555     \$2555555555555555555555555555555555555	555555				PPPPI	99 <u>2000</u> 99	18 44 <u>5</u> 5	
1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1 <td>555555</td> <td></td> <td>9000 9000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1999 <u>מממ</u>מ 9</td> <td>7 55585 T</td> <td></td>	555555		9000 9000			1999 <u>מממ</u> מ 9	7 55585 T	
4TTTT \$\$\$\$\$\$ PRPPR ChOCO PPP     PPPP GAGA Refer \$\$\$\$\$ TTT22       TT \$\$\$\$\$\$ PRPPR ChOCO PPP     PPPP GAGA Refer \$\$\$\$\$ TT       TT \$\$\$\$\$\$ PRPPRP GAGA PPP     PPPP GAGA Refer \$\$\$\$\$ TT       T \$\$\$\$\$ PRPPRP GAGA PPP     PPPP GAGA PPP \$\$\$\$\$ TT       T \$\$\$\$\$ PRPPRP GAGA PPP     PPPP GAGA PPP \$\$\$\$\$ TT       * \$\$\$\$\$ PRPPRP GAGA PPPPP     PPPP GAGA PPPP \$\$\$\$\$\$ TT       * \$\$\$\$\$ PPPP GAGA PPPPP \$\$\$\$\$\$ PPPP \$\$\$\$\$\$ PPPP \$\$\$\$\$\$ PPPP \$\$\$\$\$\$	7 6666				0.0000	DOODO BEEQ	7 3333 TT 88888 TT	
TT \$58588 RBBRPP 0000 PPP         PPP         PPP 0000 RPP 5585 TT           TT \$58588 RPPRP 0000 PPP         PPPP 0000 RPPP 0000 RPPP 0000 RPPP 00000 RPPPP 00000 RPPPP 00000 RPPPP 00000 RPPPP 00000 RPPPPPP 00000 RPPPPPPPP	4TTTT 5555	SS PRPPP COOD	PPA		POP		SSEER TTT22	)
TT 55555     RPRRR DODD PPP     PPPP DODD RODR S555 TT       T 55555     RPRRR DODD PPP     PPPP DODD RODR S555 TT       T 55555     RPRRR DODD PPPP     PPPP DODD RRPR S555 TT       * 55555     PPPP DODDD S5555 TT       * 5555     RPRR DODDD PPPP     PPPPP DODDD R5555 TT       * 5555     RPPP DODDD NNNNNNNNNNNNNN PPPPP DODDD R5555 TT       * 5555     RPPP DODDD NNNNNNNNNNNNNN PPPPP DODDD R5555 TT       * 5555     RPPP DODDD NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN PPPPPP DODDD R5555 TT       * 5555     RPPP DODDD NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	TT 5585	S PRPRPP COOD	PPP		pabb	0000 8889	55555 TT	
Т     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$     \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	TT 8885		PPP		PPPP		45555 TT	
T 35555 REARRAD DIDGO PEOP     PEOPP DIDGO REAR SEST       * 55555 REAR DOOD DIDPPP     PEOPP DIDGO PERPER SEST       * 55555 REAR DIDGO PEOPP NANAANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANA	T 5555	ODDDD RAPARA S	PPP		popo		59555 T	
* \$\$\$\$\$ 00000 0000 000000 000000 000000 000000	T 85555	BABBBB DUGGU I	9999		peppp	00000 88888	95555 T	
* 5555 PDPP2 (CCCC) PDPP2P NANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANA	+ 55555				ppppp	PARA VUUUU A	P 555557 +	•
3         3         4         4         3         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4	+ 55535 P	PPRA NOONNO PPI	PPOP NNNHNH	UNMNNNN	NNNN PEPPI		PR 5554 +	•
Редев ПОПО орооро мимимичим мимимичим имимими ререре ПОПО Олоо Ree           PR ПОПО орооро мимимичим мимимими имимими полупо Ree           PR ПОПО орооро мимими           PR ПОПО МИМИМИ           NNN МИМИМИМ           NNN МИМИМИМ           NNN МИМИМИМИ           NNN МИМИМИМ           NNN МИМИМИМИ           NNN МИМИМИМИ           NNN МИМИМИМИ           NNN МИМИМИМИ           MMMИМИМИМИМИМИМИМИМИМИМИМИМИМИМИМИМИМИМ	149 6666 10000			NUNNUNN		1 nanna 9999	22222 54 20000	
PR     0,0,0,7     PC:000 NUNNINNI MMANMANIN NNANNANA NNANNAN POPODO 0,00,0 R       +     0,0,0,7     PC:000 NUNNINNI MMANMANAMANA NNANNAN POPODO 0,00,0 R       PD/PD NNANNAN MMAMMANAMANAMANA NNANNAN POPODO 0,00,0 R       PD/PD NNANNAN MMAMMANAMANAMANANA NNANNAN POPODO 0,00,0 R       PD/PD NNANNAN MMAMMANAMANAMANANA NNANNAN POPODO 0,00,0 R       NNANANAN MMAMAMANA MAMAMANAMANANANANAN NNANNAN POPODO 0,00,0 R       PD/PD NNANANAN MMAMAMANANANANANANANANAN NNANNAN POPODO 0,00,0 R       NNANANANAN MMAMAMANANANANANANANANANAN NNANNAN POPODO 0,00,0 R       NNANANANAN MMAMAMANANANANANANANANANANANA	20200	0000 000000 PPPPP		NUM NUMBER		PPPP 1313131313 BBBBBB 38881		
<ul> <li></li></ul>	PR O	DOOD PEOPP NNI	UNININI MMM			PPOPPP OF	DOOD RR	
PD/PB AINANANN MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM	ian +					NAN POPODO	4 000	,
A     A     A     A     A       NNNNNNNNN MMMMMMM     NNNNNNNNN     NNNNNNNNN       NNN     MMMMMMM     IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII		PPI PP AINAINIAIN	мининини	ныныны		NNNNN PPOP	0 9	
NNNNNNNN MAMAMMM     LILILILILILILI     MAMAMAMAM     NNN       NNN     MAMAMAM     LILILILILILILILI     MAMAMAMAM     N       +     MAMAMAMAM     HILILILILILILILILI     MAMAMAMAM     +       +     MAMAMAMAM     HILILILILILILILILILI     MAMAMAMAM     +       +     MAMAMAMAM     HILILILILILILILILILILI     MAMAMAMAM     +       +     MAMAMAMAM     HILILILILILILILILILILILILILILILILI     +       +     LILLILILILI     KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK     +       -     LILILILILIK     KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK	PP	PPP2 NNNNNN MM	n ja läpu ta ta M		нининини	NAMANAN PR	p p q q	
NNN     MMM/MMM     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I     I		NNAIAINNIAI MMMM	HMM LILLE			MMMH NNNNN	P	
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		NNN MMMHMM	ELELELE	H LI LI LI	ELLEL M	MMMMMMMM N		
• • • • • 3 • • • • • I LLLILILI KKKKKKKKKKKKKK KKKPKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK	•	Мимимини		****		мимим	+	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			*****		LITE WM	+	
талалар и талар и талар И и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и		1666	LL			1.L. /W		
			**********		******	· •		
• • • • • • •					0.000			
	+ +	++	•	Ĩ,	•	<b>**</b>	•	

Residual surface variations over uniform mesh.

PTS	RMS	MAX	MIN	SPAN	VOLUME
664.	0.441	0.076	-1.089	2.165	3.212

Units = waves at 0.6328 µM.

Fig. 14. Mirror on edge test, stressed.

Structures in 159

k_{an}

CONTOUR STEP	WIDTH	PAGE SIZE	-M-	-N-	-P-	-Q-
0,200	0,800	2.000	-0.300	-0.100	0.100	0.300
• •	<b>* *</b>	• 1	•	•• •	•	
	<b>K K</b>		1.1.1.1			
•			RAKKKKK TT			
• NA		ITIT KKARARKI	CARACE TITE	MMMMMMMM	*	
	NNNN MMMMMM	1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6	HIIIII MMM	M NAIN PPP Q		
PPPP	P NHANN ME		in he he he he he he he he he he he he he he he he he he	NNN PPP GGRO Main PPP GGR R		
a COUGUÓD +	BPOPP NNAMAN	1 (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)	HEMMEDEN NNN Hemmed Nnnnn	RERACIO REEL Reracio reel	5	
00000 989 00000 989	DEBERS NUNN 1) debers Nunn	INIPININI INIPIPINININIPIPININIPI INIPIPININININ	NHNKNNNNN VNNNNNNNN PI	9999 000 999 55 55 999 000 999 55	55 55	
00 99949 +	UUU BEABEB NN	i ni	INNNNNN PP Innnnn P <b>P</b> P	PP QAA RRP 555 PP QAQ PRR5555	8 _TTT +	
+ 38 PP0000 7	א אפאטא טעיטט טעיטט אראאאא אייטע	IPIN	NN PPPP	P 0000888 555 P 000 888 555	TTTT + TTTT	
55555 REPER	0000 666666 0000 666666		50000000 50000000	P 000 88885 T P 000 8885 T	7777 7777	
4TT 555855 PPP	8 0000 899999 8 0000 899999		9 <b>9999</b> 99 9 <b>9999</b> 99	P 200 PPR955 T P 200 PRP 355T	9777 7777772	
T 555555 PRP T 555555 PRP	8 00000 666666		<b>PPPPPP</b> <b>PPPPP</b>	P 0000999 555 P 0000 89 555	**** ****	
T 555855 PPP 55555 PPP	<u> 200000 88888</u> 8 0000 88888		6666666 6666666 66666666	P DÖDC PRHSSSS PP DÖC RRR SSS	TTT 5 TT	
+ 585858 PRPP + 585858 PRPPP	R 3000 PPPPP 0000 PPPPP	P P	99999999999999999999999999999999999999	82 9999 0000 999 5 9999 000 999	5555 +	
99999 25777 0 89999 2	0000 866666 0000 666666 0000 666666	NANNINNINNINNINNI NINNINNINNINNINNIN	unnnnnnn Ppi Unnnnnnnn I	9999 000 9999 9999 000 9999	5555 P	
00, 99999 00, 99999	UUU PPPPP AN U PPPPP ANNA U PPPPP ANNA	(HENNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN NEFENKERERESN	ALALALALALALALALALALALALALALALALALALAL	99999 0000 98 0000 9999 0	99 FP	
•	PPPP NUMNNN PPPP NUMNNN	u ju	ammen nemes vision Ammen nemes vision	NAM PPPPP QQQ Naman Ppppp Q	•	
<b>ងសង់</b> ថ សង់ថ្មដំ	PR NAINNAN MM Ninan mmmmm	MAMMMMMMMMM A	LLIL MMMM	M NNNNN PPRPP Mmmm Nnnn		
۸. ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۹۹۹	NNNN MMMMMM Nn Mmmmmm I.I.		LUTURE	мынылым у Гі мамий		
•	мммими ЦТТТ LTTTL	KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK	(KKKKKKKKKK  Karaakk	•••		
	і.і, <u>і, L</u> I. К Киж	ккики тататта. Ккикики	1,3,3,1,3,1,3 1,3,3,3,1,3,1,3	ĸ		
• •	<b>* *</b>	+ J	•	** ÷		
Residual surfa	ice variatio	ns over unifo	rm mesh			
PTS F	RMS MA	X MIN	SPAN	VOLUME		
664. 0. ¹	49 0.95	6 -0.995	1.951	2.935		

Units = waves @ 0.6328 µM

Fig. 15. Mirror on edge test, return to unstressed.

The maximum change in the RMS was 0.012 waves. The maximum peakto-valley error change was 0.214 waves. Since it was not possible to remove the effects of the test optics, these results cannot be considered as accurate as the upside down test. Even by the standards of this test, only the peak-to-valley error change has meaning. It is suggested that this change should be taken with some skepticism.

The primary figure error observed was astigmatism. This was induced by the flexures. Since the flexures are oriented at 60° relative to the gravity vector direction, the support reaction also produces a force at right angles to the gravity vector. Since there are two flexures supporting the weight of the mirror (the upper flexure has its compliance direction in the same direction as the gravity vector) and the flexure compliance directions are mirror images of each other, a moment is induced about the vertical axis of the mirror. If the souket and clamps supported the mirror through its center of gravity, there would be no effect on the surface figure of the mirror. In actuality, this is not the case, and the moment causes the mirror to bend about the vertical axis. The surface is distorted cylindrically about this axis. This is the origin of the very strong astigmatism observed in the on edge test.

The presence of this astigmatism does not rule out "on edge" testing for a future SIRTF mirror. It does require that the socket and clamp should pick up the load of the mirror through the center of

gravity of the mirror. This is difficult to achieve in a small mirror, due to the proximity of the center of gravity to the optical surface. In a larger mirror, it would not be a problem.

A plexiglass full-scale cross section model had been built for demonstration purposes, including the socket, clamp, and flexure. This model was placed between crossed polarizers and the screw holding the clamp torqued. It was possible to observe the peak stress areas in the model using photoelastic effects.⁵ Although no effort was made to qualitatively analyze these results, the general shape of the stress concentration agrees well with the finite element model developed in the "Engineering analysis report" of May 1983. Figure 16 is a photo of the photoelastic test. It may be noted that virtually no stress appears to propagate to the optical surface of the mirror, and that the peak stress is in the area immediately adjacent to the clamp/socket interface.

### V. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

C. A. COLORDAN .

It has now been shown that it is feasible to make the sockets and flexures as earlier designed in the "Engineering analysis report" (May 1983). It has also been shown that the RMS figure error for the 20-in. diameter double arch mirror and mount system can be on the order of 0.02 waves. The "on edge" test indicates that without a mounting system that picks up the mirror through its center of gravity, figure errors will remain very large, at an RMS of 0.45 waves. The simulation of cryogenic

ORIGINAL DESCRIPTION



Fig. 16. Photoelastic model of clamp and socket.

.

contraction of the baseplate did not produce a measurable change in the figure of the mirror. Without a higher resolution optical tests, the performance of the mirror and mount system can be guaranteed only to  $0.02 \pm 0.02$  waves.

It is suggested that the next experiment should be cryogenic testing of the mirror and mount system in the NASA Ames cryostat. Should test data be as ambivalent as the room temperature data, steps should be taken to perform testing with a higher resolution interferometer.

A high-resolution interferometer has been developed at the Optical Sciences Center for optical testing and has merit for this application. This device is called a Real Time Interferometer (RTI). The RTI uses a CCD array to record the interference fringes at a very short exposure time, typically on the order of milliseconds. The RTI interfaces with a computer; which is provided with a version of FRINGE. The computer is capable of storing and analyzing up to ten interferograms. This allows for removal of the effects of turbulence and vibration. In addition, stored error sources (such as auxiliary folding mirrors) may be removed at the same time. Current RTI technology reduces the interferogram error to about 0.005 waves. This is of the same order of magnitude as the figure change during cool down predicted by finite element methods.

It is suggested that following testing at NASA Ames, the mirror and mount be returned to the Optical Sciences Center for further roomtemperature testing using the RTI. This would permit a better evaluation of the simulation technique, and a closer look at the behavior of the mounting system.

Another area of suggested future research is socket stress. The glass block containing the practice socket would allow photoelastic studies on clamping stress to be performed at both room and cryogenic t%mperatures. This would involve either removing a clamp from the mirror or fabricating a new one. In addition, a test to destruction could be performed on this glass block to see if the clamp system held up 4s well as the finite element model predicted.

A major unknown at this time is the stability of the aluminum baseplate at cryogenic temperatures. The "Engineering analysis report" of May 1983 detailed the effect of baseplate tilt on the performance of the mirror. Further development of this mounting concept will require information on baseplate behavior. Although it is possible to reduce the order of magnitude possible distortion by stress relief of the baseplate, this is not a totally adequate substitute for accurate information. It is suggested that a holographic test on the baseplate be performed at cryogenic temperatures; an inexpensive liquid nitrogen soak would probably yield meaningful information. Alternately, three flats could be located at the same point of attachment as the three flexures, and the tilt of the flats monitored as the temperature was lowered.

Should baseplate deformation prove a problem, a back-up design has been developed to reduce the order of magnitude of the effect of the mirror. This design would use a two-axis flexural gimbal ring to reduce moments transferred to the mirror. Commercially developed Bendix flex pivots would be used in the gimbal pivots. These flex pivots have a history of space use and represent a low risk (Fig. 17).⁴



Flexure gimbal system to reduce moments induced in the mirror due to baseplate deformation. Fig. 17.

A REAL OF CONTRACT A

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Vukobratovich, D., et al., "Optimum Shapes for Lightweighted Mirrors," Proc. SPIE 332, 1982.
- 2. Anderson, D., et al., "Gravity Deflections of Lightweighted Mirrors," Proc. SPIE 332, 1982.
- 3. Republic Steel, <u>Precipitation Hardenable Stainless Steels</u>, Cleveland, OH, 1977.
- Campbell, J. E., et al., <u>Application of Fracture Mechanics for</u> <u>Selection of Metallic Structural Materials</u>, American Society for metals, Metals Park, OH, 1982.
- 5. Haywood, R. B., <u>Flotoelasticity</u> for <u>Designers</u>, Pergamon Press, Oxford, NY, 1969.
- Seelig, F., "Flexural Pivots for Space Applications," presented at Third Aerospace Mechanism Symposium, Jet Propulsion Laboratories, Pasadena, CA, May, 1968.
- 7. Nelson, J. E., et al., "Telescope Mirror Supports: Plate Deflections on Point Supports," Proc. SPIE 332, 1982.

#### APPENDIX:

#### A PROPOSED 40-IN. DIAMETER PRIMARY MIRROR AND MOUNT FOR SIRTF

Using the technology developed in this contract, a 40 in.-diameter mirror and mount design was examined as a possible candidate for the SIRTF primary mirror. Two goals of the design were to hold the weight to the absolute minimum and to reduce self-weight induced deflection in a 1-G environment. The latter requirement is to ease the task of ground testing.

The 40-in. diameter mirror is a double arch design. It has a minimum thickness of 0.375 in. and a maximum thickness of 5.0 in. A speed of f/2 was assumed; a second assumption was a 5.0-in. diameter center hole. If fabricated from Corning Code 7940 fused silica, the mirror would weigh 197 lbs (Fig. 18).

It is interesting to compare this design with other lightweight mirror designs. Such a comparison is facilitated by assuming that the wieght scales as the cube of the diameter. A 36-in. diameter double arch has been fabricated for the Spacelab ultraviolet telescope. This mirror was an f/2 and weighed 220 lbs. Scaled to 40-in. diameter, it would weigh 302 lbs. The MMT mirrors were 72-in. diameter fused-silica "eggcrates" and weighed 1200 lbs. If these mirrors were scaled to 40 in. diameter, they would weigh 206 lbs. State of the art today are the Teal Ruby and Space Telescope mirrors. The Teal Ruby mirror diameter is 20



Fig. 18. Proposed 40 in. diameter SIRTF primary mirror.

in., the mirror weight is 16 lbs. Scaled to 40-in. diameter, it would weigh 128 lbs. The Space Telescope mirror has a 98 in. diameter and weighs 1850 lbs. Scaled to 40-in. diameter, it would weigh 126 lbs. This suggested that the double arch design presented here is competitive with relatively conservative lightweight mirrors, but that it is substantially heavier than state-of-the-art designs. On the other hand, the double arch design is relatively simple to fabricate and can be quickly obtained. Arching of the double arch between supports, cutting relief pockets in the back, and further shape optimization may allow the weight to be reduced below 175 lbs. This would entail greater cost in time, risk, and dollars.

Reduction of self-weight deflection caused another look at the mirror's behavior. The greatest deflection is due to azimuthal sag between the three support points. Obviously, a continuous ring support would eliminate this. While a ring support is practical for shop testing, it would not be workable in a flight system. It is possible to closely approach the support efficienty of a ring by going to six support points instead of three.⁷ A finite element model of the 40-in. double arch mirror on six points was analyzed. The predicted self-weight deflection in a 1-G field is seen in Fig. 19. The RMS deflection is 0.064 waves at 0.6328 µM. The peak-to-valley error is 0.374 waves.

The six-point support system could be developed out of the technology that has been established in this contract. The support

## ORIGINAL PAGE 19 OF POOR QUALITY

Contour	step	Width	Page Size	<u>–M–</u>	-N-	-P-	-Q-
	1,000	0.500	2.000	-1.500	-0.500	0.500	1.500
•		• ••	•	•	**	•	•
		,		, 		-	-
			MN PPPP	NN <b>444444444</b>		• [#] '* ***	
			PPPP		PPPP		
•		JLNPP	***		PPPPPNLJ		•
•		N 98				r K M	•
			*****	P9 <b>P</b> 1		PPP L	
	١	PPPP	PPPF	<b>n i</b> i i i	****	pipi T	
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		P <b>PPP</b>	**	1
•	MPC				8 <b>6</b> 8	PPPH	•
	N P	P	*******		PP #1	PP N	
	9 <b>99</b>	<b>PPP</b>					· •••
•		222	PPPPPPPP NN	M NN P			<b>4</b>
•	<b>PP</b>	P <b>P</b> P P	PPPPPP NN	NN	******	PPP PP	•
	200	PP P	PPOPP N "	N			
	20	299 90	SSSS N				
	<b>•</b>		TPO NN	· · · · ·	IN PPPPPP	PT P	
FJ	ц С	90 n90	PPP N M	H	N PPPPPP	PP P	JF
		9999 999 9999 999	EPE NN		1 999998-		
	PPP		PPPP NN	N	PPPPP	*** ***	
	p # p	pe p	PPPPP N	N	PPPPP	<b>PP NPP</b>	
•	9	000	PPPPPPPPP NN	M NN PI			
	K P	09		INNNNN PPP		PP PK	
	PPP	•69	<b>P<b>BPBPBPBPBPBPBPBPBPBPBPPPPPPPPPPPPP</b></b>	****			
	1 PI 1 PI		******		···		
•		199 - 199			PPPP	***	÷
		ор р 			<b>PPP</b> <b>D D C C</b>	<b>PP</b>	
		1 0000	200000 <del>0</del>				
		4 90	******	innanananananan	e el	P N	
٠		K UQODD	PP1		PP991	, K	•
•		J <u>1,</u> 488		•	PPPPPNL.	<b>B</b>	•
			4 P	PRRF	P M		
			*** *****	PERPERPERPE N	•		
*		<b>.</b> .	<b>*</b>	<b>r</b> 1	<u></u>	•	÷
•		- · · · ·	<b>•</b>	г <b>Ф</b>	**	•	•

Residual wavefront variations over uniform mesh:

PTS	RMS	Max.	Min.	Span	Volume
664.0	1.585	1.003	-8.325	9.328	24.568

Units =  $10^{-6}$  in.

Fig. 19. Self-weighted deflection of proposed 40 in. SIRTF mirror, mirror axis vertical.

system would use three rockers, the rockers mating to T-clamp/sockets at both ends of the rocker arms. A flexure system would tie the center of each rocker to the baseplate. This central flexure system would consist of a parallelogram flexure to remove radial contraction of the baseplate and a Bendix flex pivot to allow the rocker to tilt. A parallelogram flexure at each end of the rocker would take out contraction of the rocker arm relative to the mirror. A universal joint using Bendix flex pivots would couple the rocker arms to the T-clamp in the mirror back. This arrangement would virtually eliminate the baseplate tilt problem (Fig. 20).

It should be emphasized that this is a relatively conservative design that exploits existing technology. It would be possible to test the configuration on the existing 20-in. diameter double arch by adding additional sockets to its back. Fabrication time for the full size 40-in. mirror has been estimated to be about nine months.



ORIGINAL PAGE 19 OF POOR QUALITY



in the second

i. Ke