$NASA$ $TM-86146$

NASA-TM-8614619840027169

NNSN Technical Memorandum 861 46

IMPLICATIONS OF A CLASS OF GRAND UNIFIED THEORIES FOR LARGE SCALE STRUCTURE IN THE UNIVERSE

Q. SHAFI F. W. STECKER

August 1984

 $\ddot{\mathbf{r}}$

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

IMPLICATIONS OF A CLASS OF GRAND UNIFIED THEORIES FOR LARGE SCALE STRUCTURE IN THE UNIVERSE

Q. Shafi* Bartol Research Foundation of The Franklin Institute Univers1ty of Deleware Newark, DE 19716

and

F.W. Stecker Laboratory for High Energy Astrophys1cs NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, MD 20771

*Work supported 1n part by the Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-73ER05007

 $N84 - 35240$

ABSTRACT

We consider a class of grand unified theories in which cosmologically significant axion and neutrino energy densities arise naturally. To obtain large scale structure we consider (1) an inflationary scenario, (2) inflation followed by string production, and (3) a non-inflationary scenario with density fluctuations caused solely by strings. We show that inflation may be compatible with the recent observational indications that Ω < 1 on the scale of superclusters, particularly if strings are present.

 $\ddot{}$

Ax10ns with mass on the order of 10^{-3} - 10^{-4} eV, have been suggested as candidates for the dark matter in galactic halos¹,². It has also been shown that aX10ns w1th a cosmologically significant energy density prov1de an 1mportant component 1n the mechanism for generating structure 1n the universe on scales up to 10^{15} M₀ $3,4$. In this picture, axions, being gravitationally unstable on all scales, will cluster first, providing the seed potential wells for galaxy formation so that the galaxy distribution on scales up to $\sim 10^{15}$ M_o clusters would naturally follow the axion mass distribution. Observational support for such a relationship is discussed by Blumenthal et al.⁵. They p01nt out that the ratio of dark to lumlnous mass is roughly constant up to the scale of r1ch galaxy clusters.

An SO(10) GUT framework which leads to the production of cosmologically significant axions has been given⁶. In this letter, we first argue that within this class of models (and suitable extensions thereof such as E_6), a cosmologically significant neutrino mass is obtained naturally. We then proceed to d1SCUSS some cosmological 1mpl1cations of this result for the formation of structure in the universe within the context of three different scenar10s, (1) an 1nflat10nary scenario, (2) an 1nflationary scenar10 followed by string production, and (3) a non-inflationary scenario with density fluctuations produced solely by strings.

As an example of a grand unified theory which gives $\Omega_a = \Omega_{y}$, consider the following $SO(10)$ model⁶ (the global U(1) Peccei-Quinn symmetry⁷ is not $explitlet$ explicitly exhibited):

$$
SO(10) \xrightarrow[M_{x} \sim 10^{15} \text{ GeV}]{\text{SU}(3) \times SU(2)} \text{SU}(2) \times SU(2) \text{SU}(1) \text{SO}(1) \longrightarrow 10^{12} \text{ GeV} \qquad (1)
$$
\n
$$
F_{a} \sim 10^{12} \text{GeV} \qquad (1)
$$

Both the global U(l) symmetry and the local B-L symmetry are broken at a scale of order 10^{12} GeV. (Note that the value of the intermediate scale is not put in by hand, but is determined from the renormalization group equations of the gauge coupl1ngs). From the results of Reference (1), it follows that $\frac{\Omega}{a} \approx 0.1-1$.

Let us now consider neutrino masses in this model. The breaking of B-L at scale f_a , caused by a $1,26$ -plet of Higgs fields, induces a Majorana mass term for the right-handed neutrino v_{R1} of order $h_i f_a$, where h_i denotes the Yukawa coupling of the 1th generation. The breaking of SU(2) x U(1) to U(1)_{em} is achieved by a Higgs Q plet and gives rise to Dirac mass terms $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (where u_1 denotes $u, c, t, ...$) linking the left and right-handed neutrinos. Moreover, 1t can be shown that an effective MaJorana mass term for the lefthanded neutrino $v_{L1}^{}$ of order $c_{\dot{1}}^{}$ = h $_{1}$ $(\lambda_{1}/\lambda_{2})$ < $\phi_{10}^{}$ $^{2}/f_{a}^{}$ is also induced 8 . Here λ_1 denotes the quartic higgs coupling between the 126 and the 10, λ_2 is the quartic self-coupling of 25 , and 40 ₁₀> is the vacuum expectation value of the 10 . With $f_a \approx 10^{12}$ GeV, λ_1/λ_2 of order unity, and $h_j \sim 0(g^2)$ (where g denotes the $SO(10)$ gauge coupling), c_1 is in the electron volt range. Diagonalization of the neutrino mass matrix (neglecting, for simplicity, m1x1ngs between generations) yields the eigenvalues

$$
(\mathfrak{m}_{v_1})_{\text{heavy}} \approx \mathfrak{h}_1 \mathfrak{f}_a,
$$
\n
$$
(\mathfrak{m}_{v_1})_{\text{light}} \approx c_1 - \mathfrak{m}_{u1}^2 / (\mathfrak{m}_{v1})_{\text{heavy}}.
$$
\n(2)

It follows from eq. (2) that electron volt neutrino masses ar1se naturally in the class of models under discussion. Indeed, due to the presence of the c_1 term in the mass matrix, the light neutrino of each generation can have a mass in the electron volt range. Thus, neutrinos can contribute significantly to the dark matter in the universe.

We now discuss the implications of significant axion and neutrino energy dens1t1es for the evolut10n of structure in the universe. Two mechanisms for produc1ng dens1ty fluctuat10ns in the early un1verse have been extens1vely discussed, viz., inflation⁹ and strings¹⁰. Recently, it was pointed out¹¹ that one could obtain another scenario in which inflation is followed by str1ng product10n.

The inflationary phase is associated with the transition from $SO(10)$ to SU(3) x SU(2)₁ x SU(2)_R x U(1)_{R-1}. It can be implemented by generalizing the arguments of ref. (12) where the SU(5) model is discussed. The breaking of B-L and the $U(1)$ symmetry can occur during, or at the end of the inflationary era. The spectrum of density fluctuat10ns produced in this scenar10 1S essentially of the Harrision-Zeldovich⁹ type.

According to recent observations¹³, the value for Ω obtained on scales up to $\sim 10^{15}$ M_a 1s = 0.2 + 0.1, considerably less than unity, the value predicted by the new inflationary cosmology. As a reasonable upper limit for Ω_{SC} of superclusters¹⁴, we may take $\Omega_{\text{sc}} \leq 0.5$. Therefore, since axions and baryons cluster on scales smaller than r1ch clusters and superclusters, the1r contribution to Ω must be ≤ 0.5 . The balance of the total Ω in the universe must therefore be 1n the mass dens1ty of a neutr1no component Wh1Ch 1S not traced by the galaxy distribution if we are to have $\Omega=1$.

We must therefore require that the neutrinos be light enough so that they will not cluster on scales below $\sim 10^{16}$ M_Q. In order to arrange this, espec1ally Slnce the neutr1no Jeans mass drops significantly between the redshift z_{nr} when the neutrinos become nonrelativistic and the present time, we 1nvoke neutr1no phase space limits using the arguments of Trema1ne and

3

Gunn¹⁵ in reverse to get an upper limit on m_v . These authors find that for neutrinos to be able to cluster on the scale of rich clusters, their mass must be greater than ~ 4 h $_{50}^{-1/2}$ eV (where h₅₀ is the Hubble constant in units of 50 $km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}$).

The neutrino contribution to Ω is $\Omega_v = 4.56 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m}_v(\text{eV})N_f \text{ h}_{50}^{-2} \text{ T}_{2.8}^3$ where N_f is the number of neutrino flavors of approximately equal mass and T_{2-8} is the present temperature of the cosmic blackbody radiation in units of 2.8 K. We require Ω_v to be ≥ 0.5 so that the total $\Omega = 1$. For this, one needs at least three flavors of neutrinos, each of approximately 3-4 eV. As discussed above, this situation is readily obtained in the SO(10) model. (If the neutrino clustering is inefficient (see discussion in Ref. 16), m_{ij} could be larger and N_f smaller.)

The maximum neutrino Jeans mass for three neutrinos of roughly equal mass 1s¹⁷ $M_{\rm Jv}^*$ = 2.7 x 10¹⁸ $\left[\pi_{\nu}(eV)\right]^{-2}M_{\Theta}$, which, for $N_f = 3$ and $m_{\nu} \approx 3.6$ eV gives $M_{\rm Jv}^* \approx$ 2×10^{17} M₀. The corresponding spatial scale at present for pancaking structure would be \sim 150 Mpc. It is interesting to note that this scale may correspond to the tentative "superpancaking" scale proposed recently by Dekel¹⁸ in order to attempt to account for the correlation function of clustering of superclusters. 19 Structure on this scale would have to correspond to density perturbations $\delta = \delta \rho / \rho$ just becoming nonlinear $(0 = 0.5-1)$ at the present time. structure would be ~ 150 Mpc. It is interesting to note that this sc
correspond to the tentative "superpancaking" scale proposed recently
Dekel¹⁸ in order to attempt to account for the correlation function o
clustering

The spectrum of perturbations in a universe dominated by axions and neutrinos is readily estimated by adopting the arguments previously given for a baryon-neutrino universe²⁰. It is convenient to define $\zeta = \Omega_a / (\Omega_a + \Omega_v)$

For z < z_{eq} = 0.93 x 10⁴ (1- ζ)⁻¹ Ω_{v} h₅₀ T₂⁴₂ the neutrino Jeans mass decreases as $(1+z)^{3/2}$. (Here z_{eq} is the redshift corresponding to equal

matter and radiation densities in the universe.) Neutrino perturbations on scales below $M_{\rm Jv}^*$ are erased at $z = z_{\rm eq}$. The axion perturbations, however, grow like

$$
\frac{\delta \rho_a}{\rho_a} \equiv \delta_a \propto t^{\alpha} \propto (1+z)^{-3\alpha/2}
$$
 (3)

where $\alpha = (\sqrt{1+245} - 1)/6$. (The growing mode solution is similar to that obtained for the baryon-neutrino hybrid scenerio after decoupling²⁰.) Thus,

$$
\delta_a(z) = \delta_a(z_{eq}) \left(\frac{1+z_{eq}}{1+z}\right)^{3\alpha/2} \tag{4}
$$

This continues until $z = z_M$ when the neutrino Jeans mass becomes = M,

$$
(1+z_M) = (\frac{M}{M_{\rm J}^2})^{2/3} (1+z_{\rm eq})
$$
 (5)

For $z < z_M$ the overall density fluctuation $\delta \rho / \rho \propto t^{2/3} \propto (1+z)^{-1}$. Thus,

$$
\frac{\delta \rho}{\rho} (z < z_M) \approx \xi \delta_a(z_M) \left(\frac{1+z_M}{1+z} \right) \approx \xi \delta_a(z_{eq}) \left(\frac{1+z_{eq}}{1+z} \right) \left(\frac{M}{M_{\rm Jv}^*} \right)^{(2/3-\alpha)} \tag{6}
$$

As a rough approximation, $\delta_a(z_{eq})$ = constant when M < M_{Jv}^* for a Zeldovich spectrum. (See, however, footnote 21). This gives

$$
\frac{\delta \rho}{\rho} \propto M^{(2/3-\alpha)}
$$
 (M < M_{J\nu}^{*}) (inflation alone) (7)

which is an increasing function of M since $\alpha < 2/3$. For M > M_{JV}^* , the neutrino perturbations are not damped and $\delta \rho / \rho \propto M^{-2/3}$.

From this discussion it appears that even in the most optimistic case

where $\zeta = 1/2$, $\alpha = 0.43$, so that the scales between the present neutrino Jeans mass and M^*_{Jv} may not collapse before M^*_{Jv} . does. We thus run into the timing problems which are becoming well known for the neutrino pancaking scenario. In particular, it is hard to envision the development of quasars²² and substructure²³ with such a model, although the situation here is not as difficult as that with pure neutrino pancakes owing to the presence of axions²¹, as we discuss below.

The presence of strings, which provide an additional source of density fluctuations, can eliminate the above difficulty²⁴. Assume that topologically stable strings, with mass per unit length characterized by a superheavy (GUT) scale, appear at or near the end of the inflationary phase. A specific example showing how this could occur is shown in Ref. 11. In the present case this is readily achieved either by appending a new spontaneously broken global U(1) symmetry to the SO(10) model or using an E_6 model. Owing to the presence of strings, and, in particular of closed loops,²⁵ $\delta_a(z_{eq}) \propto M^{-1/3}$ for $(M < M_{\rm JV}^*)$. Substitution in eq. (6) then gives

$$
\frac{\delta \rho}{\rho} \propto M^{(1/3-\alpha)}
$$
 (M < M_{Jv}^{*}) (string loops) (8)

as compared wlth the results of eq. (7) when loops are not present.

Using eq. (8) with $\xi = 1/2$, and $\alpha = 0.43$ we find $\delta \rho / \rho \propto M^{-0.1}$. Therefore, If $\delta \rho / \rho \sim 0(1)$ on scales $\sim 10^{16} - 10^{17}$ M_Q at z=0 as suggested by Dekel⁸, scales \sim 10^{10} M_G went non-linear at z = 4, corresponding to the epoch of quasar formation. Thus, in the presence of ax1ons and neutr1nos, an Inflationary scenario supplemented by strings (or wall-string systems²⁴) appears to offer a better prospect of explaining the observed large scale structure in the universe than one without strings. Of course, more detailed numerical calculations and clustering slmulations should be performed to test this conclusion. In fact, growth of axion perturbations

during the radiation era ²³ will have the effect of increasing α to $\alpha_{eff} = \alpha + \epsilon$. Th1S effect may be enough to make the spectrum 1n the case of inflation without strings flat at low M. In the string-inflation scenario, this effect eases the requirement on Ω_a needed for an acceptable α_{eff} , making Ω < 0.5 (as indicated by the observations) acceptable.

F1nally, let us discuss the scenario in which we dispense with inflation and density fluctuations are produced solely by strings. In this case, since the density parameter Ω need not be unity, ξ can be greater than 1/2 and α can be > 0.434. (Of course, we need have only one v flavor in the eV mass range to get Dekel's¹⁸ scale.) In particular for $\Omega_{\rm g} \gg \Omega_{\rm v}$, $\alpha = 2/3$. A natural extension of SO(10) which glves the desired strings²⁵ is provided by the following breaking of E_6 (once again the global U(I) Peccei-Quinn symmetry is broken at the same scale as B-L)

$$
E_{6} \nightharpoonup_{10^{16} \text{GeV}} 50(10) \times Z_{2} \nightharpoonup 50(3) \times 50(2)_{L} \times 50(2)_{R} \times 0(1)_{B-L} \times Z_{2} \nightharpoonup (9)
$$
\n
$$
50(3) \times 50(2)_{L} \cdot 0(1) \times Z_{2} \nightharpoonup (9)
$$

For E₆ symmetry breaking at a scale n $\sim 10^{16}$ GeV, the energy per unit length of the strings formed is $\mu \sim n^2 \simeq 10^{32}$ GeV². (A similar result can be obtai7ed naturally 1n a Kaluza-Klein model leading to So(10) (Wetterich, private communication).) With this value of μ , it follows from the discussion of Ref. 25 that in this scenario neutrino perturbations would be on the verge of becoming non-linear at the "superpancake" scale at the present time, as suggested by observations 18,19 .

To conclude, significant axion and neutrino energy densities arise naturally in a class of grand unified theories. An axion-neutrino dominated universe model for the formation of large scale structure may avold the problems associated w1th the pure neutrino dominated pancake models. These models also allow for structure on

scales greater than that given by the pure hierarchical clustering models of galaxy formation, which may be desirable in view of some recent analyses suggesting the clustering of clusters. Flnally, the predlction of the new inflationary cosmology that Ω be unity can be reconciled with the observation Ω_{SC} <1 in this framework, particularly if string loops (or string-wall systems) are present²⁶.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. Alexander Vilenkin for many helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. 120B, 127 (1983); L.F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, lbid., pg. 133; M. Oine and W. Fischler, ibld. pg. 137.
- 2. J. Ipser and P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 925 (1983).
- 3. F.W. Stecker and Q. Shafi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 928 (1983).
- 4. M. S. Turner, F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Phys. Lett. 125B, 35 (1983); M. Axendides, R. Brandenberger and M. Turner, Phys. Lett. 126B, 178 (1983); M. Fukugita and M. Yoshimura, Phys. Lett. 127B, 181 (1983).
- 5. G. R. Blumenthal, S. M. Faber, J. R. Primack and M. J. Rees, preprint.
- 6. R. Holman, G. Lazarides and Q. Shafi, Phys. Rev. 027,995 (1983). See also Q. Shafi in Proc. Europhysics meeting, Erice, Italy, Feb. 1983; R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, Z. Phys. C17, 53 (1983).
- 7. R. O. Peccei and H. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1440 (1977).
- 8. C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. B187, 343 (1981) and references therein: For a review of the subject of neutrino masses and cosmological implications, see F. W. Stecker in Electroweak Interactions (Proc. 21st International Winterschool on Theoretlcal PhYS1CS, Schladming, Austria) ed. H. Mitter, Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 307 (1983).
- 9. S. W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. 115B, 295 (1982); A. H. Guth and S. Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1110 (1982); A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. 117B, 175 (1982); J. Bardeen, P. J. Steinhardt and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. 028, 679 (1983).
- 10. Ya. B. Zeldovich, Mon. Not. Royal Astron. Soc. 192, 663 (1980); A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 1169, 1496 (E) (1981) and Phys. Rev. D24, 2082 (1981).
- 11. Q. Shafi and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. 029, 1870 (1984).
- 12. Q. Shafi and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 691 (1984).
- 13. A. Yahil, Ann. N. Y. Acad. SC1. 375,169 (1981); R. J. Harms, et al., ib1d. 178; M. Dav1s and J. Huchra, Astrophys. J. 254, 437 (1982); J. P. Huchra. Highlights in Astronomy 6, 749 (1983); M. Davis, J. Huchra and D. Latham in Early Evolution of the Universe and Its Present Structure ed. G. O. Abell and G. Chincarini, Reidel Pub. Co. Dordrecht p. 167 (1983); J. Bean, et al., 1bid •• p. 175; R. J. Harms, et al., ibid. p. 285.
- 14. M. Davis and P. J. E. Peebles, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 21, 109 (1983).
- 15. S. Tremaine and J.E. Gunn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 407 (1979).
- 16. J. R. Bond, A. S. Szalay and S. D. M. White, Nature 301. 584 (1983).
- 17. J. R. Bond and A. S. Szalay. Proceedings Neutrino 81 International Conference (ed. R. J. Cence, E. Ma and A. Roberts, Univ. Hawaii) 1, 59 (1981).
- 18. A. Dekel. Astrophys. J., in press.
- 19. N. A. Bahcall and R. M. Soniera, Astrophys. J. 277.27 (1983).
- 20. J. R. Bond, G. Efstathlou and J. Silk. Phys. Rev. Lett. 45. 1980 (1980); A. G. Doroshkevich, Ya. B. Zeldovich, R. A. Syunyaev and M. Yu. Khlopov. Plsma Astron. Zh. 6, 457 (1980) (Sov. Astron. Lett. 6, 252 (1981)).
- 21. Owing to some growth at the low mass end of the axion pertubation spectrum during the radiation dominated era. the pertubation spectra will be a bit different than those given by eqs. (7) and (8). P. J. E. Peebles. Astrophys. J. 263, L1 (1982) discusses this effect in a pure axion type scenario.
- 22. M. Dav1s, J. Huchra. D. W. Latham and J. Tonry. Astrophys. J. 253.423 (1982); S. D. M. White. C. S. Frenk and M. Davis. Astrophys. J. 274. L1 (1983); P. J. E. Peebles, Astrophys. J., 274, 1 (1983); N. Kaiser, Astrophys. J. 273, L17 (1983).
- 23. I. M. Gioia, et al., Astrophys. J. 255. L17 (1983); J. P. Huchra and M. J. Geller, Astrophys. J. 294, 356 (1982); G. D. Bothum, M. J. Geller, T. C.

Beers in Early Evolution of the Universe and Its Present Structure, ibid., p. 231.

- 24. An alternative source of density fluctuations involving domain wall-string systems of the type discussed in Ref. 3 has recently been considered by G. Lazarides and Q. Shafi within the context of inflationary scenarios.
- 25. A. Vilenkin and Q. Shafi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1716 (1983).

-

 \mathbf{v}

26. M. S. Turner, G. Steigman and L. M. Krauss, Phys. Rev. Letters 52, 2080 (1984), suggest a different mechan1sm for reconciling new inflation with the observational data.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET

End of Document