## **General Disclaimer**

## One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

DRAY MARSHALL (NASA-CR-174028) NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF FLOW N85-11315 AND HEAT TRANSFER IN THE VAFE LOX STORAGE DEWAR TANK Final Report (CHAM of North America, Inc.) 90 p HC A05/MF A01 CSCL 20D Unclas G3/34 24336 Consulting services for mathematical modeling of fluid flow, heat transfer, and/or chemical reaction processes.



#### CHAM OF NORTH AMERICA, INCORPORATED 1525-A Sparkman Drive

.

Huntsville, Alabama 35805 Telephone 205/830-2620

## NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF FLOW AND HEAT TRANSFER IN THE VAFE LOX STORAGE DEWAR TANK

By

L.T. Tam and A.K. Singhal

October 1984

CHAM/4040/6

Prepared For:

National Aeronautics and Space Administration George C. Marshall Space Flight Center Alabama 35812

> Project Manager: J.H. Pratt Contract Number: NAS8-35666

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

page
PREFACE
ABSTRACT
SECTION 1
INTRODUCTION
Background and Objective of the Study
Nature and Scope of the Study
Purpose and Outline of the Report 1-1
SECTION 2
NUMERICAL MODEL
SECTION 3
TEST CASES AND RESULTS
Test Case 1
Test Case 2
Test Case 3
Test Case 4
PRESENTATION OF RESULTS
DISCUSSION OF RESULTS
Velocity Distribution (Case 1)
Temperature Contours (Case 1)
Velocity Distribution and Temperature Contours (Cases 2-4) 3-11
Effect of Grid Refinement
Effect of Replenish Time Duration
Effect of Facility Heat Loading
Effect of Initial LOX Level
SECTION 4
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 4-1
REFERENCES

### APPENDIX A

.

紛緩

تہ

Supplied Input Data for the Flow and Heat Transfer Analysis of the VAFB LOX Storage Dewar Tank

## APPENDIX B

Graphical Results (Velocity Vector Diagrams and Temperature Contours) of Test Case 1 APPENDIX C

Graphical Results (Velocity Vector Diagrams and Temperature Contours) of Test Case 2.

APPENDIX D

Graphical Results (Velocity Vector Diagrams and Temperature Contours) of Test Case 3.

APPENDIX E

Graphical Results (Velocity Vector Diagrams and Temperature Contours) of Test Case 4.

APPENDIX F

Global Parameters Printouts of Test Case 1.

APPENDIX G

Global Parameters Printouts of Test Case 2.

APPENDIX H

Global Parameters Printouts of Test Case 3.

APPENUIX I

Global Parameters Printouts of Test Case 4.

#### PREFACE

「「「「「「「「「」」」」」

This is the final report for the NASA Marshall Space Flight Center Contract No. NAS8-35666. The work has been performed at CHAM of North America, Incorporated, Huntsville, Alabama.

Technical discussions with and contributions of J. H. Pratt, A. L. Worlund and H. Aderhold of MSFC, and Laurence Keeton of CHAM NA are gratefully acknowledge.

#### ABSTRACT

The present report describes numerical simulation of three-dimensional transient distributions of velocity and temperature of liquid oxygen (LOX) in the LOX Dewar tank of Vandenberg Air Force Base (VAFB). LOX level gradually drops due to "Chilldown", "Drain Back", "Slow Fill", "Fast Fill", "Topping" and "Replenish" procedures. The present analyses cover the replenish time period only.

Four test cases have been considered. For all four cases, the input boundary conditions comprise of LOX facility heat loads, drain flow rates, recirculation flow rates and dewar heating. All the quantities are prescribed as functions of time. The first two test cases considered sensitivity of results to the computational grid. In Case 3, system heat load was changed, while in Case 4, a lower LOX level was specified.

Cases 1 and 2 showed that the temperatures were not sensitive to the grid refinement. This provided a basic check on the numerical model. Cases 3 and 4 showed that the thermal boundary layer motion near the tank surface becomes more significant at the late time, e.g.  $5\frac{1}{2}$  hours from replenish start. Comparison between results of Cases 3 and 4 showed, as expected, that the smaller initial LOX volume given in Case 4, results in higher temperature level. All calculated velocity and temperature distributions were found to be plausible.

Computations were performed with the aid of CHAM's general-purpose, finitedifference, flow-analysis computer code - "PHOENICS". This study demonstrated the feasibility and benefits of three-dimensional analysis of LOX flow and heat transfer within the Dewar.

## Section 1 INTRODUCTION

#### BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The Space Shuttle External Tank (ET)  $LO_2$  storage system at Vandenberg Air Force Base (VAFB) is smaller than at KSC, and thus it is expected to be more sensitive to heat loads. In the present study, attention is confined to the  $LO_2$  Storage Dewar Tank of VAFB. During an ET loading operation,  $LO_2$ (or LOX) level gradually drops due to the "Chilldown", "Drain Back", "Slow Fill", "Fast Fill", "Topping" and "Replenish" procedures. Figure 1-1 shows a schematic of the dewar tank and LOX levels at the end of these operations.

The temperature of LOX leaving the Dewar tank is a strong function of LOX facility heat loads, drain flow rates, recirculation flow rates and dewar heating. The present study is concerned with the LOX flow and heat transfer during the replenish period. The objective is to elucidate the flow and heat transfer details in the LOX Dewar. This information is useful in the analysis of overall system.

#### NATURE AND SCOPE OF THE STUDY

CHAM of North America Incorporated has performed computations and analysis of LOX flows with boundary conditions specified by the NASA Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC). The study consisted of a total of four test cases.

Throughout the study, frequent discussions were held between MSFC and CHAM personnel. The results of each test case were presented in a meeting held at MSFC. Written discussions of results with graphical representations were also supplied in these meetings.

#### PURPOSE AND OUTLINE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to record the following:

1. Assumptions, mathematical basis and computational details of the numerical model;

1-1



1

£.

, K

्र १२

0

Operating Procedure	Procedure Time	Total LOX Consumed (Gallons)	Total LOX Remains in Dewar after operating (Gallons)
0 Start Loading	0	0	279,000 (93 % LOX)
1 Chilldown	17 min	7780	271,220
2 Drain Back	10 min	725	270,495
3 Slow Fill	12 min	3710	266,785
4 Fast Fill	30 min	146,810	119,975
5 Topping	20 min	7000	112,975
6 Replenish	5.5 hrs	44,554.5	68,420.5

Figure 1-1. A Schematic Diagram of the Dewar Tank and LOX Levels During an ET Loading Operation.

- 2. Specifications of the test cases considered;
- 3. Computed results and observations; and
- 4. Conclusions and recommendations.

The report has been divided into four sections. The next section (Section 2) describes assumptions and mathematical basis. Specifications of the test cases, results and discussion are provided in Section 3. More detailed results viz. graphical display of calculated flow patterns, and temperature distribution, and tabulated data for total and average quantities are provided in Appendices, separately for each case. Conclusions of the present study and recommendations for further analysis are presented in Section 4.

## Section 2 NUMERICAL MODEL

A numerical model has been developed to calculate velocity and temperature distributions of liquid oxygen (LOR) in a spherical dewar tank. To focus attention to the "Replenish" period, the initial condition is assumed to be quiscent liquid of uniform temperature, up to an appropriate level in the tank. The development of subsequent LOX motion and temperature nonuniformity are calculated by solving the conservation (transport) equations for mass, momentum, energy and two turbulence parameters (viz: turbulent kinetic energy k, and its dissipation rate  $\varepsilon$ ). These equations are solved by using CHAM's general-purpose Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) code: PHONEICS [Reference 1], which employs an iterative finite-difference solution procedure.

Further assumptions and salient features of the model are summarized below.

- 1. Computations are performed for the liquid phase (LOX) only. No heat or mass transfer to the gaseous phase, above LOX, is accounted for.
- 2. The dewar boiloff heat loadings are specified as heat fluxes through the dewar wall. These heat fluxes are assumed to be distributed uniformaly at the lower quarter of the dewar surface.
- 3. Flow is treated as transient, three-dimensional, turbulent and elliptic.
- 4. Fluid is assumed to be incompressible. Density and other fluid properties are calculated as functions of local temeprature. The following fluid properties, linearly related with temperature, have been used. These data are taken from Reference 2.

- Fluid Density:  

$$\rho = 99.123 - 0.179T \ 1bm/ft^3$$
(2-1)

- Molecular Viscosity:  $\mu = (48.943 - 0.219T) \times 10^{-5}$  lbm/ft-sec (2-2)

- Heat Capacity:  $C_p = 0.357 + 0.0003T Btu/lbm^{O}R$ 

(2-3)

- Laminar Prandtl Number:  $\sigma = 5.998 - 0.0233T$ 

With the above equations, at  $162.9^{\circ}R$  and 9 psig, LOX has the following values:

(2-4)

- $\rho = 71.12 \text{ lbm/ft}^{3};$   $\mu = 1.3268 \times 10^{-4} \text{ lbm/ft-sec};$   $C_{p} = 0.4058 \text{ Btu/lbm}^{0}\text{R};$  $\sigma = 2.202.$
- 5. A cylindrical ploar coordinates (x, y, z) system is employed, where x is the circumferential direction, y is the radial direction and z is the longitudinal direction. The parts of calculation domain lying outside the spherical tank and above the liquid level are blocked off by prescribing appropriate "porosity" values. Porosity values are zero for fully blocked cells, unity for unblocked cells, and between 0 and 1 for partially blocked cells.

Separate values are assigned for the volume and cell-face areas of each control cell. The porosity values determine the proportion of the cell volume which is available for occupancy by the fluid, and the proportion of each cell-face area available for flow. This practice is much more rigorous and accurate than the practice of using rectangular steps.

The practice of simulating the arbitrary-shaped boundaries by porosities in an orthogonal coordinate system has been successfully used in many applications of both internal and external flows, including for spaceshuttle problems [References 3, 4 and 5].

- 6. To simulate the changes of liquid level with time, porosity values of relevant control cells are updated with time.
- 7. The wall shear stress is calculated by using the conventional wall functions which are based on the assumption of logarithmic law of wall. For partially blocked control cells, wall shear stress are calculated for the projected surfaces parallel to velocity components.

2-2

- Definition

G.

$$u^{+} = \underbrace{u}_{\sqrt{\frac{T}{\rho}}}$$
(2-5)

$$y^{+} = \frac{\rho u \delta}{\mu u^{+}}$$
(2-6)

Logarithmic law of wall

$$u^{+} \begin{cases} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln E y^{+}; \text{ for } y^{+} > 11.5 \\ = y^{+}; \text{ for } y^{+} \le 11.5 \end{cases}$$
 (2-7)

where  $\tau_s$  represents shear stress,  $\mu$  and  $\rho$  are molecular viscosity and density of fluid, u is the velocity component parallel to wall in the adjacent control cell, and  $\delta$  is the distance between the wall and the center of the control cell. Y<sup>+</sup> and u<sup>+</sup> are the normalized distance and velocity as used in the logarithmic law of wall.  $\kappa$  is Von Karman constant, taken equal to 0.4, and E is another empirical constant, which for a smooth surface has the value of 9.0 [Reference 6].

As a consequence, the wall shear stress is simulated by the following:

 $\tau_{wall} = \Gamma_{wall} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \Gamma_{wall} \frac{\partial -u}{\delta}$ (2-8) where  $\Gamma_{wall} \equiv \frac{\mu y^+}{u^+}$  is the friction coefficient for momentum transfer to wall.

8. British units are used in the calculations. However calculated global quantities are printed in both British and S.I. units.

## Section 3 TEST CASES AND RESULTS

This section describes the specifications of Test Cases 1 to 4. The input boundary conditions comprise of LOX facility heat loads, replenish flow rates, recirculation flow rates in dewar and dewar boiloff heating. These quantities are prescribed as functions of time. For ready reference, relevant supplied input data are included in Appendix A.

#### TEST CASE 1

Figure 3-1 shows the selected grid distribution for Test Case 1. A total of 1620 (NX=10, NY=9 and NZ=18) control cells have been used to cover the region of interest, i.e. LOX filled region during replenish time period. Six time steps of 2000 seconds each are used to cover the first 12000 seconds of the replenish period.

The gold distribution near the LOX free surface is so chosen that one row of control cells is emptied in each time step. The porosities of relevant cells are prescribed according to the level changes with time. The model has been checked out for consistency between LOX levels, volumes and flow rates.

As mentioned earlier, the analysis starts at the beginning of replenish time. Liquid oxygen is assumed to be quiscent with  $T_0 = 162.9^{\circ}R$ ; and  $p_0 = 9$  psig. Based on the VAFB LO<sub>2</sub> consumption data (Table A-2), LOX occupies 112975 gallons (15102.56 ft<sup>3</sup>) of the dewar with the level of 17.71 ft. measured from the bottom of dewar, at the beginning of replenish.

The following conditions are used as boundary conditions:

- Volumetric inflow from  $8^{"}\phi$  return pipe is fixed, and is equal to 390 gpm.
- Heat flux (gain) through tank wall is deduced from the LOX dewar heat load boiloff; i.e.  $\dot{Q}_{flux} = 80 \text{ lbm/hr} \times 89.45 \text{ Btu/lbm} = 7156 \text{ Btu/hr}$



<sup>3-2</sup> 

This heat flux is uniformly distributed at the lower quarter of the dewar surface (i.e. for IZ  $\geq$  12, in Figure 3-1).

- The outlet pressure of the liquid oxygen is fixed to a reference value (9 psig). Since the flow is incompressible, this does not influence density or the flow distribution. The liquid level time variations are deduced from the balance of replenish outflow (525 gpm) and recirculation inflow (390 gpm). Specifications of LOX level time variations for this case are given in Table 3-1.

Tine Instant	Time from the start of replenish (sec)	LOX Liquid Vołume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Liquid Level (measured from the bottom) (ft)
т <sub>о</sub>	0	15102.56	17.71
T <sub>1</sub>	2000	14500.96	17.27
$T_2$	4000	13899.36	16.83
$T_3^-$	6000	13297.76	16.38
T <sub>4</sub>	8000	12696.16	15.93
т <mark>5</mark>	10000	12094.56	15.48
Ϋ́ <sub>6</sub>	12000	11492.96	15.01

•	ſab	le 3-	-1		
Specifications	of	LOX	Leve1s	(Case	1)

NOTE: Total LOX Volume Reduction (for whole dewar) in 3.3 hrs =  $3609.6 \text{ ft}^3$ 

- Facility heat load, i.e. heat gained by LOX from dewar outlet to  $8^{\circ}\phi$ return inlet, is estimated from the drain line temperature measurement (Figure A-2). The corresponding heat flow through  $8^{\circ}\phi$  pipe is:  ${}^{m}in{}^{h}in = {}^{\rho}in {}^{v}in {}^{C}p {}^{T}in$  (3-1)

Based on the overall energy balance and the dewar outlet temperature, the above heat flow is equavalent to a facility heat load,  $\dot{Q}$ , having the following relationship:

$$h_{in} = C_p T_{out} + \frac{Q}{m_{in}} Btu/1bm$$

(3-2)

where T<sub>out</sub> is the dewar outlet temperature.

Figure 3-4 illustrates the resultant  $\dot{Q} \sim$  time variations for this case.

TEST CASE 2

For Case 2, the geometry and flow conditions are the same as for Case 1. However there are the following differences in numerical parameters.

- 1. Only half of the dewar is simulated due to the symmetry of both geometry and boundary conditions imposed.
- 2. Finer grid distribution is used in the circumferential direction.
- 3. Calculation duration is extended up to  $5\frac{1}{2}$  hours, instead of  $3\frac{1}{2}$  hours. Hence the calculations cover the whole replenish and also the additional hold periods. Nine time steps are used; with  $\Delta T = 2000S$  for the first seven time intervals and  $\Delta T = 2800S$  and 3000S for eighth and ninth time steps, respectively.

Figure 3-2 shows the grid distributions. A total of 1944 (NX=12, NY=9 and NY=18) control cells have been used. Specifications of LOX level  $\sim$  time variations for Case 2 are given in Table 3-2.

·····			
Time Instant	Time From the start of Replenish (sec)	LOX Liquid Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Liquid Level (measured from the bottom) (ft)
то	0	15102.56	17.71
T1	2000	14500.96	17.27
T2	4000	13899.36	16.83
T3	6000	13297.76	16,38
T4	8000	12696.16	15.93
T5	10000	12094.56	15.48
Т6	12000	11492.96	15.01
Т7	14000	10890.	14.55
Т8	16800	10050.00	13.88
T9	19800	9146.50	13.14

#### Specifications of LOX Levels (Case 2)

Table 3-2

LOX Volume Reduction for Whole Dewar in 5.5 hrs =  $5956.06 \text{ ft}^3$ 3-4



-

#### TEST CASE 3

Specifications of Cases 3 and 4 were determined after the study of results for Cases 1 and 2. Case 3 is identical to Case 2, except for the prescription of a constant heat load ( $\dot{Q} \equiv 231,226$  Btu/hr) throughout the replenish period (Figure 3-4). Grid distribution and specification of LOX level  $\sim$  time variations for Case 3 are given in Figure 3-2 and Table 3-3, respectively.

Time Instant	Time From the Start of Replenish (sec)	LOX Liquid Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Liquid Level (Measured From The Bottom) (ft)		
Τ <sub>Ο</sub>	0	15102.56	17.71		
T <sub>1</sub>	2000	14500.96	17.27		
$T_2$	4000	13899.36	16.83		
T <sub>3</sub>	6000	13297.76	16.38		
T <sub>4</sub>	8000	12696.16	15.93		
T <sub>5</sub>	10000	12094.56	15.48		
Т <sub>б</sub>	12000	11492.96	15.01		
T <sub>7</sub>	14000	10890.00	14.55		
T <sub>8</sub>	16000	10290.00	14.07		
Τ <sub>q</sub>	18000	9688.00	13.59		
T <sub>10</sub>	19800	9146.50	13.14		
OX Volume Reduction in Whole Dewar in 5.5 hrs = 5956.06 $ft^3$					

	[ab]	le 3.	-3			
Specifications	of	LOX	Levels	(Case	3)	)

#### TEST CASE 4

For Case 4, all but one condition are specified to be identical to those of Case 3. The changed condition is the lower LOX level at the start of replenish period such that at the end of  $5\frac{1}{2}$  hours replenish period, LOX level is close to the top of 8" $\phi$  return pipe. Grid distribution and specification of LOX level  $\sim$  time variations for Case 4 are given in Figure 3-3 and Table 3-4, respectively.



3-7

Time Instant	Time From the Start of Replenish (sec)	LOX Liquid Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Liquid Level (Measured From The Bottom)		
•		• •	(ft)		
τ <sub>0</sub>	0	10272	14.06		
T <sub>1</sub>	2000	9670.4	13.57		
$T_2$	4000	9068.8	13.08		
† <sub>3</sub>	6000	8467.2	12.58		
T <sub>4</sub>	8000	7865.6	12.06		
T <sub>5</sub>	10000	7264	11.53		
† <sub>6</sub>	12000	6662.4	10.99		
$T_7$	14000	6060.8	10.42		
Τ <sub>R</sub>	16000	5459.2	9.839		
Tg	18000	4857.6	9.229		
$^{+}10$	19800	4315.94	8.655		
NOTE: Total Volume in Whole Dewar in 5.5 hrs = 5956.06 ft <sup>3</sup>					

Table 3-4 Specifications of LOX Levels (Case 4)

Contraction of the second

i p

1

0

Heat Flux Through 8" Return Pipe





#### PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

 $\tilde{Z}$ 

For each case, results are presented in the following forms.

- Velocity vectors and liquid temperature contours at selected horizontal and vertical planes (Appendices B, C, D and E).
- 2. Global parameters printout (Appendicies F to H) for the time variations of the following quantities:
  - average temperature and density;
  - resident mass of liquid oxygen in the tank;
  - resident thermal energy in dewar.
- 3. Liquid temperature  $\sim$  time variation diagrams, (Figures 3-5 3-8); these include temperatures near the entry (8" $\phi$  inlet), exit (16" $\phi$ outlet), and the LOX average temperature.

#### DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

#### Velocity Distribution (Case 1)

The flow development within the LOX storage dewar is shown by velocity vector diagrams in Appendix B. Figure B-1 presents vector diagrams for the horizontal plane passing through the injection point of the  $8^{"}\phi$  return pipe, and for the vertical plane containing both inlet and outlet pipes. Figure B-1 is for t = 4000 seconds. Similar diagrams for t = 12000 s are presented in Figure B-2. The last set of diagrams are for a normal vertical plane marked as section F-F in Figures B-5 and B-7.

The main observations from the velocity vector diagrams (Figures B-1 and B-2) are:

- The jet spreading and turning for the 8"φ return pipe show plausible trends. Three recirculation zones are created, one above the 8"φ return pipe, and the other two along the tank wall (due to the buoyancy effect).
- 2. Strong flow motion is observed:

a) near the jet spreading region;

- b) at the surface of LOX;
- c) near the dewar tank surface; and
- d) at the outlet.

As a consequence of the nonuniform velocities, nonuniform heat transfer coefficients are expected near the wall.

3. Recirculating eddies have been well developed at the late replenish time (at 12000 secs).

#### <u>Temperature Contours (Case 1)</u>

Isothermal contours at early and late times are also presented in Figures B-3, B-4, B-6 and B-8. As can be seen in these diagrams, the hot zone is concentrated in the jet region and the cold fluid is located at the bottom of dewar.

#### Velocity Distribution and Temperature Contours (Cases 2-4)

Figures C-1 to C-12 through E-1 to E-12 present results of Cases 2, 3 and 4 in the same form as used for Case 1.

#### Effect of Grid Refinement

なながないとうという

The comparison between Cases 1 and 2 presented in Figure 3-5 shows that except for the liquid temperature near the  $8^{\circ}\phi$  return pipe , the temperatures are not sensitive to grid refinements.

#### Effect of Replenish Time Duration

Velocity vector diagrams for Case 2 are similar to those of Case 1. However, the thermal boundary layer effect near the tank surface becomes more profound at the late time, i.e.  $5\frac{1}{2}$  hours from replenish start. Figures C-3 and C-11 show that all near-wall fluid moves towards the wall and has an upward motion. This indicates that at late replenish times, thermal boundary layer type motion becomes more important than that of inlet jet entrainment.

#### Effect of Facility Heat Loading

Figures 3-6 and 3-7 show liquid temperature variations with time for Cases 2 and 3, respectively. Decrease in temperature rises in Case 2 (Figure 3-6) is due to the reduced facility heat load used (see Figure 3-4).

#### Effect of Initial LOX Level

The comparison between Cases 3 and 4 presented in Figures 3-7 and 3-8 show that:

- 1. An increase in temperature rise in Case 4 (as compared to Case 3, Figures 3-7 and 3-8) is due to the smaller LOX volume in dewar.
- Velocity vector diagrams (Figures E-1 to E-12) are similar as in Cases

   2 and 3. However, the fluid motion in Case 4 is stronger that in
   Case 3.
- 3. Temperature contours show similar patterns in all cases. However, comparison between results of Cases 2 and 4 shows, as expected, that the smaller LOX volume gives higher temperature level.

In all cases, exit temperature of LOX tends to approach the average temperature towards the end of replenish period.

ORIGINAL PAGE 13 DE POOR QUALITY
CASE 2 RESULTS CASE 2 RESULTS
165 -
163 163 0     3000     6000;   9000     12000   15000   18000   21600
Figure 3-5. LOX Liquid Temperature Variations (Cases 1 and 2)







ORIGINAL PAGE IS DE POOR QUALITY







а, Э

.

5

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

#### Section 4

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The main findings of the calculations presented in Section 3, are summarized below.

- 1. Results of Cases 1 and 2 show that temperatures are not sensitive to grid refinements, except for the liquid temperature near the  $8^{\circ}\phi$  return pipe. This provided a basic check on the numerical model.
- 2. Calculated velocity and temperature distributions for all cases show plausible flow patterns which develop due to: (a) the falling liquid level; (b) the LOX flow through  $8^{"}\phi$  and  $16^{"}\phi$  pipes; and (c) the gains of heat through dewar wall, and  $8^{"}\phi$  return pipe.
- Computer time requirements were modest. For example, 300 seconds of CPU time on Perkin-Elmer computer were used for each time step with 1944 control cells.

The results and analysis presented in this report have demonstrated the feasibility of simulating LOX flow and heat transfer details in the dewar tank considered. Such simulations can assist in the reduction of temperature exceedances during ET system heat loadings.

Further analyses with different heat flux distributions through wall and different system heat loads are recommended.

## Section 5 REFERENCES

 Spalding D.B.
 "A General Computer Program for Fluid Flow, Heat Transfer and Chemical Reaction Processes"
 A paper presented at the International FEM-Congress, Baden-Baden, Germany, November 17-18, 1980.

- 2. McCarty R.D. and Weber L.A. "Thermophysical Properties of Oxygen from the Freezing Liquid Line to 600R for Pressures to 5000 PSIA" National Bureau of Standards Report NBS-TN-384, July 1971.
- 3. Singhal A.K. "Numerical Analysis of VAB Environment Resulting from Inadvertent Ignition of SRM Segments" CHAM Report H3450/7, May 1981.
- 4. Singhal A.K. and Tam L.T. "Numerical Analysis of Thermal Environment Around the Space Shuttle with Vertical Gas Jets on Mobile Launch Pad" CHAM Report H3490/10, March 1982.
- 5. Singhal A.K. and Tam L.T. "Numerical Analysis of Ullage Gas Flow and Heat Transfer in the LOX Tank of Space Shuttle, Volume 1" CHAM Report H3590/10, October 1983.
- Launder B.E. and Spalding D.B.
   "Mathematical Models of Turbulence" Academic Press, London and New York, 1972.

5-1

## APPENDIX A

Ũ

1 House

.

Ì

## SUpplied Input Data for the Flow and Heat Transfer Analysis of the VAFB LOX Storage Dewar Tank

1 1

## VAFB O<sub>2</sub> Loading

San States

- 0<sub>2</sub> Dewar Heat Load Boiloff 801b/HR.
- Start loading with 93% O<sub>2</sub> in dewar.
- Assume Replenish flowrate from dewar 525 gpm.
- Recirculation flow in dewar (during replenish only) 390 gpm.
- Initial 02 Condition Saturated at 1 Atmosphere.
- $0_2$  Dewar presurized to 9 psig at initiation of chill and remains there; throughout replenish.
- Facility Heat load from Dewar outlet to return inlet 310,000 Btu/Hr

# 23RD PSIG AGENDA ITEM VI,E,

### VAFB LO2 CONSUMPTION

and Sold

A . .

and the second

her freddir

PROCEDURE TIME	ENGINE BLEEDS GALLONS/GPM	ET VENT GALLONS/GPM	FACILITY COOLDOWN GALLONS	IN ORBITER GALLONS	TOTAL LO2 GALLONS
Chilldown (17 min)	425/25	510/30	2,585	4,260	7,780
Drain Back (10 min)	250/25	300/30	175		725
Slow Fill (12 min)	600/50	360/30	420	2,330	3,710
Fast Fill (30 min)	3,600/120	900/30		142,310	146,810
Topping (20 min)	2,400/120	600/30	700	3,300	7,000
Replenish (3 hr25 m	24,600/120 nín)	6,150/30	7,175	· ·	37,925
LO2 Storage Tank Boilof 4 hr-54 mir	e Ef 1		1,833		1,833
Total LO2	31,750	8,820	12,888	152,220	205,783
2 HOUR ADDI	TIONAL REPLENI	SH			22,200
Total LO2					227,983
		RESIDU	JAL IN TANK		51,017

A-2

#### 22ND PSIG

#### AGENDA ITEM VIII,B

14

VAFB LH2 AND LO2 LOADING TIMELINE







Figure A-2 Drain Line Temperature Measurement.

A-4
APPENDIX B

S

 $\|$ 

0

0

ſ

tore -

4

Graphical Results (Velocity Vector Diagrams and Temperature Contours) of Test Case 1



Plan view just above the return pipe; IZ = 13 in Figure 3-1.

and the local sector





Figure B-2 Velocity Vector Diagrams at t = 12000s



Figure B-3 Temperature Contours at t = 4000s







Number of Street



Figure B-6 Temperature Contorus at t = 4000s

K

1. 1

•

. .



Figure B-7 Velocity Vectors at t = 12000s

۱



Figure B-8 Temperature Contours at t = 12000s

# APPENDIX C

Ø

Internation

a de la de

K.

. # 6 Graphica] Results (Velocity Vector Diagrams and Temperature Contours) o∉ Test Case 2.

Ċ



Ň

Figure C-1 Velocity Vector Diagrams at t = 4000s



問題

A Walter

AND ADDRESS

1



Ł

u gan

2.4



.



-----

÷

1

A



VMAX = 0.39 ft/sec

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{CASE 2} \\ \text{t} = 4000 \text{s} \end{array}$ (1.11 hrs)







Middle Comercia



Figure C-8 Temperature Contours at t = 4000s

Temperature  $^{O}R$ 

Contours

1	163.50	
2	163.60	
3	163.70	
4	163.80	
5	163.90	

Elevation (Section F-F)

CASE 2 t = 12000s (3.33 hrs)







VMAX = 0.5 ft/sec

Ē

Elevation (Section F-F)

CASE 2 t = 19800s (5.5 hrs.)





Elevation (Section F-F)

Temperature <sup>O</sup>R

Contours

16	165.80
17	165.85
18	165.90
19	165.95





### APPENDIX D

 $\phi$ 

# Graphical Results (Velocity Vector Diagrams and Temperature Contours) of Test Case 3

and the second





. \$



Figure D-3 Velocity Vector Diagrams at t = 19800 S

1

¥,

R

ð.

312

Ē.



Figure D-4

"

Ġ

.

Temperature Contours at t = 4000s





Figure D-6 Temperature Contours at t = 19800 S

2 ALC - 1 - 1

12 3 4

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{CASE 3} \\ \text{t} = 4000 \text{s} \end{array}$ (1.11 hrs)





Figure D-8 Temperature Contours at t = 4000s.

VMAX = 0.43 ft/sec

CASE 3 t = 12000s (3.33 hrs)



Temperature <sup>O</sup>R

Contours

6 164.55 7 164.60 8 164.65 9 164.70



Figure DH10 Temperature Contours at t = 12000s

VMAX = 0.49 ft/sec

Case 3 t = 19800 S (5.5 hrs)









Figure D-12 Temperature Contours at t = 19800s

Temperature  $^{O}R$ 

	Contours
15	165.80
16	165.85
17	165.90
18	165.95
19	166.00

#### APPENDIX E

香港店

Sector Sector

Contraction of the local distribution of the

Graphical Results (Velocity Vector Diagrams and Temperature Contours) of Test Case 4



#### Figure E-1 Velocity Vector Diagram at t = 4000s



Contraction of the second

Figure E-2 Velocity Vector Diagrams at t = 12000s



Ĩ



Figure E-4 Temperature Contours at 4000s





Figure E-6 Temperature Contours at t = 19800s

.

Case 4 t = 4000 S (1.11 hrs)









Temperature <sup>O</sup>R

	Contours
1	163.6
2	163.7
3	163.8
4	163.9

VMAX = 0.46 ft/sec

a subsection of

and the second se

Case 4 t = 12000 S(3.33 hrs)



Elevation (Section F-F)





Contours 165.35 6 165.40 7 8 165.45 165.50 9 165.55 10 11 165.60 12 165.65 13 165.70
VMAX = 0.56 ft/sec





Figure E-12 Temperature Contours at 19800s

Temperature <sup>O</sup>R

y.

### Contours

167.05
167.10
167.15
167.20
167.25
167.30
167.35
167.40

## APPENDIX F

## Global Parameters Printouts of Test Case 1

1. S. M.

TASS INFLOW RATE (LBM/S) = $6.127\pm01$ ALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/S) = $8.352\pm01$ UTAL MASS UF LOX (LBM ) = $1.030\pm06$ UTAL LUX VOLUME (FT**3) = $1.450\pm04$ UTAL LUX VOLUME (FT**3) = $1.450\pm04$ UTAL MASS*ENTH (UTU ) = $6.830\pm04$ VERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT**3) = $7.104\pm01$ VERAGE TEMPERATURE ( K ) = $1.633\pm02$	t = 2000s
TASS INFLUW RATE (LBM/S) = $6.125E+01$ ALCULATED DUTFLUW (LBM/S) = $-8.141E+01$ UTAL MASS OF LOX (LBM ) = $9.866E+05$ OTAL LOX VOLUME (FT**3) = $1.390E+04$ UTAL MASS*ENTH (BTU ) = $6.558E+07$ VERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT**3) = $7.098E+01$ VERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R ) = $1.637E+02$	t = 4000s
MASS INFLOW RATE (LBM/8) = 6,124E+01 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/8) $\doteq$ -8,122E401 OTAL MASS OF LOX (LBM ) $\doteq$ 9,431E405 OTAL LOX VULUME (F1**3) $\doteq$ 1,330E404 OTAL MASS*ENTH (BTO ) $\doteq$ 6,284E407 OTAL MASS*ENTH (BTO ) $\doteq$ 6,284E407 OVERAGE DENSITY (LHM/F1**3) $\doteq$ 7,092E401 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R ) $\doteq$ 1,640E402	t = 6000s
MASS INFLUW RATE (LBM/S) = $6,122E+01$ CALCULATED DUTFLUW (LBM/S) = $-8,103E+01$ TUTAL MASS OF LOX (LBM ) = $8,997E+05$ TUTAL LOX VULUME (FT*3) = $1,270E+04$ TUTAL HASS*ENTH (BTU ) = $6,009E+07$ AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT**3) = $7,086E+01$ AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R ) = $1,644E+02$	t = 8000s
MASS INFLUM RATE (LBM/S) = $6.120\pm01$ CALCULATED UUTFLUW (LBM/S) = $-8.091\pm01$ TOTAL MASS OF LUX (LBM ) = $8.564\pm05$ TOTAL LUX VOLUME (FT**3) = $1.209\pm04$ TOTAL LUX VOLUME (FT**3) = $1.209\pm04$ TOTAL MASS*ENTH (DTU ) = $5.732\pm07$ AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT**3) = $7.081\pm01$ AVERAGE LEMPERATURE ( R <sup>-</sup> ) = $1.647\pm02$	t = 10000s
NASS INFLUW RATE (LBM/S) = 6.118E+01 CALCULATED DUTFLOW (LBM/S) = $-8.097\pm701$ TUTAL MASS OF LOX (LBM.) = $8.132\pm105$ TOTAL LOX VULUME (FT**3) = $1.149\pm104$ TUTAL MASS*ENTH (BTU.) = $5.454\pm107$ AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT**3) = $7.076\pm101$ AVERAGE TEMPERATURE (R) = $1.650\pm102$	t = 12000s

)

,

### Table F-1

Global Quantities printout, for whole LOX Tank - Case 1

•	MASS INFLOW	RATE (KG/S) =	2,7/9E+01
	CALCULATED OUT	FLOW (KG/S) =	+3,788E+01
	TUTAL MASS OF	LOX (KG) =	4,072E+05
	TOTAL LUX VULU	ME (M**3) =	4,106E+02
	TOTAL MASS*ENT	H (JOULES) =	7,201E+10 t = 2000s
	ÄVERAGE DENSIT	Y (KG/M**3) =	1,138E+03
	AVERAGE TEMPER	RATURE (K) =	9,072E+01
	MASS INFLUW	RATE (KG/S) =	2,778E+01
	CALCULATED DUT	TFLOW (KG/S) ≐	-3,692E+01
	TOTAL MASS OF	LOX (KG ) ≐	4,474E+05
	TOTAL LOX VULU	JME (M**3) ≐	3,936E+02
	TOTAL MASS*ENT	TH (JOULES) ≐	6,913E+10
	AVERAGE DENSIT	TY (KG/M**3 ) ≐	1,137E+03
	AVERAGE TEMPER	RATURE ( K ) ≐	9,094E+01
•••	MASS INFLOW	RATE (KG/S) =	2.777E+01
	CALCULATED DU	1FLU№ (KG/S) ±	-3.683E+01
	IUTAL MASS OF	LUX (KG) ±	4.277E+05
	TOTAL LOX VOL	UME (M**3) ±	3.766E+02 t = 6000s
	IUTAL MASSXEN	TH (JUULES) ±	6.625E+10
	AVERAGE DENSI	TY (KG/M**3 ) ±	1.136E+03
	AVERAGE IEMPE	RA1URE ( K ) ±	9.113E+01
	MASS INFLUW	RATE (KG/S)	2.776E+01
	CALCULATED DU	ITFLOW (KG/S)	-3.675E+01
	TUTAL MASS OF	LUX (KG)	4.080E+05
	TUTAL LOX VOL	UME (M**3)	3.595E+02
	TUTAL MASS*EN	ITH (JUULES)	6.334E+10 t = 8000s
	AVERAGE DENSI	TY (KG/M**3)	1.135E+03
	AVERAGE TEMPE	RATURE ( K )	9.132E+01
•	MASS INFLU CALCULATED ( TUTĂL MĂSS ( TUTAL LUX V( TUTAL LUX V( TUTAL MASS*1 ÁVERAGE UEÑ) AVERAGE IEM)	W RATE (KG/S) DUTFLOW (KG/S) DF LOX (KG) DLUME (M**3) ENTH (JOULÉS) SITY (KG/M**3) PERATURE (~ K)	<pre>= 2,775€.+01 = -3.669E.f01 = 3.8846.+05 = 3.425€.+02 t = 10000s = 6.042E.f10 = 1.134€.f03 = 9.149E.f01</pre>
	MASS INFLOW CALCULATED OU TOTAL MASS OF TOTAL LUX VUL TOTAL LUX VUL TOTAL MASS*EN AVERAGE DENSI AVERAGE TEMPE	RATE (KG/S) = TFLOW (KG/S) = LOX (KG) = UME (M**3) = TH (JUULÉS) = TY (KG/M**3) = RATURE ( K ) =	2.774E+01 -3.672E+01 3.688E+05 3.255E+02 5.749E+10 t = 12000s 1.133E+03 9.165E+01

Table F-2

Global Quantities Printout, for whole LOX Tank - Case 1

## APPENDIX G

Global Parameters Printouts of Test Case 2

ŋ

3.064E+01 (LBM/9) MASS INFLOW RATE 2 CALCULATED DUTFLOW (LBM/8) ż -4.644Ef61 ÷. 51191E+03 TOTAL MASS OF LOX (LBM ) 7.2518403 ÷ TOTAL LUX VOLUME (ドキ\*\*3) ÷ 3,4156407 t = 2000 STUTAL MASS +ENTH (Btū 1 AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FŤ★★3) ≐ AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R ) ≐ 7.104E401 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( "R") 116338402 MASS INFLOW RATE (LBM/8)E 3.0636401 #31897Ef01 ż CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/S) ÷ 4-933E405 TOTAL MASS OF LOX (LBM ) 6.950E+03 ź TOTAL LOX VOLUME (FT\*\*3) t = 4000 SÉ 3,2796+07 TOTAL MASS\*ENTH (B†Ü) 70988401 AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT++3) = ź 1.6378402 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R) MASS INFLUW RATE (LBM/\$) 3+062E+01 Ħ CALCULATED DUTFLOW (LBM/S) -3,957E+01 4,715E+05 ÷ TOTAL MABS OF LOX (ĽBM ) ÷ TOTAL LOX VOLUME (FT\*\*3) ź 61649EF03 TOTAL MASS \*ENTH (B†0 ) t = 6000 S÷ 31142E+07 AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT\*\*3) = 7 0926+01 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R.) É 1.6408402 3.061E+01 INFLUW RATE (LBM/S) 8 MASS -3,955E+01 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/8) ÷. ÷ 4,4988+05 (LBM ) TOTAL MASS OF LOX ė 6.3486+03 TOTAL LOX VOLUME (FT\*\*3) t = 8000 S÷ 3.0048407 (810) TOTAL MASS + ENTH 7,085Ef01 AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT\*\*3) = AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R ) = 1,6448402 INFLOW RATE (LBM/S) 3.060E+01 22 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/S) ÷ 

TOTAL MASS OF LOX (ĽBM ) ÷ 4,282Ef05 t = 10000 STOTAL LOX VOLUME -21 (ドキ\*\*3) 6.047E+03 ÷ TOTAL MASS +ENTH 2.8668407 (810 ) 7.0808+01 AVERAGE VENSITY (LBM/FT\*\*3) = ≟ 1.6476402 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( "R")

#### Table G-1

MASS

Global Quantities Printout for Half LOX Tank - Case 2

3.0596+01 (LBM/S) Ħ INFLOW RATE MASS -3,983E+01 à CALCULATED DUTFLOW (LBM/S) 4,0668405 ÷ (LBM ) TOTAL MADS OF LOX t = 12000 S÷ 5 7478 + 03 (FT\*\*3) TUTAL LOX VOLUME 2.7278407 ÷ (810 ) TOTAL MASS + ENTH 7;0768401 AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/Ft\*\*3) = 1.650Ef02 ÷ AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R") 3.0586+01 MASS INFLOW RATE (LBM/8)# ÷ =3,9788401 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/S) 3.8516405 TOTĂL MĂŜS OF LOX ġ. (LBM ) ź 5.4466403 TUTAL LOX VOLUME (ド \*\*\*3) t = 14000 S2,587Ef07 à TUTAL MASS\*ENTH (870 ) AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT++3) ≐ 7.071E+01 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R ) = 1.6536+02 MASS INFLOW RATE (LBM/S)3.0578+01 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/S) -3.941Ef01 ģ TOTAL MASS OF LUX 3,5506405 (LBM ) ÷ ÷ TOTAL LUX VOLUME (FT\*\*3) 5,025E+03 t = 16800 STUTAL MASS + ENTH ż 2.3908+07 (810 )) AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/Ft\*\*3) = 7.0562401 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( ÷ R) 1.656E+02 MASS INFLOW RATE (LBM/S) 3.0556+01 22 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/S) -3,981E401 22 3,229E+05 TUTAL MASS OF LOX ÷ (LBM ) TOTAL LOX VOLUME ÷ t = 19800 S4.574E+03 (FT\*\*3) TUTAL MASS + ENTH ÷ (ATÚ 211786407 • ) AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT\*\*3) = 7.0618+01 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( RJ 20 1.6588402

#### Table G-2

Global Quantities Printout for Half LOX Tank - Case 2

1.389E401 (KG/8) # INFLOW RATE MASS (KG/S) = -2.106E+01CALCULATED OUTFLOW 2,336E+05 ) <u>ú</u> (KG TOTAL MASS OF LOX 2.0536402 (M\*\*3) = TOTAL LUX VOLUME (JOULES) = 3,6000410 TOTAL MASS KENTH t = 2000 S1,138E+03 AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ) # ( K ) à 92073Ef01 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE 1.3898+01 INFLOW (KG/S) = MASS RATE (KG/S) ≐ H14768E401 CALCULATED DUTFLOW - H -2.2378405 TOTAL MÁSS OF LOX (KG ) 1,9688402 (M\*\*3) # TUTAL LUX VOLUME (JOULES) = 3 4576410 t = 4000 STUTAL MASS ENTH ່ ) 🛓 1,1376+03 ÁVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ÷ 9,094E+01 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( K ) (RG/S) # 1.389E+01 MASS INFLOW RATE #1;795E+01 (KG/S) = CALCULATED OUTFLOW ) = (KG 211388405 TOTAL MASS OF LOX (M\*\*3) = TUTAL LOX VOLUME 1.8836402 (JUULES) ≐ 313128410 TUTAL MASS\*ENTH t = 6000 S) = 111368403 AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ('K) ≒ 911148+01 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE MASS INFLOW RATE 1,388E+01 (KG/S) = CALCULATED OUTFLOW (KG/S) = -1.7938401 TOTAL MASS OF LUX (KG ) ź 2.0402405 TOTAL LOX VOLUME (M\*\*3) 4 1.798E402 TOTAL MASS\*ENTH (JOULÉS) = t = 8000 S3,167E+10 ÁVERAGE DEÑSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ) ± 1,1358403 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( K ) 🖆 9.1338401 MASS INFLOW RATE "(KG/S) ± 1.388E401 CALCULATED DUTFLOW (KG/S) È. **#1.796Ef01** TOTAL MASS OF LOX ) 🗄 1.9426+05 (KG TUTAL LUX VOLUME (M\*\*3) ż 1.7128+02 t = 10000 STUTAL MASS\*ENTH (JOULES) ± 3.0218+10 ÂVÊRÂGE DENSITY (KG/M\*#3 ) à 1.134E+03 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( K ) A 9.1500401

3 S

#### Table G-3

Global Quantities Printout for Half LOX Tank - Case 2

1,387EF01 INFLUW RATE (KG/8) = MÁSS (KG/S) A -1,806Ef01 UALCULATED OUTFLOW 1.8448+05 ÷ TOTAL MASS OF LOX (KG ) (M★★3) ≓ 1.6276402 TOTAL LUX VOLUME t = 12000 S2.8756410 (JOULES) = TOTAL MASS\*ENTH 1,1336403 ÷ AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3") 9,1662401 K ) 🚔 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( 1.3876+01 (KG/9) = INFLUW MA99 RATE ÷ #1\_804Ef01 (KG/8) CALCULATED OUTFLOW 1.7468+05 (KG ) ≐ (M\*\*3) ≐ TUTAL MASS OF LOX 1,5426402 TOTAL LOX VOLUME (JUULES) É 2.7278410 TOTAL MASS\*ENTH AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ) = 1,1326+03 t = 14000 S(к) 🖆 9,181E+01 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE MÁŚS RATE INFLOW (KG/9) =1.3866401 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (KG/S) = -1.787E401 TOTAL MASS UF LUX (KG ) = 1,610E+05 TUTAL LOX VULUME (M\*\*3) ≐ 1.4238402 t = 16800 S(JOULĖS) ≐ 5-20E+10 TUTAL MASS \* ENTH AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*+3 ) ≐ 1,1328403 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( K ) 🖆 9.1976401 MASS INFLOW (KG/S) =1.386E+011 RATE CALCULATED OUTFLOW -1,805E401 (KG/S) ≐ 1,4656405 TOTAL MASS OF LOX (KG ) = 1.2958+02 TOTAL LOX VOLUME (M\*\*3) = t = 19800 S2,296E+10 TOTAL MASS\*ENTH (JUULES) ≐ AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ) = 1.1318403 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( K ) = 9.213E+01

#### Table G-4

7

Global Quantities Printout for Half LOX Tank - Case 2

# APPENDIX H

2

Contraction of the

Control Dans of

Global Parameters Printouts, for Test Case 3

3,06386+01 (LBM/S)INFLUM RATE Ħ MASS ż -4.62536401 CALCULATED OUTFLUW (LBM/S) 5.15266409 ÷ TUTAL MABS OF LOX (LBM ) 7.25062403 t = 2000 S÷ IDIAL LUX VOLUME (11\*\*3) 3,41346+07 -11 •) TUTAL MASS \* ENTH (B)U 7 10646401 AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT\*\*3) Ë. 1.63198402 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R.) 3+06585+01 INFLOW RATE MASS (LBM/8) \* -4.1121E+01 ÷. CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/S) -11 -11 4 93558+05 TOTAL MADS OF LOX (L'BM ) 6.94985403 (FT\*\*3) t = 4000 SLOX VOLUME TOTAL -11 3,27586+07 TUTAL MASS\*ENTH (BTU ) 7,10176401 AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT\*\*3) = ÷ 1.63466402 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R ) MASS INFLUW RATE (LBM/8)3.0618E+01 CALCULATED UUTFLOW (LBM/S) ÷ **≈3,**9719E∓01 t = 6000 STOTAL MASS OF LOX (LHM ) É 4.71876405 -11 TOTAL LOX VOLUME (F1\*\*3) 6.64906403 ÷ TUTAL MASS\*ENTH (Brú ) 3,1380Ef07 H AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/F1\*\*3) 7:09688401 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R'j ź. 1,6325846402 MASS INFLOW RATE 3.0608E+01 (LBM/9) Ξ CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/S) ± -3,9866E+01 ÷ TOTAL MASS OF LOX 4,50206405 (LBM ) -11 TOTAL LOX VOLUME (ド \* \* \* \* \* ) 6.34826403 t = 8000 STOTAL MASS\*ENTH (BID 22 2,99995107 ) 7.0918E+01 AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT\*\*3) = AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( 'R') 1.64046402 # 3.0598E+01 MASS INFLUW RATE (LBM/S) 1 -s,9806Ef01 CALCULATED DUTFLOW (LBM/S) 4,28566405 TUTAL MASS OF LUX ġ (LBM 2 -12 t = 10000 STOTAL LOX VOLUME 6,04748403 (FT\*\*3) ÷ 2.86136407 TOTAL MASSXENTH (BT0)) 7,0867E+01 AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/Ft\*\*3) ÷ AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( "R ) Ħ 1.64348402

#### Table H-1

5

. .

Global Quantities Printout for Half LOX Tank - Case 3

MASS INFLUW RATE (LBM/S) = 3.0588E+01 CALCULATED DUTFLUW (LBM/S) $\Rightarrow$ =3.9832Ef01 TOTAL MASS OF LOX (LBM ) $\Rightarrow$ 4.0694Ef09 TOTAL LOX VOLUME (FT**3) $\Rightarrow$ 5.7466Ef03 TOTAL MASS*ENTH (BTU ) $\Rightarrow$ 2.7228Ef07 AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/F1**3) = 7.0815Ef01 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE (R) $\Rightarrow$ 1.6464Ef02	t = 12000 S
MASS INFLUW RATE (LBM/S) = $3;0579E+01$ CALCULATED UUTFLUW (LBM/S) = $-3;9654E+01$ TOTAL MASS OF LUX (LBM ) = $3;0536E+05$ TOTAL LOX VULUME (FT**3) = $5;4458E+03$ TOTAL MASS*ENTH (BTÚ ) = $2;5837E+07$ AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT**3) = $7;0763E+01$ AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R ) = $1;6494E+02$	t = 14000 S
MASS INFLUW RATE (LBM/S) = $3.0569E+01$ CALCULATED DUTFLOW (LBM/S) = $-3.9617E+01$ TOTAL MASS OF LOX (LBM) = $3.63J2E+05$ TOTAL LUX VOLUME (FT**3) = $5.1450E+03$ TOTAL MASS*ENTH (BTU) = $2.4442E+07$ AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT**3) = $7.0712E+01$ AVERAGE TEMPERATURE (R) = $1.6524E+02$	t = 16000 S
MASS INFLUW RATE (LBM/S) = $3.0559E+01$ CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/S) = $-3.9645E+01$ TOTAL MASS OF LOX (LBM ) = $3.4230E+05$ TOTAL LOX VOLUME (FT**5) = $4.8442E+03$ TOTAL MASS*ENTH (BTU ) = $2.3043E+07$ AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT**3) = $7.0662E+01$ AVERAGE IEMPERATURE ( R ) = $1.6554E+02$	- t = 18000 S
MASS INFLUW RATE (LBM/S) = $3,0550E+01$ CALCULATED OUTFLUW (LBM/S) = $+4,0016E+01$ TUTAL MASS OF LOX (LBM ) = $3,2295E+05$ TOTAL LUX VOLUME (FT**3) = $4,5735E+03$ TUTAL MASS*ENTH (BTU ) = $2,1782E+03$ TUTAL MASS*ENTH (BTU ) = $2,1782E+07$ AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT**3) = $7,0613E+01$ AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R ) = $1,6582E+02$	t = 19800 S

## Table H-2

Superior of the

Global Quantities Printout for Half LOX Tank - Case 3

(British Units)

.

1:3895E+01 (KG/S) = INFLUW RATE MASS -2;09768401 CALCULATED DUTFLOW (KG/S) ź 2133688409 TUTAL MASS OF LOX (KG ) 🟛 YOTAL LOX VOLUME (M\*\*3) = 2.05316402 t = 2000 S÷ 3.59848710 TUTAL MASS \*ENTH (JOULES) AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*+3 ) + 1.13828403 ) ± 9,0654E401 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( ĸ 1.3890E+01 (KG/S) = INFLUW RATE MASS -1,86498401 /8) ≓ ) ≟ CALCULATED OUTFLOW (KG/8) 2,23838405 (KG TUTAL MASS OF LUX (M\*\*3) = t = 4000 S1.96798+02 TOTAL LOX VOLUME (JOULES) ± 3.49338410 TOTAL MASS \*ENTH AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ) = 1.13746403 к ) ≐ 9,0813E+01 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( MASS INFLUW RATE (KG/S) = 1.3886E+01(KG/S) = -1.8013E401 CALCULATED OUTFLOW TUTAL MASS OF LUX ) É 2.14006405 (KG (月\*\*3) 台 1.88288402 IDTAL LUX VULUME t = 6000 S(JUULES) = TOTAL MASS\*ENTH 3,30806410 ) ± AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 1,13668403 ( K ) # AVERAGE LEMPERATURE 9.09716+01 MASS INFLOW RATE (KG/S) =1.3881E+01 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (KG/S) ≐ →1,8080E+01 TUTAL MASS OF LUX ) 🚖 (KG 2.04176405 -1.79768402 TUTAL LUX VULUME (M\*\*3)...# t = 8000 STOTAL MASS\*ENTH (JUULÉS) 🛱 3.16246410 AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M++3 ) 🚊 1.13586403 AVERAGE <u>IEMPERATURE</u> ( K ) = 9.11336401 MASS RATE (KG/S) 84 84 1.30778+01 CALCULATE UTFLOW TOTAL MADE F LUX (KG/8) È -1,8053E401 ) 🚊 (KG 1,94366405 (14月23) 白 1.71246+02 TUTAL MASS (NTH (JUULES) = AVERAGE DEALITY (KG/M++3) = t = 10000 S3.01656410 1.1350E+03 AVERAGE TEMPLERATURE ( K ) 🛓 9.12998401

#### Table H-3

K

靈

Global Quantities Printout for Half LOX Tank - Case 3

MASS INFLOW RATE (KG/S) = 1,3872E+01 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (KG/S) = 1,8064E+01 TOTAL MASS OF LOX (KG) = 1,8064E+01 TOTAL LOX VOLUME (M**3) = 1,6272E+02 TOTAL LOX VOLUME (M**3) = 1,6272E+02 TOTAL MASS*ENTH (JOULES) = 2,8703E+10 AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M**3) = 1,1342E+03 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( K ) = 9,1468E+01	t = 12000 S
MASSINFLUWRATE $(KG/S) = 1,3868E+01$ CALCULATEDOUTFLOW $(KG/S) = -1,7984E+01$ TOTALMASSOFTOTALMASSOFTOTALLOX $(KG) = 1,7477E+03$ TOTALLOX $(KG) = 1,7477E+03$ TOTALLOX $(KG) = 1,7477E+03$ TOTALLOX $(M**3) = 1,5421E+02$ TOTALLOXVOLUMETOTALMASS*ENTH $(JOULES) = 2,7237E+10$ AVERAGEDENSITY $(KG/M**3) = 1,1333E+03$ AVERAGETEMPERATURE $(K) = 9,1635E+01$	t = 14000 S
MASSINFLOWRATE(KG/S) $=$ 1.3863E+01CALCULATEDUUTFLOW(KG/S) $=$ $=$ 1.7967E+01TUTALMASSUFLUX(KG) $=$ 1.6500E+05TUTALLOXVOLUME(M**3) $=$ 1.4569E+02TUTALMASS*ENTH(JUULES) $=$ 2.5766E+10ÁVERAGEDENSITY(KG/M**3) $=$ 1.1325E+03AVERAGETEMPERATURE(K) $=$ 9.1800E+01	t = 16000 S
MASSINFLUWRATE $(KG/S) = 1.3859E+01$ CALCULATEDUUTFLOW $(KG/S) = -1.7998E+01$ TOTALMASSDFLOX $(KG) = -1.7998E+01$ TOTALLOXVOLUME $(M**3) = -1.3717E+02$ TOTALMASS*ENTH(JOULES) = 2.4292E+10AVERAGEDENSITY $(KG/M**3) = -1.1317E+03$ AVERAGETEMPERATURE $(-K) = -9.1965E+01$	t = 18000 S
MASS INFLUW RATE $(KG/S) = 1,055E+01$ CALCULATED UUTFLUW $(KG/S) = -1,0148E+01$ TOTAL MASS OF LUX $(KG) = 1,4646E+05$ TOTAL LUX VULUME $(M**3) = 1,295IE+02$ TOTAL HASS*ENTH $(JOULES) = 2,2962E+10$ AVERAGE DENSITY $(KG/M**3) = 1,1309E+03$ AVERAGE IEMPERATURE $(K) = 9,2121E+01$	t = 19800 S

¢.

Tabie H'-4

R

R J.

0

1.247.25

×,

Global Quantities Printout for Half LOX Tank - Case 3

# APPENDIX I

# Global Parameters Printouts of Test Case 4

MASS IN CALCULAT TUTAL MA TUTAL LU TUTAL HA AVERAGE AVERAGE	IFLOW RATE ED OUTFLOW SS OF LOX IX VOLUME SS*ENTH DENSITY (LB TEMPERATURE	(LBM/S) (LBM/S) (LBM ) (FT**3) (FT**3) (FT**3) (FT**3) (R)	= 3,0638E+01 = 4,5695E+01 = 3,4354E+05 = 4,6357E+03 = 2,2779E+07 = 7,1043E+01 = 1,6332E+02	t = 2000 S
MASS IN CALCULAT TUTAL MA TUTAL LU TUTAL HA AVERAGE AVERAGE	HLUW RATE ED UUTFLOW SS OF LOX X VOLUME SS*ENTH DENSITY (LB TEMPERATURE	(LBM/S) : (LBM/S) : (LBM ) : (F1**3) : (BTÚ ) : M/F[**3) : ( R ) :	<pre>= 3.0628E+01 = 4.1686E+01 = 3.2186E+05 ± 4.5349E+05 ± 2.1400E+07 ± 7.0973E+01 ± 1.6372E+02</pre>	t = 4000 S
MASS IN CALCULAT TOTAL MA TOTAL LU TOTAL MA AVERAGE AVERAGE	IFLUW RATE EU OUTFLOW SS OF LUX IX VULUME SS*ENTH DENSITY (LH TEMPERATURE	(LBM/S) : (LBM/S) : (LBM ) : (E1**3) : (E10) : M/F1**3) : ( RT) :	3.0618E+01 3.0618E+01 3.0021E+05 4.2341E+03 2.0017E+07 7.0902E+01 1.6413E+02	t = 6000 S
MASS IN CALCULAT TUTAL MA TUTAL LU TUTAL MA AVERAGE AVERAGE	FLUW RATE ED DUTFLOW SS UF LOX X VOLUME SS*ENTH DENSITY (LH TEMPERATURE	(LBM/S) (LBM/S) (LBM) (F1**3) (F1**3) (B1U) M/FT**3) (R)	<pre>3.0608E+01 -3.9861E+01 2.7860E+05 3.9334E+03 1.8630E+07 7.0830E+01 1.6455E+02</pre>	t = 8000 S
MASS IN CALCULAT TUTAL MA TUTAL LU TUTAL HA AVERAGE AVERAGE	FLUW RATE ED OUTFLUW SS OF LOX X VOLUME SS*ENTH DENSITY (LH TEMPERATURE	(LHM/S) (LHM/S) (LHM/S) (FT**3) (FT**3) (BTU ) M/FT**3) ( R )	= 3,0598E+01 = -3,9946E+01 = 2,5704E+05 = 3,6326E+03 = 1,7236E+03 = 1,6496E+02	t = 10000 S

## Table I -1

Global Quantities Printout for Half LOX Tank - Case 4

MASS INFLUN RATE (LBM/S) 3,05888+01 ÷ -4.0072E+01 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/S) TUTAL MASS OF LOX 2,3553E+05 (LBM ) = 3.33182+03 TOTAL LOX VULUME (+1\*\*3)ż t = 12000 STOTAL MASS \*ENTH -1.58376+07 (BTU ) ė AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT\*\*3) 7.06916401 1.653/6402 AVERAGE LEMPERATURE ( R ) 2 INFLOW RATE (LBM/S) MASS 3.05798+01 # -4.0190EF01 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/S) = TOTAL MASS UF LOX -2.14056405 (LBM ) TOTAL LOX VOLUME (FT\*\*3) 2 3,03108403 t = 14000 STOTAL MASS + ENTH Ţ, 1.44336407 (BTU ) ÷ 7.0620E+01 AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT\*\*3) ÷ AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( R) 1.65786402 3,0569E+01 MASS INFLOW RATE (LBM/S) -CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/S) --4,0300E+01 -1.92606405 TUTAL MASS OF LOX (LBM ) t = 16000 STUTAL LUX VULUME ÷ 2.73026403 (F1\*\*3) TUTAL MASS\*ENTH 1.3025Ef07 (BTU ) -7.05446+01 AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT\*\*3) = - 22 1.66555405 AVERAGE LEMPERATURE ( R ) MASS INFLOW RATE (LBM/S)3,05598+01 -4.0435E+01 CALCULATED OUTFLUW (LBM/S) = CLBM TUTAL MASS OF LOX 1.71186405 ) t = 18000 S2,4295Ef03 TOTAL LOX VULUME (F1\*\*3) 2 TUTAL MASS\*ENTH 1.1615E407 (BTŪ ) -AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FT\*\*3) 'n 7,0460E+01 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( RÍ 1.66712+02 MASS INFLOW RATE (LBM/S) 3.055RE+01 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (LBM/S) ÷ -4.06098401 TUTAL MASS OF LUX ÷ (LBM 1,51916405 ) TUTAL LOX VULUME ÷ 2,15878703 (FT\*\*3) t = 19800 STUTAL MASS\*ENTH ÷ 1.0343E+07 (810 • ) AVERAGE DENSITY (LBM/FI\*\*3) ÷ 7,0372E+01

-

1.67228402

R)

### Table 1-2

AVERAGE (EMPERATURE (

i v

Global Quantities Printout for Half LOX Tank - Case 4

1,38958+01 (KG/\$) = INFLOW RATE MASS -2,0723E401 (KG/S) ≐ CALCULATED DUTFLOW 1,55802405 ) ± t = 2000 S(KG TUTAL MASS OF LUX 1,36938402 (M\*\*3) = TOTAL LOX VOLUME 2,40138410 (JUULES) ± TUTAL MASS\*ENTH 1.1378E+03 AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ) = 9,0732E+01 ( К) ≛ AVERAGE TEMPERATURE 1,38908+01 INFLOW (KG/S) =MASS RATE (KG/S) ± -1.89056401 CALCULATED OUTFLOW IUTAL MÁSS UF LUX (KG ) ≠ 1.4597E+05 (M\*\*3) = 1.2841E+02 t = 4000 STUIAL LUX VULUME (JUULES) = TUIAL MASS\*ENTH 2.25606410 AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ] = 1.13676+03 9,09578401 ('K)≐ AVERAGE TEMPERATURE MASS INFLUW RATE (KG/S) =1.3886E701 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (KG/S)-1.8030E+01 TUTAL MASS OF LOX (KG ) 🛓 1.36158405 t = 6000 STUTAL LUX VOLUME (11\*\*3) 台 1.19906402 TUTAL MASS\*ENTH (JUULES) = 2.1102E410 AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3" ) = 1.13568403 AVERAGE TENPERATURE ( K ) ≐ 9,11868401 1,3881E+01 (KG/S) =INFLUW RATE MASS -1,8078Ef01 (KG/S) =CALCULATED DUTFLUW 1,2635E+05 (KG ) = TOTAL MASS OF LOX 1,1138E+02... t = 8000 S. (H★★3) \_₩... TOTAL LOX VULUME 1.9639E+10 (JUULES) =TUTAL MASS\*ENTH AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ) = 1.1344E+03 9,1417Ef01 ( K) ÷ AVERAGE TEMPERATURE MASS INFLUW RATE (KG/S) = 1.38778401 CALCULATED UUTFLOW (KG/S) = -1,8116Ef01 TUTAL MASS OF LUX (KG ) 🖻 1,16576405 TOTAL LUX VOLUME (M\*\*3) = t = 10000 S1.02866402 TUTAL MASS \*ENTH (JOULÉS) 🛓 1.8170E410 AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ) = 1.13336403 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( K ) # 9.1647E+01

### Table I-3

Global Quantities Printout for Half LOX Tank - Case 4

(S.I. Units)

Ŷ

INFLOW RATE (KG/S) = 1,38726+01 MASS -1,8173Ef01 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (KG/S) ≐ 1.06826405 TUTAL MASS OF LUX (KG ) = t = 12000 STUTAL LOX VULUME (M★★3) ≐ 9,43456+01 (JUULES) = TOTAL HASSKENTH 1,66956410 AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ) = 1.17555103 ( K ) ± 9.18708+01 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE MASS INFLOW RATE (KG/S) =1,3868E+01 CALCULATED DUTFLOW (KG/S) ± -1.8227Efői TUTAL MASS OF LOX (KG ) = 9,7074E+04 TOTAL LOX VOLUME t = 14000 S(M\*\*3) = 8.5828Ef01 TUTAL MASS \*ENTH (JUULÉS) É 1,5215E+10 1,1310E+03 AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ) = AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( K ) ± 9,2099Ef01 INFLUK RATE MASS (KG/S) =1.38636+01 CALCULATED OUTFLOW (KG/S) = -1.8277Ef01TOTAL MASS OF LUX (KG ) ± 8.73488704 t = 16000 SINTAL LOX VULUME (M\*\*3) = 7,7311EFQT. TUTAL MASS\*ENTH (JUULÉS) =1.37316410 ÂVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M★★3 ) ≐ 1.12986403 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( ト) = 9,23426401 INFLOW MASS RATE (KG/S) = 1,38598+01 CALCULATED DUTFLOW -1.8338E+01 (KG/S) =TUTAL MASS OF LOX (KG ) = 7.76326+04 t = 18000 STOTAL LOX VOLUME (N★★3) ≐ 6,87948701 TOTAL MASSHENTH 1,224444410 (JUULES) =1,12856403 AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ) = AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( K ) 🛎 9,26162401 INFLIJW RATE (KG/S) =1,3855E+0I MASS (KG/S) = -1,8417Ef01CALCULATED UUTFLOW 6,88968704 (KG ) = TOTAL MASS OF LOX 6,1128Ef01 TUTAL LUX VULUME (M★★3) ≐ t = 19800 S(JUULES) = TOTAL MASS\*ENTH 1.09046410 AVERAGE DENSITY (KG/M\*\*3 ) = 1,12718+03 AVERAGE TEMPERATURE ( K ) 🛱 9,2900Ef0I

#### Table I-4

Global Quantities Printout for Half LOX Tank - Case 4