NASA Contractor Report 172468 ICASE REPORT NO. 84-51

NASA-CR-172468 19850003071

# ICASE

APPROXIMATION METHODS FOR INVERSE PROBLEMS INVOLVING THE VIBRATION OF BEAMS WITH TIP BODIES

I. G. Rosen

Contract No. NAS1-17070 September 1984

INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTER APPLICATIONS IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia 23665

Operated by the Universities Space Research Association

Space Administration

Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665 LIBRARY COPY

NOV 1 4 1984

LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER LIBRARY, NASA HAMPTON, VIRGINIA 

# APPROXIMATION METHODS FOR INVERSE PROBLEMS INVOLVING THE VIBRATION OF BEAMS WITH TIP BODIES<sup>\*</sup>

## I. G. Rosen<sup>†</sup> University of Southern California

### Abstract

We outline two cubic spline based approximation schemes for the estimation of structural parameters associated with the transverse vibration of flexible beams with tip appendages. The identification problem is formulated as a least squares fit to data subject to the system dynamics which are given by a hybrid system of coupled ordinary and partial differential equations. The first approximation scheme is based upon an abstract semigroup formulation of the state equation while a weak/variational form is the basis for the second. Cubic spline based subspaces together with a Rayleigh-Ritz-Galerkin approach was used to construct sequences of easily solved finite dimensional approximating identification problems. Convergence results are briefly discussed and a numerical example demonstrating the feasibility of the schemes and exhibiting their relative performance for purposes of comparison is provided.

N85-11379#

<sup>\*</sup>This research was supported in part by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Control Number 84NM277.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup>This research was carried out in part while the author was a member of the technical staff of the C. S. Draper Laboratory in Cambridge, MA and in part by the National Aeronautics and Space Adiminstration under NASA Contract No. NAS1-17070 while the author was a visiting scientist at the Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665.

this short paper we briefly outline two cubic spline based In approximation schemes for the solution of inverse problems involving the vibration of flexible beams with attached tip bodies. The identification problem is formulated as the least squares fit to data of a hybrid system of coupled partial and ordinary differential equations describing the dynamics of the beam and tip bodies. The resulting optimization problem is infinite dimensional and as such, necessitates the use of some form of approximation. The schemes we have developed are based upon the construction of a sequence of approximating identification problems in which the underlying constraining state equations are semi-discrete finite dimensional approximations to the infinite distributed dimensional system which governs the original identification problem. Our study includes both theoretical convergence results and numerical testing.



Although our general approach applies to a broad class of problems, to illustrate the two methods, we consider the problem of identifying the spatially invariant flexural stiffness  $q_1$  and linear mass density  $q_2$  for a beam of length  $\ell$  clamped at one end and cantilevered at the other with a tip (point) mass of magnitude  $q_3$  which is also to be identified. Using the Euler-Bernoulli theory and elementary Newtonian mechanics, the system of

$$q_2^{u}_{tt}(t,x) = -q_1^{u}_{xxxx}(t,x) + f(t,x)$$
  $x \in (0,l), t \in (0,T)$  (1)

$$q_{3}^{u}_{tt}(t,\ell) = q_{1}^{u}_{xxx}(t,\ell) + g(t)$$
  $t \in (0,T)$  (2)

describing the transverse deflection of the beam and tip mass is obtained where f and g denote externally applied lateral loads (see [2]). The boundary conditions are given by

$$u(t,0) = u_{v}(t,0) = u_{v}(t,l) = 0$$
  $t \in (0,T).$  (3)

The initial conditions are of the form

$$u(0,x) = \phi(x), \quad u_{+}(0,x) = \psi(x) \qquad x \in [0,\ell].$$
 (4)

The identification problem is stated formally as

(ID): Find  $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3)^T \in Q$ , Q a compact subset of  $R^{+3}$ , which minimizes

$$J(q;u(\bullet,\bullet;q)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} |u(t_i,x_j;q) - \overline{u}(t_i,x_j)|^2$$

subject to  $u(\cdot,\cdot;q)$  being a solution to (1) - (4) where  $\{\overline{u}(t_i,x_j)\}_{i=1,\ldots,\nu}$  denote a set of given displacement  $j=1,\ldots,\mu$ observations. The first approximation scheme, which is described in detail in [6], is based upon the recasting of (1) - (4) as an abstract evolution equation set in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Let  $H = R \times H^0(0, \ell)$  with inner product  $\langle (n, \phi), (\zeta, \psi) \rangle_H = n\zeta + \langle \phi, \psi \rangle_0$  where  $\{H^k, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k\}$  denote the usual Sobolev spaces and Sobolev inner products. Define the operators  $M_0(q)$ :H+H and  $A_0(q):D \subset H+H$  by  $M_0(q)(n, \phi) = (q_3n, q_2\phi)$  and  $A_0(q)(\phi(\ell), \phi) = (-q_1\phi^{---}(\ell), q_1\phi^{----})$  respectively where  $D = \{(n, \phi) \in H: \phi \in H^4(0, \ell), \phi(0) = \phi^-(0) = 0, \phi^{--}(\ell) = 0, n = \phi(\ell)\}$ . Assume  $f \in L_2([0,T], H^0(0, \ell)), g \in L_2(0,T), \phi \in H^2(0, \ell)$  and  $\psi \in H^0(0, \ell)$  and let  $F_0(t) = (g(t), f(t, \cdot)), \hat{\phi} = (\phi(\ell), \phi)$  and  $\hat{\psi} = (\psi(\ell), \psi)$  where  $\psi(\ell) \in R$  is specified if it is not well defined. We then rewrite (1) - (4) as an abstract second-order system in H;

$$M_0(q) D_t^2(t) + A_0(q)(t) = F_0(t), \quad t \in (0,T)$$
 (5)

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}(0) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \quad \mathbf{D}_{\mathsf{t}}\hat{\mathbf{u}}(0) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}$$
 (6)

where  $\hat{u}(t) = (u(t,\ell), u(t, \cdot)) \in H$  and  $D_t = \frac{d}{dt}$ . Let  $V = \{(n,\phi)\in H:\phi\in H^2(0,\ell), \phi(0) = \phi^{\prime}(0) = 0, n = \phi(\ell)\}$  and define  $L:V \subset H + H$  by  $L(\phi(\ell), \phi) = (0, \phi^{\prime \prime})$ . The operator  $A_0(q)$  can then be written in factored form as  $A_0(q) = q_1 L + L$  where  $L + Dom(L + C) \subset H + H$  is given by  $L + (n,\phi) = (-\phi^{\prime}(\ell), \phi^{\prime \prime})$  for  $(n,\phi)\in Dom(L + ) = \{(n,\phi)\in H : \phi\in H^2(0,\ell), \phi(\ell) = 0\}$ .

Let  $Z = H \times H$  with inner product

 $\langle z, w \rangle_q = \langle q_1 z_1, w_1 \rangle_H + \langle M_0(q) z_2, w_2 \rangle_H$ . Choosing our state as  $z(t) = (L\hat{u}(t), D_t\hat{u}(t))$  we rewrite (5), (6) as the first-order system in Z given by

$$z(t) = A(q)z(t) + F(t;q), \quad z(0) = z_0$$
 (7)

where  $A(q):Dom(L^*)\times V \subset Z \rightarrow Z$  is given in matrix form by

$$A(q) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & L \\ -q_1 M_0(q)^{-1} L^* & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

 $F(t;q) = \left(0, M_0(q)^{-1} F_0(t)\right) \text{ and } z_0 = (L\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi}) \quad (\hat{\phi} \text{ is assumed to be an element in } V).$  The operator A(q) is densely defined and skew self adjoint. It therefore generates (see [8]) a C\_0 group of unitary operators  $\{S(t;q):-\infty < t < \infty\}$  on Z. The mild solution (strong or classical if F and  $z_0$  are sufficiently regular) to (7) is then given by (see [5])

$$z(t) = S(t;q)z_0 + \int_0^t S(t-\tau;q)F(\tau;q)d\tau.$$
(8)

Problem (ID) can then be written as

(IDA): Find  $q \in Q$  which minimizes  $J(q; C_1(\cdot)z(\cdot;q))$  subject to  $z(\cdot;q)$  being given by (8) where the operators  $C_1(x):Z \Rightarrow R$  are defined by

$$C_{1}(x)((\eta,\phi),(\zeta,\psi)) = \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{\tau} \phi(\sigma) d\sigma d\tau \text{ for } x \in [0,\ell].$$

For each  $N = 1, 2..., let S_3(\Delta^N)$  denote the space of cubic spline functions on the interval  $[0, \ell]$  corresponding to the uniform partition  $\Delta^N = \{0, \frac{\ell}{N}, \frac{2\ell}{N}, \cdots, \ell\}$  (see [7]). Let  $W^N = \{(n, \phi) \in H: \phi \in S_3(\Delta^N), \phi(\ell) = 0\},$  $V^N = \{(n, \phi) \in H: \phi \in S_3(\Delta^N), \phi(0) = \phi^{-}(0) = 0, n = \phi(\ell)\}$  and  $Z^N = W^N \times V^N$ . Then

 $Z^{N}$  is a 2N+4 dimensional subspace of Z which satisfies

 $Z^{N} \subset \text{Dom}(A(q)) = \text{Dom}(L^{*}) \times V$  for all qEQ. Let  $P_{q}^{N}$  denote the orthogonal projection of Z onto  $Z^{N}$  with respect to the  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{q}$  inner product. Define  $A^{N}(q):Z^{N} + Z^{N}$  by  $A^{N}(q) = P_{q}^{N}A(q)$  and noting that  $A^{N}(q)$  is a linear operator defined on a finite dimensional space (and is therefore bounded), consider the initial value problem

$$z^{N}(t) = A^{N}(q)z^{N}(t) + P_{q}^{N}(t)F(t;q), \quad t \in (0,T)$$
  
 $z^{N}(0) = P_{q}^{N}z_{0},$ 

and its solution

$$z^{N}(t) = \exp[A^{N}(q)t]P_{q}^{N}z_{0}$$
  
+ 
$$\int_{0}^{t} \exp[A^{N}(q)(t-\tau)]P_{q}^{N}F(\tau;q)d\tau \qquad t \in [0,T]. \qquad (9)$$

The approximating identification problems for the first method take the form

(IDN<sub>1</sub>): Find qEQ which minimizes  $J(q;C_1(\cdot)z^N(\cdot;q))$  subject to  $z^N(\cdot;q)$  being given by (9).

Under rather mild assumptions it can be shown that each of the problems (IDN<sub>1</sub>) admits a solution  $\overline{q}^N$  and that the sequence  $\{\overline{q}^N\}$  contains a convergent subsequence  $\{\overline{q}^{N_k}\}, \overline{q}^{N_k} \neq \overline{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$  as  $k \neq \infty$ . Using standard approximation results from linear semigroup theory (see [5]) and the

properties of spline functions (see [7]) to establish convergence of the state approximations, it can then be argued that  $\overline{q}$  is a solution to problem (ID).

The second approximation scheme involves the rewriting of (1) - (4) in an equivalent weak/variational form (see [4]). Let H and V be as they have been defined previously. Define the inner product on V,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$  by  $\langle \hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi} \rangle_V = \langle L \hat{\phi}, L \hat{\psi} \rangle_H$ . We have the usual dense embeddings  $V \subset H \subset V'$  where V' is the dual of V. We consider the weak form of (1) - (4)

$$\langle M_0(q)D_t^2\hat{u}(t),\hat{\theta}\rangle_H + a(\hat{u}(t),\hat{\theta};q) = \langle F_0(t),\hat{\theta}\rangle_H, \quad \hat{\theta} \in \mathbb{V}, \ t \in (0,T)$$
(10)

$$\hat{u}(0) = \hat{\phi}, \quad D_t \hat{u}(0) = \hat{\psi}$$
 (11)

where the bilinear form  $a(\cdot,\cdot;q)$  on V×V is defined by  $a(\hat{\phi},\hat{\psi};q) = q_1 \langle L\hat{\phi}, L\hat{\psi} \rangle_H = \langle A_0(q)\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi} \rangle_H$ . The derivatives in the definition of the operator  $A_0(q)$  are interpreted in the distributional sense and  $A_0(q)$ is considered to be an element in  $\mathscr{L}(V,V')$ , the space of continuous linear operators from V into V'.

We define a Galerkin approximation  $\hat{u}^N$  to  $\hat{u}$  as the solution to

$$\langle M_{0}(q)D_{t}^{2}\hat{u}^{N}(t),\hat{\theta}^{N}\rangle_{H} + a(\hat{u}^{N}(t),\hat{\theta}^{N};q) = \langle F_{0}(t),\hat{\theta}^{N}\rangle_{H}, \quad \hat{\theta}^{N} \in \mathbb{V}^{N}, t \in (0,T) (12)$$

$$\hat{u}^{N}(0) = P^{N} \hat{\phi}, \quad D_{t} \hat{u}^{N}(0) = P^{N} \hat{\psi}$$
 (13)

where  $V^N$  is as it was defined above and  $P^N$  is now the orthogonal projection of H onto  $V^N$  with respect to the  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$  inner product.

The approximating identification problems are then given by

(IDN<sub>2</sub>): Find qeQ which minimizes  $J(q;C_2(\cdot)\hat{u}^N(\cdot;q))$  subject to  $\hat{u}^N(\cdot;q)$  being the solution to (12), (13) where the operators  $C_2(x):V*R$  are defined by  $C_2(x)(\phi(\ell),\phi) = \phi(x),x\in[0,\ell]$ .

Using standard variational arguments of the type found in [3] it can be argued that under sufficient regularity assumptions, for  $\{q^N\} \subset Q$  with  $q^{N} + q^*$  we have  $\hat{u}^N(q^N) + \hat{u}(q^*)$  in V and  $D_t \hat{u}^N(q^N) + D_t \hat{u}(q^*)$  in H as  $N + \infty$  where  $\hat{u}^N(q^N)$  is the solution to (12), (13) corresponding to  $q^N$  and  $\hat{u}(q^*)$  is the solution to (10), (11) corresponding to  $q^*$ . This in turn yields a convergence result analogous to the one stated above for the first scheme. A more detailed discussion of these results appears in [1].

We demonstrate the feasibility of our methods with a simple example. We consider a beam of length 1 and use the two schemes described above to estimate its stiffness  $q_1$ , its linear mass density  $q_2$  and the magnitude of a tip mass  $q_3$ . We assumed that the system was initially at rest ( $\phi=\psi=0$ ) and then excited via the distributed lateral load  $f(t,x) = e^x \sin 2\pi t$  and the point force  $g(t) = 2e^{-2t}$  acting on the tip mass. Displacement observations at positions  $x_j = .5, .75, 1.0, j=1,2,3$ , at times  $t_i = .51, i=1,2,...,10$ , were generated using the "true" parameter values  $q_1 = 1.0, q_2 = 3.0$  and  $q_3 = 1.5$ , the first two natural modes of the system and a standard Galerkin method. The approximating identification problems (IDN<sub>1</sub>) and (IDN<sub>2</sub>) were solved using an iterative Levenberg-Marquardt scheme with the approximating state equations solved at each iteration using a variable order Adams Predictor Corrector method. The "start-up" values for the unknown parameters

were taken to be  $q_1^0 = .7$ ,  $q_2^0 = 2.7$  and  $q_3^0 = 1.7$ . Our results for methods 1 and 2 are given in Tables 1 and 2 respectively below.

N	$\frac{-N}{q_1}$	$\frac{-N}{q_2}$	$\frac{-N}{q_3}$	CPU (m:s)
2	1.00083	2.99817	1.50090	0:08.70
3	1.00072	3.00317	1.49868	0:29.38
4	1.00061	2.99227	1.50141	1:01.19
5	1.00009	2.98711	1.50195	1:35.26
6	1.00061	2.99039	1.50144	2:45.20

Table 1

Table 2

N	$\frac{\overline{q}_{1}^{N}}{\overline{q}_{1}}$	$\frac{-N}{q_2}$	<u>–</u> N <sup>q</sup> 3	CPU (m:s)
2	1.00057	3.04455	1.48957	0:09.19
3	1.00067	3.01256	1.49707	0:22.10
4	1.00027	3.00922	1.49721	0:57.57
5	1.00016	2.98936	1.50262	1:22.52
6	.99912	2.99720	1.49952	2:52.76

### REFERENCES

- Banks, H. T. and I. G. Rosen, "A Galerkin Method for the Estimation of Parameters in Hybrid Systems Governing the Vibration of Flexible Beams with Tip Bodies," CSDL Report No. R1724, C. S. Draper Laboratory, Cambridge, MA, June 1984.
- [2] Clough, R. W. and J. Penzien, <u>Dynamics of Structures</u>, McGraw Hill, New York, 1975.
- [3] Dupont, T., "L<sup>2</sup>-Estimates for Galerkin Methods for Second-order Hyperbolic Equations," SIAM J. Numer. Anal., Vol. 10, 1967, pp. 880-889.
- [4] Lions, J. L., Optimal Control of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [5] Pazy, A., <u>Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial</u> Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [6] Rosen, I. G., "A Numerical Scheme for the Identification of Hybrid Systems Describing the Vibration of Flexible Beams with Tip Bodies," ICASE Report No. 84-23, NASA CR-172388, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, June 1984.
- [7] Schultz, M. H., <u>Spline Analysis</u>, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1973.
- [8] Yosida, K., Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.

1. Report No. NASA CR-172468 ICASE Report No. 84-51	2. Government Access	ion No.	3. Re	ipient's Catalog No.			
4. Title and Subtitute APPROXIMATION METHODS FOR INVERSE PROBLEMS INVOLV			NG THE 5. Re Sept	wort Date ember 1984			
VIBRATION OF BEAMS WITH	6. Per	6. Performing Organization Code					
7. Author(s) I. G. Rosen	,		8. Per 84–5	8. Performing Organization Report No. 84–51			
9. Performing Organization Name and Addr Institute for Computer A	10. Wo	10. Work Unit No.					
and Engineering Mail Stop 132C, NASA Lar Hampton, VA 23665	ngley Research Cent	er	11. Co NAS1	11. Contract or Grant No. NAS1-17070			
			13. Ty	13. Type of Report and Period Covered			
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address	Cont	Contractor Report					
Washington, D.C. 20546	i Space Administra	10n	14. Spo	nsoring Agency Code			
Washington, 2001			505-	31-83-01			
Langley Technical Monitor: J. C. South, Jr. Final Report							
16. Abstract							
We outline two cubic spline based approximation schemes for the estimation of structural parameters associated with the transverse vibration of flexible beams with tip appendages. The identification problem is formulated as a least squares fit to data subject to the system dynamics which are given by a hybrid system of coupled ordinary and partial differential equations. The first approximation scheme is based upon an abstract semigroup formulation of the state equation while a weak/variational form is the basis for the second. Cubic spline based subspaces together with a Rayleigh-Ritz-Galerkin approach was used to construct sequences of easily solved finite dimensional approximating identification problems. Convergence results are briefly discussed and a numerical example demonstrating the feasibility of the schemes and exhibiting their relative performance for purposes of comparison is provided.							
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) 18. Dist			Distribution Statement				
distributor parameter systems, identification approximation, variational methods			<ul> <li>18 - Spacecraft Design, Testing &amp; Perf.</li> <li>39 - Structural Mechanics</li> <li>64 - Numerical Analysis</li> <li>66 - Systems Analysis</li> <li>Unclassified - Unlimited</li> </ul>				
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified		21. No. of Pages 11	22. Price AO2			

For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161

NASA-Langley, 1984

ï