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#### Abstract

In this note we show that the eigenvalues $Z_{i}$ of the pseudospectral Fourier approximation to the operator $\sin (2 x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ satisfy $$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}}= \pm \mathrm{l} \text { or } \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}=0
$$


Whereas this does not prove stability for the Fourier method, applied to the hyperbolic equation

$$
U_{t}=\sin (2 x) U_{x} \quad-\pi<x<\pi ;
$$

it indicates that the growth in time of the numerical solution is essentially the same as that of the solution to the differential equation.

To be submitted for publication in Mathematics of Computation.
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## 1. Introduction

Let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{t}-G U=0 \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 2 \pi \\
U(x, 0)=U^{0}(x) \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Fourier pseudospectral (collocation) method, we seek a trigonometric polynomial of degree $N, U_{N}$, that satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(U_{N}\right)_{t}-G_{N} U_{N}=0 \\
& U_{N}(x, 0)=U_{N}^{0}(x) \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
G_{N}=P_{N} G ;
$$

${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{N}$ is the pseudospectral projection operator [5]. It is known [2] that when $a(x)$ does not change sign in the interval, the semidiscrete solution of (1.3) is stable. When $a(x)$ changes sign in the interval, the situation is much more complicated. Gottlieb, Orszag and Turkel [1] have proved stability for the case where $a(x)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x)=\alpha \sin (x)+\beta \cos (x)+\gamma . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [4], Tadmor argues that this stability proof results from the special form of $a(x)$ in (1.4) and cannot be extended. In the next section we prove a theorem related to the problem of stability of (1.1) where $a(x)$ is a second degree trigonometric polynomial.

## 2. The Theorem and Its Proof

Theorem: Considering (1.1), (1.2), where $a(x)=\sin (2 x)$, then the eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}^{N}$ of $G_{N}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{e} \lambda_{i}^{N}=-1 \quad \text { or } \quad R_{e} \lambda_{i}^{N}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad R_{e} \lambda_{i}^{N}=1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof:

The projected subspace $V_{N}$ that results from using the operator $P_{N}$ is spanned by the following 2 N basis functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V_{N}=S_{p}\{1, \cos (x), \ldots, \cos (N x), \sin (x), \ldots, \sin (N-1) x)\right\} .(N \text { even }) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the following four subspaces of $V_{N}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{1}=S_{p}\{\cos (x), \cos (3 x), \ldots, \cos ((N-1) x)\} \\
& W_{2}=S_{p}\{\sin (x), \sin (3 x), \ldots, \sin ((N-1) x)\}  \tag{2.3}\\
& W_{3}=S_{p}\{\sin (2 x, \sin (4 x), \ldots, \sin ((N-2) x)\} \\
& W_{4}=S_{p}\{1, \cos (2 x), \ldots, \cos (N x)\} .
\end{align*}
$$

It is easily verified that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{N}=w_{1} \oplus w_{2} \oplus w_{3} \oplus w_{4} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and each $W_{i}$ is invariant of $G_{N}$; therefore we can discuss separately the four matrices which represent $G_{N}$ in each one of the subspaces $W_{i}$.

Define now

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}^{M}=\left[G_{N}\right]_{w_{i}} \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4 \quad\left(M=\frac{N}{2}\right) ; \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then by using elementary trigonometric relations we get that $B_{i}^{M}$ are tridiagonal matrices whose elements are:

$$
\mathrm{B}_{3}^{\mathrm{M}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & -4 & & & & \\
2 & 0 & -6 & & & \\
& 4 & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& & \cdot & \cdot & -\mathrm{N}+4 & \\
& & & \cdot & 0 & -\mathrm{N}+2 \\
& & & & \mathrm{~N}-4 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2}-1\right) \times\left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2}-1\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{B}_{4}^{\mathrm{M}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & -2 & & & & \\
0 & 0 & -4 & & & \\
& 2 & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& & \cdot & \cdot & -\mathrm{N}+2 & \\
& & & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\
& & & & \mathrm{~N}-2 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2}+1\right) ;
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}^{M}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-1 & -3 & & & & \\
1 & 0 & -5 & & & \\
& 3 & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& & \cdot & \cdot & -N+3 & \\
& & & \cdot & 0 & -N+1 \\
& & & & N-3 & N-1
\end{array}\right)^{\frac{N}{2} \times \frac{N}{2}} \\
& B_{2}^{M}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & -3 & & & & \\
1 & 0 & -5 & & & \\
& 3 & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& & \cdot & \cdot & -N+3 & \\
& & & \cdot & 0 & -N+1 \\
& & & & N-3 & -N+1
\end{array}\right)_{\frac{N}{2} \times \frac{N}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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let $A$ by any tridiagonal matrix:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{1} & c_{1} & & & &  \tag{2.6}\\
b_{2} & a_{2} & c_{2} & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\
& & & b_{n-1} & a_{n-1} & c_{n-1} \\
& & & & b_{n} & a_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and let $A_{k}$ by the submatrix

$$
A_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{1} & c_{1} & & & &  \tag{2.7}\\
b_{2} & a_{2} & c_{2} & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\
& & & b_{k-1} & a_{k-1} & c_{k-1} \\
& & & & b_{k} & a_{k}
\end{array}\right) \cdot
$$

Upon defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k}(A)=\operatorname{det} A_{k} \tag{2,8}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is easily verified that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k+1}(A)=a_{k+1} q_{k}(A)-b_{k+1} c_{k} q_{k-1}(A) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
q_{n}(A)=\operatorname{det} A .
$$

In the following we treat each one of the matrices $B_{i}^{M}, i=1,2,3,4$ separately.

Lemma 1: The matrix $B_{1}^{M}$ has one zero eigenvalue, and all its other eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ satisfy $R_{e} \lambda_{i}=1$.

Proof: For any $M$ define

$$
C_{M}=2 B_{1}^{M}-\lambda I .
$$

The characteristic polynomial of $2 \mathrm{~B}_{1}^{\mathrm{M}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{M}(\lambda)=\operatorname{det} C_{M} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (2.8)

$$
Q_{M}(\lambda)=q_{M}\left(C_{N}\right) .
$$

We define now the following family of polynomials (in the variable $\lambda$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{0}=1 \quad P_{1}=-(\lambda+1) \\
& P_{k+1}=-\lambda P_{k}+\left(4 k^{2}-1\right) P_{k-1} \quad 1 \leqslant k<\infty . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that from (2.9) and the structure of $C_{M}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k}=q_{k}\left(C_{M}\right) \quad 2 \leqslant k<M ; \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

however (2.12) is not true for $k=M$; rather we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{M}(\lambda)=(2 M-1-\lambda) P_{M-1}+\left(4(M-1)^{2}-1\right) P_{M-2} \quad 2<M . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.11) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{M}(\lambda)=(2 M-1) P_{M-1}+P_{M} \quad 2<M \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.14) and (2.13) results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{M+1}(\lambda)=-\lambda P_{M}+(2 M+1) Q_{M} \quad 2<M \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we solve (2.15) for $P_{M}$ in terms of $Q_{M}(\lambda), Q_{M+1}(\lambda)$ and substitute the result in (2.14). We thus get the polynomials $Q_{M}(\lambda), M \geqslant 2$ that satisfy the following recursion formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{2}(\lambda)=\lambda(\lambda-2) ; Q_{3}(\lambda)=-\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \lambda+13\right) \\
& Q_{M+1}(\lambda)=(2-\lambda) Q_{M}(\lambda)+(2 M-1)^{2} Q_{M-1}(\lambda) \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to verify now that $\lambda=0$ is an eigenvalue of $2 B_{1}^{M}$. In fact $\lambda=0$ is a root of $Q_{2}(\lambda)$ and $Q_{3}(\lambda)$ and therefore of any $Q_{M}(\lambda)$. We define now

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=i(2-\lambda) \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{M}(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda} Q_{M}(\lambda) \cdot(i)^{M-1} \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

to get

$$
R_{2}=-x ; R_{3}=x^{2}-9
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{M+1}=x R_{M}-(2 M-1)^{2} R_{M-1} \quad M \geqslant 3 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation (2.18) defines $R_{M}(x)$ as a family of orthogonal polynomials on the real axis. Therefore, for every $M$ the roots of $R_{M}(x)$ are real, which implies by (2.17)(a) that $2-\lambda$ are imaginary. Therefore, the eigenvalues of the matrices $2 B_{1}^{M}$ for any $M$ have real part equal to 2 . This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2: For any $M$ the matrix $B_{2}^{M}$ has one zero eigenvalue and the real part of the others is -1 .

Proof: The proof is an immediate result of the fact that in view of (2.9)

$$
q_{k}\left(-B_{2}^{M}-\lambda I\right)
$$

satisfy the same recurrence formula as $q_{k}\left(B_{l}^{M}-\lambda I\right)$.
Lemma 3: The eigenvalues of $B_{3}^{M}$ are purely imaginary.

Proof: Define the matrix

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 / \sqrt{2} & & \\
& 1 / \sqrt{4} & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & 1 / \sqrt{N-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then it is clear that

$$
D^{-1} B_{3}^{M} D
$$

is a skew symmetric matrix, and therefore its eigenvalues are purely imaginary. The same is of course true for $B_{3}^{M}$.

Lemma 4: The eigenvalues of $B_{4}^{M}$ are purely imaginary.

Proof: From the definition of $B_{3}^{M}$ and $B_{4}^{M}$ it follows that if $P_{k}$ is characteristic polynomial of $\left(B_{3}^{M}\right)_{k \times k}$ then $\lambda^{2} \mathrm{P}_{k}$ is the characteristic polynomial of $\left(B_{4}^{M}\right)(k+2) \times(k+2)$. Thus the eigenvalues of $B_{4}^{M}$ are purely imaginary.

The proof of Lemma 4 concludes the proof of the theorem.
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