# **General Disclaimer**

# One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

PB85-144137

14

Cumulus Cloud Venting of Mixed Layer Ozone

(U.S.) Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, NC

Jan 85

U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service

1

1



;

EPA-600/D-84-299 January 1985

# CUMULUS CLOUD VENTING OF MIXED LAYER OZONE

bу

J.K.S. Ching U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

S.T. Shipley and E.V. Browell National Aeronautics and Space Administration Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23665

and

D.A. Brewer Systems and Applied Sciences Corp. Hampton, VA 23666

ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES RESEARCH LABORATORY OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27711

> REPRODUCED 3Y NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
TECHNICAL REPORT DATA (Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)				
1. REPORT NO. 2. 3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.				
EPA-600/D-84-299	2.		385-144137	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. REPORT DATE	
CUMULUS CLOUD VENTING OF MIXED LAYER OZONE			January 1985	
				GANIZATION CODE
7. AUTHOR(F)		8. ºER	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.	
J.K.S. Ching, S.T. Shipley, E.V. Browell and D.A. Brewer				
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS			10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. CDWA1A/02 (Task 1324)	
(same as 12.)			NTRACT/GRA	NT NO.
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES RESEARCH LABORATORY - RTP, NC			13. TYPE OF REPORT AND . ERIOD COVERED	
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT			14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE	
U.S ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27711			EPA/600/09	
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
16. ABSTRACT				
vertical exchange of ozone and aerosols (and possibly other compounts) occurs between the mixed layer and the free troposphere during cumulus cloud convective activity. The experiments conducted in July 1981, utilized the airborne UV-DIAL (Ultra-Violet Differential Absorption Lidar) system developed by NASA. This system provides simultaneous range resolved ozone concentration and aerosol back scatter profiles with high spatial resolution. Data were obtained during the afternoon along 80 mi East to West and South to North intersecting transects over North Carolina when cumulus clouds were most active, although nonuniformly distributed. Evening transects were obtained in the downwind area where the air mass had been advected. Space-height analyses for the evening flight show the cloud "debris" as patterns of ozone typically in excess of the ambient free tropospheric background. This ozone excess was approximately the value of the concentration difference between the mixed layer and free troposphere determined from independent vertical soundings made by another aircraft in the afternoon.				
17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS				
a. DESCRIPTORS		b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN END	ED TERMS	COSATI Field/Group
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT		19. SECURITY CLASS (This	Report)	21. NO. OF 'AGES
RELEASE TO PUBL	IC	UNCLASSIFIED	page)	8 22. PRICE
		UNCLASSIFIED		
FPA Form 2220.1 (8.73)				

## NOTICE

•

.

This document has been reviewed in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency policy and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

#### CUMULUS CLOUD VENTING OF MIXED LAYER OZONE

## J.K.S. CHING<sup>\*</sup> U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711 USA

## S.T. SHIPLEY and E. V. BROWELL National Aeronautics and Space Administration Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665 USA

and

D.A. BREWER Systems and Applied Sciences Corp. Hempton, Virginia 23666 USA

#### Summary

Observations are presented which substantiate the hypothesis that significant vertical exchange of ozone and aerosols (and possibly other compounds) occurs between the mixed layer and the free troposphere during cumulus cloud convective activity. The experiments conducted in July 1981 utilized the airborne UV-DIAL (Ultra-Violet Differential Absorption Lidar) system developed by NASA. This system provides simultaneous range resolved ozone concentration and aerosol backscatter profiles with high spatial resolution. Data were obtained during the afternoon along 130 km east to west and south to north intersecting transects over North Carolina when non-uniformly distributed cumulus clouds were most active. Evening transects were obtained in the downwind region, where the air mass had been advected. Space-height analyses for the evening flight show the cloud "debris" as patterns of ozone typically in excess of the ambient free tropospheric background. This ozone excess was approx !mately the value of the concentration difference between the mixed layer and free troposphere determined by DIAL and independent vertical soundings made by another aircraft in the afternoon.

### 1.1 Introduction

This paper provides evidence that photochemical oxidants (and other pollutants) are transported and deposited above the mixed layer by nonprecipitating cumulus convective cloud processes. Conceptually, during periods of strong solar insolation, vigorous rising thermals produce cumulus convective clouds which carry mixed layer air in their updrafts. Some of these clouds can penetrate the inversion at the top of the mixed Layer and rise into the free troposphere. These clouds are characteristically short lived, and as they dissipate, mixed layer pollutants are deposited aloft. The net pollutant exchange will depend both on the strength and the areal extent of convective clouds as well as on the

\*On assignment from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U. S. Department of Commerce. pollutant concentration differences between the mixed layer and the overlying free troposphere. The US EPA is currently developing a regional scale pnotochemical oxidant model (6) which addresses the linkage and exchange that occurs between the mixed layer and the overlying free troposphere by cumulus convective processes. Recent studies (1, 3, 4, and 5) provide supporting evidence that this process of cloud venting occurs, and these studies are useful in developing the parametric framework for models. However, there is as yet insufficient observational data to prove that net vertical transport actually occurs from the mixed layer into the free troposphere by cumulus cloud venting. Since cloud activity is highly variable in time and space, an experimental sampling strategy requires highly detailed spatial and temporal resolution of the pollutant fields. Detailed space-height cross sections of ozone (and (ther pollutants) would provide a suitable analytical base. These measurements are now obtainable from airborne UV-DIAL systems capable of remote and range resolved observations of ozone, aerosols, and other trace gases (2).

The UV-DIAL is a UV differential absorption lidar (DIAL) system consisting of two frequency-doubled Nd:YAG lasers which optically pump two high conversion efficiency tunable dye lasers. The dye lasers are frequency-doubled into the UV. DIAL measurements of ozone are made in the Hartley absorption band with the on-line wavelength nominally set near 286 nm and the off-line wavelength set near 300 nm. The backscattered return signals at these wavelengths are collected by a telescope, detected by photomultiplier tubes, digitized, and stored on magnetic tape. The logarithmic difference between the two backscattered signals is directly proportional to ozone concentration. The UV-DIAL data acquisition system provides real-time calculations of ozone concentration profiles. A more detailed description of the UV-DIAL system is contained in (2).

NASA has successfully developed, demonstrated and deployed the UV-DIAL system on board an Electra aircraft in a number of field studies (2,8). Data for this cloud study was sampled at the rate of 5 profiles/second with a vertical resolution of 15  $\pm$  for aerosol and 210 m for ozone. Maximum horizontal resolution at a nominal ground speed of 100 m/sec is 20 meters. Confidence in the measurement of ozone concentration is increased by horizontal averaging. The preliminary analysis presented here uses  $\pm$  25 shot horizontal average corresponding to a horizontal resolution of 500 m.

#### 1.2 Description of the Experiment

This experiment follows and characterizes the pollutant distribution in an air mass known to have experienced active and penetrative cumulus clouds. Follutants observed to be imbedded in the layer above the top of the mixed layer can then be assumed to be remnants of the dissipated clouds. Such an experiment was conducted by NASA Langley Research Center using the airborne UV-DIAL on board an Electra. On July 22, 1981, the Electra flew two missions over North Carolina, USA. The first mission pattern consisted of along-wind (east to west) and cross-wind (south to north) transects centered over Raleigh/Durham  $(35^{\circ}52'N, 78^{\circ}47'W)$  between 1500 and 1600 LDT. Each leg was about 130 km long. The evening mission was located over an area near the Carolina coast which was the projected trajectory of the air mass sampled during the afternoon. The air mass trajectory was subsequently verified by an isentropic analysis. Concurrently, an instrumented Cessna 402 twin engine aircraft provided correlative vertical profiles of ozone  $(O_3)$ , Bscat  $(\beta)$ , temperature (T) and dew point  $(T_d)$ 

2

derived from sequential down and up ramp patterns along the same flight coordinates. The Electra cruised at 4 km MSL, and provided lidar data from the surface to 3 km MSL. The Cessna profiles varied from near surface to greater than 3 km MSL. Satellite data and routine NWS/NOAA surface and upper air weather observations were archived for subsequent data analyses.

### 1.3 Results and Discussion

The mixed layer winds over central North Carolina were generally north-northwesterly, becoming more westerly with altitude. Above the mixed layer, the west-northwest flow backed with height to a westerly flow at 3000 m AGL (Above Ground Level). The wind speed shear was 2.5 m/sec/km. Nonprecipitating penetrative cumulus clouds were active over the sampling area from 1400 to 17(0 LDT. Cumulus tops varied from 1.5 km to 4 km AGL. The afternoon soundings of T, T<sub>d</sub>,  $O_3$  and  $\beta$  obtained by the Cessna aircraft suggest a mixed layer height of 1600 m. The lapse rate in the mixed layer was adiabatic up to the base of the elevated inversion at 1600 m. and the thermal stratification was stable above. Dew point decreased only slightly within the mixed layer but decreased markedly with altitude above 1600 m. Nephelometer readings indicate some variability in Bscat with altitude and horizontal position. Highest values appear to be associated with the Raleigh-Durham urban plume. However, the Bscat values also exhibit sharp decreases with altitude above 1600 m. The ozone profiles show mean mixed layer ozone of about 80 ppbv, but significant horizontal variations were observed ranging from 75 to greater than 120 ppbv. he latter value appears to be associated with the Raleigh-Durham urban plame. Above the mixed layer, a mean but highly variable ozone concentration of about 70 ppbv was observed; ozone concentrations which correspond to mixed layer values of 80 ppbv were observed when the soundings were taken in the vicinity of the active cloud areas. The evening soundings indicate a lower inversion height suggesting subsidence aloft, and are consistent with a downward motion of approximately 1 cm/sec obtained in the isentropic flow calculation and kinematic calculation from the NWS soundings (7).

Figure 1 displays UV-DIAL observations of aerosol and ozone cross sections. In the top panel (Figure 1a), the lidar backscatter cross section is shown for the south to north transact starting at 1538 LDT and crossing over the Raleigh-Durham airport. Active cumulus clouds attenuate the return signals and appear as white vertical columns. The mixed layer is clearly evident where cumulus convection is relatively inactive, as seen in the southern section at 1540 LDT. The haze is concentrated within the mixed layer, while lighter shading at higher attitudes indicates low aerosol concentrations aloft. On the other hand, the middle and northern segments of the flight contain active cloud convection. The backscatter display suggests a higher mixed layer from 1542 to 1554 LDT in the vicinity of cloud activity. These convective elements literally cloud the issue of defining a mixed layer depth.

The next panel (Figure 1b) depicts the along-wind cross section of aerosol backscatter on the evening flight mission. With the exception of a few isolated clouds, the field of cumulus convection is replaced by layers and patches of aerosol. These layers tilt upward in the vertical from west to east with an average slope of 16 m/km due to wind speed shear. Stronger winds at higher altitudes advect the upper portion of the cloud remnant a greater downwind distance relative to the lower part. Due to a small but non-negligible shear in wind direction, the flight leg only intersects a portion of the tilted cloud remnant.

3

Figure 1c shows the along-wind ozone concentration cross section measured concurrent with the aerosol display of Figure 1b. The ozone data extends vertically from 1500 to 2500 m MSL. Contour intervals are 10 ppb, and much of the fine detail seen in the aerosol gray scale displays has been smoothed by horizontal and vertical averaging. Ozone concentrations in excess of 70 ppbv are observed to be distributed in layers coincident with the aerosol layers of Figure 1b, with identical tilting due to wind shear. These simultaneous ozone and aerosol scattering measurements are highly correlated in space. These positive ozone concentration anomalies are comparable to the average ozone concentrations observed in the afternoon mixed layer.

It should be emphasized that these vented products are not the result of isolated cumulus events, but represent the integration of many convective intrusions with subsequent injections of aerosol and ozone into the free troposphere throughout the afternoon. From this study it is apparent that intrusive nonprecipitating clouds do deposit mixed layer oxidants and other pollutants above the mixed layer. These injected pollutants exhibit small spatial structure and can now be readily investigated by airborne DIAL systems.

### REFERENCES

- 1. ALKEWEENY, A. and HALES, J.M. (1981). The impact of nonprecipitating clouds on the transport and formation of acid aerosols. Annual Meeting of the American Chemical Society, New York, New York, USA.
- BROWELL, E.V., CARTER, A.F., SHIPLEY, S.T., ALLEN, R.J., BUTLER, C.F., SIVITER, J.H., JR., MAYO, M.N. and HALL, W.M. (1983). NASA multipurpose airborne DIAL system and measurements of ozone and aerosol profiles. Applied Optics, Vol. 22(4), pp. 522-534.
- CHING, J.K.S. (1982). The role of convective clouds in venting ozone from the mixed layer. Third Joint Conference on Applications of Air Pollution Meteorology, San Antonio, Texas. Preprint, American Meteorological Society, Boston, Massachusetts.
- CHING, J.K.S., CLARKE, J.F., IRWIN, J.S. and GODOWITCH, J.M. (1983). Relevance of mixed layer scaling for daytime dispersion based on RAPS and other field programs. <u>Atmospheric Environment</u>, Vol. 17(4), pp. 854-871.
- GREENHUT, G.K., CHING, J.K.S., PEARSON, R., JR. and REPOFF, T. (1984). Transport of ozone by turbulence and clouds in an urban boundary layer. Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol. 89 (D3), pp. 4757-4766.
- 6. LAMB, R.J. (1982). A regional scale (1000 km) model of photochemical air pollution - Part 1: Theoretical formulation. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Report No. EPA-600/3-83-035, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, 239 pp.
- 7. RITTER, J.A. (1983). The vertical redistribution of a pollutant tracer due to cumulus convection. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 156 pp.
- 8. SHIPLEY, S.T., BROWELL, E.V., MCDOUGAL, D.S., ORNDORFF, B.L. and HAAGENSON, P. (1984). Airborne lidar observations of long-range transport in the free troposphere. Environ ...tal Science and Technology. [0]. 18(9). In Tress.

<u>Notes:</u> This is an extended abstract of a presentation and does not necessarily reflect EPA policy. D. A. Brewer acknowledges support for this research from NASA Contract No. NAS1-16456.




Figure 1.- Airborde lidar observations of aerosol backscattering and ozone concentrations in the same air mass on July 22, 1981 over North Carolina. (a) Cross-wind afternoon cross section of aerosol backscatter; (b) along-wind evening cross section of aerosol backscatter; and (c) along-wind evening ozone concentration cross section measured simultaneous with (b).