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## Abstract

A two-level, global, spectral model using pressure as a vertical coordinate is developed. The system of equations describing the model is nonlinear and quasi-geostrophic (linear balance) (Lorenz, 1960). A moisture budget is calculated in the lower layer only with moist convective adjustment between the two layers. The mechanical forcing of topography is introduced as a lower boundary vertical velocity. Solar forcing is specified assuming a daily mean zenith angle. On land and sea ice surfaces a steady state thermal energy equation is solved to calculate the surface temperature. Over the oceans the sea surface temperatures are prescribed from the climatolugical average of January. The model is integrated to simulate the January climate.
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| a | radius of the earth |
| :---: | :---: |
| $a_{0}, a_{1}$, | longwave radiation constants |
| $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | mean distance between the earth and the sun |
| $\mathrm{a}_{5}$ | instantaneous distance between the earth and the sun |
| AP | avallable potential energy |
| $(A P)_{n}^{m}$ | spherical harmonic mode of AP |
| $b_{00} \ldots b_{23}$ | 3 longwave radiation constants |
| B | Stefan-Boltzmann constant |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | the net heating of the atmosphere |
| $B_{5}$ | the net surface heating |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\text {ea }}$ | the net heating of the earth-atmosphere system |
| c | fractional amount of low and medium ciouds (tenths) |
| ${ }^{c}{ }_{d}$ | surface drag coefficient |
| $c_{p}$ | specific heat at constant pressure |
| $D_{n}^{m}$ | $D_{n}^{m}=\left(n^{2}-m^{2} / 4 n^{2}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |
| E | evaporation rate |
| $f$ | the Coriolis parameter |
| $F_{h}$ | rate of change of vorticity due to horizontal diffusion |
| Fv | rate of change of vorticity due to vertical diffusion |
| GW | wetness parameter |
| $h_{s}$ | relative humidity near the surface |
| $H_{0}$ | hour angle of the sun |
| i | $\mathrm{i}=\sqrt{-1}$ |


| I | thermal conductivity of ice per unft length |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ | number of Gaussian latitudes used in the transform method |
| J | highest wave number of $n$ - $m$ retained in the truncated series, |
|  | or Jacoblan |
| k | vertical unit vector |
| $k_{d}$ | friction coefficient |
| $k_{h}$ | lateral eddy diffusion coefficieht |
| $\mathrm{k}_{5}$ | surface friction coefficient |
| KE | kinetic energy |
| $(K E)_{n}^{m}$ | spherical harmonic mode of KE |
| $\ell$ | Index of the vertical level |
| L | latent heat of condensation, |
| $L_{0}$ | net longwave radiation at the surface |
| $L_{4}$ | net longwave flux at the cop of the atmosphere |
| m | zonal wave number |
| M | highest zonal wave number retained in the truncated series |
| n | degree of a spherical harmonic component |
| $N$ | number of days measured from day 0 to 0021 January |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | number of grid points used in an integration around a latitude |
|  | circle |
| $N_{s}$ | net radiation at the surface |
| $N_{\infty}$ | net radiation at the top of the atmosphere |
| P | pressure |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | precipitation rate |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | pressure at the terrain height |
| $P_{n}^{m}$ | associated Legendre functions of the first kind |
| q | moisture mixing ratio |


| $\mathrm{q}_{5}$ | saturation mixing ratio |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q | rate of heating per unit mass |
| $Q_{s}$ | surface sonslble heat flux |
| $r$ | stress due to vertical diffusion |
| $r_{a}$ | atmospheric albedo |
| $r_{s}$ | surface albedo |
| R | gas constant for dry air |
| $\mathrm{R}_{v}$ | gas constant for water vapor |
| $S_{c}$ | solar constant |
| $S_{h}$ | rate of change of mixing ratio due to horizontal diffusion |
| $S_{r}$ | solar radiation absorbed by the atmosphere |
| $\mathrm{S}_{s}$ | solar radiation absorbed by the earth's surface |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\infty}$ | incident solar radiation at the top of the atmosphere |
| t | time |
| T | air temperature |
| Ta | air temperature at 1000 mb |
| $T_{c}$ | thermal conductivity of ice |
| $T_{g}$ | surface ground temperature |
| $u$ | zonal wind speed |
| $v$ | meridional wind speed |
| $\underline{v}$ | horizontal wind vector |
| $\underline{v}_{0}$ | rotational part of the horizontal wind vector at level 1 |
| $W_{h}$ | rate of change of potential temperature due to horizontal |
|  | diffusion |
| $W_{v}$ | rate of change of potential temperature due to vertical |
|  | diffusion |
| $x$ | absorptivity of the atmosphere |
| $x$ | a general parameter |


| $x_{n}^{m}$ | spherical harmonic coefficient of $X$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $y$ | Iongwave effective emissivity at the surface |
| $Y_{n}^{m}$ | spherical harmonic |
| $\bar{z}$ | daily average zenith angle |
| $\alpha$ | radiation constant |
| $\beta$ | radiation constant |
| $\gamma$ | critical relative humidity in the lower layer |
| $\Gamma$ | temperature lapse rate |
| $\Gamma_{5}$ | moist adiabatic lapse rate |
| 5 | declination angle |
| $\varepsilon_{g}$ | longwave emissivity at the surface |
| $\zeta$ | vorticity |
| $\theta$ | potential temperature |
| $\theta_{n}^{m}$ | spherical harmonic coefficient of $\theta$ |
| $K$ | $K=R / C_{p}$ |
| $\lambda$ | geographic longitude |
| $\mu$ | $\mu=\sin (\phi)$ |
| $v$ | iteration index |
| $\pi$ | $\pi=3.141593$ |
| $p$ | density $n$ air |
| $\rho_{s}$ | density of air near the surface |
| $\sigma$ | static stability |
| $\sigma_{n}^{m}$ | spherical harmonic coefficient of o |
| $\tau$ | rotational shear between the two levels |
| $\theta_{n}^{m}$ | spherical harmonic coefficient of $\tau$ |
| $\phi$ | geographic latitude |
| $\chi$ | velocity potential |


| $x_{0}$ | surface velocity potential |
| :---: | :---: |
| $x_{n}^{m}$ | spherical harmonic coefficient of $X$ |
| $\left(x_{0}\right)_{n}^{m}$ | spherical harmonic coefficient of $x_{0}$ |
| $\psi$ | strean function |
| $\psi_{0}$ | surface stream function |
| $\psi_{n}^{m}$ | spherical harmonic coefficient of $\psi$ |
| $\left(\psi_{0}\right)_{n}^{m}$ | spherical harmonic coefficient of $\psi_{0}$ |
| $\omega^{*}$ | vertical pressure velocity |
| $\Omega$ | angular velocity of rotation of the earth |
| $\nabla$ | horizontal del-operator $\nabla \equiv(\partial / a \cos \phi \partial \lambda, 1 / a \partial \phi)$ |
| ()* | the asterisk * denotes the complex conjugate, or departure from the time average |
|  | absolute value |

## 1. Introduction

Numerical mofinis are an important tool for festing many hypothoses concerning climate variability, During recent years a wide variety of models have been developed. Complexity of such models ranges between the simple energy balance models (e.g. Budyko, 1969; Sellers, 1973) and the multi-level primitive equation models \{e.g. Manabe at al., 1965; Kasahara and Washington, 1971; Corby at al., 1977; Otto-Bleisner et al., 1982).

Intermediate complexity models (Kikuchi, 1969; Salmon and Hendershott, 1976; Held and Suarez, 1978), with reasonable dynamical and physical simplifications, can simulate some aspects of the largest scales of atmospheric motion. The computational economy of such models provides the opportunity for longer periods of simulation and for more extenstye testing of physical and dynamical prosesses. Moreover, such models can provide a first insight on aimospheric problems before using the complicated general circulation models. Also, intermadiate complexity models are useful for interpreting the results of more complicated models (Chervin, et al., 1980).

In this study a two-level spectral model using pressure as a vertical coordinate is developed. The system of equations describing the model is quasi-geostrophic in linear balance (Lorenz, 1960). The choice of global rather than hemispheric model is due to the fact that the latter is believed to excite anomalous Rossby waves (Roads and Somerville, 1982) which could be critical when dealing with climate sensitivity studies.

The physical forcing is parameterized with reaconable simplicity to include the major forcing mechanisms which develop the large scale
atmospheric circulation. The solar energy is specified as a function of latitude and time assuming a dafly mean zenith angle (Wetherald and Manabe, 1972). The amount of solar energy absorbed by the model't atmosphere and the earth's surface is calculated using a formula givan by Kubota (1972). Longwave radiation forcing of the two layers and the surface are calculated using elimatological relative humidity and surface temperature. The mechanical forcieng of topography is introduced in the form of a lower boundary vertical velocity. The differential diabatic heating due to the distribution of land and sea also is included. The sea surface temperatures are specifled using the observed January mean values. On continents and ice surfaces the thermal energy balance equation is solved for the surface temperature.
$\square$
$3 \ldots \quad \psi_{3}, x_{3}, \theta_{3} \quad 250 \mathrm{mb}$
$2 \ldots \quad \omega_{2} \quad 500 \mathrm{mb}$
$1 \xrightarrow{\psi_{1}, x_{1}, \theta_{1}, q} 750 \mathrm{mb}$
0 [ ${ }^{\omega_{s}} 1000 \mathrm{mb}$

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the vertical structure of the model.

## 2. Governing Equations

The dry flat version of the model structure is basically the same as that given by Lorenz (1960) which is a two level, linear balance model using pressure as a vertical coordinate. The system of equations describing the model retains the nonlinear interactions between dependent variables. The equations representing the model are the vorticity equation, the thermodynamic equation, the thermal wind equation, the continuity equation and the water vapor equation. The latter is calculated at the lower layer only. Static stability is a variable in the model's atmosphere and the horizontal wind has both the divergent and nondivergent components.

### 2.1 Vertical structure of the model (pressure coordinate)

The model's atmosphere is represented by two levels; 750 mb ( $\ell=1$ ) and 250 mb ( $\ell=3$ ) (Fig. 1). The vertically averaged values are calculated in the intermediate level 500 mb ( $\ell=2$ ). The lower boundary is at the $1000 \mathrm{mb}(\ell=0)$.

For a certain level \& the set of equations describing the models atmosphere is given by;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{v}_{\ell}=k \times \nabla \psi_{\ell}+\nabla x_{\ell} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the vorticity equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla^{2} \psi_{\ell}=-J\left(\psi_{\ell}, \nabla^{2} \Psi_{\ell}+f\right)-\nabla x_{\ell} \cdot \nabla f+f \frac{\partial w_{\ell}}{\partial p}+\left(F_{h}\right)_{\ell}+\left(F_{v}\right)_{\ell} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the thermodynamic energy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}}{\partial t}=-J\left(\psi_{\ell}, \theta_{\ell}\right)-\nabla x_{\ell} \cdot \nabla \theta_{\ell}-w_{\ell} \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}}{\partial p}+\left(\frac{p_{0}}{p_{\ell}}\right)^{\kappa} \frac{Q_{\ell}}{c_{p}}+\left(W_{h}\right)_{\ell}+\left(W_{V}\right)_{\ell} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the thermal wind equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{p}\left(p_{0}\right)^{K} \nabla^{2} \theta_{\ell}=-\nabla \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p^{K}}\left(f \nabla \psi_{\ell}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the continuity equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial w_{\ell}}{\partial p}+\nabla^{2} x_{\ell}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the water vapor equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}=-\nabla \cdot\left(\underline{v}_{1} q\right)+E-p_{c}+\left(S_{h}\right)_{1} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\underline{v}_{\ell}=\left(u_{\ell}, v_{\ell}\right)$ is the horizontal wind vector, $w_{\ell}$ the vertical pres ${ }^{2}$ sure velocity, $f$ is the coriolis parameter, $\Psi_{\ell}$ is the stream function, $X_{\ell}$ is the velocity potential, $\theta_{\ell}$ is the potential temperature, $q$ is the water vapor mixing ratio, $P_{l}$ is the pressure, $p_{0}$ is the lower boundary pressure level ( $\equiv 1000 \mathrm{mb}$ ), $P_{c}$ is the precipitation rate, $E$ is the surface evaporation rate, $Q_{\ell} / c_{p}$ is the diabatic heating rate, $c_{p}$ is the specific heat at constant pressure, $K=R / C_{p}, R$ is the gas constant, $F_{h}$, $W_{h}, S_{h}$ are the horizontal diffusion of momentum, heat and moisture respectively, $\left(F_{v}\right)_{\ell}$ and $\left(W_{v}\right)_{\ell}$ are the vertical diffusion of momentum and heat, respectively.

Equations (2.1)-(2.6) are six equations in the 14 unknowns $\Psi_{l}, X_{l}$, $\theta_{\ell}, w_{\ell}, q, \underline{V}_{\ell}, Q_{\ell},\left(F_{h}\right)_{\ell},\left(F_{V}\right)_{\ell},\left(W_{h}\right)_{\ell},\left(W_{V}\right)_{\ell},\left(S_{h}\right)_{1}, E$ and $P_{c}$, The evaporation rate, $E$, is a result of the moisture vertical diffusion from the surface while, the precipitation $P_{c}$ is calculated as the excess of super saturated moisture in the lower layer. In order to close the set (2.1)-(2.6) the diabatic heating and the diffusion terms need to be parameterized in terms of the dependent variables.

### 2.2 Horizontal diffusion

From the numerical stability view point the diffusive terms are not required whell using the spectral method. There is a requirement to inhibit spurious growth of amplitude at scales close to the point of truncation due to spectral blocking (Puri and Bourke, 1974). At a level \& the horizontal diffusion of momentum, heat and moisture is parameterized, respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(F_{h}\right)_{l}=k_{h} \nabla^{2}\left(\nabla^{2} \psi_{l}+2 \frac{\psi_{l}}{a^{2}}\right),  \tag{2.7}\\
& \left(W_{h}\right)_{l}=k_{h} \nabla^{2} \theta_{l},  \tag{2.8}\\
& \left(S_{h}\right)_{l}=k_{h} \nabla^{2} q^{\prime} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{h}$ is the lateral eddy diffusion coefficient. The value of $k_{n}$ is taken to be $1.0 \times 10^{+5} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ (Phillips, 1956). The last term to the right side of (2.7) is due to the effect of spherical earth.

### 2.3 Vertical diffusion

The planetary boundary layer is a transition layer in the atmosphere which separates between the earth surface and the large scale atmospheric motions. In this layer, which is approximately 1 km , the fluxes are mainly a consequence of small-scale turbulence and convection. In a large scale model it is necessary to utilize the effects of the boundary layer to simulate a correct phase and amplitude of the ultra-long waves. Parameterized bulk formulas are used here to calculate the friction dissipation, sensible hat flux and evaporation rate.

### 2.3.1 Parameterization of frictional dissipation

The two assumptions used for parameterizing the frictional dissipation are as follows (Lorenz, 1961):
a) Surface frictional drag is proportional to the flow in the surface layer,
b) Friction between the two layers is proportional to the difference between the flow of the two layers.

The friction dissipation, $\left(F_{v}\right)_{\ell}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{v}\right)_{\ell}=-g \frac{\partial r_{\ell}}{\partial p} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the acceleration of gravity and $r_{\ell}$ is the rotational stress at level $\ell$.

Using the above two assumptions we can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{\Delta p}{g} k_{s} \nabla^{2} \Psi_{0} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}=\frac{\Delta p}{g} 2 k_{d} \nabla^{2}\left(\psi_{3}-\psi_{1}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta \mathrm{p}\left(=\mathrm{p}_{0} / 2\right)$ is the pressure difference between the upper and lower levels, and $\psi_{0}$ is the surface stream function calcualted by linear extrapolation with respect to height (Salmon and Hendershott, 1976). $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $2 k_{d}$ are the coefficients of friction at the underlying surface and the surface separating the two layers respectively. $k_{s}$ is given the value $4 \times 10^{-6} \sec ^{-1}$ (Kikuchi, 1969), and $k_{d}$ is given the value $5 \times 10^{-7}$ $\sec ^{-1}$ (Charney, 1959).

Using (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), and assuming that $r_{4}$ at the top of the atmosphere is equal to zero, we can find the expressions for the friction dissipation at the two levels,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(F_{v}\right)_{2}=-k_{s} \nabla^{2} \psi_{0}+2 k_{d} \nabla^{2}\left(\psi_{3}-\psi_{1}\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
& \left(F_{v}\right)_{3}=-2 k_{d} \nabla^{2}\left(\psi_{3}-\psi_{1}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.3.2 Parameterization of sensible heat

Over all surfaces, whether bare land, ice or water, the vertical (turbulent) flux of sensible heat $Q_{s}$ is determined using the parameterization

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{s}=\rho_{s} c_{p} c_{d}\left|v_{o}\right|\left(T_{g}-T_{a}\right), \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{s}$ is the surface air density, $T_{g}$ is the ground or surface temperature (prescribed over the oceans), $T_{a}$ the surface air temperature, $c_{d}$ is the drag coefficient and $\left|v_{o}\right|$ is the absolute value of the surface wind.

The surface air temperature, $T_{a}$, is extrapolated from the temperature values at 250 mb and 750 mb with respect to logarithm of the pressure level,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{a}-T_{1}\right) /\left(T_{a}-T_{3}\right)=\ln \left(p_{0} / p_{1}\right) / \ln \left(p_{0} / p_{3}\right) \cong .207 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The drag coefficient, $c_{d}$, is assumed constant taken to be . 004 and .001 over land and water surfaces respectively. By assuming these constant values for the drag coefficient we neglected its possible variations with the surface wind speed and the terrain height. The absolute value of the surface wind, $\left|v_{0}\right|$, is taken from the rotational part of the 750 mb wind. A minimum value is specified by $3 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ to avoid unrealistic high surface temperatures (Holloway and Manabe, 1971).

### 2.3.3 Parameterization of surface evaporation rate

The surface evaporation rate, $E$, is parameterized in the model as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\rho_{s} c_{d}\left|v_{o}\right| G W\left(h_{*} q_{s}\left(T_{g}\right)-h_{s} q_{s}\left(T_{a}\right)\right), \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{s}\left(\gamma_{g}\right)$ is the saturation mixing ratio using the surface temperature, $q_{s}\left(T_{a}\right)$ the saturation mixing ratio at 1000 mb . The saturation vapor pressure is calculated using a formula given by Bolton (1980). The ground wetness parameter GW is a nondimensional measure of the surface water avallable for evaporation and varies between 0 and 1 . Over water and ice it is taken as unity, whereas over land surfaces it is taken as .25. The relative humidity in the atmosphere near the surface, $h_{s}$, is given by $h_{s}=.5 q\left(T_{1}\right) / q_{s}\left(T_{1}\right)+.5$, where $q\left(T_{1}\right)$ is the mixing ratio in the lower layer. $h_{*}$ is simply set equal to 1 ; the surface is assumed to be everywhere saturated (the "swamp" lower boundary condition).

### 2.4 Mechanical forcing of topography

At the top of the model's atmosphere $(p=0)$ the vertical pressure velocity $w_{4}$ is taken to be zero. At the lower boundary (1000 mb) $w_{0}$ introduce the mechanical effect of topography, the kinematic condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}=J\left(\psi_{1}, P_{g}\right), \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is used. Here $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is the pressure at the terrain height. When computing $P_{g}$, the continental elevations smoothed over $5^{\circ}$ latitude by $5^{\circ}$ longitude are used (Berkofsky and Berton, 1955) assuming a standard atmosphere. In this relation the advection by the divergent part of the horizontal wind is ignored.

Integration of the continuity equation (2.5) over the depth of the model's atmosphere and through its two layers gives the following pres. sure velocities

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{0}=-\Delta p \nabla^{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{3}\right),  \tag{2.19}\\
& w_{1}=-\frac{\Delta p}{2} \nabla^{2}\left(2 x_{3}+x_{1}\right), \tag{2,20}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{3}=-\frac{\Delta p}{2} \nabla^{2}\left(x_{3}\right) . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to introduce the new variable $x_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}=-\Delta p \nabla^{2} x_{0} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.19) and (2.22) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=x_{3}+x_{3} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The low order truncation used in the model (truncate at either zonal wave number 9 or wave number 15) is considered as a further filter to satisfy the quasi-geostrophic approximation, where the vertical velocity should be three orders of magnitude less than the horizontal wind (Haltiner, 1971).
2.5 The model

It is convenient to use as dependent variables the mean potential temperature $\theta$ and the static stability $\sigma$, the stream functions $\psi$ and $\tau$ for the mean wind and wind shear, so that $\theta_{3}=\theta+\sigma, \theta_{1}=\theta-\sigma, \psi_{3}=\psi+\tau$, $\psi_{1}=\psi^{-\tau}, x_{1}=x$. Using (2.7) - (2.9), (2.13) and (2.14), the governing equations (2.1) - (2.6) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\nabla^{2} \psi\right)=-J\left(\psi, \nabla^{2} \psi+f\right)-J\left(\tau, \nabla^{2} \tau\right)-\frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot\left(f \nabla x_{0}\right)-\frac{k_{s}}{2} \nabla^{2} \psi_{0}+k_{h}\left(\nabla^{4} \psi+2 \frac{\nabla^{2} \psi}{a^{2}}\right), \tag{2,24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\nabla^{2} \tau\right) & =-J\left(\psi, \nabla^{2} \tau\right)-J\left(\tau, \nabla^{2} \psi+f\right)+\nabla \cdot(f \nabla x)-r_{r} \nabla \cdot\left(f \nabla x_{0}\right)+\frac{k_{s}}{2} \nabla^{2} \psi_{0}-2 k_{d} \nabla^{2} \tau \\
& +k_{h}\left(\nabla^{4} \tau+\frac{2}{a^{2}} \nabla^{2} \tau\right), \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

$\frac{\partial 0}{\partial t}=-J(\psi, 0)-J\left(r,(v)+\nabla \cdot(\omega \nabla x)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla x_{0} \cdot \nabla 0\right)+\nabla x_{0} \cdot \nabla 0+30 \nabla^{2} x_{0}\right)+k_{h} \nabla^{2} 0+\bar{Q}$,
$\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t}=-J(\psi, \sigma)-J(\tau, \theta)+\nabla x \cdot \nabla \theta-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla x_{0} \cdot \nabla \theta+\nabla x_{0} \cdot \nabla \sigma-\sigma \nabla^{2} x_{0}\right)+k_{h} \nabla^{2} \sigma+\hat{Q}$,
$\left.\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}=-\nabla \cdot(k \times \nabla(\psi-\tau)+\nabla x) q\right)+E-p_{c}+k_{h} \nabla^{2} q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b c_{p} \nabla^{2} \theta=\nabla \cdot(f \nabla \tau) \tag{2,29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta p \nabla^{2} x_{0}=-J\left(\psi-\tau, P_{g}\right),  \tag{2.30}\\
& \psi_{0}=\psi-1.6 \tau \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{b}=s_{2}\left[\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{K}-\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{K}\right]=.124, \\
& \bar{Q}=s_{2}\left[\left(\frac{p_{0}}{p_{3}}\right)^{K} Q_{3}+\left(\frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}}\right)^{K} Q_{1}\right] / c_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

is the vertically averaged diabatic heating per unit mass, and

$$
\hat{Q}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{p_{0}}{p_{3}}\right)^{K} Q_{3}-\left(\frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}}\right)^{K} Q_{1}\right] / c_{p}
$$

is the difference in the diabatic heating per unit mass between the two layers.

The above system is a set of eight equations with eight unknowns $\psi$, $\tau, \theta, \sigma, x, x_{0}, \psi_{0}, q$. This system will be transformed to the spectral space using the spherical harmonics as basis functions.

## 3. Thermal Forcing of the Earth-Atmosphere System

Mechanisms that force the model's atmosphere are either external or internal. The upper layer is heated by short- and longwave radiation, by the lateral diffusion of heat, and by the heat released by a convective adjustment. The lower layer is heated by short- and longwave radiation, lateral diffusion, sensible heat flux from the surface and by latent heat release, and is cooled by the heat transferred upward by the convective adjustment. Evaporation provides a source of water vapor which is also diffused and lost through precipitation.
3.1 Solar radiation

The incoming solar radiation at the top of the model's atmosphere is calculated as a function of daily mean zenith angle (Wetherald and Manabe, 1972). Diurnal variation of the solar energy is excluded. The mean zenith angle $\ddot{z}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \bar{z}=\sin \phi \sin \delta+\left(\cos \phi \cos \delta \sin H_{0}\right) / H_{0} \text {. } \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is the latitude angle, $\delta$ is the declination angle, and $H_{0}$ is the hour angle given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{0}=\cos ^{-1}(-\tan \phi \tan \delta),  \tag{3.2}\\
& \delta=23.45 \sin 2 \pi \frac{(N-80)}{360}, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ is the number of days measured from day 0 at 002 at the first of January.

The incoming solar radiation at the top of the atmosphere is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{\infty}=\vec{S} H_{0} / \pi,  \tag{3,4}\\
\bar{s}=\left(\frac{a_{m}}{a_{s}}\right)^{2} S_{c} \cos \bar{z}, & \phi-\delta<\frac{\pi}{2} \\
0 & \phi-\delta>\frac{\pi}{2}
\end{array}
$$

$S_{c}$ is the solar constant taken to be $1400 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Recent measurements of solar irradiance from earth orbiting satellites (Smith, et al., 1982) give an average value about $1375 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. This value is about $1.8 \%$ less than the assumed value. Parameters $a_{s}$ and $a_{m}$ are the instantaneous and mean distance of the earth from the sun, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{s}}{a_{m}}=1+.01676 \sin 2 \pi \frac{(N-94)}{360} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The amount of solar radiation absorbed by the earth's atmosphere system is calculated using a formulae given by Kubota (1972). The solar radiation absorbed by the atmosphere $S_{r}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}=x\left(1-r_{a}\right) S_{\infty}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is the absorptivity of the atmosphere taken to be constant $=$ .26. The albedo of the atmosphere, $r_{a}$, is calculated taking into consideration the observed mean zonal amount of clouds (Berliand, 1960),

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{a}=(\alpha+\beta c) c, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is a constant equal to .38 , $c$ is the amount of low and medium clouds in tenths of sky cover. Although the model has no explicit modulation of the clouds, they are implicitly included through the atmospheric albedo which affects the solar energy budget. The parameter $\alpha$ is a function of latitude.


Fig. 2. The zonal average of the calculated solar radiation ( $\mathbf{w} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) absorbed by the atmosphere (dotted line) and the earth (full line) for the first of January.

The net solar energy absorbed by the earth's surface is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{s}=(1-x)\left(1-r_{a}\right)\left(1-r_{s}\right) S_{\infty}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{s}$ is the January zonai average albedo of the earth's surface (oceans are not included). The surface albedos are categorized as areas of permanent ice (albedo $=.8$ ), partial snow in midde and low latitudes (albedo $=.2$ to .3 ), and dense forests (albedo $=.25$ ). The values of different parameters used for the January solar radiative calculation are shown in Table 1.

The above formulae give a global averago planetary albedo $\cong 34 \%$. Stephens et al., (1981), using satellite observations, estimated the global average planetary albedo for January to be 31\%. Fig. 2 reveals the calculated solar radiation absorbed by the atmosphere and the earths surface at the first of January.

### 3.2 Longwave radiation

The calculation of the longwave radfative cooling of the atmosphere makes use of a parameterization of the outgoing infrared radiation (Thompson and Warren, 1982). The parameterization comprises clear sky. Only two parameters are used to predict clear-sky outgoing infrared irradiance: surface air temperature ( $T_{a}$ ) and climatological vertical mean relative humidity (RH).

The clear sky outgoing infrared irradiance at the top of the atmospiere is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{4}=a_{0}+a_{1} T_{a}+a_{2} T_{a}^{2}+a_{3} T_{a}^{3} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=b_{0 n}+b_{1 n}(R H)+b_{2 n}(R H)^{2}, \quad n=0,1,2,3 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of the b's are given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{00}=2.34414 \times 10^{2}, \\
& b_{10}=-3.47968 \times 10^{1}, \\
& b_{20}=1.02790 \times 10^{1}, \\
& b_{01}=2.60065 \times 10^{0}, \\
& b_{11}=-1.62064 \times 10^{0}, \\
& b_{21}=6.34856 \times 10^{-1}, \\
& b_{02}=4.40272 \times 10^{-3}, \\
& b_{12}=-2.26092 \times 10^{-2}, \\
& b_{22}=1.12265 \times 10^{-2}, \\
& b_{03}=-2.05237 \times 10^{-5}, \\
& b_{13}=-9.670 \times 10^{-5}, \\
& b_{23}=5.62925 \times 10^{-5},
\end{aligned}
$$

The values of RH used for the January simulation are shown in Table 1. These values are interpolated from the values given by Thompson and Warren (1982).

The model's longwave emissivity is divided between the upper and lower layer by fraction . 4 and . 6 respectively. The net longwave irradiance at the earth's surface (Deardorff, 1978) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}=\varepsilon_{g}\left(B T_{g}{ }^{4}-y B T_{a}{ }^{4}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $B$ is the Stephen Boltzman constant, $\varepsilon_{g}$ is the emissivity of the ground surface in the infrared taken to be equal to .95 , and $y$ is the parameterization for the effective emissivity of the air whichi is calculated from the relation

Table 1
Parameters Used for Solar and Longwave Radiation Cdiculations
Parameter
Latitude
Clouds
c
Atmospheric Albedo ( $r_{a}$ )

Surface Average relaAlbedo $\left(r_{5}\right)$ tive humidity (RH)

| 84.1 | 0.35 | 0.096 | 0.8 | . 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76.5 | 0.41 | 0.129 | 0.8 | . 53 |
| 68.9 | 0.48 | 0.179 | 0.8 | . 58 |
| 61.3 | 0.54 | 0.305 | 0.4 | . 6 |
| 53.6 | 0.56 | 0.343 | 0.3 | . 59 |
| 45.9 | 0.54 | 0.316 | 0.2 | . 58 |
| 38.3 | 0.45 | 0.248 | 0.2 | . 54 |
| 30.6 | 0.37 | 0.185 | 0.18 | . 46 |
| 23. | 0.28 | 0.131 | 0.15 | . 41 |
| 15.3 | 0.29 | 0.145 | 0.14 | . 38 |
| 7.7 | 0.32 | 0.167 | 0.14 | . 43 |
| 0. | 0.38 | 0.207 | 0.14 | . 57 |
| -7.7 | 0.36 | 0.193 | 0.12 | . 53 |
| -15.3 | 0.35 | 0.183 | 0.1 | . 46 |
| -23.0 | 0.34 | 0.166 | 0.1 | . 38 |
| -30.6 | 0.36 | 0.179 | 0.1 | . 35 |
| -38.3 | 0.42 | 0.227 | 0.1 | . 4 |
| -45.9 | 0.51 | 0.293 | 0.1 | . 46 |
| -53.6 | 0.60 | 0.377 | 0.5 | . 50 |
| -61.3 | 0.62 | 0.369 | 0.5 | . 53 |
| -68.9 | 0.55 | 0.8 | 0.8 | . 51 |
| -76.5 | 0.47 | 0.8 | 0.8 | . 46 |
| -84.1 | 0.40 | 0.8 | 0.8 | . 41 |

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\left(c+(1-c) \times .67 \times\left(1670 q_{a}\right)^{.08}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

here the value of $c$, the cloud fraction, is assumed as a global average equal to . 5 and $q_{a}$ is the water vapor mixing ratio near the surface.

## 3.3 large scale precipitation and latent heat relase

The model has a moisture content in the lower layer (level 1) only. The procedure for large scale precipitation and convective adjustment starts after completing each time step of integration. The mixing ratio at each grid point of the 750 mb level is examined for super-saturation.

If $q\left(T_{1}\right)<\gamma g_{5}\left(T_{1}\right)$, then no precipitation or convective adjustment takes place. The parameter $\gamma$ represents a specified critical relative humidity $\left(\gamma=.85\right.$ in this study). $T_{1}$ is the temperature at any grid point in level 1 , and $q$ and $q_{s}$ are the mixing ratio and the saturation mixing ratio, respectively.

On the other hand, if $q\left(T_{1}\right) \geq \gamma q_{s}\left(T_{1}\right)$, condensation occurs with the associated latent heat release. The temperature $T_{1}$ will be agumented by an increment $\Delta T$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta T=\frac{L}{c_{p}}\left(q\left(T_{1}\right)-q_{s}^{\prime}\left(T+\Delta^{\prime}\right)\right), \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{s}^{\prime}$ is the new saturation mixing ratio at the temperature $T+\Delta T$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{s}^{\prime}=\gamma q_{s}+\gamma \frac{\partial q_{s}}{\partial T} \Delta T . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Clausius-Clapeyron equation, (3.14) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{s}^{\prime}=\gamma q_{s}+\gamma \frac{L q_{s}}{R_{v} T^{2}} \Delta T \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{v}$ is the water vapor gas constant and $L$ is the latent heat of condensation. The rate of condensation (precipitation) per unit mass, $r_{c}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{c}=\left(q-q_{s}^{\prime}\right) / \Delta t, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta t$ is the time sten of integration. Using (3.13), (3.15) and (3.16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{c}=\frac{q-\gamma q_{s}}{\Delta t}\left(1+\frac{\gamma L^{2}}{c_{p} R_{v} \top_{1}^{2}} q_{s}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that a relevant form of (3.13) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta T=\frac{L}{C_{p}} P_{c} \Delta t \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

After the release of latent heat in the lower layer as a result of the condensation of water vapor, the atmosphere is tested to see if convective adjustment is required. Convection is assumed to develop if the atmosphere is unstable relative to the moist adiabatic lapse rate $\Gamma_{s}$, then the temperature of the two levels is adjusted to stabilize the model's atmosphere by cooling the lower layer and warming the upper layer, with the vertically averaged temperature conserved. The new lapse rate is the same as $\mathrm{r}_{\mathbf{s}}$.

### 3.4 Net heating of the Earth-Atmosphere system

The way in which the model responds to heating and how it simulates the observed atmospheric heat balance are fundamental aspects of fics ability to reproduce the seasonal distributions of global climate. From the previous discussions we can calculate the different partitions of the heating function.

Of basic importance is the net radiation at the top of the atmosphere which represents the net gain or loss of both solar and longwave radiative energy this may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\infty}=S_{\infty}-r_{a} S_{\infty}-r_{s}(1-x)\left(1-r_{a}\right) S_{\infty}-L_{4} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the right side of (3.19) the second and third terms represent the amount of solar radiation reflected by the atmosphere and the earth's surface, respectively, while the last term is the net outgoing longwave radiation at the top of the model atmosphere.

The net radiation at the earth's surface $N_{s}$ inay be written using (3.8) and (3.11) as
$N_{5}=(1-x)\left(1-r_{a}\right)\left(1-r_{5}\right) S_{\infty}-L_{0}$.
The net surface heating, $B_{s}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{s}=N_{s}-Q_{s}-L E, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is assumed that $B_{s}=0$, and the resulting equation is used to determine the surface ground temperature $T_{g}$. Over the water surfaces, on the other hand, the surface temperature is assigned and $B_{s}$ is not required to be zero.

The net atmospheric heating may be considered by combining the net radiation at the top of the atmosphere (3.19), the net surface heat flux (3.21), and the internal release of latent heat accompanying condensation (here precipitation). Recognizing that the surface evaporation removes heat from the water source and therefore it is not a part of the atmospheric heating, we may write the net heating of the atmosphere, $B_{a}$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{a}=x\left(1-r_{a}\right) S_{\infty}+L_{0}-L_{4}+Q_{s}+L_{c} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression for $B_{a}$ is also equal to the sum of the atmospheric storage of total energy and the divergence of the atmospheric total energy flux.

Finally, we may combine the net surface heating (3.21) and the net atmospheric heating (3.22) in order to get the net heating of the
combined earth-atmosphere system. This heating is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{e a}=N_{\infty}+L\left(P_{c}-E\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This may be regarded as the balance of total energy in the earthatmosphere system.

### 3.5 Surface temperature

The surface temperature, $T_{g}$, is used to calculate the bulk formulae (2.15) and (2.17). As mentioned before the surface temperatures of the water are specified as the climatological values of January. On land and ice surfaces the temperature is calculated from the surface thermal energy balance (3.21) assuming negligible heat capacity of the earth $\left(B_{s}=0\right)$ (Holloway and Manabe, 1971). Over oceanic locations assumed to be covered with ice, $B_{s}=0$ is also assumed, but with a term representing the heat conduction through the ice (depending on the difference between the ice surface temperature and the freezing point of water) added to the right hand side of (3.21). Over all ice and snow covered surfaces the computed surface temperature is not permitted to rise above $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In such a case the excess heat is assumed to be used in melting. Equation (3.21) can take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{s}=N_{s}-Q_{s}-L E+I\left(T_{g}-271.2\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term on the right hand side represent the effect of heat conduction from unfrozen water below sea ice in the polar latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere. Assuming the thermal conductivity of ice, $T_{c}=2.1$ $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{m}^{-1} \mathrm{o}^{-1} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$, the temperature of the underlying water is $271.2^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and the ice layer thickness $d=2 \mathrm{~m}$, then the constant $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{d}=1.05 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ${ }^{0} K^{-1}$. This term is needed to prevent unrealistically cold temperatures in the Northern Hemisphere polar regions during winter.

Appendix I explains the method of solving (3.24). The Newton iteration method is used and is found to be efficient in solving such type of equations.

## 4. Numerical Simulation

The conventional spectral method is Galerkin's method based on expanding the different variables with a truncated series of surface spherical harmonics. The method is used for the numerical integration of the hydrodynamical equations. Two types of expansion are often used, the triangular and rhomboldal truncations. The advantages of the spectral method over the usual finite difference methods are summarized as follows (Machenhauer, 1974):

1) The nonlinear terms are alias free, which prohibits the existence of the nonlinear instability described by Phillips (1959).
2) Quadratic area integral invariants like the kinetic energy and enthalpy also are invariant for the truncated system, since the error fields are orthogonal to the variables.
3) Linear terms are computed without any truncation error.
4) No special treatment is required for dealing with the polar region when using the vorticity and divergence fields. By contrast, in the finite difference method the horizontal wind conponents are discontinuous at the pole.
5) The friction term of the finfte difference methods is necessary to prevent aliasing instability. It also is necessary for the removal of energy from the shortwave end of the spectrum. When using the spectral method, it also is important to prevent blocking of energy at the highest wave numbers retained, but in this case the purpose is only a simulation of the effect of the small scales not retained in the representation.

A study by Hoskins and Simmons (1974) compared finite difference and spectral models. The study showed that no one method has a superiority in all respects. In comparison with the finite difference model, the spectral model gave much improved solutions for the amplitudes and phases of the predicted waves. On the other hand, the finite difference model gave a more accurate representation of the frontal systems.

It is of interest to compare the two types of truncation mentioned before, namely the rhomboidal and triangular. For the same zonal wave number truncation, the triangular representation has fewer degrees of freedom than the rhomboidal and hence requires less computing time. If we retain the same degrees of freedom in both the triangular and rhomboidal truncations, the former will be more appropriate for mean zonal fields than the lattor. At the same time the rhomboidal truncation could introduce higher wave numbers, namely the eddies. The same study by Hoskins and Simmons (1974) did not give a definite conclusion concerning the comparison between rhomboidal and triangular truncation. In some experiments the rhomboidal truncation gave a more accurate approximation to the solution than the triangular truncation. In other experiments the triangular truncalion gave a more efficient description of Rossby wave instability.

In this study we used the rhomboidal truncation since it gives a comparable resolution in both horizontal directions.

### 4.1 Spectral method

The dependent variables $\psi, \tau, x, x_{0}, \theta, \sigma, q$ are expanded in truncated series of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(\mu, \lambda)=\sum_{m=-M}^{M} \underset{\sum_{n=|m|}|m|+J}{ } \quad x_{n}^{m} \quad Y_{n}^{m}(\mu, \lambda) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is any variable being studied, $X_{n}^{m}$ are harmonic coefficients, $\lambda$ is longitude, $\mu$ is the sine of latitude, $m$ is the zonal wavenumber, $n$ is the degree of a spherical harmonic component, $n-|m|$ is a meridional wavenumber in the sense that there are $n-|m|$ zero crossings of $Y_{n}^{m}$ between equator and pole, $M$ is the highest zonal wave number retained in the truncated series, and $J$ is the highest value of $n-|m|$ retained in the truncated series. $Y_{n}^{m}$ are spherical harmonic functions defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n}^{m}=p_{n}^{m}(\mu) e^{i m \lambda} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P_{n}^{m}$ are the Associated Legendre functions of the first kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{m}(\mu)=\left(\frac{(2 n+1)}{4 \pi} \frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)^{|m|} \mid / 2}{2^{n} n!} \frac{d^{n+|m|}}{d \mu^{n+|m|} \mid}\left(\mu^{2}-1\right)^{n} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A spherical harmonic coefficient is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}^{m}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{-1}^{+1} x y_{n}^{m^{*}} d \mu d \lambda \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{n}^{m^{\star}}$ is the complex conjugate of $Y_{n}^{m}$.
$Y_{n}^{m}$ are orthogonal over the surface of the sphere, i.e.

$$
\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{-1}^{+1} Y_{n}^{m} Y_{n_{1}}^{m_{1}^{*}} d \mu d \lambda=\begin{align*}
& 1 \text { for }\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right)=(m, n)  \tag{4.5}\\
& 0 \text { for }\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right) \neq(m, n)
\end{align*}
$$

and are eigenfunctions of the Laplacian operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} Y_{n}^{m}=-n \frac{(n+1)}{a^{2}} Y_{n}^{m}, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the radius of the sphere. The coefficients for negative and positive values of $m$ are related in the following way:

$$
x_{n}^{-m}=(-1)^{m} x_{n}^{m^{\star}} .
$$

Nonlinear terms are thansformed from grid point space to spectral space using the full transform method (Machenhauer and Rasmussen, 1972; Orszag, 1970). The method is computationally highly efficient relative to the interaction coefficient method for $J \geq 9$.

The procedure for calculating the spectral coefficients of th. nonlinear terms using the full transform method is as follows:

1) Calculate the nonlinear terms at each grid point in physical space.
2) Transform to the Fourier space at each Gaussian latitude, using fast Fourier transform routines.
3) Transform to the spectral space using the Gaussian quadrature formula.

Highly nonlinear terms, like diabatic heating terms cause problems in finding their spectral transforms. This problem is resolved by using the full transform method. They are calculated in physical space, then added to the nonlinear dynamic terms, and the whole sum is transformed to spectral space.

To guarantee an alias-free solution, there are two conditions that must be fulfilled (Machenhauer and Rasmussen, 1972). These conditions specify the minimum number of zonal grid points, $N_{g}$, and the minimum number of Gaussian latitudes, $I_{s}$, on the sphere:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{g}>3 M+1 \\
& I_{s}>M+3 / 2 \mathrm{~J}
\end{aligned}
$$

In case of the rhomboidal truncation ( $M=J$ ) used here, the latter condition is

$$
I_{\mathrm{s}}>5 / 2 \mathrm{M} .
$$

For the simulation with wavenumber $9, N_{g}=32$ and $I_{s}=23$. On the other hand, for wave number 15 simulation, $N_{g}=48$ and $I_{s}=40$.

To transform the system (2.24-2.31) to its spectral form, each variable is expanded using (4.1). The resulting equations are multiplied by $Y_{n}^{m^{\star}}$ and integration of both sides is performed using equations (4.1-4.6). The nonlinear terms are calculated using the transform method mentioned before.

The system of equations in its spectral form is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\psi}_{n}^{m}= & -\frac{a^{2}}{n(n+1)}\left\{-J\left(\psi, \nabla^{2} \psi\right)-J\left(\tau, \nabla^{2} \tau\right)\right\}_{n}^{m}+\frac{2 \Omega m}{n(n+1)} 1 \psi_{n}^{m}- \\
& \Omega\left(\frac{n+2}{n+1} D_{n+1}^{m}\left(x_{0}\right)_{n+1}^{m}+\frac{n-1}{n} D_{n}^{m}\left(x_{0}\right)_{n-1}^{m}\right)  \tag{4.7}\\
& -\frac{k_{s}}{2}\left(\psi_{0}\right)_{n}^{m}-k_{h} n \frac{(n+1)}{a^{2}} \psi_{n}^{m}+\frac{2 k_{n}}{a^{2}} \psi_{n}^{m}, \\
\dot{\tau}_{n}^{m}= & \frac{-a^{2}}{n(n+1)}\left\{\left(-J\left(\tau, \nabla^{2} \psi\right)-J\left(\psi, \nabla^{2} \tau\right)\right)\right\}_{n}^{m}+\frac{2 \Omega m}{n(n+1)} 1 \tau_{n}^{m}- \\
& \Omega\left(\frac{n+2}{n+1} D_{n+1}^{m}\left(x_{0}\right)_{n+1}^{m}+\frac{n-1}{n} D_{n}^{m}\left(x_{0}\right)_{n-1}^{m}\right) \\
& +\frac{k_{s}}{2}\left(\psi_{0}\right)_{n}^{m}-2 k_{d} \tau_{n}^{m}-k_{h}^{n} \frac{(n+1)}{a^{2}} \tau_{n}^{m}+\frac{2 k_{h}}{a^{2}} \tau_{n}^{m}  \tag{4.8}\\
& +2 \Omega\left(\frac{n+2}{n+1} D_{n+1}^{m} x_{n+1}^{m}+\frac{(n-1)}{n} D_{n}^{m} x_{n-1}^{m}\right), \\
\dot{\theta}_{n}^{m}= & \left\{-J(\psi, \theta)-J(\tau, \sigma)-\frac{\left.1_{2}\left(\nabla x_{0} \cdot \nabla \theta+\nabla x_{0} \cdot \nabla \sigma+3 \sigma \nabla^{2} x_{0}\right)\right\}_{n}^{m}}{n}\right. \\
= & n \frac{(n+1)}{a^{2}} k_{n} \theta_{n}^{m}+(\nabla \cdot(\sigma \nabla x))_{n}^{m}+\bar{Q}_{n}^{m}, \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\sigma}_{n}^{m}=\left\{-J(\psi, \sigma)-J(\tau, 0)-\mu_{2}\left(\nabla x_{0} \cdot \nabla 0+\nabla x_{0} \cdot \nabla \sigma-\sigma \nabla^{2} x_{0}\right)\right\}_{n}^{m} \\
&+(\nabla x \cdot \nabla 0)_{n}^{m}-n \frac{(n+1)}{a^{2}} k_{n} \sigma_{n}^{m}+\hat{Q}_{n}^{m} \cdot  \tag{4.10}\\
& \dot{q}_{n}^{m}=-(\nabla \cdot((k \times \nabla(\psi-\tau)+\nabla x) q))_{n}^{m}-n \frac{(n+1)}{a^{2}} k_{n} q_{n}^{m}+\left(E-p_{c}\right)_{n}^{m}  \tag{4.11}\\
& b c_{p} \theta_{n}^{m}=2 \Omega\left(\frac{n+2}{n+1} 0_{n+1}^{m} \tau_{n+1}^{m}+\frac{(n-1)}{n} 0_{n}^{m} \tau_{n-1}^{m}\right)  \tag{4.12}\\
&\left(\psi_{0}^{m}\right)_{n}^{m}=\psi_{n}^{m}-1.6 \tau_{n}^{m} \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{0}\right)_{n}^{m}=\frac{2 a^{2}}{n(n+1)} \quad\left\{J(\psi-\tau), \frac{P_{a}}{P_{0}}\right\}_{n}^{m} \tag{4,14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=\sqrt{-1}$. The spectral transform of terms of the form $\nabla \cdot(f \nabla \tau)$ or $\nabla \cdot(f \nabla x)$ is shown in Appendix (II).

It must be noted that by solving (4.8), (4.9) and (4.12) we can obtain an equation for $x$. The equations are simplified and solved as a system of tridiagonal matrices (Appendix III) to find the spectral coefficients of $x$ that satisfy the linear balance approximation. The simplification is needed to treat the term $(\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla x)$ in (4.9). To do this, we split $\sigma$ into its global average $[\sigma]$, and the deviation from this average $\sigma^{\prime}$,

$$
\sigma=[\sigma]+\sigma^{\prime}
$$

Then

$$
\nabla \cdot(\sigma \nabla x)=[\sigma] \nabla^{2} x+\nabla \cdot\left(\sigma^{\prime} \nabla x\right)
$$

The first term on the right side of the above equation is of a larger
order of magnitude and is added to the other unknown terms, which include $x$. The smaller, second term, is considered as a known parameter and calculated using the values of $x$ at the previous time step. The method is found to be stable. It significantly reduces the number of calculations at this stage.

### 4.2 Energetics of the model

The two layer model discussed here conserves the sum of kinetic and available potential energy under reversible adiabatic processes (Lorenz, 1960). If one introduces the topographical forcing as a lower boundary vertical velocity, it is hard to verify the energy conservation (Burger and Riphagen, 1979). It is only the very simple lower boundary condition $w_{0}=0$ (used by Lorenz) at $p=1000 \mathrm{mb}$ that guarantees an energyconserving system.

The kinetic and available potential energies, $K E$ and $A P$, respectively, are expressed in the forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
K E=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{~g}}(\nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \psi+\nabla \tau \cdot \nabla \tau) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A P=\frac{2 b c_{p} \Delta p}{g} \frac{\left[\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]}{[\sigma]+\left[\sigma^{2}+\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The square brackets [ ] indicate the global area average and the dashes indicate the deviation from that average.

In spectral space the kinetic and available potential energy within a spherical harmonic mode are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(K E)_{n}^{m}=\frac{\Delta p}{g a^{2}}\left(\left(\psi_{n}^{m}\right)^{2}+\left(\tau_{n}^{m}\right)^{2}\right) n(n+1)\left(2-\delta_{0 m}\right), \text { for } m \geq 0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(A P)_{n}^{m}=\frac{2 b c_{p} \Delta p}{g} \frac{\left(\left(0_{n}^{m}\right)^{2}+\left(0_{n}^{m}\right)^{2}\right)\left(2-\delta_{0 m}\right)}{0_{0}^{0}+\left(2_{r_{s}}\left(00_{5}^{r}\right)^{2}+\left(\left(0 s_{s}^{r}\right)^{2}\right.\right.}-\left(00_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}\right\}^{k^{\prime}} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \neq 0 \mathrm{~m} \geq 0$, where $\delta_{00}=1$ and $\delta_{0 \mathrm{~m}}=0$ for $\mathrm{m}>0$.

### 4.3 Initial conditions and time integrations

The model integration starts from a hypothetical, horizontally isothermal, atmosphere at rest with a moist adiabatic lapse rate. The model runs for 120 days assuming perpetual solar forcing (first of January). This initialization procedure is used in order to reach a statistically steady state. After that the solar declination is changed daily to simulate the climates of January (days 121-150), February (days 151-180), and March (days 181-210). These runs are considered as control runs for the comparable periods within the experiments.

The time difference method used is the centered (leap-frog) scheme. To avoid the growth of unnecessary computational modes, a time smoother was used on the prognostic variables (Asselin, 1972) at every time step. The diffusion are calculated using values at the previous time step to ensure computational stability. The time step used is 2 hours. Appendix IV shows a flow diagram of the calculation procedure.

## 5. Summary

In this report a two-level global spectral model is'developed. In spite of the dynamical and physical simplifications, the model could be used to simulate the atmospheric large scale circulation. The model is suitable for climate sensitivity experiments in middle and high latitudes of both hemispheres. The efficient computer runs of the model (30 day integration, for wave number 9 truncation, requires about 50 sec of CPU time using CRAY-1 machine) enable us to perform many experiments and test several hypotheses before using the complicated multilevel primitive equation models.

The two levels representing the model's atmosphere are 750 mb and 250 mb . The surface is assumed at 1000 mb . The model retains the nonlinear interactions between dependent variables. Nonlinear interactions are important components of midlatitude synoptic motions. Additionally, for climate sensitivity studies nonlinear interactions are potentially significant since linear solutions are resonant or nearly resonant whife nonlinear solutions are not. The present model uses a moisture budget equation at the 750 mb level with moist convective adjustment between the two layers. The advection by the divergent wind is retained. Temperature and heat fluxes in each layer can differ through a variable statis stability.

The physical forcing is parameterized with reasonable simplicity to include the major forcing mechanisms which develop the large scale atmospheric circulation. The solar energy is specified as a function of latitude and time assuming a daily mean zenith angle. Longwave radiation forcing of the two layers and the surface are calculated. The mechanical effects of orography are introduced in the form of a lower
boundary vertical velocity. The differential diabatic heating due to the distribution of land and sea also is included. The sea surface temperatures are specified using the observed January mean values. On continents and ice surfaces the thermal energy balance equation is solved for the surface temperature. Both orography and differential heating between land and sea are importnat for producing a correct phase and amplitude of the middle latitudes ultralong waves in linear atmospheric medels.

A relatively straightforward extension, not yet attempted, is the parameterization of upper level clouds and their associated radiative effects. Such future work is envisaged for studying the role of high clouds for short-term climate and the earth's radiation budget.
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## APPENDIX !

## The Solution of the Surface Thermal

## Energy Balance Equation

Using equations (3.8), (3.11), (3.20), (3.21) and (3.24), the steadystate surface thermal energy balance is represented by

$$
B_{s}=S_{s}-r_{g}^{B T_{g}}{ }^{1}+\varepsilon_{g}{ }^{y B T_{a}^{1}-Q_{s}-L E+1\left(T_{g}-271.2\right), ~}
$$

where

$$
Q_{s}=\rho_{s} c_{p} c_{d}\left|v_{o}\right|\left(T_{g}-T_{d}\right),
$$

and $L E=L \rho_{s} c_{d}\left|V_{0}\right| G W\left(q_{s}\left(T_{g}\right)-h q_{s}\left(T_{a}\right)\right)$.
We define $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ such that

$$
I_{1}=\rho_{s} c_{p} c_{d}\left|v_{0}\right|
$$

and

$$
I_{2}=L \rho_{s} c_{d}\left|v_{0}\right|
$$

The above equation can be written in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
F\left(T_{g}\right)=S_{s}-\varepsilon_{g} B T_{g}^{4}+\varepsilon_{g} y B T_{a}^{d}-I_{1}\left(T_{g}-T_{a}\right)-I_{2}\left(q_{s}\left(T_{g}\right)\right. \\
\left.-h q_{s}\left(T_{a}\right)\right)-I\left(T_{g}-271.2\right) . \tag{A1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

(A1.1) is solved for $T_{g}$, using Newtons iteration method.
Differentiating (A1.1) with respect to $T_{g}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}\left(T_{g}\right)=-4 \varepsilon_{g}^{B T_{g}}-I_{1}-I_{2} a_{s}^{\prime}\left(T_{g}\right)-I \tag{A1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To calculate the saturation mixing ratio, $q_{s}\left(T_{g}\right)$, and $i t s$ derivative, $q^{\prime}\left(T_{g}\right)$, we use a formula for the saturation vapor pressure, $e_{s}$ (Bolton, 1979). This formula provides an accuracy of $0.1 \%$ in the range $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ < $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}<35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{s}\left(T_{g}\right)=6.112 \exp \left(17.67\left(T_{g}-273.15\right) /\left(T_{g}-29.65\right)\right) \tag{A1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{s}\left(T_{g}\right)=\frac{.622 e_{s}\left(T_{g}\right)}{p-e_{s}\left(T_{g}\right)} \tag{A1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (A1.4) with respect to ${ }^{T} g$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{s}^{\prime}\left(T_{g}\right)=\frac{q_{s} p e_{s}^{\prime}\left(T_{g}\right)}{\left(p-e_{s}\right)} \tag{A1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (A1.3), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{s}^{\prime}\left(T_{g}\right)=\frac{17.67 \times 243.15}{\left(T_{g}-29.65\right)^{2}} \tag{A1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (Al.5) into (AI.2), we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}\left(T_{g}\right)=-4 \varepsilon_{g} B T_{g}^{3}-I_{1}-I_{2} \frac{q_{s} P \times 4302.645}{\left(p^{-e_{g}}\left(T_{g}\right)\right)\left(T_{g}-29.65\right)^{2}}-I \tag{Al.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (AI.1) and (A1.7), the solution is convergent in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{g}^{v+1}=T_{g}^{v}-\frac{F\left(T_{g}{ }^{v}\right)}{F^{\prime}\left(T_{g}{ }^{v}\right)}, \tag{A1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the superscripts $v$ and $v+1$ indicate successive iteration steps. Iteration is performed until $F\left(T_{g}\right)$ is less than a small, predetermined value.

## APPENDIX II

## Spectral Transform of ( $\nabla \cdot f \nabla \mathrm{X}$ )

The term ( $\nabla \cdot f \nabla x$ ) can be expanded in the form

$$
\nabla \cdot f \nabla x=\nabla f \cdot \nabla x+f \nabla^{2} x
$$

Since $f=2 \Omega \mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot+\nabla x=\frac{2 \Omega}{a^{2}}\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial x}{\partial \mu}+2 \Omega \mu \nabla^{2} x \tag{A2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we expand $x$ in terms of spherical harmonics defined by (4.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot f \nabla x=\frac{2 \Omega}{a^{2}} \sum_{m n} x_{n}^{m}\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial Y_{n}^{m}}{\partial \mu}-\frac{2 \Omega}{a^{2}} \sum_{m n} n(n+1) x_{n}^{m} \mu Y_{n}^{m}, \tag{A2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot(f \nabla x)=\frac{2 \Omega}{a^{2}} \sum \sum_{n} x_{n}^{m}\left\{-\left(n^{2}-1\right) D_{n}^{m} Y_{n-1}^{m}-n(n+2) D_{n+1}^{m} \gamma_{n+1}^{m}\right\}, \tag{A2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the two recurrence relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial Y_{n}^{m}}{\partial \mu}=(n+1) U_{n}^{m} Y_{n-1}^{m}-n D_{n+1}^{m} Y_{n+1}^{m} \tag{A2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu Y_{n}^{m}=D_{n+1}^{m} Y_{n+1}^{m}+D_{n}^{m} Y_{n-1}^{m}, \tag{A2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
D_{n}^{m}=\left(\frac{n^{2}-m^{2}}{4 n^{2}-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Applying the transform operator (4.4) on (A2.3) and using (4.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla \cdot f \nabla x)_{n}^{m}=\frac{-2 \Omega}{a^{2}}\left(n(n+2) D_{n+1}^{m} x_{n+1}^{m}+\left(n^{2}-1\right) D_{n}^{m} x_{n-1}^{m}\right) \tag{A2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## APPENDIX III

Calculation of the Velocity Potential

To establish the linear balance approximation, equations (4.8), (4.9) and (4.12) need to be solved in order to calculate the array $x$ that satisfy the linear balance relation. This appendix describes the calculation procedure to find $x$,

Using the recurrence formula described in Appendix II, equation (4.8) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\dot{\tau})_{n}^{m}=-\frac{a^{2}}{n(n+1)}\left(R_{\tau}\right)_{n}^{m}+2 \Omega\left(\frac{n+2}{n+1} D_{n+1}^{m} x_{n+1}^{m}+\frac{n-1}{n} D_{n}^{m} x_{n-1}^{m}\right) \tag{A3,1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(R_{\tau}\right)_{n}^{m}$ is the spherical harmonics of the 1 inear and nonlinear terms that does not contain $x$.

Similarily, equation $(4,9)$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\dot{\theta})_{n}^{m}=\left(R_{\theta}\right)_{n}^{m}+(\nabla \cdot(\sigma \nabla x))_{n}^{m} \tag{A3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(R_{\theta}\right)_{n}^{m}$ is the same as the definition of $\left(R_{r}\right)_{n}^{m}$ but for the thermodynamic equation.

The generalized thermal wind equation (4.i2) can be differentiated with respect to time to give the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\dot{\theta})_{n}^{m}=\frac{2 \Omega}{b c_{p}}\left(\frac{n+2}{n+1} D_{n+1}^{m} \dot{\tau}_{n+1}^{m}+\frac{n-1}{n} o_{n}^{m} \dot{\tau}_{n-1}^{m}\right) \tag{A3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

substituting the appropriate indices of (A3.1) and (A3.2) into (A3.3), we can get the diagnostic equation for $x$ in the form,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(n, m) x_{n+2}^{m}+B(n, m) x_{n}^{m}+C(n, m) x_{n-2}^{m}+E(n, m)\left(R_{\tau}\right)_{n+2}^{m} \\
& \quad+G(n, m)\left(R_{n}\right)_{n-1}^{m}=(\nabla \cdot(\sigma \nabla x))_{n}^{m}+\left(R_{\theta}\right)_{n}^{m} \tag{A3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(n, m)=\frac{4 \Omega^{2}}{b c_{p}} \frac{n+3}{n+1} D_{n+1}^{m} D_{n+2}^{m} \\
& B(n, m)=\frac{4 \Omega^{2}}{b c_{p}}\left(\frac{n(n+2)}{(n+1)^{2}}\left(D_{n+1}^{m}\right)^{2}+\frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^{2}}\left(D_{n}^{m}\right)^{2}\right), \\
& C(n, m)=\frac{4 \Omega^{2}}{b c_{p}} \frac{n-2}{n} D_{n}^{m} D_{n-1}^{m}, \\
& E(n, m)=\frac{2 \Omega}{b c_{p}} \frac{n+2}{n+1} D_{n+1}^{m}, \\
& G(n, m)=\frac{2 \Omega}{b c_{p}} \frac{n-1}{n} D_{n}^{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The system ( A 3.4 ) needs the transformation of $(\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla X)$ in order to be solved. In such case the gaussian elinination method can be used to solve for $x$. However, by making the approximation described in the text the system ends to a tridiagonal matrix which is more efficient to solve than using the gaussian elimination method.

## APPENDIX IV

## Program Description

Calculations for this model are contained in three programs. Two of them produce input to the model: orography harmonics, ocean temperatures, legendre polynomial coefficients, gaussian latitudes, gaussian coefficients. The results of those two programs are stored on the files:
orography harmonics legendre polynomials, etc.

| Wave number 9 | ADELH1 | ADELH4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wave number 15 | ADELH2 | ADELH3 |

The third program calculates the time evolution of the general circulation. The results of the first 120 days of integration with fixed solar radiation for wave number 9 with topography, are stored on file ADRES2. The same but without topography is on file ADRES3. Subroutines for this program are compiled and stored on file ADELH9 for wave number 9 and on ADEL15 for wave number 15.

## ORIC:

OF POUR QUALAII

Table Al. Schemstic representation of the suquence of operations


Table A2: The most important variables in the program.
Plysical Space:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{1}=-\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mu} & F_{15}=0 \\
F_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\left(\nabla^{2} \psi\right) & F_{17}=\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}(q v) \\
F_{3}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda} & F_{18}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(q u) \\
F_{4}=-\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \nabla^{2} \psi & F_{19}=\sigma \\
F_{5}=-\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \mu} & F_{20}=\nabla^{2} x \\
F_{6}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\left(\nabla^{2} \tau\right) & F_{21}=q \\
F_{7}=\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \lambda} & F_{22}=-\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \mu} \\
F_{8}=-\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left(\nabla^{2} \tau\right) & F_{23}=\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \lambda} \\
F_{9}=-\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mu} & F_{24}=\nabla^{2} x_{0} \\
F_{10}=\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \lambda} & F_{25}=-\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial \mu} \\
F_{11}=-\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \mu} & F_{26}=\frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial \lambda} \\
F_{12}=\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \lambda} & \\
F_{13}=-\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial x}{\partial \mu} & \\
F_{14}=\frac{\partial x}{\partial \lambda} &
\end{array}
$$

where: $\quad \psi=$ stream function
$\tau=$ shear
$\theta=$ potential temperature
$\sigma=$ static stability
$x=$ velocity potential
$\mathrm{q}=$ mixing ratio
$\eta=p_{g} / \Delta P=$ normalized surface pressure
$x_{0}=$ Surface velocity potential
$\mu^{0}=$ sine ( $\ell=$ latitude)
$\lambda=$ longitude

Table A3: The most important variables in the program.

> Spectral Space:
> $X=\psi=$ stream function
> TO $=\tau=$ shear
> PT $=\theta=$ mean potential temperature
> SI $=\sigma=$ static stability
> $Q=Q=$ wake vapor mixing ratio
> RK $=X=$ velocity potential at 750 mb
> $Z=\nabla^{2} \psi$
> $Z T O=\nabla^{2} \mathrm{r}$
> $Z R K=\nabla^{2} X$

Tabie A4: Catalog of subroutines.
Subroutines for transformations
In the following subroutines:
MM indicates number of points in spectral space in the longitudinal direction NN indicates number of points in spectral space in the latitudinal direction NG indicates number of points in physical space in the longitudinal direction NK indicates number of points in physical space in the latitudinal direction

SUBRDUTINE TR1 (XI, X,MM,NN,N6,NK)
TR1 transfers variables from physical to spectral space
Input: $X I(N G, N K)=$ values in physical space
Output: $X(M M, N N)=$ spectral coefficients
SUBROUTINE TR2 (X,XI,MM,NN,N6,NK)
TR2 transfers variables from spectral to physical space
Input: $X(M M, N N)=$ spectral coefficients
Output: XI(NG,NK) = values in physical space

## SUBROUTINE GUASS (FMK,FMN, WiG, MM,NN)

GUASS transforms variables of the latitude circles from the Fourier $\{0$ the spherical harmonic domain

Input: $\operatorname{FMK}(M M, N K)=$ Fourier coefficients
Output: $\operatorname{FMN}(M M, N N)=$ spherical harmonics coefficients
SUBROUTINE RM1 (X,K,MM,NN, XM)
RM1 for given latitude finds isurier coefficient $X(M M)$ for variable in physical space

Input: $\quad X(M M, N N)=$ variable in spherical harmonic domain $K=$ index of latitude
Output: $X M(M M)=$ Fourier coefficients

$$
\text { SUBROUTINE RM2 }(X, K, N N, X, M M)
$$

RM2 finds Fourier coefficients of the meridional derivative for variable $X$ on given latitude

Input: $X(M M, N N)$ variable in spherical harmonic domain
Output: $X M M(M M)=$ Fourier coefficient of meridional derivative of $X$
SUBROUTINE FFT991(A,WORK,TRIGS, IFAX, INC, JUMP, N, M, ISIPN)
FFT991 performs a number of simultaneous real/half-complex Fourier transforms, or corresponding inverse transforms. See catalog of NCAR subroutines (CRAYLIB library).

## Subroutines for physical processes

SUBROUTINE SOLA (NK,ND)
SOLA calculates solar radiation absorbed by the earth and atmosphere.
Input: $\quad N K=$ number of gaussian latitudes
$N D=$ day of year
Output: QSE = solar radiation absorbed by the earth
QSR = solar radiation absorbed by atmosphere 3 in COMMON/SRENG/
SUBROUTINE OROG(X,TO,ETA,XO,MM,NG,NK,ALPH)

OROG calculates velocity potential at the surface.
Input: $X(M M, N N)=$ stream function harmonics
TO $(M M, N N)=$ shear harmonics
ETA $(M M, N N)=$ surface pressure divided by pressure increment harmonics
ALPH = parameters regulating height of topography
Output: $X O(M M, N N)=$ surface velocity potential harmonics
F24.(NG,NK) = laplacian of surface velocity potential
F25(NG, NK) $=$ meridional derivative of surface velocity potential
F26(NG,NK) = zonal derivative of surface velocity potential
SIJBROUTINE ADTO (RK,COR,MM,NN)
ADTO calculates coriolis term with velocity potential
Input: $\operatorname{RK}(M M, N H)=$ velocity potential
Output: $\operatorname{COR}(M M, N N)=\nabla(f \nabla X)$

> FUNCTION EVAP(QS,QLI,V1,DRAG)

EVAP calculates evaporation from surface to the lower layer
Input: $Q S=$ saturation mixing ratio for surface temperature QLI = saturation mixing ratio in the lower layer of atmosphere V1 = wind speed in the lower layer of atmosphere DRAG = drag coefficient

SUBROUTINE SURFT(PTI,Q1,V1,K, PTS,QS, CD ,CW, EMS SFE)
SURFT calculates surface temperature and saturation mixing ratio for this

## temperature

Input: $\quad$ PTI $=$ air temperature at 1000 mb
Q1 = relative humidity in the lower layer $x$ saturated mixing ratio for PT1
V1 = wind speed in the lower layer
K = latitude index
PTS = surface temperature from previous time step
$C D=$ drag coefficient
$\mathrm{CW}=$ wetness parameter
EMS = surface emissivity of the earth
SFE = parameter used in calculations of longwave emissivity depending on cloud fraction and mixing ratio near the surface
QSE = solar radiation absorbed by the earth
QSR = solar radiation absorbed by atmosphere
Output: $\quad$ PTS $=$ surface temperature QS = mixing ratio for temperature PTS

FUNCTION SNLT (PTS, PTLS,V1,DRAG)
SNLi calculates sensible heat flux from the ground to the lower layer of atmosphere

Input: PTS = surface temperature
PTLS $=$ temperature of the air at 1000 mb
V1 = wind speed in the lower layer
DRAG = drag coefficient
FUNCTION FLON (PTS,K)
FLON calculates clear sky outgoing radiation at the top of the atmosphere
Input: $\mathrm{PTS}=$ surface air temperature
RH = vertical mean relative humidity (in COMMON/RHLM/
$K=$ index of latitude
SUBROUTINE TIME ( $X, T O, P T, S I, Q, M M, D T, N T I M E)$
TIME makes time step with smoothing
Input: RHS of eq. 4.7-4.11 (in COMMON/RHS/)
values of variables from $\mathrm{N}-1$ time step (in COMMON/TIMES/)
DT = time step
NTIME = number of tine step
MM = max wave number +1
Output: Values of variables on $N+1$ time step $X=$ stream function $T 0=s h e a r$ PT = potential temperature SI = static stability $Q=$ water vapor mixing ratio

SUBROUTINE BAL(PT,TO,MM,NN, $\tau)$
BAL calculates shear $\tau$ from linear balance equation
Input: $\operatorname{PT}(M M, N N)=$ potential temperature TO (1,NN) $=$ shear calculated in subroutine TIME
Output: $\mathrm{TO}(\mathrm{MM}, \mathrm{NN})=$ shear satisfying linear balance
SUBROUTINE SITER(RK,ZRK,RTO,RPT,GSI,SI,MM,NG,NK)
SITER solves equation for velocity potential $x$ in spherical harmonic domain
Input: $\operatorname{RK}(M M, N N)=$ velocity potential from previous time step
ZRK (MM,NN) = leplacian of velocity potential
RTO $(M M, N N)=$ R.H.S. of equation for $r$ but without terms containing velocity potential
$\operatorname{RPT}(M M, N N)=$ R.H.S. of equation for $\theta$ but without terms containing $X$
SI(MM,NN) = static stability
Output: $\operatorname{RK}(M M, N N)=$ new value of velocity potential
$Z R K(M M, N N)=$ new value of $\nabla^{2} X$
GS1 (MM,NN) $=$ velocity potential term in equation for $\theta$
F13(NG,NK) $=$ meridional derivative of $X$
F14(NG,NK) $=$ zonal derivative of $X$
$F 20(N G, N K)=\nabla^{2} X$

SUGROUTINE ZAV(RTT,NG,NK,AV)
ZAV calculates zonal average of variable RTT
Input: $\operatorname{RTT}(N G, K K)=$ variable in physical space Output: $\operatorname{AV}(N K)=$ zonal average of RTT

SUBROUTINE CONVEC(QG,PTG,SIG,PRCP,NG,NK,TIM)
CONVEC makes convective adjustment and calculates precipitation rate Input: $Q G(N G, N K)=m i x i n g$ ratio before convective adjustment PTG(NG,NK) $=$ potential temperature at 500 mb before convective adjustment
SIG(NG,NK) = static stability before convective adjustment TIM = time step
Output: $\operatorname{PTG}(N G, N K)=$ potential temperature at 500 mb after convective adjus tment
SIG(NG,NK) = static stability after convective adjustment. PRCP(NG,NK) = precipitation rate

