DIFFUSION OF STRONGLY MAGNETIZED COSMIC RAY PARTICLES IN A TURBULENT MEDIUM

## V.S. Ptuskin

Institute of Terrestrial Magnetism, Ionosphere and Radio Wave Propagation, USSR Academy of Sciences, 142092 Troitsk, Moscow Region, USSR

CR propagation in a turbulent medium is usually considered in the diffusion approximation. The methods of the derivation of a corresponding diffusion equation for different cases are proposed, for example, in the reviews /1.2/ and in the literature cited there. Here we obtain the diffusion equation for strongly magnetized particles in the general form and discuss the influence of a large-scale random magnetic field on CR propagation in interstellar medium. <u>CR diffusion equation</u>. <u>CR</u> are assumed to propagate in a medium with a regular field H and an ensemble of random MHD waves. The energy density of waves on scales smaller than the free path 1 of CR particles is small. We use the collision integral of the general form which describes interaction between relativistic particles and waves in the quasilinear approximation /3/. Wave polarization is described by the polarization density matrix

$$S_{mn}^{(d)}(\vec{k}) = \left(|\xi_{L}^{d}|^{2} + |\xi_{R}^{d}|^{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(|\xi_{L}^{d}|^{2} - \xi_{L}^{d} - \xi_{R}^{d}|^{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(|\xi_{L}^{d}|^{2} - \xi_{L}^{d}|^{2}\right), \quad (I)$$

Here  $6 \zeta_{k}(\vec{k}) = \delta_{x}(\vec{k}) \pm \delta_{3}(\vec{k}) (k_{1}>0)$  are the Fourier-components of the electric field of waves, the z-axis is directed along H; the index  $\mathcal{L}$  characterizes the type of wave (there exist Alfven, fast and slow magnetosonic waves). Energy densities of a random magnetic field of waves for transverse and longitudinal components with respect to H are equal to M<sub>1</sub>( $\vec{k}$ ) and M<sub>u</sub>( $\vec{k}$ ), respectively. The interaction between relativistic particles and waves is of resonant character - particles scatter under the condition

$$W^{d}(\vec{k}) - \kappa_{\mu} v_{\mu} = S W_{H}, \quad S = 0, \pm 1, \dots \quad (2)$$

Taking into account smallness of the phase velocity of waves as compared with the particle velocities  $v \approx c$ , it is convenient to introduce the resonant value of the wavenumber

$$\kappa_{res} = \frac{\omega_{H}}{v_{H}} = \frac{e |z| H}{P c |\mu|} = (z_{H} |\mu|)^{-1}.$$
 (3)

Here eZ, p,  $r_{H}$  are chargé, momentum and gyroradius of a particle,  $\mu = p_{Z}/p$ .

The effective frequencies of relativistic particle scattering in a turbulent medium are given by the relations ( $\Psi$  is the azimuthal angle for  $\vec{k}_{\perp}$ ):  $V_{\gamma}^{d}(\vec{k},s) = \frac{4\pi^{2}}{H^{2}} \kappa_{res} \omega_{H} \left\{ (1 - \overline{s}_{so}) \cdot \overline{s} (\kappa_{H} - S\kappa_{res}) M_{\perp}^{d}(\vec{k}) \cdot \left[ J_{S+1}^{2} \cdot (1 + \mathcal{E}^{d}(\vec{k}) \cdot s_{yn}^{d}(\mathcal{E}_{\mu})) + J_{S-1}^{2} \cdot (1 - \mathcal{E}^{d}(\vec{k})) \right] + J_{S-1}^{2} \cdot (1 - \mathcal{E}^{d}(\vec{k})) + J_{S-1}^{2} \cdot J_{S-1}^{2} \cdot$  Note that out of the three Stokes parameters  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ equations (4), (6) involve  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_4$ , whereas for an axially symmetric wave distribution in the k-space they involve only  $\mathcal{E}_1$ . All the quantities referring to waves are defined in a co-moving reference frame.

In the weak turbulence approximation used here,  $V_{\mu}^{d} < \omega_{\mu}$ . Actually, in interstellar medium for particle energies of I GeV,  $V_{\mu} \sim 10^{-6} \omega_{\mu}$ . The latter inequality means that particles are strongly magnetized and CR diffusion is locally one-dimensional, i.e. it proceeds only along the magnetic field. This fact allows us to pass over to the drift approximation and to average the kinetic equation (including the quasilinear collision integral) over fast particle rotation. The weak inhomogeneity of the regular magnetic field and motion of the medium with a nonrelativistic velocity  $\overline{u} =$  $= c(\mathcal{E}_{0} \times H) \cdot H^{-2}$ , are taken into account in the drift approximation (here  $\mathcal{E}_{0}$  is a regular electric field in a laboratory frame of reference, whereas in a co-moving frame of reference, in the approximation of infinite conductivity an electric field is equal to zero).

Assuming the tensor fluctuations  $D_{ij}(\vec{r})$  to be weak, one can obtain in the quasilinear approximation the equation for the distribution function  $\langle f \rangle$  averaged over the ensemble of fluctuations  $\Im D_{ij}(t,\vec{r})$  (we put  $u = u_w = 0$ ):  $\Im < f \rangle$ 

$$\frac{\partial 2 + y}{\partial t} - \nabla_i < D_{ij} > \nabla_j < f > + \hat{K} < f > = 0,$$
(7)

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{K}} < \mathbf{f} > = \nabla_i \int \frac{d^3 \kappa}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\tau \ \mathcal{U}_{ijmn}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \tau) [\kappa_j + i \nabla_j] e^{-\tau \kappa_1 \kappa_2 < \mathcal{D}_{d\beta} >}$$

$$\cdot [\kappa_m + i \nabla_m] \nabla_n < \mathbf{f} (t - \tau, \vec{\mathbf{k}}) > .$$
(8)

The correlator of the Fourier-components of the tensor  $\Im D_{ij}$  is assumed to be

$$\langle \mathfrak{D} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{ij}(t, \vec{k}_1) \cdot \mathfrak{D} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{mn}(t_0, \vec{k}_2) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \mathfrak{T}^3(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2) \mathcal{U}_{ijmn}(\vec{k}_1 + t_0)(9)$$

The integral-differential equation (7) is reduced to the diffusion equation if the correlation time for D is small:  $\mathcal{T}_c << \langle^2/<\mathbb{D}>$ . In this case the term K < f> in eq. (7) can be disregarded, and the effective diffusion tensor for < f> is equal to  $D_{ijeff} = < D_{ij}>$ .

In the case of static fluctuations, more precisely for  $\tau_c >> 2/2/2$ , we obtain from (8) in a first approximation

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{D}_{in\,eff} = < \mathbb{D}_{in} > - \int \frac{d^3\kappa}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\kappa_i \kappa_m \, V_{ij\,mn}}{\kappa_i \kappa_o < \mathbb{D}_{ip} >}, \left( \mathcal{U}_{ij\,mn}(\vec{z},o) = V_{ij\,mn}(\vec{z}) \right) (IO) \\ & \text{The second term in (IO) has the order } (D)^2/D \text{ and is almost always small for } D \sim D & \text{But for the case } D_{ij} = D_{\mu} h_i h_j \\ & \text{formula (IO) leads to a zero diffusion coefficient across } \\ & \text{the regular homogeneous field } < H_>: \end{split}$$

$$D_{1} eff = (\overline{v}_{ij} - \langle h_i \rangle \langle h_j \rangle) \cdot \frac{4}{2} D_{ij} eff = 0.$$
 (II)

This result apparently suggests a compound diffusion in the system /5,6/, i.e. an imposition of two independent wanderings: that of a magnetic field line and of a particle moving along this line. The summary transverse displacement of particles is here  $r_{\perp} \propto t^{4/4}$  (under a usual diffusion  $r_{\perp} \propto t^{4/2}$ ). The compound diffusion is violated if the local diffusion coefficient  $D_{\perp} \neq 0$ . The standard perturbation theory (IO) does not, evidently, give a correct result for an anomalously small  $D_{\perp} \ll D_{\parallel} (SH/H)^4$ . This is just the case with CR in interstellar medium, where  $D_{\perp} \sim r_{\parallel}^2 v^2 / D_{\parallel} \sim IO^{-12} D_{\parallel}$ , and  $SH/H \sim I$ . The problem has not yet been strictly solved (see the Discussion in /6-8/). Phenomenologically, diffusion across a regular field occurs due to spreading of random field lines which were initially at a distance rH from one another /9, IO/. If correlation between lines vanishes at a distance  $S_c$ , one can use (8) with the correlation

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_{ijmn}(\vec{k},z) &= \bigvee_{ijmn}(\vec{k}) \cdot \frac{\aleph}{\pi^2} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1)^2 \exp\left(-(2n+1)^2 \frac{\pi^2 D_{11} \cdot |z|}{4g_c^2}\right). \quad (I2) \\ \text{For a power-law spectrum of random field inhomogeneities} \\ & \Im H^2(k) \simeq \kappa^{-4+\varepsilon}, \quad (\varepsilon > 0) \quad \text{the quantity } S_c(\kappa) \approx \lfloor (\Im H/_H)^{-2} (\kappa L)^{1-\varepsilon} \\ /II/, \text{ which gives} \quad D_{1} \text{ eff} \sim 0.2 \left(\frac{\Im H}{H}\right)^4 \cdot D_{11}. \end{aligned}$ 

We obtain a different expression if the spectrum has one main scale L, see /IO, II/.

The estimate (I3) with an account of  $SH/H \sim I$  leads to the conclusion that on the average the CR transport is evidently realized with a diffusion tensor close to an isotropic one.

REFERENCES

- I. Jokipii J.R. Rev.Geophys.Space Phys. 1971, 2, 27.
- 2. Ginzburg V.L., Ptuskin V.S. Astronomy v. 24 (VINITI ANSSSR), 1983, p. 94.
- 3. Kennel C.F., Engelmann F. Phys.Fl., 1966, 9, 2377. 4. Skilling J. MNRAS, 1975, <u>172</u>, 557.

- 5. Getmanzev G.G. AZ, 1962, 39, 607. 6. Krommes J.A. Suppl. Prog. Theor. Phys. 1978, 64, 137.
- 7. Horton W. in "Principles of Plasma Physics", Energoatomizdat, 1984, p. 362.
- 8. Barge P. et al., Ap.J. 1984, <u>284</u>, 817. 9. Ptuskin V.S. Ap.Sp.Sci 1979, <u>61</u>, 259.
- 10.Rechester A.B., Rosenbluth M.N. Phys.Rev.Lett. 1978, 40. 38.
- II.Skilling J, et al. MNRAS, 1974, 167, 87.