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## 1. INTRODUCTION.

Simultaneous and continuous muon measurements in two opposite azimuthal directions under equal zenith angles III demonstrated the importance of this method for cosmic ray diurnal variation investigations. Lately these measurements were extended by means of improved telescopes $12,3 I$. The obtained cosmic ray diurnal variations were presented as intensity differential curves.

Theoretical investigations 141 connected the properties of these curves with some interplanetary space parameters. The harmonics of these curves were interpreted ISI physically. Second order difference curves were introduced IGI.

In our earlier works I7,8,9I some dependences between the parameters charachterizing the first and the second harmonics of the differential intensity curves and the geomegnetic activity were found. Then all measurements were carried out under only one zenith angle.

Here we presented the results of investigations of similar dependences using deta of simultaneous measurements under three different zenith angles.

## 2. EXPERIMENT.

The measurements were carried out


Fig. 1. on o. Musala $\left(\varphi=42^{\circ} 11^{\prime} N ; \lambda=23^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right.$; $\left.H=2925 \mathrm{~m} . a . \mathrm{s} . \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ by means of a multidirectional counter telescope IlOI. After the connection of a supplementary electronic circuit it became possible to measure simultaneously in the four cardinal azimuthal directions (East(E), Hest(11), Narth(N), South(5)) under three different zenith angles (400; $60^{\circ} ; 70^{\circ}$ ) I111.

On fig. 1. are shown the zenith angle sensitivities for the telescopes inclined under $40^{\circ} ; 60^{\circ} ; 70^{\circ}$.

On fig. 2. are plotted in polar coordinetes the azimuth angle sensitivities for all our 12 talescopes measuring simulteneously.


Fig. 2.

## 3. ASYMPTOTIC DIRECTIONS.

If the energy is sufficiently grate the particle's primery direction does not change when it moves through the earth megnetosphere. 0n fig. 3. the small circles present the asymptotic directions ( $\varphi_{E=\infty}, \lambda_{E=\infty}$ ) calculated following Il2I for our telescopes and projected on the extended map of the Globe surface. There D. Musala is shown with a triangle.

The asymptotic directions for the same telescopes computed Il3I for different lower energies are shown on the same figure as separate points. The points are connected with suitable curves for every one of the telescopes.

Because of the considerable width of the distributions of $R(\theta)$ and $P(\alpha)$ for the real telescopes, the points corresponding to all asymptotic direction projections for a certain energy cover a considerable area on the Globe surface. Then the curves plotted on fig. 3. form large strips.

It could be accepted that the differences of intensity data obtained simultaneously from two inclined telescopes, detecting under equal zenith angles in different azimuthal directions eliminate particles with energy below 18 - 20 GeV. So these differences are independent not only on meteorological changes, but on the changes in the low energy part of the cosmic ray spectrum.


Fig. 3.

> 4. DATA TREAT MENT.

From all the data obtained on p. Musala in the period June 1,1981-April 30,1983 only "complete" data days were used. For these days all the 24 separate hourly measurements for all the 12 telescopes were available. From the measured hourly intensities for every separate day, for every separate zenith angle the following 8 differences were formed

I ordre: $E-W$ - $E-N$; $E-S ; W-N$; W-S; N-S; II ordre: $G=(N-S)-(E-N) ; K=(N-S)-(H-N)$.
To present the deviations in percentage arround a zero average the formula
(1) $(E-W)_{i j}=2$

was used. Here $i$ ( $i=1,2 \ldots 24$ ) was the index of the $i$-hour measurement in the $j$-day. $\bar{E}_{j}$ and $\bar{W}_{j}$ are the corresponding dayly averages. Analogically all other differences were formed.

To every one of the chosen days the indexes $m$ and $n$ were appropriate. So $j=j(m, n)$. The index $m$ charachterized the degree of the corresponding day megnetic activity. The values of $m$ were chosen according Il4I. The index $n$ specified the continuity of the days arround the beginning of the magnetic storm.

The combinations between $m$ and $n$ are classified on table 1 .
Table 1.

|  | quiet <br> $m=0$ | weak <br> $m=1$ | moderate <br> $m=2$ | intense <br> $m=3$ | strong <br> $m=4$ | All for <br> the row |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PRECEDING $n=-1$ | - | $1,-1$ | $2,-1$ | $3,-1$ | $4,-1$ | $N=-1$ |
| BEGINNING $n=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FOLLOUING $n=1$ | - | 1,0 | 2,0 | 3,0 | 4,0 | $N=0$ |
| For the column | - | $M, 1$ | 2,1 | 3,1 | 4,1 | $N=1$ |

For every ons of the three zenith angles ( $40^{\circ} ; 60^{\circ} ; 70^{\circ}$ ), for every one of the 21 index combinations (table 1.) the average diurnal variation of every one of the 8 differences was investigated. Fourier analysis was applied for every one of these $3 \times 21 \times 8=504$ cases to compute the amplitudes Al; A2; A3 (in percents) and the phases t1; t2; t3 (in hours Local Solar Time (LST)) of the first (I), the second (II), and the third (III) harmorics.

## 5. RESULTS.

The first (I) and the second (II) harmonics for some of the computed differences for magnetically quiet days ( $m=0$ ), for the zenith angles $40^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}, 70^{\circ}$ are presented on fig. 4. as vector-hourly diagrams. The phases of the first harmonics remain grouped arround $6 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{LST}$ and the phases of the second harmonics - arround 9 h.LST. That is valid for all the three zenith angles and pretically for all other differences (not shown on the figure).

If these vectors are compared with those obtained 10 years ago on the same place, with the same apparatus (measureing then only under zenith angle of $60^{\circ}$ ) it could be assumed that they are rather stable in time

The amplitudes Al and A2 increase with the zenith angle. As an example that is shown for $G$ on fig. 5.

The first harmonic amplitude dependences on the magnetic disturbance degree are shown for $k$ on fig. 6 . for the three zenith angles. This amplitude, as well as the amplitudes of the other differences (not shown on the figure) increases lith m. The increase is rather faster for greater zenith angles.

An interesting phenomena - the first harmonic amolitude increase in the deys preceding the beginning of the magnetic storm observed in our earliar investigation I9I for zenith angle 600, now is clearly expressed for all the three zenith angles ( $40^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}, 70^{\circ}$ ). On fig. 7. are presented the changes of the first harmonic amplitude of the difference $K$ in the days arround the beginning of the megnetic storm compared with its value during magneticelly quiet days. If the statistical accuracy of the separate diurnal measurement is sufficient then after a sudden jump in the amplitude's value, some short time forcast for a forthcomming magnetic disturbance could be done. This dependence is not well expressed for the second amplitudes.

In our case the third harmonics are generally small and their changes are practicelly in the limits of the statistical accuracy.
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