ESTIMATION OF THE TOTAL INELASTICITY COEFFICIENT IN INTERACTION OF ≥ 20 TeV HADRONS WITH LEAD

> Kanevsky B.L., Mikhailova I.A., Rakobolskaya I.V., Sveshnikova L.G.

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119899, USSR

Experimental data on the interaction mean free path of hadrons with energy Eo> 20 TeV in lead obtained with multilayer X-ray emulsion chambers (XEC) are compared with results of simulation of nuclear-electromagnetic cascades in chamber. It is shown that, to explain experimental data, the value of the total inelasticity coefficient, < K > ~.8 should be assumed.

Multilayer XEC allow determination of gamma-ray electron energy, E, the energy E, released into the electron-photon cascade (EPC) in hadron-lead nuclear interaction, and the origin depth At of an electron-photon cascade induced in a chamber by gamma-rays, electrons, and hadrons. The experimental procedure and chamber design have been described in detail in /1/.

In paper /2/ of the "Pamir" Collaboration, the results have been presented of measurement of the hadron interaction mean free path, λ meas, in lead chambers obtained by analysing the hadron flux(about 700 events) over an area of 140 m². After efficiency of hadron registration in chambers of different thickness has been allowed for more accurately, the authors of /2/ obtained the following results:

 λ meas=185±40 g/cm² for energy E_h=5-10 TeV, λ meas=197±29 g/cm² for energy E_h^(r) >10 TeV, λ meas=197±29 g/cm² for energy E_h^(r) >20 TeV.

The measured value of \(\lambda \) meas can be seen to be independent

of $E_h^{(r)}$ within errors and $\lambda_{\rm meas}=207\pm17$ g/cm². The measured value of $\lambda_{\rm meas}$ concerns the summary flux of nucleons and charged pions with energies $E_o > 20$ TeV. The pion fraction in this flux can be estimated based on calculations in terms of quasiscaling models, e.g., from /3/. This magnitude is almost independent of a model and, average, pions present ~30% and nucleons ~70% in the total hadron flux at the given depth(596 g/cm²).

The value of λ meas may differ from the proper interaction mean free path for hadrons in lead, λ proper due to

the following causes:

A. The influence of an admixture of electron-photon component

When determining λ meas, cascades with Δ t > 8 c.u. were taken into account. The number of EPC induced by gamma-rays and electrons at depth 8-10 c.u. from the chamber boundary was less than 2% of the hadron-induced cascades.

B. The influence of the boundary effects.

Using the angular distributions of cos e^{5.3} for hadrons and cose^{5.8} for gamma-rays and the power law energy spectrum with the differential power index 3, obtained in /4/, the procedure of hadron and gamma-ray registration in a 2mx5m chamber (a standard size of lead chambers in the "Pamir" Collaboration) was Monte-Carlo simulated. The cascades interacting in a chamber and leaving its volume and additional cascades arriving from air, when taken into account, change the value of \$\lambda\$ meas by less than 3%.

C. Allowance for secondary interactions.

The impossibility to follow entirely the hadron cascades in a chamber due to a high registration threshold leads to appearing the hadron-induced cascades for which we measure A t of the second and subsequent interactions instead of the first one. So, there exist cascades in which in first interaction the energy Em lower than threshold was Celeased while the energy released in one of subsequent interactions exceeds the threshold. There are also the cascades with energy released in the first and second interactions being higher than the threshold and the second interaction occures at a point of 15-20 c.u. far from the first one. So, the second interaction was mistaken as the first one of another hadron.

The existence of these cascades leads to overestimation of λ meas compared with λ prop. Monte-Carlo simulations were performed to eliminate the distortions of λ meas. The scheme of simulations used is presented in /5/. Energy Eo of the primary protons inducing in a chamber nuclear-electromagnetic cascades was simulated with respect to the spectrum with the differential power index 3. The point of the first interaction in a chamber was computed with λ prop= 190 g/cm². Random stars imitating nuclear interaction were simulated according to /6/.

In the first version of simulations, the difference in inelastic interaction of incident hadron with protons and nuclei was neglected, leading particles being simulated in the x-presentation with respect to the inclusive spectrum from /7/, and the mean value < K > being equal to .5. In the second version of simulations, use was made of the data on the inclusive spectrum of leading particles obtained in terms of an additive quark model regarding the atomic number of the target-nucleus in /8/, the mean value being < K > = .8. The electromagnetic cascades resulting from the decay of / -meson for particles with energy E > Ethr(Ethr= .5·10¹²eV) were also Monte-Carlo simulated allowing for the processes of pair production, bremsstrahlung radiation, and Rutherford scattering. To obtain the electron number and

the optical density of X-ray film within a circle of given radius (R=140 um) caused at an observation level by the initial gamma-rays and electrons (with energies E_f < Eem.) use was made of the averaged cascade curves calculated to the core approximation. Optical densities in X-ray film produced by simulated cascades at various depths in lead were processed by the same program as experimental ones /1/.

For each version of calculations, \sim 1000 events with E > 4 TeV and 8 c.u. < \triangle t < 64 c.u. were simulated. The λ meas-values obtained for the two versions of calculations are listed in Table 1 together with the corresponding values of λ prop deduced from the experimental values of λ meas and from ratios of λ prop and λ meas obtained in different versions of calculations.

Table 1. Ratios of λ prop and λ meas obtained when analysing simulated cascades

	calculation		experiment	
	λ prop g/cm ²	Imeas g/cm ²	Ameas g/cm ²	λρεορ g/cm ²
$\langle K \rangle = 0.5$ $\langle K \rangle = 0.8$	190	340±30 230±20	207 <u>±</u> 17	115±22
,				173±39

The contribution of the pion component allowed for do not practically change the obtained ratios of λ meas and λ prop. In the energy region \sim 200 GeV an inelastic cross section G inelastic cross section G in the π -Pb-interaction is by a factor of 1.1-1.3 less than in the pPb-interaction that may lead to an increase in λ prop for the total hadron flux by less than 5%. G inel of the pion and nucleon interactions on lead are expected to come closer with increasing energy/9/. K > 0 in the π -Pb-interaction is larger than in the pPb-interaction, leading to a less diversity of λ meas from λ prop in the π -Pb-interaction.

Experimental data obtained at accelerators and in cosmic rays for the hPb-interaction shows that up to energies of several TeV, an increase in V_{inel} within errors is absent and V_{inel} = 1800 mb/10/. For higher interaction energies, in /9/, using the Glauber model, the following values have been obtained: V_{inel} = 1900 mb that corresponds to V_{inel} = 180 g/cm and V_{inel} = 1734 mb that corresponds to V_{inel} = 199 g/cm for V_{inel} = 20-100 TeV.

Thus, the experimental value $\lambda_{\text{meas}}=207\pm17 \text{ g/cm}^2$ for the summary hadron flux is consistent with the expected value $\lambda_{\rho loo}^{hR}=180 \text{ g/cm}^2$ for $E_o \ge 20 \text{ TeV}$ for the total inelasticity coefficient $< K > \sim .8 \text{ only}$.

References

1. Bayburina S.G. et al. Trudy FIAN, 1984, v.154.

Borisov A.S. et al. Proc. of Intern. Symp. on Cosmic Rays and Particle Physics, Tokyo, 1984, 426-430.
 Erlikin A.D., Kuzuna N.P., Preprint N 95 FIAN, 1980.

HE 1.1-9

- 4. Bayburina S.A. et al. Proc. 18th ICRC, 1983, 7, 420-423.
- 5. Belyaev A.A. et al. EPC in cosmic rays at superhigh energies, Moscow, "Nauka", 1981.

 6. Astafiev V.A. et al. Proc. 14th ICRC, 1975, 7, 2593.

 7. Eilten et al., Nucl. Phys., B44, 333, 1972.

- 8. Anisovich V.V., Braun Yu.M., Shabelsky Yu.M., Yadernaya
- Fizika, 1984, 39, vyp.4, 934-946. 9. Jofa M.Z., Pukhov A.E., Yadernaya Fizika, 1985, 40, **vyp.** 7.
- 10. Garyaka A.P. et al. Izv. AN Arm. SSR, 78, vyp.1, 1983, 66-78.