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## 1. Introduction

We present the results of analysis on a cosmic-ray induced nuclear event with the total visible energy $\sim 1300 \mathrm{TeV}$ which is characterized by the central (halo) part of a strong energy concentration and the outer part of a large lateral spread. The event (named as P06) was detected in the 18th two-storied emulsion chamber exposed at Chacaltaya by BrasilJapan Collaboration. As the nuclear emulsion plates were inserted at every layer of the concerned blocks in the upper and the lower chambers together with RR- and $N$-type $X$-ray films, we can study the details of the event.

Some results on P06 have already been reported [1] based on the general measurement of opacity on $N$-type $X$-ray films: (1) the total energy of halo is $\sim 1000 \mathrm{TeV}$; (2) the shower transition reaches its maximum at $\sim 16 \mathrm{cu}$; and (3) the radius of halo is 6.5 mm (at the level of $10^{6}$ electrons $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ). The results in more details will be described here.
2. Results

Fig. 1 shows the target diagram of P06, where we notice a large late ral spread of the event and richness in hadrons in the outer region.*

Fig. 2 shows the contour map of opacity ( $D=.2, .3, .4, .6, .8$ and 1.) for the central part of halo on RR-type X-ray film at 14 cu in the upper chamber with slit diameter $113 \mu$, together with the high energy shower cores ( $\mathrm{E}>10 \mathrm{TeV}$ ). A clear asymmetry is seen in both distributions of $D$ and shower cores. Notice that it also holds for both $\gamma$-rays and hadrons.

Fig. 3 shows the distributions of opacity in the upper and the lower ckambers, where the measurement was made along the straight line on the film passing through the two hadronic cores (\#40 and \#58) which can be well recognized in both chambers because of their strong and sharp penet ration. We notice that the halo part contains at least two peaks.


Fig. 1 Target diagram of P06. $\gamma$-rays (•) and identified hadrons ( $\times$ ).


Fig. 2 Contour map of opacity of RR-type Xray film at 14 cu and shower cores: $\gamma$-rays ( $\cdot$ : $>20 \mathrm{TeV}$, •: 10-20 TeV ) and hadrons ( + ). The cores (\#40 and \# 58) are indicated.


Fig. 3 Distributions of opacity on RR-type Xray film at 14 cu in the upper chamber (Sup) and on N-type X-ray film at 4 cu in the lower chamber (Inf).


Fig.4(a) Measurement of the relative dis tances, $R_{12}$, between $\# 40$ and \#58 in the are for the average values. upper (Sup) and the lower (Inf) chambers. 4(b) Variation of $\bar{R}_{12}$ at 8,10 , 12 and 14 cu in the upper chamber and at 3,4 and 5 cu in the lower chamber. The best fitted straight line is also shown.

Fig.4-a shows the results of measurement on the relative distance, $\mathrm{R}_{12}$, between \#40 and \#58 on the nuclear emulsion plates which was done repeatedly after some blank days enough long to forget the previous meas urement. Fig.4-b shows the average values of $\mathrm{R}_{12}$ at 7 layers together with the best fitted straight line given by the least-square method which corresponds to the interaction height $H=208 \pm 245 \mathrm{~m}$. The value of $\chi^{2}$ is rather large, 16.4 for the 7 points, of which 4 points in the upper cham ber share $98 \%$ of the total; we could not resolve the fluctuation seen in the upper chamber. If we use the average value of 4 points, $H=177+165$ m ; if we take only the point at $10 \mathrm{cu}, \mathrm{H}=263 \pm 784 \mathrm{~m}$. In the following, we take 208 m as the vertical height above the chamber which corresponds to $H_{0} \sim 250 \mathrm{~m}$ after the correction on zenith angle ( $35^{\circ}$ ).

Fig. 5 shows the diagram of energy, $E$, and distance, $R$, for all the shower cores observed in P06, where $R$ is measured from the energy-weighted center of shower cores which are located inside the halo radius, 6.5 mm . The dashed line stands for the fit to the averages in the central region,


Fig. 6 (a) Histogram of $\log _{10} \mathrm{R}$, (b) energy-flow and (c) energy-weighted lateral distance ER-flow in $\log _{10} R$, for $\gamma$-rays and hadrons in $P 06$, and for all the shower cores in the event S 112.


Fig. 7 Energy distribution. All the $\gamma$-rays (•) and $\gamma$-rays in the halo radius ( 0 ) in P06.


Fig. 8 ER distribution. A11 the $\gamma$-rays (•) and $\gamma$-ray inside the halo radius ( 0 ) in P06.


Fig. 9 ER distribution for $\gamma$-rays in the halo of P06, and those for other 5 events [3].
$\langle E R\rangle=8 \mathrm{GeV} \mathrm{m}$ which corresponds to $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}=32 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ under $\mathrm{H}_{0}=250 \mathrm{~m}$. In the central region, the correlation between $E$ and $R$ shows some constancy of $E R$ (or $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) but the behavior in the outer region is quite different.

Fig. 6 ( $a-c$ ) show the flows of number, energy and energy-weighted lateral spread in the space of $\log _{10} R$, respectively. The $\gamma$-rays and the hadrons are shown separately. Also shown for the comparison are the results of the event S 112 which is classified as Guaçu-type meson produc tion characterized by the large $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and the high multiplicity [2]. As the total energy ( 878 TeV ) and the interaction height ( 250 m ) of S 112 are nearly equal to those of halo part of $P 06$, we can compare the two events with each other without any normalization. We notice that P06 contains a strong concentration of particles and energy in the small angular region as a clear peak of halo ( $75 \%$ of the total energy being inside the radius) but S112 not, and that the outer region of P06 is similar to S112.

Fig. 7 shows the energy distribution of $\gamma$-rays in P06 in an integral form. The dashed and the solid lines stand for the exponential distributions of the form $N_{0} \exp \left(-E_{\gamma} / \Sigma_{E_{\gamma}}\right)$ with $N_{0}=100$ and 150 , respectively. The dotted line is the result of analytic calculation with $t=3 \mathrm{cu}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}=6$ where required are 1 TeV for the energy threshold and 1000 TeV for the total visible energy. We notice that a long passage in air does multipli cate the number of $\gamma$-rays and electrons, but that the original exponential form is greatly distorted.

Fig. 8 shows the distributions of energy-weighted lateral spread, ER, of $\gamma$-rays in P06. A large difference of lateral spread between the halo and the outer region is impressive (of a factor $\sim 30$ ). The average value of ER for the outer region is obtained from the slope to be $\sim 0.2 \mathrm{GeV} \mathrm{km}$.

Fig. 9 shows the distribution of ER in the halo of P06. The average value of $E R$ is 7.2 GeV m , which corresponds to $\left\langle\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right\rangle=29 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ under $H_{o}=250 \mathrm{~m}$. Also shown are those of other five events similar to the halo of P06 [3].
3. Discussions and Conclusion

The event P06 is realized in the upper part of the upper chamber as a rather small size of dark spot on N-type X-ray films, but it develops remarkably in the lead material of the chamber and appears as a generally darkened wide area [1]. This area is called as "halo".

The total visible energy is $1275 \mathrm{TeV}, 217$ shower cores being observed
on the nuclear emulsion plates. Within the halo radius ( 0.65 cm ) , 965 TeV is emitted in 127 shower cores. To explain this large particle density in a small region, one might imagine a long passage in the atmosphere to include the cascade multiplication process. But the experimental results of P06 do not seem to support such a naive consideration:
(1) The halo energy estimated by the general measurement of opacity is nearly equivalent to the energy sum, $\Sigma E_{\gamma}$, of shower cores. The former should include the contribution of low energy showers which are not visi ble in the latter. In case of the event Andromeda, $E(h a 1 o) \sim 3.5 \sum E_{\gamma}[4] ;$
(2) The depth of shower maximum is very deep in P06 ( $\sim 16 \mathrm{cu}$ ). In case of Andromeda, it is $\sim 10 \mathrm{cu}$ [4];
(3) The sharp alignment on azimuth is seen for both $\gamma$-rays and hadrons;
(4) The energy distribution is almost exponential.

The above results indicate that the halo of P06 does not suffer from a large cascade degradation during its passage in the atmosphere, and that the high energy shower cores observed on the nuclear emulsion plates play a decisive role in the halo.

The triangulation method gives us a direct check on that point. The interaction height is obtained to be 250 m although some fluctuation is seen in four layers in the upper chamber. Taking 250 m , the halo part of P06 comes to be of abnormally small $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}(\sim 30 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ on the average) and of high $\gamma$-ray density in rapidity ( $\sim 59$ at the maximum). There is a possibility that a new phenomena happens to appear in the super high energy region which is characterized by a very small $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ (a few tens of $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ ).

The large lateral spread with richness in hadrons is seen in the outer region of P06, which seems to be a common feature in the halo events [5]. It may be plausible to speculate that the mechanism of large $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ phenomena could produce some new character to create the halo.

The new characteristics seen in the halo of P06 could be compared with those of the so-called "mini-cluster" which is also characterized by the small $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}, 10-20 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ [6].
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* We used the following criteria to identify hadrons: the starting point of cascade is estimated to be deeper than 4 cu and/or the transition curve indicates a successive interaction.

