104

HE 5.3-1

LIMITS ON DEEPLY PENETRATING PARTICLES IN THE $$>10^{17}\ \text{EV}\ \text{COSMIC}\ \text{RAY}\ \text{FLUX}$

Baltrusaitis, R.M., Cassiday, G.L., Cooper, R., Elbert, J.W., Gerhardy, P.R., Loh, E.C., Mizumoto, Y., Sokolsky, P., Sommers, P. and Steck, D.

Physics Department, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112

ABSTRACT

We report on a search for deeply penetrating particles in the >10¹⁷ eV cosmic ray flux. No such events have been found in 8.2×10^6 sec of running time. We consequently set limits on the following: quark-matter in the primary cosmic ray flux; long-lived, weakly interacting particles produced in p-air collisions; the astrophysical neutrino flux. In particular, the neutrino flux limit at 10^{17} eV implies that \overline{z} , the red shift of maximum activity is <10 in the model of Hill and Schramm⁶.

1. Introduction. We report on a search for deeply penetrating particles in the $>10^{17}$ eV cosmic ray flux. The search was performed using the University of Utah Fly's Eye detector¹, as part of its normal operation. No unusual deeply penetrating events have been found in 8.2×10^6 sec of running time.

We consider the following as candidate sources for such events (2): a. metastable quark matter as part of the primary cosmic ray flux; b. taus and other long-lived particles produced in the interaction of the primary cosmic ray flux with the atmosphere; c. weakly interacting particles of astrophysical origin such as neutrinos.

2. Search Philosophy.





Zenith Angle Distribution

We search for deeply penetrating particles in

two ways. EAS's observed in the Fly's Eye fiducial volume with $80 < \theta_z < 90$ typically must traverse $>3000 \text{ g/cm}^2 \text{ of}$ atmosphere before interacting. We expect to see no such events from normal hadronic interactions in our exposure time. Upward EAS's must originate in the Earth and hence must be produced by weakly interacting particles. Figures 1 and 2 show the observed zenith angle and depth of first observed interaction (Xo) distributions in Note that the Xo our data. distribution extends beyond the expected distribution of the actual point of first



interaction since Xo is always an upper limit on the actual interaction length.

3. Quark Matter Limits. We search for metastable quark matter globs exploding deep in the atmosphere via the mechanism proposed by Bjorkien and McLerran³. In that picture, quark matter globs of a given baryon number No will explode at a given atmospheric depth, independent of initial energy (assuming they have not ranged out before exploding). We detect such events by searching for downward EAS with Xo between 3000 and



10000 g/cm². Table 1 gives resultant quark matter flux limits in $(cm^2sec sr)^{-1}$ as a function of explosion depth and explosion energy. The 10^{15} eV data point is derived by assuming that the Mt. Chacaltaya Centauro events⁴ are quark-matter explosions.

| <u>_</u> | Limits on cosmic-ray | TA quark-mat spectrum | BLE 1 ter flux ir in units of | the prim | ary sr)-1 |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Explosior depth g/cm ² | Explo ener (e) | osion rgy V)10 ¹ | 15 10 | 18 | 1019 |
| 500 3000 6000 9000 | | 3x10-1 | .4 1.0x 8.8x 1.3x | 10 ⁻¹⁸ 10 ⁻¹⁹ 10 ⁻¹⁸ 2 | 3.7x10 ⁻¹⁹ 1.4x10 ⁻¹⁹ 2.1x10 ⁻¹⁹ |

4. Limits on Long-Lived Weakly Interacting Particle Production.

Hadronic decays of >1017 eV taus and tau like particles produced in the interactions of the primary cosmic-ray flux with the atmosphere are possible sources of deeply penetrating downward EAS. Very distant cosmic ray interactions, not themselves detectable by the Fly's Eye, could produce taus which penetrate into the Eye's fiducial volume and decay into observable EAS's. We set limits on tau production cross sections by using the known cosmic ray flux intensity for 10^{17} <E<10¹⁹ eV and the known tau lifetime and branching ratios. sensitivity for such decays is maximized for 1.0<X<.1 and 10^{18} <E<10¹⁹ where $X = E\tau/Ep$ and Ep is the energy of the primary particle. In this interval, we set a limit on $(\sigma_{\tau}/\sigma_{tot}) \cdot n(X)$ where $\sigma_{\tau}/\sigma_{tot}$ is the probability of producing a tau in a cosmic ray interaction and n(X) is the normalized tau distribution function for such interactions. We find $\sigma_{\tau}/\sigma_{tot} \cdot n(X)$ is less than 4.0×10^{-2} and 1.3×10^{-1} for X=1.0 and

X=0.5 respectively. If the cosmic ray flux at these energies is primarily composed of protons, we find, using our measured p-air cross section of 520 mb⁵, that $\sigma_{\tau} \cdot n(X) < 20$ mb for X=1.0 and <69 mb for X=.5.

We also set limits as a function of the decay length on production cross sections for hypothetical weakly interacting particles produced in cosmic ray interactions with decay lengths $20 < c_{\gamma\tau} < 500$ km (see Table 2). These are assumed to decay into hadron and/or electrons with a branching ratio of 0.5.

TADLE 0

| Limits on $(\sigma/\sigma_{tot})n(x)$ for weakly interacting particles in | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| CO | smic-ray-air int | eractions as | a function | of $c_{\gamma}t$ and X | • | | | | |
| E _p (eV) [| C γτ(km) | | | | | | | | |
| | 50 | 100 | 200 | 500 | 1000 | | | | |
| X=1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0×10^{17} | 5.9x10 ⁻³ | 2.2x10 ⁻³ | -1.3×10^{-3} | 1.2x10 ⁻³ | 1.3x10 ⁻³ | | | | |
| 1.0x10 ¹⁸ | 8.8x10 ⁻² | 3.6x10 ⁻² | 2.2x10 ⁻² | 1.9x10 ⁻² | 2.2x10 ⁻² | | | | |
| 1.0x10 ¹⁹ | 6.1x10 ⁻¹ | 2.5x10 ⁻¹ | 1.5x10 ⁻¹ | 1.2x10 ⁻¹ | 1.3x10 ⁻¹ | | | | |
| X=0.5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.10 ¹⁷ | 4.4x10 ⁻² | 1.8x10 ⁻² | T.1x10 ⁻² | 8.8x10 ⁻³ | 1.0×10^{-2} | | | | |
| 1.0×10^{18} | 1.3x10 ⁻¹ | 5.4×10^{-2} | 3.4×10^{-2} | 2.8x10 ⁻² | 2.8×10^{-2} | | | | |
| 1.0x10 ¹⁹ | | 4.4×10^{-1} | 2.6x10 ⁻¹ | 2.2x10 ⁻¹ | 2.7x10 ⁻¹ | | | | |
| $\frac{X=0.1}{1.0\times10^{18}}$ | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0/10 | | | 1.0110 | 1.0/10 | 1.3410 - | | | | |

5. Limits on the Ultra-high energy Neutrino Flux. We have recently reported limits on astrophysical electron neutrino fluxes at energies of >10¹⁸ eV². Here we update the flux limits and extend them down to 10^{17} eV.

If the neutrino interaction cross section for $E>10^{17}$ eV is 10^{-33} cm² as predicted by the standard model, we maximize our sensitivity to such a neutrino flux by searching for upward EAS's. Electron neutrino interactions in the earth's crust will be detectable at depths of hundreds of meters below the surface because the LPM effect slows down the rate of shower development for the high energy electron produced in the interaction. This allows the electron shower to emerge into the atmosphere and be detected. Figure 3 shows the electron shower size at shower maximum as a function of electron energy and depth of interaction of energy. Calculations by Hill and Schramm⁶ indicate that if the neutrino flux is produced by interaction of UHE protons with the 2.7 deg black body radiation, then the flux at 10^{17} eV is sensitive to \overline{z} , the red-shift of maximum activity. Their calculation indicates that with our flux limit of $2.0x10^{-12}$ v/cm²sec str at 10^{17} eV, \overline{z} must be less than or equal to 10.



Figure 3. Electron Shower Size at Shower Maximum as a Function of Electron Energy and Depth of Interaction in the Crust.

Figure 3

| TABLE 3 Limits on v_e flux based on upward events (v/cm^2sec str.) and $\sigma_v = 10^{-33}cm^2$. | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| E _v (eV) 10 ¹⁷ | 1018 | 10 ¹⁹ | 1020 | 1021 | | | |
| 1.3x10 ⁻¹² | 5.3x10 ⁻¹⁴ | 6.6x10 ⁻¹⁵ | 2.8×10 ⁻¹⁶ | 3.6x10 ⁻¹⁷ | | | |

6. Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Dr. Larry McLerran and Dr. Chris Hill for useful discussions. We gratefully acknowledge the National Science Foundation for providing funds for this research.

References.

- 1. Baltrusaitis, R.M., et al, Nuclear Instrum. Methods (to be published).
- Baltrusaitis, R.M., et al, Phys. Rev. D 31, 2192 (1985). Baltrusaitis, R.M., et al, Astrophys. J. 281, Lg (1984).
- 3. Bjorken, J.D., and McLerran, L.D., Phys. Rev. D20, 2353 (1979).
- 4. Kazanas, D., Balasubrahamanyan, V.K., and Streitmatter, R.E., Phys. Lett. 142, 23(1984).
- 5. Baltrusaitis, R.M., et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1380 (1984).
- 6. Hill, C.T., and Schramm, D.N., Phys. Rev. D31, 564(1985).