1

brought to you by T CORE

5784

NASA Technical Memorandum 87312

Ignition Delay Times of Benzene and Toluene With Oxygen in Argon Mixtures

(NASA-TM-87312)IGNITION DELAY TIMES CFN86-25431BENZENE AND TCLUENE WITH OXYGEN IN ARGONMIXTURES (NASA)15 p HC A02/MF A01 CSCL 20B

Unclas G3/25 43552

Alexander Burcat, Christopher Snyder, and Theodore Brabbs Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio ND 315153

May 1986



Trade names or manufacturers' names are used in this report for identification only. This usage does not constitute an official endorsement, either expressed or implied, by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. -

IGNITION DELAY TIMES OF BENZENE AND TOLUENE WITH OXYGEN

IN ARGON MIXTURES

Alexander Burcat*, Christopher Snyder, and Theodore Brabbs National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

SUMMARY

The ignition delay times of benzene and toluene with oxygen diluted in argon were investigated over a wide range of conditions. For benzene the concentration ranges were 0.42 to 1.69 percent fuel and 3.87 to 20.3 percent oxygen. The temperature range was 1212 to 1748 K and the reflected shock pressures were 1.7 to 7.89 atm. Statistical evaluation of the benzene experiments provided an overall equation with a 2σ confidence level as follows:

 $\tau = 1.26 \times 10^{-15} \exp(40.600/RT) [C_6H_6]^{0.42} [0_2]^{-1.70} [Ar]^{0.44} sec$

For toluene the concentration ranges were 0.5 to 1.5 percent fuel and 4.48 to 13.45 percent oxygen. The temperature range was 1339 to 1797 K and the reflected shock pressures were 1.95 to 8.85 atm. The overall ignition delay equation for toluene after a statistical evaluation reads

 $\tau = 5.28 \times 10^{-15} \exp(55\ 090/RT) [C_7 H_8]^{0.55} [0_2]^{-1.38} [Ar]^{0.35} sec$

Detailed experimental information is provided.

INTRODUCTION

The oxidation kinetics of benzene and toluene has been studied since the early 1970's. Despite the time that has elapsed, the number of experimental investigations has remained relatively low, and the kinetic path of the aromatic ring oxidation process has not been successfully explained.

One of the first oxidation mechanisms for benzene was proposed by Asaba (ref. 1). According to this mechanism, phenyl radicals are formed from benzene, which reacts to give biphenyl molecules, and these were supposed to be the precursors of soot. The oxidation steps that Asaba proposed were indecisive, and he assumed the formation of a phenyl peroxy radical in the process of the ring opening.

Kern (ref. 2), who investigated benzene pyrolysis with a mass spectrometer hooked to the shock tube, found neither phenyl radicals nor biphenyl radicals and molecules to be formed in the process. Although the lack of phenyl trace

*Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Dept. of Aeronautical Engineering, Haifa 32000, Israel, and NRC-NASA Research Associate. was blamed on the low sensitivity of Kern's system, the unavailability of biphenyl species seems real.

Glassman (ref. 3), who investigated the oxidation of benzene in a flow reactor, proposed a mechanism by which the benzene is transformed to fivemembered-ring intermediates, such as cyclopentadiene, which continue to decompose.

This investigation presents new experimental data on ignition delay times for benzene-oxygen-argon and toluene-oxygen-argon mixtures. Although ignition delay times are crude information and nonspecific of any distinct occurrence in the oxidation kinetics, ignition delay experiments are basic, highly reproducible, and instrument independent. Because of their positive properties and despite their drawbacks, ignition delay experiments are popular among computational kineticists and are used extensively for approval of kinetic schemes.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

A single pulse shock tube was used in the experiments. The shock tube, made of stainless steel pipe, was flattened to a 6.35- by 6.35-cm (2.5- by 2.5-in.) square tube with rounded corners. The tube was honed and polished on all inside surfaces. The dump tank was located at the end of the driver section and separated from it by an aluminum diaphragm. A second aluminum diaphragm separated the driver and the driven sections. The two diaphragms were burst by an auxiliary short shock tube. This short shock tube had a third diaphragm that was burst by a hand plunger.

The shock speed was measured over two separate intervals with three pressure transducers whose signals were fed to a dual-channel digital oscilloscope. The shock speed was measured with an accuracy of ± 0.1 µsec. A quartz pressure transducer was located in the side wall, 3 mm from the end plate. This pressure transducer was connected to a second dual-channel digital oscilloscope and was used to record and measure the ignition delay time from the pressure history of the gas (fig. 1). The ignition delay time was defined as the time from the onset of the reflected shock wave to the beginning of the pressure rise at ignition.

The mixtures were prepared in stainless steel tanks at 345-kPa total pressure by using a separate manifold. The gases and vapors were measured manometrically and allowed to expand into preevacuated stainless steel tanks. High-purity argon was used to pressurize the tank to 345 kPa. The mixtures were allowed to mix for 24 hr before use. Different mixtures of high-purity helium and argon were used as driver gases. The benzene and toluene were Fisher Scientific spectroscopical grade reagent.

The reflected shock temperatures were calculated from standard conservation equations and the ideal-gas equation of state by assuming frozen chemistry. All the thermodynamic data used were taken from new compilations (refs. 4 and 5).

RESULTS

Two sets of independent experiments were performed: one with benzene and the other with toluene. Five mixtures (A to E) were used for benzene but only three (A to D) for toluene (table I). Eighty shocks were performed for benzene and 54 for toluene. The mixtures were prepared so that power dependencies could be delineated from an empirical ignition delay equation:

$$\tau = 10^{-x} \exp(+E/RT) [Fuel]^{a} [0_{2}]^{D} [Ar]^{C} sec$$

In each of the performed shocks the mixture composition and initial properties were known. The postshock experimental properties were the reflected temperature T_5 , the density ratio ρ_5/ρ_1 , and the ignition delay time τ . The experiments were spread over a wide temperature range for maximum sensitivity to the determination of the so-called activation energy. An eyeballed straight-line fit was drawn through the experimental points to help in visualizing the following explanation.

In a log τ versus $1/T_5$ graph for benzene (fig. 2) mixtures B and C show a fourfold difference in oxygen concentration. The distance between the lines is 1.1 log τ units.

Therefore

 $\log \tau_{B} = a \log(1.354\% [C_{6}H_{6}]) + b \log(5.093\% [O_{2}]) + c \log[Ar]$ $\log \tau_{C} = a \log(1.354\% [C_{6}H_{6}]) + b \log(4x5.093\% [O_{2}]) + c \log[Ar]$

Subtracting log τ_B from log τ_C and disregarding the small differences in argon concentrations we get

 $\Delta[\log \tau_{(C-B)}] = b \log 4$ b = $\Delta[\log \tau_{(C-B)}]/\log 4 = -1.1/0.6 = -1.82$

A plot of log τ versus $1/T_5$ was then made for series A and E of benzene to get the argon power dependence (fig. 3):

 $\log \tau_{A} = a \log(1.69\% [C_{6}H_{6}]) + b \log(12.675\% [0_{2}]) + c \log(86.635\% [Ar])$ $\log \tau_{E} = a \log(3.28\times0.516\% [C_{6}H_{6}]) + b \log(3.28\times3.868\% [0_{2}])$

+ c log(3.28x95.616% [Ar])

Subtracting log τ_A from log τ_E we get $\Delta[\log \tau(E-A)] = 0.33$. Therefore $c \cong 0.64$.

Groups A and D of benzene differed fourfold in fuel concentration (fig. 4). Here $\Delta[\log \tau_{(A-D)}] = 0.166$ and a = 0.28. Groups A and B of toluene also differed threefold in fuel concentration (fig. 5). Thus a = 0.45. For groups B and D of toluene (fig. 6) the difference between the mixtures was threefold in oxygen concentration and $\Delta[\log \tau_{(B-D)}] = 0.72$. Thus b = -1.50. In the same way c was found to be approximately 0.22 (fig. 7). This discussion explains why the mixtures were chosen as they were and how the experiments were performed. We found, however, that a statistical approach to the determination of a, b, and c was more appropriate and more accurate since it could take into consideration such factors as ρ_5/ρ_1 and differences in argon concentration between the gas mixtures. These factors were neglected in the graphical presentation in figures 2 to 7. The statistical results given below point out that the absence of these factors changed the results obtained in the graphical form.

Seventy-eight experiments were used to make the overall benzene plot of log β versus $1/T_5$ (fig. 8) for a maximum acceptable spread of 3σ . The correlation was found with a statistical Student's t program.

 $\tau = 10^{-14.9\pm0.536} \exp(40\ 600\pm1100/\text{RT}) [C_6H_6]^{0.42\pm0.05} [0_2]^{-1.74\pm0.06} [\text{Ar}]^{0.45\pm0.07} \text{ sec}$

Forty-nine experiments were used to make the overall toluene plot of log β versus $1/T_5$ (fig. 9) for a maximum acceptable spread of 2σ .

 $\tau = 10^{-14.278\pm0.388} \exp(55\ 090\pm1250/\text{RT}) [C_7H_8]^{0.55\pm0.07} [O_2]^{-1.38\pm0.07} [\text{Ar}]^{0.35\pm0.08} \text{ sec}$

These reduced overall ignition delay equations are in good agreement with the graphical analysis presented in figures 2 to 7. The data gathered from the benzene and toluene experiments are shown in tables II and III, respectively.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The literature contains a relatively small amount of experimental evidence on benzene and toluene ignition delay shocks. The reason for the small number of experiments is not clear. All four papers that describe ignition delay measurements are quoted in reference 6: three experiments dealt with benzene (refs. 7, 8, and 10), and three with toluene (refs. 8 to 10). The range of experimental test conditions and the results are given in table IV.

Only one of the investigations has reduced the data in a form similar to the present study. However, any comparison would be of questionable value because of different locations for the pressure transducer used to detect the ignition delay. It has been shown (ref. 11) that locating this transducer far from the end plate results in a considerable change in the detected power and temperature dependence.

REFERENCES

- Fujii, N.; and Asaba, T.: Shock-Tube Study of the Reaction of Rich Mixtures of Benzene and Oxygen. Fourteenth Symposium (International) on Combustion. The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, 1973, pp. 433-442.
- Kern, R.D., et al.: Product Profiles Observed During the Pyrolysis of Toluene, Benzene, Butadiene, and Acetylene. Nineteenth Symposium (International) on Combustion, The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, 1983, pp. 1351-1358.

4

- 3. Venkat, C.; Brezinsky, K.; and Glassman, I.: High Temperature Oxidation of Aromatic Compounds. Nineteenth Symposium (International) on Combustion. The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, 1982, pp. 143-152.
- 4. Burcat, A.: Thermochemical Data for Combustion Calculations. Combustion Chemistry, W.C. Gardiner, ed., Springer-Verlag, 1984, pp. 455-504.
- 5. Burcat, A.; Zeleznik, F.; and McBride, B.: Ideal Gas Thermodynamic Properties for the Phenyl, Phenoxy, and o-Biphenyl Radicals. NASA TM-83800, 1985.
- Frenklach, M.: Shock Tube Study of the Fuel Structure Effects on the Chemical Kinetic Mechanism Responsible for Soot Formations. NASA CR-174661, 1983.
- Miyama, H.: Ignition of Benzene-Oxygen-Argon and Benzene-Oxygen-Nitrogen Mixtures by Shock Waves. J. Chem. Phys., vol. 52, no. 7, Apr. 1, 1970, pp. 3850-3851.
- 8. Miyama, H.: Ignition of Aromatic Hydrocarbon-Oxygen Mixtures by Shock Waves. J. Phys. Chem., vol. 75, no. 10, May 13, 1971, pp. 1501-1504.
- 9. Matula, R.A.; and Farmer, R.C.: Combustion Kinetics of Selected Aromatic Hydrocarbons. AFOSR-TR-78-1294, June 1978. (AD-A059381.)
- 10. Durgaprasad, M.B.: A Shock Tube Study of the Oxidation and Pyrolysis of Some Hydrocarbon Fuels. Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Leeds, 1982.
- Burcat, A.; Farmer, R.F.; and Matula, R.A.: Shock-Initiated Ignition in Heptane Oxygen Argon Mixtures. Shock Tubes and Waves, C.E. Treanor and J.G. Hall, eds., State University of New York Press, Albany, NY, 1982, pp. 826-833.

Mixture	Molar	composi percent	tion,	Test section pressure	_Number of experiments	Parameters determined	
	С6н6	6 02 Ar P1, torr		P1, torr			
				Benzene			
A B C D E	1.69 1.354 1.354 .419 .516	12.675 5.093 20.313 12.573 3.868	85.63 93.55 78.33 87.01 95.62	50 164	22 20 13 13 12	a,c b b a c	
				Toluene			
	С7Н8	02	Ar				
A B C D	1.495 .497 .497 .497	13.452 13.514 4.476 4.476	85.05 85.99 95.03 95.03	50 50 150 50	12 15 14 13	a,c a,b c b	

.

TABLE I. - EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS FOR IGNITION DELAY TESTS OF BENZENE AND TOLUENE MIXTURES

TABLE II. - REFLECTED PARAMETERS AND RESULTS OF BENZENE SHOCKS

Mixture	Molar composition, percent		Shock number	Test section pressure before shock,	Density ratio, p5/p1	Reflected temperature, T5,	Ignition delay time,	Test section pressure after reflected shock,
	с ₆ н ₆	0 ₂		P ₁ , torr		ĸ	τ	atm
A	1.69	12.675	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 15 1 51 55 3 54	50	8.15 7.81 7.61 7.89 7.78 8.20 8.32 8.45 8.45 7.24 7.24 7.24 7.27 7.44 7.55 7.55 7.55 7.55 7.33 8.04	1505 1420 1437 1339 1465 1439 1411 1297 1520 1515 1550 1550 1550 1550 1550 1550	65 178 287 337 130 151 189 607 80 84 71 34 35 750 291 613 490 303 198 41 92	2.69 2.43 2.29 2.19 2.57 2.49 2.41 2.07 2.73 2.73 2.72 2.83 2.94 2.04 2.04 2.04 2.04 2.17 2.39 2.25 2.39 2.25 2.39 2.84 2.60
В	1.35	5.09	101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 115 115 1152 153	50 51 52 53 55 50 51 50 51	7.43 7.30 7.13 7.16 7.40 7.35 7.49 7.68 7.64 6.72 6.95 7.00 7.64 6.72 6.95 7.00 7.04 7.33 7.31 7.31 7.31 7.31 7.64	1586 1544 1488 1573 1559 1605 1608 1748 1671 1664 1657 1366 1432 1448 1457 1448 1456 1554 1456 1554 1684 1419	157 274 477 478 211 243 154 154 154 154 154 154 91 93 1520 480 738 899 599 599 226 64 890	2.59 2.47 2.33 2.61 2.61 2.79 2.88 3.02 2.81 2.79 2.78 2.05 2.18 2.22 2.28 2.22 2.26 2.24 2.50 2.85 2.15
с	1.35	20.31	201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 212	50	7.61 7.53 7.36 7.14 7.23 7.64 7.78 8.15 8.37 7.46 8.13 7.89 8.03	1311 1295 1258 1212 1231 1318 1348 1435 1488 1280 1429 1473 1406	185 272 435 878 743 202 159 50 30 330 47 107 79	2.19 2.14 2.03 1.90 2.21 2.30 2.57 2.73 2.09 2.55 2.37 2.48

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Mixture	Molar co pe C6 ^H 6	mposition, rcent ⁰ 2	Shock number	Test section pressure before shock, P ₁ , torr	Density ratio, p5/p1	Reflected temperature, T5, K	Ignition delay time, τ	Test section pressure after reflected shock, ^P 5, atm
D	0.419	12.57	301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313	51 50	6.84 6.69 6.51 6.37 6.21 6.09 6.28 6.44 6.54 6.54 6.92 7.01	1501 1450 1390 1348 1299 1272 1265 1319 1369 1400 1467 1527 1560	47 88 145 249 432 634 688 363 186 127 65 41 35	2.27 2.13 1.98 1.88 1.77 1.70 1.69 1.82 1.93 2.01 2.17 2.32 2.40
E	0.516	3.87	401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412	164 168 164 164	6.54 6.36 6.15 6.03 5.87 5.95 6.06 6.10 6.24 6.46 6.64 6.35	1605 1533 1450 1406 1349 1378 1416 1432 1486 1572 1652 1530	61 122 273 415 755 604 412 367 213 98 40 122	7.55 7.01 6.41 6.10 5.69 6.17 6.28 6.84 7.30 7.89 6.99

TABLE II. - Concluded.

TABLE III REFLEC	CTED PARAMETERS AND	RESULTS OF	TOLUENE SHOCKS
------------------	---------------------	------------	----------------

Mixture	Molar composition, percent		Shock number	Test section pressure	Density ratio,	Reflected temperature,	Ignition delay	Test section pressure after reflected shock
	с ₇ н ₈	0 ₂		P ₁ , torr	₽5/P1	'5, K	τ	P5, atm
A	1.495	13.45	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	50	8.12 7.85 7.81 8.20 8.37 8.57 8.70 8.91 8.62 8.99 9.37 7.97	1429 1368 1358 1447 1489 1540 1574 1629 1552 1651 1758 1394	356 975 1030 328 185 100 62 42 80 29 8 596	2.54 2.36 2.33 2.60 2.73 2.89 3.00 3.18 2.94 3.26 3.61 2.44
в	0.497	13.51	101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115	50 53 50 51 51 50	$\begin{array}{c} 7.15\\ 6.95\\ 6.92\\ 6.67\\ 6.59\\ 6.87\\ 6.65\\ 7.12\\ 7.53\\ 7.45\\ 7.36\\ 7.33\\ 6.94\\ 6.83\\ 6.76\end{array}$	1509 1448 1437 1363 1339 1424 1358 1501 1642 1615 1582 1572 1443 1421 1391	73 124 186 556 847 221 657 95 20 27 40 42 183 287 388	2.37 2.32 2.18 1.99 1.93 2.15 1.98 2.34 2.74 2.64 2.55 2.53 2.20 2.12 2.06
С	0.497	4.48	201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214	150 151 150 150 150 151 150	6.22 6.11 6.26 6.38 6.48 6.54 6.68 6.82 6.83 6.92 7.06 6.75 6.69 6.49	1423 1387 1439 1481 1521 1545 1597 1660 1663 1700 1765 1630 1602 1524	563 741 490 330 237 175 96 42 39 26 16 1629 63 208	5.82 5.58 5.93 6.22 6.51 6.65 7.01 7.45 7.50 7.74 8.20 7.24 7.24 7.04 6.51
D	0.497	4.48	301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313	50	7.13 6.60 6.43 6.27 6.28 6.45 6.72 6.79 6.85 7.00 6.85 7.00 6.24 6.24 6.45	1797 1566 1501 1441 1446 1509 1618 1646 1669 1736 1524 1430 1509	19 207 452 1104 990 452 101 85 63 33 335 1311 370	2.81 2.27 2.12 1.98 1.99 2.14 2.39 2.45 2.51 2.66 2.17 1.96 2.14

Fue1	Fuel content,	Oxygen content,	Temperature	Pressure	Calculated			Reference
	percent	percent	range, K	range, atm	x	Ε	b	
Benzene	0.5 to 2.75	7.25 to 19.5	1090 to 1500	5.40 to 7.08	-10.0	42.5	-1	7,8
	0.12 to 0.42	1.58 to 1.88	1438 to 2107	2.10 to 3.39	-7.62	27.2		10
Toluene	0.25 to 2.75	7.25 to 9.75	1230 to 1805	4.82 to 6.70	-10.22	47.3	-1	8
	0.61 to 2.44	2.74 to 18.0	1193 to 1700	2.5 to 9.7	-17.1	58.8	-1.71	9
	0.10 to 0.37	1.63 to 1.90	1470 to 2135	2.15 to 3.33	-17.26	31.4	-1.4	10

TABLE IV. - COMPARISON OF LITERATURE EXPERIMENTS

_

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



Figure 1. - Pressure history as recorded on dual-channel digital oscilloscope, with points noted for start and end of ignition delay time τ .



Figure 2. - Log of ignition delay time versus reciprocal reflected temperature for benzene mixtures B and C, outlining oxygen power dependence.















.

Figure 6. - Log of ignition delay time versus reciprocal reflected temperature for toluene mixtures B and D, outlining oxygen power dependence.











Figure 9. - Log β versus reciprocal reflected temperature for toluene experiments with a tolerated spread of 2σ . β = 5.276x10⁻¹⁵ exp(55 090/RT) (cm³)0.48 mole⁻⁰0.48 sec.

1. Report No. NASA TM-87312	NO. 3.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.						
4. Title and Subtitle	<u> </u>	5.	5. Report Date					
			May 1986					
Ignition Delay Times of Ben	zene and Toluene		riay 1300					
With Oxygen in Argon Mixtur	6.	5. Performing Organization Code						
7. Author(s)	and a stand group of the standard	8	Performing Organization	Report No.				
Alexander Burcat, Christoph Theodore Brabbs		E-3041						
	10.	10. Work Unit No.						
9. Performing Organization Name and Address								
National Aeronautics and Sp Lewis Research Center	National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center							
Cleveland, Ohio 44135		13.	Type of Report and Peri	od Covered				
12 Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			Technical Mem	orandum				
Washington, D.C. 20546	on 14.	Sponsoring Agency Cod	le					
15. Supplementary Notes Alexander Burcat, Technion and NRC-NASA Research Assoc Lewis Research Center.	- Israel Institu 1ate; Christophe	te of Technolo r Snyder and T	gy, Haifa 3200 heodore Brabbs	O, Israel, , NASA				
16. Abstract The ignition delay times of investigated over a wide ra ranges were 0.42 to 1.69 pe temperature range was 1212 to 7.89 atm. Statistical e	benzene and tol nge of condition rcent fuel and 3 to 1748 K and th valuation of the	uene with oxyg s. For benzen .87 to 20.3 pe e reflected sh benzene exper	en diluted in e the concentr rcent oxygen. ock pressures iments provide	argon were ation The were 1.7 d an				
overall equation with a 20	confidence lev	el as follows:	· · · · ·					
$\tau = 1.26 \times 10^{-15} \exp(10^{-15})$	(40 600/RT)[C6H6] ^{0.42} [0 ₂] ^{-1.70}	1./U[Ar] ^{U.44} sec					
For toluene the concentrati 13.45 percent oxygen. The ted shock pressures were 1. for toluene after a statist	on ranges were O temperature rang 95 to 8.85 atm. ical evaluation	.5 to 1.5 perc e was 1339 to The overall 1 reads	ent fuel and 4 1797 K and the gnition delay	.48 to reflec- equation				
τ = 5.28x10 ⁻¹⁵ exp	(55 090/RT)[C7H ₈] ^{0.55} [0 ₂]-1.38	[Ar] ^{0.35} sec					
Detailed experimental infor	mation is provid	ed.						
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))	11	8. Distribution Statement	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····				
Benzene; Toluene; Ignition	delay time	Unclassified – unlimited STAR Category 25						
) Security Cloself (of this and		21 No of seaso	22 Price*				
Unclassified	19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified 20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified Unclassified							

*For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161