FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT

IN-13220

NASA Research Grant NAG-1-593

RADIATION EFFECTS ON HIGH PERFORMANCE POLYMERS

June 1, 1985 to February 28, 1986

(NASA-CR-177191) RADIATION EFFECTS ON HIGH N86-28195 PERFORMANCE POLYMERS Final Technical Report, 1 Jun. 1985 - 28 Feb. 1986 (College of William and Mary) 10 p HC A02/MF A01 Unclas CSCL 11B G3/27 43388

Principal Investigator: ROBERT A. ORWOLL Grantee Institution: THE COLLEGE OF WILLIAM AND MARY WILLIAMSBURG, VIRGINIA 23185

RADIATION EFFECTS ON HIGH PERFORMANCE POLYMERS [1,2]

INTRODUCTION

Polymer matrix materials are candidates for use in large space antennas and space platforms that may be deployed in geosynchronous orbit 22,500 miles above the earth. A principal concern are the long-term (e.g., ≥ 25 years) effects of an environment that is hostile to organic polymers, including high-energy electromagnetic radiation, bombardment by charged particles, and large abrupt changes in temperature. In this study two polyarylene ethers which might be utilized as models for polymers in space applications were subjected to dosages of 70 keV electrons up to

 3.4×10^{10} rad. The irradiated films were then examined to determine the effects of the high-energy electrons.

EXPERIMENTAL

The principal object of study in this research is the product of a condensation reaction of bisphenol A with 1,3-bis(4-chlorobenzoyl)benzene [3]. It is designated Polymer I:



It is an amorphous material with $\bar{M}_n = 2.9 \times 10^4 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ and $T_g = 153^{\circ}C$. The other polyether in this study, Polymer II, a slightly crystalline material, was prepared by reacting bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)methane and a 3:1 mixture of the meta and para isomers of bis(4-chlorobenzoyl)benzene [3]:



II

Films, 1-2 mil thick, were prepared from solutions in DMAc (Polymer I) or 1,1,2,2,-tetrachloroethane (Polymer II). They were irradiated at room temperature in a stainless steel vacuum $(10^{-7} torr)$ chamber at a rate of

 4.5×10^{-8} amp cm⁻² as determined with Faraday cups. This corresponds to 1.8×10^{8} rad hr⁻¹. The source was a Kimball Physics Inc. electron gun.

After irradiation, the films were placed in a measured quantity of chloroform. Any gel present was separated by filtration from the resulting solution. Intrinsic viscosities of the solutions of the soluble fraction were measured in chloroform. Also, NMR (Varian FT-80A) spectra were obtained from solutions of Polymer I dissolved in deuterochloroform.

During this study a new irradiation facility was finished. It has a mass spectrometer positioned between the sample and the vacuum pump so that volatile products can be monitored as they are formed during irradiation. The instrument, however, was not fully functional by the end of this study and data acquired with it are judged to be not reliable enough to report.

RESULTS

Films of Polymer I and II were bombarded with high-energy electrons for up to 200 hours. No change in mass was detected after irradiation. The relative amounts of the soluble fractions of the two polyethers are shown in Figures 1 and 2 as a function of the length of time the films were irradiated. A gel component in films of Polymer I appeared near 1.1×10^{10} rad and by ca. 2.9 x 10^{10} rad 80% of the film had become crosslinked gel. Polymer II, on the other hand, began to crosslink almost at the onset of radiation. By 1.8×10^{10} rad it was 90% gel.

Samples of Polymer I, after being subjected to various doses, were mixed with chloroform and injected into a gel permeation chromatograph. The ratio of the mass of irradiated film to the volume of chloroform was the same for all samples. The GPC detector responses for the samples are superimposed in Figure 3. Relative amounts of sol in each sample were determined from the areas under the curves and have been included in Figure 1. The GPC results on 20-hr and 45-hr films show an increase in the high molecular-weight end of the distribution while the maximum in the distribution appeared at longer retention volumes as the duration of irradiation increased.

The intrinsic viscosities $[\eta]$ for chloroform solutions of sol fractions of Polymer I and II have been plotted in Figure 4. For Polymer I $[\eta]$ increased slowly with dosage to ca. 1.4 x 10¹⁰ rad,, at which point it declined rapidly. The viscosity of solutions with Polymer II showed different behavior, declining monatonically with dosage from the start of the irradiation.

The integrated NMR spectra of soluble samples of Polymer I show that the ratio of phenyl hydrogens to alkyl hydrogens in irradiated samples compared to the same ratio in an unirradiated sample increases by 2.9, 3.3, and 4.0% for irradiation doses of 0.4 x 10^{10} , 1.6 x 10^{10} and 2.2 x 10^{10} rad, respectively. Qualitatively, the NMR spectrum of the aromatic hydrogens in I changes little with irradiation; however, small peaks at 0.9 and 1.3 ppm. (relative to TMS), that were not present before irradiation, appear adjacent to the methyl peak at 1.7 ppm.

Infrared absorbance measurements by Kiefer [4] on the same samples of Polymer I showed a diminution in the intensity of peaks associated with phenyl-carbonyl and phenyl-ether groups with increasing dose of electrons. In addition a small decrease in an absorption peak at 2968 cm⁻¹ indicates the loss of methyl hydrogens. There is no evidence of hydroxyl formation.

DISCUSSION

The evidence presented indicates that electron bombardment of Polymer I and II causes both chain scission and crosslinking. An estimate of the number of crosslinkages G(X) and chain-scissions G(S) per 100 eV absorbed can be obtained from a Charlesby-Pinner [5] plot of S + S^{1/2} vs. 1/R, where S is the fraction of film remaining soluble after a radiation dose R. (See Figure 5.) For Polymer I, $G_{I}(X)$ is between 1.0 x 10⁻³ and 1.5 x 10⁻³ and $G_{I}(S)$ is in the range 0.2 x 10⁻³ and 0.8 x 10⁻³. Based on the assumption $2 < M_{n} \times 10^{-4} < 3$ for Polymer II, we estimate $G_{II}(X)$ to be between 4 x 10⁻³ and 6 x 10⁻³ and $G_{II}(S)$ between 1 x 10⁻³ and 3 x 10⁻³. These values are less than those that Brown and O'Donnell [5] reported for a polysulfone with the related structure



They obtained $G_{ps}(X) = 0.051$ and $G_{ps}(S) = 0.012$ employing cobalt-60 γ -irradation.

The NMR studies and IR studies suggest that the methyl, carbonyl, and ether groups are the parts of the macromolecule principally affected by the high-energy radiation. The higher G values for Polymer II compared to I suggest that the methylene bridge may be less stable to irradiation than the isopropylidene link in the backbone of I. The results also indicate that the structural units in I and II derived from bis(4-chlorobenzoyl)benzene impart more stability to these polymers than the -SO₂- linkage does to

the polysulfone [6,7].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge P. R. Young for GPC studies.

REFERENCES

- Much of the work reported here was performed by Kevin B. Kingsbury. See, K. B. Kingsbury, Honors Thesis, College of William and Mary, April 1986. Another William and Mary student, Douglas S. Hawkins, also contributed to these studies.
- 2. To be reported to the Division of Polymeric Materials: Science and Engineering at the American Chemical Society National Meeting, Anaheim, California, September 1986.
- 3. The polymers used in this study were synthesized by P. M. Hergenrother and B. J. Jensen in the Materials Division, NASA Langley Research Center.
- Final Report, R. L. Kiefer, ASEE Summer Research Fellow, NASA-Langley, 1985.
- 5. A. Charlesby and S. H. Pinner, <u>Proc. Roy. Soc</u>. (London), <u>Ser. A</u>, <u>249</u>, 367(1959).
- 6. J. R. Brown and J. H. O'Donnell, <u>J. Appl. Polym. Sci.</u>, <u>19</u>, 405(1975).
- 7. A. Davis, M. H. Gleaves, J. H. Golden, and M. B. Huglin, <u>Markromol</u>. <u>Chem.</u>, <u>129</u>, 63(1969).









Figure 3. GPC analysis of the soluble component from films of Polymer I after different periods of irradiation.



Figure 4. Intrinsic viscosities (dL g^{-1}) of the soluble fractions in chloroform of Polymers I and III plotted as a function of the duration of irradiation at 1.8 x 10 rad hr \cdot



Figure 5. Charlesby-Pinner plot for Polymers I and II. Values obtained from GPC for I are plotted as open circles; those from filtration, as filled circles (Polymer I) and filled triangles (Polymer II).