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On 30 May 1984, the Millstone Hill incoherent scatter radar was operated to gather data on the effects of the annular solar eclipse on the structure and dynamics of the ionosphere and thermosphere. The eclipse path was about 3 deg . south of Millstone which experienced a maximum obscuration of $86 \%$ at about 1705 UT. Both the zenith and steerable antennas at Millstone were used in the experiment to collect data on the temporal evolution of the eclipse effects. This experiment represented the first opportunity at Millstone to collect data during an eclipse in the absence of a major magnetospheric disturbance which had previously made the unravelling of eclipse effects difficult. In addition, the configuration of the experiment and analysis of the data included a detailed examination of the effects on the neutral atmosphere. A major catalyst for this study was the opportunity to compare the results with the predictions made from the Thermospheric General Circulation Model (TGCM) at NCAR, as a calibration point for the model. The plans for the experiment were formulated at the February 1984 Incoherent Scatter Data Users Workshop; the Arecibo and Sondrestrom radars also participated as part of a radar chain experiment. The analysis of the data from these stations is in progress; this paper presents the initial results from Millstone Hill.

The observations, confirming the TGCM predictions, indicate a drop in electron density by $60 \%$ at the peak obscuration time in the altitude range $130-250 \mathrm{~km}$. At higher altitudes, a smaller decrease $(14 \%)$ is observed, in contrast to the model predictions and the difference is due to assumed flux boundary conditions. Although a difference in mean electron temperature exists between model and temperature, a good agreement is found in the relative drop ( $\sim 25 \%$ ) during the eclipse. Within somehwat large fluctuations it is possible to discern a $50^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ drop in the exospheric temperature measurements as predicted from the TGCM.

From three dimensional ion drift measurements across the eclipse path a neutral wind velocity, governed primarily by the meridional component, of about $50 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ at eclipse time is observed. Within the uncertainties of the measurements, little change in the winds is observed prior to and following the eclipse, and the results are similar to previous summer day observations where a reversal occurs near 1400 EST. Electric fields derived from the data indicate magnitudes of the order of $1 \mathrm{~m} \nabla / \mathrm{m}$ or less, primarily north-westward except between 1530 and 1730 U.T. where the field is south-eastward. While the TGCM predicted similar overall wind magnitudes and directions as observed, it has been difficult to verify the convergence of wind perturbations onto the eclipse
path as would be expected and as indicated by the model. This is because of geometric considerations of the ion drift vectors relative to the eclipse path, and because of the sensitivity of the radar measurements in the F-region to both the meridional and zonal components. It is nonetheless possible to state that the overall dynamic behavior predicted by the TGCM is consistent with the observations.
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Figure 8a. NCAR TGCM update.


Figure 8b. NCAR TGCM update.


Figure 8c. NCAR TGCM update.
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## derivation of neutral hinds

$V_{I}$ (ZENITH) $-0.95 V_{\text {DIFF }}=-0.29 V_{N}^{\prime}+6 E_{X}+1.5 E_{Y}$
$V_{1}\left(S, 15^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)-0.54 V_{\text {DIFF }}=-0.16 V_{N}^{\prime}-17 E_{X}+0.8 E_{Y}$
$V_{I}\left(S W, 15^{\circ} E\right)-0.4 V_{\text {DIFF }}=-0.12 V_{N}^{\prime}-12 E_{X}+15 E_{Y}$

WHERE $\quad V_{N}^{\prime}=V_{N}-0.25 U_{N}$
$U_{N}$, Ex: Eastward Positive
$V_{N}, E_{Y}$ : Northward Positive
$U, V=M / S E C \quad E: M V / M$
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Figure 14. NCAR TGCM predictions of Neutral Wind Perturbations for Eclipse - 17 U.T.


Figure 15.


Figure 16.

## SUMMARY
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