brought to you by T CORE

NASA Contractor Report 178125

ICASE REPORT NO. 86-35

NASA-CR-178125 19860020629

ICASE

ON THE ADVANTAGES OF THE VORTICITY-VELOCITY FORMULATION OF THE EQUATIONS OF FLUID DYNAMICS

Charles G. Speziale

FOR REFERENCE

Contract No. NAS1-18107 May 1986 #

NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THIS BOOM

INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTER APPLICATIONS IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia 23665

Operated by the Universities Space Research Association



Space Administration

Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665

LIBRARY COPY

AUG 2 9 1986

LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER LIBRARY, NASA HAMPTON, VIRGINIA



ON THE ADVANTAGES OF THE VORTICITY-VELOCITY FORMULATION

OF THE EQUATIONS OF FLUID DYNAMICS

Charles G. Speziale Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665-5225 and Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332

> Dedicated to Milton E. Rose on Occasion of his 60th Birthday

Abstract

The mathematical properties of the pressure-velocity and vorticityvelocity formulations of the equations of viscous flow are compared. It is shown that a vorticity-velocity formulation exists which has the interesting property that non-inertial effects only enter into the problem through the implementation of initial and boundary conditions. This valuable characteristic, along with other advantages of the vorticity-velocity approach, are discussed in detail.

i

Research was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under NASA Contract No. NASI-18107 while the author was in residence at ICASE, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665-5225.

Two distinctly different approaches have been utilized in the literature for the numerical solution of the equations of viscous flow in threedimensions. In the more common approach, the momentum equation, which contains both the velocity and pressure, is solved numerically along with a derived Poisson equation for the pressure (i.e., the pressure-velocity or primitive variable formulation [1-3]). The alternative approach is based on eliminating the pressure from the momentum equation by the application of the curl. In this manner, a vorticity transport equation is solved numerically in lieu of the momentum equation (i.e., the vorticity-velocity formulation [4-The purpose of the present note is to explore in more detail the 6]). properties of these disparate numerical approaches. It will be shown that the vorticity-velocity formulation has a striking advantage when applied to problems in non-inertial frames of reference. More specifically, there exists an intrinsic vorticity-velocity formulation wherein all non-inertial effects (arising from both the rotation and translation of the frame of reference relative to an inertial framing) only enter into the solution of the problem through the implementation of initial and boundary conditions. This is in stark contrast to the pressure - velocity formulation where non-inertial effects appear directly in the momentum equation in the form of Coriolis and Eulerian accelerations--a state of affairs which can give rise to a variety of numerical problems [2]. A detailed exposition of this interesting property of the vorticity-velocity formulation will be presented along with a brief discussion of other advantages of this approach.

For simplicity, we will restrict our attention to the analysis of viscous incompressible flow governed by the Navier-Stokes equation and continuity equation which, respectively, take the form

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{y} = -\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{v}^2 \mathbf{y}, \qquad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0, \qquad (2)$$

where \underline{v} is the velocity vector, p is the pressure, and v is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. Here, the validity of (1) requires that the external body forces be conservative and that the frame of reference be inertial. In an <u>arbitrary</u> non-inertial frame of reference (which can rotate with a time-dependent angular velocity $\Omega(t)$ and translate with a time-dependent velocity $\underline{v}_0(t)$ relative to its origin 0), the Navier-Stokes equation takes the more complex form [7]

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{\hat{\mu}} \times \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{\hat{\mu}} \times (\mathbf{\hat{\mu}} \times \mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{\dot{y}}_{0} + 2\mathbf{\hat{\mu}} \times \mathbf{y} = -\nabla \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{v}\nabla^{2} \mathbf{y}.$$
(3)

Here, \underline{r} is the position vector and the non-inertial terms on the left-hand side of (3) are, respectively, referred to as the Eulerian, centrifugal, translational, and Coriolis accelerations. The continuity equation still assumes the same form (2) in any non-inertial frame of reference.

By the introduction of a modified pressure P which includes the centrifugal and translational acceleration potentials, the non-inertial form of the Navier-Stokes equation (3) can be simplified considerably. More specifically, (3) can be written in the equivalent form

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{y} + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \times \mathbf{r} + 2\mathbf{y} \times \mathbf{y} = -\nabla \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{v}\nabla^2 \mathbf{y}, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$P = p + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathfrak{Q} \cdot \mathfrak{r} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{Q}^2 \mathfrak{r}^2 + \dot{\mathfrak{v}}_0 \cdot \mathfrak{r}.$$
 (5)

In the pressure-velocity formulation, equation (4) is solved in conjunction with a Poisson equation for the pressure which is obtained by taking the divergence of (4). Hence, the governing equations to be solved numerically in this approach can be summarized as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{y} + \dot{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{r} + 2\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{y} = -\nabla \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{v} \nabla^2 \mathbf{y}, \qquad (6)$$

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{P} = -\operatorname{tr}(\nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) + 2\Omega \cdot \omega, \qquad (7)$$

subject to the initial and boundary conditions

$$v = v_0$$
, at $t = t_0$, (8)

In (7) and (9), tr(•) denotes the trace, $\underline{\omega}$ is the vorticity vector, and B denotes the boundary surface of the region. Of course, equations (6) and (7) must be solved subject to the continuity equation (2). Since we are considering general three-dimensional flow, a stream function solution does not exist. Hence, the solution for the velocity \underline{v} must be projected in some suitable fashion onto the space of solenoidal vectors.

It is quite clear that the form of (6) and (7) (and, hence, their mathematical character) change depending on whether or not the frame of

reference is inertial. Consequently, a particular numerical algorithm which may be optimal for a given class of flows in an inertial frame of reference may not be so for the same class of flows in a non-inertial framing. It will now be demonstrated that the vorticity-velocity formulation does not suffer from this deficiency.

The vorticity-velocity formulation is based on the vorticity transport equation which is obtained by taking the curl of (4). This equation takes the form

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + \underline{v} \cdot \underline{\nabla} \omega = \omega \cdot \underline{\nabla} \underline{v} + v \nabla^2 \omega + 2\Omega \cdot \underline{\nabla} \underline{v} - 2\dot{\Omega}$$
(10)

in any non-inertial frame of reference where

$$\omega = \nabla \times \nabla$$
(11)

is the vorticity vector. It is clear that the velocity and vorticity are also connected through the Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{y} = -\nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{\omega} \tag{12}$$

which is a direct consequence of the vector identity

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{y}) = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{y}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{y}.$$
(13)

The intrinsic vorticity W, defined by

$$\underbrace{W}_{\omega} = \underbrace{\omega}_{\omega} + 2 \widehat{\Omega}_{\omega}, \qquad (14)$$

can be introduced which represents the vorticity relative to an inertial frame of reference. Since Ω is spatially homogeneous (i.e., $\nabla \Omega = 0$), it is a simple matter to show that the non-inertial form of the vorticity-velocity formulation can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla W = W \cdot \nabla v + v \nabla^2 W$$
(15)

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{y} = - \nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{w}. \tag{16}$$

Equations (15) - (16) must be solved (in some region R with a boundary surface B) subject to the initial and boundary conditions

$$\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{W}} = \left(\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{V}} \times \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{V}} \right)_{0} + 2 \underset{\sim}{\Omega}_{0}, \qquad \text{at } \mathsf{t} = \mathsf{t}_{0}$$
 (17)

$$\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{\mathbf{v}}_{B} = \underbrace{\mathbf{v}}_{B} \\ \underbrace{\mathbf{w}}_{B} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{\mathbf{v}}_{A} \times \underbrace{\mathbf{v}}_{B} \right)_{B} + 2 \underbrace{\mathbf{\Omega}}_{A} \end{array} \right) \qquad \text{on } B.$$
 (18)

Of course, it is well known that the vorticity, as well as the intrinsic vorticity, are solenoidal, i.e.,

$$\nabla \cdot W = 0, \tag{19}$$

and, hence, the solutions for $\underset{\sim}{W}$ and $\underset{\sim}{y}$ must, in some suitable fashion, be projected onto the space of solenoidal vectors.

This vorticity-velocity formulation of fluid dynamics represented by equations (15) - (18) has the striking property that <u>non-inertial effects only</u>

enter into the solution of the problem through the implementation of initial and boundary conditions. Consequently, the basic structure of the numerical algorithm (i.e., the numerical formulation of (15) - (16)) will be independent of whether or not the frame of reference is inertial--a situation which greatly enhances the general applicability of any Navier-Stokes computer code which is developed based on this approach.

At this point, a few comments should be made concerning the alternate ways in which the velocity field can be calculated in the vorticity-velocity formulation. Instead of solving the Poisson equation (16), it is possible to solve the defining equation for vorticity directly, i.e.,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{v} = \boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{W} - 2\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \qquad (20)$$

(see Gatski, Grosch, and Rose [6]). Of course, for plane or axisymmetric flows, there exists a stream function ψ such that [7]

$$\mathbf{v} = \lambda \times \nabla \psi \tag{21}$$

$$\nabla \times (\lambda \times \nabla \psi) = W - 2\Omega, \qquad (22)$$

where $\lambda = \nabla \chi$ and χ is the coordinate that the flow is independent of (for plane flows, (22) reduces to the Poisson equation $\nabla^2 \psi = W - 2\Omega$). While the motion of the frame of reference <u>does</u> enter into the equations of motion in these alternate vorticity-velocity formulations, it does so in a much less significant way than in the pressure-velocity formulation. To be specific, the transport equation which is solved (i.e., equation (15)) does not contain any frame-dependent terms and, at each time step, the partial differential equation for the determination of the velocity field is only altered by the addition of a <u>constant</u> forcing function in the form of 2Ω (the added term on the right-hand side of (20) and (22)).

Finally, it would be of value to mention some other advantages of the vorticity-velocity formulation. More difficulties have been known to arise in the implementation of pressure boundary conditions than vorticity boundary conditions [1,2] (of course, both boundary conditions must usually be derived). Difficulties in satisfying the continuity equation in the pressure-velocity formulation have also been known to give rise to numerical instabilities [1]. Furthermore, in the vorticity-velocity approach, the vorticity vector is calculated directly. This is of considerable value since the vorticity field can play an important role in characterizing certain features of turbulence [8]. While it is certainly not being suggested that the pressure-velocity formulation be abandoned, this study does indicate that the vorticity-velocity formulation can have distinct advantages when applied to an important class of viscous flows.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Dr. T. Gatski and Dr. M. Rose for some valuable comments and criticisms of the original draft of this paper.

-7-

REFERENCES

- [1] A. J. CHORIN, Math. Comp., 22 (1968), 745.
- [2] G. P. WILLIAMS, J. Fluid Mech., 37 (1969), 727.
- [3] D. A. ANDERSON, J. C. TANNEHILL, and R. H. PLETCHER, "Computational Fluid Dynamics and Heat Transfer," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1984.
- [4] S. C. R. DENNIS, D. B. INGHAM, and R. N. COOK, <u>J. Comp. Phys.</u>, 33 (1979), 325.
- [5] H. F. FASEL, "Numerical Solution of the Complete Navier-Stokes Equations for the Simulation of Unsteady Flows," Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 771, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [6] T. B. GATSKI, C. E. GROSCH, and M. E. ROSE, J. Comp. Phys., 48 (1982), 1.
- [7] G. K. BATCHELOR, "An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics," Cambridge University Press, London, 1967.
- [8] E. LEVICH and A. TSINOBER, Phys. Letters, 93A (1983), 293.

Standard Bibliographic Page

.

1. Report No. NASA CR-178125	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
ICASE Report No. 86-35		
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date
ON THE ADVANTAGES OF THE VORTICITY-VELOCITY FORMULATION OF THE EQUATIONS OF FLUID DYNAMICS		May 1986
		6. Performing Organization Code
		of I offorming organization cours
7. Author(s)		
Charles G. Speziale		8. Performing Organization Report No.
		86-35
		- 10. Work Unit No.
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Institute for Computer Applications in Science		10. WORK OME NO.
and Engineering		
		11. Contract or Grant No. NASI-18107
Mail Stop 132C, NASA Langley Research Center		NAS1-18107
Hampton, VA 23665-5225		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		13. Type of Report and Period Covered
		Contractor Report
National Aeronautics and Space Administration		14. Sponsoring Agency Code
Washington, D.C. 20546		
		505-31-83-01
15. Supplementary Notes		
Langley Technical Monitor:	Submitte	ed to Applied Numerical
J. C. South	Mathemat	
	Machema	.105
Disci De cont		
Final Report		
16. Abstract		
The mathematical properties of the pressure-velocity and vorticity-		
velocity formulations of the e	equations of viscous	low are compared. It is
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit	equations of viscous y formulation exists	low are compared. It is which has the interesting
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial	equations of viscous y formulation exists fects only enter into and boundary condi	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff	equations of viscous y formulation exists fects only enter into and boundary condi	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with approach, are discussed in deta	equations of viscous y formulation exists fects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of il.	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable the vorticity-velocity
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with	equations of viscous y formulation exists fects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of il.	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable
velocity formulations of the e shown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with approach, are discussed in deta	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of il. 18. Distribution Sta 34 - Flue	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable the vorticity-velocity
velocity formulations of the eshown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with approach, are discussed in deta 17. Key Words (Suggested by Authors(s)) VISCOUS flow, vorticity-velocit	equations of viscous y formulation exists ects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of il. 18. Distribution Sta 34 - Flu ations Tra	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable the vorticity-velocity
velocity formulations of the eshown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with approach, are discussed in deta 17. Key Words (Suggested by Authors(s)) VISCOUS flow, vorticity-velocit	equations of viscous y formulation exists fects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of dil.	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable the vorticity-velocity
velocity formulations of the eshown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with approach, are discussed in deta 17. Key Words (Suggested by Authors(s)) VISCOUS flow, vorticity-velocit	equations of viscous y formulation exists fects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of dil.	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable the vorticity-velocity
velocity formulations of the eshown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with approach, are discussed in deta 17. Key Words (Suggested by Authors(s)) VISCOUS flow, vorticity-velocit	equations of viscous y formulation exists fects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of dil. y 18. Distribution Sta 34 - Flux 59 - Math Scie	ement d Mechanics and Heat heft inser inces (General)
velocity formulations of the eshown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with approach, are discussed in deta 17. Key Words (Suggested by Authors(s)) VISCOUS flow, vorticity-velocit	equations of viscous y formulation exists fects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of dil. y 18. Distribution Sta 34 - Flux 59 - Math Scie	low are compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable the vorticity-velocity
velocity formulations of the eshown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with approach, are discussed in deta 17. Key Words (Suggested by Authors(s)) viscous flow, vorticity-velocit formulation, Navier-Stokes equal	equations of viscous y formulation exists fects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of dil.	ement d Mechanics and Heat hesting the compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable the vorticity-velocity
velocity formulations of the eshown that a vorticity-velocit property that non-inertial eff implementation of initial characteristic, along with approach, are discussed in deta 17. Key Words (Suggested by Authors(s)) VISCOUS flow, vorticity-velocit	equations of viscous y formulation exists fects only enter into and boundary condi other advantages of dil. y 18. Distribution Sta 34 - Flux 59 - Math Scie	ement d Mechanics and Heat hesting the compared. It is which has the interesting the problem through the tions. This valuable the vorticity-velocity

Y

٠

· •

End of Document