

CHANGES OF INSTABILITY THRESHOLDS OF ROTOR DUE TO BEARING MISALIGNMENTS

Helmut Springer and Horst Ecker Technical University of Vienna Vienna, Austria

Edgar J. Gunter University of Virginia Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

In this paper the influence of bearing misalignment upon the dynamic characteristics of statically indeterminate rotor bearing systems is investigated. Both bearing loads and stability speed limits of a rotor may be changed significantly by magnitude and direction of bearing misalignment. The useful theory of short journal bearings is introduced and simple analytical expressions, governing the misalignment problem, are carried out. Polarplots for the bearing load capacities and stability maps, describing the speed limit in terms of misalignment, are presented. These plots can be used by the designer to estimate deviations between calculation and experimental data due to misalignment effects.

INTRODUCTION

Bearing misalignment and initial shaft bow may considerably change the dynamic characteristics of a rotor bearing system. In general, bearing misalignment and shaft bow represent imperfections of a system with unknown amount which cannot be predicted exactly by the designer. Therefore, a qualitative analysis, investigating the influence of bow and misalignment upon vibration amplitudes, dynamic bearing loads, and stability, etc., of a rotor, might be useful. Also significant deviations between experimental data and theoretical calculations of a rotor bearing system may be caused by bearing misalignments, for example. In this case an error estimation between experiment and calculation, considering the above mentioned imperfections, is necessary.

It is well known from the literature that beside static and dynamic unbalance of a rotor a residual shaft bow may be considered as an additional external force that is exciting the rotor with constant amplitude independent of the speed. If a linearized (first order) analysis is applicable to a system then initial shaft bow and unbalance do not change the stability characteristics of the rotor. In other words, no interactions between self-excited shaft whirl and external excitation can be described by a linear approach; for example, see reference 1. Therefore, in this paper the response of unbalance and shaft bow is not considered, since, in a first order sense, it does not change the onset of instability of the rotor.

Gasch has shown in his paper, see reference 2, that in a statically indeterminate rotor bearing system the static bearing loads depend upon the speed of the shaft because of nonlinear hydrodynamic bearing characteristics. Nasuda and Hori, reference 3, investigated the influence of journal bearing misalignment upon the stability characteristics. They determined socalled "contour stability maps" for a two-mass and fourbearing rotor.

399

In this paper the theory of short journal bearings is used, see reference 4, in order to calculate bearing loads, bearing stiffness and damping coefficients, and stability thresholds of a flexible rotor in terms of bearing misalignment. Both, magnitude and direction of the misalignment vector of a bearing are varied and ranges of high and low sensitivity of the stability limit can be observed.

NOMENCLATURE

- [A] dynamical matrix $(1/s^2)$
- b magnitude of initial shaft bow (m)
- β phase angle of initial shaft bow (rad)
- C radial bearing clearance (m)
- $[C_B]$ bearing damping matrix (Ns/m)
- [C_E] external damping matrix (Ns/m)
- [C_T] internal damping matrix (Ns/m)
- ε bearing eccentricity to clearance ratio
- η horizontal shaft displacement to clearance ratio
- $\{F_0\}$ external static load (N)
- $\{F_B\}$ dynamic bearing load (N)
- ${F_{BO}}$ static bearing load capacity (N)
- bearing load attitude angle (rad)
- [I] identity matrix
- [K_B] bearing stiffness matrix (N/m)
- [KI] stiffness matrix due to internal damping (N/m)
- $[K_S]$ shaft stiffness matrix (N/m)
- κ misalignment to clearance ratio
- ξ vertical shaft displacement to clearance ratio

- L bearing length (m)
- λ misalignment attitude angle (direction of misalignment with respect to vertical x-axis) (rad)
- m magnitude of misalignment (m)
- m_x, m_y misalignment components in xand y-direction, resp. (m)
- [M] mass matrix (kg)
- µ dynamic viscosity of bearing lubricant (Ns/m²)
- N^{+} stability speed limit (Hz)
- ω angular speed of shaft (1/s)
- ψ shaft center attitude angle (rad)
- R radius of the shaft at a bearing station (m)
- $S = \frac{\mu \omega L^{3} R}{4\pi C^{2} F_{RO}}$ Sommerfeld number
- σ eigenvalue (1/s)
- t time (s)
- {U} unbalance vector (kgm)
- W total weight of the rotor (N)
- {z} shaft displacement vector (m)
- {u} eigenvector

Subscripts

B bearing

Superscripts

T transposition of a matrix or column vector

column vector

- j station number (l≤j≤n)
- n total number of shaft stations
- o static load conditions
- x,y vertical and horizontal direction, respectively

r 1

{ }

Brackets

- [] matrix
- () function of

EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Various definitions of bearing misalignments can be introduced for a rotor-bearing system. For example, in figure 1, lateral displacements of the bearings are measured with respect to a line that is connecting the centers of the outer bearings (i.e. first and last bearing). Beside lateral bearing misalignment slope misalignment of the bearing axis may occur in practice but is neglected in this paper. Also slope deviations between shaft axis and bearing axis are not considered in this investigation. Therefore, at least three bearings have to be present in order to get a statically indeterminate system that exhibits misalignment effects.

Initial shaft bow, for example, can be measured in a "non-assembled" strain-free configuration of the shaft where shaft centers and bearing centers at the first and the last bearing station coincide, see figure 1. In general, for an n-stations shaft the lateral misalignment vector is defined as

$$\{\mathbf{m}\} = \{0, \dots, 0, \mathbf{m}_{f+1} \cos \lambda_{f+1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{1-1} \cos \lambda_{1-1}, 0, \dots, 0\} \\ |0, \dots, 0, \mathbf{m}_{f+1} \sin \lambda_{f+1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{1-1} \sin \lambda_{1-1}, 0, \dots, 0\}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(1)

The magnitude of misalignment m. for a "no-bearing" station j is defined to zero. Initial shaft bow is given by

$$\{b(t)\} = \{b_1 \cos(\omega t + \beta_1), \dots, 0, \dots, b_j \cos(\omega t + \beta_j), \dots, 0, \dots, b_n \cos(\omega t + \beta_n) | \\ [b_1 \sin(\omega t + \beta_1), \dots, 0, \dots, b_j \sin(\omega t + \beta_j), \dots, 0, \dots, b_n \sin(\omega t + \beta_n)\}^T$$

$$(2)$$

in terms of the shaft rotational angle ωt , see figure 1. If internal and external damping forces are considered and gyroscopic forces are neglected then the equations of motion of the rotor-bearing system can be written in the form

$$[M]\{\ddot{z}\} + [C_{E}+C_{I}]\{\dot{z}\} + [K_{S}+K_{I}]\{z\} =$$

$$= \{F_{o}\} + [K_{S}]\{b(t)\} + \omega^{2}\{U(t)\} + \{F_{B}(\{z-m\},\{\dot{z}\},\omega)\}$$
(3)

where $\{z\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n | y_1, \dots, y_n\}^T$ is a column vector containing lateral (vertical and horizontal) displacements of the shaft at n stations, see reference 1. A Taylor-expansion of equation (3) with respect to the nonlinear bearing forces $\{F_B\}$ is convergent if the static preload $\{F_0\}$ is sufficiently high compared with unbalance and shaft bow excitation forces. Under this assumption an expansion leads to

$$[K_{S}+K_{I}]\{z_{o}\} - \{F_{Bo}(\{z_{o}-m\}, \{0\}, \omega\}) - \{F_{o}\} = \{0\}$$
(4)

and

$$[M]\{\ddot{z}_{1}\} + [C_{E}+C_{I}+C_{B}(\{m\},\omega)]\{\dot{z}_{1}\} + [K_{S}+K_{I}(\omega)+K_{B}(\{m\},\omega)]\{z_{1}\} = [K_{S}]\{b(t)\} + \omega^{2}\{U(t)\}$$
(5)

with $\{z\} = \{z_0\} + \{z_1(t)\}$ being the "first order" solution of equation (3). The stationary part $\{z_0\} = \{z_0(\{F_0\}, \{m\}, \omega)\}$ of this solution is evaluated from the nonlinear system equations (4), with $\omega = \text{const.} \neq 0$ being the angular speed of the shaft. The stability of $\{z_0\}$ is governed by the linear system of differential equations (5) with initial shaft bow and unbalance being set to zero.

Note that first order stiffness and damping characteristics of the bearings, $[C_B]$ and $[K_B]$ respectively, depend upon the stationary solution $\{z_O(\{F_O\}, \{m\}, \omega)\}$. Therefore, the rotor's stability limit depends upon bearing misalignment $\{m\}$ and is not influenced by the shaft bow $\{b\}$ as long as the above expansion converges.

BEARING CHARACTERISTICS

Static load capacity

The static load capacity $\{F_{BO}\}$ of the bearings is evaluated by employing the theory of short journal bearings, see reference 4 and figure 2. For a single bearing, located at station j, the static bearing load components in the vertical x- and the horizontal y-direction can be written in the form

$$\begin{cases} F_{\text{Box}} \\ - - - \\ F_{\text{Boy}} \end{cases} = \frac{\omega}{2} \left(\frac{\mu L^{3} R}{c^{2}} \right)_{j} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{0j} - \kappa_{j} \cos \lambda_{j} & | -\eta_{0j} + \kappa_{j} \sin \lambda_{j} \\ - - - + - - - - - \\ \eta_{0j} - \kappa_{j} \sin \lambda_{j} & | \xi_{0j} - \kappa_{j} \cos \lambda_{j} \\ - - - + - - - - - - \\ \eta_{0j} - \kappa_{j} \sin \lambda_{j} & | \xi_{0j} - \kappa_{j} \cos \lambda_{j} \\ - - - - + - - - - - - - \\ \frac{\pi}{2(1 - \varepsilon_{0j}^{2})^{3/2}} \end{cases}$$
(6)

where

$$\varepsilon_{\rm oj} = \sqrt{\left(\xi_{\rm oj} - \kappa_{\rm j} \cos\lambda_{\rm j}\right)^2 + \left(\eta_{\rm oj} - \kappa_{\rm j} \sin\lambda_{\rm j}\right)^2} \tag{7}$$

is the local bearing eccentricity ratio at station j and $\xi_{oj} = x_{oj}/C_j$, $n_{oj} = y_{oj}/C_j$, and $\kappa_j = m_j/C_j$ are local static shaft displacement and bearing misalignment to bearing clearance ratios, respectively. Substituting equation (6) for each bearing into equation (4) yields a nonlinear system of relations to be solved numerically for the static displacement vector $\{z_0\} = \{x_{01}, \dots x_{0n} | y_{01}, \dots y_{0n}\}^T$.

Bearing stiffness and damping characteristics

Once the stationary solution of the rotor at ω = const. \neq 0 is determined for a given misalignment {m}, local bearing stiffness and damping matrices can easily be evaluated for a bearing at station j from

$$[K_{Bj}] = \frac{\omega}{2} \left(\frac{\mu L^{3}R}{C^{3}}\right)_{j} [T_{j}] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4\varepsilon_{oj}(1+\varepsilon_{oj}^{2})}{(1-\varepsilon_{oj}^{2})^{3}} & \frac{\pi}{2(1-\varepsilon_{oj}^{2})^{3/2}}\\ \frac{-\pi}{2(1-\varepsilon_{oj}^{2})} & \frac{\pi}{2(1-\varepsilon_{oj}^{2})^{3/2}}\\ \frac{-\pi(1+2\varepsilon_{oj}^{2})}{2(1-\varepsilon_{oj}^{2})^{5/2}} & \frac{2\varepsilon_{oj}}{(1-\varepsilon_{oj}^{2})^{2}} \end{bmatrix} [T_{j}]^{T}$$
(8)

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{Bj} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu L^{3} R}{C^{3}} \right)_{j} \begin{bmatrix} T_{j} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\pi (1+2\varepsilon_{oj}^{2}) + \frac{-4\varepsilon_{oj}}{(1-\varepsilon_{oj}^{2})^{5/2}} + \frac{-4\varepsilon_{oj}}{(1-\varepsilon_{oj}^{2})^{2}} \\ -\frac{-4\varepsilon_{oj}}{(1-\varepsilon_{oj}^{2})^{2}} + \frac{\pi}{(1-\varepsilon_{oj}^{2})^{3/2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_{j} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(9)

respectively, with $\epsilon_{0i} \neq 0$ and

being an orthogonal transformation matrix between a local r-t-reference frame of the bearing j and the global x-y-system, see figure 2. As an example, in figure 3a and 3b, respectively, dimensionless first order stiffness and damping coefficients for the short journal bearing are shown in terms of the Sommerfeld number. The load attitude angle ϕ is chosen to be zero in this example, i.e. the direction of the static load coincides with the global (vertical) x-axis. For any other arbitrary load direction equations (8) and (9) have to be applied.

STABILITY

After substituting the local bearing stiffness and damping matrices into the global matrices of equation (5) the corresponding eigenvalue problem

$$[A - \sigma I] \{u\} = \{0\}$$
(11)

can be solved for σ as a function of the rotor angular speed ω and the bearing misalignment vector {m}. The dynamical matrix [A] is of dimension 2n x 2n and is given by

EXAMPLE

Figure 4 shows a symmetric five stations rotor with three short journal bearings and two major masses mounted on a flexible shaft. The data for this rotor are listed in Table 1. The center bearing of the system may be misaligned with respect to the outer bearings by m_x and m_y in a vertical and horizontal direction, respectively. The numerical calculations for this example were carried out on the main frame computer CDC-CYBER 170/730 of the Technical University of Vienna, Austria. The nonlinear equation solver ZSPOW and the eigenvalue solver EIGRF of the IMSL-computer library were used.

Figure 5 shows the static load ratio of the center bearing in terms of the shaft speed. The horizontal misalignment m_y is kept zero while the vertical misalignment m_x is varied. It can be seen that each curve in figure 5 approaches the stability threshold at a certain speed of the rotor. The bearing load gradient is high at low speeds and decreases with increasing speed. The stability threshold is increasing with increasing misalignment above 12 µm and below -5 µm in this example. In general, the center bearing load ratio in figure 5 is increasing with increasing speed except within a small range of misalignments between (-30 µm ≤ $m_x ≤ -15 µm$) at low speeds. A similar diagram can be drawn for the outer bearing load ratios. Note that these bearing load characteristics might change with changes in the shaft bending stiffness and the bearing clearances. Also, in practice, the misalignment attitude angle λ is unknown and different from zero. Therefore, the influence of both $m_x \neq 0$ and $m_y \neq 0$ upon bearing loads and stability limits has to be studied.

Figure 6 shows polar plots for the bearing load ratios with the rotor speed kept constant at N=200 Hz. Curves of constant magnitudes of misalignment are drawn in a plane where the polar angle indicates the direction of misalignment (attitude angle λ) and the radius is the bearing load ratio, i.e. at constant radii the bearing loads are constant and at constant polar angles the ratio m_y/m_x is constant. At zero misalignment the load ratios of both center and outer bearings are constant. Hence, the curves

m=0 represent circles with constant bearing loads. For increasing magnitudes of misalignment the bearing load ratios change substantially with the direction of misalignment λ . For example, if a misalignment of 10 µm is present in the system, (i.e. 40% of the center bearing clearance or 1/24000 of the outer bearing span) then the center bearing load ratio varies between 0.2 at λ =25° and 1.09 at λ =225°. A corresponding change for the outer bearing can be seen on the right hand side of figure 6.

It is to expect that for misalignment directions that cause low bearing loads in the system the stability speed limit of the rotor is decreased also. This can be observed from figure 7, showing a polar plot of the stability limits of the present rotor. The polar angle in this diagram again indicates the direction of misalignment λ while the radius now is the stability speed limit. Curves of constant misalignment magnitudes are drawn in the figure. For low misalignments up to m=12 µm and for attitude angles of about $\lambda \simeq 20^{\circ}$ and $\lambda \simeq 220^{\circ}$ the stability limits tip down significantly.For example, if m=10 µm, then the stability limit of the rotor changes between N⁺=275 Hz and N⁺=365 Hz. Within the ranges of (180° $\leq \lambda \leq 250^{\circ}$) and ($0 \leq m \leq 12$ µm) a high sensitivity of the stability speed limit with respect to the direction of misalignment can be observed.

Stability maps and polar plots as discussed above give a complete information to the designer and make it possible for him to estimate misalignment effects upon the stability thresholds and the bearing load capacities of a given rotor.

CONCLUSION

The theory of short journal bearings makes it possible to formulate the misalignment problem of statically indeterminate rotor bearing systems in a simple and easy manner. The numerical effort to carry out bearing loads and stability limits in terms of misalignment is comparetively low even for a multi-station rotor supported by a multi-bearing system. There are a number of good and reliable algorithms available in various computer libraries to solve the governing equations of the problem. Since magnitude and direction of bearing misalignment are never exactly known in a real system the above presented theory is sufficiently accurate for practical applications and can be used by the designer in order to make a good estimation on whether or not a certain amount of bearing misalignment might dynamically endanger or damage a rotating machinery. Also the above results may explain deviations between experimental data and predictions where misalignment effects were not included.

REFERENCES

- Springer, H., Ecker, H., Gunter, E.J.: Nonlinear Unbalance Response and Stability Thresholds of a Warped Multimass Rotor in Misaligned Bearings. Proceedings of Third Int.Conf.on Vibrations in Rotating Machinery. The Inst.of Mech.Eng., London, 1984, p.499-506.
- Gasch, R.: Berechnung der Lagerlasten mehrfach gleitgelagerter Rotoren unter Berücksichtigung des nichtlinearen Verhaltens des Ölfilms. Konstruktion, 22, 1970, p.229-235 (in German).

- 3. Nasuda, T., Hori, Y.: Influence of Misalignment of Support Journal Bearings on Stability of Multi-Rotor Systems. Proceedings of IFToMM-Conf. on Rotor Dynamic Problems in Power Plants. Rome (Italy), 1982, p.389-395.
- 4. Szeri, A. (Editor): Tribology: Friction, Lubrication and Wear. Hemisphere Publ.Corp. Washington D.C. 1980.



INITIAL SHAFT BOW AND BEARING MISALIGNMENT OF A MULTI-MASS MULTI-BEARING ROTOR

REFERENCE FRAMES OF A JOURNAL BEA-RING UNDER STATIONARY LOAD CON-DITIONS



my = msinλ

x

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

DIMENSIONLESS BEARING STIFFNESS AND DAMPING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE SHORT JOURNAL BEARING (Load attitude angle $\phi = 0^{\circ}$)





Figure 3b.

CENTER BEARING LOAD RATIO VS. SHAFT SPEED FOR VARIOUS VERTICAL MISALIGNMENTS M_X



Figure 5.



Figure 3a.



Figure 4.



ANGLE Λ AND MISALIGNMENT MAGNITUDE M (Rotor speed N = 200 Hz)

BEARING LOAD RATIOS ${\rm F}_{\rm B}/{\rm W}$ in terms of misalignment attitude

Figure 6.

STABILITY SPEED LIMITS N⁺ IN TERMS OF MISALIGNMENT ATTITUDE ANGLE Λ AND MISALIGNMENT MAGNI-TUDE M



DATA OF THE ROTOR BEARING SYSTEM AS SHOWN IN FIGURE 4

Total mass of the r Shaft bending stiff Outer bearing span	rotor Eness	16.8 kg 3350 Nm ² 240 mm
BEARING DATA	CENTER	OUTER
Length	15 mm	7.5 mm
Diameter	25 mm	12.5 mm
Radial clearance	25 µm	15 um
Lubricant vicosity	0.01	18 /m ²

Table 1.

Figure 7.