NASA Technical Memorandum 89920

Detection of Reflector Surface Error From Near-Field Data: Effect of Edge Diffracted Field

Alan R. Cherrette and Shong W. Lee University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Urbana, Illinois

and

Roberto J. Acosta Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

Prepared for the 1987 AP-S International Symposium sponsored by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Blacksburg, Virginia, June 15-17, 1987



DETECTION OF REFLECTOR SURFACE ERROR FROM NEAR-FIELD DATA: EFFECT OF EDGE DIFFRACTED FIELD

Alan R. Cherrette, Shong W. Lee Electromagnetics Laboratory Dept. of Elec. and Comp. Engr. Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Urbana, Illinois 61801 Roberto J. Acosta National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Introduction

The surface accuracy of large reflector antennas must be maintained within certain tolerances if high gain/low sidelobe performance is to be achieved. Thus, the measurement of the surface profile is an important part of the quality control procedure when constructing antennas of this type. An efficient method for surface profile measurement has been proposed by Parini et al. [1]. In this method, the reflector surface is calculated from the measured near-field phase data using the theory of geometric optics.

For a surface profile calculation of this kind, it is necessary to know the margin of error built into the method of calculation. This will enable a specification of the tolerance to which the surface profile can be determined. When calculating the surface profile from near-field phase data, there are two main sources of error. The first source of error is the measurement error in near-field phase data. The second source of error arises from the edge diffracted fields that are superimposed on the reflected fields in the measured near-field data. In this paper, we will examine the error in the calculated surface profile produced by the edge diffracted fields.

Theory and Calculated Results

The measured near-field amplitude and phase distribution consists of two parts in the high frequency limit: the reflected fields and the edge diffracted fields. If the edge diffracted fields are neglected, the reflector surface can be determined from the reflected fields in the following manner. Consider the geometry of Figure 1, if one reflection point, A, on the reflector surface is assumed to be known, then the length D is known and given by

$$D = |\vec{F}A| + |\vec{A}A_a| \tag{1}$$

For any other point P on the reflector surface

$$D' = |\vec{FP}| + |\vec{PP}_a| = [x^2 + y^2 + (z-f)^2]^{1/2} + [(x-x_a)^2 + (y-y_a)^2 + (z-z_a)^2]^{1/2}$$
(2)

If $\theta(P_a)$ is the phase measured at point P_a in the aperture and $\theta(A_a)$ is the phase measured at point A_a , then the following relation holds

$$D' = \frac{-1}{k} \left[\theta(P_a) - \theta(A_a) \right] + D \quad \text{where } k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$$
(3)

Note that from the phase data, we also know the equations of the line passing through the points P and P_a

$\frac{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{a})}{\mathbf{m}_{x}} = \frac{(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}_{a})}{\mathbf{m}_{z}}$	(4)
$\frac{(y-y_a)}{m_y} = \frac{(z-z_a)}{m_z}$	(5)
$\mathbf{r} \mathbf{e} \qquad \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathbf{x}} _{\mathbf{P}_{a}}$	

where

 $m_{y} = \frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} |_{P_{a}}$ $m_{z} = [1 - m_{x}^{2} - m_{y}^{2}]^{1/2}$

Equations (3), (4), and (5) can be solved for the three unknowns x, y, and z yielding a point on the reflector surface.

In any near-field measurement, the diffracted fields are always present and will produce an error in the calculated surface values. To determine this error, the reflected and edge diffracted fields of a reflector antenna (Figure 2) with known distortion (Figure 3) were calculated at 30 GHz. The estimated reflector surface calculated by the method outlined above was then compared to the exact reflector surface. The difference is plotted in Figure 4. The dot shows the largest value of error in the estimated surface and corresponds to 2.79 mils. The rms error for this case is 0.968 mil. This result can be compared to the case where the edge diffracted fields are neglected when calculating the reflector surface (Figure 5). In this case the largest value of error in the estimated surface is 0.351 mil. The rms error is 0.118 mil. The error in this case is probably due to the error in parameter D of Equation (1).

Conclusion

The edge diffracted fields produce an error in the calculated surface profile that gets larger as the edge of the reflector is approached. This is due to the larger relative amplitude of the edge diffracted fields compared with that for the reflected fields near the edge of the near-field aperture. For a feed with approximately a 10 dB edge taper, operating at 30 GHz, the error in the surface calculation due to edge diffracted fields is less than 3 mils.

Reference

 C. G. Parini, A. K. K. Lau, and P. J. B. Clarricoats, "Reflector Antenna Surface Profile Tolerance Measurement by Ultrasound or Microwave Remote-Sensing," <u>1986 IEEE AP-S Symposium Digest</u>, vol. 1, pp. 119-122.

2



1

FIGURE 1. Geomrtry for Surface Calculation



FIGURE 2. Reflector Geometry Used to Obtain the Numerical Results





1. Report No.	2. Government Accessio	n No.	3. Recipient's Catalog N	0.	
NASA TM-89920			6 Report Date		
4. The and Subline			5. Report Date		
Detection of Reflector	Surface Error from		6 Portormine Organizati		
Near-Field Data: Effect of Edge Diffracted		d	6. Performing Organization Code		
rielu		<u></u>	506-58-22		
7. Author(s)			8. Performing Organizati	оп нерога No.	
Alan R. Cherrette, Shong W. Lee, and Roberto J. Acosta			E-3010		
			10. Work Unit No.		
9. Performing Organization Name and Addre	998				
National Aeronautics and	d Space Administrat	ton	11. Contract or Grant No.		
Lewis Research Center					
Cleveland, Ohio 44135			13. Type of Report and Pe	eriod Covered	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			Technical Me	morandum	
National Aeronautics an	d Space Administrat	ion	14. Sponsoring Agency Co	ode	
Washington, D.C. 20546					
15. Supplementary Notes					
Prepared for the 1987 A	P-S International S	ymposium spons	ored by the In	stitute of	
Electrical and Electron	ics Engineers, Blac	ksburg, Virgin	ita, June 15-17	, 1987.	
Alan R. Unerrette and S and Comp Engr Univ	nong W. Lee, Electr of Illinois at Urba	omagnetics Lap na_Champaign	Oratory, Dept. Urhana Illino	OT LIEC.	
Roberto J. Acosta, NASA	Lewis Research Cen	iter.	orbana, irrino	15 01001,	
16. Abstract					
The surface accuracy of	large reflector an	tennas must be	maintained wi	thin cer-	
tain tolerances if high	gain/low sidelobe	performance is	to be achieve	d. Thus,	
the measurement of the	structing antennas	of this type.	An efficient	method for	
surface profile measure	ment has been propo	sed by Parini	et al. [1]. I	n this	
method, the reflector s	urface is calculate	d from the mea	sured near-fie	ld phase	
data using the theory o this kind it is necess	t geometric optics. ary to know the mar	for a surfac	e profile calc	ulation of	
calculation. This will	enable a specifica	tion of the to	lerance to whi	ch the	
surface profile can be	determined. When	calculating th	e surface prof	ile from	
near-fleid phase data, error is the measuremen	there are two main t error in near_fie	sources of err	Or. The first The second s	source of	
error arises from the e	dge diffracted fiel	ds that are su	perimposed on	the	
reflected fields in the	measured near-fiel	d data. In th	its paper, we w	ill examine	
the error in the calcul fields	ated surface profil	e produced by	the eage aiffr	acted	
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))		18. Distribution Stateme	ont		
Diffraction theory (GTD)		linclassified	_ unlimited		
Numerical analysis		STAR Category 32			
Antenna patterns		~			
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this	page)	21. No. of pages	22. Price*	
UNCIASSITIED	Unclass	ITIEU	5	AU2	

١.

ſ

*For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161