P-51

111-24

े **२ २**२ इ.स.२

97755

NASA Technical Memorandum 86298

STANDARD TEST EVALUATION OF GRAPHITE FIBER/RESIN MATRIX COMPOSITE MATERIALS FOR IMPROVED TOUGHNESS

(NASA-TM-86298)STANLARD TEST EVALUATION OFN87-28618GRAPHITE FIEEE/BESIN MATRIX COMPOSITEMATEBIALS FOR INPROVED TOUGENESS (NASA) 5151F Avail: NTIS EC A04/MF A01CSCL 11DUnclasG3/240097755

۰. •

release will be three (3) years from date indicated on

÷.,

the document.

ANDREW J. CHAPMAN

SEPTEMBER 1984



Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665

INTRODUCTION

Composite structures technology for large transport aircraft has been under development for several years through contracts sponsored by the NASA Aircraft Energy Efficiency (ACEE) Project Office. Secondary and empennage composite components, developed to replace metal structures on existing transport aircraft, have demonstrated weight reduction of 20 to 28 percent. The success of the NASA sponsored programs has encouraged manufacturers to apply composite structures in numerous components of their new generation transport aircraft. To translate the weight saving potential of composites into significant increases in aircraft operating efficiency, NASA is currently sponsoring programs with the commercial transport manufacturers to develop the technology data base required to design and build composite wing and fuselage structures. However, realizing the full potential of composites in strength critical designs of transport wing and fuselage structures may depend upon improvements in current material systems to achieve higher design strains (see refs. 1 and 2). A significant effort is underway by both NASA and the material suppliers to improve ductility and interlaminar toughness, yet retain other desirable features such as mechanical properties, processability, and environmental stability.

To promote systematic evaluation of the evolving new materials, NASA and the commercial transport manufacturers have selected and standardized a set of five common tests for characterizing the toughness of resin matrix/graphite fiber composites. Procedures and specifications for these tests are described in reference 3. Notch sensitivity is evaluated through open hole tension and open hole compression tests. Impact damage tolerance is evaluated through compression tests following impact at a selected energy level. Resistance to delamination is evaluated through tension edge-delamination tests and double cantilever beam tests.

This report summarizes standard test results from ten toughened resin/graphite fiber materials. The tests were performed by the transport manufacturers on their initial selection of newer, toughened resin composites. Selection of these materials does not represent an endorsement of, or commitment to use any particular material.

TESTS AND MATERIALS

Specifications for the standard tests are described in detail in reference 3. Each specification includes specimen dimensions, laminate orientation, test apparatus, test procedure, and requirements for reporting data. The standard tests are listed with a description of the specimens in table 1. Specimen configurations are shown in figure 1.

The composite materials are listed in table 2 which identifies the resin matrix, the reinforcing graphite fiber, and the company performing the test. Each company, in general, evaluated their choice of one or two materials. However, in some cases it was not possible to subject every material to the complete array of tests.

RESULTS

Results of the five standard tests are presented in tables 3 through 7. Performance of materials in each test is compared in figures 2 through 7. The materials evaluated for improved toughness are compared with a widely used composite material, AS4/3502, which represents a baseline for indicating improvements in performance.

Open Hole Tension (ST-3)

Results of open hole tension tests are presented in table 3, and average values of strain-to-failure are compared in figure 2. The highest open-hole-tension failure strains were obtained for CHS/5245 and AS6/5245. Each of these materials combined a high strain fiber with the 5245 bismaleimide resin system. Tension failure strains for these materials were 8300 to 8360 microstrain, which represents a 37 percent improvement over the baseline AS4/3502.

Four materials failed at tension strains between 7300 and 7800 microstrain which represent 21 to 30 percent improvement over the baseline. Three of these materials, CHS/1504, AS6/2220-3, and AS4/2220-3, were modified epoxies with higher strain CHS or AS6 fibers, or intermediate strain AS4 fibers. The fourth material in this group combined AS4 fibers with 5245 bismaleimide resin. The remaining three materials failed at strains between 6100 and 6700 microstrain which represent no more than an 11 percent improvement over the baseline.

Open Hole Compression (ST-4)

Results of open-hole compression tests are presented in table 4 and average values of strain-to-failure are compared in figure 3. The highest open-hole compression strain was obtained for AS4/5245 which combined the intermediate strain, larger diameter AS4 fiber with 5245 resin. Compression failure strain for this material averaged slightly higher than 7000 microstrain which represents a 53 percent improvement over the baseline AS4/3502.

Compression tests of two other materials, AS4/2220-3 and CHS/2566, resulted in strains-to-failure between 5700 and 6000 microstrain which represent 25 to 29 percent improvement over the baseline. Although AS6/5245 exhibited the highest tension strain level of the materials tested, compression strain to failure of this material represented only an 8-percent improvement over the baseline.

The highest notched tension and compression properties were obtained with materials containing 5245 bismaleimide resin system. The highest notched tension properties were obtained with high strain-to-failure, small diameter CHS and AS6 fibers, while the highest notched compression properties were obtained with larger diameter intermediate strain AS4 fibers. These materials exhibited 37 percent improvement over the baseline in tension tests and 53 percent improvement in compression. One material which performed well in both tension and compression, AS4/2220-3, combined intermediate strain AS4 fibers with a modified epoxy system. This material exhibited a 30 percent improvement over the baseline in tension and a 25 percent improvement in compression.

Compression After Impact (ST-1)

Compression after impact test results are presented in table 5. The standard test impact energy specified in reference 3 is 20 ft-lb, however, several materials were subjected to impact energies from 20 to 42 ft-lb before compression tests. Compression failure strain after impact at 20 ft-lb is compared in figure 4. The highest strain-to-failure was exhibited by AS4/2220-3 which failed at 6370 microstrain, a 58 percent improvement over the baseline AS4/3502. Four other materials, AS6/5245, AS4/5245, CHS/2566, and C/982, failed at strain levels between 5257 and 5350 microstrain which represent 31 to 33 percent improvement over the baseline.

The comparatively high compression after impact performance of several materials, including AS4/2220-3, AS4/5245, and CHS/2566, is consistent with good performance in notched tension and compression tests. However, several other materials which performed well in compression after impact tests, such as AS6/5245 and C/982, did not perform well in both notched tension and notched compression tests. In general, it would appear that, while compression after impact performance of several materials was consistent with results from notched laminate tests, the notched laminate test results alone did not clearly indicate which materials would have superior compression after impact performance.

Impact damage area resulting from 20 ft-lb impact energy is compared in figure 5. Damage area was measured by ultrasonic through transmission (C-skan). The materials sustaining the smallest damage area, AS4/2220-3, AS4/5245, CHS/2566, and AS6/5245, also exhibited high compression after impact performance as shown in figure 4. Two materials, CHS/1504 and CHS/5245, sustained greater damage than the baseline AS4/3502 although compression after impact performance of both materials was higher than the baseline.

Detailed studies of damage tolerance of composites are reported in references 4 through 6.

Edge Delamination Tension (ST-2)

The edge delamination tension test (ST-2) measures the total strainenergy-release rate for delamination onset, G, which includes components due to interlaminar or peel stress, G_{I} , and interlaminar shear stress, G_{II} . An analysis for determining strain-energy-release rate from edge delamination tension tests is described in reference 7. Further investigations using this test are described in references 8 and 9. Results of the edge delamination tension tests are presented in table 6. Two different laminate orientations were tested: an eleven-ply $[(\pm 30)_2/90/90]_{\rm S}$ laminate, and an eight-ply $(\pm 35/0/90)$ laminate. The relative interlaminar tension component, G_{I} , and in-plane shear component, G_{II} , are dependent on laminate orientation and have been determined for these two laminates using finite element analysis (ref. 8). For the $[(\pm 30)_2/90/90]_{\rm S}$ laminate, G_{I} is approximately 57 percent of G, and for the $[\pm 35/0/90]_{\rm S}$ laminate, G_{I} is approximately 90 percent of G. The total critical strain-energy-release rate, G, is directly proportional to the strain at delamination onset which is compared for the materials tested in figure 6. Four materials exhibited superior resistance to delamination; these were CHS/1504, CHS/5245, AS4/2220-1, and 5 mil lamina T300/914. The use of 5 mil thickness prepreg tape instead of 10 mil thickness dramatically improved delamination resistance of T300/914. The relative performance of materials was the same for the two laminate orientations.

The interlaminar fracture toughness energy, G_c , is the critical value of the strain-energy-release rate required to initiate delamination (ref. 7) at the delamination onset strain shown in figure 6. Values of G_c , calculated according to the procedures described in references 3 and 7, are compard in figure 7. The relative ranking of G_c in figure 7 correspond to those in figure 6 for delamination onset strain. The component of interlaminar-fracture-toughness due to peel stress, G_{IC} , is also shown in figure 7. The G_{IC} components for the two laminates compare closely for all materials, except CHS/5245, which exhibited the highest G_c values.

Double Cantilever Beam (ST-5)

The double cantilever beam test provides a direct measure of the strainenergy-release rate component due to interlaminar tension or peel stress, G_I . This test is described in reference 3 as ST-5. A development of the underlying analysis for this test together with experimental results is presented in reference 10. Results for double cantilever beam tests, which have been completed for only three materials, are presented in table 7. Interlaminar fracture toughness values due to peel stress, G_I , determined from the double cantilever beam test data, are compared in figure 7 with G_I values calculated from the edge delamination tension tests. For CHS/5245, the G_I values from double cantilever beam tests and edge delamination tests agree closely. Discrepancies between double cantilever beam and edge delamination test results, such as shown by the AS4/2220-3 data, are discussed in references 8 and 11. Complete data are, at present, not available to compare results of these two test methods for all materials represented in this report.

Interlaminar Fracture Toughness

A comparison of compression failure strain after impact (fig. 4) with interlaminar fracture toughness (fig. 7) shows lower impact performance for materials having higher interlaminar fracture toughness. Poor correlation between the two tests may be due to the difference in the properties interrogated. Impact damage and resulting reduction in compression properties are controlled by fiber as well as matrix properties. Results of the notched laminate tests and tests after impact show a strong dependence on the type of fiber used in a given resin system. Conversely, the edge delamination tension tests, and especially the double cantilever beam tests, are primarily evaluations of resin properties.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Ten resin matrix/graphite fiber composite materials have been evaluated for improved toughness using a series of five standard tests selected by NASA and the commercial aircraft manufacturers. These tests evaluated open hole tension and compression performance, compression performance after impact at energy levels of 20 ft-lb, and resistance to delamination. Performance was evaluated by comparison with a widely used composite system, AS4/3502. Results of these tests may be summarized as follows:

1. Materials containing 5245 bismaleimide resin matrix exhibited superior performance in notched tension and compression tests. These materials exhibited superior notched tension performance when combined with high strain AS6 or Celion fibers, and were superior in compression when combined with intermediate strain AS4 fibers. Notched tension performance of AS6/5245 and CHS/5245 was 37 percent higher than the baseline material, AS4/3502. Notched compression performance of AS4/5245 was 53 percent higher than the baseline.

2. A material consisting of 2220-3 epoxy matrix in combination with AS4 fibers exhibited superior performance in compression tests after 20 ft-lb impact and performed well in both notched tension and notched compression tests. Compression strain-to-failure of AS4/2220-3 after 20 ft-lb impact was 58 percent greater than the baseline AS4/3502.

3. Resistance to delamination, as measured by edge delamination tests, did not correlate with resistance to impact damage. Materials exhibiting the highest resistance to delamination (interlaminar fracture toughness energy) actually exhibited comparatively low compression failure strain after impact.

REFERENCES

- Bohon, H. L.; Chapman, A. L.; and Leybold, H. A.: Ground Test Experience With Large Composite Structures for Commercial Transports, NASA TM 84627, March 1983.
- Bohon, H. L.; and Davis, J. G.: Composites for Large Transport Facing the Challenge. Aerospace America, Vol. 22, No. 6, June 1984.
- 3. Standard Tests for Toughened Resin Composites. NASA Reference Publication 1092, Revised Edition, July 1983.
- 4. Starnes, J. H.; Rhodes, M. D.; and Williams, J. G.: Effect of Impact Damage and Holes on the Compressive Strength of a Graphite/Epoxy Laminate. Nondestructive Evaluation and Flaw Criticality for Composite Materials. ASTM STP 696, 1979.
- Starnes, J. H.; and Williams, J. G.: Failure Characteristics of Graphite/Epoxy Structural Components Loaded in Compression. NASA TM-84552, September 1982.
- 6. Williams, J. G., and Rhodes, M. D.: Effect of Resin on Impact Damage Tolerance of Graphite/Epoxy Laminates. <u>Composite Materials</u>: <u>Testing</u> Design (Sixth Conference). ASTM STP 787, 1982.
- O'Brien, T. K.: Characterization of Delamination Onset and Growth in a Composite Laminate. <u>Damage in Composites</u>, ASTM STP 775, June 1982. Also NASA TM-81940, 1981.
- O'Brien, T. K.; Johnston, N. J.; Morris, D. H.; and Simonds, R. A.: A Simple Test for the Interlaminar Fracture Toughness of Composites. SAMPLE Journal, Vol. 18, No. 4, July/August 1982.
- 9. O'Brien, T. K.: Mixed-Modes Strain-Energy-Release Rate Effects on Edge Delamination of Composites. NASA TM-84592, January 1983.
- 10. Ashizawa, M.: Improving Damage Tolerance of Laminated Composites Through the Use of New Tough Resins. Douglas Paper 7250, January 1983. Presented at Sixth Conference on Fibrous Composites in Structural Design, New Orleans, LA, January 24-27, 1983.
- Williams, J. G.; O'Brien, T. K.; and Chapman, A. J.: Comparison of Toughened Composite Laminates Using NASA Standard Damage Tolerance Tests. NASA Conference Publication 2321, August 1984, pp. 51-73.

DESCRP S TEST (- STANDARD w. : :

			• • • • •	·	an an and and and a set of the set
LENGTH, IN.	12.5 12.5	10.00	12.00	12.50	00.6
WIDTH, IN.	BEFORE 7.00 AFTER IMPACT 5.00	1.50	2.00	5.00	1.50
THICKNESS (NOMINAL) IN.	0.25		0.25	0.25	0.12
NO. OF PLIES		11 8	l	1	1
PLY ORIENTATION	(+45/0/-45/90) _{ns}	A (±30/±30/90/ <u>90</u>) B (±35/0/90) _S	(+45/0/-45/90) ns	(+45/0/-45/90) ns	u(0)
TEST TYPE	COMPRESSION AFTER IMPACT	EDGE DELAMINATION TENSION	OPEN HOLE TENSION	OPEN HOLE COMPRESSION	DOUBLE CANTILEVER BEAM
TEST DESIG- NATOR	ST-1	ST-2	ST-3	ST-4	ST-5

7

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY TABLE 2-MATERIALS EVALUATED IN STANDARD TESTS

	ANTROAM	1 N		TROCAL	NAMMOO		CULES	
	CVANNUE 982	914	2965	1504	5245	2220-1	2220-3	3602
NOUN DE					BCAC	100	BCAC	8
NENCULES					BCAC		BCAC	
OELANE DE OELION			-					
			BMC	891 F(6	991			
		BAC						

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE 3.- STANDARD TEST-3: OPEN HOLE TENSION-

	Resin content: 35.9%											
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure straín, µin./in.	Modulus, msi					
211-10	0.271	1.955	0.250	24.14	45.63	6768	6.74					
-12	.268	2.003	•250	31.95	59.50	8398	7.19					
Average				•	52.57	7583	6.965					

(a) CHS/1504 tension test results

(b) CHS/5245 tension test results

	Resin content: 31.3%											
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Modulus, msi					
248-4	0.258	2.006	0.250	31.71	61.26	8200	7.42					
-5	.260	2.009	.250	31.56	.61.42	8600	6.92					
-6	.255	2.004	.250	30.89	60.47	8000	7.50					
Average					61.05	8300	7.28					

	Resin content: 34%											
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Modulus, msi					
ST3-2D-1	0.284	1.998	0.250	37.97	66.92	8550						
-2	. 282	1.994	.250	35.07	62.37	8230						
-3	•285	1.995	•250	38.24	67.26	8300						
Average					65.52	8360						

(c) AS6/5245C tension test results

(d) AS6/2220-3 tension test results

		Res	in content	:: 34%			
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Modulus, msi
ST3-1D-1	0.297	1.996	0.250	32.26	54.42	7340	•
-2	•295	1.998	•250	30.97	52.54	7140	
-3	• 299	1.998	•250	32,25	53.98	7400	
Average					53.65	7293	

		Res	in content	: 34%			
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Modulus, msi
ST3-3D-1	0.268	2.001	0.2494	31.51	58.76	7400	
-2	.266	2.002	.2492	32.04	60.16	7540	
-3	.268	2.002	.2490	31.34	58.41	7350	
-4	.266	2.001	.2499	31.73	59.61	7700	
Average					59.24	7500	

(e) AS4/5245 tension test results

(f) AS4/2220-3 tension test results

	Resin content: 34%												
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Modulus, msi						
P1-20	0.295	2.00	0.251	31.0	52.4	7820	6.7						
-21	. 292	2.00	.251	31.3	52.9	7900	6.7						
-22	.295	2.00	.251	30.7	51.9	7750	6.7						
Average					52.4	7820							

		Resi	n content:	34.3%			
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Modulus, msi
12-1	0.2592	1.996	0.250	25.81	49.59	6778	7.36
-1	• 2570	1.997	.250	24.93	48.57	6474	7.50
-3	•2564	1.999	.250	25.28	49.34	6918	7.13
Average					49.27	6723	7.33

(g) AS4/2220-1 tension test results

(h) CHS/2566 tension test results

14

		Res	in content	: 30.0%			
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Modulus, msí
ST 3-1	0.132	1.900	0.250	15.05	60.01		
-2	.135	1.950	•250	16.20	61.53		
-3	.131	2.009	•250	15.26	57.76		
Average					59.77		

		Resi	n content:	36.33%			
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Modulus, msi
13–1	0.2978	2.000	0.250	28.84	48.42	6647	7.28
-2	.3065	2.000	•250	28.74	46.89	6530	7.18
-3	.3065	2.000	.250	29.39	47.94	<u>6313</u>	7.59
Average					47.75	6496	7.35

(i) C/982 tension test results

(j) T300/914 tension test results

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Resi	n content:	29.5%			
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Modulus, msi
ST 3-1	0.238	2.000	0.250	19.75	41.492	5730	7.6
-2	.248	2.003	.250	24.00	48.290	6750	7.5
-3	.248	2.000	.250	21.50	43.347	5910	7.3
Average					44.376	6130	7.47

...

TABLE 3.- Concluded

Resin content: 35%											
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, μin./in.	Modulus, msi				
15-7	0.265	2.007	0.277	31.43	59.20	6220	9.72				
15-8	.258	2.005	.254	32.28	62.44	6028	10.33				
16-5	.262	2.005	.253	32.79	62.41	5866	10.28				
Average					61.35	6038					

(k) AS4/3502 tension test results

TABLE 4.- STANDARD TEST-4: OPEN HOLE COMPRESSION

Resin content: 33.7%										
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Compression modulus, msi			
210-3	0.271	5.000	1.00	-47.56	-36.0	-5609	6.46			
-4	•271	5.002	1.00	-44.61	-32.9	-5227	6.69			
-5	•269	5.001	1.00	-44.34	-33.0	-5190	<u>6.32</u>			
Average					-33.97	-5342	6.49			

(a) CHS/1504 compression test results

(b) CHS/5245 compression test results

Resin content: 33.0%										
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Compression modulus, msi			
243-3	0.263	5.000	1.00	-45.89	-34.85	-5292	6.64			
-4	•265	4.999	1.00	-51.86	-39.13	-5800	6,58			
-5	•266	5.000	1.00	-44.17	-33.16	-5154	6.55			
Average					-35.71	-5415	6.59			

Resin content: 34%											
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Compression modulus, msi				
ST4-2D-1	0.286	4.995	0.998	-47.0	~32.9	-5050					
-2	.280	4.994	1.000	-45.0	-32.2	-4808					
-3	.282	4.994	.996	-46.6	-33.1	-4963					
Average					-32.7	-4940					

(c)	AS6	/5245C	compression	test	results
-----	-----	--------	-------------	------	---------

(d) AS6/2220-3 compression test results

Resin content: 34%										
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Compression modulus, msi			
ST4-1D-1	0.298	4.995	1.001	-48.4	-32.5	-5038				
-2	•294	4.996	1.008	-50.7	-34.5	-5326				
-3	.300	4.993	1.002	-47.9	-32.0	-4988				
Average					-33.0	-5117				

ξ

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Resin content: 34.0%										
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Compression modulus, msi			
ST4-3D-1	0.266	5.000	1.017	-52.4	-39.4	-6780				
-2	•267	5.000	1.007	-56.2	-42.1	-7300				
-3	.265	5.000	1.000	-51.1	-38.6					
Average					-40.0	-7040				

(e) AS4/5245 compression test results

(f) AS4/2220-3 compression test results

Resin content: 34%										
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Compression modulus, msi			
P1-1	0.294	4.999	1.000	-53.1	-35.9	-5880	6.7			
-2	•289	4.995	1.001	-56.5	-38.2	-6150	6.4			
-3	.294	4.994	1.000	-48.8	-33.0	-5250	<u>6.7</u>			
Average					-35.7	-5760	6.6			

Resin content: 34.32%										
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Compression modulus, msi			
2-2-12B	0.256	5.000	1.000	-39.94	-31.20	-4720	6.56			
2-3-12A	.255	5.000	.998	-40.78	-31.98	-4800	6.75			
2-3-12B	.257	5.000	.997	-38.65	-30.08	<u>-4620</u>	6.61			
Average					-31.09	-4713	6.64			

(g) AS4/2220-1 compression test results

(h) CHS/2566 compression test results

Resin content: 30.0%										
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Compression modulus, msi			
ST4-B1	0.281	5.031	1.000	-55.00	-38.91	-6100				
-B2	.278	5.039	1.000	-54.20	-38.69	-5837				
-B3	.281	5.042	1.000	-55.00	-38.91	<u>-5852</u>				
Average					-38.84	-5930				

Resin content: 36.33%											
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Compression modulus, msi				
2-2-13B	0.303	5.001	1.000	-44.13	-29.12	-4750	6.34				
2-3-13A	.307	5.000	.998	-46.09	-30.03	-4950	6.43				
2-3-13B	.306	5.000	.999	-49.16	-32.13	<u>-5180</u>	6.21				
Average					-30.42	-4960	6.33				

(i) C/982 compression test results

(j) T300/914 compression test results

		Res	in content	: 29.5%			
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Compression modulus, msi
ST-4A	0.2432	5.000	1.000	-42.50	-34.95	-5100	6.85
-4B	.2499	5.000	1.000	- 46.7 0	-37.37	-5900	6.33
-4C	.2473	5.000	1.000	-43.50	-35.18	-5200	6.77
Average					-35.83	-5401	6.65

TABLE 4.- Concluded

. · .

		Res	in content	: 35.1%			
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Hole diameter, in.	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Compression modulus, msi
15-4B	0.263	5.003	0.999	-51.44	-39.07	-4594	8.35
16-1A	.274	5.002	.993	-48.80	-35.56	-4304	8.16
16-1B	.274	5.001	.998	-52.69	-38.44	<u>-4899</u>	7.66
Average					-37.69	-4599	

(k) AS4/3502 compression test results

TABLE 5.- STANDARD TEST-1: COMPRESSION AFTER IMPACT

(a) CHS/1504 compression test results

			Resin	content:	33.7%				
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Impact energy, ft-lb	Impact width, in.	Impact area, in. ²	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin./in.	Modulus, msi
211-1A	0.266	5.000	20	2.12	3.55	-42.88	-32.24	-4806	7.33
- 1B	. 268	4.999	20	2.14	3.45	-40.69	-30.37	-4658	6.53
- 2A	.269	5.000	20	2.18	3.55	-44.21	-32.87	-5218	5.84
Average				2.15	3.52		-31.83	-4894	6.57
211-2B	0.270	5.000	30	2.48	4.55	-36.10	-26.74	-4181	6.18
- 3A	.268	5.000	30	2.58	5.10	-34.66	-25.87	-3871	6.72
- 38	•269	5.000	30	2.66	5.45	-34.71	-25.81	-3964	6.54
Average				2.57	5.03		-26.14	-4005	6.48

(b) CHS/5245 compression test results

			Resin	content:	31.3%				
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	width, in.	Impact energy, ft-lb	Impact width, in.	Impact area, in. ²	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin/in•	Modulus, msi
248-1A	0.258	5.019	20	2.00	2.95	-39,36	-30.4	-4400	6.85
- 1B	.258	5.021	20	2.00	2.95	-40.06	-30.9	-4400	6.94
– 2A	.259	5.021	20	1.95	3.00	-39.70	-30.6	-4400	6.91
Average				1.98	2.97		-30.6	-4400	6.90
248-9B	0.259	5.022	30	2.55	4.90	-32.81	-25.2	-3700	6.84
- 3A	.258	5.022	30	2.45	4.35	-32.40	-25.0	-3600	6.83
- 3B	. 258	5.021	30	2.30	4.10	-33.09	-25.6	-3700	6.78
Average				2.43	4.45		-25.3	-3700	6.82

(c) AS6/5245C compression test results

			Resin (content:	34%				-
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Impact energy, ft-lb	Impact width, in.	Impact area, ir.2	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin/in.	Modulus, msi
ST1-2D-1	0.281	4.990	34	2.0	3.25	-42.2	-30.1	-4600	
N I	• 286	4.991	34	2.0	3.46	-42.7	-29.9	-4675	
Average					3,36		-30.0	-4638	<i>¥</i>
ST1-2D-3	0.285	4.992	42	2.2	4.30	-38.1	-26.8	-4213	
- - 	.284	4.991	42	2.6	4.27	-39.6	-27.9	-4258	
Average		•			4.28		-27.4	-4235	
ST1-2D-4	0.290	4.992	23	1.6	2.20	-51.7	-35.7	-5345	
5	.282	4.992	23	1.3	1,55	-52.3	-37.2	-5425	
91	•290	4.994	23	1.5	1.88	-51.0	-35.2	-5285	
Average					1.88		-36.0	-5352	

ŕ

(d) AS6/2220-3 compression test results

			Resin	content:	34.0%				
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Impact energy, ft-lb	Impact width, in.	Impact area, in. ²	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin/in.	Modulus, msi
ST1-1D-1	0.296	4.991	34	2.0	3.60	-37.4	-25.3	-3883	
- 2	.297	4.992	34	2.0	3.65	-39.2	-26.4	-4175	
Average				2.0	3.62		-25.9	-4029	
ST1-1D-3	0.297	4.994	42	2.6	4.86	-36.1	-24.3	-3958	
	. 296	4.994	42	2.4	4.39	-38•0	-25.7	-4175	
Average			-	2.5	4.62		-25.0	-4067	
ST1-1D-4	0.299	4.995	23	1.6	1.20	-53.6	-35.9	-5552	
S 1	. 295	4.996	23	1.3	2.30	-47.3	-32.1	-4883	
9 1	.298	4.995	23	1.5	2.10	-41.3	-27.7	-4163	
Average				1.5	1.87		-31.9	-4866	

(e) AS4/5245C compression test results

			Resin	content:	34%				
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Impact energy, ft-lb	Impact width, in.	Impact area, in. ²	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin/in•	Modulus, msi
ST1-3D-4	0.267	4,999	21	1.30	1.42	-44.6	-33.4	-5200	
0 1	.266	4.998	21	1.45	1.77	-44.9	-33.8	-5340	<u> </u>
-2	.266	5.001	21	1.40	1.57	-44.4	-33.4	-5450	
Average		•			1.59	ł	-33,5	-5330	
ST1-3D-1	0.265	4.999	31	1.80	2.65	-38.6	-29.1	-4500	- -
-1	.268	4.999	31	2.10	3.04	-39.8	-29.7	-4620	
-2	.267	5.001	31	1.60	1.95	-42.4	-31.8	-5190	. ·
Average					2.55		-30.2	-4770	
ST1-3D-6	0.266	4.995	38	1.85	2.77	-35•2	-26.5	-4160	
-3	.263	4.995	38	2.10	3,30	-32.7	-24.9	-3880	
æ I	.268	5.001	38	2.20	3.65	-40.0	-29.8	-4960	<u>, .</u> .
Average					3.24		-27.1	-4330	

.

•••

(f) AS4/2220-3 compression test results

			Resin	content:	34%				
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	width, in.	Impact energy, ft-lb	Impact width, in.	Impact area, in. ²	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin/in.	Mođulus, msi
P1-4	0.294	4.973	0		0	-93.9	- 63. 4	-11 450	6.7
-5	.290	4.970	20		1.23	-59.0	-39.9	-6 530	6.9
9 1	.292	4.972	20		1.05	-58.2	-39.3	-6 500	6.7
-7	.293	4.971	50		1.19	-56.3	-38.0	-6 080	6.9
Average					1.16		- 39.1	-6 370	6 . 8
P1-8	0.292	4.981	30		2.05	-41.4	-28.0	-4 350	6.7
6-	.292	4.973	30		2.37	-46.1	-31.1	-4 930	6.7
Average					2.44		-29.5	-4 640	6.7

.

(g) AS4/2220-1 compression test results

			Resin c	content:	34.32%				
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Impact energy, ft-lb	Impact width, in.	Impact area, in. ²	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin/in.	Modulus, msi
2-4-12A	0.255	5.001	20	1.40	1.81	-35.71	-28.00	-4160	6•90
2-4-12B	.259	5.000	20	1.50	2.02	-32.70	-25.25	-3930	6.64
2-5-12A	.255	4.963	20	1.47	1.99	-32.96	-26.04	-4060	6.80
Average					1.94		-26.43	-4050	6.78

(h) CHS/2566 compression test results

			Resin	content:	30.0%			-	
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Impact energy, ft-lb	Impact width, in.	Impact area, in. ²	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin/in.	Mođulus, msi
ST1-B1	0.276	5.024	20	2.68	1.387	-49.00	-35.34	-5251	-
-82	.276	5.052	20	2.14	1.394	-47.90	-34.35		
- B3	.276	5.000	20	1.76	1.365	-47.80	-35.02	-5283	
Average					1.38		-34.90	-5267	

(i) C/982 compression test results

			Resin c	iontent:	36.33%				
							Bailineo	Failure	0[E.W
			Impact	Impact	Impact	Failure	LALIUT		'enThDOW
Specimen	Thickness,	Width, in.	energy,	width,	area, in.2	load, kips	stress, ksi	uin/in.	msi
identification	•117		TCTTD						
	0, 309	5.000	20	1.78	2.61	-50.74	-32.84	-5480	0.04
WC1-8-7	••••							-5410	6.01
7 4-13B	.308	4.995	20	1.90	2.94	-49./8	00.420-		
					.0.1	-45.37	-29.85	-4880	6.18
2-5-13A	.304	5.000	20		70.	••••			
1					2.46	P #	-31.68	-5257	6.08
Average				_					

(j) T300/914 compression test results

			Resin o	content:	29.5%				
							Failure	Failure	Wednesday
Specimen	Thickness,	Width, in.	Impact energy,	Impact width,	Impact area, in.2	load, kips	stress, ksi	strain, µin/in•	msi
identification	•117		0T-1I	•					
	745 0	5.031	30		3•0	-23.00	-18.51	-2620	7.06
VI-LS	127.0						-18 97	-2610	7.27
ST-18	.243	5.031	. 30	-	3.0	-23.20	10.01-		
1					3.0		-18.74	-2615	7.17
Average									

TABLE 5.- Concluded

(k) AS4/3502 compression test results

			Resin	content:	35.1%				
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	width, in.	Impact energy, ft-lb	Impact width, in.	Impact area, in. ²	Failure load, kips	Failure stress, ksi	Failure strain, µin/in.	Modulus, msi
15-1A	0.268	5.013	20		2.30	-45.79	-34.07	-3937	8.56
-2A	.259	5.015			2.35	-44.80	-34.51	-3868	8,96
-4A	.275	5.003			2.85	-46.17	-33.56	-4141	8.14
22-1A	• 269	4.999			3.20	-43.67	-32.49	-4082	8.20
-1B	.269	4.999			2.85	-46.09	-34.32	-4024	8.94
Average		• •			2.71		-33.79	-4010	8.55
15-2B	0.273	5.014	30		3.15	-42.91	-31.81	-3741	9.16
– 3A	.269	5.012			4.90	-41.09	-30.02	-3392	8.52
- 3B	.275	5.013	>		4.20	-39.29	-28.49	-3392	8.32
Average					4.08		-30.11	-3508	8.67
	•								

TABLE 6.- STANDARD TEST-2: EDGE DELAMINATION TENSION

Interlaminar Interlaminar $\frac{in-1b}{2}$ in.² in.² toughness, toughness, fracture fracture •969 1.425 1.716 1.532 1.020 1.054 in. .701 0.963 1.071 ູ້ວ ັບ modulus, modulus, Secant Secant 7.75 7.63 7.69 7.06 7.40 7.32 msi msi (a) CHS/1504 edge delamination test results modulus, modulus, Tensile Tensile 8.85 8.18 Laminate orientation (±30/±30/90/90)_S 7.38 msi 8.70 8.65 8.64 7.40 7.32 msi 8.81 Laminate orientation (±35/0/90)_S uin./in. uin./in. Failure Failure strain, 13 388 13 798 strain, 14 207 31.7% 30.6% Resin content: Resin content: onset strain, onset strain, Delamination Delamination uin./in. µin./in. 6075 5600 5775 6450 5900 5960 4438 4850 4662 Width, Width, 1.505 1.505 1.505 1.505 1.506 1.505 1.505 1.504 in. in. Thickness, Thickness, 0.046 .046 .046 .046 .046 •063 .063 0.061 in. in. identification identification Specimen Specimen Average Ę 2 215-1 Ϋ́ 21 ñ ິນ L 216-1

1.546

7.44

7.28

1.641

7.83

7.56

4588

1.506

.062

ŝ

4653

Average

4725

1.506

.062

4-

1.572

7.41

7.39

Resin content: 28.3% Resin content: 28.1% Thickness, midth, onset strain, pin./in. Pensile Secant obligation 247-1 0.0436 1.503 6200 9.42 8.85 -4 0.0436 1.503 6000 9.34 8.72 -5 .0431 1.507 6400 9.37 8.72 -5 .0431 1.507 6400 9.37 8.79 -3 .0431 1.507 6400 9.37 8.79 -3 .0431 1.505 6200 13 800 9.37 8.79 -3 .0431 1.505 6200 13 800 9.17 8.95 -3 .0431 1.506 6200 13 800 9.17 8.74 Average .0431 1.505 6200 13 800 9.17 8.74 Average minution failure failure failure failure failure failure Average min. in pin./in.
Resin content: 28.3% specimen Tensile Specimen Thickness, Width, onset strain, strain, strain, msi strain, strain, msi strai </td
Resin content: 28.3% Specimen Thickness, Width, onset strain, strain, in, pin./in Failure Specimen Thickness, Width, onset strain, strain, pin./in Pelamination Failure 247-1 0.0436 1.503 6200 13 800 -4 .0431 1.507 6400 13 800 -5 .0433 1.507 6400 13 800 -3 .0431 1.505 6200 13 800 -3 .0431 1.506 6200 13 800 -3 .0431 1.506 6200 13 800 -3 .0431 1.505 6200 13 800 Average .0431 1.506 6200 13 800 -3 .0431 1.505 6200 13 800 Average .0431 1.506 6200 13 800 -3 .0431 1.506 6200 13 800 -4 .0431 1.506 6200 13 800 246-3 0.0600 1.506
Resin contentSpecimen identificationThickness, Width, Delaminationidentification 247-1Thickness, Width, onset strain, pin./in.247-10.04361.5036200-4.04311.5076400-2.04341.5056200-3.04311.5056200-3.04311.5056200-3.04311.5056200-3.04311.5056200-3.04311.5056200Average.04311.5056200-3.04311.5056200-4.0601.5086200-4.0601.5086400-5.0601.5086000-1.0601.5086000-2.0601.5086000
Specimen Thickness, width, in. identification in. in. 247-1 0.0436 1.503 -4 .0431 1.503 -5 .0431 1.507 -5 .0431 1.507 -5 .0431 1.507 -5 .0431 1.507 -2 .0431 1.507 -3 .0431 1.506 -3 .0431 1.507 -3 .0431 1.506 -3 .0431 1.506 -3 .0431 1.506 -3 .0431 1.506 -3 .0431 1.506 -4 .060 1.508 246-3 0.060 1.506 -4 .060 1.508 -5 .060 1.508 -2 .060 1.508
Specimen Thickness, identification Thickness, 247-1 0.0436 -4 .0431 -5 .0434 -2 .0431 -2 .0431 -3 .0431 Average .0431 -1 .060 -5 .060 -1 .060 Average .060 -2 .060
Specimen identification 247-1 -4 -5 -3 Average Average -5 -2 Average

(b) CHS/5245 edge delamination test results

Laminate orientation (±35/0/90) _s Resin content: 34%								
Specimen	Thickness,	Width,	Delamination onset strain, µin./in.		Failure strain,	Tensile modulus,	Interlaminar fracture toughness,	
identification	in.	in.	(a)	(b)	μ111•/111•		$G_{c'} \frac{111115}{in.^2}$	
ST2-2F-1	0.061	1.500	4100	4000	14 400	9.29	0.75	
-2	.061	1.500	4240	4100	15 750	8.35	.79	
-3	.059	1.497	4300	4200		8.43	.80	
-4	.061	1.499	4540	4540	- 	8.11	.97	
-5	.061	1.497	4150	4000		9.06	.75	
Average			4270	4170		8.65	0.81	

(c) AS6/5245C edge delamination test results

Laminate orientation $(\pm 30/\pm 30/90/\overline{90})$ Resin content: 34%									
Specimen	Thickness,	Width,	Delamin onset s µin.,	nation strain, /in.	Tensile modulus,	Secant modulus, msi	Interlaminar fracture toughness,		
identification	11.	11.	(a)	(b)	ms1		$G_{c'} \frac{1115}{in.^2}$		
ST2-2E-1	0.079	1.494	3650	3550	7.72		1.27		
-2	.078	1.493	3760	3600	7.36		1.29		
-3	.079	1.497	2900	2900	7.68		•85		
-4	.080	1.493	3000	3000	7.46		.92		
-5	.079	1.495		3200	7.85		1.03		
Average			3330	3250	7.61		1.07		

^aStrain at first deviation from linear stress-strain curve. ^bStrain at first visible delamination.

.

Laminate orientation (±35/0/90) _s Resin content: 35%									
Specimen	Thickness,	Width,	Delamination onset strain, µin./in.		Failure strain,	Tensile modulus,	Interlaminar fracture toughness, in -lb		
Identification	111.	111.	(a)	(b)	μιπ•/ιπ•	ms 1	$G_{c'} \frac{1n1b}{in.^2}$		
ST2-1F-1	0.062	1.499	3500	3400	12 500	9.68	0.64		
-2	.063	1.499	4400	4 100	13 300	8.66	.94		
-3	.062	1.499	5400	4250		8.18	1.00		
-4	.062	1.498	<u>3970</u>	3970		8.61	.87		
Average			4320	39 <u>3</u> 0		8.78	0.86		

(d) AS6/2220-3 edge delamination test results

Laminate orientation (±30/±30/90/90) Resin content: 35%										
Specimen	Specimen Thickness,		Delamination onset strain, µin./in.		Tensile modulus,	Secant modulus,	Interlaminar fracture toughness,			
Identification	11.	11.	(a)	(b)	ms i	1115 I	$G_{c'} \frac{1n - 1b}{in \cdot 2}$			
ST2-1E-1	0.083	1.495	3050	2750	7.25		0.92			
-2	.084	1.495	3250	3100	6.83		1.19			
-3	.083	1.496	3050	3050	7.03		1.13			
-4	.084	1.496	3650	3650	6.94	-	1.64			
-5	.084	1.495	3250	<u>3100</u>	6.12	-	1.19			
Average			3250	3130	6.83		1.21			

Laminate orientation (±35/0/90) _s Resin content: 34%									
Specimen	Specimen Thickness, Width		Delamination onset strain, µin./in.		Failure strain,	Tensile modulus,	Interlaminar fracture toughness, in alb		
identification	in.	in.	(a)	(b)	μιπ./1	ms1	$G_{c'} \frac{110-110}{\text{in}.^2}$		
1-1	0.0609	1.519	3600			8.96	0.45		
1-2	.0605	1.522	4400			8.56	•67		
1–3	.0608	1.520	3700			8.89	.47		
1-4	.0608	1.522	4700			8.72	.77		
1-5	.0606	1.521	4000			8.71	.55		
Average			4080				0.58		

(e) AS4/2220-3 edge delamination test results

Laminate orientation $(\pm 30/\pm 30/90/\overline{90})$ Resin content: 34%									
Specimen	Thickness,	Width, in.	Delamin onset s µin.,	nation strain, /in.	Tensile modulus, msi	Secant modulus, msi	Interlaminar fracture toughness, c inlb		
1			(a)	(b)			$c' \frac{1}{10.2}$		
2-1	0.0815	1.509	3800		6.69		1.09		
2-2	.0819	1.507	3800		5.89		1.09		
2-3	.0822	1.507	3000		7.23		•68		
2-4	.0820	1.506	3600		6.71		.98		
2-5	.0819	1.505	2200	· .	7.69		.36		
Average			3280				0.84		

Laminate orientation (±35/0/90) _s Resin content: 29.6%									
Specimen identification	Thickness, Width, µin./in.		nation strain, /in.	Failure strain, uin./in.	Tensile modulus, msi	Interlaminar fracture toughness,			
			(a)	(b)	μ,	mo I i	$G_{c'} \frac{110}{\text{in.}^2}$		
40-1	0.043	1.498	6060			8.94	1.308		
-2	.044	1.497	6080			8.76	1.348		
-3	.044	1.497	5508			8.70	1.106		
-4	.045	1.497	6000		13 040	8.53	1.342		
-5	.044	1.496	5370		12 225	8,99	1.051		
Average			5804				1.231		

(f) AS4/2220-1 edge delamination test results

.

ł

Laminate orientation $(\pm 30/\pm 30/90/\overline{90})$ Resin content: 28.6%									
Specimen	Thickness,	Width,	Delamination onset strain, µin./in.		Tensile modulus, msi	Secant modulus,	Interlaminar fracture toughness,		
Identification		1	(a)	(Ъ)	MSI		$G_{c'} \frac{1115}{in.^2}$		
39-1	0.058	1.504	4675		7.28	7.11	1.732		
-2	.058	1.504	5140		7.46	7.07	2.094		
-3	.059	1.502	5075		7.36	7.24	2.077		
-4	.058	1.504	4526	-	7.48	7.16	1.624		
-5	.059	1.503	5170		7.41	7.18	2.155		
Average			4917				1.935		

TABLE	6	Continued

Laminate orientation (±35/0/90) _s Resin content: 29.5%									
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	Delamination onset strain, µin./in.		Failure strain, µin./in.	Tensile modulus, msi	Interlaminar fracture toughness, G <u>inlb</u>		
			(a)	(b)			in. ²		
ST-2F	0.0700	1.5374	3060		8250	8.80	0.496		
-2G	.0712	1.5331	3130		6000	9.03			
-2H	.0708	1.5337	3060		6750	9.37	.501		
-21	.0699	1.5365	3060		7000	8.87	495		
-2J	.0706	1.5340	3000		7000	8.62	.480		
Average			3062				0.500		

(g) T300/914 edge delamination test results, ply thickness = 0.010 in.

Laminate orientation (±30/±30/90/90) Resin content: 29.5%									
Specimen	Thickness,	Width,	Delamination onset strain, µin./in.		Tensile modulus,	Secant modulus,	Interlaminar fracture toughness,		
Identification	⊥n•	±11•	(a)	(b)	ms I		$G_{c'} \frac{1010}{\text{in.}^2}$		
ST-2A	0.1082	1.5043	2630		7.45		0.949		
-2B	.1133	1.5042	2500		7.25		.898		
- 2C	.1042	1.4917	3000	х.	7.51		1.190		
- 2D	.1140	1.4956	2750		7.44		1.090		
-2E	.1103	1.5012	2840		7.64		1.130		
Average			2744				1.050		

	Laı	minate o Resi	rientati in conte	lon (±3 nt: 30	5/0/90) _s 98		
Specimen	Thickness,	Width,	Delamir onset s µin./	nation strain, /in.	Failure strain,	Tensile modulus,	Interlaminar fracture toughness,
Identification	in.	11.	(a)	(b)	µ1n./1n.	ms1	$G_{c'} \frac{1n1b}{in.^2}$
ST25-6	0.0424	1.512	6500		9 125	9.14	1.35
-7	.0449	1.509	6200		7 500	8.86	1.30
8	.0450	1.514	6825		11 250	8.92	1.58
-9	.0451	1.509	6300		9 [°] 750	8.82	1.35
-10	.0443	1.503	7000		<u>9 750</u>	8.88	1.64
Average			6565		9 475	8.92	1.44

(h)	т300/914	edge	delamination	test	results,	ply	thickness	=	0.005	in
-----	----------	------	--------------	------	----------	-----	-----------	---	-------	----

	Lamin	ate orie Res:	ntation in conte	(±30/± nt: 30	30/90/ <u>90</u>))%	S	
Specimen	Thickness,	Width,	Delamin onset s µin.,	nation strain, /in.	Tensile modulus,	Secant modulus,	Interlaminar fracture toughness,
Identification	in.	in.	(a)	(b)	ms1	msi	$G_{c}, \frac{\text{in1b}}{\text{in.}^2}$
ST25-1	0.0633	1.509	5875		6.98	6.42	2.77
-2	.0633	1.507	5000		6.71	6.76	2.01
-3	.0580	1.510	5375		7.25	7.27	2.12
-4	.0623	1.512	5000		7.17	7.00	1.97
-5	.0613	1.511	<u>5125</u>		7.37	7.24	2.04
Average			5275		7.10	6.94	2.18

TABLE 6.- Concluded

	La	minate c Resin	orientati n conten	ion (±3 t: 27.	5/0/90) _s 1%		
Specimen	Thickness,	Width,	Delamin onset s µin.,	nation strain, /in.	Failure strain,	Tensile modulus, msi	Interlaminar fracture toughness, inlb
Identification	111•	111.	(a)	(b)	µтп•/тп•		$G_{c'} \frac{110 15}{\text{in}^2}$
20-1	0.040	1.506	4550	5539		9.94	0.55
-2	.039	1.503	4980	5542		10.30	.81
-3	.039	1.506	5000	5304		10.22	.78
-4	.039	1.506	4810	4810	11 690	10.10	.67
-11	.040	1.506	4900	5000	<u>11 010</u>	9.97	.65
Average			4848	5239	11 350	10.11	0.69

(i) AS4/3502 edge delamination test results

	Lamin	ate orie Resi	ntation n conten	(±30/±3 t: 27.	30/90/ <u>90</u>) 8%	3	
Specimen	Thickness,	Width,	Delamin onset s µin.,	nation strain, /in.	Tensile modulus,	Secant modulus,	Interlaminar fracture toughness,
identification	in.	in.	(a)	(b)	msi	ms1	$G_{c'} \frac{1n - 1b}{in \cdot 2}$
19-1	0.054	1.511	2940	3400	8.40		0.58
-2	.054	1.511	2970	3250	8.30		.57
-3	.054	1.511	3140	32,40	8.19		•61
-4	.055	1.510	2730	3168	8.54		.54
~5	.054	1.510	3095	3095	8.40		.64
Average			2975	3231	8.37		0.59

^aStrain at first deviation from linear stress-strain curve. ^bStrain at first visible delamination.

TABLE 7.- STANDARD TEST-5: DOUBLE CANTILEVER BEAM

(a) CHS/5245 double cantilever beam test data

					Lami	inate	orien	tatic) :uc	0)24								
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	Width, in.	A ₁ , in.	δ1, in.	Р ₁ ,	A2, in.	δ2, in.	P2, 1b	A3, in.	63, in.	P ₃ , 1b	A4, in.	64, in.	P4, 1b	A ₅ , in.	δ5, in.	P5, 1b	GIC, in ⁻ Ib in ²
249-1	0.1356	1.505	2.19	0.21	15	3.13	0.40	12	4.10	0.70	6	5.06	1.04	ω	6.04	1.46	و	1.42
-2	.1246	1.504	2.10	.18	13	3.07	•38	10	4.10	•69	ω	5.07	1.03	9	e• 0e	1.48	ß	1.18
-3	.1225	1.505	2.10	.18	13	3.07	• 39	10	4.08	.68	2	5.07	1.08	9	6.05	1.72	ъ	1.25
Ľ, I	.1280	1.504	2.05	.18	14	3.08	.40	10	4.04	•66	8	5.05	1.01	2	6.11	1.49	ŝ	1.21
Average																		1.26

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

(b) AS4/2220-3 double cantilever beam test data

-				Lamin	ate or	ientati) :uc	0) ⁿ					
Specimen identification	Thickness, in.	width, in.	A ₁ , in.	P ₁ 8 ₁ , in-lb	A2, in.	P2 δ2' in-lb	A3, in.	P3 83, in-lb	A4, in.	P4 64, in-lb	A5, in.	P5 85, in-lb	GIC,* in-lb in ²
-	··	0.5	2.60		3.30	0.3049	4.10	0.3705	4.80	0.2882	5.65	0.3920	0.89
2		ۍ ۲	2.95		3.50	.253	4.30	.3795	4.90	.281			• 94
m		2°.	2.75		3,35	.186	3.90	.253	4.40	.294	· · ·		• 89
Average		•	· · ·			•			· · ·		-		06.0
*G _{IC} calcu	lated by en	lergy-ar	ea int	egratio	n meth	od.	1						

ORIGINAL FACE 19 OF POOR QUALITY

•

	If,	, r	.19	.10	2.29	.19
	5,					
•	д,	7				
	ς δ ₅					
	A5,					
	P4,	9	7.6(6.9	8.1(
	δ4,	T	2.03	2.08	1.86	
	A4,	ru.	60.09	6.38	6.06	
	P3,	a T	9.10	8.30	10.30	
()	δ3 <i>r</i>	т и.	1.45	1.40.	1.324	
ס) יו	A3,	i	5.07	5.18	4.98	
tation	P2,	ΤD	11.3	10.80	12.40	
orien	δ2,	-II.	0.78	.808	.780	
hinate	A2,	i	3.74	3.87	3.80	
Lan	P1,	Π	14.40	15.20	15.70	
	61,	•11	0.432	.424	.400	
1	A1,	·uī	2.71	2.70	2.72	
	Width,	т и.	1.512	1.508	1.508	
	Thickness,	•11	0.130	.131	.136	
	Specimen	T DEN CT I T CA CT OU	ST5-B1	-B2	-B3	Average

TABLE 7.- Concluded

(c) CHS/2566 double cantilever beam test data

ORIGINAL PAGE IG OF POOR QUALITY





ORIGINAL PAGE IS

ż













ORIGINAL PAGE S





ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY





				7
		•		
1. Report No. NASA TM-86298	2. Government Accessi	on No.	3. Reci	pient's Catalog No.
4. Title and Subtitle	#	·	5. Repo	ort Date
Standard Test Evaluation	of Graphite Fibe	r/Resin Ma	trix Sep	tember 1984
Composite Materials for	Improved Toughnes	S	6. Perfo 534	orming Organization Code
7. Author(s)			8. Perfo	orming Organization Report No.
Andrew J. Chapman		1	10 World	/ Liait No
9. Performing Organization Name and Addre	ء من		10. Wor	Cont No.
NASA Langley Research Ce Hampton, VA 23665	nter	•	11. Cont	ract or Grant No.
			13. Type	of Report and Period Covered
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		*-	Te	chnical Memorandum
National Aeronautics and Washington DC 20546	Space Administra	ition	14. Spor	isoring Agency Code
			l	
15. Supplementary Notes		.		
	• •			
16. Abstract				
a technology data base r structures. To realize strength critical design interlaminar toughness a materials, NASA and the standardized a set of fi and compression performa level of 20 ft-lb, and r graphite fiber composite their performance is com	l composite site struct improved di e systemat cturers hav ts evaluate ts evaluate Ten tough this serie omposite sys	wing and fuselage tures in these uctility and ic evaluation of new ve selected and e open hole tension pact at an energy ened resin matrix/ es of tests, and stem.		
		N 1997		
17 Kay Words 10.		AD D1 11		
Composite Materials Improved Toughness Damage Tolerance		18. Distribution	Statement Category 2	4
19. Security Classif, (of this report)	20. Security Classif (of this	Dage) 21	. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassified		50	
Available	NASA's Indust	nial Appli	cations Cen	ters