https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19880015207 2020-03-20T07:13:23+00:00Z

AMES_ GRANT

EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF HYPERSONIC AERODYNAMICS

IN-02-CR

146991 -1-1-1

Research Report (Final Report of Principal Investigator Mr. Peter F. Intrieri)

Cooperative Agreement No.: NCC2-475

for the period April 1, 1987 - June 30, 1988

Submitted to

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Ames Research Center Moffett Field, California 94035

> Aerothermodynamics Branch Dr. Gary Chapman, Technical Monitor

> > Thermosciences Division Dr. Jim Arnold, Chief

> > > Prepared by

ELORET INSTITUTE 1178 Maraschino Drive Sunnyvale, CA 94087 Phone: 408 730-8422 or (415) 493-4710 Telex: 15 9201 435 Fax: 408 730-1441 K. Heinemann, President and Grant Administrator Peter F. Intrigri, Principal Investigator

(NASA-CR-182973) EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION N88-24591 CF HYPEFSONIC AFFCDYNAMICS Final Report, 1 Apr. 1987 - 30 Jun. 1988 (Floret Corp.) CSCL 01A Unclas G3/02 0146991 Summary

An extensive series of ballistic range tests have been conducted at the Ames Research Center to determine precisely the aerodynamic characteristics (particulary drag) of the Galileo entry probe vehicle. Figures and tables are presented herein which summarize the results of these ballistic range tests. Drag data were obtained for both a nonablated and an hypothesized ablated Galileo configuration at Mach numbers from about 0.7 to 14 at Reynolds numbers (based on model diameter) from about 1000 to 4 million. The tests were conducted in air and the experimental results are compared with available Pioneer Venus data since these two configurations are similar in geometry. The nonablated Galileo configuration was also tested with two different center-of-gravity positions to obtain values of pitching-moment-curve slope, $C_{m_{rr}}$, which could be used in determining values of lift and center-of-pressure location for this configuration. The results indicate that the drag characteristics of the Galileo probe are qualitatively similar to that of Pioneer Venus, however, the drag of the nonablated Galileo is about 3 percent lower (than Pioneer Venus) at the higher Mach numbers and as much as 5 percent greater at transonic Mach numbers of about 1.0 to 1.5. Also, the drag of the hypothesized ablated configuration is about 3 percent lower than that of the nonablated configuration at the higher Mach numbers but about the same at the lower Mach numbers. Additional tests are required at Reynolds numbers of 1000, 500, and 250 to determine if the dramatic rise in drag coefficient measured for Pioneer Venus at these low Reynolds numbers also occurs for Galileo, as might be expected.

Test Facilities

Two ballistic ranges at Ames Research Center are operational and both are being used in the present investigations. The facilities are the Hypervelocity Free Flight Aerodynamic Facility (HFFAF), and the Pressurized Ballistic Range (PBR). These facilities will be described briefly.

Hypervelocity Free Flight Aerodynamic Facility

The test section of the HFFAF is 23 m long and has 16 orthongonal spark shadowgraph stations evenly spaced (1.52 m) over its length. This facility is used primarily for aerodynamic studies. Kerr-cell shutters are used to produce a sharp image of the model and its flow-field on the film. Four deformable-piston, light-gas guns, having bore diameters of 0.71, 1.27, 2.54, and 3.81 cm, are available for launching a model into free flight. Each of these guns can operate to muzzle velocities of about 9 km/sec.

Tests in this facility can be conducted from 1 atm to as low as 20 Hg. The facility has an extremely low leak rate, about 10 Hg per minute. This allows for tests to be conducted in air or in any other nontoxic gas. Tests have been conducted in carbon dioxide, hydrogen, helium, krypton, and xenon.

Pressurized Ballistic Range

The PBR is basically a large tank that can be pressurized or evacuated. It also is used mainly for aerodynamic studies. The test section is 62 m long and has 24 orthogonal spark shadowgraph stations irregularly spaced over its length. The station spacing ranges from 2.1 to 4.2 m. All of the optics are internal to the tank, which imposes a maximum model velocity of

about 3.6 km/sec. At higher velocities, radiation from the gas cap around the model fogs the film, making readings of the model position and attitude impossible. This facility has some advantages over the HFFAF:

- 1. A much longer model trajectory is obtained (2.7 times).
- Tests can be conducted above 1 atmosphere, currently to 6 atmos.
- 3. More detailed shadowgraphs are obtained, primarily because of the simpler internal optics system.

Testing in gases other than air in this facility is impractical because of the large physical volume of the tank.

Galileo Investigations

The ballistic ranges at Ames have supported all of the United States' probe missions to other planets. These include the 1976 Viking Missions to Mars and the 1978 Pioneer Mission to Venus. These facilities are currently being used to support the Galileo Mission to Jupiter. The Galileo spacecraft consists of two major components: The orbitor, which is to orbit Jupiter numerous times while achieving close encounters with many of Jupiter's moons, and a probe, which is to enter and descend through the atmosphere of Jupiter. The probe, scheduled to enter the atmosphere of Jupiter in 1995, will make in situ measurements as it descends through the atmosphere prior to its eventual destruction due to extreme external pressures.

Although some probe aerodynamics were obtained initially in exploratory tests to support early design studies (Ref. 1), more accurate aerodynamics

are needed for support of The Atmosphere Structure Experiment, carried on board the probe.

Atmosphere Structure Experiment

The Atmosphere Structure Experiment is designed to determine the state properties (density, pressure, and temperature) of an unknown planetary atmosphere as functions of altitude from measurements made during the entry and descent of a probe. The experiment consists of a three-axis accelerometer, plus pressure and temperature sensors. After the probe slows down to subsonic Mach numbers, a parachute is deployed and the pressure and temperature sensors can make near-ambient measurements. However, during the high-speed portion of the trajectory, from an entry velocity of 47 km/sec to sonic speed, direct measurements are impractical. The temperature sensor would be burned up and dynamic pressure effects would render the pressure readings useless. The accelerometers alone must yield the state properties during this high-speed phase, and this in turn requires the precise knowledge of the probe aerodynamic characteristics, in particular drag and lift. These characteristics must be known accurately over a wide range of both Mach number and Reynolds number. The ballistic ranges at Ames have proven to be excellent facilities for obtaining this aerodynamic information over wide ranges of Mach number (0.5 - 20) and Reynolds number $(250 - 10^{\prime})$.

Galileo Data

The Galileo probe at entry into the Jovian atmosphere is shown in Fig. 1. It is basically a blunt 45 deg. cone. However, during the high-speed part of the entry, massive ablation takes place. As much as 40% of the vehicle mass at entry is expected to be ablated away, mostly in the nose region, and even the maximum diameter will be significantly decreased. Hence, tests must be conducted not only of the entry configuration but of hypothesized ablated configurations as well.

A sketch of the hypothesized ablated Galileo configuration used in the present tests is shown in Fig. 2 compared with the nonablated configuration. This ablated geometry was derived from heating studies performed in support of the Galileo probe mission by researchers at Ames Research Center, General Electric Company, and others, and is considered to be a reasonable choice for the purpose of the present investigation. (Sensors embedded in the heat shield will help to define the ablated shape during and after the actual entry into Jupiter.)

The models used in the HFFAF tests were homogeneous, machined from either a sintered tungsten alloy (Mallory 3000), steel, aluminum, or polycarbonate plastic (Lexan), which gave a center-of-gravity location $x_{cg}/d = 0.414$ from the nose. Two model sizes were used namely, d = 1.02 cm and 2.03 cm. The models used in the PBR tests were also homogeneous and were machined from brass. These models of the nonablated and ablated configurations had maximum diameters of 5.08 cm and 4.90 cm respectively. The ablated configuration had a center-of-gravity location of $x_{cg}/d = 0.375$ from the nose. Unless specifically stated otherwise, the experimental data presented for Galileo in this report will be for the nonablated configuration (Fig. 1).

A large data bank was available for the Pioneer Venus probe. That configuration was similar to Galileo, as shown in Fig. 3. The nose, corner, and afterbody geometries are all slightly different, but as much use as possible is being made of all Pioneer Venus data to minimize the number of Galileo tests required.

Figure 4 shows some of the Galileo drag data obtained in the present investigation compared with available Pioneer Venus data at the same conditions of velocity and Reynolds number. Open symbols denote Pioneer Venus data and solid symbols are Galileo data. Not surprisingly, the Galileo data are not greatly different from those of Pioneer Venus; the Galileo data are approximately 3 percent lower than the Pioneer Venus data

at the lower angles of attack. However, the differences are real and are important. A 1% error in the knowledge of the probe drag coefficient becomes a 1% error in the density of the atmosphere of Jupiter at that point in the trajectory. This induces a similar error in the derived atmospheric pressure and often errors of many degrees Kelvin in temperature. The success of the Atmosphere Structure Experiment depends on the precise knowledge of the probe aerodynamics which is the driving reason for the many ballistic range tests required.

Ballistic range tests have been conducted for both the nonablated and ablated Galileo configurations to obtain drag data at Mach numbers from about 10 to as low as 0.7. The drag data from these tests are presented in Fig. 5 as a function of Mach number. The Galileo data are the symbols and the line represents a fairing of existing Pioneer Venus drag data in this Mach number range. The open symbols are data obtained in the HFFAF and cover the Mach number range from about 10 to 2.0; the filled symbols are data obtained in the PBR and cover the Mach number range from about 3.5 to 0.7. The drag data obtained at Mach numbers from 2 to 0.7 are required since the probe parachute will not be deployed until the probe slows down to subsonic Mach numbers near 0.8. Since for the most part only one flight was obtained at each Mach number, it was not possible to extrapolate the drag data to obtain values of drag coefficient at zero angle of attack. However, all flights obtained had angles of attack less than 12 degrees and drag coefficient is essentially constant in this angel-of-attack range (see Fig. 4). As stated earlier the flight trajectory for tests in the PBR is much longer than in the HFFAF. Therefore, these flights were divided into 2 or 3 consecutive portions and analyzed separately to give a more detailed definition of $C_{\rm D}$ vs Mach number, for the same number of flights. This approach is very useful here since large changes in C_{D} occur with small changes in Mach number below a Mach number of 3.5.

Figure 5 shows that the drag coefficient of the ablated configuration is about 3% less than that of the nonablated configuration at Mach numbers

from 10 to 3.5, but that the drag of both configurations is the same at Mach numbers below 2.5. The variation of drag coefficient with Mach number for Galileo is qualitatively similar to that of Pioneer Venus, however some significant differences are evident, i.e., the drag of the nonablated Galileo is lower by about 3% at a Mach number near 9 but as much as 5% greater at Mach numbers between 1.5 and 1.0. In addition it appears that peak values of C_D for Galileo are reached at a somewhat lower Mach number than for Pioneer Venus. Comparison of the drag data from the two facilities at the same Mach numbers show excellent agreement as expected. The data shown in Fig. 5 for the Mach number range from 0.7 to 1.5 are also presented in Fig. 6 with a greatly expanded Mach number scale. This figure also shows the actual Pioneer Venus data obtained and the fairing used to represent these data.

The nonablated Galileo configuration was also tested with a further-aft center-of gravity location. The primary purpose of these tests was to obtain accurate values of pitching-movement-curve slope which could be used to help determine values of lift and center-of-pressure location for this configuration. (Lift is relatively difficult to obtain accurately in these tests since for this configuration, namely, a 45^o half-angle blunted cone at small angles of attack, the lift is very small) As shown in Fig. 7 a bimetallic model was used for these tests. The forebody was constructed of aluminum and the afterbody was machined from phosphor bronze. The two materials were threaded together using a 4-40 screw which was machined integral with the forebody. These bimetallic models had an $x_{cg}/d = 0.476$ compared with 0.414 for the homogeneous models.

The data obtained from these tests and other tests using homogeneous models at a velocity of 3.1 km/sec are presented in Fig. 8 where values of pitching-movement-curve slope, $C_{m_{\alpha_{\alpha}}}$, are plotted as a function of the root-mean-square resultant angle of attack of each flight. As can be seen there is little effect of angle of attack on $C_{m_{\alpha_{\alpha}}}$ and that the forward cg location gives values about 44% greater than those for the aft cg location.

Although the Reynolds number for these tests are different by a factor of 7, both are sufficiently large to insure similar flow conditions over the models (continuum flow) so that it is not expected that Reynolds number has any significant effect on these data. This difference in Reynolds number resulted because the bimetallic models, being less statically stable, must be tested at a higher static pressure in order to obtain the minimum number of cycles of angular oscillation (1 1/2 to 2 cycles) to yield accurate results.

Ballistic range tests were also conducted to precisely define the drag characteristics of the Galileo probe at a velocity of about 4.6 km/sec at Reynolds numbers (based on model diameter) from about 1 million to as low as about 500. Current plans were to conduct tests at Reynolds numbers of 10^6 , 10^5 , 10^4 , 10^3 , 500, and possibly 250, for which Pioneer Venus data exists for direct comparison. The importance of obtaining drag data at these various Reynolds numbers is evident in Fig. 9, which shows Pioneer Venus drag data (for zero angle of attack) down to a Reynolds number of about 250. The drag coefficient increases continuously below a Reynolds number of 1 million, but the increase becomes most dramatic below 1000 where the slip-flow and free-molecule-flow regimes are approached. Earlier ballistic range tests of Pioneer Venus models at Reynolds numbers of 1000 and less proved to be difficult, but techniques were developed which led to successful tests. These same techniques were used in the present low Reynolds number tests conducted in the HFFAF; however, the tests were largely unsuccessful due to the models tumbling or achieving high angles of attack (near 90°) during the flight, so that no useful drag data could be obtained. This occurs because these tests must be conducted at very low static pressures (approximately 100 to 200 Hg), and any significant angular disturbance imparted to the model upon emergence from the gun muzzle, either by separation from its plastic sabot or by gun blast, cannot be overcome by the low pressure forces acting on the model during flight. The models then pitch up to large angles of attack and even tumble during the flight.

The Galileo data obtained in the present investigations at the various Reynolds numbers are also presented in Fig. 9 and show some anomalies. First, it should be noted that the drag data shown for Galileo at a Reynolds number of 10^6 , which agrees closely with that of Pioneer Venus, is for a velocity of 3.4 km/sec (see Fig 4). No additional tests were conducted at 4.6 km/sec since at this relatively high Reynolds number. velocity is not expected to be a strong factor. Several successful Galileo flights were obtained at this higher velocity (4.6 km/sec) at Reynolds numbers of 10^5 and 10^4 . Comparison of these data with Pioneer Venus in Fig. 9 shows that the drag for Galileo is about 3 percent lower than for Pioneer Venus at a Reynolds number of 10^5 but nearly 12 percent lower at a Reynolds number of 10⁴(flagged symbol) which is considered unlikely. The actual drag data obtained at these two Reynolds numbers from which these values of $C_{\rm p}$ at $\propto = 0^{0}$ were obtained, are presented in Fig. 10, plotted as a function of angle of attack. As can be seen the data at each Revnolds number appear to be entirely consistent with little scatter, however, the large unexpected difference in drag is evident. A probable explanation is that the instrument used to measure static pressure in the test section, developed a slight leak during this series of tests and gave erroneously high readings. An error in pressure of about 0.2 mm Hq out of approximately 2.4 mm Hg (i.e., the nominal pressure desired for these tests) would account for this difference. However, it is surprising that this error must have been essentially the same for each of the five separate tests conducted at this Reynolds number over a period of about a month. This however, must have been the case, since as mentioned earlier. the drag data presented in Fig. 10 show little scatter and extrapolation to $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ is accomplished easily and accurately. Tests by other researchers conducted both immediately prior to and after the above series of Galileo tests, were at one atmosphere of pressure in the test section and so an error in pressure of 0.2 mm Hg would not be discernible in the data. The faulty instrument was replaced and a new system was installed which gave redundant measurements of pressure. A successful flight was obtained at this Reynolds number (10^4) later in the program during another

series of tests designed to obtain Galileo drag data at Reynolds numbers of 1000 and less. As mentioned earlier these tests were largely unsuccessful. This one flight (no. 1766) unfortunately achieved relatively high angles of attack and so the drag coefficient obtained and shown in Fig. 9 is for an angle of attack of 19.6 degrees. An approximate extrapolation of this one data point to $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ was accomplished using the variation of C_D versus angle of attack obtained for Pioneer Venus at the same test conditions. This procedure gave a value of C_D of 1.06 for this Reynolds number, which as can be seen in Fig. 9, is again only about 3 percent less than that of Pioneer Venus.

No successful Galileo flights were obtained at a Reynolds number of 500, and only one successful flight was obtained at a Reynolds number of 1000. This flight (no. 1762) also achieved higher than desired angles of attack and the value of C_D shown in Fig. 9 is for an angle of attack of 14.7 degrees. Extrapolation to $\alpha = 0^0$ was accomplished, again using existing Pioneer Venus data at the same test conditions, in the same manner as just described for flight no. 1766. However, in this case the resulting value of C_D of 1.06 is seen to be far below the value obtained for Pioneer Venus at this Reynolds number. It is believed that no firm conclusions should be made here on the basis of only this one flight. Additional tests are required at Reynolds numbers of 1000, 500, and 250 to determine reliably if the dramatic rise in drag coefficient measured for Pioneer Venus at these low Reynolds numbers also occurs for Galileo, as might be expected.

Reference

 Intrieri, P.F. and Kirk, D.B.: High-Speed Aerodynamics of Several Blunt-Cone Configurations. Journal of Spacecraft and Pockets, Volume 24, No. 2, pp. 127-132, March-April 1987.

List of Tables

Table I. Summary - Galileo Entry Probe Data. Aerodynamic Data (4 pages)
Table II. Summary - Galileo Entry Probe Data. Model Physical Characteristics (3 pages)

List of Figures

- Fig. 1 Galileo entry probe configuration.
- Fig. 2 Comparison of Galileo nonablated and ablated geometries.
- Fig. 3 Comparison of Galileo and Pioneer Venus geometries.
- Fig. 4 Comparison of Galileo drag data with Pioneer Venus.
- Fig. 5 Variation of drag coefficient with Mach number for Galileo and Pioneer Venus.
- Fig. 6 Comparison of Galileo drag data with Pioneer Venus at transonic speeds.
- Fig. 7 Galileo entry probe configuration, bimetallic model, $X_{cg}/d = 0.476$.
- Fig. 8 Effect of center-of-gravity location on Galileo static stability.
- Fig. 9 Effect of Reynolds number on drag characteristics of Galileo and Pioneer Venus probe vehicles.
- Fig. 10 Galileo drag data at two Reynolds numbers.

1 1	T		— —		—		τ-	1 1	- T -					2	E	PC	OF	2 (QU.	AL.	IT	Y,											
		77																									Γ		T		T	T	T
		13	acility	,	1000		<u> </u>																			<u> </u>					┥		
				 צ				╎	+							- -	0			0		-			-	-	-					╞	┼╍
		н Г Г	<u>ਹ</u>			-				-			<u>- 1</u>			- 15	0	+ 01	4.03	- , 0.2							- 35		1 48				
	4	11	ರ್	୪ ₌								- 1/- 9	- 171	- 169		123	- 119	- 113	+11	01							- 188		- 090	001	011.		
		10	ئ	<u>د</u>	1.0337	1,0304	1.0404		2217	2101.	02001	10336	1.0488	1.0205		1.0034	1.0152	1.0185	1.0103	1.0018		1.0699	1.1415	1.2776	2772		1.0355	1110	1.26.27		9900		
DATA	a	6	α_{rms}	deg.	2.39	4.71	2.07	-	04.01	7 23	CC-1	4.92	3.95	2.05		1.87	4.45	9.29	4.22	16.82		વ.હર્ષ	5.35	6.30	4.73		3.27	3.28	8.18	2 29	- 0.2		
LRY PROBE	namic Dat	ω	x [1+cm		mallory		~					5+001		~		AL/PB						mallary			>		mallory			<u></u> 			
LILEO, EN1	- Aerodyr	7	- Model	inches	0.80		*	00		>		0.10		+		0.80				*		0.80			Å		0.773 1			╎╸		•	
ARY - GA	Table I	9	confin	· 6 ·	nonabl.																				Ý		ublated	_					
SUMM		5	pressure	mm Hg	766.2	768.2	760.9	6/01	18.60	19.05	2	37.68	37.72	37.71	-	130.20	130.60	130.00	131.50	130.00		756.0	756.4	753.2	763.5		762.0 0	765.2	763.2	763.0			
		4	Σ		8.74	8.76	9.00	8.912	9.12	9.28		7.31-	9.39	9.18		10.93	10.15	8.39	9.23	9.66		6.17	3.90	2.45	2.23		5.97	3.67	1.82	9.70			
		£	Red	x 10 ⁶	4.03	4.06	4.16		.102	.106		.082	.106	.104		.858	.798	.650	.732	.762		2.81	1.77	=	1.02		2.62	1.63	0.81	4.30			
		8	Vavg	ft/sec	9895	9903	10156	8 4 0	10331	10515		8300	10624	10402		12415	11486	9533	10432	10893		11.69	4412	2776	2525		6774	4160	2060	0995			
		ч	Test no.		1629	1630	1631	1 (0 33	1634	1635		1697	1698	1699		16 75	1676	1679	1687	1689		1644	1645	1648	1652		1683	1684	1685	1686		4 84 (M. L.	
	Ĺ				Ч	2	m	4 L	9	2	ω	9	2		2	сц.	7	<u>ъ</u> ,	10	7	18	19	20	57	22	23	57	52	26	27	28	59	ð

ORIGINAL PAGE IS

	I				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		-1-	~~						OF	P	00	AL DR	י י ה	-Α(ΠΛ	эĽ тте	IS											
			4	j												T		T	Ĩ				T	T	T	T		T	T	T	Τ	T	Γ
		13	ility		FAF														+	╉╴	+	-		$\left \right $	$\frac{1}{1}$				╀	╀		-	
		╞	Fac		비		╀	+	┞	-						ПН			-	-													
		8									1																						
		Ħ	لا ت								-,292	- 184	- 196	154																			
	inued	10	^D		4394	9191	9122	9092	9861		0320	0284	0259	0073		0249																	-
DATA	- cont	6	Q mus	uey.	01.00		<u></u>	3.96	9.24		5	1 50'		.92 1.		6 B 1.															+		4
ROBE [: Data	8	1=		- E				an N			2		m	-	<u>n 14</u>	-	+	-	-	-		-							-	\downarrow	\downarrow	
ENTRY F	dynamj () mat						Lex					► 	-	Lexa																	
ALILEO I	- Aero	~						 ,			2 - 2		 ,	-		0+0												T					
IARY - G	Table I	9	config.	Nonabl			_		+		 		T >	-		<u>101101.</u>													+	╋	╎		
SUMA		2	mm Hq	2.44	2.42	2.39	2	2 44		24,95	25.12	25.00	5 20		1 100	- 9~				+	$\left \right $		╋	+				+	+	+		╀	
	-	# 2	2. 2.	13.45	13.23	13.55	3,38	3.86)))	2.87	2.72-	2.99	2.82		4.61							+-			-	<u> </u>	\downarrow	+	╀	+		<u> </u>	
		m o		0×10+	00	- 2 e				1 × 105 1					1 × 10 ³ 1					+-				 				╞		-	-	 	
	$\left \right $		ec ec	<u>.</u>	0.0	л о т	70 0.9	5 0.9		10:0 +	5 0.90	90.9	3 0.9		9 1.0					-						-							
		× ×	ft/s	11211	1487	191	152	1570		14 70	15 51	14 83	1466		1662																		
	-	Test	.ou	1655	1656	1660	1991	1766		691	1693	1645	1646		1762																		
	L				2	74	5	9	r-c	Σ	م د	3	1	21	13	77	15	16	17	18	19	50	น	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	

ORIGINAL PAGE

; ·

.

-

-

ł	1		T	<u> </u>	T	Т	—	T								- -		JK 	ų T	UA 		Y		-	_								
		14	Station	interva					61-1	1-10	6-17			0	1-5	-		01-1		1										T	T	T	
		13	Facility)	000	2 0 - 1																	-							_			
		ম	ن	א א					850	842	852		- 378	201.1	-, 536	10/1	109.	1.7.54	220.	- 1.55	079	1997											
		11	ئ	¥ ≣	- 101 -	1-00-11	0843	X	0851	0864	-,0813		- 1102	C111.	040.1	0 . 4 . 1	9 2 0	2101-		- 0/25	CL20-	0674	-										
	tinued	01	ۍ	د 	1 2925	1.3024	1.2732		1.2814	1.2970	1.2587		01110		2410-	1 11 01	1 2 2 5 7 7	102201	F C 9 5 -	1 287B	1.2685	1.2929											
DATA	a - con	6	ot _{rms}	deg.	t RR	2.80	6.48		5.43	3.89	6.90		90.1	9 6	1.16	2,99	2			2.99	2.57	3.22											
RY PROBE	lamic Dat	8	ma + 1 1	וומר ו	Brass																	->-											
-ILEO ENT	- Aerodyr	7	- Model -	inches	2.00																												
ARY - GAI	Table I	9	confia	·6- 1000	nona bl.																	<u>~</u>											
SUMM		5	pressure	mm Hg	764.5		->		764.6		*	a 27/L			 _	761.3				758.2		~						<u>}</u>					
		4	Σ		1.35	1.41	1.27			1.37	52.	0.86	0.89	0.82		1.01	1.05	0.96		2.26	2.37	2.14											
		ε	Red	×106	1.57	1.65	1.49	1	ر د .	1.62	9	101	1,05	.97		1.19	1.24	1.13		2,63	2,75	2.49											
		Q,	Vavg	ft/sec	1511	1582	1427		1462	10501		961	993	922		1132	1180	1075		2543	2600	2402		-									
		1	Test no.		1845		>	/ 101	970-		-	1849		```		1851				1869		~						-		<u>·</u>			
					Ч	2	m	ש ל			-00	0	ន	FI	ମ୍ମ	13	17	15	16	17	18	61	212		22	23	54	25	26	27	28	6	0

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

•

DE POOR QUALITY

•

4

			L4 Ctation	interval			0-12		1-17	01-	6-17		1-17	01-1	9-17		-1-	1-10	6-17		1-17	01-1	P-17		1-17	01-1	6-17					T	T
			LJ Facilitv	(a	000																						>						
		5	ے لا	× ,	- 050	-1.002	824		302	292	316		809				806	-885	996		557				- 120	- 015	- 097						
		-		ک ۳	-044B	- 1962	0858		1018	-0994	-1034		0368				-1064	-1079	-1006		0564				1854	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	.1852 1						┢
	cluded	01	ى		1.2881	1.2.894	1.2740		.8374	.8341	.8332		1.2922	1.2944	12761		1.2291	1.2431	1.2249		.2862	.2750	.2887										+
DATA	a - cone	6	α _{rms}	deg.	4.57	3.20	5.32		5.63	5.67	5.88	() ;	4.39				2.12	3.41	6.75		127.	7	- 42	- 01-		1 20 1	70						
LRY PROBE	namjc Dat	8	[]+ °	וומר	Brass																											╋	
LILEO ENT	- Aerodyr	7	- Model -	inches	1.930																					->						╞	
IARY - GA	Table I	. 9	confin	-61 moo	ablated			-																								$\left \right $	
SUMM		5	pressure	mm Hg	766.8	;	>	/L	2-101			1000L	2.001			1 - 12	0.19			20.01	0			758.2		-							┼╼┫
		7	Σ		1.39	1.46	15.	76	901			1.47.				00		- ri 		2.08	2.18	1 9/2	9	3.36	3.50	3.16							
		£	Red	× 106	1.61	- 19	70.	ra.	00	2011	2	1.66				1.22	1.28	1110	 	2.34	2.45	2.20		3.65	3.80	3.44							
		Ø	V avg	ft/sec	1549	0211	021-	852	878	819		1651				1227	1283	1160		2332	2444	2197		3818	3975	3598							· · · ·
		-	Test no.		1847	>		1850		~		1853	4	>		1854		->		1870				877		~							
						1 ~	¥₹	5	9	2	ω	6	J0	11	51	13	77	15	16	17	18	19	20	5	22	23	54	25	26	27	28	29	30

- - -

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1		71				T				T	T	Γ					T	1				T	T	T	T	T	T			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			13																		_		_				-				
SUMMARY - GALILEO ENTRY PROBE DATA SUMMARY - GALILEO ENTRY PROBE DATA Total I - Model Physical Characteristics 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Totation mathing the max, weight			य							-							-				_		+	_			+	-			
SIMMARY - GALILED ENTRY PROBE DATA Image: Table 11 - Model Physical Characteristics 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Test mat'1. weight max. SumMARY - GALILED ENTRY PROBE DATA Test config. mat'1. weight max. SumMARY - GALILED ENTRY PROBE DATA Test config. mat'1. weight max. SumMARY - GALILED ENTRY PROBE DATA Test SumMARY - GALILED ENTRY PROBE DATA Town colspan="2">Town colspan="2">Town colspan="2" SumMARY - GALILED ENTRY PROBE DATA Town colspan="2" SumMARY - GALILED ENTRY PROBE DATA Town colspan="2" Town colspan="2" SumMARY - GALILED ENTRY PROBE DATA Town colspan="2" SumMARY - GALILED ENTRY PROBE DATA <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>																_			_												
SUIWMARY - GALILEO ENTRY PROBE DATA I SUIWMARY - GALILEO ENTRY PROBE DATA Test Table 11 - Model Physical Characteristics I a A 50 T B O Test config. muther T B G T B S			10											_									-								
SUMMARY - GALILEO ENTRY PROBE DA Table II - Model Physical Character Test Total II - Model Physical Character Total II - Model Physical Character Test Total II - Model Physical Character IL-23 Monable Maullory 36-320 Second 141-4 E-384-67-7 T/2610 J6-5320 - 8003 -1-1+4 E-384-67-7 T/2610 J6-532 - 8003 E-800 -758 J6-530 - 8003 E-800 -7577 J6-556 - 8002 E-800 -7580 J6-590 Second -175 E-800 -7600 J6-590 Second -175 E-800 -7600 J6-593 Record -176 Y Y Y <th colsp<="" td=""><td>TA</td><td>istics</td><td>9</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>+</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td>TA</td> <td>istics</td> <td>9</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td></td>	TA	istics	9								<u> </u>				+															
SUMMARY - GALILEO ENTRY I SUMMARY - GALILEO ENTRY I SUMMARY - GALILEO ENTRY I = 00del Physical Test Config. mat'l, weight max, xcg is ug-ft2 ILa 2 3. 4 5 6 7 ILa 2 3. 4 5 6 7 ILa 2 3. 4 5 6 7 ILa 2 3. 4 5 6 800 ILa 2 3. 4 5 6 800 ILa 2 3. 4 5 6 800 ILa 2 7 7 7 ILa 2 3. 4 4 7 ILa 2 3. 4 7 6 8 ILa 2 3. 4 7 <td>PROBE DA</td> <td>Character</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td>× 1</td> <td>7577</td> <td>7588</td> <td></td> <td>1.4014</td> <td>16-21</td> <td></td> <td>La91.</td> <td>-+</td> <td></td> <td>1001</td> <td>100-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>626</td> <td>2400</td> <td>2007</td> <td>000</td> <td>566</td> <td>557</td> <td>582</td> <td>530</td> <td></td> <td></td>	PROBE DA	Character	8		× 1	7577	7588		1.4014	16-21		La91.	-+		1001	100-					626	2400	2007	000	566	557	582	530			
SUMMARY - GALI I a b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b	LEO ENTRY	Physical	7	1 7.2	1ug-ft 83x 157	LL.	.80		23	80 4		. 89×109		<u> </u>	1-0-X2				->-	1					2×101 .7		4 7.	5 4 -7:	-	_	
SUMMAI SUMMAI SUMMAI I 2 3 4 Test I 2 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1	l - GALI	- Model	9	x _{cgnose}	а 11-1-1-2		9		9 2			σ	;		112	171-	475	476	476				۵.9 و.8		175 5.6	5.6	173 5.6	15 5.5		-	
1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 Test config. mat'l, weight no. monoble mat'l, weight no. mat'l mat'l, weight 16.29 nonable mat'l, weight 16.31 16.32 36.5362 16.33 36.5362 36.5715 16.31 36.5765 36.5765 16.33 36.5765 36.5765 16.34 7 36.5765 16.33 36.5765 36.5765 16.34 7 36.5765 16.37 5765 36.5765 16.37 5765 36.5765 16.37 5765 36.5765 16.37 5765 36.5765 16.37 5765 36.5765 16.37 7 36.5765 16.37 7 36.5765 16.49 7 36.5740 16.49 7 36.5765 16.49 7 36.5740 16.49 7 36.5740 16.49 7 36.5740 16.83 7 36.5740 16.83 7 36.5740 16.83 7 36.5740 <td>SUMMAR</td> <td>Table II</td> <td>5</td> <td>max. dia.</td> <td>Inches . 8001</td> <td>8005</td> <td>8003</td> <td>8003</td> <td>8002</td> <td>8002</td> <td></td> <td>4000</td> <td>2447</td> <td>- 100</td> <td>8000</td> <td>8000</td> <td>3000</td> <td>. 000</td> <td>. 000</td> <td>000</td> <td>200</td> <td>002</td> <td>1002</td> <td></td> <td>130 -</td> <td>730 .3</td> <td>7.30 .7</td> <td><u>731 .3</u></td> <td>+</td> <td></td>	SUMMAR	Table II	5	max. dia.	Inches . 8001	8005	8003	8003	8002	8002		4000	2447	- 100	8000	8000	3000	. 000	. 000	000	200	002	1002		130 -	730 .3	7.30 .7	<u>731 .3</u>	+		
1 2 3 1 2 3 Test config. mat'l, no. no. 16.29 nonabl, mallory 16.30 norabl, mallory 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.33 16.34 7 16.35 7 16.37 54.61 16.38 7 16.37 7 16.38 7 16.39 7 16.31 7 16.32 7 16.33 9 16.33 10 16.33 10 16.33 10 16.33 7 16.44 7 16.52 7 6.84 7			4	weight	36.8240	6.5715	36.6300	6.5862	b.6565	6.5965.		- 0949	.0130	. <u>LCON</u>	3435	3538 .	3390 .	3665 .8	3082 .8	0652	.6353 .8	5633 .8	.7463 .8		. 8082	9530 .7	7373 .7	3810 .7			
1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 0			m	mat'l.	nallory		-		140	¥ 3		Steel			L/PB 9	6	0	9	¥	Illor V 36	36	36	36		32	32.	32	X 32	+		
I I I <td></td> <td></td> <td>~</td> <td>config.</td> <td>ionabl.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>A</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>→</td> <td></td> <td>plated</td> <td></td> <td>;</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			~	config.	ionabl.										A								→		plated		;				
	-	,		Test no.	1629 1	1630	1631	1633	1634	1635	00 / 1	1698	1699		1675	676	679	6 87	689	644	645	648	652		683 at	684	085	920	╞		

· ---

•	1			Γ	Τ		Γ	Π	Τ	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	T	Т	Т	Т					T	Т	-			-	-1				—	- -	
				77																															
			176	1																															
ORIGINAL CE DOCE	р Р Q	NG TAJ		ង											-	+-										+		-+	-	+		+	╉	+	
				┝─				+	╀	╉	╀	╀	+	+	+-	┞	╀	+			\downarrow	4	+	\downarrow	4	\downarrow	_	4	\downarrow	4	\downarrow		\downarrow	\downarrow	
			nued	H																															
			- cont	50																		1							╎		╎		╋		
			ics					+	╀	╀	┼╴	+	╀	╀	+	┝	┢	$\left\{ \cdot \right\}$	_	+	+	+	╇	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	\downarrow	+	\downarrow	+	
		DATA	terist	6																															
		Y PROBE	Charac	8		×	rapr.	-	-	+			-					>													T			T	
		O ENTR'	ysical	7	ر بر	9-ft ^c	3×10				×10-4	-	9×10-9			->		×10-9		╁				┼╴	+-			+			╀	╞	╞	╞	
		JL ILE	h Ph		ما	s]u	17	╇	-		1:51		6 6					1.51	_																
		IRY - G/	- Mode	9	x _{cgnos}	σ	+1+,	+-	-									>																	
		SUMM	Table II	5	max. dia.	inches	4004	1000	3998	1001	3983		4008	1009	1002	3997	-	3986		T								$\left \right $			╞	-	╞		
				-+	ight	E.	(0 t 0 .	015	. 100	.28.	. 18		1.	84 1.1	153 .L	742	_		-	╀		-	$\left \right $		$\left \right $			-	$\left \right $	┝			╞		
					we		144	ĴĔ.	. 70	J76	m.		2. 1	2.11	20.2	2.0	_	m.																	
				m	mat'l,	-	<u>a lum.</u>			>	lexan		Steel	-		≻		exan																	
				CN,	config.	-	Idpuo											>	+																
					est (1 55	929	659	000	661	766		69	093	0 95	96		762																	5.
			┝		<u>⊢</u>		1 2	- m	=	-	- 9			6			Ŋ	<u>/</u> m	15	9	2	8	6	0	Ч	Q	3	rt	2	5	1	•	_		
•	•	•	-			_	-				_	_				11	-1		17	17		-		Ñ	N)	Ň)	^N	N)	ŝ	ŭ	N.	ž	N)	R	

1	1	Г						T) <u>7</u> T	PC4		ι.	UAU I	- 1 -	T	·				·							
			÷																										
		5	4																										
		0 -	4																										
	har	11																									-	-	_
	- conclud	10					_						_					_						+	+		+	╉	_
۲A	stics	6											_									+		-			$\frac{1}{1}$	+	
ROBE DAT	laracteri	8		512	00		512		512	512		83	1	63	n C		33		2	33	_		_	-				+	_
ENTRY F	sical Ch		y 1.2	-1 L (10-5 .7	2.2		10-5 . 7	- Y -		(10 ⁵ .75		105 . 73	5.4	<u>c</u> /•	0-5 730		0-2 - 738	05.735		05 .736		_		-			+		-
AL ILEO	el Phys	2		3.20×	1 C E	2	3.20	1	3.×0×	3.20>		2.65×	21.5 ×		2.65 XI		2.65×1	2.65 XI		2.65×11									
ARY – G	I - Mode	9	xcg _{nos}	111						 		<u>• 373</u>								\mathbf{F}									
SUMM	Table I	5	max. dja.	2.0 00	2.000		2.000	0000	-	2.000		1.930	1.930	>	1.930	1	130	.930		.930						$\left \right $		$\frac{1}{1}$	
		7	wejght am	280.02	80.49		279.85	20 010	00.0	279.60		51.24	51.10		51.10		20.72	50.89 1		20.64							╞		
		e	mat'l,	Prass 2											~			2		× _			-	-					
		¢۶	config.	onabl. t						>		010160							;										
		-	Test (1845 h	846		849	158		1869	0112	10110	850		853	854		870											
	E				4 m	7	n	2	8	9 O		2	13	14	15	1 11 11	18	19 1	0,7 10	22	23	24	25	26	27	58 58	59	30	

ORIGINAL LAGE IS

.



Figure 1.- Galileo Entry Probe Configuration.

Ablated Galileo forebody coordinates dimensions in inches

Х	Ŷ
0	0
0.002	0.936
.057	1.913
.192	2.957
.378	4.142
.550	4.787
1.020	5.706
1.513	6.451
2.853	8.208
4.326	9.830
7.365	12.981
10.468	16.068
13.612	19.113
16.785	22.130
18.702	24.028

r (full scale) = 24.900 inches (0.632 m)



Figure ².- Comparison of Galileo nonablated and ablated geometries.



Figure 3.- Comparison of Galileo and Pioneer Venus geometries.





ORIGENAL PAGE IS OF FOOR QUALITY









ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



ORIGINAL PAGE IS

46 6463

SEMI-LOGARITHMIC 7 CYCLES X 60 DIVISIONS KEUFFEL & ESSER CO. MADE IN U.S.A

М М

- Effect of Reynolds number on drag characteristics of Galileo and Pioneer Venus probe vehicles. Figure 9.



ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY Low Reynolds number sphere drag data and additional relevant figures

Ξ.

				Т	Τ	Τ	Τ	Т	Т	Τ	T	Т	T	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	T	Т	Т		-	Т	-	-	—	٦
		77																																
		13																																
		ង	Model W+ 9m			0000	20000														\uparrow													
		11	acility	1 L L L																										╀		╞	╞	
	Ĭ	10	<u>D</u> .	120	1201	1.806	1.053							╁														╞					╞	
		6	matll	100														+-											$\left \right $					
ATA	ľ	8	Model dia. inches	190	00	681.	-189																											
e drag di		7	onfig.	Dheve			Ý																										,	
SPHER		9	essure ç m Ha	067 5	124	066	440																											
		2	Test Pr gas	1% CO2	۸.۲	CO2 .	Coz 1.																											
	-	4	£	4.5	1.6	4.4	5.	~																										
		m	Sed	198 1	206 1	192 1	564 1	-																										
			avg /sec	2931	3144	1983	3225 4																											
	-		no. ft	359 12	360 15	361 12	374 15																											
	F			1	5		+	- -	9	2	8	6	10	11	टा	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	

.

- - - - -

,

Ś ţ



Figure 9a.- Effect of Reynolds number on drag characteristics of Galileo and Pioneer Venus probe vehicles.

46 6463

SEMI-LOGARITHMIC 7 CYCLES X 60 DIVISIONS KEUFFEL & ESSER CO. MADE IN U.S.A.





..... •••••• . : : -----..... ::: -. 11 • ::.: 1 -----.:. -.... 1 :<u>.</u>... : -.... : ...1 42 -8. A' 岀 onf · • • • õ 20 103 -Ъ. ÷ --. × 9 g ke ~1 1978) ; . .175. Galileo -0 1762 Angle of attack ڡ ⊴ • : report Sec . d) V ~ 15000 ft/ oneer Venus data r . : . . - - -. N ••• - --ORIGINAL PAGE IS OE POOR QUALITY 8 ٩ 1 ---------۱. --· · . · - continued • 41 · . . . · . · . : : . : 4 1 11 -Ŧ; ۰: 4 figure 1 į 0 7 في 4. 2.1 τ. <u>.</u> 0 . . O 11. ÷ ÷ S ÷... MELIKED HUS ·---· ;, • 10.00