https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19880015771 2020-03-20T06:45:25+00:00Z

GODDAR() GRANT IN-54-CR

145739 P-289

FINAL REPORT

PROJECT TITLE: The efficacy of using human

myoelectric signals to control

the limbs of robots in space.

PROJECT NO: NAG 5-895 (April 15 1987-1988)

PRINCIPLE INVESTIGATOR: Jane E. Clark

> Sally J. Phillips (Co-investigator) -

Biomechanics Laboratory

Department of Physical Education

University of Maryland

College Park, MD, 20742

.

(NASA-CR-182901) THE FFFICACY OF USING	N88-25155
HUBAN MYOELECTRIC SIGNALS TO CONTROL THE	
LIEBS OF BOBOTS IN SPACE Final Report, 15	
Apr. 1987-1988 (Maryland Univ.) 289 p	Unclas
CSCI 05H G3/54	0145739

FINAL REPORT

PROJECT TITLE: The efficacy of using human myoelectric signals to control the limbs of robots in space.

PROJECT NO: NAG 5-895 (April 15 1987-1988)

PRINCIPLE INVESTIGATOR: Jane E. Clark

Sally J. Phillips (Co-investigator)

Biomechanics Laboratory Department of Physical Education

University of Maryland

College Park, MD, 20742

Acknowledgments

Greatful appreciation is extended to Jody Jensen and Pam Russell for their hard work and expertise in all phases of this project. Their efforts were essential to the timely completion of this project.

Data collection also was facilitated by Linda Clarke and Terri Truly.

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

Table of Contents

,

Section	I:	Overview of Project Goals1
Section	II:	Lessons of Prosthetics and Electromyographic Research
Section	III:	Methods and Instrumentation11
		Phase I Position-Time Data13 Phase II Position-Time Data14 Electromyographic Data Phase I & II14
Section	IV:	Anatomical and Movement References15
Section	V :	Task Definitions and Data15
		Elbow Flexion/Extension15
	1.0 1.0.1 1.0.1 1.0.1	Anatomical Considerations
	1.1	Elbow Flexion/Extension Data
	1.1.2	2 Elbow Flexion/Extension: (sagittal plane; accelerated movement with isometric contractions;
	1.1.:	<pre>biceps, & triceps)</pre>
	1.1.4	<pre>cocontraction; biceps & triceps)43 4 Elbow Flexion/Extension: (transverse plane: across speeds; biceps & triceps)</pre>
		Humeral Movement - Shoulder Joint Complex61
	2.0 2.0.2 2.0.2	Anatomical Considerations

.

. . .

i

۰.

÷.
1

		~ -
2.1	Humeral Movement Data	b/
2.1.1	Shoulder Flevion/Extension.	
	(sagittal plane; biceps &	
	anterior deltoid)	67
0 1 0		•••
2.1.2	Shoulder Abduction/Adduction:	
	(frontal plane; across speeds;	
	dateatd middle & pactoriar)	74
	deitoid, midule à posterior)	/
2.1.3	Shoulder Abduction/Adduction:	
	(frontal plane: across speeds:	
	middle doltaid & theorem ()	70
	mildie deitoid, & trapezius)	19
2.1.4	Shoulder Abduction/Adduction:	
	(frontal plane: w/ & w/out	
	accentraction, middle	
	cocontraction; middle	
	deltoid, & pectoralis major)	83
2 1 5	Internal/External Rotation:	
2.1.5		
	(transverse plane; variations	
	in shoulder angle & w/ & w/out	
	cocontraction; teres major, &	
	infraspinatus)	89
216	Internel/Futernel Potetient	
2.1.0	Internal/External Kotation:	
	(transverse plane; variations	
	in shoulder angle $\& w/\& w/out$	
	cocontraction; anterior deitoid a	
	pectoralis major)	03
	pectoralis major)	03
	pectoralis major)	03
W	pectoralis major)l	03 10
W	pectoralis major)l	03 10
W 3 0	pectoralis major)l	03 10
W 3.0	pectoralis major)l Vrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movementsl Anatomical Considerations	03 10 10
W 3.0	pectoralis major)l Vrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movementsl Anatomical Considerations	03 10 10
W 3.0 3 1	Vrist Flexion/Extension Wrist Flexion/Extension	03 10 10
W 3.0 3.1	Vrist Flexion/Extension Wrist Flexion/Extension	03 10 10
W 3.0 3.1	Pectoralis major) Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movementsl Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grasping Datal	03 10 10 14
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1	Vrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movements Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movements Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grasping Data Grasping:	03 10 10 14
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1	Vrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movements Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grasping Data Grasping:	03 10 10 14
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1	Vrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movements Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movements Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grasping Data Grasping: (w/ & w/out cocontraction;	03 10 10 14
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1	Vrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movements Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grasping Data Grasping: (w/ & w/out cocontraction; flexor & extensor groups)	03 10 10 14
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deitoid & pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deitoid & pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deitoid & pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deitoid & pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deitoid & pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14 14
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deitoid & pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14 14
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deitoid & pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14 14
w 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deitoid & pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14 14
w 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deitoid & pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14 14
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deitoid a pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14 14 17 25
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deitoid a pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14 14 17 25
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deficit a pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14 14 17 25 29
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deficit d pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14 14 17 25 29
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deitoid a pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14 14 17 25 29
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 R 4.0	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deitoid a pectoralis major)</pre>	03 10 10 14 14 17 25 29 29
w 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 R 4.0	<pre>Cocontraction; anterior deficit a pectoralis major) Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movementsl Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grasping Data Grasping: (w/ & w/out cocontraction; flexor & extensor groups)l Wrist Flexion/Extension: (sagittal plane; accelerated movement with isometric contractions; flexor and extensor groups)l Wrist Flexion/Extension: (transverse plane; across speeds; flexor and extensor groups)l Radioulnar Pronation/Supinationl </pre>	03 10 10 14 14 17 25 29 29
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 R 4.0	Cocontraction; anterior deficit a pectoralis major)	03 10 10 14 14 17 25 29 29
w 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 R 4.0 4.1	Cocontraction; anterior deltoid a pectoralis major) Vrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movements1 Anatomical Considerations	03 10 10 14 14 17 25 29 29 32
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 R 4.0 4.1	Cocontraction; anterior deltoid & pectoralis major) Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movements1 Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grasping Data1 Grasping: (w/ & w/out cocontraction; flexor & extensor groups)1 Wrist Flexion/Extension: (sagittal plane; accelerated movement with isometric contractions; flexor and extensor groups)1 Wrist Flexion/Extension: (transverse plane; across speeds; flexor and extensor groups)1 Radioulnar Pronation/Supination1 Radioulnar Pronation Supination Data1 Prometion/Supination.	03 10 10 14 14 17 25 29 29 32
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 R 4.0 4.1 4.1.1	Cocontraction; anterior deltoid & pectoralis major)	03 10 10 14 14 17 25 29 29 32
W 3.0 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 R 4.0 4.1 4.1.1	Cocontraction; anterior deltoid a pectoralis major) Vrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movements1 Anatomical Considerations	03 10 14 14 17 25 29 29 32

iii

	4.]	.2	Pr	onat (w/ sup	io & oin	n/S w/c atc	Sup: out or,	ina co &	con bi	on: ntr cep	act s).	:io	n;	• • •	•••	••	••	• • •	·.	135
			Thum	b/Fi	ing	er	Mo	ven	ent	ts.	•••	•••	••	•••	•••	••	••	• • •]	138
	5.0)	An	ato	nic	al	Con	nsi	de	rat	ior	ns.	••	•••	•••	••	••	• • •]	138
	5.1 5.1	.1	Th Th	umb/ umb (w/	'Fi Ad &	nge duc w/c	er] tio	Yov on/ co	eme Ab coi	ent duc ntr	Da tic act	ata on: cio	••• n;	•••	••	••	• •	• • •	••1	141
	5.1	.2	'P	add inky (tra abd	luc , ' ins	tor Abd ver tor	p luci se	oll tic pl	icu n// and ti	ıs) Add ≥; mi	uct	:io	••• n:	•••	••	••	•••	•••]	41
]	Reac	hing	<u>e</u> M	ove	emei	nts			•••		•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••]	146
	6.0)	An	aton	ic	a 1	Cor	nsi	de	rat	ior	ns.	••	•••	•••	••	• •	• • •	••]	146
	6.1 6.1	.1	Re Re	achi achi at a	ng ng n t	Mc; (ime	no mo ; ;	nen ven sag	it] enf iti	Dat t o tal	a f c pl	ne lan	j e;	oir	nt s	••	••	• • •	••]	148
	6.1	.2	Re	delt achi at a w/ 8	ing t	d, ; (ime /ou	an mo ; it	ter ven sag	io ent it:	r & t o tal tra	ps; pc f c pl cti	ost one lan	er j e;	ion oir	;). it	••	••	•••]	148
	6.1	.3	Re	bice achi at a w/ 8	eps ng t w	, 8 ; (ime /ou	ti mov e; a	ric vem sag coc	ep: en: it: on:	s). t o tal tra	f c pl cti	one lan lon	j e; ; ;	oir ant	t er	 io	•• r	• • •	•••]	169
	6.1	.4	Re	delt achi simu acro	oi ng 1t ss	d, ; (ane sp	& : mo: eou: eee	lat ven sly ds;	is: ien: ; b:	sim t o sag ice	us f t itt ps,	do oot al t	rs h p ri	i), joj lar cej	nt ie;	•• S	••	• • •	••]	175
				delt	:0i	d,	an	ter	io	r &	pc	ost	er	ioī	:).	••	••	• • •	••]	179
Section	VI:	1	Summ	ary.	• • •	•••	• • •	• • •	• •	• • •	•••		••	• • •	• • •	• •	• •	•••	•••	195
Referenc	ces.	••	• • • •	• • • •		•••	• • •	• • •	••	• • •	•••		••	• • •	•••	• •	••	•••	•••	200
Appendix	ĸ A	(E:	lbow	Mov	/em	ent	s)	• • •	••	• • •	•••	• • •	••	•••	• • •	••	••	• • •	•••	204
Appendia	ĸВ	(S)	houl	der	Mo	ven	en	ts)	•••	• • •	•••	•••	••	• • •	• • •	••	• •	• • •	•••	223
Appendix	ĸC	(W:	rist	and	I G	riț	o Mo	οve	me	nts)	• • •	••	•••	•••	••	••	• • •	?	247
Appendia	кD	(Ra	adio	ulne	r	Моч	em	ent	s)	• • •	• • •		••	•••		••	••		2	257

- -

. . . .

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals
i
Appendix E (Thumb/Finger Movements)261
Appendix F (Reaching Movements)

.

.

۰.

•

.

-

.

.

---- --- -

....

v

- - - -

Table of Figures

Figure 1 Figure 2	Motor unit
Figure 3	Standing positions
Figure 4	Primary elbow flexors
Figure 5	Triceps brachii
Figure 6-	Glenohumeral joint degrees of freedom
Figure 7	Anterior chest/upper arm muscles
Figure 8	Posterior chest/upper arm muscles
Figure 9	Forearm flexor muscles
Figure 10	Forearm extensor muscles112
Figure 11	Radioulnar pronation/supination
Figure 12	Thumb/finger muscles139

Data Figures

Figure	Dla,b,c	Elbow flexion/extension:
_		sagittal plane29
Figure	D2a,b,c	Elbow flexion/extension:
		sagittal plane
Figure	D3a,b,c,d	Elbow flexion/extension:
-		sagittal plane
Figure	D4a,b,c,d	Elbow flexion/extension:
-		sagittal plane
Figure	D5a,b	Elbow flexion/extension:
-	·	sagittal plane
Figure	D6a.b.c	Elbow flexion/extension:
C .		transverse plane46
Figure	D7a.b.c	Elbow flexion/extension:
C .		transverse plane47
		-

.

۰.

Tet a come	D0 - 1	Pater flowing / automaters
rigure	D8a,D	LIDOW ILEXION/EXTENSION:
Figure	D9a h c	Elbow flexion/extension:
TEUIC	<i>D</i> , <i>D</i> , <i>C</i>	transverse nlane 40_51
Figure	D10a h c	Flbow flexion/extension:
TIERIC	<i>D</i> 10 a , <i>D</i> , c	transverse plane
Figure	Dlla.b.c	Elbow flexion/extension:
	 , - , -	transverse plane
Figure	Dl2a,b,c	Elbow flexion/extension:
-		transverse plane
Figure	D13a,b,c	Shoulder flexion/extension
		sagittal plane
Figure	D14a,b,c,d	Shoulder flexion/extension
		sagittal plane70-73
Figure	D15a,b,c	Shoulder abduction/adduction:
_		frontal plane
Figure	D16a,b,c	Shoulder abduction/adduction:
		trontal plane
Figure	D17a,b,c	Shoulder abduction/adduction:
_		frontal plane
Figure	D18a,b	Shoulder abduction/adduction:
		frontal plane
Figure	D19a	Shoulder abduction/adduction:
_		frontal plane85
Figure	D20a,b,c	Shoulder abduction/adduction:
		frontal plane
Figure	D2la,b,c	Shoulder internal/external rotation:
		transverse plane
Figure	D22a,b	Shoulder internal/external rotation:
		transverse plane
rigure	D23a	Shoulder internal/external rotation:
		transverse plane
rigure	D24a,D,C	Shoulder internal/external rotation:
		transverse plane
Figure	D25a,b,c	Shoulder internal/external rotation:
T	D 07	transverse plane
Figure	D26a	Shoulder internal/external rotation:
.	5.4-5	transverse plane
Figure	D2/a	Shoulder internal/external rotation:
-	.	transverse plane100
Figure	D28a,b	Shoulder internal/external rotation:
	DAA	transverse plane
Figure	D29a,D	Shoulder internal/external rotation:
Fi	D'20- 1	Chaulden detornol (ovtornol rotation:
rigure	D,BOCU	bnoulder internat/externat rotation:
Figure	D310 b	Chaulder internal/external rotation.
TEATE	η, Βτεα	transverse plane 102
Figure	D32a h	Shoulder internal/external rotation:
TEnte		transverse nlane.

. -

.

vii

•

.

	Figure	D33a,b,c	Grasping				• • • •		.116
	Figure	D34a,b	Wrist flex	ion/exten	sion:		•	•	
			sagittal	plane				119-	-120
	Figure	D35a,b,c,d	Wrist flex	ion/exter	sion:				•
			sagittal	plane				121-	-124
	Figure	D36a	Wrist flex	ion/exter	nsion:				
			transvers	se plane					.126
	Figure	D37a,b	Wrist flex	ion/exter	sion:				
			transvers	se plane				127-	-128
	Figure	D38a,b	Pronation/	supinatio	n:				134
	Figure	D39a.b	Pronation/	supinatio	n:			• • • •	.137
	Figure	D40a	Thumb addu	iction/abd	uction:				.142
	Figure	D41a	Thumb addu	iction/abd	luction:				143
	Figure	D42a	'Pinky' ah	duction/a	dductio	n•			
			transvers	o nlane		•••			145
	Figure	D/3a b	Reaching	se pianc.			• • • •		152
	Figure	D4Ja,0	Reaching:	sagittal	plane		• • • •		154
	Figure	D448,D	Reaching:	sagittai	prane	•••••	•••		.1.5.5
	rigure	D45a,D	keaching:	sagittai	plane	• • • • •	• • • •		.122
	rigure	D40a, D, C, d	-		_				
		D46e,f,g	Reaching:	sagittal	plane			,156	-162
	Figure	D47a,b,c,							
		D47d,e,f	Reaching:	sagittal	plane			.163	-168
	Figure	D48a,b,c	Reaching:	sagittal	plane				.172
	Figure	D49a,b	Reaching:	sagittal	plane				.173
	Figure	D50a.b.c	Reaching:	sagittal	plane				.174
•	Figure	D51a.b	Reaching:	sagittal	plane.				.177
	Figure	D52a.b.c	Reaching:	sagittal	plane.				.178
	Figure	D53a.b	Reaching:	saoittal	plane.			. 181	-182
	Figure	D549 b	Reaching:	escittel	plane.			183	-184
	Figure	D550 b c	weaching.	oagittai	Prance.			100	
	TEALE	D554 ~	Poophing		.1			10/	100
	Fierr		veacuing:	sagittai	prane	• • • • •	• • • •	104	-109
	rigure	D208,D,C	D 1	• • • •				100	101
		μοσα,e	keaching:	sagittal	piane	• • • • •	• • • •	190	-194

.

SECTION I: OVERVIEW OF PROJECT GOALS

This project was designed to investigate the usefulness of the myoelectric signal as a control signal in robotics applications. More specifically, the neural patterns associated with human arm and hand actions were studied in an attempt to determine the efficacy of using these myoelectric signals to control the manipulator arm of a robot. The advantage of this approach to robotic control was the use of well-defined and well-practiced neural patterns already available to the system, as opposed to requiring the human operator to learn new tasks and establish new neural patterns in learning to control a joystick or mechanical coupling device.

Examples are readily available of the high-level skill possessed by humans in controlling their own limbs, despite the fact that this control requires mastering a neuromuscular-skeletal complex with a myriad of degrees of freedom. The virtuosity of the concert pianist or the dexterity of the neurosurgeon, are but two examples from a world of possibilities. Mechanically recreating the kind of dexterity exhibited in the above-mentioned examples was clearly beyond the scope of the proposed research. However, evidence of electromyographically (EMG) controlled limb behavior with a minimal, but sufficient, level of dexterity was available - in the area of prosthetics design and appli-

cation (Childress, 1973; 1982; Rubenstein, 1984). Thus, not only was there an intuitively logical basis for the proposed research, but part of the answer was already known. That is, under the right circumstances, neural signals can be utilized in the control of artificial, and perhaps, external limbs.

A basic premise of prosthetics research, and the research presented here, was that the patient/subject utilized an endigenous neural pattern in concert with the musculoskeletal complex to control the artificial limb (Childress, Holmes, & Billock, 1974). The myolectric signals could be tapped from related muscles, or those muscles generally considered to be the "prime movers" or agonists of a particular limb action. It was hoped that a steep learning curve in control could, be avoided by tapping into the neural circuits of the non-pathological nervous system, and using the same agonist/antagonist muscle relationships (as known by their myoelectric signals) practiced and mastered over the years.

Thus, it was an accomplished fact that the neural signal could be used to control an artificial limb. What was critical in the current investigation was determination of the usefulness of established neural patterns for controlling an external device with multiple degrees of freedom. Such a determination required answering the following

questions. Could the myoelectric signals used for limb control be consistently reproduced? How susceptible was the recorded electromyographic pattern to changes in remote degrees of freedom?

SECTION II:

LESSONS OF PROSTHETICS AND ELECTROMYOGRAPHIC RESEARCH

It has long been recognized that an internal process, such as muscle contraction, could be monitored through an associated external measure - recording of an electrical signal which accompanies the contraction process. The functionality of such a measure carries with it some limits and cautions. A brief discussion of the human neuromuscular system and some limitations to our interpretation of system function is useful in understanding the approach taken in this investigation.

The functional unit of the muscular system, the motor unit (MU), is composed of a neuron and the muscle fibers (cells) that it innervates (Figure 1). Muscle contraction is ultimately the result of an electrical signal transmitted from nerve to MU. During gross motor task voluntary muscular action any number of MUs may be recruited. The number of MUs involved relates to the force requirements of the task. The greater the force, the larger the number of MUs involved (Burke, 1981). It is possible to monitor muscular activity by measuring the electrical signal which is a



Figure 1. A motor unit. (Adapted from <u>Muscles Alive</u> (p. 7) by J. V. Basmajian, 1979, Baltimore: The Williams and Wilkins Company.)

:•

byproduct of contraction; for like force output, the greater the number of active MUs, the greater the magnitude of the electrical signal recorded. Thus we derive a relationship between the magnitude of force, and the magnitude of electrical signal. This relationship is not linear under all circumstances, but under the controlled conditions of constant velocity muscle contraction it is interpretable (Bigland & Lippold, 1954; Stevens & Taylor, 1972).

The organization of the human musculoskeletal system is such that limb behavior is controlled by agonist and antagonist muscular pairs. In a one-degree-of-freedom task such as elbow flexion in the transverse (i.e. horizontal) plane, flexion of the forearm about the elbow is controlled by those muscles crossing anterior to the joint. Extension is controlled by muscles crossing posterior to the joint. Lack of motion is the result of either no active muscular force, or the cocontraction of agonist and antagonist muscle pairs such that the net torque created by their contraction is zero. Under constant velocity conditions the electrical activity emanating from either muscle group may be interpreted as a reasonably direct indication of active flexion or extension (depending on the activated muscle group) (Bigland & Lippold, 1954). So far the story is reasonably straightforward. However, numerous factors interact to

6

confound the interpretation of the myoelectric signal as an indicator of muscle force or position.

Muscle force is modulated through MU recruitment and activation frequency (i.e. rate coding) (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). Since these two factors also determine myoelectric activity it is logical to expect a relationship between muscle force and myoelectric activity. However, the nature of this relationship cannot be explicitly described for all circumstances.

Difficulties arise in relating muscle force and myoelectric activity because they are derived through different means. Mechanical calculations of muscle moments (Muscle moment = muscle force times perpendicular distance to the point of force application from the point of rotation) obtained with an inverse dynamics approach assume that the sum of agonist and antagonist muscle activity for all muscles crossing the joint of interest has been included (e.g. Dul, Townsend, Shiavi, & Johnson, 1984). (Note: The validity of this assumption has been questioned but it is commonly used.) These calculations also presumably account for the potential force production of the series elastic component of the muscle. EMG data reflects only myoelectric activity from the contractile element of the muscle of interest (Winter, 1979) and usually only the agonist muscle(s) versus an agonist/antagonist pair. Thus

EMG/force relationships may vary because the internal estimate of muscle force (i.e. myoelectric activity) and the external estimate of muscle force (i.e. mechanical calculations) are obtained in different ways.

In addition, muscle force production depends upon factors independent of myoelectric activity such as movement velocity and muscle length (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). As movement velocity increases potential force production decreases (Hill, 1938). As muscle length decreases, potential force production decreases (Gordon, Huxley, & Julian, 1966). EMG records reflect these factors but not in direct proportion to muscle force changes (Bigland & Lippold, 1954). Faster movements create greater integrated EMG records, but less force. Concentric muscle contractions (i.e. decreasing muscle length) which have less potential force production (Winter, 1979), produce greater integrated EMG records than eccentric contractions (i.e. increasing muscle length). So the demends of the task may influence the EMG/force relationship.

Physiological differences also hamper the interpretation of EMG as muscle force. Under fatiguing conditions accompanied by decreased force generation (this was not a factor in collection of these data but may be a factor in the application of these data) the EMG record will increase (Asmussen, 1979; Edwards, 1981). This increase, normally

attributed to increased MU recruitment thus increased force production, may be caused by synchronization of MU firing or changes in action potential size associated with fatigue (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). Temperature changes also alter the action potential size and influence the EMG record (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). Thus increased EMG activity may not indicate increased force production.

The size of the myoelectric signal varies with the size of the MU potential which may be influenced by fiber type (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). MUs composed of mostly fast twitch muscle fibers produce larger electrical responses than MUs composed of mostly slow twitch muscle fibers. This may not seem important to EMG/force relationships since faster MUs are usually recruited for high force short duration tasks and slower MUs are recruited for lower force longer duration tasks (Henneman, 1974). However, muscles differ in their dependence upon rate coding and recruitment for force generation. For example, in the adductor pollicus and first dorsal interosseous muscles of the hand all MUs are recruited at 30-50% of the maxium voluntary contraction (MVC), but in the biceps brachii new MUs are recruited at forces greater than 85% of the MVC (Bigland-Ritchie, Kukulka & Woods, 1980). In addition, under conditions of high force generation increases in activation may exceed the tetanic fusion frequency of the muscle. As a consequence the EMG

record increases disproportionately to the force produced (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). Thus the use of different strategies for force production may create different EMG/force relationships.

Morphological differences such as distribution of MU types throughout a muscle create additional problems (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). Slower MUs tend to be less superficial than faster MUs (Burke, 1981). Surface electrodes (invasive electrodes were unrealistic in our current investigation and in prosthetic design) when properly secured directly over the muscle belly pick up EMG activity at the surface from a small part of the muscle. Thus signals removed from the recording site may not be fully If slower MUs have been selectively recruited, detected. the EMG record and the actual force generated would be disproportional. In addition, since surface electrodes are sensitive to all electrical signals within a given range signals from active muscles removed from the primary site may interfere with a clean recording from the muscle of interest.

In addition to the aforementioned factors which make the interpretation of EMG activity as muscle force or position difficult, there are methodological considerations. Selection of surface electrodes may influence the EMG/force relationship; monopolar electrodes tend to show linear

relationships, bipolar tend to show nonlinear relationships (Moritani & deVries, 1978). Since surface electrodes are sensitive to a variety of signal sources and pick up a global signal, proper positioning of the electrode relative to the active muscle is imperative. This becomes a substantive issue when the electrical activity of deep versus superficial muscles is of prime concern. As will be pointed out in discussion of the data, the inability to accurately monitor deep muscles hindered the recording of activity related to certain gross motor movements (e.g. differentiation of forearm pronation/supination from wrist flexion/extension; and internal rotation of the humerus at the shoulder from external rotation). Movement artifact also is of concern. Electrodes must be sufficiently secured so that external surface shape changes, due to underlying muscle movement, do not disrupt the integrity of the electrode contact.

So to name EMG activity as muscle force and thus an indicator of position would be a misnomer. In fact the reported relationships between EMG and muscle force vary from linear (Bigland & Lippold, 1954; Stevens & Taylor, 1972), to quasilinear (Lawrence & DeLuca, 1983), to nonlinear (Bigland-Ritchie, Kukulka & Woods, 1980), to logarithmic (Perry & Bekey, 1981). Given the influences of task conditions and methodology perhaps Lawrence and DeLuca

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

11

(1983) summed it up best: the EMG/force relationship is determined by the muscle under investigation. For this study this means that comparison of the EMG data as a representation of force or position is confined: myoelectric activity from two muscles of the same subject, from the same muscle of two different subjects, and from two different muscles of two different subjects can not be compared in terms of force or position.

SECTION III: METHODS AND INSTRUMENTATION

The project, conducted in two phases, involved simultaneous collection of EMG signals and the corresponding limb displacement data. These data were collected by an optoelectronic imaging system with synchronized analog signal recording capabilities, in Phase I, and by a Sperry IT microcomputer equipped with digital oscilloscope software (CODAS), in Phase II. Investigations were limited to one and two-degree-of-freedom movements of the upper extremity. Table 1 contains a listing of the movement conditions studied.

1	2
T	2

Task	Musculature	Special Conditions
Elbow flexion/ extension	biceps brachii triceps brachii anterior deltoid	sagittal plane (across speeds accelerated movement w/ isometric)
Elbow flexion/ extension	biceps brachii triceps brachii	transverse plane (w/ and w/out cocon- traction;
		a across speeds)
Shoulder flexion/ extension	biceps brachii anterior deltoid	sagittal plane
Shoulder abduction/ adduction	middle deltoid posterior deltoid pectoralis major trapezius	frontal plane (across speeds w/ and w/o cocontraction
Shoulder internal/ external rotation	infraspinatus teres major anterior deltoid pectoralis major	transverse plane (w/ and w/out cocon- traction)
Grasping	forearm flexors forearm extensors	*w/ & w/out cocontraction
Wrist flexion/ extension	forearm flexors forearm extensors	<pre>bagittal plane (accelerated movement w/ isometric) btransverse plane</pre>
Forearm pronation/ supination	supinator pronator teres biceps brachii	^A w/ & w/out cocontraction
Thumb abduction/ adduction	adductor pollicus	w/ & w/out cocontraction

.

.

Table 1:	Movement	Tasks	and	Conditions
----------	----------	-------	-----	------------

. . .

.

Task	. Musculature	Special Conditions
Fifth digit (pinky) abduction/adduction	abductor di <u>g</u> iti minimi	b _{transverse} plane
Reaching	biceps brachii triceps brachii anterior deltoid latissimus dorsi posterior deltoid	sagittal plane (w/ & w/out cocontraction across speeds

Table 1: Movement Tasks and Conditions (cont'd)

Note. All tasks were conducted in both phases unless otherwise noted. Conducted only in Phase I. Conducted only in Phase II.

Phase I Position-time Data

A SELPOT II opto-electronic imaging system was used to collect position-time data for limb displacements. The SELSPOT system is a video camera system sensitive to infrared light. Small infra-red (950 nm) light emitting diodes (LED) are used to mark joint centers so that rigid body motion may be recorded. A dedicated PDP 11/23 LSI computer coordinated the data collection tasks and synchronized the simultaneous acquisition of displacement data with analog inputs. Using a two-camera system, the 3-dimensional coordinates for any LED marked point in space could be determined.

Phase II Position-time Data

Position-time data were collected using a goniometer (i.e. two dowel rods attached to a potentiometer) interfaced with a Sperry IT microcomputer equipped with analog to digital conversion capabilities and CODAS, digital oscilloscope software. The goniometer detected changes in joint angle as changes in voltage. This signal was stored on disc and displayed in real-time. Position-time data were available for only one joint during each task and due to the size of the potentiometer, unavailable for smaller joints (i.e. first carpometacarpal and fifth metacarpophalangeal joints).

Electromyographic Data (Phases I and II)

The Motion Control Myolab II (Model ML-200) equipped with a preamplifier (Model ML-220) was used to moniter the EMG signal. Surface electrodes were attached to the skin directly over the motor point(s) of the muscle(s) under investigation. The detected EMG signals were amplified and filtered (Preamplifier filter bandwidth = 9 Hz - 27 kHz; Myolab filters = second order high frequency filter (rolloff = 1000 Hz) and third order low frequency filter (rolloff = 50 Hz)). The conditioned analog (i.e. EMG) signals were converted to digital signals and stored on disc. An analog representation of the signal, either the integrated EMG or the raw EMG, was viewed during the task in Phase II but unavailable until after the task in Phase I. The simul-

taneous collection of EMG and limb displacement data were synchronized through the use of a PDP 11/23 LSI computer in Phase I, and a Sperry IT microcomputer system in Phase II.

SECTION IV: ANATOMICAL AND MOVEMENT REFERENCES

All anatomical references are given with respect to the three cardinal planes of motion and three orthogonal axes about which segmental rotations occur. Figure 2 shows the sagittal, frontal, and transverse planes with the corresponding axes. The reference positions for all movements are depicted in Figure 3.

SECTION V: TASK DEFINITIONS AND DATA

Elbow Flexion/Extension

1.0 Anatomical Considerations

Multiple muscles cross the elbow joint, the moment arms of which create varying influences on the flexor and extensor torques at the elbow. Three of these muscles act as primary elbow flexors during concentric contraction; brachialis, the brachioradialis and the biceps brachii, (Figure 4a,b,c). The biceps brachii, a two-joint muscle which crosses the elbow and shoulder, is the most superficial muscle of the upper arm. Except under circumstances of high load, the role of the biceps at the shoulder is generally small. However, since the biceps attaches to the radius its role at the elbow is directly influenced by forearm position. Thus the biceps brachii is defined as an





Figure 2. Cardinal planes of motion and orthogonal axes. (Adapted from <u>Kinesiology Fundamentals of Motion Description</u> (p. 80) by D. L. Kelley, 1971, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.)



<u>Figure 3.</u> Standing positions: (a) the anatomical position; (b) the fundamental position.

(Adapted from <u>Kinesiology Fundamentals of Motion Description</u> (p. 70) by D. L. Kelley, 1971, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.)



Figure 4. Primary elbow flexors: (a) bracialis, (b) brachioradialis, (c) biceps brachii. (Adapted from <u>Kinesiology : Scientific Basis of Human Motion</u> (p. 86, 119-120) by K. Luttgens and K. F. Wells, 1982, Philadelphia: Saunders.) elbow flexor and forearm supinator. With the forearm in the semi-prone (or neutral) position the biceps has it greatest mechanical advantage.

The brachialis, a single-joint muscle, is considered the primary elbow flexor. The brachialis is in large part covered by the biceps brachii and only in the lower third and medial aspect of the upper arm may the brachialis be palpated directly. With an insertion on the ulna, the mechanical advantage of the brachialis is independent of forearm position (e.g., magnitude of pronation or supination).

The brachioradialis, a two-joint muscle crossing the elbow and wrist, originates just above the humeral epicondyles and inserts at the distal end of the radius. The bulk of the brachioradialis lies along the forearm. Because of the small moment arm created by the tendon of the brachioradialis as it crosses the elbow joint, its role is predominantly one of elbow stabilization.

The triceps brachii, a two-joint muscle (Figure 5) crossing the shoulder and elbow, acts as the agonist in forearm extension against resistance. The triceps is not a prime mover at the shoulder but the influence of shoulder position on triceps activity must be kept in mind.

Control of limb behavior is the result of interaction among those muscles crossing the joint; their levels of



Figure 5. Triceps brachii; lateral and long heads. (Adapted from Kinesiology: The Science of Movement (p. 75) by J. Piscopo and J. A. Baley, 1981, New York: Wiley.)

:

activation and any mechanical biases operating on the muscles. Thus, a complete description of elbow joint control must consider not only activation of the agonist muscles but also (1) angle at the shoulder, (2) forearm position, (3) elbow angle, and (4) external force considerations (e.g., effects of gravity).

1.0.1 Position at the Glenohumeral Joint (Shoulder Angle)

Consideration must be given to the degree of flexion or extension present at the glenohumeral joint (shoulder) due to the two-joint involvement of the biceps and triceps brachii. The nature of a two-joint muscle will influence the excursion ratio of that muscle during performance of the task. A full range of motion (ROM) may be impossible to achieve if simultaneous flexion or extension of multiple joints is required. In such a case, it is often helpful to maintain muscle stretch across one joint while the muscle affects the action at the next joint.

In the present study, the excursion ratio of the biceps brachii is more of an academic concern than one of practical importance. Although a two-joint muscle, examination of the proximal attachments of the biceps reveals that its function will be affected in small measure by any change in the degree of shoulder flexion. The attachments for both the long and short heads of the biceps are on the lateral and anterior aspects of the glenohumeral structure. Thus

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

shoulder position within the range of FSP to 90° of flexion would not appear to appreciably change either the amount of stretch in the biceps or the relationship of the line of pull to the axis of rotation at the elbow joint. In conditions of light load (e.g., arm <u>supported</u> in a 90° shoulder flexed position) shoulder angle should not have a significant influence on biceps activity. However, under dynamic conditions, or non-support of an extended arm, the biceps may be involved in stabilization of the shoulder joint. 1.0.2 Degree of Forearm Supination or Pronation

Consider the three primary elbow flexors; biceps brachii, brachialis, and the brachioradialis. The distal attachment of the brachialis is on the ulna. Forearm position will not affect the action of this muscle as pronation and supination are related to changes in position of the radius about the ulna. However, both the biceps brachii and the brachioradialis have attachments on the radius so that their strength in elbow flexion will be affected by forearm position.

Numerous studies have used the elbow joint as the investigative site for studying muscle interactions (Basmajian & Latif, 1957; Doss & Karpovich, 1965; Hagberg, 1981; Hagberg & Ericson, 1982; Liberson, Dondey & Maxim, 1962; Lloyd, 1971; Rodgers & Berger, 1974; Singh & Karpovich, 1966; Wakim, Gersten, Elkins, & Martin, 1950).

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

23

The most thorough of these was the investigation of elbow flexor strength undertaken by Basmajian & Latif (1957). In this study the level of electrical activity of the biceps brachii (long and short heads), brachialis, and brachioradialis was identified under conditions of flexion, extension, and isometric contraction at angles of 135° and 90° . During slow flexion of the forearm under load, the short head of the biceps, the brachialis and the brachioradialis showed the greatest EMG activity with the forearm in the semi-prone postion. During quick flexion under load, the supinated position displayed the highest level of EMG activity in all muscles except the brachioradialis. During position maintenance tests at 135° and 90° the supinated position was preferred for biceps strength, but the semiprone or prone position was preferred for brachioradialis strength. Finally it was observed that during elbow flexion maximal EMG activity occurred in all three muscles with the forearm in the semi-prone position.

If biceps activity is of primary concern, then the prone forearm position is contraindicated. This position substantially reduces the involvement of the biceps in quick and slow flexion (Basmajian & Latif, 1957). The semi-prone postion is best suited to the study of the integrated activity of the three elbow flexors.

24

1.0.3 Angle at the Elbow Joint

Isometric strength at the elbow has been studied throughout the range of 60° to 150° (Lloyd, 1971; Singh & Karpovich, 1966, 1967; Wakim, et al., 1950). With little question the greatest strengths are exhibited between 80° and 115° (Singh & Karpovich, 1966, 1967).

1.0.4 Effects of External Forces

Textbook definitions of muscle function define the muscles crossing anterior to the elbow as forearm flexors, and those muscles passing posterior to the joint axis as forearm extensors. This definition is true only under conditions of concentric contraction and a freely moving distal segment (i.e., the forearm is not fixed). With free motion in the sagittal plane, e.g., forearm rotation about the bilateral axis, the anterior muscles (brachialis, biceps brachii, and brachioradialis) are responsible for forearm flexion. If gravity is the only resistance offered to the flexion, then forearm extension is also controlled by the anterior muscles. The "flexors" control the extension through an eccentric contraction, or a lengthening under tension. Thus, even though the triceps brachii is the defined forearm extensor, the triceps acts as an extensor only against resistance. For sagittal plane motion, gravity

25

• • • •

is an external force acting on the limb which is controlled eccentrically by the forearm flexors.

· · · · · ·

.

- - -

t

i

1.1 Elbow Flexion Flexion/Extension Data

1.1.1 Elbow Flexion/Extension: Sagittal Plane

Special conditions: Slow, moderate and fast speeds

<u>Phase I EMG:</u> biceps brachii, anterior deltoid Phase II EMG: biceps brachii, triceps brachii

<u>Phase I description:</u> Initial position; arm hanging relaxed at the side. The movement was forearm flexion and extension. Thus the forearm was flexed to a 90° angle with the humerus and returned to the FSP position.

<u>Phase II description:</u> Same as Phase I except forearm was moved through entire ROM at the elbow (i.e. from FSP to 30° angle with the humerus and back to FSP).

Phase I figures: D1 a,b,c; slow: D2 a,b,c; moderate. Top strip chart (1Y) = displacement representing a change in elbow angle. Peaks (e.g. 850 mm) indicate maximum flexion; valleys (e.g. 250 mm) indicate maximum extension. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the <u>biceps</u>. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the <u>anterior deltoid</u>. <u>Phase II figures:</u> D3 a,b,c,d; moderate: D4 a,b,c,d; fast. EMG data from the <u>biceps</u> and <u>triceps</u> is displayed in the top graphs of D3a,c, and D4a,c. Bottom graphs (D3a,c; D4a,c) = displacement representing a change in the elbow angle (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension). Top graph = raw EMG data from the triceps (D3b,d) and <u>biceps</u> (D4b,d). Bottom graph = EMG data from the triceps (D3b,d) and biceps (D4b,d).

Observations:

<u>Phase I:</u> The biceps was monitored as prime mover for forearm flexion. As seen in other sagittal plane movement trials the biceps pattern correlated well with the positiontime curve for the forearm. In anticipation of performing multi-segmented tasks, the deltoid was monitored for a response to forearm action. For example, in a reaching task, the deltoid might be used as the source for a shoulder flexion control signal. How contaminated might that signal
27

be by distal limb behavior?

Under conditons of slow movement, the deltoid showed undifferentiated activity (Figures Dla,b,c). This pattern was interpreted to be little more than noise. Under faster movement conditions however, a definite deltoid pattern became evident (Figures D2a,b,c). In this case, the deltoid peak which occured at the end of forearm extension, may be a stabilizing activation evoked to control arm swing created by the momentum of the forearm returning to FSP.

Phase II: The function of the biceps/triceps pair were established for elbow flexion/extension to show the lack of dependence upon the triceps during elbow extension in a gravitational environment. As in Phase I, the biceps activity pattern correlated well with elbow flexion and extension at both movement speeds (Figures D3a, c, D4a, c). Α slight peak in tricep activity just prior to joint reversal (i.e. from flexion to extension) was probably responsible for decreasing the speed of flexion in preparation for extension. At the moderate movement speed (Figure D3a,c) tricep activity also peaked at maximum extension (i.e. where the displacement graph flatlines along the baseline). This activity was probably evoked by hyperextension of the elbow joint, which was beyond the range of detectable goniometer There also was a slight peak in bicep activity at signals. this time which may have corresponded with a stretching of

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

•

28

the biceps due to elbow hyperextension. The correspondence between the raw and IEMG tricep data (Figures D3b,d) was better than that between the raw and IEMG bicep data (Figures D4b,d).







Figure D38. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

400 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Medium MOVEMENT SPEED:

Elbow Extension Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion Magnitude --Signal Decreasing Goniometer Key:



SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel FIGURE D3b. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Medium MOVENENT SPEED:



FIGURE D3c.ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel Medium MOVEMENT SPEED:

Goniometer Key: Increasing Signal Magnitude --Decreasing Signal Magnitude --

Elbow Flexion Elbow Extension







400 Samples/Sec/Channel Figure D48. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE SAMPLING RATE: Fast MOVEMENT SPEED: Goniometer Key:

liometer key! Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension



400 Samples/Sec/Channel Figure D4b. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE SAMPLING RATE: Fast MOVEMENT SPEED:



Figure D4c. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

400 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Fast MOVEMENT SPEED.

Goniometer Key: Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension



Figure D4d. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

400 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Fast MOVEMENT SPEED:

Goniometer Key:

Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension

39

.

1.1.2 Elbow Flexion/Extension; Sagittal Plane

Special conditions: Accelerated movement between joint reversals and isometric contraction at joint reversal (Phase II only)

EMG: biceps brachii and triceps brachii

Description: Inital position; arm hanging relaxed at the side. The movement was forearm flexion and extension through the entire ROM at the elbow joint (i.e. from FSP to 30° angle with the humerus and back to FSP).

Figures: D5 a,b.

Top graph = EMG data from the <u>biceps</u> and <u>triceps</u>. Bottom graph = displacement representing a change in elbow angle (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension).

Observations:

As in the previous sagittal plane movement trials, the bicep activity pattern correlated well with the change in joint angle (Figures D5a,b): increased activity with elbow flexion; decreased activity with elbow extension. The gradual tapering off of bicep activity at maximum flexion reflected the isometric contraction. In this accelerated movement task the tricep appeared to play an active role during the later part of forearm extension evidenced by a rise in activity which peaked just before maximum extension and gradually tapered off with the isometric contraction. Biceps activity also increased slightly prior to maximum elbow extension to slow the limb as joint reversal was approached. There was actually slight elbow flexion and then extension before the limb was held in an isometric contraction at maximum extension (Figure D5a).

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

40

These data showed the importance of agonist/antagonist muscle pairs in controlling a limb and holding it in a certain position. The muscles worked together to slow the limb, reverse its direction and initiate movement in the opposite direction. In addition to holding a limb in position at the extremes of its ROM, agonist activity must be coordinated with antagonist activity (Figures D5a,b). This coordinated effort will be seen again throughout these data.



Robot Movement in the Sagittal Plane WITH HELD POSITION

333 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Fast MOVEMENT SPEED:

Goniometer Key: Increasing Signal

Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion
Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension



Elbow Extension Elbow Flexion 1 ł Magnitude Magnitude Signal Signal Increasing Decreasing Goniometer Key:

43

1.1.3 Elbow Flexion/Extension; Transverse Plane

Special conditions: With and without cocontraction

Phases I & II EMG: biceps brachii and triceps brachii

Phase I description: Upper arm was abducted $60^{\circ}-80^{\circ}$ from FAP. Elbow was placed coincident with the axis of rotation of a mechanical arm which moved in the transverse plane. A light grasp was maintained on the handle at the distal end of the mechanical arm, resulting in a supinated forearm position. Movement was initiated from a 90° elbow position; the forearm was extended to approximately 120° and returned to the starting position.

<u>Phase II description:</u> The same as Phase I except the movement began from an extended forearm position (elbow angle = 130°). The forearm was flexed to form a 30° angle with the humerus and then returned to the starting position.

<u>Phase I figures:</u> D6 a,b,c; no cocontraction: D7 a,b,c; cocontraction; D8 a,b; cocontraction. Top strip chart (7Z) = displacement representing a change in elbow angle. Peaks (e.g. 500 mm) indicate maximum flexion; valleys (e.g. 250 mm) indicate maximum extension. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the <u>triceps</u>. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the <u>biceps</u>.

Phase II figures: D9 a,b,c; D10 a,b,c; D11 a,b,c; cocontraction. EMG data from the <u>biceps</u> and <u>triceps</u> is displayed in D9b, D10b, D11b and the top graphs of D9a, D10a, and D11a. Displacement representing a change in the elbow angle (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension) is displayed in D9c, D10c, D11c and the bottom graphs of D9a, D10a, and D11a.

Observations:

<u>Phase I:</u> The triceps is the agonist for extension and in the transverse plane acts as the prime mover. EMG activity rose (1A) during extension, leveled off at peak extension, and slowly declined as the forearm was slowed and ultimately reversed by the biceps (2A). Triceps activity reliably, coincided with the extension movement phase.

The biceps is the agonist for flexion. During the

initial extension phase, biceps activity would not necessarily be expected. The low-level biceps activation observed may have been induced by a passive stretch resulting from the act of extension. A steep rise in biceps activity was expected <u>prior</u> to full extension as biceps activation is required to slow extension and reverse forearm direction. The greatest biceps activation was observed coincident with forearm reversal (Figures D6a,b,c).

Although the triceps and biceps showed the expected phase relationships with the displacement pattern, the EMG patterns showed large variations from trial to trial.

Trials performed under conditions of cocontraction (Figures D7a,b,c, D8a,b) showed no significant change in the EMG phase relations. The baseline level of EMG was somewhat elevated and variability from trial to trial persisted.

<u>Phase II:</u> Data collected on the same transverse plane elbow flexion/extension task during Phase II was quite different than that collected during Phase I. Bicep activity did increase with forearm flexion and decrease with forearm extension (Figures D9, D10, D11). However, tricep activity appeared to be unrelated to elbow extension, even in the trial involving cocontraction.

The lack of relationship between tricep activity and elbow extension may have been related to the sampling rate (i.e. 40 samples/second). Signals need to be sampled at a

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

45

frequency at least twice as great as the highest frequency in the sampled signal (Winter, 1979). If the sampling rate is too slow, aliasing errors produce a false signal. For these data, any frequency greater than 20 Hz. was not adequately represented in the EMG record. Thus, the data from Phase II demonstrated that sampling rate must be selected in accordance with the range of potential signal frequencies to be detected. Violation of this principle would result in inadequate limb control.





0.1300





۰.











Figure D9a. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE TRANSVERSE PLANE

40 Samples/Sec/Channel Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension Elbow Flexion Medium SAMPLING RATE: MOVEMENT SPEED: Increasing Decreasing Goniometer Key:





40 Samples/Sec/Channel MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium SAMPLING RATE:





270 FIGURE D10b. ELBOW FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE TRANSVERSE. PLANE Q 21 150. 90,0 Biceps Triceps 30'.0 . 000 -60.0 60.09 -180. -120.

40 Samples/Sec/Channel MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium SAMPLING RATE:





Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion Decreasing Increasing

Elbow Extension Signal Magnitude --



40 Samples/Sec/Channel Medium SAMPLING RATE: MOVEMENT SPEED:



1.1.4 Elbow Flexion/Extension; Transverse Plane

Special conditions: Slow and moderate speeds (Phase I only)

EMG: biceps brachii and triceps brachii

Description: Upper arm was abducted $60^{-}80^{\circ}$ from FAP (fundamental anatomical position). Elbow was placed coincident with the axis of rotation for a mechanical arm which moved in the transverse plane. A <u>light</u> grasp was maintained on the handle at the distal end of the mechanical arm, resulting in a supinated forearm position. Movement was limited to an approximate 30° range. Two speeds were assessed: (1) approximately 80° /second (slow), (2) approximately 140° /second (moderate).

<u>Figures:</u> D12 a,b,c. Top strip chart (8Y) = displacement representing a change in elbow angle. Peaks (e.g. 400 mm) indicate maximum flexion; valleys (e.g. 80 mm) indicate maximum extension. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the <u>biceps</u>. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the <u>triceps</u>.

Observations:

At slow speeds, EMG activity was less distinctive. Although the triceps continued to bear good phase relations with extensor movements, biceps activity was less definitive (Figures Dl2a,b). At moderate speeds, however, a much more distinctive pattern emerged (Figure Dl2c). Two points can be made. First, at slow speeds it was biceps activity which appeared quite undifferentiated by movement phase. This lack of a movement related activation pattern may have been due to the difficulty of monitoring the activation of multiple muscles responsible for elbow flexion. Without external resistance, slow-speed flexion may not have required biceps involvement as much as brachialis involvement.

As previously described, monitoring the brachialis was problematic due to its position under the biceps. It was because of this kind of 'load sharing' problem that cocontraction movements were also studied.

The second point to be made is that at moderate speeds, arm reversal from extension to flexion appeared to be controlled by bursts of biceps activity. Rather than continuous activation, the EMG level rose sharply near reversal, and subsided during the flexion phase to a relatively low baseline level by the time of full flexion. The strategy in the moderate speed movement appeared to be one of ballistic control. The EMG burst resulted in reversing the extension, and supplying sufficient torque to allow the flexion movement to continue ballistically. At reversal from flexion to extension, a steep rise was seen in triceps activity, but with more slowly declining EMG levels over the course of the extension. The extension phase, though no different in duration from flexion, showed a more continuous EMG activation in the triceps.





Humeral Movement-Shoulder Joint Complex

2.0 Anatomical Considerations

Movement at the shoulder is the result of integrated action among four articulations: (1) glenohumeral, (2) sternoclavicular, (3) acromioclavicular, and (4) scapulothoracic (Inman, Saunders, & Abbot, 1944; Engin, 1980). The glenohumeral articulation was of primary interest in the present study. However, some consideration must be given to the other joints because of the multiarticular muscle involvement and the subsequent effect on obtaining clean data for upper arm movements. Complications arising from the architecture of the shoulder complex will be discussed below.

The glenohumeral articulation is an enarthrodial (balland-socket) joint created by the upper arm (humerus) and the scapula. Three degrees of freedom are possible at the glenohumeral joint (Figure 6): (1) flexion/extension in the sagittal plane, about a bilateral axis, (2) abduction and adduction in the frontal plane about a anterior-posterior axis, and (3) internal/external rotation in the transverse plane about a polar (i.e. vertical) axis. Prime movers for each degree of freedom are listed below:







Figure 6. Degrees of freedom at the glenohumeral joint: (a) sagittal plane flexion/extension, (b) frontal plane abduction/adduction, (c) internal external rotation in the transverse plane. (Adapted from <u>Biomechanics: A Qualitative</u> <u>Approach for Studying Human Movement</u> (p. 105, 108, 110) by E. Kreighbaum and K. M. Barthels, Minneapolis: Burgess.)
Action	Prime movers
Flexion	Deltoid (anterior portion) Pectoralis major (clavicular portion) Biceps brachii
Extension (against resistance)	Latissimus dorsi Teres major
Abduction	Deltoid (middle portion) Deltoid (anterior portion) Supraspinatus
Adduction (against resistance)	Latissimus dorsi Teres major
Internal rotation	Deltoid (anterior portion) Subscapularis Teres major
External rotation	Infraspinatus Teres minor
Elevation of the shoulder girdle	Trapezius (parts I & II)

Note. See Figures 8 and 9.

2.0.1 Integrated Movement

In elevation of the humerus, both in flexion and abduction, movement at the glenohumeral joint is accompanied by movement at the scapulothoracic joint. During the first $30^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ of elevation, movement at the two joints is somewhat individually patterned. Once above $30^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$, however, a consistent 2:1 movement relationship between glenohumeral



Figure 7. Anterior view of chest and upper arm muscles: (a) superficial muscles, (b) deep muscles. (Adapted from <u>Rinesiology: The Science of Movement</u> (p. 72) by J. Piscopo and J. A. Baley, 1981, New York: Wiley.)



Figure 8. Posterior view of back and upper arm muscles: (a) superficial muscles, (b) deep muscles. ((a) adapted from <u>Kinesiology: The Science of Movement</u> (p. 71) by J. Piscopo and J. A. Baley, 1981, New York: Wiley: (b) Adapted from <u>Kinesiology : Scientific Basis of Human Motion</u> (p. 89) by K. Luttgens and K. F. Wells, 1982, Philadelphia: Saunders.)

and scapulothoracic movement is observed. For every 15° of humeral elevation, 10° is the result of glenohumeral movement; 5° is the contribution of scapular rotation. Because of the multi-articular interactions, isolation of movements for the purpose of recording EMG is difficult. And, in any 'natural' movement, multiple muscle activations occur for the purpose of either executing the intended movement, or stabilizing other articulations in the shoulder complex. Additionally, the superficial vs deep topographical relationship among shoulder-complex muscles increases the difficulty of obtaining clean EMG data from prime movers in certain actions. Examples of this difficulty are addressed in the discussion of specific data sets.

2.0.2 External Force Considerations

As previous described relative to elbow flexion and extension, gravity plays the role of forcing extension and adduction when the limb is flexed or abducted. In this case, extension and adduction are controlled by the eccentric contractions of the humeral flexors and abductors.

2.1 Shoulder Joint Movement Data

2.1.1 Shoulder Flexion/Extension; Sagittal Plane

Phases I & II EMG: biceps brachii and anterior deltoid

Phase I description: Initial position; arm hanging relaxed at the side. The movement was flexion of a straight arm to shoulder level (i.e. 90° angle with the trunk) then extension to return to FAP.

Phase II description: Same as Phase I.

<u>Phase I figures:</u> D13 a,b,c; Top strip chart (1Y) = displacement representing a change in shoulder angle. Peaks (e.g. 1050 mm) indicate maximum flexion; valleys (e.g. 250 mm) indicate maximum extension. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the <u>biceps</u>. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the <u>anterior</u> <u>deltoid</u>.

Phase II figures: D14 a,b,c,d; EMG data from the <u>anterior deltoid</u> and the <u>biceps</u> are displayed on the top graph of D14a. The bottom graph of D14a shows displacement representing a change in shoulder angle (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension). EMG data for the <u>anterior deltoid</u> and the corresponding raw data are shown in D14b,c. Shoulder angle displacement data for D14c is shown in D14d.

Observations:

<u>Phase I:</u> The anterior deltoid and the biceps brachii showed similar rising slopes in conjunction with raising the arm. The deltoid is a prime mover in this action. The biceps is a two-joint muscle having some influence on shoulder flexion, but its moment arm does not make it a primary flexor. Nevertheless, a consistent pattern of biceps activity was seen in shoulder flexion.

The return from flexion to FAP showed different slopes between the two monitored muscles. The deltoid showed a much closer phase relation with the displacement pattern.

-

- - - - -

The biceps maintained a relative plateau until extension was almost completed.

<u>Phase II:</u> As in Phase I anterior deltoid activity and bicep activity increased as the arm was raised to shoulder level (Figure D14a). As the arm was returned to FAP the EMG activity slopes of the two monitered muscles appeared similar. The raw EMG data (Figures D14b,c) from the anterior deltoid corresponded well with its processed data.

Shoulder Flexion/Extension BUG = BREPS, ANTERION DELTOID





SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel Medium MOVEMENT SPEED:

Goniometer Key: Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Flexion Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Extension







400 Samples/Sec/Channel MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium SAMPLING RATE:



SAMPLING RATE: Medium MOVEMENT SPEED:

Goniometer Key:

Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion to Neutral Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension

2.1.2 Shoulder Abduction/Adduction; Frontal Plane

<u>Special conditions:</u> Slow (.3 Hz) and fast (1.2 Hz) movements (Phase I only)

EMG: middle deltoid and posterior deltoid

Description: Subject was seated, facing the cameras, with the right arm hanging relaxed at the side. The arm was moved through a 90° ROM. The arm was abducted 90° then returned (adduction) to the starting position. Subjects were asked to perform the movement at two different speeds, slow and fast. The speeds were self-selected.

<u>Figures:</u> D15 a,b,c; D16 a,b,c; Top strip chart (1Y) = displacement representing a change in wrist position as it moved through an arc in the frontal plane. Peaks (e.g. 1200 mm) indicate maximum abduction (a position in which the wrist is at the same horizontal level as the shoulder). Minimums (e.g. 500 mm) indicate a return to the FSP (wrist is vertically in line with the shoulder). Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the <u>middle</u> <u>deltoid</u>. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the posterior_deltoid</u>.

Observations:

In the slow speed trials (Figures D15a,b,c), both the middle and posterior deltoids contributed to the abduction movement. There was a consistent lagging of peaks between the two muscle sections. The middle deltoid rose to its peak half way through the abduction (approximately 45°). The posterior deltoid showed a slope similar to the middle deltoid, but it maintained its maximal activation longer (i.e. through maximum abduction).

Because the movement was performed in the frontal plane, gravity provided the force necessary to return the arm to its initial position. Control of the adduction, therefore, was due to the eccentric contraction of the

middle and posterior deltoids. As the position graph showed a return to FSP, the EMG activity too showed a decline. Thus the EMG activation patterns displayed close parallels with the position-time data for the movement. One should be reminded that when working in a gravitational field, the agonists of a movement may control the movement in both directions - first concentrically, then eccentrically. When this is the case, the antagonists are not needed for limb control. In weightless conditions, antagonist muscles would need to be monitored for a control signal to return the arm to FSP.

The middle and posterior deltoid activation patterns were similar across the two speeds selected (Figures Dl6a,b,c). The ballistic strategy observed in forearm flexion/extension tasks was also observed in the arm abduction/adduction movement. In this case, the envelope of middle deltoid activity reached its peak midway through the displacement pattern, then droped off more sharply, to reach a baseline level before the arm returned to FSP. This pattern may be explained by a strategy that involves generating a high acceleration of the limb early in the movement, then letting inertia carry the limb to its reversal position. Gravity will return the arm to FSP without muscular effort, and control of the limb at the end of the movement (before the arm hits the side of the body) appears

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

76

to be by small EMG bursts that occur just before reaching the minimum position, particularly in the posterior deltoid.







Figure D16. Shoulder abduction/adduction in the frontal plane.

2.1.3 Shoulder Abduction/Adduction; Frontal Plane

<u>Special conditions:</u> Slow (.5 Hz) and fast (1.2 Hz) movements (Phase I only)

EMG: middle deltoid and trapezius

Description: Subject was seated, facing the cameras, with the right arm hanging relaxed at the side. The arm was moved through a 90° ROM. The arm was abducted 90° then returned (adduction) to the starting position. Subjects were asked to perform the movement at two different speeds, slow and fast. The speeds were self-selected.

<u>Figures:</u> D17 a,b,c; D18 a,b; Top strip chart (1Y) = displacement representing a change in wrist position as it moved through an arc in the frontal plane. Peaks (e.g. 1200 mm) indicate maximum abduction (a position in which the wrist is at the same horizontal level as the shoulder). Minimums (e.g. 500 mm) indicate a return to FSP (wrist is vertically in line with the shoulder). Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the <u>middle</u> <u>deltoid</u>. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the trapezius.

Observations:

In the slow speed trials (Figures D17 a,b,c) the EMG envelope for the middle deltoid was consistent with the pattern seen in previous tests. Peak activity occured prior to maximum abduction, and declined with a slope similar to that of the displacement. The trapezius showed a pattern similar to that of the posterior deltoid; a rise to peak activity coincident with maximum displacement. This pattern of activity might have been expected as the trapezius acts as a stabilizer of the clavicle and scapula, from which the arm is suspended. Thus, the middle deltoid (along with deep muscles, e.g., subscapularis) initiate the abduction and the posterior deltoid or trapezius acts somewhat later in the motion when the resistance moment arm lengthens and the torque about the shoulder increases.

In the fast speed trials (Figures D18a,b) a similar ballistic strategy was discerned from the EMG activation patterns. In these trials, the secondary middle deltoid burst was even more pronounced in controlling the adduction due to gravity (Figures D18a,b at 1.0 and 2.0 sec). The trapezius also showed some evidence of a secondary burst (Figures D18a at 2.0 sec) but with less consistency.

Shoulder Abduction Adduction Emile MIDDLE DELTOID + TRAPEZIUS









MIDDLE DEZTOID AND TRAPEZIUS W (CO-CONTRACTION

2.1.4 Shoulder Abduction/Adduction; Frontal Plane

<u>Special conditions:</u> With and without cocontraction (Phase II only)

EMG: middle deltoid and pectoralis major

Description: Initial position; right arm hanging relaxed at the side. The arm was abducted to form a 80° angle with the trunk, then returned (adduction) to the starting position.

Figures: D19 a; D20 a,b,c;

Top graph = EMG data from the <u>anterior deltoid</u> and the <u>pectoralis major</u> (D19a, D20a). Bottom graph = displacement representing a change in shoulder angle in the frontal plane (peaks indicate a return to FSP; minimums indicate maximum abduction). Raw EMG data from the <u>middle deltoid</u> (D20c) and the corresponding processed EMG data (D20b) also are displayed.

Observations:

Without cocontraction the middle deltoid EMG activity peaked just prior to or at maximum abduction (Figure D19a). This activity pattern was similar to those observed in the Phase I shoulder abduction/adduction tasks across speeds. Pectoralis major EMG activity appeared to be absent. Since the pectoralis functions as an adductor of the humerus, this result was expected. In a gravitational environment gravity is the force which acts to adduct the humerus, and this action is controlled through eccentric contractions of abductors (e.g., the deltoid).

With cocontraction, the EMG activity pattern of the middle deltoid was quite different. Similar to the results of Phase I activity peaked half way through arm abduction. As suggested by the Phase I results, another abductor

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

84

(i.e. the posterior deltoid) may control movement of the limb after this point. Middle deltoid activity also peaked half way through adduction. This peak may have been related to the cocontraction task, or an effort to slow the effects of gravity. Regardless, it did not reverse the direction of the movement as evident in Figure D20a. Pectoralis major activity rose to a slight peak as the arm returned to FSP. This activity may have been related to active adduction performed against the resistance of the trunk. The raw EMG data appeared to correlate well with the processed data (Figure D20b,c).



Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Shoulder Adduction Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Shoulder Abduction





33.3 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Medium MOVEMENT SPEED:

Goniometer Key:

Signal Magnitude -- Shoulder Adduction Shoulder Abduction ! Signal Magnitude Increasing Decreasing



33.3 Samples/Sec/Channel Medium SAMPLING RATE: MOVEMENT SPEED:



33.3 Samples/Sec/Channel Medium SAMPLING RATE: MOVEMENT SPEED:

2.1.5 Internal/External Rotation of the Humerus; Transverse Plane, Vertical Axis

Special conditions: With and without cocontraction; Intersegmental shoulder angles of 0° and 45°

Phase I & II EMG: teres major and infraspinatus

Phase I description: Position 1: The subject's forearm was flexed 90° at the elbow. With this forearm position, the subject was placed such that the longitudinal axis of the humerus was colinear with the axis of rotation for a mechanical arm. That is, the elbow was fixed on top of, and coincident with, the rotary axis of the mechanical arm. In this position, drawing the hand toward the body was indicative of internal humeral rotation, and swinging the hand away from the body marked external humeral rotation. The intersegmental angle between the humerus and the line of the trunk was as close to 0° as possible. Position 2: The humerus was flexed approximately 45° creating a 45° intersegmental angle with the trunk. To maintain hand contact with the mechanical arm, the intersegmental angle at the elbow was relaxed to an angle greater than 90°.

Phase II description: Subject was seated in a chair. Forearm was flexed to form a 90° angle with the humerus at the elbow joint. From this position, drawing the hand toward the body was indicative of internal humeral rotation, and swinging the hand away from the body marked external humeral rotation. Due to the nature of the movement and the size of the goniometer, monitering changes in joint angle were not possible.

Phase I figures: D21 a,b,c; trunk 45° no cocontraction: D22 a,b; trunk 0° no cocontraction: D23 a; trunk 45° cocontraction: D24 a,b,c; trunk 0° cocontraction. Top strip chart (72) = displacement representing a change in rotation angle. Peaks (e.g. 700 mm) indicate maximum internal rotation; valleys (e.g. 250 mm) indicate maximum external rotation. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the teres major. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the infraspinatus.

Phase II figures: D25 a,b,c; no cocontraction: D26 a; no cocontraction: D27 a; cocontraction: D28 a,b; cocontraction. EMG records of <u>infraspinatus</u> and <u>teres major</u> activity are displayed in D25c, D27a, D28a,b and the top graph of D26a. The bottom graph of D26a shows the corresponding raw EMG data for the <u>infraspinatus</u>. The EMG records of both muscles are displayed seperately in D25a,b (Top graphs = <u>infraspinatus</u> activity; Bottom graphs = <u>teres</u> <u>major</u> activity).

Observations:

<u>Phase I:</u> The infraspinatus is an external rotator of the humerus at the glenohumeral joint. The teres major is an internal rotator. In theory, these two muscles should display peak EMG activation patterns that are out of phase with one another. However, a couple of a priori problems existed. First, the two muscles are difficult to distinquish superficially. Even though anatomical texts display a reasonable spatial distinction between the muscles, they lie next to one another. As mentioned in the anatomical considerations section, even reasonably close proximity between muscles compromises our ability to record separate EMG patterns. In an attempt to maximize the distance, the electrodes for the teres major were placed as lateral as possible - but this induced difficulties in recording movement artifact created by scapular movement.

The second problem arose out of muscle function. The prime mover for internal rotation is the subscapularis. However, the subscapularis is a deep muscle and not accessible for surface EMG recording. The teres major, although identified as an internal rotator, may be active in that function only against resistance (Basmajian, 1979).

In Figure D21a,b,c, the shoulder intersegmental angle was 45[°], and humeral rotation was performed without cocontraction. A clear phasic pattern was displayed by both the

teres major and the infraspinatus. Unfortunately, the phasic activity of the two muscles was identical. This failure to distinguish different phasic patterns suggested an inability to distinguish the two muscles in electrode placement. Had the two muscles been properly identified, and if the problem was lack of teres major activity due to low resistance, then the teres major should show no EMG activity. From the figures, it appeared that only the external rotatory activity was monitored. Additionally, the infraspinatus appeared active only at the extremes of the ROM for external rotation.

Figure D22a,b are from trials in which the shoulder intersegmental angle was 0°. No change in the EMG activation pattern was observed other than a reduction in signal amplitude. This position was tested to give some indication of the changes that might be expected in the EMG patterns during coordinated, multi-segmented movement. In this case, it appeared that the EMG pattern was minimally altered by shoulder flexion.

Figures D23a and D24a,b show trials in which internal and external rotary movements were monitored under conditions of cocontraction. Again, little change in the phasic pattern was observed; although the pattern was less sharply distinguished than in cases of relaxation.

Phase II: The results of Phase II were a bit more

promising than those of Phase I. The reason for the difference may have been the muscular definition of the subject.

Limb displacement was not monitered in Phase II so it could not be related to muscle activity. However, the relationship between the phases of muscle activity could be observed. As the initial movement of external rotation was made the EMG activity of the infraspinatus rose to a sharp peak with little if any coincident teres major activity (Figures D25a,b,c). This pattern was also observed as the second external rotation movement was executed. However, the infraspinatus activity also peaked with teres major activity during internal rotation.

With cocontraction certain rotation movements did display the expected phasic activity: infraspinatus active for external rotation, teres major quiet; teres major active for internal rotation, infraspinatus quiet (Figures D26a, D27a, and D28a,b). However, these patterns were not at all consistent. The problems mentioned in the Phase I discussion of these data also played a role in Phase II. These internal/external humeral rotation activation patterns were not considered distinctive enough to provide precise control for an external limb or robot arm.





Figure 22. Internal/external rotation of the humerus in the transverse plane about a vertical axis.



Figure 23. Internal/external rotation of the humerus in the transverse plane about a vertical axis.



Figure 24. Internal/external rotation of the humerus in the transverse plane about a vertical axis.










SAMPLING RATE 333 Samples/Sec/Channel Slow MOVENENT SPEED:







333 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:

2.1.6 Internal/External Rotation of the Humerus; Transverse Plane, Vertical Axis

Special conditions: With and without cocontraction; Intersegmental shoulder angles of 45° and 0° (Phase I only)

EMG: anterior deltoid and pectoralis major

Description: Position 1: The subject's forearm was flexed 90° at the elbow joint. With this forearm position, the subject was placed such that the longitudinal axis of the humerus was colinear with the axis of rotation for a mechanical arm. That is, the elbow was fixed on top of, and coincident with, the rotary axis of the mechanical arm. In this position, drawing the hand toward the body was indicative of internal humeral rotation, and swinging the hand away from the body marked external humeral rotation. The intersegmental angle between the humerus and the line of the trunk was as close to 0° as possible. Position 2: The humerus was flexed approximately 45° creating a 45° intersegmental angle with the trunk. To maintain hand contact with the mechanical arm, the intersegmental angle at the elbow was relaxed to an angle greater than 90°.

Figures: D29 a,b, trunk 45° no cocontraction: D30 a,b, trunk 0° no cocontraction: D31 a,b, trunk 45° cocontraction: D32 a,b, trunk 0° cocontraction. Top strip chart (7Z) =displacement representing a change in humeral rotation angle. Peaks (e.g. 700 mm) indicate maximum internal rotation; valleys (e.g. 250 mm) indicate maximum external rotation. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the anterior deltoid. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the pectoralis major.

Observations:

Little success was achieved in identifying the teres major as an internal rotator of the humerus. The anterior deltoid was considered a possible source for internal rotation signals as it is considered to operate in all humeral flexion tasks and during inward rotation (Luttgens & Wells, 1982). One caution, however, is that the line of pull of the anterior fibers may allow them to act only under

circumstances of maximum external rotation.

Like the deltoid, certain parts of the pectoralis major are considered to act during internal rotation. In particular, the clavicular portion of the pectoralis major acts to flex, horizontally flex, and inwardly rotate the humerus. However, again we are faced with a situation in which the muscle may act to inwardly rotate the humerus only against resistance (Scheving & Pauly, cited in Basmajian, 1979).

In Figures 29a,b and 30a,b internal/external rotation of the humerus was performed with 45° and 0° of trunk flexion respectively. Both the anterior deltoid and the pectoralis major displayed similar activity patterns, however both patterns showed peaks corresponding with maximum external rotation. Since both muscles are described as conditional inward rotators of the humerus, the peak activity displayed at the extreme end of external rotation may have been caused by a passive stretch. The degree of shoulder flexion did not appear to affect the myoelectric activity of the anterior deltoid or the pectoralis major.

When the internal/external humeral rotation movement at 45° of shoulder flexion was accompanied by cocontraction (Figures 31a,b) the activity level of both muscles increased. The anterior deltoid activity still peaked with maximum external rotation, but the pectoralis major showed a slight peak with internal rotation. Pectoralis major

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

105

activity also peaked as the first external rotation movement was initiated. A change in the shoulder flexion angle to 0° did not appear to alter the activity of the pectoralis major (Figures 32a,b). However, the anterior deltoid activity pattern clearly corresponded with internal rotation of the humerus. The anterior deltoid appeared to initiate internal rotation from the maximal externally rotated position and to continue acting as an internal rotator until maximal internal rotation was reached.







ĩ





Figure 31. Internal/external rotation of the humerus in the transverse plane about a vertical axis.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



Figure 32. Internal/external rotation of the humerus in the transverse plane about a vertical axis.

·. - -

Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grip Movements

3.0 Anatomical Considerations

A gripping motion, generally performed with a slight degree of wrist extension, requires flexion across the interphalangeal and metacarpal joints. The muscles responsible for the gripping action are located in the forearm, with long tendons running distally to the fingers. More specifically, these finger and wrist flexors originate from the medial epicondyle of the humerus. This flexor group includes the flexor digitorum profundus, flexor digitorum superficialis, flexor pollicis longus, flexor carpi ulnaris, flexor carpi radialis, and the palmaris longus. As a group, these muscles are responsible for creating the grip. Recording EMG activity during the grip comes not from any individual muscle, but is a global signal from the flexor group (Figure 9).

Those muscles responsible for release of the grip, or extension of the wrist and fingers have a common origin on the lateral epicondyle of the humerus. This extensor group includes the extensor carpi radialis brevis, extensor carpi radialis longus, extensor carpi ulnaris, and the extensor digitorum (Figure 10). Like the flexor group, the EMG extensor signal comes from a group of muscles rather than any single muscle.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OE POOR QUALITY Bicept brachii o brachialis To biceps Medial upinator micondyle Pronetor tere Brachioradialis Flexor carpi radialis Palmaria Flexor Flexor pollicis longut longui digitorum profundus Flexor carpi ulnaris Pronetor quadratus Flexor digitorum digiti Flexor pollicis brevis sublimis quinti Abductor pollicis longus

(a)

(b)

Figure 9. Anterior view of the left forearm and hand muscles: (a) deep muscles, (b) superficial muscles. (Adapted from <u>Kinesiology: The Science of Movement</u> (p. 82) by J. Piscopo and J. A. Baley, 1981, New York: Wiley.)

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



Figure 10. Posterior view of the right forearm and hand. (Adapted from <u>Structure and Function in Man</u> (p. 160) by S. W. Jacob and C. A. Francone, 1974, Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders Company.)

This global EMG recording is a function of methodology and anatomy. The body is a volume conductor of electrical signals. Given the close spatial arrangement of the flexor (or extensor) muscles, surface electrodes are generally incapable of distinguishing among the muscles - presuming of course that only a select number of the flexors were to act during the movement. Thus the EMG record may contain activity from numerous muscles used to perform a similar function.

3.1 Wrist Flexion/Extension and Grasping Data

3.1.1 Grasping

Special conditions: With and without cocontraction; supported and unsupported forearm (Phase I only).

EMG: flexor and extensor groups

<u>Description:</u> The forearm was held in a flexed position such that the elbow angle approximated 90°. The fingers were held straight and the thumb moved in opposition to the fingers in a pincer movement. The EMG signal was recorded from locations approximating the flexor group (distal to the medial epicondyle) and the extensor group (distal to the lateral epicondyle, anterior surface of the forearm).

<u>Figures:</u> D33 a,b,c; Top strip chart (5Y) = displacement representing a change in grip opening. Peaks (e.g. 740 mm) indicate maximum closure of the grip. Minimum values (640 mm) indicate maximum opening of the grip. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the <u>flexors</u>. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the <u>extensors</u>.

Observations:

In Figure D33a, extensor activity seemed well correlated with opening the grip. The flexor activity seemed poorly differentiated. In response to the poor flexor recording, the electrodes were moved to a location more medial on the forearm. Figures D33b,c although still somewhat noisey, showed much greater definition in activation of the flexors versus the extensors. Trials 33b and 33c showed good phasic patterns for the two antagonist muscle groups.

While good correlation between muscle activation and position data was evident, one must be reminded that the grasping motion was being done in isolation. Previous tests

have already alluded to the difficulty of identifying specific muscle action in multi-segmented, coordinated action.



3.1.2 Wrist Flexion/Extension; Sagittal Plane

<u>Special conditions:</u> Accelerated movement with an isometric contraction at joint reversals; Flexion only with isometric contraction at joint reversal; (Phase II only)

EMG: flexor and extensor groups

Description: Task 1: Initial position; subject seated with arm relaxed at the side in FAP. From this position the wrist was rapidly extended, approximately 45°, held in an isometric contraction at full extension, then rapidly flexed, approximately 45° and held in an isometric contraction at full flexion. This action was repeated. Task 2: From the same initial position the wrist was flexed approximately 45°, held in an isometric contraction at full flexion, then returned to FAP. This action was repeated several times.

Figures: D34 a,b; flexion/extension: D35 a,b,c,d; flexion only. The EMG record for both the <u>flexors</u> and <u>extensors</u> is shown in the top graphs of D34a,b. The bottom graphs of these figures = displacement representing a change in the wrist angle (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension). EMG activity of the <u>wrist</u> <u>flexors</u> is shown in the top graphs of D35a,c and the bottom graphs of D35b,d. Displacement representing a change in the wrist angle is shown in the bottom graphs of D35a,c (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate a return to FAP) Raw EMG wrist flexor data is shown in the bottom graphs of D35b,d.

Observations:

Wrist flexion EMG activity correlated well with the wrist flexion movement (Figures D34a,b). There was a sharp peak in activity, as the wrist was rapidly flexed, which tapered off as the wrist was held in an isometric contraction at maximum flexion. During rapid wrist extension, there was an increase in EMG wrist extensor activity, but there also was low level flexor activity. Both muscle groups had elevated activity during the isometric con-

traction in the maximally extended position.

In the flexion only task, EMG activity of the wrist flexors clearly peaked as the wrist was rapidly flexed, and gradually tapered off with the isometric contraction and return to FAP (Figures D35a,c). The raw EMG wrist flexor data showed distinctive bursts of activity with rapid flexion (Figures D35b,d). In both tasks, a rapid wrist flexion movement clearly demonstrated a relationship with the displacement graph. Thus, perhaps this movement could be used as a "trigger movement", a movement performed by the robot operator which elicits a different yet similar movement in the robot. For example, since the grasping data and the forearm pronation/supination data to be presented later, did not clearly demonstrate phasic activity in all cases, perhaps a rapid wrist flexion movement by the robot operator could be used to create a grasping movement or forearm pronation/supination in the robot.



300 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Fast MOVEMENT SPEED:

Goniometer Key: Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension



Figure 34b.WRIST FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Accelerated with Hold 300 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Fast MOVEMENT SPEED:

Goniometer Key:

Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension





400 Samples/Sec/Channel Fast SAMPLING RATE: MOVEMENT SPEED:



Figure 35c. WRIST EXTENSION IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Fast with no Hold SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel Fast MOVEMENT SPEED:

Elbow Flexion to Neutral Elbow Extension 1 1 Magnitude Magnitude Signal Signal Increasing Decreasing Goniometer Key:



400 Samples/Sec/Channel Fast SAMPLING RATE: MOVEMENT SPEED:

Goniometer Key:

Elbow Flexion to Neutral Extension Elbow 1 1 Magnitude Magnitude Signal Signal Increasing Decreasing

3.1.3 Wrist Flexion/Extension; Transverse Plane

Special conditions: Fast and slow movement speeds (Phase II only)

EMG: flexor and extensor groups

Description: Initial position; subject seated with arm flexed to create a 90° intersegmental angle at the elbow. The bilateral axis for wrist flexion was placed co-linear with the goniometer axis of rotation (i.e. the wrist was fixed on top of the rotary axis of the goniometer). In this position, hand movement toward the body was indicative of wrist flexion and hand movement away from the body marked wrist extension. The entire movement consisted of wrist flexion (approximately 60°), then extension to neutral position and approximately 45° beyond that position.

Figures: D36 a; fast: D37 a,b; slow. The EMG record for both the <u>flexors</u> and <u>extensors</u> is shown in D37a and the top graph of D36a. Figure D37b and the bottom graph of D36a = displacement representing a change in the wrist angle (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension).

Observations:

Since both of these movements were conducted in the transverse plane, increased wrist extensor activity and decreased wrist flexor activity were expected with wrist extension. Decreased wrist extensor activity and increased wrist flexor activity were expected with wrist flexion. Movement at both speeds clearly reflected these patterns, despite the slower sampling rates used (Figures D36a, D37a,b). (It is possible that the muscles were firing at frequencies that were adequately detected by the sampling rates.) Perhaps wrist flexion/extension movements in the horizontal plane would be better trigger movements for forearm pronation/supination.



Figure 36a. WRIST FLEXION & EXTENSION IN THE TRANSVERSE. PLANE

80 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Fast MOVEMENT SPEED:

Goniometer Key: Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Wrist Flexion Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Wrist Extension



Slow SAMPLING RATE: 40 Samples/Sec/Channel MOVEMENT SPEED:



Wrist Extension Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Wrist Flexion Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Wrist Extensio Goniometer Keyı

Radioulnar Pronation/Supination

4.0 Anatomical Considerations

Pronation and supination are movements that result from the rotation of the radius about a fixed ulna (refer to Figure 11). The effect of pronation is to put the hand in a palm-down position, whereas supination places the hand in a palm-up position.

The muscles responsible for supination and pronation are listed below:

Action	Prime Mover	Assisted by
Supination	Supinator	Biceps brachii
Pronation	Pronator quadratus Pronator teres	

It is important to note the topographical arrangement of the pronator and supinator muscles. Although the pronator teres is a superficial muscle of the forearm, it lies in proximity to the flexor muscles responsible for the grip. Obtaining a clean EMG signal from the pronator teres, distinct from the flexor group is hampered by this arrangement. The pronator quadratus is a deep muscle in the distal forearm, and thus a inaccessible for direct EMG recording from surface electrodes.

The supinator is also a deep muscle of the forearm (proximal end). Although the prime mover for supination of



Figure 11. Pronation and supination of the forearm. (Adapted from <u>Kinesiology Fundamentals of</u> <u>Motion Descripition</u> (p. 75) by D. L. Kelley, 1971, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.)

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

131

the forearm, it is covered in large part by the extensor muscle group. Thus the EMG signal from the supinator is compromised by any concurrent activity from the wrist and finger extensors. The difficulty of separating flexion and extension signals from pronation and supination will be pointed out during discussion of the data.

4.1 Pronation/Supination Data

4.1.1 Forearm Pronation/Supination Movement About the Long Axis of the Forearm (flexed to 90°).

<u>Special conditions:</u> With and without cocontraction; (Phase I only)

EMG: supinator and pronator teres

<u>Description:</u> The forearm was flexed to create a 90^e intersegmental angle at the elbow. To facilitate obtaining position information about pronation and supination, a ruler was placed in the hand and the LEDs were attached to each end of the ruler. The vertical displacement of the upper LED was plotted as indicative of rotation. The subject started in a fully supinated position, rotated to full pronation and returned to the starting position. This sequence was repeated throughout the trial. The EMG signal was recorded from locations approximating the <u>supinator</u> and the <u>pronator</u> teres.

Figures: D38 a,b; Top strip chart (2Y) = displacement representing a change in rotation angle. Peaks (e.g. 800 mm) indicate a neutral forearm position. The small valley between peaks represents the move from a neutral forearm position to maximum pronation. Minimum values (e.g. 600 mm) indicate maximum supination. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the <u>supinator</u>. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the pronator teres.

Observations:

In Figure D38a,b the supinator appeared active at full supination but its activity dropped off quickly as the forearm was pronated. The pronator teres peaked at the extremes of pronated motion, but showed little activity the first 90° of rotation. While the pronator showed a peak, the supinator also showed a small peak in activity (1.4 sec). This supinator peak may have less to do with activity of the supinator and reflect flexor activity. At the extremes of

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

. .

133

supination, the supinator showed a strong peak. But like the pronator, supinator activity was observed mostly at the extremes of motion.







. .
4.1.2 Forearm Pronation/Supination: Movement About the Long Axis of the Forearm (flexed to 90°)

<u>Special conditions:</u> With and without cocontraction; (Phase I only).

EMG: supinator and biceps brachii

Description: The forearm was flexed to create a 90° intersegmental angle at the elbow. To facilitate obtaining position information about pronation and supination, a ruler was placed in the hand and the LEDs were attached to each end of the ruler. The vertical displacement of the upper LED was plotted as indicative of rotation. Subject started in a fully supinated position, rotated to full pronation and returned to the starting position. This sequence was repeated throughout the trial. The EMG signal was recorded from locations approximating the <u>supinator</u> and the belly of the <u>biceps brachii</u>.

Figures: D39 a,b;

Top strip chart (2Y) = displacement representing a change in rotation angle. Peaks (e.g. 800 mm) indicate a neutral forearm position. The small valley between peaks represents the move from a neutral forearm position to maximum pronation. Minimum values (600 mm) indicate maximum supination. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the supinator. Third strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the biceps brachii.

Observations:

The biceps is known to assist in supination due to its angle of pull on the radius. If the supinator is accurately marked by the recording electrodes, then supinator and biceps activity should coincide.

In Figure D39a,b the in-phase relationship between activation of the supinator and the biceps brachii is shown. Again, the activation is predominant at the extremes of motion.

While supinator activity may be accessible to surface

recording, the problem of contaminating the signal with finger and wrist flexor activity persists. Also, we see that biceps activation may be induced not only in the control of the forearm flexion angle, but also in forearm rotation.





ł



137



550113 GAIN A=B (1c) x | GMIN B=10 (2a)

No co-contraction



Finger Movements

5.0 Anatomical Considerations

Numerous muscles exist within the human hand, providing great dexterity. Since many of these small muscles lie deep within the hand, investigation of their functions through surface electrodes was not feasiable. However, a few of the hand muscles, such as the adductor pollicus and the abductor digiti minimi are more superifical. These muscles and their corresponding movements were investigated for two reasons: it was thought that they may be used to trigger other movements (See Section 3.1.2); and later during the project it was discovered that the robot would have the capability to move each finger.

The adductor pollicus muscle, which spans from the small bones of the wrist and the third metacarpel to the first phalanx of the thumb (Figure 12) is the sole muscle responsible for thumb adduction during low force contractions (Bigland-Ritchie, 1981). This characteristic makes it a very suitable muscle for investigation. Since the adductor is a small muscle which lies within close proximity of the abductor pollicus brevis and the flexor pollicus brevis, EMG activity from these muscles may also be detected with surface electrodes. However, if the thumb remains extended and the movement takes place such that



. .

Figure 12. Anterior view of the adductor muscles of the thumb and abductor muscles of the fifth digit on the right hand. (Adapted from <u>Structure and Function in Man</u> (p. 163) by S. W. Jacob and C. A. Francone, 1974, Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders Company.) gravity is the force initiating thumb abduction, the activity from these two muscles would be minimized.

The abductor digiti minimi (ADM) originates from the pisiform bone of the wrist and the flexor carpi ulnaris tendon, and inserts at the base of the proximal phalanx of the fifth digit (i.e. the pinky) (Figure 12). The ADM is not the only abductor of the pinky, but the other abductors (i.e. the interossei dorsales and opponens digiti minimi) are deeper muscles. Since the flexor digiti minimi brevis lies within close proximity to the ADM, an investigation with surface electrodes may also detect pinky flexion. However, as mentioned for thumb movement, if the pinky remains extended during an abduction task, EMG activity from the flexor muscle would be minimized.

5.1 Finger Movement Data

5.1.1 Thumb Adduction/Abduction

Special conditions: With and without cocontraction (Phase II only)

EMG: adductor pollicus

Description: Initial position; subject seated with forearm fully supinated and flexed to create a 90° intersegmental angle at the elbow; thumb held fully extended and abducted. The movement included the full ROM (maximum thumb adduction (i.e. without thumb flexion), then maximum thumb abduction). The thumb remained extended throughout the entire ROM.

Figures: D40 a; no cocontraction; D41 a; cocontraction. The EMG record for the <u>adductor pollicus</u> is shown in both D40a and D41a. Unfortunately measurement of thumb dis- placement was not possible given the nature of the movement and the size of the joint compared to the size of the goniometer.

Observations:

There appeared to be a on/off pattern to the adductor pollicus activity during both tasks (Figures D40a, D41a). During the data collection process it was observed that the rise and peak in adductor pollicus activity was coincident with thumb adduction and the fall in activity with thumb abduction. This EMG activity seemed fairly distinctive, however thumb adduction without thumb flexion is not a natural movement, but one which takes some concentration and practice. Thus thumb adduction/abduction may have potential for controlling a robot, but needs further investigation.





Medium SAMPLING RATE: 200 Samples/Sec/Channel MOVEMENT SPEED:



:

Medium SAMPLING RATE: 200 Samples/Sec/Channel MOVEMENT SPEED:

5.1.2 Pinky Abduction/Adduction; Transverse Plane; Phase II Only

EMG: abductor digiti minimi

Description: Initial position; subject seated with forearm fully pronated and flexed to create a 90° intersegmental angle at the elbow; pinky held fully extended and adducted, in contact with the fourth digit. The movement included the full ROM (maximum pinky abduction, then pinky adduction to a point where it contacted the fourth digit). The pinky remained extended throughout the entire ROM.

Figure: D42 a;

The EMG record for the <u>abductor</u> <u>digiti</u> <u>minimi</u> is shown in D42a. Unfortunately measurement of pinky displacement was not possible given the nature of the movement and the size of the joint compared to the size of the goniometer.

Observations:

As with the thumb adduction/abduction task, there appeared to be an on/off EMG activity pattern for the abductor digiti minimi (Figure D42a). During the data collection process it was observed that the rise and peak in activity coincided with pinky abduction and the fall in activity with pinky adduction. This movement may be more practical for controlling a robot, as it is not as difficult as thumb adduction without thumb flexion.



Figure D42a. ABDUCTOR DIGITI MINIMI ABDUCTION & ADDUCTION "Pinky"

NOVEMENT SPEED: Medium SAMPLING RATE: 100 Samples/Sec/Channel

Reaching Movements

6.0 Anatomical Considerations

Since a two degree-of-freedom reaching movement performed in the sagittal plane involves flexion and extension of the shoulder and elbow joints, many of the anatomical considerations have been discussed in previous sections. However the action of two-joint muscles, those which cross two joints and have important functions at both, (Basmajian, 1979) needs particular mention. Working alone these muscles can not function as a one-joint muscle because they pull directly from one end to the other with all parts of the muscle contracting (Basmajian, 1979).

The two-joint muscles directly involved in the reaching task are the biceps brachii and the triceps brachii. The biceps brachii, which crosses the glenohumeral and elbow joints, may function as an agonist in elbow or shoulder flexion, but is strongest as an elbow flexor. Maximal bicep activity may be expected in a countercurrent movement (Basmajian, 1979) (i.e. shoulder flexion and elbow flexion). However in a concurrent movement such as elbow extension and shoulder flexion, little if any bicep activity may be expected providing gravity is not the force responsible for elbow extension. In order for elbow extension to occur without the force of gravity acting, the biceps must relax, thus it can not provide shoulder flexion.

The triceps brachii also crosses the glenohumeral and elbow joints, and may function as an agonist in shoulder extension or elbow extension against resistance but is strongest as an elbow extensor. Similar to the biceps, maximal triceps activity would be expected in a countercurrent movement such as shoulder and elbow extension against resistance. Likewise little triceps activity would be expected in a concurrent movement such as shoulder extension and elbow flexion.

Since these data were collected on a sagittal plane reaching motion in a gravitational environment, the aforementioned activation patterns may not have been evident. However in transverse plane reaching tasks or a nongravitational environment the activation patterns of twojoint muscles should be apparent and would need to be considered for robot control.

6.1 Reaching Movement Data

<u>6.1.1 Reaching (Forearm Flexion, then Shoulder Flexion);</u> Sagittal Plane

Special conditions: Slow and moderate speeds

Phase I EMG: biceps brachii and anterior deltoid. <u>Phase II EMG</u>: biceps brachii, triceps brachii, anterior deltoid, and posterior deltoid.

Phase I description: Initial position; subject seated, right arm hanging relaxed at the side. Right side was facing the cameras. LEDs marked the wrist, elbow and shoulder. The subject was asked to perform a reaching motion in which forearm flexion preceeded shoulder flexion. The midpoint of the movement was when the arm was fully extended at shoulder level. From this midpoint, the movement was characterized by simultaneous extension of the humerus and flexion of the forearm until the humerus was approximately in line with the trunk. Then, the forearm was extended until the arm was fully extended along the side of the body.

Phase II description: Initial position; subject standing in FSP, right arm relaxed at the side. The subject was asked to perform the reaching motion, similar to that of Phase I: elbow flexion to approximately 90°, followed by simultaneous shoulder flexion and elbow extension. The midpoint of the movement was the same as Phase I: a fully extended arm held at shoulder level. From this position the movement was completed just as it was in Phase I.

Phase I figures: D43 a; D44 a; D45 a; position-time data with EMG: D43 b; D44 b; D45 b; stick-figures of reaching. Top strip chart (1Y) = displacement representinga change in vertical position of the wrist. Peaks (e.g. 1000 mm) occur when the wrist is at shoulder level. Minimum values (e.g. 450 mm) occur when the arm is suspended at the side of the body. Second strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the biceps brachii. The biceps was monitored as the prime forearm flexor. Third strip chart (2Y) = displacement data representing a change in the vertical position of the elbow. Maximum values (e.g. 960 mm) occur when the upper arm has been raised to shoulder level in the sagittal plane. Minimum values correspond to an upper arm position parallel to the trunk. Fourth strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the anterior deltoid. The anterior deltoid was monitored as the prime mover in humeral flexion.

<u>Phase II figures:</u> D46 a,b,c,d,e,f,g; D47 a,b,c,d,e,f. EMG activity for the <u>biceps</u> and <u>triceps</u> is displayed in the

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

top graphs of D46a, b and D47a, b. The bottom graphs of D46a and D47a display displacement representing a change in the angle at the elbow (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension). The bottom graphs of D46b and D47b display <u>anterior</u> and <u>posterior deltoid</u> activity. The top graphs of D46c, d and D47c display EMG activity from the <u>biceps</u> and <u>anterior deltoid</u>. The bottom graphs display <u>triceps</u>, <u>posterior deltoid</u>, and <u>triceps</u> and <u>posterior</u> <u>deltoid</u> activity respectively. Figures D46e and D47d display <u>posterior deltoid</u> activity in the top graph and <u>anterior deltoid</u> activity in the bottom graph. Raw EMG data is displayed in the top graphs of D46f and D47e, for the <u>biceps</u>, and in D46g and D47f for the <u>triceps</u>. The corresponding processed EMG signal is displayed in the bottom graphs for each of the aforementioned figures.

Observations:

Phase I: Biceps activity: As seen in Figure D43a, biceps activity rose with the increasing magnitude of forearm flexion. From approximately .6 to 1.8 seconds biceps activity held a relative plateau, then declined. The biceps activation pattern suggested that a flexion angle was maintained at the elbow during the .6 to 1.8 second period. However, this was not the case. When the elbow rose to shoulder level, indicated by the peak in 2Y at 1.2 seconds, the forearm was in an extended position and the wrist, elbow, and shoulder were colinear. With no flexion at the elbow one might expect that there would be no EMG activity from the biceps. As can be seen across reaching trials, Thus the peak plateau in biceps EMG this was not the case. activity did not correlate well with the forearm flexion/extension pattern evidenced in single joint movements. The fact that the biceps displayed a high

activation level while the forearm was extended at the midpoint of the reach, pointed out the bi-articular nature of the biceps. This high-level activation may have had more to do with shoulder activity than elbow activity.

Anterior deltoid (2Y) activity corresponded well to the flexion/extension pattern at the shoulder. Peak deltoid activity occured just prior to maximum shoulder flexion, and declined with a slope similar to the slope of the displacement curve. As shown with single-segment tasks (shoulder flexion only) the EMG activity of the anterior deltoid corresponded well with the position-time data.

<u>Phase II:</u> The activity pattern of the biceps was very similar to that displayed in Phase I; peak activity was reached during initial forearm flexion, and the activity remained elevated throughout the rest of the reaching task which included forearm extension at the elbow. Bicep activity did not return to a baseline level until the movement was completed and the arm was fully extended at the side (Figures D46a, D47a). These results provide further evidence for the bi-articular nature of the biceps.

There was a gradual rise in tricep activity (Figures D46a, D47a) which peaked prior to maximum extension at the elbow, when the entire arm was fully extended with an approximate 90° angle of shoulder flexion. (Note. There, were no records of changes in the shoulder angle for these

trails.) Since the triceps is a two-joint muscle functional in forearm and shoulder extension against resistance, this peak may have been related to either shoulder or forearm action. However, since eccentric activity of the biceps would control forearm extension in the sagittal plane, this peak was probably related to the effort to slow shoulder flexion as the humerus reached its reversal point.

Raw EMG data for both the biceps (Figures D46f, D47e) and triceps (Figures D46g, D47f) appeared noisey. Thus the processed data, displayed in the bottom graphs of the same figures, may not have provided the true muscle signal if the noise evident in the raw data were included. These data showed the importance of a clean signal. A robot driven by this raw data would not produce very accurate limb movements.

Anterior deltoid activity rose to a single peak, which occured after the peak in biceps activity (Figures D46b,c,d, D47b,c). Since humeral displacement was not recorded, it was estimated from the displacement graph of the elbow that as in Phase I, anterior deltoid activity correlated well with shoulder flexion/extension. EMG activity from the posterior deltoid, a shoulder extensor, also was monitered. However, the large spikes evident in Figures D46d,e and D47d indicated artifact. Thus posterior deltoid activity analysis was conducted with caution. The data may have

indicated that the posterior deltoid activity was initiated by a stretch during shoulder flexion, and/or functioned during shoulder extension to pull the humerus behind the trunk, as viewed from the sagittal plane.



Figure D43a. Elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement in the sagittal plane.



<u>Figure D43b.</u> Stick figure of elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement.

Elbow & Shoulder Flexion -Reaching motion Emt = Binept, Deltoid 1



Figure D44a. Elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement in the sagittal plane.



Figure D44b. Stick figure of elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement.

154

÷.

Elbow + Shoulder FLexion Reaching Morron EMG = Biceps Deltoid (A)











250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:

Elbow Extension Elbow Flexion Signal Magnitude --Signal Magnitude --Increasing Decreasing Goniometer Key:





250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:



Figure D46c. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Elbow Movement Emphasized 250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:





250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:



<u>F1gure D46e.</u> SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Elbow Movement Emphasized

SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:



SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Elbow Movement Emphasized Figure D46f.

250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:



FIRUTE D468. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Elbow Movement Emphasized

250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:

.162



<u>Figure D47a.</u> SMOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Elbow Movement Emphasized

250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:

Goniometer Key: Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension



SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Elbow Movement Emphamized Figure D47b.

250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:



<u>Figure D47c.</u> SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Elbow Movement Emphasized

250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:



Figure D47d. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Elbow Movement Emphasized

250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:



& ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Elbow Movement Emphasized Figure D47e. SHOULDER

250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:



Figure D47f. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Elbow Movement Emphasized

250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:

<u>6.1.2 Reaching (Forearm Flexion then Shoulder Flexion);</u> Sagittal Plane

Special conditions: With and without cocontraction (Phase I only)

EMG: biceps brachii and triceps brachii

Description: Initial position; subject seated, right arm hanging relaxed at the side. Right side was facing the cameras. LEDs marked the wrist, elbow and shoulder. The subject was asked to perform a reaching motion in which forearm flexion preceeded shoulder flexion. The midpoint of the movement was when the arm was fully extended at shoulder level. From this midpoint, the movement was characterized by simultaneous extension of the humerus and flexion of the forearm until the humerus was approximately in line with the trunk. Then, the forearm was extended until the arm was fully extended along the side of the body.

Figures: D48 a,b,c; D49 a,b; D50 a,b,c; Top strip chart (3Y) = displacement representing a change in vertical position of the wrist. Peaks (e.g. 70 mm) occur when the wrist is at shoulder level. Minimum values (e.g. 20 mm) occur when the humerus is in line with the body and the forearm is at a 90° angle with respect to the humerus. Second strip chart (2Y) = displacement data representing a change in the vertical position of the elbow. Maximum values (e.g. 55 mm) occur when the upper arm has been raised to shoulder level in the sagittal plane. Minimum values (20mm) occur when the longitudinal axis of the humerus is parallel to the longitudinal axis of the trunk. Third strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the biceps brachii. The biceps was monitored as the prime forearm flexor. Fourth strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the triceps brachii. The triceps was monitored to determine its role in control of the extension phase and its level of activation during shoulder flexion.

Observations:

Without cocontraction, biceps activation seemed substantially reduced when compared with previous trials (Figures D43, D44, D45). Peak activation in the biceps now occurred during the recovery phase; that is, while the humerus was being returned to the side. This late biceps

peak may have been related to controlling the tendency for gravity and inertia of the forearm to cause extension at the elbow. Although this late biceps peak was consistent in its phase relationship with the movement, this was clearly a much different pattern than that observed on other trials with other subjects. These kinds of intersubject differences point to potential difficulties in the design of an algorithm intended to merge the influences of multiple muscles in movement control and maintain its applicability across multiple subjects.

The triceps was not the agonist in shoulder extension since gravity was operating and there was no resistance. So the shoulder flexors controlled extension eccentrically. The triceps peak observed in these trials coincided with maximum shoulder flexion and may pertain more to countertorque slowing flexion, than to any attempt at extension control. This peak may also have been a function of an activation induced by stretch.

When the reaching action was performed under conditions of tension (intentional cocontraction) activation levels were generally increased, and biceps activity was evident much earlier in the action. As seen in Figure D48a,b,c the biceps was active in the early stages of shoulder flexion. This pattern more closely approximated that seen in earlier trials. However, the "cost" in variability was high. Note
. . ..

171

for example the variations in the triceps pattern across Figures D50a,b,c. While it may have been useful to "set" the muscles to something other than the minimum level of activation when working without resistance, control of the tension level was quite variable and not conducive to a consistent control signal.

.







Figure D49. Elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement in the sagittal plane.

.





<u>6.1.3 Reaching (Forearm Flexion then Shoulder Flexion);</u> Sagittal Plane

Special conditions: With and without co-contraction (Phase I only)

EMG: anterior deltoid and latissimus dorsi

Description: Inital position; subject seated, right arm hanging relaxed at the side. Right side was facing the cameras. LEDs marked the wrist, elbow and shoulder. The subject was asked to perform a reaching motion initiated from a flexed forearm position, humerus aligned with the trunk. The midpoint of the movement was when the arm was extended at shoulder level. From this midpoint, the movement was characterized by simultaneous extension of the humerus and flexion of the forearm until the humerus was approximately in line with the trunk.

Figures: D51 a,b; D52 a,b,c;

Top strip chart (3Y) = displacement representing a change in vertical displacement of the wrist. Peaks (e.g. 60 mm) occur when the wrist is at shoulder level. Minimum values (e.g. 20 mm) occur when the humerus is in line with the body and the forearm is at a 90° angle with respect to the humerus. Second strip chart (2Y) = displacement data representing a change in the vertical position of the elbow. Maximum values (e.g. 55 mm) occur when the upper arm has been raised to shoulder level in the sagittal plane. Minimum values (20mm) occur when the longitudinal axis of the humerus is parallel to the longitudinal axis of the trunk. Third strip chart (1A) = EMG recording from the anterior deltoid. The anterior deltoid was monitored as a prime mover in shoulder flexion. Fourth strip chart (2A) = EMG recording from the <u>latissimus dorsi</u>. The latissimus dorsis was monitored to determine its role in control of the extension phase and its level of activation during shoulder flexion.

Observations:

The anterior deltoid (1A) exhibited a good phase relationship with the flexion/extension pattern of the shoulder. This was expected as the anterior deltoid was shown in single-segment tasks to correlate well with shoulder flexion. The latissimus dorsi, on the other hand,

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

176

is a shoulder extensor under conditions of resistance. Again, when assisted by gravity, shoulder extension was controlled by the eccentric contraction of the agonist, or anterior deltoid. Under these no-resistance conditions, it was hard to envision the latissimus dorsi having a controlling influence on shoulder extension. The peak latissimus dorsi activity occured at the peak of shoulder flexion. This activity most likely related to movement artifact or passive stretch.

Under conditions of cocontraction tension levels increased, and once again there was increased variability. Note the changes in EMG patterns across trials D52a,b,c. We experimented with cocontraction trials to see if a reasonable control signal could be evoked from superifical muscles that were perhaps not prime movers, unless under conditions of resistance. While signal strength was increased under these circumstances, the variability in signal pattern also increased substantially. This variability eliminated cocontraction as a functional strategy in finding a reliable control signal for limb positioning.



Figure D51. Elbow flexion then shoulder flexion reaching movement in the sagittal plane.

.

: `





6.1.4 Normal Reaching Movement; Sagittal Plane

Special conditions: Slow and moderate speeds (Phase II only)

EMG: biceps brachii, triceps brachii, anterior deltoid and posterior deltoid.

Description: Initial position; subject in FSP, right arm hanging relaxed at the side. Subject was asked to perform a normal reaching motion; simultaneous forearm flexion, shoulder flexion, and forearm extension to reach the midpoint of the movement where the arm was fully extended and at an approximate 90° angle with the trunk, as viewed from the sagittal plane; the movement continued with simultaneous forearm flexion, shoulder extension and forearm extension to return to FSP.

Figures: D53 a,b; D54 a,b; D55 a,b,c,d,e; D56 a,b,c,d,e. EMG records from the biceps and anterior deltoid are displyed in the top graphs of D53a, b, D54a, b, D55b, and D56b. Displacement representing a change in angle is displayed in the bottom graphs of D53a and D54a, for the shoulder, and in D55a and D56a for the elbow (peaks indicate maximum flexion; valleys indicate maximum extension). EMG records from the biceps and triceps are displayed in the bottom graphs of $\overline{D53b}$, and $\overline{D54b}$, and in the top graphs of D55a.c and D56a.c. EMG records from the triceps and posterior deltoid are displayed in the bottom graphs of D55b and D56b. EMG records from the anterior <u>deltoid</u> and <u>posterior</u> <u>deltoid</u> are displayed in the bottom graphs of D55c and D56c. Raw EMG data is displayed in the top graphs of D55d, and D56d, for the <u>biceps</u>, and in D55e and D56e for the triceps. The corresponding processed EMG signal is displayed in the bottom graphs of each of the aforementioned figures.

Observations:

This normal reaching motion had some similarities to that conducted in section 6.1.1, however, there also were some differences. Bicep activity peaked during the first forearm flexion (i.e. prior to the midpoint of the movement) then gradually tapered off in the slow movement trials (Figures D55a, D56a), but displayed a double peak in the

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

180

moderate speed movement trials (Figure D53a, D54a). This second peak was probably related to forearm flexion that took place after the midpoint of the movement, during shoulder extension (Figures D53a, D54a). Tricep activity again displayed a slight rise (Figures D53b, D54b, D55a, D56a), which may have been used to decrease the speed of shoulder flexion, or perhaps hyperextend the elbow joint (more evident in Figures D55a, D56a, as peak triceps activity occured at maximal elbow extension). As in section 6.1.1 (Phase II) the raw data for both the biceps and triceps did not correspond well with the processed signal (Figures D55d,e, D56d,e). These results clarify the importance of obtaining a clean signal.

Anterior deltoid activity correlated well with shoulder flexion as expected (Figures D53a, D54a). Once again it was evident that elevated bicep activity may have been related to shoulder flexion. Posterior deltoid activity displayed a very different pattern from that displayed in Phase II of section 6.2.1 (Figures D55b,c, D56b,c). This activity, which was very distinct and almost completely out of phase with the anterior deltoid activity, may reflect the effort to decrease the speed of shoulder flexion.



Goniometer Key:

Increasing Signal Magnitude --- Shoulder Flexion Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Shoulder Extension





SAMPLING RATE:

Medium

400 Samples/Sec/Channel



Shoulder Flexion Shoulder Extension Magnitude ---Magnitude ---Signal Signal Increasing Decreasing Goniometer Keyı 1



SAMPLING RATE: 400 Samples/Sec/Channel FIGUTE D54b. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE MOVEMENT SPEED: Medium



250 Samples/Sec/Channel Figure D55a. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:

Elbow Flexion Elbow Extension 1 1 Signal Magnitude Signal Magnitude Increasing Decreasing Goniometer Key:



SAMPLING RATE: 250 Samples/Sec/Channel Figure D55b. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Slow MOVEMENT SPEED :



SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE MOVEMENT SPEED: Figure D55c.

SAMPLING RATE:

Slow

250 Samples/Sec/Channel



250 Samples/Sec/Channel FIRUTE D554. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:





SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE Figure D56a. 250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:

Goniometer Key:

Increasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Flexion Decreasing Signal Magnitude -- Elbow Extension





250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:



250 Samples/Sec/Channel Figure D56c. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:



250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT SPEED:



Figure D56e. SHOULDER & ELBOW - REACHING IN THE SAGITTAL PLANE

250 Samples/Sec/Channel SAMPLING RATE: Slow MOVEMENT' SPEED :

SECTION VI: SUMMARY

The specific goals of this project were to establish the "goodness" of the human myoelectric signal for use as a control signal, and to determine a mathematical relationship between the human myoelectric signal and the corresponding limb displacement for a variety of conditions in a onedegree-of-freedom movement. The investigation was seen as a two phase process. In the project reported here we completed the first of these phases.

The first phase examined previously established EMG/force relationships for isometric and constant velocity muscle contractions. Data collection was supposed to focus upon a simple one-degree-of-freedom movement (i.e. elbow flexion/extension). Collected data were to be processed and analyzed with emphasis on assessment of the quality of the EMG signal as a potential control signal. However, the first phase investigated numerous tasks ranging from a simple elbow flexion/extension task to a more complex reaching task. These data were qualitatively analyzed and assessed in reference to use as potential control signals.

Collectively the data from the elbow flexion/extension tasks, conducted in both the sagittal and transverse planes under a variety of conditions, showed the expected phase relationships. Agonist muscles (i.e. elbow flexors) showed a lot of activity during sagittal plane elbow flexion, and

little activity during elbow extension as gravity acted to return the forearm to its original position. Antagonist muscles (i.e. elbow extensors) showed little if any activity during sagittal plane elbow flexion/extension. In the transverse plane elbow flexion/extension task, which lacked the influence of gravity, the flexors were active during elbow flexion and the extensors during elbow extension. However, there were large variations within the data of one subject and the problem of 'load sharing' among muscles seemed apparent. In addition, the importance of sampling rate and its effect upon the data became evident. Thus, the elbow flexion/extension data appeared to be adequate for a potential control signal in some but not all cases.

Shoulder movement data was collected across a variety of conditions for all 3 degrees-of-freedom at the glenohumeral joint: (1) flexion/extension; (2) abduction and adduction; and (3) internal/external rotation. In isolated flexion/extension and abduction/adduction tasks in the sagittal and frontal planes respectively, the agonist and antagonist muscle activity demonstrated good phasic relationships and corresponded to the movements. Unfortunately neither of these tasks were performed in the transverse plane, so unlike a nongravitational environment, the effect of gravity was evident. However, at least under the conditions tested, these two degrees of freedom appeared

to have potential for control signals. The internal and external rotation task data did not demonstrate a clear distinction between muscles defined as internal and external rotators. Thus myoelectric signals from this degree of freedom may not be attainable for the purposes of control.

Similarly data from the pronation/supination task did not show a clear distinction between the pronator and the supinators, except at the extremes of the range of motion. So EMG data from this movement would not be attainable for control.

Data from the forearm flexor and extensor groups demonstrated good phasic relationships with each of the corresponding movements: grasping and wrist flexion/extension. However, these movements were isolated, and the muscles are all within close proximity of each other. Thus if these movements were combined with each other or other hand and forearm movements, the distinction evident in isolated tasks may be lost. Thus precise control would be lost. However, use of these movements in isolated movement used to trigger tasks' (i.e. a specific isolated movement used to trigger a different movement).

Thumb and 'pinky' movements were investigated as potential trigger movements. EMG data from both of these movements appeared to correspond well with the observed movement. Thus for movements which did not provide differentiation between agonist and antagonist EMG signals, there were potential trigger movements.

The most complex movement investigated was a twodegree-of-freedom reaching task performed in the sagittal plane. For each subject, the EMG data appeared to correspond well with the displacement data. However, the action of two-joint muscles became evident. For example the biceps was activated for both elbow and shoulder flexion. If a two-joint muscle signal were to be used for a control signal, there were need to be some means of determining which movement is elicited by activation of that muscle. Also, comparison of the reaching movement data across subjects showed few similarities. Perhaps the problems associated with control of a one-degree of-freedom robot from EMG signals need to be dealt with before control of a more complex movement is undertaken.

In summary the first phase of this project established that myoelectric signals from simpler movements, under some conditions, have potential for control signals. Myoelectric signals from movements conducted by agonists and antagonists which are far from the surface, or in close proximity have little potential for control signals (i.e. with surface electrodes). In addition, it would be easier to establish control signals from muscles which have only one function or those which span only one joint as opposed to muscles which

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

199

have multiple functions and span multiple joints.

Future research is necessary to analyze these data quantitatively. The results of these analyses will lead to the second phase in exploration of the efficacy of using the human myoelectric signal as a control signal for a robot: determination of a relationship between the myoelectric signal and limb displacement.

NAG 5-895 EMG Signals

200

References

- An, K.N., Hui, F.C., Morrey, B.F., Linscheid, R.L., & Chao, E.Y. (1981). Muscles across the elbow joint: A biomechanical analysis. <u>Journal of Biomechanics</u>, <u>14</u>, 659-559.
- Asmussen, E. (1979). Muscle fatigue. <u>Medicine and Science</u> <u>Sports</u>, <u>11</u>, 313-321.
- Baley, J.A., & Piscopo, J. (1981). <u>Kinesiology: The</u> <u>science of movement</u>. New York: Wiley.
- Basmajian, J.V. (1979). <u>Muscles Alive</u>, 4th Ed. Balt: Williams & Wilkins.
- Basmajian, J.V., & Latif, A. (1957). Integrated actions and functions of the chief flexors of the elbow. The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, 39A, 1106-1118.
- Bigland, B., & Lippold, O.C.J. (1954). The relation between force, velocity and integrated electrical activity in human muscles. <u>Journal of Physiology</u>, <u>123</u>, 214-224.
- Bigland-Ritchie, B. (1981). EMG/Force relations and fatigue of human voluntary contractions. In D.I. Miller (Ed.), Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews, vol. 9, (pp. 75-117). Philadelphia: Franklin Institute Press.
- Bigland-Ritchie, B., Kukulka, C.G., & Woods, J.J. (1980). Absence of neuromuscular block in fatigue of maximum voluntary contractions. Neuroscience Abstracts, 7, 478.
- Burke, R.E. (1981). Motor units: Anatomy, physiology, and functional organization. In V.B. Brooks (Ed.), <u>American</u> <u>Physiologival Society Handbook of Physiology Series</u> <u>Vol.4, Motor Systems</u>. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins.
- Burt, I.J. (1986). Investigation of using myoelectric signals as the man machine interface in robotics. Unpublished manuscript.
- Childress, D.S. Myoelectric control of limb prostheses. Unpublished manuscript.
- Childress, D.S. (1982). Myoelectric control of powered prostheses. <u>Engineering in Medicine and Biology</u>, Dec, 23-25.

- Childress, D.S. (1980). Closed-loop control in prosthetic systems: Historical perspective. <u>Annals of Biomedical</u> <u>Engineering</u>, 8, 293-303.
- Childress, D.S. (1973). Powered limb prostheses: Their clinical significance. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical engineering, BME-20, 200-207.
- Childress, D.S., Holmes, D.W., & Billock, J.N. (1974). Ideas on myoelectric prosthetic systems for upper extremity amputees. In P.Herberts, R.Kadefors, R.Magnusson, & I.Petersen (Eds.), <u>The Control of Upper Extremity Prostheses and Orthoses</u>, pp. 86-106, Springfield, IL: C.C.Thomas.
- Doss, W.S., & Karpovich, P.V. (1965). A comparison of concentric, eccentric, and isometric strength of elbow flexors. Journal of Applied Physiology, 20, 351-353.
- Doubler, J.A., & Childress, D.S. (1984). An analysis of extended physiological proprioception as a prosthesis control technique. <u>Journal of Rehabilitation Research</u> <u>and Development</u>, <u>21</u>, 5-18.
- Doubler, J.A., & Childress, D.S. (1984). Design and evaluation of a prosthesis control system based on the concept of extended physiological proprioception. Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development, 21, 19-31.
- Dul, J., Townsend, M.A., Shiavi, R., & Johnson, G.E. (1984). Muscular synergism-I. On criteria for load sharing between synergistic muscles. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Biomechanics</u>, 17, 663-673.
- Edwards, R.H.T. (1981). Human muscle function and fatigue. In R. Porter & J. Whelan (Eds.). <u>Human Muscle Fatigue:</u> <u>Physiological Mechanisms</u>, (pp. 1-18). London: Pitman Medical.
- Engin, A.E. (1980). On the biomechaics of the shoulder complex. Journal of Biomechanics, 13, 575-590.
- Gordon, A.M., Huxley, A.F., & Julian, F.J. (1966). The variation in isometric tension with sarcomere length in vertebrate muscle fibers. Journal of Physiology, <u>184</u>, 170-192.

- Hagberg, M. (1981). Muscular endurance and surface electromyogram in isometric and dynamic exercise. Journal of Applied Physiology, 51, 1-7.
- Hagberg, M., & Ericson, B.-E. (1982). Myoelectric power spectrum dependence on muscular contraction level of elbow flexors. <u>European Journal of Applied Physiology</u>, 48, 147-156.
- Heckathorne, C.W., & Childress, D.S. (1981). Relationships of the surface electromyogram to the force, length, velocity, and contraction rate of the cineplastic human biceps. American Journal of Physical Medicine, 60, 1-19.
- Henneman, E. (1974). Organization of the spinal cord. In V.B. Mountcastle (Ed.), <u>Medical Physiology Vol. 1</u>. St Louis: C.V. Mosby.
- Hill, A.V. (1938). The heat of shortening and dynamic constants of muscle. Proceeding of the Royal Society of Britian, 126, 136-195.
- Inman, V.T., Saunders, J.B. dec M., & Abbot, L.C. (1944). Observations on the funtion of the shoulder joint. <u>The</u> <u>Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery</u>, 26A, 1-30.
- Kreighbaum, E., & Barthels, K.M. (1981). <u>Biomechanics: A</u> <u>qualitative approach for studying human movement</u>. <u>Minneapolis: Burgess.</u>
- Liberson, W.T., Dondey, M., & Maxim, M. (1962). Brief reported isometric maximal exercises: An evaluaiont by integrative electromyography. <u>American Journal of</u> <u>Physical Medicine</u>, 41, 3-14.
- Lippold, O.C.J. (1952). The relation between integrated action potentials in a human muscle and its isometric tension. Journal of Physiology, 117, 492-499.
- Loeb, G.E., McHardy, J., Kelliher, E.M., & Brummer, S.B. (1982). Neural prostheses. In D.F. Williams (Ed.), <u>Biocompatibility in Clinical Practice</u>, <u>Vol II</u>, pp.124-149. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Luttgens, K., & Wells, K.F. (1982). <u>Kinesiology</u> <u>Scientific</u> <u>Basis of Human Motion</u>, <u>7th Ed</u>. New York: Saunders.

- Moritani, T., & deVries, H.A. (1978). Re-examination of the relationship between the surface integrated
- electromyogram (IEMG) and force of isometric contraction. American Journal of Physical Medicine, <u>57</u>, 263-277.
- Parker, P.A., & Scott, R.N. (1986). Myoelectric control of prostheses. <u>CRC Critical Reviews in Biomedical</u> Engineering, 13, 283-310.
- Rodgers, K.L. & Berger, R.A. (1974). Motor unit involvement and tension during maximum voluntary concentric, eccentric and isometric contractions of the elbow flexors. <u>Medicine and Science in Sports</u>, <u>6</u>, 253-259.
- Rubenstein, C.P. (1984). Electronic arms and legs: Meeting the bionic challenge. <u>IEEE Potentials</u>, Dec, 25-28.
- Singh, M., & Karpovich, P.V. (1966). Isotonic and isometric forces for forearm flexors and extensors. Journal of Applied Physiology, 21, 1435-1437.
- Singh, M., & Karpovich, P.V. (1967). Effect of eccentric training of agonists on antagonistic muscles. Journal of <u>Applied Physiology</u>, 23, 742-745.
- Stevens, J.A., & Taylor, A. (1972). Fatigue of maintained voluntary muscle contraction in man. <u>Journal of</u> Physiology (London), 220, 1-18.
- Wakim, K.G., Gersten, J.W., Elkins, E.C., & Martin, G.M. (1950). Objective recording of muscle strength. Archives of Physical Medicine, 31, 90-99.
- Winter, D.A. (1979). <u>Biomechanics of human movement</u>. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Youm, Y., Ireland, D.C.R., Sprague, B.G., & Flatt, A.E. (1976). Moment arm analysis of the prime wrist movers. <u>Biomechanics</u> V-A, 355-365.

Appendix A

· · ·

SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

1HSA-	Elbow FLEXION	Shoulder	
			Disk:
			Disk:
	******	<u></u>	
		phone	
Height		_ Weight	
Forear	`m	Thigh _	
pper Ar	°m	Shank	
Trun	ık	Foot	
Other:			
		Body D	iagram
		3 shoulder	
		\backslash	
		\backslash	
		\backslash	
		2	· ·
		-	
	·		1
			274
n/ her m			
טוספע אי	1113		
	J#SA - Height Forear pper Ar Trur Other:	IHSA - Elbod FLexion Height	/#\$A

.

.

Calibration Data

Calibration File: Newcal, 518 Disk: 17 Creation Date: _<u>5/14/49</u>____ Disk: 17 Reference File: New Ref. 515 Creation Date: _____ Study: <u>MAGA</u>_____ Clarke Investigator: Mensur PROMS: 200 HZ Aim _____ Analog? AIM Alt: _ C3.VI: Field of View Х Y Cam1 ------Cam2 No. of Frames used in calibration: Cam1 Cam2 5.295 7.59 Average Distance: Cam2 Cam1 Camera Set-up: radius Cam1 Cam2 angle,0 Cam2 tilt Cam1 height Cam1 Cam2 Diagram: Cam ___ Cam ____ Cam <u>:__</u> Cam File Titles:

Comments: -. 95 scule fictor

· · .

206

۰.
	Refer	ence Creati	on	
Reference File: Creation Date:	New Ref 5/14/47	. 518	D	isk: <u>17</u>
Investigator: Study:	Aunsen /: NASK	il, ke		
Reference Desci	iption:			
LED Coordinat # X Y	 es (in mm) ? 2	Detected Cam1	Light Level Cam2	Aperatur
$1 - 0 \le 1$ 2 - 0 - 105	<u> </u>			_ Cam1 Cam2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40 20			-
$\begin{array}{c} 6 & 0 & 105 \\ 7 & 598 & 51 \\ 7 & 598 & 100 \\ 7 & 598 & 100 \\ 7 & 100 & $	2 580			- - - -
Analog:				-
$1 \frac{MV}{1}$	Offset Sca	ale factor 95	Descri	ption
2				
<u>ع</u>	بست هذا الله علي ست وية ايتب كيد متابعة ايرب ا	مین اور میلی میں بین بین مال اور میں بین مال	میں میں میں اسام اسام ایران میں میٹر میں والے کے مال	
5				
6				
7	، حتار هذا هود الب الب علي ها جد الي خلي علي هو .			
Reference Diagr	am: (mark a	and number	LED location	s)
Reference plane	:			_ /
front back		<u> </u>		
			1	
				-
			L	
				·:··
		\mathbf{n}		
2		¥		-
2	۷			
> For hanging ref	erence: Fro	ont track		
> For hanging ref	erence: Fro Bac	ont track ck track		
<pre>> For hanging ref SSS Form #1</pre>	erence: Fro Bao	ont track ck track		

D

~ C

page 1 of 2 208

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records Investigator: New Ref New cal. 518 Date: 5/18/47 Study: FILES Note : Forearm in Neutral Positio COMMENTS TRIAL Gain A = Bicep = 16 <u>1</u> <u>550093</u>. RAW DISK <u>17</u> <u>B= Delt = 4</u> <u>550093</u>. POS DISK <u>17</u> <u>ElLow Flaxion A= Bilep B= Delt</u> - Slow . POF DISK DISK 17 Ellow Flexion " "TR#2 550095 .RAW ~2 550094 . POS DISK 17 . POF DISK 550095 DISK 17 TR# 3 FILow Flexion A= Bicco B= Dett __.RAW 530095 DISK 17 . POS DISK _____ . POF DISK 18 Gain A= 10 Gain 13:3 550096 .RAW DISK 18 TR # 4 Shoulder Flegion A - Bieg & Filt Sh <50096 .POS . POF DISK 550097 . RAW DISK 18 TR#5 Should Flex " Slow 5 350097 .POS DISK 1 . POF DISK DISK 18 TK#6 Shouldren Flexion Slow 6 550098 . RAW 550098 DISK 17 .POS . POF DISK DISK 19 TRAELLON Flaxion B=5 V7 550099 .RAW __.POS <<0099 DISK 19 . POF DISK <u>550100</u>. RAW DISK <u>19</u> <u>TK# 8 Elbow Flexion</u> - Fast <u>550100</u>. POS DISK <u>19</u> DISK .POF

SSS Form #4 4/87

page 2 of 2 209

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

Date: 5/14/47 Investigator: Study: _ TRIAL FILES Gain COMMENTS A = 4 13:5 q DISK 19 TK#9 550101 Elbow Flexion A= Bing . RAW 550101 DISK 19 · . POS B= Delt . POF DISK 8:2 Gain A = 10 DISK 20 TRH 10 Should Flex 10 0 550102 .RAW 550102 . POS DISK 20 1-10 3=2 FAST . POF DISK DISK 20 TR#11 Shoulder Flexion 550103 . RAW DISK 20 A= Rice, B= Delt FAST 550103 . POS Passibly lot Write LUV . POF DISK DISK 20 TR # 12 Shoulder Flexion Fast 550104 .12 .RAW 350104 DISK 20 . POS . POF DISK Gain A=10 8+2 DISK 21 TR#13 Elbow Flex - Should Flex 13 550105 .RAW 550105 DISK 21 .POS . POF DISK DISK 21 TR #14 Ellow Flex - She Idex Flex 550106 .RAW 350106 . POS DISK 21 A=10 B=2 . POF DISK DISK 22 TR# 15 Elbow Flex - Shaller Flex 550107 .RAW 15 550102 DISK 22 A-10 13-2 . POS . POF DISK DISK 22 TR # 16 Elbow Flex Shoulder Flago 550108 .RAW DISK 22 Closed fist / Natured Nation 550108 . POS . POF DISK

SSS Form #4 4/87

210 NASA DATA DATE: ______ SUBJECT: Rich Seibert INVESTIGATOR(S): Truly & Clarke a) Initial position: Illin / Initial MOVEMENT DIAGRAM: b) Direction of 1st movement: Flexin c) Definition of 1 repetition **MOVEMENT:** 30 : Flexed c) Definition of 1 repetition: Flex = 10 Ext A init. moi 1 DATA FILE NAME: ELFE 43. DAT Start (Forfended) MYOLAB I MUSCLE GRP GAIN SCRN CH <u>FSV</u> Tric 1 1854/1 -1876 CH A Bilep 2 1.70%/1 -.898 CH B MYOLAB II Row Bivep 4-5 1,706/, CH A CH B GONIOMETER DOF MEASURED SCRN CH <u>FSV</u> 1.70%/1 .927 JT1: Boni JT2: SAMPLING RATE: 2000 samples/sec MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED SLOW NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: NUMBER OF SETS: 3 INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 409600 COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 3 Only trials which appear to give good paw data readings ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Goni. slipper 1st set

			NASA D	ATA	In /	1 -	211
		scoll			DATE:3	0/47	_
	INVESTIGATO	R(S): Jussell /	Tuenty /	Clarke	•	<u> </u>	_
	MOVEMENT a) Initia b) Direct c) Defini	bot Morment - & al position: Ex tion of 1st mov ition of 1 repe	[low Flex t ement: F tition: Flex - E	eExt MON lex	EMENT DIAGE	RAM:	
	DATA FILE NA	AME: Quikflex	. dat		1		
	MYOLAB I	MUSCLE GRP	GAIN	SCRN CH	· <u>FSV</u>	BL	
	CH A						_
	CH B	Bicep	4	2	1.708/1	.078	•
	MYOLAB II						
	CH A	Tricep	4	_ 1=6	1.708/1	- 1.46	2_
	CH B	•					
	GONIOMETER	DOF MEASUR	ED	SCRN CH	FSV	<u>BL</u>	
	JT1:	ELBOW FLEX	RIENSION	>	1.708/1	. 761	
	JT2:						
	(SAMPLING RATE SAMPLING RAT (perchannel) NUMBER OF RE NUMBER OF SE	: <u>2000</u>] ÷ [Num TE: <u>333</u> samp EPETITIONS/SET: ETS: <u>2</u>	mBER OF IN les/sec	PUT CHANNELS	ACTIVATED 6	-] -> Med s	LOW
	INITIALIZED	DATA FILE SIZE	: 204	808			
	COLLECTED D	ATA FILE SIZE:_	396	23	,		
	ADDITIONAL	COMMENTS:			,	: :`•	,
×	HOLD in FL	EXED position - a	eccluste	d movement	the exten	DED pos	sition
0/	nd HOLD, etc.				•	، در در	

•

•

. .

•

.

.

.

•

•

.

••••

SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Date:		
Pofononce Filet		
Reference file		Disk
Calibration File:		Disk
Subject Data:		
Name:		phone
Age	Height	Weight
Segments Lengths:	Forearm _	Thigh
	Upper Arm _	Shank
	Trunk _	Foot
	Other:	
LED Setup		Body Diagram
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		· · · ·
8		
Analog:		
1 TRICEPS		

SSS Form #3 4/87

	2			213
<u>-</u>	alibrat	ion Data		
Calibration File: Hor Creation Date: Reference File: Hor Creation Date:	col. 629 Ref. 629	? 		Disk: Nask 4 Disk: NASA
Investigator:		Sti	udy:	
PROMS:		Analog? .		
C3.VI: Field of View	Cam1 Cam2	x _ 72	<u> </u>	
No. of Frames used in c	alibrat	ion:		
Average Distance:	Cam1 Cam1	6.2.34	Cam2 Cam2	3.143
Camera Set-up: radius angle,0 tilt height	Cam1 Cam1 Cam1		Cam2 Cam2 Cam2 Cam2	
Diagram:	r =	r = 	θ =	r = Cam
File Titles:				

÷

19] 19]

Comments:

-

.

Inves	ition Da	ate: r:					-	
Stud	ly:						·.	
Rofar		ecrint	ion:					ی حک دی دی میں جمہ میں میں روستوریف میں مہی میں میں
Nel el	ence De		-					
LED #	Coordi X	inates Y	(in mm Z) De	etected Caml	Light Lev Cam2	el A	peratur
1	0	24	Ť					Cam1 (
2		178	0	~~~~~				Cam2 _
3	53.6	28	<u> </u>					
4	53:5	178	-5-0					
5	<u> </u>					سر ور من من من من من مرد مرد مرد م		
7	270							
8								
	<i>a</i> •							
Ch.	unit	s Of	fset	Scale	Factor	Des	cripti	on
		/		- 0,-	-		•	
1	mv	, 		75	, 			
2								
3								
-								
5								
5 6								
5 6 7								
5 6 7 8								
5 6 7 8								
5 6 7 8			(mar		number	LED locat		
5 6 7 8 Refer	ence Di	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
5 6 7 8 Refer	ence Di ence pl	agram: ane:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
5 6 7 8 Refer fro:	ence pl nt	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
5 6 7 8 Refer from	ence Di ence pl ntk	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
5 6 7 8 Refer fro bac	ence Di ence pl ntk	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
5 6 7 8 Refer fro bac	ence pl ntk	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
5 6 7 8 Refer from bac	ence Di ence pl ntk	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
5 6 7 8 Refer fro bac	ence Di ence pl ntk	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
5 6 7 8 Refer fro bac	ence pl ntk	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
5 6 7 8 Refer from bac	ence Di ence pl ntk	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
Sefer Refer fro bac	ence Di ntk	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
5 6 7 8 Refer fro bac	ence pl ntk	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
5 6 7 8 Refer fro: bac	ence plintk	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
5 6 7 8 Refer fro: bac	ence Di ence pl ntk	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
5 6 7 8 Refer fro bac	ence Di ence Di k	agram:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
Sefer Refer bac	ence Di ence pl ntk	agram: ane:	(mar	k and	number	LED locat	ions)	
Sefer 8 Refer from bac	ence Di ence Di ntk	agram:	(mar	k and Front Back t	number	LED locat	ions)	

~

ľ

	RIGINAL F POOR	PAGE IS QUALITY SELSPOT Data	page of 215 Collection - Trials Records
	Invest Study:	igator: Thuly f	1 Clarke Date: 6/29/87
	TRIAL	FILES	COMMENTS
at 60° d. <u>st. jud</u>	(10 ⁰)	<u>550200</u> . RAW <u>550200</u> . POS POF	DISK NAME Hon <u>flex/Ext</u> LC DISK <u>NAME GOIN A= 3.5 B= 4.25</u> DISK <u>IOX A= Thi B= B: Gondon bi</u>
of and Int Mo Shap	Rone	<u>550201</u> .raw <u>550201</u> .pos pof	DISK // <u>JINV////////////////////////////////////</u>
at 1900 and first it.	PLOT	<u>550202</u> .RAW <u>580202</u> .Pos Pof	DISK <u>NASA 5 II (ISX/SXt</u> DISK LC DISK
at 90° top at 100 the play 11	4 Plating	<u>550203</u> .RAW <u>550203</u> .POS POF	DISK <u>NASA5</u> <u>"<u>flexfext</u> DISK <u>NASA5</u> DISK <u>C</u></u>
top ct 113° us flue fl	Platted	<u>550204</u> . RAW <u>550204</u> . POS POF	DISK NASA 4 <u>CO-CONTRACTION</u> DISK <u>NASA4</u> <u>Justin Nate than</u> LC DISK <u>VILLIPUL LI TULE</u>
at go tu go ne flux / 2/	-6 06 (2)(2)(1)(1) 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	<u>SSOBDS</u> .RAW <u>350BOS</u> .POS .POF	DISK <u>NASAG II <u>LEX/EYt</u> DISK <u>UASA4</u> <u>LC</u> DISK <u>LC</u></u>
1 ct 110 at 90° to 110° 5th the 110° 5th	Frint and March	<u>550206</u> .RAW <u>550206</u> .POS POF	DISK <u>NHSA7 : CO-CONTRHCTION</u> DISK <u>(NOT MUCH HCTIVITY</u> <u>CC</u> DISK <u>ON MYCLAB</u>
	Blotted	<u>550307</u> .raw <u>550207</u> .pos pof	DISK <u>NASA7 1 CO-CONTRACTION</u> 1 1 DISK <u>(PEARED-OUT ON BICE</u>) LC DISK

1

1

SSS Form #4 4/87

•

٠

•

page 2 of 3 ORIGINAL PAGE IS SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records OF POOR QUALITY Date: 6/29/87 Investigator: <u>CIAPKE/TEULY</u> Study: TRIAL FILES COMMENTS DISK 13 11 CO-CONTRACTION 9 of 600 550208 .RAW plotted 550208 .POS 1100 - 13, 23. . POF DISK June Stor DISK 13 11 CO-CONTRACTION 550209 .RAW 550209 .POS DISK 13 (GOOD TRIAL 11 LC . POF DISK DISK .RAW .POS DISK . POF DISK DISK 14 HOR FLEXIERT 550210 .RAW at 90° DISK 14 A=TRI GAIN= 3.0 10K 55 550210 .POS - stap at 110 B= Bi GAIN= 4.0 (NOT GOOD - NO ACTIVITY ON MUDLAR) . POF DISK time to 1111 DISK <u>14</u> " 550211 .RAW Tille 3021I . POS DISK 10 5:2 11 (NO ACTIVITY ON MYDLAB) . POF DISK SSOZIA_.RAW DISK 15 11 GAIN= 4.0 10× 55 11 50212 .POS DISK <u>A-TLi</u> B-Bi GAIN=U.d (NOT MUCH ACTIVITY ON MYOLHB) . POF DISK 1 DISK 15 11 550213 .RAW - niter 550213 . POS DISK 55 _ (6000 TeiAl . POF DISK _ 550214_.RAW DISK 16 CO-CONTRACTION 550214 .POS DISK _____ A - TR: 4.0 55 H.D. TRIAI . POF DISK

SSS Form #4 4/87

page 3 of 3

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records Investigator: Chile The Date: 6/20/87 Study: MASA COMMENTS TRIAL - FILES 110, SSO215 .RAW DISK 16 Hon art 90° ১১ 555215 .POS icón DISK _____ + stop at 110° B=Bi U.O Tatt (Regardent - of paris). POF A = 72iUO TRIAI) DISK to sill 1600 D H Lid + lix/ml DISK 17 HOR 550216_.RAW 550216 .POS DISK ___ (DCONTRACTION 1) . POF DISK 600P FRAL 550217 DISK 17_____ .RAW 550217 1 DISK ____ COCONTRACTION 55 . POS DISK _____ (GOOD TRIAL . POF DISK 18 11 550218 .RAW 19 r (l 55 DISK ____ COCONTRACTION 550218 .POS DISK _____ (GODD TRIAL . POF 550219 .RAW DISK 18 11 11 <50219 .POS DISK <u>COCONTRACTION</u> 55 DISK (OK TRIAL) . POF _____. RAW DISK _____ _____.POS DISK _____ DISK _____ . POF _____. RAW DISK _____. POS DISK _____ ____.POF DISK _____ · . ____.RAW DISK _____ .POS DISK _____ . POF DISK

SSS Form #4 4/87

		<u>NASA</u> D	ATA	•	/ /
SUBJECT: Pan	P-ussell			70 DATE:	19/27
INVESTIGATOR(s): P. Russell +	L. Clark	٩	····	<u>·</u>
EII MOVEMENT: Jn a) Initial b) Directi c) Definit	Horizantal P position: on of 1st move ENGFET	insion laire Extended ement: tition: . DAT	at (≅ ës: Flexim Flex to Extension	EMENT DIAG Flex 10-	RAM:
MYOLAR I	MUSCLE GRP	GAIN	SCRN CH	FSV	BI.
CH A CH B <u>MYOLAB II</u> - CH A	TRicep	<u><u><u>URIN</u></u> <u>4</u> <u>5.8</u></u>	<u>seri en</u> 1	<u>F3V</u> _ <u>854/1</u> _ <u>854/1</u>	<u>BL</u> 640 078
СН В					
GONIOMETER	DOF MEASURI	ED	SCRN CH	FSV	<u>BL</u>
JT1: JT2:	1		<u>ر ج</u> ۲	854/1	2.353
SAMPLING RATE S per channel - 2 NUMBER OF REP NUMBER OF SET	: <u>200</u> samp 200/5 = 40 50 ETITIONS/SET: S: <u>3</u>	les/sec mplo/su	MOVEMENT S		MED SLOW
INITIALIZED D	ATA FILE SIZE A FILE SIZE:	: <u>/02</u> 000 39	400	,	·
ADDITIONAL CO Note: Wait P	MMENTS: Estruction is sup	inated	- Rotation in	t show	ller

.

218

•

NASA DATA

	NVESTIGATOR(s): <u>Terri Tr</u>	ruly Pa	m Russell		
		···				
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
M	OVEMENT:Elba a) Initial b) Directi c) Definit	sflexen/extens position: on of 1st mov ion of 1 repe	ement:	MOVI Starled in Flexed in (to flex/extend	EMENT DIAG Extended Left)	RAM: position(^{Rig}
D	ATA FILE NAM	E: ELBFE.D	4T			
M	YOLAB I	MUSCLE GRP	<u>GAIN</u>	SCRN CH	FSV	BL
838)	СН А	BICEP	6	1	.213/1	144
* 1	CH B	TRICEP		<u> </u>		. 62/0
<u>M</u>	YOLAB II -		7-0		. 4 5/ 1	
	CH A					
	CH B					
<u>G</u> (ONIOMETER	DOF MEASUR	ED	SCRN CH	FSV	<u>BL</u>
	JT1: ELBOW	F/E		<i>3 1</i> =5	. 8 54/1	~.333
	JT2:					
	AMPLING RATE perchannel = 2 UMBER OF REP UMBER OF SET	: <u>200</u> samp 00/5 = 40 50 ETITIONS/SET: s: <u>3</u>	oles/sec umplus /si _10	MOVEMENT SP	PEED: FAST	MED SLOW
I	NITIALIZED D	ATA FILE SIZE	:	102400		
C	OLLECTED DAT.	A FILE SIZE:_	1689	3	,	
A	DDITIONAL CO No Read:~G	MMENTS: ON tRicep	for 200	and 3rd :	suts - BUT	truep

•

219

- -

•

		<u>NASA D</u>	DATA	- /	1
SUBJECT: TAK	n Russull		-	DATE:	<u>i /47</u>
INVESTIGATOR (s): P. Russell	L. Clarke			·····
H ₀ A MOVEMENT: E1 a) Initial b) Directi c) Definit	bow-flexin/exter position: Ext on of 1st mov	mskn w/ ement: Fl tition:	cocutration MOV	N EMENT DIAG Flux	RAM:
DATA FILE NAM	E: EILFEC	S. DAT			
MYOLAB I	MUSCLE GRP	GAIN	SCRN CH	FSV	BL
СН А	TRicep	4		. 854/1	- 642
CH B	Bicep	5.8	2	ieul.	- h / /
MYOLAB II -				- 1/1 - 3	•
СН А					
CH B	· ·				
GONIOMETER	DOF MEASUR	ED	SCRN CH	<u>FSV</u>	BL
JT1:	1		3=5	.<154/1	2.353
JT2:					
SAMPLING RATE Der channel : NUMBER OF REF NUMBER OF SET INITIALIZED D COLLECTED DAT	$\frac{200}{5} = \frac{40}{40}$ ETITIONS/SET: $\frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5}$ ETITIONS/SET: $\frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5}$ $\frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5}$ $\frac{3}{5$	les/sec samples [:	MOVEMENT SI 51C 	PEED: FAST	MED SLOW
ADDITIONAL CO Flex/Ext		ti		. <u>.</u>	

220

.م

· 5-

SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Study:	<u>NASA -</u>	HORIZONTAL	heron	1EFT.
			Elbow	,
ate:			·	
Reference File:				Disk
alibration File	:			Disk
ubject Data:			<u></u>	
		· · · ·	nhone	. •
Age	Height	We	_ phone . eight	
"U - <u></u>		<pre></pre>		
Segments Lengths	: Forearm _		Thigh _	
	Upper Arm _		Shank _	
a	Trunk _		Foot _	
			•	
	other: _			
.ED Setup	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		Body D	iagram
1			-	-
2	مر در بر همی می برد در بر می برد در ا			1
3				-
4			/	,
5		/		\sim
·		(γ
8.				/
Analog: Bieeps				
Truceps				

یدی Form #3 4/87

.

•-

•

•

.

.

page _____ of _____

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

Investigator: Study:				Date:		
	TRIAL	FILES			COMMENTS	
	_/	450151.	RAW		5/4/87 TR + 1 W/ rocontection	
			POS	DISK		
			POF	DISK		
	2	550152	RAW	DISK <u>E</u>	3/4/87 TR =2 FAST SAPPO W/	
			POS	DISK	10-101 TRGC TO	
			Pof	DISK		
	1	450153	RAW	DISK <u>8</u>	TRUI: LC ! MOD SARD W/ CO-MONTROCTI-	
		550153	POS	DISK <u>8</u>		
			POF	DISK		
•	2	550154	RAW	DISK _8_	TPOZ: LC: FAST SACD W/ CO-CON	
F		SSOISH	POS	DISK		
			Pof	DISK		
	3	550155	RAW	DISK Ø	TR#3: LC: FAST SPOOD	
		550155	POS	DISK		
			POF	DISK		
K SWITCHED	4	550156	.RAW	DISK D	TE=4! LC: MM 0-10: MOIS SPEED	
D			POS	DISK	w/ co-contraction	
)- 10 VOLTS			POF	DISK		
	5	550157	RAW	DISK 10	TR +5! LC! AM O-10: MOD SPIPD	
			POS	DISK	WI CO-CONTRACTION	
			POF	DISK		
	1-			·		
	0	50158	RAW	DISK <u>10</u>	TK=1: LC: MMO-10: VERTICAL	
			POS	DISK	Monon	
		، خواک حک جند ملہ جو ہوں حقہ ہوتے ہوا ہے	Pof	DISK		

SSS Form #4 4/87

·· .

· · ·

.

ł

.

. .

Appendix B

.

. . .

٠.

. . . .

. .

.

SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

·
xion Shoulder
Disk: _
Disk:
phone
Weight
Thigh
Shank
Foot
Body Diagram
3 shouldar
\backslash
2
· 1
•

.

.

.

•

SSS Form #3 4/87

	Canal Canal	- · C_
	Filmion	2 25
<u>Calibration Data</u>		
Calibration File: Newin 518 Creation Date: _ <u>S/14/49</u> Reference File: <u>New 864.516</u> Creation Date: Investigator: <u>Mensur</u> <u>Madu</u> Study: <u>Nat</u>	Disk: <u>17</u> Disk: <u>17</u>	
FROMS: <u>200 Ha</u> <u>Aim</u> Analog?	·	
C3.VI: Field of View X Y Cam1 Cam2		
No. of Frames used in calibration: Cam1 Cam2		
Average Distance: Cam1 5-215 Cam2	7.59	
Camera Set-up: radius Cam1 Cam2 angle,0 tilt Cam1 Cam2 height Cam1 Cam2		
Diagram:		
r = θ = θ = θ = d = d = d = Cam Cam Cam	Cam	
File Titles:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Comments:		

- . 15 Scare -

SSS: Form #1 3/87

	, ,			
Investigator: Study:	NESE.	<u>leske</u>		
Reference Descri	iption:			
LED Coordinate # X Y	es (in mm) Z	Detected Cam1	Light Level Cam2	Apera
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0			Cam1 Cam2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 5_4_4			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u> </u>			
Analog: Ch. Units	Offset Sca	le Factor	Descri	ption
1 <u>MV</u> 2		. 95		
3 4 5				
6 7 8				
6 7 8 Reference Diagra	m: (mark a	nd number	LED location	
6 7 8 Reference Diagra Reference plane: front	m: (mark a	nd number	LED location	
6 7 8 Reference Diagra Reference plane: front back	m: (mark a	nd number	LED location	is)
6 7 8 Reference Diagra Reference plane: front back	m: (mark a	nd number	LED location	
6 7 8 Reference Diagra Reference plane: front back	m: (mark a	nd number	LED location	ng)
6 7 8 Reference Diagra Reference plane: front back	m: (mark a	nd number	LED location	is)

4/87

.

.

page 1 of 2

227

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records Investigator: New Ref New 21 518 Date: 5/14/47 Study: FILES Note : ForeARM in Neutral Positio COMMENTS TRIAL GAIN A = Bicep = 10 . POF DISK 550094 .RAW DISK 1- Ellen Elexion " TR#2. ~2_ 550094 .POS DISK 17 . POF DISK . POF DISK _____ DISK 18 Gain A= 10 Gain B=3 DISK 18 TR #4 Shaller Fligion A: Frip & Felt Sta 550096 .POS . POF DISK <u>550097</u>. RAW DISK 18 TR#5 Should Flax " Slow . POF DISK DISK 18 TK & Should Flexing Slow 550098 .RAW 1: 550098 .POS DISK 18 DISK . POF V.7 550099 . RAW DISK 19 TRAELLOJ FLORIN - Fast 550099 .POS DISK 19 . POF DISK SSOLCO RAW DISK 19 TK & Eller Flering - Fast 350100 . POS DISK 19 .POF DISK

SSS Form #4 4/87 NASA DATA

DATE: 10 30/ SUBJECT: Pam Russell INVESTIGATOR(S): Rusell / Touly / Chanles MOVEMENT: Shoulder Flex + Extension - Sazittal Plane MOVEMENT DIAGRAM: a) Initial position: Anatanical 90-100° Flec b) Direction of 1st movement: Flexion c) Definition of 1 repetition: Flexim/Extension DATA FILE NAME: SOFX EXS. DAT 0. Start = Ext MUSCLE GRP GAIN SCRN CH BL MYOLAB I Ant. Delt 2 1 CH A .854/1 - 759 CH B 2 ,854/1 .078 Bicep 5 MYOLAB II 4=4 . 854 , 366 Raw Ant. Delt CH A CH B DOF MEASURED <u>FSV</u> 1.708/1 3.27/ GONIOMETER SCRN CH SHD FLEXION/EXTENSION 3 JT1: JT2: [SAMPLING RATE : 2000] + [NUMBER OF IN PUT CHANNELS ACTIVATED 5] => SAMPLING RATE: 400 samples/sec MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST (MED) SLOW (perchannel) NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: ____6 NUMBER OF SETS: 3 INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 204800 COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 96366 ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

228

-

SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

.

Study	NASA	Shoulder - Abdurhon	1 Adduction
Study.			- <u></u>
Date:			
Reference File	:		Disk:
Calibration Fi	le:		Disk:
Cubic at Datas			
Subject Data:			
Name: J. Jen	81-	phone	
Age	Height	Weight	
Segments Lengt	hs: Forearm	Thigh	
	Upper Arm	Shank	
	Trunk	Foot	مله خلو هند منه هو روه هاه ا
	Other:	·	
LED Setup		Body Dia	agram
$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{(UKISI)}{FROU}$		·	
3. SITUL		3	Artolia
4.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, X	VIEW
5			
6			
7	محمد مع مه بود مع مو مدم و مدان و من الافا ما الافال الديني.		,
8			
Analog:	+7TDID - PONV INA	if them the	
POCTORIA	(DELTOIN		
10 51 (140	Dr. A LOPIN dollar	 J	

SSS Form #3 4/87

229

.



333 Form # 4/87

6

Calibration Data





page 1 of 2

TRIAL	FILES	COMMENTS
_	5500461RAW	DISK 13 GAIN A - 3 GAIN B - 3
	550081 .POS	DISKTrial 1 Shudden Ald Add - Sla
	POF	DISK
_2	5500 42 .RAW	DISK 13 Gin A-3 Gin B-3 Title unch
	550087 .POS	DISK
	POF	DISK
3	550083 .RAW	DISK 13 Gain A - 3 18 - 3
	\$50083 .POS	DISK TRial 3 Shoulder AL Add 5/0
	POF	DISK
Ч	550084 .RAW	DISK 14 Gain A - 2 15 2
	550084 .POS	DISK TR: 1 4 Shoulder ALI Add FA
	POF	DISK
5	550085 .RAW	DISK 14 GAINA-2 BZ
	50085 . POS	DISK TRIALS Shaller Abd. Add FA
	POF	DISK
-6	550086 .RAW	DISK 14 Gain A-2 B2
	50086 .POS	DISK Trial & Shoulder Abd Add Fast
	POF	DISK
12	55000 - ···	(Ander Delt)
	<u></u> .RAW	DISK 1) GAIL A GC
	_2 <u>2002/</u> .FUS	DISK Keiel T Jhanker Ak, Hd / 11/20 7
,		
<u> </u>	5500 48 . RAW	DISK 15 GAIN AZ BZ
	<u>5500 88</u> .POS	DISK Third & Sheulder Ab, A) w/Trop 51
	POF	DISK W/ Cocortenet

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

.

-

page 2 of 2

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

Investigator: _____ Date: Study: FILES TRIAL COMMENTS <u>550089</u>. RAW DISK <u>15 Gam A 2 732</u> <u>550089</u>. POS DISK <u>Tai-19 Shallur Ab, Ad w</u> DISK _____ TRial 9 Shoulder Ab, Ad w/TRAP Slow DISK _____ W/ Coce traction . POF 550090 RAW DISK 16 Guin AZ BZ. 550090 POS DISK Trial 10 Shoulder Al, Ad w/ Trup Fast 10 ____. POF DISK ____ 11 556091 RAW DISK 16 AZ BZ 550091 POS DISK Thinl 11 Shoulden Ab, Ad aftrop _____. POF Fast w/Ce-ontracti DISK _____ 12 550092 RAW DISK 16 AZ 132 550092 POS DISK ______ This 11 Shoulden Ak, Ad w/ They DISK _____ Fast w/ Cecontration _____. POF _____. RAW DISK _____ ____.POS DISK _____ ____. POF DISK _____ ____.RAW DISK _____ _____. POS DISK _____ ____. Pof DISK _____ DISK _____ _____. RAW DISK _____ ____. POS _____. POF DISK _____ <u>.</u>۰ DISK _____ _____.RAW DISK _____ .____. Pof DISK _____

SSS Form #4 4/87

NASA DATA

DATE: 10-16-87

SUBJECT: Tidd Mitchell INVESTIGATOR(S): D. Russell + L. (larkhet MOVEMENT: SHOULDER ABD/ADD MOVEMENT DIAGRAM: a) Initial position: Anutamiral seatid-subject. b) Direction of 1st movement: ABDuckoudam 6-**80**° c) Definition of 1 repetition: ABD+: ADD Ran -DATA FILE NAME: <u>SDABAD</u>. DAT MUSCLE GRP GAIN MYOLAB I SCRN CH FSV BL.854 MIDDET 5 1 -.754 CH A CH B PECT 117745 2 .874 -.069 MYOLAB II CH A CH B GONIOMETER DOF MEASURED SCRN CH <u>FSV</u> BL 314 = 5 .954 2.353 SHO ABD/ADD JT1: JT2: SAMPLING RATE: 200 samples/sec MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST (MED) SLOW Ly parchannel = 40 sumplus/suc R NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: NUMBER OF SETS: 3 INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 102400 COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: _____24533 ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: norman cather slaw · 5.

NASA DATA

SUBJECT: Todd	mitchell		DA	ате: <u> </u>	16-87
INVESTIGATOR(S): P. Russell -	+ L. (luv			
MOVEMENT: Should a) Initial j b) Direction c) Definition DATA FILE NAME	der ABDUCTOD/ position: ABDU n of 1st move on of 1 repet : <u>SDABADC.D</u>	ADDUCTU chen i An ment: ABi ition: An AT (Incl C	N w/ co-contre MOVER Juction 3D/ADD Lucles rain Lucles rain	ACTION MENT DIAG	RAM:
MYOLAB I	MUSCLE GRP	<u>GAIN</u>	SCRN CH	<u>FSV</u>	BL
СН А	ANT. DELT.	5	4 (4)	1.708	002
СН В	PECT MAJUR		£ { 3)		+. coz
MYOLAB II -	ANT. DELT	·			 . (:C ⁻)-
CH-A	X_(raii)datas	ynal 1_	~ (v)		
СН В	·	۱.			
GONIOMETER	DOF MEASURE	D	$\frac{SCRN}{2/c}$	<u>FSV</u>	<u>BL</u> 2 ml/
JT1:	3HD ABD/ADD		5(5)	••••	2.979
JT2:					
					
SAMPLING RATE: L> purchannel 3 NUMBER OF REPET NUMBER OF SETS	200sampl 3.33 >0mplu/6 TITIONS/SET: :3	es/sec K	MOVEMENT SPI	EED: FAST	MED SLOW
INITIALIZED DA	TA FILE SIZE:	10241)0		
COLLECTED DATA	FILE SIZE:	286	10	,	
ADDITIONAL COM Rawdata orgn Movement in 41	MENTS: at for pec maj re frontal plan	ior L		· .	•

• (a)

SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

٠

Investigator:		
Study:	NASA -	(At (Ext_rotation
Date:		_
Reference File: _		Disk:
Calibration File:		Disk:
Subject Data:		
Name:	.	nhone
Age	Height	Weight
Segments Lengths:	Forearm _	Thigh
	Upper Arm _	Shank
	Trunk _	Foot
	Other: _	
LED Setup		Body Diagram
1		Using theizontal aren.
2	میں دیاتے میکن میں میں میں خواد ماہ جاتے کا ان کا میک کا ا	Elbow coiencident with AXIS
3		Internal lecternal rotation of
4		the trumens. Menitored
5	یہ جی کا ان ان ان ان کا ان کا ا	by displacement of me
0		marche arm
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 .
0		
hange hangershy	<u> </u>	·
Teres Mynor	> trying to si	ort out
•)	•	

.

Calibration Fi Creation Da Reference File Creation Da Investigator:	$\begin{array}{c} 1e: & M_{1}\\ te: & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \vdots & -\frac{1}{2} \\ te: &$	ncel.7 147 2ef 1.1	02 629 5t		Disk: <u>13</u> Disk: <u>Nas. 4</u> A5/6
PROMS:			Analog?		
C3.VI: Field	of View used in c	Cam1 Cam2 alibrat Cam1 Cam1	x ion: 	Y 44 46 Cam2	103
Camera Set-up:	radius angle,0 tilt height	Cam1 Cam1 Cam1		Cam2 Cam2 Cam2 Cam2	
Diagram:	\bigwedge]		·	

Calibration Data





Comments:

SSS: Form #1 3/87

237



SSS Form # 4/87

Stop at 90° Mark page _ _ _ of _ _ _ 110 Marte (townids cameros) Then continues swing SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records Date: 7/02/47 TRIAL FILES Subject; Mitch Freid COMMENTS 51.p = 40' 550220 . RAW DISK 13 TR#1 Interenal/Ext Retation و درا DISK ____ Texes Majon, Infraspinatus V <u>\$\$0220</u> ros Erd Allo DISK _____ Gain = 1X A = Tex, Ma B = Infrancep. TRUNK # 45° A = 4 B = 4.5 .POF 550221 . RAN DISK 13 TR#2 Int/E. + Retation 51. p+ 95° -2- 113° <u>580221</u> pos DISK <u>A=4</u> <u>B=4.5</u> <u>GAIL=1X</u> ENJ. + 93° DISK _____TRUNK + 450 . POF E.J. 193 <u>3</u> 550222 RAN DISK <u>14</u> TR[#]3 Int/Ext Kotation <u>SSO222</u> POS DISK <u>A=4</u> 73=4.5 ______.POF DISK <u>Truick + 45°</u> ALES DELERED End to: 4 530223 . RAY DISK 14 TRt 4 Int/Ext Retation SSO223 . POS DISK __. POF DISK _____TRunk at 0° 5 550 224 . RAW DISK 15 TR#S Int/Ext Tettim 550 224 . POS DISK _____ stopped at 30° DISK ______ Trunk of O' ____.POF stoppel 90° 6 550225 RAW DISK 15 TK & Int/Ext Retain 110° SSO225 POS DISK Cocontraction Erd of 30° POF DISK <u>A=4.0 B=4.5 Trum</u> Trunk + 0" <u>7</u> 550226 RAN DISK <u>17</u> TR⁺7 Int/Ext Retition <u>SSO226</u> POS DISK <u>Coronteaction</u> 5top 950 550226 POS Erd 35 Trunk -t 0° . POF DISK stap 90° _ 550227 RAW DISK H TR#8 Int/Ext Relation Trunk at 0° 30° Note: Sampling time : 6 seconds Starting Position (Fuer from Camera, such that insurment begins towards CAMERAS.) SSS Form #4 4/87

page <u>2</u> of <u>3</u>

240

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records Date: 4/2/87 Carlo Investigator: Study: <u>NASA</u> FILES Subject: Mitch Fred COMMENTS TRIAL 550228 RAN DISK 14 TR#9 Int/Ext Retation 580228 POS DISK ____ Cocontraction 1103 2-4 43- 300 Trunk at . POF DISK °, ° <u>53229</u> RAW DISK <u>18</u> TR#10 Int/Fit Fittion <u>580229</u> POS DISK <u>Coortraction</u> 761-17 10 cul 93°-30' DISK _____ Truck + 450 . POF 550230 RAW DISK 19 TR# 11 550236 POS DISK _____ A= Anterior Dett, B= Pectorelis Magene 5 - p 7) - 11 11) - V DISK <u> 45° </u> f=6.5<u>B=11</u> Gain : 1X .POF <u>553231</u>. RAW DISK <u>19</u> <u>TR#12</u> <u>Int/Ent Rotation</u> <u>550231</u> POS DISK <u>45°</u> EMIG? POF DISK $\frac{550232}{580232}$ RAW DISK $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{Tk^{+}B}{18}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{50}$ $\frac{1}{50}$ stop 90° 13 the files beletes 5- (23-33 <u>550233</u>. RAN DISK <u>22</u> <u>TR[#]14</u> <u>Int/Ext</u> <u>Retation</u> <u>580233</u> POS DISK <u>45</u> .POF DISK <u>A=AJ Delt B=Pet</u> 550234 RAW DISK NASA 4 TR# 15 Int/Ext Rotation 550234 POS DISK ______ Conontraction stop 900 15 1100 V DISK A = 4. GAin=1X B=5.0 550235 .RAW DISK MASH TK TK TK TK Attim 580235 .POS DISK <u>Corontraction</u> .POF DISK <u>O° A=4.0 B=5.0</u> stop 90° - Le No Real A=4.0 B=5.0 GAIN=1X Stop # 1100 werk on Cocontraction. SSS Form #4

4/87

q g 110 241 30° page 3 of 3SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records Investigator: <u>Utrike</u> Date: 7/2/87 Study: <u>NASA</u> Int/Ext. Rotation COMMENTS FILES Subject Mitch Fr. 1 TRIAL NO Stap 40° 17 550236 RAW DISK Now 5 TR# 17 Int/Est Retation No 550236 . POS DISK _____ Adt. Telt, Pet. Major DISK <u>Cocontraction</u> =>-d 35° POF 5+ 10° 16 550237. RAN DISK NASS 5- TK + 18 2 110° SSO237 POS DISK <u>Corontraction</u> end close + 93° DOF DISK <u>110°</u> DISK _____ 45° A=8.0 B=5.0 GAIN = 17 ____. POF Fud near 90° DISK _____O° . POF Star 90° 20 550239 . RAN DISK NAKA TK 20 Int/Ent Robining 10 550237 . POS DISK __________ . POF DISK __________ 0° A=6.5 B=4.0 GAM 10X ____.RAW DISK ____.Pos DISK _____ . POF DISK UL Files deleted _____. POS DISK _____ ____. Pof DISK _____ -.RAW DISK _____. POS DISK ____.POF DISK _____ DISK _____ .RAW DISK _____ . POS DISK . POF

SSS Form #4 4/87 ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY NASA DATA

DATE: Rich Seilint SUBJECT: NULI me INVESTIGATOR(S): \vec{X} Ribition at Shanket **MOVEMENT: DIAGRAM:** a) Initial position: NEWRAL b) Direction of 1st movement: ExtEPNAL POTAtion c) Definition of 1 repetition: IN HORNAL RUTATION DATA FILE NAME: <u>SHD</u>IN X A DAT SCRN CH · FSV MYOLAB I MUSCLE GRP GAIN BL CH A Enfes 1=2 1.708/1 - 1.356 CH B MYOLAB II 4 2=6 .854/1 Teres Mij CH A CH B GONIOMETER DOF MEASURED SCRN CH FSV BL JT1: JT2: SAMPLING RATE : 2000] + [NUMBER OF IN PUT CHANNELS ACTIVATED ____ SAMPLING RATE: 333 samples/sec MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED SLOW (perchannel) NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: __ NUMBER OF SETS: INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 24460 COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 204 600 **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:** Graphstulsw IEXKOT
	<u>!</u>	NASA DATA	11	1 12
SUBJECT: 7	Rich Seibert		DATE:	20/44
INVESTIGATO	R(S): Carke /	/Trailing /	:	
MOVEMENT: 5 a) Initi b) Direc c) Defin	hould internal / ext al position: New tion of 1st movement ition of 1 repetit AME: SHDINEX	Ferenal Rotation Frol Mor ent: external tion: Inl ext 2. PAT	VEMENT DIAG	RAM:
			. 501/	DI.
MIOLAB I	MUSCLE GRP	JAIN SCRN CH	FSV	RP
CH A				
CH B	Infra	2 1:2	. 854/1	- 637
MYOLAB II				
СН А	Teres Mig	4 3=6	:854/1	-,400
CH B				
GONIOMETER	DOF MEASURED	SCRN CH	FSV	BL
JT1:	RAW Infraspinati	$1 \le 2 \le 5$. 213	.024
JT2:				
SAMPLING RAT	: 3000] + [NUMBE	ROF IN PUT CHANNELS	ACTIVATED	

243

 $\begin{bmatrix} \text{SAMPLING RATE} : \frac{2000}{333} \end{bmatrix} \div \begin{bmatrix} \text{NUMBER OF IN PUT CHANNELS ACTIVATED} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \\ \\ \text{SAMPLING RATE} : \frac{333}{333} & \text{samples/sec} & \text{MOVEMENT SPEED} : \text{FAST} & \text{MED} & \text{SLOW} \\ \\ \text{(per channel)} \\ \\ \text{NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET} : \underbrace{8} \\ \\ \text{NUMBER OF SETS} : \underbrace{2} \\ & 2^{10} \text{ set} = 6 \text{ reps.} \\ \\ \text{INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE} : \underbrace{204800} \\ \\ \text{COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE} : \underbrace{2047500} \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$

5

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

NASA DATA
SUBJECT: <u>Fich Sebert</u> DATE: <u>1/20/47</u>
INVESTIGATOR(S): Clasele Truly
MOVEMENT: Shd internal / ext. Rotation - Cocontraction a) Initial position: b) Direction of 1st movement: c) Definition of 1 repetition: DATA FILE NAME: SHD INEX3. DAT initial
MYOLAB I MUSCLE GRP GAIN SCRN CH FSV BL
CH A
CH B Infra 1= 2 1 Darch 1ar
MYOLAB II
CHA Teres Mig 4 2°6 1.708/1 -,947
CH B
GONIOMETER DOF MEASURED SCRN CH FSV BL
JT1:
JT2:
[SAMPLING RATE:]: [NUMBER OF IN PUT CHANNELS ACTIVATED _] =7 SAMPLING RATE:
INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 204500
COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 00 3
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Movement done with Cocontraction

. . .

NASA DATA

DATE: SUBJECT: Rich Seibert INVESTIGATOR(S): Truly / Clarke MOVEMENT: Shalder Internal / Set Rotation - Cocontract MOVEMENT DIAGRAM: a) Initial position: Neutral b) Direction of 1st movement: external c) Definition of 1 repetition: Ext to int to externol ext SHDINEX 4. PAT internal DATA FILE NAME: MYOLAB I MUSCLE GRP <u>GAIN</u> SCRN CH FSV BL CH A CH B Infre 1 1=2 1.708/1 -,895 MYOLAB II 4 2=6 1.708/1 -.947 CH A CH B SCRN CH GONIOMETER DOF MEASURED FSV BL JT1: JT2: [SAMPLING RATE : 2000] + [NUMBER OF IN PUT CHANNELS ACTIVATED _] => SAMPLING RATE: 333 samples/sec MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED (SLOW (perchannel) NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET:_ NUMBER OF SETS: Set 3 only has I rep INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 204800 COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 204800

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Movement of Cocontraction

245

ORIGINAL PAGE IS (Findle (Touris Series)) page _____ of _____

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

Date: 2/32/4+ Investigator: Clarker Study: <u>L'App Translitesternel</u> Refation Study: 1:4-54 FILES Subject: M. July Field COMMENTS TRIAL 51 1 90 550220 RAN DISK 13 TREI Interent/Ex Ketation ✓ <u>\$\$0220</u> AOS DISK <u>Texes Majon</u>, Infanspinatus C. J. A. 199 Gain = 1X TRACE 445 . POF DISK 550221 . RAW DISK 13 TK#2 IN/C. - 201 +10m <u>\$80221</u> \$05 DISK <u>A = 4' T3 = 4.5' Gain = |x|</u> ENLIN DISK _____Trunk + 450 POF <u>50222</u> POS DISK <u>14</u> TR[#]3 Int/cit Kalistion <u>550222</u> POS DISK <u>1:4</u> <u>73: 4.5</u> .POF DISK <u>TRUCK at 45°</u> ALL FILES DETERED 13 1 4 550223 RAY DISK 14 TREY INT/EX Kot / 550223 . POS DISK _____ DISK Trunk at 30 . POF 5 550 224 . RAW DISK 15 TR 5 Int/Est Tettin 550224.00s DISK _____ . POF For 200 550225 RAW DISK 15 TK & Int/Ext Kation 15 SSO225 POS DISK _____ Cocontraction 5. 1 30° U DISK 4 = 4.5SSOZZO POS DISK _____ 113.8 DISK _____ Truck . POF 553232 . RAW DISK IT TREE THEFT EAST DISK _____ 550227 AOS Touch . DISK . POF Note: Sampling from the march is the form Courses such that to course require toronds Concernes, SSS Form #4 4/87

Appendix C · · .

.

•

·....

.

SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

248

Study:	NASA	Supination Provi	4170n
Date:		_	
Reference File:			Disk:
Calibration File	:		Disk:
Subject Data:			
Name:		phone _	
Age	Height	Weight	
Segments Lengths	: Forearm _	Thigh	
	Upper Arm	Shank	
	Trunk	Foot	هه م لا چر مرد تا کاری برا، ا
	Other: _		
IFD Setur		Body Di	
1 BOTTON OF	Puler		
2. Top of R	uler	- A r	
3.			1
4			
5			
6			122
-	•		- 1
7			,
7 8			
7 8 Analog:			
7 8 Analog: $M = \frac{16M(s - S_1p)}{r}$	nator / Flexors	Geip	-

SSS Form #3 4/87

page 1 of 2

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records . Date: 5/19/87 Investigator: Jensen / Clarke Study: _NASA FILES All start from Supinated position COMMENTS TRIAL LEDI = Bottom 2= Top A=Supinaton 550109 . RAW DISK 23 GAM A= 7 B= PRONAton 11.519 B = 7 \$50109 ___. POS DISK 23 TR+ 14ste) Supination / Pronation . POF DISK DISK 23 TR# 2 Sup PR: 3 Sue A= 9 B- 9 550100 .RAW 550110 .POS DISK 23 _.POF DISK DISK 23 TR#3 Sup Pronin . POS DISK DISK _____ . POF A= Sup B= Bicep DISK 24 TR#4 Supination / Bicep Goin A = 8 B=10 -4 550112 .RAW DISK ____ (3 su) (ocontrat. 550112 . POS DISK ____ Note: Holding Forener . POF DISK <u>24</u> <u>TR#5</u> <u>5up</u> DISK <u>(4 sec)</u> <u>5</u> <u>550113</u>.RAW 550113 .POS . POF DISK 6 550114 .RAW DISK 24 TR#6 Supinite DISK (4 ARe) .POS DISK . POF 1=4 8:5 DISK <u>4</u> <u>Flexons</u> Extensions 550115 I at Open .RAW DISK _____ TR#7 GRASping A= Flex TS= Ext Use Hond 55011 .POS DISK (Unsupported ForeArm) . POF DISK 4 TR#8 Grusping A= Fly B= Ext SSOIL6 .RAW DISK _____ Supported ForeARM 550116 .POS . POF DISK #718 Questioned placement of flexon EMG SSS Form #4

4/87

page _____ of _____

Invest Study:	igator:				Date: <u>5/19/47</u>
TRIAL	FILES				COMMENTS
9	550117	. RAW	DISK	4	A=6 B=3 TR#9 Gamping - Cocontraction / Unevening
	50117	. POS	DISK		All fingers stearth (moved togethere
	و هم میں میں طریقے ہونے کی گر ہے۔	.POF	DISK		
10	550118	RAW	DISK	5	TR# 10 GRASping - Cocontraction/Support
_~	550118	POS	DISK		A=6 B=3
		Pof	DISK		A:Flex B:Ext
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		RAW	DISK		می دو بر مربوع می خان دو خان اور این اینا این بر این این بر می این بر این این بر این این دور بر این اور دو بر ا
		POS	DISK		
		Pof	DISK		مع بروا بعد موردات من عند محاليان عنه منه اين محاليان مع عنه بالا من عنه عنه بالم الم عن بالد الله في بالو الت
 .		RAW	DISK		
		POS	DISK		
	ور المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع	POF	DISK	~~~~	
 .		RAW	DISK		
		POS	DISK		جها بارد وی و بارو و بارو و بارو و بارو
		POF	DISK		
		RAW	DISK		
		POS	DISK		
	سی سے ملہ پرہ سے طر سے منہ جو سے	Pof	DISK		a, 1979 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 -
					<u>.</u>
		KAW	DISK	~~~~	
	 `	POS	DISK		
	- 	POF	DISK		
			nter		2 -
		RAW	DISK		
		POS	DISK		
		POF	DISK		هه چه کو کو کار میں میں اور اور اور اور میں میں ورب

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

SSS Form #4

4/87

250

Ì

T

Calibration Data



Comments:

Close range and -. 95 seals factor

Refe Crea	rence File: <u>Navzef.518</u>	Di	sk:
Inves Stud	stigator:	·.	·.
Refer	rence Description:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
LED #	Coordinates (in mm) Detected Light X Y Z Caml Cam	Level	Aperatu
1 2 3		مید بیده دان که این مید مید می مان . سود بید مدر می مان که بید این ما	Cam1 _ Cam2 _
3 4 5			
6 7 8			•••
Analc Ch.	g: Units Offset Scale Factor	Descrip	tion
1	95	En 6	
- 3 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
5 6 7	ین سر می بین که دو بین بین می بین می بین بین می بین می این که دو بین می بین می بین می بین می بین می بین می بین که این این که این می بین می بین می بین می بین می بین می این می بین که این این که بین می بین می بین می بین می بین می بی		
8			
Refer	ence Diagram: (mark and number LED 1	ocations)
fro	nt		
·			
2			-
1	3		
For h	anging reference: Front track Back track		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

۳. : س

NASA DATA

SUBJECT: Peter T	Zussel		I	DATE: <u> -</u>	10-87
INVESTIGATOR(S)	: Russell				
MOVEMENT: WRIST a) Initial p b) Direction c) Definitio DATA FILE NAME:	EXTENSION osition: New of 1st_move n of 1 repet WESTFE 2.	abl w/out ral ment: Ext ition: Ex	a hold MOVI ensur lensur - Nutral	EMENT DIAG	RAM:
MYOLAB I M	USCLE GRP	GAIN	SCRN CH	FSV	BL
CH A	FLEXORS	6	1(2)	• 854	427
CH ZA	- (FILTERE des ale	xors	2(5)	.854	
MYOLAB II	- (row duta)	_ @			•• 78
CH A					
СН В					
GONIOMETER	DOF MEASURE	D	<u>SCRN</u> CH	<u>FSV</u>	BL
JT1:	WEIST EXTENSE	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	3=3	1.708	1.450
JT2:					
SAMPLING RATE: 2 2000/5 · 40 NUMBER OF REPET NUMBER OF SETS:	200 sample O sumpleo/orc/c ITIONS/SET:	es/sec bannel 6	MOVEMENT SI	PEED: FAST	MED SLOW
INITIALIZED DAT	A FILE SIZE:	20480 35421			
ADDITIONAL COMM	ENTS:				.`

,

•

253

.

۰.

		NASA 1	DATA			
SUBJECT: P.	Russell			DATE: <u> -</u>	10-87	
INVESTIGATOR (s): Russell		······			
MOVEMENT: Writ a) Initial b) Directi c) Definit	flexicn/extension position: Num on of 1st move ion of 1 repet WRSTFE	n-uccele Hral ement: E tition: p AT	vated w/hoid MOVI Extend Ext/Frex /twentra	EMENT DIAG	RAM: 6.1 450 5 Rom - 90	
MYOLAB I	MUSCLE GRP	GAIN	SCRN CH	FSV	BL	
CH A	EXTENSELS	6-7	1	1.708	-720	
CH B	FI DXAPS	5-10				•
MYOLAB II -						
CH A						
CH B						
GONIOMETER	DOF MEASUR	ED	SCRN CH	FSV	BL	
JT1:	Weisr/FLX/D	ſ	3	1.708	1.376	
JT2:						
SAMPLING RATE: SAMPLING RATE (perchannel) NUMBER OF REF NUMBER OF SET	<u>900</u>]÷[Nur : <u>300</u> samp PETITIONS/SET: S: <u>3</u>	MBER OF IN les/sec	NOVEMENT SI	PEED: FAST	-] => > MED SLOT evi e set)	ก
INITIALIZED D	DATA FILE SIZE	: <u>204</u>	800			
COLLECTED DAT	A FILE SIZE:	68213	······			

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

-

....

. : • : -

		<u>NASA E</u>	ATA		1
SUBJECT:	Rursell		_ /	DATE: 10/2	4/87
INVESTIGATOR	a(s): <u>P. Lursell</u>	: T	Truly		
MOVEMENT: WA a) Initia b) Direct c) Defini DATA FILE NA	ANE: <u>WAXEXA.</u>	SSION HO urdent: f tition: FLX TZ	RIZ. PLHNE MON MA VEXT	VEMENT DIAGH	RAM : 50 45
MYOLAB I	MUSCLE GRP	GAIN	SCRN CH	FSV	BL
CH A	flivons	8	l	. 157/1	222
СН В	extinsons	4.5	2	• 854/1	078
MYOLAB II					
CH A					
CH B					
GONIOMETER	DOF MEASUR	ED	SCRN CH	FSV	BL
JT1:	flyion - u	Unsid	3=5	1.708/1	2,353
JT2:					
SAMPLING RAT Sumpling rate NUMBER OF RE NUMBER OF SE	TE: <u>400</u> samp (channel: 803 EPETITIONS/SET: ETS: <u>3</u>	oles/sec complus/s	MOVEMENT S	SPEED: FAST) MED SLOW
INITIALIZED COLLECTED DA	DATA FILE SIZE	:_ <u>1024</u> 765	00 3	,	
ADDITIONAL C Horeyont	COMMENTS:	fasð			

255

-

.

NASA DATA

DATE: 10/25/87 SUBJECT: P Pussel INVESTIGATOR(S): P. Russell MOVEMENT : WRIST FLEXION / EXTENSION ; HERE? PLANE MOVEMENT DIAGRAM: a) Initial position: Almand b) Direction of 1st movement: Almar c) Definition of 1 repetition: Almar Alman DATA FILE NAME: WEXEXH. DAT MUSCLE GRP GAIN MYOLAB I SCRN CH FSV BL SLGHORS CH A 8 externisors A Grignisuls B . 8 54/1 .048 CH B MYOLAB II CH A CH B GONIOMETER DOF MEASURED SCRN CH FSV <u>BL</u> flixion - extension 1.708/1 2.353 3-5 **JT1:** JT2: SAMPLING RATE: 200 samples/sec MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST (MED SLOW Sampling rate / channel = 40 samples / sec NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: NUMBER OF SETS: 3 INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 102 400 COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: 8063 ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Haringontal plane Set 2 - A data did not look good - resett gain an dannel A

٠

Appendix D

- -

SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Study:	NASA	Supination Prox	(4170n
Date:		-	
Reference File: _			Disk
Calibration File:			Disk
Subject Data:	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		<u>-</u>
Name:		phone	
Age	Height	Weight	
Segments Lengths:	Forearm	Thigh	
	Upper Arm	Shank	
	Trunk	Foot	
	Other:	1000 _	
	Other:		
LED Setup	Other:	Body D	iagram
LED Setup 1Bottom of (Other:	Body D	iagram
LED Setup 1. <u>Bottom of (</u> 2. <u>Top of Ru</u>	Other:	Body D	iagram ub*
LED Setup 1. <u>Bottom of (</u> 2. <u>Top of Ru</u> 3	Other:	Body D	iagram ub*
LED Setup 1. <u>Bottom of (</u> 2. <u>Top of Ru</u> 3. 4.	Other:	Body D	iagram ub
LED Setup 1. <u>Bottom of (</u> 2. <u>Top of Ru</u> 3 4	Other:	Body D	iagram ub
LED Setup 1. <u>Bottom of (</u> 2. <u>Top of Ru</u> 3 4 5 6	Other:	Body D	iagram ub LED
LED Setup 1. <u>Bottom of (</u> 2. <u>Top of Ru</u> 3 4 5 6 7	Other:	Body D	iagram ub lED
LED Setup 1. <u>Bottom of (</u> 2. <u>Top of Ru</u> 3 4 5 6 8	Other:	Body D	iagram ub lED
LED Setup 1. <u>Bottom of (</u> 2. <u>Top of Ru</u> 3 4 5 6 7 8 Analog:	Other:	Body D	iagram ub lED
LED Setup 1 BOTTOM OF (2 Top of Ru 3 4 5 6 7 8 Analog: but (3 - Supin but (3 - Supin	Other:	Body D	iagram ub lED

SSS Form #3 4/87

page ____ of

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

Investigator: Jusin / Judice Date: 5/19/37 Study: NASA FILES All start from Supinatul position COMMENTS TRIAL LEDI: Bottom 2: Top A= Suprentor B - Piconter 550109 . RAW DISK 23 GALAT 7 13 = 7 Supination Miconation ____. POF DISK 550100 . RAW DISK 23 TR# 2 Sup / Pis 354 A: 1 75-4 I. SSO 110 . POS DISK 23 .POF DISK DISK 23 TR#3 Sup Pilen. 3 550110 .RAW DISK .POS . POF DISK DISK 24 TR#4 Supination / Bicep DISK _____ (3 see) Cocontraction B= Bicep Soin A: 8 13=10 350112 .RAW 550112 .POS DISK Note: Holding Forderer . POF DISK 24 TR# 5 Sup / Bicop w/e 5 550113 .RAW DISK _____ (4 see 550113 .POS . POF DISK DISK 24 TR 16 Superist - / Thing 550114 .RAW 6 DISK _____ (4 Ac _____.POS , POF DISK 1:-4 3:5 DISK <u>4</u> Fleines Extensiones 555115 .RAW DISK Tie#7 GRASPINS ANFlas 550115 . POS DISK (younded Friender) . POF DISK 4 TRAY GRASPING METER 8 SSOHG .RAW DISK _____ 550/16 .POS . POF DISK SSS Form #4

4/87

. بم ز

11. 51?

page _____ of _____

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

TRIAL	FILES				COMMENTS
9	<u> </u>		D.T.O.Y	đ	A=6 B=3 T2P9 Card A tati il
	350117	. KAW	DISK	_7	All C 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		. POS	DISK		All Finders Stark / Moved Tody h
	~~~~~	. POF	DISK		
10	550118	RAW	DISK	5_	TRE10 GRAMPINIA - Coron Proction/Su
	550118	POS	DISK		<u>A 6 13 3</u>
		. POF	DISK	′	Fly SECT
		.RAW	DISK		
	~~~~~~~~	. POS	DISK		
	~~~~~~~~~~~	. POF	DISK	~_	
	<u> </u>		<b>2 . . .</b>		
		.RAW	DISK		
		.POS	DISK		والمرابقة والألبي والروانية والألبان وتراجعت والموالين والأولة الإرجاز والموالية والروانية والمرابقة والروانية
		. POF	DISK		
		.RAW	DISK		
		.POS	DISK		
		POF	DISK		
		•			ی ہے ہو اور پر کا پر
		.RAW	DISK		
		. POS	DISK		
		. POF	DISK		
		.RAW	DISK		
		.POS	DISK		
		. POF	DISK		
		.RAW	DISK		
		.POS	DISK		
		POF	DISK		

SSS Form #4 4/87

.

1

::

. . . . . . .

·.

# Appendix E

.

	NASA	DATA		
SUBJECT: <u><u><u>P</u></u></u>	:: Pam firsell,	The Int	date: <u>///</u> //	73/87
MOVEMENT: Thum a) Initial b) Directic c) Definiti DATA FILE NAME	b ADD/ABD $\omega$ cocorr position: ABDuct ED on of 1st movement: on of 1 repetition TADABC	traction MOV ADDUCTED H ADDUCTED H ABJAD J AT U	EMENT DIAGH um Support urycoral p urycoral p tump logo tumb pu	RAM: al d lone the interded
MYOLAR T			tum obr	Micle of
	ABDUCTOR UNIT	<u>SCRN</u> CH	<u>rsv</u>	
СНВ	Puitor <u>US5</u>	]	_: <u>}5</u> :// L	
MYOLAB II -		· · · · · · · ·		: · · ·
сн в				
GONIOMETER	DOF MEASURED	SCRN CH	FSV	BL
<u>JT1:</u>	bon mmoense		<u></u>	
JT2:				
sampling rate: perchannel = 2 number of repe number of sets	<u>207</u> samples/se 200 Sumplus /Sec 201 Stimplus /Sec 201 Striples/sec 202 Striples/sec 203 S	C MOVEMENT S	<i>COCC</i> PEED: FAST	MED SLOW
INITIALIZED DA COLLECTED DATA	ATA FILE SIZE: <u>/0ス</u> A FILE SIZE: <u>87</u>	4 <i>00):</i> 704		
ADDITIONAL COM	MENTS: Jance lo them	nh		

262

۰<u>۲</u>

NASA	DATA
the second day of the second d	

		<u></u>		DATE: 10	110/07
SUBJECT: <u>P</u> .	Rusself		/	DATE: 10	
INVESTIGATOR	(s): P. Russell	<u></u>	Aul 13		
MOVEMENT: Thu a) Initia b) Direct c) Defini	mb ADD/ABD 1 position: Qba ion of 1st mov tion of 1 repe	ducted rement: odd stition: a	Mor duction b/od	EMENT DIAC DAIM SUP Lompontal Linguis tor unt full	SRAM: NATed plane athe stunded
DATA FILE NA	ME: TAD AE	3. DAT	(+)	mt det	abductod
MYOLAB I	MUSCLE GRP	GAIN	SCRN CH	<u>FSV</u>	<u>BL</u>
CH A	Pullicus	5	1	3.417	11 334
CH B	· · · · · · · ·		• • • • • • •	·/-	
MYOLAB II					
CH A					
CH B					
GONIOMETER	DOF MEASUR	<u>ted</u>	SCRN CH	FSV	BL
JT1:					
JT2:					
SAMPLING RATE , perchannel = NUMBER OF REE NUMBER OF SE	E: <u>202</u> /samp 200 <b>Sumplus /S</b> PETITIONS/SET: TS: <u>3</u>	)les/sec LC	MOVEMENT S	SPEED: FAST	COMED SLOW
INITIALIZED	DATA FILE SIZE TA FILE SIZE:_	:: <u>16 2 40</u> 730	00 12		
ADDITIONAL CO	omments: ducting to	indy	finge	λ	2
(if fligt-	thumh - git	la Sm	all sign	nal.)	· · · ·

NASA DATA

264

-

•

.

•

SUBJECT:	Pursell	<u> </u>	DATE:/0	115/87
INVESTIGATOR	us): <u>P. Kuwell</u>	C T TA	nly	
MOVEMENT: Pin a) Initia b) Direct c) Defini DATA FILE NA	ky ABD/ADD 1 position: fine, ADD ion of 1st movement tion of 1 repetition ME: <b>PABAB</b> DAT	MC Hich t: ABDICTION on:AB) AD	PRIMORES	RAM: ORZ NN HEND ToyHILER
MYOLAB I	MUSCLE GRP GA	IN SCRN CH	FSV	BL
СН А		S.		
CH B	ABDuctor			 1
MYOLAB II	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{r}}^{*} \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{r}}^{*} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}^{*} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{r}}^{*} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{r}}^$		854	1427
CH A				
CH B				
GONIOMETER	DOF MEASURED	SCRN CH	FSV	BL
JT1:				
JT2:				
SAMPLING RAT <b>D</b> parchannel 2 NUMBER OF RE	'E: <u>200</u> samples/s 200/2 = 100 samples PETITIONS/SET: <u>8</u>	sec MOVEMENT	SPEED: FAST	MEDSLOW
NUMBER OF SE	ETS: 3			
INITIALIZED	DATA FILE SIZE:/	02400		
COLLECTED DA	TA FILE SIZE:	6200		

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

.

.

• .

۰.

.

. ۲ . . .

. . - - -- - -Appendix F

· .

•

. .

.

#### SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Investigator:			
Study:	NASA	Shoulder - Abduction	[ Adduction
			1
Date:	~ ~ _ #		
Reference File:			Disk:
Calibration File		نے دونیوں واحیات والونیا مناک جدکو دو دو	Disk:
Subject Data:			
Name: J. Jense	·	phone.	
	Height	Weight	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Nge	nergav	"exgito	
Segments Lengths	s: Forearm	Thigh	
	Upper Arm	Shank	
	Trunk	Foot	*****
	Other:	یے سے بڑے بار براہ ہوا کہ اور ایک اور ایک کریں کار میں ایک ہوتا کے اور ایک کری کار	
		ین کار زند کی کار این کار سال کار	ے در
LED Setup		Body Dia	gram
1. WRIST			
2. ELBOW		<u>\$</u>	
3. <u>Sitoulde</u>	<u>R</u>	1	Anterior
4			VIEW
5		1	
6			
7			
8			
Analog: <u>Middle Dez</u>	TOID - POX END O	i Humerus	
POSTERNOR	YELTOID		·
- icter trial	s- mterior delton	4	

SSS Form #3 4/87 .

Reference Creation Reference File: NS 518. Ref Disk: 13 Creation Date: 5-18-87 NASA/Genien / Chick-Investigator: Study: _____. Reference Description: _ Cube / Black Drope LED Coordinates (in mm) Detected Light Level Aperature Ζ Y # Х Caml Cam2 9 · 0 8 518  $\mathcal{O}$ 1 Cam1 2 1052 0 Cam2 ]  $\mathcal{O}$ · 0 3 514 4 213 1052 0 8 10 5 518 588 8 9 _0 588 6 10 10 1052 0 588 7 598 9 518 8 598 1052 10 Analog: Offset Scale Factor Ch. Units Description 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Reference Diagram: (mark and number LED locations) Reference plane:





For hanging reference:

Front track_____Back track _____

SSS Form #1 4/87 Calibration Data

Disk: 13 Calibration File: NSCAL. 518 _ ____ Creation Date: 5-19:47 Disk: 13 Reference File: NS 518. Creation Date: 5-14. Maria Study: NASA Investigator: FROMS: 100 Ha AIM ____ Analog? AIM Alt: C3.VI: Field of View Cam1 Cam2 No. of Frames used in calibration: 100 Cam1 Cam2 7.279 5.235 Average Distance: Cam2 Cam1 Camera Set-up: radius Cam1 Cam2 angle,0 tilt Cam1 Cam2 none_ none height 93 der Cam1 Cam2 93.6 cm Diagram: Cam ___ Cam <u>''</u> Cam Cam ___ File Titles:

Comments:

SSS: Form #1 3/87 Shoulan h-11.2 268

page 2 of 2 269

Inves Study	tigator:	Date: <u>5/14/47</u>	
TRIAL	FILES	Gain COMMENTS	
<u> </u>	<u>550101</u> .R	I DISK 19 TKt 9 Elbor Flexion A= Bi	<i>∟</i> -,
	<u> </u>	DISK $\underline{R} = \underline{P} = P$	
()	550107 .R. 550102 .P	DISK 20 TR# 10 Should Flex 10 DISK 20A-10 3-2 FAS DISK	<i>†</i> .
<u>_11</u>	<u>550103</u> .R <u>550103</u> .P	DISK <u>20</u> <u>TR[#]11</u> <u>Shoulder Flexion</u> DISK <u>20</u> <u>A=Rice</u> <u>B=Delt</u> <u>FA<t< u=""> DISK <u>Bsie oh</u> <u>A=Rice</u> <u>B=Delt</u> <u>FA<t< u=""></t<></u></t<></u>	
<u> 12</u>	<u>550:04</u> .R. <u>550:04</u> .P	DISK 20 <u>TR #12 Shoulder Flexion</u> Fost DISK <u>20</u> DISK	
<hr/>	<u>550105</u> .R. <u>550105</u> .PC	DISK $21$ $TR^{#}13$ $Ell_{als}$ $Fle_{x}$ - She ld $Fla_{als}$ DISK $21$ DISK	£γ.
	<u>550106</u> .R	DISK <u>21</u> <u>TK # 14</u> <u>Elloss</u> <u>Flax</u> - She Jack 1 DISK <u>21</u> <u>A=10</u> <u>B=7</u> DISK	-  =~!
<u>~1</u> 5	<u>550107</u> .R. <u>550107</u> .PC	DISK <u>22</u> <u>A-10</u> <u>13-2</u> DISK <u>22</u> <u>A-10</u> <u>13-2</u> DISK	-le~
/	<u>550108</u> .R/ <u>550108</u> .PC	DISK 22 DISK 22 DISK	

.

SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

SSS Form #4 4/87

÷

.

	NASA DATA	10/ 10
subject: <u>Pam</u>	DATE:	130/84
INVESTIGATOR (	s): Jussell /Truly / Clarke	
MOVEMENT: Read a) Initial b) Direction (C) Definit DATA FILE NAM	h position: Ext. on of 1st movement: Flex - Should + ElSow ion of 1 repetition: Flex - Ext - Flex - Ext E: Reach . DAT	DIAGRAM:
MYOLAB I	MUSCLE GRP GAIN SCRN CH 'F	SV BL
СН А	Ant Delt 1=1 .8"	54/1 696
CH B		
MYOLAB II -	Ricep 2=2 .4.	27/1 .019
СНА	- <u>-</u> - <u>-</u> - <u>-</u> - <u>-</u> - <u>-</u> - <u>-</u> - <u>-</u> - <u>-</u>	13/1 -,175
CH B		
GONIOMETER	DOF MEASURED SCRN CH FS	<u>V BL</u>
JT1:	SHOULDER FLAVENT 4=3 1.7	08 4.707
JT2:		
(SAMPLING RATE : SAMPLING RATE (per channel) NUMBER OF REP NUMBER OF SET	$\frac{2000}{100} ] \div [\text{NUMBER OF INPUT CHANNELS ACTIVAT} : \frac{400}{100} \text{ samples/sec MOVEMENT SPEED:} \\ \text{ETITIONS/SET: } & 2 \text{ full} \\ \text{s: } & 3 \text{ for } \text{for } \text$	ED <u>5</u> ] => FAST MED SLOW Sets = 5 rep's
INITIALIZED D	ATA FILE SIZE: <u>204800</u> A FILE SIZE: <u>204806</u>	
ADDITIONAL CO Shoulder Elbow E SElbow F SElbow F Shoulder	MMENTS: Flax, xt lex Flex	·

270

_ .

۰.,

#### SELSPOT Data Collection - Cover Sheet

Investigator:	Clarke		
Study:	NAISTI - Keneling		
Date: 7/10/47			
Reference File: _	NewRet. +10	Disk:	NASA 23
Calibration File:	Newerl . 910	Disk:	NASA 23
Subject Data:	· · ·		
Name: Steve 6	DERS	phone	
Age 25	Height	Weight	
Segments Lengths:	Forearm Upper Arm Trunk	Thigh Shank Foot	-
LED Setup 1	Other:	Body Diagram	 

SSS Form #3 4/87

....

**NNO** 

#### Calibration Data

Calibration File: <u>Ne</u> Creation Date: $\frac{2/4}{Ne}$ Reference File: <u>Ne</u> Creation Date: $\frac{2}{4}$	WCAL. 71 0/47 Ref. 710 0/49	0 		Disk: <u>N434 2</u> 3 Disk: <u>N434 2</u> 3
Investigator:		St	udy: NA	sA - Reaching
PROMS: 200 H7 AIM Alt:		Analog?		
C3.VI: Field of View	Cam1 Cam2	<b>X</b> <u>56%</u> <u>56%</u>	¥ 59% 59%	
Average Distance:	Caml Caml	_100 _351	Cam2 Cam2	<u>100</u> .536
Camera Set-up: radius angle,0 tilt height	Caml Caml Caml		Cam2 Cam2 Cam2	
Diagram: r = 0 = d = Can Can	r =	r =	θ =	r = Cam



Γ.

SSS: Form #2 3/87 .

C~~	rence fi ation Da	le:	Newref			Disk: NAS
Investigator:				• مر حدید مر مر من من ها ما	فتحواجة كردو جذمر بوده	
			CAR Ke		هندو داری در هری در در د	·
	uy: 		Ker			
Refei	rence De	script	ion:			
LED #	Coordi X	nates Y	(in mm) Z	Detected Caml	Light Level Cam2	l Aperati
1	0	0	0			Cam1
2		58.6	0		د مر می در می بود می بود می می مرک	Cam2
3	55.0	<u>0</u>	0			-
4	<u>55.0</u>	<u>_58.6</u> _	0	ہے ہے۔ اس کے ایک میں جب ایک ملک	ومعادلته الوحيادية منامي مندي ورداك	
5 6		- 0	<u></u>		نر وی ها کو جه ها دو بود ها خد وب ها	
7.	55.0	<u> </u>	58.4		······	<b></b>
8	55.0	58.6	58.4			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8						
Refer	ence Dia	agram:	(mark a	and number	LED locatio	ons)
Refer Refer fro bac	rence Dia rence pla ont ck	agram: ane:	(mark a	and number	LED locatio	ons)
Refer Refer fro bac	rence Dia rence pla ont k	agram: ane:   	(mark é	and number	LED locatio	ons)
Refer Refer fro bac	ence Dia nt ck	agram: ane: N 	(mark a	and number	LED locatio	ons)
Refer Refer fro bac	rence Dia rence pla ont ck	agram: ane:   	(mark a	and number	LED locatio	ons)
Refer Refer fro bac	rence Dia rence pla ont ck	agram: ane: N 	(mark a	and number	LED locatio	ons)
Refer fro bac	rence pla ont k	agram: ane: 	(mark a	and number	LED locatio	ons)
Refer fro bac	rence Dia rence pla ont ck  58.6	agram: 	(mark a	and number	LED locatio	ons)
Refer fro bac	ence Dia nence pla ont ck sk 58.6	agram:	(mark a	and number	LED locatio	ons)
Refer fro bac	ence Dia fence pla ont ck	agram:	(mark a	and number	LED locatio	ons)
Refer Refer fro bac	ence Dia fence pla ont ck 4 58.6 58.6 containg r	agram:	(mark a	and number	LED locatio	ons)

Ē

6

58.4

ľ







.

Newnet	,710	Pisk . NASA	10		page _/ of 275
X Newcol	. 710	Disk N SELSPOT	rse 23 [ Data	)  Collectio	n - Trials Records
:67, 597, 56 54	. <b>N</b> g		r    ,	al 1	
Misc	Invest Study:	igator:	- Reach	1kille	Date: <u>//c/&amp;7</u>
12.536	TRIAL	FILES	6 sec	/ •	COMMENTS
		550286.	RAW	DISK NASA	5 TR#1 Reaching - Closed Fist
		5502B6	POS	DISK	A = Bicep = & Gain = 1X
			Pof	DISK	B= Tricop = 7 011 sents on B?
	2	550287	RAW	DISK NASA	5 TK#Z Reaching
		550287	POS	DISK	<u>A ~ y</u>
		~~~~~~~~	Pof	DISK	B: 7 Goed
	3	550248	RAW	DISK NASA	5 TK#3 Reaching
		550288	POS	DISK	A= Bi - 8 50-0
			Pof	DISK	$B = T_R; = 2$
P	4	550269	RAW	DISK NASA	5 TR#4 Reaching Pilut Stor 1
-		550289	POS	DISK	- Sul colorist
		ب میں علم میں میں میں ہیں ہیں ہیں ہیں۔	Pof	DISK	- position
	ς	550290	RAW	DISK NASA	5 TR#5 Reaching "
		550290	POS	DISK	
			Pof	DISK	
	6	550291	RAW	DISK <u>Ne</u> s	6 TR 6 Reaching Cocontraction
			POS	DISK	A = 2 = B;
		و ها ایو ها ها نارین روانه مو به	Pof	DISK	<u>B= 4 = Tri</u>
	1	550292	RAW	DISK Man	6 TR7 Reaching of Cocont
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	POS	DISK	<u>A:B;</u>
		ف ملا ہے ہے دلاق کے حوال علم بالد ہی	POF	DISK	<u>B: Ta</u>
	<u> </u>	550293	RAW	DISK 1JASA	6 TRS Reaching of Cocont
۲			POS	DISK	<u>A=B:</u> , /
ORIGINIAT	DA 00 -		POF	DISK	B: Tri
OF POOR	QUALITY	5 7		) Jac	Cocontraction not as hand
				COLOR	PLOTTEDADE
	SSS Fo 4/87	rm #4			MAPH

page ____ of ____

#### SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

Invest Study:	igator:			Date:
TRIAL	FILES	·····		COMMENTS
9	550294	RAW	DISK NASA 7	Reach of Cocontraction
		POS	DISK	A = B.
		POF	DISK	B=TRi
10	550295	RAW	DISK NATA >	TRE B Reaching of Coronta.
		POS	DISK	<u>A * B: = 2</u>
		POF	DISK	13=TR, 34
11	550296	DAU	DTCK Nach	Reaching
			DISK	A = Ant Delt = 1 Gainelx
		POF	DISK	B= Lat. Dorsi = S
12	550297	RAW	DISK NASA7	Reaching Smoother
		POS	DISK	A= Ant? Delt
		Pof	DISK	B= Lat Barsi
. 7	11 11			
	350298	RAW	DISK NASA 8	TK 13 Keaching
		POS	DISK	
	ت الداريد عالي چاه بي جاه	Pof	DISK	<u></u>
14	550299	RAW	DISK NASAS	10# 14 Reaching
		POS	DISK	A < 1
		POF	DISK	B= 4
•				 
_15_	550300	RAW	DISK Na 54 5	TK#15 Reaching
	وحدین ہود ہے ہو سے، دو تنہ	POS	DISK	·
		POF	DISK	
16	560901			Pali - Partition
10	10501	RAW	DISK <u>MASA S</u>	A- A + + 1+ = 15 (1)=1X
		POS	DISK	$\frac{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}} = \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}} = \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}}$
		POF	DISK	1) - LAT KORSI - 7.0

.

SSS Form #4 4/87

•

..

[

-

•

.

page _____ of _____

#### SELSPOT Data Collection - Trials Records

Investigator: Study:			Date:	
TRIAL	FILES	······································		COMMENTS
17	550302	RAW	DISK MASA 9	Tit 17 Reaching of Cocartiant
		POS	DISK	<u>A=1.5</u>
		Pof	DISK	13=5.0
14	550303	. RAW	DISK NASA9	TR 14 Reaching of Corporting
		.POS	DISK	A: Art. Delt
		POF	DISK	B=Lat. Dorzsi
19	660304	DAU	DICK NASA	9-70-19 Pali - 1 1 - + +
			DISK MAN	A = A + TUIT
		POF	DISK	B- Lot. Doresi Succe the
<i></i>				
<u>70</u>	350305	RAW	DISK NASA1	Theo Keaching of Construction-
	553 505	POS	DISK <u>14,4 2</u>	3 K= Int Dut
		Pof	DISK	B= LAT. DOKSI
	<del></del>	RAW	DISK	·
	و الم الله الله الله الله الله الله الله	Pos	DISK	
		Pof	DISK	
		RAW	DISK	
		POS	DISK	
		Pof	DISK	
		.RAW	DISK	<u> </u>
		. POS	DISK	
		POF	DISK	
		RAU	DISK	
		. POS	DISK	
		.POF	DISK	
				عادی کردی کرد. های هر می خراط بر بین کردی می می می می می بود بین در ا

SSS Form #4 4/87

• • • •

,

is by CK NASA DATA DATE: 11/13/87 SUBJECT: Rich Section INVESTIGATOR(S) & Clarke NOR REACHING PAtters MOVEMENT: REACHING MOVEMENT DIAGRAM: a) Initial position: 5x+0NDCD 41.0A) b) Direction of 1st movement: ELBOW FLEXION/SHOULDER FLEXION with c) Definition of 1 repetition: ELBOW EXTENSION /SHOULDER EXTENSION With E2 BOW FLEXION DATA FILE NAME: REACH2. DAT MUSCLE GRP SCRN CH MYOLAB I GAIN FSV BL18.5-1 -. 874 CH A Tricep 10x 11,5 1 CH B 10× 2 1.708 -. 898 MYOLAB II Anterior Delt 1×9 CH A 6 1.708 -.004 Postexion Delt 6 CH B .854/1 -.470 7 GONIOMETER DOF MEASURED SCRN CH FSV BL BICED RAW ,770 . 854 З **JT1:** ,285 RAW TRICOP **JT2:** 427 -. 312 RAW BICEP . 854 2000 samples/sec MOVEMENT SPEED: FAST MED SAMPLING RATE: /SLO 3ND setfull 0 NUMBER OF REPETITIONS/SET: ____ NUMBER OF SETS: INITIALIZED DATA FILE SIZE: 100 K COLLECTED DATA FILE SIZE: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: fortate SCAR off Posterior Deit RAW PATA NO 600D 11 2 · `:

こうしん んこう ひそう