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## 1. SUMMARY

Deep-impurity, double-injection, (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices represent a unique class of semiconductor devices in which deep-level impurities are intentionally incorporated into the device material in order to obtain unusual properties and functions. It has been shown theoretically and experimentally that semiconductors containing large concentrations of deep levels are capable of switching from a low conductance state to a high conductance state when a certain potential, called the threshold voltage, is exceeded.

Other studies have shown that (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices possess very high immunity to the effects of electron and neutron irradiation, and that they may have the ability to function normally at elevated temperature. The best studied method for obtaining desirable deep-impurity properties is the incorporation of gold into silicon, and it was this method that was employed in these studies. This program has investigated (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices for possible applications in power switching, especially in space environments, where light weight, reliability, and radiation hardness are desirable attributes.

This program has built upon the foundations laid by previous Westinghouse programs and by fundamental work performed at the University of Cincinnati by Dr. Thurman Henderson and his students.

During this program, several varieties of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices were investigated, devices were fabricated and tested, and the parameters of device performance were calculated. The processing of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices, in particular the method of introducing gold into silicon, was studied and improved.

Calculations based upon the assumed mode of operation of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices, along with empirical information regarding power semiconductor devices' operation and limitations, have led to the conclusion that
(DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices are not well suited to high-power applications; the very phenomenon that is essential to the operation of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices, space-charge-limited current injection, was shown to cause high off-state power dissipations which cannot easily be ameliorated.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

### 2.1 NASA REQUIREMENTS

Requirements for space station power systems are unique and stringent. In this environment, efficiency, reliability, and survivability are paramount. Weight, of course, is at a premium, and reliability is essential. In addition, all systems aboard space craft are subject to hostile environments, some natural and some man-made. In particular, for an SP100 powered station, it would be very useful to have the power electronics components in the vicinity of the nuclear power reactor. In this situation, they could be subject to high levels of radiation and to high temperature. Temperature is a particularly severe problem in space, since the only way to dispose of heat is through radiation.

Recent new proposals for space systems have arisen from the Civilian Space Technology Initiative and from Pathfinder. These include a return to the moon with the possible establishment of a permanent station there and a one to one and one-half year manned trip to Mars with $10-12$ people. These programs will require electrical power systems of several MW characterized by light weight, radiation hardness, and tolerance to high temperature.
(DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices, in addition to their tolerance for radiation and high temperatures, possess other potential advantages for space power systems. One of these is that they do not rely upon reverse biased $p-n$ junctions for their operation. In principle, this implies that they are not constrained by the high field and temperature effects that limit $p-n$ junction devices and therefore can be designed to operate at higher voltages, a distinct advantage in efficiency. Because the radiative cooling that must be used in space power systems rapidly becomes more
efficient as the temperature is increased, the use of devices that operate at high temperature possesses distinct advantages.

### 2.2 PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

In anticipation of the benefits to be gained by the practical application of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices in high-power circuitry, the following objectives were conceived for this program.

### 2.2.1 Overall Goals

The original overall goal of the program was to perform applied research and development studies of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ doped silicon devices that operate in the $2-10 \mathrm{kV}$ range. This goal was later extended downward to include the 500 V range and the possibility of forming "zero forward voltage drop" rectifiers. These studies were to include theoretical, analytical, and experimental investigations of processing, electrode topologies, and gating design. The program includes the fabrication of a set of experimental devices and evaluation testing of the experimental units. Delivery of deep-impurity switching devices meeting specification goals and documentation in a final report are to constitute demonstration of the technology.

The detailed goals of the programs have been:

Analysis and (DI) ${ }^{2}$ Switch Design
Perform theoretical, analytical, and experimental investigations to identify and demonstrate (DI) ${ }^{2}$ switch designs, specific device topologies and structures, optimum configurations, and methods for control of surface fields and breakdown. The switches shall meet, in so far as possible, the characteristics enumerated in "Specification Goals for (DI) ${ }^{2}$ Silicon Switches" (hereafter referred to as Spec-goals).

Design, fabricate, and evaluate mask sets that provide the required electrode topology and device structure to attain specified current/voltage ratings and switching functions. Devise and study various cathode, anode, and gate geometries that are compatible with circular planar, vertical, or other possible structures.

Study optimum configurations and electrode geometries, compatible with optimum processes, to maximize threshold voltage ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{th}}$ ) and minimize holding voltage $\left(V_{h}\right)$. Determine feasibility, parameters, and design configurations to fabricate vertical devices at near the maximum voltage rating.

Study optimum configurations and electrode geometries compatible with developed processes, to maximize the threshold voltage to holding voltage ratio and to minimize leakage current at the threshold voltage. Determine feasibility, parameters, and design considerations to fabricate devices meeting the Spec-goals.

Study trade-offs of channel-doping levels, deep-impurity types, and electrode configurations to reduce current leakage in the prebreakdown square law region. Explore methods to minimize power dissipation.

Study gate types and configurations with threshold voltage, holding voltage ratios, current rating, and gate power to determine feasibility for "zero forward voltage drop" devices.

Study and determine the feasibility of a 10 kV device. Perform。 required parametric trade-offs, propose a design with projected operating characteristics, and compare them to the Spec-goals.

Formulate (DI) ${ }^{2}$ switch design trade-off options in terms of the required Spec-goals. These options to be submitted in written form and subsequently presented orally at NASA-Lewis Research Center. The NASA project manager shall review the design options and select one or more designs for sample (DI) ${ }^{2}$ switches.

Study and design suitable packages that provide electrical contacts, thermal interfaces, environmental protection, and mechanical interfaces. These are to be included as part of the design trade-offs options submitted to NASA-Lewis.

## Processing Investigations

Investigate and determine the necessary steps and techniques for the processing of shallow impurity compensated deep-impurity silicon switching devices to meet the Spec-goals. The investigations shall
specifically be concerned with the quality and yield of the bulk material, base resistivity, surface preparations, ohmic contacts, insulation, and metallization.

## (DI) ${ }^{2}$ Switch Fabrication

Fabricate 100 sample (DI) ${ }^{2}$ switches that meet the Spec-goals and conform to the NASA-Lewis approved designs as final deliverable items to NASA-LeRC. The specific device characteristics shall be made available to the NASA Project Manager for selections of the deliverable (DI) ${ }^{2}$ switches. At the discretion of the NASA Program Manager, the sample switches may be required on a minimum of four uncut wafers with up to 64 units per wafer.

## (DI) ${ }^{2}$ Switch Testing and Evaluation

Devise a test plan delineating test equipment, specific tests, and evaluation procedures for the verification of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ switches that meet the Spec-goals. The test plan is to provide for the determination of ratings and electrical parameter characterizations for each deliverable (DI) ${ }^{2}$ switch. I-V characteristics are to be evaluated with emphasis on $V_{t h}, V_{h}$, leakage currents at $V_{\text {th }}$, holding current, gate currents at switching, and switching times. The test plan is to be submitted to the NASA Program Manager for review and approval prior to testing and evaluation of deliverable (DI) ${ }^{2}$ switches. Test and evaluate all deliverable (DI) ${ }^{2}$ switches according to the approved Test Plan. Test data on each switch to be submitted at the time of delivery of the (DI) ${ }^{2}$ switches.

## Reporting Requirements

Prepare apd submit technical narrative and financial reports on NASA Form 533P. Prepare and submit a Final Report.

### 2.3 SPEC-GOALS

Representative Spec-goals that have been addressed during this program are outliped on Table 1.

TABLE 1
REPRESENTATIVE SPECIFICATION GOALS FOR (DI) ${ }^{2}$ SWITCHES


### 2.4 POVER SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICES

Westinghouse has an interest in the results of this program because of our experience in the design, manufacture, sale, and application of high-power semiconductors. Since most of the applications of high-power semiconductors are unfamiliar to all but electrical power engineers, Table 2 lists some of them (in no particular order), and they are discussed in some detail below.

## TABLE 2

SOME INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS OF POWER SENICONDUCTORS

Solar Energy Farms<br>High-Voltage Direct Current<br>Large Motor Controls<br>Transportation Engines<br>Phase Control (VAR Generators)<br>Small Motor Controls<br>Fusion Power Generation

Solar electric power-generating plants consist of an enormous number of individual solar cells generating power at a peak value of about 15 mW per square centimeter of cell area. These cells can be wired in series and parallel to produce several MW of dc power. In order for this power to be connected into the electrical power grid which covers the 48 states and much of Canada, it must be converted to 60 Hz , high voltage ac by means of power semiconductor switches and transformers. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

[^0]High-voltage, direct current (HVDC) power transmission is the most efficient means for transporting power over long distances. In this application, high-power semiconductor switches and rectifiers are used at the power-generating point to convert ac to mega-volt dc levels for transmission. At the load end of the transmission line, the de is chopped by other switches in order to produce 60 Hz ac.

Large motors such as those used in steel mills, pumps, and mining operations may operate on more than $5,000 \mathrm{~V}$ ( $15,000 \mathrm{~V}$ would be preferred). Their speed is controlled by semiconductor switches. Transportation motors (electric railways) are a subset of large motors. In this country, they operate at about 600 V , but more efficient installations in Europe and Japan operate near 1,500 V.

Phase control of power at electric substations is used to deliver power efficiently to varying reactive loads. In this application, static VAR generators consisting of large banks of power semiconductor devices switch capacitor banks into and out of the power circuit at 60 Hz in order to compensate for the reactance of the load and maintain a constant voltage level.

Even small motors (less then 100 hp ) such as those that run industrial pumps and blowers use power semiconductors to regulate motor speed and provide efficient operation.

Finally, the equipment to be used in fusion power generation will require large amounts of electrical input power to provide high magnetic fields, to resistively heat gas plasmas, and to energize lasers. Power semiconductor switches will be used to provide pulsed power in the giga-watt range.

The list in Table 2 above does not include applications in the high-voltage, low-power regime. This includes the use of electron and ion beams such as those used in oscilloscopes, travelling wave tubes, electron microscopes, and television sets; gas plasmas such as vapor lamps and plasma displays; or static electricity applications such as Kerr cells and copying machines. This partial list indicates that the range of applications for high-voltage semiconductor switches is enormous - from millions of amperes to nano-amperes.

Obviously, there are high-power semiconductor switches available today. The state of the art might be described in terms of a thyristor which is available for sale (on special order) by Powerex, Inc. Some of its specifications are listed in Table 3 below.

TABLE 3
POWER THYRISTOR SPECIFICATIONS

| SYMBOL | CHARACTERISTICS AND CONDITIONS | Value | UNITS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {DRM }}$ | Repetitive Peak Blocking Yoltage | 4,400 | Volts |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {RRM }}$ | Repetitive Reverse Blocking Voltage | 4,400 | Volts |
|  | RMS Forward Current | 2,200 | Amperes |
|  | 1/2 Cycle Surge Current | 25,000 | Amperes |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {TM }}$ | Forward drop at $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{T}}=3,000 \mathrm{~A}$ | 2.50 | Volts |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\text {GT }}$ | Gate Current to Trigger | 150 | mA |
| $\mathrm{T}_{J}$ | Operating Junction Temperature | -40 to | $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | Thermal Resistance to Case (Double-Sided Cooling) | $\begin{aligned} & .015 \\ & 0.53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W} \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ |

This thyristor can block $4,400 \mathrm{~V}$ in the forward or reverse direction with a leakage current of less than 250 mA . In the conducting state, it will safely pass an average current of $1,400 \mathrm{~A}$ at a voltage drop of less than 2.5 V . It is triggered on by a gate pulse of only 150 mA . During operation in the OFF state, power loss in the thyristor could be as high as 1.1 kW ; and power loss in the 0 N state is 3.5 kW . But this single device is capable of regulating up to 6.16 MW of power into a load. This power level is nearly the entire peak power output of the Carissa Plains solar farm, enough power to supply 8,800 homes. The power-handling capability of this single device is impressive, and it turns on in only eight microseconds (its turn-off time is 400 microseconds). The specifications of maximum p-n junction temperature
and the thermal impedance between the junction and the case are also important, as will be shown later.

This device is sealed into a ceramic package which is about four inches in diameter and one and one-half inches high. The package weighs over two pounds. In order to maximize the conduction of heat from the device, it is clamped between solid copper heat sinks which are water cooled; the mounting force is 10,000 pounds. The silicon disk itself is nearly one millimeter thick, 67 mm in diameter, and has a base resistivity of 220 ohm-cm. (A 6 kV thyristor now being developed uses a silicon disk about 1.3 mim thick and five inches in diameter; its base resistivity is 400 ohm-cm.)

Now we compare this thyristor to what might be considered an ideal high-power switch. The characteristics of this ideal switch are listed in Table 4.

This ideal switch would be compact in volume, rugged, with low loss in both the $0 N$ and $0 F F$ states, capable of high-temperature operation, and fast switching; our thyristor fills these requirements fairly well. The ideal switch is easily triggered, and our thyristor looks good here too, except that there is no inherent high-voltage isolation between the gate signal and the high voltage level. The ideal switch has $d V / d t, d I / d t$ and $\ell_{r r}$ parameters which are adequate for the switching speeds likely to be encountered; our thyristor is suitable for operation at frequencies less than one kHz . The ideal switch is bilateral, conducting current in either direction, and has gate turn-off capability; this particular thyristor has neither of these features. The ideal switch is simple to manufacture and so can be sold at a low price; our thyristor is difficult to manufacture to these specifications and it sells for a premium price.

TABLE 4

## IDEAL SWITCH CHARACTERISTICS

COMPACT<br>EASILY TRIGGERED (HIGH-VOLTAGE ISOLATION)<br>BILATERAL<br>gate TURN-OFF<br>LOW LOSS<br>FAST SWITCHING<br>LOW COST<br>SIMPLE MANUFACTURE<br>RUGGED<br>$\mathrm{dV} / \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{dI} / \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{rr}}$<br>HIGH VOLTAGE

Finally, the ideal power switch has high-voltage capability. This is a very important attribute of the switch. In high-power switching applications, it is almost always preferable to switch a highvoltage to the load, rather than to switch a large current. But attaining high-voltage capability by placing devices in series requires that nearly every parameter of every device in the series string be carefully matched to every other device in the string. This is necessary in order to ensure that voltage and current transients will be shared equally and simultaneously among the devices. If they are not, a cascade of burned out devices may result. Even when the devices are carefully matched, high-power cushioning or snubber circuits must be used in parallel with each device in order to protect it during the switching process.

In principle, it should be possible to increase the voltage capability of a thyristor such as ours to arbitrarily high values simply by making the silicon disk thicker and of higher resistivity material. But if we simultaneously require high current capability, the thermal
consequences must be considered. During normal operation, the $4,400 \mathrm{~V}$ thyristor conducts a peak current of $3,111 \mathrm{~A}$, resulting in an instantaneous power dissipation of 7.7 kW and an instantaneous temperature rise of $116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The intrinsic electron concentration $n_{i}$ equals the donor concentration at $152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Near this temperature, thermal runaway will occur.

For the 6 kV thyristor now being developed, the silicon wafer is thicker so the forward voltage drop is higher (for the same current density) and the thermal impedance is higher, so the temperature rise is worse - and the base resistivity of 400 ohm-cm means that $n_{i}$ will equal the donor concentration at $138^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Clearly, a high-power thyristor of this type cannot be designed for arbitrarily high voltage; basic considerations of physics become limiting factors. These considerations are in addition to technological difficulties which are difficult to solve - difficulties in obtaining high-resistivity silicon of sufficient uniformity, and difficulties in preventing the high electric fields at the device edges from destroying the device through surface breakdown.

Clearly there is room for power semiconductor devices which are sufficiently different in nature that they are not limited by some of these factors. Several goals have been proposed to define areas of investigation into (DI) ${ }^{2}$ principles. Some of these goals were listed in the previous section. The most ambitious of these goals is a $10 \mathrm{kV}, 1$ ampere switch with a maximum leakage current of 50 mA and a switching time of 10 microseconds.

Some results obtained in a previous program have shown that these goals are worth pursuing and simultaneously illustrate the wide range of possible applications of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices. In the realm of high voltage, threshold voltages of well over 1 kV have been measured, although these same devices exhibited high holding voltages also. Gatecontrolled switching has been achieved with reasonable gate currents and one microsecond switching time; and some devices have shown a tendency to operate at integrated circuit logic levels.

Other (DI) ${ }^{2}$ characteristics such as extreme radiation hardness and high-temperature operation are almost certain to find application in areas that conventional devices cannot fill. Operation of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices at temperatures as high as $420^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ has been reported (conventional silicon devices are limited to about $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and other devices were apparently not seriously degraded by irradiation to over 100 megarads (conventional radiation-hardened integrated circuits are limited to about one megarad). Both of these properties are of great interest to those who would like to operate semiconductor devices in hostile environments. In addition to serving as high-power devices, the (DI) ${ }^{2}$ phenomena may find application in a new fàmily of low-power integrated circuits and devices.

The bipolar high-power thyristor is a fairly mature technology, and further improvements in its operating parameters will be severely constrained by fundamental physical limitations. It could be hoped that (DI) ${ }^{2}$ technology represents a new approach that will avoid some of these limitations and will provide a step function improvement in selected areas.

## 3. DEEP-TMPURITY DOUBLE-INJECTION DEVICES

### 3.1 SEMICONDUCTORS WITHOUT DEEP LBVELS

A pure semiconductor material such as silicon, germanium, or gallium arsenide has an atomic density which is near 5 E 22 atoms per cubic centimeter. These materials contain a characteristic concentration of mobile charge carriers (electrons and/or holes) which will be in the range 1 E 6 (gallium arsenide) to 1 E 13 (germanium) per cubic centimeter at ordinary temperatures. This charge density distinguishes them from insulators which may contain a few hundred or fewer carriers per cubic centimeter; and from metallic conductors which may contain on the order of 1 E 22 carriers per cubic centimeter.

The charge carrier density (more than zero but less than one charge carrier per atom) is explained by the presence of a "forbidden energy gap," a range of energies which are quantum mechanically forbidden to the electrons present on the constituent atoms. Electrons with energies equal to or greater than some characteristic energy called the conduction band edge are free to move through the crystal lattice. Electrons with energies less than another characteristic value called the valence band edge are firmly bound to their atoms. This is illustrated in an "energy band diagram" as shown in Figure 1. It is conventional to show electron energy as increasing upward in these diagrams.

An electron which acquires sufficient energy (e.g., thermal energy) to enter the conduction band leaves behind a positively charged atom. The positive charge (a hole) is also free to move through the crystal lattice in the conduction band. Both carriers contribute to electrical current when a potential is applied.

Semiconductors are made to be useful by incorporating certain impurities or "dopants" into some of the atomic sites in the crystal


Figure 1. Band-diagram of a pure semiconductor.
lattice. Atoms such as phosphorus or arsenic in silicon are easily ionized by "donating" an electron into the conduction band; these are called "n-type dopants" or donor atoms. Atoms such as boron or aluminum in silicon are easily ionized by "accepting" an electron from the silicon atoms; these are called "p-type dopants" or acceptor atoms. The doped semiconductor is described as "n type" or "p-type" depending upon which type of dopant predominates. N-type semiconductors conduct current principally by means of the movement of electrons; p-type semiconductors conduct current principally by holes. N-type and p-type semiconductors are illustrated by the band diagrams in Figure 2.

For practical purposes it can be assumed that every donor atom contributes a mobile electron to the crystal and every acceptor atom contributes a hole. By incorporating increasing concentrations of donors or acceptors into a silicon crystal, it is possible to reduce its resistivity from the 3 E 5 ohm-cm characteristic of pure silicon to any value down to less than $1 \mathrm{E}-3$ ohm-cm for silicon heavily doped with 1 E 20 dopant atoms per cubic centimeter. The "Fermi level" shown in Figure 2 is an energy level that represents a one-half probability that an electron of that energy will be in the conduction band.
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Figure 2. Band diagram of a doped semiconductor.



Figure 3. Band diagram of a doped semiconductor with deep-level dopants.

### 3.2 DEEP LBVELS - PRINCIPLES OF OPERATION

Another class of dopants called deep-level dopants are conventionally to be avoided in semiconductor technology. These dopants are usually heavy metals and are characterized by ionization energies which are larger than those of conventional donors and acceptors. The nature of these deep levels is illustrated in the band diagram of Figure 3.

A deep level can be either a deep donor or deep acceptor and often can function as either. They are deleterious to the operation of most semiconductor devices, contributing to leakage currents and reducing the gain of bipolar devices. Deep-level acceptors and donors are often called "traps" because a mobile electron or hole can lose energy by attaching itself to a deep-level atom.

It is the trapping ability of deep levels that finds application in deep-level, double-injection - (DI) ${ }^{2}$ - devices. For example, if silicon containing 1 E 15 phosphorus atoms per cubic centimeter (and therefore having 1 E 15 mobile electrons per cubic centimeter) is doped with increasing concentrations of gold, more and more electrons are trapped at the gold atoms and removed from the conduction band. When the gold concentration reaches a value near $2 \mathrm{E} 16 / \mathrm{cc}$, essentially all of the mobile electrons will be trapped and the silicon will be a highresistivity material. If now contacts are applied to the silicon and a voltage is applied, very little current will flow through the highresistivity material and the (DI) ${ }^{2}$ device would be described as being in an OFF state.

In order to understand the other characteristics of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices, it is necessary to consider the conceptual device depicted in Figure 4.

This figure represents a piece of n-type silicon which has been doped with a deep-level atom such as gold, so that the silicon resistivity in the body of the device is quite high. At each end of the device is a contact; the $n^{+}$contact is the cathode which consists of a region that has been very heavily doped with phosphorus (so that the relative gold concentration in this region is negligible) and is then contacted with a metal electrode. The $\mathrm{p}^{+}$region is similarly very


Figure 4. A (DI) ${ }^{2}$ diode.
heavily doped with boron and has a metal electrode; it constitutes the anode. This device will be used to illustrate the principles of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ operation.

With no voltage applied to the device, most of the electrons from the ionized donor (phosphorus) atoms have been trapped at the gold atom sites, leaving only a low electron concentration. As a small voltage is applied, ohmic current flows through the device, carried by the untrapped electrons. Because the resistivity is high, the current flow is small (Figure 5).

As the applied voltage is increased, another mode of current flow begins to become significant. This current is called the space-charge-limited current and is independent of the electron concentration in the material. In this mode, electrons which are present in the $\mathrm{n}^{+}$ cathode are injected into the high-resistivity body and flow through the material under the influence of their own space charge. The following description of the space-charge-limited current follows that given by Ghandi. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

[^1]

Figure 5. Current-voltage characteristics of a (DI) ${ }^{2}$ diode.

We continue to assume that there is a single deep trapping level and that these trapping sites have been filled with electrons from the conduction band. When a voltage is applied across the device, electrons are injected into the body from the cathode and holes are injected from the anode. The electrons will not be trapped since the trapping sites are filled, but will flow under the influence of the electric field toward the anode. Holes injected from the anode, however, are readily trapped at the negatively charged trapping sites and are not able to traverse the length of the device before they are trapped; they cannot alter the injected electron space charge near the cathode and so the electron current is controlled by its own space charge. The transport equations for this process are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} v}{d x^{2}}=-\frac{d E}{d x}=-\frac{\rho}{\epsilon}=q \frac{n}{\epsilon} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { and } J=n q \mu B \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is the voltage, $J$ is the current density, $\rho$ is the charge density, $q$ is the magnitude of the electronic charge, $n$ is the electron concentration, $E$ is the electric field, $\epsilon$ is the permittivity of silicon, and $\mu$ is the electron mobility.

Solving these equations gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}^{2}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{dV}}{\mathrm{dx}}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{2 J}{\epsilon \mu}\right) x+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{c}$ is the electric field at the cathode.
As $J$ increases, the field at the cathode becomes smaller and eventually vanishes. This is the current density for which the current is entirely space charge limited. Solution of the above equation for the space-charge-limited condition in a device of length $L$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{scl}}=\frac{9}{8} \frac{\epsilon \mu}{\mathrm{~L}^{3}} \mathrm{~V}^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the voltage across the device increases further, the field across the device eventually becomes high enough that holes are injected faster than they are trapped. These holes reach the cathode where they reduce the electron space charge, permitting more electrons to be injected while the lifetime of holes increases. The process is regenerative and results in a negative resistance characteristic such as that illustrated in Figure 6.

Characteristic of this mode of operation is that, at some current density, $J_{B}$, the voltage is a minimum. At current densities above this value, the injection rate exceeds the recombination rate and space charge builds up again.

Other modes for obtaining a negative resistance exist. One of these is avalanche injection which can be envisioned as follows. Consider the same structure as before with the exception that the anode region is replaced with another $n^{+}$contact. This contact is not capable
of injecting holes into the device body, so double injection as described above cannot take place. However, we have shown that, under the space-charge-limited condition, the electric filed increases with distance from the cathode, reaching its maximum at the anode. If the applied voltage is high enough, this field can reach the avalanche breakdown field for silicon ( $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{E} 5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) and electron/hole pairs will be created. The electrons will be collected at the positive anode, but the holes will be "injected" into the device body, leading again to double injection and negative resistance.

Under some conditions, such as an inhomogeneity in the device body, another mode of negative resistance operation can take place. The electric field can be locally concentrated causing impact ionization, leading again to electron-hole pair production and essentially to double-injection conditions with negative resistance.

All of these processes which cause a negative resistance behavior result in current filaments; that is, the majority of the current flows in stable regions of the semiconductor of which the cross sectional area is less than the area available for current flow. That this must be true can be shown by again considering the I-V characteristic shown in Figure 6. Assume that a device of cross section A is momentarily biased at the point on the negative resistance part of the curve labeled $X$, corresponding to a current density $J_{X}$ and therefore to a total current which is $\mathrm{AJ}_{x}$. The voltage will tend toward its minimum value $V_{B}$ where the negative differential resistance becomes zero, corresponding to stable operation. The current density increases to the value $J_{B}$, regardless of whether the total current is allowed to increase or not. This is accomplished by current flowing through a smaller cross-sectional area than before. In this condition, the resistivity of the smaller cross-sectional area is decreased further, while the resistivity of the rest of the device area increases. The process continues regeneratively until nearly all the current flows through the highly conductive filament, while the remainder of the current flows through the rest of the device at the much lower current density $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{\prime}$.


Figure 6. Negative resistance in a (DI) ${ }^{2}$ diode.

The implications of this mode of operation of negative resistance devices is that, although it is convenient to assume the entire cross sectional area of a device as available for current flow and for efficient heat removal, this assumption is optimistic and the thermal characteristics of negative resistance devices are more complex.

### 3.2.1 High-Voltage (DI) ${ }^{2}$ Switching Circuit

It would be desirable to have a high-power device which switches from a low-conductivity OFF state to a high-conductivity ON state in response to a triggering signal. The (DI) ${ }^{2}$ diode that was described above, of course, is not triggerable but could still find use in a highvoltage switching circuit as described below.

The response of one particular (DI) ${ }^{2}$ diode is shown in Figure 7. This oscillograph shows the voltage and current waveforms resulting from the application of a $400 \mathrm{~V}, 300$ microsecond pulse to a deep-impurity, double-injection device. Because 400 V exceeds the threshold voltage of this particular device by only a small amount, the switching transition in this case is slow (about 50 microseconds); the oscillograph clearly shows the delay time, the switching process, and the high-current, lowvoltage ON state of the device.


Figure 7. Oscillograph of the switching transition in a non-gated (DI) ${ }^{2}$ device.

Note that the switching process in this device is initiated by the application of a voltage pulse; there is no third terminal to trigger the switching action.

Such a device can be used, along with a triggerable thyristor, in a high-voltage switch. The high-voltage capability is achieved through connecting several deep-impurity, double-injection devices in series with each other and with another, triggerable high-voltage device such as a thyristor. The triggerable device can be operated near ground potential in order to avoid insulation problems in the triggering circuit. The scheme is shown in Figure 8.

In operation, high voltage from a source such as a pulse-forming network would be applied across the high-voltage switch. This voltage would be near, but less than, the combined threshold voltages of the deep-impurity, double-injection devices; the current flowing through these devices would therefore be small. Equal voltage sharing between the deep-impurity, double-injection devices and the thyristor can be


Figure 8. Schematic representation of a high-voltage switch using deep-impurity, double-injection devices along with a separate, triggerable thyristor.
accomplished through a resistive network in parallel with the highvoltage devices.

Triggering the thyristor ON causes the voltage across each of the deep-impurity, double-injection devices to increase to the threshold value, causing them to switch to the high-current, low-voltage mode, and the entire high-voltage switch switches to the $O N$ condition, sending a high-current pulse through the load.

Because there is no method of turning the switch OFF, it will continue to conduct current as long as a sufficient voltage is maintained by the voltage source. This is the conventional mode of operation of thyristor circuits.

The advantages of this type of high-voltage switch over conventional high-voltage thyristor strings are:
a) Since only one of the devices in the series string requires a triggering signal, the difficulties inherent in sending trigger signals to those devices that are at high potentials relative to ground can be avoided.
b) Because the switching of one device in a series string automatically causes other devices in the string to switch without the necessity of simultaneously applying trigger signals to each device in the string, a high degree of switch reliability is obtained.
c) Deep-impurity, double-injection devices are, at least in principle, easy to fabricate since they do not require highvoltage $p-n$ junctions. The ease of fabrication can be expected to provide cost savings compared to the fabrication of high-voltage thyristors.
d) Deep-impurity, double-injection devices can be made as lateral devices on a semiconductor wafer, thus avoiding the difficulties associated with double-sided diffusions and metallization required with high-voltage thyristors. It would even be possible to series connect several deep-
impurity, double-injection devices on a single wafer instead of separating them for individual packages as is required for high-voltage thyristors.

Since other investigations have shown that silicon deepimpurity, double-injection devices are capable of operating at higher temperatures (over $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), then those permissible for thyristor operation $\left(125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, such a high-voltage switch might be useful in certain high-temperature applications where conventional silicon devices cannot be used. It has also been shown that silicon deep-impurity, doubleinjection devices continue to operate normally after exposure to high doses of nuclear radiation (in excess of 100 mega-rads total dose). This characteristic would permit the use of deep-impurity, doubleinjection switches in applications involving nuclear fission or fusion reactors and in military or space applications.

### 3.2.2 Background of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ Phenomena

Murray A. Lampert is given credit as the man who first described the phenomena which could be ascribed to traps and space-charge-limited current in solids. His work is summarized in a comprehensive book which he co-authored. ${ }^{\text {c }}$

Most of the interest in the practical applications of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices has come from the work of a group headed by Professor H. Thurman Henderson at the University of Cincinnati. Students under Dr. Henderson's guidance have investigated an amazing variety of semiconductor devices based upon the principles of deep-levelcompensated semiconductors and (DI) ${ }^{2}$. Some possible applications of these devices have been shown to be in the areas of gas flow metering and magnetic field sensing as well as in current switching. A sophisticated computer-modelling program was developed for the analysis of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ behavior. In view of the complex characteristics of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices, this computer simulation has been the most reliable source of

[^2]information relating to the characteristics of these devices.
Another worker in the field, Dr. W. T. Joyner of Hampden-Sydney College, has performed valuable work in the areas of radiation and temperature tolerance of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices. He has reported that a total dose of 800 megarads ( Si ) causes only a $10-15 \%$ change in the threshold voltage, holding voltage, and leakage current of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices, and that further irradiation results in very little further change.

### 3.2.3 Device Design

3.2.3.1 Computer Models
3.2.3.1.1 Basic Program. A simple computer program was written to illustrate some of the time-dependent characteristics of electron injection into a perfect insulator. The perfect insulator is a fairly good approximation to a (DI) ${ }^{2}$ diode which has been heavily doped with gold to a high-resistivity state, in which the electron traps are filled so that further trapping of injected electrons can be neglected.

For purposes of these illustrative calculations, the diode was divided into five to fifty physical segments along the device length and the injection of a constant current was modelled as a fixed number of electrons entering the first physical segment during each short time interval, dt. Dr. Dennis Whitṣon of the University of Pennsylvania at Indiana, who previously worked on the NASA-sponsored (DI) ${ }^{2}$ program, consented to review the model and the results. He believes it is accurate in its present form and for the physical constraints assumed in the analysis.

The program was written to calculate the electric field and the injected electron concentration throughout the body of the device as well as the anode voltage as a function of time. Steady-state operation was taken to be that condition where the electron current flowing out the anode connection was at least $99 \%$ of the electron current being injected into the cathode contact. Those computational parameters which limit the accuracy of the calculations are the number of sections into which the device is divided and the time increment employed between
successive calculations. Surprisingly, the choice of these parameters was not crucial, as is shown in the set of representative calculations in Table 5.

It can be seen from Table 5 that the values of the terminal voltage are not much different whether 5 or 20 sections of the device are used in the calculations and whether the time interval is taken to be $1 \mathrm{E}-10$ or $1 \mathrm{E}-11$ seconds. The program that was used for these calculations and other tabulated results are recorded in the Appendix.

TABLE 5
inJection into insulating silicon
(Sudden drift approximation ${ }_{2}$ No donors or acceptors. No hole current. Electron mobility $=1248 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V}$-sec. No diffusion current. Iteration performed until current out >. 99 of current in.)
as a function of number of sections and $d_{t}$

$$
I=1 \mathrm{~A}, L=0.1 \mathrm{~cm}, \quad \text { AREA }=1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}
$$

| SECTIONS | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | TIME | FIELD | VOLTS |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 5 | $1 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 7.74 E 3 |
|  |  | $2.08 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.08 E 4 | 3.82 E 2 |
|  |  | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.11 E 4 | 3.92 E 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | $1 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 3.24 E 3 | 1.33 E 2 |
|  | $1 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.07 E 4 | 3.65 E 2 |
|  | $1 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.18 E 4 | 3.96 E 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

From calculations such as the above, the correct relationship among voltage, current density, and device length is obtained, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=c \frac{v^{2}}{L^{3}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a relationship can be used to calculate that, e.g., for a switch which is $1 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ in area and is to have a threshold voltage of $10,000 \mathrm{~V}$ while conducting only 50 mA (current density of $5 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ), as is described in one of the Spec-goals, a device length of 0.5 cm is required.
3.2.3.1.2 Shieh's Program. A much more complete, rigorous, and sophisticated computer model was the subject of T. J. Shieh's Ph.D. thesis at the University of Cincinnati. Shieh considered all relevant modes of carrier generation and recombination as well as electrode effects in his analysis.

Although analytical descriptions of the behavior of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices have been widely published, they are based upon simplifying assumptions that may mask the real device behavior. Therefore, the best source of information on the capabilities of gold-doped silicon (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices is Shieh's computer simulations. Results from some of these simulations are used later in this report.

### 3.2.3.2 Previous Devices

In previous Westinghouse investigations of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ principles and applications, several different types of devices were fabricated. These are reviewed below.
3.2.3.2.1 Vertical Devices. A vertical (DI) ${ }^{2}$ device is the most similar to the high-power thyristor; i.e., anode and cathode contacts are formed on opposing faces of a silicon wafer, as illustrated in Figure 9.


Figure 8. Schematic of a vertical (DI) ${ }^{2}$ device.

Advantages of this design include a minimization of edge and surface effects; however, such a device is difficult to manufacture because it depends upon "through-the-wafer" mask alignments to form the opposing electrodes, and a third electrode for gating purposes would be difficult to incorporate. Other disadvantages arise from the large cross-sectional area available for current flow, while the area available for heat sinking remains small. The importance of this is discussed later under thermal considerations.

### 3.2.3.2.2 High-Voltage Planar Square Devices. During previous

 investigations, several wafers of the design called the "High-Voltage Planar Square" were completed and tested while others were partially processed. In this investigation, those partially processed wafers were completed through the fabrication process and the devices were tested. The High-Voltage Planar Square design includes a large number of devices on a single wafer. Some of these devices were designed as diodes, some as injection gate transistors, and still others as KOS gate transistors. A drawing showing the metallization pattern of a wafer of these devices is shown in Pigure 10.

Figure 10. Metallization pattern for the Bigh-Voltage Planar Square Devices.

Drawings of the masks for the High-Voltage Lateral Square (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices were reviewed, and the relevant dimensions of devices defined by these masks were recorded for future reference. These dimensions are included in Table 6 of this report for purposes of reference and documentation. The meanings of the dimensions are illustrated in the accompanying sketch (Figure 11).

The complete mask set for the High-Voltage Planar Square design consists of 11 different masks which can be used in different combinations in order to form different devices.

The first mask to be applied to wafers defines the boron diffusion and it can be either:

Mask \#1, which defines the boron diffusion for those wafers on which the transistors will have MOS gates only, or
Mask \#2, which defines the boron diffusion for wafers on which the transistors will have boron injection gates where the injection gate length is to be $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, or



H Widin/Distance ( $0 / G$ )
Gate Length
Gate Widh
K Gate to Diffusion Distance
L Window Widh
M WIndow Length
Figure 11. Dimensions of a High-Voltage Planar Square device.

Mask \#3, which defines the boron diffusion for wafers on which the transistors will have boron injection gates where the injection gate length is to be $120 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Mask \#4 is the second mask to be applied and is the phosphorus diffusion mask. This mask is the same for all transistor designs.

The third mask to be applied is used only for wafers on which the transistors are to have MOS gates. It is either:

Mask \#5, which opens gate windows for MOS gates that are $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, or
Mask \#6, which opens gate windows for MOS gates that are $120 \mu_{\text {m }}$ long.
The fourth mask to be applied opens the windows for metal contact. It is either:

Mask \#7 for anode and cathode contact to wafers with MOS gate transistors, or
Mask \#8 for contact to anode, cathode, and injection gate for wafers with injection gates of $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ length, or

Mask \#8 for contact to anode, cathode, and injection gates for wafers with injection gate lengths of $120 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
The fifth mask to be applied defines the metal pattern. It is either:

Mask \#10 for wafers on which the transistors have either injection gates or MOS gates with lengths of $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, or
Mask \#11 for wafers on which the transistors have either injection gates or MOS gates the length of which is $120 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

When the above masking options are combined with the various device dimensions that are defined on each wafer, and considering that there are many variations and options in starting material, the method of introducing deep levels, and the concentration of deep levels in each wafer, an overwhelming variety of devices can be produced.

### 3.2.3.3 Planar Annular

3.2.3.3.1 Transistors and Diodes. The principle device design investigated in this program has been a planar annular design. Features of this design are that one electrode (usually the emitter) is a circle which can be completely surrounded by a ring gate in close proximity to that electrode. The other electrode is also in the shape of a ring so that there are no edge effects to be considered. A drawing representative of this design is shown in Figure 12. In addition to transistors and diodes, several test structures consisting of resistance contacts and capacitor structures are included in the mask set. These structures and their use are discussed later.

The emitter and collector each can be any of seven types:

A solid shape formed during the first diffusion (normally boron).

A solid shape formed during the second diffusion (normally phosphorus).


Figure 12. Representative planar annular (DI) ${ }^{2}$ transistor.

Shieh electrode with the first diffusion surrounded by the second diffusion.

Reverse Shieh electrode with the second diffusion surrounded by the first diffusion.

Separate dots formed by the first diffusion.
Separate dots formed by the second diffusion.
Shieh electrode dots.
The gate can be any of four types:
A ring formed by the first diffusion to make an injection gate.
A ring formed by the second diffusion to make an injection gate,
A ring formed by the first and second diffusions to make a Shieh injection gate.

A depletion mode MOS gate.
An enhancement mode MOS gate.

Other rules that govern the device design are:
All "circles" are in reality 36 -sided polygons.
All emitters are of $775 \mu$ m outside radius.
All collectors have an outside radius $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ greater than the inside radius.

All depletion, enhancement, and simple injection gates are $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long.

All injection gates and depletion gates begin $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the emitter edge.

All normal Shieh electrodes have a $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, second diffusion ring on the channel side; a $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ space; a $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, first diffusion ring; and a $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ space (the rest of the electrode is formed by the second diffusion).

All Shieh injection gates are $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, consisting of $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ of the second diffusion, a $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ space, $20 \mu_{\mathrm{m}}$ of the first diffusion, a $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ space, and $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ of the second diffusion.

Reverse Shieh electrodes and gates interchange the positions of the first and second diffusions.

All simple dots are $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long (radially) and $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide.
All Shieh dots are a $20 \mu_{\mathrm{m}}$.square of the first diffusion surrounded by a $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ space and a square of the second diffusion (the outside dimension of this square is $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ).

Test capacitors have a $775 \mu_{\text {I }}$ radius and overlap the contact diffusion by $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The Shieh and reverse Shieh contacts for capacitors have a $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide inner ring and the capacitor overlaps this ring by $20 \mu$ m.
3.2.3.3.2 Dot Electrodes. The purpose of using dots and Shieh dots as emitters and collectors in some of the transistors was to evaluate the importance of filament current in (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices. Presumably, a current filament must have a minimum cross-sectional area in order to be stable. If the electrodes are of this size or smaller, then the formation of current filaments would not be possible, and more predictable operation might result. The dot contacts also can be expected to improve self-heating effects in devices.
3.2.3.3.3 Capacitors. Capacitors using the gate oxide as a dielectric were incorporated into the design to serve as test structures to determine conductivity type, should it become necessary. The wafer contact for the capacitors can be either from the first diffusion, the second diffusion, or of the Shieh type in order to make certain that an ohmic contact would be available on any wafer.
3.2.3.3.4 Resistivity Monitors. Three sets of diffused contact regions are incorporated into the mask in order to allow resistivity measurements on unmetallized wafers. Each set consists of two $1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 10$ mm rectangles formed by the first and second diffusions so that ohmic contact will be made regardless of whether the wafer is n-type or p-type. The rectangles are separated by 1 mm .

The function of the resistivity test patterns in the mask set is to permit the wafer resistivity to be monitored during the gold diffusion processes. At the gold diffusion step, these diffused regions are bare silicon and can be contacted by probes.

A major difficulty encountered in these studies has been the four-point probe measurement of silicon which has attained high resistivity due to the compensating effects of substitutional gold. The difficulty arises from the extremely high contact resistance at the probe tips which requires a very high impedance voltmeter for measurement. Although a conventional four-point probe tester was modified to use very high-impedance voltmeters, the high impedance of the system made it very sensitive to electrical noise in the constant current supply. Diffused contact regions are incorporated into the mask set in order to enable more accurate measurement of completed or partially completed wafers during the gold diffusion process.

The design of the diffused regions is such that, at the measurement voltage, any space-charge-limited injected current will always be less than the ohmic current, even for intrinsic silicon. The space-charge-limited current density is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{\theta}{8} \epsilon \epsilon_{o} \mu \frac{\mathrm{~V}^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}^{3}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, for these structures ( $L=0.1 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) is approximately $3 \mathrm{E}-5 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ with 5 V applied. The minimum ohmic current density (for "intrinsic" N-type silicon) would be approximately $2 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, a value sufficiently greater than the injected current such that it can be assumed that only ohmic current is being measured as long as the measuring voltage is reasonably low.

A summary of the features of each device and test pattern in the mask set is given in Table 7.

### 3.2.3.4 Design for Low Holding Voltage

As a result of discussions during the May 11, 1987, coordination meeting at NASA/Lewis, increased attention was paid to the holding voltage of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices. In order to develop an investigation scheme of the holding voltages, the computer-modeling data of Shieh (along with some additional unpublished data by N. K. Min) were tabulated along with some derived quantities and are listed in Table 8.

In this table:

Column 1 ("PHOS") lists the shallow donor used in the device modeling.

Column 2 ("GOLD") lists the gold concentration.
Column 3 ("GOLD/PHOS") lists the ratio of gold concentration to shallow donor concentration.

Column 4 ("LENGTH") is the distance, in $\mu \mathrm{m}$, between the anode and cathode of the modeled device.

Column 5 ("RESISTIVITY") is the estimated resistivity (from Bullis' graph) of the compensated silicon.

Column 6 ("Vt") is the threshold voltage near $300^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ predicted by the computer model.

## TABLE 7

## FEATURES OF THE DEVICES AND TEST STRUCTURES OF THE PLANAR ANNULAR DESIGN

ND. EMITTER COLLECTOR E-C GATE DIFFUSION DIFFUSION SPACE

| 1 | 2 | 2 | 160 | NONE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 160 | NONE |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 160 | NONE |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 640 | NONE |
| 5 | EHIEH | SHIEH | 160 | NONE |
| 6 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 160 | NONE |
| 7 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 160 | NONE |
| 8 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 160 | NONE |
| 9 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 640 | NONE |
| 10 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 640 | NONE |
| 11 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 20 | ENHANCE |
| 12 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 160 | DEPLETE |
| 13 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 160 | SHIEH |
| 14 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 160 | 1 |
| 15 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 640 | 1 |
| 16 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 640 | 2 |
| 17 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 160 | 2 |
| 18 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 640 | SHIEH |
| 19 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 640 | DEPLETE |
| 20 | SHIEH | SHIEH | 40 | ENHANCE |
| 21 | 1 | 2 | 20 | ENHANCE |
| 22 | 1 1 | 2 | 160 | DEPLETE |
| 23 | 1 1 | 2 | 160 | SHIEM |
| 24 | 1 - | 2 | 160 | 1 |
| 25 | 1 | e | 640 | 1 |
| 26 | 1. | 2 | 640 | 2 |
| 27 | 1 1 | 2 | 160 | 2 |
| 28 | 1 1 | 2 | 640 | 2 |
| 29 | 1 1 | 2 | 640 | DEPLETE |
| 30 | 1 1 | 2 | 40 | ENHANCE |
| 31 | 1_DOTS | 2_DOTS | 20 | ENHANCE |
| 32 | 1_DOTS | 2_DOTS | 160 | DEPLETE |
| 33 | 1_DOTS | 2_DOTS | 160 | SHIEH |
| 34 | 1_DOTS | 2_00ts | 160 | 1 |
| 35 | 1_DOTS | 2_DOTS | 160 | 2 |
| 36 | 1 - Dots | 2_DOTS | 640 | SHIEH |
| 37 | 1_DOTS | 2_Dots | 640 | DEPLETE |
| 38 | 1_DOTS | 2_DOTs | 40 | ENHANCE |
| 37 | SHIEH_DOT | SHIEH_DOT | 20 | ENHANCE |
| 40 | SHIEH_DOT | SHIEH_DOT | 160 | DEPLETE |
| 41 | SHIEH DOT | SHIEH DOT | 160 | SHIEH |
| 42 | SHIEH_DOT | SHIEH_DOT | 160 | 1 |
| 43 | SHIEH_DOT | SHIEH_DOT | 160 | 2 |
| 44 | SHIEH_DOT | SHIEH_DOT | 641) | SHIEH |
| 45 | SHIEH_DOT | SHIEH_DOT | 640 | DEPLETE |
| 46 | SHIEH_DOT | SHIEH_DOT | 40 | ENHANCE |
| 47 | 2 | 2 | 20 | ENHANCE |
| 48 | 2 | 2 | 160 | DEPLETE |
| 49 | 2 | 2 | 160 | SHIEH |
| 50 | 2 | 2 | 160 | 1 |
| 51 | 2 | 2 | 640 | 1 |
| 52 | 2 | 2 | 640 | 2 |
| 53 | 2 | 2 | 160 | 2 |
| 54 | 2 | 2 | 640 | SHIEH |
| S5 | 2 | 2 | 640 | DEPLETE |
| 56 | 2 | 2 | 40 | ENHANCE |
| 57 | 1 1 | 2 | 20 | NONE |
| 58 | 1 | 2 | 150 | NONE |
| 59 | GATE OXIDE | CAPACITOR | TO | DIFFUSION 1 |
| 60 | GATE OXIDE | CAPACITOR | TO | DIFFUSION 2 |
| 61 | GATE OXIDE | CAPACITOR | TO | SHIEH |
| 62 | GATE OXIDE | CAPACITOR | T0 | REV_SHIEH |
| 63 | 1 1 | 2 | 160 | NONE |
| 64 | 1 2 | 2 | 640 | NONE |
| 65 | 1 2 | 2 | $640^{\circ}$ | NONE |
| 66 | 1 - | 2 | 40 | NONE |
| 67 | REV_SHIEH | REV_SHIEH | 16\% | depelete |
| 68 | REV_SHIEH | REV_SHIEH | 160 | SHIEH |
| 69 | REV_SHIEH | REV_SHIEH | 160 | 1 |
| 70 | REV_SHIEH | REV_SHIEH | 160 | 2 |
| 71 | REV_SHIEH | REV_SHIEH | 640 | SHIEH |
| 72 | REV_SHIEH | REV_SHIEH | 640 | deplete |
| 73 | 2_DOTS | 2_DOTS | 160 | SHIEH |
| 74 | 2_Dots | 2_DOTS | 160 | 1 |
| 75 | 2_DOTS | 2_DOTS | 160 | 2 |
| 76 | 2_DOTS | 2-DOTS | 640 | SHIEH |
| 77 | 1_BARS | 1-BARS | 1000 | TOP LEFT OF WAFER |
| 78 | 2_BRRS | 2_BRRS | 1000 | TOP RIGHT OF WAFER |
| 79 | 1_BARS | 1-BARS | 1000 | MIDDLE LEFT OF WAFER |
| 80 | 2_BRRS | 2 _BARS | 1000 | MIDDLE RIGHT DF WRFER |
| 81 | 1_BARS | 1-BAR8 | 1000 | BOTTOM LEFT OF WAFER |
| 82 | c_gars | 2_BARS | 1000 | BOTTOM AIEHT OF WAFER |


| ? |  <br>  g <br>  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |
|  |  |
| $\underset{5}{5}$ |  |
| 步 | 「 |
| $\sum_{5}^{5}$ |  |
| 5 |  |
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Column 7 ("Vh") is the holding voltage (when available) predicted by the computer model.

Column 8 ("Vt/Vh") is the ratio of the predicted threshold voltage to the predicted holding voltage.

Column 9 ("JOVt") is the predicted current density at the threshold voltage (where available).

Column 10 ("JOVh") is the predicted current density at the holding voltage (where available).

Column 11 ("POVt") is a relative number related to the calculated dissipated power in the device at the threshold voltage (the product of the threshold voltage and the current density at the threshold voltage).

Column 12 ("PQVh") is a relative number related to the calculated dissipated power in the device at the holding voltage (the product of the holding voltage and the current density at the holding voltage).

Column 13 ("P/VOLT") is a relative number which is related to the power dissipated in the device in the resistive regime below the threshold voltage (the reciprocal of the estimated resistivity).

The last nine lines in Table 8 represent modeling of devices with a gold concentration gradient; column 5 gives the values of the gradient instead of the compensated resistivity.

Since many of the numbers contained in these tables were estimated from graphic representations of the computed data, the accuracy of the absolute values of the numbers are merely moderate. However, from the tabulated data it is possible to deduce some of the factors that will affect the holding voltage of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices, and it is possible to evaluate how some other parameters are simultaneously affected.

First, it is obvious that the lower threshold voltages are obtained from the shorter devices (e.g., see lines $1,2,3,4$, and 6 in Table 8). The shorter devices also have lower threshold voltages and lower ratios of threshold voltage to holding voltage. The shorter devices have lower current densities at the threshold and holding voltages.

Secondly, lines 5-11 in Table 8 on page 41 indicate that lower holding voltages are obtained with lower gold/phosphorus ratios (lower compensated resistivities). At the same time, the threshold voltages are lower and the ratio of threshold voltage to holding voltage is fairly constant. The current densities are lower for the lower gold/phosphorus ratios.

Thirdly, lines 7-14 in Table 8 on page 43 show that lower holding voltages are obtained with higher resistivity starting material when the gold/phosphorus ratio is maintained at a constant value. Simultaneously, the threshold voltage, the ratio of threshold voltage to holding voltage, and the current densities become lower as the starting material resistivity is increased.

In summary, those device and material parameters (within the ranges that have been modelled) that will tend to give the lowest holding voltages are (a) short devices, (b) low gold-to-phosphorus ratios, and (c) high-resistivity starting material. At the same time, threshold voltage, ratio of threshold voltage to holding voltage, and current densities are also predicted to be lower. Of these, the lower threshold voltage appears to be the most serious loss in terms of the design of a practical device.

The following device design is proposed for achieving low holding voltage while preventing the device from switching at low threshold voltages: starting material of approximately 10 ohm-cm (5 E 14 phosphorus/cc), compensated with 1 E 15/cc gold to increase the resistivity to approximately $20,000 \mathrm{ohm}-\mathrm{cm} ; \mathrm{N}^{+}$injection gate close to and completely surrounding a $\mathrm{P}^{+}$anode, and an $\mathrm{N}^{+}$cathode approximately $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ distant from the anode. These parameters (except for the injection gate) are the same as those in line 6 of Table 8 on page 41, which predict a holding voltage of 3 volts and a threshold voltage of 5.5 volts.

This device without a gate would switch ON at a very low negative cathode voltage. It is proposed that the $\mathrm{N}^{+}$gate be biased a few volts positive (reverse biased) with respect to the anode, which is considered to be ground. Under this condition, all electron current
injected at the cathode would be removed at the gate, and the anode would be prevented from injecting holes. This would allow a high negative potential to be applied to the cathode without resulting in double-injection switching.

Switching to a low-resistance state could be initiated by reducing the gate potential to zero or below, allowing electron current to flow to the anode and allowing holes to be injected. Presumably, the device, once $O N$, could be turned $O F F$ by again applying a positive potential to the gate. In order to do this, the gate supply would have to be capable of drawing a fairly high current, comparable to the device ON current, for a short period of time. The proposed device structure is illustrated in Figure 13.

In order to calculate some operating parameters of the proposed device, we assume an anode perimeter of 3.14 mm , anode-to-gate spacing of $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, gate width of $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and anode-to-cathode spacing of 100,200 , and $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (which includes the $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ gate). We have further assumed


Fig. l-Gates (DI) ${ }^{2}$ device
Figure 13. Gated normally on (DI) ${ }^{2}$ device.
that the appropriate currents effectively flow only in $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ of silicon near the device surface and that the holding voltage and the current densities are the same as those for the non-gated device of lines 1,2 , and 6 in Table 8 on page 41.

The operating parameter of principal interest is the current which must flow through the gate in order to prevent electrons from reaching the anode. This current consists of ohmic current flowing from the cathode to the gate, space-charge-limited current injected from the cathode to the gate, and leakage current flowing in the reverse-biased anode junction.

The ohmic current for this device will be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {ohmic }}=\frac{W \cdot d}{\rho \ell} V \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is the width of the channel, $\ell$ is the distance from cathode to gate, $d$ is the depth of effective current flow in the device, and $V$ is the potential difference between cathode and gate. The leakage current flowing in the reverse-biased junction will be much less than one. milliampere, according to a graph in Shieh's thesis.

The space-charge-limited current will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{s c l}=w d \frac{9}{8} \in \epsilon_{o} \mu \frac{v^{2}}{\ell^{3}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the major component of the gate current will be the space charge limited current. In some cases, this current will be higher than the anode-cathode current when the device switches ON .

Table 8 lists some of the calculated parameters of the proposed series of devices, assuming a gate potential of +5 V with respect to the anode.

## TABLE 9

CALCULATED DEVICE CHARACTERISTICS
OF THE GATED, NORMALLY ON (DI) ${ }^{2}$ DEVICE

| ANODE- <br> CATHODE <br> SPACING <br> ( $\mu$ m) | AVERAGE CHANNEL WIDTH ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATHODE- } \\ & \text { GATE } \\ & \text { SPACING } \\ & \left(\mu_{\mathrm{I}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATHODE- } \\ & \text { GATE } \\ & \text { POTENTIAL } \\ & \text { (V) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATHODE- } \\ & \text { GATE } \\ & \text { SCL CURRENT } \\ & \text { (mA) } \end{aligned}$ | HOLDING VOLTAGE (V) | ON-STATE CURRENT (mA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 3460 | 80 | 505 | 311.0 | 3 | 27.7 |
|  |  |  | 405 | 200.0 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 305 | 113.0 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 205 | 51.2 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 105 | 13.4 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 55 | 3.7 |  |  |
| 200 | 3770 | 180 | 505 | 42.3 | 13 | 60.3 |
|  |  |  | 405 | 27.2 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 305 | 15.4 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 205 | 7.0 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 105 | 1.8 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 55 | 0.5 |  |  |
| 300 | 4080 | 280 | 505 | 16.7 | 35 | 106.0 |
|  |  |  | 405 | 10.7 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 305 | 6.1 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 205 | 2.8 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 105 | 0.7 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 55 | 0.2 |  |  |

## 4. THERMAL CONSIDERATIONS

Up to this point, we have alluded to the importance of designing for the removal of heat from the device, but have not yet described the problem quantitatively. This section presents some calculations of the importance of power dissipated as heat.

### 4.110 kV DRVICRS

Thermal problems are most severe for high-voltage devices since they will naturally have high power dissipations. In this program, devices with threshold voltages as high as 10 kV have been considered, and these high-voltage devices are described first.

### 4.1.1 Vertical 10 kV Devices

Vertical devices, as described in an earlier section, are most like conventional high-power thyristors. They present special difficulties when implemented as (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices because of the difficulty in fabrication (requiring through-the-wafer mask alignment) and in finding a way to make a gate contact. The following calculations show the severity of the thermal problems with high-voltage, vertical devices.

Consider a high-voltage switch made from gold-compensated $n$-type silicon which is in the shape of a cube. Electrodes are at opposing faces of this cube. This would be the equivalent of a mesa structure device. It is enlightening to perform some calculations on the thermal characteristics of this device while it is in the OFF state near its threshold voltage. The ohmic leakage current is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {resistive }}=\frac{V}{R}=\frac{V}{\rho} \frac{A}{L} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the device resistance, $\rho$ is the resistivity, $A$ is the crosssection area, and $L$ is the length of the device. The power dissipated in the device is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=I V=\frac{V^{2}}{R}=\frac{A V^{2}}{\rho L} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The heat capacity of the device is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { C }=\text { Volume } \cdot \text { Density } \cdot \text { Specific Heat } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the density will be taken to be $2.33 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$, and the specific heat will be taken to be $0.7 \mathrm{~J} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. If there is no path for heat to leave the device, its temperature will rise when voltage is applied. The adiabatic rate of rise of temperature in the device is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dT} / \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{C} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

But let's assume that one electrode of the device, consisting of one face of the cube, is attached to a heat sink that will maintain the temperature of that electrode at a temperature of $T_{0}$. Then at steady state, the temperature of the device at some distance $y$ from the electrode can be found by integrating

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dT} / \mathrm{dx}=\frac{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{x})}{1.5 \mathrm{~A}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1.5 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}-{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is the thermal conductivity of silicon (at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ), A is the area, and $t$ is the total device thickness.

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{0}+\frac{P}{1.5 A}\left(t y-\frac{y^{2}}{2}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If our device is truly a cube, it will have four sides, each consisting of a rectangle. These sides must be passivated in some way so that surface-charging effects are not caused by ambient conditions. The most effective method of passivating silicon surfaces is by the growth of thermal oxide on the surfaces. The thermal oxide will contain some amount of positive fixed charge. If the oxide is carefully grown on these sides, and the faces are oriented in the optimum (100) plane, then it will be possible to lowêr the fixed oxide charge to $5 \mathrm{E} 10 / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Unless this fixed charge is balanced by negative traps, it will be balanced by an electron accumulation layer in the silicon. The channel mobility of the electrons in this accumulation layer will be on the order of $600 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} /$ V-sec. At the threshold voltage, the off-state current flowing in this accumulation layer will be

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\mathrm{E} \mu \mathrm{NqW} \\
& =600 \mathrm{~V} 5 \times 10^{10} 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \frac{W}{\mathrm{~L}} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E$ is the electric field, $\mu$ is the electron mobility, $N$ is the charge density, $q$ is the electron charge, $W$ is the channel width, $L$ is the device length, and $V$ is the applied voltage. The power dissipation due to this channel current will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {channel }}=\mathrm{V} \mathrm{I}=4.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~V}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~W}}{\mathrm{~L}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another source of leakage current, and therefore off-state power dissipation, is the space-charge-limited current, which is not
considered here. We now use these equations to calculate thermal effects.

The highest resistivity that can be obtained in silicon at room temperature is 3 E 5 ohm-cm. If we use this material for a 10 kV diode, then the resistive leakage current in the off state, blocking 10 kV , is given by equation (12). We assume now that the actual dimensions of the device are such that it is a 1 mm cube. For this device,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {resistive }}=3.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~A} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the power dissipated in the device is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=I V=33.3 \mathrm{~W} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The heat capacity of the device is given by equation (13) and is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=1.63 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~J} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the adiabatic rate of rise of temperature in the device is given by equation (14) and is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dT} / \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{C}=2.04 \times 10^{4}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{sec} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means, for example, that the temperature would rise $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 10 ms .

Now assume that one electrode of the device is attached to a heat sink which will maintain the temperature of that electrode at a temperature of $T_{0}$. Then the steady-state temperature of the device at some distance $y$ from the heat sink is given by equation (16), so the temperature of the electrode opposite the heat sink ( $\mathrm{y}=0.1 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}+11.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assume that the device switches $O N$ and begins to conduct 10 A of current ( $1000 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ). If we wish to maintain the power dissipation at 33 W or less, then the forward voltage drop of the device must be 3.3 V or less, meaning that the ratio of threshold voltage to holding voltage must be at least 3000.

In actual practice, a silicon resistivity of 3 E 5 ohm-cm is difficult to obtain; a more representative resistivity would be on the order of 5 E 4 ohm-cm. If this material is used to form the 1 mm cube device, the power dissipation in the off state would be 200 W , the adiabatic rate of temperature rise would have been $1.23 \mathrm{E} 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{sec}$, and the temperature of the electrode öpposite the heat sink at steady state would be $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}+67^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Considering now the channel current due to the electron accumulation layer, given by equatition (17),

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {channel }}=0.192 \mathrm{~A} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The power dissipation in the OFF-state due to this channel current will be 1.92 kW , and the temperature rise at the electrode away from the heat sink will be $64^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. So it can be seen that the channel current leakage in a mesa device can be the limiting factor in designing high-voltage devices.

The channel leakage current can be reduced by increasing the length of the device, but this is not helpful in removing the heat due to power dissipation. For example, if the device is made to be 1 mm square in area, but with with a distance of 1 cm between the electrodes, the channel current is reduced to 19.2 mA and the power dissipation is reduced to 182 W . However, because one end of the device is now farther from the heat sink, the temperature rise at that electrode mould now be $6,400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Note that this analysis has not considered that component of off-state power dissipation that would be due to space-charge-limited current.

We must conclude from this example that because (1) there is always a positive fixed charge associated with the silicon surface, and
(2) this fixed charge will induce an electron channel at the surface, and (3) the power dissipation in the OFF state due to this channel can be very large, and (4) increasing the electrode-electrode distance causes a very considerable temperature rise, that the mesa structure is not suitable for (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices designed for high-voltage applications.

### 4.1.2 Planar 10 kV Devices

Analysis of the vertical mesa structure (DI) ${ }^{2}$ design shows the importance of maximizing the area available for heat sinking. The heat sink area can be increased with respect to the area available for current conduction by using a lateral design such that the direction of current flow is parallel to the heat sink.

We now consider the thermal implications of lateral designs and, as an example, consider designs in which the area available to the heat sink is held constant while the length and width of the device are varied. For convenience, we assume silicon is 1 mm thick in the direction perpendicular to the heat sink plane and to the direction of current flow.

In this case, we consider two types of material - the first has a realistic resistivity of $5 \mathrm{E} 4 \mathrm{ohm}-\mathrm{cm}$, the second has infinite resistivity. The third source of leakage current, space-charge-limited current, is now considered along with resistive current.

The space-charge-limited current density is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{SCL}} & =\frac{9}{8} \mu \epsilon \frac{\mathrm{v}^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}^{3}} \\
& =1.47 \times 10^{-9} \frac{\mathrm{~V}^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}^{3}} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \text { for silicon } \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

The power dissipation for some 1 mm thick, 10 kV devices with differing lengths and widths but with a constant heat sink area of $25 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ are given in Tables 10 and 11.

TABLE 10
POWER DISSIPATION IN A LATERAL (DI) ${ }^{2}$ DEVICE WITH SILICON RESISTIVITY $=5 \mathrm{E} 4$ OHM-CM

| L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{cm})$ | W <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | CURRENT <br> AREA <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | INJECTED <br> $\left(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | INJECTED <br> $(\mathrm{mA})$ | OHMIC <br> $(\mathrm{mA})$ | POWER <br> $(W)$ | POWER <br> DENSITY <br> $\left(W / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ |
| 1.0 | 25.0 | 2.50 | 147.0 | 367.0 | 500 | 8670 | 346.0 |
| 2.0 | 12.5 | 1.25 | 18.4 | 23.0 | 125 | 1480 | 59.2 |
| 2.5 | 10.0 | 1.00 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 80 | 894 | 35.8 |
| 2.8 | 8.93 | 0.893 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 64 | 687 | 27.8 |
| 3.0 | 8.33 | 0.833 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 55.0 | 600 | 24.0 |
| 3.2 | 7.81 | 0.781 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 48.8 | 523 | 20.8 |
| 3.3 | 7.57 | 0.757 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 45.9 | 490 | 19.6 |

TABLE 11
POWER DISSIPATION IN A LATERAL (DI) ${ }^{2}$ DEVICE WITH INFINITE SILICON RESISTIVITY

| L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | CURRENT <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | AREA <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | INJECTED <br> $\left(\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | INJECTED <br> $(\mathrm{mA})$ | OHMIC <br> $(\mathrm{mA})$ | POWER <br> $(W)$ | POWER <br> DENSITY <br> $\left(\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ |
| 1.0 | 25.0 | 2.50 | 147.0 | 367.0 | 0 | 3670 | 147.0 |
| 1.5 | 16.7 | 1.67 | 43.5 | 72.7 | 0 | 727 | 29.1 |
| 1.6 | 15.6 | 1.56 | 35.9 | 56.0 | 0 | 560 | 22.4 |
| 1.7 | 14.7 | 1.47 | 30.0 | 44.0 | 0 | 440 | 17.6 |

The significance of the numbers in the last column, dissipated power divided by heat sink area, lies in the fact that the thermal impedance between a semiconductor power device and its heat sink is, in optimum cases, approximately $1.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{W}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Therefore, the power density in $W / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ is numerically equal to the difference in temperature between the device and its heat sink. From these numbers we see that a (DI) ${ }^{2}$ device designed for 10 kV threshold voltage should be a lateral device with an anode-cathode distance of approximately 1.0 cm or more.

### 4.2 SHIEH'S MODELLED DEVICES

In his Ph.D. dissertation, Shieh used computer simulation to model some of the parameters of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ switches. The dependence of these parameters was calculated as a function of several material properties, having assumed default values for data such as the electron and hole trapping properties of the gold impurity and the electron and hole mobilities in gold-doped silicon. Although he did not explicitly calculate the power levels and the ratios of threshold voltage to holding voltage, it is possible to infer from his published graphs what these values would be. The following tables are based upon such inferences.

The tabulated values are:
> threshold voltage, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$, in volts
> threshold current density, $J_{t}$, in amps per square centimeter holding voltage, $V_{h}$, in volts
> holding current density, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{h}}$, in amps per square centimeter the ratio of threshold voltage to holding voltage, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ dissipated power at the threshold, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$, in watts dissipated power at holding, $P_{h}$, in watts the power density for threshold $P_{t} / V$ in watts per cc the power density for holding $P_{h} / V$ in watts per cc

[^3]These latter two values are important for considerations of removing heat from the device. The referenced figure numbers refer to graphs in Shieh's dissertation.

In order for a switch to be able to continuously hold off voltage in the off state, it must be capable of dissipating the offstate power. If we assume a planar device made in silicon which is 10 mils ( $2.54 \mathrm{E}-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) thick and in contact with a heat sink in which the thermal impedance is $1.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per watt per square centimeter, and if we assume that a 100 degree rise in device temperature is tolerable, then the maximum off-state power density ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}$ ) which can be tolerated is 4000 watts per cc of device. Few of the devices modeled by Shieh have an off-state power density this low.

In order for the switch to be efficient, it should have a high threshold voltage to holding voltage ratio $\left(V_{t} / V_{h}\right)$. An examination of Tables 12 through 20 indicates the following for the range of material parameters and designs modeled by Shieh:

As device length increases, $V_{t} / V_{h}$ increases, but $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{V}$ increases.

As the gold concentration increases, $P_{t} / V$ increases and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ decreases.

As phosphorus concentration increases with $\mathrm{Au}=1 \mathrm{E} 15$, $P_{t} / V$ is approximately constant and $V_{t} / V_{h}$ increases.

As phosphorus concentration increases with $\mathrm{Au}=2 \mathrm{E} 15$, $P_{t} / V$ decreases and $V_{t} / V_{h}$ increases.

As phosphorus concentration increases with $A u=2 \times$ the phosphorus concentration, $P_{t} / V$ increases but $V_{t} / V_{h}$ increases.

As COEA increases, $P_{t} / V$ increases and $V_{t} / V_{h}$ increases.

From the above, it appears that it would be beneficial to simulate the properties of short devices with higher phosphorus (and gold) concentrations than were considered by Shieh.

TABLE 12
COMPUTER-SIMULATED POWER DISSIPATION FACTORS
(Figure 4.4)

As a function of length $L$ (in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), with $N_{D}=5 \mathrm{E} \mathrm{14,Au=1E} \mathrm{15}$

| L | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{V}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 50 | 4 | 15 | 80 | 3.3 | 200 | 1.2 E 3 | 1.0 E 4 | 6.0 E 4 |
| 300 | 160 | 5 | 35 | 130 | 4.6 | 800 | 4.6 E 3 | 2.7 E 4 | 1.5 E 5 |
| 400 | 350 | 6 | 50 | 200 | 7.0 | 2100 | 1.0 E 4 | 5.3 E 4 | 2.5 E 5 |
| 500 | 600 | 6 | 130 | 230 | 4.6 | 3600 | 3.0 E 4 | 7.2 E 4 | 1.5 EE 6 |

TABLE 13
COMPUTER-SIMULATED POWER DISSIPATION FACTORS
(Figures 4.6a and 4.6b)

As a function of gold concentration with $N_{D}=5 \mathrm{E} 14$ and $\mathrm{L}=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

| Au | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{V}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8.0 E 14 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 25 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 62.5 | 520 | 6.3 E 3 |
| 1.2 E 15 | 7.5 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 55 | 1.9 | 15.0 | 220 | 1.5 E 3 | 2.2 E 4 |
| 1.6 E 15 | 11.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 85 | 1.8 | 44.0 | 510 | 4.4 E 3 | 5.1 E 4 |
| 2.0 E 15 | 15.0 | 6.2 | 8.0 | 130 | 1.9 | 93.0 | 1.0 E 3 | 9.3 E 3 | 1.0 E 5 |
| 2.4 E 15 | 19.5 | 10.0 | 12.5 | 190 | 1.6 | 195.0 | 2.4 E 3 | 2.0 E 4 | 2.4 E 5 |
| 2.8 E 15 | 24.5 | 14.0 | 15.5 | 230 | 1.6 | 343.0 | 3.6 E 3 | 3.4 E 4 | 3.6 E 5 |
| 3.0 E 15 | 27.0 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 250 | 1.5 | 432.0 | 4.5 E 3 | 4.3 E 4 | 4.5 E 5 |

TABLE 14

## COMPUTER-SIMULATED POWER DISSIPATION FACTORS

 (Figures 4.7a and 4.7b)As a function of gold concentration with $N_{D}=5 \mathrm{E} 14$ and $\mathrm{L}=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

| Au | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{V}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8.0 E 14 | 44 | 3.0 | 12 | 50 | 3.7 | 132 | 600 | 6.6 E 3 | 3.0 E 4 |
| 1.0 E 15 | 56 | 4.5 | 16 | 75 | 3.5 | 252 | 1.2 E 3 | 1.3 E 4 | 6.0 E 4 |
| 1.2 E 15 | 66 | 6.0 | 20 | 100 | 3.3 | 395 | 2.0 E 3 | 2.0 E 4 | 1.0 E 5 |
| 1.4 E 15 | 78 | 8.0 | 25 | 150 | 3.1 | 624 | 3.8 E 3 | 3.1 E 4 | 1.9 E 5 |
| 1.6 E 15 | 90 | 9.0 | 32 | 200 | 2.8 | 810 | 6.4 E 3 | 4.1 E 4 | 3.2 E 5 |
| 1.8 E 15 | 102 | 12.0 | 39 | 250 | 2.6 | 1.2 E 3 | 9.8 E 3 | 6.1 E 4 | 4.9 E 5 |
| 2.0 E 15 | 112 | 14.0 | 46 | 300 | 2.4 | 1.6 E 3 | 1.4 E 4 | 7.8 E 4 | 6.9 E 5 |
| 2.2 E 15 | 126 | 16.0 | 60 | 390 | 2.1 | 2.0 E 3 | 2.3 E 4 | $1 . \mathrm{EE} 5$ | 1.2 E 6 |
| 2.4 E 15 | 140 | 19.0 | 75 | 420 | 1.9 | 2.7 E 3 | 3.2 E 4 | 1.3 E 5 | 1.6 E 6 |
| 2.6 E 15 | 154 | 20.0 | 92 | 520 | 1.7 | 3.1 E 3 | 4.8 E 4 | 1.5 E 5 | 2.4 E 6 |
| 2.8 E 15 | 168 | 25.0 | 109 | 610 | 1.5 | 4.2 E 3 | 6.7 E 4 | 2.1 E 5 | 3.3 E 6 |

TABLE 15
COMPUTER-SIMULATED POWER DISSIPATION FACTORS
(Figures 4.8a and 4.8c)
As a function of gold concentration with $N_{D}=5 \mathrm{E} 14$ and $\mathrm{L}=300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

| Au | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{V}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.0 E 14 | 140 | 4.5 | 30 | 100 | 4.7 | 630 | 3.0 E 3 | 2.1 E 4 | 1.0 E 5 |
| 1.0 E 15 | 170 | 5.5 | 35 | 145 | 4.9 | 935 | 5.1 E 3 | 3.1 E 4 | 1.7 E 5 |
| 1.2 E 15 | 195 | 6.5 | 50 | 200 | 3.9 | 1.3 E 3 | 1.0 E 4 | 4.3 E 4 | 3.3 E 5 |
| 1.4 E 15 | 220 | 8.0 | 70 | 270 | 3.1 | 1.8 E 3 | 1.9 E 4 | 6.0 E 4 | 6.3 E 5 |
| 1.6 E 15 | 245 | 9.0 | 85 | 400 | 2.9 | 2.2 E 3 | 3.4 E 4 | 7.3 E 4 | 1.1 E 6 |
| 1.8 E 15 | 270 | 10.0 | 110 | 500 | 2.5 | 2.7 E 3 | 5.5 E 4 | 9.0 E 4 | 1.8 E 6 |
| 2.0 E 15 | 295 | 12.0 | 135 | 600 | 2.2 | 3.5 E 3 | 8.1 E 4 | 1.2 E 5 | 2.7 E 6 |
| 2.2 E 15 | 325 | 14.0 | --- | --- | --- | 4.6 E 3 | ---- | 1.5 E 5 |  |
| 2.4 E 15 | 365 | 15.0 | --- | -- | --- | 5.5 E 3 | ---- | 1.8 E 5 |  |
| 2.6 E 15 | 405 | 17.0 | --- | --- | --- | 6.9 E 3 | ---- | 2.3 E 5 |  |
| 2.8 E 15 | 445 | 20.0 | --- | --- | --- | 8.9 E 3 | ---- | 3.0 EE 5 |  |
| 3.0 E 15 | 485 | 22.0 | -- | -- | --- | 1.1 E 4 | ---- | 3.6 E 5 |  |

TABLE 16
COMPUTER-SIMULATED POWER DISSIPATION FACTORS (Figures 4.9a and 4.9c)

As a function of $N_{D}$ with $A u=1 E 15$ and $L=200 \mu m$

| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{V}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5.0 E 13 | 32 | 10.0 | 29 | 75 | 1.1 | 320 | 2.2 E 3 | 1.6 E 4 | 1.1 E 5 |
| 6.0 E 13 | 32 | 9.0 | 28 | 70 | 1.1 | 288 | 2.0 E 3 | 1.4 E 4 | 9.8 E 4 |
| 8.0 E 13 | 32 | 8.5 | 27 | 65 | 1.2 | 272 | 1.8 E 3 | 1.4 E 4 | 8.8 E 4 |
| 1.0 E 14 | 31 | 7.5 | 26 | 60 | 1.2 | 233 | 1.6 E 3 | 1.2 E 4 | 7.8 E 4 |
| 2.0 E 14 | 35 | 5.0 | 22 | 63 | 1.6 | 175 | 1.4 E 3 | 8.8 E 3 | 6.9 E 4 |
| 4.0 E 14 | 48 | 4.5 | 17 | 78 | 2.8 | 216 | 1.3 E 3 | 1.1 E 4 | 6.6 E 4 |
| 6.0 E 14 | 61 | 4.5 | 15 | 88 | 4.1 | 275 | 1.3 E 3 | 1.4 E 4 | 6.6 E 4 |
| 8.0 E 14 | 69 | 4.2 | 14 | 100 | 4.9 | 290 | 1.4 E 3 | 1.5 E 4 | 7.0 E 4 |

TABLE 17
COMPUTER-SIMULATED POWER DISSIPATION FACTORS
(Figures 4.10a and 4.10c)
As a function of $N_{D}$ with $A u=2 E 15$ and $L=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{V}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.0E13 | 102 | 40 | 100 | 250 | 1.0 | 4.1 E 3 | 2.5 E 4 | 2.0 E 5 | 1.3 E 6 |
| 6.0E13 | 101 | 38 | 96 | 245 | 1.1 | 3.8 E 3 | 2.4 E 4 | 1.9 E 5 | 1.2 E 6 |
| 8.0E13 | 100 | 37 | 90 | 230 | 1.1 | 3.7 E 3 | 2.1 E 4 | 1.9 E 5 | 1.1 E 6 |
| 1.0 E 14 | 98 | 35 | 86 | 220 | 1.1 | 3.4 E 3 | 1.9 E 4 | 1.7 E 5 | 9.5 E 5 |
| 2.0 E 14 | 98 | 27 | 70 | 220 | 1.4 | 2.7 E 3 | 1.5 E 4 | 1.3 E 5 | 7.5 E 5 |
| 4.0E14 | 106 | 15 | 52 | 240 | 2.0 | 1.6 E 3 | 1.5 E 4 | 8.0 E 4 | 7.5 E 5 |
| 6.0E14 | 121 | 11 | 46 | 260 | 2.6 | 1.3 E 3 | 1.3 E 4 | 6.7 E 4 | 6.5 E 5 |
| 8.0E14 | 136 | 9 | 42 | 350 | 3.2 | 1.2 E 3 | 1.5 E 4 | 6.1 E 4 | 7.5 E 5 |
| 1.0 E 15 | 154 | 8 | 39 | 370 | 4.0 | 1.2 E 3 | 1.4 E 4 | 6.2 E 4 | 7.0 E 5 |
| 1.5E15 | 201 | 6 | 34 | 420 | 5.9 | 1.2 E 3 | 1.4 E 4 | 6.1 E 4 | 7.0 E 5 |
| 1.8E15 | 194 | 6 | 32 | 500 | 6.1 | 1.2 E 3 | 1.6 E 4 | 5.8 E 4 | 8.0 E 5 |

TABLE 20
COMPUTER-SIMULATED POWER DISSIPATION FACTORS
(Figures 4.14a and 4.14b)
As a function of COEA, the gold concentration gradient, with COEB $=1 \mathrm{E}$ 15 and $\mathrm{L}=300 \mu \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}$ is 5 E 14 . The gold concentration at the anode is ( 1 - COEA) $\times$ COEB; at the cathode, it is ( $1+$ COEA) $x C O E B$.

| COEA | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{V}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.0 | 160 | 5.5 | 33 | 133 | 4.8 | 880 | 4.4 E 3 | 2.9 E 4 | 1.5 E 5 |
| 0.1 | 210 | 4.5 | 32 | 135 | 6.6 | 950 | 4.3 E 3 | 3.2 E 4 | 1.4 E 5 |
| 0.2 | 275 | 4.0 | 32 | 139 | 8.6 | 1.1 E 3 | 4.4 E 3 | 3.7 E 4 | 1.5 E |
| 0.3 | 350 | 3.5 | 31 | 142 | 11.0 | 1.2 E 3 | 4.4 E 3 | 4.0 E 4 | 1.5 E |
| 0.4 | 450 | 3.0 | 31 | 146 | 15.0 | 1.4 E 3 | 4.5 E 3 | 4.7 E 4 | 1.5 E 5 |
| 0.5 | 580 | 3.0 | 30 | 154 | 20.0 | 1.8 E 3 | 4.6 EE 3 | 6.0 E 4 | 1.5 E 5 |
| 0.6 | 740 | 2.5 | 29 | 160 | 26.0 | 1.9 E 3 | 4.6 E 3 | 6.3 E 4 | 1.5 E 5 |

### 4.3 LOWER VOLTAGE DEVICES

It is obvious that power dissipation in high-voltage (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices must be considered in their design. The following section attempts to present reasonable voltage levels for practical (DI) ${ }^{2}$ designs.

### 4.3.1 Silicon Devices

It has been shown that power dissipation in high-voltage devices is a serious problem. A fundamental consideration in the application of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices to high-power circuits is the significant power dissipation in the OFF state. The power generated by "leakage currents" must be removed in order to prevent the device from overheating. The following describes the limitations that off-state power dissipation impose upon device design and operation.

A typical thermal impedance between the high-voltage junction of a high-power silicon thyristor and its copper heat sink is $1.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{W}$. (This thermal impedance is equivalent to a 4.0 cm thickness of copper, a 1.3 cm thickness of silicon, or a 0.4 cm thickness of GaAs , so it can be

TABLE 18

## COMPUTER-SIMULATED POWER DISSIPATION FACTORS <br> (Figures 4.11a and 4.11c)

As a function of $N_{D}$ with $A u=2 \times N_{D}$ and $L=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

| $N_{D}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $J_{t}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{V}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.2E14 | 25 | 1.4 | 10 | 30 | 2.5 | 35 | 300 | 1.8 E 3 | 1.5E4 |
| 4.0 E 14 | 38 | 2.2 | 13 | 43 | 2.8 | 84 | 559 | 4.2 E 3 | 2.8 E 4 |
| 5.2E14 | 58 | 4.0 | 16 | 82 | 3.9 | 232 | 1.3 E 3 | 1.2 E 4 | 6.6E4 |
| 6.0 E 14 | 72 | 5.0 | 20 | 120 | 3.6 | 360 | $2.4 \mathrm{E3}$ | 1.8 E 4 | 1.2 E 5 |
| 7.2E14 | 95 | 6.1 | 25 | 200 | 3.8 | 580 | 5.0 E 3 | 2.9 E 4 | 2.5E5 |
| 8.0E14 | 112 | 7.0 | 28 | 250 | 3.8 | 784 | 7.3 E 3 | 3.9 E 4 | 3.6E5 |
| 9.2E14 | 136 | 7.9 | 34 | 300 | 4:0 | 1.1 E 3 | 1.0 E 4 | 5.4 E 4 | 5.1E5 |
| 1.0 E 15 | 155 | 8.0 | 38 | 360 | 4.1 | 1.2E3 | 1.3 E 4 | 6.2 E 4 | 6.9 E 5 |

TABLE 18
COMPUTER-SIMULATED POWER DISSIPATION FACTORS
(Figures 4.12a and 4.12c)
As a function of COEA, the gold concentration gradient, with COEB $=1 \mathrm{E}$
 ( $1-$ COEA) $\times$ COEB at the cathode, it is ( $1+$ COEA) $\times$ COEB.

| COEA | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{V}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{V}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -0.6 | 17 | 8.5 | 15 | 57 | 1.1 | 145 | 8.5 E 2 | 7.2 E 3 | 4.3 E 4 |
| -0.4 | 24 | 4.5 | 15 | 69 | 1.6 | 108 | 1.0 E 3 | 5.4 E 3 | 5.2 E 4 |
| -0.2 | 30 | 4.5 | 15 | 79 | 2.0 | 135 | 1.2 E 3 | 6.8 E 3 | 5.9 E 4 |
| 0.0 | 55 | 4.5 | 15 | 82 | 3.7 | 248 | 1.2 EE 3 | 1.2 E 4 | 6.2 E 4 |
| 0.2 | 88 | 4.0 | 15 | 88 | 5.9 | 352 | 1.3 E 3 | 1.8 E 4 | 6.6 E 4 |
| 0.4 | 130 | 3.0 | 15 | 94 | 8.7 | 390 | 1.4 E 3 | 2.0 E 4 | 7.1 E 4 |
| 0.6 | 170 | 2.5 | 15 | 96 | 11.3 | 425 | 1.4 EE 3 | 2.1 E 4 | 7.2 E 4 |
| 0.8 | 202 | 2.0 | 15 | 100 | 13.5 | 404 | 1.5 E 3 | 2.0 E 4 | 7.5 E 4 |
| 1.0 | 193 | 2.0 | 15 | 104 | 12.9 | 386 | 1.6 E 3 | 1.9 E 4 | 7.8 E 4 |

appreciated that it is the dominant thermal impedance in common device/package designs.)

If we assume that we can attain the same thermal impedance in a $(\mathrm{DI})^{2}$ device and package, we can calculate the effects of self-heating for various device configurations and conditions.

Power dissipation in a (DI) ${ }^{2}$ device in the OFF state is caused by two "leakage current" components - resistive current and space-charge-limited (SCL) current. In general, resistive current will dominate in low-resistivity devices and at low voltages; SCL current will dominate in high-resistivity devices and at high voltages. In the following, we consider only very high-resistivity devices in order to minimize off-state power loss due to resistive currents. Under these conditions, the $S C L$ current is the limiting parameter at high voltages.

As an example, consider the silicon (DI) ${ }^{2}$ device in Figure 14. Its thickness, $t$, is 0.1 cm ( 0.04 inches); its width, $W$, is 1.0 cm ; and its length, $L$ (anode to cathode distance) is 0.5 cm . At an applied voltage $V$, the resistive current is


Figure 14. Example of a (DI) ${ }^{2}$ diode.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RES}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{wt}}{\mathrm{~L}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the bulk resistivity of the silicon. The SCL current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{SCL}}=\frac{9}{8} \in \mu \mathrm{wt} \frac{\mathrm{~V}^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}^{3}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ and $\mu$ are the permittivity and electron mobility in silicon (1.0 $\mathrm{E}-12 \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{cm}$ and $1500 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{sec}$ ).

The maximum bulk resistivity obtainable in silicon at room temperature is $3 \mathrm{E}+5$ ohm cm . Assuming this maximum resistivity for the device in Figure 14, the leakage currents and power dissipation for several voltages are shown in Table 21.

TABLE 21
LEAKAGE CURRENTS AND POWER DISSIPATION
IN A SILICON 0.5 cm LONG (DI) ${ }^{2}$ DEVICE

| Applied <br> Voltage <br> (Volts) | Resistive <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | SCL <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Total <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Power <br> (Watts) | Power/Area <br> (Watts/cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | $6.67 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $8.02 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $8.02 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 200 | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $5.40 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $3.74 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $7.48 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 400 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $4.83 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-1$ | $3.86 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| 800 | $5.33 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $8.64 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 1.12 | 2.24 |
| 1600 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $3.46 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $4.53 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 7.24 | 14.5 |
| 3200 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 51.1 | 102 |

(The heat sink area is $0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$.)

Since the thermal impedance between the device and the heat sink was taken to be $1.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{W}$, the numbers in the last column are equal to the temperature difference between the device and its heat sink. Since
a $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rise in device temperature might seem to be a reasonable upper limit, calculations were not done for applied voltages that would cause heating much above that temperature. A decrease in the thickness of the device would decrease the power dissipation (and therefore decrease self-heating) since the leakage currents scale inversely as the thickness. An increase in the anode-cathode spacing of the device would provide two power dissipation benefits at a given voltage - (1) more heat sink area would be available for device cooling; (2) less power would be dissipated since the resistive current scales inversely as the device length, and the SCL current scales inversely as the cube of the device length. Changing the width of the device would have no effect on self-heating since both the heat sink area and the leakage currents scale directly with the width.

Similar calculations were made for silicon devices with anodecathode spacings of $0.1,0.2$, and 1.0 cm . The data are shown in Tables 22 through 24.

### 4.3.2 Gals Devices

The resistive current component can be reduced by the use of a wide bandgap material such as GaAs. The maximum resistivity obtainable in GaAs is $1 \mathrm{E}+8 \mathrm{ohm} \mathrm{cm}$. Devices made in this high-resistivity material would have negligible resistive leakage currents, but the SCL currents would be higher than those of silicon because of the higher electron mobility ( $8500 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{sec}$ ) of GaAs. Calculated power dissipation data for GaAs devices with anode-cathode spacing of $0.1,0.2,0.5$, and 2.0 cm are given in Tables 25 through 28.

Some of these data points in the regions of interest are plotted in Figure 15. This plot illustrates the regions for which practical (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices might be designed.

TABLE 22
LEAKAGE CURRENTS AND POWER DISSIPATION IN A SILICON 0.1 cm LONG (DI) ${ }^{2}$ DEVICE

| Applied <br> Voltage <br> (Volts) | Resistive <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | SCL <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Total <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Power <br> (Watts) | Power/Area <br> (Watts/cm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $5.02 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $5.02 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $5.02 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 20 | $6.67 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $6.75 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 40 | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| 80 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 1.08 |
| 160 | $5.33 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $4.32 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $7.76 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 7.76 |
| 320 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 5.88 | 58.8 |
| 640 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $6.92 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $7.14 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 45.7 | 457 |
| (The heat sink area is $0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. .) |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 23
LEAKAGE CURRENTS AND POWER DISSIPATION IN A SILICON 0.2 cm LONG (DI) ${ }^{2}$ DEVICE

| Applied <br> Voltage <br> (Volts) | Resistive <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | SCL <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Total <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Power <br> (Watts) | Power/Area <br> (Watts/cm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 100 | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $3.78 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $3.78 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.89 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| 200 | $3.34 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $8.44 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 1.18 |
| 400 | $6.68 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $3.38 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $4.04 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 1.62 | 8.09 |
| 800 | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E-2}$ | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 11.9 | 59.4 |
| 1600 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $5.40 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $5.67 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 90.7 | 453 |

(The heat sink area is $0.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$.)

TABLE 24
LEAKAGE CURRENTS AND POWER DISSIPATION IN A SILICON 1.0 cm LONG (DI) ${ }^{2}$ DEVICE

| Applied <br> Voltage <br> (Volts) | Resistive Current (Amperes) | SCL <br> Current (Amperes) | Total <br> Current (Amperes) | Power (Watts) | Power/Area <br> (Watts/cm ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 3.33 E-5 | 1.69 E-6 | 3.50 E-5 | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 200 | $6.67 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 6.76 E-6 | $7.35 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 1.47 E-2 | 1.47 E-2 |
| 400 | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 2.70 E-5 | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $6.40 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $6.40 \mathrm{E-2}$ |
| 800 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 1.08 E-4 | 3.75 E-4 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-1$ | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| 1600 | 5.33 E-4 | $4.33 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 9.66 E-4 | 1.55 | 1.55 |
| 3200 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 1.73 E-3 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 8.96 | 8.96 |
| 6400 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 6.92 E-3 | $0.05 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 57.8 | 57.9 |
| 10000 | 3.33 E-3 | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $2.02 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 202 | 202 |
| (The heat sink area is $1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$.) |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 25
LEAKAGE CURRENTS AND POWER DISSIPATION
IN A GaAs 0.1 cm LONG (DI) ${ }^{2}$ DEVICE

| Applied <br> Voltage <br> (Volts) | Resistive <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | SCL <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Total <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Power <br> (Watts) | Power/Area <br> (Watts/cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 20 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $8.88 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $8.88 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 40 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $7.12 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $7.12 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| 80 | $8.00 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $7.10 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $7.10 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $5.68 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 5.68 |
| 160 | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 4.54 | 45.4 |
| 320 | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-1$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 36.4 | 364 |

(The heat sink area is $0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$.)

TABLE 26

## LEAKAGE CURRENTS AND POWER DTSSIPATION IN A GaAs 0.2 cm LONG (DI) ${ }^{2}$ DEVICE

| Applied <br> Voltage <br> (Volts) | Resistive <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | SCL <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Total <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Power <br> (Watts) | Power/Area <br> (Watts/cm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 100 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-1$ | $6.84 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| 200 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $5.55 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $5.55 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 1.11 | 5.55 |
| 400 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 8.88 | 44.4 |
| 800 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $8.88 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $8.88 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 71.0 | 355 |

(The heat sink area is $0.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$.)

TABLE 27
LEAKAGE CURRENTS AND POWER DISSIPATION
IN A GaAs 0.5 cm LONG (DI) ${ }^{2}$ DEVICE

| Applied <br> Voltage <br> (Volts) | Resistive <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | SCL <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Total <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Power <br> (Watts) | Power/Area <br> (Watts/cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $8.87 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $8.89 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $8.89 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 200 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $3.55 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $3.55 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $7.10 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| 400 | $8.00 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $5.68 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 1.14 |
| 800 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $5.68 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $5.68 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 4.54 | 9.08 |
| 1600 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $2.27 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $2.27 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 36.3 | 72.7 |
| 3200 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $9.08 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $9.08 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 281 | 581 |
| (The heat sink area is $0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. ) |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 28
LEAKAGE CURRENTS AND POWER DISSIPATION
IN A GaAs 1.0 cm LONG (DI) ${ }^{2}$ DEVICE

| Applied <br> Voltage <br> (Yolts) | Resistive <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | SCL <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Total <br> Current <br> (Amperes) | Power <br> (Watts) | Power/Area <br> (Watts/cm ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 100 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 200 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $4.46 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $8.92 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $8.92 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 400 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $7.12 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $7.12 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 800 | $8.00 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $7.10 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $7.11 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $5.69 \mathrm{E}-1$ | $5.69 \mathrm{E}-1$ |
| 1600 | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 4.55 | 4.55 |
| 3200 | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 36.4 | 36.4 |
| 6400 | $6.40 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $4.55 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $4.55 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 291 | 291 |
| 10000 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-1$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 1110 | 1110 |
| (The heat sink area is $1.0 \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{2}$. ) |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 15. Off-state power dissipation per square centimeter for silicon and gallium arsenide (DI) diodes for various anode-cathode spacings as a function of voltage.

## 5. DEVICE PROCESSING

### 5.1 STANDARD PROCESS

The standard processing conditions used to fabricate the planar annular (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices is outlined below.

1. Thermal oxidation to mask boron diffusion. Oxide thickness to be $4600 \AA .1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35$ minutes, wet oxygen.
2. Photolithography, mask 1. Etch through masking oxide.
3. First diffusion pre-dep. $980^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, boron tribromide, 40 minutes source, 40 minutes soak. Target 15 ohms/square.
4. First diffusion drive and grow oxide to mask second diffusion. $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40$ minutes dry oxygen, 11 minutes wet oxygen. Target oxide thickness $2470 \AA$.
5. Photolithography, mask 2. Etch through masking oxide.
6. Second diffusion pre-dep. $1050^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, phosphorus oxychloride, 25 minute source, 25 minute soak. Target 15 ohms/square.
7. Second diffusion drive and oxidation. $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 14$ minutes wet oxygen, 40 minutes dry oxygen. Target junction depths $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
8. Photolithography, mask 3. Etch windows for MOS gate oxide growth.
9. Oxidation to grow gate oxide. $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10$ minutes wet oxygen, 20 minutes dry oxygen. Target oxide thickness of $1000 \AA$.
10. Lap backs of wafers to remove diffusions and thin wafers. Target to remove at least $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ of silicon.
11. Gold diffusion of wafers. Various conditions and targets.
12. Photolithography, mask 4. Etch contacts to emitters, collectors, and injection gates.
13. Metallization, aluminum, vacuum evaporated. Target $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ of aluminum.
14. Photolithography, mask 5. Define metal pattern:
15. Reactive ion etch aluminum.
16. Wet etch aluminum residues.
17. Sinter metal. $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ minutes in hydrogen.

### 5.2 GOLD DOPING

Gold, as an element which forms the deep levels in silicon, is the most studied and best understood of the deep-level dopants. It is for that reason, and the fact that gold is convenient to use, that it was used in this investigation.

### 5.2.1 Previous Methods

Methods of introducing the gold into silicon were investigated in previous programs. The most widely used method (as employed for lifetime killing in fast switching power devices and integrated circuits) has been to coat the bare silicon surface with a thin film of gold deposited either by vacuum evaporation or by a wet chemical displacement reaction, heat the wafers for a specified period of time at a particular temperature in order to diffuse the gold into the silicon wafer, and then remove unreacted gold from the wafer surface. This method causes a molten silicon-gold eutectic to form at the gold-silicon interface as gold diffuses into the silicon wafer. Subsequent removal of the gold-silicon system remaining on the wafer surface is often difficult, leaving a stained surface of uncertain composition.

This method has been found useful in creating fast-switching devices in which the desired gold concentration is on the order of 1 E 12 to 1 E 14 per cubic centimeter, and where it is not required that the gold be uniformly distributed throughout the silicon wafer. This method was used in previous (DI) ${ }^{2}$ investigations but was found to be difficult to control.

A new method was investigated in a previous (DI) ${ }^{2}$ program in which the wafer to be gold doped was placed in a furnace with an inert atmosphere and in close proximity to another "gold-source" silicon wafer that had been previously coated with gold. This method appeared to give better control over the gold-doping process but was very sensitive to the previous history of the gold-source wafer. All methods of gold doping of silicon have been based mostly upon empirical data and have not always been satisfactory.

### 5.2.2 Kickout Mechanism

A series of papers published in 1980-1984 [1-12] has clarified the mechanism of gold diffusion in silicon and has enabled a more rational approach to the process. This mechanism has implications for the processing of gold-doped (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices.

The qualitative characteristics of gold diffusion in silicon are now believed to be as described below. In this description, $X_{i}$ represents an atom in an interstitial site, $X_{s}$ represents an atom in a substitutional site, $X^{\text {eq }}$ represents the equilibrium concentration of $X$, $I$ is a silicon interstitial, and $V$ is a silicon vacancy.

The correct qualitative description of the silicon-gold system is now believed to be:
a) The diffusivity of interstitial gold is much higher than the diffusivity of substitutional gold.
b) The solid solubility of substitutional gold is much greater [1-8,10-12] or less [9] than the solubility of interstitial gold.
c) Interchange between gold in substitutional sites and gold in interstitial sites proceeds through a "kickout" mechanism involving a silicon self-interstitial,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u_{i}=A u_{s}+I \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of by a dissociative mechanism involving a silicon vacancy as had been previously believed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u_{i}+V=A u_{s} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

d) Only the gold in substitutional sites is electrically active.

The diffusion of gold into defect-free silicon (no internal sources or sinks of self interstitials) can then be described as follows:

1) Gold (presumed to be from an infinite source such as an evaporated film on the silicon surface) diffuses rapidly throughout the crystal; and the equilibrium of equation (26) is established everywhere in the crystal. At this early stage, the concentration of substitutional gold is quite low. Silicon interstitials are generated according to equation (26), making the concentration of silicon selfinterstitials much higher than its equilibrium concentration and keeping the reaction (26) shifted strongly toward the left.
2) The silicon interstitials begin to diffuse rapidly to the silicon surfaces where they are annihiliated; this produces a concentration profile of interstitials which is initially high in the interior of the wafer and low at the wafer edges. Because of the low concentration of silicon interstitials at the surface, reaction (26) proceeds toward the right in these regions, and the substitutional gold concentration approaches its solid solubility limit. In the interior of the wafer, where the silicon interstitial concentration is still high, the concentration of $A u_{s}$ remains low, giving rise to the well-known "tip-up" effect.
3) At later times, as more gold continues to diffuse interstitially into the wafer, and the silicon interstitials produced by reaction (26) continue to diffuse out, the concentration of $A u_{s}$ in the center of the wafer increases, approaching the solid solubility limit.

In summary, the incorporation of gold into substitutional sites is controlled by the diffusion of silicon interstitials. As a consequence, the gold concentration profile is symmetrical about the center of the wafer, and the shape of the profile does not depend upon whether the gold is diffused from one side of the wafer or from both sides of the wafer simultaneously.

Stolwijk et al. $[10,12]$ used neutron activation analysis and mechanical sectioning to deduce the mechanism described above. By fitting their data to equations predicted by the "kick-out" mechanism, they were able to derive the solid solubility limit of substitutional gold and derived an effective diffusivity, $D^{*}$, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{*}=\left(C_{I}^{e q} D_{I}\right) / C_{s}^{e q} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of these parameters are given in Table 29 and are plotted as a function of reciprocal temperature in Figure 16.

TABLE 29
CALCULATED VALUES OF $\mathrm{D}^{*}$ AND $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{e q}}$

| Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{D}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{eq}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 800 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 5.8 E 14 |
| 900 | $2.04 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 3.4 E 15 |
| 1000 | $2.23 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.5 E 16 |
| 1098 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 4.8 E 16 |



Figure 16. Values of $D^{*}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{eq}}$ (from Ref. 10) plotted against reciprocal temperature.


Figure 17. Penetration profiles of gold into silicon (from Ref. 12).

A representative plot of some data due to [10] is shown in Figure 17.

The significance of $D^{*}$ is that the ratio of gold concentration in the center of the wafer to the concentration at the wafer surface (which is nearly the solid solubility limit) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{s}}{C_{s}^{e q}}=\frac{2}{d}\left(\pi D^{*} t\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the thickness of the wafer and $t$ is the time of diffusion.
The ratio of substitutional gold at distance $x$ into the wafer to the concentration at the wafer center (where $x=d / 2$ ), $C_{s}^{m}$, is given by

$$
\operatorname{erf}\left[\ell n^{\left.\left(C_{s}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{d}{2-x}  \tag{30}\\
c_{s}^{(m)}
\end{array}\right] \frac{\frac{d}{2}}{1}\right.
$$

5.2.2.1 Implications for Light Gold Doping of Silicon Wafers If the above mechanism, equations, and values are correct, then it should be possible to calculate the conditions necessary in order to obtain a uniform concentration of gold. For example, in order to obtain a light gold doping (e.g., $6 \mathrm{E} 14 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ ) in a silicon wafer of 15 mil (3.81 E-2 cm) thickness, it would be necessary to perform the diffusion at the temperature $\left(800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ where 6 E 14 is the solid solubility, and the time required for the substitutional gold concentration in the wafer center to reach $80 \%$ of the gold concentration at the wafer surfaces would be 4.7 E 7 seconds. It would be difficult to introduce a small amount of gold as a "pre-dep" step using an evaporated gold source and drive the gold at a higher temperature because of the residual high concentration of gold that would remain in the solidified gold-silicon eutectic region.

### 5.2.2.2 Heavy Gold Doping of Silicon Wafers

The situation for heavy gold doping is not so formidable. Calculation of the time required for the gold concentration in the center of the wafer to reach $80 \%$ of the concentration at the wafer surfaces, for various surface concentrations, is shown in Table 30.

It should be noted that, according to the experimental results of [1-12] and their interpretation of the gold diffusion mechanism, rapid quenching of silicon after gold diffusion is not necessary to freeze gold atoms into substitutional sites, although it can be presumed that very slow cooling of wafers might result in the undesirable precipitation of gold atoms as a second phase.

### 5.2.3 Properties of Gold

Calculations based upon assụmed chemical and physical.
properties of the gold-silicon system at high temperatures indicate that controlled gold doping of silicon should be attainable by vapor transport of gold between a gold surface and a silicon wafer in close proximity in a furnace with an inert atmosphere, as was investigated in a previous program. The assumed mechanism for gold transport is that the stagnant atmosphere between the gold surface and the silicon wafer is saturated with gold at its vapor pressure, and that this causes the gold concentration at the silicon wafer surface to be near the solid solubility value.

Relevant properties of the system are listed in Table 31. It is quite possible that some of the values listed here are inaccurate, but any reasonable inaccuracy should have little effect upon the practicality of the method. In this table the vapor pressure of gold at several temperatures is listed. From the vapor pressure can be calculated the rate at which vaporized gold atoms would be leaving the gold surface. At equilibrium, these gold atoms would strike any other surface at the same rate. The solid solubility of gold in silicon is given for the same temperatures, as is the square root of the diffusion

TABLE 30
TIME REqUIRED TO OBTAIN NEARLY UNIFORM GOLD CONCENTRATION

| $T\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{eq}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{D}^{*}$ | TIME REquIRED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 796 | 5 E 14 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 1.5 years |
| 832 | 1 E 15 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 7 months |
| 921 | 5 E 15 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 19 days |
| 977 | 1 E 16 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 7 days |
| 1097 | 5 E 16 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 14 hour |
| 1160 | 1 E 17 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 4.7 hrs |

TABLE 31
PROPERTIES OF TEE GOLD/SILICON SYSTEM
GOLD MP $=1064^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ At $\mathrm{Wt}=197$ DENSITY $=19.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$

| Temp <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Au Vapor <br> Pressure <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Atoms Striking/ <br> Leaving Surface <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-2} / \mathrm{sec}\right)$ | Solid <br> Solubility. <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{D}^{1 / 2}$ <br> $\left(\mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{h}^{1 / 2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{D}^{1 / 2}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}^{1 / 2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 900 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-7$ | 1.5 E 13 | 3 E 15 | 2 E 2 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| 950 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 7.0 E 13 | 6 E 15 | 3 E 2 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| 1000 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 3.5 E 14 | 1 E 16 | 4 E 2 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| 1050 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 1.4 E 15 | 2 E 16 | 4 E 2 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| 1100 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 6.1 E 15 | 3 E 16 | 5 E 2 | $8.3 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| 1150 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 1.3 E 16 | 5 E 16 | 6 E 2 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 1200 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 3.3 E 16 | 7 E 16 | 8 E 2 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 1250 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 6.4 E 16 | 1 E 1 | 8 E 2 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 1280 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 9.5 E 16 | 1 E 17 | 9 E 2 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 1300 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 1.3 E 17 | 1 E 17 | 1 E 3 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 1350 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 3.7 E 17 | 9 E 16 | 1 E 3 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 1380 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 5.5 E 17 | 3 E 16 | 1 E 3 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-3$ |

constant of gold at those temperatures (this value is given in two different sets of units).

From these values, it is possible to calculate parameters for the gold doping process. The following example is given. To dope a 0.5 mm ( 19.7 mil ) thick silicon wafer with $5 \mathrm{E} 15 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ gold requires 2.5 E 14 gold atoms per square centimeter of wafer area. At $950^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solid solubility of gold in silicon, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$, is 6 E 15 , and $\mathrm{D}^{1 / 2}=5 \mathrm{E}-$ $4 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}^{1 / 2}$. The quantity of gold that would diffuse into the wafer in time, $t$, is given by diffusion theory as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(t)=\frac{\ddot{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}(D t)^{1 / 2} C_{s} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the time required to diffuse that number of gold atoms into the silicon is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{t}=\frac{\sqrt{\pi} \cdot 0}{2 C_{s} \sqrt{D}}=74 \sqrt{\mathrm{sec}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}=5.45 \mathrm{E} 3 \mathrm{sec}=91 \text { minutes } \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The "junction depth" is also given by diffusion theory and is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}=(\mathrm{Dt})^{1 / 2}=0.37 \mathrm{~mm} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some auxiliary calculations show that some implicit assumptions are valid. For example, the amount of gold that would be lost from the gold source and would be deposited on the silicon surface during this time is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{N} & =(7 \mathrm{E} 13)(5.45 \mathrm{E} 3)=3.82 \mathrm{E} 17 \text { atoms } / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \\
& =1.2{\mathrm{E} 4 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}}  \tag{35}\\
& =644 \AA / \mathrm{cm}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

so we can be sure that the source of gold will not be depleted during the diffusion.

We also note that the number of gold atoms striking the silicon surface during this time (3.82 E 17) is much higher than the number of gold atoms entering the silicon ( 2.5 E 14 ), so we can be sure that the silicon surface remains saturated with gold, as is required by the diffusion equation.

As another example, if it is desired to gold dope an existing Pennsilco 10 ohm-cm ( $N_{D}=5 \mathrm{E} 14$ ) silicon wafer which is 0.011 inches (or 0.28 mm ) thick with sufficient gold that the gold concentration is equal to the donor concentration, 1.4 E 13 Au atoms $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ is required. The calculated time for this diffusion would would be inconveniently short at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, so we extrapolate data to $850^{\circ}$ and estimate the vapor pressure of Au to be $5 \mathrm{E}-8 \mathrm{~mm}$, the solid solubility to be 1.5 E 15 , $\mathrm{D}^{1 / 2}$ to be $3 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}^{1 / 2}$, and the atoms striking the surface to be $4 \mathrm{E} 12 / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$-sec. Then $\mathrm{t}^{1 / 2}=27.57 \mathrm{~s}^{1 / 2}$ so $\mathrm{t}=760 \mathrm{~s}=12.7$ minutes. The diffusion depth $(\mathrm{Dt})^{1 / 2}=.083 \mathrm{~mm}$. The total Au atoms striking the surface during the process is $4 \mathrm{E} 12 * 760 \mathrm{~s}=3 \mathrm{E} 15$, which is much more than the amount of gold diffused into the silicon, so we can assume that the surface remained saturated.

The values of the parameters used in these calculations are plotted against reciprocal temperature in Figures 18 to 23 . The calculated silicon resistivity as a function of donor density and gold concentration is from the data of Thurber et al. ${ }^{e}$

In order to minimize leakage currents in (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices, it is necessary to reduce the ohmic current as much as possible. This implies the necessity of doping the silicon to its maximum resistivity.

[^4]
## ORIGINAL PAGE IS

 OF POOR QUALITYPor example, consider a silicon wafer which is .020 inch ( $5 \mathrm{E}-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) thick and 2 ohm-cm n-type ( 2.5 E 15 phosphorus/cm ${ }^{3}$ ). Gold doping this wafer to obtain the maximum resistivity requires a gold concentration of approximately $5 \mathrm{E} 16 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ (Figure 18). From Figure 19, it is seen that gold has this solid solubility in silicon at a temperature of $1440^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\left(1170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. At this temperature, the vapor pressure of gold is approximately $2 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Figure 20), and gold vapor atoms at that pressure leave or strike a surface at a rate of 1.3 E 16 atoms/ $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ (Figure 21). Prom Figure 22 it is seen that the diffusion constant of gold at that temperature is 1 E-6. The amount of gold that would then diffuse into the wafer during time, $t$, while the surface concentration remains constant at $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is given by Equation (31). The value of this expression for a time of 60 sec is $4.4 \mathrm{E} 14 / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ for a surface concentration corresponding to the solid solubility of gold.


Figure 18. Calculated resistivity of silicon as a function of gold concentration with phosphorus concentration as a parameter.


Figure 19. Arrhenius plot of the solubility of gold in silicon.


Figure 20. Arrhenius plot of the vapor pressure of gold.


Figure 21. Arrhenius plot of the rate at which gold vapor atoms leave or strike a surface.


Pigure 22. Arrhenius plot of the diffusion constant of gold in silicon.


Figure 23. Arrhenius plot of the effective diffusion constant $D^{*}$.

Since this value is much less than the number of gold atoms that would be striking the surface of the silicon in the first 60 sec ( $7.8 \mathrm{E} 17 / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) it is safe to assume that there will always be enough gold vapor present to keep the silicon surface saturated.

An effective diffusion constant that reflects the rate at which interstitial gold becomes substitutional gold via the "kickout" mechanism as defined by Stolwijk et al. is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{*}=\frac{C_{I}^{e q} D_{I}}{C_{s}^{e q}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript I denotes the concentration and diffusion constant of silicon interstitials, and $C_{s}^{e q}$ is the solid solubility of gold. The value of $D^{*}$ is $5 E-9 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ and can be obtained from extrapolating the data of Figure 23 to the temperature of interest. $D^{*}$ can be used to calculate the concentration of substitutional gold at the center of a wafer of thickness $d$ (assuming that the surfaces of the wafers are saturated) by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{s}=C_{s}^{e q} \frac{2}{d}\left(\pi D^{*} t\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to these data, the concentration of substitutional gold in the center of a $5 \mathrm{E}-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ thick wafer after one hour would be about $1.5 \mathrm{E} 16 / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. This concentration of gold in the wafer (referring to Figure 18 again) would correspond to a resistivity of about 6 E 4 ohm-cm in the wafer center. (Resistivity at the wafer surfaces would be 3 E 5 ohm-cm, the maximum value.)

In order to raise the gold concentration in the center of the wafer to a value that would give a resistivity of $1.5 \mathrm{E} 5 \mathrm{ohm}-\mathrm{cm}$ (4 E $16 / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ), the wafer would have to be diffused for a time of 17 hours. It would be convenient to shorten this time by diffusing the gold at a temperature higher than the temperature at which it was deposited. This would be difficult to do by the gold coating method unless the gold-silicon eutectic region was first mechanically or chemically removed prior to the diffusion step. The gold vapor system described here should be much easier and more reproducible.

### 5.2.3.1 Gold Foil Doping Source

In one method of gold doping consistent with the principles outlined above and developed during this program, the source of gold is a pure gold foil which completely covers the top of a one-inch high hollow cylinder of fused silica. The wafer to be doped is placed at the bottom of this cylinder on a flat silica plate. The assembly is pushed into a furnace with a low nitrogen flow for a predetermined time. The reasoning behind this process is that the stagnant ambient between the gold foil and the wafer becomes saturated with gold vapor, which then reacts with and diffuses into the silicon wafer. The arrival rate of gold atoms at the silicon surface is sufficiently low that no appreciable gold accumulates on the silicon surface and staining of the surface is avoided. The total amount of gold diffused into a wafer is controlled by the time and temperature of the furnace.

For example, in one set of experiments, wafers were diffused (doped) at $910^{\circ}$ and $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15,30 , or 60 minutes. The wafers were
then removed from the furnace, cleaned in aqua regia, and their sheet resistances and spreading resistance profiles were measured. The wafers were annealed in a nitrogen atmosphere for 60 minutes and remeasured.

In the following summary of results, D1 represents the area density (atoms/cm ${ }^{2}$ ) of donors in the original wafer in atoms $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, as determined by four-point probe measurement, and D2 represents the donor density after gold diffusion (doping). DELTA1 is the difference between D1 and D2, and is presumed to be equal to the area density (atoms $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) of gold atoms in substitutional sites as long as the gold concentration remains considerably less than the donor concentration.

| WAFER | D1 | TEMP | TIME | TYPE | D2 | DELTA1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIS2-1 | 1.34 E 13 | 1000 | 15 | N | 4.48 E 12 | 8.92 E 12 |
| DIS2-2 | 6.10 E 12 | 910 | 15 | N | 4.23 E 12 | 1.87 E 12 |
| DIS2-3 | 9.63 E 12 | 1000 | 30 | P\&N | 4.33 E 09 | 9.63 E 12 |
| DIS2-4 | 5.68 E 12 | 910 | 30 | N | 2.37 E 12 | 3.31 E 12 |
| DIS2-7 | 5.94 E 12 | 910 | 60 | N | 1.31 E 11 | 5.81 E 12 |
| DIS2-10 | 1.26 E 13 | 1000 | 60 | P | -5.02 E 09 | 1.26 E 13 |

Spreading resistance measurements made on the wafers after annealing at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showed that the resistivity was fairly uniform throughout the wafers. Four-point probe measurements made after the nitrogen anneal are summarized below. D3 represents the area density of donors after the anneal and DELTA2 is the difference between D1 and D3.

| WAFER | TYPE | D1 | D3 | DELTA1 | DELTA2 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIS2-1A | N | 1.34 E 13 | 1.66 E 12 | 8.92 E 12 | 1.17 E 13 |
| DIS2-2A | N | 6.10 E 12 | 2.65 E 12 | 1.87 E 12 | 3.45 E 12 |
| DIS2-3A | N | 9.63 E 12 | 2.64 E 09 | 9.63 E 12 | 9.63 E 12 |
| DIS2-4A | N | 5.68 E 12 | 6.34 E 11 | 3.31 E 12 | 5.05 E 12 |
| DIS2-7A | N | 5.94 E 12 | 1.25 E 10 | 5.81 E 12 | 5.93 E 12 |
| DIS2-10A | N | 1.26 E 13 | 1.05 E 09 | 1.26 E 13 | 1.26 E 13 |



Figure 24. Area density of substitutional gold atoms after doping and annealing.

These results are plotted in Figure 24.
The solid solubilities of gold at $910^{\circ}$ and $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are believed to be 3.0 E 15 and 1.5 E 16 atoms $/ \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, corresponding to area densities of 8 E 13 and 4 E 14 atoms $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, respectively. So we conclude that the wafers were doped with gold to levels which are still comfortably below the solubility limit.

Another aspect of this experiment was masking against gold doping. A part of each wafer was covered with $2000 \AA$ of thermal oxide and $1500 \AA$ of silicon nitride (it had been previously found that oxide alone was not a good barrier to gold diffusion). After each wafer was gold diffused and annealed, these layers, were etched away and the sheet resistivity of the underlying silicon was measured. It was found that the resistivity of the masked areas was only slightly higher than the sheet resistivity of the unmasked areas had been before the gold diffusion. We conclude that silicon nitride is an effective diffusion barrier against gold.

### 5.2.3.2 Molten Gold Doping Source

Since gold melts at $1064^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the gold foil method of introducing gold cannot be used at temperatures much above $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For these temperatures, the same fused silica cylinder was used, but molten gold was used as the source. The source was contained in a fused silica cup at the bottom of the cylinder; the wafer to be diffused was placed on the top of the cylinder so that the cylinder was completely covered.

Measurements made on wafers diffused in this way are tabulated in Table 32. The wafers in this experiment were a part of a Planar Annular device design run, and so had the diffused resistivity monitors for measuring high-resistivity silicon.

In this table, the conductance type and resistivity of the starting wafers are indicated in columns 5 and 6 . The gold deposition process and the drive (anneal without gold source) times and temperatures are shown in column 2.

Measured resistance (in ohms) between two $\mathrm{N}^{+}$diffused regions are shown in column 3 and between two $\mathrm{P}^{+}$diffused regions are shown in column 4. An " $R$ " indicates that the contacts showed rectifying characteristics (and so the "resistance" is actually a carrier generation leakage current). It is assumed that the region between the two contacts is the same conductivity type as the contacts which did not give rectifying characteristics, and that type is shown in column 5. A question mark indicates that the current-voltage characteristics between the $N$ contacts and between the $P$ contacts were so similar that no type determination could be made.

The wafer resistivity is calculated from the dimensions of the space between the contacts ( 0.1 squares) and the thickness of the wafers ( 0.026 to 0.029 cm ). The calculated resistivity (in ohm -cm) is shown in column 6.

It is believed that the gold concentration required to produce the maximum final resistivity in wafers of this initial resistivity is approximately 7 E 15 per cubic centimeter.

## TABLE 32

RESISTIVITIES OBTAINED IN RUN NUMBER DISO1

| WAFER | PROCESS | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N})$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P})$ | TYPE | RESISTIVITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISSO1-11 | NONE |  |  | N | 15.2 |
|  | DEP 1100, 60 min | 5.00 E 4 | 3.85E5 (R) | N | 1.38 E 3 |
|  | DRV 1100, 120 min | 6.25E5 (R) | 1.43 E 5 | P | 3.93E3 |
| DISO1-12 | NONE |  |  | N | 18.1 |
|  | DEP 1100, 120 min | 8.62E5(R) | 1.09E5 | P | 2.91 E 3 |
|  | DRV 1100, 120 min | 8.6225 (R) | 6.2E4 | P | 1.68E3 |
| DIS01-13 | NONE |  |  | N | 14. |
|  | DEP 1100, 120 min | 1.61E5(R) | 5.00E4 | P | 1.33 E 3 |
|  | DRV 1100, 120 min | 5: MOEF5 (R) | 3.57E4 | P | 946 |
| DIS01-14 | NONE |  |  | N | 9.43 |
|  | DEP 1100, 12 min | 71.4 | 3.33 E 7 (R) | N | 18.8 |
|  | DRV 1100, 120 min | 167 | $5.00 \mathrm{E6}(\mathrm{R})$ | $N$ | 43.8 |
| DIS01-16 | NONE |  |  | N | 9.51 |
|  | DEP 1100, 24 min | 192 | $1.11 \mathrm{E6}$ (R) | N | 51.5 |
|  | DRV 1100, 120 min | 1.67 E 4 | $2.38 \mathrm{E6}$ (R) | $N$ | 4.47E3 |
| DIS01-18 | NONE |  |  | N | 14.2 |
|  | DEP 1100, 48 min | 6.85E3 | 8.33E5 (R) | N | 1.88 E 3 |
|  | DRV 1100, 120 min | 1.04 E 5 | 4.76 E 5 (R) | N | $2.85 \mathrm{E4}$ |
| DIS01-19 | NONE |  |  | N | 13.9 |
|  | DEP 1100, 48 min | 1.52 E 5 | 7.14E5 (R) | N | 4.16E4 |
|  | DRV 1100, 120 min | 2.50 E 5 | 2.22E5 | ? | 6.85 E 4 |
| DIS01-20 | NONE |  |  | N | 18.1 |
|  | DEP 1100, 48 min | 1.92E5 | 5.88E5 (R) | N | 5.26 E 4 |
|  | DRV 1100, 120 min | 2.86 E 5 | 2.86E5 | ? | 7.83E4 |

An alternative method for introducing the proper number of gold atoms, and homogenizing the gold concentration throughout the silicon wafer, would be to soak the silicon in the presence of excess gold at the temperature for which the solid solubility is the desired gold concentration. For wafers of the initial resistivity considered here, that temperature would be approximately $950^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the time required for homogenization at that temperature would be unacceptably long.

The deposition and drive processes used here allow higher processing temperatures, thus greatly reducing the times required. Since the solid solubility of gold in silicon at $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is approximately 3 E 16 per cubic centimeter, it is necessary to regulate the gold deposition time in order to limit the number of gold atoms to the desired value. The parameters necessary to predict what this deposition time should be for silicon wafers of various thicknesses and initial dopings are not well known at this time, so an empirical approach is required.

Interpretation of the data in Table 32 is that, for wafers of this initial doping and thickness, a gold deposition of 48 minutes at $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ introduces approximately the correct amount of gold into the wafer to achieve maximum resistivity. An anneal of two hours at $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is sufficient to homogenize the gold concentration in the silicon. Shorter gold deposition times result in lower ultimate gold concentration, producing wafers of lower resistivity; longer gold deposition times introduce sufficient gold to convert the silicon to P type with a concomitant decrease in resistivity from the theoretical maximum value ( 3 E 5 ohm-cm). Some of the $N$-type resistivities listed in this table are believed to be the highest yet achieved by gold doping in the deep-impurity programs at Westinghouse.

The molten gold source has been the principle method for gold doping comparatively low-resistivity wafers. Control and reproducibility of the gold-doping process appear to be satisfactory.

Because the high resistivities produced by gold doping make measurement by four-point probe difficult, the specially designed diffused contacts on the wafers are necessary for the determination of resistivity and conductivity type.

## 6. DEVICE TESTING

### 6.1 PROBE MODIFICATION FOR EIGH VOLTAGB

Wafer testing was performed on a manual probing station such as that commonly used for IC testing. The probes and their connections were modified with extra electrical insulation in order to permit testing at voltages exceeding 2000 V . A micarta disc was placed between the (DI) ${ }^{2}$ wafers and the wafer chuck to prevent electrical arcing between the chuck and the tested devices.

### 6.2 LOW-POWER, HIGH-VOLTAGE TESTING

Low-power measurements were made with a Tektronix 576 curve tracer. This instrument is capable of up to 1500 V either ac, dc, or pulsed. It cannot simultaneously provide high current and high-voltage.

Some measurements were made with the 576 curve tracer using a Tektronix 176 pulsed high-current fixture. This fixture has a maximum voltage capability of only 350 V but is capable of furnishing high pulsed ( $300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ) current. It has often been improperly used by investigators who were unaware that although the gate current is pulsed, the voltage applied to the transistor collector is not pulsed, but is dc; so although the CRT display seems to indicate that no power is being dissipated in the device between gate pulses, there is, in fact, a constant power dissipation due to any leakage current in the transistor. It is speculated that some (DI) ${ }^{2}$ switching that has been previously reported was actually due to high temperature caused by power dissipation in the tested device. For this reason, the pulsed highcurrent fixture is of limited use in the testing of high-voltage (as opposed to high-current) transistors.

### 6.3 HIGH-POWER TBSTING SYSTEM

High-power testing was accomplished using a Cober pulsed power supply. In this measurement mode, current was measured with a Tektronix P6042 current probe and the pulsed voltage was measured separately with an oscilloscope.

## 7. RESULTS

### 7.1 DIODES WITH LOW POWER PULSE

All diode structures on the wafers of the Lateral High-Voltage design that were completed in the previous program were tested for threshold voltage using a 300 mi.crosecond high-voltage pulse from the Cober supply. The low-voltage resistance between anode and cathode of the same devices was measured separately with the 576 curve tracer. These data were analyzed for correlation between resistance, threshold voltage, device structure, and device processing.

### 7.2 LOW-PONER TESTS OF HIGH-VOLTAGE LATERAL SQUARB DEVICES

Low-power measurements were made on some completed High-Voltage Lateral Square devices. It is to be expected that the low-power conductivity of these devices would be proportional to the ratio of the channel width to the channel length for all devices fabricated on any one wafer ( $D / G$ in Figure 9). Significant deviation from this expected behavior was observed on some wafers and is attributed to inadequate control of the gold-doping process. Figure 25 illustrates the results of these measurements on a wafer which had no intentionally introduced deep levels. The solid line in the figure depicts the expected behavior for material of 100 ohm -cm , the nominal resistivity of the wafer. The observed deviation from strictly ohmic behavior might be attributed to spreading of current outside the geometrical channel region; this effect would be expected to result in an apparent higher conductivity for devices with low width-to-length ratios.

Figure 26 shows the results of similar measurements made on wafers which had been gold diffused at three different temperatures. The behavior of the wafers diffused at $1070^{\circ}$ and at $1080^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to effective resistivities of 1 E 5 to 5 E 5 ohm-cm. The erratic behavior


Figure 25. Measured low-power conductance of High-Voltage Lateral Square devices on a wafer without deep levels (DI LS IR-1).


Figure 26. Measured low-power conductance of Bigh-Voltage Lateral Square devices on wafers with differing gold diffusions.
of the wafer which was diffused at $1060^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ must be attributed to an uncontrolled and nonuniform gold diffusion. It was concern over such anomalies that prompted further investigations of gold diffusion technology.

### 7.2.1 Statistical Analysis

An attempt was made to find a correlation between the wafer resistivity as determined by low-voltage I-V measurements on HighVoltage Lateral Square devices and the threshold voltages of those devices when they were tested at high-voltage.

Because of the large amount of scatter in the data, there was no obvious correlation between threshold voltage and the other parameters, and the data were analyzed with statistical computer software (ECHIP). The number of data points ( $150 \times 5$ matrix) was too large for analysis in one batch, so it was split into three data groups which were called AU1, AU2, and AU3.

The results of the analyses performed by this program are in the form of simulated three-dimensional plots such as are shown in Figures 27-43. The statistical program analyzes the data which in this case were the width-to-length ratio of the diode (W/L), the resistivity of the channel as computed from the channel dimensions and the low-voltage I-V measurement, and the threshold voltage which had been measured by the high-voltage pulse method. From the data, the software calculates a relationship (if any) among these data and displays what that relationship might be. In each plot, the computed value of the threshold voltage is displayed in a band labeled with a letter (A, B, C, ---). Dots or commas are used to indicate bands between the values shown by the letters. In these figures, the ordinate is the device length (in microns) and the abscissa is the log of the calculated resistivity. The ratio of the device width to the device length, W/L, is a parameter. The value for $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{L}$ is indicated at the lower right of each plot.

The characteristics of the plots are dependent upon the form of the relationship (linear, factorial, or quadratic) to which the data are fitted. For example, Figure 27 shows that the measured threshold


Figure 27. Data Group AU1. Linear Model, $W / L=10.0$.


Figure 28. Data Group AU1. Linear Model, $\quad / / L=15.0$.


Figure 29．Data Group AU1．Linear Model，$⿴ 囗 十 / L=20.0$ ．


Pigure 30．Data Group AU1．Pactorial Model， $\mathbf{M / L}=\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ ．


Figure 31．Data Group AU1．Factorial Model，$⿴ 囗 十 / L=15.0$ ．
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Figure 32．Data Group AU1．Pactorial Model，$W / L=20.0$ ．


Figure 33. Data Group AÚi. Fáctorial Model, $W / L=5.0$.



Figure 34. Data Group AU1. Pactorial Model, W/L=1.0.
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Figure 35．Data Group AU1．Quadratic Model，$⿴ 囗 十 ⺝ 丶=10.0$ ．


Figure 36．Data Group AU1．Quadratic Model，$\quad / / L=15.0$ ．
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Pigure 37. Data Group AU1. Quadratic Model, $W / L=20.0$.

Pigure 37. Data Group AU1. Quadratic Model, $W / L=20.0$.


Figure 38. Data Group AU2. Linear Model, $W / L=10.0$.


Figure 39．Data Group AU2．Factorial Model，$⿴ 囗 十 / L=10.0$ ．


Figure 40．Data Group AU2．Quadratic Model，W／L $=10.0$ ．
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Pigure 41. Data Group AU3, Linear Model, W/L $=10.0$.<br>Pigure 41. Data Group AU3, Linear Model, W/L $=10.0$.

Pigure 42. Data Group AU3, Pactorial Model, $T / L=10.0$.
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    |<< AAAAAAAAAAAAAA.................AAAAAAAAAAAAAA<<।
300.0 <<<AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAく<<*
    :<<< AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAMAAAA<<<<
    :<<<<<AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA<<<<<l
    :<<<<<<AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA}<<<<<<
    |<<<<<<AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA<<<<<<< 
150.0 :<<<<<<<AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA<<<<<<<<*
    i<<<<<<<<<AAARAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA<<<<<<<<< 
    :<<<<<<<<<AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA<<<<<<<<<<1
    |<<<<<<<<<<<AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA<<<<<<<<<<< 
    |<<<<<<<<<<<AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA<<<<<<<<<<<l
0.0 :<<<<<<<<<<<<AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA<<<<<<<<<<<<< W/L = 10.0
    0.0}\begin{array}{lllll}{0.0}&{1.5}&{3.0}&{4.5}&{6.0}
```

Figure 43. Data Group AU3, Modified Quadratic Model, W/L $=10.0$.
voltage is found to increase with either the device length or the log of the resistivity (threshold voltage is indicated in volts), while W/L is held constant at 10.0 .

Figures 28 and 29 show that this dependence on length and resistivity is not much affected if W/L assumes values of 15.0 or 20.0 instead. Figures 30 to 34 show the results of fitting the same data group to a factorial model. When fitted to that model, the data indicate that resistivity is the strongest factor affecting threshold voltage. Again, W/L has little effect.

Figures 35 to 37 show that when the data are fitted to a quadratic model, the threshold voltage is quite insensitive to device length and only weakly dependent upon device resistivity. Figures 38 to 40 display the results of the analysis of the second data group, which are similar to the analysis of the first data group.

Figures 41 to 43 show the results of analysis of the third data group. In this case there appears to be an inverse relationship between resistivity and threshold voltage, while the effect of device length is
positive. This relationship appears to be true whether the data are fitted to a linear model, a factorial model, or a quadratic model.

It must be concluded from these analyses that the data scatter is too great to quantitatively predict the dependence of threshold voltage upon any of the parameters investigated, even when a large data base is analyzed.

A representative curve obtained during these high-power measurements is shown in Figure 44. Since a pulsed power supply is used in the measurements, the data are obtained as points rather than a smooth curve. In the particular case shown, the power was increased until the device burned out because of dissipated power at high current.

### 7.3 HIGH-PONER TESTING

The high-power pulse system using the Cober pulser was used to test a wide variety of Planar Annular devices. Surprisingly, some kind of negative resistance characteristic was found in many devices in which it was not to be expected. Applied voltage was increased to the point that obvious thermal runaway was incipient.


Figure 44. Current-voltage relation of a High-Voltage Lateral Square device obtained from a pulsed ( $300 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ ) power supply.

### 7.3.1 Switching Behavior

Switching behavior or negative resistance was found to occur in many of the Planar Annular devices that were fabricated during this program. No high-voltage devices were found to be sensitive to gate control of the switching process. In many cases, small changes could be made in the threshold voltage by either grounding or floating the gate; in some cases, there was an effect from introducing current at an injection gate, but all of these could be explained on the basis that the gate was simply acting as an auxiliary anode or cathode.

The I-V plots shown in Figures 45-54 are typical, but by no means exhaustive, of the results. Some devices were symmetrical, switching nearly identically with the emitter either positive or negative with respect to the collector. Other devices switched in either direction but with quite different characteristics.

The notations at the top of each figure refer to the structure of the device indicating, respectively, the conductivity type of the emitter ( $N$, $P$, or Shieh diffusion); the conductivity type of the collector; the emitter-collector spacing in $\mu \mathrm{m}$; and the type of gate ( $N, P$, or Shieh injection gate).


Figure 45. Pulsgd I-V characteristics of a planar annular (DI) ${ }^{2}$ device with $N$ emitter, $P$ collector, $840 \mu_{\text {m }}$ emitter-collector space, and $P$ injection gate.


Figure 46. Pulsed I-V with Shieh emitter, Shieh collector, $160 \mu$ m emitter-collector space, and Shieh injection gate.


Pigure 47. Pulsed I-Y with $P$ emitter, $P$ collector, $640 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ emitter-collector space, and $N$ injection gate.


Figure 48. Pulsed I-V with Pemitter, $P$ collector, $160 \mu m$ emitter- collector space, and $N$ injection gate.


Figure 49. Pulsed I-V with $N$ emitter, $P$ collector, $160 \mu_{\mathrm{m}}$ emitter-collector space, and $N$ injection gate.


Figure 50. Pulsed I-V with Shieh emitter, Shieh collector, $160 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ emitter-collector space, and $P$ injection gate.


Pigure 51. Pulsed I-V with Shieh emitter, Shieh collector, $840 \mu$ m emitter-collector space, and $P$ injection gate.


Figure 52. Pulsed I-V with Shieh emitter, Shieh collector, $640 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ emitter-collector space, and $P$ injection gate.


Figure 53. Pulsed I-V with $P$ emitter, $P$ collector $640 \mu m$ emitter-collector space, and $P$ injection gate.


Pigure 54. Pulsed I-V with $P$ emitter, $P$ collector, $640 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ emitter-collector space, and $N$ injection gate.

In general, those devices with the larger emitter-collector spacings have the highest threshold voltages (up to 1000 V ) and holding voltages.

### 7.4 LOV HOLDING VOLTAGB EITH LOT TERESHOLD

During the latter part of this program, emphasis was shifted from high threshold voltage and high threshold-to-holding voltage ratios toward those devices which might exhibit low holding voltages. Analysis has shown that these are achieved with initially high-resistivity wafers with light gold doping.

Wafers intended for this purpose are still being characterized. Representative devices from these wafers will be scribed out and mounted in transistor packages for delivery to NASA. The measurement data obtained from these devices will be included in this report as an appendix.

Packages for completed devices were obtained from Powerex, Inc. These have been modified with alumina base plates for insulation of devices from the package base.

## 8. CONCLUSIONS

Deep-impurity, double-injection (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices constitute a unique class of semiconductor switches. Their principle mode of operation is inherently binary, switching from OFF state to ON state without the necessity of external feedback circuitry or auxiliary amplifiers. Their resistance to radiation effects and to high temperature qualify their use in hostile environments where other common semiconductor devices cannot survive.

This program investigated the use of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices as high-power switches. This report has shown, analytically and experimentally, that in high-power applications, the properties of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ devices are such that they dissipate relatively large amounts of power in the OFF state, and that when they are pushed to those power levels which are required, the heat generated by this power dissipation cannot be removed rapidly enough to prevent device destruction.

It remains to be determined if the unusual properties of (DI) ${ }^{2}$ operation can be incorporated into other applications which do not involve high power levels.
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## APPENDIX I

BASIC program simulating electron injection into insulating silicon.
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BASIC－continued

```
5 1 0
520 IF FLD , IE-09 GOTO 560
530 NE = N1/(N1*MLE*G*DT/(AREA*DX*PERM) + 1)
540
55O GDTO 610
560 A = MUE*FLD/DX - MUE*NB*Q/PERM
570 E = Q*MLE/(AREA*DX*PERM)
5 8 0 ~ N U M ~ - ~ A * N 1
5 9 0 ~ D E N ~ = ~ A * E X P ( A * D T ) ~ + ~ B * N 1 * ( E X P ( A * D T ) ~ - ~ 1 ) ~
600 NE = NUM/DEN
610 EOUT = N1 - NE
G2O RHON2 = Q*N2/(AREA*DX)
630 RHONB - G#NB
640 NFLD = DX*(RHONZ - RHONB)/PEAMM
650 FLD = FLD + NFLD
660 VOLT = VOLT + FLD*DX/E
670 X8EL(1) = NE
680 IF T = LAST% THEN GOSUB B90
690 NEXT I
700 IF LASTX = T GOTD 730
710 IF EDUT ). 99*CURRENT*DT/Q THEEN LAST% = T
720 NEXT T
730 LPRINT
740 LPRINT " ", DATE゙@, TIME&
750 LPRINT USING "Im"####"; CURRENT:
760 LPRINT USING " L=|. ##", L!
```



```
700 LPRINT USINS " DELTAX=曹, ^^人゙ん"! DX:
790 EPRINT USING " AREAm|%"W"|AREA!
800 LPRINT USING " NE=|#####へへへべ",NB
810 LPRINT USINE " . W### TIME ITERATIONS"ILASTX
8EO LPRINT
830 LPRINT
840 LPRINT
850 LPRINT
860 LPRINT
870 LIST 140-210
880 END.
890 LPR.INT USIING " ####"g.I;
900. LPRINT USING " +#.##^^^人"!&T*DF゙%
910 LPRINT USING " +#.##べ^ヘヘ^"iEIN&
920 LPRINT USING " +#.###へへ人ん`"jEOUT
```




```
950 LPRINT UBING:" +#%"##へ人^n"iVOLT
960 RETURN
```

as a function of total tine

$$
\mathrm{I}=1 \mathrm{~A} \quad \mathrm{~L}=0.1 \mathrm{~cm} \quad \text { AREA }=1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}
$$

| SECTIONS | dt | TIME | FIELD | volis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1E-11 | 5E-09 | 4.79 E 3 | 2.23E2 |
|  | 10E-08 | $9.54 \mathrm{E3}$ | 3.65E2 |  |
|  | 15E-09 | 1.17 E 4 | 3.95E2 |  |
|  | 17.6E-09* | 1.18 E 4 | 3.96E2 |  |
| *Termi | when cu | out > | X curre |  |

as a function of lengti

$$
I=1 \mathrm{~A} \quad 20 \text { SECTIONS } \quad \text { AREA }=1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}
$$

| LENGTH | dt | TIME | FIELD | VOLTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| .05 cm | $1 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-8$ | 7.19 E 3 | 1.24 E 2 |
|  | $1 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-8$ | 8.32 E 3 | 1.40 E 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.10 | $1 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-8$ | 1.18 E 4 | 3.96 E 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.20 | $1 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.35 \mathrm{E}-8$ | 1.56 E 4 | 1.06 E 3 |
| $1 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-8$ | 1.68 E 4 | 1.12 E 3 |  |

as a Function of current density

$$
\text { LENGTH }=0.1 \quad \text { AREA }=1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} 20 \text { SECTIONS }
$$

| CURRENT | dt | TIME | FIELD | VOLTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | $1 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-8$ | 3.65 EB 3 | 1.23 E 2 |
|  | $3 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-8$ | 3.75 E 3 | 1.25 E 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | $1 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-8$ | 1.18 E 4 | 3.96 E 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $1 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-9$ | 2.59 EB 4 | 9.34 E 2 |
|  | $1 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-9$ | 3.65 EE 4 | 1.23 E 3 |

From the above calculations, $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{Cv}^{2} / \mathrm{L}^{3}$ so it can be calculated that, for a switch which is $1 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ in area and is to have a threshold voltage of $10,000 \mathrm{~V}$ while conducting only 50 mA (current density of $5 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ), this requires a length of 0.504 cm .

## APPENDIX II

A number of devices illustrative of those fabricated during this investigation were mounted and wire bonded to TO-3 headers. These devices were chosen from those lot runs expected to exhibit how holding voltages (with concomitant low threshold voltages). These mounted devices were delivered to the NASA program manager. The electrical characteristics of these devices are shown in the following oscillographs from a Tektronix 576 curve tracer. The accompanying notations refer to the device structure as described in the main body of this report and to noteworthy characteristics of individual devices.

The device emitters were wire bonded to the header case (ground), the gates were bonded to pin "E," and the collectors were bonded to pin "B."

The device parameters of threshold voltage, current at threshold, and holding voltage can be read from the oscillographs. Since gate signals did not significantly change any of these parameters, these tests were performed with gates open (disconnected).


DEVICE 02-9-46
SD SD 40 ENH

Shieh electrode dots switch serially.
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DEVICE 02-9-49
N N 160 S

Switches in only one direction.


DEVICE 02-9-68
RS RS 160 S

Switches in only one direction. Super-linear I-V to threshold voltage.


DEVICE 02-10-5
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & 160 \text { NONE }\end{array}$

Symmetrical switching.


DEVICE 02-10-6
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & 160\end{array}$

Same structure as 02-10-5 but higher threshold voltage


DEVICE 02-10-7
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & 160 & \text { NONE }\end{array}$

Same structure as 02-10-5 and similar characteristics



DEVICE 02-10-11
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & 20 & \text { ENH }\end{array}$

Low threshold voltage.
High OFF state power


DEVICE 02-10-12
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & 160 & \text { DEP }\end{array}$

Slow switch in one polarity, fast in the other

DEVICE 02-10-13
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & 160 & \mathrm{~S}\end{array}$

Asymmetric switch
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DEVICE 02-10-14
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & 160 & \mathrm{P}\end{array}$

Low threshold to holding voltage ratio.


DEVICE 02-10-27
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{P} & \mathrm{N} & 160 & \mathrm{~N}\end{array}$

Slow switch. Switches only in forward polarity


DEVICE 02-10-3
PD ND 160 S

Switches only in forward polarity. Dot electrodes switch serially.
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DEVICE 02-10-39
SD SD 20 ENH

Switches symmetrically. Shieh dots switch serially.


DEVICE 02-10-41
SD SD 160 S

Switches in only one polarity.


DEVICE 02-10-42
SD SD $160 \quad \mathrm{P}$

Switches in only one polarity.


DEVICE 02-10-46
SD
SD 40
ENh

Switches in only one polarity. High threshold to holding voltage ratio.


DEVICE 02-10-46
SD
SD
40
ENH

Same device as above. Shows low holding voltage.


DEVICE 02-10-58
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{P} & \mathrm{N} & 160\end{array}$

Switches only in forward polarity.


DEVICE 02-10-70
RS RS 160 N

Symmetrical, slow switching.


DEVICE 02-10-73
ND ND 160
S

Switches in only one polarity. High ON resistance.

DEVICE 02-10-74
ND ND 160 P

Asymmetric in ON and OFF state resistance.

DEVICE 02-11-5
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & 160 \quad \text { NONE }\end{array}$

Symmetric switching.
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DEVICE 02-11-6
S S 160 NONE

Symmetric switching. Low ON state resistance.


DEVICE 02-11-7
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & 160 & \text { NONE }\end{array}$

Same structure as 02-11-6. Similar characteristics.


DEVICE 02-11-8
S S $160 \quad$ NONE

Same structure as 02-11-6. Similar characteristics.


DEVICE 02-11-11
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & 20 & \text { ENH }\end{array}$

Low threshold voltage, high OFF state power. Consistent with $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ spacing.


DEVICE 02-11-13
S
S 160 S

Switches in only one polarity.


DEVICE 02-11-22
P N $160 \quad$ DEP

Switches in only forward polarity. Low holding voltage.
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DEVICE 02-11-27
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{P} & \mathrm{N} & 160 & \mathrm{~N}\end{array}$

Switches only in forward polarity.

DEVICE 02-11-31
PD ND 20 ENH

Slow switching in reverse polarity.

DEVICE 02-11-39
SD SD 20 ENH

Symmetric switching. Low threshold voltage. Shieh dots switch serially.


DEVICE 02-11-40
SD SD 160 DEP

Asymmetric threshold voltage.
Shieh dots switch serially.


DEVICE 02-11-41
SD SD 160 S

Shieh Dots switch serially.
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DEVICE 02-11-42
SD SD $160 \quad$ P

Shieh dots switch serially.
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DEVICE 02-11-43
SD SD 160 N

Shieh dots switch serially.


DEVICE 02-11-58
P N 160 NONE

Switches only in forward polarity.

# REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST 

Contract NAS3-24637
NASA CR-182118

One copy per name unless indicated in ()

NASA Lewis Research Center
21000 Brookpark Road
Cleveland, OH 44135
Attn: J. S. Fordyce, MS 3-5
H. W. Brandhorst, MS 301-3
R. W. Bercaw, MS 301-2
I. T. Myers, MS 301-3
G. R. Sundberg, MS 301-3 (10)
K. A. Faymon, MS 301-3
G. E. Schwarze, MS 301-2
M. J. Hartmann, MS 3-7
M. E. Goldstein, MS 5-9
R. W. Graham, MS 3-17
J. M. Smith, MS 301-5
S. M. Riddlebaugh, MS 7-3
S. A. Alterovitz, MS 54-5

Librarian, MS 60-3 (2)
Report Control, MS 5-5
R\&QA Office, MS 500-211
R. J. Sovie, MS 301-5
J. A. Powell, MS 77-1
R. J. Frye, MS 501-14
D. J. Connolly, MS 54-1
J. E. Bolander, MS 500-305

NASA Headquarters
Washington, DC 20546
Attn: RP/E. Van Landingham
RP/A. D. Schnyer
NASA George C. Marshall Space Flight Center
Marshall Space Flight Center, AL 35812 Attn: R. E. Kapustka, EC12
J. L. Miller, EB 11

NASA Linden B. Johnson Space Center Houston, TX 77058
Attn: J. T. Edge, EH6
NASA Langley Research Center
Hampton, VA 23665
Attn: Ja. H. Lee, MS 160

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, MD 20771
Attn: C. Kellenbenz, Code 711.3
NASA Hugh L. Dryden Flight
Research Center
P.O. Box 273

Edwards, CA 93523
Attn: C. R. Jarvin
Jet Propulsion Laboratory 4800 Oak Grove Drive
Pasadena, CA 91103
Attn: R. F. Jurgens, MS 230-420
J. W. Klein, MS 507-207

NASA Scientific and Technical
Information Facility
P.O. Box 8757

Baltimore/Washington International
Airport, MD 21240
Attn: Accessioning Department $(25$
Department of the Air Force
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-65
Attn: AFWAL/POO, W. Borger
AFWAL/POOC-1, J. Weimar
AFWAL/POOC, L. D. Massie
AFWAL/AAD, P. E. Stover
U. S. Army Laboratory Command

ET\&D Lab
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5302
Attn: S. Levy, SLCET-ML
M. Weiner, SLCET-ML

Interagency Advanced Power Group
CSR Incorporated
1400 Eye St., NW
Washington, DC 20005
Attn: J. Decker

Department of the Navy
Washington, DC 20360
Attn: AIR 5363/T. Momiyamo AIR 5363/W. King

Naval Ocean Systems Center
San Diego, CA 92152-5000
Attn: J. Henry, Code 9257
K. L. Moazed, Code 56
U. S. Army

MERAD-COM
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060
Attn: M. Mando, DRDME-EA
National Bureau of Standards
Building 225, Room D310
Washington, DC 20234
Attn: D. L. Blackburn, DLB/721
F. F. Oettinger, DLB/721

Department of Energy
Div. of Electrical Engineering Systems

20 Massachusetts Avenue
Washington, DC 20545
Attn: R: Eaton, MS 2221-C
Department of the Navy
Naval Air Development Center
Warminster, PA 18974
Attn: E. White, Code 6014
P. Raiti, Code 6012

Department of the Navy
Naval Ship Research \& Development Center
Annapolis, MD 21402
Attn: G. Garduno, Code 2724
T. Ericsen, Code 2713

Naval Avionics Facility 6000 East 21st Street
Indianapolis, IN 46218
Attn: J. H. Jentz
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, DC 20375
Attn: A. Christou, MS Code 6830

Department of the Air Force Chief Scientist
Kirtland AFB, NM 87117
Attn: A. H. Guenther, AFWL/CA
U. S. Army Research Office
P.O. Box 12211

Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
Attn: B. D. Guenther
DARPA
1400 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22209
Attn: P. Kemmey
Aerospace Research Aplication Center
1201 East 38th Street
Indianapolis, IN 46205
Attn: E. G. Buck
Department of the Air Force
Hanscom Air Force Base, MA 01731
Attn: RADC/ESE
Arthur D. Little Company
20 Acorn Park
Cambridge, MA 02140
Attn: H. Matthews
Bell Laboratories
Box 400
Holmdel, NJ 07733
Attn: D. M. Grannan
ROOM HOHR-229
Bell Laboratories
600 Mountain Aveneu
Murray Hill, NJ 07974
Attn: Hans Becke
Bendix Advanced Technology Center
20245 W. 12th Mile Road
Southfield, MI 48076
Attn: J. O'Connor
The Boeing Aerospace Company
P.O. Box 3999

Seattle, WA 98124
Attn: I. S. Mehdi, MS 47-03
J. M. Voss, MS 8C-62

Delco Electronics
General Motors Corporation
Kokomo, IN 46904
Attn: R. G. Carter, MS R238
Semiconductor Research Corp.
P.O. Box 12053

4501 Alexander Dr.
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
Attn: C. E. Holland, Jr.
General Electric Company
Corporate Research \& Development
Schenectady, NY 12345
Attn: V. A. K. Temple
M. S. Adler

Electrical Engineering Department
Texas Tech University
Lubboc, TX 79409
Attn: W. Portnoy
Fairchild Camera \& Instrument Corp.
4001 Miranda Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Attn: M. Vora, MS 30-0513
A. K. Kapoor, MS 30-0513

Honeywell, Inc.
13350 U. S. Highway 19, South
Clearwater, FL 27772
Attn: C. E. Wyllie
Hughes Research Lab
3011 Malibu Canyon Road
Malibu, CA 90268
Attn: Paul Braatz
J. Parsons, MS RL67

Inland Motor
501 First Street
Redford, VA 24141
Attn: L. W. Langley
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
P.O. Box 5504

Livermore, CA 94550
Attn: M. Pocha, MS L-156
Gannon University
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
Erie, PA 16541
Attn: M. Souraty
H. Haznedad

Auburn University
231 Leach Science Center
Auburn, AL 36844
Attn: M. F. Rose
Center for Energy Conversion Resear
The University of Texas at Arlingtc
P.O. Box 19380

Arlington, TX 76019
Attn: W. C. Nunnally
McDonnell Douglas Aircraft Company
3855 Lakewood Boulevard
Long Beach, CA 90808
Attn: W. E. Murray, MS 36-43
Power Technology Components
23201 S. Normandie Ave.
Torrance, CA 90501
Attn: A. Berman
R \& D Associates
1401 Wilson Blva.
Arlington, VA 22209
Attn: P. Turchi
W. J. Schafer Assoc. Inc.

1901 N. Fort Myer Dr., Suite 800
Arlington, VA 22209
Attn: P. Mace
Rose-Hulman Institute
Dept. of Physics
Terre Haute, IN 47803
Attn: J. Wagner
Brown University, Box D
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
Providence, RI 02912
Attn: F. Shoucair
TRW Systems Group
One Space Park
Redondo Beach, CA 90278
Attn: J. Biess, MC M2/2367
K. Decker, MC M2/2384

United Technologies
Power Systems Division
P.O. Box 109

South Windsor, CT 06074
Attn: R. W. Rosati

Carnegie-Mellon University
Department of Electrical Engineering Pittsburgh, PA 15213
Attn: A. G. Milnes
Department of Electrical Engineering 898 Rhodes Hall
University of cincinnati
Cincinnati, OH 45221
Attn: H. T. Henderson, ML 30
The University of Toledo
Department of Electrical Engineering
2801 W. Bancroft Street
Toledo, OH 43606
Attn: R. J. King
Department of Electrical Engineering
University of South Florida
Tampa, FL 33620
Attn: J. C. Bowers

Department of Electrical
Engineering'University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario, M5S1A4
Canada
Attn:
S. B. Dewan
F. P. Dawson

Department of Electrical Engineering Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Blacksburg, VA 24061
Attn: D. Y. Chen
F. C. Lee

Laboratory for Laser Energetics
University of Rochester
250 E. River Rd.
Rochester, NY 14623
Attn: G. Mourou
B. Donaldson

Hampden Sydney College
Dept. of Physics
Hampden Sydney, VA 23943
Attn: W. T. Joyner
Harris Electronics Systems Division
Box 883, MS 54-103
Melbourne, FL 32901
Attn: W. Krull

Unitrode Corporation 580 Pleasant Street
Watertown, MA 02172
Attn: P. L. Hower

Westinghouse Aerospace Electrical
P. O. Box 989

Lima, OH 45802
Attn: D. Yorksie

Lockheed Missiles \& Space Co.. Inc.
Ocean Systems
3929 Calle Fortunada
San Diego, CA 92123
Attn: J. M. Friers, Jr.
Westinghouse R\&D Center
1310 Beulah Rd.
Pittsburgh, PA 15235
Attn: W. J. Choyke
M. H. Hanes
L. R. Lowry

International Fuel Cells
P.O. BOX 739

195 Governor's Highway
South Windsor, CT 06074
Attn: G. J. Sandelli
C. Banek

David Sarnoff Research Center
CN-5300
Princeton, NJ 08543-5300
Attn: P. J. Stabile
Sandia National Laboratories
P.O. Box 5800

Albuquerque, NM 87185
Attn: T.E. Zipperian, Org. 1141
F. J. Zutavern, Org. 1248
F. V. Thome, Org. 6512

North Carolina State University
P.O. Box 7907

Raleigh, NC 27695-7907
Attn: R. F. Davis
Space Power Inc.
1977 Concourse Dr.
San Jose, CA 95131
Attn: E. J. Britt

University of Michigan 1130 EECS
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2122
Attn: R. B. Brown
Pinnacle Research
10432 North Tantau Ave.
Cupertino, CA 95014
Attn: G. L. Bullard
University of Nebraska-Lincoln
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
W194 Nebraska Hall
Lincoln, NE 68588
Attn: N. J. Ianno
Nat Hemasilpin
1040 Altavia Ave.
Park Hill, KY 41011
Consolidated Controls Corporation
A Condec Company
15 Durant Avenue
Bethel, CT 06801
Attn: W. T. Hughes

University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0484
Attn: M. A. Gundersen SSC 420
Indiana University of Pennsylvania Indiana, PA 15705
Attn: D. Whitson
Oakland University
School of Engineering and Computer Science
Rochester, MI 48063
Attn: T. H. Weng
Energy Compression Research Corp. 1110 Camino Del Mar, Suite C \& D
Del Mar, CA 92014
Attn: J. Zucker
Monsanto
Electronic Materials Company
755 Page Mill Road
P.O. Box 10123

Palo Alto, CA 94303
Attn: Dr. H. R. Huff
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