https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19880019249 2020-03-20T05:37:25+00:00Z
1.

LSI TR-919-4A

ADVANCEMENTS IN OXYGEN GENERATION AND HUMIDITY CONTROL BY WATER VAPOR ELECTROLYSIS

(NASA-CR-172073) ADVANCEMENTS IN OXYGEN CENERATION AND EUMIDITY CONTECL FY WATER VAFOR ELECTRCIYSIS Final Report (Life Systems) 81 F CSCL 05H Unclas G3/54 0154955

FINAL REPORT

by

D.B. Heppner, M. Sudar and M.C. Lee

June, 1988

Prepared Under Contract NAS9-17558

by

Life Systems, Inc.

Cleveland, OH 44122

for

LYNDON B. JOHNSON SPACE CENTER

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Life Systems, Inc.

TR-919-4A

ADVANCEMENTS IN OXYGEN GENERATION AND HUMIDITY CONTROL BY WATER VAPOR ELECTROLYSIS

FINAL REPORT

Ъy

D. B. Heppner, M. Sudar and M.C. Lee

June, 1988

Distribution of this report is provided in the interest of information exchange. Responsibility for the contents resides in the authors or organization that prepared it.

Prepared Under Contract NAS9-17558

by

Life Systems, Inc. Cleveland, OH 44122

for

Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Life Systems, Inc.

FOREWORD

The development work described herein was conducted by Life Systems, Inc. at Cleveland, Ohio under Contract NAS9-17558, during the period of May, 1986 through June, 1988. The Program Manager was Dr. Dennis B. Heppner. The personnel contributing to the program and their responsibilities are outlined below:

Personnel

Charles T. Bunnell

Dennis B. Heppner, Ph.D.

John O. Jessup

M.C. (Gene) Lee, Ph.D.

Marty Sudar

Dan C. Walter

Single cell testing, water vapor electrolysis module assembly, module and test stand integration and testing

Area of Responsibility

Program Manager, system design and analysis

Test stand design and fabrication and electronic device assembly

Water vapor electrolysis cell water balance and flux models, reaction mechanisms

Single cell testing, epoxy testing, matrix bubble pressure tests, water vapor electrolysis module total equivalent weight calculations

Water vapor electrolysis cell, module and associated parts design and drawing preparation

The contract's Technical Manager was Ms. Cinda Chullen, Crew and Thermal Systems Division, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX.

Life Systems, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

| | PAGE |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| LIST OF FIGURES | iii |
| LIST OF TABLES | iv |
| LIST OF ACRONYMS | v |
| LIST OF SCHEMATIC SYMBOLS | v |
| SUMMARY | I _. |
| PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS | 1 |
| INTRODUCTION | 2 |
| Background | 2 2 4 |
| WATER VAPOR ELECTROLYSIS CONCEPT | 5 |
| Design Requirements | 5 |
| Water Vapor Electrolysis Performance Goals | |
| WATER VAPOR ELECTROLYSIS TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS | 7 |
| Electrode Sizing | 7 17 20 26 29 29 29 |
| TESTING FACILITIES | 37 |
| Single Cell Test Facility | 37 37 |
| TEST PROGRAM | 48 |
| Single Cell Test Results | 48 56 56 56 60 |

continued-

.

Life Systems, Inc.

Table of Contents - continued

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | PAGE |
|------------------------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|----|---|------|
| PRODUCT ASSURANCE | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | - | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 60 |
| Quality Assurance | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 60 |
| Maintainability | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 60 |
| Safety | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 62 |
| CONCLUSIONS | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 63 |
| RECOMMENDATIONS | • | • | • | . • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 66 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | .• | • | 66 |
| Appendix l Supplementa | ry | Da | ita | 1 (| Cui | cv€ | es | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | •• | | • | • | • | • | | A1-1 |

Life Systems, Inc.

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | | PAGE |
|--------|--|------|
| 1 | Water Vapor Electrolysis Concept | 3 |
| 2 | Water Vapor Electrolysis Schematic and Reactions | 6 |
| 3 | WVE Mechanical Schematic with Sensors | 13 |
| 4 | WVE Water Balance Schematic | 15 |
| 5 | Required Electrode Thickness Versus Cell Temperature (Nominal Dew Point Range) | 18 |
| 6 | Required Electrode Thickness Versus Cell Temperature | 10 |
| 7 | Bubble Breakthrough Pressure Versus Matrix Thickness at | 19 |
| | Baseline Matrix Density | 21 |
| 8 | Bubble Breakthrough Pressure Versus Matrix Thickness at High | |
| | Matrix Density | 22 |
| 9 | Bubble Breakthrough Pressure Versus Matrix Density at Fixed | |
| | Matrix Thickness | 23 |
| 10 | Unitized Core Concept for WVE Composite Cell | 31 |
| 11 | Composite WVE Cell Fabrication | 33 |
| 12 | WVE Unitized Core and Composite Cell | 34 |
| 13 | WVE Electrochemical Module Assembly | 35 |
| 14 | Weight Versus Current Density for Three-Person Capacity WVE | |
| | Module | 38 |
| 15 | Weight Versus Number of Cells for Three-Person Capacity WVE | |
| | Module | 39 |
| 16 | WVE Single Cell Test Facility | 41 |
| 17 | WVE Module Test Stand | 42 |
| 18 | WVEM Test Stand Showing Module | 43 |
| 19 | Liquid-Cooled WVEM Test Stand Mechanical Schematic with | |
| | Sensors | 44 |
| 20 | Gas Humidifier (Without Side Panels) | 45 |
| 21 | Space Station Temperature and Humidity Ranges | 46 |
| 22 | WVE Single Cell Performance - H ₃ PO ₄ Electrolyte and Screen | |
| | Anode | 49 |
| 23 | WVE Single Cell Performance - H_2SO_4 Electrolyte and Screen | |
| | Anode | 50 |
| 24 | WVE Single Cell Performance as Function of Electrolyte | 51 |
| 25 | WVE Single Cell Performance - H ₃ PO ₄ Electrolyte and Porous | |
| | Plaque Anode | 52 |
| 26 | WVE Single Cell Performance Over Time (32 mA/cm ⁻ ₂ (30 ASF)). | 54 |
| 27 | WVE Single Cell Performance Over Time (43 mA/cm ⁻) (40 ASF)) . | 55 |
| 28 | Range of WVE Air Inlet Conditions | 57 |
| 29 | WVE Single Cell H ₂ S Production | 58 |
| 30 | WVEM Parametric Pérformance | 59 |
| 31 | WVEM Baseline Test Data | 61 |

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE

| 1 | Advanced WVE Design Objectives | 8 |
|----|--|----|
| 2 | End Application WVE Design Guidelines List | 9 |
| 3 | WVE Development Goals | 10 |
| 4 | WVE Subsystem Design Specification | 12 |
| 5 | WVE Mechanical Component Characteristic Summary | 14 |
| 6 | Adhesives for WVE Unitized Cell Core | 24 |
| 7. | Bonding Agent Compatibility Testing Results - H ₂ SO ₄ | 25 |
| 8 | Bonding Agent Compatibility Testing Results - $H_2^2 PO_4^4$ | 27 |
| 9 | WVE Candidate Electrolyte Comparison by Category 👶 | 28 |
| 10 | Properties of Selected Metals | 30 |
| 11 | Advantages of WVE Unitized Core | 32 |
| 12 | WVE Module Total Equivalent Weight (TEW) Calculation | |
| | Equations | 36 |
| 13 | WVE Module Characteristics Optimal and Chosen Configurations. | 40 |
| 14 | WVEM Test Stand Electrical Controls and Monitors | 47 |
| 15 | WVE Single Cell Tests | 53 |
| 16 | Past and Present WVE Characteristics | 64 |

Life Systems, Inc.

LIST OF ACRONYMS

| ARS | Atmosphere Revitalization System |
|-------|---|
| ECLSS | Environmental Control and Life Support System |
| IR | Internal Resistance |
| OGS | Oxygen Generation Subsystem |
| ORUs | Orbit Replaceable Units |
| RH | Relative Humidity |
| WES | Water Electrolysis Subsystem |
| WVE | Water Vapor Electrolysis |
| WVEM | Water Vapor Electrolysis Module |
| | |

LIST OF SCHEMATIC SYMBOLS

Sensors

| D | Dew Point Temperature |
|--------|--------------------------|
| Ε | Cell Voltage |
| F | Flow |
| I | Current |
| Р | Pressure |
| RH | Relative Humidity |
| S | Speed |
| Т | Temperature |
| W | Valve Position Indicator |
| Y | Level Sensor |
| Actuat | ors |

- H Heater
- M Motor
- PU Pump
- V Valve (Electric)

Components

AF Air Filter

B Blower

- CC Coolant Control Assembly
- CV Check Valve
- EM Electrochemical Module
- F Filter
- FC Fluids Control Assembly
- FV Filter/Isolation Valve
- HX Heat Exchanger
- MV Manual Valve
- PR Pressure Regulator
- SG Sight Guage
- TK Tank
- WA Water Accumulator

Life Systems, Inc.

SUMMARY

Regenerative processes for the revitalization of manned spacecraft atmospheres or other manned habitats are essential for realization of long-term space missions. These processes include oxygen generation through water electrolysis. One promising technique of water electrolysis is the direct conversion of the water vapor contained in the cabin air to oxygen. This technique is the subject of the present program on water vapor electrolysis development. The objectives of this program were to (1) incorporate technology improvements developed under other similar electrochemical programs and add new ones, (2) design and fabricate a multi-cell electrochemical module and a testing facility and (3) demonstrate through testing the improvements.

Each aspect of the water vapor electrolysis cell was reviewed. The materials of construction and sizing of each element were investigated analytically and sometimes experimentally. The elements included the electrodes, electrolyte, electrolyte retention matrix, metallic components, cell frames, bonding agents and module construction. In addition, operational considerations such as temperature control in response to inlet conditions were investigated.

Three specific quantitative goals were established. First, the end product water vapor electrolysis cell had to operate for indefinite periods of time over the Space Station cabin air relative humidity range of 25 to 75%. Secondly, a 55 kPa (8 psid) minimum and 69 kPa (10 psid) nominal pressure differential capability across the cell matrix (hydrogen to air cavity) was required. Lastly, cell voltage was to be minimized with a goal of 1.70 V at a current density of 54 mA/cm² (50 ASF).

These three totals were established in order of importance to (1) ensure safety of operation, (2) provide operational flexibility and (3) minimize power. The first two goals were completely achieved; the last under limited conditions. Wide-range tolerance to cabin humidity is directly attributable to liquid cooling of the water vapor electrolysis and an algorithm for selecting the optimum coolant temperature. Unitized cell core construction permitted achieving the pressure differential capability. These two demonstrated achievements were the principal results of the program.

PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The key program accomplishments were as follows:

- Achieved sustained one-person level oxygen (0₂) generation performance with state-of-the-art module hardware.
- Incorporated advancements of prior cell technology developments into state-of-the-art water vapor electrolysis (WVE) cell hardware, specifically liquid cooling and unitized core construction.

- Conducted over 1,000 hours of single cell testing, evaluating effects of electrolyte, relative humidity (RH), operating pressure and electrode construction on cell performance.
- Designed, developed and tested an advanced WVE module which utilized advanced components in cell hardware, end plates and operation.

INTRODUCTION

The WVE concept consists of electrochemical cell hardware to generate 0_2 from water vapor contained in the cabin air. The removal of water vapor from cabin air results in partial humidity control, but more importantly, it provides the source for 0_2 for crew consumption. Figure 1 shows the overall concept and potential uses for the hydrogen (H₂) which is a byproduct of the process.

Background

Environmental Control and Life Support System (ECLSS) technology is required to reduce the operational cost of a Space Station, especially one with projected indefinite operating life, e.g., greater than ten years. A major system of the regenerative ECLSS is the Atmosphere Revitalization System (ARS). Current concepts for revitalizing a Space Station module's atmosphere employ the electrolysis of water for generating 0_2 . Although years of development have resulted in safe, reliable and efficient subsystems for the primary production of metabolic 0_2 , these subsystems do not lend themselves to providing metabolic 0_2 under certain situations which must be accommodated on the Space Station.

One such circumstance occurs when the crew's life support requirements are not evenly distributed throughout the Station, as in the case where the crew may gather for significant periods of time in any one Space Station module. To size the module's central air distribution and humidity control subsystems to handle these extreme conditions may not be efficient from both the cost and operational point of view. The WVE-based ARS, on the other hand, more effectively meets this need by employing the WVE as a "high activity area" ARS.

Since the WVE has few interfaces, it also lends itself to being "portable" and thus a potential candidate for providing a safe haven ARS. It was the intent of this program to therefore develop the "heart" of the WVE process, the WVE module or electrochemical plant, to the point where it can accomplish a potential mission objectives identified for the ARS.

Program Objectives

The major objectives of this WVE program were multiple and included the following:

 Upgrade the current baseline WVE cell hardware to allow higher pressure differential levels (H₂ to atmosphere) of at least 55 kPa (8 psid), resulting in greater safety margins.

Life Systems, Inc.







B. Spacecraft Application

FIGURE 1 WATER VAPOR ELECTROLYSIS CONCEPT

- 2. Upgrade the WVE module (WVEM) construction for lower volume, lower cost of fabrication and lower weight. This activity also incorporated design improvements generated from other ongoing electrochemical technology programs.
- 3. Improve the WVE operating voltage to reduce the power needed for dehumidification and O_2 supply.
- 4. Improve the WVE tolerance to extremes in Space Station module (cabin) dry bulb and dew point temperature (i.e., RH). The ability to operate longer times under very dry or very humid conditions along with the capability of remaining in an overload operational mode (higher water removal and 0₂ generation rates) was considered a key objective.
- 5. Eliminate the need to oversize the central atmosphere control system by using a portable, localized humidity and 0₂ partial pressure control.

Program Organization

The program was organized into five major tasks. The specific tasks were:

- 1. Perform an assessment of the WVE elements and design modifications needed to meet or exceed the WVE performance goals while giving primary consideration to improving operation and performance and enhancing life.
- 2. Implement a WVE single-cell characterization test program to verify performance goals, expose deficiencies and incorporate recommended improvements into cell design.
- 3. Design and fabricate a multi-cell WVEM incorporating the new technological features. Also develop a test stand for testing this module.
- 4. Implement a WVEM test program to verify design and performance.
- 5. Establish, implement and maintain a mini-Product Assurance program throughout the contractual period to search out quality weaknesses and incorporate appropriate, effective measures.

These primary tasks of the program, along with data and program management requirements, were successfully completed. This Final Report discusses the results of the program. The following sections present the WVE concept and application aspects, a discussion of WVE technology improvements, the testing facilities employed, results of the testing, the conclusions reached and recommendations made.

WATER VAPOR ELECTROLYSIS CONCEPT

The function of the WVE is to generate a quantity of 0_2 for metabolic consumption and provide partial humidity control by removing water vapor from the crew atmosphere. Oxygen and H₂ are generated from the water vapor contained in an air stream flowing through an electrochemical module consisting of a series of individual water vapor electrolysis electrochemical cells. Each cell consists of two electrodes separated by a matrix containing an acidic electrolyte. The specific electrochemical reactions are detailed in Figure 2.

Moist air is fed to the anode compartment where water vapor is first condensed into the electrolyte and then electrochemically dissociated into 0_2 and hydrogen ions (H) while releasing electrons. The output of the anode compartment is air which has been reduced in humidity and enriched in 0_2 content. On the cathode side, H acquire electrons to form gaseous H₂. The overall reaction requires electrical energy, and at practical voltage levels some waste heat is generated.

The performance of a WVE is reflected by voltage which is a direct measure of the power required by the WVE cell or module. Electrical energy consumed by the electrochemical reaction occurring in the WVE is a function of the current and cell voltage. The theoretical voltage required for water electrolysis is 1.23 V. In actual operation, however, higher voltages must be provided to overcome the effects of internal cell resistance (including that of the electrolyte and the electrical contacts) and the chemical activation overpotential. Sufficient energy must be provided to overcome the required activation energy before chemical reaction can proceed. Internal cell resistance is minimized by using highly conductive electrolyte, by providing good contact between cell components and by operating at low current densities. Activation energy and thus, activation overpotential is reduced by using highly active electrocatalysts for the specific reations involved. The use of large catalytic surface areas also contribute to reducing the activation overpotential losses.

High WVE electrical efficiency is reflected by low cell voltages and, therefore, low power consumption to perform the electrochemical process of O_2 and H_2 generation. The acid electrolyte is used in the process because of the presence of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the process air, which is in direct contact with the anode and electrolyte. If a basic solution were to be used, the CO₂ in the air would combine with the hydroxide (OH⁻) ions of the electrolyte to neutralize the electrolyte by creating a carbonate solution. The conductivity of the electrolyte would be reduced and higher theoretical voltages would result.

Design Requirements

A series of design specifications and design guidelines were established at the beginning of the program effort. These also were established with respect to the end-application of a WVE in a Space Station-like environment. This was done to focus the contractual effort on producing the best WVE for eventual Space Station or advanced mission applications.



FIGURE 2 WATER VAPOR ELECTROLYSIS SCHEMATIC AND REACTIONS

Life Systems, Inc.

Water Vapor Electrolysis Performance Goals

The WVE design objectives and performance goals are listed in the order of importance as shown in Table 1. Of particular importance is the RH range of 25 to 75% and minimum cabin dew point temperature of 277 K (40 F). The performance goal of operating the WVE at low humidities and at full current densities over indefinite periods of time and still being able to maintain the H_2 -to-air differential pressure was considered a key requirement. The module construction of the unitized core and composite cell draws upon prior Life Systems' experience in the electrochemical CO₂ concentrator system where these concepts have proven to be very successful.

Additional design guidelines are listed in Table 2. Some of these are more general and point to an end application.

Water Vapor Electrolysis End-Applications

As part of this program the end-application WVE was projected. The WVE development goals for a subsystem are summarized in Table 3. Given are the performance and operational goals and operational feature goals. These were projected for long-term operation and, while not having direct effect on the technology development program, allowed focus on the end-application.

Shown in Table 4 are the design specifications for a three-person subsystem for Space Station. A schematic for this projected system is shown in Figure 3. Indicated are the orbit replaceable units (ORUs) (eight in number), including the module and its supporting hardware. A projected mechanical component characteristic summary is given in Table 5 for this three-person subsystem.

WATER VAPOR ELECTROLYSIS TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS

The heart of the WVE is the electrochemical cell where 0, is evolved on the anode (air side) and H₂ on the cathode. Much of the effort of this program was directed toward performing analyses or experiments which led to selecting the individual components of the electrochemical cell for the anticipated conditions. This section discusses key elements of the electrochemical cell and the results of the studies made for each. The following subsections discuss (a) electrode sizing, (b) electrolyte retention matrix selection and sizing, (c) bonding agents, (d) electrolyte selection, (e) metallics, (f) cell and module construction and (g) module sizing methods.

Electrode Sizing

Figure 4 shows a cross-section of the WVE cell illustrating the electrolyte/gas interface at the anode which, because it is exposed to air which varies in RH, is the principal electrode involved in the water balance. Shown also in Figure 4 is the governing equation for the transport of water vapor from the air to the electrolyte/gas interface. It is the balance of water vapor pressures in (a) the air (determined by air temperature and RH) and (b) the anode (determined by electrolyte temperature and concentration) along with Life Systems, Inc.

TABLE 1 ADVANCED WVE DESIGN OBJECTIVES

| 1. | Deve | lop a Unitized Core Which: | Demonstrated |
|----|------|---|---|
| | a. | Provides high H ₂ -to-air pressure differential tolerance | 69 kPa (10 psid) at 30% RH |
| | Ъ. | Broadens RH tolerance range | 25 to 75% RH 278 to 289 K (40 to 60 F) dew point 292 to 300 K (65 to 80 F) dry bulb |
| | c. | Provides for reproducible fabrication | Using unitized core |
| | d. | Simplifies handling and assembly | |

2. Demonstrate WVE Performance Goals:

| No. | Parameter | Value | Conditions | Results |
|-----|---|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | Cell Voltage (max), V | 1.70 | 54 mA/cm ² (50 ASF) 40-75% air RH | Met with H_SO ₄ at DP >284 ² K (52 F) |
| 2 | Inlet Air Relative, Humidity Range, % | 25 to 75 | | Met |
| 3 | Minimum Cabin Dew Point, K (F) | 278 (40) | | Met |
| 4 | H ₂ -to-Air Side Delta Přessure, kPa (psid) Nominal Maximum | 55 (8) 69 (10) | | 69 (10) demon- strated over RH range |
| 5 | Cooling Medium | Water | | Water |
| 6 | Module Construction | Unitized Core Composite Cell | | Incorporated |

TABLE 2 END APPLICATION WVE DESIGN GUIDELINES LIST

- 1. Minimize weight, volume and power:
 - a. Incorporate where economically practical
 - b. Defer where not economically realistic, but establish steps to be taken to attain projected flight size (weight, volume and power).
- 2. Minimize or eliminate expendables -- within minimum allowable reservice time of 90 day. None identified so far.
- 3. Avoid toxic or corrosive chemicals except as cell electrolyte, then ensure containment.
- Prevent leakage of hazardous gases (e.g., H₂) -- (1) incorporate fail-safe valving design and (2) resolve how H₂ venting will be handled (e.g., vent to catalytic oxidizer or overboard or storage).
- 5. Use standard commercial materials and parts to fullest extent possible -- to save development time, cost and the need for extensive testing to prove "new design" reliability.
- 6. Incorporate techniques which will result in a WVEM whose performance is characterized by:
 - a. Low cell voltage and power consumption
 - b. Tolerance to process air RH
 - c. Compatible with low earth orbit cycle times or continuous operation
 - d. Tolerance to variable 0, generation rate by changing current
 - e. Reliable performance
 - f. Fast, easy startup in keeping with a "portable" ARS

-- to accomplish the purpose of 0_2 generation, without excessive restrictions concerning applicability and to carry out its function continuously for long unattended periods of time.

7. Incorporate straight-forward maintenance features -- preferably those that minimize disconnection of liquid lines. (Repair by replacement of subsystem components shall be permitted.) Cife Systems, Inc.

TABLE 3 WVE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

Performance Goals

1. Cell Voltage (max), V 2. Inlet Air Relative Humidity Range, % 3. Minimum Cabin Dew Point, K (F) 4. H₂-to-Air Side Delta P, kPa (psid) Nominal Maximum Cooling Medium 5. 6. Module Construction

Operation Goals

- 1. Unattended Operation, day
- 2. Expendables
- Leakage 3.
- 4. Startup Time, hr
- 5. Compatible With
- 6. Operating Environment
- 7. Materials of Construction
- 8. Gravity Tolerance
- Calibration 9.
- 10. Sensor Signal Outputs VDC
 - mA
- 11. Noise
- 12. Vibration
- 13. Operation
- 14. Safety

Operating Feature Goals

- 1. Fail-Safe
- Automated Startup, Shutdown^(a)
 Accept Command Inpute^(a)
- Accept Command Inputs 3.
- Transmittal of Status Indicators 4.
- 5. Autoprotection^(a)

1.70 25 to 75 278 (40) 55 (8) 69 (10)

Water Unitized Core, Composite Cell

90 None None Less than 10 minutes CO₂ Removal Subsystem (Electrochemical CO_2^2 Removal) and CO_2 Reduction Subsystems Space Station temperature, pressure, relative humidity Per NHB 8060.1B and SE-R-0006A 0 - 1 G plus launch conditions (JSC-07700) Needed infrequently and readily done

0 - 0.55 maximum Noise Criterion (NC) -55 None Continuous and Cyclic (for earth orbit power compatibility) Per NHB 1700.7A and MIL-STD-1522

Automatic Shutdown Manually or electronically initiated External initiation of operating mode transitions and selection of measurement parameters Parameters measured, operating mode and operating mode transition underway Reject incorrect commands and detect failure in major components - initiate automatic shutdown

continued-

(a) A benefit of being microcomputer-based.

Life Systems, Inc.

Table 3 - continued

6. Fault Isolation

- 7. Phase Change Design
- 8. Proprietary Features
- 9. Proprietary Materials

Packaging Goals

- 1. Configuration
- 2. Maintainability
- 3. Weight, $kg_3(1b)_3$ 4. Volume, m (ft³)
- 5. Number of Interface Connections
- 6. Power, W
- 7. Shelf Life, yr
- 8. Operating Life, yr
- 9. Structural

Display codes identifying incorrect commands and component causing shutdown Minimize gas/liquid separations None None

Self-contained Orbit Replaceable Units (ORUs) 45 (100) 0.11 (4.0) 6 600 W 8 10 with maintenance Shock and vibration resistant

TABLE 4 WVE SUBSYSTEM DESIGN SPECIFICATION

Crew Size 3 0, Generation Rate, kg/day (1b/day) Nominal 2.51 (5.52) Minimum 2.41 (5.31) Maximum 3.00 (6.60) Cabin pO2, kPa (psia) 19.6 to 23.1 (2.85 to 3.35) Cabin Temperature, K (F) 291 to 297 (65 to 75) 278 to 289 (40 to 60) Cabin Dew Point, K (F) Cabin Relative Humidity, % 25 to 75 $101 \pm 1.4 (14.7 \pm 0.2)$ Cabin Pressure, kPa (psia) Purge Gas N_2 Purge Gas Pressure, kPa (psia) 310 (45) Electrical Power 115/200, 400 Hz, 3Ø VAC VDC 28 0 to 1 Gravity



Life Systems, Inc.

| SUMMARY |
|----------------|
| CHARACTERISTIC |
| COMPONENT |
| MECHANICAL |
| WVE |
| TABLE 5 |

| Item No. | Unit or Component Name 9 | (a) (ty. | Weigh kg () | ht, | Height | Dimensions, Width | cm (in) Length | Diameter | Volume, 3 dm ³ (in ³) | AC | DC | V Total B | Heat ej., W |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------|---|-----|-----|--------------|----------------|
| Ч | WVE Module | Ē | 28.3 ((| 52.2) | 33.0 (13.0) | 39.4 (15.5) | 34.3 (13.5) | | 44.50 (<u>2</u> ,720 | - | 590 | 590 | 158 |
| 7 | Fluids Control Assembly | н | 1.7 (: | 3.8) | 8.9 (3.5) | 7.6 (3.0) | 24.1 (9.5) | ı | 1.64 (100) | ı | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| ñ | Coolant Control Assembly | Ч | 2.7 ((| ;• () | L5.2 (6.0). | 10.9 (4.3) | 18.8 (7.4) | ı | 3.11 (190) | 48 | 1 | 48 | 48 |
| 4 | Heat Exchanger, Liquid/Liquid | ч | 3 6.0 | 5 •0) | | ı | 15.2 (6.0) | 6.4 (2.5) | 0.49 (30) | ı | ı | • | ı |
| ŝ | Valve, Filter/Isolation | 7 | 1.4 (; | 3.0) | | 1 | 10.9 (4.3) | 11.4 (4.5) | 2.29 (140) | ı | , | , | ı |
| ی 1 | Sensor, Relative Humidity | 5 | 0*4 ((| . (6.0 | | 1 | 5.8 (2.3) | 3.6 (1.4) | (1) 11.0 | ī | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 4 | Duct. Inlet Air With Heat Exchanger | Ч | 2.3 (! | 2.0) | L5.2 (6.0) | 11.4 (4.5) | 34.3 (13.5) | ŧ | 5.90 (360) | ı | , | , | 1 |
| ø | Duct. Outlet Air | ч | 0*4 (: | (0.1 | 11.9 (4.7) | 11.4 (4.5) | 34.3 (13.5) | ı | 4.59 (280) | ı | ı | £ | ı |
| 6 | Blower | н | 2.3 (! | 2.0) | | 1 | 10.2 (4.0) | 12.7 (5.0) | 1.30 (80) | 60 | ı | 60 | 60 |
| | Total | 14 | 40.4 ({ | 38.9) | 43.4 (17.1) | 39.4 (15.5) (Envelope) | 34.3 (13.5) | | 58.7 (3,580) (Envelope) | 108 | 594 | 702 | 270 |

(a) Sizes given are for total number cited. If quantity is one, size is that of one unit, if two then combined for two units.

Life Systems, Inc.

.

Life Systems, Inc.



electrode properties which determine water transport mechanisms at the location of the interface. It is desired that the interface be located near the center of the anode at nominal conditions so that changing air RH does not move it too close to either the air compartment, which may result in flooding, or to the matrix, resulting in dryout.

An analysis was performed to determine the makeup of the WVE cells, specifically the composition of the unitized core. A computer model was generated to further investigate the moisture balance in the electrode/matrix/electrode as a function of inlet air conditions. The WVE cell must be capable of operating within the required range of inlet air dew point temperature as specified to be 278 to 289 K (40 to 60 F). As the higher end of the dew point range is approached, the electrolyte/gas interface migrates out to the edge of the electrode. The electrolyte concentration must be such that its water vapor partial pressure creates a driving force for water vapor diffusion from the inlet air so that only the amount of water needed for electrolysis is transferred from the air to the liquid. If the electrolyte water vapor partial pressure is much lower than that of the inlet air (due to a high electrolyte concentration), excessive water transfer and subsequent electrode flooding will occur. Thus, the maximum inlet air dew point temperature (i.e., 289 K (60 F)) is one of the major factors that determine the electrolyte charge concentration, that is, what the cell is initially charged with. This initial charge concentration determines the initial electrolyte volume and, more importantly, the mass of the electrolyte solute.

A major factor in electrolyte volume expansion is the vapor pressure characteristics of the electrolyte. This depends on concentration and temperature. Characteristics for two principal candidate electrolytes, sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) and phosphoric acid (H_2PO_4), are shown in Appendix 1. Lines indicating 25% and 75% RH vapor pressure of the incoming air at 294 K (70 F) are also indicated. These figures help determine whether air enters or leaves the electrolyte for given incoming air conditions and initial electrolyte charge concentrations.

At the low end of the inlet air dew point range, the electrolyte/gas interface recedes back into the electrode toward the matrix as the electrolyte becomes more concentrated. A volume reduction must occur as the electrolyte becomes more concentrated since the initial electrolyte solute mass remains unchanged. The electrolyte concentration, in this case, is that which gives a water partial pressure sufficiently below that of the inlet air to overcome the diffusional resistance to water vapor transport provided by the porous electrode. The electrolyte reduction which occurs at the low inlet air dew point temperatures must not be so great as to cause the electrolyte/gas interface to recede out of the electrodes and into the matrix, at which point gas crossover could occur. Thus, for a given matrix thickness, the electrodes must be thick enough to allow the necessary amount of electrolyte volume reduction (due to increased concentration) without permitting the electrolyte/gas interface to recede into the matrix.

The electrode sizing was performed using a computer calculation. The program algorithm is described as follows:

Life Systems, Inc.

- 1. Input cell temperature, current density, dew point temperature range, matrix thickness (initial).
- 2. Calculate initial charge electrolyte concentration based on the maximum dew point temperature.
- 3. Pick a trial electrode thickness.
- 4. Calculate initial electrolyte volume based on open volume of anode/ matrix/cathode cell core.
- 5. Calculate final electrolyte concentration based on minimum dew point temperature, current and cell temperature.
- 6. Calculate final electrolyte volume.
- 7. Check to insure that electrolyte/gas interface remains within the electrode.
- 8. If not, repeat for new electrode thickness.

The results of the above calculations are shown in Figures 5 and 6. In Figure 5 the required electrode thickness is plotted against cell temperature for various matrix thicknesses. Note that the matrix thickness is dependent on the H₂-to-air differential pressure requirement. The plot was prepared for the case of 54 mA/cm² (50 ASF), 278 to 289 K (40 to 60 F) dew point temperature range and sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) electrolyte. It is seen that the electrode thickness increases with increasing matrix thickness and with decreasing electrolyte temperature. In Figure 6, similar data is presented for a wider dew point temperature range of 275 to 291 K (35 to 65 F). It is seen that thicker electrodes are required to accommodate the wider dew point temperature operating range. Plots for H₃PO₄ would show similar trends and values differing only slightly.

Electrolyte Retention Matrix Sizing

A review of potential electrolyte retaining matrix materials was performed. The matrix material that has been used in the past was still found to have the desired property of inertness in an acid medium. To determine the proper thickness of this matrix, bubble pressure testing of candidate matrices was performed. Matrices of six different thicknesses were prepared. These ranged from 0.13 to 0.76 mm (0.005 to 0.030 in) in 0.13 mm (0.005 in) increments. At least two different matrix densities for each thickness were also prepared. The matrix density relates to pore open area and hence to differential pressure tolerance.

A fixture was prepared to hold the matrices for testing. The matrices were wetted with water (or electrolyte), placed in the fixture and a differential pressure applied. Clear plastic end plates permitted visual detection of bubble formation indicating breakthrough as pressure increased. The goal was



FIGURE 5 REQUIRED ELECTRODE THICKNESS VERSUS CELL TEMPERATURE (NOMINAL DEW POINT RANGE)

Life Systems, Inc.



FIGURE 6 REQUIRED ELECTRODE THICKNESS VERSUS CELL TEMPERATURE (EXTENDED DEW POINT RANGE)

to select a matrix with breakthrough pressure that was at least two to three times that of the specification of 55 kPa (8 psid) nominal and 69 kPa (10 psid) maximum. This was done to provide an operational safety margin.

The results of the bubble pressure testing are given in Figures 7 through 9. Test matrices were prepared in the six thicknesses indicated above and two densities of 0.48 (30) and 0.72 (45) g/cm³ (lb/ft³). The lower density is the typical matrix density produced by Life Systems' normal manufacturing process. The higher density represents the highest compaction that could be achieved with the matrix material and facilities available. The two data points at each thickness are for two different samples. The actual thicknesses that are measured are not always right at the desired value due to variations in press load, water content, stackup heights (using shim stock) and other factors.

Tests were conducted as follows. A dry matrix was placed in the test fixture. It was then thoroughly wetted with water, although H₂SO₄ was used in one case. This procedure is referred to as "atmospheric charge." An increasing differential pressure was then applied step-wise across the matrix. The pressure was noted when the first bubble appeared on the low side pressure. This is the value that is plotted. Vacuum charging was also done in a couple of cases to ensure complete and uniform wetting.

As can be seen in Figure 7, good pressure capability of 207 kPa (30 psid) is obtained for 0.38 mm (0.015 in) matrices. This compares with the requirement of 55 kPa (8 psid). Increasing thickness above 0.38 mm (0.015 in) has little effect, as presumably a pathway (pore) is established which is independent of thickness.

Increasing density actually showed poorer pressure capability as shown in Figure 8. It was believed that the compaction to the higher density may have damaged the matrix (fractured fibers) and actually decreased the bubble pressure. It should be noted that at the higher density, most of the initial bubbles appeared near the edge where clamping forces were high and may have damaged the fibers. Within the limitations of the matrix preparation equipment 0.38 mm (0.015 in) matrices at other densities were prepared and tested. The results are given in Figure 9 and show an optimum at the baseline density of 0.48 g/cm (30 lb/ft³). Therefore, the selected baseline WVE matrix was 0.38 mm (0.015 in) at 0.48 g/cm (30 lb/ft³).

Bonding Agent Evaluation

Bonding agent compatibility and selection was assessed by testing. Candidate adhesives were identified as shown in Table 6. Several of these were procured and samples prepared and immersed in 60% H₂SO₄. This value of concentration was selected to be a "worst case" electrolyte condition. Periodically at 241, 1,442 and 2,496 hours these samples were visually inspected. The results are presented in Table 7. Shown is the sample, time in solution, percent weight change and visual observation. Weight change, if any, was always positive, indicating absorption of electrolyte into the material.

Life Systems, Inc.



Bubble Breakthrough





10

0 50

40

30

20

10

0

Bubble Breakthrough

Pressure, psid

0 0.2 0 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 Matrix Density, g/cm³

FIGURE 9 BUBBLE BREAKTHROUGH PRESSURE VERSUS MATRIX DENSITY AT FIXED MATRIX THICKNESS

• }

TABLE 6 ADHESIVES FOR WVE UNITIZED CELL CORE

| <u>No.</u> | Name | Туре | Preparation/Use |
|------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Emerson and Cumming 104 | Ероху | Two parts, room temperature cure |
| 2 | Masterbond EP-21-AR | Ероху | Two parts, room temperature cure |
| 3 | Tracon 2216 | Epoxy | Two parts, room temperature cure |
| 4 | Tracon 2248 | Ероху | Two parts, high temperature cure |
| 5 | Thermoset DC 80 | Ероху | Two parts, room temperature cure (semi-rigid or rigid depending on ratio) |
| 6 | Armstrong A-701 | Ероху | Two parts, high temperature cure |
| 7 | Ryton Pre-preg | Ероху | Impregnated epoxy, high tempera- ture cure |
| 8 | 3M 4060 | Thermoplastic Adhesive Film | Hot melt film. Heat to 422 K (300 F) |

| Epoxy Sample | Time on Test, hr | Weight Change, $\chi^{(a)}$ | Comments |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Emerson and Cumming 104 | 241 1,442 2,496 | +0.05 +0.11 +0.11 | No effect No effect No effect |
| Masterbond EP-21-AR | 241 1,442 | +2.00 +3.28 | Surface etching/pitting Increased surface effectsremove from test |
| Tracon 2116 | 241 1,442 | +1.75 +3.40 | Surface etching Darkening, discoloration at edges remove from test |
| Tracon 2248 | 241 1,442 2,496 | +0.20 +0.81 +1.23 | Very slight surface etching Same as above Same as above; solution has slight pink tint |
| Thermoset DC 80 (Semi-rigid) | 241 | +4.00 | Significant discoloration, surface effectsremove from test |
| Thermoset DC 80 (Rigid) | 241 1,442 | +0.50 +1.29 | Slight darkening and surface etching Increased darkening, surface effects remove from test |
| Armstrong A-701 | 241 1,442 2,496 | +0.10 +0.27 +0.32 | No effect No effect No effect |
| Ryton Pre-preg | 914 | +5.9 | Surface clouding. |

Life Systems, Inc.

Two epoxy samples performed well throughout the approximately 2,500 hours of compatibility testing at 60% H₂SO₄. These were (a) the Emerson and Cumming 104, which indicated a weight change of 0.11% and no visual effects. This is a two-part epoxy, one part of which is solid powder. The mixed epoxy is thick and fairly difficult to handle. It requires a high curing temperature, 450 K (350 F) for 2.5 hours, and (b) the Armstrong A-701, which indicated a weight change of 0.32% and no visual effects. This is a one-part epoxy. The epoxy is thick, also fairly difficult to handle and requires a high cure temperature--two hours at 450 K (350 F) or one hour at 478 K (400 F).

Additional testing of adhesive samples was performed using H₃PO₄ at 85% concentration. The results are shown in Table 8. The 3M 4060 adhesive film performed very well.

Quarter-scale sample unitized cores were made from the two candidate epoxies: Emerson and Cumming 104 and Armstrong A-701 and the 3M 4060 adhesive film. It was concluded that the 3M 4060 film permitted better ease in manufacturing and gave a better product. This included the characteristic surface finish which is important when it comes to sealing the H_2 cavity with O-rings. The Armstrong A-701 epoxy was used for cell frame bonding.

Electrolyte Selection

Electrolyte selection consisted of establishing criteria to be used in evaluating what had been used in the past as cited in the literature for WVE and other similar applications. Important properties of electrolytes were deemed to be the following:

- a. Nonvolatility--solute that does not vaporize at operating conditions.
- b. Water soluble--solute remains in solution over air humidity range.
- c. Stability--does not decompose at operating conditions nor cause corrosion.
- d. Ionic conductivity--has a low resistance to current flows at the operating concentration.

Quantification of these properties is given in Table 9. Note that data are missing in several areas: the data either were not found, or in the case of hydrochloric acid (HCl) and nitric acid (HNO₃), were not supplied after the volatility was determined to be high. Ionic conductivity can be used as a discriminator for selecting the electrolyte. This parameter, of course, varies with electrolyte temperature and concentration as shown in Appendix 1. A calculation was made to show that an anticipated 30 mV higher internal resistance (IR) drop would occur using H_3PO_4 rather than H_2SO_4 with each at their optimum concentration for the application. With no other discriminators, sulfuric acid would be the preferred electrolyte; however, as is discussed later, other factors such as secondary reactions can occur which produce undesirable byproducts. Phosphoric acid was the end-use selected electrolyte.

| Sample | Time on Test, hr | Weight Change, | Comments |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Emerson and Cumming 104 | 5,496 | -0.1 | No effect |
| Tracon 2248 | 5,496 | +1.4 | Surface etching |
| Armstrong A-701 | 5,496 | +2.8 | No effect |
| Ryton Pre-preg | 1,920 | +5.1 | Some darkening |
| 3M 4060 | 2,064 | None | No effect |

TABLE 8 BONDING AGENT COMPATIBILITY TESTING RESULTS - H₃PO₄

(a) Test solution is 85% H₃PO₄ at room temperature (assumed to be worst case electrolyte condition).

TABLE 9 WVE CANDIDATE ELECTROLYTE COMPARISON BY CATEGORY

Monohydrate 398 TFMSA^(a) 5,200 0.41 40 **e** Ð 210 2 23 30 400 at 373 K 57 at 298 K H₂PO <10⁻¹⁶ $(pP_2^{0_5})$ 1,100 12.5 0.23 50 85% 398 420 85 25 Electrolyte >1,100 at 298 K 1,000 at 298 K 10⁻¹⁵ 10^{-22} $H_2 SO_4$ Infinite Infinite 7.8 x 1 4.7 x 1 (bso³) 0.82 30 13.1 40 363 ~10 131 1 ł I HNO₃ 13.2 0.02 ં ં 13.1 0.0196 HC1 45% (c) ં Corrosion Rate, mg/cm²/yr At Concentration, 7 Volatility (at 293 K) Solubility (at 294 K) Max Cond., mho/cm At temperature, K p(Solute), mm Hg Ionic Conductivity Concentration, % Property pH,0, mm Hg Titanium Platinum Tantalum Corrosivity Incoloy 304 SS 316 SS N1cke1 Other 1. . m 2. 4.

(a) Trifluoromethane sulfonic acid.

(b) Data not found.(c) Data not supplie

Data not supplied after electrolyte eliminated due to volatility reasons.

Cife Systems, Inc.
Trifluoromethane sulfonic acid (TFMSA) has been proposed for H_2/O_2 fuel cells but not for water vapor electrolysis. However, TFMSA has been found to wet teflon at high concentrations in a fuel cell application making the electrode less effective. Since WVE electrodes and matrices contain teflon this behavior made TFMSA an undesirable electrolyte choice.

Metallic Selection

Metallic surfaces are required at various places within the WVE cell. They are needed for (1) current conduction into the cell--current tabs, (2) sensors-voltage tabs, (3) current conduction within the cell--current collector foils, and (4) current and H₂ and air flow to the electrodes--expanded metal separators. Several metals have been evaluated and used in the past. Tantalum (Ta) was the selected metal of choice and represents a compromise between cost, availability in the forms needed and compatibility with the electrolyte. Table 10 shows properties of candidate metals.

Tantalum does have one slight drawback. Its electrical conductivity is about one fourth (i.e., 0.08 versus 0.31 micromho/cm) that of titanium (Ti), the material used on previous WVE hardware. The lower conductivity or high resistivity will show up as increased voltage drop across current carrying metallic sheets. For instance, a sheet of Ta 23 x 23 x 0.013 cm (9 x 9 x 0.005 in) will develop a voltage drop of 27 mV for 25 A current flow through the 23 cm (9 in) direction. Thicker sheets will reduce the voltage drop by lowering resistance to current flow.

Cell and Module Construction

A unique feature of this WVE program is the use of the unitized core assembly. The unitized core is the fabrication of electrodes, matrices and gas spacers into a single unit to be installed within the cell frames. Figure 10 shows the unitized core concept for the WVE composite cell. Individual pieces are bonded together using the thermoplastic film adhesive indicated in the above section. The final assembly is trimmed to size after forming. Table 11 shows the cell construction dimensions as used and the advantages achieved. The principal advantage is reproducibility of components from one unitized core to another.

The unitized core then becomes one element of the composite WVE cell. Steps of the composite cell assembly are shown in Figure 11 and include laser welding of the current foils to the cell frames and then bonding together the cell frames. Several cells are then stacked together to form a module. Figure 12 shows the actual cell hardware while Figure 13 illustrates an assembled module.

Module Sizing

The sizing of a WVE module is dependent on several factors: the surface area, the person-size selected (i.e., O_2 generation rate), current density, weight, etc. A computer program was written to allow optimization of the WVE module sizing based on a total equivalent weight calculation. Table 12 shows the factors involved in the total equivalent weight determination. A cost factor

| | | | | Metal | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Characteristics | Ag | Au | Cu | Ni | 316SS | Ta | Ti |
| Density, g/cm ³ (1b/in ³) | 10.5 (0.379) | 19.4 (0.698) | 8.99 (0.324) | 8.93 (0.322) | 8.04 (0.290) | 16.6 (0.600) | 4.52 (0.163) |
| Coeff. of Expansion, microin/in-K | 19.7 | 14.2 | 16.5 | 13.3 | 10.5 | 6.5 | 8.41 |
| Thermal Conductivity, cal/cm ² -sec-K | 1.0 | 0.71 | 0.94 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.04 |
| Electrical Resistivity, microohm-cm | 1.59 | 2.35 | 1.67 | 6.8 | 70.0 | 12.45 | 3.2 |
| Acid Environment ^(a) Compatibility | F | VG | P | F | F | VG . | G |
| Acceptability for WVE Use | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Yes |

TABLE 10 PROPERTIES OF SELECTED METALS

(a) P = Poor, F = Fair, G = Good and VG = Very Good.



TABLE 11 ADVANTAGES OF WVE UNITIZED CORE

Unitized Core - Working elements of a WVE electrochemical cell including matrix, electrodes (anode and cathode) and H₂ and air gas spacers bonded together to form a single unit.

Construction - 0.76 mm (0.030 in) porous plaque anode 0.25 mm (0.010 in) screen cathode 0.38 mm (0.015 in) matrix 1.27 mm (0.050 in) H₂ gas spacer <u>2.54 mm (0.100 in)</u> air spacer Total 5.20 mm (0.205 in) construction bonded together with thermoplastic adhesive

Advantages:

- 1. Manufacturing Reproducibility Dies and fixtures eliminate human error in manual startup of subelements.
- Simplicity in Cell Construction Single element installation versus buildup of several individual pieces.
- 3. Increased Pressure Tolerance Fewer potential leakage pathways of H_2 into air stream.
- 4. Increased Quality Control Smoothness of finished surfaces can be verified before assembly.
- 5. Time Savings Final module assembly is done quickly with fewer parts to assemble.

Life Systems, Inc.



⁽a) Assembly step order.

FIGURE 11 COMPOSITE WVE CELL FABRICATION

33



ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Life Systems, Inc.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



FIGURE 13 WVE ELECTROCHEMICAL MODULE ASSEMBLY

Life Systems, Inc.

TABLE 12WVE MODULE TOTAL EQUIVALENT WEIGHT (TEW)CALCULATION EQUATIONS

| <pre>TEW = Module Weight (MW) + Power Penalty (PP) + Heat Rejection Penalty (HRP) + Cost Penalty (CP)</pre> | (1) |
|---|------|
| MW = Number of Cells (N) x Cell Weight (CW) + End Plates Weight (EPW) | (2) |
| $PP = 0.59 \times Total Watts (W)$ | (3) |
| HRP = 0.18 x Heat Loss Watts (HLW) | (4) |
| $CP = 0.001 \times N \times Cell Cost (CC)$ | (5) |
| $CW = (CA + 0.27 CA^2 + 0.017) \times Cell Density$ | (6) |
| $EPW = 68 \times CA^{3/2}$ | (7) |
| W = Cell Voltage (CV) x Cell-Amps | (8) |
| $HLW = (CV - 1.48) \times Cell-Amps$ | (9) |
| $CV = 0.0053 \times CD + 1.583$ | (10) |
| CC = Material Cost x CA + Man Hour Cost (6.5 + 5.5 x CA) | (11) |
| N = Cell Amps/(CD x CA) | (12) |
| Cell Amps = Oxygen Production (0 ₂) 1b/hr x 1,520 cell-Amp-hr/1b | (13) |
| $0_2 = 6.05 \ 1b/day/24$ | (14) |
| Cell Area Density = $3.35 1b/ft^2$ | (15) |
| Material Cost = $6,000 \text{s/ft}^2$ | (16) |
| Man Hour Cost = 50 \$/hr | (17) |
| Current (I) = CD x CA = Cell Amps/N | (18) |
| $N \geq 1$, Integer | (19) |
| $I \ge 10$ | (20) |
| $CD \leq 100$ | (21) |

was also introduced and values for optimal module configuration with and without cost were used. The total equivalent weight versus current density and number of cells for a three-person capacity module are shown in Figures 14 and 15, respectively. The three-person capacity was selected as the endapplication for the WVE although the results would tend to be fairly insensitive to person size. It is seen that an optimum of approximately 35 mA/cm^2 (33 ASF) is desirable for an optimal module configuration and an optimum cell area of 280 cm² (0.3 ft²). However, constraining ourselves to an existing hardware configuration of 460 cm² (0.5 ft²), an optimum current density of approximately 46 mA/cm² (43 ASF) and 18 cells for the three-person module results. These results are summarized in Table 13. The operating baseline_for the WVE module selected in this program is six cells operating at 46 mA/cm² (43 ASF).

TESTING FACILITIES

In addition to the small scale test setups described above, two major facilities were used for testing in this program: a single cell test facility for testing individual WVE cell stackups and a WVEM module test stand. These facilities are described in this section.

Single Cell Test Facility

A single cell test facility was developed for single cell testing of electrochemical cells. This facility was modified to provide a power source of up to 30 A at 2.0 V. Electrode and cell frame materials were found from previous programs and were used in the testing. These included electrodes, Ti gas spacers, Ti current collectors and polysulfone cell frames. The latter were modified to permit use of liquid cooling versus air cooling. Figure 16 shows the test setup as originally designed. The H, supply and CO, supply were not used in this test. The gas humidifier (TK2) permitted alterations of air dew point temperature but only over a limited range of values. Similarly, the coolant tank (TK3) permitted use of coolant flow through the system. Even though the coolant temperature of TK3 was controlled, its value was set manually (nonfeedback control) using the heater (H2) control and the heat exchanger coolant bypass valve (MV10). This test facility performed very satisfactorily for the testing.

Water Vapor Electrolysis Module Test Stand

A WVEM test stand was designed and fabricated. Included were all the controls and monitoring to enable unattended operation of the testing. The test stand is shown in Figures 17 and 18, and the schematic of the test stand is given in Figure 19. A key element of the test stand is the gas humidifier which is shown in Figure 20. This device provides process air temperature and humidity within the desired Space Station range. These values are shown in Figure 21. The various controls and monitors are given in Table 14. There is an active feedback control of module temperature with the diverter valve (V2) controller maintaining module temperature by altering V2 in response to T3 and a setpoint. This feature is necessary for the module temperature control which permits the humidity tolerance that liquid cooling gives to the WVE.



WEIGHT VERSUS CURRENT DENSITY FOR THREE-PERSON CAPACITY WVE MODULE FIGURE 14



WEIGHT VERSUS NUMBER OF CELLS FOR THREE-PERSON CAPACITY WVE MODULE FIGURE 15

39

| WVE Module | Optimal Configu | Developed Hardware | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Characteristics | (w/o Cost) | (w/Cost) | Configuration |
| Current Density, mA/cm ² (ASF) | 36.2 (33.6) | 59.7 (55.5) | 45.9 (42.6) |
| Cell Area, cm^2 (ft ²) | 280 (0.30) | 280 (0.30) | 460 (0.50) |
| Number of Cells | 36 | 23 | 18 |
| Current, A | 10.1 | 16.7 | 21.3 |
| Cell Voltage, V | 1.77 | 1.88 | 1.81 |
| Power Consumption, W | 678 | 720 | 693 |
| Module Weight, kg (1b) | 30.5 (67.2) | 21.4 (47.0) | 30.3 (66.7) |
| Total Equiv. Weight w/o Cost, kg (lb) | 221 (487) | 226 (498) | 226 (498) |
| Total Equivalent Cost, \$K | 567 | 549 | 560 |

TABLE 13WVE MODULE CHARACTERISTICS OPTIMAL
AND CHOSEN CONFIGURATIONS



FIGURE 16 WVE SINGLE CELL TEST FACILITY

ORIGINAL PACE IS OF POOR QUALITY



FIGURE 17 WVE MODULE TEST STAND

Life Systems, Inc.



.

FIGURE 18 WVEM TEST STAND SHOWING MODULE



FIGURE 19 LIQUID-COOLED WVEM TEST STAND MECHANICAL SCHEMATIC WITH SENSORS

Life Systems, Inc.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS







ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY TABLE 14 WVEM TEST STAND ELECTRICAL CONTROLS AND MONITORS

Controls

- Operation Control (OpC) oversees overall test stand operation and the mode transitions (i.e., Normal, Shutdown, Standby and Unpowered).
- WVEM Current Controller regulates the current passing through the WVEM, which is monitored with a current shunt and meter. The latest technology current controller will be used.
- Relative Humidity Controller and Monitor (RHCM) monitors the inlet process air temperature and dew point, calculates and displays RH and controls module temperature via the diverter valve/controller.
- Nitrogen Purge Control (NPC) activates valves during startup and shutdown to supply N₂ for purging the WVEM for selectable durations.
- Diverter Valve Controller directs the diverter valve (V2) to appropriately regulate the coolant flow through or around a liquid/liquid heat exchanger (HX1).

Monitors

- Multicell Voltage Scanning Monitor (MVSM) sequentially monitors cell voltages of WVEM.
- Pressure Monitor (PM) initiate shutdown if WVEM downstream H₂ pressure exceeds limits.

TEST PROGRAM

The following test results are presented in two sections: single cell testing and module testing. The single cell testing consisted of evaluations of different anode, matrix and electrolyte constructions, while the module testing focussed in on the one-person module testing.

Single Cell Test Results

The results of single cell testing are shown in the following figures. Figure 22 shows the cell voltage versus current (E versus I) curve for catalyzed screen anode and a 50% H_3PO_4 electrolyte. This is to be compared with results using the same anode and matrix but charged with 32% H_2SO_4 . These latter data are shown in Figure 23. The eletrolyte charge concentration of either acid is chosen to be compatible with the maximum anticipated dew point temperature. In all cases the H_3PO_4 values are higher than the corresponding ones for H_2SO_4 , except at low current density and high humidity, i.e., at the "least stressful" operating conditions. Also shown is the internal resistance (IR) voltage drop measured using a Kordesch-Marko bridge. Results for the H_3PO_4 are generally three to four times larger at all current values. Figure 24 shows the results with the two electrolytes plotted together for the 70% RH inlet condition.

In order to investigate the effect of anode construction another style of anode was employed. This was a porous metal plaque. An electrode thickness of 0.081 cm (0.032 in) was used. The thicker porous plaque electrode provides increased electrolyte retention and improved differential pressure capability. This electrode was fabricated and installed in the test facilities. Results with H_3PO_4 electrolyte are shown in Figure 25. Significantly higher cell voltage was experienced; however, the IR drops were less. These data are summarized in Table 15.

Over 780 hours of testing was accumulated with a single WVE cell consisting of the porous plaque and H_2SO_4 electrolyte. Cell voltages were stabilized and remain in the range of 1.7^4 V to 1.85 V over the range of 278 to 289 K (40 to 60 F) dew point temperatures and 43 mA/cm² (40 ASF) current density. Hydrogen backpressure ranged from 21 to 69 kPa (3 to 10 psid). Terminal voltage values depend on cell temperatures which in turn depend on inlet conditions. This is because of the coolant control which was adjusted to the proper temperature in response to inlet dew point variations. Typically the coolant was adjusted to give a 5.5 K (10 F) difference between outlet dry bulb and inlet dew point temperatures (e.g., 294 K (70 F) outlet dry bulb for 289 K (60 F) dew point).

Figure 26 shows the results of the testing at 32 mA/cm^2 (30 ASF). At approximately the 170-hour mark the coolant pump of the test facility failed, and coolant temperatures could not be maintained. Consequently, cell voltages rose. After finding and fixing the problem, testing was continued at 43 mA/cm^2 (40 ASF). Results are shown in Figure 27. Note, that as before, inlet conditions are generally on the severe side of the Space Station dew point temperature range (i.e., near 278 K (40 F)).





FIGURE 22 WVE SINGLE CELL PERFORMANCE - H₃PO₄ ELECTROLYTE AND SCREEN ANODE





51



FIGURE 25 WVE SINGLE CELL PERFORMANCE - H₃PO₄ ELECTROLYTE AND POROUS PLAQUE ANODE

TABLE 15 WVE SINGLE CELL TESTS

1

A. Cell Voltage, V

| | | | Cu | rrent | Densit | у, |
|------------|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | | | m | A/cm^2 | (ASF) ⁽ | a) |
| <u>No.</u> | Anode | Electrolyte | 10.8 (10) | 21.5 (20) | 32.3 (30) | 43.0 (40) |
| 1 | Screen | н ₂ so ₄ | 1.55 | 1.57 | 1.60 | 1.63 |
| 2 | Screen | ^H 3 ^{PO} 4 | - | 1.65 | 1.72 | 1.81 |
| 3 | Porous Plaque | H ₂ SO ₄ | - | 1.67 | 1.71 | 1.75 |
| 4 | Porous Plaque | H ₃ PO ₄ | 1.65 | 1.80 | 1.89 | 2.04 |

B. IR Voltage Drop, mV

| | | | Cu | rrent | Densit | y, |
|------------|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | | | m | A/cm^2 | (ASF) ⁽ | a) |
| <u>No.</u> | Anode | Electrolyte | 10.8 (10) | 21.5 (20) | 32.3 (30) | 43.0 (40) |
| 1 | Screen | H ₂ SO ₄ | 5 | 14 | 21 | 33 |
| 2 | Screen | H ₃ PO ₄ | - | 46 | 79 | 144 |
| 3 | Porous Plaque | H ₂ SO ₄ | - | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 4 | Porous Plaque | H ₃ PO ₄ | - | 20 | 50 | 95 |

| (a) Test Conditions: | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Inlet Dry Bulb Temp : | 292 to 295 K (66 to 71 F) |
| Inlet Dew Point Temp: | 284 K (52 F) |
| Air Flow Rate : | 0.042 m ³ /min (1.5 scfm) |
| Coolant Temp : | 293 K (68 F) |





A total of 1,000 hours of testing, including parametric and endurance, were accumulated in the single cell test facilities. The principal variables were the two different electrolytes H_2SO_4 and H_3PO_4 and the two different anode materials--screen and porous plaque. The catalyzed screen electrode is similar to previously used electrodes for WVE, while porous plaque was tested because of its greater thickness and improved electrolyte retention/ differential pressure capabilities. Figure 28 shows the ranges of air inlet conditions experienced in the testing.

The results of the testing led to the following conclusions. The porous plaque anode gave good differential pressure (69 kPa (10 psid) or greater) results, but gave slightly a higher cell voltage. Phosphoric acid gave higher cell voltage but does not introduce a potential problem (see below). Therefore, the recommended unitized core configuration will utilize 0.076 cm (0.030 in) porous plaque electrode (anode) with 50% H_3PO_4 as the recommended electrolyte.

Problems with H₂SO₄ Electrolyte

A test was conducted to determine the susceptibility of the H_2SO_4 electrolyte to produce hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) under certain conditions. Evolution of H_2S from the cathode can poison the catalytic electrode surfaces. The single cell was operated at low dew point inlet conditions. The outlet air temperature was controlled by adjusting the coolant temperature. Hydrogen sulfide concentration in the hydrogen stream was measured with a gas analyzer tube with a range of up to 60 ppm and sensitivity of 0.1 ppm.

Figure 29 shows the results of one test. As long as the module delta T (outlet dry bulb minus inlet dew point temperature) is less than about 6 K (10 F), there was no H_2S production. For extremely low outlet RH (less than 25%), significant H_2S can occur. For this reason H_3PO_4 was selected for the final WVE module electrolyte.

The above reported data on H_2S generation was reviewed for a possible correlation between H_2S generation and cell voltage. The two data points cited (12 and 40 ppm) exhibited cell voltages of 2.04 V and 2.09 V, respectively. Voltages less than 2.0 V do not generate H_2S . There appears to be some correlation between cell voltage and H_2S generation. However, the data presently are insufficient to prove that cell voltage alone and not dry conditions give rise to H_2S .

Module Testing

The six-cell WVEM module was assembled, charged with electrolyte and tested. The module was installed in the test stand previously discussed. Following checkout, performance testing was conducted according to a test plan and consisted of parametric and baseline tests.

Parametric Tests

The parametric tests consisted of current density spans at various levels of process air inlet RH. The latter was accomplished by altering the dew point temperature of the gas humidifier. Figure 30 shows the results of these tests. The average cell voltage is the total module voltage divided by six, the number of cells.



FIGURE 28 RANGE OF WVE AIR INLET CONDITIONS

Life Systems, Inc.



58



FIGURE 30 WVEM PARAMETRIC PERFORMANCE

Average Cell Voltage, V

Baseline Test

A 195-hour baseline test was conducted by operating the WVEM at essentially constant humidity conditions. Figure 31 shows the results of this test with the test conditions indicated.

PRODUCT ASSURANCE

A mini-Product Assurance Program was established, implemented and maintained throughout all phases of contractural performance, including design, purchasing, fabrication and testing. The product assurance program included Quality Assurance, Reliability, Maintainability and Safety functions. Quality Assurance was necessary to ensure reproducibility of designs and configurations during subsequent developments. Reliability was included to ensure that test equipment and test data gathering and recording were basic factors in the development of WVE subsystem reliability and long life. Maintainability was included to identify parameters for routine and nonroutine maintenance requirements. Safety was included to ensure that no system or system component characteristic would be dangerous to personnel or equipment during testing.

Quality Assurance

The activities performed during this effort were included to ensure that no defective components or parts were incorporated into the test hardware. These activities consisted of performing receiving inspection of all vendor supplied parts, including preparation of required documentation; ensuring that assembly techniques specified in design drawings were complied with and were consistent with the developmental nature and scope of the program; and ensuring that configuration control was provided by monitoring the drawing and change control procedures. Quality assurance was active in monitoring all test activities.

Reliability

Reliability personnel participated in the program to ensure (1) proper calibration of test equipment instrumentation, (2) adherence to test procedures and (3) proper recording and reporting of test data and observations. Calibration and test requirements for each subsystem and component were determined. Appropriate components were calibrated during assembly and after installation. A test procedure was established to ensure that all parameters would be properly monitored and that the testing would conform to the program's Quality Assurance and Safety procedures. All major testing required that a test plan be completed and reviewed.

Maintainability

A Maintainability function was carried out during the design and testing phases of the program. During design phases, the emphasis was placed on configuring the hardware and test stand components for accessibility with



61

respect to maintenance activities. During the testing phases, logs of scheduled and unscheduled maintenance were maintained. Logs were prepared which detailed operational problems and their sources, the corrective actions taken and the operations and time required to implement the corrective actions.

During the design phases, shutdown avoidance analyses were performed. The objective was to identify preventive shutdown measures to increase the amount of test data obtained per test dollar and ensure that a shutdown caused by an out-of-tolerance condition would not damage components. The results of the analysis included the following:

- 1. All hardware was checked out prior to installation into the test facility.
- 2. All parameter level setpoints were checked out to ensure that the level warning lights operated by simulating inputs at the sensors and verifying these inputs.
- 3. All sensors were calibrated as required.
- 4. Where applicable, operational transitions were automated in order to avoid human error caused by manual operation.

Safety

A safety program was initiated to assure adherence to safety standards and procedures essential to protect personnel and equipment. The program consisted of identifying possible adverse component or subsystem characteristics, reviewing designs and design changes for potential safety hazards, reviewing the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's (NASA's) Alerts for safety information and incorporating the equipment's protective features. The safety program included the following activities:

- 1. Although metallic material control was not required contractually, all materials were subjected to informal evaluation during design and manufacturing phases. Nonmetallics were evaluated for their compatibility with atmospheres and corrosive media to which the nonmetallic might be exposed. Nonmetallic materials lists were compiled. Metallic materials were evaluated with regard to corrosion resistance and strength criteria.
- 2. Human Engineering considerations were given to both operation and maintenance. All known possibilities of human error were eliminated, with primary emphasis being given to possible accidental activation of components or subsystems. Where feasible, fluid line end fittings or connections were used with dimensions or configurations which would not permit incorrect installation of a fluid line.
- 3. Electrical design considerations included prevention of electrical equipment shock hazard, protection against inadvertent actuation of

switches, use of current limiting devices on electrical equipment which could contact personnel or other conductive equipment, use of warning labels on all access panels leading to high voltages, and design of wire bundles to have the ability to withstand anticipated handling and operating deformations without wire damage.

- 4. Sharp edges and corners were eliminated or were adequately covered with a protective cushion in order to prevent injuries.
- 5. Items exhibiting elevated surface temperatures and which might be leaned on, brushed against or held for up to 10 seconds were insulated so that surface temperatures would not fall outside the range of 289 to 322 K (60 to 120 F).

CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the work completed, the following conclusions are drawn:

- A WVE cell and module can be made that will operate over indefinite time periods within the Space Station atmospheric range of 278 to 289 K (40 to 60 F) dew point temperature and 292 to 300 K (65 to 80 F) dry bulb temperature. Control of the process air humidity is not required.
- 2. Differential pressure capability from the H₂ side cathode to the O₂/air side anode was demonstrated to be in excess of 69 kPa (10 psid). This adds an additional margin of safety for a process which interfaces directly with cabin air.
- 3. Phosphoric acid electrolyte provides a margin of safety in terms of operational flexibility since dry cabin conditions do not give rise to objectionable secondary reactions and products.
- 4. The liquid cooling approach to thermal control and the control algorithm developed under the program provides the mechanisms for achieving humidity tolerance. Similar results could not be achieved with air cooling.
- 5. The unitized core concept demonstrated excellent operational capabilities at both the single-cell and module levels. Single-cell endurance testing for over 1,000 hours demonstrated the capability of the unitized core to operate at differential pressures over 69 kPa (10 psid). Testing at the six-cell module level verified the performance and reliability of the unitized core. Based upon the results of the testing, overall WVE cell complexity can be reduced and cell operational capabilities and reliability can be improved by use of the unitized core concept.
- 6. Specific goals were established for the WVE improvements program. These are listed in Table 16. Increased humidity tolerance was one of the more important goals of this program.

TABLE 16 PAST AND PRESENT WVE CHARACTERISTICS

ζ

| | WVE Technology Level Indicators | Prior Technology | Improved WVE Technology | Benefits of Technology Improvement |
|-----|--|---|----------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Cell Voltage (Max.), V at 54 mA/cm ² (50 ASF) RH of 40% | 1.80 | 1.70 | Reduces power and heat |
| | RH of 50% RH of 60% RH of 75% | 1.75 1.73 1.70 | 1.65 1.60 1.60 | rejection penalties, i.e., lower Air Re- vitalization System equivalent weight. |
| 2. | Relative Humidity Operating Range, % | | | |
| | Lower Limit Upper limit | 32 70 | 25 75 | Meets all Space Station cabin dew point and dry bulb temperatures. |
| 3. | Minimum Cabin Dew Point K, (F) | 280 (45) | 278 (40) | Meets Space Station ECLSS performance re- quirements. |
| 4. | H ₂ -to-Air Side Delta P, kPa (psid) Nóminal Maximum | 34 (5) 41 (6) | 55 (8) 69 (10) | Provides safe and re- liable operation under all ECLSS dry blub/dew point conditions. |
| 5. | Cooling Medium | Air | Water | Less heat rejection penality, lower hard- ware volume, improved reliability, decreased noise. |
| 6. | Module Construction | Individual Parts | Unitized Core Composite Cell | Reduces weight, volume, fab. costs and improves reliability, safety and maintainability. |
| 7. | Conductive Fluids Contact with Electrically Conductive Surfaces | | | |
| | Internal External | Minimize Eliminated | Eliminate Eliminate | Eliminates the only source of electrical current efficiency loss. |
| 8. | Atmosphere (Air) Manifold | Customized ^(a) Manifold | Integral with Cell Frame | Simplifies manufactur- ing cost and tolerates changes in requirements during development. |
| 9. | Ratio Electrodes Electrolyte Volume to that in Matrix | 1:1:1 | 1:1:2 | Improves tolerance to low relative humidity. |
| 10. | Technology Maturity, Operating Time, hr | | | |
| | Cells Modules Subsystem Increase Tech. Data Base | >7,000 >5,000 >5,000 Extensive | More Endurance Testing Needed | Improves technology maturity. |

continued-

(a) Needing new manifolds for each change in number of electrochemical cells in the module.
Table 16 - continued

| | WVE Technology Level Indicators | Prior Technology | Improved WVE Technology | Benefits of Technology Improvement |
|-----|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| 11. | Decrease Size (Three-Person) Weight, 1b Volume, ft ³ | 30.5 (67.2) w/o Manifold 0.82 (1.8) w/o Manifold | 28.2 (62.2) w/Manifold 0.73 (1.6) w/Manifold | Improves user acceptability. |
| 12. | Increase Reliability | Not Available | Improve ^(a) | Improves user acceptability. |
| 13. | Increase Maintainability | Not Available | Increase | Improves user acceptability. |
| 14. | Reduce Manufacturing Costs | Not Available | Decrease ^(b) | Improves user acceptability. |

.

 ⁽a) Using performance derating, operational simplification and greater tolerance to environmental changes.
(b) Using injection molding and unitized core construction.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the work completed the following recommendations are made.

- 1. Perform analyses, studies and developments to advance the technology level of water vapor electrolysis-related hardware components, including instrumentation, with a goal of improving overall performance and Space Station applicability of the subsystem. This would involve building a three- or four-person size subsystem for further characterization studies. This hardware would pass into the Space Station ECLSS technology demonstration presently underway for evaluation.
- 2. Perform endurance testing on the water vapor electrolysis module, and characterize it for start, stop and standby operating modes and temperature ranges over which it can operate successfully. This would simulate a more operational situation of its use.
- 3. Perform studies, analyses and designs necessary to incorporate the water vapor electrolysis O₂ generation function into an integrated air revitalization system.² This activity would focus on ground and potential flight verification testing.
- 4. Perform analytical and small-scale experimental studies necessary to incorporate the water vapor electrolysis technology into an advanced mission scenario. It would be used, along with other electrochemical technologies, to balance requirements of 0, generation and CO, removal between human crews and biological (e.g., plants) support systems. It would form an important element of a closed ecological life support system.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Bard, A. J., "Encyclopedia of Electrochemistry of the Element, Vol. 4, Marcel Dekker, 1975.
- Beach, J. G.; Clifford, J. E. et al., "Research on Solid-Phosphorus Pentoxcide Electrolytes in Electrolysis Cell for Production of Breathing Oxygen," Contract AF33(657)-9355, Report AMRL-TDR-63-95, Battelle Memorial Institute; Columbus, OH; October, 1963.
- Blaser, R. W., "Hydrogen Depolarized CO₂ Concentrator and Water Vapor Electrolysis Unit for the Space Station Prototype Environmental Control System," Contract NAS9-10273, SSP A33C, Hamilton Standard, Windsor Locks, CT; September, 1971.
- Celino, V. A., "Water Vapor Electrolysis Units for Integrated Life Support Systems," Final Report, Contract NAS2-5699, SVHSER5716, Hamilton Standard, Windsor Locks, CT; December, 1970.

- 5. Celino, V. A. and Wydeven, T., "Development Status of the Water Vapor Electrolysis System," Paper No. 71-AV-24, ASME Conference, San Francisco, CA; July, 1971.
- Clifford, J. E.; Beach, J. G.; et. al., "Study for Research and Development of a Water-Vapor Electrolysis Unit," Contract NAS2-2156, NASA CR-60851, Battelle Memorial Institute; Columbus, OH; October, 1964.
- Clifford, J. E.; Gurhlis, J. A.; et al., "A Water Vapor Electrolysis Cell With Phosphoric Acid Electrolyte," NASA CR-771, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH; 1967.
- 8. Clifford, J. E.; Kim, B. C. and Kolic, E. S., "Study of a Water-Vapor Electrolysis Unit," Contract NAS2-2156, NASA CR-1531, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH; February, 1969.
- 9. Clifford, J. E.; Reed, A. H. and Prause, R. H., "Water Vapor Electrolysis Package," Final Report, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH; June, 1973.
- Conner, W. J.; Greenough, B. M. and Cook, G. M., "Design and Development of a Water Vapor Electrolysis Unit," Lockheed Missiles and Space Company, Palo Alto, CA; March, 1966.
- Conner, W. J.; Greenough, B. M. and Cook, G. M., "Design and Development of a Water Vapor Electrolysis Unit," NASA CR-607, Lockheed Missiles and Space Company, Sunnyvale, CA; September, 1966.
- 12. "Design Criteria Handbook," SSP Document No. 9, Revision A, Hamilton Standard, Windsor Locks, CT; November, 1970.
- Gillen, R. J.; Schubert, F. H. et al., "Six-Month Test Program of Two Water Electrolysis Systems for Spacecraft Cabin Oxygen Generation," Paper No. 72-ENAv-5, ASME Conference, San Francisco, CA; August, 1972.
- 14. Huddleston, J. C. and Aylward, Dr. J. R., "Development of an Integrated Water Vapor Electrolysis Oxygen Generator and Hydrogen Depolarized Carbon Dioxide Concentrator," Contract NAS9-11830, NASA CR-115575, Hamilton Standard, Windsor Locks, CT; May, 1972.
- Huddleston, J. C. and Alyward, Dr. J. R., "One Man Electrochemical Air Revitalization System," Final Report, Contract NAS9-13679, Hamilton Standard, Windsor Locks, CT; May, 1975.
- Huddleston, J. C. and Aylward, J. R., "Feasibility Study of a Humidity Control and Oxygen Supply System Utilizing a Water Vapor Electrolysis Unit," Contract NAS9-10773, NASA CR-115070. Hamilton Standard, Windsor Locks, CT; 1971.
- 20. "Improved Cell for Water-Vapor Electrolysis," NASA Tech Briefs, p. 447, 1980.

- 21. Kostell, G. D.; Schubert, F. H.; Shumar, J. W.; Hallick, T. M. and Jensen, F. C., "Six-Man, Self-Contained Carbon Dioxide Concentrator Subsystem for Space Station Prototype (SSP) Application," Final Report, Contract NAS2-6478, NASA CR-114742, Life Systems, Inc., Cleveland, OH; May, 1974.
- Lance, N., Jr and Schubert, F. H., "Regenerable CO₂ Collection for Spacecraft Applications," Paper No. 81-ENAs-28, Intersociety Conference on Environmental Systems, San Francisco, CA; July, 1981.
- 23. Marshall, R. D.; Carlson, J. N. and Schubert, F. H., "Electrochemical Carbon Dioxide Concentrator Subsystem Math Model," Contract NAS2-6478, NASA CR-137564, Life Systems, Inc., Cleveland, OH; September, 1974.
- 24. Marshall, R. D.; Schubert, F. H. and Carlson, J. N., "Electrochemical Carbon Dioxide Concentrator: Math Model," Final Report, Contract NAS2-6478, NASA CR-114639, Life Systems, Inc., Cleveland, OH; August, 1973.
- 25. NASA, Manned Spacecraft Center, "Procedures and Requirements for the Flammability and Offgassing Evaluation of Manned Spacecraft Nonmetallic Materials," DNA-0002; July, 1968.
- 26. Powell, J. D.; Schubert, F. H.; Marshall, R. D. and Shumar, J. W., "Six-Man, Self-Contained Carbon Dioxide Concentrator Subsystem," Final Report, Contract NAS2-6478, NASA CR-114743, Life Systems, Inc., Cleveland, OH; June, 1974.
- Samonski, F. H. and Neel, J. M., "Atmosphere Revitalization for Manned Spacecraft - An Assessment of Technology Readiness," 24th IAF Congress, Baku USSR; October, 1973.
- 28. Sarada, T.; Granata, R. D. and Foley, R. T., "Properties of Trifluoromethanesulfonic Acid Monohydrate Pertinent to Its Use as a Fuel Cell Electrolyte," J. of the Electrochemical Society: Electrochemical Science and Technology, Vol. 125, No. 12, December, 1978.
- Schneider, J. J.; Schubert, F. H.; Hallick, T. M. and Woods, R. R., "Electrochemical Carbon Dioxide Concentrator Advanced Technology Tasks," Final Report, Contract NAS2-6478, NASA CR 137732, Life Systems, Inc., Cleveland, OH; October, 1975.
- Schubert, F. H., "Evaluation of the Static Vapor Feed Water Electrolysis System," Final Report, MDAC-71-4-023, ER-137-8, Life Systems, Inc., Cleveland, OH; May, 1972.
- 31. Schubert, F. H.; Hallick, T. M. and Woods, R. R., "Preprototype Independent Air Revitalization Subsystem," Final Report, Contract NAS9-15218, TR-319-4, Life Systems, Inc.; Cleveland, OH; April 1982.

Life Systems, Inc.

- 32. Schubert, F. H.; Heppner, D. B.; Hallick, T. M. and Woods, R. R., "Technology Advancement of the Electrochemical CO, Concentrating Process," Final Report, Contract NAS2-8666, NASA CR-152250, Life Systems, Inc., Cleveland, OH; May, 1979.
- 33. Schubert, F. H. and Marshall, R. D., "Integrated Water Vapor Electrolysis and Electrochemical Depolarized Concentrator," Annual Status Report, ER-192, Life Systems, Inc., Cleveland, OH; April, 1973.
- 34. Schubert, F. H.; Marshall, R. D.; Hallick, T. M. and Woods, R. R., "One-Man, Electrochemical Air Revitalization System Evaluation," Final Report, Contract NAS9-14658, ER-284-4, Life Systems, Inc., Cleveland, OH; July, 1976.
- 35. Schubert, F. H. and Quattrone, P. D., "Development of a Six-Man, Self-Contained Carbon Dioxide Collection Subsystem for Spacecraft Application," Paper No. 74-ENAs-16, Intersociety Conference on Environmental Systems, Seattle, WA; July, 1974.
- 36. Sharma, M. P.; Heppner, D. B. and Schubert, F. H., "Preprototype Independent Air Revitalization Subsystem" Computer Math Model User's Manual, Contract NAS9-15218, ER-319-19, Life Systems, Inc., Cleveland, OH; October, 1978.
- 37. Smith, E. L. and Wydeven, T., "Prototype Electrolyzer for Oxygen Generation from Water Vapor," NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA.
- 38. Winnick, J.; Marshall, R. D. and Schubert, F. H., "An Electrochemical Device for Carbon Dioxide Concentration, I. System Design and Performance," I&EC Process Design and Development, Volume 13, pp. 59-63; January, 1974.
- 39. Woods, R. R.; Schubert, F. H. and Hallick, T. M., "Electrochemical Air Revitalization System Optimization Investigation," Final Report, Contract NAS9-14301, ER-247-3, Life Systems, Inc., Cleveland, OH; October, 1975.
- Wynveen, R. A. and Quattrone, P. D., "Electrochemical Carbon Dioxide Concentrating System," Paper No. 71-AV-21, SAE/ASME/AIAA Conference, San Francisco, CA; July, 1971.
- Wynveen, R. A.; Schubert, F. H. and Powell, J. D., "One-Man Self-Contained CO, Concentrator System," Final Report, Contract NAS2-6118, NASA CR-114426, Life Systems, Inc., Cleveland, OH; March, 1972.
- Zelaney P.; Scharifher, B. R.; Bockris, J. O'M., and Gervaiso, D., "A Comparison of the Properties of CF₃SO₃H, and H₃PO₄ in Relation to Fuel Cells," J. of Electrochemical Society: Electrochemical Science and Technology, Vol. 133, No. 11, November, 1986.

Life Systems, Inc.

APPENDIX 1

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA CURVES

| FIGURE | | PAGE |
|--------|---|------|
| A1-1 | Water Vapor Pressure for Aqueous H_2SO_4 | A1-2 |
| A1-2 | Water Vapor Pressure for Aqueous H_3PO_4 | A1-3 |
| A1-3 | Conductivity of Aqueous H_2SO_4 AND H_3PO_4 | A1-4 |



FIGURE A1-1 WATER VAPOR PRESSURE FOR AQUEOUS H2S04

ORIGINAL PAGE IS DE POGR QUALITY



ĸ

FIGURE A1-2 WATER VAPOR PRESSURE FOR AQUEOUS H3PO4

A1-3

