INASAI

INASAI

USL / DBMS NASA / PC R & D

SERIES WORKING PAPER

Report Number

DHMS.NASA/PC R&D-12

The USL/DHMS NASA/PC R&D Working Paper Series contains collection of formal and informal reports representing results of PC-based research and development activities being conducted by the Computer Science Department of the University of Southwestern Louisiana pursuant to the specifications of National Aeronautics and Space Administration Contract Number NASW-3846.

For more information, contact:

Wayne D. Dominick

Editor USL/DHMS NASA/PC R&D Working Paper Series Computer Science Department University of Southwestern Louisiana P. O. Box 44330 Lafayette, Louisiana 70504 (318) 231-6308

| DHMS.NASA/PC R&D-12 |

| WORKING PAPER SERIES |

Southwestern Louisiana 10 J Advanced Computer

Unclas 0183582

1984 14984

8

E

O

| N A S A |

| NASA|

USL/DBMS NASA/PC R&D PROJECT

SYSTEM DESIGN STANDARDS

Dennis R. Moreau

The University of Southwestern Louisiana Computer Science Department Lafayette, Louisiana

October 12, 1984

USL/DEMS NASA/PC R&D PROJECT SYSTEM DESIGN STANDARDS

This document establishes a set of system design standards intended to assure the completeness and quality of designs developed for PC R&D projects. These standards must be faithfully adhered to in order to be effective. Two related documents addressing programming and system test standards are:

- 1) "NASA/PC R&D C Programming Standards," USL/DHMS NASA/PC R&D Working Paper Series Report Number DHMS.NASA/PC R&D-11, October 5, 1984.
- 2) "NASA/PC R&D System Testing Standards," USL/DHMS NASA/PC R&D Working Paper Series Report Number DHMS.NASA/PC R&D-13, October 12, 1984.

Software systems and the procedures used to develop them must conform to the standards established in each of these documents.

PROBLEM DEFINITION

The focus of the problem definition is to produce a specification of the problem. This problem specification should detail which capabilities are to be incorporated into the design and which are not, and should not specify how the problem is to be solved. Be precise.

INITIAL DESIGN PLAN

This plan should be based on a rough problem analysis and should make some provision for each of the major technical problems involved. An estimate of the eventual system size should be calculated by estimating the sizes of each section of the rough analysis and adding them together. This very crude estimate will be used for planning only and is much better than "rolling dice." In addition, this plan should make some estimate of programming personnel, support personnel, and equipment requirements.

Consider design support tools to help in formulating an appropriate design. Test programs, simulators, data analysis programs, documentation tools, and status reporting tools can save much time in both design and programming activities.

DESIGN SPECIFICATION

The goal of the design specification is to specify an acceptable solution to the problem leaving no holes in the logic and no interface issues unresolved. This specification will provide a starting point for programming the system.

The design specification should consist of three primary parts, the program design, the file design, and the system data

flow overview. This requirement will allow a complete specification of the design, in enough detail to permit detailed evaluation and eventually to permit programming to proceed.

- 1) Program Design A hierarchic description of the system that addresses any major design issues.
- 2) File Design A detailed definition of all files accessed by more than one module.
- 3) System Data Flow Overview A non-technical summarization of the system design emphasizing the operational relationships between components.

The design should emphasize modularity and should carefully detail interface specifications, in terms of inter-module communication and file relationships. The overall design structure must not be obscured by incorporating details that are better included at lower specification levels.

RE-EVALUATION

Once the initial design specification is complete, a complete re-evaluation of resource requirements should be performed. This estimate should be a much better fit to the

eventual requirements than the initial estimate.

The overall design should also be critically reviewed and any valid objections should be incorporated into design revisions. Once the design team is satisfied with the design, a project wide design review should be scheduled. This review should address the following issues:

- 1) The intended user's environment
- 2) The intended user's requirements
- 3) The system development environment
- 4) The system design requirements
- 5) An overall development schedule
- 6) Development resource requirements
- 7) Program design
- 8) File design
- 9) Current status
- 10) Identified problems.

			5.12	
1. Report No.	2. Government Access	ion No. 183582	3. Recipient's Catalog	No.
4. Title and Subtitle		79,	5. Report Date	DATE
USL/NGT-19-010-900: USL/DBMS NASA/PC R&D PROJ DESIGN STANDARDS		OJECT SYSTEM	6. Performing Organiz	1984 OVERICE Cation Code
7. Author(s) DENNIS R. MOREAU		8. Performing Organiza	ation Report No.	
			10. Work Unit No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address				
University of Southwestern L The Center for Advanced Comp P.O. Box 44330	-	11. Contract or Grant No. NGT-19-010-900		
Lafayette, LA 70504-4330		13. Type of Report and Period Covered		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			FINAL; 07/01/85	5 - 12/31/87
		- -	14. Sponsoring Agency	Code
15. Supplementary Notes				
This Working Paper Series ent completeness and quality of des this document include the area re-evaluation. This report represents one of the Final Report on NASA Grant using this report out of the con	signs developed for PC as of problem definition of the second of the sec	CR&D projects. The state on, initial design plan, or the University of Secondingly, appropriate	undards presented w design specification Southwestern Louisi	vithin , and ana's
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statement		
System Design Standard Research and Developmen	ls, PC-Based t			
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (c	of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price*

5

20. Security Classif. (of this page)

Unclassified

19. Security Classif. (of this report)

Unclassified