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## 1. Abstract

Future robotic manipulators carried by a spacecraft will be required to perform complex tasks in space, like repairing satellites. Such applications of robotic manipulators will encounter a number of kinematic, dynamic and control problems due to the dynamic coupling between the manipulators and the spacecraft. This-peper presents a new analytical modeling method for studying the kinematics and dynamics of manipulators in apace. The problem is treated by introducing the concept of a Virtual Manipulator (VM). The kinematic and dynamic motions of the manipulator, vehicle and payload, can be described relatively easily in terms of the Virtual Manipulator movements, which have a fixed base in inertial space at a point called a Virtual Ground. It is anticipated that the approach described inchiepapar-will aid in the design and development of future space manipulator systems. $h r^{-c} c$

## 2. Introduction

Robotic manipulators are potentially very useful for performing complex tasks in non-industrial hostile environments $\{1,2]$, such as in space. A number of studies have considered the potential applications of manipulators in space and the capabilities that these systems must have to achieve anticipated mission goals $|3-5|$. These applications include tasks such as repairing, servicing and constructing space stations in orbit. Currently, these tasks can only be performed by astronaut Extra Vehicular Activity (EVA). Eliminating the need for EVA would obviously reduce hazards to the astronauts and mission costs.

Unfortunately, the use of manipulators in space is complicated by the manipulator/spacecraft dynamic coupling. For example, movements of a manipulator will disturb the attitude of the spacecraft carrying it. This coupling will adversely affect the manipulator's precision, and reduce the on orbit life of the system by consuming excessive attitude control fuel. Also, any motions of the spacecraft, say due to the firing of attitute control jets, will disturb the manipulator. Therefore, new manipulator concepts, designs and control techniques will be required to minimise and compensate for the manipulator/spacecraft dynamic coupling.

Researchers working on the control of space manipulators have focused their attention on issues such as seasor requirements, path planning algorithms, teleoperator control [6-8]; the problems of vehicle/manipulator dynamic interactions remain unresolved.

This paper presents a new and effective analytical modeling method for studying the kinematics and dynamics of manipulators in space. The problem is treated by introducing the concepts of a Virtual Ground (VG) and Virtual Manipulator (VM). As discussed below the VG is located at the center of mass of the manipulator/spacecraft, $\%$,stern, and the VM is an ideal kinematic chain connecting the VG to any point on the real manipulator. Motions of a system, including a vehicle, manipulator and payload can be described easily by the VM. This model hes proven to be effective in calculating the kinematic and dynamic properties of the system; such as its inverse kinematic solution and workspaces. This paper shows that the VM approach can also be used \&o plan the manipulator's motions in order to minimize the degrading consequences of the manipulator/spacecraft dynamic interactions.

## 3. A Model of Manipulators in Space

Future space manipulator systems will have one or more mechanical arms carried by a vehicle, as shown in Figure 1. The vehicle will be capable of motion in six degrees of freedoms, and will have reaction jet for position and attitude control. Although manipulators could be driven by photovoltaicly powered electric actuators, which use no
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\mathrm{tot}}=\sum_{\mathrm{p}=1}^{N} M_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since there are no external forces, the VG is stationary in the frame $N$ and the vector $\mathbf{V}$, is always constant.
In the following development the VM properties such as link dimensions and joint axes, for initial manipulator configuration are described. Then the rules for its joint movemente as a function of the real manipulator joint movements are presented. Referring to Figure 3, which shows the end effector VM for the manipulator shown in Figure 2, the $i^{\text {th }}$ link of the Virtual Manipulator is defined by the vector $\mathbf{V}_{i}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{V}_{1} & =\mathbf{D}_{1} \\
\mathbf{V}_{2} & =\mathbf{H}_{1}+\mathbf{D}_{2} \\
& \vdots  \tag{3}\\
\mathbf{V}_{N} & \doteq \mathbf{H}_{N-1}+\mathbf{D}_{N}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i}=\mathbf{R}_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{i} M_{i} / M_{i o t} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, N) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}=L_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{i=1} M_{i} / M_{t o t} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, N-1) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

The first VM link represents the vehicle's orientation. This link is attached io the VG by a spherical joint and ite motion is equal to the three vehicle rotations with respect to inertial space. The end of the Virtual Manipulator terminstes at the end effector, defined by a vector E , fixed in the $N^{\text {th }}$ VM link.

The $i^{\text {th }}$ VM joint is taken as a revolute or a prismatic joint depending upon whether the $i^{\text {th }}$ joint of the real manipulator is revolute or prismatic. The axis of rotation for a revolute VM joint, $\dot{j}$, is parallel to the axis of the real manipulator joint $A_{i}$. Similarly, the translational axes of prismatic VM jointe are parallel to the correaponding axes of the real manipulator prismatic joints. Equations (1) through (5) define the VM and its position correaponding to the initial position of the system, as shown in Figure 2. The VM links will all be parallel to the real manipulator links in cases where all the centers of mass for all manipulator links lie on a line connecting the manipulator joints on the corresponding link.

The VM will move as the joints of the real manipulator move. The angular rotations of the VM revolute jointe, from their initial position, are equal to the angular rotations of the corresponding revolute joints for the real manipulator. The prismatic virtual joint tranalations are ratios of the corresponding real prismatic joint translations. For an end effector VM, translation of the virtual joint, $P_{j}$, is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{j}=\mathrm{T}_{j} \sum_{q=1}^{j} M_{q} / M_{\mathrm{tot}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the VM in its position of construction, its initial position, the values of $\mathbf{T}_{j}$ are taken as zero. Hence the initial magnitudes of $\mathbf{P}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ are zero. The prismatic joint motions, $\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$, are referenced to the initial position.

If a VM that is constructed according to Equations (1) through (5), moves with the real manipulator according to the above description, and its link shapes and lengths remain constant as the manipulator moves, then it can be shown (see Appendix A) that:

1 The axis of the $i^{\text {th }}$ virtual joint is always parallel to the $i^{\text {th }}$ axis of the real system joint, and
2 The Virtual Manipulator end point will always coincide with the real manipulator's end effector.

These properties enable the kinematic and dynamic motions of a free-floating manipulator system to be described by the motions of a much simpler Virtual Manipulator which has a fixed base in inertial space. The properties of the VM remain the same as long as the mass property of the system does not change. For example, when the manipulator grasps a free-loating pay load, the VM changes. According to Equations (1) through (5), the VM link lengths will be reduced for the addition of a payload. Virtual Manipulators constructed for points other than the end effector have different links than the links defined in Equation (1); and their joint movements maybe different than the ones described above, for example, prismatic joint translations may be the vector ( $\mathbf{P}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$ ), depending upon location of the point used to construct the VM [9].
recction fuel, manipulator motions could disturb a vehicle's position and attitude and result in the consumption of excesaive amounts of attitude fuel. The useful life of apacecraft syatema is often limited by the amount of reaction jet fuel they can carry.

Two approaches to solve this problem are: 1) permit the vehicle to move and compensate for the baee motions in the manipulator task planning; and 2) plan the manipulator motions so that they do not cause the vehicle to move excesaively. The first of these approsches requires the ability to perform inverse kinematic and workapace calculatioss for a free-floating system [10]. The eocond requires methode for planning manipulator motions that would self-correct the vehicle's orientation with little or no reaction jet adjustmenta. These appramehes and amociated imucs are addressed here through the Virtual Manipulator technique. Asaumed in this work is that the external forces/torques acting on the aystem are negligible, and that the ayatem is free floating. Also ascumed is that the ayotem elementa may be modeled as rigid bodiea. The later amumption may not be valid if a manipulator must perform high apeed motions.

## 4. Analytical Development of the Virtual Manipulator

The Virtual Manipulator (VM) is a masoless kinematic chain terminating at an arbitrary point on the real manipulator. Its base is the Virtual Ground (VG), which is an imaginary fixed point in inertial apace. It is proven below that for a given system the properties of the VM and location of the VG are fixed. VMa exiat for many different manipulator structures, auch as open or closed chains, aingle or many branch arma, revolute or priamatic joints $|9-11|$. The discussion in this paper will be limited to manipulators composed of spatial serial chains with revolute or prismatic connections. Although VMs exist for any point on the real manipulator, this paper deals with VMs whose end points coincide with the real manipulator end effector.

The VG is defined to be the center of mass of the manipulator aystem. From elementry mechanica, when there are no external forces, such as from reaction jets, the VG will be fixed in an inertial apace. It will not move due to any internal forces of the system such as joint torques, or due to any manipulator motions.

Figure 2 shows a schematic drawing of an N body spatial manipulator system. The first body in the chain represents the vehicle which carries the manipulator. The $N^{\text {th }}$ body is a combination of the payload and the last link. The $i^{i t h}$ joint is called $J_{i}$, and $C_{i}$ is the center of mase of the $i^{\text {th }}$ body. The vectora $\mathbf{R}_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}_{i}$ connect $C_{i}$ to $J_{i}$ and $J_{i}$ to $C_{i+1}$, respectively. The vector $R_{N}$ connects $C_{N}$ to the end effector. The vectors $R_{i}$ and $L_{i-1}$ are fixed relative to the $i^{\text {th }}$ link, and hence the angle between these vectors is constant for all aystem configurations. If the $i^{\text {th }}$ manipulator joint is a revolute joint, the vector defining the axis of rotation of $J_{i}$ is called $A_{i}$, and the angle $\theta_{i}$ is the rotation of the $i^{\text {th }}$ joint. If the $i^{i^{t h}}$ manipulator joint is a priamatic joint, the vector $T_{i}$ ia defined to be the tranalation along the translational axis. If the $i^{\text {th }}$ joint is revolute, then the magnitude $T_{i}$ is equal to zero.


Figure 1: A Space Manipulator System.


Figure 2: N Body System in Space.

The location of the VG for this system in inertial space, the center of mass of the system, can be found by knowing some initial position of the system. The vector $\mathbf{S}(0)$ defines the initial known location of the end effector with respect to an inertial reference frame $N$. Then the location of the VG, the vector $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}$, can be obtained from conservation of linear momentum by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{V}_{g}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\mathbf{S}(0)-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(\mathbf{R}_{j}+\mathbf{L}_{j}+\mathbf{T},\right)\right] M_{i} / M_{\ell 0 t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3: N Body Syatem and its VM.

Table 1 givea the properties of a very simple planar manipulator and ita Virtual Manipulator, shown in Figure 4. It should be remembered that the method is not restricted to planar aystems.

## 5. Applications of Virtual Manipulators

The Virtual Manipulator approach hat a number of poseible applications. VMa can be used to simplify the inverse kinematics of apace manipulators, calculate their workapaces, plan their motions and formulate the equations of motion $\{9-11 \mid$. It should be noted that using conventional methods, these problema are far more difficult for space manipulators than for industrial manipulatora with fixed bases. In the sections below, the use of the VM is shown for workspace analysis and path planning.

## A. Workapace Analysis

Since the vehicle and manipulator'dynamica are coupled, the manipulator's motions will cause the vehicle to move and this in turn makes it difficult to fint the manipulator workapace. In fact several different types of workapaces exist. In this section, a workspace called the conatrained workspace, for a manipulator in apace is defined, for a more complete discuseion of space manipulator workspaces refer to references $[9,10]$. For the constrained workspace it is asaumed that the attitude, but not the location, of the vehicle is controlled. This can be achieved without the use of attitude control fuel by employing reaction wheele, or by using the self correcting maneuvera discussed later in this paper.

To find the constrained workspace, a Virtual Manipulator is constructed to the end effector of the real manipulator. The joint limits of the real manipulator are transformed into VM joint limits. The workspace of the Virtual Manipulator is then found using conventional workapace analysia methods [12]. The real manipulator workspace will be equal to the VM workspace because of the following reasons. The VM end point coincides with the real manipulator end effector, and it is assumed that it is possible to control the orientation of the firat VM link, representing the vehicle, with respect to inertial space. The other joints are controlled with their actuators. This workspace will always be a spherical shell, asouming there are no limita on the vehicle orientations. Figure 5 shows the constrained workspace for the simple two link manipulator shown in Figure 4, it was found using its VM.

## B. Path Planning

In certain cases, the magnitude of the rotations of the vehicle caused by the manipulator's motion may not be acceptable. For example, vehicle rotations may cause communication devices to loose their signals. Vehicle rotations can be controlled using reaction wheels or reaction jets. However, these devices have the disadvantages of increased mechanical complexity and aystem weight or increased consumption of attitude control fuel.

It is shown below that the manipulator itself can be moved in such a way as to have the end effector follow a nominal specified path and yet have a prescribed vehicle orientation, with specified limita, without using attitude control fuel or requiring reaction wheels.

Table 1: Characteristics of Planar Manipulator and ita VM.

| Body <br> no | $M$ <br> $(\mathrm{Kg})$ | $R$ <br> $(\mathrm{~m})$ | $L$ <br> $(\mathrm{~m})$ | $D$ <br> $(\mathrm{~m})$ | $H$ <br> $(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 50 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| 2 | 50 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 3 | 50 | 0.5 | - | 0.5 | - |



Figure 5: Conatrained Workepece or Manipulator Shown in Pigure 4.

To find thie motion the principle of coneervation of agyular momentum is applied to the ayatem. For an $n$ degreee of freedom space manipulator the following equation can be writter.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbf{X}}=\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}, \mathbf{X}) \dot{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{F}: 3$ by in matrix with elements $F_{i, j}$,
$\mathbf{X}: 3$ by 1 vector of vehicle inertial orientations with elemente, $X_{i}$,
$\Theta$ : $n$ by 1 vector of joint anglea with elemente $l_{i}$
In general, Equation (7) is non-integratable, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} X_{i}}{\partial \theta_{k} \partial \theta_{j}} \neq \frac{\partial^{2} X_{i}}{\partial \theta_{j} \partial \theta_{k}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the final vehicle orientation depends on path taken by the manipulator from one poevition to another. It follows that the final vehicle orientation will change if the manipulator moves along one path in joint apace and returne to ite initial position by another path. This is a similar notion to the one which permite astronaute to reorient their bodies by moving their limbe [13]. This leade to a atrategy for adjusting or correcting motions of the vehich's orientation. In this atrategy nominal trajectoriet are selected for the end effector and vehicle oriantation. Then the joint motions are executed asouming the vehicle follows ita trajectory. If at any point the vehicle orientation devietes from its desired path by more than a specific amount, a seriea of amall cyclic motions, selected to correct for the vehicle orientation are added to the joint motions.

To find the cyclical joint motions that achieve the deaired vehicle/base orientation corrections, it is aseumed that these motions are small enough that the end effector deviater only by amall amount from ite nominal trajectory. This small motion assumption permits the use of a nonlinear syatem model in which nonlinearities of order greater than 2 can be neglected.

First, let $X$ be a set of Euler angles defining the baee orientation with reapect to an inertial coordinate frame. The initial and desired final base orientations are $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{d}}$, reapectively. The desired change in the Euler angles is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{d}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{0}$ be the vector defining the initial and final joint positions at the beginning and end of the correction maneuver. Also let the vectors $\delta V$ and $\delta W$ define small joint movementa. The closed correction path is constructed by having the manipulator move along the straight lines, in joint apace defined by vectors $\delta \mathrm{V}$ and $\delta \mathbf{W}$ shown in Figure 6.

For small $\delta \mathrm{V}$ and $\delta \mathrm{W}$ the following equation can be obtained from Equation (7).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta X_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left[\left.\sum_{m=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\partial F_{k j}}{\partial X_{m}} F_{m i}-\frac{\partial F_{k i}}{\partial X_{m}} F_{m j}\right)+\frac{\partial F_{k j}}{\partial \theta_{i}}-\frac{\partial F_{k i}}{\partial \theta_{j}} \right\rvert\, \delta W_{j} \delta V_{i} \quad(k=1,2,3)\right. \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta X_{i}, \delta V_{i}$ and $\delta W_{i}$ are elements of the vectors $\delta X, \delta V$ and $\delta W$, reapectively. In the case of a three DOF apatial manipulator, Equation (10) will yield three equations with six unknowns. Three additional constrain equations are required to solve for $\delta \mathbf{V}$ and $\delta W$.

If vectors $\delta \mathrm{V}$ and $\delta \mathrm{W}$ are parallel, the cyclic motion will not produce any vehicle rotation. Therefore it is asumed that these vectors are perpendicular:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{W}=\mathbf{0} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, the magnitudes of $\delta W$ and $\delta V$ are assumed to be equal:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathbf{V}^{T} \cdot \delta V=\delta W^{T} \cdot \delta W, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and one of the elements of $\delta \mathrm{V}$ is chosen to be a linear combination of the other two. Por example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta V_{3}=\left(\delta V_{2}+\delta V_{1}\right) / 2 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (10) through (13) yield six scalar equations with six sealar unknowns, which can be solved for the denired joint trajectories, $\delta \mathrm{V}$ and $\delta \mathrm{W}$. If the required correction, $\delta \mathrm{X}$, is large, the values of $\delta \mathrm{V}$ and $\delta \mathrm{W}$ may violate the amall joint motion asumption. In this case the devired correction can be achieved by a soriee of meyclical correction maneuvers. It is shown below that at each cycle Equatione (10) through (13) do not have to be remolved and the final position can be achieved.

Referring to Figure 7, $\mathbf{T}\left(\mathbf{X}_{j}\right)$ is a 3 by 3 matrix which tranaforme a vector exprewed in vehicle body coordinatea ( $x, y, z$ ) into inertial or Newtonian coordinates $\left(N_{z}, N_{y}, N_{z}\right)$, when the body is at jth orientation. The tranaformation matrix for the initial vehicle orientation is $\mathbf{T}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}\right)$. The traneformation matrix for the desired vahicie poaition to be achieved after $m$ cycles is $\mathbf{T}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{d}}\right)$. After one correction cycle, the tranaformation matrix is $\mathbf{T}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}+\mathbf{\delta x}\right)$, where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}+\delta \mathbf{x}\right)=\mathbf{T}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}\right) \mathbf{A} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ is the transformation matrix from the vehicle position, one cycle from the initial vehicle position, back to the initial position. The A matrix will not change with each cycle because the total ayatem, vehicle and manipulator, have been aubject only to a rigid body rotation in inertial apace. Hence after $m$ cyclea the transformation matrix from the desired system position to inertial coordinates is simply:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(X_{d}\right)=T\left(X_{i}\right) A^{m} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (15) can be solved for A:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{P} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{1 / m} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is a diagonal matrix of the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{T}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{T}\left(\mathbf{X}_{d}\right)$ and $\mathbf{P}$ is a matrix of corresponding eigenvectors.
Using the A matrix obtained from Equation (16), the change in Euler anglea ( $\delta x$ ) are calculated from Equation (14). Then the joint correction motions for each cycle, $\delta V$ and $\delta W$, are obtained by solving Equationa (10) through (13). The manipulator should go through the derived joint transformations ( $6 \mathrm{~V}, \delta \mathrm{~W}$ ) m times to approach the desired vehicle orientation. However, the final vehicle orientation after $m$ cyclea will usually be slightly different than the desired orientation because of the neglected higher order nonlinearities. In order to achieve the desired vehicle orientation more precisely, the over all correction may need to be broken into several smaller corrections and the process repeated with a slightly different set of $\delta V$ and $\delta W$ for each subcorrection.


Figure 6: A Closed Path Correction in Joint Space for a Vehicle Rotation.


Figure 7: Vehicle Coordinate Rotation Due to Cyclic Manipulator Motion.

The above cechnique is now demonetrated for a spatial 3 DOP apace manipulator shown in Pigure 8. The propertiee of the manipulator are given in Table 2. It in decired to rotate the vehiche from ite initial orientation to ite Anal orientetion as ahown in Tmble 3. Lis thin example, it wae necemeary to solve for the joint trajectorive ( 5 V and 8W) 3 timee to precively obtain the deaired vehicle orientation. The joiat trajectories for theoe 3 cycle aete are ahown in Figurce 9 through 11. Pech eycle ie repented 30 timee to achiove the dexired vehicle oriontation. Table 8 ahowe the sydem agdee after cach eycle set. Duriag each eycle the vehiche oecillatee in aympathy to the manipelator's motion, ene Figure 12. However the mean oriontetion of the vehicle changen continuovaly and reaches $X^{4}$ at the anme time the jointe return to their initial positions. Pigure 18 chows the meen vehicle orientation during the joint cycles. The vehicle movemente during the joint motions are $\pm 0.1$ radiase from their mean trajoctory. Here ome can clearly see change in the base orientation an the manipulator jointe cycle through their motion.


Figure 8: Spatial 3 DOF Spece Menipulator.


Figure 10: Joint Angle Trajectories for Second Set of Cycles.

Table 3: Manipulator Angles at Different Instances.

| Angles (deq) | Inisial | devired | Alter one cycle ent | After escond cycie ser | Fine postion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | 50. | 45. | 44.7 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| $x_{2}$ | 40. | 45. | 43.9 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| ${ }_{3}$ | 35. | 35. | 37.1 | 34.8 | 35.0 |
| ${ }^{1}$ | 45. | 45. | 45. | 45. | 45.0 |
| ${ }_{2}$ | 45 | 45. | 45. | 45. | 45.0 |
| ${ }_{3}$ | 45. | 45. | 45. | 45. | 45.0 |



Figure 9: Joint Angle Trajectories for First Set of Cycles.


Figure 11: Joint Angle Trajectorien for third Set of Cycles.

Table 2: Three DOF Manipulator Characteristics.

| Bocty no. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mass } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | A in locad coord. (m) | 4 in local coord. (m) | inertia about prin. uns ( $\mathrm{Kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}{ }^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20. | $-11+1]+2 x$ | 0.11 - 0.51 | 0.51 . 0.51 , 0.5k |
| 2 | 7. | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 . 0.51 - 0.5k |
| 3 | 7. | 0.51 +0.1. | 0.31 +0.1k | 0.51, 0.11 $\cdot 0.5 \mathrm{k}$ |
| 4 | 5. | - | - | 0.5 [ 0.11 - 0.5k |



Figure 12: The $\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathbf{2}}$ Vehicle Coordinate for the First Set of Cycles.


Figure 13: Mean Vehicle Euler Anglea During Correction Cycle.

## 6. Summary and Concluaion

In this paper, the concepts of Virtual Manipulators and Virtual Grounds are discussed. The end effector YM characteristics and proof of its properties for serial link with revolute and prismatic joints were presented, and mone of ite applications were discussed. This is a new concept and further research is required to demonstrate ite full capabilities.
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## Appendix A: Proof of Virtual Manipulator Properties

First it will be proven that for - VM constructed using the rules presented in section 4 of this paper the VM end point will coincide with the end effector. Then it will be proven that when the manipulator goes through o movement the VM joint motions described in section 4 will keep the VM end point on the end effector.

Firat, recognizing that the system center of mass is atationary in the inertial frame $N, V$, remaine atationary in thia frame and referring to Figure 2 yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{10 t} \mathbf{V}_{1}=M_{N} \mathbf{S}+M_{N-1}\left|\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{L}_{N-1}-\mathbf{T}_{N-1}-\mathbf{R}_{N-1}\right|+\ldots+M_{1}\left|\mathbf{S}-\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\left(\mathbf{L}_{i}+\mathbf{T}_{i}+\mathbf{R}_{i}\right)\right| \tag{Al}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that if the $i^{\text {th }}$ joint is revolute $\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=0$, otherwise, ite magnitude is equal to the priamatic joint translations from the initial manipulator configuration and ite direction is along the translational axis. Equation (A1) can be solved for $\mathbf{S ( t )}$ as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}(t)=\mathbf{V}_{t}+\frac{M_{1}}{M_{t o t}}\left(\mathbf{R}_{1}+\mathbf{T}_{1}+\mathbf{L}_{1}\right)+\ldots+\frac{M_{1}+M_{2}+\ldots+M_{N-1}}{M_{t o t}}\left(\mathbf{R}_{N-1}+\mathbf{T}_{N-1}+\mathbf{L}_{N-1}\right) \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (A2) can be written in terma of the vectora $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}}$ by using Equations (4) through (6), to yield:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}(t)=\mathbf{V}_{1}+\left(\mathbf{D}_{1}+\mathbf{H}_{1}+\mathbf{P}_{1}\right)+\ldots+\left(\mathbf{D}_{N-1}+\mathbf{H}_{N-1}+\mathbf{P}_{N-1}\right) \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Equation set (3) and the fact that the end effector position is always equal to $\mathbf{S}(t)+\mathbf{R}_{N}$ gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(t)=\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{P}_{1}+\ldots+\mathbf{P}_{N-1}+\mathbf{V}_{N} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that this equation does not depend upon the existance of the Virtual Manipulator. The vector chain represented by Equation (A4) describes the end effector position relative to the N reference frame for all time.

For the initial manipulator position the VM conatucted according to the procedure outlined in section 4 has an end point described by the following vector chain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}_{g}+\mathbf{v}_{1}+\ldots+\mathbf{v}_{N} \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing Equations (A4) and (A5) it follows that in the initial position, when the $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}}$ 's are equal to zero, the end effector coincides with the VM end point.

Now it will be proven that as the real manipulator moves the VM joint motions described in section 4 will keep the VM end point on the real end effector. Say the manipulator goes through some joint movement, from section 4, the following vector chain describes the VM end point, where the $\mathbf{P}_{i}$ 's are no longer zero,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}+V_{i}+P_{i}+\ldots+P_{N-1}^{i}+V_{N}^{i} \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following paragraphs it will be proven that the vectors $V_{i}, V_{i}$ and $P_{i}$ in Equation (A6) are the same as $V_{i}$, $V_{\text {, and }} P$, in Equation (A4), respectively, therefore, the VM end point will coincide with the real end effector. The vector $V_{\text {, }}$ is always constant, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}=V_{i} \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial real manipulator links are composed of vectors $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{i - 1}}+\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and since the manipulator links are rigid, the magnitude of the vectors $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{i - 1}}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and the anglee between them are always constant. Since the magnituden of $\mathbf{I}_{i-1}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{4}$ are constant, then from Equations (4) and (5) the magnitudes of $\mathbf{H}_{i-1}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{i}$ will also be constanta. It can also be seen that the angles between $\mathbf{H}_{i-1}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{i}}$ are constant. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbf{V}_{i}\right|=\left|\mathbf{H}_{i-1}+\mathbf{D}_{i}\right| \quad \forall t_{i, i} \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Virtual Manipulator links are composed of the $\mathbf{V}_{i}$ vectors. These linke don't change their shapen and lengths 0 a function of time and since magnitudes of $V_{i}$ are initially equal to magnitudes of $V_{i}$, and magnitudea of $V_{i}$ do not change with time it follow that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{i}^{*}\right|=\left|\mathbf{H}_{i-1}+D_{i}\right|=\left|V_{i}\right| \quad V_{t, i} \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The magnitude of the vectors $\mathbf{P}_{i}$ in Equation (A4) and the $P_{i}$ vectora in Equation (A6) are both obtained from the real manipulator prismatic joint translations, using Equation (6), therefore by definition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbf{P}_{i}\right|=\left|\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}}\right| \quad \forall \ell, i \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it will be proven that the direction of the vectors $\mathbf{V}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{i}$ in Equation (A6) are parallel to vectors $\mathbf{V}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}}$ in Equation (A4), respectively.

First it can be established that the rotations of the first VM link are set equal to the vehicle rotations and hence the first VM link will always be parallel to the vehicle. Therefore,

$$
\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathbf{V}_{1} \quad \forall t
$$

Since axis of rotation or translation of the first real and virtual joints are fixed relative to their corresponding firat links, and the rotations of the first VM link is the same as the vehicle, and these axes are initially constructed to be parallel, then they will always be parallel.

Now consider the case when the first real manipulator joint is revolute. The elements of the second VM link $\mathrm{Hi}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $D_{i}$ will be parallel to $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and in turn the vectors $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ will be parallel and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}=V_{2} \quad \forall t \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

because the rotational axis of the first VM and real manipulator joints are parallel, as shown above, and the magnitude of their rotations are equal by construction.

In the cases where the first joint is prismatic, the elements of the second VM link $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{i}}$ will be parallel to $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and in turn the vectors $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ will be parallel and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathbf{V}_{2} \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

because the VM and real manipulator translational axis for this joint are parallel. In the same manner it is possible to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}}^{*}=\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} \quad \forall t, i \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, in a similar manner all the tranalational axis of the real manipulator and the VM are alwaya parallel, and from Equation (A11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{i}=\mathbf{P}_{i} \quad \forall t, i \tag{A15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Equations (A15), (A14) and (A7) into (A6), and comparing the result with Equation (A4) shows that the end effector will always coincide with the VM end point, and this completes the proof.

