

N 8 9 - 2 7 9 0 3

TDA Progress Report 42-97

January – March 1989

DSN 70-Meter Antenna Microwave Optics Design and Performance Improvements

Part I: Design Optimization

D. A. Bathker

Ground Antenna and Facilities Engineering Section

S. D. Slobin

Telecommunications Systems Section

This article reviews the design optimizations associated with the microwave and structural upgrade of the DSN 64-m antennas. Expected area efficiency/gain performances at S- and X-band are given for both the original 64-m systems and the upgraded 70-m systems, and error estimates are developed. The DSN 70-m Upgrade Project specifications, based on predesign estimates, were 1.4-dB gain at S-band and 1.9-dB at X-band, with no degradation to critical receiving system noise temperatures. The measurements show an S-band gain increase of 1.9 dB and an average increase of 2.1 dB at X-band. The Project also delivered small receiving system noise decreases at both frequency bands. The three DSN 70-m antennas, in the initial state of mechanical adjustment as of the end of calendar year 1988, are performing with very high peak microwave area efficiencies at very nearly the engineering design expectations of 76 percent at S-band and 71 percent at X-band.

I. 70-m Microwave Optics Design

A 1975 engineering study identified several technical options for significantly increasing the microwave performance of the DSN 64-m antennas.¹ Between 1975 and 1985, many of those options were implemented, providing improved X-band reception for the Voyager Jupiter, Saturn, and Uranus encounters. Those options included improved structural bracing of the main reflector to reduce the effects of gravity distortion,

improved feedhorn design to obtain better aperture illumination, automated two-axis subreflector focusing for improved gain with elevation angle changes, and improved preamplifiers.

After these initial improvements, three options from the 1975 report remained unincorporated—increasing the physical diameter of the antenna, increasing the antenna aperture efficiency, and improving panel manufacturing and setting. Pre-design work estimated that approximately 0.6 dB (15 percent) gain increase could be obtained from uniform illumination and an 0.8-dB (20 percent) increase could be obtained from the greater physical area (at S- and X-bands). In addition, improved manufacturing and panel setting precisions for the individual main reflector panels and subreflector would provide an addi-

¹Radio Frequency Figure of Merit Enhancement Study, DSN 64-M Antennas, JPL 890-47 (internal document), Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, June 1, 1975.

tional 0.5-dB (12-percent) improvement at X-band. Thus the 64-70-m Upgrade Project was established, with goals of 1.4-dB and 1.9-dB increases at S- and X-band, respectively. To achieve 1.9-dB gain increase over the 64-m antenna by physical area alone would require a conventional 80-m antenna. Alternatively, for the same performance level, the 64-m antenna could be augmented by arraying it with a conventional 47-m antenna.

The 64-m DSN antennas had conventionally profiled paraboloidal main reflectors and hyperboloidal subreflectors. These Cassegrainian systems were characterized by tapered aperture illumination intensity. It was expected that application of the modern dual-reflector contour shaping technique, which achieves uniform aperture illumination intensity and phase, could be successfully applied to the asymmetric feed geometry in place on the 64-m antennas. The asymmetric feed geometry provides multiple frequency feeding from a Cassegrain feed tricone. The tricone and associated rotatable subreflector effectively form a large, efficient bandswitch. For perfect main reflector axis pointing from such asymmetrically fed systems, a subreflector that is not a simple figure of revolution is required.

Designing the microwave optics for specially contoured surfaces begins with the selection of the feedhorn radiation pattern. A key design constraint was to retain the 64-m antenna tricone subassembly, which includes the receivers and transmitters, the feedcone housings containing the feedhorns, associated microwave plumbing, and connections to the low-noise preamplifiers. By retaining this 44-ft-high subassembly, standard DSN feedhorns critical to design and resulting microwave performances were economically retained. However, this constrained the microwave optics design in terms of the feedhorn beamwidth (which influences forward spillover based on subreflector diameter), and Cassegrain optics focal length (feed magnification factor). Despite this constraint, an excellent overall microwave optics design was obtained. The primary tradeoffs were to balance forward spillover against subreflector cost (a function of subreflector diameter), and selection of the rear spillover level past the main reflector rim (which influences critical reception noise). The design balance achieved about a 4-percent forward spillover (96-percent spillover efficiency). Although one might do somewhat better in an unconstrained design, the tricone retention and the savings in subreflector cost clearly established the acceptability of 96-percent forward spillover efficiency with standard feedhorns. In the future, slightly larger feedhorns might improve forward spillover efficiency by an additional 2 percent.

A very slight imbalance in illumination intensity results from the inherent asymmetric feed geometry. This amounts

to about 2-dB amplitude ramping, occurring near the main reflector edges, on a diameter including the asymmetric plane. However, the design is phase perfect; loss due to the slight intensity ramp is less than 0.5 percent at X-band.

The microwave optics design was optimized for X-band (8420-MHz) area efficiency (gain) and noise, which constitute the G/T receiving system performance index. This was done for an operating elevation angle of 30 deg and a total receiving system noise level of 15 kelvins, since for nearly half of all tracking hours, the DSN antennas operate below 30-deg elevation. A total receiving system noise level of 15 kelvins at 30-deg elevation at X-band is an optimistic goal and difficult to achieve; the optimization is therefore conservatively biased in the low-noise direction. It should be pointed out that optimum G/T-balanced very-low-noise antennas sacrifice some gain in order to maintain very low rear spillover. Higher noise antennas, properly G/T balanced for the higher attendant receiving noise, are capable of slightly higher gain, but do not achieve the overall better G/T performance. A clear way to envision a very-low-noise optimized reflector antenna is to view the outer main reflector as intentionally not well illuminated, therefore not instrumental in developing overall system main-beam gain. Alternatively, in signal reception, the feed is purposefully not responsive to signal or noise from the rim regions and beyond; rather, the reflector edge region functions as an earth-noise shield, keeping the other critical factor (total system noise) controlled. This was accomplished in the 70-m DSN design by synthesizing the dual-shaped surfaces for a 69-m (approximately) system, then adding a simple slope-matched paraboloid noise-shield annulus.

At wavelengths shorter than X-band (e.g., 15-, 22- and future 32-GHz) the 70-m DSN antennas will slowly tend, as a function of frequency increase, toward lower rear spillover noise, a consequence of the physics of subreflector edge diffraction. Conversely, at longer wavelengths (e.g., S-band) these antennas will unavoidably have slowly increasing rear spillover and attendant receiving noise.

Another consequence of increased longwave rear spillover arises when transmitting—a concern for transmit radiation power density safety. This issue was studied and it was concluded that in the environment of additional radiation sources beyond direct rear spillover, especially scatter due to the quadripod structure, the 70-m design, despite slightly increased S-band direct rear spillover, would not be a problem. The increased S-band direct spillover when transmitting remained numerically submerged below the scatter term, and radiation safety was not compromised. However, in the event that an ultra-low-noise S-band receiving system or an ultra-high-power S-band transmitting system is required on the 70-m antennas, a fresh look at the adequacy of the X-band optimized optics

operated at S-band will be necessary. In the event that additional main reflector annular rim shielding for S-band is required, such an addition would be straightforward. Alternatively, a slightly larger S-band feedhorn or beamwidth-changing ellipsoidal reflector might suffice.

II. 64/70-m S-Band Design-Expected Performances

Although the 70-m microwave optics are X-band G/T optimized, considerable effort was expended to predict S-band performance to meet the ongoing tracking requirements of a number of S-band deep-space spacecraft at remarkable and increasing distances. Tables 1 and 2 show the S-band design efficiency predictions for the 64-m and 70-m antennas, respectively. These predictions are the result of a consistent computational method. In Table 1, the four-reflector S-band 64-m system is rigorously analyzed [1]. The analysis considers the S-band feedhorn radiation pattern as scattered from the S/X reflex-dichroic ellipsoidal and dichroic reflectors, and the conventional subreflector and main reflector. This analysis provides an efficiency subtotal as well as a pointing loss (squint). Pointing squint is an endemic effect in asymmetrically fed circular polarized antennas, and arises due to subtle cross-polarization field summations. The result is that the DSN antennas do not produce perfectly coaxial simultaneous S/X beams. Rather, in the usual operating configuration, the X-band beam peak is aimed as perfectly as possible and the simultaneous S-band beam peak is unavoidably displaced a few millidegrees. Tables 1 and 2 account for this operating configuration by including a small S-band squint loss term. In Table 1 the illumination amplitude efficiency is, notably, 82 percent, representing the tapered illumination characteristics of paraboloid/hyperboloid RF optics. Other small terms in Table 1 lead to an overall 64-m S-band prediction of 60.3-percent aperture efficiency (61.51-dBi absolute gain) at 2285 MHz.

In Table 2, the 70-m S-band performance increase due to dual-reflector shaping for uniform illumination is apparent in the illumination amplitude term — nearly 96 percent and fully 0.66 dB above the 64-m in Table 1 [2]. Additional 70-m improvements occur due to improved quadripod blockage and subreflector blocking terms. The subreflector is designed for reduced X-band backscatter over the angular range subtending the Cassegrain feedcones. At S-band, some backscatter improvement is realized. The S-band pointing squint effect is actually less for the shaped reflector 70-m system than it was for the 64-m. Table 2 shows an overall 70-m S-band prediction of 75.8-percent aperture efficiency (63.28-dBi absolute gain) at 2285 MHz. If the S-band beam is peaked, in instances where simultaneous S/X operation is not required, a small increase

to 76.1 percent is predicted. The design-expected 64–70-m Upgrade Project S-band gain performance increase is therefore 1.77 dB, which is comfortably above the Project goal of +1.4 dB.

III. 64/70-m X-Band Design-Expected Performances

A previous modification to the 64-m X-band system, employed for the Voyager Jupiter and subsequent encounters, was the dual-hybrid mode feedhorn [3]. At X-band, this modification provided 0.36 dB overall gain improvement over a conventional feed. Thus the 64-m X-band systems were already partially shaped by the use of the special, but somewhat narrowband dual hybrid mode feedhorn. In Table 3 this is reflected in the quite respectable 90-percent illumination amplitude term. Also in Table 3, the 64-m quadripod blockage term is treated the same as at S-band. Finally, Table 3 accepts the 64-m initial construction surface tolerances (main reflector panel manufacturing, setting, and hyperboloid manufacturing), even though 20 years have elapsed since the antennas were constructed, and with near certainty, the initial value (1.14-mm normal rms) used in Table 3 is too low. The overall 64-m X-band design-expected efficiency with initially specified surface tolerance remains high at 56.5 percent (72.55-dBi absolute gain) at 8420 MHz.

Table 4 gives the detailed X-band breakdown for the 70-m. Notably, the illumination amplitude efficiency is increased to a remarkable 98.2 percent when using an ordinary wide-band single-hybrid mode (corrugated) feedhorn (JPL standard, 22.37-dBi gain). The 70-m subreflector blockage is improved over the 64-m, as is the quadripod blockage.

Additional and most valuable information became available for the 70-m antennas based on 12-GHz holographic imaging performed under contract by Eikontech, Ltd., of Sheffield, U.K. High-resolution aperture surface current (intensity) mapping revealed slightly wider quadripod shadowing than initially expected. An adjustment for 70-m quadripod blockage based on holography is included in Table 4 as well as in Table 2. The adjustment combined with the original estimate still predicts a 70-m quadripod efficiency improvement from 64-m (85.6 percent to 90.1 percent), a result of considerable care with quadripod structural design. While it might be argued that the 12-GHz-based adjustment considered applicable at X-band should be further increased in the 70-m S-band estimate due to wavelength, this has not been done in Table 2 due to lack of quantitative information. Lastly, in Table 4, an as-built adjustment for the stovepipe feed is made [4]. The stovepipe feed is a compromise design to avoid repackaging the 64/70-m X-band feedcones. Dual reflector

shaping for uniform aperture illumination is not compatible with the previous 64-m dual-hybrid mode feedhorn. Rather, the JPL standard X-band feedhorn pattern (22.37 dBi) was selected to synthesize the shaped reflector contours and to provide detailed performance estimates.

The stovepipe compromise allowed minimal mechanical changes within the three X-band operational feedcones, but unfortunately results in a slightly greater forward spillover. The overall 70-m design-expected X-band efficiency is therefore 70.8 percent (74.32-dBi absolute gain) at 8420 MHz. Conceivably, the 70-m feedcones may eventually be upgraded, resulting in an increase in the (design potential) efficiency to 72.6 percent. A hypothetically perfect surface X-band 70-m antenna with the design feedhorn would provide 76.5-percent efficiency, which is considered excellent for a very-low-noise centerline-symmetric main reflector antenna optics design with offset and dichroic feeding.

The design-expected 64–70-m Upgrade Project X-band gain performance increase is therefore 1.77 dB, based on the originally specified 64-m surface tolerance. The Project goal of 1.9 dB was based on earlier pre-design estimates, which used a somewhat larger value for the 64-m surface tolerance.

IV. Accuracy of Design Expectations

The procedure adopted herein is to identify what are believed to be the top four uncertainties in the predictions described above, and by calculations or judgment attempt to estimate high confidence limits (peaks). The peaks are first simply added, which is of course unduly conservative. It is argued here that one-third of such peaks may be considered the likely or approximate probable error.

The estimating processes (P.O. or physical optics and GTD or geometrical theory of diffraction) that cover the computed subtotals seen in Tables 1 through 4 are considered accurate to about one percent (± 0.04 dB) for P.O., and in this instance ± 0.10 dB for GTD, at X-band. An analytic surface description of the shaped subreflector profile is used in GTD and is known to be a poor fit (at the 1-percent efficiency level) at X-band. The 64-m quadripod blockage efficiency is a poorly known factor. The likely performance is no better than the simple estimate, and could be 0.18 dB worse, based on recent 70-m experience discussed below. The 70-m quadripod is now better known due to holographic imaging, and the performance is not likely to be better than the simple estimate, and could be 0.07 dB worse.

Uncertainty about the effective surface tolerance, especially for the large main reflectors, not surprisingly makes the top four error list. For S-band this is not of significance, but the

X-band estimating is very sensitive to surface tolerances. For the 64-m the likely surface tolerance performance is certainly not better than that stated in Table 3, and could be 0.3 dB worse in the DSS-14 case and 0.5 dB worse in the DSS-43/63 case, based on limited holographic imaging. Limited holographic imaging on one of the 64-m antennas indicates that 1.6- to 1.7-mm rms (normal measure) was the likely condition just prior to decommissioning for the 70-m upgrade. For the 70-m antennas there is fairly clear and repeatable holographic imagery to support the estimated effective 0.7-mm rms (normal) at all three stations. Analysis of holographic imaging indicates that additional adjustment to 0.3 mm is possible as a theoretical limit; in practice, 0.4-mm rms may be achievable. It is considered that the 70-m surface performance at X-band cannot be better than quoted in Table 4, and could conceivably be 0.2 dB worse. Finally, all four antenna/band combinations estimated in Tables 1–4 undoubtedly suffer unmodeled factors: imperfect static focus alignment at the rigging angle, small losses due to panel gaps, mechanical hysteresis, and many other details. Included in the top four error estimating elements is another ± 1 percent (± 0.04 dB) to include at least some accounting for the many unmodeled small factors.

Table 5 summarizes results of the error estimating for DSN 64/70-m efficiency/gain design expectations. Both the conservative simple peak sums and the adopted likely one-third values are shown.

Taking the Table 5 low-end likely errors, Table 6 values are summarized as the design-expected (minimum-likely) area efficiencies to be expected from the various historic and present systems.

Tables 1 through 4 (design-expected) and Table 6 (design-expected minimum-likely) values need to be compared with field measured values. It is expected that the new 70-m antennas may achieve essentially 75-percent area efficiency at S-band, and nearly 70-percent at X-band.

V. Conclusion

On the basis of a very careful and complete analysis of the numerous parameters involved in the makeup of the 64- and 70-meter antenna efficiencies, updated design estimates of gain and aperture efficiency have been developed at both S- and X-band. The final predicted S- and X-band gain improvements were both 1.77 dB (coincidentally), whereas the very early pre-Project goals (based on poor knowledge of “component” efficiencies) were 1.4 dB and 1.9 dB, respectively. These design-gain improvements result from both larger antenna size (0.78 dB) and an increase of efficiency (0.99 dB), from 60 to 76 percent (S-band) and from 57 to 71 percent (X-band).

References

- [1] A. G. Cha, "Physical Optics Analysis of a Four-Reflector Antenna: Part I," *TDA Progress Report 42-84*, vol. October–December 1985, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, pp. 94–100, February 15, 1986.
- [2] A. G. Cha, "Physical Optics Analysis of a Four-Reflector Antenna: Part II," *TDA Progress Report 42-86*, vol. April–June 1986, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, pp. 231–243, August 15, 1986.
- [3] R. F. Thomas and D. A. Bathker, "A Dual-Hybrid Mode Feedhorn for DSN Antenna Performance Enhancement," *DSN Progress Report 42-22*, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, pp. 101–108, August 15, 1974.
- [4] F. Manshadi and R. Hartop, "Compound-Taper Feedhorn for the DSN 70-M Antennas," *TDA Progress Report 42-90*, vol. April–June 1987, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, pp. 81–88, August 15, 1987.

Table 1. Design-expected 64-m S-band efficiency

Item	Value	Notes
P.O. computed subtotal	0.7752	[1]
Includes:		
Rear spillover		0.998
Forward spillover		0.970
Illumination amplitude		0.824
Illumination phase		0.984
Cross polarization		0.999
m ≠ 1 modes		0.980
Central blockage	0.9616	
Quadripod blockage	0.8556	6.25 percent, 1.2 opacity
Dichroic reflectivity	0.9993	Relative to plane reflector
Surface reflectivities (4)	0.998	Painted aluminum alloy
Surface tolerances (4)	0.9875	1.26 mm normal (-0.055 dB)
Pointing squint	0.9897	X-band beam peaked
Waveguide dissipation	0.9795	
VSWR	0.9908	
TOTAL	0.603	(61.51 dBi at 2285 MHz)

Table 2. Design-expected 70-m S-band efficiency

Item	Value	Notes
P.O. computed subtotal	0.8925	[2]
Includes:		
Rear spillover		0.994
Forward spillover		0.959
Illumination amplitude		0.959
Illumination phase		0.994
Cross polarization		1.00
m ≠ 1 modes		0.980
Central blockage	0.983	
Quadripod blockage	0.9196	3.42 percent, 1.2 opacity
Holography adjustment	0.9795	5.1 percent effective
Dichroic reflectivity	0.9993	Relative to plane reflector
Surface reflectivities (4)	0.998	Painted aluminum alloy
Surface tolerances (4)	0.9946	0.86 mm normal (-0.024 dB)
Pointing squint	0.996	X-band beam peaked
Waveguide dissipation	0.9795	
VSWR	0.9908	
TOTAL	0.758	(63.28 dBi at 2285 MHz)

Table 3. Design-expected 64-m X-band efficiency

Item	Value	Notes
P.O. computed subtotal	0.8226	[3]
Includes:		
Rear spillover		0.995
Forward spillover		0.9577
Illumination amplitude		0.9008 Dual-hybrid horn
Illumination phase		0.967
Cross polarization		0.999
m ≠ 1 modes		0.992
Subreflector blockage	0.9616	
Quadripod blockage	0.8556	6.25 percent, 1.2 opacity
Dichroic dissipation	0.9954	
Dichroic VSWR	0.99	
Surface reflectivities (2)	0.998	Painted aluminum alloy
Surface tolerances (2)	0.8702	1.14 mm normal (-0.604 dB)
Pointing squint	1.000	X-band beam peaked
Waveguide dissipation	0.984	
VSWR	0.9908	
TOTAL	0.565	(72.55 dBi at 8420 MHz)

Table 4. Design-expected 70-m X-band efficiency

Item	Value	Notes
GTD computed subtotal	0.8974	
Includes:		
Rear spillover		0.997
Forward spillover		0.964
Illumination amplitude		0.982 Standard feedhorn
Illumination phase		0.989
Cross polarization		~1.000
m ≠ 1 modes		0.996
Subreflector blockage	0.988	
Quadripod blockage	0.9196	3.42 percent, 1.2 opacity
Holography adjustment	0.9795	5.1 percent effective
Dichroic dissipation	0.9954	
Dichroic VSWR	0.99	
Surface reflectivities (2)	0.998	Painted aluminum alloy
Surface tolerances (2)	0.9489	0.7 mm normal (-0.228 dB)
Pointing squint	1.000	X-band beam peaked
Waveguide dissipation	0.984	
VSWR	0.9908	
Stovepipe feed compromise	0.975	-0.11 dB
TOTAL	0.708	(74.32 dBi at 8420 MHz)

Table 5. Estimated errors for 64/70-m design expectations

Antenna/Band	Estimated peak sum error, dB	Estimated likely error, dB	Reference
64-m/S-band	+0.08	+0.03	Table 1
	-0.26	-0.09	
70-m/S-band	+0.08	+0.03	Table 2
	-0.15	-0.05	
64-m/X-band (DSS-14)	+0.08	+0.03	Table 3
	-0.56	-0.19	
64-m/X-band (DSS-43/63)	+0.08	+0.03	Table 3
	-0.76	-0.25	
70-m/X-band	+0.14	+0.05	Table 4
	-0.41	-0.14	

Table 6. Estimated minimum-likely efficiencies for 64/70-m systems

DSN system	Antenna	Design-expected (estimated minimum-likely) efficiency
64-m S-band	All antennas	0.591
70-m S-band	All antennas	0.749
64-m X-band	DSS-14	0.541
64-m X-band	DSS-43/63	0.533
70-m X-band	All antennas	0.686