BAGA 67-183446

189400-39-F

Final Report

### SPATIAL CHARACTERIZATION OF ACID RAIN STRESS IN CANADIAN SHIELD LAKES

F.J. TANIS, Principal Investigator E.M. MARSHALL Advanced Concepts Division MARCH 1989

(NASA-CP+103446) SPATIAL CHAPACIENIZATION NOU-10479 OF ACTU RAIN STRESS IN CANADIAN SHIFLD LAKES Finel Report (FRIM) 17. p CSCL 138

> Unclus 63/45 0234340

NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center Code 620 Greenbelt Road Greenbelt, MD 20771 Contract No. NAS5-28779 Technical Officer: Mr. Harold Oserfoff



• • .

Uncl	ass	ifi	ed	
			· ·	

•

\_\_\_\_

----

REPORT DOCUM	ENTATION PAGE			OMB No. 0704-0188
	1b. RESTRICTIVE M	RKINGS		
Inclassified	None			
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY	3. DISTRIBUTION/A	VAILABILITY OF RE	PUNI	
N/A	Unlimited			
D. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	Unimitied			
N/A	5 MONITORING OR	GANIZATION REPOR	RT NUMBER(	S)
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS(S)				
189400-39-F	N/A			
NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF MON	TORING ORGANIZA	TION	
Environmental Research (if applicable)	N / A			
Institute of Michigan	N/A	State and 7IP Cod	e)	
ic. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	76. ADDRESS (City	, State, and Zir Goo	.,	
P.O. Box 8618	N/A			
3300 Plymouth Road	,			
Ann Arbor, MI 40107	9. PROCUREMENT	INSTRUMENT IDEN	TIFICATION	NUMBER
3a. NAME OF FUNDING /SPUNSURING (if applicable)				
NASA/Goddard Space Ctr. N/A	N/A			
AC ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	10. SOURCE OF FL	INDING NUMBERS	TACK	WORK UNIT
Greenbelt Road	PROGRAM	NO.	NO.	ACCESSION
Greenbelt, MD 20771	ELEMENT NO.			
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)				
11. TITLE (include Security classification)	tress in Cana	adian Shiel	d Lakes	5
Spatial Characterization of Actu Ram S				
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)				
F.J. Tanis and E.M. Marshall				
13a TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT			15	PAGE COUNT
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED	14. DATE OF REPORT	(Year, Month, Day)	15	PAGE COUNT $x + 102$
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED Final Report FROM <u>8-86 to 12-88</u>	14 DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1	15	PAGE COUNT x + 102
13a. TYPE OF REPORT13b. TIME COVEREDFinal ReportFROM 8-86 to 12-8816. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	14 DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc	(Year, Month, Day) h,1	15	PAGE COUNT x + 102
13a. TYPE OF REPORT13b. TIME COVEREDFinal ReportFROM 8-86 to 12-8816. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	14 DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc	' (Year, Month, Day) h,1	15	PAGE COUNT x + 102
13a. TYPE OF REPORT     13b. TIME COVERED       Final Report     FROM 8-86 to 12-88       16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION       17.     COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 erse if necessary	15 and identif	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number,
13a. TYPE OF REPORT     13b. TIME COVERED       Final Report     FROM 8-86_T0_12-88       16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION       17.     COSATI CODES       18. SUBJECT TERMS       FIELD     GROUP       SUB-GROUP     acid rain	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi	(Year. Month. Day) h, 1 Frse if necessary ng, optica	and identii	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number, parency,
13a. TYPE OF REPORT     13b. TIME COVERED       Final Report     FROM 8-86 TO 12-88       16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION       17.     COSATI CODES       FIELD     GROUP       SUB-GROUP       acid rain,       1ake monito	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 <i>(Continue on reve</i> remote sensi ring	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 wrse if necessary ng, optica	and identii trans	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number, parency,
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86 to 12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         FIELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP         acid rain,         lake monito	14 DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi ring	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 erse if necessary ng, optica	and identii trans	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number, parency,
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86_T0_12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         FIELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP         18. SUBJECT TERMS         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi ring v block number)	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 <i>Trse if necessary</i> ng, optica	and identii trans	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number) parency,
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86_TO_12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         FIELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by         The lake scidification in North	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi ring 6 block number) ern Ontario	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rrse if necessary ng, optica has been i	and identia trans	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number, parency, jated
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86 to 12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         FIELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by         The lake acidification in North         Using Landsat TM to sense lake yolu	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi ring block number) ern Ontario me reflectar	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rse if necessary ng, optica has been i ice and als	and identii trans	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number, parency, pated rovide
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86_TO_12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         acid rain,         1ake monito         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by         The lake acidification in North         using Landsat TM to sense lake volu         important vegetation and terrain ch	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi ring block number) hern Ontario me reflectar aracteristic	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rse if necessary ng, optica has been i ice and als s. The pu	and identii and identii trans nvestig o to pr rpose c	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number parency, pated povide of this
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86_TO_12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         acid rain,         1ake monito         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by         The lake acidification in North         using Landsat TM to sense lake volu         important vegetation and terrain ch         project was to determine the abilit	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi ring block number) hern Ontario me reflectar haracteristic y of Landsat	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rse if necessary ng, optica has been i ice and als s. The pu to assess	and identified and identified trans	PAGE COUNT x + 102 <i>ty by block number</i> parency, pated covide of this quality
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86_TO_12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         FIELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by         The lake acidification in North         using Landsat TM to sense lake volu         important vegetation and terrain ch         project was to determine the abilit         characteristics associated with lake	14 DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 5 (Continue on reve remote sensi ring block number) tern Ontario me reflectan aracteristic y of Landsat ce acidificat	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rrse if necessary ng, optica has been i ce and als s. The pu to assess cion. Resu	and identia and identia trans trans o to pr rpose c water lts fro	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number parency, parency, gated covide of this quality om this mitic
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86 TO 12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18.       SUBJECT TERMS         FIELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP       acid rain, lake monito         19.       ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by The lake acidification in North using Landsat TM to sense lake volu important vegetation and terrain ch project was to determine the abilit characteristics associated with lake study demonstrate that a remote ser	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi- ring block number) ern Ontario me reflectan aracteristic cy of Landsat (ce acidificat asor can disc	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rse if necessary ng, optica has been i ce and als c. The pu to assess ion. Resu criminate 1	and identia and identia trans trans o to pr rpose c water lts fro ake cla	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number parency, gated rovide of this quality om this arity arity
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86_TO_12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         acid rain,         18. SUBJECT TERMS         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by         The lake acidification in North         using Landsat TM to sense lake volu         important vegetation and terrain ch         project was to determine the abilit         characteristics associated with lak         study demonstrate that a remote ser         based upon reflection.	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi ring block number) tern Ontario me reflectan aracteristic cy of Landsat (c) and ison asor can dison hypothesis ison	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rse if necessary ng, optica has been i ice and als is. The pu to assess tion. Resu triminate l is that seas	and identiant and identiant trans trans o to pr rpose c water lts from ake cla onal an	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number parency, parency, parenty, paren
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86_TO_12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         acid rain,         18. SUBJECT TERMS         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by         The lake acidification in North         using Landsat TM to sense lake volu         important vegetation and terrain ch         project was to determine the abilit         characteristics associated with lak         study demonstrate that a remote ser         based upon reflection. Our basic h         year changes in lake optical transpondent	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi ring block number) hern Ontario me reflectan aracteristic y of Landsat (ce acidificat hsor can disc hypothesis is barency are	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rse if necessary ng, optica has been i ice and als is. The pu to assess tion. Resu triminate 1 s that seas indicative	and identia and identia trans trans o to pr rpose o water lts fro ake cla onal an of sens	PAGE COUNT x + 102 <i>Ty by block number</i> parency, parency, parenty, of this quality om this arity nd multi- sitivity
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86_TO_12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         FIELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP       acid rain, lake monito         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by The lake acidification in North using Landsat TM to sense lake volu important vegetation and terrain ch project was to determine the abilit characteristics associated with lak study demonstrate that a remote ser based upon reflection. Our basic h year changes in lake optical transp to acidic deposition. In many acid	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 5.(Continue on reve remote sensi ring block number) tern Ontario me reflectan aracteristic ty of Landsat te acidificat asor can disc hypothesis is barency are d-sensitive	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rse if necessary ng, optica has been i ice and als s. The pu to assess tion. Resu triminate l s that seas indicative lakes optic	and identia and identia trans trans o to pr rpose o water lts fro ake cla onal an of sens al tran	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number parency, parency, gated ported parency, parenty multi- sitivity nsparency resent.
13a TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86 TO 12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         FIELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP       acid rain, lake monito         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by The lake acidification in North using Landsat TM to sense lake volu important vegetation and terrain ch project was to determine the abilit characteristics associated with lake study demonstrate that a remote ser based upon reflection. Our basic h year changes in lake optical transpito acidic deposition. In many acid is controlled by the amount of dise	14 DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi- ring block number) dern Ontario me reflectant aracteristic cy of Landsatt ce acidificat hoor can disc barency are d-sensitive solved organi- ansparency of the sensitive solved organi-	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rse if necessary ng, optica has been i ce and als s. The pu to assess tion. Resu triminate l s that seas indicative lakes optic ic carbon ( f lakes can	and identia and identia trans trans o to pr rpose c water lts fro ake cla onal an of sens al tran DOC) p	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number parency, parency, gated portide of this quality om this arity nd multi- sitivity nsparency resent. tially
13a TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86_TO_12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         acid rain,         18. SUBJECT TERMS         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by         The lake acidification in North         using Landsat TM to sense lake volu         important vegetation and terrain ch         project was to determine the abilit         characteristics associated with lak         study demonstrate that a remote ser         based upon reflection. Our basic h         year changes in lake optical transp         to acidic deposition. In many acid         is controlled by the amount of diss         Seasonal changes in the optical transp	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi ring block number) tern Ontario me reflectan aracteristic cy of Landsat (c) acidificat asor can disc bypothesis is parency are d-sensitive solved organ ansparency or s due to acid	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rse if necessary ng, optica has been i ice and als s. The pu to assess tion. Resu to assess tion. Resu to assess tion. Resu to assess tion. Resu to assess tion ( to assess tion ( f lakes can d depositio	and identia and identia trans trans o to pr rpose c water lts fro ake cla onal an of sens al tran DOC) pr poten on and	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number parency, parency, parenty of this quality om this arity nd multi- sitivity nsparency resent. tially loading.
13a TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86_TO_12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         FIELD       GROUP         SUB-GROUP         acid rain,         18. SUBJECT TERMS         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by         The lake acidification in North         using Landsat TM to sense lake volu         important vegetation and terrain ch         project was to determine the abilit         characteristics associated with lak         study demonstrate that a remote ser         based upon reflection. Our basic h         year changes in lake optical transp         to acidic deposition. In many acid         is controlled by the amount of diss         Seasonal changes in the optical transp         provide an indication of the stress	14 DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi ring block number) hern Ontario me reflectan aracteristic cy of Landsat (ce acidificat hypothesis is barency are d-sensitive solved organ ansparency of s due to acid	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rse if necessary ng, optica has been i ice and als is. The pu to assess tion. Resu to assess tion. Resu that seas indicative lakes optic ic carbon ( f lakes can d depositic	nvestig o to pr rpose c water lts fro ake cla onal ar of sens al trai DOC) p poten on and	PAGE COUNT x + 102 Ty by block number, parency, parency, parency, parity of this quality om this arity nd multi- sitivity nsparency resent. tially loading.
13a TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86_TO_12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         acid rain,         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by         The lake acidification in North         uimportant vegetation and terrain ch         project was to determine the abilit         characteristics associated with lak         study demonstrate that a remote ser         based upon reflection.       Our basic h         year changes in lake optical transp         to acidic deposition.       In many acid         is controlled by the amount of diss         Seasonal changes in the optical transp         provide an indication of the stress	14 DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc A continue on reve remote sensi- ring a block number) thern Ontario me reflectant aracteristic ty of Landsatt (c) acidificat aracteristic ty of Landsatt (c) acidificat bor can disc bor can disc b	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rse if necessary ng, optica has been i ce and als s. The pu to assess tion. Resu to assess tion. Resu that seas indicative lakes optic ic carbon ( f lakes can d depositic	and identia and identia trans trans trans o to pr rpose c water lts fro ake cla onal an of sens al tran DOC) p poten on and	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number, parency, parency, gated of this quality om this arity nd multi- sitivity nsparency resent. tially loading.
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86 TO 12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17.       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by         The lake acidification in North         using Landsat TM to sense lake volu         important vegetation and terrain ch         project was to determine the abilit         characteristics associated with lak         study demonstrate that a remote ser         based upon reflection. Our basic h         year changes in lake optical transp         to acidic deposition. In many acid         is controlled by the amount of diss         Seasonal changes in the optical transp         provide an indication of the stress	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 3. (Continue on reve remote sensi ring block number) tern Ontario me reflectan aracteristic cy of Landsat (ca acidificat aracteristic cy of Landsat (ca acidificat aracteristic solved organ ansparency or s due to acid 21. ABSTRACT unclassi	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rse if necessary ng, optica has been i ice and als s. The pu to assess tion. Resu triminate l s that seas indicative lakes optic ic carbon ( f lakes can d depositic SECURITY CLASSIF fied	and identia and identia trans trans o to pr rpose c water lts fro ake cla onal an of sens al tran DOC) pr poten on and	PAGE COUNT x + 102 fy by block number, parency, parency, parency, duality om this arity nd multi- sitivity nsparency resent. tially loading.
13a. TYPE OF REPORT       13b. TIME COVERED         Final Report       FROM 8-86TO_12-88         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         17       COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS         acid rain,         19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by         The lake acidification in North         using Landsat TM to sense lake volu         important vegetation and terrain ch         project was to determine the abilit         characteristics associated with lake         study demonstrate that a remote ser         based upon reflection. Our basic f         year changes in lake optical transp         to acidic deposition. In many acid         is controlled by the amount of diss         Seasonal changes in the optical transp         provide an indication of the stress	14. DATE OF REPORT 1989, Marc 6 (Continue on reve remote sensi ring block number) hern Ontario me reflectan aracteristic y of Landsat (c) acidificat haracteristic sor can disc hypothesis is barency are d-sensitive solved organ ansparency of s due to acid 21. ABSTRACT unclassi 22b. TELEPHOF	(Year, Month, Day) h, 1 rse if necessary ng, optica has been i ce and als s. The pu to assess tion. Resu to assess tion. Resu that seas indicative lakes optic ic carbon ( f lakes can d depositic SECURITY CLASSIF fied	and identia and identia trans trans o to pr rpose of water lts fro ake cla onal ar of sens al tran DOC) p poten on and	PAGE COUNT x + 102 Ty by block number parency, parency, parency, parency of this quality om this arity nd multi- sitivity nsparency resent. tially loading.

•



### FOREWORD

The authors wish to acknowledge the helpfulness of the many individuals who contributed to this study and especially John Fortescue of the Ontario Geological Survey who organized and coordinated the August 1986 field measurements and to John Colwell and Norm Roller of ERIM who developed the approach to eco-physical stratification and who also reviewed this final report.

### PRECEDITAL PACE PLANK NUT FILMED

PAGE | \_\_\_\_INTENTIONALLY BLANK

-----

**.** 1

-----

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	TECHNI	CAL SUMMARY	1
2.0	INTROD 2.1 2.2 2.3	UCTION STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTIVES BACKGROUND 2.3.1 PH 2.3.2 Aluminum 2.3.3 Dissolved Organic Carbon 2.3.4 Alkalinity 2.3.5 Optical Effects	5 5 5 7 7 8 8 9
	2.4 2.5	DATA COLLECTED DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY REGION 2.5.1 Sudbury Site 2.5.2 Algoma Site 2.5.3 Dorset Site 2.5.4 Wawa Site	10 11 13 14 14
	2.6	SUPPORTING RESEARCH	15
	2.7	STUDY ORGANIZATION	16
	2.8	STUDY PARTICIPANTS	17
3.0	ECO-PHY 3.1 3.2 3.3	SICAL CHARACTERIZATION OBJECTIVE PROCEDURE STRATIFICATION OF ECO-PHYSICAL FEATURES 3.3.1 Vegetation and Percent Cover 3.3.2 Sulfate Deposition 3.3.3 Bedrock and Soil	21 21 21 22 23 24 28
	3.4	COMPOSITE MAP CONSTRUCTION	29
	3.5	SENSITIVITY INDEX MODEL	31
	3.6	CLUSTERING OF MODEL SENSITIVITY VALUES	32
	3.7	SAMPLE SITE SELECTION	35
4.0	DATA C	OLLECTION METHODS	47
	4.1	LAKE SAMPLING STRATEGY	47
	4.2	SUBSURFACE OPTICAL MEASUREMENTS	48
	4.3	AIRBORNE RADIOMETER MEASUREMENTS	49
	4.4	LANDSAT TM ACQUISITIONS	49
	4.5	DATA QUALITY MEASURES	50
5.0	SUBSURF.	ACE AND AIRBORNE RADIOMETRIC DATA REDUCTION	51
	5.1	MER DATA REDUCTION	51
	5.2	TRANSMISSOMETER DATA REDUCTION	54
	5.3	PROBAR DATA REDUCTION	55

**ERIM** 

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

- -----

6.0	LANDSA 6.1 6.2 6.3	T TM PROCESSING METHODS         LAKE SIGNATURE EXTRACTION         SOLAR ELEVATION ANGLE CORRECTION         ATMOSPHERIC HAZE CORRECTIONS	59 59 60 60
7.0	DEVELOI 7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4	PMENT OF A BIO-OPTICAL REFLECTANCE MODEL         REFLECTANCE MODEL         MODEL CALIBRATION         MODEL EXTENSION WITH PROBAR DATA         REFLECTANCE SENSITIVITY TO CHANGES IN WATER         CHEMISTRY	61 61 64 64 67
	7.5	MODEL-PREDICTED SENSITIVITY OF TM	70
8.0	ANALYS	IS OF RADIOMETRIC DATA RELATIONSHIPS CHARACTERIZATION OF WATER CHEMISTRY OF STUDY	73
	8.2 8.3 8.4	AREA LAKESANALYSIS OF SUBSURFACE IRRADIANCE MEASUREMENTS ANALYSIS OF SURFACE MEASUREMENT DATA THE COMPARISON OF SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE	73 73 79
	8.5 8.6	MEASUREMENTS ANALYSIS OF TM MEASUREMENTS MULTITEMPORAL RELATIONSHIPS 8.6.1 MER Multitemporal Analysis	79 83 83 83
	8.7	8.6.2 TM Multitemporal Analysis ANALYSIS OF TRANSMISSOMETER ATTENUATION DATA	85 89
9.0		IS OF ECO-PHYSICAL CLUSTERS	93
	9.2	PHYSICAL CLUSTERS	93
	9.3	PHYSICAL CLUSTERS	94
	9.4	DIFFERENCES AND ECO-PHYSICAL CLUSTERS Analysis of TM Signal Changes Due to Acid	95
	9.5	Deposition ChangesANALYSIS OF DOC REFLECTANCE SENSITIVITY	95 96
10.0	CONCLU 10.1 10.2 10.3	USIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS GENERAL CONCLUSION SPECIFIC CONCLUSIONS RECOMMENDATIONS	99 99 99 101
REFER	ENCES	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	103
APPEN	DIX A:	ECO-PHYSICAL CLUSTER ANALYSIS	A-1
APPEN	DIX B:	PROBAR REFLECTANCE DATA	B-1

\_\_\_\_\_

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Concluded)

APPENDIX C:	SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR THE ECO-PHYSICAL POLYGON CLUSTER ANALYSIS	C-1
APPENDIX D:	WATER CHEMISTRY DATA	D-1
APPENDIX E:	TRANSMISSOMETER DATA DERIVED TRANSMISSION AND ATTENUATION COEFFICIENTS	E-1
APPENDIX F:	MER-SUBSURFACE SPECTRAL RADIOMETER MULTI- TEMPORAL LAKE REFLECTANCES	F <b>-</b> 1
APPENDIX G:	LAKE EXTRACTED TM SIGNAL VALUES AND ATMOSPHERIC CORRECTED VALUES	G-1

\_\_\_\_\_

### LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	The Location of the Three Study Areas	12
2.2	Study Organization	18
3.1	The Annual Deposition (G/M**2) of Sulfate in Ontario (from Chan, Tang and Lusis, 1983)	25
3.2	The Stratification Procedure	30
3.3	Color Code for Test Site Clusters	37
3.4	The Algoma Area Clusters and Sampling Sites	39
3.5	The Sudbury Area Clusters and Sampling Site	41
3.6	The Algonquin Area Clusters and Sampling Sites	43
5.1	Downwelling Irradiance Attenuation $K_{d}(\lambda)$	52
5.2	Subsurface Reflectance R( $\lambda$ )	53
7.1	Absorption Cross Sections for Chlorophyll-a, DOC, Suspended Minerals, and the Absorption Coefficient of Pure Water	62
7.2	Backscatter Cross Sections for Chlorophyll-a, Suspended Minerals, and the Backscatter Coefficient of Pure Water.	63
7.3	Reflectance Model for Dissolved Organic Carbon	66
7.4	Model Predicted Versus PROBAR Predicted Subsurface Reflectance at 440nm. PROBAR Data Collected from Algoma and Sudbury Site, August 1986	68
7.5	Model Predicted Versus PROBAR Predicted Subsurface Reflectance at 470nm. PROBAR Data Collected from Algoma and Sudbury Site, August 1986	69
7.6	Sensitivity of Reflectance to Changes in DOC Concentration for a Clear Lake Typical of the Sudbury Site	71
8.1	Dissolved Organic Carbon Versus pH Value for Water Samples Collected from Algoma and Sudbury Sites, August 1986	75

PAGE VIII INTENTIONALLY BLANK

LIST OF FIGURES (Concluded)

......

8.2	Spectral Reflectance for Sunnywater Lake as Derived from MER Data Collected 13 August 1986	77
8.3	Spectral Reflectance for Center Lake as Derived from MER Data Collected 22 August 1986	77
8.4	Comparison of MER and PROBAR Derived Spectral Relfectances	79
8.5	TM Band 1 Versus Dissolved Organic Carbon Using the August 13, 1986 (P19, R27) and August 18, 1986 (P22, R27) Data Sets	84
8.6	TM Band 1 Versus Dissolved Organic Carbon Using the May 12, 1987 (P19, R27) Scene Data	86
8.7	TM Band 1 Versus Dissolved Organic Carbon Using the June 13, 1987 (P19, R27) Scene Data	87
8.8	TM Band 1 Multitemporal (August 13, 1986 and May 22, 1985) Differences Versus DOC Concentration Sudbury Field Site August 1986 Water Chemistry Data	90
8.9	Beam Attenuation Coefficient Versus Suspended Solids Concentration 1987 Spring/Summer Data	91
9.1	Mean DOC Induced Reflectance Sensitivity for Each Eco- Physical Strata Estimates Based upon August 1986 Water Chemistry Measurements	97
D.1	MER and PROBAR Sampling Stations for the Algoma Site	D-11
D.2	MER and PROBAR Sampling Stations for the Sudbury Site	D-13
F.1	Smoothwater Lake	F-2
F.2	Whitepine #1 Lake	F-4
F.3	Sunnywater Lake	F-6
F.4	Wolf Lake	F-8
F.5	North Yorkston Lake	F-10
F.6	Whitepine #2 Lake	F-12
F.7	Dougherty Lake	F-14
F.8	Centre Lake	F-16

**DERIM** 

### LIST OF TABLES

	and the second sec	23
3.1	Vegetation and Percentage Cover Sensitivities	20
3.2	Sensitivity Values of Sulfate Desposition Levels	24
3.3	Bedrock Sensitivity Categories	26
3.4	Soil Depth Categories	27
3.5	Bedrock/Soil Sensitivity Index Values	28
3.6	Topographic Relief Categories	29
3.7	Relief Sensitivity Values	29
3.8	Sensitivity Ratings and Type Values for the Ten Significantly Different Clusters	33
3.9	Cluster Classes	35
4.1	Image Tapes Requested from NASA GSFC Landsat Office	50
6.1	Thematic Mapper Data Extracted	59
7.1	Reflectance Model Coefficients	65
7.2	Comparision of PROBAR and MER Model Coefficients	67
7.3	Predicted Changes in Reflectance and TM Band 1 Counts	72
8.1	Pearson Correlation Coefficient for Water Chemistry Parameters with their Significance Probabilities Given Directly Below Each Value	74
8.2	Pearson Correlation Coefficient for Water Chemistry Parameters with MER Derived Reflectances	76
8.3	Coefficients for Subsurface Reflectance Model using MER Data	78
8.4	Pearson Correlation Coefficient for Water Chemistry Parameters with PROBAR Derived Reflectances	81
9.1	Results for Tukey's Studentized Range Test for Significantly Different Mean Water-Quality Parameters	93
9.2	TM Relationships to Eco-Physical Sensitivity, August TM 1 Data	94



-----

LIST OF TABLES (Concluded)

9.3	TM Relationships to Eco-Physical Sensitivity Analysis of Variance of August-May Differences	95
B.1	Corrected PROBAR Reflectances Above the Water Surface and Water Chemistry Data	B-2
B.2	PROBAR Subsurface Predicted Reflectances	B-7
C.1	Summary Statistics on Each Cluster, Maximum Like- lihood Cluster Analysis	C-2
D.1	August 1986 WQ Data Collected from the Algoma and Sudbury Sites	D-2
D.2	May-June 1987 WQ Data Collected from Selected Lakes in the Sudbury Site	D-9
G.1	Sudbury Quad 3, August 13, 1986, Raw TM Signals and Standard Deviations	G-2
G.2	Algoma Quad 4, August 18, 1986, Raw TM Signals and Standard Deviations	G-6
G.3	Sudbury Quad 3, May 12, 1987, Raw TM Signals and Standard Deviations	G-7
G.4	Sudbury Quad 3, June 13, 1987, Raw TM Signals and Standard Deviations	G-8
G.5	Sudbury Quad 3, August 13, 1986, Corrected TM Signals and Standard Deviations	G-8
G.6	Algoma Quad 4, August 18, 1986, Corrected TM Signals and Standard Deviations	G-9
G.7	Sudbury Quad 3, May 12, 1987, Corrected TM Signals and Standard Deviations	G-10
G.8	Sudbury Quad 3, June 13, 1987, Corrected TM Signals and Standard Deviations	G-11



### 1.0 TECHNICAL SUMMARY

The lake acidification in Northern Ontario has been investigated using Landsat TM to sense lake volume reflectance and also to provide important vegetation and terrain characteristics. The purpose of this project was to determine the ability of Landsat to assess water quality characteristics associated with lake acidification. Our basic hypothesis is that seasonal and multi-year changes in lake optical transparency are indicative of reaction to acidic deposition. Results from this study demonstrate that a remote sensor can discriminate lake transparency based upon measured reflectance. In many acid sensitive lakes, optical transparency is controlled by the amount of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) present. DOC is a strong absorbing nonscattering material which has the greatest impact at short visible wavelengths including TM band one. Acid sensitive lakes have high concentrations of aluminum, which have been mobilized by acidic components contained in the runoff. Aluminum complexing with DOC is considered to be the primary mechanism to account for increased lake transparency.

When eco-physical properties developed from vegetation, soil/ bedrock, sulfate deposition, and topographic relief characteristics were stratified across the study regions, it was determined that these regions could be described as ten separate environments based upon a simple acid sensitivity index model. This classification of the environment predicts location of regions containing acid sensitive lakes. The spatial co-occurrence of acid sensitive eco-physical parameters showed that acidification of a lake is driven mostly by local geology and soil conditions and less by the rate of sulfate deposition. Geologies which are weather resistant containing quartz rich sandstones and other quartz rock with bare or shallow sandy soils are most susceptible to regional acid deposition. These geologies produce naturally very low buffered acid sensitive lakes, contain very low amounts of DOC, and tend to have lower values of pH.

This study involved gathering an extensive amount of supporting data from 1986 and 1987. During August 1986, data were gathered from several sites representative of the range of ecosystems found in Northern Ontario. These data include limnological parameters, subsurface spectral irradiance, subsurface beam attenuation, airborne radiometry, and Landsat TM coverage. Based on these data, lake reflectance was modelled in terms of DOC and chlorophyll-a pigment concentrations. It was demonstrated that acid lakes having abnormally small amounts of DOC show greater reflectance than lakes with normal pH and DOC values. Significant correlation was found between in-situ and above surface lake volume reflectances. The model-predicted changes in TM band one signal response were consistent with observed values.

A second data set was gathered during May and June of 1987 on eight lakes to observe possible seasonal changes in subsurface and Landsat TM reflectance measurements. It was expected that spring runoff would produce decreases in DOC concentration and an increase in reflectance as a result of aluminum complexing. Actually, seasonal changes in TM observations of the lakes were very small as were the changes in the subsurface reflectance data. The significance of these changes was doubtful. In addition, little seasonal change could be demonstrated in lake water chemistry from May to June for this data set. Many of these latter constituent concentrations were near the reported lower limit of detection. During the winter of 1986 and 1987, the precipitation was particularly anomalous. Lack of snow during the winter left water levels down an average of three to four feet in the Sudbury area during spring, 1987. The lack of snow and subsequent runoff may explain the absence of a seasonal change in TM reflectance. More extensive seasonal observations are necessary to validate the season transparency hypothesis.

An historical TM scene pair (1985-1986), however, did demonstrate multi-year changes that were consistent with expected changes in water chemistry, but lacks the chemistry and in situ optical data needed for



hypothesis validation. Lakes displaying the greatest TM changes are also the ones which were identified to be in acid sensitive strata. We conclude that there is likely some seasonal changes in transparency which can be related to the acidification process but it is also likely that year to year variability is significant. Strong relationships were found between chemical and optical properties of sampled lakes and the eco-physical strata within a single date. Optical transparency in clear acidified lakes is sensitive to water guality changes.

Results show that a remote sensor can discriminate clear acid lakes from colored high DOC lakes based upon reflection. The clear acid lakes may be naturally clear. TM signals were found to be generally higher for these lakes due to higher volume reflectance and greater effective transparency. Subsurface and airborne spectral reflectance measurements confirm this result. High DOC lakes in the same sensitive environments are less prone to pH change and certainly to changes in reflectance. Many of these lakes were originally acidic and will remain so but seem to be less impacted by acid deposition than the clearer low DOC lakes. Both lake types can be distinguished by remote sensing but it is necessary to first stratify the region to identify the acid sensitive environments. When stratification of ecophysical properties is used to identify acid sensitive areas TM can be used to pick lakes which are likely to be most sensitive to acid deposition and which also are indicators of temporal change.

The opportunities for using TM to monitor multitemporal lake reflectance changes remains positive but additional data collections are considered necessary to confirm or deny the interpretations made in the present study. However, it is apparent that remote sensing of lake reflectance provides a means to identify many of these lakes and to possibly monitor their decline or recovery over extended period of time.

-------------



### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

### 2.1 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The acidification of lake waters from airborne pollutants is of continental proportions both in North America and Europe. A major problem with acid deposition is the cumulative ecosystem damage to lakes and forests. The number of lakes affected by this in northeastern United States and on the Canadian Shield is thought to be enormous.

#### 2.2 STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTIVES

This research had three principal objectives. First, determine how lake constituent concentration and lake transparency are related to annual acidic load. Second, investigate the utility of Thematic Mapper (TM) based observations to measure changes in the optical transparency in acid lakes. Third, examine the relationships between variations in lake acidification and eco-physical properties.

#### 2.3 BACKGROUND

Previous investigations have suggested that DOC, which originates from the dissolution of humic substances, controls transparency in many Canadian Shield Lakes (Howard and Perley, 1982). It has also been established that aluminum, which is abundant in the local rocks and soils, is easily mobilized by acidic components contained in spring runoff (Hendry and Brezonik, 1984). The presence of any significant amount of aluminum induces a loss of DOC from the water column by coagulation and complexing resulting in increased optical transparency. This process has not been observed in lakes with normal pH levels associated with buffered geologies. In a normal lake, transparency would tend to decrease in time with the seasonal phytoplankton productivity cycle. Thus seasonal changes in the optical transparency of lakes should potentially provide an indication of the stress due to acid deposition.

### PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

5

FASE 4 MERINALLY BLANK

The potential for this optical response is related to a number of local eco-physical features with soil/geology being, perhaps, the most important. Other important factors include sulfate deposition, vegetation type, vegetation cover, and topographic relief. The area of northern Ontario under study contains a wide variety of geologies from acid-sensitive quartzite to acid-insensitive dolomite. Annual sulfate deposition ranges from 1.0 to 4.0 grams per square meter (Environmental '82 Committee, 1982).

An acidifying lake undergoes a process of decay known as oligotrophication. Fewer and fewer ions of acid within the lake can be neutralized by the biological community. Increasing acidity further hampers the normal biological processes. Even though the acidity is not yet fatal to most fish, the lake is considered acid-sensitive and scientists would most like to monitor a lake at this delicate point. An acid-sensitive lake is thought to have, in general, high aluminum ion concentrations, low pH values, low alkalinity concentrations, and low DOC concentrations.

Several investigators including Almer [1974], Malley [1982], Schofield [1972], and Yan [1983] have reported a reduction in water attenuation with acidification. Almer proposed that the changes resulted from probable interaction between aluminum mobilized in the watershed and DOC and argued that an aqueous solution with pH below 5 will result in the precipitation of humic substances (such as DOC) from the water column. At pH's above 5.5 the aluminum, as aluminum hydroxide, will precipitate from the water column. The concentration of soluble aluminum will increase significantly if watershed soils are acidified and thus there is correlation between dissolved aluminum and lake pH. Acidified lakes with high concentrations of aluminum should also be relatively clear because of the complexing reductions of DOC. Almer, however, suggests in lakes with very high humus the aluminum complexing does not result in precipitation. Effler's [et.al., 1985] description of experiments in Dart Lake not only confirm the strong relationship between DOC and lake transparency but also demonstrate

### ERIM

the coagulation/adsorption of DOC by aluminum. The following discussions relate how chemical and optical properties will be effected by the acidification process.

### 2.3.1 PH

Many lakes in the Northern Ontario region have experienced a 100-fold increase in acidity (i.e., from pH=6.8 to pH=4.4) in one decade. Much of this is due to abnormally acidic atmospheric deposition and the low buffering capacity of the Shield. The present average acid deposition over Ontario has a pH level of 4, which is ten times more acidic than normal rain and 1000 times more acidic than neutral water. Two classifications of lakes based on pH are made most often. Lakes with pH's less than 6.5 are typically acid-sensitive lakes. These lakes have severe pH fluctuations, especially during spring thaw, resulting in obvious negative biotic impacts. Lakes with a pH of 5.0 or less can only support a few acid-insensitive plankton and are generally considered "acidified". Near pH 6.5 the effects are not as noticeable, but the pH fluctuations kill off most of the young biotic generations. The process leading to an "acidified" lake begins at a pH of 6.5. Those lakes with pH's greater than 6.5 are considered more or less "normal" and the water chemistry remains fairly stable (Environment '82 Committee, 1982).

#### 2.3.2 Aluminum

Acidification transforms organic weak-acid dominated lakes to mineral strong-acid dominated lakes. More specifically, acidification decreases the availability of organic ligands for binding metals such as aluminum (Davis et al., 1985). As a result, aluminum ions are usually found in high concentrations in acid lakes, and aluminum ion data could be used to predict acid-sensitive lakes. High concentrations of aluminum ions will ensure the absence of fish since aluminum hydroxide forms on their gills, making it difficult for the fish to intake oxygen. In general, if the aluminum concentrations reach 200

 $\mu$ g/l, the lake becomes toxic to fish (Environment '82 Committee, 1982).

Since precipitation has a very low aluminum concentration, the aluminum found in a lake's water column reflects mineral weathering within watersheds or mineral dissolution from lake sediments. Therefore, we would expect that a relationship would exist between surrounding terrain and within-lake concentrations.

### 2.3.3 Dissolved Organic Carbon

Acidified lakes found in Norway undergo a precipitation of the colored organic matter (DOC) in the water by acid-mobilized metals such as aluminum (Davis, Anderson and Berge, 1985). Increasing mineral acids actually protonate organic molecules and increase their tendency to aggregate and precipitate. The mobilization of aluminum in inorganic form provides further charge neutralization of organic functional groups leading to their precipitation. Dissolved organic carbon measured from lake samples represents the amount of organics still within the water column and may reflect the nutrient status of the lake.

### 2.3.4 Alkalinity

Alkalinity is a measure of the ability of water to neutralize acid. The presence or absence of hydroxide, bicarbonate, and carbonate strongly influence the alkalinity or "buffering capacity" of a lake. Alkalinity is determined by measuring the amounts of acid required to neutralize alkaline water to pH 8.2 and pH 4.5 (pH 8.2 indicates the conversion of the carbonate to bicarbonate ions and pH 4.5 indicates the conversion of the bicarbonate ions to carbonic acid). These two acid levels determine the buffering capacity of the lake. A pH of 7.0, that of neutral water, bears little significance in the determination or expression of alkalinity (Chow, 1964). Therefore, alkalinity levels provide information not acquired with pH data alone.

### ERIM

When using Total Inflection Point (TIP) as a measure of alkalinity, an acidified lake is indicated when the TIP is less than or equal to zero (Keller and Pitblado, 1985).

A review of the literature shows that in-lake pH levels, and concentrations of DOC, aluminum and alkalinity all indicate the acid sensitivities of a lake. These parameters, however, are not just a function of in-lake processes and <u>atmospheric loading</u>; they are also a function of <u>terrigenous loading</u>, i.e., a function of bedrock, soil, vegetation, and possibly terrain relief (Effler, Schafran, and Driscoll, 1985).

#### 2.3.5 Optical Effects

The bio-optical state is a measure of the total effect of biological and chemical processes on the lake optical properties. This concept maintains that diverse constituents in natural waters can be described by a few optical parameters which represent a meaningful average estimate of the material present at any time and place.

The reflectance of a lake is optically determined from the scattering and absorption processes which occur in the epilimnion (i.e. to the depth where the downward irradiance medium can be predicted by means of the radiative transfer equation). The absorption and scattering properties are inherent optical properties and do not depend on the light field external to the medium. There are three inherent properties which together are sufficient to describe the behavior of light in the medium. The absorption coefficient is the fraction of energy absorbed from the collimated beam per unit distance traversed in the medium. The scattering coefficient is the fraction of energy which is scattered out of a collimated beam per unit distance traversed by the beam. The volume scattering function describes the fraction of energy scattered in a specific direction per unit scattering volume. These three inherent properties can be used to predict the subsurface irradiance reflectance which is described as an apparent property of the medium. The subsurface reflectance can in turn be

related to the above surface upwelling radiance which is also controlled by the radiance distribution parameters and the Fresnel transmittance. This latter radiance is a component of the radiance observed by an airborne radiometer or by Landsat TM.

The scattering and absorbing agents in natural waters can be divided into three categories: water, dissolved materials, and suspended materials. If the absorption and scattering characteristics of the medium are known, the behavior of light with the suspended and dissolved materials in the water column can be estimated. The reflectance can be related to the constituent concentrations using a simple model described later in Section 7.0 since the absorption and scattering coefficients for constituents are additive.

For lakes in slow-weathering soil/rock conditions the amount of suspended mineral content is minimal. The remaining components in these lakes which have an optical impact are chlorophyll-a pigment and DOC. Both of these components have large absorption coefficients in the blue-green spectral region. Scattering by chlorophyll-based phytoplankton is small so we are essentially dealing, in many cases, with an aquatic medium which is dominated by absorption. An increase in DOC results in increased absorption and a decrease in reflectance. Since the absorption cross section for DOC is large in the blue-green spectral region, small changes in the DOC concentration may produce significant changes in reflectance especially when the base concentration is low.

### 2.4 DATA COLLECTED

Water quality parameters were measured along with in-situ optical data in representative lakes of the Canadian Shield. This was done to calibrate a Bio-Optical Model which defines the linkages between the acid-deposition induced chemical lake processes and the upwelling radiometric signals measured by the Landsat Thematic Mapper sensor. A spring/summer TM scene pair and companion field measurements were obtained for the selected study sites located in northern Ontario.

### **SERIM**

These data will be used to investigate possible formulations of the multitemporal remote sensing causal relationships between water chemistry and observed changes in water transparency.

### 2.5 DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY REGION

The study region of Northern Ontario consisted of four principal sites located within the following three Landsat scenes: Sudbury, Algoma, and Dorset. Relative locations of the study sites are shown in Figure 2.1 and their general characteristics are described in the section below.

### 2.5.1 Sudbury Site

<u>Location</u>: The Sudbury Site is located within the Landsat TM scene 19-27 and has the following coordinates:

> Upper Left: 47° 40.05' -80° 49.40' Lower Right: 46° 16.51' -80° 36.50'

<u>Geology</u>: The geology of the Sudbury site is dominated by the Lorrain formation which consists of quartzite, arkose, quartz sandstone, micaceous and aluminous quartz sandstone, quartz feldspar sandstone, and minor conglomerate and siltstone. Mafic intrusive diabase and granophyte dikes and sheets are distributed evenly throughout the site except near lake Wanaptei Significant amounts of conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone and argillite are found in the southern half and northern tip of the site. In addition scattered areas of felsic intrusive and metamorphic rocks, and felsic to intermediate metavolcanics occur.

<u>Vegetation</u>: Approximately 65% of the test site has conifer forest cover and approximately 35% is classified as mixed forest.

<u>Soil Sensitivity</u>: Approximately 90% of this site has low potential to reduce acidity and the soil is predominantly shallow. The remaining 10% of the site has a moderate potential to reduce acidity with shallow soils and ultramafic bedrock.



Figure 2.1. The Location of the Three Study Areas



<u>Limnology/Water Chemistry</u>: The quartzite regions have very transparent lakes (e.g., Sunnywater has a Secchi depth of 25-30 meters) with high concentrations of aluminum, low pH values (4-5.5), low DOC concentrations, and metal fallout from the Sudbury smelter. The dark humic lakes tend to have higher pH values.

<u>Acid Deposition</u>: Annual deposition in 1982 was  $1.24 \text{ g/m}^2$  of sulfate

2.5.2 Algoma Site

<u>Location</u>: The Algoma site is located within the TM scene 22-27 and has the following coordinates:

Upper Left: 47<sup>°</sup> 21.5', -84<sup>°</sup> 25.8' Lower Right:47<sup>°</sup> 00.0', -84<sup>°</sup> 13.8'

<u>Geology</u>: Granitic rock predominates (60%) in the Algoma site and is concentrated in the northeast and southwest corners. Approximately 25% of the geology consists of acid to intermediate metavolcanics and 15% is basic and undifferentiated metavolcanics. Several lakes are situated in greywacke-slate-arkose and grabbro formations.

<u>Vegetation</u>: Hardwood forests predominate (Sugar Maple, Birch, Trembling Aspen) with a few mixed stands in the lowland areas (White Birch, Black Spruce, and White Spruce).

<u>Soil Sensitivity</u>: The northern half (approximately 55%) of the site has a high sensitivity to acid deposition with 0.25 to 1 meter soil depth with sandy texture and granite and associated alkalic bedrock. The southern corner(5%) is the same as the northern half of the site. A moderate potential to reduce acidity is found in the southern part of the test site (35%), which stems from a differing bedrock (ultramafic serpentine, non-calcareous silicic sediments and anorthosite)

<u>Limnology/Water Chemistry</u>: Lakes in this region are less transparent due to a higher DOC content. Levels of pH are typically between 5 and 6.

<u>Acid deposition</u>: Annual deposition of sulfate 1.5-2.0  $g/m^2$ 

### 2.5.3 Dorset Site

<u>Location</u>: the Dorset site is located near the southern edge of TM scene 18-28.

<u>Geology</u>: Acid intrusives occur throughout this area including granite, syenite, granite gneiss, grantized sedimentary and volcanic rocks.

<u>Vegetation</u>: Predominantly hardwoods (Sugar Maple, Red Maple, Yellow Birch, Trembling Aspen) occur in this area. Hemlock and Eastern white pine are found in selected areas.

<u>Soil Sensitivity</u>: The Dorset area is in the center of a large region of high deposition. West of Dorset there is less than 50% exposed bedrock and to the east 50 to 75% is exposed.

<u>Limnology/Water Chemistry</u>: Lakes in this region are poorly buffered. DOC levels are higher and secchi depths are lower compared to the Sudbury area.

<u>Acid Deposition</u>: Annual deposition of sulfate 2.90 g/m<sup>2</sup>.

2.5.4 Wawa Site

Location: The Wawa site is located northeast of Wawa, Ontario near Michipicoten Bay.

<u>Geology</u>: The northern third of the Wawa site consists of mafic metavolcanics. Felsic metavolcanics occur in the southern tip of the site and are also interspersed with metasediments (conglomerate, greywacke, shale, arkose, and quartzite) near the middle of the site.

<u>Vegetation</u>: This site contains large non-vegetated areas which have been impacted by the smelter fumes from Wawa.

<u>Soil Sensitivity</u>: This area is primarily moderately sensitive to acid deposition. A small area of high sensitivity exists along the Maple River in the southern part of the Wawa plume.

### **BERIM**

### Limnology/Water Chemistry

Lakes in this region are buffered , have higher pH values, high DOC levels, and relatively low transparency except in the immediate vicinity of the Wawa smelter plume where the lakes are acid and clear and highly contaminated with smelter waste.

Acid Deposition: Annual deposition in 1982 was 1.5 g/m<sup>2</sup>

### 2.6 SUPPORTING RESEARCH

An historical water quality database, has been obtained from the Ministry of Environment for all of Ontario which contains many lakes within our proposed field sites. A second database is being acquired for approximately 300 lakes in the Sudbury area, many of which are located within the proposed sampling sites. The most important parameters within this database are those which have impact on the optical transparency of the water. These parameters are chlorophyll pigments, suspended mineral particles, and dissolved organic carbon. Of these DOC is considered to have the greatest influence on optical properties in Northern Ontario.

One obvious feature indicating a declining lake is low pH, but a low pH is not the only characteristic of an acidified lake. Chemical levels within a lake can also indicate its health. A study involving lake classification near Sudbury, Ontario used principal component analysis to show that chemical variability of acidified lakes is attributed to three main components: nutrient status, buffering status, and atmospheric deposition status (Pitblado et al., 1980). Nutrient status of a lake could be indicated by levels of dissolved organic carbon, while buffering status could be indicated by the alkalinity of a lake. Atmospheric deposition status might be indicated by the annual rate of sulfate deposition within an area.

Some historical data collected by John Fortescue at OGS, using the PROBAR/helicopter over a portion of the Algoma site, were made available to be analyzed with coincident limnological data. These data



were collected on August 22, 1984 and on September 6, 1985. Fortescue had attempted to used these data to separate clear and colored acidic and normal pH lakes within the site [Fortescue, 1986]. Since many of the same lakes were to be sampled during the August 1986 field work using the PROBAR radiometer, it seem reasonable to examine these data for potential relationships between the PROBAR measurements in TM bands and the measured values of DOC, pH, etc. The data set consisted of 113 sample locations and a representative subset was selected for data reduction. The reported reflectances at 10 nm intervals were first reduced to simulate TM band reflectances in bands 1 through 4. These data were then statistically correlated to the available limnological data.

Attempts to run analyses on the combined 1984/1985 data set yielded very poor correlations. The 1985 data were found to be suspect because of reported instrumentation problems and further analysis of the 1985 PROBAR data set was therefore discontinued. The pH values of the 1984 data set ranged from 4.9 to 5.57 with a mean value of 5.24. DOC values were high and ranged from 3.1 to 14.1 mg/l with a mean value of 6.7 mg/l. Correlations with estimated TM reflectance values were considered modest (-0.73 for pH and TM band 3, -0.71 for pH and TM band 4). Similarly, coefficients of 0.62 and 0.64 were determined between the two TM bands and measured DOC. Correlations of comparable magnitude were observed between pH, DOC, and Secchi depth transparency. The lack of strong correlation was attributed to the relatively high levels of DOC which almost completely absorb the radiation in TM bands 1 and 2.

### 2.7 STUDY ORGANIZATION

This study was divided it into four types of activities: 1) stratification of eco-physical sensitivity, 2) water quality measurements, 3) lake optical measurements, and 4) remote sensing measurements. These activities in turn supported calibration of an optical model which would describe the reflectance sensitivity to changes in water



parameters and relationships between spatial eco-physical features. These eco-physical features describe the environmental sensitivity to acidification. Our approach is outlined with the organizational flow chart contained in Figure 2.2. The desired result from this effort was to be able to identify which environments contain lakes which are sensitive to acidification and can be monitored using Landsat TM data.

### 2.8 STUDY PARTICIPANTS

A cooperative program with Canadian agencies and Universities interested in the remote sensing aspects of the acid deposition problem have resulted in an informal joint program which includes four major Canadian participants. These are Professor Roger Pitblado of Laurentian University in Sudbury, Ontario, Dr. John Fortescue of the Ontario Geological Survey (OGS), Dr. Vernon Singroy of the Ontario Centre for Remote Sensing (OCRS), and Professor Michael Dickman from Brock University in Saint Catherine, Ontario.

The Canadians are funded through the Ministry of Environment (MOE) and the Ontario Geological Survey for a one year period to work collaboratively on the program. These funds were budgeted to support equally remote sensing data collection and analysis and a geochemical survey.

The Canadian effort was based on meeting two separate but highly complementary objectives. The OGS objective was designed to look the relationships between environmental and geochemical studies involving lake acidification and remote sensing. The geochemical survey techniques developed by John Fortescue of the OGS involve analysis of chemical constituents in lake water samples and in bottom sediment cores. A mineral resource appraisal was a specific objective of the OGS. The MOE support was directed at examining the role remote sensing can play in the study of lake acidification in both the short and in the long term. The MOE had stressed that effort be placed on the Sudbury site where there exists an extensive limnological database.



Figure 2.2. Study Organization



The MOE plan includes examination of several historical Landsat TM and MSS collections.

- ----

·•

•



#### 3.0 ECO-PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION

#### 3.1 OBJECTIVE

The objective of the eco-physical stratification and characterization of acid-sensitive parameters was to reveal the location and cooccurrence of environmental attributes that influence lake acidification. The study areas were stratified into the following four parameters:

- 1. type and percent cover of vegetation,
- 2. soil and bedrock buffering capacity,
- 3. topographic relief,
- 4. sulfate deposition rate.

The acid sensitivities of these areas were then determined, based on these four parameters. Each of these parameters affects the sensitivity of the ecosystem a lake is found in and ultimately affects the water chemistry and optical signature of that lake. Stratification also provided a basis to characterize lakes within study areas which aided in the sampling design.

#### 3.2 PROCEDURE

The three Landsat scenes were stratified into eco-physical units, or "polygons", based upon soil/bedrock sensitivity, vegetation sensitivity, topographic-relief sensitivity and acid- deposition sensitivity. Sensitivity values were assigned to each polygon and combined in a linear function which produced a "sensitivity index" for each polygon using a sensitivity model. Maximum-likelihood clustering of these sensitivity indexes then revealed the location and co-occurrence of similar polygons.

#### 3.3 STRATIFICATION OF ECO-PHYSICAL FEATURES

The Algoma, Sudbury, and Dorset study areas were stratified in terms of bedrock/soil, vegetation, relief and sulfate deposition.

### PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

21

PACE 20 INTENTIONALLY BLANK

# <u> ERIM</u>

Four mylar overlays were constructed, one for each of the variables, at a scale of 1:250,000.

#### 3.3.1 Vegetation and Percent Cover

The lowest pH values are found in coniferous forests. Fir trees are often found growing on weathering-resistant soils and bedrock. When precipitation falls on this type of area, the acidic water flows largely unaltered into nearby lakes at a pH of 5.6. Broadleaf forests are generally found in terrain of higher pH, so precipitation is neutralized more before it enters a lake. A much higher rate of sulfate deposition would be necessary to make the pH of runoff from a deciduous forest reach that of a coniferous forest (Environment '82 Committee, 1982).

Percent cover of vegetation also plays a factor in lake acidification. If percent cover is low, the extent and volume of surface runoff is frequently higher than for average cover conditions increases. Under these conditions, very little of the precipitation has time to penetrate into the rock and/or soil and become neutralized by the buffering systems.

TM satellite images were used for vegetation classification and lines were drawn between areas of different vegetation types and different percent covers of these types. Vegetation was categorized as conifer, hardwood, mixed or barren. If an area's vegetation consisted of 80% or more of either conifer forest or hardwood forest, then it was classified hardwood or conifer, otherwise it was classified as a mixed forest.

Percent cover for an area was derived using existing soil and bedrock sensitivity maps published by the Environment Canada Lands Directorate in 1983. These maps outline percent exposed bedrock at three levels: 0-24%, 25-50%, and 50-99%. Since there were no extensive areas of low vegetation, such as prairies, marshes, etc., the following equation was used:

(Percent forest cover) = 1 - (Percent exposed bedrock) .
### **DERIM**

Percent forest cover was divided into three classifications:

- 1. 0 49 % cover,
- 2. 50 74 % cover,
- 3. 75 99 % cover.

Vegetation and percent cover sensitivities were derived from the literature (Environment '82 Committee) and are shown in Table 3.1.

TABLE 3.1. VEGETATION AND PERCENT COVER SENSITIVITIES

Cover	Percent	<u>Sensitivity Value</u>
hardwood	0 - 49 %	3.33 x .75
hardwood	50 - 74 %	3.33 x .5
hardwood	75 - 99 %	3.33 x .25
mixed	0 - 49 %	6.67 x .75
mixed	50 - 74 %	6.67 x .5
mixed	75 - 99 %	6.67 x .25
conifer	0 - 49 %	10 x .75
conifer	50 - 74 %	10 x .5
conifer	75 - 99 %	10 x .25

These sensitivity values rank the combinations of vegetation type and percent cover on a scale from 1 to 10. Terrain with conifer forest cover was rated most sensitive and terrain with hardwood forest cover was rated least sensitive. The higher the percent cover the less sensitive the polygon was rated for potential damage.

#### 3.3.2 Sulfate Deposition

Large emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide from combustion (usually within coal burning industries) lead to their oxidation in the atmosphere to sulfuric acid and nitric acid. These acids dissolve in water droplets and fall to the ground via some form of precipitation. The presence of sulfuric acid in precipitation over the Continental Shield results in 100 times more acid entering these already poorly buffered ecosystems (Hendry and Brezonick, 1984).

## ERIM

The sulfate deposition overlay was drawn from enlarged 1981 meteorologic maps (Chan, et al. 1983) provided by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment (see Figure 3.1) Sulfate deposition was measured in grams/m<sup>2</sup>/year. Across all three areas, the following six classifications were derived from the maps in terms of deposition rates:

- 1. 1.0-1.5,
- 2. 1.5-2.0,
- 3. 2.0-2.5,
- 4. 2.5-3.0,
- 5. 3.0-3.5,
- 6. 3.5-4.0.

Sulfate deposition was assigned sensitivity values based on amount of sulfate deposited. Each of the six levels was assigned equally spaced sensitivity values on a scale from 1 to 10. The highest sulfate deposition was given the highest sensitivity value. The results are given below in Table 3.2.

### TABLE 3.2. SENSITIVITY VALUES OF SULFATE DEPOSITION LEVELS

gm/m <sup>2</sup> /year	Sensitivity Value
1.0-1.5	1.67
1.5-2.0	3.33
2.0-2.5	5.00
2.5-3.0	6.67
3.0-3.5	8.33
3.5-4.0	10.00

#### 3.3.3 Bedrock and Soil

In general, the easier the ground materials around a lake weather, the less susceptible that lake is to acidification. Thus, weatherability of the lake's surrounding bedrock and soil play a large factor



OPIGINAL PAGE IS DE POOR QUALITY

Figure 3.1. The Annual Deposition (G/M\*\*2) of Sulfate in Ontario (from Chan, Tang and Lusis, 1983).

## **DERIM**

on the lake's acidity. The rate at which bedrock and soil weather depend on their hardness and their ability to release buffering ions which counter lake acidification by reducing the impact of the water runoff.

Bedrock resistant to weathering does not neutralize acid rainwater therefore it is associated with acidic lake systems. Sensitivities for bedrock/soil combinations were derived from the Environment Canada Sensitivity Maps. Bedrock was divided into four categories based on its sensitivity. These four categories are found in Table 3.3.

TABLE 3.3 BEDROCK SENSITIVITY CATEGORIES

#### Type Description

- 1 limestone, marble, dolomite
- 2 carbonate-rich siliceous sedimentary: shale, limestone; noncalcareous siliceous with carbonate interbeds: shale, siltstone, dolomite; quartzose sandstone with carbonates.
- 3 ultramafic rocks, serpentine, noncalcareous siliceous sedimentary rocks: black shale, slate, chert; gabbro, anorthosite: gabbro, diorite; basaltic and associated sedimentary: mafic volcanic rocks.
- 4 granite, gneiss, quartzose sandstone, syenitic and associated alkalic rocks.

The ability of the soil to neutralize the acid was found to be the most important factor influencing the susceptibility of a lake to acidification. Lime-rich, easy-weathering soils protected the lakes, but lakes surrounded with sandy soil and expanses of flat bare rock are mostly acid (Environment '82 Committee, 1982). Basically three categories of soil can be defined: easy-weathering clay, normalweathering loam, and resistant-weathering sand.

The soil's depth also affects the neutralization of precipitation. A deeper soil will contain larger quantities of weatherable minerals



and other buffering substances. Thin soils are often leached of such buffering substances. In the stratification, one of the soil types (clay, loam or sand) was assigned to each polygon. Each polygon was also assigned a unique soil depth. The soil depth categories used are shown in Table 3.4.

#### TABLE 3.4. SOIL DEPTH CATEGORIES

#### Category

#### Definition

deep:	> 1 m average soil thickness
shallow:	25 cm - 1 m average soil thickness
bare:	< 25 cm average soil thickness

Different combinations of bedrock type, soil type, and soil depth were already ranked on the Environment Canada maps from most to least sensitive. Since there were 28 soil/bedrock combinations, the most sensitive combination was assigned a 10.0. The other combinations were assigned sensitivities ranging from 1 to 10 separated by units of 10/28. These combinations are shown in Table 3.5. **DERIM** 

ROCK TYPE	SOIL TYPE	SOIL DEPTH	SENSITIVITY VALUE
1	clay	deep	.36
1	loam	deep	.71
1	sand	deep	1.07
1	clay	shallow	1.43
1	loam	shallow	1.79
1	sand	shallow	2.14
1	none	bare	2.5
2	clay	shallow	2.86
3	clay	shallow	3.21
2	clay	deep	3.57
3	clay	deep	3.93
4	clay	deep	4.29
2	loam	deep	4.64
3	loam	deep	5.
2	sand	deep	5.36
3	sand	deep	5.71
2	loam	shallow	6.07
3	loam	shallow	6.43
2	sand	shallow	6.79
3	sand	shallow	7.14
2	none	bare	7.5
3	none	bare	7.86
4	clay	shallow	8.21
4	loam	shallow	8.57
4	loam	deep	8.93
4	sand	deep	9.29
4	none	bare	9.64
4	sand	shallow	10.00

TABLE 3.5. BEDROCK/SOIL SENSITIVITY INDEX VALUES

#### 3.3.4 Relief

Since the extent and volume of surface runoff plays an important factor in lake acidification, the topographic relief of the terrain surrounding a lake would help determine its acidification state. An area with steep topographic relief would allow less time for precipitation to penetrate the soil and bedrock and become neutralized. Flat topographic relief would contribute more to the neutralization of precipitation since the extent and volume of surface runoff would be less.

Relief was divided into three categories: steep, rolling, and level. This information was extracted from standard topographic maps

### FRIM

at a scale of 1:250,000. Change in elevation across unit distances was measured perpendicular to elevation contours and categorized into one of three types for each polygon. These categories are shown in Table 3.6.

#### TABLE 3.6. TOPOGRAPHIC RELIEF CATEGORIES

Category	Definition
level:	< 400 ft change in 2 kilometers
rolling:	> 400 ft < 800 ft change in 2 km
steep:	> 800 ft change in 2 kilometers

Topographic relief levels were assigned three sensitivity values, equally spaced from 1 to 10. These three values are shown below in Table 3.7.

TABLE 3.7. RELIEF SENSITIVITY VALUES

<u>Relief</u>	<u>Sensitivity Value</u>
level	3.33
rolling	6.7
steep	10.00

#### 3.4 COMPOSITE MAP CONSTRUCTION

The four maps were produced for each of the ecosystem parameters (bedrock and soil, sulfate deposition, terrain relief, and vegetation type and percent cover). Each map consisted of polygons that represented uniform ecosystem parameters and that were assigned corresponding sensitivity values. A composite map was then produced for each of the study areas by overlaying the four ecosystem parameter maps, and tracing them on to one overlay (see Figure 3.2). Ultimately, the new polygons created with the composite map had four sensitivity values:



Figure 3.2. The Stratification Procedure.

# **ERIM**

one for bedrock/soil, one for vegetation, one for relief and one for the sulfate deposition.

The three composite maps produced 694 polygons with a minimum polygon size of 25 square kilometers. Each polygon was numbered from 1 to 694. A computer program was written and used to read the polygon number, forest type, percent cover, bedrock type, soil type, soil depth, topographic relief and sulfate deposition into computer memory. A program subroutine was used to assign four ecosystem sensitivity values, ranging from 1 to 10, to each polygon and compute the sensitivity index for each polygon using the sensitivity index model.

A list of the polygons with eco-physical characteristics and sensitivity index values is found in Appendix A.

#### 3.5 SENSITIVITY INDEX MODEL

A sensitivity index model was developed which assigned a sensitivity index to each composite map polygon. The sensitivity index, SI, is a function of a linear combination of the four ecosystem parameters within the polygon:

SI = A x (bedrock/soil sensitivity value)

- B x (vegetation sensitivity value)
- C x (sulfate deposition sensitivity value)
- D x (topographic relief sensitivity value).

The coefficients A, B, C and D were derived from the literature, but in the absence of quantitative information. An ecosystem sensitivity study in Sweden concluded that bedrock and soil were found to be the most important factors influencing the susceptibility of a lake to acidification (Environment '82 Committee). Also, areas of nearly equal rates of sulfate deposition, but differing types of bedrock and soil, have been found to contain lakes of different buffering capacities, supporting the idea that bedrock and soil are the most important eco-physical parameters in terms of lake sensitivity. Therefore the

## **DERIM**

coefficient "A" equals four, the highest number assigned to a coefficient. A review of the literature indicated that vegetation type was highly correlated with soil and bedrock type in terms of sensitivity, so the vegetation sensitivity value was weighted as the second most important variable.

If the vegetation and soil/bedrock sensitivity values were identical in two areas, it is assumed that sulfate deposition would affect the sensitivity of a lake within the area more than topographic relief would. Therefore the following equation was developed:

#### SI = 4 x (bedrock/soil sensitivity value)

- 3 x (vegetation sensitivity value)
- 2 x (sulfate deposition sensitivity value)
- 1 x (topographic relief sensitivity value).

The sensitivity index of an eco-physical polygon is driven by the bedrock/soil and vegetation sensitivity values. The sulfate deposition and topographic relief sensitivity values still contribute to an area's sensitivity, so they are included in the model but weighted as less important. Therefore, it is hypothesized that the sensitivity index rates the acid sensitivity of an eco-physical area on a scale from 1 to 10.

#### 3.6 CLUSTERING OF MODEL SENSITIVITY VALUES

The sensitivity indexes of the polygons (approximately 694) were then clustered using a maximum likelihood hierarchical clustering procedure. The results of this clustering procedure has produced 10 significantly (p > .95) different clusters (see Appendix B). These clusters are summarized in Table 3.8. **ERIM** 

CLUSTER RATING	BEDROCK/SOIL	VEGETATION	RELIEF	SULFATE	DEPOSITION
1	5.66	7.04	4.67	5.57	4.40
2	6.36	8.05	4.65	5.78	5.82
3	6.74	8.16	5.83	5.28	5.00
4	6.02	7.67	4.63	5.25	5.18
5	7.41	8.47	7.13	5.62	6.59
6	3.55	3.28	2.08	5.57	5.27
7	7.07	8.50	6.37	5.36	6.10
8	5.14	5.96	4.71	5.46	3.97
9	7.83	8.71	8.53	5.20	6.29
10	4.34	5.21	3.82	5.01	3.05

TABLE 3.8 SENSITIVITY RATINGS AND TYPE VALUES FOR THE TEN SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT CLUSTERS

The ten clusters are described in terms of their mean eco-physical sensitivity values in the following paragraphs.

<u>Cluster 1</u> is characterized by shallow sandy soils over rock types 3 and 4 with less than 50% cropping out. Vegetative cover is a mixture of conifers and hardwoods with a dominance of the hardwoods. The terrain is level to rolling. The average acid deposition is approximately 2.0 g/m<sup>2</sup>/yr.

<u>Cluster 2</u> is characterized by moderate depth soils over rock type 4 with less than 50% cropping out. Vegetative cover is a mixture of conifers and hardwoods with a dominance of the hardwoods. The terrain is level to rolling. The average acid deposition is approximately 2.5  $g/m^2/yr$ .

<u>Cluster 3</u> is characterized by deep sandy soils over rock type 4 with less than 50% cropping out. Vegetative cover is a mixture of conifers

### **ERIM**

and hardwoods with a dominance of the hardwoods. The terrain is level to rolling. The average acid deposition is approximately 2.5  $g/m^2/yr$ .

<u>Cluster 4</u> is characterized by moderately deep soils over rock type 4 with less than 50% cropping out. Vegetative cover is a mixture of conifers and hardwoods with a dominance of the hardwoods. The terrain is level to rolling. The average acid deposition is approximately  $2.25 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{yr}$ .

<u>Cluster 5</u> is characterized by moderately deep sandy soils over rock type 4 with less than 50% cropping out. Vegetative cover is a mixture of conifers and hardwoods with a dominance of the hardwoods. The terrain is level to rolling. The average acid deposition is approximately 2.75 g/m<sup>2</sup>/yr.

<u>Cluster 6</u> is characterized by deep clay soils over rock type 3 with less than 30% cropping out. Vegetative cover is mostly hardwood. The terrain is level to rolling. The average acid deposition is approximately 2.25 g/m<sup>2</sup>/yr.

<u>Cluster 7</u> is characterized by shallow sandy soils over rock type 4 with less than 50% cropping out. Vegetative cover is a mixture of conifers and hardwoods with a dominance of the conifers. The terrain is level to rolling. The average acid deposition is approximately 2.5  $g/m^2/yr$ .

<u>Cluster 8</u> is characterized by moderately deep sandy soils over rock type 3 with less than 50% cropping out. Vegetative cover is a mixture of conifers and hardwoods. The terrain is level to rolling. The average acid deposition is approximately 2.0 g/m<sup>2</sup>/yr.

<u>Cluster 9</u> is characterized by shallow sandy soils over rock type 4 with less than 25% cropping out. Vegetative cover is dominated by conifers. The terrain is level to rolling. The average acid deposition is approximately 2.5  $g/m^2/yr$ .

<u>Cluster 10</u> is characterized by deep sandy soils over rock types 3 and 4 with less than 50% cropping out. Vegetative cover is a mixture of

### **SERIM**

conifers and hardwoods with a dominance of the hardwoods. The terrain is level to rolling. The average acid deposition is approximately 1.5  $q/m^2/yr$ .

These clusters are separated by only small changes in the mean value for each sensitivity index. The standard deviations of the above mean sensitivity index values was typically only one or two percent. Each cluster was color coded as shown in Figure 3.3. Color coded maps that show the location of the polygons within each cluster are shown in Figures 3.4 3.5 and 3.6. The listing of all eco-physical polygons by cluster with the strata descriptors is given as Appendix A. The summary statistics for the clusters is given in Appendix C.

The above clusters were further grouped into three classes which are shown in Table 3.9.

#### TABLE 3.9. CLUSTER CLASSES

<u>Class</u>	<u>Clusters</u>
insensitive	1, 6, 8, 10
mildly sensitive	2, 3, 4
sensitive	5, 7, 9

#### 3.7 SAMPLE SITE SELECTION

Site selection for in situ lake measurements was based upon the stratification and clustering analysis described above and each of the following considerations: (1) availability of historical water quality and remote sensing data, (2) existing Canadian initiatives to collect site-specific data, (3) accessibility, and (4) coverage of ecophysical lake types. Sites selected included (1) Algoma, (2) Sudbury, (3) Wawa, and (4) Dorset. Nine of the ten clusters were represented by the selected sites.

The Canadian program recommended the use of the Algoma and Sudbury sites, each comprising approximately 1000 sq. km. Priorities were set

------





Ecophysic	al Color	Sensitivity Index
Areas	Code	Mean Value
Cluster 1		5.66
Cluster 2		6.36
Cluster 3		6.74
Cluster 4		6.07
Cluster 5		7.41
Cluster 6		3.55
Cluster 7	ORIC	7.07
Cluster 8	GINAL	5.14
Cluster 9	PAGE	7.83
Cluster 10	↓	4.34

CRICINAL PAGE IS OF FOOR QUALITY

1. **1**. 1



30 km

Figure 3.4 The Algoma Area Clusters and Sampling Sites

#### ORIGINAL PAGE COLOR PHOTOGRAPH

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OFFOR QUALITY

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

### UNIGINAL PAGE CHUCR PHOTOGRAPH



30km

Figure 3.5 The Sudbury Area Clusters and Sampling Site

AREQUERING THEAT BLANK NOT FILMED

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

ORIGINAL PAGE CCLOR PHOTOGRAPH



Figure 3.6 The Algonquin Area Clusters and Sampling Sites

N. S. S. S. S. FOLDOUT PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

-----



for each of the four collection sites based upon group interests and availability of resources. First priority was given to the Sudbury site, second to Algoma, and third to Wawa. The Dorset site was viewed to be largely beyond the reach of a one-month field program and would only be addressed after the other data objectives had all been met. A lake sampling budget of approximately 300 samples was divided between the first three sites with 150 samples allocated to Sudbury, 130 allocated to Algoma, and 20 to Wawa. An additional 25 samples would be taken to support the Dorset sampling if resources were available.

#### RECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

\_\_\_\_\_



#### 4.0 DATA COLLECTION METHODS

#### 4.1 LAKE SAMPLING STRATEGY

The ERIM field plan specified sampling at three different levels and with three different optical measurements. Field data collections were made during the summer of 1986 and spring of 1987. The August 1986 collections included three sites: Sudbury, Algoma, and Wawa. At each of these sites, water samples were gathered from a well distributed set of lakes using a helicopter. Radiometric measurements were made using Landsat TM, a helicopter (BELL-206) spectral radiometer (PROBAR), a subsurface spectral irradiance meter, and a subsurface beam transmissometer. The sampling strategy was to gather subsurface measurements from a small number of lakes and in sufficient number to calibrate a subsurface reflectance model. Airborne spectral measurements were gathered over a much larger set to be used to extend the subsurface results to a broader set of lake conditions. Finally these lake reflectance spectral characteristics were used to predict the reflectance characteristics of the still larger TM lake sample data set. The strategy in this three-tier sampling scheme was to develop a model/relationship from the in situ optical measurements and the measured limnological parameters. This "optical response model", once validated, was extended to the PROBAR data set and finally to the Landsat data set where it aided in the interpretation of TM observations.

During August 1986 field data were gathered from each of the three sites which included 21 water quality parameters (296 lakes), detailed subsurface optical measurements (12 lakes), airborne spectral radiometer measurements (102 lakes), and Landsat data. Most of these measurements were made in the Algoma and Sudbury sites (shown as Figures D.1 and D.2). All water chemistry data are compiled as Appendix D. PROBAR spectral radiometer measurements were made in most of the lakes that were larger than 20 hectares. The subsurface optical measurements were made in a representative set of lakes at each site. Water



parameters were determined from collected samples by the MOE on-site or at the Toronto Laboratory. Water parameters especially important to this study included DOC, conductivity, total chlorophyll-a pigment concentration, pH, sulfate, alkalinity, TIP, turbidity, suspended solids, and aluminum.

The May-June 1987 field effort involved collecting subsurface MER reflectance and transmissometer data on four separate dates from eight lakes. Water samples were also collected and were processed by the MOE. Field data collections were made on 5 May, 14 May, 13 June, and 29 June at four to eight lakes in the Sudbury site. These data were collected coincident with the TM overpass on each of those dates. Two of these TM acquisitions (12 May and 13 June) were of excellent quality and were requested from NASA GSFC. No PROBAR airborne radiometer data were collected during the spring period because the unit was not available for project use.

#### 4.2 SUBSURFACE OPTICAL MEASUREMENTS

Two instruments were used to make the subsurface optical measurements: a subsurface spectroradiometer (Biospherical Inc. MER-1000) with 11 narrow spectral bands (410, 441, 488, 520, 540, 560, 589, 625, 671 and 694 nm) and a transmissometer (SEATECH Inc.) with a single wavelength at 664 nm. These instruments were used to characterize the optical properties in several of the PROBAR-sampled lakes.

The MER-1000 subsurface upwelling and downwelling spectral spectral scans were collected in the field at variable sampling depths below the lake-water surface. MER data collections were made from a canoe (August 1986) and from a float plane pontoon (May-June 1987). The canoe measurements each consisted of 20 scans and the float plane measurements consisted of 10 scans. Fewer scans were used during the plane measurements since the instrument was allowed to drop through the water column at a faster rate. At each station a series of upwelling and downwelling irradiance measurements were made in suc-

### ERIM

cession. A pressure sensor in the MER recorded the depth of each spectral scan.

#### 4.3 AIRBORNE RADIOMETER MEASUREMENTS

A helicopter-mounted (BELL 206) spectroradiometer (PROBAR) was used to collect radiometric data in each of 10 narrow spectral bands (443, 470, 520, 550, 580, 610, 640, 670, 700 and 732 nm) at the center of each sample lake.

PROBAR data was collected on four days in 1986:

```
August 1215 LakesAugust 1354 LakesAugust 1418 LakesAugust 1846 Lakes
```

Lakes sampled with the PROBAR were limited to those large enough to be visible in TM imagery and sufficiently deep not to produce a bottom reflected signal. The PROBAR unit had been rented from Moniteq Ltd., Toronto, Ontario and was controlled with an IBM PC that also was mounted in the helicopter. The PC logged the radiometer data and allowed easy transfer to the DEC VAX780 for data analysis.

#### 4.4 LANDSAT TM ACQUISITIONS

All possible Landsat TM acquisitions were requested for the Algoma, Sudbury, and Dorset scenes for the month of August 1986. Algoma and Sudbury coverage were requested for May and June 1987. Of the scenes collected, four were considered sufficiently cloud- free to be useful. Image tapes were obtained from NASA GSFC Landsat office and are listed in Table 4.1.

#### TABLE 4.1. IMAGE TAPES REQUESTED FROM NASA GSFC LANDSAT OFFICE

Path/Row	<u>Date</u>		
19/27	August 13, 1986		
19/27	May 12, 1987		
19/27	June 13, 1987		
22/28	August 18, 1986		

All of the other acquisitions were considered non-usable based upon the positive print of TM band one received from GSFC.

#### 4.5 DATA QUALITY MEASURES

Provisions were made to ensure the quality of the data measurements. During the MER data collection, deck cell measurements of downwelling hemispherical irradiance were taken coincidentally. This ensured that the MER downwelling and upwelling profile measurements were taken while the downwelling irradiance remained constant.

When TM signals were being extracted, band four signals of water surfaces were examined for high standard deviations (> 0.5). If the standard deviation was higher than 0.5, it was assumed that the data were contaminated with either bottom or land reflectance, and they were not used.

Before transmissometer measurements were made, the air voltage was checked and recorded. The transmissometer measurement was only made if the air voltage was in the appropriate range. This air voltage was later used for calibration when calculating attenuation coefficients.

PROBAR measurements were corrected for the time of day and were calibrated using a white card of known reflectance. Instrument calibration was also done in the lab before the field work.



### 5.0 SUBSURFACE AND AIRBORNE RADIOMETRIC DATA REDUCTION

Radiometric data collected with the Biospherical MER-1000 radiometer, the SeaTECH transmissometer, the PROBAR spectral radiometer, and Landsat TM were reduced as described in the following sections.

#### 5.1 MER DATA REDUCTION

MER-1000 data were first used to interpolate the irradiance data to common depths on a logarithmic scale before computing values of subsurface reflectance. The slope of the depth log-irradiance regression equation defines the average irradiance attenuation coefficient (K). The irradiance attenuation coefficient changes very little within the mixed layer, but rapidly within the transition zone (thermocline). The thickness of the mixed layer was easily determined from the temperature depth profile. Therefore only irradiance measurements from the mixed layer were used to determine K. Downwelling irradiance attenuation for low DOC lakes (Sunnywater and Wolf) and high DOC lakes (Whitepine and Barbara) are shown in Figure 5.1.

Subsurface spectral reflectances were calculated at 2, 4, 6, and 8 meters below the surface. Example reflectance curves are shown in Figure 5.2, along with the DOC and Chlorophyll-a measurements. The impact of DOC and Chlorophyll-a on reflectance is apparent. As DOC increases the blue-green portion of the reflectance spectrum is diminished due to highly selective absorption. Chlorophyll-a also diminishes the measured reflectance below 520 nm, due to absorption. Wavelengths greater than 520 nm absorption are reduced and backscattering is increased. The reflectance calculations at 700 nm are not considered valid since the irradiances are very small and contaminated by sensor noise.

In the spring of 1987 the MER pressure sensor was calibrated so measurement depths were available without depth correction. The pressure sensor in August 1986 sampling period was precise but it was not accurate. A control profile was made during which actual and measured





Figure 5.2 Subsurface Reflectance  $R(\lambda)$ 

87-10528



depths were recorded and a simple linear relationship was found between them.

Depth = Measured Depth / .567

To obtain reflectance values it was necessary to develop two linear equations describing the relationship between the natural logarithm of irradiance (ln(E)) and corrected depth for both the upwelling and downwelling profiles. The diffuse attenuation coefficient determines the rate of irradiance loss through the water column and is defined by the following equation.

$$K(\lambda) = \frac{-1}{E(\lambda,z)} \frac{dE}{dz}$$

The irradiance data collect at multiple depths were first used to estimate K from the solution to the above equation as given by the following linear form.

$$ln(E(\lambda,z)) = K(\lambda)*z + intercept$$

Depths of 2, 4, 6 and 8 meters were then entered into the linear equation to estimate  $ln(E_u)$  and  $ln(E_d)$ . Reflectance at these four depths were then produced using the following equation:

$$R(\lambda,z) = EXP(ln(E_{\mu}(\lambda,z)) - ln(E_{d}(\lambda,z)))$$

Where  $E_u(\lambda, z)$  = upwelling irradiance at z meters and  $Ed(\lambda, z)$  = downwelling irradiance at z meters.

#### 5.2 TRANSMISSOMETER DATA REDUCTION

SeaTECH transmissometer profiles were made at every station coincident with the MER measurements. Voltage measurements were made usually at 2, 4, 6, and 8 meters after an air reading was made at each station.

Corrected voltage was then obtained using the following equation:

## **DERIM**

where Cvolt = Corrected voltage Lab Air = Lab air reading = 4.775 volts Field Air = Field air reading Mvolt = Measured voltage

Fractional transmission could be determined since it is known that 100% transmission through 25 cm of pure water has a corrected voltage of 5 volts. Fractional transmission through 25 cm of lake water is found using the following relationship:

T(664nm) = (Cvolt) / 5 volts.

The beam attenuation coefficient (c) can be derived using the fractional transmission in the following equation:

$$c(664nm) = -4 LOG(T(664nm))$$

The reduced transmission and beam attenuation coefficients for all SeaTECH measurements are given as Appendix E.

#### 5.3 PROBAR DATA REDUCTION

One objective in reducing the PROBAR data was to estimate illumination independent reflectance values which could be compared to the MER data derived values. The airborne PROBAR measurements, however, were made complicated by the helicopter blade motion and by the need for irradiance reflectance given the PROBAR is a radiance device. The rotating blade interfered with the downwelling irradiance meter and also possibly with the upwelling radiance measurements as well. The raw data from several dates showed a significant change in downwelling irradiance between measurements taken on the ground using a standardized white reflectance card. This effect was dependent on time of day and date illumination conditions. These conditions necessitated a series of five corrections be made to these data in order to make them compatible to the MER reflectance data. These corrections were (1) for standardized white card reflectance, (2) for airborne conditions, (3) for time of day, (4) for day-to-day variations in sky illumination, and (5) for surface reflectance.

### **DERIM**

Upwelling radiance,  $L_u(\lambda)$ , and downwelling irradiance values were read for ten 20 nm - wide bands ranging from 433 nm to 710 nm. Reflectance was computed in the following manner:

> $R(\lambda,0) = M(\lambda,0)/E_{d}(\lambda,0)$ where  $M(\lambda,0) = L_{u}(\lambda)*\pi$

All dates show a large change in downwelling irradiance between measurements taken on the ground (white card measurements) and measurements taken when the helicopter was airborne (all lake measurements). This discrepancy was accounted for in the change in helicopter blade tilt. When the instrument was airborne, the blades were tilted at a higher angle, thus allowing more light to reach the downwelling irradiance sensor. A correction was made by producing a second order regression equation of all airborne downwelling irradiances as a function of time. The true white card downwelling irradiance was then estimated using the resultant equation. This correction was made for each PROBAR band.

All data needed to be normalized to one unique white card reflectance for each band. The white card used for correcting the data was known to have a nearly constant reflectance value (.989) for the bands being studied. The white card reflectances were fit to a second order equation using time as the independent variable producing the measured white card reflectance curve. The true lake reflectance is adjusted by the same percent difference as that between the measured white card reflectance (MWCR) the known white card reflectance curve.

$$R(true) = R(measured) \times \left[1 - \left[\frac{MWCR - .989}{MWCR}\right]\right]$$

A final correction was made to PROBAR measurements which was lakedependent. The assumption was made that no internal lake reflectance was measured in the band centered at 700 nm. This measurement was assumed to be an indication of wave induced surface reflected noise and thus was subtracted at all wavelengths. This correction only changed the offset of the spectral reflectance curve, not its shape.



The above and below surface corrected PROBAR reflectances are given as Appendix B.




6.0 LANDSAT TM PROCESSING METHODS

#### 6.1 LAKE SIGNATURE EXTRACTION

Extraction software was applied to all three TM scenes. Lake signals were extracted from the TM images by finding the latitude and longitude of lakes of interest on topographic maps and using these latitudes and longitude to extract lake signatures from geometrically corrected imagery using extraction software. Nine brightness values were extracted from each lake and their means were used in subsequent processing. A three by three pixel area was extracted and the mean signal and its standard deviation for each band were recorded. To ensure that the spectral signatures represented water and not cloud, shoreline or bottom reflectance, TM band 4 signals were inspected. Average signals in TM band four were found to range between 11.0 and 14.0 with a standard deviation for values within an individual samples of less than 1.0. Thus for samples which had mean values outside this range or with sample standard deviations greater than 1.0 the sample was rejected and considered to indicate a non-water mixed reflectance. The rejected samples were replaced with values extracted from another part of the lake surface. Brightness values were extracted from the approximate center of each lake based upon the latitude and longitude of each lake center. These extracted mean values were then correlated to historical water chemistry data available for the same lakes as discussed in Section 8.0.

The TM data extracted is summarized in Table 6.1.

# TABLE 6.1. THEMATIC MAPPER DATA EXTRACTED

Path/Row	Quad	Date
22/27	1	8/18/86
22/27	4	8/18/86
22/27	4	5/27/85
19/27	3	8/13/86
19/27	3	5/22/85

59

PAGE 58 INTENTIONALLY BLANK

# ERIM

# 6.2 SOLAR ELEVATION ANGLE CORRECTION

All lake data were corrected for the solar elevation angle of each scene. This correction simply involved dividing each brightness value mean by the cosine of the solar zenith angle.

# 6.3 ATMOSPHERIC HAZE CORRECTIONS

A haze correction needed to be applied to the TM data so that real comparisons could be made between lakes within and between scenes which had varying amounts of haze distorting the signals. Lakes of equivalent Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) concentrations should have similar TM signals in band one but these data showed instead wide variations. The lakes with elevated TM band one counts also had elevated counts in bands two, three, and four. Since band 4 counts represent virtually no internal lake reflectance, it was hypothesized that relative differences between lakes in band four represented differences in atmospheric haze. Linear regression analyses between bands one and four, bands two and four, and bands three and four showed nearly linear behavior but with different slope and a small intercept. Also, these derived slope values were found to be scene dependent. The slopes between bands were derived using regression analyses and used directly in the haze correction algorithms. Thus the correction for haze was both wavelength dependent and scene dependent. The following three equations are the haze correction algorithms for the three TM bands used:

 $TM-1(corr) = TM-1 - (TM-4 \times M_1)$   $TM-2(corr) = TM-2 - (TM-4 \times M_2)$  $TM 3(corr) = TM 3 - (TM 4 \times M_3)$ 

 $\rm M_1,~M_2,~and~M_3$  are the slopes between bands one and four, bands two and four, and bands three and four, respectively.

This procedure reduced the impact of haze as indicated by the improved correlation between TM band one signals and DOC (i.e. from 0.62 to 0.83).



7.0 DEVELOPMENT OF A BIO-OPTICAL REFLECTANCE MODEL

#### 7.1 REFLECTANCE MODEL

A TM radiative transfer model was developed to predict possible changes in radiometer signal levels which result from field-measured changes in chemical properties. Work on this model included specific calibration for the Landsat TM sensor. The model treats atmospheric optics, water optics, and the wind ruffled air-water interface. A solar ephemeral model has also been implemented to provide a capability to simulate the entire sun-sensor geometry. For many of the lakes involved in this study absorbing effects of DOC dominate the scattering effects of suspended minerals and organic particles. Under these conditions subsurface reflectance can be estimated as the ratio of backscattered radiation to the total lost by both backscattering (Bb) and absorption (a).

The specific values of a and Bb will depend on the concentrations of silt (mineral particles), chlorophyll-a pigments (C), and DOC. The absorption and scattering cross sections used in the present study were those derived by Bukata [1985] in his detailed optical analysis of Lake Ontario waters. These cross sections are shown in Figures 7.1 and 7.2.

The specific concentrations of each component were used together with these cross sections to estimate the absorption and backscattering coefficient. The following equation gives the general subsurface reflectance model:

$$R(\lambda) = C_{0}(\lambda) \cdot \frac{Bb(\lambda)}{a(\lambda) + Bb(\lambda)}$$

where  $R(\lambda)$  = Subsurface irradiance reflectance  $C_0(\lambda)$  = Constant (typical value = .33)  $Bb(\lambda)$  = Total backscattering coefficient  $a(\lambda)$  = Total absorption coefficient

Absorption Cross Sections for Chlorophyll-a, DOC, Suspended Minerals, and the Absorption Coefficient of Pure Water. Figure 7.1.







This model calculates subsurface reflectances (at the wavelengths measured by the MER) given the concentrations of chlorophyll, DOC, and suspended solids as shown in the following equation:

$$R(\lambda) = C_{O}(\lambda) \cdot \frac{(Bb_{W}(\lambda) + Bb_{C}(\lambda) \cdot [C] + Bb_{SM}(\lambda) \cdot [SM])}{(a_{W}(\lambda) + a_{C}(\lambda) \cdot [C] + a_{SM}(\lambda) \cdot [SM] + a_{DOC}(\lambda) \cdot [DOC] + Bb's)}$$

where R = Subsurface hemispherical reflectance

- SM = suspended solid concentration (mg/l)
- C = chlorophyll concentration ( $\mu g/1$ )
- DOC = Dissolved organic carbon concentration (mg/l)

#### 7.2 MODEL CALIBRATION

Backscattering and absorption values were regressed with the MER-1000 estimated subsurface reflectance at each wavelength producing an estimate of constant coefficient ( $C_0$ ) which is listed in Table 7.1. The resulting set of reflectance equations can be used to examine the spectral reflectance dependence on DOC and other constituents. The mineral particle concentrations were found to be extremely small, on the order of 0.1 mg/l. If one assumes a chlorophyll-a concentration of 1.0  $\mu$ g/l (a typical value) then the DOC reflectance varies between 1% and 6% in TM band one as depicted in Figure 7.3.

# 7.3 MODEL EXTENSION WITH PROBAR DATA

The PROBAR above-surface reflectance data were collected in August 1986. These data were converted to subsurface reflectances for over one-hundred lakes using a regression procedure (described in Section 8.5).

The model developed for the MER subsurface reflectance data was tested using the PROBAR-predicted subsurface reflectance data. The Marquardt method was used for developing the non-linear model. This method is equivalent to performing a series of ridge regressions and is most useful when the parameter estimates are highly correlated.

Table 7.1.

-

# **Reflectance Model Coefficients**

<u>λ (nm)</u>	്	Std. Error
410	0.731	0.1382
441	0.678	0.1193
488	0.525	0.0063
520	0.360	0.0318
540	0.319	0.0373
560	0.301	0.0520
589	0.374	0.0679
625	0.300	0.0753
656	0.345	0.0930
671	0.383	0.0936
694	0.519	0.1156



Figure 7.3. Reflectance Model for Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)

Since DOC and chlorophyll, (the two model parameters), have a correlation coefficient of about 0.73, the Marquardt method seemed appropriate.

To estimate how well this model fit the PROBAR predicted subsurface reflectance data, the coefficients produced using these data were compared to those produced using the MER data. The results of using the non-linear model on data from wavelengths of 443, 470, 520 and 540  $\mu$ m are listed in Table 7.2.

TABLE 7.2. COMPARISON OF PROBAR AND MER MODEL COEFFICIENTS

	PROBAR	MER
C <sub>443</sub> C <sub>470</sub> C <sub>520</sub>	.51 .48 .42	.73 .68 .36
C550	.32	.32

The model fits the data best in the longer wavelengths. At worst, the model coefficients are different by .22, or approximately 30% (for  $\lambda$ =443 nm). At best, there is no difference between the coefficients ( $\lambda$ =550 nm).

A comparison of the actual PROBAR predicted subsurface reflectance and the model-predicted subsurface reflectance was made to test the performance of the reflectance model. The correlation between the predicted and actual subsurface reflectance models was quite high, ranging from .81 to .89, depending on the wavelength. Model-predicted versus PROBAR-predicted subsurface reflectances at 440 nm and 470 nm are shown in Figures 7.4 and 7.5, respectively.

# 7.4 REFLECTANCE SENSITIVITY TO CHANGES IN WATER CHEMISTRY

The sensitivity of reflectance to changes in DOC is given by the following derivative of the model equation:



Model Reflectance





$$\frac{dR(\lambda)}{d[DOC]} = \frac{a_{DOC}(\lambda) \cdot (Bb_{w}(\lambda) + Bb_{SM}(\lambda) \cdot [SM] + Bb_{C}(\lambda) \cdot [C] \cdot Co(\lambda)}{(a_{DOC}(\lambda) \cdot [DOC] + a_{C}(\lambda) \cdot [C] + a_{SM}(\lambda) \cdot [SM] + a_{w}(\lambda))^{2}}$$

Figure 7.6 shows the change in reflectance sensitivity for a given DOC concentration. The plotted sensitivity values are for the Sudbury site, calculated using the above equation and measured values of DOC and chlorophyll-a.

# 7.5 MODEL-PREDICTED SENSITIVITY OF TM

The ability to detect a seasonal change using depended on the measured TM reflectance changes, and on the sensitivity of reflectance to changes in DOC and chlorophyll-a pigment concentration.

The impact of DOC changes on reflectance can be calculated using the sensitivity equation in Section 7.4. The expected TM band one signal change per percent subsurface reflectance change was estimated previously to be 2.86 counts/percent. If it is assumed that seasonal changes in DOC are on the order of 50%, then background levels of two to three count changes are projected in the TM response. These predictions are summarized as Table 7.3.



Figure 7.6. Sensitivity of Reflectance to Changes in DOC Concentration for a Clear Lake Typical of the Sudbury Site.

# PREDICTED CHANGES IN REFLECTANCE **AND TM BAND 1 COUNTS** Table 7.3.

	AIM COUNS	1.7	2.0	1.6	1.6	0.6
<u>AB(%)</u>		0.84	1.00	0.80	0.80	0.30
ADOC (mo/l)		0.15	0.25	0.50	1.00	1.00
DOC(ma/l)		0.3	0.5	1.0	2.0	3.0
DOC Sensitivitv		5.6	4.0	1.6	0.8	0.3

RIM

# 8.0 ANALYSIS OF RADIOMETRIC DATA RELATIONSHIPS

# 8.1 CHARACTERIZATION OF WATER CHEMISTRY OF STUDY AREA LAKES

The August 1986 water chemistry data collected in this experiment contain twenty-eight in-lake water parameters for 300 lakes across Ontario. Pearson correlation coefficients and their significance probabilities were produced for a subset of these data set and are listed in Table 8.1. There were strong correlations between pH and total inflection point alkalinity and aluminum (.88 and -.75, respectively). The correlation between pH and DOC was found to be much lower at 0.61 but which still indicates a significant relationship exists. A scatter plot of pH and DOC is shown as Figure 8.1. It is evident from these data that the strongest relationship exists for DOC values less than 3.0 mg/1.

# 8.2 ANALYSIS OF SUBSURFACE IRRADIANCE MEASUREMENTS

Based upon the reflectance model analysis high correlations were expected between lake water chemistry and MER optical measurements. The Pearson correlation coefficients and their significant probabilities are tabulated in Table 8.2 for the August 1986 water chemistry data. In general, there is a high correlation between the short wavelength reflectances ( $\lambda < 540$  nm) with Secchi depth (SD), chlorophyll-a (CHLOR), DOC, aluminum (AL), and pH. The high correlations with SD, DOC, and AL support the phenomenological relationships between water chemistry parameters and optical properties as discussed previously in Chapter 2.0. The lower correlations with chlorophyll-a values were expected since pigment concentrations measured in many of these lakes was so small.

Mer spectral reflectances were plotted for selected lakes which are given as Appendix F. The clear acid lakes were found to have spectral reflectances with peaks in the 400-450 nm range and shape similar to that obtained for Sunnywater Lake (see Figure 8.2). By contrast the high DOC lakes have spectral reflectance curves which

Pearson Correlation Coefficient for Water Chemistry	Parameters With Their Significance Probabilities Give	Directly Below Each Value.
Table 8.1.		

	H	DOC	٩٢	S04	TTLCHLA	TIP
Н	1.00000 0.0000	0.81434 0.0001	-0.74745 0.0001	-0.07978 0.5085	0.38838 0.0009	0.87665
DOC	0.61434	1.00000	-0.52390	-0.26801	0.63197	0.60011
	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001	0.0238	0.0001	0.0001
AL	-0.74745	-0.52390	1.00000	0.17498	-0.39310	-0.55308
	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.1445	0.0007	0.0001
S04	-0.07976 0.5086	-0.26801 0.0238	0.17498 0.1445	1.00000	-0.31333 0.0078	-0.08545 0.4788
TTLCHL_A	0.38638	0.63197	-0.39310	-0.31333	1.00000	0.27312
	0.0009	0.0001	0.0007	0.0078	0.0000	0.0212
TIP	0.87665	0.60011	-0.55306	-0.08546	0.27312	1.00000
	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.4786	0.0212	0.0000
TM1M	-0.37128	-0.62291	0.29944	0.04549	-0.41944	-0.30883
	0.0014	0.0001	0.0112	0.7084	0.0003	0.0088
TM2M	-0.12047	-0.02355	0.08366	-0.27447	0.17102	-0.11600
	0.3170	0.8454	0.4879	0.0205	0.1539	0.3354
TM3M	0.09888	0.30386	-0.10660	-0.41955	0.38174	0.08820
	0.4120	0.0100	0.3763	0.0003	0.0010	0.4645
TM4M	0.00220	0.15893	-0.07001	-0.20989	0.31086	-0.03488
	0.9855	0.1855	0.5618	0.0789	0.0083	0.7742

.....



Figure 8.1. Dissolved Organic Carbon Versus pH Value for Water Samples Collected From the Algoma and Sudbury Sites, August 1986.

★ ALGOMA
▲ SUDBURY

75

H

Pearson Correlation Coefficient for Water Chemistry	Parameters With MER Derived Reflectances.
Table 8.2.	

	SD	CHLOR	DOC	Н	S04	٩٢
R410	0.87358	-0.40861	-0.68363	-0.65564	0.47815	0.75800
	0.0102	0.3628	0.0904	0.1098	0.2778	0.0483
R441	0.94129	-0.67301	-0.85663	-0.71163	0.47624	0.75093
	0.0051	0.1429	0.0294	0.1127	0.3397	0.0853
R488	0.94829	-0.54928	-0.78658	-0.75139	0.66595	0.78604
	0.0011	0.2018	0.0359	0.0515	0.1096	0.0361
R520	0.90124	-0.62673	-0.69532	-0.62782	0.76237	0.59270
	0.0056	0.2266	0.0828	0.1311	0.0463	0.1608
R540	0.53591	-0.15807	-0.25555	-0.16285	0.62424	0.14878
	0.2150	0.7350	0.5802	0.7272	0.1340	0.7502
<b>R56</b> 0	-0.65033	0.70762	0.77824	0.80694	-0.26848	-0.72104
	0.2005	0.0763	0.0393	0.0283	0.5605	0.0675
R589	-0.76855	0.88722	0.94489	0.92644	-0.55073	-0.76152
	0.0435	0.0077	0.0013	0.0027	0.2001	0.0467

----



Figure 8.2. Spectral Reflectance for Sunnywater Lake as Derived From MER Data Collected 13 August 1986.



Figure 8.3. Spectral Reflectance for Center Lake as Derived From MER Data Collected 22 August 1986.

have generally lower reflectances values and a spectral peak at approximately 550 nm. For these lakes, the high DOC concentrations (2.0 - 4.0 mg/1) are consistent with the low reflectance values derived for the shorter wavelengths.

The high-DOC basic lakes have curves shaped more like Center Lake (see Figure 8.4). Therefore, the following indicator for characterizing acid and basic lakes using these spectral data could be calculated:

$$I = \frac{\text{Reflectance (500 } \mu\text{m})}{\text{Reflectance (560 } \mu\text{m})}$$

This suggested indicator, I, which takes advantage of the difference in the shapes of spectral curves, is greater than 1.0 for acidified lakes and is less than 1.0 for buffered, high DOC lakes.

The MER reflectances were also analyzed using the non-linear reflectance model described in Section 7.0. The suspended solids were assumed to be constant at 0.1 mg/1. The model converged for all the MER data collected and the following coefficients  $C_0(\lambda)$  are shown in Table 8.3.

TABLE 8.3.	COEFFICIENTS FOR USING MER DATA	SUBSURFACE	REFLECTANCE	MODEL
------------	------------------------------------	------------	-------------	-------

	1986		1	.987	
Wavelength (µm)	Aug	May 5	May 12	June 13	June 30
488	.524	.388	.523	.779	.89
560	.302	.338	.332	.523	.667

The August data were collected under the best conditions, so the coefficients produced for these data were used as standards to compare the other dates. The May 12 data produced coefficients nearly equal to those produced using the August data. The June reflectance data do not seem to fit the same model suggesting that the water chemistry had



# Figure 8.4. Comparison of MER and PROBAR Derived Spectral Reflectances.

changed dramatically and the DOC reflectance model assumptions were no longer valid.

To find out how well the model worked for each date, the correlations between actual and model-predicted subsurface reflectances were calculated. There was no correlation between actual and predicted subsurface reflectance for any of the spring data at 560  $\mu$ m. For 488  $\mu$ m the correlation between actual and predicted reflectances was less than .24 for the two June dates. However, the same correlations for May 5 and May 12 are .93 and .97, respectively. The reflectance differences between actual and predicted reflectances were less than 1.15% for these two dates.

#### 8.3 ANALYSIS OF SURFACE MEASUREMENT DATA

The PROBAR-derived surface reflectance data were found to be highly correlated with the MER subsurface reflectance data as shown by the examples in Figure 8.3. To determine if the correlations of water chemistry with PROBAR data were similar to those with the MER data, another correlation matrix was calculated. Table 8.4 contains PROBAR correlations with water chemistry at multiple wavelengths. The correlations of reflectance with the water chemistry are much lower, but still reach -.80, -.68, and -.64 for DOC, pH and chlorophyll. This was expected, however, since varying lake surface waves and atmospheric haze introduced more noise in the signal measured by the PROBAR.

# 8.4 THE COMPARISON OF SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE MEASUREMENTS

An experiment was conducted to determine the relationship between the surface and the subsurface measurements of lake volume reflectance. The surface reflectance was measured using the PROBAR spectral radiometer mounted in a helicopter and the subsurface reflectance was measured using the MER submersible radiometer. Modeling theory predicted that the relationship between these two measurements would be

Table {	3.4. Peć	arson Correl	lation Coe	fficient for	Water Ch	emistry
	Par	ameters Wi	th PROBA	R Derived	Reflectan	ces.
	<u>م</u>	H DOC	TIP	TTLCHL_A	AL	, \$0 <b>4</b>
R443	-0.6410	8 -0.73158	-0.44737	-0.62890	0.57202	0.57597
	0.000	1 0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
R470	-0.6569	6 -0.75080	-0.46922	-0.63443	0.57275	0.58581
	0.000	1 0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
R490	-0.6609	4 -0.75960 1 0.0001	-0.47927 0.0001	-0.63707 0.0001	0.58908 0.0001	0.58866 0.0001
R520	-0.6809 0.000	1 0.001	-0.50436 0.0001	-0.64002 0.0001	0.58672 0.0001	0.62239 0.0001
RSEO	-0.6281	3 -0.78275	-0.47444	-0.58288	0.54829	0.59749
	0.000	1 0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001

linear. Therefore, the relationship between PROBAR and MER measurements could be described using the equation:

 $R_i(mer) = m \times R_1(PROBAR) + b$ 

where m = slope

b = y-axis intercept

 $R_i$  = reflectance at band i

The results of a linear regression analysis of each spectral band and the corresponding statistical significance ( $\alpha = .05$ ) of each regression are found in the following table:

Wavelength	<u>b</u>	<u> </u>	<u>Significant (a &lt; .05)</u>
443	33	.53	yes
470	.215	.44	yes
490	.43	.42	yes
520	.55	.44	yes
550	1.17	.19	no (p = .36)
580	1.04	.14	no (p = .76)
610	-1.11	1.59	yes
640	57	1.86	yes
670	27	1.49	yes
700	0.0	1.0	yes

The results shown in Section 5.5 support the hypothesis that there is a linear relationship between the MER and the PROBAR data for all but wavelengths 550 and 580 nm. At most wavelengths, then, subsurface lake volume reflectance can be predicted with reasonable accuracy when only the PROBAR reflectance data are available. This result is significant since acquiring lake reflectance data with the PROBAR is less expensive and quicker that with the in situ measurements.

# ERIM

#### 8.5 ANALYSIS OF TM MEASUREMENTS

The haze normalized TM data for August 1986 show sensitivity to lake DOC concentrations as indicated by the data plotted in Figure 8.5. These results confirm the model predicted sensitivity of the TM band on signals to changes in DOC. The model predicted a DOC reflectance range of about 5% which corresponds to a 14.3 signal count spread in the TM band one data. TM data from the Sudbury site are consistent with the predicted spread in DN counts. The Algoma data appear to lack sensitivity to changes in DOC which is likely due to the fact that most lakes in the Algoma region have high values of DOC and chlorophyll-a.

#### 8.6 MULTITEMPORAL RELATIONSHIPS

Multitemporal analyses could be made for TM and MER data only since these were the only measurements made in the spring of 1987. PROBAR multitemporal relationships could not be examined since this instrument was not available to the project in 1987.

# 8.6.1 MER Multitemporal Analysis

The corrected MER data yielded several multitemporal trends. These trends differed depending on the buffering capacity of the lake. Acidified lakes, such as Dougherty and Wolf, (TIP < 0), had small multitemporal reflectance changes from 500  $\mu$ m to 600  $\mu$ m (< .4% reflectance). All the acid lakes showed large reflectance differences in to 400  $\mu$ m to 500  $\mu$ m region. Each lake showed a decrease in reflectance form August, 1986 to May 5, 1987, and then an increase in reflectance from May 5, 1987 to May 12, 1987 between 400  $\mu$ m to 500  $\mu$ m. These data for three lakes' reflectances at 441  $\mu$ m are tabulated below:



\$.



Name	<u>8/86</u>	<u>5/5/87</u>	5/12/87
Sunnywater	6.5	-	7.4
Wolf	3.2	2.1	3.2
Dougherty	3.8	2.3	2.6

In contrast, the buffered lakes, (TIP > 0), did not show a large difference (> .4% reflectance) in the 400  $\mu$ m to 500  $\mu$ m region but did show large multitemporal differences from 500  $\mu$ m to 600  $\mu$ m. At 560  $\mu$ m, the basic lakes increased in reflectance form August 1986 to May 5, 1987. No consistent change in reflectance from May 5, 1987 to May 12, 1987 was found for the buffered lakes. The reflectance data for 560  $\mu$ m measured from buffered lakes are found below:

Name	8/86	<u>5/5/87</u>	<u>5/12/87</u>	
Centre	1.8	2.6	1.7	
Whitepine 2	1.2	1.6	2.0	

In conclusion, differences in multitemporal MER reflectance trends between buffered and acidified lakes were found. Acidified lakes had a decrease in reflectance for the 400  $\mu$ m to 500  $\mu$ m region and relatively no change for the 500  $\mu$ m to 600  $\mu$ m region. Buffered lakes had an increase in reflectance for the 500  $\mu$ m to 600  $\mu$ m region and relatively no change to the 400  $\mu$ m to 500  $\mu$ m region.

#### 8.6.2 TM Multitemporal Analysis

The TM band one seasonal change patterns are similar to those indicated for the August 1986 data. The August low DOC lakes were found to have larger TM DN values than with the May 12, 1987 and June 13, 1987 collection dates. These data are shown in Figures 8.6 and 8.7, respectively. The extracted and atmospherically normalized TM data are given as Appendix G. The size of the TM band one count changes for Sudbury are substantially larger than predicted. Furthermore,





these count differences suggest a two to three percent change in subsurface reflectance, needed a greater DOC change sensitivity than predicted in Table 7.3.

Multitemporal differences were calculated for the following pairs of dates:

August 1986 - May 1987 August 1986 - June 1987 June 1987 - May 1987

These differences were analyzed to determine whether or not they aided in identifying acidified and buffered lakes.

The multitemporal changes in MER-derived subsurface reflectance were used to determine the expected changes in TM signal counts for bands one and two using the conversion factors given in Section 2.5. The expected changes in TM signal counts for band two were all found to be within the noise level for band two data. Therefore, the band two multitemporal differences were insignificant for the 1986-1987 scene pair. Furthermore, approximately 90% of the TM band 1 differences were also in the noise level. As a result, obvious multitemporal differences using TM data were not found.

The majority of the multitemporal analyses were based on the August - May scene pair. When all of the lakes (n = 41) are analyzed for significant differences  $(\alpha = .1)$  between August and May reflectance changes, no difference is found between acidified and buffered lakes.

Another test was made to determine if the August - May TM band one differences were a function of DOC, TIP, chlorophyll and/or aluminum levels measured in 1986. A multivariate regression analysis was done and all of the parameter estimates were insignificant ( $\alpha = .5$ ). These results lead to conclusion that the TM band one differences were not a function of water chemistry.

Data were also extracted from a May 22, 1985 scene for the Sudbury site and the differences in TM band 1 were formed with the August 13, 1986 scene. These differences were then compared to DOC values collected in August 1986. The results are shown in Figure 8.8 and indicated a possibly strong seasonal relationship to DOC concentrations and especially for those lakes with less than 3.0 mg/1 DOC.

#### 8.7 ANALYSIS OF TRANSMISSOMETER ATTENUATION DATA

A study was conducted which examined the relationship between the water attenuation coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) at 600  $\mu$ m and the suspended solid concentrations in eight lakes. The attenuation coefficient correlates positively with the suspended solids ( $\rho$  = .845). These data are shown in Figure 8.9 and shows and a linear relationship between the attenuation coefficient and the suspended solids. This further supported the possibility of suspended solid concentrations affecting the accuracy of the subsurface reflectance model since the suspended solids concentration coefficient and the attenuation coefficient affects lake volume reflectance.







- - ----

.



#### 9.0 ANALYSIS OF ECO-PHYSICAL CLUSTERS

# 9.1 RELATIONSHIP OF WATER CHEMISTRY WITH ECO-PHYSICAL CLUSTERS

Since the eco-physical clusters represented unique acidsensitivities across the Ontario test sites, it is reasonable to expect to find significantly different water-quality parameters for lakes that occurred in different clusters. An analysis was performed to test the hypothesis that the mean water-quality parameters were different at the 5% significance level between clusters. The following water variables were analyzed: dissolved organic carbon (DOC), Secchi depth, sulfate concentration, aluminum ion concentration, pH and total chlorophyll-a concentration. This analysis is summarized in Table 9.1.

TABLE 9.1. RESULTS FOR TUKEY'S STUDENTIZED RANGE TEST FOR SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT MEAN WATER-QUALITY PARAMETERS

<u>Chlorophyll-a</u>	<u>D0C</u>	<u>Secchi Depth</u>	<u>Sulfate</u>	<u>Aluminum</u>	pl	<u>+</u>
1-7 1-9 1-8 1-5	2-5 2-9 2-7 4-5 7-5 4-7 3-9 3-7 1-7 2-8 3-5 4-8 7-8	1-7 2-7 2-9 4-7 5-7	7-1 7-2 7-3 7-4 8-9 1-2 7-8 7-9 9-1 9-3 9-4 5-1 5-4 3-1 1-4 1-6 5-7	7-5 3-7 4-5 5-9 7-8	1-2 1-3 1-4 1-5 1-6 1-7 1-8 1-9 1-10 6-2 6-3 6-5 6-7 6-9 10-2 10-3 10-5 10-7 10-9	8-2 8-3 8-5 2-7 4-3 4-5 4-7 4-5 3-7 5-7 9-7

#### PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

# **ERIM**

Clustering was especially successful in separating different levels of lake pH. For significantly different clusters, the most acidsensitive clusters (5,7,9) had lake waters with lower DOC and pH values and a higher sulfate concentration than those with less sensitivity (1,2,3,4). Thus, the clustering analysis appears to have produced significant eco-physical clusters that contain lakes that also have some significantly different water-quality parameters. Of the three most significantly different water-quality parameters (DOC, pH and sulfate), changes in DOC provide the basis for remote sensing monitoring and identification.

#### 9.2 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TM SIGNALS AND ECO-PHYSICAL CLUSTERS

An analysis was performed to determine if significant differences existed among the eco-physical clusters based on the TM signals of lakes within the clusters. For the August 1986 Algoma and Sudbury data sets, two groupings were identified. Group A (lakes in clusters 5, 7 and 9) had mean signals (73.5 to 75.9) that were significantly different (a= .05) than signals (64.8 to 67.5) from lakes in group B (clusters 2, 4 and 8). The results are shown in Table 9.2.

TABLE 9.2.	TM RELATIONSHIPS	Τ0	ECO-PHYSICAL	SENSITIVITY	
	AUGUST TM 1 DATA				

Group	<u>Mean TM 1</u>	<u>Cluster</u>	<u>Significantly Different at 5%</u>
А	75.86	7	2, 4, 8
Α	74.14	9	2, 4, 8
А	73.47	5	2, 4, 8
В	67.50	8	5, 7, 9
В	66.37	2	5, 7, 9
В	64.77	4	5, 7, 9

The mean eco-physical sensitivity of group A clusters was 7.44 and group B mean sensitivity was 5.85. The largest signals measured were from cluster 7 with a mean sensitivity index of 7.07 and the smallest


from cluster 4 with a sensitivity index of 6.07. The primary difference in these two eco-physical clusters was the soil type and soil depth over the underlying bedrock. Cluster 7 had shallow (i.e. less than one meter) sandy soils while cluster 4 had soils of mixed types (sand, clay, loam) that had depths greater than one meter.

## 9.3 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TM MULTITEMPORAL DIFFERENCES AND ECO-PHYSICAL CLUSTERS

Examination of the August 1986 - May 1985 difference signals for TM band one produced similar results which are shown in Table 9.3. Group A and group B contained the same clusters as in Section 9.2 and the largest and smallest mean differences were found in clusters 7 and 4, respectively.

TABLE 9.3	TM RELATIONSHIPS TO ECO-PHYSICAL SENSITIVITY
	ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF AUGUST-MAY DIFFERENCE

Group	<u>Mean Diff</u>	<u>Cluster</u>	<u>Significantly Diff. at 5%</u>
A	4.94	7	2, 4, 8
Α	2.91	9	2, 4, 8
A	2.68	5	2, 4, 8
В	0.61	8	5, 7, 9
В	-0.96	2	5, 7, 9
В	-2.45	4	5, 7, 9

9.4 Analysis of TM Signal Changes Due to Acid Deposition Changes

This analysis examined the relationship between the August - May signal differences from polygons that have similar eco-physical properties with the exception of sulfate deposition. For this case, lakes were selected from polygons with sandy soils over granitic rock types and the sulfate deposition was 1.5 or 2.5 g/m2/yr. The TM band one signals were found to be significantly different (at 5% level) based upon deposition level alone. This preliminary analysis suggests that



TM signal seasonal changes may be dependent upon changes in acid deposition.

## 9.5 ANALYSIS OF DOC REFLECTANCE SENSITIVITY

In addition to seasonal analyses, the spatial aspects of DOC reflectance sensitivity were investigated. Measured water-quality parameters were used together with the equation given Section 7.4 to calculate a lake value of reflectance sensitivity based to change in DOC concentration. The larger the derivative of reflectance with respect to DOC the more sensitive lake reflectance is to changes in DOC. As shown in Table 7.3, a reflectance sensitivity value of 4.0 corresponds to an expected count change in TM band 1 of at least two counts. The lake DOC sensitivity values were analyzed with the eco-physical clusters and the mean sensitivity was determined for each cluster as shown in Figure 9.1. These results indicated that clusters 5, 7, 8 and 9 have lakes most sensitive to DOC changes. These clusters also have the higher stratification sensitivity index values.

This preliminary analysis shows that TM band one seasonal difference signals will differentiate acid-sensitive from acid-insensitive areas.



Figure 9.1. Mean DOC Induced Reflectance Sensitivity for Each Ecophysical Strata Estimates Based Upon August 1986 Water Chemistry Measurements.



# **SERIM**

Ĺ

2

10.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 10.1 GENERAL CONCLUSION

Modeling, field measurements, and TM observations suggest that TM is useful to identify acid sensitive lakes and to monitor water quality changes associated with lake acidification.

### 10.2 SPECIFIC CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Modeling surface and subsurface reflectance measurements have shown the important relationships between lake optical properties and water chemistry.
  - A simple DOC reflectance model accounts for observed subsurface hemispherical reflectance and also for the companion airborne (PROBAR) reflectance measurements.
  - b. We found that clear acid sensitive lakes can be distinguished from the colored high DOC lakes using PROBAR data. The colored lakes tend to have greater buffering capacity than clear lakes in acid sensitive areas.
  - c. The blue-green reflectance of clear lakes is highly sensitive to the presence of DOC. Modeling predicts a one percent change in subsurface reflectance for an expected seasonal fluctuation of about 50% in DOC concentration.
- 2. Modeling has shown that TM is sufficiently sensitive to monitor expected lake reflectance associated with acid deposition and acidification.
  - a. An historical TM seasonal pair (August 1986 May 1985) for the same Sudbury Lakes in a normal snowfall year supports our expectations but lacks the chemistry and in situ optical data needed for hypothesis validation.
  - b. The expected seasonal changes (August 1986 to May/June 1987) in water chemistry did not occur nor did we observe

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

PAGE 98 INTENTIONALLY BLAMK



a significant change in TM response. This lack of change may be due to the unusually small snow pack and spring runoff. While these TM data and water chemistry are consistent with our hypothesis, they do not validate it.

- c. In areas of high acid deposition Landsat TM DN values were found to separate high DOC lakes (moderate acidity) found to separate high DOC lakes (moderate acidity) from low DOC lakes (high acidity). The expected TM band one signal change per percent subsurface reflectance change was estimated to be 2.86 counts/percent. In clear acid lakes seasonal change of two or three counts are expected from DOC fluctuations.
- 3. Stratification of eco-physical properties provides a way to locate areas which are sensitive to acid deposition.
  - a. When stratification of eco-physical properties was applied to our study sites, we could identify acid sensitive areas and use TM to pick lakes which are likely to be sensitive to acid deposition.
  - b. Clustering of eco-physical strata suggests that areas with shallow sandy soils over slow weathering granitic bedrock types are most sensitive to acid deposition and lakes located within these areas will have lower concentrations of DOC and lower pH values than for other soil and bedrock types.
  - c. TM band one lake response was found to be related to ecophysical sensitivity. The (August 1986 - May 1985) TM seasonal pair produced signal differences in eco-physically sensitive strata (1-6 DN) but not so in non-sensitive strata (-2 to 0 DN).
  - d. Nearly identical and sensitive eco-physical strata with different sulfate deposition rates were found to have different TM lake signal response.

# ERIM

## 10.3 RECOMMENDATIONS

While studies thus far are consistent with our seasonal change hypothesis they do not confirm its validity. Further study is needed to provide confirmation to the above results.

- Collect lake chemistry and TM data in years of typical snowfall to demonstrate the capability of using TM data to monitor acidification under a wider range of environmental conditions (i.e., normal snowfall years).
- 2. Develop a TM based capability for assessing effects of acid deposition on terrestrial vegetation. Apply the vegetation monitoring technique and compare with lake monitoring technique.

-- --

RIM

#### REFERENCES

- Almer, B., W. Dickson, C. Ekstrom, and E. Hornstrom, "Sulfer Pollution and the Aquatic Ecosystem." In <u>Sulfer in the Environment: Part</u> <u>II, Ecological Impacts</u>, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, pp. 271-311, 1978.
- Bukata, R.P., J.E. Bruton, and J.H. Jerome, <u>Application of Direct</u> <u>Measurement of Optical Paramters to the Estimation of Lake Water</u> <u>Quality Indicators</u>, CCIW Scientific Series Report 140, 1985.
- Fortescue, J.A., and V.H. Singroy, "Remote Sensing as an Aid in Planning Regional Geochemical Surveys in the Canadian Shield." In Fourth Thematic Conference: Remote Sensing for Exploration Geology, San Francisco, CA, April 1985. In On Geology and Remote Sensing, Las Vegas, NV, November 1985.
- Malley, D.F., D.L. Findlay, and P.S. Chang, "Ecological Effects of Acid Precipation on Zoplankton." In <u>Acid Precipation Effects on</u> <u>Ecological Systems</u>, Ann Arbor Science Publishers Inc., pp. 297-327, Ann Arbor, MI, 1982.
- Schofield, C.L., "The Ecological Significance of Air Pollution Induced Changes in Water Quality of Lake Districts in the Northeast." Trans. Northeast Fish and Wildlife Conference, pp. 98-112, 1972.
- Yan, N.D., <u>Effects of Changes in Changes in pH on Transparency and</u> <u>Thermal Regimes of Lohi Lake, Near Sudbury, Ontario</u>, Vol. 40, Canadian J. Fisheries and Aquatic Science, pp. 621-626, 1983.
- Effler, S.W., G.C. Schafran, and C.T. Driscoll, <u>Partitioning Light</u> <u>Attenuation in an Acidic Lake</u>, Vol. 42, Canadian J. Fisheries and Acquatic Science, pp. 1707-1711, 1985.
- Almer, B., "Effects of Acidification on Swedish Lakes," <u>Ambio</u>, Vol. 3, No. 1, p. 30, 1974.
- Chan, W.H., A.J.S. Tang, and M.A. Lusis, <u>Precipitation Concentration</u> <u>and Wet Deposition Fields of Pollutants in Ontario, September 1980</u> <u>to December 1981</u>, Report No. ARB-61-83-ARSP, Ontario Ministry of the Environment, p. 42, 1983.
- Chow, V.T., <u>Handbook of Applied Hydrology</u>, <u>A Compendiums of Water-</u> <u>Resources Technology</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., pp. 19-10, 1964.
- Davis, R.B., D.S. Anderson, and F. Berge, "Palaeolimnological Evidence that Lake Acidification is Accompanied by Loss of Organic Matter," <u>Nature</u>, Vol. 316, pp. 436-438, August 1, 1985.





#### REFERENCES (Concluded)

- Effler, S.W., G.C. Schafran, C.T. Driscoll, "Partitioning Light Attenuation in an Acidic Lake," <u>Canadian J. Fisheries and Acquatic</u> <u>Science</u>, Vol 42, pp. 1707-1711, 1985.
- Harris, R.J., <u>A Primer of Multivariate Statistics</u>, Academic Press, Inc., New York, NY, pp. 190-195, 1985.
- Hendry, C.D., and P.L. Brezonick, "Chemical Composition of Softwater Florida Lakes and their Sensitivity to Acid Precipitation," <u>Water</u> Resources Bulletin, Vol. 20, No. 1, pp. 75-86, 1984.
- Howard, R., and M. Perley, <u>Acid Rain: The Devastating Impact on North</u> America, McGraw-hill Book Company, pp. 11-38, 1982.
- Keller, W., and J. Roger Pitblado, <u>Water Quality Changes in Sudbury</u> <u>Area Lakes, 1974-76 to 1981-83</u>, APIOS Report No. 007/85, Ontario Ministry of the Environment, p. 2, 1985.
- Pitbaldo, J.R., W. Keller, N.I. Conroy, "A Classification and Description of Some Northeastern Ontario Lakes Influenced by Acid Precipitation," <u>Journal of Great Lakes Research</u>, 6(3), pp. 247-157, 1980.
- Swedish Ministry of Agriculture Environment '82 Committee, "Acidification Today and Tomorrow." A Swedish study prepared for the 1982 Stockholm Conference on the Acidification of the Environment, S. Harper, trans., pp. 30-57, 1982.
- Yan, N.D., "Effects of Changes in pH on Transparency and Thermal Regimes of Lohi Lake, Near Sudbury, Ontario," <u>Canadian J.</u> <u>Fisheries and Aquatic Science</u>, Vol. 40, pp. 621-626, 1983.

Μ

### APPENDIX A ECO-PHYSICAL CLUSTER ANALYSIS

The maximum likelihood method was used to produce 10 clusters of polygons based on the sensitivity values for percent cover, vegetation type, soil depth, soil texture, bedrock type, relief and sulfate deposition. The data are sorted by cluster. Descriptions for each polygon are in the printout. The "cluster" data are either missing data or have vegetation types which were not used in the data.

185 POLYG	ON PERCENT	VEG	DEPTH	TEXTURE	BEDROCK	SENSI	RELIEF	S04_DEP0
1 48	0-49	BARREN	SHALLOW	SAND	ю ·	WODERATE	ROLLING	1.5-2.0
2 66 3 73	0-49 0-49	WIXED	DEEP Shallow	SAND	4 4	HOIH		1.5-2.0
4 191	0-49	AGRIC	DEEP	SAND	ŝ	HIGH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
238	0-49	CLOUD	DEEP	SAND	m •	HIGH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
	54-9				1 (*			C. 7-0. C
280		BARREN	SHALL DW	SAND	<b>b</b> 4	HIGH		2.0-2.5
307		AGRIC	DFFP	CL AY	r (*)	LOW	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
305	04-0	AGRIC	DEEP	CLAY	)	LOW	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
306	0-49	AGRIC	ANY	ORGANICS	-	HIGH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
307	0-49	AGRIC	DEEP	LDAN	2	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
3 308	0-49	AGRIC	DEEP	SAND	c)	HIGH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
309	0-49	AGRIC	DEEP	CLAY	4	LOW	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
318	0-49	SC U.CON	DEEP	SAND	e	HIGH	UNKNOWN	2.0-2.5
320	0-49	AGRIC	DEEP	CLAY	4	LOW	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
324	0-49	UP CON	DEEP	SAND	m	HIGH	UNKNOWN	2.0-2.5
331	0-49	AGRIC	DEEP	LOAM	0	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
335	04-0	AGRIC	DEEP	LOAM	-	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
341	0-49	AGRIC	DEEP	LDAM	4	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
351	04-0	AGRIC	DEFP	DAM	· (*)	MODERATE	I FVFL	2.0-2.5
353	04-0	AGRIC	DEEP	LDAM	) (T)	MODERATE	EVEL	2.0-2.5
357	01-0	AGRIC	DEEP	LDAM	• •	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
404	0-49	AGRIC	DEEP	LOAM	4	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
439	64-0	LO CON	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	UNKNOWN	2.5-3.0
443	0-49	URBAN	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
444	0-49	URBAN	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
8 445	0-49	URBAN	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
9 446	6-49	AG&HRDWD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
455	6-49	AGRIC	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
456	0-49	AGRIC	DEEP	LOAN	4	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
457	0-49	AGRIC	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
458	04-0	AGRIC	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
459	0-49	AGRIC	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0
460	0-49	AGRIC	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
463	0+-0	AGRIC	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0
464	0-49	AGRIC	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
465	0-49	SCLBARE	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
9 475	0-49	CONIFER	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	NMONXND	2.5-3.0
3 476	67-0	MIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	UNKNDWN	2.5-3.0
1 477	0-49	MIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	UNKNOWN	2.5-3.0
2 478	0-49	SCLBARE	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	UNKNOWN	2.5-3.0
3 479	6-49	MIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	UNKNOWN	2.5-3.0
4 482	0-49	SCLBARE	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
5 489	0-49	AGEHRDWD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
5 491	0-49	AGEHRDWD	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
7 499	0-49	AGEHRDWD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0
B 500	0-49	AGEHRDWD	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
9 524	0-49	AGEHRDWD	DEEP	LOAM	4	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
526	0-49	AGEHRDWD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
527	6-49	AGEHRDWD	ANY	ORGANICS	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
528	0-49	AGEHRDWD	DEEP	LOAM	4	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
529	0-49	AGEHRDWD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0

-

	S04_DEP0	2.5-3.0	2.5-3.6	2.5-3.6	2.5-5.2 5.5-3 6	2 F. 3 G	2 C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C	2.5-2.6	2.3-3.U				2.5-3.0	2.5-3.6	2.5-3.6	2.5-3.5	2.5-3.6		2.5-3.6	2.2-2.5 2.2-2.0	20 F 1 C	20.01	2 5 3 2 6	3 G-13.5	3 6-3.5	3.0-3.5	3.0-3.5	3.0-3.5	3.0-3.5	3.0-3.5	3.0-3.5	3.0-3.5	2.5-3.0	3.0-3.5	3.0-3.5	3.0-3.5	3.6-3.5	3.0-3.5	6	4.6-7.9 2 F - 2 G	0.5-0.7			S04 DEP0		1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	6.1-0.1 2 1 0 1	1.6-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.5-2.0	
; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	RELIEF	UNKNOWN	NMONXNO							NMONNNO		NMNNNNN	CNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	NMONNO	UNKNUWN														LEVEL	LEVEL	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	ROLLING	ROLLING	LEVEL	ROLLING	ROLLING		ר היר היר איני היר איני	LEVEL			RFI TEF		ROLLING	ROLLING	ROLLING		ROLLING	ROLLING	
	SENSI	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HOLI		HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HICH	HIGH	HIGH	HICH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HOTH							HICH	HIGH	HICH	HIGH	MODERATE	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH			CENCI	JCIN T	HIGH	MODERATE	MODERATE	HDIGH	MUDERATE	HIGH	
	BEDROCK	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	<b>ر</b> ي	4	4 •	4 4	4 4	4 -	• •	• •	1 4	r (*	) ৰ	4	4	4	4	4	4	4			NJUQUUQ	BEURULN	4	r m	ы	च (	ייז ניי	0 4	
5TER=	TEXTURE	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	ANY	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	ORGANICS	SAND	SAND	SAND					OBCANTOS			<b>UNA</b> S	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND		USTER=1		TEXIUKE	<b>UND</b>	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND		
CLUS	DEPTH	SHALL DW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	DEEP	SHALLOW	ANY	DEEP	SHALLOW	DEEP	SHALLOW	DEEP	SHALLUW	SHALLUW	SHALLUW Any				SHALLOW	DFFP	SHALLOW	DEEP		CL		DEPTH	0 L L L	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLUW	
	VEG	MTVED.	MIACU A RARE	HARDWOOD	SC&BARE	SCLBARE	SCLBARE	CONTFER	SCEBARE	SCERARE	STERARE	JON TEER		MIAEU Arru		STERARF STERARF	HARDWOOD	SCERARE	SCERARE	SCLBARE	HARDWOOD	WIXED	HARDWOOD	HARDWOOD	AGEHRDWD	AGEHRDWD	AGEHRDWD	AG&HRDWD	AGLHRDWD	AGEHRDWD	AGEHROWD	AGEHRDWD	HARDWOOD	HARDWOOD	AGEHKDWD	AGEHKDWD			ACEHROWD	AGEHRDWD	AGEHRDWD				VEG		MIXED	CONTEER	MIXED	CONIFER	CONIFER	
	PERCENT	e e	5 C T		50-74	0-49	50-74	04-00	07-0		0 0 U			100	4/-90 4/-01	100-11				59-74	- 10C	0-49	04-0	67-0	0-49	64-0	0-49	6-49	6-49	0-49	0-49	0-49	0-49	0-49	0-49	0-49	6-49	6-40 1	2410	0 4 0	0 4 - 0	2			PERCENT		010	904	64-0	0-49	0-49 0-70	7) 191
	POLYGON		534	535	000		020		9 - L	140	242	5 T	544	545	546	047	84 C	540	0,1	100	700			500	272	574	575	530	581	584	631	633	634	638	648	649	650	652	656	657 660	000 693	5		•	POLYGON		-	18	4 C	31	36	45
	OBS		54	2 2 2 2	5	- C	5 C	500	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70		22	- r	4 1	C) 91	01		07	6 U	90	82		9.6	82	86	87	88	68	06	91	92	50	4 D			085	•	95	96	16	0 G G	100	101
	1																																																			

-

1

	S04_DEP0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	0.2-0.1	1.5-2 0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	9 . Z - G . T	0.7-0.1	1 5-2 6	1 5-2 6	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	2.0-2.5	2.6-2.5	2.2-2.2	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.6-2.5	6.2-9.2 2 C 0 C	0.0-0.0 0.0 5	0 - 0 - 0 0 - 0 - 0	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	6.7-9.7 6.2-9.7	2.5-3.0
	RELIEF	ROLL ING	ROLLING		RUL INC	LEVEL	LEVEL	ROLLING	ROLLING	ROLLING	LEVEL	01010 01010		ROLI TNG	STEEP	ROLLING	STEEP	STEEP	STEEP	STEEP	STEEP	LEVEL	LEVEL	KULLING		ROLLING	LEVEL	LEVEL	LEVEL	LEVEL						LEVEL	LEVEL	LEVEL	LEVEL	LEVEL	RULLING	LEVEL	LEVEL						
	SENSI	HIGH	HIGH		HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HOTH		NODERATE	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MUUEKAIE		MODERATE	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH			HIGH	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	MUDERAIE	MODERATE	MODERATE
	BEDROCK	e	4	1 4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	• 4	r et	) 🔫	4	4	4	4	e	◄ 1	4	4	<b>4</b> (	•	r er	) m	m	ŝ	<b>ლ</b> ი	<b>m</b> 1	<b>"</b>	<b>.</b> .	<b>,</b> m	• 4	ę	ß	4	4	ৰ (	י ניי	<b>,</b> , ,	) <b>(7)</b>	e)
USTER=1	TEXTURE	SAND	SAND	SAND	CNAS	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	CNVS	SAND	SAND	SAND	ONAS DAVO		SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	UNAS 0443	ONAX ONAX		SAND	SAND	ON T L	21	SAND	UNANU DIANG		SAND	SAND	CLAY	SAND	SAND	CLAY	CLAY		CLAT	SAND	SAND	SAND
CL	DEPTH	DEEP	SHALLUW	SHALL OW	SHALLOW	DEEP	DEEP	SHALLOW	SHALLUW	DEED		DEEP	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	DEEP	SHALLOW	DEEP	DEEP	SHALLOW	DEEP				DEFP	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	DEEP	DEEP			DFFP	DEEP.	DEEP	DEEP	DEEP	DEEP	DEEP	DEEP			SHALLOW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW						
	VEG	CONIFER		HARDWOOD	HARDWOOD	WIXED	WIXED	HARDWOOD	HARDWOOD	HARDWOOD	HARDWOOD	HARDWOOD	HARDWOOD	HAKDWUUD		MAKUWUUU MTYED	HARDWOOD	HARDWOOD	CONIFER	HARDWOOD	HARDWOOD	HARDWOOD	HARDWOOD	HARDWOOD	WIXED					HARDWOOD	MIXED	MIXED	LO CON				UP CON	UP CON	UP CON	SC U.CON	UP CON	LO CON	SC U.CON			UTXED	WIXED	MIXED	MIXED
	PERCENT	0140		0-49	0-49	0-49	0-49	6-40	6-49	6-49	6-49	0 - 4 0	24-2				04-0	0-49	0-49	0-49	0-49	0-49	0-49	0-40 0-60	8-40 0	0-49	04-0	04-0	67-0	6-40	0-49	6-49	0-49	0.4	0 4 4 0 4 7 0 4		64-0	0-49	0-49	0-49	0-49	6-49	10-49 0-49	0-49	0 4 - 0	04-0	0-49	0-49	0-49
	POLYGON	52	0 Y	57	67	70	11	76	87	69	16 16	50	000		105	106	121	129	130	132	142	144	147	152	151	150	172	173	180	193	203	207	221	822	162	237	248	259	268	286	290	294	316	212	332	367	368	375	375
	085	102	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	211	577 7	• 1 T	C11	6 F F	118	511	120	121	122	123	124	125	971	121	871	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	151	071	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	141	140	15.6	151	152	153	+ c T

-

	SO4_DEPO	88888888888888888888888888888888888888		SO4_DEPO	44444444444444444444444444444444444444
	RELIEF	LEVEL ROLLING ROLLING LEVEL LEVEL LEVEL LEVEL ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING		RELIEF	R C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
	SENSI	HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH KADGH KATE KODERATE		SENSI	MULTICH MUL
	BEDROCK	4 M 4 4 4 4 4 4 M 4 M		BEDROCK	- -
JSTER=1	TEXTURE		USTER=2	TEXTURE	
CLI	DEPTH	DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP	CL	DEPTH	SHALLOW DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEE
	VEG	НАКОЖОО МІХЕФ НАКОЖООО НАКОЖООО НАКОЖООО НАКОЖООО НАКОЖООО НАКОЖООО НАКОЖООО НАКОЖООО		VEG	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
	PERCENT	<i>2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6</i>		PERCENT	ØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØØ
	POLYGON	к м 4 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		POLYGON	00100000000000000000000000000000000000
	OBS	1125 1125 1559 1559 1663 1663 1664 1663		085	2210008708789351000870878797077777777777777777777777777

-----

	YGON	PERCENT	VEG	DEPTH	TEXTURE	BEDROCK	SENSI	RELIEF	SO4_DEPO
101		0-49	SC U.CON	DEEP	SAND	m	HIGH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
10	23 03	04-0	SC U.CON	DEEP	SAND	. W	HIGH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
ŝ	23	6-49	SC U.CON	DEEP	SAND	m) m	HIGH MODERATE	LEVEL ROLITNG	2.0-2.0
m i	25	0-49		SHALLUW	UNAS UNAS	<b>n</b> m	HIGH	I EVEL	2.0-2.5
m	56	0 - 4 G			UNAU I DAN	) (M	MODERATE	ROLLING	2.0-2.5
50	70			SHALLOW	SAND	. ന	MODERATE	ROLLING	2.0-2.5
ה ה	200			SHALLOW	SAND	m	MODERATE	ROLLING	2.0-2.5
5 a	22	04-0	WIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5
הה		04-0	MIXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
57	10	0-40	WIXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
5 4			MIXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
•	010	64-6	MIXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
• •	10	01-0	WIXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
ri	10	0-40	WIXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
ŕ	, n 1 1	04-0	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0
ŗ			HARDWOOD	SHALL OW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0
•				SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0
4	12				SAND	. 4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
4	28	2410	MIXED MIXED		OND'S	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
4	95	5410			SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
4	4 L				SAND	- 4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
•				DEFP.	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
4 4			HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0
	10		WTXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
	70		HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	STEEP	2.5-3.0
	50	0.410	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0
. 4	96	64-0	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0
° O	05	0-49	MIXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH		2.5-3.6
S	60	0-49	MIXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH		0.5-5.2
ŝ	111	0-49	MIXED	DEEP	SAND	•	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0
ŝ	16	0-49	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	RULLING	2.5-3.0
ŝ	158	0-49	MIXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HOTH		2.5-3.0
ŝ	160	0-49	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	1971		1. 0-0. N
ß	161	6-49	WIXED	DEEP	SAND	4			0.0+0.4 0.0
S	564	6-49	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4			2 2 2 2 7 0 2 2 2 2 3 0
S	567	0-49	HARDWOOD	DEEP		4 -			0.0-14 C
S	569	0-49	HARDWUUD	SHALLUW		4 <		DUL TNC	0. 6-14. C
ı م	171	04-0	HARDWUUD			•	HICH	I FVFI	2 5-3 0
ומ	272	9-4-9				1 4	HICH	ROL I ING	3.0-3.5
	586	24-0				r •1	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5
0	119	241				•	HIGH	LEVEL	3.0-3.5
00	513					- 1	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5
0 4	• •				SAND	. 4	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5
	5 T C	04-0	HARDWODD	DFFP	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5
94	200	04-0	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	4	HICH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5
	000	04-0	HARDWOOD	DFEP	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5
) (C	200	140	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5
9.0	540	6-4-0	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5
Ð	544	0-49	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	3.6-3.5
ω	551	0-49	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	3.6-3.5
9	355	0-49	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5

	S04_DEP0	3.0-3.0 3.0-3.5 3.0-3.5 3.0-3.5 -3.5 3.0-3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 5 3.5 5 5 5	3.0-3.5 3.0-3.5 3.0-3.5 2.5-3.0	S04_DEP0	1.0-1.5 1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5 1.0-1.5	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0 1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0 1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5 1.5-2.0	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5	2.0-2.5
	RELIEF	LEVEL ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING STEEP	ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING	RELIEF	ROLLING	ROLLING	STEEP	ROLLING	ROLLING	STEEP	LEVEL		ROLLING	ROLLING		LEVEL		LEVEL	ROLLING		LEVEL	רפעפר		ROLLING	LEVEL	LEVEL	LEVEL	LEVEL
	SENSI	н 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 19	H5H H51H H101H	SENSI	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HOLH	HDIH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH WODFRATF	HIGH	HIGH MODERATE	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH WODEPATE	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HOIH	HOIH
	BEDROCK	<b>प प प प प</b>	****	BEDROCK	4 4	4 4	ৰ ব	च च	4 4	. 4. 4	1 4	4 4	• •	4 4	T 4	4 (*	0 4	<b>4</b> (*	ი ო	•	1 4	4	4 0	იო	4	4 4	•	* *
STER=2	TEXTURE	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	SAND SAND SAND SAND	JSTER=3 TEXTURE	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND 0142	SAND	SAND	SAND	QNAS	SAND	SAND CAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	ONAS SAND	SAND	SAND	SAND	SANU
כרח	DEPTH	SHALLOW DEEP DEEP Shallow Shallow DFFP	SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW	СLL DEPTH	SHALLOW Shall OW	SHALLOW	SHALLOW	DEEP		SHALLOW	DEEP	DEEP	SHALLUW Shall DW	SHALLOW	DEEP	DEEP	DEEP	DEEP	DEEP			DEEP	DEEP	DEEP	DEEP	DEEP		DEEP DEEP
	VEG	HARDW000 HARDW000 HARDW000 HARDW000 HARDW000 HARDW000	HARDWOOD HARDWOOD HARDWOOD HARDWOOD	VEG	CONTEER	CONIFER	MIXED VIXED	CONTER	CONTEER	MIXED	WIXED		WIXED	MIXED	LO CON	UP CON	C CON	UP CON		UP CON			UP CON	SC L.CON	LO CON		UP CON	LO CON UP CON
	PERCENT	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	50-74 50-74 50-74 6-49	PERCENT	6-49 240	000	0-49	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		0 4 1 0 4 0 0	0 4 - 0	14	0110	04	0-49 0-49	6-40	0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0-49		0-49	69-49 0-40	04-0	0-49	04-00	6-49	0-1-0 0-1-0	0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0	0-49 0-49
	POLYCON	9 0 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0	642 642 682 682 692	POLYGON	r .	36 36	9 <b>1</b> 1	501 501	124	125 133	155	1.1	189	1905	206	216	216 219	222	232	243	246	250	254	255 260	264	269	279	283 284
	CBS	288 289 289 289 289 289 289 289 289 289	280 280 288 288 288 288 288 288 288 288	085	266	268 268 268	270	272	275	275 276	277	279	280	282	283	285	216 267	288	289	291	2 <b>92</b>	500 709	295	296 207	298	299	301	302 303

.

\_\_\_\_

----

	S04_DEP0	๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛		SO4_DEPO	
	RELIEF	ROLLING LEVEL STEEP STEEP STEEP STEEP STEEP STEEP ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING		RELIEF	ROLLING ROLLIN
	SENSI			SENSI	HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH
	BEDROCK	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		BEDROCK	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
ISTER=3	TEXTURE	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	JS I EK=4	TEXTURE	
CLU	DEPTH	SHALLOW DEEP SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW		DEPTH	DEEP SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEE
	VEG	HARDWOOD MIXED MARD~00D HARD~00D MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED		VEG	CONTFER MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED
	PERCENT	<i>6 6 6 6 6 6 6</i> 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		PERCENT	<i>₽ 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6</i>
	POLYGON	6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		POLYGON	111111111 122211110000090909090444090909109090 122221111000091448844709091470909109090 8046088747274729
	OBS	250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250		085	888259999999999999999999999999999999999

.....

-----

1111

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD METHOD CLUSTERS

A-9

-----

				נרח	ISTER=4					
085	POLYGON	PERCENT	VEG	DEPTH	TEXTURE	BEDROCK	SENSI	RELIEF	S04_DEP0	
405	143	6-49	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HDIH	STEEP	1.5-2.0	
406	148	0-49	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	STEEP	1.5-2.0	
407	149	0	MIXEU HARNWOOD	DEEP	UNAS DAND	4 4	HOTH	KULLING	1.5-2.0	
400	Lot		HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	• •	HOTH	STFFP	1 5-2 0	
410	171		MIXED	DEEP	SAND	- 4	HIGH	ROLLING	1.5-2.0	
411	174	64-0	MIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	. 4	HIGH	LEVEL	1.5-2.0	
412	175	64-0	MIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HICH	LEVEL	1.5-2.0	
413	179	0-49	MIXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
414	188	0-49	WIXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HICH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
415	198	60	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	e	WODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
416	212	0-49	MIXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
417	214	0-49	LD CON	SHALLOW	SAND	n	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
418	217	0-49	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	Ð	WODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
419	224	0-49	LO CON	DEEP	SAND	e	HIGH	ROLLING	2.0-2.5	
420	233	0-49	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	m	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
421	235	0-49	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	'n	WODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
422	241	0-49	LO CON	DEEP	SAND	m	HIGH	ROLLING	2.0-2.5	
423	245	0-49	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	( <b>1</b> )	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
424	252	0-49	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	( <b>7</b> )	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
425	258	0-49	LO CON	DEEP	SAND	( <b>m</b> )	HIGH	ROLLING	2.0-2.5	
426	261	0-49	UP CON	DEEP	SAND	ŝ	HIGH	ROLLING	2.0-2.5	
427	265	0-49	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	m 1	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
428	293	0-40	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	<b>ന</b> (	MODERATE		2.0-2.5	
429	297	0-49	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	וניה	MODERATE		2.0-2.5	
430	316	0 0	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	m ·	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
431	319	0 - 40				4 (			2.0-2.5	
201	125					<b>"</b> (		רה ער היייר	2.6-2.5	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	175					<b>n</b> r			C.7-0.7	
		04-0				4 (*	NUDERATE		0.0-0.0	
	360	0-40	WIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	<b>)</b> (1)	MODERATE	STEEP	2 9-2 E	
437	364	0-49	LD CON	DEEP	SAND	) (T)	HIGH	ROLLING	2.0-2.5	
438	382	0-49	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0	
439	388	0-49	MIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	ŝ	WODERATE	ROLLING	2.5-3.0	
440	401	0-49	MIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	m	VODERATE	ROLLING	2.5-3.0	
441	418	0-49	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0	
442	423	Ø-49	HARDWCOD	SHALLOW	SAND	•	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0	
443		0 - 4 C				• •	1911		2.5-3.0	
4	4	2410			ONAS C	4		LEVEL	2.5-3.0	
440	104					4 -	HOTH		2.5-3.0	
0 t t t	104		MIAEV VADAWOOD			4 4		רבעבר	2.5-2.5	
440	101	0110				1 4		רמעמר	N. 5-5. 5 5 5 5	
449	472	04-0	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	QNPS	1 4			2.5-5.2 5 5-2 G	
450	474	0-40	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	1 4	HIGH		9 5-3 6	
451	486	0-49	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0	
452	487	0-49	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0	
463	490	0-49	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0	
454	493	0-49	HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0	
455	498	0-49	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0	
456	501	0-40 40		DEEP	SAND	4 •	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0	
- 04	000	アオーロ		VEEL	DARU	Ŧ	HUL	RULLING	2.5-3.0	

				נרח	ISTER=4					
085	POLYGON	PERCENT	VEG	DEPTH	TEXTURE	BEDROCK	SENSI	RELIEF	so4_bepo	
458	513 522	0 4 - 0 9 4 - 0 0 4 - 0	HARDWOOD HARDWOOD	DEEP Shallow	SAND	4 4	HDIH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0 2.5-3.0	
460	523	04-0	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	44	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0	
461	070		HARDWOOD	SHALLOW	SAND	1 4	HICH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0	
463	557	0-49	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	<b>4</b> '	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0	
464	583 720	0140			SAND SAND	4 4	HIGH		6.6-9.5 5.5.5	
405	200		HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	1 🛥	HIGH	LEVEL	3.0-3.5	
467	591	61-0	MIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	e	VODERATE	LEVEL	3.0-3.5	
468	594	0-49	MIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	c) ·	MODERATE	LEVEL	3.0-3.5	
469	599	6-40	HARDWOOD			4 4			1. U-1. S	
470	000				SAND	•	HIGH		3. 0-3. 5	
472	641 641	64-0	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	3.0-3.5	
473	646	0-49	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	3.0-3.5	
474	647	0-49	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH		3.8-3.5	
475	653	0-49	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	◄ `	HIGH		3.6-3.5	
476	661	0-40	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	•		LEVEL DOL 1 TNC	4. U-4. U	
477	664	50-74				• •				
4 78	667	50-74				1 4	HDIH	ROLLING	a.e-3.5	
	614 676	20-14	HARDWOOD		SAND	•	HIGH	ROLLING	3.8-3.5	
400	678	50-74	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	•	HIGH	ROLLING	3.2-3.5	
482	690	0-49	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.0	
1				CLI	JSTER=5					
085	POLYCON	PERCENT	VEG	DEPTH	TEXTURE	BEDROCK	SENSI	RELIEF	S04_DEPO	
483	181	0-49	LO CON	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.0-2.5	
484	197	61-6	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	•	HIGH	ROLLING	2.2-2.5	
485	208	0-49		SHALLOW	SAND	•	HIGH	ROLLING	2.0-2.5	
486	210	0140		SHALLOW	ONAN DAAN	• •			2.6-2.0	
184	223	0410		SHALLOW	SAND	• •	HIGH	ROLLING	2.0-2.5	
	263	04-0		SHALLOW	SAND	-	HIGH	ROLLING	2.8-2.5	
490	272	0-49	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.0-2.5	
491	275	0-49	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.8-2.5	
492	334	04-0				4	WUJERAIE WODERATE		2.2-2.2 2.0-2.0	
504 707	545		SC U.CON			1 4	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.8-2.0	
1 00 1 7 1	346	04-0	SC L.CON	DEEP	LOAN	•	MODERATE	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
496	358	0-49	LO CON	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	2.0-2.5	
497	362	67-D		SHALLOW	SAND	4		KULLING	2.6-2.0	
4 9 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	383 202	0 4 1 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	NDC 4D	SHALLUW Oferd	UNANU AND	4 4		ROI LING	2.5-3.5	
	900 900	04-0	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.2	
501	395	0-49	LO CON	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLL ING	2.5-3.2	
502	398	0-49	SC L.CON	SHALLOW	SAND	m •	WODERATE	ROLLING	2.5-3.5	
503	410	0-49 0-40		SHALLUW	UNANU VANU	ৰ ব	HIGH	LEVEL POLITING	2.5-3.0	
504 805	412	2410 2410	SC MIXED		SAND	r •	HIGH	ROLLING	2.5-3.3	

•

----

					CLU	STER=5					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	085	POLYGON	PERCENT	VEG	DEPTH	TEXTURE	BEDROCK	SENSI	RELIEF	SO4_DEPO	
	506	421	6-40	LO CON	SHALLOW	SAND	4 4	HICH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0 2.5-3.0	
	507 508	431	0-40 0-40		SHALLOW	SAND	r 4	HDIH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0	
	503	469	6-40	CONTFER	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0	
	510	470	0-49	CONIFER	SHALLOW	SAND	4 •	HOTH	LEVEL	2.5-3.0	
	511	480	0-49	CONIFER	SHALLOW	CAND CAND	4 4		LEVEL ROLING	2 0-3.0	
	512	582	94-90	MIXED	SHALLOW SHALLOW	ONAS DANAS	• 4	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5	
	510		04-0	M TYFD	SHALLOW	SAND	-	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5	
	4 L 0 L			MIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5	
	010	100		MTXFD	DEEP	SAND	. 4	HIGH	STEEP	3.0-3.5	
				MIYED	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5	
	- 10					SAND	4	HICH	LEVEL	3.0-3.5	
		518	04-0	CONTEER	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	3.0-3.5	
		620	0-40	WIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5	
	102	645	0-49	WIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5	
	522	684	0-49	WIXED	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	3.0-3.5	
	523	688	50-74	MIXED	DEEP	SAND	4	HIGH	STEEP	3.5-4.0	
					CLU	STER=6					
OE	BS	POLYGON	PERCENT	VEG	DEPTH	TEXTURE	BEDROCK	SENSI	RELIEF	S04_DEPO	
101	24	36	0-40 40	HARDWOOD HARDWOOD	DEEP Shal Low	SAND	ოო	HIGH VODERATE	ROLLING	1.3-1.5 1.0-1.5	
	26 2	162	0110	HARDWOOD		SAND	0	HICH		1.5-2.0	
	27	677	50-74		DEEP	SAND	r-1 <b>p</b> -1		ROLLING	8.0-9.0 0.0-0.0	
0.0	28 29	6/9 680	50-74 50-74	HARDWOOD	DEEP	SAND	4 -4	LOW	ROLLING	3.6-3.5	
				F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	CLU	ISTER=7					L 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0	BS	POLYGON	PERCENT	VEG	DEPTH	TEXTURE	BEDROCK	SENSI	RELIEF	SG4_DEPO	
Ŭ		53	9-40	CONTERR	SHALL OW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	1.5-2.3	
n u	9.5		04-60	CONTRER	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HDIH	ROLLING	1.5-2.0	
5 6	100	101	0-49	CONTFER	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	1.5-2.2	
	33	119	0-49	CONIFER	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	ROLLING	1.5-2.0	
25	34	122	0-49	CONTEER	SHALLOW	SAND	4		KULLING	1.0-2.0 0.0 0.0	
6	35	195	0-49	MIXED	SHALLOW		4 -			2.2-0.2	
LG 1	36	196	0				14		STREP STREP	2.8-2.5	
Ϋ́ Ϋ́	100	212			SHALLOW	SAND	4	HOH	LEVEL	2.2-2.5	
ńù	00	272	04-0		SHALLOW	SAND	4	HOTH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
ο Ο	04	229	0-49	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	ব	HIGH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
ů	41	244	0-19	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	च (		LEVEL	2.8-2.5	
ů.	42	257	67-3				<b>ب</b> ر.			0 3 - 7 - 1 0 3 - 7	
ι. Γ		271	57 - 57 C		SHALLOW SHALLOW		14	HOLI		2.0-2.5	
ň	4 1	180		UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
5 uč	14	282	04-0	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HIGH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	
ι ώ	47	287	0-49	UP CON	SHALLOW	SAND	4	HICH	LEVEL	2.0-2.5	

•

	04_DEP0	ჅჅჅჅჅჅႧႧႧႧႧႧႧႧႧႧႧႧႧႧႧႧႧႧჅჅჅჅჅჅჅჅჅ ႽჄჂჂჂჂ ჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿჿ ჿჿჿჿჿჿ	14_CEPO	60000000000000000000000000000000000000
	RELIEF	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	RELIEF SC	ROLLING LEVEL ROLLING ROLLING LEVEL ROLLING LEVEL LEVEL LEVEL ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING
	SENSI		ISNBS	HIGH HIGH HIGH NODERATE MODERATE MODERATE HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH
	BEDROCK	*****	BEJROCK	4 4 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0
-USTER=7	TEXTURE	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	TEXTURE	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
Cl	DEPTH	SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW DEEEP DEEEP DEEEP DEEEP DEEEP SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW	<b>DEPTH</b>	SHALLOW DEEP DEEP SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP
	VEG	HARMAN HARMAN CHAMMAN CONTRACTOR	VEG	HARDWOOD MIXED MIXED CONIFER MIXED CONIFER CONIFER MIXED MIXED MIXED MIXED
	PERCENT	<i>₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽</i> ₽₽ 	PERCENT	00100000000000000000000000000000000000
	POLYGON	22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	POLYGON	000471000000000000000000000000000000000
	085	Α Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ	085	₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩

-----

-----

1				
	S04_DEPO	888789898989898989898989898989898989898	S04_DEPO	000000000 00000000 0000000000000000000
	RELIEF	ROLLING ROLLIN	RELIEF	ROLLING LEVEL LEVEL LEVEL LEVEL LEVEL LEVEL LEVEL LEVEL LEVEL
	SENSI	VODERATE VODERATE MIGHRATE MIGHRATE HIGH HIGH HIGH MODERATE	SENSI	
	BEDROCK	លលលថ លថ ថ ថ ថ ល ថ ល ថ ល ល ល ល ល ថ ថ ល ល ល ល ល ល ល ថ ថ ថ ថ ថ ថ ល ល ល ល ល ល ល ល ល	BEDROCK	ৰ ৰ ৰ ৰ ৰ ৰ ৰ ৰ ৰ
ISTER=8	TEXTURE	SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	TEXTURE	SAND SAND SAND SAND SAND ORGANICS SAND ORGANICS SAND
CLU	DEPTH	SHALLOW SHALLOW DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEEP DEE	DEPTH	SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW DEEP SHALLOW ANY ANY ANY SHALLOW SHALLOW
	VEG	MIXED MIXED		
1 5 1 8 8 8 8 1 1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	PERCENT	<i>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</i>		7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	NUCK IDA	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		POLYGON 200 220 225 253 265 265 265 273 277
	500	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	1			

	S04_DEPO	<i>8999999999999999999999999999999999999</i>		SO4_DEPO	66666666666666666666666666666666666666
	RELIEF	SS CECCUL CECCUC CECUC CE	*	RELIEF	LEVEL ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING STEEP ROLLING STEEP ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING ROLLING
	SENSI			SENSI	HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH
	BEDROCK	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	- 	BEDROCK	<sup></sup>
JSTER=9	TEXTURE	SSAND SSAND	USTER=10	TEXTURE	
CLI	рертн	SHALLOW DEEP SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW DEEP DEEP SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW SHALLOW	CL	DEPTH	
	VEG	MACANOCOCOLUNASSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOSOS		VEG	MIXED MIXED
	PERCENT	<i>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</i>		PERCENT	<i>7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 </i>
	POLYGON	22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	• • • • • • • • •	POLYGON	1111111 100000000000000000000000000000
	085	ਲ਼		085	00000000000000000000000000000000000000

----

-----

\_\_\_\_

	EF SO4_DEPO	L 2.8-2.5 L 2.8-2.5 L 2.8-2.5
	RELI	E LEVE LEVE LEVE
	SENSI	HIGH HIGH MODERAT
	BEDROCK	M M M
USTER=10	TEXTURE	SAND SAND GNAS SAND
CLI	DEPTH	DEEP DEEP Shallow
	VEG	HARDWOOD HARDWOOD HARDWOOD HARDWOOD
	PERCENT	0 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4
	POLYGON	192 370 373
	085	692 693 694



#### APPENDIX B PROBAR REFLECTANCE DATA

- Table B.1. Corrected PROBAR reflectances above the water surface and water chemistry data.
- Table B.2. PROBAR subsurface predicted reflectances.

-----

	LIMN
	AND
Table B.1.	D PROBAR REFLECTANCES
	111

٠

		רחאובר							TDAA2	TB470
LAKE_ID	NAME	PROFILE	Н	sD	TTLCHL_A	200	504	۲		
796	FREDERIC	14	4.75	5.0	0.60	Ø.6	12.90	200	0.095150	0.100440
308	DOUGHERT	35	4.61	17.4	0.20	8.9 9	13.40	260	025050.0	0.50000 0
300	DOUGHERT	32	4.63	19.0	0.30	20	13.50	202	0.102500	0.00000
300	DOUGHERT	38	4.63	19.0	0.30	8 9	95.51	967		0.101.01 0.00000
ALE	×	21	5.69	9. E	3.10	5.2	11.00	a11	0.022100	017770.0
310	×	22	5.97	49. 19	2.30	9.0	11.30		0066000.0	
ACE	×	18	5.95	7.7	1.30	2.7	11.20	72.	0.034/20	010040.0
200	CHINIGUC	25	5.12	12.3	0.30	Ø.8	12.50	170	0.069540	0.00/080
170		15	6.24	8.3	0.70	4.0	14.20	11	0.023480	0.022010
		. r	40	18.0	0.30	<b>0</b> .3	12.60	410	0.129130	0.132950
		5	4 98	2.4	0.80	6.0	10.40	180	0.065940	0.071590
100	<>	4 00	44	- U	0.30	0.2	11.50	360	0.091450	0.084490
345		20		0.01	0.30	0.3	12.00	180	0.093430	0.093330
	CHINIGUC	0 0		1	939	0	10.50	440	0.116640	0.117270
341	7.00.0	6 V -			0 40	6	12.00	760	0.091180	0.104920
35A	MARJURIE	71			01.0	) u 	12.60	240	0.090590	0.096650
358	DEWDNEY	י ת ו		• •		o u o e	12 00	140	0.113520	0.118410
350	CHINIGUC	29	8	י פ י ת			10.00	041	0 098630	0.103110
35C	CHINIGUC	24	4.84	69.69 19.69	94.9	0 0 9 0	00.71		a 103600	0.097590
360	CHINIGUC	31	4.60	12.5	9.30	9 I 9	00.01		00000110	0 117530
360	CHINIGUC	21	4.60	12.5	0.30	0	13.50	0 G Z	0.110000	00111.00
360	I AWI OR	18	4.51	4.7	0.60	6.0	95.11	378	0.002000	
975		9	4.66	11.0	0.30	0.7	12.90	300	0.101920	
	,	4.6	4 29	7.5	0.80	1.1	11.60	770	0.092920	0.090040
	•			7.5	0.80	1.1	11.60	770	0.096560	0.100680
210	<>	14	212	11.2	0.20	0.8	13.10	150	0.137310	0.132338
3/E	<	01			2					
TR520	TR550	TR58Ø		TR610	TR640	Ţ	3670	TR700	TR73	2
	031010 0	0 0E36800	6	0051000	0.0352000	0.0	309600	0.028250	0.0247	008.
0.082380	0.12100			0001000	0 0143600	6	32900	0.010500	0.0080	1500
0.047890	0.0460/0	0075040.0	9 e		0000110.0	6	2 3 6 B 0 0	0.017450	0.0161	200
0.072560	0.061060	0.0495300	9.6	0001000			716700	0 070490	0 0.0657	900
0.144560	<b>8</b> .125998	0050560.0	9	0011790	aartela.a		00000	0 015600	0 0 0123	600
0.022780	0.021320	0.0203500	9	0061420	0076710	9.6	000001	0.0010-00		001
0.063260	0.062960	0.0597800	0	0560100	0.00035000			001210.0		1800
0.042540	0.040510	0.0420300	ø	0320900	008/120.0	9 9 9	0016/1			0000
0.057870	0.052940	0.0445500	ø	0345700	0.0275200	9	005052	016770.0		0070
0.021170	0.021790	0.0168300	69	0150600	0.0111300	5	00110	0004000.0		
0 093660	0.077940	0.0571900	Ø	0453200	0.0420600	0	382300	0.034300	0 0.032	000
a affifa	0 061610	0.0471800	9	0330200	0.0261900	0.0	225400	0.018290	icia a a	200
0.00010	0 058700	0 0483500	0	0336800	0.0268500	0.0	238300	0.022420	0.0200	1000
	0 04040	0 0338400	6	0250800	0.0210500	0	191600	0.016580	0 0.0138	3200
	019500 0	0 0678900	6	0443600	0.0364200	0.0	315200	0.029690	0 0.0246	3300
		0.02.22.00	5	0429600	0.0221200	0.0	149900	0.012910	0 0.009(	360 <b>0</b>
041150.0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5	0762400	0 0184400	0	116400	0.011840	0 0.0073	2500
0000010.0	aroroa, a		9 6	GEADEAG	0 0519000	6	490600	0.047580	0 0.042	300
0.1112/0	0.100200		9 6		0 0475300		986300	0 036160	0 0.0325	0010
0.086810	0.077580	0.024040	9	09/2/40			000000	0 000330	0 0 07	1300
0.073390	0.062850	0.0516200	9	040/800	0033/000	90			a a a a a	200
0.083140	0.070330	0.0494300	0	.0403800	0.0354000	9 9	331000			
0.079040	0.075350	0.0546400	0	0364900	0.0296200	9	245900	0.1220.0		
0 090300	0.072200	0.0588600	0	.0309800	0.0231800	0.0	151300	0.012300	1600.0 -	0000
0.086030	0.083790	0.0773500	0	.0622800	0.0515600	0.0	471100	0.043200	0.040	2000
a 107000	0 100293	0.0806300	0	.0607300	0.0464700	0.0	422800	0.039590	0 0.032	001
a 100560	0.085350	0.0562800	0	0309200	0.0223300	0.0	197000	0.016160	0 0.013	1300

•

CORRECTED PROBAR REFLECTANCES AND LIMNOLOGICAL DATA

TR4 70	0.056640 0.083750 0.083750 0.1361710 0.017700 0.017700 0.017700 0.0550388 0.0553388 0.0254500 0.021480 0.021480 0.021480 0.021480 0.021480 0.021480 0.021480 0.02123 0.02123 0.02123 0.0212380 0.031223 0.022160 0.031223 0.022160 0.031223 0.0220010 0.0220010	2 4 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
TR443	0.051610 0.107130 0.107130 0.107130 0.107130 0.03191360 0.0319120 0.02403120 0.0240310 0.0240310 0.02403100 0.0240310000 0.024051000 0.0240510000 0.0241360000 0.02755500000000000000000000000000000000	R73 8773 387369 387369 3873969 3865986 3865986 3787869 3787869 3787869 3787869 3787869 3655986
٦٢	2880.0 2880.0 2880.0 2880.0 2880.0 2880.0 2880.0 2890.0 280.0000000000	©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©
\$04	111111111111 0000000000000000000000000	A constraint of the second
DOC	<i>๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛</i>	7.67       7.670       6.012510       6.012510       6.039110       6.039110       6.039110       6.039110       6.039110       6.039110       6.039110       6.031120       6.031120       6.012200       6.013200       6.013750       6.013750       6.013750       6.013750       6.013750       6.013750       6.013750       6.013750       6.013750       6.013750       6.013804       6.013804       6.013804       6.013804
	01000000000000000000000000000000000000	TR640 1.015090 0.015090 0.015090 0.015090 0.015090 0.015090 0.0110730 0.012050 0.012050 0.015650 0.015650 0.015650 0.015650 0.015650 0.015650 0.015650 0.015650 0.0114 0.02550 0.015650 0.015600 0.015600 0.0114 0.015600 0.0114 0.015600 0.0114 0.0116 0
SD SD	๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛	<ul> <li>1</li> <li>2</li> <li>3</li> <li>4</li> <li>4</li></ul>
PH	44440044//00000/00000000004 00000/00000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
PROFILE	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
NAME	SILVESTE SILVESTE OTTER MATAGAMA X MATAGAMA RATHBUN WANAPITE ATOMIC EAST MONTREAL MONTREAL X DYER DYER ALUIN BARBARA X ALVIN BARBARA X ALVIN BARBARA X ALVIN	BIG FIKE TR559 0.055290 0.055290 0.055290 0.055290 0.0515290 0.0515290 0.0515290 0.019650 0.017561 0.017561 0.0315569 0.0315561 0.0315520 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315550 0.0315500 0.0315550 0.03155000 0.03155000 0.03155000000000000000000000000000000000
LAKE_ID	ж ж ж ж ж ж ж <del>4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4</del>	F TR5 20 0.055333 0.0755333 0.0755333 0.0755333 0.0755333 0.0755333 0.075533 0.071530 0.0319055 0.0319055 0.0319055 0.0319055 0.03170 0.0215533 0.02155555 0.02155555 0.0215555 0.0215555 0.0215555 0.0215555 0.021555555 0.02155555 0.0215555555 0.021555555 0.02155555555555555555555555555555555555

CORRECTED PROBAR REFLECTANCES AND LIMNOLOGICAL DATA

TR470	0.022360         0.022360         0.022360         0.022360         0.022360         0.02117400         0.022360         0.021360         0.021360         0.021360         0.021360         0.021360         0.02140         0.02131500         0.02131500         0.02131000	
TR443	0.0238015 0.0238015 0.02347600 0.0234783 0.0234783 0.0228000 0.0228000 0.0228000 0.0228000 0.02284800 0.02284800 0.02284800 0.02284800 0.02284800 0.02284800 0.02284800 0.02284400 0.02284000 0.02284000000000000000000000000000000000	R732 R732 (122159599 (122159599 (1221599 (1221599 (1221599 (1231599 (1133999 (113399 (113399 (113399 (1125899 (1125899 (1125899 (115589) (1155899 (115589) (
٩٢	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
S04	4444440404444000040400440044 80014010000000000	TR700 0.015329 0.015329 0.007978 0.007978 0.007978 0.0017162 0.0018730 0.01270 0.012480 0.014720 0.014890 0.014890 0.014890 0.014890 0.014990 0.014990 0.014990 0.014990 0.014990 0.014990 0.014930 0.017330 0.017330 0.017330 0.017330 0.017330 0.017330 0.017330 0.017330 0.017330 0.017330 0.0073300 0.0073300 0.0073300 0.0073300 0.0073300 0.0073300 0.007330000000000
DOC	ਲ਼ਗ਼ਲ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਫ਼ਲ਼ਫ਼ੑੑਸ਼ਫ਼ਫ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਫ਼ਜ਼ਫ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਜ਼ਲ਼ਫ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ ਜ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਫ਼ਲ਼ਸ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਫ਼ਜ਼ਫ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਜ਼ਲ਼ਫ਼ਫ਼ਲ਼	TR676 6.014397 6.014397 6.0193569 6.0193569 6.0103569 6.0103569 6.0108256 6.0108256 6.0108556 6.011176 6.011356 6.0113356 6.0113356 6.0113356 6.0113356 6.0113356 6.0113356 6.0113358
TTLCHL_A	001104141900100940001000000000000000000	TR646 0.0215282 0.0215282 0.0215282 0.0215282 0.0217663 0.011281 0.0215570 0.012570 0.012570 0.012570 0.0125330 0.0125330 0.017566 0.017566 0.017566 0.01710 0.017720 0.017720 0.01772000000000000000000000000000000000
SD		8 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1
Hď		TRG16 TRG16 0.0155 0.0155 0.0126 0.0126 0.0126 0.0126 0.0125
PROFILE	44000000469445000000000000 90000000000000000000000	TR580 0.017809 0.017809 0.0194273 0.0194273 0.0194273 0.025215 0.025515 0.015280 0.016520 0.016520 0.016520 0.019150 0.025180 0.019150 0.025180 0.025180 0.025180 0.025180 0.01780 0.025150 0.025150 0.025150 0.025150 0.025150 0.025150 0.02550 0.025150 0.02500 0.025000 0.025000 0.025000 0.025000 0.025000 0.025000 0.025000 0.025000 0.025000 0.025000 0.025000 0.025000 0.025000 0.025000 0.0250000 0.0250000 0.0250000 0.0250000 0.0250000 0.0250000000000000000000000000000000000
NAME	SHOEPACK PATTERSO CAND CAND CAND CAND AITCHELL FULLER AUINTET TAY TAY AUINTET AUCCOLLOU MCCOLU MCCOLLO	TR550 0.017882 0.017882 0.015654 0.0245654 0.0245654 0.02455115 0.0245115 0.0245115 0.0245115 0.0245130 0.017230 0.017230 0.017230 0.017330 0.017330 0.018130 0.021330 0.0212320 0.0213300 0.0212320 0.0212320 0.0212320 0.0212320 0.0212320 0.0212320 0.0212320 0.0212320 0.0212320 0.0212320 0.0212320 0.0212320 0.0212200 0.0212220000000000000000000
LAKE_ID	£₽₽₽₽₩₩₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽	TRS50 0.0220 0.0220 0.0220 0.0220 0.022456 0.02256 0.02256 0.02256 0.02256

-

CORRECTED PROBAR REFLECTANCES AND LIMNOLOGICAL DATA

MANE         MANE <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>113000</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>000</th><th>S04</th><th>٩٢</th><th>TR443</th><th>TR470</th></th<>			113000				000	S04	٩٢	TR443	TR470
II       6       7.35       2.8       5.1       5.93       100.0       0.0284000         SH       31       7.44       999.9       0.0284000       0.0284000       0.0284000         SH       31       7.44       999.9       0.0284000       0.0284000       0.0284000         SS       7.44       999.9       0.0284000       0.0284000       0.0284000       0.0284000         NG       15       7.34       999.9       0.0284000       0.0284000       0.0284000         SS       15       7.34       999.9       0.0284000       0.0284000       0.02857300         SS       5.67       4.5       2.3       3.2       8.6       0.0284000       0.02857300         SS       6.20       7.5       1.3       2.2       11.7       999.9       0.02857300         SS       6.27       3.2       2.3       3.2       8.63       0.0284000       0.017900         SS       6.27       1.2       2.3       3.2       10.9       0.017900       0.017900         SS       6.20       7.5       1.3       2.3       3.7       179       100.0       0.017900         SS       5.33       2.23	NAME		PK0F 1	E L	2			C 17	47.0	0.0228400	0.0252100
ISH       34       7.44       999:9       2.8       7.9       5.10       599:9       0.0287800         KAB       25       7.44       999:9       4.0       0.0281900       0.0287800         S       15       7.34       999:9       4.0       0.031900       0.0287800         S       7.34       999:9       5.2       9.4       0.091:9       0.0257300         S       7.34       999:9       5.2       9.4       0.0110       0.0257300         ATI       5.67       4.5       5.3       9.4       0.011700       0.0257300         RIE       5.67       4.5       5.3       9.9       0.011700       0.0257300         RIE       5.67       4.5       2.3       3.2       8.6       0.0177100       0.0257300         RIE       6.20       7.5       1.3       3.2       8.63       0.0177100       0.0257300         RIE       6.20       1.3       5.67       4.5       2.3       3.7       9.2       9.4       0.0257300         RIE       6.20       1.1       6.20       1.1       9.2       1.1       0.0257300       0.0177100       0.0257300       0.0257300       0.0257300 </td <td>NORTH</td> <td>11</td> <td>Ð</td> <td>7.3</td> <td>5 2.8</td> <td>4.0</td> <td>n <del>-</del> n 4</td> <td>20.7</td> <td>100.0</td> <td>0.0222200</td> <td>0.0200200</td>	NORTH	11	Ð	7.3	5 2.8	4.0	n <del>-</del> n 4	20.7	100.0	0.0222200	0.0200200
TISH 31 7.44 999.9 2.5 7.30 8.8 4.77 999.9 0.0287800 6.7 4.77 999.9 0.0384900 6.7 4.17 999.9 0.0384900 6.7 4.17 999.9 0.0384900 6.7 4.11 999.9 0.0381900 6.7 4.11 999.9 0.0381900 6.7 4.11 999.9 0.0381900 6.7 4.11 999.9 0.0381900 6.7 4.11 999.9 0.0381900 6.5 7.30 999.9 0.057300 6.6 7 4.5 7.30 999.9 0.057300 6.6 7 4.5 7.30 999.9 0.0410700 6.0110700 6.000707	PAINT		94	7.41	5 666	8 G N I			0 000	0.0284600	0.0245900
KAB         28         7.44         999:9         3.9         6.7         4.77         999:9         6.304500           ATT         12         7.34         999:9         6.7         4.11         999:9         6.304500           ATT         12         7.34         999:9         6.7         4.11         999:9         6.304500           ATT         12         7.34         999:9         6.7         4.11         999:9         6.304500           ATT         5         7.34         999:9         6.20         7.5         9.4         6.20         9.4         6.20         9.4         6.20         9.4         6.20         9.4         6.20         9.4         6.20         9.4         6.20         9.4         6.20         9.4         6.20         9.4         6.20         9.4         6.20         9.4         6.20         9.4         9.4         6.20         9.4         9.	CRAYE	HSI:	31	7.4	6 666 <del>6</del>	7.7	n . 		0.000	0.0287800	0.0247700
TECU $25$ $7.30$ $999.9$ $5.7$ $4.71$ $999.9$ $6.7$ $4.71$ $999.9$ $6.7$ $4.71$ $999.9$ $6.7$ $4.71$ $999.9$ $6.73190000$ VING         12 $7.37$ $999.9$ $6.7$ $4.73$ $999.9$ $6.7337900$ $6.733790000$ $6.7337900000$ $6.7337900000000$ $6.733790000000000000000000000000000000000$	WF C T	KAR	28	4. ~	5 666 \$	2.1	0		0.000	0 0304000	A 0790600
Current         <			, c	7.30	а 999.5	3.9	6.7		0		0 0102000
IS       7.34       999.9       5.2       9.4       4.73       999.9       9.6       6.6       9.4      <			25		5 666 1	9.4	6.7	4.11	5.555	0.0501300	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
NUNG         15         7.37         999.9         6.0         9.4         6.0<	Ž		7	- 1		c U	9.8	4.30	6665	0.03100000	0001620.0
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	N. N	SUS	16	5				A 78	94.6	0.0150200	0.0142400
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	KABE	DNUNG	19	7.3	5.888 1		• 0	000	000	0.0257300	0.0225900
VERIE         7.69         999.9         1.2         6.5         1.1.7         991.9         1.2         6.5         9.410600           VORTE         5.67         4.5         2.3         3.2         8.63         6.6.9         0.0410600           VORTE         5.67         4.5         2.3         3.2         8.63         6.0         0.0410600           VONL         75         1.3         2.9         10.90         10.90         10.90         0.0454700           TRE         44         6.20         7.5         1.4         2.9         9.29         10.90         0.0759900           TRE         75         1.4         2.3         3.79         130.9         0.0294323           TRESO         TR500         7.5         1.4         2.7         3.79         130.9         0.0294323           TR550         TR500         7.5         1.4         2.7         3.79         130.9         0.0294323           TR550         TR500         7.55         1.4         2.7         3.79         130.9         0.0292433           0011         7.85         7.85         7.85         7.79         130.9         0.02177100         0.02177100      <	u u u	DIATT	12	7.3	9.999.5	1.9	0.0			0 0763700	0 0233700
NORTE         7         5         7         5         7         5         6 <th7< th="">         10         10         10<!--</td--><td></td><td></td><td>) «</td><td>7.8</td><td>5.666 6</td><td>1.2</td><td>6.5</td><td>11./0</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>a a375700</td></th7<>			) «	7.8	5.666 6	1.2	6.5	11./0	5		a a375700
NUMLE         5.67         4.5         7.5         1.3         2.0         10.96         10.0         0.014900           TRE         41         6.20         7.5         1.3         2.0         10.96         10.0         0.0150300           TRE         41         5.33         4.2         1.3         2.0         10.96         10.0         0.0150300           TRE         41         5.33         4.2         1.3         2.2         9.29         10.0         0.0150300           TRE         5.33         4.2         1.3         2.2         9.29         10.0         0.0150300           TRES         TR50         TR50         TR610         TR640         TR670         TR732         10.0         0.0234323           TRES         TR50         TR610         TR640         TR640         TR732         0.02177100         0.0234323           0012         5.33         4.2         1.4         2.2         3.7         3.79         130.0         0.0234323           0012         TR50         TR640         TR640         TR670         0.0177100         0.0234323           0112         0122         0122500         0.0112200         0.0112700			• •		2 4 5	2.3	3.2	8.63	90.00	0.001 Fa. 0	
NDRIE         53         5.01         7.5         1.3         2.6         16.9         16.0         6.454766           TRE         41         6.28         7.5         1.3         2.6         16.9         16.0         6.03736366           TRE         41         5.33         4.2         1.3         2.6         16.0         6.03736366         6.03736366           TRE50         TR560         TR610         TR610         TR610         TR640         TR670         TR760         6.0374660         6.0374660         6.0374660         6.0374660         6.0374660         6.0374660         6.0374660         6.0374660         6.0374660         6.0377260         6.03177600         6.03177600         6.03177600         6.03167660         6.0117460         6.03167660         6.01177600         6.0122560         6.0114660         6.013726           01924800         0.03147100         0.0127260         0.0112460         0.0114660         6.011726         0.0226600         0.0117260         0.0122560         0.0114660         0.0122260         0.0112260         0.0117260         0.0122600         0.0114660         0.0122260         0.0114660         0.012260         0.0122600         0.0114660         0.0122600         0.0116260         0.0122600 <th< td=""><td>N N</td><td>NUKIE</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>с С</td><td>B.63</td><td>66.0</td><td>0.0195900</td><td>0002220.0</td></th<>	N N	NUKIE	-				с С	B.63	66.0	0.0195900	0002220.0
TRE         41         5.20         7.5         1.3         2.2         9.29         10.0         0.0360305           TRE         75         5.33         4.2         1.3         2.2         9.29         10.0         0.0360305           TRE         5.33         4.2         1.3         2.2         9.29         10.0         0.0346305           TRES         5.33         4.2         1.4         2.2         9.29         10.0         0.0346305           TR50         TR50         TR610         TR610         TR640         TR700         0.0177100         0.0234305           TR50         0.0374600         0.017000         0.014000         0.0144200         0.0177100         0.0122500           0199900         0.037700         0.0144200         0.0144200         0.017250         0.017250         0.017250           0199900         0.034700         0.0144700         0.017260         0.0144700         0.0226600         0.0145600         0.021700           0199900         0.021700         0.034700         0.0217400         0.0217200         0.0214600         0.0217200           0114400         0.0217400         0.0217400         0.0217400         0.0225600         0.0114600		NORIE	63	0.0		) (   		10 90	10.0	0.0454700	0.0446800
TRE         44         6.28         7.5         1.4         2.2         9.2         1.6         9.366366           TRPIN         75         5.39         9.0         1.4         2.2         9.2         1.6         9.356366           TRFS6         TR580         TR580         TR610         TR640         TR670         TR700         0.0177160         0.0177160         0.0177160         0.0177160         0.01395           01834200         0.0374600         0.0170000         0.0177200         0.0177160         0.0177160         0.0177160         0.01375           01895600         0.0374600         0.0177200         0.0127200         0.0117260         0.01077           01895600         0.0114000         0.0132700         0.0117260         0.01077         0.0226600         0.0117260           0192400         0.017200         0.0132700         0.0117260         0.01077         0.0226600         0.01077           0114000         0.0279600         0.0177200         0.0174200         0.0275600         0.0177260         0.02177           02114000         0.0279600         0.0177200         0.0275600         0.0177200         0.0275600         0.0174300         0.0226600         0.0177200         0.0275600	N HO	TRE	14	6.2	9 		96	00.01	0 0 1	0,0798900	0.0826000
TFPIN         T5         5.99         9.0         1.4         2.2         3.75         1.36.6         6.0234323           YR550         TR510         TR5100         D0114400         D0122560         D0114400         D0122560         D0114500         D0114720         D0114500         D0114720         D0114500         D0114720         D0114720         D0114500         D0122720         D0114500         D01227200         D0114500         D0122720         D01147500         D01227200         D01147500         D02265600         D0122720         D0122720         D0122720         D01227200         D01227200         D01227200         D012167200         D012727200         D01227200         D0122720         D022656100         D022656100 </td <td>N LLC</td> <td>TRF</td> <td>44</td> <td>6.2</td> <td>3.7</td> <td>5.1</td> <td>9.0</td> <td></td> <td>10.01</td> <td>0 0360300</td> <td>0.0386400</td>	N LLC	TRF	44	6.2	3.7	5.1	9.0		10.01	0 0360300	0.0386400
YOML         3.7 <td></td> <td>TEPIN</td> <td>75</td> <td>5.9</td> <td>9.6 0</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>N 7 7 A</td> <td></td> <td>0.0001222</td> <td>a 0289538</td>		TEPIN	75	5.9	9.6 0	1.4	2.2	N 7 7 A		0.0001222	a 0289538
TR550         TR510         TR5100         TR5100         TR5100         TR5100         TR5100         TR51100         TR5100	CRE CRE	YOWL	30	5.3	3.4.5	1.8	3.7	3./9	130.0	0701070.0	
0.0374600       0.0278200       0.0213500       0.0177100       0.0177100       0.0177100       0.0177100       0.017395         0.01995000       0.0173700       0.0174000       0.0174000       0.0175500       0.0114400       0.0122500       0.0114400       0.0122500       0.0114400       0.0122500       0.0114400       0.0122500       0.0114500       0.0122500       0.01140200       0.0122500       0.0114200       0.0122500       0.0114200       0.0122500       0.0114200       0.0122500       0.0114200       0.0122500       0.0112200       0.02256500       0.0112200       0.02256500       0.0122500       0.02256500       0.0112200       0.02256500       0.02256500       0.02256500       0.02256500       0.02256500       0.02256500       0.02256500       0.02256500       0.02256500       0.02126500       0.02256500       0.02156500       0.02256500       0.02256500       0.02156500       0.02256500       0.02156500       0.02256500       0.02156500       0.02256500       0.02156500       0.02156500       0.02156500       0.02156500       0.02156500       0.02156500       0.02156500       0.02156500       0.02156500       0.02155600       0.02155600       0.02155600       0.02155600       0.02156500       0.02155600       0.02155600       0.02155600       0.02155600       0.02	,	TPEED		TR580	TR610	TR640		TR670	TR700	<b>TR732</b>	
0341700         0.012560         0.0114400         0.0014400         0.0014400         0.0014400         0.0014400         0.0014400         0.0014400         0.0014400         0.0014400         0.0014400         0.0014400         0.0014400         0.0014400         0.0014400         0.0014400         0.0014600         0.0014600         0.0014600         0.0014600         0.0014600         0.001200         0.002200         0.012500         0.002200         0.012500         0.002500         0.002500         0.002500         0.002500         0.002500         0.002500         0.002500         0.002500         0.002500         0.002500         0.002500         0.002500         0.002500         0.002500         0.002500 <th0.002500< th=""> <th0.002500< th=""> <th0.002< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0031100</td><td>a a70516</td><td>40 0.022820</td><td>0</td><td>.0213600</td><td>0.0177100</td><td>0.013950</td><td></td></th0.002<></th0.002500<></th0.002500<>				0031100	a a70516	40 0.022820	0	.0213600	0.0177100	0.013950	
•         •	9	.034120	، و و	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		0 014020	0	0122500	0.0114400	0.008760	
0192400       0.0175400       0.0155400       0.0154500       0.0152400       0.01226         0199900       0.0278100       0.0154500       0.0154500       0.0124500       0.0126100       0.0122600         0199900       0.02381300       0.0314700       0.0228600       0.0145600       0.0145600       0.0145600       0.0146600       0.0146600       0.014600       0.0228600       0.010187         0271400       0.02387300       0.03187300       0.03286100       0.02186100       0.02186100       0.02186100       0.02186100       0.01186100       0.01186100	0	.018960	0	0198400	100/10.0			0107200	0 0110200	0.0087301	~
01999900       0.02381600       0.0194100       0.02184600       0.0194500       0.0194500       0.011750         0341700       0.0341600       0.0342600       0.034500       0.034500       0.0226600       0.01570         0341700       0.0408400       0.0342600       0.0326600       0.017200       0.0226600       0.0226500       0.025600       0.025600       0.0226500       0.025600       0.0255600       0.00151       0.0257500       0.02151500       0.0215100       0.0215600 </td <td>9</td> <td>.019240</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0173700</td> <td>0.01604</td> <td>3/7CT0.00</td> <td>9 9 9</td> <td></td> <td>0 0145600</td> <td>0.012260</td> <td></td>	9	.019240	0.0	0173700	0.01604	3/7CT0.00	9 9 9		0 0145600	0.012260	
0343700         0.0381300         0.0342600         0.0284600         0.0226100         0.02200           0271700         0.0408400         0.0387300         0.0320100         0.0316900         0.02261           02711400         0.0279600         0.03270100         0.0326100         0.02251         0.02265           0211400         0.0279600         0.037700         0.03270100         0.02261         0.02235           0211400         0.0279100         0.037700         0.032700         0.02265         0.0225           011400         0.0279100         0.037700         0.027700         0.02265         0.02255           011400         0.0273100         0.017700         0.0174700         0.0213800         0.0135600         0.02256           011400         0.022500         0.017700         0.0174700         0.0174700         0.0135600         0.0215600         0.0015160           011400         0.022500         0.012700         0.0127400         0.0135600         0.0135600         0.015560           011400         0.022500         0.022600         0.023600         0.021714         0.023600         0.013560         0.015560           01015100         0.022500         0.0210200         0.0102700         0.010270	6	010000	6.0	0208100	0.019451	00 0.01/414	2	aaco/10.		0 01 0 0	
0.277400         0.0387300         0.0316900         0.0216900         0.0243100         0.02250100         0.02251100         0.02251100         0.02251100         0.02251100         0.02251100         0.02251100         0.02255100         0.02251100         0.02255100         0.02251100         0.02255100         0.02251100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02255100         0.02151400         0.02255100         0.02151400         0.02255100         0.02151400         0.02151400         0.02151400         0.02151400         0.02151400         0.02151400         0.02151400         0.02151400         0.02151400         0.02151400         0.02151400         0.02151400         0.02151400         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514100         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160         0.021514160	26	0101010		0381300	0.034260	<b>30 0.02846</b> 0	0	.0284400	0000770.0		
0.000         0.0000         0.000         0.000 <t< td=""><td>3 (</td><td></td><td></td><td>0000000</td><td>0 038731</td><td>0.032010</td><td>0</td><td>.0316900</td><td>0.0251100</td><td>000770.0</td><td></td></t<>	3 (			0000000	0 038731	0.032010	0	.0316900	0.0251100	000770.0	
.8271480 0.002/300 0.0017200 0.0005600 0.0081500 0.0085500 0.0085500 0.00855 00114800 0.0024200 0.0177200 0.0174700 0.0114800 0.0116800 0.00881 0.0316700 0.0229400 0.0177200 0.0174700 0.0174300 0.0174300 0.00851 0.0316700 0.0229200 0.0243100 0.0174300 0.0174300 0.0174300 0.00851 0.0165800 0.01235200 0.0243100 0.0174300 0.0174300 0.01514 0.0165800 0.0143680 0.0243100 0.0172200 0.0286000 0.0174300 0.01514 0.0165800 0.0143680 0.0231700 0.0102900 0.0102200 0.001355 0.0165800 0.0143680 0.0231700 0.0102900 0.01251 0.0137400 0.0243100 0.02548400 0.0172600 0.0137700 0.0108200 0.03823 0.0355852 0.0252301 0.0217321 0.0181032 0.0163905 0.0137700 0.0108200 0.00964 0.0255852 0.0252301 0.0217321 0.0181032 0.0163905 0.0137700 0.0108200 0.00964	9	971459.1			0 077070	0 05080	0	.0256100	0.0243100	0.022650	•
.0114000 0.0004200 0.0177200 0.0144700 0.0138000 0.0116800 0.00881 .0133800 0.0201700 0.0177200 0.0174700 0.0153000 0.0135600 0.00897 .0317200 0.0229200 0.0122900 0.0174700 0.0288000 0.0174300 0.01514 .0317200 0.0229200 0.0218100 0.0217700 0.0268100 0.008810 .0166800 0.0143600 0.0318700 0.0244700 0.0288800 0.0268100 0.00887 .0447400 0.025500 0.0318700 0.02447700 0.0268100 0.008810 .0317700 0.0268100 0.0248100 0.0517700 0.0441400 0.03821 .0335500 0.0236100 0.0248400 0.0172600 0.0137700 0.014826 0.03821 .0335500 0.0338100 0.0248400 0.0172600 0.0137700 0.0108200 0.098820 .03355652 0.0252301 0.0217321 0.0181032 0.0163905 0.013654 0.00963	9	.027140	ם מי	0006/20	12120.0		0	0087400	0.0085900	0.005900	•
0.0193800 0.0201700 0.01/7200 0.0174700 0.015400 0.0135600 0.00890 0.0216700 0.0229200 0.0192100 0.027200 0.0208000 0.0174300 0.01514 0.01317200 0.0299200 0.0212900 0.0222200 0.02088000 0.0174300 0.00385 0.0166800 0.0143600 0.02129400 0.02247700 0.0288000 0.0204500 0.0385 0.0447400 0.0143500 0.03187700 0.02147700 0.0204500 0.01837 0.0447400 0.025500 0.0218900 0.051700 0.0204500 0.03832 0.0335100 0.0258900 0.0172600 0.0137700 0.0108200 0.00834 0.03351800 0.0217321 0.0181032 0.0163905 0.0137700 0.000834 0.0096 0.0355852 0.0252301 0.0217321 0.0181032 0.0163905 0.013634 0.0096	9	011400	0. 0	0034200				0138000	0.0116800	0.008810	•
, 0216700 0.0219400 0.0192100 0.0174100 0.01514 0317700 0.029900 0.024100 0.022200 0.0208000 0.0174300 0.00581 1.0317620 0.029900 0.024100 0.0102900 0.0088000 0.0068100 0.00385 1.0447400 0.0422500 0.0318700 0.0218700 0.024500 0.01822 1.0447400 0.0422500 0.0318700 0.051000 0.0452700 0.0441400 0.0383 1.0447400 0.0335100 0.02848400 0.0172600 0.0137700 0.0182200 0.0383 1.0391500 0.0335100 0.02848400 0.0172600 0.0137700 0.0188200 0.00583 1.0391500 0.0335100 0.0217321 0.0181032 0.0163905 0.0138534 0.00964	9	019380	0. 0.	0201100	0.01/12/			. 0153000	0.0135600	0.008900	•
. 0317200 0.0299200 0.024100 0.0222200 0.0088000 0.0068100 0.00362 .0166800 0.0143600 0.0129400 0.0102900 0.0088000 0.02641400 0.01826 .0447400 0.0425500 0.0318700 0.0247700 0.0236900 0.02441400 0.03827 .0847400 0.0441400 0.0588990 0.0510000 0.045700 0.0441400 0.03827 .0391500 0.0336100 0.0248400 0.0517600 0.0137700 0.0130534 0.00834 .0391560 0.0252301 0.0217321 0.0181032 0.0163905 0.0130534 0.00964	9	0.021670	0.0	.0219400	17610.0		25		00174300	0.015140	•
0.0166800 0.0143600 0.012400 0.012500 0.018200 0.022000 0.01820 0.0447400 0.0442560 0.0318700 0.0247700 0.0235900 0.0204500 0.01820 0.0837400 0.0655100 0.0568900 0.05172600 0.0441400 0.03832 0.0331500 0.0335100 0.0248400 0.0172600 0.0137700 0.0108200 0.00883 0.0335852 0.0335100 0.0217321 0.0181032 0.0163905 0.0130534 0.00964		031720	0.00	0299200	0.02431	00 0.022224	9 9 9 9	0000000	0.00601000	0 003820	
1.0447400 0.0422500 0.0318700 0.0247700 0.0235900 0.0204500 0.03187 1.0837400 0.0441400 0.0588900 0.0510000 0.0452700 0.0441400 0.03821 1.0837400 0.0335190 0.0248400 0.0172600 0.0137700 0.0108200 0.00834 1.0391500 0.0335190 0.0248400 0.0172600 0.0137700 0.0108200 0.00834 1.0255852 0.0252301 0.0217321 0.0181032 0.0163905 0.0130534 0.00964	10	GIA680	0	0143600	0.01294	00 0.010290	99	00000000	0.10000.0		
	96			0100500	0.03187	00 0.024779	00000	.0236900	0.0204500	07910.0	
.083/400 0.0336100 0.0244400 0.0172600 0.0137700 0.0108200 0.00837 .03391500 0.0336100 0.0244400 0.0172600 0.017800 0.0130634 0.00864 .0255852 0.0252301 0.0217321 0.08181032 0.0163905 0.0130534 0.00964	2		2	000130360.	000000	0001000	0 00	.0452700	0.041400	0.038250	
.0391500 0.0335100 0.0217321 0.0181032 0.0163905 0.0130534 0.00966 .0255852 0.0252301 0.0217321 0.0181032 0.0163905 0.0130534 0.00966	9	.083746	0	0010600.		0 01776	0	0137700	0.0108200	0.008360	0
1.0255852 0.0252301 0.021/31 0.021/31 0.0101032 0.010100	61	.039156	00	.0330108				0163905	0.0130534	0,009660	ю
	<b>6</b> 3	. 025585	52 69.	.0252301	C/179 0	17 D.	2				

COTES BERBAR BEELECTANCES AND LIMNOLOGICAL DATA

.....

Table B.2. Predicted subsurface reflectance at depth = 2 meters All Probar Lakes

NM700	c	c	c	o c	0	C	00	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 0	<b>,</b>	<b>)</b> (	00	5 0	<b>-</b>	00	<b>)</b> (	00	0	) O	0	0	0
NM670	0.273390	0.046068	0.610649	0.00000	0.000000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000	0.062975	0.159975	0.112221	0.094314	0.022683	0.000000.0	0.000000	0.000000	0.059991	0.010745	0.016714	0.040591	0.048052	0.143559	0.216682	0.152613	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000.0	0.045068	0.000000.0	0.000000	0.710633	0.591249	0.186838	0.000000.0	0.000000	0.000000	0.358451	0.064468	0.133113	0.130129		6/1001.0		0.035/41		0.026540	0.246767	0.250085	0.049037	0.000000	0.382268	0.149128
NM640	0.372809	0.298341	0.000000	0.322543	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.00000	0.441692	0.013501	0.000000	0.007916	0.000000	0.000000	0.249937	0.000000	0.389664	0.229468	0.054468	0.000000.0	0.268554	0.354192	0.523606	0.272277	0.000000.0	0.149405	0.000000	0.000000.0	0.000000.0	0.000000.0	0.708053	0.501286	0.000000.0	0.000000.0	0.000000	0.141958	0.665265	0.331851		0.000000						0.0000	0 166700	0.269317	0.00000	0.000000	0.492739	0.036455
OISMN	0.75450	0.13852	3.43690	0.63383	0.00000.0	0.00000.0	0.00000	0.17345	1.77631	0.15599	0.27029	0.09248	0.00000.0	0.00000	0.06391	0.00000.0	0.35920	0.07026	0.05915	0.00000.0	0.16234	0.31167	0.62272	0.71164	0.00000.0	0.00000.0	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	1.04344	0.72276	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.69576	76097.1	0.414/0	16360.0		0 75970	0.0000			0.95807	0.0000	0.17102	0.86900	0.00000	0.00000	1.25419	0.28547
NM580	1.31005	1.20635	1.70440	1.25366	1.17720	1.11552	1.17761	1.22465	1.42218	1.18723	1.19605	1.19347	1.08217	1.13477	1.20052	1.14101	1.26952	1.21231	1.19869	1.14982	1.20526	1.21584	1.26477	1.31249	1.10739	1.15592	1.12108	1.15741	1.09180	1.05357	1.26558	1.25203	1.12705	1.12840	1.15619	1.38285	107/15.1	10/407 1	1 16324	1.11705	1.31634	1.11344	1 12405	1.09517	1 20739	1.13617	1.23574	1.28015	1.14135	1.10837	1.43469	1.24464
NMEEO	1.48311	1.40514	2.16382	1.46358	1.38391	1.31019	1.36712	1.44393	1.68094	1.41035	1.37503	1.39453	1.24283	1.31771	1.40283	1.32620	1.60415	1.43988	1.41637	1.26715	1.41923	1.40977	1.60685	1.63057	1.30980	1.32292	1.23395	1.31601	1.22102	1.22063	1.35187	1.39240	1.27120	1.32505	1.31154		67000 T	1 80007	1 35129	1.29636	1.56799	1.25798	1 28607	1.29807	1.40826	1.29149	1.44153	1.49221	1.32164	1.26186	1.75167	1.48037
NM520	1.06232	1.16827	1.35034	1.07790	0.99689	0.94436	0.95905	1.28668	1.67247	1.10506	1.04051	1.13488	0.69997	0.83619	1.08992	0.92789	1.26442	1.27733	1.16937	0.76853	1.11708	1.10996	1.29336	1.71402	1.02271	0.92744	0.70764	0.88872	0.84477	0.74827	0.85766	0.91097	0.84198	0.95148	0.86601	2.20423 0.45020	2,0002 1 24248	1.60541	1.01469	0.93352	1.48824	0.83896	0.95055	0.97796	1.12954	0.98663	1.14618	1.26087	0.97784	0.89076	1.81139	1.27809
NM4 90	0.77986	0.91867	0.42778	0.81160	0.86577	0.84292	0.89074	1.13111	1.26146	1.01812	0.78197	1.03970	0.68802	0.75193	1.02235	0.78113	1.07229	1.10868	1.06783	0.70918	0.98860	0.97453	1.08762	1.64643	0.91666	0.75964	0.49420	0.84884	0.65387	0.53144	0.57830	0.62835	0.17620	0.88862	0.158/0	20802.2	1 65377	1.33129	0.97326	0.83799	1.34526	0.75367	0.80934	0.77723	1.03283	0.88282	1.02732	1.12122	0.84711	0.85566	1.60083	1.08415
NM470	0.64865	0.77176	0.21532	0.65976	0.70699	0.67843	0.84598	0.92421	1.17843	1.05220	0.70954	0.94021	0.55710	0.66688	0.98332	0.70778	0.93176	1.00598	0.88776	0.48332	0.87978	0.76399	1.01620	1.47131	0.83532	0.65132	0.30955	0.70021	0.42821	0.46643	0.40154	0.49977	0.66910	0.81843	0.05200	18612.2	1 57484	1.21620	0.83443	0.63833	1.30632	0.64983	0.68854	0.75503	0.92200	0.72210	0.87728	0.89728	0.65003	0.66828	1.41144	0.89155
NW443	0.00000.0	0.30118	0.0000	0.19663	0.32599	0.26211	0.44321	0.68524	0.79803	0.62167	0.18977	0.58947	0.15598	0.24785	0.73825	0.33286	0.49865	0.73995	0.66993	0.10846	0.56201	0.47278	0.65177	1.27006	0.71566	0.34870	0.00000	0.41417	0.02558	0.01183	0.00000	0.08575	0.42315	0.59316	14142.0 0 00705	CCOCC C	1 08315	0.78799	0.46169	0.20628	0.90913	0.08236	0.38142	0.34079	0.53714	0.33375	0.44731	0.44374	0.22839	0.24601	0.89134	0.51717
NAME	N. TILLEY	L. TURKEY	TURKEY	WISHART	×	DREW	BONE	ADELAIDE	×	×	×	QUINTET	TAY	NCCOLLOU	DICK	×	MCGOVERN	GRIFFIN	×	FULLER	RAND	BIGPIKE	HAILEY	BARBARA	MONTREAL	PRINCESS	BRANT	DESOLATI	FUNGUS	KABENUNG		NEMATEGU	TEST KAB	CKATF 15H	INTE INTE		NADER	X	LAGAWA	EAST	ATOMIC	MALLOT	UNION	DYER	GREYOWL	DREW	ALVIN	×	ROI	SHOEPACK	PATTERSO	CARPENTE
LAKEID	ΟF	Z	ž	IN	LL L	00	Ľ	U I	MB	Ž	KB	47	er	Q.	U A		Ц	Ĭ	X		19		HIJ	DF	91	6 <b>M</b> :	×	8M	84	LM LM	W5	<b>4 X</b>	5 M	2.4		<>	¥¥	BH	٥V	٩V	¥¥	BA	g	5	60X	00	£	DI	Ц.	H	ц Ц	HB
OBS	1	2	<b>m</b>	4	ß	60	~	80	0	2	11	12	13	1	16	16	17	8	19	20	21	22	23	24	55	28	21	RZ	23		15	20	<b>n</b> .	4 10	0 C C	500	38	39	9	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	64	20	51	292	53

PREDICTED SUBSURFACE REFLECTANCE AT DEPTH = 2 WETERS ALL PROBAR LAKES

,

.

-----

10.         11.1055         0.10555 <th0.10555< th=""> <th0.10555< th=""> <th0.105< th=""><th>0BS</th><th>LAKEID</th><th>NANE</th><th>NM443</th><th>NM470</th><th>NM490</th><th>NM520</th><th>NM660</th><th>NM580</th><th>NN610</th><th>NM640</th><th>NM670</th><th>001MN</th></th0.105<></th0.10555<></th0.10555<>	0BS	LAKEID	NANE	NM443	NM470	NM490	NM520	NM660	NM580	NN610	NM640	NM670	001MN
No.         Number is a constrained of the second of t	i	4		0 31673	0.73439	0.81973	0.94673	1.31990	1.16505	0.00000	0.00000	0.055305	0
File         File <th< td=""><td>ا <del>م</del> ا ه</td><td>Ð,</td><td></td><td></td><td>0 99178</td><td>21411</td><td>1.09838</td><td>1.38507</td><td>1.18005</td><td>0.20203</td><td>0.38958</td><td>0.279359</td><td>0</td></th<>	ا <del>م</del> ا ه	Ð,			0 99178	21411	1.09838	1.38507	1.18005	0.20203	0.38958	0.279359	0
Mark         Mark <thmark< th="">         Mark         Mark         <thm< td=""><td>99</td><td></td><td>MANAT 15</td><td></td><td>0 49132</td><td>0.81762</td><td>1.23460</td><td>1.68985</td><td>1.43138</td><td>2.00233</td><td>1.29249</td><td>0.998847</td><td>0</td></thm<></thmark<>	99		MANAT 15		0 49132	0.81762	1.23460	1.68985	1.43138	2.00233	1.29249	0.998847	0
W         With SMM         Clocked         Clocked <thcloked< th=""> <thclocked< th=""> <thclocked< td=""><td>ם מ</td><td></td><td></td><td>1 16128</td><td>1.43887</td><td>1.66900</td><td>2.12178</td><td>1.89845</td><td>1.54864</td><td>2.22618</td><td>1.02254</td><td>0.316666</td><td>0</td></thclocked<></thclocked<></thcloked<>	ם מ			1 16128	1.43887	1.66900	2.12178	1.89845	1.54864	2.22618	1.02254	0.316666	0
Titukx         0.4875         0.4847         0.4447<	70			1.02243	1.42331	1.70466	2.03642	1.89478	1.53874	2.64210	0.95552	0.585280	0 0
Nime         Common         Common <td></td> <td></td> <td>THOUSE</td> <td>0.34975</td> <td>0.69178</td> <td>0.88904</td> <td>1.06609</td> <td>1.38912</td> <td>1.22899</td> <td>0.31474</td> <td>0.18664</td> <td>0.243544</td> <td><b>o</b> 0</td>			THOUSE	0.34975	0.69178	0.88904	1.06609	1.38912	1.22899	0.31474	0.18664	0.243544	<b>o</b> 0
OTHER         11785         1.706X3         2.9644         2.0007         1.7754         0.70560 <th0.7050< th=""> <th0.70500< th=""> <th0.70500< <="" td=""><td>50</td><td>066</td><td>X</td><td>0.08892</td><td>0.60643</td><td>0.74050</td><td>0.89762</td><td>1.36598</td><td>1.19262</td><td>0.14964</td><td>0.36164</td><td>0.289805 0 1965</td><td><b>)</b> (</td></th0.70500<></th0.70500<></th0.7050<>	50	066	X	0.08892	0.60643	0.74050	0.89762	1.36598	1.19262	0.14964	0.36164	0.289805 0 1965	<b>)</b> (
3.6         CHINGUE         1.8136         2.2664         2.4661         2.3661         2.4661         2.32610         2.32711         2.3611 <th2.3611< th=""> <th2.3611< th=""> <th2.3611< td="" th<=""><td>5</td><td>380</td><td>OTTER</td><td>3.60465</td><td>3.17352</td><td>3.20522</td><td>2.96446</td><td>2.05555</td><td>1.52/22</td><td>1.//040 1 54511</td><td>0.93600</td><td></td><td>00</td></th2.3611<></th2.3611<></th2.3611<>	5	380	OTTER	3.60465	3.17352	3.20522	2.96446	2.05555	1.52/22	1.//040 1 54511	0.93600		00
3.5         CIMILIC         3.1539         3.16139         3.17139         3.10139         3.17139         3.11139         3.10139         3.11139         3.10139         3.11139         3.10139         3.11139         3.10139         3.11139         3.1	62	380	SILVESTE	1.83185	2.25864	2.42865	2.54512	2.02500	0007C.1	1101011	0.99573		o c
4.         DOC         DUNCHERT         1.77431         0.145053         0.147053         0.147053         0.147163         0.147053         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163         0.147163 <th0.147163< th=""> <th0.147163<< td=""><td>63</td><td>360</td><td>CHINIGUC</td><td>3.15396</td><td>3.36329</td><td>3.51161</td><td>30465.5</td><td>2.23032</td><td>1.47720</td><td>0.99740</td><td>0.56084</td><td>0.658403</td><td>00</td></th0.147163<<></th0.147163<>	63	360	CHINIGUC	3.15396	3.36329	3.51161	30465.5	2.23032	1.47720	0.99740	0.56084	0.658403	00
65         303         FIGURIT         1.77473         2.00731         0.177863         0.47736         0.01738         0.01738         0.01738         0.01738         0.01738         0.01738         0.01738         0.01738         0.01738         0.01738         0.01738         0.01738         0.01738         0.01738         0.00000         0.01333         0.01738         0.0108         0.01838         0.0108         0.01838         0.0108         0.01318 <th0.01318< th=""> <th0.01318< t=""></th0.01318<></th0.01318<>	64	300	DOUGHERT	4.20310	3.82907	3.60387	B0710.6	1 85290	1.46469	0.89579	0.14010	0.145052	0
6         736         FRENKL         1.4851         1.65251         1.65251         0.52513         0.52154         0.52055         0.51075         0.52055         0.51075         0.51055         0.51175         0.51055         0.51175         0.51055         0.51175         0.51055         0.51175         0.51055         0.51175         0.51055         0.51175         0.51055         0.51175         0.51055         0.511755         0.51055         0.51055 </td <td>65</td> <td>308</td> <td>DOUGHERT</td> <td>1.77483</td> <td>2.01219</td> <td>2.061/3</td> <td>2.22328 9.68979</td> <td>1.91659</td> <td>1.44317</td> <td>1.00692</td> <td>0.60738</td> <td>0.177882</td> <td>0</td>	65	308	DOUGHERT	1.77483	2.01219	2.061/3	2.22328 9.68979	1.91659	1.44317	1.00692	0.60738	0.177882	0
77 20. Contract Constant Co	66	290	FREDERIC	3.036/5	5.00041	1 46613	1.63523	1.63520	1.33784	0.69417	0.22573	0.212205	0
20         MDDING         Constant         Con	67	X02		0.98333	0.63443	0.80737	0.99333	1.35207	1.16614	0.07185	0.10845	0.00000	0
70         7000         70000         70000         70000         70000         70000         70000         70000         7000000         7000000	68	2/2	A NOTUC	199866 0	0.92198	1.11334	1.33609	1.52017	1.32049	1.09424	0.61057	0.237574	0
71         74<	5) (C 1) (C	200	I ALINDRIE	0.91999	1.11043	1.08837	1.22438	1.44220	1.21163	0.00000	0.31323	0.231605	0 0
7. 27. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57. 5	2;			0.91577	1.11043	1.07737	1.34900	1.51805	1.26965	0.59418	0.35605	0.137590	0
72         500         510UFER         1.9417         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1475         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.1445         1.11111         1.11111         1.11111         1.1111 <td>1 5</td> <td>274</td> <td>&lt; &gt;&lt;</td> <td>1.63828</td> <td>1.75575</td> <td>1.65800</td> <td>1.78035</td> <td>1.62342</td> <td>1.29853</td> <td>0.61160</td> <td>0.34488</td> <td>0.49/235</td> <td><b>o</b> c</td>	1 5	274	< ><	1.63828	1.75575	1.65800	1.78035	1.62342	1.29853	0.61160	0.34488	0.49/235	<b>o</b> c
77         200         X         1.14165         1.24465         1.24465         1.24465         0.247217         0.24657 <th0.24657< th=""> <th0.24657< th=""> <th0.24657< th=""></th0.24657<></th0.24657<></th0.24657<>	4 6	280	STOUFFER	1.94379	1.92820	1.67239	2.11421	1.77975	1.41958	10/25.1	0.84840	0.191313	00
76         310         X         1.00078         1.2454         0.39741         1.7454         0.39741         1.7454         0.30740         1.24545         0.175555         0.175555         0.175555         0.175555         0.175555         0.175555         0.175555         0.175555         0.175555         0.175555         0.175555         0.175555         0.175555         0.175555         0.15555         0.	4	280	×	1.18558	1.34465	1.23607	1.54887	1.56155	1. 20403	0.10065	0.84778	0 242051	00
76         328         CHINICUC         2.13171         2.23730         2.47663         2.46614         1.9497         1.60258         0.66677         0.22673         0.66677         0.66677         0.66573         0.66677         0.66573         0.66573         0.66573         0.66573         0.66574         0.66573         0.65576         0.61763         0.6152573         0.66574         0.615763         0.00000         0.00000         0.00000         0.00000         0.00000         0.00000         0.00000         0.00000         0.00000         0.000000         0.00000         0.000000         0.00000         0.00000         0.000000         0.00000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.0000000         0.0000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.00000         0.0000	76	310	×	1.00078	1.24664	0.99781	1.4256/	1.02020	1 22480	0.72276	0.26865	0.125852	00
77       3.46       X. MULCLUC       3.3171       2.9756       2.45674       1.3174       1.31725       0.52556       0.52555       0.52555       0.55275         79       376       X. MULCLUC       3.53771       2.99648       2.46644       1.39779       1.10256       0.157102       0.14012       0.165020	78	328	CHINIGUC	2.13123	2.21130	1.97624	2.11245	1.1444C	1 39283	0.66877	0.24621	0.000000	0
78         36C         CHINICU         3.553715         2.32379         2.44017         2.55379         1.65026         1.91025         0.9778         0.312389           80         710         73         36C         CHINICU         3.55371         1.65127         1.65127         1.65127         1.65128         0.00000         0.000000 <t< td=""><td>11</td><td>348</td><td>×</td><td>3.31711</td><td>2.97398</td><td>2.43626</td><td>2.4/300</td><td>1.81334</td><td>1.34448</td><td>0.69894</td><td>0.23504</td><td>0.052529</td><td>0</td></t<>	11	348	×	3.31711	2.97398	2.43626	2.4/300	1.81334	1.34448	0.69894	0.23504	0.052529	0
79         370         X	78	380	CHINIGUC	3.593/9	3.2490/	2.01031	2.46644	1.94979	1.50528	1.91025	0.97786	0.312189	0
0         3/B         EMOREY         3.8003         3.99461         2.16525         1.64113         1.13817         0.66520         0.00000           315         MARURIE         3.6049         4.04127         2.5557         1.65113         0.11390         0.10800         0.00000           315         X.MIR         0.4653         1.44132         1.6605         1.47132         1.55166         1.106549         0.23060         0.00000         0.00000         0.00000         0.00000         0.00000         0.00000         0.001309         0.141013         0.141013         0.141013         0.141013         0.141013         0.141013         0.141013         0.141013         0.141013         0.011329         0.141013         0.011329         0.141013         0.011329         0.141013         0.011329         0.141013         0.141013         0.111221         0.141013         0.141013	62	370	X	10167.7	2.25100 4 98817	4.90733	4.03103	2.32247	1.67349	1.84675	1.44701	0.151021	0
82       3.5       WALNURT       3.00437       4.25807       1.003019       0.000000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.000000       0.00000       0.0000000       0.000000	80	378	WULT DEWONEV	4.40441	3.98482	4.00507	3.39491	2.16595	1.54105	1.16727	0.65020	0.000000	0
87       33,3       LAURA       0.46551       0.55398       1.17821       1.42366       1.11552       0.18430       0.000000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.00000       0.000000       0.00000       0.00000 <td< td=""><td>100</td><td>500 100</td><td></td><td>3.80499</td><td>4,30462</td><td>4.22809</td><td>4.04127</td><td>2.55272</td><td>1.86124</td><td>3.66181</td><td>1.13611</td><td>0.039098</td><td>0 0</td></td<>	100	500 100		3.80499	4,30462	4.22809	4.04127	2.55272	1.86124	3.66181	1.13611	0.039098	0 0
87         27         0.56623         1.43472         1.46061         1.00490         1.1.0149         1.1.0149         0.1.00000         0.000000 <th0.0000< th="">         0.000000         <th0.00000< th=""></th0.00000<></th0.0000<>	20		LAURA	0.46591	0.95398	1.17682	1.12609	1.42386	1.15692	0.00000	0.00000	0.0000	00
5:       31.       X       0.04246       0.50500       0.71841       1.77821       1.46678       0.627065       0.627065         86       23E       SQLACE       1.46771       1.88597       1.77821       1.77821       1.46678       0.627065       0.637054         87       22C       PLLGRIM       1.03471       1.88597       1.75028       1.81817       0.51708       1.627788       1.627781       1.616531       1.81652       1.66608       1.36604       0.88185       0.071929       0.071929         89       220       PLLGRIM       1.03471       1.88597       2.38798       1.65608       1.36604       0.88185       0.617065       0.97146       0.57056       0.071299         91       188       N.YORSTO       2.77831       3.26776       2.38942       3.20750       2.18658       1.46678       0.617069       0.130549       0.142057       0.071299       0.142057       0.071299       0.172051       0.140567       0.142057       0.071329       0.071329       0.071329       0.0112221       0.66608       1.66608       1.66608       1.66608       1.66608       1.66608       1.66608       1.66608       1.66608       1.66608       1.66609       0.181897       0.071799       0.07059<	9 4	32A	×	0.58623	1.43842	1.44132	1.66061	1.60490	750/5.1	Cance C			00
86         23E         Solucte         1.44707         1.88597         1.71677         1.42446         1.81817         0.627055           87         220         McGIE         1.44707         1.88597         1.56508         1.38604         0.581435         0.617929         0.627055           87         220         PLUESUCK         1.57198         1.56531         1.81552         1.66631         1.61886         2.43251         0.514355         0.617929         0.617929           90         194         X         1.62435         2.09486         2.38798         1.94130         1.61542         1.95435         0.617929         0.617929           91         18         N.YORSTO         2.77638         1.95510         1.51542         1.65633         1.61886         2.48331         0.617229         0.017229         0.017229           92         17A         X         5.05748         1.33513         0.48938         0.71772         0.127221         0.61729         0.013729         0.013729           93         155         X         5.05748         1.33513         0.48938         0.7172617         0.013729         0.013729           94         174791         1.33513         1.48642         1.74791 </td <td>85</td> <td>AIE</td> <td>×</td> <td>0.04246</td> <td>0.50510</td> <td>0.73500</td> <td>0.8/44/</td> <td>1 77821</td> <td>1.46678</td> <td>1.46573</td> <td>0.68185</td> <td>0.340543</td> <td>0</td>	85	AIE	×	0.04246	0.50510	0.73500	0.8/44/	1 77821	1.46678	1.46573	0.68185	0.340543	0
87         220         MGGIE         1.01471         1.52196         1.66608         1.36604         0.86827         0.65445         0.071929         0           88         227         PLIGRUK         1.67788         1.96551         1.66608         1.36604         0.86827         0.67419         0.617029         0           91         188         X         1.67481         1.98577         2.35765         2.4465         1.95210         1.61642         1.99915         0.64462         0.6147267         0           92         198         X         1.67431         2.57762         2.36776         2.36766         2.36766         2.36766         2.36776         2.19815         0.142067         0.0147267         0.017221           92         176         X         6.10070         4.54461         3.36914         2.76507         1.77791         1.38213         0.12722         0.12722         0.12722           94         147         JE6         4.91748         2.74015         1.37576         0.123729         0.12020         0.013729         0.00000         0.013729         0.00000         0.013729         0.00000         0.013729         0.00000         0.013729         0.000000         0.013729         0.00000 </td <td>86</td> <td>23E</td> <td>SOLACE</td> <td>1.48707</td> <td>17000 T</td> <td>1 75024</td> <td>1 86959</td> <td>1.71857</td> <td>1.42446</td> <td>1.81817</td> <td>0.97414</td> <td>0.627065</td> <td>0</td>	86	23E	SOLACE	1.48707	17000 T	1 75024	1 86959	1.71857	1.42446	1.81817	0.97414	0.627065	0
B8       221       TLLUTM       1.0519       0.610649       0.610649         B9       221       TLLUTM       1.0519       0.610649       0.610649       0.610649         90       13       X       1.0719       2.35756       2.44452       1.95130       1.61562       1.961649       0.614620       0.142067       0.0142067         91       188       N.YORSTO       2.78331       3.20750       2.18748       1.45169       0.681782       0.142067       0.00000       0.112221         92       17A       X       6.10070       4.54461       3.99914       2.74633       1.81276       1.33513       0.681782       0.142067       0.00000       0.112221         93       15A       X       6.10070       4.54461       3.99914       2.74633       1.81276       1.33513       0.68172       0.013729       0.00000       0.112221       0.013729       0.00000       0.112221       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729       0.01007       0.01000       0.1126176       1.32513       0.106177       0.00000       0.101	87	22D	MAGGIE	16410.1	1.505.1 1 57198	1.65631	1.81552	1.66608	1.36604	0.88627	0.59435	0.071929	0
93       13       1.61543       2.38082       2.38798       1.94130       1.61542       1.99915       0.64462       0.142067         91       188       N.YORSTO       2.78331       3.26774       3.38793       2.05760       2.18563       1.611686       2.68338       1.51589       0.64462       0.142067         92       17A       X       6.10070       4.54461       3.38914       2.74633       1.81276       1.33513       0.64781       0.00000       0.112221         93       16A       5.69892       5.41572       4.58662       1.37791       1.33513       0.64781       0.10877       0.000000         94       13A       SWNTWAT       5.69892       5.41572       4.98181       3.85386       2.26071       1.34660       0.054781       0.013729       0.000000       0.112221       0.10877       0.000000       0.1132729       0.000000       0.1132729       0.013729       0.023729       0.013729 <t< td=""><td>88</td><td>220</td><td></td><td>1 57788</td><td>1 98597</td><td>2.35755</td><td>2.44452</td><td>1.95210</td><td>1.54240</td><td>2.42621</td><td>1.05419</td><td>0.610849</td><td>0 (</td></t<>	88	220		1 57788	1 98597	2.35755	2.44452	1.95210	1.54240	2.42621	1.05419	0.610849	0 (
91       188       N.YORSTO       2.78331       3.26774       3.36942       3.20750       2.18553       1.61846       0.68782       0.00000       0.112221         92       17A       X       4.91748       4.76595       4.28672       3.37399       2.05748       1.46469       0.68782       0.000000       0.112221         93       16A       X       5.10070       4.5461       3.98181       3.85383       2.26071       1.66802       1.34646       0.000000       0.112221         94       14F       LERRY       5.89892       5.41572       4.98181       3.85383       2.26071       1.56802       1.132972       0.000000       0.112221         94       174       1.38218       1.91700       2.00026       1.74791       1.38218       0.39740       0.000000       0.000000         97       12A       WABUN       1.65703       1.91700       2.00026       1.74791       1.38249       0.63728       0.09013729       0.0000000         97       12A       WABUN       1.62210       2.11176       2.36051       2.36051       1.74791       1.38249       0.63708       0.070280       0.0000000         97       12A       WABUN       1.62210       2	ר מ מ ס	191	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	1.62435	2.09486	2.38082	2.38798	1.94130	1.51542	1.99915	0.64462	0.142067	<b>o</b> c
92       17A       X       4.91748       4.76595       4.24461       3.99914       2.74633       1.33513       0.48938       0.27972       0.000000         93       15A       X       6.10070       6.45461       3.89914       2.74633       1.81276       1.33513       0.48938       0.27972       0.00000         94       14F       X       6.10070       6.45461       3.85914       2.74633       1.617791       1.55703       1.91700       2.00000       0.013729       0.0132146       0.0132146       0.0132146       0.0132146       0.0100000       0.0141761       0.116861<	616	188	N.YORSTO	2.78331	3.26774	3.36942	3.20750	2.18563	1.61880 1 45489	2.08330 0 88782		0.112221	0
93       16A       X       5.10070       4.54761       3.5386       2.26071       1.66802       1.34666       0.64781       0.128638       0.013729         94       14F       JERRY       5.689825       5.41572       3.5386       2.256071       1.66802       1.34666       0.64781       0.128638       0.013729         95       137       SWOTHWA       1.39050       1.91700       2.00026       1.74791       1.38298       0.99740       0.06663       0.013729       0.0         96       13A       SUMUWAT       5.70948       4.90550       4.04019       2.56680       1.74791       1.38296       0.05712       0.013729       0.0         97       12A       WBUN       1.62910       2.11176       2.27248       2.21660       1.82183       1.37973       0.63719       0.54036       0.057016       0.00000         98       174       WHTEPIN       2.00926       2.36651       2.27248       1.74154       1.37273       0.168929       0.0030146       0.0570146       0.00000         99       177       WHTEPIN       1.00342       1.74675       1.74675       1.37022       0.168929       0.10020146         90       500       2.06998       2.	92	17.4	×	4.91748	4.78595	4.28522	55975 C	1 81276	1.33513	0.48938	0.27972	0.000000	0
94       14F       JERRY       5.05954       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729       0.013729         96       13A       SUNTWAT       5.7094       1.75709       1.91700       2.00026       1.74791       1.38298       0.99740       0.066663       0.013729       0         96       13A       SUNTWAT       5.7094       4.90550       4.04019       2.65680       1.73015       1.29256       0.10677       0.00000       0       0         96       13A       WABUN       1.62910       2.11176       2.27248       2.21660       1.82183       1.37973       0.55705       0.00000       0	66	15A	×	6.10070 5.55555	4.54401	- 1959. 5	3.85386	2.26071	1.56802	1.34666	0.54781	0.128638	0
96       130       SUNNYMAT       1.34240       4.90550       4.04019       2.56680       1.73015       1.29256       0.10677       0.00000       0         96       13A       SUNNYMAT       1.62910       2.11176       2.27248       2.21660       1.82183       1.37973       0.57015       0.000000       0         98       14H       WHTEPIN       2.00926       2.35619       2.35601       2.27248       2.21660       1.82183       1.37973       0.57015       0.000000       0         98       17C       WHELL       0.49390       1.00820       1.16963       1.36164       1.74154       1.37293       0.57015       0.000000       0         99       17C       WHTEPIN       2.06998       1.72740       1.71171       1.71317       1.35126       1.106954       0.73212       0.1002145         99       17C       WHTEPIN       1.00342       1.77740       1.71171       1.71317       1.35126       1.000203       0.00000       0.00000       0.000000       0.000000       0.000000       0.000000       0.000000       0.000000       0.000000       0.000000       0.000000       0.000000       0.000000       0.000000       0.0000000       0.000000       0.000000       <	<b>4</b> 6	14F	JERRY	1.0204 t	1 75709	00119.1	2.00026	1.74791	1.38298	0.99740	0.06563	0.013729	0
97       12,4       WMUN       1.37973       0.92119       0.54036       0.00000       0         97       12,4       WHTEPIN       2.00926       2.35619       2.356051       2.22817       1.74154       1.32849       0.657015       0.000000       0         98       14H       WHTEPIN       2.00926       2.35619       2.356051       2.252817       1.74154       1.32849       0.657015       0.000000       0         99       17C       WHTEPIN       2.00926       2.356051       2.35004       1.50464       1.74154       1.32849       0.657015       0.000000       0         99       17C       WHTEPIN       1.00342       1.745740       1.81151       1.71317       1.35126       1.100283       0.057015       0.000000       0         99       17C       WHTEPIN       1.00342       1.72740       1.81151       1.71317       1.4975       1.49763       0.168929       0       0.00000145       0       0       0.030145       0       0.0100283       0.100283       0.100283       0.100283       0.100283       0.100283       0.100283       0.100283       0.100283       0.100283       0.100283       0.100283       0.227128       0.100283       0.237128	96 0	130		1.33203	4 90550	4.04019	2.56680	1.73015	1.29258	0.10677	0.00000	0.00000	0
91       1.74154       1.32849       0.63328       0.637215       0.000000       0         93       171       WHTEPIN       2.00926       2.35619       2.36051       2.22817       1.74154       1.32849       0.63328       0.637015       0.000000         99       171       WHTEPIN       2.00926       2.35619       2.36051       2.22817       1.74154       1.32184       0.637045       0.0000145       0.000000145       0.00000145       0.0000	5	Ve1		1.62910	2.11176	2.27248	2.21660	1.82183	1.37973	0.92119	0.54038	0.00000	0 0
99       171       1.24891       0.49390       1.00820       0.000148       0.000108       0.000148       0.000101       0.000101001       0.000148       0.0001001001       0.000148       0.000101001       0.000148       0.000148       0.0001001001       0.0000148       0.0001001001       0.0000148       0.0001001001       0.0000148       0.0000148       0.0000148       0.0000148       0.0000148       0.0000148       0.0000148       0.0000148       0.0000148       0.00000148       0.00000148       0.00000148       0.000000148       0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	200	47T	WHITEPIN	2.00928	2.35619	2.36051	2.22817	1.74154	1.32849	0.63226	0.57015	0.0000	<b>)</b> (
100       X03       WHITEPIN       1.00342       1.46178       1.72740       1.81151       1.11317       1.45128       1.400244       0.10204	0 0 0		NTHELL	0.49390	1.00820	1.16963	1.30404	1.50454	1.24891	0.49/31	0.18850	0.050140	0 0
101       190       X       2.06998       2.45352       2.65590       2.65110       2.06448       1.41176       0.122128       0.27128       0.227148       0.220146       0.220146       0.220146       0.220146       0.220146       0.22128       0.122687       0.122687       0.122687       0.122687       0.122687       0.122687       0.122687       0.130129       0.122687       0.130129       0.130129       0.130129       0.130129       0.130129       0.130129       0.130129       0.130129       0.130129       0.130129       0.130129	5 <u>6</u>	EOX	WHITEPIN	1.00342	1.45178	1.72740	1.81151	1.71317	07195.1	1.10034	1.020.0	676001 O	00
102 200 X 1.22678 1.64084 1.74968 1.5412 1.54168 1.61249 0.95930 0.53291 0.030145 0 103 380 0TTER 5.90220 5.38949 4.97504 4.42410 2.53168 1.61249 0.95930 0.53291 0.030145 0 104 38C SILVESTE 2.17399 2.42730 2.558019 2.568337 1.94902 1.36116 0.68823 0.74329 0.122667 0 106 37D X 2.68035 2.93041 3.20479 3.33704 2.33791 1.58819 1.22442 0.57015 0.256974 0 108 37E X 8.06905 5.37838 5.06307 4.31593 2.50177 1.58619 1.22442 0.57015 0.256974 0	101	190	×	2.06998	2.45352	2.65590	2.6/110	2.00848	1 37705	1.41175	1 00353	0.227128	0
103 380 0TTER 5.90220 5.38949 4.9760 7.56837 1.94902 1.38116 0.68823 0.74329 0.122667 0 104 38C SILVESTE 2.17399 2.42730 2.56819 2.56837 1.94902 1.54668 2.23729 0.70233 0.130129 C 106 37D X 2.68035 2.93041 3.20479 3.33704 2.33791 1.59868 2.23729 0.70233 0.130129 C 108 37E X 8.06905 5.37838 5.06307 4.31593 2.50177 1.58619 1.22442 0.57015 0.256974 C	102	20D	×	1.22678	1.64064	1.13308	1.97132	1.140 C	1.81249	0.96930	0.63291	0.030146	0
104 38C 51LYESTE 2.1/337 4.74/30 2.93041 3.20479 3.33704 2.33791 1.59868 2.23729 0.70233 0.130129 0 105 37D X 2.88035 2.93041 3.20479 3.33704 2.33791 1.58619 1.22442 0.57015 0.256974 0 108 37E X 8.06905 5.37838 5.06307 4.31593 2.50177 1.58619 1.22442 0.57015 0.256974 0	103	380	OTTER	5.9022U	5.38444 0 40730	5.56819	2.58337	1.94902	1.36116	0.68623	0.74323	0.122667	0
105 370 X 4.00005 4.0001 4.31593 2.50177 1.58619 1.22442 0.57015 0.255974 0 108 37E X 8.06905 5.37838 5.06307 4.31593 2.50177 1.58619 1.22442 0.57015 0.255974 0	104	380	SALVESIE V	25011.7 25035	9 93041	3.20479	3.33704	2.33791	1.59868	2.23729	0.70233	0.130129	0
	10 108	37E	< ×	6.06905	6.37838	6.06307	4.31593	2.50177	1.58619	1.22442	0.67015	0.258974	0

-----

•

	2	, · ,	••	٠,		•	. • .	•	, r,	. • .	, r.	. •.	, -	, ·	۰,	<b>~</b> ,	
	NM670	0.00621	0.2524	0.09721	0.1137	0.00175	0.36257	0.2268	0.000	0.1331'	0,00001	0.09137	0.7195"	0 0258"		0.3051	0.3764
	NM640	0.70419	0.60313	0.62600	0.25366	0.67440	0.89222	0.75446	1.02827	0.71536	0.69861	1.09887	2.12839	O DEG36		1.18300	0.83915
•	NM610	1.06566	0.69416	0.68465	0.23060	1.21013	1.21966	0.63542	0.73545	0.76720	1.22283	0.61964	2.69610			1.36114	0.76850
	NMEBO	1.47489	1.31127	1.36235	1.27630	1.56016	1.43395	1.34448	1.37526	1.38678	1.38624	1.24580	1.57165	1 1 4 4 8 7	10111	1.35592	1.26113
ANE 3	NMEEO	2.18197	1.96268	1.96581	1.80060	2.40006	2.00248	1.99707	2.23765	2.01386	1.93068	1.62748	2.27190	1 2600	1.30008	1.74081	1.54534
	NM520	3.06660	2.94264	2.81354	2.59854	3.77462	2.64628	3.17468	3.85609	2.96846	2.38976	1.66773	0 92884		1.0400/	1.85784	1.44965
Ż	NM4 90	3.21326	3.76326	3.14004	3.31313	3.91831	2.62501	4.04992	4 90902	3.31906	2 27122	1 64077	5 50200		0.93433	1.37966	1.33212
	NM470	3.09218	4.12373	3.19085	3.62640	4.10773	2.58419	4.57306	5.40505	3.42374	1 07484	1 42487	10404.0		0.90198	1.26989	1.10568
	NM443	2.84825	4.23478	2.97075	3.73001	1 28329	2.18825	4.64767	E BEE74	2 20465	1 66003	12110 0	100000 -	CCC70.1	0.34711	0.70233	0.65631
	NAME	LAW DR	CHINTGUC	CHINIGUC	CHINIGHC	X	( <b>X</b>	CHTNTGUC	DOLICHERT	FRENERTO	CENTOR		C TOURCED		LAUNDRIE	×	×
	LAKEID	a A D	280	360	240	245	2 1 1	2.2R			202		200	281	X01	23F	22E
	OBS	107					111	10		• •	011		/11/	118	119	120	121

PREDICTED SUBSURFACE REFLECTANCE AT DEPTH = 2 METERS ALL PROBAR LAKES

B-9

\_

DIFFERENCED, CORRECTED PROBAR VALUES FOR ALL LAKES

----- ·
200	3	00	<b>)</b> (	<b>)</b> C	0		00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 (	0 (	0 0	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>.</b>	> c	o c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	5 0	<b>.</b>	0 0	5 0	0 0	0 0	<b>5</b> c	<b>)</b> כ	00	o c	0
R670		0.00369	16800.0	0.00574	0.00345	0.00376	0.00396	0.00180	0.00148	0.00623	0.00279	0.00301	0.00324	0.00176	0.00341	0.00337	0.00274	0.00515	0.00310	0.00238	0.00344	0.00268	0.00141	0.00217	0.00391	0.00283	0.0000	0.00208	0.000		0.0010	0.00602	0.00230	0.00591	0.00277	0.00373	0.00257	0.00091	0.00268	0.00191	0.00103	0.00085	11100.0	0.00202	0.00210	0.00249	0.0000	0.00202	0.00069	0.00354	0.00188	0.00351
R640	00000	0.01005	0.00860	0.00824	0.00411	0.00505	0.00190	0.00438	0.00432	0.00612	0.00386	0.00637	0.00432	0.00369	0.00585	0.004/9	0.0502	0.00498	0.00/6/	15600.0	0.00658	0.00455	0.00433	15400.0	0.00836					0 00163	0.00677	0.00834	0.00630	0.00877	0.00657	0.01125	0.00304	0.00461	0.00605	0.00348						0.00/04		01200.0	0.00688	0.00617	0.00689	0.00581
<b>R</b> 610	0 00033	0.01966	0.02107	0.02306	0.00903	0.00799	0.01825	0.01678	0.01727	0.01333	0.01269	0.01339	0.01142	0.00/60	42510.0						27710.0		0.01120			0-01440	0.03005	0.0061	0.01430	0.00850	0.01628	0.01860	0.01263	0.02233	0.01964	0.02332	0.01138		0.01663	0.00770	0 01285	01103	0.01010	0 01400	O DIASE	0.01504		0.01137	0.02114	0.01478	0.01378	0.01079
R580	0.01016	0.02870	0.03735	0.03882	0.01377	0.01108	0.03577	19950.0	0.04432	0.02010	0.03042			0.00050	0.01040	0 01677		0.022200	0.01935	0 01590	0 00158	0.02503	0.02229	0.03415	0.04656	0.03679	0.06041	0.00838	0.02424	0.00466	0.03131	0.02819	0.02388	0.03689	0.03490	0.04263		0.02120	0.03618	0.01848	0.02489	0.02111	0.01524	0.02279	0.03358	0.02469	0.04208	0.02352	0.04104	0.04012	0.03191	0.01984
REEO	0.01133	0.02193	0.03793	4//60.0	0.01164	0.01034	0.04607		0.05808	0.03557	0.03597	0.0000	0.0042	0.01833	0.01429	0.01822	0.02368	0.03178	0.02048	0.01917	0.02997	0.03628	0.03352	0.04059	0.05990	0.05179	0.07183	0.01334	0.02272	0.00563	0.03170	0.02861	0.02589	0.04071	0.04016	18790.0		0.05670	0.03013	0.02921	0.03396	0.02980	0.01752	0.02833	0.04674	0.03007	0.07074	0.04055	0.06070	0.06919	0.05262	0.04074
<b>R</b> 520	0.01212	0.01518	0.03511	11990.0	1110.0		0.00404	0.06280	0.05511	0.03730	0.04787	0.02418	0.00978	0.01746	0.01495	0.01775	0.02744	0.03494	0.02224	0.01947	0.03490	0.04315	0.04406	0.04283	0.07800	0.06371	0.07823	0.01272	0.02475	0.00709	0.03562	0.02922	0.02823	0.04236		0.03390	0.04914	0.07402	0.03238	0.04488	0.03724	0.03750	0.01674	0.02814	0.04745	0.03173	0.08683	0.04603	0.06241	0.08440	0.05631	0.05355
R490	0.01622	0.00921	1020 0		0.00739	O DAFA3	0.04728	0.07287	0.07605	0.03861	0.06093	0.02430	0.00897	0.01620	0.01561	0.01535	0.02907	0.02941	0.01910	0.01347	0.03859	0.04746	0.05311	0.03833	0.10585	0.08453	0.08980	0.01770	0.02395	0.00728	0.04011	0.03125	0.02503		O ORGEI	0.09115	0.08439	0.10761	0.03519	0.08538	0.04359	0.04667	0.01753	0.03071	0.05285	0.03100	0.10745	0.05053	0.08582	0.00100	0.06582	0.0/868
R470	0.01747	0.00621	0.02718	0.01079	0.00880	0.08658	0.04593	0.07083	0.08131	0.04043	0.08415	0.02423	0.00943	0.01590	0.02014	0.02014	0.03466	0.03854	0.02541	0.02318	0.04491	0.06207	0.06826	0.04684	0.10739	0.08481	0.09201	0.01662	0.02752	0.00652	01/50.0	0,050,0		0.04229	0.06868	0.10239	0.09741	0.11701	0.03469	0.10563	0.04287	0.04817	0.01784	0.02782	0.05036	0.03207	0.11642	0.04977	0.06109	0.06173	0.00413	0.00/24
R443	0.02247	0.00579	0.02567	0.01283	0.00789	0.07258	0.04090	0.06594	0.08581	0.03982	0.06372	0.02502	0.00753	0.01376	0.02363	0.02355	0.03534	0.04302	0.02868	0.02516	0.04857	0.06903	0.07427	0.04972	0.08962	0.07875	0.07827	0.01603	0.01693			0.02875	0.03609	0.03697	0.05892	0.09934	0.10281	0.11414	0.03257	0.11434	0.03708	0.04428	0.01558	0.02521	0.04541	0.02944	0.11799	0.04/38	12000.0	0.06015	0.08641	
NAME	WANAPITE PATURIN	MATAGANA	MATAGAMA	THOWAS	×	OTTER	SILVESTE	CHINIGUC	DOUGHERT	DOUGHERT	FREDERIC	CENTRE	×	MUDDING	LAUNDRIE	× :	X	STUDFFER	×	X	CHINIGUC	X	CHINIGUC		PULF Printing	UEMUNET MAR COTE	MARJUKIE		<>	SOI ACE	MAGGTE	PTI GRTM	BLUESUCK	×	N. YORSTO	×	×	JERRY	SMOOTHWA	SUNNYWAT	WABUN		MLNELL MUTTOTAL	WT IEL TN	< >			SILYESIE Y	< ×	LAWLOR	CHINIGUC	
LAKEID	100		VGE	398	<b>3</b> 9D	38D	38C	350	300	<b>30B</b>	290	X02	27D	26D		248	V / 7	787	780	315	978	946	2010	0/0	5,07				22A		220	220	234	194	188	17.4	154	14F	130	VE1	12A		1.1					370	37E	36D	380	
<b>S80</b>	55	57	68	69	80	61	62	63	64	65	68	67	68	6 0 9	2;		2 1			0 0	2		20	n ( 0		108	7 C 0 0			99	87	88	68	60	91	92	63	40	35		100			32	101	102		105	106	107	108	

SAS

•

R700	00000000000000
R670	0.0024700 0.0025800 0.0018300 0.00133300 0.0011800 0.0011300 0.0011300 0.0013800 0.0013900 0.0019900 0.0019900 0.0013870 0.00138670
R640	0.0084700 0.0044700 0.004700 0.0079000 0.0079000 0.0086200 0.0088600 0.0088600 0.0088600 0.0088600 0.0088600 0.0034800 0.0034800 0.0034819
R610	0.0113600 0.0085000 0.0146700 0.0147300 0.0118800 0.0118800 0.0118800 0.0118800 0.0118800 0.0118800 0.0118880 0.0118882 0.0118882 0.0118882
R580	0.0228700 0.0172600 0.0382000 0.0382000 0.0288900 0.0246600 0.0254100 0.0254100 0.0254100 0.0254100 0.0254100 0.0254100 0.02531338 0.015600
<b>R</b> 550	0.0414200 0.0328600 0.0839200 0.0433200 0.0433200 0.0433100 0.0398000 0.0338000 0.0238900 0.0238900 0.0238900 0.0237622 0.0297622 0.0196340
R620	0.0508500 0.0458200 0.0458200 0.0722400 0.0740700 0.0587800 0.0587800 0.0587800 0.0587800 0.0587800 0.0587800 0.0587800 0.058818100 0.0206834 0.0200109
R490	0.064090 0.068180 0.068180 0.085590 0.085590 0.085590 0.043560 0.043560 0.043560 0.051140 0.011970 0.021370
<b>R4</b> 70	0.086950 0.076750 0.087580 0.063300 0.053300 0.0116770 0.012190 0.072190 0.072190 0.072190 0.072190 0.072190 0.072190 0.072190 0.072190 0.027440 0.027440 0.023728
6443	0.082470 0.078860 0.078860 0.088960 0.094730 0.094730 0.0117110 0.088900 0.035750 0.035750 0.012780 0.019508
	NAME CHINIGUC X CHINIGUC CHINIGUC CHINIGUC CHINIGUC DOUGHERT FREDERIC CENTRE X STOUFFER LAUNDRIE X
	LAKEID 35 35 346 346 346 335 336 338 338 338 236 236 236 236 236 236 236 226 226 226
	085 1109 1111 1112 1114 1115 1116 1117 1119 1119 1119

. . . . . .

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

.

SAS



#### APPENDIX C

SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR THE ECO-PHYSICAL POLYGON CLUSTER ANALYSIS

The following table shows the computer mean and standard deviation estimates for the set of Eco-physical polygons within each cluster. Estimates are computed for the total sensitivity rating (STRATRAT), vegetation sensitivity (VEGVAL), bedrock and soil sensitivity (SENSVAL), relief sensitivity (RELVAL), and sulfate deposition sensitivity (SO4VAL).

#### TABLE C-1

-

### SUMMARY STATISTICS ON EACH CLUSTER MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD CLUSTER ANALYSIS

	VARIABLE	MEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION
Cluster	-		
	STRATRAT SENSVAL VEGVAL RELVAL SO4VAL	3.13 1.42 0.38 1.30	0.71 0.69 0.12 0.24
Cluster	=1		
	STRATRAT SENSVAL VEGVAL RELVAL SO4VAL	5.66 7.04 4.68 5.57 4.40	0.07 0.66 0.75 0.23 0.33
Cluster	=2		
	STRATRAT SENSVAL VEGVAL RELVAL SO4VAL	6.36 8.05 4.65 5.78 5.82	0.06 0.42 0.66 0.20 0.38
Cluster	=3		
	STRATRAT SENSVAL VEGVAL RELVAL SO4VAL	6.74 8.16 5.83 5.28 6.00	0.09 0.32 0.64 0.22 0.36
Cluster	<b>=</b> 4		
	STRATRAT SENSVAL VEGVAL RELVAL SO4VAL	6.02 7.67 4.63 5.25 5.18	0.07 0.52 0.67 0.21 0.42

#### Cluster=5

\_\_\_\_\_

.....

	STRATRAT	7.41	0.06
	SENSVAL	8.47	0.20
	VEGVAL	7.13	0.47
	RELVAL	5.62	0.19
	SO4VAL	6.58	0.29
Cluste	r=6		
	STRATRAT	3.55	0.29
	SENSVAL	3.28	0.92
	VEGVAL	2.08	0.14
	RELVAL	5.57	0.17
	SO4VAL	5.27	0.68
Cluste	r=7		
	STRATRAT	7.07	0.05
	SENSVAL	8.50	0.21
	VEGVAL	6.37	0.48
	RELVAL	5.36	0.22
	SO4VAL	6.10	0.32
Cluste	:r=8		
	STRATRAT	5.14	0.20
	SENSVAL	5.96	0.57
	VEGVAL	4.71	0.59
	RELVAL	5.46	0.22
	SO4VAL	3.97	0.33
Cluste	er=9		
	STRATRAT	7.83	0.20
	SENSVAL	8.72	0.22
	VEGVAL	8.53	0.49
	RELVAL	5.20	0.22
	SO4VAL	6.30	0.29
Cluste	er=10		
	STRATRAT	4.34	0.22
	SENSVAL	5.22	0.29
	VEGVAL	3.82	0.40
	RELVAL	5.00	0.22
	SO4VAL	3.05	0.21

-

----------. . . . . . . . . . .

M

#### APPENDIX D WATER CHEMISTRY DATA

- Table D.1. August 1986 WQ Data Collected from the Algoma and Sudbury sites
- Table D.2. May June 1987 WQ Data Collected from selected lakes in the Sudbury site

Figure D.1 MER and PROBAR Sampling Stations for the Algoma Site

Figure D.2 MER and PROBAR Sampling Stations for the Sudbury Site

Maps shown in Figures D.1 (80798) and D.2 (80799) were compiled by J. Fortescue and D. Stahl of the Mines and Minerals Division, Ontario Geological Survey, 1987.

Table D.1 August 1986 Water Chemistry ----

Total Chlorophyll A ug/l	1.90	1.20	5.5 01 6		1.20	1.20	1.20	2.10	1.20	0.60	1.90	2.60	2.5	08.1			2 6	01.6	2	60°	1.70	6.40	2.70	3.60	2.89	1.60	<b>2</b> .00	3.60	2.60	2.80	1.70	200	0.70	2.90	1.60	2.10	2.70	2.60	2.70	1.80	7.10	2.80	 	1.80	00.1	07.1		1 70	06.666	) e . e e e
Conductivity	20		01	2 -	11	17	16	18	16	44	17	22	10 <b>4</b> 1	0	9;	- 0 7	9 Q			1	21		9	17	16	16	18	23	18	16	16	9	91	16	16	18	17	18	16	12			9.5	1		n (1	11	. 0	91	2
Dissolved Organic Carbon	8.3 .3	0.0	<b>.</b> .		9		4	0.0	3.1	7.6	3.6	8.7	7.6	41	1.1	0.0	1.0			0.7	- 0 - 0				3.2	0.0	6.1	19.0	6.5	3.8	9.0	2 C	0 U 0 C		1.4	4.2	5.2	6.3	3.4	2.8	9.2	4	0.0	0 4 7 4	0.4	р с • ч	N 6	n	• •	•••
sulfate mg/l	4.68	3.86	0, 40 0 0 0	8.20 02.80	3. V2		4.08	4.05	4.08	4.46	4.17	3.01	4.39	3.80	10.4	3.1/	9. S	77. T	, , ,	5 U T	0		0.34	0.0	3.66	3.16	3.67	2.29	4.00	3.83	3.89	4.30			3.42	3.89	3.69	3.47	3.78	2.99	3.93	3.82	5. •	4.10	11.6	03. m	10.01	77.4	21.0	¢). ¢
Hď	6.290	6.230	5.350 - 200	6.200	6.030 6.030		6.010 F	5.590	5.690	7.190	6.038	4.780	7.190	6.290	6.280	4.770	6.200	5.820 5.520	016.9	085.4	041.0	0//.9			200	1,710	5.160	4.640	6.200	5.660	6.320	5.360	5.240		6 120	4.980	6.190	5.740	6.650	5.470	6.230	6.170	5.320	5.240	4.850	6.4BC	5.310	092.3	028.4	5.640
Total Inflection Point	1.64	0.15	0.29	0.10	-0.33				0.37	14,86	-0.33	-0.75	14.19	0.11	-0.31	-0.87	0.09	0.86	0.18	0.32	<b>61.0</b> -	0.67		0.27			0.07	-1.66	0.10	0.36	8.9	-0.07	-0.11	1.0			90	1.04	0.37	0.10	0.10	-0.13	-0.02	-0.05	-0.59	3.27	0.22	-0.21	-0.64 	0.49
Total Aikalinity mg/i	3.47	1.97	05.886	06.999	1.64	2.30	2.70		20.7 20.7	16.66	1.56	1.16	16.01	2.00	1.76	1.01	988.90	2.77	2.11	<b>555</b> . 50	1.75	2.46	1.53	2.19	14.1	1.00		1.94	1.99	2.27	1.90	1.73	1.69	R/ 1		1.01	1.97	2.71	2.28	1.96	1.96	999.90	999.90	1.70	1.22	5.05	1.99	1.68	1.14	2.30
1/8n 1/8n	22	<b>2</b> 00	210	240	260	190	160	33	120	38	310	420	8	190	120	270	190	160	80 80	190	160	96	300	140	085	140			950	130	8	87	110		220	38		282	120	68	340	260	160	160	310	180	380	150	330	220
Mn mg/l	11	90	31	32	64	31	38		2;	n e		90	4	41	61	32	4	24	48	4	29	24	38	36	37	80 L	<u></u>	25	Υ A		) <del>(</del>	63	60	4	<del>ç</del> :	100			46	31	94	36	9	36	28	17	36	¥	32	38
Suspended Solids mg/l	-	. 0	0	0	0	-1		-•	- •	- ,	-		•	1 <b>-</b> -1		0	-	-	-	1	-	-	m	7	3			r4 7	-  c	40	•	-	1	1	<b>-</b>	-1 4		4 -	4	•	. 0		-	1		8	1	1	7	1
Iron mg/l	0.00		87.0	130.0	72.0	100.0	41.0	17.0	130.0	22.0				0.00	24.0	79.0	170.0	65.0	160.0	100.0	80.0	40.0	660.0	640.0	130.0	26.0	52.0	180.0			100.0	61.0	26.0	19.0	93.0	87.0	6.999				0.00	58.0	67.0	58.0	66.0	140.0	110.0	32.0	92.0	130.0
NAME	111011	STWDIA	A DOX	EAST		66X	LITTLE A	MADER	MALLOT	66X	MONTREAL	66X	X YY	YOU	007		DYFR	Xoo	DYER	UNION	66X	66X	66X	66X	86X	66X	66X	66X	66X	200 21 212	VLVIN	RARARA	BARBARA	BARBARA	66X	66X	BBX	B6X		VIATA		88V	HATI FY	66X	ROT	66X	86X	66X	66X	BIG PIKE
LAKE_ID						ľ	ØV	HY	BA	88	ß	081	1 1 1 1		5 3	5 7		ŝ	35	36	9 Ľ	, L , C	50	3	IJ	3	S	۷d	00	2	2		200	На	10	G	Ð	8	<u>ы</u>	31			בכ	5 5	1	] <del>(</del>	រ៍ជ	14		<u>ነ</u> ሮ

Table D.1 (Cont.) August 1988 water chemistry

,

Total Chiorophyll A ug/l	5	10.0	1.02	1 67	2.10	00.4	2.90	2.10	1.30	1.60	1.10	1.20	1.20	10.0	2.60	1.60	2.40	2.70	2.00	1.80	1.80		20.71	22. T	1.90	4.60	4.40	2.60	10.00	2.30	08.4	2.40	4.10	4.30	1.40	4.4	4.30	2.70 0.70	00. a	2.20	1.60	1.60	1.80	3.80	2.80	3.20	2.70	20.0
Conductivity	a t		16	19	33	16	17	16	19	17	16	18	8 T	21	16	18	16	19	18	21	9	0 0 7	29	16	16	20	19	18	18	22	21	19	24	24	23	<b>6</b> :	11	00	23	18	29	23	26	26	22	36	31	21
Dissolved Organic Carbon	0		•	3.9	6.1	3.1	7.0	4.5	2.2	<b>6</b> .4				9.0	5.4	3.2	3.4	9°.9	8.1				5.2	4.9	5.8	8.1	5.1	7.2	9 · 1	13.4	27 O	0.0	4.0	4.5	0.6	10.5			4.0	0.0	6.1	3.1	3.3	0.7	<b>a</b> (	<b>D</b> . 0	D.4	9 . <del>0</del>
Sulfate mg/l	2.32	4.04	4.10	4.62	4.38	4.18	3.24	3.31	4.68	89.89 10.80		4 . 50	4.27	5	3.65	4.13	4.21	0	12.5	4 .00		. 23	4.06	3.85	3.02	3.12	3.53	3.13	0 0 	51.9 10	5.4	4.68	4.13	4.16	C	2.//		1.01	3.88	3.88	4.13	4.90	4.79	3.78	2.81	N0.0	••	3.61
Hq	4.70	6.67	5.64	6.31	7.16	4.98	4.73	6.33	<b>6</b> .54	6.12 20		5.11	5.81	6.67	6.19	6.22	5.85	80 L 1 C 1 C		01.0 10	6.37	7.07	6.71	6.47	6.68	6.07	6.94	6.92 . 30		5.44	6.83	6.26	<b>6</b> .70	6.66 6	0.83	20.02	<b>6</b> .34	7.10	6.41	6.73	<b>6</b> .92	6.79	6.69 6	0.0 0.0	17.0		7.20	9.34
Total Inflection Point	-0.97	0.33	0.30	1.98	9.63	-0.48 	-0.88	0.17	2.19	-0.17		-0.23	0.47	0.45	8.9	1.21	0.63			1.33	1.32	0.7	6.83	0.30	1.09	2.88	2.31	2.03	1.6/	1.28	7.98	1.79	4.71	19.4	41.0 6	2.17	4.71	7.45	4.27	0.87	8.10	3.16	11.4	40.4	90.4 10.4		9.4	2.86
Total Alkalinity mg/l	0.89	2.13	2.08	3.73	11.43	1.34	0.92	8.1		1.00	200	1.69	2.28	999.90	1.78	3.01	2.45	17.0	4 42	3.10	3.13	8.91	8.70	2.10	2.96	<b>9</b> .90	4.21	0. e		3.14	9.84	3.69	0.54	6.61 70	0.00 101	3.97	6.64	9.28	6.14	2.72	9.92 1.00	80.9	01.0	10./	13 74	10.46	11.15	4.48
I V I V I V I V	260	170	150	8/	99 7	011		042		150	250	200 200	85	160	260	80 ( 17)	<b>.</b>	16	20	8	67	30	84	140	230				30	280	74	76	89 - 89 (		210	160	110	19	28		ß		5	36	92 92	53	11	180
₩ ₩ ₩	18	7	38		0	1			0 r	219	36	31	32	8	36	=;	: :	24	54	60)	8	10	10	38	38	P 7	+ 0 N 0		21	21	1	11	1	<b>1</b> 1	31	1	18	8	م	<b>4</b> 1	۵ م	N 0	• u		2 10	13	10	18
Suspended Solids mg/i	•	8		-	-1 ,		n .		• -	4	) <b></b>	-		0	N (	NC	4 0	• •		-	-	2	<b>~</b>	-•	N (	N •	- c	N 67	) -1		1		N 6	n -	• 0	. 0	7	-1			-4 -	4 -	• •	• •	•	. 0	2	<b>F</b>
Iron mg/l	210	83	11	5		4		- a	۶ ۲	33	220	84	22	62	230		36	170	92	4	11	<b>1</b> 0	19	12			240		260	270	17	32			280	350	120	37	80	, , ,	•	0 6	210	150	60	63	39	100
NAME	86X	BIG PIKE	BIG PIKE	RAK	SHUEFACK		A A A	aex Xoo	a d X	66X	66X	66X	PATTERSO	66X			RUTTED	200X	66X	CARPENTE	CARPENTE	MITCHELL	MITCHELL	88X	BBX		BRX DOX	86X	66X	66X	66X	X99 21:17		DUINTET	TAY	86X	NORTH Mc	NORTH Mc	66X		A88 NITNTET		000	McCOLLOU	X99	66X	DICK	66X
LAKE_ID	<b>6</b>			9 : L I			22	Ê		39	3	щ	с,	33	52	33	33	ਹ ਹ	Ŧ	84	ЯČ	웃	Ψ	Ŧ	51				19	IE	IT I	91			9	Y	9	щ i	¥, 9	33		K R		29	. W	L X	5 X	КН

Table D.1 (Cont.) AUGUST 1986 WATER CHEMISTRY

Total Chlorophyll A ug/l	4.20	1.40	2.40	4.80	2.30	4.61	1.60	6.40 04.60	0.0	3.60	0.60	0.60	0.70	1.20	4.20	9. 'D	11.4	8.00 10.6	00.6	0.90	0.60	1.60	3.80	3.30	2.42	3.62		80.8 15 10	<b>6</b> .30	1.40	1.80	1.50	1.30			80.0	07.4	1.60	1.40	1.00	06 . 868	<b>888</b> .90	999.90	999.90 200 00	06.998	06.666	
Conductivity	19.0	23.0	32.0	41.0	33.0	32.0		20.02		17.0	30.0	30.0	29.0	31.0	39.0	19.0	0.15	0.15	31.0	22.0	29.0	24.0	24.0	31.0	20.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	28.0	33.0	37.0	22.0	18.0	18.0	0.00		0.04	40.0	33.0	44.0	8.888	<b>6</b> .99	6.999	989.9	8.888	8 888	000
Diasolved Organic Carbon	0.8 •	- 10 	3.8	9.2	7.1	8.0 9.9	2.	0.4		1	3.6	2.8	2.8	13.0	8 9		0 4 7			4.2	2.0	3.2	3.0	2.6	<b>3.</b> 0	•••		1.1		3.0	2.9	4.0	2.7					4.4	9.7	8.4	888.8	888.8	888.8	999.9	8.888 000	9.900 0.000	0000
Sulfate mg/l	3.71	4.01	4.48	2.84	3.97	4.10		4.UL		3.77	6.07	5.04	6.11	2.38			5.0	52	5.1	4.46	6.11	6.10	4.42	6.07	4	84.4			00.4	6.48	6.65	4.60	4.37		18.4	5 01 7	5.17	3.68	2.60	3.78	999.90	999.90	999.90	06.999	08.868	00 000	
Hq	5.70	6.63	7.20	<b>9</b> .90	6.89	6.91		09.0		6.87	7.03	7.06	6.99	61 30 91		10.0	12.1			6.47	7.05	<b>6</b> .56	6.89	7.20	6.19	0.40			9.95	7.28	7.38	6.18	5.83 7.93		20. 6	5	7.35	7.04	6.61	6.82	06.866	999.90	06.999	06.888	08.888	00 000	
Total Inflection Point	1.33	3.17	9.42	14.95	<b>60.6</b>	9.78	14.00	4. a.		0.84	5.83	6.82	5.65	8.46	11.03			06.1	7.46	2.17	6.66	2.96	4.62	7.30	1.39	2.48	48 N	2.3/ 14 38	61.9 9,19	8.10	9.65	2.69	6.1			15 31	12.14	13.16	10.61	16.07	999.90	06.999	06.666	999.90	06.868	000 000	2000 000
Totel Alkalinity mg/l	3.23	5.02	11.32	16.66	10.87	11.62	10.3/	0.02	90.8 97.0	2.59	7.62	7.63	7.46	10.30	12.92	2.68	10.9	77.8	 	4.61	7.64	4.87	6.44	9.22	3.28	4.38	4.81	4.30	80.8	9.93	11.39	4.61	2.95			10.01	13.92	14.86	12.26	16.87	06.999	999.90	989.90	999.90	989.90	000 000	00 000
NI 1/8n	230.0	46.0	36.0	97.0	0.83	64.0	10.0t	36.0			21.0	23.0	30.0	110.0	34.0	230.0	19.0		20.02 20.02		34.0	76.0	28.0	21.0	47.0	39.0	18.0	12.0		36.0	29.0	150.0	200.0	130.0				84.0	97.0	60.08	999.9	999.9	939.9	888°8	999.9 900	7.788 0000	8.800
Kn Kn	24.0		2.0	14.0	8.0	6.0	0.8 8	0.7			0.6	3.0	1.0	13.0	11.0	27.0	0.0	20					0.0	4.0	6.0	11.0	0.7			6.0	3.0	6.0	12.0	20.0				19.0	0,0	4.0	999.9	989.9	868.9	888.8	6.999.9	988. Y	h . h h h
Suspended Solida mg/l	1.0	0.0	0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0			0.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	0.1	0.0				0.1	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	•	0.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.1	0.0 N 0	200	) C	0.1	1.0	6.666	939.9	8-888	999.9	8.99.9	999.9 900	7.777
Iron mg/l	130.0	30.0	13.0	120.0	38.0	48.0	0.6	36.0	17.0	22.0		2.0	0.0	200.0	46.0	210.0	14.0	0.0	14.0	28.0			14.0	13.0	16.0	37.0	28.0	20.0 200		14.0	10.01	68.0	30.0	48.0	67.0 22.0	0.70	0.04 0.04		150.0	67.0	999.9	999.9	999.9	939.9	<b>6</b> .98	999.9 900	7.77F
NAME	66X	86X		66X	66X	88X	McGOVERN	66X	66X	66X	AUN Coteetn	GRIFFIN	LOWER GR	66X	66X	66X	66X	ADELAIDE	ADELAIDE	66X	X99	LUNCN GR	eev Sox	ADELAIDE	66X	66X	BONE	66X	66X			86X	66X	66X	DREW		BBX	1 ILLET	86V	86X	86X	66X	66X	66X	66X	66X	66X
LAKE_ID	КI	2			19	9	٣	Ľ	LG L	5:	11	] 2	ij	3	Z	¥	81	¥	2	¥!					2	W	Ĩ	ÖZ	Ŧ	z	2 ¥	ž	Yo	80	8	8	J J J	5	33	52	d	SOI	502 202	503	<b>S04</b>	SOB	808

D-4

.

CRIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY Table D.1 (Cont.) AUGUST 1986 WATER CHEMISTRY

Total Chlorophyll A uo/l		0 000	0 000	000	000		8 . 8 8 8	0.000	6.666	6 666	6.66 <b>6</b>	666	6.666	8.888	999.9	989.9	989.9	666.6	989.9	969.9	8.888	A . AAA	0 000		• •	2.2	9.0	3.0	0.4	6.2	<b>9</b> .0	<b>1.9</b>	1.2			•••	2.1	1.0	0.3	1.9	0.8	<b>•</b> .0	0.3	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.5	6.0 ,	<b>1</b> .1		0.6
Conductivity		999.9	0 000	0.000	0.000	000	000.00	5,665	888.9	999.9	6.666	8.888	999.9	999.9	999.9	888.8	<b>888.9</b>	888°.	888.8	8.888 000	A. 444	000	0.000	10.0	61.0	66.0	69.0	39.0	63.0	61.0	<b>60.0</b>	124.0		33.0	29.0	37.0	32.0	29.0	31.0	36.0	33.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	30.0	29.0	32.0	28.0	90.0 0	0.15	31.U 29.0
Dissolved Organic Carbon		9,999	666.0	6.999	6,999	0.000	8,868	888.8	939.9	999.9	999.9	999.9	999.9	999.9	888.8	888° 8	8 8 8 8	6.668 000	5.8AA		0.000	0.000	6.666	3.7	6.1	7.9	8.8	6.7	6.7	8.0	4. 01 (	10 U		2.5	2.3	2.4	4.4	1.1	0.3	6.2	1.7	+ 0 0	0.2	9.9	1.6	<b>6</b> .	•	• •	• c	, a	2.4
Sulfate mg/l		999.90	999.90	999.90	08.868	08.888	06.666	08.868	988.80	999.90	999.90	999.90	999.90	999.90	999.90	999.90	06.999	0.000			06,929	06.666	06.666	3.79	6.93	5.18	4.77	4.77	4.11	4.30	8/.4	8.28 11 70	8.73	9.64	9.73	10.60	9.40	9.32	80.8	9.07	10.90	0.01 0.01		10.70 0 20	25.9			00.0	9.41	8.71	8.12
Hď		999.90	999.90	999.90	06.999	999.90	999.90	999.90	999.90	08.868	<b>668.80</b>	06.666	989.90	888°80	06.666	08.888	08.888			00.000	06.999	999.90	999.90	5.33	7.44	7.44	7.44	7.30	7.41	40. N	10.1	7.69	4.66	4.65	6.19	6.89	4.73	4.03	0. <del>•</del>		0.40				0 P - 4			4.75	5.10	5.01	4.90
Total Inflection Point		06.999	989.90	06.666	999.90	988.90	06.666	08.999	08.999	<b>888.80</b>	988.80	06.666	999.90	999.90 222 22	00.999				00,000	00.000	06.999	08.888	959.90	0.02	20.34	16.70	16.35	11.62	18.02	84.1Z	14 05	31.92	-1.10	-1.10	0.83	4.19	<b>8</b>   9	-0.66		-2.10			AD.1-			3.5		10.0	-0.23	-0.45	-0.61
Total Aikalinity mg/l		999.90	06.666	06.666	06.666	06.999	06.999	<b>06.</b> 666	06.666	06.666	06.666	06.999.90	999.999	988.80 000 000	00.900	000 000			06.666	06.666	06.666	999.90	06.999	1.93	22.16	17.44	18.07	44.01	14./3	23.20		33.62	0.62	0.62	2.64	6.03	0.86	1.25		3.6	07.5 0 12 0					00.000 54.0	1 08	0.89	1.61	1.45	1.24
I/Bn		999.9	<b>8</b> 88.8	988.9	999.8	<b>9</b> 99.8	8.888	6.999	<b>666</b>	6.999	888.8	888.8	8.888	5.688 6.600	A. 848			0.000	6.992	999.9	999.9	999.9	939.9	130.0	100.0	868.8	868.8	8.888	A. 888		0.000	999.9	260.0	290.0	40.0	31.0	320.0	220.0	130.0				230.00		1 200.0	32.0	140.0	210.0	100.0	160.0	130.0
Mn Mg/1		999.9	8.999	999.9	888.8	999.9	8.888	8.988	688°.9	888.8	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	6.999.9	9.985	8.888 0000			0.000	0.000	9.99.9	999.9	9.999.9	999.9	8.88	42.0	15.0	868.8	888°.	8.888	8.888		0.000	6.666	64.0	78.0	39.0	12.0	0.84						130.0	110.0		19.0	50.0	69.0	32.0	100.0	72.0
Suspended Solids mg/l		888.8	838.8	888.8	8.888	999.9	6.666	<b>888.8</b>	988°.9	6.666 	888°8	868.8	8.888 0000			0.000	0.000	0.000	999.9	999.9	999.9	999.9	999.9	1.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	) ( N (		00	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								0.1		0.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Iron mg/l		888.8	6.666	999.9	868.8	999.9	8.888	6.999	8.868	868.8	6.999.9	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		A. 888		0.000	0 000	0.000	6.666	999.9	999.9	999.9	999.9	63.0	170.0	39.0					120.0	23.0	66.0	67.0	14.0	26.0				16.0	32.0	28.0	49.0	36.0	27.0	17.0	62.0	64.0	30.0	32.0	<b>56</b> .0
NAME		66X	66X	66X	66X	66X	66X	66X	56X	66X	66X	66X		AAX		NON Y	X 00	66X	66X	66X	66X	66X	66X	GREYOWL	PAINT	CKAYFISH	MEST KAB		L'INC	KAREN NG	DESOLATT	PRINCESS	66X	MADDEN	LANY	LADY DUF				SMOTHWA	SUNNYAT	SUNNYWAT	X99	WHITEPIN	MARTNA	SMOOTHWA	86X	66X	LITTLE A	WHITEPIN	WHIRLIGI
	-	808	808	<b>S10</b>	SII	<b>S12</b>	S13	514 512	515	010	210	910	A 10	070	222	523	204	S26	S26	<b>S27</b>	S28	S29	S30	BOX			0 <b>1</b> 3			25	B/M	84	<b>VII</b>	118	110			128	195	120	134	138	130	130	135	130	13H	131	144	148	140

.

Table D.1 (Cont.) AUGUST 1988 WATER CHEMISTRY

LAKE ID	NAME	Iron	Suspended	Kn K	V	Total	Total	H	Sulfate	Dissolved	Conductivity	Total 2.
		i/Bw	Solids mg/l	/Bu	l/gu	Alkalinity mg/l	Inflection Point		- / 6w	Carbon		ug/1 ng/1
27 -	1 TTT 6 W		F	85	47	1.86	-0.07	6.44	8.46	2.0	26	0.8
		1 -	• -	80	180	06.666	-0.03	5.14	10.60	4.0	33	0.3
	SUDDTUWA	• 4 • •	•	200	36	2.46	0.66	6.18	10.70	1.4	33	0.6
		1 6	•	12	160	1.37	-0.49	4.97	9.27	3.1	30	1.7
154	86X	23		120	380	1.64	-0.39	8	11.40	0.3	37	0.1
168	66X	21	-	72	540	0.63	-1.24	4.64	9.86	a.	46	0.1
16C	66X	12		•	1	7.42	6.47	0.70			7 0 7 0	1.2
160	APEX	54	~ ~	10 C	29	10.1	9 0 0 0 0	84	12.80	5.1	42	0.0
164	66X		N 7	7 4				4.39	10.90	2.8	: <del>Q</del>	8.0
168	66X	8:		ò			1.98	6.66	10.10	1.9	33	0.7
160	BBX	11	-	9	28	0.92	-0.97	4.74	10.10	0.7	46	0.6
100	A A A	20	4 -	106	280	1.03	-0.73	4.80	9.73	0.3	33	0.2
170		80	•	82	230	1.62	-0.34	6.02	11.90	3.8	38	1.0
170	MIHELL	17	4	11	9	13.94	12.05	7.38	10.30	2.5	64	1.2
184	66X	10	-	79	<b>5</b> 70	0.0	-2.66	4.30	10.40	<b>.</b>	4	1.3
168	NORTH YO	47		82	110	1.68	-0.17	6.26	10.50	. I . I	32	<del>4</del> .0
180	66X	110	-	61	720	0.0 0	-2.73	4.30	10.80	6.0 9	<b>;</b> ;	8 · ·
180	68X	69		11	380	0.61	-1.17	4.64	88 6 0	0 C	90	•
18E	<b>6</b> 6X	<b>6</b> 6	7	73	390	0.53	-1.26	10.4		7.7	5	
194	66X	27	4	110	280	1.35	-0.51			- 0	<b>n</b> c e	
198	66X	54	-	62	8	1.84	83		10.30		20	
<b>19C</b>	86X	<b>œ</b>	<b>-</b> 4	8	45	1./0			0.00		9 9	
190	66X	42						39			0 0	
20A	66X	4	-	73	8	8.0						• •
208	66X	8		63		20.0 2					280	
200	86X	810		53 1 53	82	3.17		 				
200	66X	90	-4 ,			10.1	-1.27	4.68	10.60	0.2	9 00	0.9
217	66X	69	- •	8;				5.40	8.68	2.3	28	1.5
218	PILGRIM		-4 ,	10	6 F 7 G	2	0.30	6.69	10.30	2.1	31	0.7
210	66X		-4 -			2.59	0.74	5.99	11.20	2.3	40	2.1
210	ARY COX		•	82	22	2.07	0.30	5.68	9.72	2.4	31	1.1
228	66X	99	••	8	27	3.24	1.58	6.26	10.40	2.6	34	1.4
228	66X	a	1	•0	9	2.46	0.77	6.07	10.50	2.3	3	1.5
22C	PILGRIM	19	-1	36	20	1.70	0.01	6.40	8.71	2.0	12	1.1
220	MAGGIE	31	-	<b>60</b> (	21	4.21	2.36	20.0	10.00	7.7 8		4.4
22E	66X	58	-	69	20	0.0	2.0	0.00 2.00	21.0 181			
234	BLUESUCK	82	0	2			-1.96	4.43	10.60	6.0	9	1.1
200		4 12	4	12	16	2.20	0.43	6.87	<b>6</b> 0.6	2.7	29	2.3
220	A SO	110	. 0	46	250	0.66	-1.17	4.63	8.96	4.5	36	2.3
236	SOLACE	40	-	8	36	1.98	0.25	5.70	10.40	2.4	31	1.3
23F	66X	84	m	32	<b>6</b> 5	2.17	0.39	6.79	9.36	4.5	30	2.5
244	66X	8	m	27	330	2.71	0.99	6.26	8.13	16.9	40	1.6
248	66X	42	8	64	46	2.07	0.25	80.9	88.6		90	20 ·
24C	66X	160	m	61	280	1.39	-0.48	4.82		0.0	19	4
24D	86X	<u>66</u>	1	13	47	3.73	1.92	87.0	01.01	•	4 ( ) )	
26A	66X	230	1	62	80	4.27	2.48	0.23		- -		a (
26B	NUCK	63	-	4	91	2.61		0.0	07.9		10	0.4
26C	66X	18	(	19	3:	50.1 1	-0.00 85	9.68	0.13	4 10 4 4	- <b>-</b>	•
260	66X	240	N (	D 4		0.00	20.0	44.6	9.15		. 4 9	
28A	66X	220	2	4	2	47.8	1.40		)	>	r •	•

Cont.)	CHEMISTRY
D.1	WATER
ble	1986
Та	AUGUST

l rophyll A	6.0	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.9	0.6	1.1	1.8	. u	. u			0	3.7	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.2	0.3	3.4	1.3	3.1	4.8	2.3	1.0	n. (		2.7	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.8					4.0	•.0	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.8	2.2	0.3	• 0
/ Tota Chloi ug/l																																																	
Conductivity	31	64	29	33	36	109	63	50		50		32	43	30	41	40	38	44	43	37	30	35	7	40	9			33	33	42	41	41	38	99 9	4	- 00		4	E#	Q	38	<b>64</b>	42	E 4	46	<b>Q</b>	46	42	2
Dissolved Organic Carbon	10.5	7.0	3.6	1.7	4.0	1.8	0.0 0.0	0.0	0 a	, a 0		9 T .	0.8	<b>0.4</b>	0.6	2.0	6.6	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.5	6.2	2.4	9.0 77	<b>8</b> - 6			3.2	0.8	2.4	0.3	0.3	• •	a.o			1.0	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.2	4	0.3	0.2	0.0	а.2 ÷ :	0.7 0.7	<b>p</b> .>
Sulfate mg/l	7.43	9.19	9.10	11.00	10.70	29.20	9.26	8. 4 G	11.0			10.40	12.60	6.83	12.90	8.42	11.30	13.40	13.60	10.00	10.20	11.00	10.60	11.30	10.30	12.50	11.60	10.01	10.50	14.20	12.60	12.60	12.00	10.40	12.00	200	10.20	10.60	12.00	12.60	12.00	10.30	10.40	13.10	13.50	11.30	3.5	12.90	20.41
H	6.07	4.5	6.82	8.9	4.77	19.7	- <del>-</del>		40.0	10.4		5.67	6.43	4.43	4.75	7.03	4.96	4.61	4.63	4.38	6.03	8°.9	1.10	2.0		6.12	5.98	4.91	4.98	6.24	4.64	4 . 64	4.86	4.98	20.4		9	4.40	4.41	4.73	4.84	4.30	4.28	4.69	4.60	4.61	97.4	4 . 00	00. <del>4</del>
Total Inflection Point	2.79	18.48	0.43	0.44		18.90	17.76	9.0		-2 30 -2 30		0.12	3.37	-2.00	-0.93	6.26	-0.47	-1.29	-1.27	-2.01	-0.32	0.99	8.23	0.81	4.83 0 64	0.28	00.1	9.48	-0.41	0.73	-1.61	-1.49	-0.62	-0.43	-2.13		-2.00	-2.14	-2.04	-0.93	-0.71	-2.74	-2.84	-1.30	-1.26	-1.54	00.E-	-1.15	07.1-
Total Alkalinity mg/l	4.65	20.26	2.20	2.22	0.87	20.83	19.64	22.20	10.17		1.13	1.97	6.18	0.0	0.84	7.09	1.38	0.44	0.66	0.0	06.666	2.78	98.8 9	2.4.2	0.00 9 2 8	1.49	2,80	1.27	1.29	2.46	0.17	0.22	8.1	1.28	38	32	00.0	0.0	0.0	0.81	999.90	0.0	0.0 0	0.42	0.41	0.09	8.0 0	0.61	<b>b</b> 0.0
I/Bn	140	30	62	21	210		<b>‡</b>			100		18	88	190	<b>3</b> 8	6	200	260	260	260	130	110	2:	7	• •	170	001	190	180	11	410	430	180				340	440	760	240	140	620	470	60	260	320	470		30
Min Min	19	36	24	27	89	ן <b>ני</b> ז	91	0 i		200	2011	). 16	13	ę	180	1	79	220	220	60	89	88	23	12	0 0 %	97	38	8	160	29	160	8	160			39	110	130	160	150	160	220	73	140	130	130	201	1/0	
Suspended Solids mg/l	4	61	-		-		-1 ç	2,-	- 6		1	• =•	2	m	-	-1	••	1	<b>-1</b>	-	<b>e-1</b> (	0	- •	-1,		4		. 4	1	1	<b>, 1</b>	<b>e-1</b> (	-1 ,	-1,		• -	• •	-	7	l	7	7	-	<b>r</b> (		-1 1	-1 .		4
Iron mg/l	340	160	30	11	20	EL	160		3	420		3 61	170	260	24	9	140	89	4	4	69	90	8:	<b>7</b>		57	76	200	67	1	61	4	96	23		5	38	34	73	33	31	63	220	4	32	80	130	4 C	? r
NAME	LIMIT	HAZEL	DNIDONN	66X	66X	86X	STURGEON		STI DOFUN	2 ON ULCON	STOUFFER	X99	66X	86X	FREDERIC	66X	66X	DOUGHERT	DOUGHERT	66X	66X	66X	ADELAIDE		BOX	CHINICUC	66X.	66X	66X	LAURA	CHINIGUC	CHINIGUC	CHINIGUC	66X	RRY	CHINICALC	66X	66X	66X	DEWDNEY	CHINIGUC	68X	66X	FRANKS	CHINIGUC	LAWLOR	BBX	WULF 5 TI VESTE	011110
LAKE_ID	268	28C	260	28E	28F	27.	278	270	100	282 880	280	280	294	298	29C	290	304	308	300	300	BOE	314	316		016 926	328	320	<b>32D</b>	<b>3</b> 2E	<b>3</b> 3A	338	33C	OPE	33E 011			340	34E	36A	368	36C	36D	364	368	360	36D	4/M	12/10 1.10	ノンワ

Table D.1 (Cont.) AUGUST 1980 WATER CHEMISTRY

< Total Chlorophyll / ug/l 22.45 0000000000 Conductivity Dissolved Organic Carbon  $\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r}$ Sulfate mg/l 83 စ် ပို Ŧ 6.170 6.170 6.170 6.170 6.164 6. Total Inflection Point -0.25 -1.39 -1.22 -1.22 -1.22 -1.23 -1.23 -1.23 -0.23 Total Aikalinity mg/i 41 1/97  $\begin{array}{c} 1150.0\\ 11300.0\\ 11000.$ , ∎a∕i Suspended Solids mg/l Iron mg/l NAME LAKE ID 

Table D.2 Spring 1987 water chemistry

	<																																	
Tatal	Chlorophy11 ug/1		0.14	0.18	0.65	1.24	1.60	0.00	0000				01.0					0.30	00	0.70	0.60	0.60	0.10	0.82	0.95	0.33	0.20	11.0			0.00	0.10	0.61	0.37
Conductivity			•	•	•	•	29.0	34.0	43.0	0.04	30.0	37.0	33.0	35.0	43.0	33.0		0.26		2 0 0 0	30.0	33.0	37.0	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	
Dissolved	Organic Carbon			- •	C	2.2	2.4	2.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.2	1.5	2.2	0.6	1.0				•••		n (	0.2	2.0	2.1	0.6	0.0	••	2.2			<u>,</u> ,	· · •	1.2
Turbidity	Formazin Unite	1 21	980			20.0	1.15	0.89	0.64	0.33	0.66	0.24	0.93	0.72	0.37	0.45	0.72	0.38	0.65			5.00		1.0G		0.19	0.18	0.31	0.59	0.28	0.00			0.32
Sulfate	/ <b>G</b> w	12.8	12.1	101				9.7	11.6	11.3	9.1	10.0	8.8 8	9.2	13.6	10.3	10.7	13.0	1.6	. a	3 01	11.1					16.0	16.1	16.6	8.8	4.0			0.0
Hq		4.890	4.810	<b>6.4</b> 30	8 320		FTT-0	6.301	4.665	4.760	6.100	4.734	6.036	6.601	4.627	6.350	6.298	4.738	0.144	5.060	A 155	1 738		•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•
Total	Inflection Point	0.10	0.10	1.31	50		77.0	4D.1	-1.10	0.73	-0.18	-0.88	0.78	2.96	-1.10	1.40	-0.02 -	-0.84	0.78	-0.23	La O			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-			•
Total	Alkalinity mg/l	1.3	1.2	3.0	2.8	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•
, Al	1/61	220	280	610	46	000				280	120	ŝ	4	8	OF I	26	ę	8	23	20	34	010	55		22			28	77	25	20	ę	80	;
E R	/ Bu	0.250	0.180	0.046	0.022			10000	0.220	0.160	0.089	0.240	0.018	0.039	0.300	0.037	0.094	0.200	0.017	0.110	0.022	0.300	0.024	0.028	0.140				+10.0	0.016	0.250 2	0.093 1	0.078 1	
Iron	- / 8 m	0.033	0.056	0.062	0.036	0 097				0.043	0.089	0.032	600.0	0.110	0.100	0.029	0.100	0.043	0.014	0.069	0.013	0.073	0.008	0.029	0.018				20.0	200.0	0.024	0.047	080.0	
DATE		5/5/87	6/5/87	5/6/87	5/5/87	6/12/87	5/10/01	10/77/0	10/71/0	/R/21/9	18/21/9	10/21/0	6/12/87	18/21/9	0/10/8/	6/10/8/	6/10/87	6/10/87 (	6/10/87 (	6/10/87 (	6/10/87	6/10/87	6/30/87	6/30/87	6/30/87	6/30/87 (	6/30/67 /		10/00/0	6/30/8/ C	6/30/87 (	6/30/87 (	6/30/87 (	
NAME		DOUGHERT	WOLF	CENTRE	WHITEPIN	WHITEPIN	CENTDE			WULT			SMULLINAS				NUKTH YO	WOLF	WHITEPIN	WHITEPIN	SMOOTHWA	SUNNYWAT	CENTRE	LAUNDRIE	CHINIGUC	DOUGHERT		MUTTEDTN		VANIONS	SUNNYWAT	WHITEPIN	NORTH YO	
LAKE_ID		300	378	X02	EOX	130	SCX SCX		200		202		H41	200			186	378	130	X03	14H	13A	X02	XOI	340	300	378				VET	X03	188	

...... 



PROBAR Data Collection Station

MER Data Collection Station

FOLDOUT FRAME

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY





Figure D.1. MER and PROBAR Sampling Stations for the Algoma Site

C FOLDOUT FRAME

Of the page is of the quality

D-11

-----

ì

----

\_\_\_\_\_





PROBAR Data Collection Station

MER Data Collection Station

EOLDOUT FRAME

.



Figure D.2. MER and PROBAR Sampling Stations for the Sudbury Site

Z FOLDOUT FRAME

.



-----

#### APPENDIX E

TRANSMISSOMETER DATA DERIVED TRANSMISSION AND ATTENUATION COEFFICIENTS

	SEA TECH TR Summer and Dept	ANSMISSOMETER ) SPRING DATA 14 = 2M	
LAKE	DATE	TRANSMITTED LIGHT	ATTENUATION COEFFICIENT
ASPREY	6/29/87	0.761936	1.14042
BARBARA	8/13/86	0.844196	0.67748
BLUE CHAULK	8/25/86	0.818262	0.80234
CENTRE	8/22/86 5/5/95	0.824069	0.77400
CENTRE	0/0/0/ 5/10/07	0.904135	0.87195
CENTRE	6/10/87	0.866169	U. 801/3 0 A7697
CENTRE	6/30/87	0.813888	0.82373
CLEAR	6/29/87	0.813284	0.82670
CRAYFISH	8/20/86	0.770734	1.04165
DOUGHERTY	8/16/86 5/5/04	0.893302	0.46132
DOUGHERTY	5/12/87	0.859706	0.40446
DOUGHERTY	6/10/87	0.888233	0.47409
DOUGHERTY	6/30/87	0.881084	0.60641
EAGLE	8/24/86	0.776866	1.01000
FRU0D	6/29/87	0.791837	0.93360
LANG	0/29/87 2/20/07	0.816148	0.81264
	0/30/0/	0.00101	0.83376 0.01002
MAGGIE	5/12/87	0.808093	0.8466/ 0.86931
NORTH YORSTON	6/10/87	0.859293	0.60668
NORTH_YORSTON	7/01/87	0.852577	0.63797
RAMSEY	6/29/87	0.801563	0.88477
KED CHAULK	8/25/86	0.806377	0.86082
SMOOTHWATER	8/17/8/ 8/10/87	0.925/34	0.30867
SMOOTHWATER	7/01/87	0.878723	0.61714
SPANISH R	6/29/87	0.663725	1.63965
SUNNYWATER	8/13/86	0.896210	0.44279
SUNNYWATER	5/12/87 5/12/87	0.905094	0.39887
SUMAYWATER	0/ 10/ 8/	0.91/1/2	0.34684
WABAGISHIK	6/29/87	0.621323	0.30166 9 5961
WHITEPINE 1	5/12/87	0.919011	6.33783 0.33783
WHITEPINE 1	6/10/87	0.836048	0.71628
	1/01/87	0.825830	0.76546
WHITEPINE 2	8/14/86	0.830178	0.74446
WILTERINE 2	18/9/9	0.775000	1.01692
WHITEPINE 2	18/21/9	0. / babb6	1.10378
WHITEPINE 2	7 /01 /87	0.997993	0.63622
WISHART	8/18/86	0.689901	2.11120
WOLF	8/11/86	0.883426	0.49679
WOLF	5/5/87	0.911533	0.37061
WOLF WOLF	5/12/87 A/10/97	0.863822	0.68666
WOLF	8/30/87	0.000000	U. 55875 0 30307
			「同時間町、2



#### APPENDIX F

-

MER-SUBSURFACE SPECTRAL RADIOMETER MULTITEMPORAL LAKE REFLECTANCES

Figure	F.1	Smoothwater	Lake
Figure	F.2	Whitepine #1	Lake
Figure	F.3	Sunnywater	Lake
Figure	F.4	Wolf	Lake
Figure	F.5	North Yorkston	Lake
Figure	F.6	Whitepine #2	Lake
Figure	F.7	Dougherty	Lake
Figure	F.8	Centre	Lake



## Smoothwater Lake Mer Data at 2 Meters Multitemporal Mer Reflactance

_	Reflectance 7/01/87	0.0142	0.0210	0.0303	0.0277	0.0269	0.0233	0.0163	0.0050	0.0041	0.0029	0.0022
	Reflectance 8/10/87	0.0084	0.0116	0.0172	0.0173	0.0173	0.0151	0.0104	0.0030	0.0021	0.0017	0.0015
	Center Wavelength	410	441	488	620	540	680	589	625	658	671	694

-

.









Mer Reflectance

# Whitepine\_#1 Lake Mer Data at 2 Metara Multitemporal Data Mer Reflectance

	Ner Ref	lectance	
Center Wavelength	Reflectance 5/12/87	Reflectance 6/10/87	Reflectance 7/01/87
410		0.0086	0.0396
441	0.0050	0.0166	0.0642
488	0.0070	0.0314	0.1132
<b>5</b> 20	0.0097	0.0394	0.1307
640	0.0114	0.0440	0.1366
<b>5</b> 60	0.0135	0.0460	0.1387
683	0.0133	0.0410	0.1143
625	0.0084	0.0170	0.0513
658	0.0072	0.0132	0.0426
671	0.0057	0.0115	0.0382
694	0.0056	0.0097	0.0316

,

,

-

Figure F.3 Sunnywater Lake

- \$ 5/12/87
  \$ 6/10/87
  \$ 7/01/87
  \$ 8/13/86



### Sunnywater Lake Mer Data at 2 Metera Multitemporal Mer Reflectance

Center avelength	Reflectance 5/12/87	Reflectance 6/10/87	Reflectance 7/01/87	Reflectance 8/13/88
410	0.0840	0.1192	0.1033	0.067
441	0.0740	0.0995	0.0917	0.065
488	0.0587	0.0610	0.0637	0.049
<b>5</b> 20	0.0312	0.0287	0.0346	0.027
540	0.0222	0.0174	0.0279	0.021
560	0.0153	0.0111	0.0196	0.014
683	1600.0	0.0066	0.0120	0.008
625	0.0013	0.0008	0.0040	0.002
656	0.0018	0.0008	0.0030	0.001
671	0.0019	0.0008	0.0026	0.001
694	0.0233	6000.0	0.0021	0.001

-



Figure F.4 Wolf Lake

F-8

### Wolf Lake Mer Data at 2 Meters Multitemporal Mer Reflectance

Center Wavelength	Reflectance 5/05/87	Reflectance 5/12/87	Reflectance 6/10/87	Reflectance 7/01/87	Reflectance 8/11/88
410	0.0144	0.0242	0.0275	0.0463	0 02780
441	0.0206	0.0318	0.0309	0.0547	0.03210
488	0.0291	0.0427	0.0361	0.0546	0.03355
620	0.0283	0.0357	0.0236	0.0320	0.02550
640	0.0240	0.0332	0.0207	0.0266	0.02200
560	0.0196	0.0267	0.0169	0 0194	
583	0.0122	0.0180	0.0102	0.0117	0 01060
625	0.0040	0.0055	0.0030	0.0030	
658	0.0023	0.0039	0.0020	0.0023	0.00200
671	0.0023	0.0033	0.0016	0.0021	
694	0.0019	0.0032	0.0017	0.0019	0.00170

·

-





F-10

Figure F.5 North Yorkston Lake

**a =** 6/10/87 **4 =** 7/01/87
## North\_Yorston Lake Mer Data at 2 Meters Multitemporal Mer Reflectance

÷

•

	Wer Reflectance	
Center Wavelength	Reflectance 6/10/87	Reflectanc 7/01/87
410	0.0293	0.0992
441	0.0467	0.1104
488	0.0708	0.1144
620	0.0792	0.1039
640	0.0836	0.1016
560	0.0834	0.0918
683	0.0726	0.0662
625	0.0354	0.0221
656	0.0278	0.0161
671	0.0254	0.0129
694	0.0214	0.0077



F-12

## Whitepine\_#2 Lake Mer Data at 2 Meters Multitemporal Mer Reflectance

v

Center Navelength	Reflectance 6/05/87	Reflectance 5/12/87	Reflectance 6/10/87	Reflectance 6/30/87	Reflectance 8/14/86
410	•	0.0021	0.0043	0.0057	0.0032
441	0.0040	0.0070	0.0087	1600.0	0.0053
488	0.0080	- 0.0109	0.0118	0.0160	0.0088
620	0.0123	0.0151	0.0144	<b>B</b> 610.0	0.0103
540	0.0154	0.0182	0.0169	0.0226	0.0111
560	0.0165	. 0.0203	. 0.0169	0.0239	-0.0118
<b>583</b>	0.0132	0.0175	0.0123	0.0196	9600.0
625	0.0039	0.0079	0.0044	0.0057	0.0035
658	0.0036	0.0059	0.0033	0.0040	0.0025
671	0.0028	0.0049	0.0029	0.0033	0.0024
694	0.0044	0.0046	0.0024	0.0028	0.0024





Figure F.7 Dougherty Lake

## Dougharty Lake War Data at 2 Meters Multitemporal Mar Reflectance

		Tex rem	ectance.		
Center Wavelength	Reflectance 5/05/87	Reflectance 5/12/87	Reflectance 6/10/87	Reflectance 6/30/87	Reflectance 8/17/86
410	0.0166	0.0217	0.0529	0.0443	0.0350
141	0.0229	0.0261	0.0793	0.0512	0.0385
488	0.0299	. 0.0340	-0.1052	0.0611	· 0 - 0381
620	0.0249	0.0262	0.0800	0.0483	0.0254
640	0.0230	0.0249	0.0714	0.0520	0.0215
580	0.0180	0.0185	0.0540	0.0395	0 0181
683	0.0110	0.0123	0.0316	0.0258	10000
625	0.0032	0.0030	0.0085	0.0049	0 003
658	0.0025	0.0017	0.0055	0.0037	0 0014
671	0.0020	0.0012	0.0049	0.0032	
<b>8</b> 94	0.0018	0.0012	0.0040	0.0026	0.0012

.

700 650 600 Wavelength (nm) 5/05/87
5/12/87
6/10/87
6/30/87
8/22/86 550 500 450 Mer Reflectance 400 0.00 0.16 0.02 -0.08 -0.06 0.14 0.04 0.12 0.0

Figure F.8 Centre Lake

•

## Centre Lake Mer Data at 2 Meters Multitemporal Mer Reflectance

Center Wavelength	Reflectance 5/05/87	Rof lectance 6/12/87	Reflectance 6/10/87	Reflectance 6/30/87	Ref lectance 8/22/88
410	•		0.0038	0.0052	0.00680
441	0.0084	0.0060	0.0058	0.0084	0.00970
488	0.0164	0.0116	0.0086	0.0142	0.01498
520	0.0211	0.0143	0.0097	0.0184	0.01800
540	0.0236	0.0162	0.0102	0.0175	0.01850
560	0.0283	0.0166	0.0097	0.0185	0.01850
589	0.0225	0.0135	0.0073	0.0125	0.01440
625	0.0086	0.0051	0.0024	0.0040	0.00500
658	0.0081	0.0036	0.0017	0.0028	0.00400
671	0.0056	0.0032	0.0015	0.0028	0.00380
694	0.0084	0.0010	0.0011	0.0024	0.00360
-					

•



### APPENDIX G

LAKE EXTRACTED TM SIGNAL VALUES AND ATMOSPHERIC CORRECTED VALUES

Thematic mapper (TM) signal digital count value for lake extracted samples are listed in the following tables. Also listed are the standard deviation estimates for each sample.

Table G.1. August 13, 1986 (P19,R27) Table G.2. August 18, 1986 (P22,R27) Table G.3. May 12, 1987 (P19,R22) Table G.4. June 13, 1987 (P19,R27)

Atmospherically normalized value are listed in the following tables.

Table G.5	. August	13, 1986	(P19,R27)
Table G.6	. August	18, 1986	(P22,R27)
Table G.7	. May 12	, 1987	(P19,R27)
Table G.8	June 1	3, 1987	(P19,R27)

-

\_\_\_\_

Table G.1 sudbury quad 3 august 13, 1986 RAW TM SIGNALS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS

					Rand A	Band 1 S.D.	Band 2 S.D.	Band 3 S.D.	Band 4 S.D.
	NAME	T DUCA							
114	700	70.44	21.56	17.22	13.11	0.73	0.63	0.44	0.33
		70.67	22.33	17.44	13.00	0.87	0.60	0.73	0.0
101	WARIN'	72.78	21.56	15.78	12.00	1.30	0.63	0.97	0.0
128	200	72.68	21.22	17.00	12.22	1.69	0.44	0.0	0.44
124	SUNNWAT	78.00	21.78	16.89	13.00	1.68	0.67	0.78	0.00
120	WHITEPIN	73.00	21.22	17.00	12.67	1.22	0.44	0.0	0.60
121	MAPTNA	72.44	22.00	17.33	12.58	1.61	0.87	0.71	0.63
145	I TTTE W	72.67	21.66	17.33	12.78	1.41	0.73	0.71	0.44
	IFRRY	76.89	21.56	16.33	12.00	1.62	0.63	0.87	0.0
14H	SMODTHWA	73.44	21.11	16.78	11.00	1.88	0.33	0.44	0.0
	NTHE! !	73 33	22.44	18.89	13.89	1.22	0.88	1.62	0.33
	NOPTH YO	10.22	20.89	16.89	11.22	2.17	0.33	0.33	0.44
104	Xoo	11.44	22.00	17.11	12.89	2.92	0.87	0.33	0.33
101	20X	71.22	21.11	16.89	12.00	1.72	0.33	0.33	0.0 0
200	PTI CRTM	14.00	21.89	17.11	12.11	1.22	0.33	0.33	0.33
220	NAGGTE	73.66	21.67	18.22	13.00	1.81	0.60	0.83	0.00
122	BLIESLICK	70.56	21.11	17.00	11.22	1.74	0.33	0.0	0.44
	STOLEEFR	72.33	21.33	16.66	12.00	1.00	0.60	0.88	0.00
	FREDERIC	72.78	20.89	16.67	11.00	1.39	0.33	0.71	0.00
	DOUGHERT	73.67	20.66	16.22	11.00	1.12	0.63	0.83	8.0 0
334	I AURA	67.89	20.67	16.78	11.00	0.60	0.60	0.44	0.0 0
330	CHINIGUC	72.44	21.00	16.00	11.00	0.88	0.0 0	1.22	0.0
335	66X	70.22	21.33	16.55	11.11	1.09	0.71	0.73	0.33
348	68X	75.78	22.44	17.33	13.89	1.39	0.53	0.60	0.33
340	88X	00.17	21.33	16.67	12.00	2.34	0.60	0.60	0.0
346	66X	71.89	20.89	14.89	11.11	0.33	0.33	1.36	0.33
364	66X	73.33	20.89	16.44	00.11	1.73	0.33	0.73	0.0 0
358	DEWDNEY	70.67	20.89	16.78	10.89	1.60	0.93	0.97	0.33
360	66X	73.67	21.00	16.67	11.11	1.73	<b>0</b> .0	0.60	0.33
368	FRANKS	70.78	20.87	16.00	11.22	1.68	0.60	1.00	0.44
360	I AW OR	71.00	21.33	15.58	11.11	1.66	0.60	1.01	0.33
37R	WULF	72.87	20.33	15.66	11.00	0.71	0.60	1.24	0.0
370	X99	70.25	21.00	14.44	11.44	1.49	0.0	0.88	0.63
	NATAGAMA	68.33	20.78	16.78	10.67	1.87	0.44	0.44	0.87
	STI VESTE	73.11	19.89	14.67	11.00	1.90	0.78	1.22	0.0
380	OTTER	73.11	19.89	14.67	11.00	1.90	0.78	1.22	0.0 0
	MATAGAMA	70.65	21.11	16.78	10.89	1.69	0.60	0.67	0.33
	CENTRE	70.44	21.11	16.67	11.22	1.01	0.33	0.71	0.44
	WHITEPIN		21.44	11.71	12.22	1.13	0.88	0.33	0.44

Band 4 S.D.		0.314	0.685	0.629	0.416	8.355	0.314	0.410	252.5	0.667	0.086	0.000		0.314			0.4/1	0.00			0 471	0.416	414 0	0.587	0.418	0.497	0.497	000.0	0.471	0.685	0.471	0.314	0.416	0.737	0.471	0.000	0.00	0.497	0.737	0.667	0.471	0.416	0.667	0./3/	0.667	01.1	1000.1	1.165	1/4.0	0.137	0.831	10.02F
Band 3 S.D.		0.314	0.471	1.221	0.497		1.120	551.1 551 1	401.1 402 C	00/00	1000 T		0.010	0.000		0.800		1.50	1 030	1.286	0.687	0.816	0.816	1.030	0.876	0.943	1.286	1.030	0.943	0.685	0.943	1.066	1.064	0.816	0.416	0.737	1.064	0.471	0.831	1.39/	199.0	1.100	158.0	1.241	1.051		0.010	0.137	0.629	1 030	1 166	1.826
Band 2 S.D.	T. 57 0	0.131			470.0		707.0	1.166	1.100	174 0		0.817	0.447	0.407	0.440	0.471	0.440	0.620	0.471	0.876	1.030	0.629	0.497	1.166	0.471	0.629	0.831	1.133	0.416	0.817	0.497	0.667	0.416	0.629	0.416	0.685	0.786	0.667	018.0	0.001		1 054	1.001	100.0	10.0		1 064		0 727	0.587	0.685	1.414
Band 1 S.D.	1 166		400 C	1 222	0.130	1 423	1.054	1.423	1.491	0.994	2.687	0.916	1.663	2.061	1.000	1.066	0.970	0.994	1.491	1.267	2.096	0.956	1.563	1.030	0.471	0.994	0.875	0.416	1.499	1.066	0.667	0.875	1.247	1.414	0.667	11/11	1.3/0		4.063		1 643	1.397	1.499	1.267	1.812	1.764	0.876	1 100	1. 707	1.764	1.700	0.994
Band 4	11.11	10 FER	10.999	11.222	14.556	10.889	10.778	12.333	10.889	10.444	11.000	10.667	10.889	11.556	11.200	11.000	11.400	11.222	11.000	12.556	11.667	11.222	11.222	11.111	10.778	10.666	11.556	11.000	11.667	11.444	11.667	11.889	11.778	688.0I	11 200				10.467	10 333	10.778	10.000	10.111	000.6	8.899	9.556	9.656	9.647	10.889	10.444	10.889	17.558
Band 3	13.889	14.667	14.222	16.444	15.444	15.889	14.778	14.778	14.778	14.222	13.556	14.000	14.444	14.667	14.000	16.556	15.700	14.556	14.778	14.889	14.667	13.667	15.333	14.222	13.889	13.667	14.889	14.222	16.333	14.558	14.333	*** *1	15.333		13 880	200.01 222 11	13 667	14.444	14.778	13.667	14.000	14.444	15.000	14.444	14.667	14.667	13.889	14.222	16.889	14.222	15.000	17.667
Band 2	18.889	18.000	17.444	111.01	18.556	19.000	19.444	18.000	17.889	18.333	19.111	17.667	19.000	18.556	19.800	19.333	19.200	19.222	19.333	19.111	17.778	17.778	19.556	18.333	18.333	18.222	18.556	11.222	19.778	18.667	19.556	111.01	10 770	18 222	18.444	18 222	18.889	17.778	17.889	17.556	17.556	18.000	19.444	18.889	17.333	18.222	17.000	18.111	18.889	16.889	18.656	20.667
Band 1	62.333	60.778	62.222	63.000	61.778	64.444	62.333	61.444	61.000	63.111	62.668	62.222	62.667	62.558	64.100	62.444	63.200	61.889	62.667	444.68	62.222	62.556 60.000	63.000	62.778 50 207	02.00/	111.20	6887.70	01.//B	000.000	000.20		111.20	07.00/	A1 333	63.444	62.889	62.222	60.111	60.222	61.222	61.000	60.778	64.444	61.556	60.222	60.333	60.889	60.889	62.444	61.333	62.333	63.111
NAME	ATOMIC	EAST	LITTLE A	MADER	MALLOT	MONTREAL	86X	DYER	66X	BARBARA	66X	ALVIN	HAILEY	ROI	66X	BIG PIKE	66X	RAND	PATTERSO	BUTTER	MCCOLLOU	ULCK	MCGUVERN	AW ADTECTU	CCLTTLN		ADELAIDE	ADELAIVE	AVN				SPECKIED	I TONEL	HUBERT	CHARLIE	WEST	ROTUNDA	DOYLE	REDCLIFF	UNION	NOXIO	SNYDER	VACHER	LITTLE Q	EMERSON	NORTH CH	QUINN	WATSON	BROWNE	RED PINE	BUTTER T
LAKE_ID	¥	ą	٩C	HA	BA	BF	HØ	5	2	LO.	DI	8	EH	Ŀ	FA		3	19	13	<b>3</b> !	53	9 U 4 -	<u>-</u>	د د - ا	59		2			23	Ĕ	38	3,~	( <b>x</b>	:×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×

.

# Table G.2 (Cont.) Algoma quad 4 August 18, 1986 RAW TM SIGNALS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS

LAKE_ID	NAME	Band 1	Band 2	Band 3	Band 4	Band 1 S.D.	Band 2 S.D.	Band 3 S.D.	Band 4 S.D.
×	HANES	61.667	17.444	13.667	10.222	1.491	1.066	0.667	0.416
:×	PRIVATE	61.444	18.333	16.889	11.000	0.685	0.667	1.100	0.00
: ×	COWIE	62.000	18.666	16.333	11.000	0.817	0.497	1.247	0.00
: >	MORRISON	61.778	111.91	15.556	11.000	0.786	0.737	0.956	0.667
:>	TEPEE	61.667	18.444	14.111	11.667	1.700	1.066	0.737	0.471
. >	CHUBB	62.000	19.444	16.111	19.222	1.247	2.061	3.348	17.106
~	POINT	61.222	16.667	14.333	9.444	1.685	0.817	1.247	0.966
.×	GRAHAM	61.666	17.667	14.667	11.111	1.571	1.064	0.943	0.314
×	LIMERICK	60.778	17.667	14.222	10.889	1.685	1.155	0.916	0.737
×	PATTERSO	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.00
×	GOULAIS	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	000.0	0.000	0.00	0.00
× ×	GULL	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
. ×	MIRROR	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.00
: >	SPOOK	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000
: ×	WELCOME	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
×	ARMOUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.00
×	SOUTH BR	000.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	00000	0.000	0.00
:×	TUJAK	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
×	LAC CHER	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
×	ANNIBAL	61.558	18.000	14.778	10.667	0.966	0.943	1.227	0.471
×	NEGICK	63.333	18.333	14.889	12.000	1.247	0.667	0.994	0.00
×	TRIM	64.111	19.558	16.111	11.667	1.852	0.497	0.314	0.471
×	S.TILLEY	63.778	20.556	16.222	11.222	1.315	0.956	0.629	0.416
×	MEENACH	62.111	18.111	14.444	10.666	0.314	0.737	0.685	0.685
×	EAST	61.778	18.656	14.556	11.778	1.133	0.956	0.966	0.629
: ×	DILL	62.556	18.111	13.668	11.444	1.165	0.567	0.685	0.497
×	TURTLE	62.444	18.778	16.778	11.556	0.832	0.629	1.030	0.497
×	TROUT	61.556	18.000	14.000	11.333	0.685	1.054	1.155	0.471
×	LILY PAD	61.556	10.111	14.778	11.556	1.499	0.314	1.315	0.497
×	ALVA	62.556	17.889	14.222	10.778	1.342	0.994	1.030	0.416
×	ALGOCEN	61.778	18.444	14.222	11.333	1.685	0.631	0.786	0.816
×	CURRY	62.667	19.000	14.889	13.000	1.054	0.667	1.285	2.261
×	ELMER	61.889	17.889	14,000	11.444	1.663	0.737	0.816	0.497
×	GAVOR	61.444	18.111	14.111		0.085	0.007	0.667	1.100
×	NIHSVNM	61.667	18.778	16.667	13.666	1.033	1.421	1.054	
×	GUYATT	61.111	17.444	+++ +   		781.1	0.000	107.1	
×	SPRUCE	61.655	17.222				177.7	100.0	O. ABE
×	MUNGUUSE	777.10	11.000	14. AAA	0 007	1.166	0.867	0.958	0.471
×3	WAKI MADITU	100.10		14 222	11 222	1.197	0.667	0.629	0.416
< >	TOTODI C	A7 778	10 778	16.558	10.444	0.628	0.916	0.831	0.685
<>	I TTTI F H	A1.333	17.333	14.889	10.778	1.054	0.816	0.994	0.416
< >	UASTEN	61.889	16.333	14.111	10.222	1.623	0.667	0.737	0.916
~	RAINE	60.666	18.333	13.889	10.222	1.771	0.943	0.314	0.416
: >	LOGAN	61.444	18.000	15.222	10.444	1.066	0.000	1.133	0.497
: ×	OLD WOMA	60.444	18.778	14.111	12.889	0.956	0.786	1.370	2.131
×	LAKE SUP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.00
×	HARRYS	63.333	18.667	14.222	10.667	1.064	0.817	0.786	0.471
×	FIRST	62.444	17.889	16.778	12.000	1.257	0.567	1.030	0.00
×	WAGON WH	63.000	18.111	15.778	18.333	2.867	1.3/0	1.030	8./08
×	BLACK BE	64.883	19.222	14.000	10.444	1.100	0.629	0.843	0.0880
×	HOWLING	63.444	19.444	15.66/	10.888	0.800	184.0	C. 445	0.131
×	WELLS	62.111	19.000	14.444	11.333	1.023	0.001	1.631	114.0

Table G.2 (Cont.)

4

## ALGOMA QUAD 4 AUGUST 18, 1986 RAW TM SIGNALS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS

Band 4 S.D.	0.314 0.816 0.667 0.667 0.667 0.416 0.416 0.786 0.786 0.314
Band 3 S.D.	0.875 0.816 0.667 0.665 1.064 1.064 1.315 1.333 1.333
Band 2 S.D.	0.686 0.686 0.786 0.786 0.497 0.471 0.471 0.471 0.629 0.816
Band 1 S.D.	1.633 1.064 1.064 1.267 1.267 1.267 1.064 1.865 1.316
Band 4	11.111 11.333 11.668 11.668 11.000 12.000 11.222 11.222 11.333 9.889
Band 3	16.111 14.000 18.000 14.444 14.444 15.333 16.333 16.222 16.000 14.000
Band 2	19.444 18.666 19.222 18.778 18.333 19.667 19.222 18.222 18.333
Band 1	63.000 62.667 63.111 63.667 62.666 62.333 64.111 64.222 61.222 61.222 61.222
NAME	SPECKLED STAN FRATER FRATER DOTTIE LOST LOST MACGREGO KENNY MUDHOLE CRESCENT GREYOML
LAKE_ID	。 *********

Table G.3 sudbury quad 3 way 12, 1987 RAW TH SIGNALS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS

.

Band 4 S.D	0.0	8.0 0	0 <sup>.0</sup>	0.63	0.0	0.60	0.0	0.44	0.60	0.60	0.0	0.73	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.87	0.63	<u>.</u> 8	8.0	8.0	0.33	8.0	0.0	0.44	0.60	0.60	0.68	0.60	0.33	8.5	0.00	44.0	0.33	0.71	0.78	0.63	0.0	0.60	0.44	0.00
Band 3 S.D.	1.760	1.220	0.600	0.600	0.870	0.970	1.000	1.410	1.010	0.780	0.600	1.360	1.000	0.330	0.780	1.00	1.600	1.420	0.601	0.00	0.440	0.500	0.500	1.320	0.600	0.670	0.530	0.880	1.480	021.1	0.440	0.001	0.970	0.710	1.580	0.630	1.500	0.780	0.600	0.710
Band 2 S.D.	0.630	0.630	0.730	0.870	0.440	1.000	0.440	0.870	0.500	0.000	0.000	0.730	0.500	0.630	0.600	0.830	0.330	0.780	0.330	0.440	0.600	0.440	0.600	0.530	0.880	0.330	0.880	0.8/0	0./10	00	0/1.1	0.780	0.500	0.670	1.000	0.110	0.600	0.601	1.060	0.330
Band 1 S.D.	1.64	2.03	1.27	1.12	1.05	2.12	1.60	1.64	2.18	1.86	0.71	1.69	1.41	2.17	1.05	1.00	1.73	0.88	1.22	1.69	1.05	1.40	1.30	1.61	1.13	1.39	1.71	2.12	0.88	1.30	0.87	8.1	1.60	1.22	1.8	0.83	0.97	1.60	1.32	1.36
Band 4	13.00	12.00	12.00	12.44	12.00	13.11	12.00	12.78	12.30	11.67	12.00	12.44	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.70	12.40	12.00	12.00	12.00	11.89	12.00	12.00	12.20	13.10	12.30	12.40	12.30	12.10	12.00	12.30	12.20	12.10	12.67	12.89	12.40	12.00	11.33	11.78	12.00
Band 3	20.11	19.00	18.00	19.33	17.67	20.22	17.67	20.67	18.60	18.11	17.89	19.89	18.33	17.89	18.11	20.00	19.20	18.50	17.89	18.00	17.22	17.30	17.70	19.00	17.89	18.20	17.60	18.40	18.80	18.67	18.20	18.10	17.20	17.67	19.33	17.60	20.55	18.11	17.89	18.00
Band 2	22.44	22.44	22.66	22.67	21.78	23.00	21.78	23.67	22.33	22.00	22.00	22.66	22.33	22.44	22.00	23.20	22.89	22.10	21.89	22.20	21.67	21.80	22.30	22.50	23.40	22.90	22.60	23.00	24.00	22.00	23.10	24.10	21.70	23.20	24.30	22.30	22.67	22.10	22.89	21.10
Band 1	74.22	76 89	74.89	74.00	BO. 89	76.00	73.67	76.89	76.50	74.80	73.30	74.44	73.00	74.78	74.11	76.30	76.00	75.50	73.30	76.40	74.89	74.40	78.40	76.50	78.40	79.20	78.80	78.00	77.60	74.80	76.30	77.00	76.90	76.70	76.70	80.20	74.80	74.30	75.00	72.90
NAME	700	1 AUV	WARIN	X00	SINAYWAT	WHITEPIN	MARTNA			SUDTHWA	MTHELI	NORTH YO	799	66X	PILGRIM	MAGGIE	BLUESUCK	66X	STOUFFER	FREDERIC	DOUGHERT	LAURA	CHINIGUC	66X	66X	66X	66X	66X	DEWDNEY	66X	FRANKS	LAWLOR	WOLF	<b>6</b> 6X	MATAGAMA	OTTER	MATAGAMA	CENTRE	WHITEPIN	THEODORE
LAKE_ID	111		124	101	121		135	141	111	HT		18R	194	190	220	220	234	27.4	280	290		33A	330	33E	348	340	34E	35A	368	36D	368	36D	378	370	388	38D	404	X02	EOX	<b>9</b> 0X

# Table G.4 sudbury quad 3 June 13, 1987 RAW TW SIGNALS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS

-

Band 4 S.D.	0.71	0.60	0.0	0.87	0.00	0.60	0.73	0.60	0.71	0.44	0.44	0.60	0.33	0.0	0.0	0.60	0.00	0.60	0.60	0.63	0.50	0.73	0.60	0.67	0.60	0.44	0.71	0.88	0.44	0.83	0.44	0.87	0.78	0.60	0.78	0.60	1.00	0.0	0.44	0.0 0	0.0
Band 3 S.D.	0.60	1.06.	0.67	0.44	1.01	1.00	0.33	0.73	0.44	1.00	0.60	0.93	0.00	1.01	0.44	0.44	0.71	0.00	1.10	0.63	0.44	1.20	0.60	0.0	0.60	0.33	1.12	0.83	0.60	0.33	0.73	0.44	0.78	0.60	1.48	0.73	0.33	1.69	0.97	0.0 0	0.78
Band 2 S.D.	0.71	0.60	0.71	0.00	0.60	0.60	0.88	0.71	0.60	0.60	0.88	0.60	0.33	0.60	0.44	0.93	1.01	0.83	1.06	0.60	0.44	0.60	0.0	0.63	0.78	0.78	1.01	0.60	0.71	1.30	1.00	0.73	0.63	1.17	0.63	0.63	0.87	0.60	0.33	0.73	0.33
Band 1 S.D.	1.59	1.32	1.64	1.22	1.32	1.64	0.93	1.22	1.73	2.02	1.72	0.67	1.66	1.48	1.01	0.83	0.97	1.69	1.40	1.05	1.66	1.30	1.17	0.71	1.30	0.44	2.05	2.24	1.68	1.42	2.44	1.60	1.36	1.83	1.72	1.87	2.09	1.55	1.0	1.27	2.39
Band 4	13.00	12.67	12.00	13.00	12.00	12.33	11.65	12.67	11.67	10.78	10.78	13.11	11.89	12.00	11.00	11.33	11.00	11.67	11.67	10.55	11.00	10.44	12.11	10.78	11.67	12.22	12.67	11.55	11.22	11.78	12.22	12.33	11.89	11.33	12.89	11.67	11.67	12.00	10.78	11.00	11.00
Band 3	17.90	16.11	17.22	16.78	16.65	16.33	16.89	17.44	16.78	16.33	17.00	17.90	17.00	17.55	16.78	17.22	17.00	17.00	16.78	16.44	16.20	15.00	17.33	17.00	16.89	16.89	18.00	17.22	17.00	16.89	17.44	17.78	16.89	17.11	18.75	17.66	17.11	18.89	16.22	17.00	10.11
Band 2	22.00	21.67	22.67	22.00	21.67	21.67	22.66	21.67	21.67	21.00	21.66	22.00	21.89	22.33	21.22	21.89	21.66	22.22	21.89	20.89	21.22	20.89	21.00	21.44	21.89	24.11	24.44	22.11	22.33	23.22	22.33	22.66	22.44	22.11	24.55	23.55	22.00	23.11	20.89	21.44	19.89
Band 1	71.66	73.67	76.11	76.33	81.00	73.78	73.11	74.33	76.33	73.89	70.80	75.20	74.33	76.22	72.44	72.22	73.78	74.44	73.89	71.89	76.00	76.30	73.11	72.33	73.22	79.22	78.22	77.66	78.00	77.65	73.78	76.33	76.11	78.11	77.22	77.33	77.89	74.78	71.67	71.89	69.22
NAME	66X	LANY	WABUN	66X	SCNNYWAT	WHITEPIN	MARINA	LITTLE W	JERRY	SMOOTHWA	MIHELL	NORTH YO	66X	66X	PILGRIM	MAGGIE	BLUESUCK	SOLACE	66X	STOUFFER	FREDERIC	DOUGHERT	LAURA	CHINIGUC	66X	66X	66X	66X	66X	DEWONEY	66X	FRANKS	LAWLOR	WOLF	66X	SILVESTE	OTTER	MATAGAMA	CENTRE	WHITEPIN	THEODORE
LAKE_ID	<b>A11</b>	11C	12A	128	134	130	13E	14E	14F	14H	17C	188	194	<b>1</b> 9C	22C	22D	23A	23E	27A	28C	29C	300	33A	33D	33E	348	340	34E	35A	358	360	368	360	37B	370	3BC	380	404	X02	EOX	80X

-

Table G.5

SUDBURY QUAD 3 August 13, 1986 Corrected TM Signals and Standard Deviations

ġ ŝ + Band 3 S.D. Band s.D. 0 Band s.D. Band 1  $\begin{array}{c} 16.8597\\ 16.7149\\ 114.3893\\ 114.3893\\ 114.3893\\ 114.3893\\ 115.7149\\ 115.7149\\ 115.7149\\ 115.7149\\ 115.7149\\ 115.7149\\ 114.3993\\ 13.37149\\ 113.0818\\ 1$ 4 Band 19.6342 19.6342 19.9223 19.9223 19.9223 19.9382 19.9382 19.9382 20.1714 19.3562 20.9344 20.93463 20.93463 20.93463 20.93463 20.93463 19.6468 19.6468 19.6408 19.6500 19.6500 19.6500 19.6500 19.6500 19.6500 19.6200 10.7393 10.6200 10.7393 10.6200 10.7393 10.6200 10.7393 10.6200 10.7393 10.6200 10.7393 10.6200 10.7393 10.7393 10.7303 1 6021 1861 m Band õ õ 2 Band 88.7465 89.1746 92.6511 92.6511 92.6511 92.6511 92.6511 92.6511 92.6511 92.6511 92.6511 91.6630 91.6630 91.6630 91.6662 91.6662 91.6662 91.6662 91.6662 91.6662 91.6663 91.6663 91.6663 91.6616 91.6714 92.4968 92.4968 92.4968 92.4968 93.7824 93.77824 93.77824 94.6665 94.6665 94.6665 94.6665 94.6665 94.6665 94.664594.6645 94.664594.6645 94.664594.6645 94.664594.6645 94.664594.6645 94.664594.664594.6645 94.664594.664594.6 .4194 .8988 .0765 -Band MATAGAMA SILVESTE OTTER MATAGAMA CENTRE WHITEPIN X99 LAMY WABUN X99 Sunnywat Whitepin Marina Little W Little W Little W Marina North Y0 X99 X99 NAME 66X LAKE ID 

Table G.G Algoma quad 4 August 18, 1986 Corrected tm Signals and Standard deviations

	D'S + Dues		011 0		0.418		0.416		000.0	0.530			0.497		0.416	0.471		0.416	0.685		1/4/0	0.314		<b>m</b>	0.471	0.00	670.0	0.416	101 0	184.0	8.355	0.314
Band 3 5 D			0.860		0.497	1 123	551.I	1.030		1.300	1.286		0.843	O R7K		0.943	0 818		0.685	0 014		0.685	0.497		0.843	1.227		0.816	0.471		1.066	1.100
Band 2 S.D.			0.440	0 314	+10.0	0.497		1.4.0	0 110		0.831	0.400		0.471	101 0		0.497		119.0	0.817		0.001	0.567		014-0	0.966	0 820		0.497	1 1 4 5	1.100	0.66/
Band 1 S.D.		•••••	····	1,333		1.054		FRA . 0	0.970		0.010	0.994		124.0	0.887		I. 603			0.416	1 EA3		189.2	987.1		080.2	0.958		100.2	2.439	1 403	
Band 4		1E 0720		16.1016		1400.41	14 OFAR		16.3411	IS SELL		14.2054	14 EAU		15.7004	16 1014	0101.01	16.4003	14 2547		14.8635			15.7004	13 7660		16.1016	15 5611		19.5882	14 . 6636	
Band 3		6.7824		0/ to. of	B 7837		7.8950	01.00		7.6966		9/20.1	7.0873		<b>6</b> .7278	A KEOA		7.2679	7. 36AD		7.7147	8.4002		U.0/36	8.1340		0.0100	7.2967		9711.Q	9.6693	
Band 2		17.1498	18 2030	8007 · 07	17.0285		15.8166	14 1700	0717.04	15.1739	15 5700	7710.07	15.5334	1004 01	10.47PD	16.8028		2014.01	14.7312		10.000	16.3921	1. 7010	747/.01	14.8084	14 4101		16.1739	10 4905	0000.27	16.3369	
Band 1		00.000/	65.1479		66.0270	20 0100	6800.00	65,1056		64.4142	66.11A7		00.4/05	A4 8175		65.1479	A4 1820	0707.10	66.0719	RE 0003	6707.00	64.9388	AK 117A		66.8504	64,5504		03.9661	67 . 670A		01.0131	
NAME	VOO		MADER	002		RARRARA		66X	ACC: ATOC	AUELAIUE	66X	<b>NDTEETN</b>	NTLITUT	TURKEY		NEWADOUM			VIAIN	HATLEY		RAY	66X			DICK	201	704	MALLOT	MONTOS M		
LAKE_ID	E A		HK	μų	50	55		و	LL L		BW	1	5	ž	Ľ		Z		3	H	ŀC		IN	ŬŦ		U X	- 4	23	RA	BE	5	

-

Table G.7 subbury quab a

	DEVIATIONS
JOAT 7	AND STANDARD
T IYM	N SIGNALS /
	CORRECTED T

Band 4 S.D.	0.00	5	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.63	0.60	0.0	0.73			0.0		50°0	8.8	8.0	8.0	0.0	0.44	0.33	0.60	0.50	0.44	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.71	0.60	0.60	0.44	00.0	0.44	0.33			65.00	0.60	BH ()		~ · >	0.63	0.0	
Band 3 S.D.	0.710			1./60	0.600	0.601	0.600	0.970	1,000	1 360	0 780		2			0.330	1.120	1.500	0.500	1.410	0.440	0.780	0.780	0.500	1.420	1.580	1.220	0.710	0.440	1.010	1.320	0.00	0.601	0.970			1.480	0.880	0.630	0.600	0.670	0.630	0.870	
Band 2 S.D.	025.0	0.5.0	0.900	0.630	0.000	0.330	0.870	1.000	0 440	0110	0.500		0.000	0.830	0.330	0.630	0.000	0.500	0.730	0.870	0.600	0.601	0.000	1.060	0.780	1.000	0.630	0.670	1 170	0.500	0.630	0.440			0.000	0.880	0.710	0.870	0.880	0.600	0.330	0.710		) r r · >
Band 1 S.D.		1.30	1.41	1.64	0.71	1.22	1 19			0	A	00.1	1.40	8	1.73	2.17	1.30	0.97	1.27	1.54	1.06	1.60	1.86	1.32	0.88	89	0.0	1 22	10.0		13 1	10.1		39	1.60	1.13	0.88	2.12	1.71	1.30	1.30	0.83	20.0	1.00
Band 4		13.6256	13.6255	14.8778	13 8258	12 8258	8871 A1			13.0200	14.1/66	13.6256	13.6256	14.6021	14.1265	13.6256	13.6256	13.6266	13.6256	14.6023	13.4879	12.7867	13.2124	13 3502	13 8258	11.7400	12 8958	12.0200				10/0.01	13.0200	10/0.51	13.7609	16.0030	13.7509	14.0013	14.1265	13.6256		11 1046	0071.F1	13.0200
Band 3		19.8325	20.2467	20.9812	10 8047	10.4047	10000 00	20.0320	20. 4324	18.4183	21.6331	19.9702	18.9560	21.2774	20.7297	19.6947	20.6714	23.0264	19.8326	21.9963	19.0223	20.9841	20.04696	20.0017	20.04 AEBE		0101.02	21.0640		19.0289	20.046	20.7819	19.8326	19.6550	18.6794	18.0301	20.6829	19.8793	18.6011	10 AFAR		1100 01	1100.81	18.4183
Band 2		24.1140	26.6641	94 K091		20 - 7 - 0 2 0 - 7 - 0 2	201.02	25.5124	25.0615	24.9854	26.3621	26.2409	24.9905	26.8407	25.8394	26.7918	26.2409	26.0798	25 029A	28 3280	ACROACE	24 2202	20.2.02		20.000 PS	2002.02	20.9/30	25./218	58/8.9Z	26.2313	25.26/2	25.6090	26.4913	27.6124	24.7363	25.6762	27.6162	24 1061	26 3611	DE BIAS	0010.07	ZD. 4004	26.100/	24.9654
Band 1		88.5940	R8 7192	2011120		8480.88	880.0848	69.3102	89.5557	89.6682	89.8812	90.1091	90.4722	90.5474	00 A225	00.9480	00 0731	00 0731			1110 10	1107.18	1000.TR	A 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1100.18	91.8486	92.0149	92.3379	92.3454	92.4006	92.6609	92.8012	92.9765	93.4273	93.4523	93.8280	94.2036	04 5001			809-4808	96.031/	97.1336	98.5986
NAME		THEODORE				MIHELL	STOUFFER	66X	WHITEPIN	MARINA	NORTH YO	PTLGRIM	A LIRA	MAGGTE		VOO						DUUGHERI	CENTRE	SMOOTHWA	WHITEPIN	66X	MATAGAMA	LAMY	66X	FRANKS	JERRY	66X	FREDERIC	LAWLOR	WULF	XOD	DEWDNEY		R R K	RAX	CHINIGUC	<b>6</b> 6X	OTTER	SUNNYWAT
LAKE ID	1	ACX			<b>VII</b>	17C	28C	128	130	136	188	305	) - C C	200	777	× 57		360	404	124	146	300	X02	14H	EOX	27A	388	110	37D	368	145	33E	29C	360	37R			305	354	34E	33D	34D	380	134

SLUDBURY QUAD 3 JUNE 13, 1987 SIGNALS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS Table G.8

### Band 4 S.D. Band 3 S.D. 0.00 Band 2 S.D. Band 1 S.D. Band 4 CORRECTED TN 19.6676 117.7492 119.6113 118.7124 118.7124 118.7124 119.2027 119.2026 119.2026 119.2026 119.2026 119.2026 119.2026 119.2026 119.2019 119. 20.7530 20.1208 19.5962 21.5026 19.1176 19.8424 ŋ Band Band 2 81.8247 84.8023 85.6248 86.3319 94.4554 94.4554 95.3358 95.3358 85.5592 86.6510 87.9569 86.5592 87.9569 88.7559 88.7559 88.7559 88.7559 88.7559 88.7559 88.7559 88.7559 88.7559 88.7659 88.7559 88.7659 88.7659 88.7659 88.7659 88.7659 88.7659 88.7659 88.7659 88.7669 88.7669 88.7669 88.7669 88.7332 88.7332 88.7332 88.7353 88.7353 88.7353 88.7353 88.7353 88.7353 88.7353 88.7353 88.7353 <td -Band SILVESTE OTTER Matagama Centre Whitepin Theodore VANE -AKE\_ID

0.00 0.00